

## **Snort IDS Project : 1 Report**

### **Title: Design and Implementation of Rule-Based Network Intrusion Detection System using Snort**

**Author:** Taranjyot Kaur Kathoda

With the growing number of cyber attacks on both large and small-scale networks, Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) have emerged as a significant component of network security. This project deals with the development of a rule-based Network IDS using Snort, a free IDS/IPS solution. The objective of this project is to develop and test customized Snort rules for identifying common network attacks and malicious activities. In a lab setting, 10 Snort rules were developed to identify attack patterns like ICMP flooding, HTTP misuse, DNS queries, insecure services, web-based attacks, and port scanning. The outcome of this project has demonstrated that Snort can successfully identify different types of network threats if configured correctly.

#### **1. Introduction**

Network security has become a critical concern due to the rapid growth of connected systems and internet-based services. Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) help monitor network traffic and identify malicious or policy-violating activities. Snort is one of the most widely used open-source IDS/IPS tools due to its lightweight architecture, flexibility, and powerful rule-based detection engine.

This project aims to provide a practical implementation of Snort by configuring and testing custom detection rules. The focus is on understanding how Snort rules work internally and how they can be used to detect real-world attacks at the network level.

#### **2. Objectives of the Project**

- To understand the working of Snort as an IDS
- To configure Snort in a Linux-based environment
- To write and deploy custom Snort rules
- To detect and analyze different types of network attacks
- To validate the effectiveness of rule-based intrusion detection

#### **3. Tools and Technologies Used**

- **Snort** – Intrusion Detection System
- **Kali Linux** – IDS monitoring machine

- **Parrot OS / Linux Mint** – Attacker machine
- **Nmap** – Network scanning tool
- **Netcat (nc)** – Network utility
- **Curl** – HTTP request testing
- **Telnet / FTP / Nslookup** – Protocol testing tools

## 4. Snort Architecture Overview

Snort operates by capturing network packets and analyzing them using predefined rules. Each Snort rule consists of two main parts:

- **Rule Header:** Defines action, protocol, source IP/port, direction, and destination IP/port
- **Rule Options:** Defines the message, content to match, SID, revision number, and other metadata

### Basic Rule Format:

```
action protocol src_ip src_port -> dest_ip dest_port (options)
```

## 5. Implementation and Rule Configuration

### Rule 1: ICMP Ping Detection

**Description:** Detects ICMP echo requests (ping) which are often used for network reconnaissance.

**Rule Structure:** alert icmp any any -> 172.20.10.11 any (msg:"Ping is detected"; sid:1000001; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** Continuous ping requests sent from attacker machine to target.

**Outcome:** Snort generated repeated alerts indicating ICMP traffic detection.

### Rule 2: HTTP Traffic Detection

**Description:** Detects HTTP requests on port 80 to monitor unauthorized web access attempts.

**Rule Structure:** alert tcp any any -> 172.20.10.11 [80,443] (msg:"HTTP Traffic detected"; sid:1000002; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** Curl command used to access HTTP service on the target machine.

**Outcome:** Snort successfully logged and alerted on HTTP traffic attempts.

### **Rule 3: DNS Query Detection**

**Description:** Monitors DNS queries which can indicate reconnaissance or data exfiltration attempts.

**Rule Structure:** alert udp any any -> any 53 (msg:"DNS Query Detected"; sid:1000003; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** Nslookup command executed against the target system.

**Outcome:** Multiple DNS query alerts were generated by Snort.

### **Rule 4: FTP Traffic Detection and Blocking**

**Description:** Detects FTP traffic on port 21, which is insecure due to plaintext credentials.

**Rule Structure:** drop tcp any any -> 172.20.10.11 21 (msg:"FTP Traffic Blocked"; sid:1000006; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** FTP connection attempt from attacker machine.

**Outcome:** Snort triggered alerts indicating FTP traffic detection.

### **Rule 5: Telnet Attempt Detection**

**Description:** Detects Telnet access attempts on port 23, an insecure remote access protocol.

**Rule Structure:** alert tcp any any -> any 23 (msg:"TELNET Attempt Detected"; sid:1000005; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** Telnet connection attempt to the target machine.

