14/11

Example

(Recall!) R is an integral domain, and it is said to be Euclidean domain if  $\exists \lambda$ 

a, b = R, b = 0 then a = bq + r for some q, r = R and  $(= 0 (or) \lambda(r) < \lambda(b)$ 

zei] 
$$\subseteq Q[i] = \{a+bi \mid a,b \in Q\}$$
  
 $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$ 

If c+di \ a + bi in I Ci] , then

$$r+si = \frac{a+bi}{c+di} = \frac{(a+bi)(c-di)}{c^2+d^2}$$

$$= ac+bd + (bc-ad)i$$

$$= \frac{ac+bd+(bc-ad)i}{c^2+d^2} \in Q[i]$$

If  $r+si \in Q$ , we can pick  $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|r-m| \leq 1/2$  and  $|s-n| \leq 1/2$ 

$$=) \left( \frac{1}{2} \beta - \delta \right) = (\tau + si) - (m + ni)$$

$$N(d/\beta-\delta) = N((r-m)+(s-n)i)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} < 1$$

Exercise

Nerifyif  $\mathbb{Z}[w]$  is Euclidean  $w = -1 - \sqrt{-3}$  (??)

N(a+bw) =

## Definition

- Let  $a,b \in R$ . We say that a|b| if  $\exists c \in R$  such that b = ac
- Two elements  $a,b \in R$  are said to be associates if a = bc for some unit  $c \in R$
- . A non-zero element  $(non-unit)_{\Lambda}$  is said to be irreducible if  $a = bc \Rightarrow b$  is a unit or c is a unit
- · A non-zero element (non-unit) peR is said to be prime if plab => pla or plb
- For any integral domain, primes are irreducibles (Proof)

Let  $p \in R$  be a prime and P = ab  $\Rightarrow P \mid ab$   $\Rightarrow P \mid a \quad an \quad P \mid b$ 

WLOGI, assume pla

=) ] cer such that a=pc

=> P = PCb => P(1- Cb) = 0

=) 1 = cb

=> b, c are units [ Note that P = ab gave that b is writ if pla]

> p is irreducible

Converse need not be true!

Example

$$2.3 = (1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5}) = 6$$

. 2 is irreducible

. 2 is not prime

Definition

An element de R is called a gcd of a, be R

if @ dla, dlb

Proof

suppose d'la, d'lb

Corollary

If a 1 b ∈ R and gcd (a, b) = d, then 7 x, y & R such that ax + by = d

In particular, if gcd (a,b) =1, then (a,b) = R

### corollary

If R is a PID, then irreducibles are primes Proof

Suppose R is a PID and p is irreducible Let plab and pta

=> ax+ py =1 (3x, y ∈ R)

=) abx + pby = b

=) Plb

=> p is prime

#### lemma

Let R be a PID and  $(a_1) \subseteq (a_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq (a_K) \subseteq \dots$ be a chain of ideals. Then IKEN such that (ax) = (ax+1) 4170

Proof

Let 
$$I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i) \Rightarrow I \triangle R$$

Then I = (a)

=) a & (ax)

Proposition

In a PID, every non zero element can be written as product of irreducible elements

Front.

Let ack, a = 0

, First, we show that FPER such that P is irreducible and pla

If a is irreducible, we are done! If not a = b1 C1

=> (P1) 3 (a)

If by is irreducible, we are done! Else b1 = b2 C2

=> (a) = (b1) = (b2)

By the above lemma, IKEN such that bula for some prime bueR.

let as R1803

If a is prime, we are done

Otherwise a = PIC for some prime PICR

If c = P2 E1, where P2 is prime

if c, is unit, we are done!

If not, we continue

(c) = (c1) = (c2) = (c3) ...

By the above Lemma, it stops at finite stage

Lemma

then  $\exists n \geq 0$  such that  $p^n \mid a$  but  $p^{n+1} \nmid a$ 

Proof

suppose contradiction of lemma is true

$$p^{n} = p^{n+1} = p^{n+1}$$
 $p_{n} = p^{n+1}$ 
 $p_{n} = p^{n+1}$ 
 $p_{n} = p^{n+1}$ 

(bn) = (bn+1) = ....

By Lemma, it stops on finite stage (-> <=)

+) Contradiction to lemma is not true

pefinition.

Let R be a PID, a « RI 203 and p is prime

Lemma

Let R be a PID and

S = { PER | ② Any prime in R is associate to some prime in S

3 No two distinct elements of S are associates

#### Theorem

Let R be a PID. Then any nonzero element ae R can be written as (uniquely!)

#### (Proof)

Existence follows from proposition

# Definition

An integral domain is said to be a UFD (or) Unique Factorization Domain if any non-zero element can be written uniquely (upto an associate) as product of irreducible elements.