

# Q: Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- A Linked List is a linear data structure where elements (called nodes) are stored in separate memory locations.
- Each node is connected using a reference (pointer) to the next node.
- Unlike arrays, elements in a linked list are not stored in contiguous memory.
- It solves the problem of fragmented memory by dynamically allocating nodes.
- **Intuition Behind the Concept**
  - Imagine a chain of people: each person holds their own data and the hand of the next person.
  - This allows flexibility – people (nodes) can stand anywhere, yet still stay connected.
  - The chain starts at the head – the only way to access everyone else.

- **Node Structure**

```
class Node {  
    int data;    // stores data  
    Node next;  // stores address of next node  
}
```

- Each node = data + reference to next node.
  - The linked list = collection of connected nodes.
  - Size of linked list = number of nodes.
- **Core Terms**
  - Head – First node of the linked list. Access point for the entire list.
  - Tail – Last node, whose next is null (or head, in circular lists).
  - Current – A temporary pointer used to traverse the list safely.
  - Size – Total number of nodes in the list.
  - Always remember:
    - We never move the head directly.
    - Instead, create a pointer like Node current = head; and move it.
- **Types of Linked Lists**
  - Singly Linked List (SLL)
    - Each node points to the next node only.
    - Can move in one direction (forward).
    - The last node's next pointer is null.
  - Doubly Linked List (DLL)

- Each node contains both next and previous references.
  - Can move in both directions (forward and backward).
  - Head's previous is null; tail's next is null.
  - Circular Linked List (CLL)
    - The last node points back to the head.
    - No node has a null reference.
    - Traversal loops continuously.
  - **Why Use Linked Lists**
    - Supports dynamic memory allocation.
    - Insertion and deletion are efficient (no shifting like arrays).
    - Useful when frequent insertions/deletions are needed.
    - Can grow or shrink at runtime.
  - **Strategy to Solve Linked List Questions**
    - Always draw a general diagram of 4-5 nodes.
    - Dry run pointer movement step by step.
    - Always handle two edge cases:
      - Empty list if (head == null)
      - Single node if (head.next == null)
    - Use separate pointers (current, prev, next) for clarity.
    - Preserve head to maintain access to the list.
- 

## 2. Edge Cases

- If list is empty if head == null.
  - If list has one node if head.next == null.
  - Always check for null before accessing next or prev.
  - Do not move the head; always use a separate pointer (current).
- 

## 3. Approaches

### Approach 1: Traversal

#### Java Code:

Singly Linked List

```
Node current = head;
while (current != null) {
    System.out.print(current.data + " ");
    current = current.next;
}
```

Doubly Linked List

```

Node current = head;
while (current != null) {
    System.out.print(current.data + " ");
    current = current.next;
}

```

Circular Linked List

```

Node current = head;
if (head != null) {
    do {
        System.out.print(current.data + " ");
        current = current.next;
    } while (current != head);
}

```

## Approach 2: Insertion

### Java Code:

Singly Linked List

Insert at beginning:

```

Node newNode = new Node(data);
newNode.next = head;
head = newNode;

```

Insert at end:

```

Node newNode = new Node(data);
if (head == null) { head = newNode; return; }
Node current = head;
while (current.next != null)
    current = current.next;
current.next = newNode;

```

Insert at specific position:

```

Node current = head;
for (int i = 1; i < pos - 1 && current != null; i++)
    current = current.next;
newNode.next = current.next;
current.next = newNode;

```

Doubly Linked List

Insert at beginning:

```
Node newNode = new Node(data);
newNode.next = head;
if (head != null) head.prev = newNode;
head = newNode;
```

Insert at end:

```
Node newNode = new Node(data);
Node current = head;
while (current.next != null)
    current = current.next;
current.next = newNode;
newNode.prev = current;
```

Insert at specific position:

```
Node current = head;
for (int i = 1; i < pos - 1; i++)
    current = current.next;
newNode.next = current.next;
if (current.next != null) current.next.prev = newNode;
current.next = newNode;
newNode.prev = current;
```

Circular Linked List

Insert at beginning:

```
Node newNode = new Node(data);
if (head == null) {
    head = newNode;
    head.next = head;
} else {
    Node temp = head;
    while (temp.next != head)
        temp = temp.next;
    temp.next = newNode;
    newNode.next = head;
    head = newNode;
}
```

Insert at end:

```
Node newNode = new Node(data);
if (head == null) {
    head = newNode;
    head.next = head;
```

```

} else {
    Node temp = head;
    while (temp.next != head)
        temp = temp.next;
    temp.next = newNode;
    newNode.next = head;
}

```

## Approach 3: Deletion

### Java Code:

Singly Linked List

Delete at beginning:

```

if (head == null) return;
head = head.next;

```

Delete at end:

```

if (head == null || head.next == null) { head = null; return; }
Node current = head;
while (current.next.next != null)
    current = current.next;
current.next = null;

```

Delete by value:

```

Node current = head, prev = null;
if (current != null && current.data == key) { head = current.next; return; }
while (current != null && current.data != key) {
    prev = current;
    current = current.next;
}
if (current == null) return;
prev.next = current.next;

```

Doubly Linked List

Delete at beginning:

```

if (head == null) return;
head = head.next;
if (head != null) head.prev = null;

```

Delete at end:

```

if (head == null) return;
Node current = head;
while (current.next != null)
    current = current.next;
if (current.prev != null)
    current.prev.next = null;
else head = null;

```

Delete by value:

```

Node current = head;
while (current != null && current.data != key)
    current = current.next;
if (current == null) return;
if (current.prev != null) current.prev.next = current.next;
else head = current.next;
if (current.next != null) current.next.prev = current.prev;

```

Circular Linked List

Delete head node:

```

if (head == null) return;
if (head.next == head) { head = null; return; }
Node temp = head;
while (temp.next != head)
    temp = temp.next;
temp.next = head.next;
head = head.next;

```

## Approach 4: Interview Patterns on Linked List

### Java Code:

1. Reverse a Linked List

Iterative Approach

```

Node prev = null, current = head, next = null;
while (current != null) {
    next = current.next;
    current.next = prev;
    prev = current;
    current = next;
}
head = prev;

```

Intuition: Reverse the link direction step-by-step.  
Complexity: Time  $O(N)$ , Space  $O(1)$ .

