

Machine Learning

Linear Models

Fabio Vandin

November 8th, 2022

Therefore, given training set $S = ((\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m))$ the ERM problem for logistic regression is:

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right)$$

Notes: logistic loss function is a *convex function* \Rightarrow ERM problem can be solved efficiently

Definition may look a bit arbitrary: actually, ERM formulation is the same as the one arising from *Maximum Likelihood Estimation*

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) [UML, 24.1]

MLE is a statistical approach for finding the parameters that maximize the joint probability of a given dataset *assuming a specific parametric probability function*.

Note: MLE essentially assumes a *generative model* for the data

General approach:

- 1 given training set $\mathcal{S} = ((\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m))$, assume each (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) is i.i.d. from some probability distribution of parameters θ
- 2 consider $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{S}|\theta]$ (likelihood of data given parameters)

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) [UML, 24.1]

MLE is a statistical approach for finding the parameters that maximize the joint probability of a given dataset *assuming a specific parametric probability function*.

Note: MLE essentially assumes a *generative model* for the data

General approach:

- ① given training set $S = ((\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m))$, assume each (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) is i.i.d. from some probability distribution of parameters θ
- ② consider $\mathbb{P}[S|\theta]$ (likelihood of data given parameters)
- ③ *log likelihood*: $L(S; \theta) = \log(\mathbb{P}[S|\theta])$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) [UML, 24.1]

MLE is a statistical approach for finding the parameters that maximize the joint probability of a given dataset *assuming a specific parametric probability function*.

Note: MLE essentially assumes a *generative model* for the data

General approach:

- 1 given training set $S = ((\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m))$, assume each (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) is i.i.d. from some probability distribution of parameters θ
- 2 consider $\mathbb{P}[S|\theta]$ (likelihood of data given parameters)
- 3 *log likelihood*: $L(S; \theta) = \log(\mathbb{P}[S|\theta])$
- 4 *maximum likelihood estimator*: $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} L(S; \theta)$

Logistic Regression and MLE

Assuming $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ are fixed, the probability that \mathbf{x}_i has label $y_i = 1$ is

$$h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle}}$$

while the probability that \mathbf{x}_i has label $y_i = -1$ is

$$(1 - h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle}}$$

\rightarrow \textcircled{i} (parameters)

For each i , the probability that \vec{x}_i has label y_i is:

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \langle \vec{w}, \vec{x}_i \rangle}}$$

Logistic Regression and MLE

Assuming $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ are fixed, the probability that \mathbf{x}_i has label $y_i = 1$ is

$$h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle}}$$

while the probability that \mathbf{x}_i has label $y_i = -1$ is

$$(1 - h_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle}}$$

Then the likelihood for training set S is:

The diagram shows the likelihood formula $\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle}} \right)$ with handwritten annotations. An arrow points from the product symbol to the text "points are i.i.d.". Another arrow points from the fraction to the text "Pr [\vec{x}_i has label y_i | parameters \vec{w}]".

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle}} \right)$$

points are i.i.d.

Pr [\vec{x}_i has label y_i | parameters \vec{w}]

Therefore the log likelihood is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \langle \vec{w}, \vec{x}_i \rangle}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \langle \vec{w}, \vec{x}_i \rangle}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\underbrace{\log(1)}_{=0} - \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \vec{w}, \vec{x}_i \rangle}) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \vec{w}, \vec{x}_i \rangle}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the log likelihood is:

$$-\sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right)$$

And note that the maximum likelihood estimator for \mathbf{w} is:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} - \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right)$$

Therefore the log likelihood is:

$$-\sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right)$$

And note that the maximum likelihood estimator for \mathbf{w} is:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} - \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle} \right)$$

\Rightarrow MLE solution is equivalent to ERM solution!

Bibliography

[UML] Chapter 9:

- no 9.1.1