

Q0: Queue

1. Problem Statement

- Queue is a linear data structure that follows FIFO order:
- First In First Out
 - The element added first is removed first
- Think of a line at a counter:
 - first person → served first

Core Terminology

- Enqueue → Insert element at the rear
- Dequeue → Remove element from the front
- Front / Peek → Element at the front without removing it
- Rear → Last inserted element
- isEmpty → Check if queue is empty
- size → Number of elements

Intuition Behind the Concept

- Queue is used when order matters
- Useful when tasks must be processed in the same order they arrive
- Unlike stack (LIFO), queue ensures fair processing

Basic Operations (Conceptually)

- Enqueue → push from one side
- Dequeue → pop from the other side
- Access is restricted:
 - No random access like arrays

💡 Types of Queue (Theory)

- Simple Queue
- Circular Queue
- Deque (Double Ended Queue)

- Priority Queue
- **Queue Declaration in Java (Most Important)**
- Using LinkedList (Most Common)
 - Queue q = new LinkedList<>();
 - Why?
 - LinkedList implements Queue
 - Allows dynamic size
 - Fast enqueue & dequeue → O(1)
- Using ArrayDeque (Recommended for DSA)
 - Queue q = new ArrayDeque<>();
 - Why better?
 - Faster than LinkedList
 - No unnecessary node overhead
 - Preferred in interviews & competitive coding
- Using PriorityQueue (Different behavior)
 - Queue q = new PriorityQueue<>();
 - Does NOT follow FIFO
 - Elements come out by priority, not order

```

q.add(10);           // Enqueue (throws exception if fails)
q.offer(20);         // Enqueue (returns false if fails)

q.remove();          // Dequeue (throws exception if empty)
q.poll();            // Dequeue (returns null if empty)

q.peek();            // Front element (null if empty)
q.element();          // Front element (exception if empty)

q.isEmpty();          // Check empty
q.size();             // Queue size
  
```

- **add vs offer (Interview Favorite)**
- add() → throws exception on failure
- offer() → safe, returns false
- **remove vs poll**
- remove() → exception if empty
- poll() → returns null

- **When to Use Queue in DSA**
 - BFS (Breadth First Search)
 - Level order traversal (Trees)
 - Sliding window problems
 - Task scheduling
 - Producer–Consumer problems
 - **Complexity**
 - ⏳ Time Complexity (Core Operations)
 - Enqueue → O(1)
 - Dequeue → O(1)
 - Peek → O(1)
 - Why?
 - Because insertion & removal happen only at ends
 - ⌂ Space Complexity
 - O(n) — stores n elements
-

2. Pitfalls

- Using PriorityQueue when FIFO is required
 - Using remove() instead of poll() without empty check
 - Confusing Queue vs Deque
 - Expecting random access like array
-

Q198: Implement Queue Using Arrays

1. Problem Statement

- Implement a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) queue using an array.
 - The queue must support the following operations:
 - push(int x) → add element at the end
 - pop() → remove and return the front element
 - peek() → return the front element without removing it
 - isEmpty() → check if queue is empty
-

2. Problem Understanding

- Queue follows FIFO principle

- Insertion happens at the rear
 - Deletion happens from the front
 - Array is used as the underlying data structure
 - We must track:
 - front → index of first element
 - rear → index of last inserted element
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq$ number of operations ≤ 100
 - $1 \leq x \leq 100$
 - Fixed-size array is sufficient
-

4. Edge Cases

- pop() when queue is empty
 - peek() when queue is empty
 - isEmpty() immediately after initialization
 - Single element enqueue → dequeue
-

5. Examples

Example 1

Input:

```
["ArrayQueue", "push", "push", "peek", "pop", "isEmpty"]
[], [5], [10], [], [], []]
```

Output:

```
[null, null, null, 5, 5, false]
```

Example 2

Input:

```
["ArrayQueue", "isEmpty"]
[]
```

Output:

```
[null, true]
```

Example 3

Input:

```
["ArrayQueue", "push", "pop", "isEmpty"]
[], [1], [], []
```

Output:

```
[null, null, 1, true]
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Queue Using Array (Simple Linear Queue)

Idea:

- Use an integer array
- Maintain two pointers:
 - front → points to current front
 - rear → points to last inserted element
- Queue is empty when front > rear

Steps:

- Initialize:
 - front = 0
 - rear = -1
 - push(x):
 - Increment rear
 - Store x at arr[rear]
- pop():
 - If empty, return -1
 - Return arr[front]
 - Increment front
- peek():
 - If empty, return -1
 - Return arr[front]
- isEmpty():
 - Return front > rear

Java Code:

```
class ArrayQueue {
    int[] arr;
    int front, rear;

    ArrayQueue() {
        arr = new int[100];
```

```

        front = 0;
        rear = -1;
    }

    void push(int x) {
        arr[++rear] = x;
    }

    int pop() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;

        int val = arr[front++];

