

# Q0: Queue

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Queue is a linear data structure that follows FIFO order:
- First In First Out
  - The element added first is removed first
- Think of a line at a counter:
  - first person → served first
- **Core Terminology**
- Enqueue → Insert element at the rear
- Dequeue → Remove element from the front
- Front / Peek → Element at the front without removing it
- Rear → Last inserted element
- isEmpty → Check if queue is empty
- size → Number of elements
- **Intuition Behind the Concept**
- Queue is used when order matters
- Useful when tasks must be processed in the same order they arrive
- Unlike stack (LIFO), queue ensures fair processing
- **Basic Operations (Conceptually)**
- Enqueue → push from one side
- Dequeue → pop from the other side
- Access is restricted:
  - No random access like arrays
- 💡 **Types of Queue (Theory)**
- Simple Queue
- Circular Queue
- Deque (Double Ended Queue)

- Priority Queue
- **Queue Declaration in Java (Most Important)**
- ☒ Using LinkedList (Most Common)
  - Queue q = new LinkedList<>();
  - Why?
  - LinkedList implements Queue
  - Allows dynamic size
  - Fast enqueue & dequeue → O(1)
- ☒ Using ArrayDeque (Recommended for DSA)
  - Queue q = new ArrayDeque<>();
  - Why better?
  - Faster than LinkedList
  - No unnecessary node overhead
  - Preferred in interviews & competitive coding
- ☒ Using PriorityQueue (Different behavior)
  - Queue q = new PriorityQueue<>();
  - ☒ Does NOT follow FIFO
  - Elements come out by priority, not order

```
q.add(10);           // Enqueue (throws exception if fails)
q.offer(20);         // Enqueue (returns false if fails)

q.remove();          // Dequeue (throws exception if empty)
q.poll();            // Dequeue (returns null if empty)

q.peek();            // Front element (null if empty)
q.element();         // Front element (exception if empty)

q.isEmpty();         // Check empty
q.size();            // Queue size
```

- **add vs offer (Interview Favorite)**
- add() → throws exception on failure
- offer() → safe, returns false
- **remove vs poll**
- remove() → exception if empty
- poll() → returns null

- **When to Use Queue in DSA**
  - BFS (Breadth First Search)
  - Level order traversal (Trees)
  - Sliding window problems
  - Task scheduling
  - Producer–Consumer problems
  - **Complexity**
  - 🕒 Time Complexity (Core Operations)
    - Enqueue →  $O(1)$
    - Dequeue →  $O(1)$
    - Peek →  $O(1)$
    - Why?
    - Because insertion & removal happen only at ends
  - 📁 Space Complexity
    - $O(n)$  — stores  $n$  elements
- 

## 2. Pitfalls

- Using PriorityQueue when FIFO is required
  - Using `remove()` instead of `poll()` without empty check
  - Confusing Queue vs Deque
  - Expecting random access like array
- 

# Q198: Implement Queue Using Arrays

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Implement a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) queue using an array.
  - The queue must support the following operations:
    - `push(int x)` → add element at the end
    - `pop()` → remove and return the front element
    - `peek()` → return the front element without removing it
    - `isEmpty()` → check if queue is empty
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- Queue follows FIFO principle

- Insertion happens at the rear
  - Deletion happens from the front
  - Array is used as the underlying data structure
  - We must track:
  - front → index of first element
  - rear → index of last inserted element
- 

### 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq \text{number of operations} \leq 100$
  - $1 \leq x \leq 100$
  - Fixed-size array is sufficient
- 

### 4. Edge Cases

- pop() when queue is empty
  - peek() when queue is empty
  - isEmpty() immediately after initialization
  - Single element enqueue → dequeue
- 

### 5. Examples

Example 1

Input:

```
["ArrayQueue", "push", "push", "peek", "pop", "isEmpty"]  
[[], [5], [10], [], [], []]
```

Output:

```
[null, null, null, 5, 5, false]
```

Example 2

Input:

```
["ArrayQueue", "isEmpty"]  
[[]]
```

Output:

```
[null, true]
```

Example 3

Input:

```
["ArrayQueue", "push", "pop", "isEmpty"]  
[[], [1], [], []]
```

Output:

```
[null, null, 1, true]
```

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Queue Using Array (Simple Linear Queue)

#### Idea:

- Use an integer array
- Maintain two pointers:
  - front → points to current front
  - rear → points to last inserted element
- Queue is empty when front > rear

#### Steps:

- Initialize:
  - front = 0
  - rear = -1
  - push(x):
  - Increment rear
  - Store x at arr[rear]
- pop():
  - If empty, return -1
  - Return arr[front]
  - Increment front
- peek():
  - If empty, return -1
  - Return arr[front]
- isEmpty():
  - Return front > rear

#### Java Code:

```
class ArrayQueue {  
    int[] arr;  
    int front, rear;  
  
    ArrayQueue() {  
        arr = new int[100];  
    }  
}
```

```

        front = 0;
        rear = -1;
    }

    void push(int x) {
        arr[++rear] = x;
    }

    int pop() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;

        int val = arr[front++];

        // 🔄 reset when queue becomes empty
        if (front > rear) {
            front = 0;
            rear = -1;
        }
        return val;
    }

    int peek() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;
        return arr[front];
    }

    int size() {
        return rear - front + 1;
    }

    boolean isEmpty() {
        return size() == 0;
    }
}

```

### 💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Queue processes elements in arrival order
- front always represents the oldest element
- rear tracks the most recent insertion
- Array provides constant-time access using indices

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(1)$  — direct index access for all operations
- Space:  $O(N)$  — array storage

