4. Anguments using if We write (\$\phi > \psi\$) for "If \$p\$ then \$Y\$, where of and y are Statements. We begin with the introduction rule. Here is an example. Exp 1.4-1 Write P for the statement that if it is real then 22+1 > 2x. We prove p as follows. Assume x is Teal. Then x-1 is real, so $0 \leq (2c-1)^2 = x^2 - 2x + 1 = (2c^2 + 1) - 2x.$

 $2x \leq x^2 + 1$. \square

It may help to arrange this proof in a diagram: Assume x is real Then x-1 is real, so $0 \le (x-1)^2 = x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x^2 + 1) - 2x$. So, $2x \le x^2 + 1$. [So, if on is real then x2+1 > 2x. We have two proofs here. The larger proof consists of the whole of (1.14), and its conclusion is If x is real then $x^2+1 > 2x$. the smaller proof is inside the box, and its conclusion is $2x \leqslant x^2 + 1.$ (Λ, Λ_6)

The Smaller proof assumes that is real. But the clarger proof does not assume anything about oc. We say that in the larger proof the assumption 'x is real' is discharged - it is no longer needed, becomse the assumption has been put as the "if" part of the conclusion. In the natural deduction Calculus we have a notation for dischanging assumptions. Every assumption of a derivation D is written somewhere in D, perhaps in Deveral places. We shall discharge occurrences of assumptions. We do it by writing a line through the text of the assumption. We call this line Thus, if ϕ is an assumption written somewhere in D, then we discharge ϕ by writing a dandah through it: ϕ . In the trule (→I) below, and in similar rules later, the of means that in forming the derivation we are allowed to discharge that in forming the derivation we are allowed to discharge any occurrences of the assumption & written in D. (..., see exp 1.4.4)

NATURAL DEDUCTION RULE (->I)

Sylvose

is a derivation of ψ , and ϕ is a statement. Then the following is a derivation of $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$:

 $\frac{\varphi}{D} \qquad (\rightarrow I).$

Its undischarged assumptions are those of D, except possibly \$.

We can also express ($\Rightarrow I$) as a sequent rule: SEQUENT RULE ($\Rightarrow I$)

If the sequent ($\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \Psi$) is correct, then

At is the sequent ($\Gamma \vdash (\phi \Rightarrow \Psi)$).

Exp 1.4.3

A proof of the sequent $H(\phi \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow (\phi \land Y)))$:

$$\frac{\phi}{(\phi \wedge \Psi)} (\Lambda I)$$

$$\frac{(\phi \wedge \Psi)}{(\Psi \rightarrow (\phi \wedge \Psi))} (\neg I)$$

$$\frac{(\psi \rightarrow (\psi \wedge \Psi))}{(\psi \rightarrow (\psi \wedge \Psi)))} (\neg I)$$

Here we prove the sequent $\vdash (\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi))$.

$$\frac{1}{(\phi \rightarrow \phi)} (\rightarrow I)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\phi \rightarrow \phi)} (\rightarrow I)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi))} (\rightarrow I)$$

NATURAL DEDUCTION RULE (->E) Th ϕ and $(\phi \rightarrow \Psi)$, respectively, then are derivations of a derivation of y. Its undischarged assumptions are those of D together with those of D'.

Scanné avec CamScanner

In sequent terms:

SEQUENT RULE (-> E)

If $(\Gamma \vdash \phi)$ and $(\Delta \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \Psi))$ are both correct sequents, then the sequent $(\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \Psi)$ is correct.

We can combine (> E) with other rules to make various derivations.

Exp 1.4.5

A derivation to prove the sequent $\{ (\phi \rightarrow \Psi), (\Psi \rightarrow X) \} \vdash (\phi \rightarrow X) .$

$$\frac{\psi}{\psi} \frac{(\phi \rightarrow \psi)}{(\phi \rightarrow \chi)} (\rightarrow E)$$

$$\frac{\chi}{(\phi \rightarrow \chi)} (\rightarrow I)$$