

Automated Statistical and Machine Learning Platform for Biology Research

Rimmo Loyi Lego¹, Samantha Gauthier³, and Denver Jn. Baptiste³

¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Charles V. Schaefer, Jr. School of Engineering and Science, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA ² Department of Computer Science, Charles V. Schaefer, Jr. School of Engineering and Science, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA ³ Department of Biology, Charles V. Schaefer, Jr. School of Engineering and Science, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

This software provides a platform that combines machine learning and statistical analysis for chemical biology research, deployable as both a browser-based application and standalone desktop software. Researchers can upload CSV data, train Random Forest classification models with fully automated hyperparameter optimization, and perform comprehensive statistical tests through a unified interface requiring no programming expertise. The platform integrates data preprocessing, model training with version control, feature importance analysis, and interactive visualization (Figure 1), addressing the common workflow challenge of using multiple disconnected tools. Built with React 18.3 and TypeScript, it efficiently handles typical research datasets while allowing researchers to save and iteratively improve models through versioned training sessions. The complete implementation workflow from user interaction through model storage is illustrated in Figure 2.

Statement of Need

Biological and biomedical researchers routinely need to apply machine learning and statistics to experimental data, but existing tools create significant barriers. Powerful frameworks like scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011) and R (R Core Team, 2023) require programming expertise that many experimental scientists lack. Tools operate in isolation—researchers must manually transfer data between separate programs for statistical testing, machine learning, and visualization, reducing efficiency and introducing errors (Baker, 2016).

This software addresses these gaps by providing both web-based and desktop applications that combine Random Forest classification (Breiman, 2001) with standard statistical tests (t-tests, ANOVA, correlation) in one interface. The dual deployment model offers flexibility: researchers can use the browser version with no installation, or download the standalone desktop application for offline work and enhanced data privacy. Unlike Jupyter notebooks (Kluyver et al., 2016), it requires no coding knowledge. Unlike visual tools like Orange (Demšar et al., 2013), it includes comprehensive statistical testing alongside machine learning. The platform enables complete workflows—upload data, train models iteratively with version control, test hypotheses, generate visualizations—without switching applications or writing code.

Key Features and Implementation

The platform's modular interface organizes functionality into distinct tabs for data upload, model training, prediction, results visualization, and statistical analysis (Figure 1). This

40 workflow-oriented design guides users through the complete analysis pipeline while maintaining
41 access to all features.




Figure 1: Interface dashboard showing the main analysis modules.

42 Architecture and Core Technologies

43 The application is built with React 18.3 and TypeScript, leveraging Vite for optimized production
44 builds and Electron for desktop packaging. The implementation follows a modular component
45 architecture that separates concerns across data processing, model training, statistical analysis,
46 and visualization layers. Core dependencies include `ml-random-forest` (v2.1) for machine
47 learning algorithms, `papaparse` (v5.5) for robust CSV parsing, and `recharts` (v2.15) for
48 SVG-based interactive visualizations. All computation occurs client-side, eliminating server
49 dependencies and ensuring data privacy. The desktop application packages the same codebase
50 for Windows, macOS, and Linux platforms.

51 Data Upload and Preprocessing

52 The platform supports CSV file upload through drag-and-drop or file browser interfaces. Upon
53 upload, the system performs automatic file structure detection and displays an interactive
54 preview table showing the first 100 rows. Summary statistics (mean, median, standard deviation,
55 quartiles, min/max) are computed for all numerical columns. Data validation identifies missing
56 values, offering users options for row deletion or mean/median imputation. Preprocessing
57 capabilities include z-score normalization, min-max scaling to [0,1], and automatic integer
58 encoding of categorical variables. Column type detection distinguishes between numerical,
59 categorical, and target variables, with manual override options.

60 Machine Learning Pipeline

61 The platform implements Random Forest classification (Breiman, 2001), widely used for
62 chemical property prediction and QSAR modeling (Svetnik et al., 2003). Figure 2 illustrates
63 the complete implementation workflow from initial data upload through final model storage,
64 showing how user interactions flow through data preprocessing, automated hyperparameter
65 optimization, model training, evaluation, and version management.