---

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- This approach correctly simulates FIFO behavior using an array with constant-time operations and minimal overhead, making it suitable for small constraints.
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Queue using Linked List
  - Circular Queue (to reuse freed space)
  - Queue using Two Stacks
  - Deque (Double Ended Queue)
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Linear array queue wastes space after many dequeues
  - Circular queue solves space wastage
  - FIFO problems often appear in:
    - BFS
    - Sliding Window
    - Scheduling problems
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting empty condition before pop() / peek()
  - Confusing queue with stack (FIFO vs LIFO)
  - Not resetting pointers correctly
  - Ignoring space wastage in linear queue
- 

# Q199: Implement Stack Using Queue (Single Queue)

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Implement a Last-In-First-Out (LIFO) stack using a single queue.
  - The stack must support the following operations:
    - push(int x) → push element onto stack
    - pop() → remove and return top element
    - top() → return top element without removing
    - isEmpty() → check if stack is empty
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- Stack follows LIFO
- Queue follows FIFO

- Only one queue is allowed
  - Stack behavior must be simulated using queue operations
  - The most recently pushed element must always be removed first
- 

### 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq \text{number of calls} \leq 100$
  - $1 \leq x \leq 100$
  - Only one queue can be used
- 

### 4. Edge Cases

- `pop()` on empty stack
  - `top()` on empty stack
  - `isEmpty()` immediately after initialization
  - Single element push  $\rightarrow$  pop
- 

### 5. Examples

Example 1

Input:

```
["QueueStack", "push", "push", "pop", "top", "isEmpty"]  
[[], [4], [8], [], [], []]
```

Output:

```
[null, null, null, 8, 4, false]
```

Example 2

Input:

```
["QueueStack", "isEmpty"]  
[[]]
```

Output:

```
[null, true]
```

Example 3

Input:

```
["QueueStack", "push", "pop", "isEmpty"]
```



```
[[], [6], [], []]
```

Output:

```
[null, null, 6, true]
```

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Stack Using Single Queue (Push Costly)

#### Idea:

- Use one queue
- After every push(x), rotate the queue so that:
  - x comes to the front
- This ensures:
  - Front of queue = top of stack

#### Steps:

- Maintain one queue q
- push(x):
  - Add x to queue
  - Rotate the queue size - 1 times
- pop():
  - If empty, return -1
  - Remove and return front element
- top():
  - If empty, return -1
  - Return front element
- isEmpty():
  - Return true if queue is empty

#### Java Code:

```
class QueueStack {
    Queue<Integer> q = new ArrayDeque<>();

    void push(int x) {
        q.offer(x);
        int size = q.size();
        while (size-- > 1) {
            q.offer(q.poll());
        }
    }

    int pop() {
```

```
        if (q.isEmpty()) return -1;
        return q.poll();
    }

    int top() {
        if (q.isEmpty()) return -1;
        return q.peek();
    }

    boolean isEmpty() {
        return q.isEmpty();
    }
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Queue gives FIFO access
- Rotating after push moves the newest element to the front
- Front of queue always behaves like stack top
- Sacrifices push efficiency to simplify pop and top

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N)$  — push rotates all elements
  - Time:  $O(1)$  — pop, top, isEmpty
  - Space:  $O(N)$  — queue storage
- 

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Rotating the queue after each push ensures correct LIFO behavior while using only one queue, satisfying the problem constraints.
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Stack using two queues (push  $O(1)$ )
  - Stack using two queues (pop  $O(1)$ )
  - Stack using array
  - Stack using linked list
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Single-queue solution always makes push costly
  - If push must be  $O(1)$ , two queues are required
  - Rotation count is always `queue_size - 1`
  - Front of queue represents stack top
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting to rotate after push
  - Rotating incorrect number of times
  - Confusing FIFO behavior during pop
  - Not checking empty before pop/top
- 

# Q228: Circular Queue

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Implement a Circular Queue using an array that supports the following operations:
    - `push(x)` → Insert element `x` into the queue
    - `pop()` → Remove and return the front element of the queue
    - `front()` → Return the front element without removing it
    - `size()` → Return the number of elements currently in the queue
  - Key Requirements
    - The queue must use a fixed-size array
    - Efficiently utilize space by reusing empty positions
    - All operations should work in  $O(1)$  time
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- A Circular Queue is an improved version of a normal array-based queue.
  - Why not a normal queue?
  - In a linear queue:
    - After several `pop()` operations, front moves forward
    - Empty spaces at the beginning cannot be reused
    - This causes space wastage
  - ↪ Circular Queue solves this by:
    - Treating the array as circular
    - Using modulo (%) to wrap indices
- 

## 3. Constraints

- Fixed-size array
  - Maximum size is known beforehand
  - $0 \leq \text{number of elements} \leq \text{size}$
  - All operations must be constant time
- 

## 4. Edge Cases

- Queue is empty
- Queue is full
- First insertion

- Last deletion (queue becomes empty)
  - Wrap-around when rear reaches end
- 

## 5. Examples

```
push(10) → [10, _, _, _, _]
push(20) → [10, 20, _, _, _]
push(30) → [10, 20, 30, _, _]
pop()    → [_, 20, 30, _, _]
push(40) → [40, 20, 30, _, _] ← wrap-around
```

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Circular Queue using Array (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Use % size to reuse array positions
- Queue is full when the next position of rear hits front
- Queue is empty when front == -1
- Reset pointers when queue becomes empty
- ◇ Conditions (Very Important)
  - Empty → front == -1
  - Full → (rear + 1) % size == front

#### Java Code:

```
class CircularQueue {
    int[] arr;
    int front, rear, size;

    CircularQueue(int size) {
        this.size = size;
        arr = new int[size];
        front = -1;
        rear = -1;
    }

