OPINION 1712

Drosophila hydei Sturtevant, 1921 (Insecta, Diptera): specific name conserved

Ruling

- (1) Under the plenary powers the specific name marmoria Hutton, 1901, as published in the binomen *Drosophila marmoria*, is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy.
- (2) The name hydei Sturtevant, 1921, as published in the binomen Drosophila hydei, is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.
- (3) The name marmoria Hutton, 1901, as published in the binomen Drosophila marmoria and as suppressed in (1) above, is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology.

History of Case 2767

An application for the conservation of the specific name of *Drosophila hydei* Sturtevant, 1921 was received from Drs C.R. Vilela (*Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Biociências, São Paulo, Brazil*) and G. Bächli (*Zoologisches Museum, Universität Zürich-Irchel, Zürich, Switzerland*) on 27 March 1990. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 48: 222–224 (September 1991). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 1992 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 48: 223. At the close of the voting period on 1 December 1992 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 27: Bayer, Bock, Bouchet, Cocks, Cogger, Corliss, Dupuis, Hahn, Halvorsen, Holthuis, Kraus, Lehtinen, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Minelli, Nielsen, Nye, Ride, Savage, Schuster, Starobogatov, Štys, Thompson, Trjapitzin, Uéno, Willink

Negative votes — 1: Kabata.

No vote was received from Heppell.

Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on an Official List and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

hydei, Drosophila, Sturtevant, 1921, Carnegie Institution of Washington Publications, 301: 101. marmoria, Drosophila, Hutton, 1901, Transactions and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute, 33: 91.