

Experiment No: 5

Student Name: Tarak Khurana UID: 23BCS12145

Branch: B.E./C.S.E. Section/Group: KRG_1-A

Semester: 5th

Subject Name: ADBMS Subject Code: 23CSP-333

Medium Level Problem

Question: Normal View vs. Materialized View

- 1. Create a large dataset:
 - Create a table names transaction data (id, value) with 1 million records.
 - take id 1 and 2, and for each id, generate 1 million records in value column
 - Use Generate_series () and random() to populate the data.
- 2. Create a normal view and materialized view to for sales_summary, which includes total_quantity_sold, total_sales, and total_orders with aggregation
- 3. Compare the performance and execution time of both.

Solution:

```
CREATE TABLE transaction_data (
   id INT,
   value NUMERIC
);
```

Insert 1 million records for id = 1

INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value) SELECT 1, (random() * 100)::numeric FROM generate series(1, 1000000);

Insert 1 million records for id = 2

INSERT INTO transaction data (id, value)



SELECT 2, (random() * 100)::numeric FROM generate series(1, 1000000);

WITH NORMAL VIEW

CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW sales_summary_view AS SELECT id, COUNT(*) AS total_orders,

SUM(value) AS total_sales, AVG(value) AS avg_transaction FROM transaction_data GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT * FROM sales summary view;

	QUERY PLAN text	â
1	Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=25226.2925279.46 rows=200 width=76) (actual time=364.318375.012 rows=2 loops=1)	
2	Group Key: transaction_data.id	
3	-> Gather Merge (cost=25226.2925272.96 rows=400 width=44) (actual time=364.304374.995 rows=6 loops=1)	
4	Workers Planned: 2	
5	Workers Launched: 2	
6	-> Sort (cost=24226.2624226.76 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.350289.351 rows=2 loops=3)	
7	Sort Key: transaction_data.id	
8	Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB	
9	Worker 0: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB	
10	Worker 1: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB	
11	-> Partial HashAggregate (cost=24216.1224218.62 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.302289.304 rows=2 loops=3)	
12	Group Key: transaction_data.id	
13	Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB	
14	Worker 0: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB	
15	Worker 1: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB	
16	-> Parallel Seq Scan on transaction_data (cost=0.0019226.21 rows=665321 width=36) (actual time=0.02380.878 rows=66	5
17	Planning Time: 0.276 ms	
18	Execution Time: 375.102 ms	



WITH MATERIALIZED VIEW

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW sales_summary_mv AS
SELECT
id,
COUNT(*) AS total_orders,
SUM(value) AS total_sales,
AVG(value) AS avg_transaction
FROM transaction_data
GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT * FROM sales_summary mv;

	QUERY PLAN text
1	Seq Scan on sales_summary_mv (cost=0.0017.80 rows=780 width=76) (actual time=0.0140.016 rows=2 loops=
2	Planning Time: 0.858 ms
3	Execution Time: 0.031 ms

Hard Level Problem

Question: Securing Data Access with Views and Role-Based Permissions

The company TechMart Solutions stores all sales transactions in a central database. A new reporting team has been formed to analyze sales but they should not have direct access to the base tables for security reasons.

The database administrator has decided to:

- 1. Create restricted views to display only summarized, non-sensitive data.
- 2. Assign access to these views to specific users using DCL commands (GRANT, REVOKE).



Solution:

```
CREATE VIEW vW ORDER SUMMARY AS
SELECT
  O.order id,
  O.order date,
  P.product name,
  C.full name,
  (P.unit price * O.quantity) - ((P.unit price * O.quantity) * O.discount percent / 100)
AS final cost
FROM customer master AS C
JOIN sales orders AS O
  ON O.customer id = C.customer id
JOIN product catalog AS P
  ON P.product id = O.product id;
SELECT * FROM vW ORDER SUMMARY;
CREATE ROLE CLIENT USER
LOGIN
PASSWORD 'client password';
GRANT SELECT ON vW ORDER SUMMARY TO CLIENT USER;
REVOKE SELECT ON vW ORDER SUMMARY FROM CLIENT USER;
```