    // enqueue
    void push(int x) {
        if ((rear + 1) % size == front) {
            return; // queue full
        }

        if (front == -1) {
            front = 0;
            rear = 0;
        }
    }
}
```

```

    } else {
        rear = (rear + 1) % size;
    }

    arr[rear] = x;
}

// dequeue
int pop() {
    if (front == -1) return -1;

    int val = arr[front];

    if (front == rear) {
        front = -1;
        rear = -1;
    } else {
        front = (front + 1) % size;
    }

    return val;
}

// peek
int front() {
    if (front == -1) return -1;
    return arr[front];
}

// size
int size() {
    if (front == -1) return 0;
    if (rear >= front)
        return rear - front + 1;
    return size - (front - rear - 1);
}
}

```

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(1)$  — index updates use constant-time modulo
- Space:  $O(N)$  — fixed-size array storage

---

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Prevents space wastage present in linear queue
- Supports all queue operations in constant time
- Standard interview-expected implementation

---

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Circular Queue using Linked List
  - Deque (Double Ended Queue)
  - Dynamic Circular Queue (resizable)
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Circular Queue is conceptually important even if not directly coded
  - % size is the heart of the solution
  - Always reset pointers after last removal
  - Deque problems are extensions of circular queue logic
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting modulo while incrementing
  - Wrong full condition
  - Not resetting front and rear
  - Mixing linear and circular queue logic
- 

# Q229: Queue Using Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Implement a Queue using a Linked List that supports the following operations:
    - push(x) → Insert integer x at the rear of the queue
    - pop() → Remove and return the front element of the queue
    - front() → Return the front element without removing it
    - size() → Return the number of elements currently in the queue
  - All operations must work efficiently.
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- A Queue follows the FIFO (First In First Out) principle.
  - Using a Linked List:
  - Each node stores data and a reference to the next node
  - We maintain:
    - front → points to first element
    - rear → points to last element
    - Insertions happen at rear
    - Deletions happen at front
  - ☞ Unlike array-based queues, no fixed size and no shifting needed.
- 

## 3. Constraints

- At most 1000 elements in the queue
  - Operations belong to {1, 2, 3, 4}
  - All operations should run in  $O(1)$
- 

## 4. Edge Cases

- Pop on empty queue
  - Front on empty queue
  - Queue becomes empty after pop
  - First insertion into empty queue
- 

## 5. Examples

Operations:

push(1) → [1]

push(2) → [1, 2]

push(3) → [1, 2, 3]

front() → 1

pop() → removes 1 → [2, 3]

front() → 2

size() → 2

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Queue Using Linked List (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Maintain front and rear pointers
- Insert at rear in  $O(1)$
- Remove from front in  $O(1)$
- Update both pointers correctly when queue becomes empty

#### Java Code:

```
class Queue {
    class Node {
        int data;
        Node next;
        Node(int data) {
            this.data = data;
            this.next = null;
        }
    }

    Node front, rear;
```

```

int size;

Queue() {
    front = null;
    rear = null;
    size = 0;
}

void push(int x) {
    Node newNode = new Node(x);

    if (rear == null) {
        front = rear = newNode;
    } else {
        rear.next = newNode;
        rear = newNode;
    }
    size++;
}

int pop() {
    if (front == null) return -1;

    int val = front.data;
    front = front.next;

    if (front == null) {
        rear = null;
    }

    size--;
    return val;
}

int front() {
    if (front == null) return -1;
    return front.data;
}

int size() {
    return size;
}
}

```

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(1)$  — insert and delete using pointers only
- Space:  $O(N)$  — one node per element

---

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality



- No space wastage as in array queue
  - No overflow until memory is exhausted
  - Constant time for all queue operations
  - Clean and interview-preferred implementation
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Queue using Array
  - Circular Queue
  - Deque using Linked List
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Always maintain both front and rear
  - When queue becomes empty, set both to null
  - Linked List queue is better when size is unknown
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting to update rear when last element is removed
  - Returning wrong value on empty pop
  - Not maintaining size correctly
- 

# Q230: Reverse First K Elements of Queue

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Given an integer K and a queue of N integers, reverse the order of the first K elements of the queue, while keeping the remaining elements in the same relative order.
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- You are given:
    - A queue (FIFO structure)
    - An integer K
  - Your task:
    - Reverse only the first K elements
    - Do not disturb the order of the remaining N - K elements
  - Important points:
    - Only the prefix of length K is affected
    - Queue nature must be preserved after modification
-

### 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq K \leq N \leq 10000$
  - $1 \leq \text{elements} \leq 10000$
  - Efficient solution required
- 

### 4. Edge Cases

- $K = 1 \rightarrow$  queue remains unchanged
  - $K = N \rightarrow$  entire queue is reversed
  - Queue with only one element
  - Large  $N \rightarrow$  avoid unnecessary operations
- 

### 5. Examples

```
Input:
N = 5, K = 3
Queue = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

Output:
[3, 2, 1, 4, 5]
Explanation:

First 3 elements  $\rightarrow$  [1, 2, 3]

Reverse  $\rightarrow$  [3, 2, 1]

Remaining elements stay same  $\rightarrow$  [4, 5]
```

### 6. Approaches

#### Approach 1: Brute Force using Extra Array (Conceptual)

##### Idea:

- Copy queue to array
- Reverse first  $K$  elements in array
- Rebuild queue
- ⚠ Not preferred in interviews, but helps understanding.

##### Steps:

- Dequeue all elements into array
- Reverse array indices  $[0..K-1]$
- Enqueue elements back

##### Java Code:

```

static void reverseFirstK_Array(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    int n = q.size();
    int[] arr = new int[n];

