


# Geometric Modeling

## Assignment 2: Implicit Surface Reconstruction

Acknowledgements: Olga Diamanti, Julian Panetta  
CSC 472/572 - Computer Modeling - Teseo Schneider



# Surface Reconstruction



- Input: point cloud with normals
- Output: smooth surface mesh passing near each point

# Implicit Surface Reconstruction

- Remember: surface representation matters!
- Implicit representation bypasses many headaches an explicit approach would encounter.
- Guarantees by construction:
  - 2-Manifold
  - No holes (watertight)
- Robust to noisy point clouds




# Simplifies the Problem

Surface  
interpolation




Scalar field  
interpolation



# Constructing the Scalar Field

- Interpolate information from the input cloud:
  - Points tell us where the zero level set should go:
$$f(\mathbf{p}_i) = 0$$
  - Normals define (locally) inside/outside



# Step 1: Build the Constraint Set



- Input: .off file with points and normals

- Point constraints  $f(\mathbf{p}_i) = 0$  are insufficient (trivial solution  $f = 0$ )

- Incorporate normal info with additional off-surface constraints:


$$f(\mathbf{p}_i + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_i) = \epsilon$$

$$f(\mathbf{p}_i - \epsilon \mathbf{n}_i) = -\epsilon$$



# Step 2: Construct Interpolant

- Input: .off file with points and normals
- Construct regular grid
- Compute nodal scalar field satisfying constraints (approximately).
- Method: MLS  
(Moving Least Squares)



# Interpolation Problem

- List of  $3N$  constraint locations,  $c_i$  (e.g.  $p_0, p_0 + \varepsilon n_0, \dots$ )
- List of  $3N$  values,  $d_i$
- Together, they describe  $3N$  constraints of the form  
 $f(c_i) = d_i$
- Goal: find the “best”  $f$  in the span of chosen basis functions  $b(x)$ :


$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j b_j(\mathbf{x}) a_j$$

(By tuning weights  $a_j$  to best approximate constraints)



# Basis Functions

- For this assignment, we'll use polynomial basis functions:




(but in 3D)

# Constraints in the Basis

- We can express our constraints in this basis:

$$f(\mathbf{c}_i) = \sum_j b_j(\mathbf{c}_i) a_j = d_i$$



In matrix form:

$$B\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{d}$$

- Where matrix  $B_{ij} := b_j(c_i)$   
(columns hold basis function's value  
at every constraint location).

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & y_1 & \cdots \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$




University  
of Victoria

Computer Science

# Overconstrained Linear System

- We'll have many more constraints than basis functions...
- Least-squares solution?

$$\min_f \sum_i (f(\mathbf{c}_i) - d_i)^2$$




$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \|B\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}\|^2$$

- What's bad about this?




# Problems with Least-squares


- **Global problem:** large matrices (even if basis functions are local)
- **Need many, high-degree basis functions**
  - Evaluating interpolant becomes expensive
- **Better idea:**
  - Construct low degree, local interpolants and stitch them together



# Moving Least Squares (MLS)



LS



MLS

- MLS builds distinct local interpolant around every eval pt!
- But final stitched function is still guaranteed smooth.
- Idea: weight the constraints based on distance to eval pt  $x$ :


$$f_x := \operatorname{argmin}_f \sum_i w(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_i\|) (f(\mathbf{c}_i) - d_i)^2$$

- Constraints with **zero weight disappear!**  
(Choose weight function so few kept => **small linear system**)



# MLS in Matrix Form

$$\min_f \sum_i w(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_i\|) (f(\mathbf{c}_i) - d_i)^2$$



$$\min_a \|B\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}\|_{W(\mathbf{x})}^2$$

$$\|B\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}\|_{W(\mathbf{x})}^2 := (B\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d})^T W(\mathbf{x})(B\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d})$$

$$W(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} w(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_1\|) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & w(\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_{3N}\|) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: some papers  
call this  $W(\mathbf{x})^2$

# MLS Coefficients, Closed Form

- MLS objective function is quadratic in coefficients  $\mathbf{a}$ ; find optimum by differentiating and solving a linear system:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_{\mathbf{a}} \left( (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d})^T W(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}) \right) \\ &= 2\mathbf{B}^T W(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}\mathbf{a} - 2\mathbf{B}^T W(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d} \end{aligned}$$

- Thus the coefficients **for point  $\mathbf{x}$**  are given by solving the system:

$$(\mathbf{B}^T W(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{B}^T W(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d}$$


for  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})$ .