## 2. Find Middle Element

Two-pointer approach

```
Node slow = head, fast = head;
while (fast != null && fast.next != null) {
    slow = slow.next;
    fast = fast.next.next;
}
System.out.println("Middle Node: " + slow.data);
```

Intuition: Fast moves twice as quickly as slow; when fast reaches the end, slow is at the middle.

Complexity: Time  $O(N)$ , Space  $O(1)$ .

## 3. Detect Loop in Linked List (Floyd's Cycle Detection)

```
Node slow = head, fast = head;
while (fast != null && fast.next != null) {
    slow = slow.next;
    fast = fast.next.next;
    if (slow == fast) {
        System.out.println("Loop detected");
        return;
    }
}
System.out.println("No loop");
```

Intuition: If a loop exists, slow and fast will eventually meet.

Complexity: Time  $O(N)$ , Space  $O(1)$ .

## 4. Remove Loop in Linked List

```
Node slow = head, fast = head;
boolean loop = false;
while (fast != null && fast.next != null) {
    slow = slow.next;
    fast = fast.next.next;
    if (slow == fast) { loop = true; break; }
}
if (loop) {
    slow = head;
    while (slow.next != fast.next) {
        slow = slow.next;
        fast = fast.next;
    }
    fast.next = null;
}
```

Intuition: Reset one pointer to head; move both one step until they meet at loop

start, then **break** the loop.

```
5. Remove Duplicates (from Sorted Linked List)
Node current = head;
while (current != null && current.next != null) {
    if (current.data == current.next.data)
        current.next = current.next.next;
    else
        current = current.next;
}
```

Intuition: Skip nodes that have the same data consecutively.

Complexity: Time  $O(N)$ , Space  $O(1)$ .

6. Find Nth Node from End

Two-pointer approach

```
Node first = head, second = head;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    if (first == null) return;
    first = first.next;
}
while (first != null) {
    first = first.next;
    second = second.next;
}
System.out.println("Nth node from end: " + second.data);
```

Intuition: Maintain a gap of  $n$  between two pointers.

Complexity: Time  $O(N)$ , Space  $O(1)$ .

## Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity Summary
    - Traversal  $O(N)$
    - Insertion (head)  $O(1)$
    - Insertion (end)  $O(N)$
    - Deletion (head)  $O(1)$
    - Deletion (end)  $O(N)$
    - Search  $O(N)$
    - Reversal  $O(N)$
    - Loop detection  $O(N)$
    - Middle element  $O(N)$
  - Space Complexity
    - Most operations use only pointer variables  $O(1)$
    - The list itself uses  $O(N)$  memory for  $N$  nodes.
-



## 4. Tips & Observations

- Visualize every pointer change.
- Always protect head.
- Watch out for NullPointerException.
- Handle empty and single-node lists.
- Use the two-pointer technique for efficient traversal-based questions.
- Draw, dry run, and code “” in that order.
- **Summary**
  - Linked List = dynamic, flexible, pointer-based structure.
  - Node = data + next (and sometimes prev).
  - Head = entry point to entire list.
  - Use current pointer for traversal.
  - Always check for nulls and edge cases.
  - Know the main types:
    - Singly Linked List → forward only
    - Doubly Linked List → both directions
    - Circular Linked List → continuous loop
  - Master key patterns:
    - Reversal
    - Middle element
    - Loop detection & removal
    - Remove duplicates
    - Nth node from end

---

# Q102: Delete Kth Element of a Doubly Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given the head of a doubly linked list (DLL) and an integer k.
- Your task is to delete the node at the kth position (1-based index) and return the head of the modified DLL.

---

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 100$  (where n = number of nodes)
- $0 \leq \text{Node.val} \leq 100$
- $1 \leq k \leq n$

---

## 3. Edge Cases

- $k = 1$  → delete the head node (head must be updated).
  - $k = n$  → delete the last node (tail must be updated).
  - List has only one node → after deletion, list becomes empty (head = null).
  - Middle node deletion → need to adjust both prev and next links.
- 

## 4. Examples

### Example 1

Input:

head → 2 ↔ 5 ↔ 7 ↔ 9,  $k = 2$

Output:

head → 2 ↔ 7 ↔ 9

Explanation: Node with value 5 (2nd node) is deleted.

### Example 2

Input:

head → 2 ↔ 5 ↔ 7,  $k = 1$

Output:

head → 5 ↔ 7

Explanation: Node with value 2 is deleted (head updated).

### Example 3

Input:

head → 2 ↔ 5 ↔ 7,  $k = 3$

Output:

head → 2 ↔ 5

Explanation: Node with value 7 (tail) is deleted.

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Iterative Traversal

#### Idea:

- Traverse from the head to reach the kth node.
- Once found:
  - If it's the head → update head = head.next.
  - If it has a previous node → update prev.next.
  - If it has a next node → update next.prev.
- Return the new head.

#### Steps:

- If head == null, return null.
- Traverse the list until count == k.
- When you find the node:
  - If it's head → move head to head.next.
  - If it has a prev → link prev.next = curr.next.
  - If it has a next → link next.prev = curr.prev.
- Return the updated head.

#### Java Code:

```
Node deleteNode(Node head, int k) {
    if (head == null) return null;

    Node curr = head;
    int count = 1;

    // Traverse to kth node
    while (curr != null && count < k) {
        curr = curr.next;
        count++;
    }

    // If node to delete doesn't exist
    if (curr == null) return head;

    // If deleting head node
    if (curr.prev == null) {
        head = curr.next;
        if (head != null) head.prev = null;
    }
    // If deleting middle or last node
    else {
        curr.prev.next = curr.next;
        if (curr.next != null) {
            curr.next.prev = curr.prev;
        }
    }

    return head;
}
```

#### Recursion Tree (Conceptual)

This problem is primarily iterative, but conceptually:

If you imagined recursion, it would traverse nodes until k == 1, then delete and backtrack.

For head → 2 ↔ 5 ↔ 7, k = 2:

delete(2, k=2)

→ delete(5, k=1) → removes node 5

Backtrack → fix links

Result: 2 ↔ 7

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- In a doubly linked list, every node maintains pointers to both its previous and next nodes.
- To delete the kth node:
- Traverse to the kth node.
- Update its neighbors' pointers:
  - $\text{prev.next} = \text{next}$
  - $\text{next.prev} = \text{prev}$
- Handle special cases when the node is head or tail.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - Traversal to kth node  $O(k)$
  - Pointer updates  $O(1)$
  - Total:  $O(k)$
- Space Complexity
  - No extra memory used  $O(1)$

---

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Deletion in DLL is efficient since both directions are accessible.
- No extra data structures are needed.
- Updates are  $O(1)$  after reaching the node.