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        arr[i] = q.poll();
    }

    int l = 0, r = k - 1;
    while (l < r) {
        int temp = arr[l];
        arr[l] = arr[r];
        arr[r] = temp;
        l++; r--;
    }

    for (int x : arr) {
        q.add(x);
    }
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Treat queue like a normal array
- Straightforward but breaks queue abstraction

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N)$  — full traversal and rebuild
- Space:  $O(N)$  — extra array used

### Approach 2: Using Recursion (Better, but Risky)

#### Idea:

- Use recursion to reverse first K elements
- Stack frame acts as implicit stack

#### Steps:

- Pop first element
- Recursively reverse next K-1
- Insert popped element at rear

#### Java Code:

```

static void reverseFirstK_Recursion(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    if (k == 0) return;

    int x = q.poll();
}

```

```

        reverseFirstK_Recursion(q, k - 1);
        q.add(x);
    }

```

After recursion, rotate remaining N-K elements.

```

static void reverseK_WithRotation(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    int n = q.size();
    reverseFirstK_Recursion(q, k);

    for (int i = 0; i < n - k; i++) {
        q.add(q.poll());
    }
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Recursion reverses order naturally
- Queue rotation maintains remaining elements

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N)$  — recursion + rotation
- Space:  $O(K)$  — recursion stack

### Approach 3: Stack + Queue (Optimal & Interview-Preferred)

#### Idea:

- Stack reverses first K elements
- Queue rotation preserves remaining order

#### Steps:

- Push first K elements into stack
- Pop stack back into queue
- Rotate remaining N-K elements

#### Java Code:

```

static void reverseFirstK(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    Stack<Integer> st = new Stack<>();

    for (int i = 0; i < k; i++) {
        st.push(q.poll());
    }

    while (!st.isEmpty()) {
        q.add(st.pop());
    }
}

```

```

    int rem = q.size() - k;
    for (int i = 0; i < rem; i++) {
        q.add(q.poll());
    }
}

// In accio boiler plate solution
import java.util.*;
import java.io.*;

public class Main {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
        int n = input.nextInt(), k = input.nextInt();
        Queue<Integer> q = new LinkedList<>();

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            q.add(input.nextInt());
        }

        Stack<Integer> st = new Stack<>();

        for (int i = 0; i < k; i++) {
            st.push(q.poll());
        }

        while (!st.isEmpty()) {
            q.add(st.pop());
        }

        int rem = q.size() - k;
        for (int i = 0; i < rem; i++) {
            q.add(q.poll());
        }

        while (q.size() > 0) {
            System.out.print(q.poll() + " ");
        }
    }
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Stack = reversal
- Queue = order preservation
- Clean separation of responsibilities

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N)$  — each element moved once
- Space:  $O(K)$  — stack usage

---

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Stack-based solution is clean and interview-expected
  - Respects queue abstraction
  - Efficient and safe for large inputs
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Reverse every K elements
  - Reverse first K using Deque
  - Reverse queue using only recursion
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Stack is the natural choice for reversal
  - Queue problems often mix stack usage
  - Always rotate remaining elements
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting to rotate N-K elements
  - Reversing entire queue
  - Mishandling  $K = N$
- 

# Q231: Rotting Oranges

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- You are given an  $m \times n$  grid where each cell can have one of three values:
    - 0 → empty cell
    - 1 → fresh orange
    - 2 → rotten orange
  - Every minute, any fresh orange that is 4-directionally adjacent (up, down, left, right) to a rotten orange becomes rotten.
  - Return the minimum number of minutes required so that no fresh orange remains.
  - If it is impossible, return -1.
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- Rot spreads simultaneously from all rotten oranges
- This is a level-by-level spread over time
- Each level represents 1 minute

- If even one fresh orange is isolated, answer is -1
  - 📖 This is a multi-source BFS problem.
- 

### 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq m, n \leq 10$
  - Grid size is small, but logic must be correct
  - Only 4-directional movement allowed
- 

### 4. Edge Cases

- No fresh oranges initially → answer 0
  - Fresh oranges but no rotten orange → answer -1
  - Single cell grid
  - Fresh orange completely isolated
- 

### 5. Examples

Example 1

```
2 1 1
1 1 0
0 1 1
```

Output: 4

Example 2

```
2 1 1
0 1 1
1 0 1
```

Output: -1

---

### 6. Approaches

#### Approach 1: Brute Force Simulation (Not Recommended)

##### Idea:

- Simulate the rotting process minute by minute by scanning the entire grid repeatedly and rotting fresh oranges adjacent to rotten ones.

##### Steps:

- Count total fresh oranges

- Repeat:
  - Traverse entire grid
  - For every rotten orange, mark adjacent fresh oranges as "to be rotten"
- Apply all changes at once (to simulate simultaneous spread)
- Increment minute counter
- Stop when:
  - No fresh oranges remain → return time
  - No change occurs but fresh still exist → return -1

#### Java Code:

```
static int orangesRottingBrute(int[][] grid) {
    int m = grid.length, n = grid[0].length;
    int fresh = 0;

    for (int[] row : grid)
        for (int cell : row)
            if (cell == 1) fresh++;

    int minutes = 0;
    int[][] dir = {{1,0},{-1,0},{0,1},{0,-1}};

    while (fresh > 0) {
        boolean changed = false;
        List<int[]> toRot = new ArrayList<>();

        for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {
            for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
                if (grid[i][j] == 2) {
                    for (int[] d : dir) {
                        int ni = i + d[0], nj = j + d[1];
                        if (ni >= 0 && ni < m && nj >= 0 && nj < n && grid[ni][nj]
== 1) {
                            toRot.add(new int[]{ni, nj});
                        }
                    }
                }
            }
        }

        for (int[] cell : toRot) {
            if (grid[cell[0]][cell[1]] == 1) {
                grid[cell[0]][cell[1]] = 2;
                fresh--;
                changed = true;
            }
        }

        if (!changed) return -1;
        minutes++;
    }
}
```



```
    return minutes;
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We imitate the real-world process directly
- Each loop represents one minute
- Inefficient because we re-scan the whole grid every time

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O((m*n)^2)$  — full grid scan per minute
- Space:  $O(m*n)$  — temporary list for changes
- 🚫 Avoid in interviews

## Approach 2: Multi-Source BFS (Optimal & Interview-Standard)

### Idea:

- Treat every rotten orange as a BFS source
- Spread rot level by level
- Each BFS level = 1 minute
- Count fresh oranges to verify final state

### Steps:

- Add all rotten oranges to queue
- Count fresh oranges
- BFS:
  - Process one level (1 minute)
  - Rot adjacent fresh oranges
- If fresh oranges remain → return -1
- Else return time

### Java Code:

