

# Title!!!!!!!!!!

Yan Songyang  
School of Software  
Engineering  
Xi'an Jiaotong University  
lapalacayim@gmail.com

Li Siyu  
Institute for Clarity in  
Documentation  
P.O. Box 1212  
Dublin, Ohio 43017-6221  
webmaster@marysville-  
ohio.com

Wan Ziyi  
The Thørvöld Group  
1 Thørvöld Circle  
Hekla, Iceland  
larst@affiliation.org

Ye Junyan  
Brookhaven Laboratories  
Brookhaven National Lab  
P.O. Box 5000  
lleipuner@researchlabs.org

Li Hanxing  
School of Cyber Science and  
Engineering  
Wuhan University  
t0918555@u.nus.edu

## ABSTRACT

Abstract here

## Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.4 [Input/Output and Data Communications]: Data  
Communication Devices

## General Terms

Theory

## Keywords

Drone, Remote Control

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The drone, also known as the unmanned aerial vehicle(UAV), is the Aircraft without any human pilot controlling inside. Drones are widely used in many fields, including military, industry, agriculture, photography and so on. We can simply divide them into civilian and military.

The civilian drones, which we are focusing on, are applied to countless aspects of our lives. Farmers use drones to spray pesticides and monitor crop's growth. E-commerce companies such as Amazon use drones to deliver express. Photographers use drones to create from a special perspective. And also people, just like us, using drones just for fun. All in all, the use of drones has penetrated into many aspect of our lives.

Quadcopter, one of the most common types of civilian drones, using Wi-Fi connection or 2.4GHz radio as its way of communication, generally. Some professional drone companies

such as DJI may have their own private communication protocols and they are beyond our research.

Within Wi-Fi connection, a drone is WLAN AP(Access Point) itself. If the user wants to control the drone, he needs to connect his smartphone(or other smart devices) with control application to the WLAN created by the drone. And there may be steps to authenticate during the connection establishment process. Then user's smartphone could issue instructions to the drone and receive real-time image transmission from drone, after getting the Wi-Fi connection to the drone.

And the other way of communication is using 2.4GHz radio. This is a much simpler communication mechanism, which means it has a lower cost and usually used on low-end drones. 2.4GHz radio technique is widely used in lots of remote controls, and remote control used in toys including drones is the one of the application scenarios. The remote control sending instructions via an agreed frequency in 2.4GHz ISM, and the drone receive these instructions. The message transmit via 2.4GHz radio broadcasts to anyone that can receive it, which means there isn't any connection between remote control and the drone. Not to mention identity verification. So this is a primitive way of control, which is easy to attack.

## 2. ATTACK ON THE AR.DRONE 2.0

### 2.1 Technical Specification

The AR.Drone 2.0, equipped with various sensors, uses a Linux operating system based on the kernel version 2.6.27.44. All control commands, telemetry data and the video streams are handled via (unencrypted) 2.4GHz WLAN communication with the controlling device. Users can use iOS and Android devices to control the drone via the official application AR.FreeFlight. Figure 2.1 shows the controller interface running on iPad.

### 2.2 Highjack Attack

#### 2.2.1 AT Commands

According to AR.Drone Developer Guide[3], the controller uses port 5556 to send commands in a UDP packet to port



Figure 1: Parrot AR.FreeFlight control interface

Table 1: REF commands

Command	Function
ATREF=<sequence>,290718208	Take off
ATREF=<sequence>,290717696	Land
ATREF=<sequence>,290717952	Emergency Stop

5556 of the drone. These commands are called AT commands. Because of the instability of UDP connection, the communication protocol allocates ascending sequence number to different commands. This prevents older commands with lower sequence numbers incoming later (due to transmission errors) from executing[2]. This protocol provides an attack method. Attacker can conduct a man-in-the-middle attack with a sequence number which is always higher than the one being sent from the legitimate user.

An AT command begins with the fixed string "AT\*", followed by either REF, PCMD or CONFIG. REF commands are single commands such as land or takeoff. PCMD commands are used for flight control. CONFIG commands are used for sending new configuration. To take over a drone, using REF commands is enough. As described in[3], the format command of REF command is

$$AT * REF = < sequence >, < UI > \quad (1)$$

Different REF commands are listed in table 1.

### 2.2.2 Attack Process

The attack consists of the following phases:

1. Connection to the drone,
2. Determination of the IP address of the control device,
3. Sending fake land packets with high sequence number.

After booting up, the drone will set up a Wi-Fi hotspot named "ardrone2\_" followed by a random number with 6 digits. The connection to the drone is possible because the network is not protected by any encryption or other access restriction techniques. The ip address of the drone itself is always 192.168.1.1/24.

Once the connection has been built, attacker can scan the subnetwork to determine the IP address of the control device.

To prevent multiple phones trying to control the drone, the drone only accepts packets from the source IP of the controller. But since it's UDP, it's simple to spoof the IP address of the controller. Attacker can send a land command to force the drone to come to the ground.[1]

### 2.2.3 Using Android Device to Conduct Attack

Design an Android App

## 3. ATTACK ON HUBSAN BLABLABLA

//TODO

## 4. DISCUSSIONS

gugugu

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We..... and....., however... it's..... great!

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to offer our sincere appreciation and thanks to Professor Hugh Anderson for his guidance, enthusiasm and encouragement during the project. He is always generous in providing all kinds of equipment we might need and we are equally grateful to the School of Computing for this. And we also thank our teaching assistant Tan Wang Leng for his insight and expertise. Without them, we wouldn't have gone thus far.

## 7. REFERENCES

- [1] drone-hacking.  
<https://github.com/markszabo/drone-hacking>.  
Accessed: 2016-05-11.
- [2] J. Pleban, R. Band, and R. Creutzburg. Hacking and securing the ar.drone 2.0 quadcopter - investigations for improving the security of a toy. 01 2014.
- [3] P. E. Stephane Piskorski, Nicolas Brulez and F. D'Haeyer. *AR.Drone Developer Guide*. 5 2012.