

# Commutative Algebra, Gröbner Basis, and Algebraic Geometry, Week One Notes

September 4, 2025

Author: Timothy Tarter  
James Madison University  
Department of Mathematics

Work Performed Under Dr. Beth Arnold, JMU Math

Reference Texts: Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms (Cox, Little, O'Shea), An Introduction to Gröbner Basis (Adams & Loustaunau), and Algebraic Geometry (Hartshorne)

## Cox, Little, O'Shea: Chapter 1

### §1.1 Polynomials and Affine Spaces

**Definition 1.** A monomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a product

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} * x_2^{\alpha_2} * \dots * x_n^{\alpha_n} \quad (1)$$

and  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$  is the total degree of  $x^\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.** A polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with coefficients in a field  $K$  is

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}; \quad a_{\alpha} \in K \quad (2)$$

**Definition 3.** The  $n$ -dimensional affine space over a field  $K$  is

$$k^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) | a_1, \dots, a_n \in K\} \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero,  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $f = 0$  if and only if  $f : k^n \rightarrow K$  is the zero function.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $K$  be as above, and  $f, g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $f = g$  if and only if  $f : k^n \rightarrow K$  and  $g : k^n \rightarrow K$  are the same function.

## §1.2 Affine Varieties

**Definition 4.** Let  $K$  be a field and  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then

$$\mathbb{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n \mid f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, s\}\} \quad (4)$$

is the affine variety defined for  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  over  $K$ .

**Proposition 3.** Affine varieties are closed under finite union and intersection.

### Assigned Problems

- (7)
- (11)
- (12)

## §1.3 Parameterizations of Affine Varieties

**Proposition 4.** The unit circle,  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  is parameterized by the equations

$$x = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2} \quad (5)$$

$$y = \frac{2t}{1 + t^2}, \quad (6)$$

but doesn't contain  $(-1, 0)$  due to geometric construction.

**Definition 5.** Given  $V = \mathbb{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s) \subseteq k^n$ , we define a rational parametric representation of  $V$  to consist of the rational functions

$$r_1 \dots r_n \in K[t_1 \dots t_m] \quad (7)$$

such that the points

$$x_1 = r_1(t_1, \dots, t_m) \quad (8)$$

$$\downarrow \quad (9)$$

$$x_n = r_n(t_1, \dots, t_m) \quad (10)$$

lie in  $V$ .

**Definition 6.** We often refer to  $\mathbb{V}(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  as an implicit representation of  $V$ .

**Proposition 5.** Not every affine variety has a rational parametric representation. If an affine variety does have a rational parametric representation, we call it unirational.

**Proposition 6.** Given a parametric representation of an affine variety, we can always find an implicit representation.

**Example 1. Elimination Theory:** *Given*

$$x = 1 + t \quad (11)$$

$$y = 1 + t^2 \quad (12)$$

*we get*

$$t = x - 1 \quad (13)$$

$$y = 1 + (x - 1)^2 \quad (14)$$

$$y = x^2 - 2x + 2 \quad (15)$$

*So,  $\mathbb{V}(-y + x^2 - 2x + 2)$  is the implicit representation of  $\mathbb{V}(1 + t, 1 + t^2)$ .*

**Example 2.** *To parameterize  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  (the unit circle) geometrically, we select  $(-1, 0)$  as our fixed point, and then target the top half of the circle with*

$$1 + t^2 \quad (16)$$

*and the bottom half of the circle with*

$$1 - t^2. \quad (17)$$

*If you draw it on a piece of paper, it makes sense.*

**Example 3.** *We want to parameterize  $\mathbb{V}(y - x^2, z - x^3)$ . Let*

$$x = t \quad (18)$$

*in*

$$y - x^2 = z - x^3 = 0. \quad (19)$$

*Then,*

$$x = t \quad (20)$$

$$y = t^2 \quad (21)$$

$$z = t^3 \quad (22)$$

*implies that*

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle \quad (23)$$

$$\vec{r}'(t) = \langle 1, 2t, 3t^2 \rangle. \quad (24)$$

*Using a bit of multivariable calculus, we know that the tangent line is:*

$$= \vec{r}(t) + u\vec{r}'(t) \quad (25)$$

$$= \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle + u\langle 1, 2t, 3t^2 \rangle \quad (26)$$