```
static int orangesRotting(int[][] grid) {
    int m = grid.length, n = grid[0].length;
    Queue<int[]> q = new ArrayDeque<>();
    int fresh = 0;

    // Step 1: push all rotten oranges
    for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            if (grid[i][j] == 2) {
                q.add(new int[]{i, j});
            } else if (grid[i][j] == 1) {
                fresh++;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```

    }

    // Edge case
    if (fresh == 0) return 0;

    int minutes = 0;
    int[][] dir = {{1,0},{-1,0},{0,1},{0,-1}};

    // Step 2: BFS
    while (!q.isEmpty()) {
        int size = q.size();
        boolean rotted = false;

        for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
            int[] cell = q.poll();
            int r = cell[0], c = cell[1];

            for (int[] d : dir) {
                int nr = r + d[0];
                int nc = c + d[1];

                if (nr >= 0 && nr < m && nc >= 0 && nc < n && grid[nr][nc] == 1) {
                    grid[nr][nc] = 2;
                    fresh--;
                    q.add(new int[]{nr, nc});
                    rotted = true;
                }
            }
        }

        if (rotted) minutes++;
    }

    return fresh == 0 ? minutes : -1;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Rot spreads simultaneously → BFS
- Multiple rotten oranges → multi-source BFS
- Queue naturally handles time layers
- Stop when no more spread is possible

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(m*n)$  — each cell processed once
- Space:  $O(m*n)$  — queue in worst case

---

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- BFS models time-based spread perfectly

- Multi-source handles simultaneous rotting
  - Guarantees minimum time
  - Industry-standard solution
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Rotting Oranges II (different spread rules)
  - Zombie infection problems
  - Fire spread simulation
  - Shortest path in matrix
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Always count fresh oranges first
  - Increment time per BFS level
  - Use a flag to check if any rotting happened
  - 4-direction only (no diagonals)
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Incrementing time when no rotting happens
  - Forgetting multi-source initialization
  - Missing edge case where no fresh oranges exist
  - Treating it as DFS instead of BFS
- 

# Q232: Sliding Window Maximum

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- You are given an array of integers `nums` of size `N` and an integer `K` representing the window size.
  - A sliding window of size `K` moves from left to right by one position at a time.
  - At each position, return the maximum element present in the current window.
  - You need to return an array containing the maximum of each window.
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- Window size is fixed (`K`)
  - Window moves one step at a time
  - For each window, we need the maximum
  - Brute force would recompute max for every window
  - We need to reuse information from previous windows
-

### 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 20000$
  - $1 \leq K \leq N$
  - $-10^4 \leq \text{arr}[i] \leq 10^4$
- 

### 4. Edge Cases

- $K = 1 \rightarrow$  answer is the array itself
  - $K = N \rightarrow$  answer has one element (max of whole array)
  - All elements equal
  - Negative numbers
- 

### 5. Examples

Input:  
`nums = [1,3,-1,-3,5,3,6,7], K = 3`

Output:  
`[3, 3, 5, 5, 6, 7]`

---

### 6. Approaches

#### Approach 1: Brute Force (Naive)

##### Idea:

- For every window of size K, scan all K elements and find the maximum.

##### Steps:

- For i from 0 to N-K
- For each window  $[i \dots i+K-1]$ , find max
- Store result

##### Java Code:

```
static int[] slidingWindowMaxBrute(int[] arr, int n, int k) {
    int[] ans = new int[n - k + 1];

    for (int i = 0; i <= n - k; i++) {
        int max = arr[i];
        for (int j = i; j < i + k; j++) {
            max = Math.max(max, arr[j]);
        }
        ans[i] = max;
    }
}
```

```
    }  
    return ans;  
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Direct simulation of the problem
- Simple but repetitive work
- Does not reuse previous window information

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N \cdot K)$  — each window scans  $K$  elements
- Space:  $O(1)$  — excluding output

### Approach 2: Using Max Heap (Better)

#### Idea:

- Use a max heap to always get the maximum element of the current window.

#### Steps:

- Push (value, index) into heap
- Remove elements that are outside the window
- Heap top gives current max

#### Java Code:

```
static int[] slidingWindowMaxHeap(int[] arr, int n, int k) {  
    PriorityQueue<int[]> pq =  
        new PriorityQueue<>((a, b) -> b[0] - a[0]);  
  
    int[] ans = new int[n - k + 1];  
    int idx = 0;  
  
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
        pq.offer(new int[]{arr[i], i});  
  
        while (pq.peek()[1] <= i - k) {  
            pq.poll();  
        }  
  
        if (i >= k - 1) {  
            ans[idx++] = pq.peek()[0];  
        }  
    }  
    return ans;  
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Heap keeps max accessible
- Old elements are lazily removed
- Better than brute force but still not optimal

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N \log N)$  — heap operations
- Space:  $O(N)$  — heap storage

