Investigating the effectiveness of diversification strategies based on alternative risk measures

Proposal

Richard Montgomery (MNTRIC006)
Tayla Radmore (RDMTAY001)
Thomas Königkrämer (KNGTHO005)

3rd April 2018

mntric006@myuct.ac.za
rdmtay001@myuct.ac.za
thomasekng@gmail.com

Contents

(Bruder and Roncalli, 2012)
Dowd and Blake (2006)

1 Introduction

Equity portfolios are created by allocating various weightings to the shares comprising the portfolio. These weightings are

2 Research Question

3 Literature Review

3.1 Literature Reviewed

To date some quantile-based risk measures have been looked into. Namely "Value-at-Risk (VaR), coherent risk measures, spectral risk measures, and distortion risk measures" (Dowd and Blake, 2006).

Dowd and Blake (2006) believe that VaR is an imperfect measure. It may well still be reasonable to look into VaR as it is a well known measure and is not particularly complicated for someone in the relevant field of work to understand. Looking further into VaR, Dowd and Blake (2006) feel that there are some positive aspects. Namely that VaR can be used to compare portfolios that are not restricted to a certain type of asset; VaR takes into account the portfolios internal correlations in turn allowing a consideration of number of variables changing at once and lastly it's result is easy to understand and has a linked probability. Dowd and Blake (2006) believe a significant limitation of VaR is that it gives no information about what happens if that worst case lower tail end occurs and they feel that this makes this particular measure a poor measure to be used as a risk target measure. Acerbi (2002) believe VaR is not a good measure because it does not meet the axioms of coherence.

It is worth mentioning that Dowd and Blake (2006) state in their paper that with regards to risk measures it may often be a case of the best measure for a particular application and not a case of their being a certain risk measure that is better than all the others.

3.2 Further Reading

In addition to the major works discussed, we plan to consult the following supplementary readings:

-
-
-

4 Methodology & Data

Firstly we will have to collect historical equities' price data. We will be concentrating on the South African market. This will be obtained from industry with the help of our supervisor, Rowan Douglas, or otherwise using the available library resources at our disposal, such as the Bloomberg terminal.

We plan to perform a back test on this past data to assess the efficacy of the diversification strategies based on the alternative risk measures we shall be investigating. This will be compared against the benchmark of the diversification strategy based on traditional MPT. If any similar investigations are found in the course of our research, further comparisons can be made. The programming language used will be R.

5 Paper Structure

1. Introduction
2. Background & Theory
   (a) Alternative Risk Measures
   (b) Diversification Methods
3. Methodology & Data
4. Results
5. Discussion & Conclusion
6. Appendices

6   Division of Work

This is a group project. Collaborative work allows for opportunities of synergy following from a greater scope of knowledge and skills. It does, however, come with its own challenges. Richard Montgomery will be taking charge of the theoretical aspect of the project, such as the literature review. Tayla Radmore will be heading the practical, coding aspect of the project. Thomas Königkrämer will act as an intermediary for these two aspects of the project: assisting in research, writing or coding, editing writing and workings, and ensuring cohesion in the project.

7   Time-line

- **Monday, 30 April 2018:** Any individual work for the literature review is to be completed by this date. Up until the next deadline, we will bring our individual parts together into a cohesive whole.

- **Friday, 4 May 2018:** Soft deadline for literature review - to be sent to our supervisor. Over the weekend, each group member will individually inspect the draft.

- **Monday, 7 May 2018:** Group editing of draft after individual editing over the weekend. If necessary, a meeting will be set up with our supervisor as soon as possible.

- **Friday, 11 May 2018:** Submit literature review

- **Pre-June Exams:** Utilise this time to:
    - Collect the necessary data
    - Start work on the diversification methods to be used
    - Possibly perform a back test, using the historical data, for the traditional MPT strategy. This result will be used as a benchmark against which the other strategies will be compared.

- **June/July Vac:** Utilise this time to:
    - Perform the back tests for the alternative strategies

- – Assess how these strategies performed in comparison to the MPT strategy.

- **Monday, 23 July 2018:** Any individual work for the draft is to be completed by this date. Up until the next deadline, we will bring our individual parts together into a cohesive whole.

- **Friday, 27 July 2018:** Soft deadline for draft paper - to be sent to our supervisor. Over the weekend, each group member will individually inspect the draft.

- **Monday, 30 July 2018:** Group editing of draft after individual editing over the weekend. If necessary, a meeting will be set up with our supervisor as soon as possible.

- **Friday, 10 August 2018:** Submit draft paper

- **Friday, 7 September 2018:** Feedback for submitted draft. The next month will be used to improve our project, which will hopefully only require polishing.

- **Friday, 19 October 2018:** Submit final paper

References

Acerbi, C. (2002). Spectral measures of risk: A coherent representation of subjective risk aversion. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, **26**(7), 1505 – 1518.

Bruder, B. and Roncalli, T. (2012). Managing risk exposures using the risk budgeting approach.

Dowd, K. and Blake, D. (2006). After var: the theory, estimation, and insurance applications of quantile-based risk measures. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, **73**(2), 193–229.