        // ⚡ reset when queue becomes empty
        if (front > rear) {
            front = 0;
            rear = -1;
        }
        return val;
    }

    int peek() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;
        return arr[front];
    }

    int size() {
        return rear - front + 1;
    }

    boolean isEmpty() {
        return size() == 0;
    }
}

```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Queue processes elements in arrival order
- front always represents the oldest element
- rear tracks the most recent insertion
- Array provides constant-time access using indices

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: O(1) — direct index access for all operations
- Space: O(N) — array storage

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- This approach correctly simulates FIFO behavior using an array with constant-time operations and minimal overhead, making it suitable for small constraints.
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Queue using Linked List
 - Circular Queue (to reuse freed space)
 - Queue using Two Stacks
 - Deque (Double Ended Queue)
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Linear array queue wastes space after many dequeues
 - Circular queue solves space wastage
 - FIFO problems often appear in:
 - BFS
 - Sliding Window
 - Scheduling problems
-

10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting empty condition before pop() / peek()
 - Confusing queue with stack (FIFO vs LIFO)
 - Not resetting pointers correctly
 - Ignoring space wastage in linear queue
-

Q199: Implement Stack Using Queue (Single Queue)

1. Problem Statement

- Implement a Last-In-First-Out (LIFO) stack using a single queue.
 - The stack must support the following operations:
 - push(int x) → push element onto stack
 - pop() → remove and return top element
 - top() → return top element without removing
 - isEmpty() → check if stack is empty
-

2. Problem Understanding

- Stack follows LIFO
- Queue follows FIFO

- Only one queue is allowed
 - Stack behavior must be simulated using queue operations
 - The most recently pushed element must always be removed first
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq$ number of calls ≤ 100
 - $1 \leq x \leq 100$
 - Only one queue can be used
-

4. Edge Cases

- pop() on empty stack
 - top() on empty stack
 - isEmpty() immediately after initialization
 - Single element push → pop
-

5. Examples

Example 1

Input:

```
["QueueStack", "push", "push", "pop", "top", "isEmpty"]
[], [4], [8], [], [], []]
```

Output:

```
[null, null, null, 8, 4, false]
```

Example 2

Input:

```
["QueueStack", "isEmpty"]
[]
```

Output:

```
[null, true]
```

Example 3

Input:

```
["QueueStack", "push", "pop", "isEmpty"]
```

```
[], [6], [], []
```

Output:

```
[null, null, 6, true]
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Stack Using Single Queue (Push Costly)

Idea:

- Use one queue
- After every push(x), rotate the queue so that:
 - x comes to the front
- This ensures:
 - Front of queue = top of stack

Steps:

- Maintain one queue q
- push(x):
 - Add x to queue
 - Rotate the queue size - 1 times
- pop():
 - If empty, return -1
 - Remove and return front element
- top():
 - If empty, return -1
 - Return front element
- isEmpty():
 - Return true if queue is empty

Java Code:

```
class QueueStack {  
    Queue<Integer> q = new ArrayDeque<>();  
  
    void push(int x) {  
        q.offer(x);  
        int size = q.size();  
        while (size-- > 1) {  
            q.offer(q.poll());  
        }  
    }  
  
    int pop() {
```

```

        if (q.isEmpty()) return -1;
        return q.poll();
    }

    int top() {
        if (q.isEmpty()) return -1;
        return q.peek();
    }

    boolean isEmpty() {
        return q.isEmpty();
    }
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Queue gives FIFO access
- Rotating after push moves the newest element to the front
- Front of queue always behaves like stack top
- Sacrifices push efficiency to simplify pop and top

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N)$ — push rotates all elements
 - Time: $O(1)$ — pop, top, isEmpty
 - Space: $O(N)$ — queue storage
-

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Rotating the queue after each push ensures correct LIFO behavior while using only one queue, satisfying the problem constraints.
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Stack using two queues (push $O(1)$)
 - Stack using two queues (pop $O(1)$)
 - Stack using array
 - Stack using linked list
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Single-queue solution always makes push costly
 - If push must be $O(1)$, two queues are required
 - Rotation count is always $queue_size - 1$
 - Front of queue represents stack top
-

10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting to rotate after push
 - Rotating incorrect number of times
 - Confusing FIFO behavior during pop
 - Not checking empty before pop/top
-

Q228: Circular Queue

1. Problem Statement

- Implement a Circular Queue using an array that supports the following operations:
 - `push(x)` → Insert element x into the queue
 - `pop()` → Remove and return the front element of the queue
 - `front()` → Return the front element without removing it
 - `size()` → Return the number of elements currently in the queue
 - Key Requirements
 - The queue must use a fixed-size array
 - Efficiently utilize space by reusing empty positions
 - All operations should work in O(1) time
-

2. Problem Understanding

- A Circular Queue is an improved version of a normal array-based queue.
 - Why not a normal queue?
 - In a linear queue:
 - After several `pop()` operations, front moves forward
 - Empty spaces at the beginning cannot be reused
 - This causes space wastage
 - ⚡ Circular Queue solves this by:
 - Treating the array as circular
 - Using modulo (%) to wrap indices
-

3. Constraints

- Fixed-size array
 - Maximum size is known beforehand
 - $0 \leq$ number of elements \leq size
 - All operations must be constant time
-

4. Edge Cases

- Queue is empty
- Queue is full
- First insertion

- Last deletion (queue becomes empty)
 - Wrap-around when rear reaches end
-

5. Examples

```
push(10) → [10, _, _, _, _]
push(20) → [10, 20, _, _, _]
push(30) → [10, 20, 30, _, _]
pop()    → [_, 20, 30, _, _]
push(40) → [40, 20, 30, _, _]   ← wrap-around
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Circular Queue using Array (Optimal)

Idea:

- Use % size to reuse array positions
- Queue is full when the next position of rear hits front
- Queue is empty when front == -1
- Reset pointers when queue becomes empty
- ◊ Conditions (Very Important)
 - Empty → front == -1
 - Full → (rear + 1) % size == front