---

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Delete all occurrences of a given value.
- Delete last node or middle node dynamically.
- Delete node when pointer to the node (not head) is given.

---

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always handle head and tail cases separately.
- Don't forget to update both prev and next pointers.
- In interviews, emphasize constant space and clean pointer handling.

---

# Q103: Insert Before Given Node in a Doubly Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given a reference to a node in a Doubly Linked List (DLL) and an integer X.
  - You need to insert a new node with value X before the given node, while maintaining the DLL's bidirectional linkage integrity.
  - You are not given the head of the list, and it is guaranteed that the given node is not the head of the list.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $2 \leq n \leq 100$  (where  $n$  = number of nodes in the DLL)
  - $0 \leq \text{ListNode.val} \leq 100$
  - $0 \leq X \leq 100$
  - The given node will always exist in the DLL.
  - The given node will never be the head (so  $\text{node.prev} \neq \text{null}$ ).
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- The given node is the tail â still valid, should insert before it.
  - DLL has only two nodes â ensure correct re-linking of both sides.
  - Multiple nodes have the same value â insertion depends on the node reference, not the value.
- 

## 4. Examples

### Example 1

Input:

head -> 1 <-> 2 <-> 6, node = 2, X = 7

Output:

head -> 1 <-> 7 <-> 2 <-> 6

Explanation:

The node 7 is inserted before node 2.

### Example 2

Input:

head -> 7 <-> 5 <-> 5, node = 5 (last one), X = 10

Output:

head -> 7 <-> 5 <-> 10 <-> 5

Explanation:

The second 5 (the last node) is referenced; new node 10 is inserted before it.

### Example 3

Input:

head -> 7 <-> 6 <-> 5, node = 5, X = 10

Output:

head -> 7 <-> 6 <-> 10 <-> 5

Explanation:

Node 10 inserted before node 5.

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Explicit Pointer Linking

#### Idea:

- We already have a reference to the node.
- To insert before it, we just need to connect the new node between node.prev and node.
- This requires adjusting four pointers.

#### Steps:

- Store prevNode = node.prev.
- Create the new node.
- Set the links:
  - newNode.prev = prevNode
  - newNode.next = node
- Adjust the existing neighbors:
  - prevNode.next = newNode
  - node.prev = newNode

#### Java Code:

```
class Solution {
    public void insertBeforeGivenNode(ListNode node, int X) {
        if (node == null || node.prev == null) return; // safety check

        ListNode newNode = new ListNode(X);
        ListNode prevNode = node.prev;

        newNode.prev = prevNode;
        newNode.next = node;
        prevNode.next = newNode;
        node.prev = newNode;
    }
}
```

#### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- In a DLL, each node maintains pointers in both directions.
- To insert before a node, we only need to reconnect four links around the insertion point – no traversal or head access is required.
- This makes the operation constant time ( $O(1)$ ) and very efficient.

#### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity:  $O(1)$

- Space Complexity:  $O(1)$

## Approach 2: Using Constructor for Cleaner Code

### Idea:

- If the `ListNode` class provides a constructor with `(int val, ListNode next, ListNode prev)`,
- we can simplify the code by assigning links directly during node creation.

### Steps:

- Store `prevNode = node.prev`.
- Create a new node using the parameterized constructor:
  - `ListNode newNode = new ListNode(X, node, prevNode);`
- Update only the neighboring pointers:
  - `prevNode.next = newNode`
  - `node.prev = newNode`

### Java Code:

```
class Solution {
    public void insertBeforeGivenNode(ListNode node, int X) {
        ListNode prev = node.prev;
        ListNode newNode = new ListNode(X, node, prev);
        prev.next = newNode;
        node.prev = newNode;
    }
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- This is a more compact version of the explicit pointer approach.
- The constructor sets up both links immediately, reducing boilerplate while maintaining correctness.
- It's ideal for clean, production-level code when the constructor is available.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity:  $O(1)$
- Space Complexity:  $O(1)$

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Both approaches perform only local pointer updates – no traversal or data shifting.
- The correctness follows from maintaining DLL bidirectional linkage (`prev` and `next`) consistently for all involved nodes.

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Insert After Given Node in DLL → Similar logic but swap pointer direction.
  - Insert Before Head (with head reference) → Special handling since `node.prev == null`.
  - Insert at Given Position (1-based index) → Requires traversal to that position first.
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always ensure both prev and next links are updated symmetrically.
  - Avoid accessing `node.prev` without checking `null` unless guaranteed not to be head.
  - Prefer constructor-based shorthand when supported, but show pointer logic explicitly in interviews.
  - All insertions in DLL can be done in constant time when node reference is available.
- 

# Q104: Add Two Numbers in a Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- We are given two non-empty singly linked lists `l1` and `l2`, where each node represents a single digit of a number.
  - The digits are stored in reverse order → meaning the 1<sup>st</sup> digit is at the head of the list.
  - We must add the two numbers and return the sum as a new linked list, also in reverse order.
  - Example:
  - `l1 = [5 → 4]` represents 45
  - `l2 = [4]` represents 4
  - Sum = 49 → Output: `[9 → 4]`
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq \text{Number of nodes in each list} \leq 100$
  - $0 \leq \text{value of each node} \leq 9$
  - Lists have no leading zeros (except when the number itself is 0).
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- One list is longer than the other.
  - Carry remains after the final addition.
  - One list could represent zero (e.g., `[0]`).
  - Both lists contain only one node.
- 

## 4. Examples

```
Example 1:  
Input:  
l1 = [5 → 4], l2 = [4]
```



Output:

[9 → 4]

Explanation:  $45 + 4 = 49$ .

Example 2:

Input:

l1 = [4 → 5 → 6], l2 = [1 → 2 → 3]

Output:

[5 → 7 → 9]

Explanation:  $654 + 321 = 975$ .

Example 3:

Input:

l1 = [1], l2 = [8 → 7]

Output:

[9 → 7]

Explanation:  $1 + 78 = 79$ .

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Iterative Addition with Carry (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Perform addition like manual digit-by-digit addition:
  - Traverse both linked lists simultaneously.
  - Sum digits + carry.
  - Create a new node for each resulting digit.
  - Continue until both lists are processed and carry is zero.

#### Steps:

- Initialize a dummy node and a carry = 0.
- Traverse both lists until both are null:
  - Compute sum = (l1.val if exists) + (l2.val if exists) + carry.
  - Create a new node with sum % 10.
  - Update carry = sum / 10.
- If carry > 0 after traversal, create one more node.
- Return dummy.next.

#### Java Code:

```
class Solution {
    public ListNode addTwoNumbers(ListNode l1, ListNode l2) {
        ListNode dummy = new ListNode(-1);
        ListNode curr = dummy;
        int carry = 0;

        while (l1 != null || l2 != null) {
```

```

        int sum = carry;
        if (l1 != null) {
            sum += l1.val;
            l1 = l1.next;
        }
        if (l2 != null) {
            sum += l2.val;
            l2 = l2.next;
        }

        carry = sum / 10;
        curr.next = new ListNode(sum % 10);
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    if (carry > 0) {
        curr.next = new ListNode(carry);
    }

    return dummy.next;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- The process mirrors normal addition from right to left “ except the digits are already stored in reverse.
- Each step adds the corresponding digits and carries over the overflow (like adding column-wise).

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(\max(N, M))$
  - (where N and M are lengths of l1 and l2)
- Space Complexity
  - $O(\max(N, M))$  (for the new linked list)

### Approach 2: Recursive Approach

#### Idea:

- Use recursion to simulate the digit-by-digit addition from the start of both lists.
- Each recursive call handles one pair of digits and the carry.

#### Steps:

- Base case: If both lists are null and carry is 0, return null.
- Sum up current nodes’ values + carry.
- Create a node with  $\text{sum} \% 10$ .
- Recurse to process the next nodes and pass the carry ( $\text{sum} / 10$ ).
- Link the newly created node to the result of recursion.

## Java Code:

```
class Solution {
    public ListNode addTwoNumbers(ListNode l1, ListNode l2) {
        return addHelper(l1, l2, 0);
    }

    private ListNode addHelper(ListNode l1, ListNode l2, int carry) {
        if (l1 == null && l2 == null && carry == 0) return null;

        int sum = carry;
        if (l1 != null) sum += l1.val;
        if (l2 != null) sum += l2.val;

        ListNode node = new ListNode(sum % 10);
        node.next = addHelper(
            (l1 != null ? l1.next : null),
            (l2 != null ? l2.next : null),
            sum / 10
        );
        return node;
    }
}
```

## Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Recursion naturally handles the propagation of carry and traversal of both lists.
- Each call represents the computation of a single digit and delegates the remaining work to the next call.
- It's a clean, functional approach just like stack-based addition.

## Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
- $O(\max(N, M))$
- Space Complexity
- $O(\max(N, M))$  (recursive call stack + new list nodes)

---

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Both approaches perform exactly one traversal over each list, ensuring linear time and minimal space.
- The iterative version is slightly more memory-efficient, but the recursive version is more elegant.

---

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Digits stored in forward order: Use stacks or reverse the lists first.
  - Addition with large numbers in strings: Convert strings to digits and simulate addition.
  - Add K numbers represented as linked lists: Use repeated pairwise addition or a priority queue.
-

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always use a dummy node to simplify result list creation.
  - Keep careful track of carry propagation.
  - Recursive solutions are elegant but can cause stack overflow if lists are extremely long.
  - Iterative is preferred for production; recursion is good for conceptual clarity.
- 

# Q105: Segregate Odd and Even Nodes in Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given the head of a singly linked list.
  - You need to group all nodes at odd indices first, followed by all nodes at even indices “ maintaining their original relative order.
  - Note:
    - The index starts from 1 (i.e., the head is the 1st node).
  - You must rearrange nodes in place and return the new head of the reordered list.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq \text{Number of nodes} \leq 100$
  - Node values can be any integer.
  - The relative order within odd and even groups must remain the same.
  - Must use  $O(1)$  extra space (rearrange in-place).
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Empty list (head == null)
  - List with only one node
  - List with only two nodes
  - All odd or all even length lists (no structural issue should occur)
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1:

Input:

head -> 1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 4 -> 5

Output:

head -> 1 -> 3 -> 5 -> 2 -> 4

Explanation: Odd index nodes are [1, 3, 5], even index nodes are [2, 4].

Example 2:

Input:

head -> 4 -> 3 -> 2 -> 1

Output:

head -> 4 -> 2 -> 3 -> 1

Explanation: Odd index nodes are [4, 2], even index nodes are [3, 1].

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Two Pointer (In-place Rearrangement – Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Use two pointers – one for odd nodes and one for even nodes.
- We can separate the linked list into two sublists:
  - One containing odd-indexed nodes.
  - One containing even-indexed nodes.
- Then, simply link the end of the odd list to the head of the even list.

#### Steps:

- Handle base cases: if head == null or head.next == null, return head.
- Initialize:
  - odd = head
  - even = head.next
  - evenHead = even (store head of even list)
- While both odd.next and even.next exist:
  - Connect odd.next = even.next
  - Move odd = odd.next
  - Connect even.next = odd.next
  - Move even = even.next
- After loop ends, link odd.next = evenHead.
- Return the modified head.

#### Java Code:

```
class Solution {
    public ListNode oddEvenList(ListNode head) {
        if (head == null || head.next == null) return head;

        ListNode odd = head;
        ListNode even = head.next;
        ListNode evenHead = even;

        while (even != null && even.next != null) {
            odd.next = even.next;
            odd = odd.next;
            even.next = odd.next;
            even = even.next;
        }
        odd.next = evenHead;
        return head;
    }
}
```

```

        even.next = odd.next;
        even = even.next;
    }

    odd.next = evenHead;
    return head;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Think of this as unzipping the linked list into two separate sequences:
  - All nodes in odd positions
  - All nodes in even positions
- We keep track of both sequences while traversing once and reconnect them at the end.
- This maintains order and uses no extra space – just pointer manipulation.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(N)$  – single traversal of the linked list
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$  – no extra space used, in-place rearrangement

### Approach 2: Construct New Lists (Using Two Dummy Nodes)

#### Idea:

- Instead of rearranging in place, we can create two new lists:
  - One for odd nodes
  - One for even nodes
- Then connect the two lists at the end and return the new head.

#### Steps:

- Create two dummy nodes – oddDummy and evenDummy.
- Traverse the original list, keeping a position counter  $i$ .
  - If  $i$  is odd – add node to odd list.
  - If  $i$  is even – add node to even list.
- After traversal, link the end of the odd list to the start of the even list.
- Set the end of even list's next = null.
- Return oddDummy.next

#### Java Code:

```

class Solution {
    public ListNode oddEvenList(ListNode head) {
        if (head == null) return null;

        ListNode oddDummy = new ListNode(-1);

```

```

ListNode evenDummy = new ListNode(-1);
ListNode odd = oddDummy, even = evenDummy;
int index = 1;

while (head != null) {
    if (index % 2 != 0) {
        odd.next = head;
        odd = odd.next;
    } else {
        even.next = head;
        even = even.next;
    }
    head = head.next;
    index++;
}

even.next = null; // terminate even list
odd.next = evenDummy.next; // connect lists

return oddDummy.next;
}

```

### ðŸ’ Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We explicitly build two separate linked lists based on node indices, then merge them.
- It’s simpler to understand but uses extra references for dummy nodes (still  $O(1)$  auxiliary space if pointer reuse is allowed).

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(N)$  – each node visited once
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$  – constant extra space (if we reuse original nodes)

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Both approaches achieve linear time and constant space.
- However, the two-pointer in-place version (Approach 1) is preferred – it is more efficient and elegant without needing dummy nodes.

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Segregate even and odd values (instead of indices).
- Group nodes by multiple conditions (e.g., multiples of 3).
- Rearrange alternately (odd, even, odd, even).

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Don't confuse index parity with node value parity.
  - Always store the head of the even list before rearranging it otherwise, it gets lost.
  - Maintain relative ordering within groups.
  - Avoid creating new nodes unnecessarily; re-link existing ones.
- 

# Q106: Merge Two Sorted Linked Lists

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given two sorted singly linked lists.
  - Your task is to merge them into one sorted linked list by rearranging pointers (not creating new nodes).
  - Return the head of the merged list.
  - Important:
    - Both lists are sorted in non-decreasing order.
    - Output must also be sorted.
    - Lists may be empty.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- Number of nodes:  $0 \leq n, m \leq 50$
  - Node value:  $-100 \leq \text{val} \leq 100$
  - Both input lists are sorted.
  - Linked lists are singly linked.
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- One list empty → return the other list
  - Both empty → return null
  - Duplicate values (e.g., 1→2→4 and 1→1→3)
  - All elements of one list smaller than the other
  - Lists of different lengths
  - Negative values
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

1 2 4  
1 3 4



Output:

1 1 2 3 4 4

Example 2

Input:

1 5 9

1 3 4

Output:

1 1 3 4 5 9

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Iterative Merge (Optimal, In-Place)

#### Idea:

- Use a dummy node.
- Keep pointers cx and cy on both lists.
- Attach the smaller node to the result and move the pointer.
- No new nodes are created.

#### Steps:

- Create a dummy node to simplify linking.
- Maintain tail pointer "end of merged list."
- Compare cx.data and cy.data:
- Attach the smaller one to tail.next
- Move that pointer
- When one list is exhausted, attach the remaining list.
- Return dummy.next.

#### Java Code:

```
static Node merge(Node x, Node y) {  
    Node dummy = new Node(-1);  
    Node tail = dummy;  
  
    while (x != null && y != null) {  
        if (x.data <= y.data) {  
            tail.next = x;  
            x = x.next;  
        }
```

```

    } else {
        tail.next = y;
        y = y.next;
    }
    tail = tail.next;
}

if (x != null) tail.next = x;
if (y != null) tail.next = y;

return dummy.next;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We treat merging like merging two sorted arrays:
- always pick the smaller front element.
- Linked lists allow us to do this without copying values, we only move pointers.
- This ensures:
  - Correct ordering
  - $O(1)$  extra space
  - Minimal pointer operations

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(n + m)$
- Space:  $O(1)$  (in-place merge)

### Approach 2: Recursive Merge (Elegant but Uses $O(n+m)$ Stack Space)

#### Idea:

- Pick the smaller head between the two lists.
- That node becomes the head of the merged list.
- Recursively merge the rest.

#### Steps:

- Base cases: if one list is null → return the other
- Compare heads
- The smaller node becomes head of merged list
- Recursively merge remaining part

#### Java Code:

```

static Node merge(Node a, Node b) {
    if (a == null) return b;
    if (b == null) return a;

    if (a.data <= b.data) {

```

```

        a.next = merge(a.next, b);
        return a;
    } else {
        b.next = merge(a, b.next);
        return b;
    }
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- The smaller head must always come first.
- Recursively apply the same logic on the remaining lists.
- Result naturally builds up as recursion unwinds.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time:  $O(n + m)$
- Space:  $O(n + m)$  due to recursion stack

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The in-place iterative merge is the best solution because:
- It processes each node exactly once  $\hat{=}$   $O(n+m)$
- No extra nodes  $\hat{=}$   $O(1)$  space
- Avoids recursion stack overhead
- Preserves the original nodes
- This matches the optimal behavior expected for merging sorted linked lists.

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Merge  $k$  sorted linked lists  $\hat{=}$  use a min-heap ( $O(N \log k)$ )
- Merge arrays instead of linked lists
- Merge two descending sorted lists
- Merge lists where duplicates should be removed
- Merge circular linked lists
- Merge based on custom comparator (e.g., absolute value)

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always use a dummy node to simplify code.
- Avoid creating new nodes unless required by the question.
- Recursion is elegant but not memory-efficient.
- When merging  $k$  lists, use:
  - Min-heap, or
  - Divide & Conquer (merge sort style)

# Q107: Print in Reverse

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- Given the head of a singly linked list, reverse the list and return the new head.
  - Example:
  - Input: 1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 5
  - Output: 5 → 4 → 3 → 2 → 1
  - Important:
    - The list must be modified in-place
    - No new nodes should be created
    - Return the new head of the reversed list
- 

## 2. Constraints

- Number of nodes:  $1 \leq n \leq 5000$
  - Node values are integers
  - The list is singly linked
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Single node list → return same node
  - Empty list → return null
  - Already reversed pattern doesn't matter
  - Large list (iterative preferred over recursion due to stack depth)
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

2 6 8 10 1

Output:

1 10 8 6 2

Example 2

Input:

1 2 3 4 5 6

Output:

6 5 4 3 2 1

---

## 5. Approaches

## Approach 1: Iterative Reversal (MOST OPTIMAL)

### Idea:

- Use 3 pointers: prev, curr, next.
- Reverse each link one by one.

### Steps:

- Initialize:
  - prev = null
  - curr = head
- For each node:
  - Store next  $\hat{=}$  curr.next
  - Reverse pointer  $\hat{=}$  curr.next = prev
  - Move prev  $\hat{=}$  curr
  - Move curr  $\hat{=}$  next
- When loop finishes, prev is the new head.

### Java Code:

```
static Node reverse(Node head) {
    Node prev = null;
    Node curr = head;

    while (curr != null) {
        Node next = curr.next; // store next
        curr.next = prev;      // reverse pointer
        prev = curr;           // move prev
        curr = next;           // move curr
    }

    return prev; // new head
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We walk through the list once.
- Each link is reversed so that direction becomes backward.
- At the end, the last node becomes the new head.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
  - Each of the n nodes is visited exactly once.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$

- Why?
- Only uses constant extra pointers (prev, curr, next).

## Approach 2: Recursion (Elegant but Not Safe for Large n)

### Idea:

- Break the list into two parts:
  - Reverse the rest of the list
  - Attach the first node at the end

### Steps:

- Base case:
  - If head is null or head.next == null → return head
- Recursively reverse the remaining list
- Point head.next.next = head
- Set head.next = null
- Return new head from recursion

### Java Code:

```
static Node reverse(Node head) {
    if (head == null || head.next == null)
        return head;

    Node newHead = reverse(head.next);

    head.next.next = head; // reverse link
    head.next = null;

    return newHead;
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Recursion unwinds from the last node upward.
- Each call flips the direction of a single link.
- The last node naturally becomes the head.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
  - Each node participates in one recursive call.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?

- Recursion depth = number of nodes (n)
  - Each call uses stack space.
- 

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The iterative pointer reversal approach is the optimal solution because:
  - It visits each node once
  - It requires constant memory ( $O(1)$ )
  - It modifies the list in-place
  - It avoids recursion depth issues
  - This is the version expected in interviews and coding rounds.
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Reverse a list in groups of k
  - Reverse a sub-list between indices [m, n]
  - Reverse even/odd-position nodes
  - Reverse using recursion in tail-call optimized languages
  - Reverse doubly linked list (constant time per node)
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always maintain next pointer before modifying curr.next
  - Iterative solution is the fastest and safest
  - Recursion works beautifully but stack overflow is a risk
  - Do not use extra data structures unless required
- 

# Q108: Remove Nth Node From End of List,

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given a singly linked list and an integer n.
  - Your task: Remove the nth node from the end and return the new head of the list.
  - Key Details:
    - The list has at least 1 node.
    - Removing the nth from end can also mean removing the head (if  $n == \text{length}$ ).
    - Problem expects pointer manipulation, not converting to array.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq k \leq 30$  (length of list)

- $1 \leq \text{Node.val} < 100$
  - $1 \leq n \leq k$
  - List is singly linked
- 

### 3. Edge Cases

- Remove the first node (if  $n == k$ )
  - Remove the last node ( $n == 1$ )
  - Single node list ( $k = 1$ )
  - Removing a middle node
  - Removing when duplicates exist
- 

### 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

1 2 3 4 5 6  
 $n = 2$

Output:

1 2 3 4 6

Explanation: 2nd from end = value 5 → remove it.

Example 2

Input:

7 6 5 4 3  
 $n = 4$

Output:

7 5 4 3

Explanation: 4th from end = value 6 → remove it.

---

### 5. Approaches

Approach 1: Two Pointer Technique (Optimal Approach)



**Idea:**

- Use two pointers:
- Move fast pointer n steps ahead
- Then move slow and fast together until fast reaches end
- slow will be just before the node to delete
- This avoids counting the total length.

**Steps:**

- Create a dummy node before head
- Move fast pointer n + 1 steps
- Move both slow and fast until fast == null
- Now slow.next is the node to remove
- Do slow.next = slow.next.next

**Java Code:**

```
static Node removeNthFromEnd(Node head, int n) {
    Node dummy = new Node(-1);
    dummy.next = head;

    Node fast = dummy;
    Node slow = dummy;

    // Move fast n+1 steps
    for (int i = 0; i <= n; i++) {
        fast = fast.next;
    }

    // Move both until fast reaches end
    while (fast != null) {
        fast = fast.next;
        slow = slow.next;
    }

    // Delete node
    slow.next = slow.next.next;

    return dummy.next;
}
```

**Intuition Behind the Approach:**

- If fast is n nodes ahead of slow,
- when fast reaches the end,
- slow will be exactly at the node before the one to remove.
- This eliminates the need for an explicit length calculation.

**Complexity (Time & Space):**

- Time Complexity
  - $O(k)$
  - Why?
    - Both pointers traverse the list once.
    - No extra nested loops.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Only a few pointers are used regardless of list size.

## Approach 2: Length Counting (Simpler but 2-pass)

### Idea:

- Traverse the list to count total length
- Compute position from front:  $\text{pos} = \text{length} - n$
- Traverse again and delete that node

### Steps:

- First pass: count nodes
- If removing head return `head.next`
- Second pass: stop at  $\text{pos}-1$
- Remove using pointer skip

### Java Code:

```
static Node removeNthFromEnd(Node head, int n) {
    int length = 0;
    Node curr = head;

    while (curr != null) {
        length++;
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    // Remove head case
    if (n == length) return head.next;

    curr = head;
    int steps = length - n - 1;

