
Direction 1 Summary

Linear Interference–Sign Decision Observable (ISDO)

Status: **EXPLORED / CLOSED**

1. Initial Goal of Direction 1

The objective of **Direction 1** was to design a **quantum classification primitive** that:

- uses **linear (signed) similarity**, not quadratic fidelity
- enables **sign-based decision making**
- avoids probabilistic, shot-heavy estimation
- is compatible with **unitary-only quantum mechanics**
- can be physically implemented (at least in principle)

The target observable was fixed as:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{ISDO}}(\psi) = \text{Re}\langle\chi|\psi\rangle$$

where:

- $|\psi\rangle$ is a test embedding
 - $|\chi\rangle$ is a class reference superposition
-

2. Stage A — Conceptual Definition (Circuit A)

What was done

- Defined ISDO using a **Hadamard-test-style interference circuit**
- Used an **oracle model** with abstract unitaries:
 - $U_\psi|0\rangle = |\psi\rangle$
 - $U_\chi|0\rangle = |\chi\rangle$
- Constructed a conceptual circuit that interferes $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$

Key result

- Circuit A **correctly defines** the observable:

$$\langle Z \rangle = \text{Re}\langle\chi|\psi\rangle$$

Key insight

- Circuit A is **definition-only**:
 - pedagogically useful
 - standard in quantum algorithms literature
 - **not physically realizable as-is**

Status

- Conceptually correct
 - Not intended for hardware
 - Retained as the **formal definition** of ISDO
-

3. Stage B — First Physical Attempt (Reflection-Based Circuit)

What was attempted

- Implement ISDO physically using:
 - ancilla
 - Hadamard
 - **controlled reflection**

$$R_\chi = I - 2|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|$$

Observed behavior

The circuit consistently measured:

$$\langle Z \rangle = 1 - 2|\langle\chi|\psi\rangle|^2$$

Key realization

- This observable is:
 - **quadratic**
 - **phase-insensitive**
 - equivalent to a **fidelity-based classifier**
- It is **not** ISDO.

Critical insight

A single controlled reflection + Hadamard test **cannot produce linear overlap**.

This was confirmed analytically and numerically.

Outcome

- The circuit was **not wrong**, but **measured a different observable**
- This method was **renamed** and separated as a new direction:
 - **RFC** — **Reflection-Fidelity Classifier**

Status

- Does not implement ISDO
 - Retained as a **valid alternative classifier**
-

4. Stage C — Disambiguation of Linear vs Quadratic Similarity

Through systematic testing, the following distinction was established:

Method	Observable	Description
ISDO	$\mathrm{Re}\langle \chi \psi \rangle$	$\langle \psi \chi \rangle$
RFC	$1 - 2$	$\langle \chi \chi \rangle$

Empirical observations

- ISDO:
 - preserves sign
 - distinguishes directionality
 - outputs 0 for orthogonal states
- RFC:
 - collapses sign
 - outputs +1 for orthogonal states
 - behaves as a distance-like metric

This confirmed that **ISDO and RFC are fundamentally different classifiers**.

5. Stage D — Correct Physical Implementation (ISDO-B)

Core idea

To physically realize ISDO, the circuit must implement **linear interference**, not a reflection expectation.

This was achieved by introducing a **transition unitary**:

$$U_{\chi\psi} = U_{\chi} U_{\psi}^{\dagger}$$

\text{such that}

$$U_{\chi\psi}|\psi\rangle = |\chi\rangle$$

\$\$

Circuit structure (ISDO-B)

Ancilla: $|0\rangle$ H H Z

Data: $| \rangle$ U

Result

The ancilla measurement yields:

$$\langle Z \rangle = \text{Re}\langle \chi | \psi \rangle$$

\$\$

Validation

- Verified numerically against:
 - analytic inner product
 - Circuit A reference
- Tested across:
 - identical states
 - orthogonal states
 - opposite states
 - generic states
- Agreement confirmed to floating-point precision

Status

- Correct
- Unitary
- Ancilla-based
- Physically meaningful (oracle-level)
- **Final ISDO implementation**

6. Final Architecture for Direction 1

Component	Role	Status
Circuit A	Conceptual definition	Complete
ISDO-B	Physical ISDO implementation	Complete
RFC	Alternative quadratic classifier	Complete

Component	Role	Status
Tests & validation	Correctness proof	Complete

7. Key Conclusions from Direction 1

1. **Linear similarity** **quadratic fidelity**
 2. ISDO captures **directional, signed interference**
 3. Reflection-only methods cannot realize ISDO
 4. Transition-based interference is the **minimal correct physical mechanism**
 5. Sign-based quantum inference is feasible with **low-shot, ancilla-only measurement**
-

8. Final Status Declaration

Direction 1 — Linear Interference–Sign Decision Observable (ISDO) Status: FULLY EXPLORED AND CLOSED

All conceptual, physical, and numerical questions for this direction have been resolved.