Java Code:

```
class CircularQueue {
    int[] arr;
    int front, rear, size;

    CircularQueue(int size) {
        this.size = size;
        arr = new int[size];
        front = -1;
        rear = -1;
    }

    // enqueue
    void push(int x) {
        if ((rear + 1) % size == front) {
            return; // queue full
        }

        if (front == -1) {
            front = 0;
            rear = 0;
        } else {
            rear = (rear + 1) % size;
        }
    }

    // dequeue
    int pop() {
        if (front == -1) {
            return -1; // queue empty
        }

        int val = arr[front];
        if (front == rear) {
            front = -1;
            rear = -1;
        } else {
            front = (front + 1) % size;
        }
        return val;
    }
}
```

```

    } else {
        rear = (rear + 1) % size;
    }

    arr[rear] = x;
}

// dequeue
int pop() {
    if (front == -1) return -1;

    int val = arr[front];

    if (front == rear) {
        front = -1;
        rear = -1;
    } else {
        front = (front + 1) % size;
    }

    return val;
}

// peek
int front() {
    if (front == -1) return -1;
    return arr[front];
}

// size
int size() {
    if (front == -1) return 0;
    if (rear >= front)
        return rear - front + 1;
    return size - (front - rear - 1);
}
}

```

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: O(1) — index updates use constant-time modulo
- Space: O(N) — fixed-size array storage

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Prevents space wastage present in linear queue
- Supports all queue operations in constant time
- Standard interview-expected implementation

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Circular Queue using Linked List
 - Deque (Double Ended Queue)
 - Dynamic Circular Queue (resizable)
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Circular Queue is conceptually important even if not directly coded
 - % size is the heart of the solution
 - Always reset pointers after last removal
 - Deque problems are extensions of circular queue logic
-

10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting modulo while incrementing
 - Wrong full condition
 - Not resetting front and rear
 - Mixing linear and circular queue logic
-

Q229: Queue Using Linked List

1. Problem Statement

- Implement a Queue using a Linked List that supports the following operations:
 - push(x) → Insert integer x at the rear of the queue
 - pop() → Remove and return the front element of the queue
 - front() → Return the front element without removing it
 - size() → Return the number of elements currently in the queue
 - All operations must work efficiently.
-

2. Problem Understanding

- A Queue follows the FIFO (First In First Out) principle.
 - Using a Linked List:
 - Each node stores data and a reference to the next node
 - We maintain:
 - front → points to first element
 - rear → points to last element
 - Insertions happen at rear
 - Deletions happen at front
 - ↗ Unlike array-based queues, no fixed size and no shifting needed.
-

3. Constraints

- At most 1000 elements in the queue
 - Operations belong to {1, 2, 3, 4}
 - All operations should run in O(1)
-

4. Edge Cases

- Pop on empty queue
 - Front on empty queue
 - Queue becomes empty after pop
 - First insertion into empty queue
-

5. Examples

Operations:

```
push(1) → [1]
push(2) → [1, 2]
push(3) → [1, 2, 3]
front() → 1
pop()   → removes 1 → [2, 3]
front() → 2
size()  → 2
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Queue Using Linked List (Optimal)

Idea:

- Maintain front and rear pointers
- Insert at rear in O(1)
- Remove from front in O(1)
- Update both pointers correctly when queue becomes empty

Java Code:

```
class Queue {
    class Node {
        int data;
        Node next;
        Node(int data) {
            this.data = data;
            this.next = null;
        }
    }

    Node front, rear;
```

```

int size;

Queue() {
    front = null;
    rear = null;
    size = 0;
}

void push(int x) {
    Node newNode = new Node(x);

    if (rear == null) {
        front = rear = newNode;
    } else {
        rear.next = newNode;
        rear = newNode;
    }
    size++;
}

int pop() {
    if (front == null) return -1;

    int val = front.data;
    front = front.next;

    if (front == null) {
        rear = null;
    }

    size--;
    return val;
}

int front() {
    if (front == null) return -1;
    return front.data;
}

int size() {
    return size;
}
}

```

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: O(1) — insert and delete using pointers only
- Space: O(N) — one node per element

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- No space wastage as in array queue
 - No overflow until memory is exhausted
 - Constant time for all queue operations
 - Clean and interview-preferred implementation
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Queue using Array
 - Circular Queue
 - Deque using Linked List
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Always maintain both front and rear
 - When queue becomes empty, set both to null
 - Linked List queue is better when size is unknown
-

10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting to update rear when last element is removed
 - Returning wrong value on empty pop
 - Not maintaining size correctly
-

Q230: Reverse First K Elements of Queue

1. Problem Statement

- Given an integer K and a queue of N integers, reverse the order of the first K elements of the queue, while keeping the remaining elements in the same relative order.
-

2. Problem Understanding

- You are given:
 - A queue (FIFO structure)
 - An integer K
 - Your task:
 - Reverse only the first K elements
 - Do not disturb the order of the remaining $N - K$ elements
 - Important points:
 - Only the prefix of length K is affected
 - Queue nature must be preserved after modification
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq K \leq N \leq 10000$
 - $1 \leq \text{elements} \leq 10000$
 - Efficient solution required
-

4. Edge Cases

- $K = 1 \rightarrow$ queue remains unchanged
 - $K = N \rightarrow$ entire queue is reversed
 - Queue with only one element
 - Large $N \rightarrow$ avoid unnecessary operations
-

5. Examples

Input:

$N = 5, K = 3$

Queue = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

Output:

[3, 2, 1, 4, 5]

Explanation:

First 3 elements \rightarrow [1, 2, 3]

Reverse \rightarrow [3, 2, 1]

Remaining elements stay same \rightarrow [4, 5]

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Brute Force using Extra Array (Conceptual)

Idea:

- Copy queue to array
- Reverse first K elements in array
- Rebuild queue
- \triangle Not preferred in interviews, but helps understanding.

Steps:

- Dequeue all elements into array
- Reverse array indices [0.. $K-1$]
- Enqueue elements back

Java Code:

```

static void reverseFirstK_Array(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    int n = q.size();
    int[] arr = new int[n];