**Outcome:** Snort generated immediate alerts for Telnet attempts.

### **Rule 6: Unauthorized Port Access Detection (Port 9999)**

**Description:** Detects traffic to a restricted or non-standard port to prevent backdoor access.

**Rule Structure:** block tcp any any -> 172.20.10.11 9999 (msg:"Blocking traffic to port 9999"; sid:1000008; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** Netcat connection attempt to port 9999.

**Outcome:** Snort detected and alerted on unauthorized port access.

## **Rule 7: Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) Detection**

**Description:** Detects XSS payloads embedded in HTTP requests.

**Rule Structure:** alert http any any -> \$HOME\_NET any (msg:"XSS Detected"; http\_uri; content:<script>; sid:1000011; rev:11;)

**Attack Simulation:** Curl request containing <script>alert(1)</script> payload.

**Outcome:** Snort successfully detected the malicious script injection attempt.

## **Rule 8: SQL Injection Detection**

**Description:** Identifies SQL Injection patterns in HTTP GET requests.

**Rule Structure:** alert http any any -> \$HOME\_NET any (msg:"SQLi Detected"; http\_uri; content:"UNION"; nocase; content:"SELECT"; nocase; sid:1000010; rev:7;)

**Attack Simulation:** URL containing UNION SELECT SQL injection payload.

**Outcome:** Snort generated alerts indicating SQL Injection attempt.

## **Rule 9: Reverse Shell Attempt Detection**

**Description:** Detects command execution attempts that may result in reverse shell access.

**Rule Structure:** alert tcp any any -> \$HOME\_NET any (msg:"Reverse Shell Attempt Detected"; http\_raw\_request; content:"/bin/bash"; sid:1000012; rev:3;)

**Attack Simulation:** Curl request containing /bin/bash command payload.

**Outcome:** Snort detected and alerted on the reverse shell attempt.

## **Rule 10: Nmap Stealth Scan Detection**

**Description:** Detects SYN stealth scans commonly used during reconnaissance.

**Rule Structure:** alert tcp any any -> \$HOME\_NET any (msg:"Stealth Scan Detected"; flags:S; detection\_filter:track by\_src, count 10, seconds 5; sid:1000009; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** nmap -sS scan launched against the target.

**Outcome:** Snort generated multiple alerts indicating stealth scan activity.

## **Rule 11: SSH Brute Force Detection**

**Description:** Detects repeated SSH login attempts which may indicate a brute-force password attack on the target system.

**Rule Structure:** alert tcp any any -> any 22 (msg:"SSH Brute Force Attempt";

```
flow:to_server,established; detection_filter:track by_src, count 5, seconds 60; sid:1000004; rev:1;)
```

**Attack Simulation:** Multiple SSH login attempts executed using tools like Hydra or repeated manual password attempts from attacker machine.

**Outcome:** Snort generated alerts after multiple failed login attempts were detected within a short time window, indicating possible brute-force activity.

### Rule 12: SMTP Traffic Rejection

**Description:** Detects and rejects SMTP traffic on port 25 to prevent unauthorized email relay or spam-based attacks.

**Rule Structure:** reject tcp any any -> 172.20.10.11 25 (msg:"SMTP Traffic Rejected"; sid:1000007; rev:1;)

**Attack Simulation:** Telnet or Netcat connection attempt made to port 25 to simulate unauthorized email service access.

**Outcome:** Snort rejected the SMTP connection attempt and logged the event, preventing potential misuse of the mail service.

## 6. Results and Analysis

All ten Snort rules were successfully triggered during testing. The IDS accurately detected various forms of malicious and suspicious traffic, demonstrating the effectiveness of rule-based detection. Proper rule tuning minimized false positives while maintaining high detection accuracy.

## 7. Limitations

- Signature-based detection may miss zero-day attacks
- Requires regular rule updates
- High traffic environments may generate large volumes of alerts

## 8. Future Enhancements

- Integration with SIEM tools
- Implementation of Snort in IPS mode
- Advanced rule tuning and thresholding
- Automation of alert analysis

## **9. Conclusion**

This project successfully demonstrates the practical implementation of Snort as a rule-based Intrusion Detection System. By configuring and testing ten different Snort rules, the project highlights how IDS solutions can enhance network visibility and proactively detect threats. Snort proves to be a flexible, powerful, and cost-effective solution for network security monitoring.