### Approach 3: Monotonic Deque (Optimal & Interview-Expected)

#### Idea:

- Maintain a deque of indices such that:
  - Elements are in decreasing order
  - Front of deque is always the maximum

#### Steps:

- Remove indices from front that are outside window
- Remove smaller elements from back
- Add current index
- Front of deque is max for current window

#### Java Code:

```
static int[] slidingWindowMaximum(int[] arr, int n, int k) {
    Deque<Integer> dq = new ArrayDeque<>();
    int[] ans = new int[n - k + 1];
    int idx = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

        // remove out-of-window indices
        while (!dq.isEmpty() && dq.peekFirst() <= i - k) {
            dq.pollFirst();
        }

        // maintain decreasing order
        while (!dq.isEmpty() && arr[dq.peekLast()] <= arr[i]) {
            dq.pollLast();
        }

        dq.addLast(i);

        // record answer
        if (i >= k - 1) {
            ans[idx++] = arr[dq.peekFirst()];
        }
    }
}
```

```
    return ans;  
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Deque stores useful candidates only
- Smaller elements are discarded early
- Each element enters and leaves deque once
- Front always holds max

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N)$  — each index processed once
  - Space:  $O(K)$  — deque stores window indices
- 

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Brute force is too slow
  - Heap improves but still logarithmic
  - Monotonic deque achieves linear time
  - This is the industry-standard solution
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Sliding Window Minimum
  - First negative number in every window
  - Count distinct elements in window
  - Maximum of minimums of every window size
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Always store indices, not values
  - Deque problems are about order + validity
  - Remove out-of-window elements first
  - Monotonic structures appear frequently
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Storing values instead of indices
  - Forgetting to remove out-of-window indices
  - Incorrect comparison ( $\leq$  vs  $<$ )
  - Using heap when  $O(N)$  solution exists
- 

# Q233: Circular Tour

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- There are N petrol pumps arranged in a circular manner.
  - You are given:
    - An array petrol[] where petrol[i] is the amount of petrol at pump i
    - An array distance[] where distance[i] is the distance from pump i to the next pump (i+1)
  - Assume:
    - 1 unit of petrol allows the truck to travel 1 unit distance
  - Find the starting petrol pump index from where the truck can complete the entire circular tour without running out of petrol.
  - If no such starting point exists, return -1.
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- The truck starts with 0 petrol
  - At each pump:
    - It gains petrol[i]
    - It must travel distance[i] to the next pump
  - The tour is circular → after last pump, it must return to the first
  - If petrol ever becomes negative → journey fails
  - This is a feasibility + optimization problem.
- 

## 3. Constraints

- $2 \leq N \leq 10000$
  - $1 \leq \text{petrol}[i], \text{distance}[i] \leq 1000$
- 

## 4. Edge Cases

- Total petrol < total distance → impossible
  - Single valid starting point
  - Multiple pumps but only one feasible start
  - All pumps individually fail but total still sufficient
- 

## 5. Examples

Input

```
4
4 6 7 4
6 5 3 5
```

Output



1

Explanation

There are 4 petrol pumps with amount of petrol and distance to next

petrol pump value pairs as {4, 6}, {6, 5}, {7, 3} and {4, 5}. The first point from where truck can make a circular tour is 2nd petrol pump. Output in this case is 1 (index of 2nd petrol pump).

Example 2

Input

2

1 1 2 3

Output

-1

Explanation

No solution exists.

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Brute Force (Try Every Starting Point)

#### Idea:

- Try starting the tour from each petrol pump and simulate the entire circular journey.

#### Steps:

- For each index  $i$  from 0 to  $N-1$
- Start with fuel = 0
- Traverse all pumps circularly
- If fuel becomes negative → break
- If full circle completes → return  $i$

#### Java Code:

```
static int circularTourBrute(int[] petrol, int[] dist, int n) {  
    for (int start = 0; start < n; start++) {  
        int fuel = 0;  
        boolean possible = true;  
  
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
            int idx = (start + i) % n;  
            fuel += petrol[idx] - dist[idx];  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

        if (fuel < 0) {
            possible = false;
            break;
        }
    }

    if (possible) return start;
}
return -1;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Direct simulation
- Simple and easy to reason
- Inefficient because it repeats work for every start

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(N^2)$  — full traversal for each start
- Space:  $O(1)$

### Approach 2: Greedy / Queue Elimination (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Instead of trying all starts:
  - Track current fuel
  - Track total petrol vs total distance
  - If fuel becomes negative at index  $i$ , then no pump between previous start and  $i$  can be a valid start

#### Steps:

- Initialize:
- totalFuel = 0
- currFuel = 0
- start = 0
- Traverse pumps from 0 to  $N-1$
- Update:
  - currFuel += petrol[i] - distance[i]
  - totalFuel += petrol[i] - distance[i]
- If currFuel < 0:
  - Reset currFuel = 0
  - Set start =  $i + 1$
- After loop:
  - If totalFuel < 0 → return -1
  - Else return start

### Java Code:

```

static int circularTour(int[] petrol, int[] dist, int n) {
    int totalFuel = 0;
    int currFuel = 0;
    int start = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        int gain = petrol[i] - dist[i];
        totalFuel += gain;
        currFuel += gain;

        if (currFuel < 0) {
            start = i + 1;
            currFuel = 0;
        }
    }

    return totalFuel >= 0 ? start : -1;
}

```

Alternative Greedy Implementation

```

int tour(int petrol[], int distance[]) {
    int n = petrol.length;

    int totalPetrol = 0;
    int totalDistance = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        totalPetrol += petrol[i];
        totalDistance += distance[i];
    }

    if (totalPetrol < totalDistance) return -1;

    int currFuel = 0;
    int start = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        currFuel += petrol[i] - distance[i];

        if (currFuel < 0) {
            start = i + 1;
            currFuel = 0;
        }
    }

    return start;
}

