

Math 248: Lab 7

October 20, 2025

Timothy Tarter

Written Portion

An object falling vertically through the air is subjected to viscous resistance as well as to the force of gravity. Assume that an object with mass m (in kilograms) is dropped from a height s_0 (in meters) and that the height of the object after t seconds is

$$s(t) = s_0 - \frac{mg}{k}t + \frac{m^2g}{k^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{k}{m}t}\right),$$

where $g = 9.8$ meters/second² is the Earth's gravity and $k > 0$ represents the coefficient of air resistance (in kilograms/second).

Our goal for the rest of this lab is to solve for the time that this object hits the ground. Assume that it **DOES NOT** bounce.

1. [3 pts] This is a rootfinding problem. Assuming that $s_0 > 0$ **and without doing any math**, how many roots are possible? Why? (Seriously, don't do any math. Visualize the physical problem to answer this question.)
2. [6 pts] Does it make sense for any of the parameters (m , k , g) to be equal to zero? Justify for each parameter. (Again, don't do any math.)
3. [15 pts] Show that $s'(t) = 0$ **ONLY at** $t = 0$. Show lots of work and explain when needed. (Your response to part 2 will be useful here.)
4. [6 pts] Assume that you want to use Newton's method to solve this problem. What do your answers to parts 1 and 3 tell you about the likelihood of finding the root given any positive t_0 as an initial guess?

Part 1:

There should be one root! Since the $0 \leq t \leq T$, $s(0) = s_0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = -\infty$. Then by the intermediate value theorem, we have at least one root. Moreover, since we don't have any quadratic terms (or higher) for t , we won't recross the x-axis.

Part 2:

If the ball has no mass, that makes no sense. That said, if we plug in $m = 0$, then $s(t) = s_0$, which does make sense - it just has no real world meaning. k can't be zero since k is in the

denominator (no air resistance also doesn't make a lot of sense). Finally, $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ by assumption, so g is fixed to be non-zero. If g were zero, then $s(t) = s_0$, which makes sense.

Part 3:

$$s'(t) = -\frac{mg}{k} - \frac{m^2g}{k^2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{kt}{m}}} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$= -\frac{mg}{k} - \frac{m^2g}{k^2} \left(-\frac{k}{m} \right) e^{-\frac{kt}{m}} \quad (2)$$

$$= -\frac{mg}{k} + \frac{mg}{k} e^{-\frac{kt}{m}} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{mg}{k} \left(e^{-\frac{kt}{m}} - 1 \right). \quad (4)$$

Since $mg \neq 0$ by part 2,

$$0 = e^{-\frac{kt}{m}} - 1 \quad (5)$$

$$1 = e^{-\frac{kt}{m}} \quad (6)$$

$$\ln(1) = 0 = -\frac{kt}{m} \quad (7)$$

which implies that

$$kt = 0. \quad (8)$$

Since k cannot possibly be zero, t must be zero.

Part 4:

Since we have a unique root, and since the function is smooth, monotone, and concave down, this should work really nicely for Newton's method. The only iffy starting point is really $t = 0$ since that is the only point where $s'(t)$ is zero; since we are starting with any positive t_0 , we aren't in any danger of using $t = 0$, so Newton's method should converge!