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        arr[i] = q.poll();
    }

    int l = 0, r = k - 1;
    while (l < r) {
        int temp = arr[l];
        arr[l] = arr[r];
        arr[r] = temp;
        l++; r--;
    }

    for (int x : arr) {
        q.add(x);
    }
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Treat queue like a normal array
- Straightforward but breaks queue abstraction

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N)$ — full traversal and rebuild
- Space: $O(N)$ — extra array used

Approach 2: Using Recursion (Better, but Risky)

Idea:

- Use recursion to reverse first K elements
- Stack frame acts as implicit stack

Steps:

- Pop first element
- Recursively reverse next $K-1$
- Insert popped element at rear

Java Code:

```

static void reverseFirstK_Recursion(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    if (k == 0) return;

    int x = q.poll();

```

```

        reverseFirstK_Recursion(q, k - 1);
        q.add(x);
    }
}

```

After recursion, rotate remaining N-K elements.

```

static void reverseK_WithRotation(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    int n = q.size();
    reverseFirstK_Recursion(q, k);

    for (int i = 0; i < n - k; i++) {
        q.add(q.poll());
    }
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Recursion reverses order naturally
- Queue rotation maintains remaining elements

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: O(N) — recursion + rotation
- Space: O(K) — recursion stack

Approach 3: Stack + Queue (Optimal & Interview-Preferred)

Idea:

- Stack reverses first K elements
- Queue rotation preserves remaining order

Steps:

- Push first K elements into stack
- Pop stack back into queue
- Rotate remaining N-K elements

Java Code:

```

static void reverseFirstK(Queue<Integer> q, int k) {
    Stack<Integer> st = new Stack<>();

    for (int i = 0; i < k; i++) {
        st.push(q.poll());
    }

    while (!st.isEmpty()) {
        q.add(st.pop());
    }
}

```

```

        int rem = q.size() - k;
        for (int i = 0; i < rem; i++) {
            q.add(q.poll());
        }
    }

// In accio boiler plate solution
import java.util.*;
import java.io.*;

public class Main {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
        int n = input.nextInt(), k = input.nextInt();
        Queue<Integer> q = new LinkedList<>();

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            q.add(input.nextInt());
        }

        Stack<Integer> st = new Stack<>();

        for (int i = 0; i < k; i++) {
            st.push(q.poll());
        }

        while (!st.isEmpty()) {
            q.add(st.pop());
        }

        int rem = q.size() - k;
        for (int i = 0; i < rem; i++) {
            q.add(q.poll());
        }

        while (q.size() > 0) {
            System.out.print(q.poll() + " ");
        }
    }
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Stack = reversal
- Queue = order preservation
- Clean separation of responsibilities

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: O(N) — each element moved once
- Space: O(K) — stack usage

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Stack-based solution is clean and interview-expected
 - Respects queue abstraction
 - Efficient and safe for large inputs
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Reverse every K elements
 - Reverse first K using Deque
 - Reverse queue using only recursion
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Stack is the natural choice for reversal
 - Queue problems often mix stack usage
 - Always rotate remaining elements
-

10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting to rotate N-K elements
 - Reversing entire queue
 - Mishandling $K = N$
-

Q231: Rotting Oranges

1. Problem Statement

- You are given an $m \times n$ grid where each cell can have one of three values:
 - 0 → empty cell
 - 1 → fresh orange
 - 2 → rotten orange
 - Every minute, any fresh orange that is 4-directionally adjacent (up, down, left, right) to a rotten orange becomes rotten.
 - Return the minimum number of minutes required so that no fresh orange remains.
 - If it is impossible, return -1.
-

2. Problem Understanding

- Rot spreads simultaneously from all rotten oranges
- This is a level-by-level spread over time
- Each level represents 1 minute

- If even one fresh orange is isolated, answer is -1
 - ↗ This is a multi-source BFS problem.
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq m, n \leq 10$
 - Grid size is small, but logic must be correct
 - Only 4-directional movement allowed
-

4. Edge Cases

- No fresh oranges initially → answer 0
 - Fresh oranges but no rotten orange → answer -1
 - Single cell grid
 - Fresh orange completely isolated
-

5. Examples

Example 1

```
2 1 1  
1 1 0  
0 1 1
```

Output: 4

Example 2

```
2 1 1  
0 1 1  
1 0 1
```

Output: -1

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Brute Force Simulation (Not Recommended)

Idea:

- Simulate the rotting process minute by minute by scanning the entire grid repeatedly and rotting fresh oranges adjacent to rotten ones.

Steps:

- Count total fresh oranges

- Repeat:
 - Traverse entire grid
 - For every rotten orange, mark adjacent fresh oranges as "to be rotten"
- Apply all changes at once (to simulate simultaneous spread)
- Increment minute counter
- Stop when:
 - No fresh oranges remain → return time
 - No change occurs but fresh still exist → return -1

Java Code:

```

static int orangesRottingBrute(int[][] grid) {
    int m = grid.length, n = grid[0].length;
    int fresh = 0;

    for (int[] row : grid)
        for (int cell : row)
            if (cell == 1) fresh++;

    int minutes = 0;
    int[][] dir = {{1,0}, {-1,0}, {0,1}, {0,-1}};

    while (fresh > 0) {
        boolean changed = false;
        List<int[]> toRot = new ArrayList<>();

        for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {
            for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
                if (grid[i][j] == 2) {
                    for (int[] d : dir) {
                        int ni = i + d[0], nj = j + d[1];
                        if (ni >= 0 && ni < m && nj >= 0 && nj < n && grid[ni][nj]
== 1) {
                            toRot.add(new int[]{ni, nj});
                        }
                    }
                }
            }
        }

        for (int[] cell : toRot) {
            if (grid[cell[0]][cell[1]] == 1) {
                grid[cell[0]][cell[1]] = 2;
                fresh--;
                changed = true;
            }
        }

        if (!changed) return -1;
        minutes++;
    }
}

