

OPINION 1746

Drosophila putrida Sturtevant, 1916 (Insecta, Diptera): holotype replaced by a neotype

Ruling

(1) Under the plenary powers all previous fixations of type specimens for the nominal species *Drosophila putrida* Sturtevant, 1916 are hereby set aside and the specimen labelled '*Drosophila* (*D.*) *putrida* Sturtevant, 1916, NEOTYPE, Det. D.A. Grimaldi' from 'U.S.A.: New Jersey: Morris County, Pompton Plains, June, 1986, D.A. Grimaldi, coll.' in the American Museum of Natural History, New York, is designated as the neotype.

(2) The name *putrida* Sturtevant, 1916, as published in the binomen *Drosophila putrida* and as defined by the neotype designated in (1) above, is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

History of Case 2804

An application for the holotype of the nominal species *Drosophila putrida* Sturtevant, 1916 to be replaced by a neotype was received from Dr David Grimaldi (*American Museum of Natural History, New York, N.Y., U.S.A.*) on 14 December 1990. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 49: 129–132 (June 1992). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 March 1993 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 49: 130. At the close of the voting period on 1 June 1993 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 23: Bayer, Bock, Bouchet, Cocks, Cogger, Corliss, Hahn, Halvorsen, Heppell, Holthuis, Kabata, Kraus, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Minelli, Nielsen, Nye, Savage, Schuster, Thompson, Trjapitzin, Willink

Negative votes — 2: Dupuis and Lehtinen.

No votes were received from Starobogatov, Štys and Uéno.

Ride was on leave of absence.

Original references

The following is the original reference to the name placed on an Official List by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

putrida, *Drosophila*, Sturtevant, 1916, *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 9: 339.