```

 **Intuition Behind the Approach:**

- If you fail at pump  $i$ , any start before  $i$  also fails
- So we safely skip all those starts
- Total fuel check ensures feasibility
- One pass is enough
- Alternate approach
- If total petrol is insufficient, no solution exists
- If the truck fails at index  $i$ , any start before  $i$  is invalid
- Resetting start skips all impossible pumps
- One full pass is enough to find the answer

**Complexity (Time & Space):**

- Time:  $O(N)$  — single traversal
  - Space:  $O(1)$
- 

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Brute force is too slow for large  $N$
  - Greedy eliminates impossible starts early
  - Optimal solution is clean, fast, and interview-standard
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Gas Station (LeetCode)
  - Circular queue feasibility
  - Resource allocation problems
  - Scheduling with wrap-around constraints
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Always check total petrol vs total distance
  - Reset start only when current fuel becomes negative
  - Greedy works because failure region is provably invalid
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Returning start without checking total fuel
  - Off-by-one errors in start update
  - Trying BFS/DP unnecessarily
  - Overthinking circular traversal
- 

# Q249: Class chocolate distribution

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- There are  $n$  classes standing in a queue to receive chocolates.
  - Each class has a certain number of students, and each student needs exactly 1 chocolate.
  - You are given:
    - A 0-indexed integer array `classes` where `classes[i]` represents the number of students in the  $i$ -th class.
    - An integer  $k$ , representing the index of a specific class.
  - Rules:
    - A class can take only 1 chocolate at a time.
    - Taking 1 chocolate takes 1 second.
    - After taking a chocolate:
      - If the class still has students left  $\rightarrow$  it goes to the end of the queue instantly.
      - If the class has no students left  $\rightarrow$  it leaves the queue.
    - The queue order is maintained strictly.
  - Task:
    - Return the total time (in seconds) taken for the class at index  $k$  to finish receiving chocolates for all its students.
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- This is a round-robin distribution problem.
  - Each class gets turns cyclically.
  - Time increases by exactly 1 second per chocolate given.
  - We stop counting the moment class  $k$  gets its last chocolate.
  - 🔄 Core idea: How many times does each class get to take a chocolate before class  $k$  finishes?
- 

## 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 100$
  - $1 \leq \text{classes}[i] \leq 100$
  - $0 \leq k < n$
- 

## 4. Edge Cases

- `classes[k] == 1`  $\rightarrow$  finishes in the first cycle.
  - `n == 1`  $\rightarrow$  `time = classes[0]`
  - Classes before  $k$  may finish early and leave the queue.
  - Classes after  $k$  do not contribute in the final second.
- 

## 5. Examples

Input:  
3

2 3 2

2

Output:

6

Input:

4

5 1 1 1

0

Output:

8

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Brute Force Queue Simulation

#### Idea:

- Simulate the process exactly as described using a queue.

#### Steps:

- Push (index, remainingStudents) into a queue.
- While queue is not empty:
  - Pop front.
  - Give 1 chocolate → time++.
  - If this class becomes empty:
    - If it is class k, stop.
- Else push it back.

#### Java Code:

```
static int timeRequired(int[] classes, int k) {
    Queue<int[]> q = new ArrayDeque<>();
    for (int i = 0; i < classes.length; i++) {
        q.offer(new int[]{i, classes[i]});
    }

    int time = 0;

    while (!q.isEmpty()) {
        int[] curr = q.poll();
        time++;
        curr[1]--;

        if (curr[1] == 0) {
            if (curr[0] == k) return time;
        }
    }
}
```

```

        } else {
            q.offer(curr);
        }
    }
    return time;
}

```

### 💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We literally execute the rules step by step.
- Queue preserves order, and every chocolate consumes 1 second.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(\text{sum}(\text{classes}))$  — one operation per chocolate
- Space:  $O(n)$  — queue storage

### Approach 2: Optimized Mathematical Counting (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Class  $k$  needs  $\text{classes}[k]$  chocolates  $\rightarrow$   $\text{classes}[k]$  rounds.
  - Classes before or at  $k$  can get chocolates in all those rounds
  - Classes after  $k$  can get chocolates in only  $\text{classes}[k] - 1$  rounds

#### Steps:

- Let  $\text{target} = \text{classes}[k]$
- For every class  $i$ :
  - If  $i \leq k \rightarrow$  add  $\min(\text{classes}[i], \text{target})$
  - If  $i > k \rightarrow$  add  $\min(\text{classes}[i], \text{target} - 1)$
- Sum is the answer.

#### Java Code:

```

static int timeRequired(int[] classes, int k) {
    int time = 0;
    int target = classes[k];

    for (int i = 0; i < classes.length; i++) {
        if (i <= k) {
            time += Math.min(classes[i], target);
        } else {
            time += Math.min(classes[i], target - 1);
        }
    }
    return time;
}

```

### 💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- The last chocolate of class  $k$  ends everything.
- Classes after  $k$  cannot delay that last second.
- So we count only the effective blocking time.

#### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(n)$  — single pass
  - Space:  $O(1)$  — no extra data structures
- 

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Queue simulation matches the problem definition exactly.
  - Optimized approach counts how many seconds each class blocks class  $k$ .
  - Both produce identical results.
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Ticket Buying (LeetCode 2073)
  - Round-Robin CPU Scheduling
  - Printer Queue problems
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- "Go to end of the line" → Queue
  - "One unit per second" → Time simulation
  - Always check if last round can be excluded for optimization
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Counting classes after  $k$  in the last round
  - Forgetting that re-queueing is instantaneous
  - Over-simulating when constraints are small
- 

# Q250: Design Ring Buffer

---

## 1. Problem Statement

- Design a Circular Queue (Ring Buffer) that supports the following operations without using any built-in queue data structure.
- You are required to implement the `AccioQueue` class with the following methods:
  - `AccioQueue(k)` → Initialize the queue with size  $k$
  - `int Front()` → Return the front element, or  $-1$  if empty
  - `int Rear()` → Return the rear element, or  $-1$  if empty



- `boolean enqueue(int value)` → Insert an element, return true if successful
  - `boolean dequeue()` → Remove an element, return true if successful
  - `boolean isEmpty()` → Check if queue is empty
  - `boolean isFull()` → Check if queue is full
  - Input Queries
    - 0 X → Create queue of size X
    - 1 → Front
    - 2 → Rear
    - 3 X → `enqueue(X)`
    - 4 → `dequeue`
    - 5 → `isEmpty`
    - 6 → `isFull`
- 

## 2. Problem Understanding

- A circular queue is a FIFO structure where the last position is connected back to the first.
  - Unlike a normal queue, empty space at the front can be reused.
  - Indices wrap around using modulo arithmetic.
  - We track:
    - `front` → first valid element
    - `rear` → last valid element
- 

## 3. Constraints

- $1 \leq k \leq 1000$
  - $0 \leq \text{value} \leq 1000$
  - $0 \leq \text{number of queries} \leq 300$
- 

## 4. Edge Cases

- Dequeue on empty queue
  - Enqueue on full queue
  - Single element queue (`front == rear`)
  - Wrap-around condition (`((rear + 1) % size)`)
  - Calling operations before initialization
- 

## 5. Examples

```
Input:
10
0 3
3 1
3 2
3 3
3 4
```

```
2
6
4
3 4
2
```

Output:

```
null true true true false 3 true true true 4
```

---

## 6. Approaches

### Approach 1: Brute Force Using Array + Shifting (NOT OPTIMAL)

#### Idea:

- Use an array
- On dequeue, shift elements left
- ⓧ Why Not Used
  - Shifting costs  $O(n)$  per operation
  - Fails time efficiency requirement

#### 💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- This mimics a normal queue but wastes time by shifting elements.

#### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(n)$  — shifting on every deQueue
- Space:  $O(n)$

### Approach 2: Circular Queue Using Modulo (OPTIMAL)

#### Idea:

- Use a fixed size array
- Maintain front and rear
- Use modulo % size to wrap around

#### Steps:

- Initialize:
  - front = -1, rear = -1
- enqueue
  - If full → return false
  - First insert → set front = rear = 0
  - Else → rear = (rear + 1) % size
- dequeue
  - If empty → return false
  - If only one element → reset both to -1
  - Else → front = (front + 1) % size

- isEmpty
  - front == -1
- isFull
  - (rear + 1) % size == front

#### Java Code:

```
import java.util.*;

public class Main {

    static AccioQueue curr = null; // MUST be static

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Scanner sc = new Scanner(System.in);
        int n = sc.nextInt();

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            int call = sc.nextInt();

            if (call == 0 || call == 3) {
                int value = sc.nextInt();
                FunctionToBeCalled(call, value);
            } else {
                FunctionToBeCalled(call);
            }
        }
    }

    static void FunctionToBeCalled(int call) {
        FunctionToBeCalled(call, 0);
    }

    static void FunctionToBeCalled(int call, int value) {
        switch (call) {
            case 0:
                curr = new AccioQueue(value);
                System.out.print("null ");
                break;
            case 1:
                System.out.print(curr.Front() + " ");
                break;
            case 2:
                System.out.print(curr.Rear() + " ");
                break;
            case 3:
                System.out.print(curr.enqueue(value) + " ");
                break;
            case 4:
                System.out.print(curr.dequeue() + " ");
                break;
            case 5:

```

```

        System.out.print(curr.isEmpty() + " ");
        break;
    case 6:
        System.out.print(curr.isFull() + " ");
        break;
    }
}

```

```

static class AccioQueue {
    int[] arr;
    int front, rear, size;

    AccioQueue(int size) {
        this.size = size;
        arr = new int[size];
        front = -1;
        rear = -1;
    }

    int Front() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;
        return arr[front];
    }

    int Rear() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;
        return arr[rear];
    }

    boolean enqueue(int value) {
        if (isFull()) return false;
        if (front == -1) {
            front = 0;
            rear = 0;
        } else {
            rear = (rear + 1) % size;
        }
        arr[rear] = value;
        return true;
    }

    boolean dequeue() {
        if (isEmpty()) return false;
        if (front == rear) {
            front = -1;
            rear = -1;
            return true;
        }
        front = (front + 1) % size;
        return true;
    }

    boolean isEmpty() {
        return front == -1;
    }
}

```

```
    }

    boolean isFull() {
        return (rear + 1) % size == front;
    }
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We reuse space instead of shifting elements.
- Modulo ensures indices stay within bounds.
- Resetting when `front == rear` handles the last element case cleanly.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(1)$  — each operation is constant time
  - Space:  $O(n)$  — fixed array size
- 

## 7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Circular queue eliminates wasted space.
  - Modulo arithmetic avoids shifting.
  - All operations meet optimal time complexity.
- 

## 8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Deque (Double-Ended Queue)
  - Round-Robin CPU Scheduling
  - Producer–Consumer buffer
  - Ring buffer in OS / networking
- 

## 9. Tips & Observations

- Circular queue always keeps one empty slot logically
  - Full condition is next rear hits front
  - Reset pointers when queue becomes empty
  - Very common interview + system design topic
- 

## 10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting modulo `% size`
  - Not resetting front and rear
  - Using `=` instead of `==` in `isFull`
  - Mishandling single element case
-