```

```
        return minutes;
    }
```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We imitate the real-world process directly
- Each loop represents one minute
- Inefficient because we re-scan the whole grid every time

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O((m \cdot n)^2)$ — full grid scan per minute
- Space: $O(m \cdot n)$ — temporary list for changes
- ↗ Avoid in interviews

Approach 2: Multi-Source BFS (Optimal & Interview-Standard)

Idea:

- Treat every rotten orange as a BFS source
- Spread rot level by level
- Each BFS level = 1 minute
- Count fresh oranges to verify final state

Steps:

- Add all rotten oranges to queue
- Count fresh oranges
- BFS:
 - Process one level (1 minute)
 - Rot adjacent fresh oranges
- If fresh oranges remain → return -1
- Else return time

Java Code:

```
static int orangesRotting(int[][] grid) {
    int m = grid.length, n = grid[0].length;
    Queue<int[]> q = new ArrayDeque<>();
    int fresh = 0;

    // Step 1: push all rotten oranges
    for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            if (grid[i][j] == 2) {
                q.add(new int[]{i, j});
            } else if (grid[i][j] == 1) {
                fresh++;
            }
        }
    }
```

```

    }

    // Edge case
    if (fresh == 0) return 0;

    int minutes = 0;
    int[][][] dir = {{1,0}, {-1,0}, {0,1}, {0,-1}};

    // Step 2: BFS
    while (!q.isEmpty()) {
        int size = q.size();
        boolean rotted = false;

        for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
            int[] cell = q.poll();
            int r = cell[0], c = cell[1];

            for (int[] d : dir) {
                int nr = r + d[0];
                int nc = c + d[1];

                if (nr >= 0 && nr < m && nc >= 0 && nc < n && grid[nr][nc] == 1) {
                    grid[nr][nc] = 2;
                    fresh--;
                    q.add(new int[]{nr, nc});
                    rotted = true;
                }
            }
        }

        if (rotted) minutes++;
    }

    return fresh == 0 ? minutes : -1;
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Rot spreads simultaneously → BFS
- Multiple rotten oranges → multi-source BFS
- Queue naturally handles time layers
- Stop when no more spread is possible

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(m \cdot n)$ — each cell processed once
- Space: $O(m \cdot n)$ — queue in worst case

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- BFS models time-based spread perfectly

- Multi-source handles simultaneous rotting
 - Guarantees minimum time
 - Industry-standard solution
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Rotting Oranges II (different spread rules)
 - Zombie infection problems
 - Fire spread simulation
 - Shortest path in matrix
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Always count fresh oranges first
 - Increment time per BFS level
 - Use a flag to check if any rotting happened
 - 4-direction only (no diagonals)
-

10. Pitfalls

- Incrementing time when no rotting happens
 - Forgetting multi-source initialization
 - Missing edge case where no fresh oranges exist
 - Treating it as DFS instead of BFS
-

Q232: Sliding Window Maximum

1. Problem Statement

- You are given an array of integers nums of size N and an integer K representing the window size.
 - A sliding window of size K moves from left to right by one position at a time.
 - At each position, return the maximum element present in the current window.
 - You need to return an array containing the maximum of each window.
-

2. Problem Understanding

- Window size is fixed (K)
 - Window moves one step at a time
 - For each window, we need the maximum
 - Brute force would recompute max for every window
 - We need to reuse information from previous windows
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 20000$
 - $1 \leq K \leq N$
 - $-10^4 \leq \text{arr}[i] \leq 10^4$
-

4. Edge Cases

- $K = 1 \rightarrow$ answer is the array itself
 - $K = N \rightarrow$ answer has one element (max of whole array)
 - All elements equal
 - Negative numbers
-

5. Examples

```
Input:  
nums = [1,3,-1,-3,5,3,6,7], K = 3
```

```
Output:  
[3, 3, 5, 5, 6, 7]
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Brute Force (Naive)

Idea:

- For every window of size K, scan all K elements and find the maximum.

Steps:

- For i from 0 to $N-K$
- For each window $[i \dots i+K-1]$, find max
- Store result

Java Code:

```
static int[] slidingWindowMaxBrute(int[] arr, int n, int k) {  
    int[] ans = new int[n - k + 1];  
  
    for (int i = 0; i <= n - k; i++) {  
        int max = arr[i];  
        for (int j = i; j < i + k; j++) {  
            max = Math.max(max, arr[j]);  
        }  
        ans[i] = max;  
    }  
}
```

```
    }
    return ans;
}
```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Direct simulation of the problem
- Simple but repetitive work
- Does not reuse previous window information

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N \cdot K)$ — each window scans K elements
- Space: $O(1)$ — excluding output

Approach 2: Using Max Heap (Better)

Idea:

- Use a max heap to always get the maximum element of the current window.