$$= \langle t + u, 2tu + t^2, t^3 + 3t^2u \rangle. \quad (27)$$

*Relaxing  $t$ , we find that*

$$x = t + u \quad (28)$$

$$y = t^2 + 2tu \quad (29)$$

$$z = t^3 + 3t^2u, \quad (30)$$

*where  $t$  tells us where we are on the curve, and  $u$  tells us where we are on the tangent line.*

**Definition 7.** *The Bezier cubic is defined by*

$$x = (1-t)^3x_0 + 3t(1-t)^2x_1 + 3t^2(1-t)x_2 + t^3x_3 \quad (31)$$

$$y = (1-t)^3y_0 + 3t(1-t)^2y_1 + 3t^2(1-t)y_2 + t^3y_3 \quad (32)$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Note,

$$(x(0), y(0)) = (x_0, y_0) \quad (33)$$

$$(x(1), y(1)) = (x_3, y_3) \quad (34)$$

$$(x'(0), y'(0)) = 3(x_1 - x_0, y_1 - y_0) \quad (35)$$

$$(x'(1), y'(1)) = 3(x_3 - x_2, y_3 - y_2) \quad (36)$$

$$(37)$$

defines the control polygon, which the Cubic always lies inside.

### Assigned Problems

- (1)
- (3)
- (4)
- (9)
- (14)
- (15)

## §1.4 Ideals

**Definition 8.**  $I \subseteq K[x_1 \dots x_n]$  is an ideal if,

1.  $0 \in I$
2. If  $f, g \in I$ ,  $f + g \in I$
3. If  $f \in I$ ,  $h \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$  implies  $hf \in I$ .

**Definition 9.** The ideal generated by  $f_1 \dots f_s \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$  is defined as

$$\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i \mid h_1 \dots h_s \in K[x_1 \dots x_n] \right\}. \quad (38)$$

Note that, for  $f_i \in \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$ ,  $f_i = 0$  and  $\sum f_i = 0$ .

**Proposition 7.** If  $f_1 \dots f_s$  and  $g_1 \dots g_s$  are bases of the same ideal in  $K[x_1 \dots x_n]$  so that  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle = \langle g_1 \dots g_s \rangle$  implies  $\mathbb{V}(f_1 \dots f_s) = \mathbb{V}(g_1 \dots g_s)$ .

**Definition 10.** Let  $V \subseteq k^n$ . Then,

$$I(\mathbb{V}) = \{f \in K[x_1 \dots x_n] \mid f(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0, \forall (a_1 \dots a_n) \in \mathbb{V}\} \quad (39)$$

**Proposition 8.**  $I(\mathbb{V})$  is an ideal.

**Proof:**  $0 \in I(\mathbb{V})$  since the zero polynomial vanishes in  $k^n$ , and thus, in  $\mathbb{V}$ . Let  $f, g \in I(\mathbb{V})$  and  $h \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$ . Let  $(a_1 \dots a_n) \in \mathbb{V}$ . Then,

$$f(a_1 \dots a_n) + g(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0 + 0 = 0 \quad (40)$$

$$h(a_1 \dots a_n)f(a_1 \dots a_n) = h(a_1 \dots a_n) * 0 = 0. \quad (41)$$

Thus,  $I(\mathbb{V})$  is an ideal. □

**Example 4.** Consider  $V = \{0, 0\} \in k^2$ ; then its ideal,  $I(\{(0, 0)\})$ , consists of all of the polynomials which vanish at the origin. We claim that

$$I(\{(0, 0)\}) = \langle x, y \rangle. \quad (42)$$

The proof is an exercise.