# Step 2: Construct Interpolant

- Input: .off file with points and normals
- Finally, fill in the grid!
- Evaluate local MLS interpolant at each grid point  $\mathbf{x}$ .


$$f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j b_j(\mathbf{x}) a_j(\mathbf{x})$$



# Wendland Weights




- You'll use **Wendland** weights for w in this assignment
- Vanish at dist "h" from eval pt  
(most constraints disappear)

$$w(r) := \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{r}{h}\right)^4 \left(4\frac{r}{h} + 1\right) & \text{if } r < h \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$




# Step 3: Extract Zero Level Set

- Use the **marching tets** algorithm to extract the grid function's zero isosurface
- Just call `igl.marching_tets`




# Marching Tets: General Idea

Look up triangles to create in each grid cell based on corner values:






# Final Result from Marching Tets

- Final Mesh



# Provided Code

- Implements pipeline but uses analytic signed distance fn for sphere in place of MLS



# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere

- Step 1: Compute an axis-aligned bounding box

```
bbox_min = np.array([-1., -1., -1.])
bbox_max = np.array([1., 1., 1.])

# Bounding box dimensions
dim = bbox_max - bbox_min
bbox_diag = np.linalg.norm(dim)
```

# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere

- Step 2: construct a grid over the bounding box

```
# Grid spacing
n = 30
x, T = tet_grid((n, n, n),
                 bbox_min - 0.05 * bbox_diag,
                 bbox_max + 0.05 * bbox_diag)
```

# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere

- Step 3: Fill grid with the values of the implicit function

```
# evaluate implicit function
# Scalar values of the grid points (the implicit function values)
center = np.array([0., 0., 0.])
radius = 1.
fx = np.linalg.norm(x-center, axis=1) - radius
```

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}\| - r$$

# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere

- Step 4: run marching cubes

```
sv, sf, _, _ = igl.marching_tets(x, T, fx, 0)
```

input: implicit function values at grid points

# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere

- Step 4: run marching cubes

```
sv, sf, _, _ = igl.marching_tets(x, T, fx, 0)
```

input: implicit function value for level set

# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere

- Step 4: run marching cubes

```
sv, sf, _, _ = igl.marching_tets(x, T, fx, 0)
```

input: grid point positions

# Provided Example: Implicit Sphere


- Step 4: run marching cubes

```
sv, sf, __, __ = igl.marching_tets(x, T, fx, 0)
```

output: vertices and faces

# Bonus: Better Normal Constraints

- Our method implemented only point constraints
- Normals “constrained” using inward- and outward-offset value constraints
  - Leads to undesirable surface oscillation
- Solution: use the normal to define a linear function at each sample point; interpolate these **functions** with MLS.
- Chen Shen, James F. O'Brien, and Jonathan R. Shewchuk. "**Interpolating and Approximating Implicit Surfaces from Polygon Soup**". In *Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH 2004*, pages 896–904. ACM Press, August 2004. (**Section 3.3**)



# Bonus: Better Normal Constraints

- Recall, we computed our interpolant by solving:

$$\min_a \|B\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}\|_{W(\mathbf{x})}^2$$


with constraint value  $d_i$  for the  $3N$  constraint locations.

- New scheme: use just one constraint per sample pt

- Replace  $d_i$  with:  $s_i(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_i) \cdot \mathbf{n}_i$

- $s_i$  is the linear function computing signed distance to  $p_i$ 's tangent plane


- Note:  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} s_i = \mathbf{n}_i$



# Bonus: Poisson Reconstruction

- Explicitly fit scalar function's gradient to the normals.
  - Smooth out sampled normals to create a global vector field  $\vec{V}$
  - Find scalar function  $\chi$  whose gradient best approximates this vector field:  $\min_{\chi} \|\nabla \chi - \vec{V}\|$
- Advantages:
  - No spurious sheets far from the surface!
  - Robust to noise

In Eurographics Symposium on Geometry Processing, 2006.



# Questions?