Figure 2: Implementation workflow from data upload through model storage.

66 Recognizing that most researchers lack expertise in hyperparameter tuning, the system automati-
 67 cally optimizes Random Forest parameters based on dataset characteristics. The optimization
 68 algorithm adjusts the number of trees (range: 10-500), maximum tree depth, and minimum
 69 samples per split according to dataset size and feature dimensionality, eliminating the need for
 70 manual configuration. Training executes asynchronously with real-time progress indicators to
 71 maintain interface responsiveness.

72 The system performs stratified 80/20 train-test splitting to preserve class distribution, crucial for
 73 imbalanced chemical datasets. Post-training, the interface displays comprehensive performance
 74 metrics including accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and interactive confusion matrices.
 75 Feature importance scores computed via mean decrease in impurity reveal which molecular
 76 descriptors most influence classification, supporting interpretable model analysis.

77 Trained models persist in browser local storage or local file system (desktop version) with
 78 comprehensive version control. Researchers can save multiple model versions, each tagged
 79 with training timestamp, dataset characteristics, and performance metrics. This versioning
 80 system enables iterative model refinement—users can load previous versions, add new training
 81 data, and create improved versions while maintaining the training history. Models export as
 82 JSON files for deployment, sharing, or backup purposes.

83 Statistical Analysis Tools

84 The platform provides both parametric and non-parametric statistical tests for hypothesis
 85 testing and exploratory analysis. For comparing group means, Welch's t-test (Welch, 1947)

handles unequal variances, while the Mann-Whitney U test offers a distribution-free alternative for non-normal data. One-way ANOVA enables multi-group comparisons. Correlation analysis includes Pearson's coefficient (Pearson, 1895) for linear relationships and Spearman's rank correlation for monotonic associations.

All statistical tests output comprehensive reports including p-values, effect sizes (Cohen's d, r), and 95% confidence intervals. The interface provides contextual guidance on assumption checking (normality, homoscedasticity) and appropriate test selection based on data characteristics. Visual diagnostics include Q-Q plots and residual plots for assumption validation.

Interactive Visualization

The visualization module generates publication-quality SVG charts using Recharts, including scatter plots with regression lines, histograms with kernel density overlays, box plots with outlier detection, feature importance bar charts, confusion matrices with color-coded cells, and correlation heatmaps. All visualizations support interactive features: hover tooltips displaying precise values, zoom/pan controls for dense datasets, legend toggling for multi-series plots, and responsive sizing for different display resolutions. Charts export as high-resolution PNG images suitable for manuscript figures. The color schemes follow accessibility guidelines for colorblind users.

User Interface Design

As shown in Figure 1, the interface employs tab-based navigation mirroring typical analysis workflows: Data Upload → Model Training → Prediction → Results → Statistical Analysis. Tabs remain disabled until prerequisite steps complete, preventing workflow errors. Form inputs include real-time validation with error messages and tooltip hints. The responsive design adapts to desktop and tablet viewports. Model management features include persistent storage (browser local storage with 5MB capacity or unlimited desktop file system), version control with timestamp metadata and performance tracking, and JSON import/export for model sharing and backup. The version history interface allows researchers to compare model performance across iterations and load any previous version for continued training or deployment.

Research Applications

The platform supports prediction based on work data and trained AI, and exploratory data analysis in chemical biology. Typical applications include QSAR modeling, compound screening, and comparative analysis of experimental conditions. The integrated workflow reduces analysis time and technical barriers for laboratory researchers.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Department of Biomedical Engineering, Department of Biology, and Department of Computer Science at Stevens Institute of Technology for institutional support. This work was supported by computational resources provided by Stevens Institute of Technology.

