

Part 1.

1. What are the three primary criteria we seek when designing an algorithm

ans: correctness, effectiveness in terms of speed, memory, and optimality, and ease of implementation

2. List the five basic data structure types and their avg time and space complexities

ans:

	Space	Access	Search	Insert	Delete
Array	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	*	*
Linked List	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	*	*
Stack	$O(n)$	-	-	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Queue	$O(n)$	-	-	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Tree	$O(n)$	-	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$

Array

insert/delete (append/pop) = $O(1)$ amortized

" at beginning/middle = $O(n)$

Linked List

insert/delete at head/known node = $O(1)$

" tail = $O(1)$

3. What is the specific objective of the Interval Scheduling Problem?

ans: The objective is to find the maximum number of non overlapping events from a given set of intervals

4. List three different types of greedy strategies that could be used for interval scheduling

ans: Earliest Start Time - events in asc order of start time

Earliest Finish Time - " finish time

Shortest Distance/Interval - " interval length

5. What is the fundamental difference between an algorithm and a heuristic?

ans: algorithms are a set list of steps that results in a guaranteed correct solution whereas, a heuristic is an approach that quickly finds a good solution without a guarantee for its correctness and optimality

Part 2:

1. Describe the EFT greedy algorithm steps

ans: step 1 - sort events by their finish time in asc order

step 2 - choose the first item i.e. the event with the earliest finish time

step 3 - set the finish time of chosen interval as "current finish time"

step 4 - loop through the remaining sorted events where
if current finish time \leq start time

then set new finish time of the new event as "current finish time"

step 5 - keep doing step 4 until all events are checked

step 6 - Print all selected intervals

2. Why is the nearest neighbour approach for the TSP considered a heuristic rather than a correct algorithm?

ans: Because this particular approach results in a valid tour but not necessarily the optimal/shortest tour

3. Explain the concept of a Loop invariant and its relation to mathematical induction

ans: Invariant means that something is always true. A loop invariant is a condition where something is always true at the beginning and end of every iteration of a loop; Used to prove that an algorithm is correct.

As a form of proof, a loop invariant contains three main steps:

1. Initialization where the invariant is true before the first iteration

2. Loop maintenance "", and still is after that iteration

3. Termination where the invariant + loop stopping condition implies that the algo's result is correct

On the other hand, mathematical induction is a logical structure that is used to prove a statement is true for all integers. Therefore both loop invariant and mathematical induction are the same in different contexts.

4. What does it mean for a problem like the TSP to be NP-hard?

ans: NP-hard means that no efficient algorithm is known to solve all instances of a problem quickly as the number of points/nodes increases

5. How does modularity contribute to an algorithm's ease of implementation?

ans: modularisation is the practice of building programs using independent modules/components to simplify implementations. In addition, these components, or building blocks can be reused and tested independently thus, simplifying the overall implementation of an algorithm.

Part 3 :

1. Proof by Contradiction : Summarize the argument used to prove that the greedy algorithm for interval scheduling cannot select fewer jobs than an optimal schedule

ans:

1. assumption : greedy algorithm for interval scheduling can select fewer jobs than an optimal schedule
2. by using EFT as our greedy approach,

let g_1 be the first job chosen by greedy

o_1 " optimal schedule

3. Since greedy will definitely choose earliest finish time,
 $\text{finish}(g_1) \leq \text{finish}(o_1)$

4. \therefore we can replace o_1 with g_1 without breaking feasibility since g_1 finishes no later thus, leaving as much room for remaining jobs

5. As a result, we can construct a new optimal schedule that starts with g_1 , where there would still be the same number of jobs as the optimal algorithm

6. By repeating the o_1 to g_1 replacement, we can see that greedy can be converted to an optimal schedule but still would not reduce the number of jobs

7. \therefore the assumption where greedy can select fewer jobs fails

\therefore Greedy cannot select fewer jobs than optimal schedule

2. Counterexample Challenge : Draw or describe a set of intervals where the Shortest Distance / Interval greedy strategy fails to provide a maximum size subset of compatible jobs

ans: Given the following intervals

$$\{(0,1), (0,5), (1,5), (5,10)\}$$

$$\text{Optimum} = \{(0,1), (1,5), (5,10)\}$$

$$\text{shortest distance} = \{(0,1), (1,5)\}$$

3. Exhaustive Search Complexity : Why exhaustive (trying $n!$ permutation) impractical for a Robot Tour Optimization w 20 points ? Provide the approximate number of permutations involved

ans: Because exhaustive search would try all $n!$ permutation which would be very slow as the number of points increases

when $n = 20$

$$n! = 20!$$

$$\approx 2.4 \times 10^{18}$$

4. Algorithm Correctness : The slides state that "Failure to find a counterexample... does not mean the algorithm is correct." Explain why mathematical induction is preferred over trial-and-error for proving correctness

ans: Mathematical induction is an approach that is used to prove the truthfulness of an algorithm or statement for every possible input. Thus, a reliable way to prove correctness. Trial-and-error, on the other hand, is a way of testing algorithms on a case to case basis meaning that there could exist cases (i.e. inputs) where the algorithm fails.

5. Complexity Tradeoffs : Compare Quicksort and MergeSort based on their worst-case time complexity and space complexity

ans: Worst case time complexity

Quicks. $O(n^2)$

Merges. $O(n \log n)$

" space

$O(\log n)$

$O(n)$

comparison : merge sort results in a more favourable complexity of no more than $O(n \log n)$ in comparison to Quicksort. However, it can potentially use a larger amount of a maximum space of $O(n)$ in comparison to Quicksort.