Steps:

- Push (value, index) into heap
- Remove elements that are outside the window
- Heap top gives current max

Java Code:

```
static int[] slidingWindowMaxHeap(int[] arr, int n, int k) {
    PriorityQueue<int[]> pq =
        new PriorityQueue<>((a, b) -> b[0] - a[0]);

    int[] ans = new int[n - k + 1];
    int idx = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        pq.offer(new int[]{arr[i], i});

        while (pq.peek()[1] <= i - k) {
            pq.poll();
        }

        if (i >= k - 1) {
            ans[idx++] = pq.peek()[0];
        }
    }
    return ans;
}
```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Heap keeps max accessible
- Old elements are lazily removed
- Better than brute force but still not optimal

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N \log N)$ — heap operations
- Space: $O(N)$ — heap storage

Approach 3: Monotonic Deque (Optimal & Interview-Expected)

Idea:

- Maintain a deque of indices such that:
 - Elements are in decreasing order
 - Front of deque is always the maximum

Steps:

- Remove indices from front that are outside window
- Remove smaller elements from back
- Add current index
- Front of deque is max for current window

Java Code:

```
static int[] slidingWindowMaximum(int[] arr, int n, int k) {
    Deque<Integer> dq = new ArrayDeque<>();
    int[] ans = new int[n - k + 1];
    int idx = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {

        // remove out-of-window indices
        while (!dq.isEmpty() && dq.peekFirst() <= i - k) {
            dq.pollFirst();
        }

        // maintain decreasing order
        while (!dq.isEmpty() && arr[dq.peekLast()] <= arr[i]) {
            dq.pollLast();
        }

        dq.addLast(i);

        // record answer
        if (i >= k - 1) {
            ans[idx++] = arr[dq.peekFirst()];
        }
    }
}
```

```
    return ans;  
}
```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Deque stores useful candidates only
- Smaller elements are discarded early
- Each element enters and leaves deque once
- Front always holds max

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N)$ — each index processed once
 - Space: $O(K)$ — deque stores window indices
-

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Brute force is too slow
 - Heap improves but still logarithmic
 - Monotonic deque achieves linear time
 - This is the industry-standard solution
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Sliding Window Minimum
 - First negative number in every window
 - Count distinct elements in window
 - Maximum of minimums of every window size
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Always store indices, not values
 - Deque problems are about order + validity
 - Remove out-of-window elements first
 - Monotonic structures appear frequently
-

10. Pitfalls

- Storing values instead of indices
 - Forgetting to remove out-of-window indices
 - Incorrect comparison (\leq vs $<$)
 - Using heap when $O(N)$ solution exists
-

1. Problem Statement

- There are N petrol pumps arranged in a circular manner.
 - You are given:
 - An array `petrol[]` where `petrol[i]` is the amount of petrol at pump i
 - An array `distance[]` where `distance[i]` is the distance from pump i to the next pump ($i+1$)
 - Assume:
 - 1 unit of petrol allows the truck to travel 1 unit distance
 - Find the starting petrol pump index from where the truck can complete the entire circular tour without running out of petrol.
 - If no such starting point exists, return -1.
-

2. Problem Understanding

- The truck starts with 0 petrol
 - At each pump:
 - It gains `petrol[i]`
 - It must travel `distance[i]` to the next pump
 - The tour is circular → after last pump, it must return to the first
 - If petrol ever becomes negative → journey fails
 - This is a feasibility + optimization problem.
-

3. Constraints

- $2 \leq N \leq 10000$
 - $1 \leq \text{petrol}[i], \text{distance}[i] \leq 1000$
-

4. Edge Cases

- Total petrol < total distance → impossible
 - Single valid starting point
 - Multiple pumps but only one feasible start
 - All pumps individually fail but total still sufficient
-

5. Examples

```
Input
```

```
4
4 6 7 4
6 5 3 5
Output
```

1

Explanation

There are 4 petrol pumps with amount of petrol and distance to next

petrol pump value pairs as {4, 6}, {6, 5}, {7, 3} and {4, 5}. The first point from where truck can make a circular tour is 2nd petrol pump. Output in this case is 1 (index of 2nd petrol pump).

Example 2

Input

2

1 1 2 3

Output

-1

Explanation

No solution exists.

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Brute Force (Try Every Starting Point)

Idea:

- Try starting the tour from each petrol pump and simulate the entire circular journey.

Steps:

- For each index i from 0 to N-1
- Start with fuel = 0
- Traverse all pumps circularly
- If fuel becomes negative → break
- If full circle completes → return i

Java Code:

```
static int circularTourBrute(int[] petrol, int[] dist, int n) {
    for (int start = 0; start < n; start++) {
        int fuel = 0;
        boolean possible = true;

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            int idx = (start + i) % n;
            fuel += petrol[idx] - dist[idx];
```

```

        if (fuel < 0) {
            possible = false;
            break;
        }
    }

    if (possible) return start;
}
return -1;
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Direct simulation
- Simple and easy to reason
- Inefficient because it repeats work for every start

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N^2)$ — full traversal for each start
- Space: $O(1)$

Approach 2: Greedy / Queue Elimination (Optimal)

Idea:

- Instead of trying all starts:
 - Track current fuel
 - Track total petrol vs total distance
 - If fuel becomes negative at index i, then no pump between previous start and i can be a valid start

Steps:

- Initialize:
- `totalFuel = 0`
- `currFuel = 0`
- `start = 0`
- Traverse pumps from 0 to $N-1$
- Update:
 - `currFuel += petrol[i] - distance[i]`
 - `totalFuel += petrol[i] - distance[i]`
- If `currFuel < 0`:
 - Reset `currFuel = 0`
 - Set `start = i + 1`
- After loop:
 - If `totalFuel < 0` → return -1
 - Else return `start`

Java Code:

```

static int circularTour(int[] petrol, int[] dist, int n) {
    int totalFuel = 0;
    int currFuel = 0;
    int start = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        int gain = petrol[i] - dist[i];
        totalFuel += gain;
        currFuel += gain;

        if (currFuel < 0) {
            start = i + 1;
            currFuel = 0;
        }
    }

    return totalFuel >= 0 ? start : -1;
}

```

Alternative Greedy Implementation

```

int tour(int petrol[], int distance[]) {
    int n = petrol.length;

    int totalPetrol = 0;
    int totalDistance = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        totalPetrol += petrol[i];
        totalDistance += distance[i];
    }

    if (totalPetrol < totalDistance) return -1;

    int currFuel = 0;
    int start = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        currFuel += petrol[i] - distance[i];

        if (currFuel < 0) {
            start = i + 1;
            currFuel = 0;
        }
    }

    return start;
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- If you fail at pump i , any start before i also fails
- So we safely skip all those starts
- Total fuel check ensures feasibility
- One pass is enough
- Alternative approach
- If total petrol is insufficient, no solution exists
- If the truck fails at index i , any start before i is invalid
- Resetting start skips all impossible pumps
- One full pass is enough to find the answer