**Example 5.** Consider  $V = k^n \in k^n$ ; then its ideal,  $I(k^n)$ , consists of all of the polynomials which vanish everywhere in  $k^n$ . If  $K$  has characteristic zero, we claim that

$$I(k^n) = \{0\}. \quad (43)$$

The proof is an exercise.

**Proposition 9.** (This one is mine and makes a nice boilerplate).  $I(\mathbb{V}(f_1 \dots f_s)) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$  if we can write any polynomial  $f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  as

$$f = r + \sum_{i=1}^s h_i f_i, \quad (44)$$

letting  $h_i \in K$  and  $r \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , and show that  $r = 0$  using the parameterization of  $f$ .

**Example 6.** We claim that  $I(\mathbb{V}(y - x^2, z - x^3)) = \langle y - x^2, z - x^3 \rangle$ . Since  $y - x^2, z - x^3 \in I$ ,

$$h_1(y - x^2) + h_2(z - x^3) \in I. \quad (45)$$

Thus,  $\langle y - x^2, z - x^3 \rangle \subseteq I$ . To prove that  $r = 0$ , we use the parameterization of the twisted cubic,  $(t, t^2, t^3)$ . Since  $f$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{V}$ , we obtain

$$0 = f(t, t^2, t^3) = 0 + 0 + r(t). \quad (46)$$

Thus, set equality holds.

**Proposition 10.**  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle \subseteq I(\mathbb{V}(f_1 \dots f_s))$ .

**Proposition 11.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be affine varieties in  $k^n$ . Then:

- $V \subseteq W$  iff  $I(V) \supseteq I(W)$
- $V = W$  iff  $I(V) = I(W)$ .

Three key questions:

1. (Ideal Description) Can every ideal be finitely generated by polynomials in  $K[x_1 \dots x_n]$ ? **Yes, by Hilbert.**
2. (Ideal Membership) If  $f_1 \dots f_s \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , is there an algorithm to decide whether a given  $f \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$  lies in  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$ ?
3. (Nullstellensatz) Given  $f_1 \dots f_s \in K[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , what is the exact relation between  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$  and  $I(\mathbb{V}(f_1 \dots f_s))$ ?

**Definition 11.** The basis  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  of an ideal  $I$  is said to be minimal if no proper subset of  $f_1 \dots f_s$  is a basis of  $I$ .

**Definition 12.** We define an ideal  $I$  to be radical if  $f^m \in I$  implies  $f \in I$ .

**Proposition 12.** All ideals of varieties are radical.

### Assigned Problems

- (2)
- (3)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7)
- (8)
- (9)

### Important Notes from HW

- The basis of an ideal is not linearly independent, and should not be treated like the basis of a vector space.
- $I(\mathbb{V}(x^n, y^m)) = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all positive integers  $n, m$ .
- Any vector space basis of an ideal is infinite.

## §1.5 Polynomials of One Variable

Key takeaways:

1. For polynomials of one variable, the GCD (Euclid's algorithm) of a set of polynomials is the Gröbner basis for those polynomials, and generates a minimal ideal identical to the one generated by the original set.
2. For linear polynomials of many variables, Gaussian elimination is Buchberger's algorithm and generates a Gröbner basis for the set of polynomials.

3. Non-linear polynomials of many variables require the full power of the generalized version of Buchberger's algorithm.

**Definition 13.** Given  $f = c_mx^m + c_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + c_0$ , we all  $m = \deg f$  and  $\text{lt}(f) = c_mx^m$  is the leading term of  $f$ .

**Proposition 13.** For polynomials of one variable, if  $\deg f \leq \deg g$ , then  $\text{lt}(f) | \text{lt}(g)$ .

**Proposition 14.**  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle = \langle \gcd(f_1, \dots, f_s) \rangle$ .

### Assigned Problems

- (12)

## Adams and Loustau: Chapter 1