    for (int i = 0; i < steps; i++) {
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    curr.next = curr.next.next;
    return head;
}
```

### ðŸ’ Intuition Behind the Approach:

- This method directly calculates the index to remove.
- It’s straightforward but requires two complete passes.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
    - $O(k)$  for counting
    - $O(k)$  for deleting
    - Total:  $O(k)$
    - Why?
      - Two linear passes are still linear.
  - Space Complexity
    - $O(1)$
    - Why?
      - Only counters and pointers, no extra structures.
- 

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The two-pointer technique is the optimal solution because:
    - It completes in one pass
    - Uses constant memory
    - Works for all cases including removing the head
    - Cleaner and preferred in interviews
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Remove nodes with a given value
  - Remove duplicates in sorted list
  - Remove middle node
  - Remove nth node from start
  - Remove kth node using recursion
  - Remove last occurrence of a value
  - Delete node in circular linked list
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always use a dummy node to avoid special cases
  - Two-pointer approach is the standard interview solution
  - Avoid stack/recursion due to unnecessary memory
  - After deleting, check if list becomes empty
- 

# Q109: Middle Node of a Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given the head of a singly linked list.
  - Your task: Return the middle node.
  - Rules:
    - If  $n$  is odd  $\rightarrow$  return the exact middle
    - If  $n$  is even  $\rightarrow$  return the second middle
    - (Example: [5, 4, 3, 2]  $\rightarrow$  two middles: 4, 3  $\rightarrow$  return 3)
  - Driver code will print the linked list starting from the returned middle node.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 10^5$
  - Node values are integers
  - Linked list is singly linked
  - Function should run in linear time
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Single node  $\rightarrow$  return head
  - Two nodes  $\rightarrow$  return second node
  - Even sized list  $\rightarrow$  return  $n/2 + 1$  node
  - Long list (up to  $1e5$  nodes)  $\rightarrow$  recursion should be avoided
  - List containing duplicates
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

5 4 3 2

Output:

3 2

Example 2

Input:

5 7 1

Output:

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Slow & Fast Pointers (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Use two pointers:
  - slow moves one step
  - fast moves two steps
- When fast reaches the end:
- $\hat{a}$ ' slow is at the middle.
- This automatically returns the second middle for even-length lists.

#### Steps:

- Initialize:
- slow = head
- fast = head
- While fast != null and fast.next != null:
- slow = slow.next
- fast = fast.next.next
- When loop ends  $\hat{a}$ ' slow is middle
- Return slow

#### Java Code:

```
static Node midpointOfLinkedList(Node head) {  
    Node slow = head;  
    Node fast = head;  
  
    while (fast != null && fast.next != null) {  
        slow = slow.next;  
        fast = fast.next.next;  
    }  
  
    return slow;  
}
```

#### $\hat{a}$ ' Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Fast moves twice as fast.
- So when fast finishes the list:
  - If odd length  $\hat{a}$ ' slow is exactly middle
  - If even length  $\hat{a}$ ' slow is second middle

- This matches the required behavior perfectly.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
    - Every iteration advances fast by 2 and slow by 1.
    - Together, they traverse at most  $n$  steps.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Only two pointers used, regardless of list size.

### Approach 2: Count Length + Traverse (Two-pass Method)

#### Idea:

- Traverse list to calculate length  $L$
- Middle index =  $L/2$  (integer division, gives second middle)
- Traverse again to reach middle

#### Steps:

- Count nodes
- Stop at index  $L/2$
- Return that node

#### Java Code:

```
static Node midpointOfLinkedList(Node head) {
    int length = 0;
    Node curr = head;

    while (curr != null) {
        length++;
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    int midIndex = length / 2;

    curr = head;
    for (int i = 0; i < midIndex; i++) {
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    return curr;
}
```

#### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Straightforward method:
- Just get total length and go to L/2 index.
- Simple but requires two complete passes.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- $\hat{O}(n)$  Time Complexity
    - $O(n)$  for counting length
    - $O(n)$  for reaching middle
    - Total:  $O(n)$
    - Why?
      - Two linear passes over list.
  - $\hat{O}(1)$  Space Complexity
    - $O(1)$
    - Why?
      - Only counters and pointers used.
- 

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The fast & slow pointer approach is the optimal method because:
  - It finishes in a single pass
  - It uses constant memory
  - It naturally returns the second middle
  - Works for large inputs ( $n = 100000$ ) without stack risk
  - This is the approach expected in interviews.
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Return the first middle for even length
  - Find the node before middle
  - Delete the middle node
  - Find middle in circular linked list
  - Get the middle element at each insertion (useful for practice problems)
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always prefer fast & slow pointers for mid-related linked list problems
  - Avoid recursion for deep lists
  - For even length lists, common definition is to return second middle
  - Two-pointer technique is also used in:
    - Cycle detection
    - Palindrome check
    - Finding kth element from end
-

# Q110: Intersection of Two Linked Lists

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given two singly linked lists which eventually merge into a common tail.
  - Your task: Find the intersection point (node value).
  - Points to note:
    - Intersection means same node by reference, not same value.
    - After intersection, both lists share the same nodes.
    - Lists can have different lengths.
    - Must return the value of the intersection node.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq T \leq 10$
  - $1 \leq N, M \leq 10^4$
  - Node values up to  $10^5$
  - Lists are non-empty
  - Intersection is guaranteed (based on input structure)
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Intersection at head
  - Intersection at very last node
  - One list is significantly longer
  - Large lists (10k nodes) → avoid recursion
  - No intersection (not given in this problem, but generally possible)
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

```
5 1 3
3 6 9 15 30
10
```

Output:

```
15
```

Example 2



Input:

5 1 3  
1 2 3 4 5  
3

Output:

4

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Length Difference Method (Optimal & Standard)

#### Idea:

- Compute lengths of both lists.
- Advance the pointer of the longer list by  $|\text{len1} - \text{len2}|$  steps.
- Now both pointers are the same distance from intersection.
- Move both one step at a time until they meet at intersection node.

#### Steps:

- Count length of L1 at len1
- Count length of L2 at len2
- Set ptr1 = head1, ptr2 = head2
- If one list is longer, advance its pointer
- Move both until ptr1 == ptr2
- Return ptr1 (intersection)

#### Java Code:

```
static Node intersection(Node head1, Node head2) {  
    int len1 = 0, len2 = 0;  
  
    Node temp1 = head1, temp2 = head2;  
  
    while (temp1 != null) {  
        len1++;  
        temp1 = temp1.next;  
    }  
  
    while (temp2 != null) {  
        len2++;  
        temp2 = temp2.next;  
    }  
  
    temp1 = head1;
```

```

temp2 = head2;

int diff = Math.abs(len1 - len2);

if (len1 > len2) {
    while (diff-- > 0) temp1 = temp1.next;
} else {
    while (diff-- > 0) temp2 = temp2.next;
}

while (temp1 != temp2) {
    temp1 = temp1.next;
    temp2 = temp2.next;
}

return temp1;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- If you skip extra nodes from the longer list,
- both pointers will reach the intersection at the same time.
- Now they walk together until they meet.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(N + M)$
  - Why?
    - One pass for length counting + one pass for alignment + one pass to find intersection.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Only pointers and counters used.