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(N)$ — single traversal
 - Space: $O(1)$
-

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Brute force is too slow for large N
 - Greedy eliminates impossible starts early
 - Optimal solution is clean, fast, and interview-standard
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Gas Station (LeetCode)
 - Circular queue feasibility
 - Resource allocation problems
 - Scheduling with wrap-around constraints
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Always check total petrol vs total distance
 - Reset start only when current fuel becomes negative
 - Greedy works because failure region is provably invalid
-

10. Pitfalls

- Returning start without checking total fuel
 - Off-by-one errors in start update
 - Trying BFS/DP unnecessarily
 - Overthinking circular traversal
-

Q249: Class chocolate distribution

1. Problem Statement

- There are n classes standing in a queue to receive chocolates.
 - Each class has a certain number of students, and each student needs exactly 1 chocolate.
 - You are given:
 - A 0-indexed integer array classes where $\text{classes}[i]$ represents the number of students in the i -th class.
 - An integer k , representing the index of a specific class.
 - Rules:
 - A class can take only 1 chocolate at a time.
 - Taking 1 chocolate takes 1 second.
 - After taking a chocolate:
 - If the class still has students left → it goes to the end of the queue instantly.
 - If the class has no students left → it leaves the queue.
 - The queue order is maintained strictly.
 - Task:
 - Return the total time (in seconds) taken for the class at index k to finish receiving chocolates for all its students.
-

2. Problem Understanding

- This is a round-robin distribution problem.
 - Each class gets turns cyclically.
 - Time increases by exactly 1 second per chocolate given.
 - We stop counting the moment class k gets its last chocolate.
 - ↗ Core idea: How many times does each class get to take a chocolate before class k finishes?
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 100$
 - $1 \leq \text{classes}[i] \leq 100$
 - $0 \leq k < n$
-

4. Edge Cases

- $\text{classes}[k] == 1 \rightarrow$ finishes in the first cycle.
 - $n == 1 \rightarrow \text{time} = \text{classes}[0]$
 - Classes before k may finish early and leave the queue.
 - Classes after k do not contribute in the final second.
-

5. Examples

Input:

3

```
2 3 2
```

```
2
```

Output:

```
6
```

Input:

```
4
```

```
5 1 1 1
```

```
0
```

Output:

```
8
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Brute Force Queue Simulation

Idea:

- Simulate the process exactly as described using a queue.

Steps:

- Push (index, remainingStudents) into a queue.
- While queue is not empty:
 - Pop front.
 - Give 1 chocolate → time++.
 - If this class becomes empty:
 - If it is class k, stop.
- Else push it back.

Java Code:

```
static int timeRequired(int[] classes, int k) {  
    Queue<int[]> q = new ArrayDeque<>();  
    for (int i = 0; i < classes.length; i++) {  
        q.offer(new int[]{i, classes[i]});  
    }  
  
    int time = 0;  
  
    while (!q.isEmpty()) {  
        int[] curr = q.poll();  
        time++;  
        curr[1]--;  
  
        if (curr[1] == 0) {  
            if (curr[0] == k) return time;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

        } else {
            q.offer(curr);
        }
    }
    return time;
}

```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We literally execute the rules step by step.
- Queue preserves order, and every chocolate consumes 1 second.

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(\text{sum}(\text{classes}))$ — one operation per chocolate
- Space: $O(n)$ — queue storage

Approach 2: Optimized Mathematical Counting (Optimal)

Idea:

- Class k needs $\text{classes}[k]$ chocolates $\rightarrow \text{classes}[k]$ rounds.
 - Classes before or at k can get chocolates in all those rounds
 - Classes after k can get chocolates in only $\text{classes}[k] - 1$ rounds

Steps:

- Let $\text{target} = \text{classes}[k]$
- For every class i :
 - If $i \leq k \rightarrow \text{add } \min(\text{classes}[i], \text{target})$
 - If $i > k \rightarrow \text{add } \min(\text{classes}[i], \text{target} - 1)$
- Sum is the answer.

Java Code:

```

static int timeRequired(int[] classes, int k) {
    int time = 0;
    int target = classes[k];

