

Machine Learning

Regularization and Feature Selection

Fabio Vandin

November 15th, 2022

Learning Model

- A : learning algorithm for a machine learning task
- S : m i.i.d. pairs $z_i = (x_i, y_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, with $z_i \in Z = \mathcal{X} \times Y$, generated from distribution \mathcal{D}
 \Rightarrow training set available to A to produce $A(S)$;
- \mathcal{H} : the hypothesis (or model) set for A
- loss function: $\ell(h, (x, y))$, $\ell : \mathcal{H} \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$
- $L_S(h)$: empirical risk or training error of hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$L_S(h) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(h, z_i)$$

- $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$: true risk or generalization error of hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{z \in \mathcal{D}}[\ell(h, z)]$$

Learning Paradigms

We would like A to produce $A(S)$ such that $L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))$ is *small*, or at least close to the smallest generalization error $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*)$ achievable by the “best” hypothesis h^* in \mathcal{H} :

$$h^* = \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$$

We have seen a *learning paradigm*: Empirical Risk Minimization

We will now see another learning paradigm...

Regularized Loss Minimization

Assume h is defined by a vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (e.g., linear models)

Regularization function $R : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Regularized Loss Minimization (RLM): pick h obtained as



The diagram shows the formula $\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} (L_S(\mathbf{w}) + R(\mathbf{w}))$. The entire expression is enclosed in a green oval. The term $L_S(\mathbf{w})$ is circled in blue, with a blue arrow pointing down to the handwritten text "training error". The term $R(\mathbf{w})$ is circled in yellow.

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} (L_S(\mathbf{w}) + R(\mathbf{w}))$$

Intuition: $R(\mathbf{w})$ is a “measure of complexity” of hypothesis h defined by \mathbf{w}

\Rightarrow regularization balances between low empirical risk and “less complex” hypotheses

We will see some of the most common regularization function

ℓ_1 Regularization

Regularization function: $R(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$

- $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$
- ℓ_1 norm: $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i|$

Therefore the *learning rule* is: pick

$$A(S) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} (L_S(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1)$$

Intuition:

- $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1$ measures the “complexity” of hypothesis defined by \mathbf{w}
- λ regulates the tradeoff between the empirical risk ($L_S(\mathbf{w})$) or overfitting and the complexity ($\|\mathbf{w}\|_1$) of the model we pick

LASSO

Linear regression with squared loss + ℓ_1 regularization \Rightarrow **LASSO**
(least absolute shrinkage and selection operator)

LASSO: pick

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

\downarrow \nearrow

ℓ_1 regularization L_S $L_S(h_{\mathbf{w}})$

How?

Notes:

- no closed form solution!
- ℓ_1 norm is a convex function and squared loss is convex
 \Rightarrow problem can be solved efficiently! (true for every convex loss function)

LASSO and Sparse Solutions: Example

(Equivalent) one dimensional regression problem with squared loss:

$$\arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i w - y_i)^2 + \lambda |w| \right)$$

k-fold cross-validation:

Is equivalent to:

$$\arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \right) w^2 - \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i \right) w + \lambda |w| \right)$$

Assume for simplicity that $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 = 1$, and let $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

Then the optimal solution is

$$w = \text{sign}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) [\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle / m - \lambda]_+$$

where $[a]_+ =^{(def)} \max\{a, 0\}$.

$\lambda \gg 0 \rightarrow []_+ = 0$