References

Abadi, M., Barham, P., Chen, J., Chen, Z., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., Ghemawat, S., Irving, G., Isard, M., & others. (2016). TensorFlow: A system for large-scale machine learning. *Proceedings of the 12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI)*, 265–283.

- 128 Baker, M. (2016). 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. *Nature*, 533, 452–454.
129 <https://doi.org/10.1038/533452a>
- 130 Bergstra, J., & Bengio, Y. (2012). Random search for hyper-parameter optimization. *Journal*
131 *of Machine Learning Research*, 13, 281–305.
- 132 Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5–32. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324)
133 [1023/A:1010933404324](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324)
- 134 Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine Learning*, 20(3), 273–297.
135 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018>
- 136 Darnag, R., Minaoui, B., Glorennec, P. Y., Fakri, A., Zahrae, O., & Mourchid, M. (2010).
137 QSAR studies of HEPT derivatives using support vector machines and neural networks.
138 *QSAR & Combinatorial Science*, 29(5), 567–577. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qsar.200960055>
- 139 Demšar, J., Curk, T., Erjavec, A., Gorup, Č., Hočevár, T., Milutinović, M., Možina, M.,
140 Polajnar, M., Toplak, M., Starič, A., & others. (2013). Orange: Data mining toolbox in
141 python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 14, 2349–2353.
- 142 Friedman, J. H. (2001). Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting machine. *Annals*
143 *of Statistics*, 29(5), 1189–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1013203451>
- 144 Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2009). *The elements of statistical learning:*
145 *Data mining, inference, and prediction* (2nd ed.). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7)
146 [978-0-387-84858-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7)
- 147 Kluuyver, T., Ragan-Kelley, B., Pérez, F., Granger, B., Bussonnier, M., Frederic, J., Kelley, K.,
148 Hamrick, J., Grout, J., Corlay, S., & others. (2016). Jupyter notebooks—a publishing
149 format for reproducible computational workflows. In F. Loizides & B. Schmidt (Eds.),
150 *Positioning and power in academic publishing: Players, agents and agendas* (pp. 87–90).
151 IOS Press. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87>
- 152 Mann, H. B., & Whitney, D. R. (1947). On a test of whether one of two random variables
153 is stochastically larger than the other. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 18(1), 50–60.
154 <https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730491>
- 155 Murphy, K. P. (2012). *Machine learning: A probabilistic perspective*. MIT Press. ISBN: 978-
156 0262018029
- 157 Pearson, K. (1895). Notes on regression and inheritance in the case of two parents. *Proceedings*
158 *of the Royal Society of London*, 58, 240–242.
- 159 Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M.,
160 Prettenhofer, P., Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., Vanderplas, J., Passos, A., Cournapeau, D.,
161 Brucher, M., Perrot, M., & Duchesnay, E. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python.
162 *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2825–2830.
- 163 Perkel, J. M. (2021). Ten simple rules for writing and sharing computational analyses in jupyter
164 notebooks. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 17(7), e1008993. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008993)
165 [journal.pcbi.1008993](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008993)
- 166 R Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation
167 for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 168 Spearman, C. (1904). The proof and measurement of association between two things. *American*
169 *Journal of Psychology*, 15(1), 72–101. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1412159>
- 170 Svetnik, V., Liaw, A., Tong, C., Culberson, J. C., Sheridan, R. P., & Feuston, B. P. (2003).
171 Random forest: A classification and regression tool for compound classification and QSAR
172 modeling. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences*, 43(6), 1947–1958.
173 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci034160g>

- 174 Van der Maaten, L., & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. *Journal of Machine*
175 *Learning Research*, 9, 2579–2605.
- 176 Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D.,
177 Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., & others. (2020). SciPy 1.0:
178 Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in python. *Nature Methods*, 17, 261–272.
179 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>
- 180 Welch, B. L. (1947). The generalization of student's problem when several different population
181 variances are involved. *Biometrika*, 34(1-2), 28–35. [https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/34.](https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/34.1-2.28)
182 [1-2.28](https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/34.1-2.28)

DRAFT