    for (int i = 0; i < classes.length; i++) {
        if (i <= k) {
            time += Math.min(classes[i], target);
        } else {
            time += Math.min(classes[i], target - 1);
        }
    }
    return time;
}

```

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- The last chocolate of class k ends everything.
- Classes after k cannot delay that last second.
- So we count only the effective blocking time.

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(n)$ — single pass
 - Space: $O(1)$ — no extra data structures
-

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Queue simulation matches the problem definition exactly.
 - Optimized approach counts how many seconds each class blocks class k.
 - Both produce identical results.
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Ticket Buying (LeetCode 2073)
 - Round-Robin CPU Scheduling
 - Printer Queue problems
-

9. Tips & Observations

- “Go to end of the line” → Queue
 - “One unit per second” → Time simulation
 - Always check if last round can be excluded for optimization
-

10. Pitfalls

- Counting classes after k in the last round
 - Forgetting that re-queueing is instantaneous
 - Over-simulating when constraints are small
-

Q250: Design Ring Buffer

1. Problem Statement

- Design a Circular Queue (Ring Buffer) that supports the following operations without using any built-in queue data structure.
- You are required to implement the AccioQueue class with the following methods:
 - `AccioQueue(k)` → Initialize the queue with size k
 - `int Front()` → Return the front element, or -1 if empty
 - `int Rear()` → Return the rear element, or -1 if empty

- boolean enQueue(int value) → Insert an element, return true if successful
 - boolean deQueue() → Remove an element, return true if successful
 - boolean isEmpty() → Check if queue is empty
 - boolean isFull() → Check if queue is full
- Input Queries
 - 0 X → Create queue of size X
 - 1 → Front
 - 2 → Rear
 - 3 X → enQueue(X)
 - 4 → deQueue
 - 5 → isEmpty
 - 6 → isFull
-

2. Problem Understanding

- A circular queue is a FIFO structure where the last position is connected back to the first.
 - Unlike a normal queue, empty space at the front can be reused.
 - Indices wrap around using modulo arithmetic.
 - We track:
 - front → first valid element
 - rear → last valid element
-

3. Constraints

- $1 \leq k \leq 1000$
 - $0 \leq \text{value} \leq 1000$
 - $0 \leq \text{number of queries} \leq 300$
-

4. Edge Cases

- Dequeue on empty queue
 - Enqueue on full queue
 - Single element queue ($\text{front} == \text{rear}$)
 - Wrap-around condition ($(\text{rear} + 1) \% \text{size}$)
 - Calling operations before initialization
-

5. Examples

```
Input:
```

```
10
0 3
3 1
3 2
3 3
3 4
```

```
2  
6  
4  
3 4  
2
```

Output:

```
null true true true false 3 true true true 4
```

6. Approaches

Approach 1: Brute Force Using Array + Shifting (NOT OPTIMAL)

Idea:

- Use an array
- On dequeue, shift elements left
- ⚡ Why Not Used
 - Shifting costs $O(n)$ per operation
 - Fails time efficiency requirement

💡 Intuition Behind the Approach:

- This mimics a normal queue but wastes time by shifting elements.

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: $O(n)$ — shifting on every deQueue
- Space: $O(n)$

Approach 2: Circular Queue Using Modulo (OPTIMAL)

Idea:

- Use a fixed size array
- Maintain front and rear
- Use modulo % size to wrap around

Steps:

- Initialize:
 - $\text{front} = -1$, $\text{rear} = -1$
- enQueue
 - If full \rightarrow return false
 - First insert \rightarrow set $\text{front} = \text{rear} = 0$
 - Else \rightarrow $\text{rear} = (\text{rear} + 1) \% \text{size}$
- deQueue
 - If empty \rightarrow return false
 - If only one element \rightarrow reset both to -1
 - Else \rightarrow $\text{front} = (\text{front} + 1) \% \text{size}$

- isEmpty
 - front == -1
- isFull
 - (rear + 1) % size == front

Java Code:

```

import java.util.*;

public class Main {

    static AccioQueue curr = null; // MUST be static

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Scanner sc = new Scanner(System.in);
        int n = sc.nextInt();

        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            int call = sc.nextInt();

            if (call == 0 || call == 3) {
                int value = sc.nextInt();
                FunctionToBeCalled(call, value);
            } else {
                FunctionToBeCalled(call);
            }
        }
    }

    static void FunctionToBeCalled(int call) {
        FunctionToBeCalled(call, 0);
    }

    static void FunctionToBeCalled(int call, int value) {
        switch (call) {
            case 0:
                curr = new AccioQueue(value);
                System.out.print("null ");
                break;
            case 1:
                System.out.print(curr.Front() + " ");
                break;
            case 2:
                System.out.print(curr.Rear() + " ");
                break;
            case 3:
                System.out.print(curr.enQueue(value) + " ");
                break;
            case 4:
                System.out.print(curr.deQueue() + " ");
                break;
            case 5:
        }
    }
}

```

```
        System.out.print(curr.isEmpty() + " ");
        break;
    case 6:
        System.out.print(curr.isFull() + " ");
        break;
    }
}

static class AccioQueue {
    int[] arr;
    int front, rear, size;

    AccioQueue(int size) {
        this.size = size;
        arr = new int[size];
        front = -1;
        rear = -1;
    }

    int Front() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;
        return arr[front];
    }

    int Rear() {
        if (isEmpty()) return -1;
        return arr[rear];
    }

    boolean enqueue(int value) {
        if (isFull()) return false;
        if (front == -1) {
            front = 0;
            rear = 0;
        } else {
            rear = (rear + 1) % size;
        }
        arr[rear] = value;
        return true;
    }

    boolean dequeue() {
        if (isEmpty()) return false;
        if (front == rear) {
            front = -1;
            rear = -1;
            return true;
        }
        front = (front + 1) % size;
        return true;
    }

    boolean isEmpty() {
        return front == -1;
```

```

    }

    boolean isFull() {
        return (rear + 1) % size == front;
    }
}

```

Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We reuse space instead of shifting elements.
- Modulo ensures indices stay within bounds.
- Resetting when front == rear handles the last element case cleanly.

Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time: O(1) — each operation is constant time
 - Space: O(n) — fixed array size
-

7. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Circular queue eliminates wasted space.
 - Modulo arithmetic avoids shifting.
 - All operations meet optimal time complexity.
-

8. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Deque (Double-Ended Queue)
 - Round-Robin CPU Scheduling
 - Producer–Consumer buffer
 - Ring buffer in OS / networking
-

9. Tips & Observations

- Circular queue always keeps one empty slot logically
 - Full condition is next rear hits front
 - Reset pointers when queue becomes empty
 - Very common interview + system design topic
-

10. Pitfalls

- Forgetting modulo % size
 - Not resetting front and rear
 - Using = instead of == in isFull
 - Mishandling single element case
-