### Approach 2: Two Pointer Magic (Elegant, No Length Calculation)

#### Idea:

- This is the most elegant and interview-famous solution.
- Let pointers p1 and p2 traverse both lists.
- When a pointer reaches the end, redirect it to the other list's head.
- Why does this work?
- Because both pointers travel exactly:
- $len1 + len2$  distance â€¢ guarantees meeting point.

#### Steps:

- Init p1 = head1, p2 = head2
- Move both pointers forward
- When pointer reaches null â€¢ reset it to other list's head

- Eventually both pointers meet at intersection

#### Java Code:

```
static Node intersection(Node head1, Node head2) {
    Node p1 = head1;
    Node p2 = head2;

    while (p1 != p2) {
        p1 = (p1 == null) ? head2 : p1.next;
        p2 = (p2 == null) ? head1 : p2.next;
    }

    return p1; // or p2
}
```

#### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- After switching heads:
  - Both pointers travel the same total distance
  - So they meet exactly at intersection
  - If no intersection  $\hat{a}t$  both reach null together
  - (not required in this problem, but useful truth)

#### Complexity (Time & Space):

- $\hat{a}t$  Time Complexity
  - $O(N + M)$
  - Why?
    - Each pointer traverses both lists exactly once.
- $\hat{a}t$  Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Only two pointers used.

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The Two Pointer Magic method is the most elegant, one-pass, and constant-space solution.
- The Length Difference method is more intuitive but equally optimal.
- Both satisfy the constraints perfectly.

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Detect intersection without guarantee
- Find intersection of two circular linked lists
- Merge two lists and find intersection
- Find intersection of more than 2 lists

- Intersection where values equal but references differ (not considered here)
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Intersection means same node, not just same value
  - Two-pointer method simplifies logic
  - Good for interviewer discussion
  - Hashing method is simple but not optimal
  - Avoid brute-force for large lists
- 

# Q111: Remove Duplicates From Sorted Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given the head of a sorted singly linked list.
  - Your task is to remove all duplicates so that each element appears only once.
  - Return the final head.
  - Since the list is sorted, duplicates always appear next to each other.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 300$
  - Node values range from -100 to 100
  - List is sorted in non-decreasing order
  - Only deletion of duplicates required, not rearrangement
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Single node list → no change
  - All nodes identical (e.g., 1 1 1 1)
  - No duplicates at all
  - Duplicates only at the start
  - Duplicates only at the end
  - Negative values
  - Mixed duplicates
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

1 1 2

Output:

1 2

Example 2

Input:

1 1 2 3 3

Output:

1 2 3

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: One-Pass Iterative (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Because the list is sorted, duplicates appear consecutively.
- We just check if next node has the same value, and skip it.

#### Steps:

- Use a pointer curr starting at head
- If curr.data == curr.next.data:
- Skip the next node â†’ curr.next = curr.next.next
- Else move curr = curr.next
- Continue until list ends
- Return head

#### Java Code:

```
static Node deleteDuplicates(Node head) {  
    if (head == null) return null;  
  
    Node curr = head;  
  
    while (curr != null && curr.next != null) {  
        if (curr.data == curr.next.data) {  
            curr.next = curr.next.next;  
        } else {  
            curr = curr.next;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

    }
}

return head;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Since the list is sorted, duplicates will always be together.
- We don't need extra memory just skip equal consecutive nodes.
- Each duplicate is simply removed by updating pointers.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
    - We traverse the list once, checking each pair only once.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - We only use a few pointers, no additional data structures.

### Approach 2: Recursive Removal (Elegant but Costly)

#### Idea:

- Recursively remove duplicates from the next nodes,
- then compare head with the next returned node.

#### Steps:

- If list empty or 1 node → return head
- Recursively call for head.next
- If head.data == head.next.data, skip next
- Else keep as it is

#### Java Code:

```

static Node deleteDuplicates(Node head) {
    if (head == null || head.next == null) return head;

    head.next = deleteDuplicates(head.next);

    if (head.data == head.next.data) {
        return head.next;
    } else {
        return head;
    }
}

```

### ðŸ’ Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Work on the tail first, then fix head comparison.
- Cleaner approach but uses recursion stack.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- $\hat{O}(n)$  Time Complexity
- $O(n)$
- $\hat{O}(n)$  Space Complexity
  - $O(n)$  due to recursion stack
  - Why?
    - Worst-case recursion depth = list length.

---

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The one-pass iterative method is the best because:
  - Works in a single traversal
  - Uses zero extra memory
  - Avoids recursion
  - Guaranteed correct due to sorted property
- This is the method expected in interviews.

---

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Remove duplicates from unsorted list  $\hat{+}$  use Set or sorting
- Remove duplicates so elements appear at most twice
- Keep only nodes that appear exactly once
- Remove duplicates from doubly linked list
- Remove duplicates from a circular list

---

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Sorted property is key  $\hat{+}$  simplifies logic
- Always check  $\text{curr} \neq \text{null} \ \&\& \ \text{curr.next} \neq \text{null}$
- Avoid moving curr forward on removing duplicates
- Perfect warm-up for pointer manipulation problems
- Beware of infinite loops when skipping nodes

---

# Q112: Unfold the Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given a folded linked list.
  - Folding pattern:
    - Original:  $L_0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow L_3 \rightarrow L_4 \rightarrow L_5 \rightarrow \dots$
    - Folded:  $L_0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_{n-1} \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow L_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots$
  - Your task is to unfold the list and reconstruct the original order.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 1000$
  - Linked list is singly linked
  - Values are integers
  - Folding is guaranteed valid
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Single node  $\rightarrow$  same list
  - Two nodes  $\rightarrow$  already unfolded
  - Odd vs even number of nodes
  - Repeated values
  - Must preserve original order, not sorted order
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

1 6 2 5 3 4

Output:

1 2 3 4 5 6

Example 2

Input:

1 5 2 4 3

Output:

1 2 3 4 5

---

## 5. Approaches

Approach 1: Using Two Lists (Optimal & Common Approach)

**Idea:**

- The folded list has two interleaved lists:
  - odd positions  $\rightarrow$  original left half



- even positions are reversed right half
- Steps to restore original:
  - left = L0 → L1 → L2 → ...
  - right = Ln → Ln-1 → ... (in reverse order)
- Reverse the right list
- Attach reversed right list to end of left list

#### Steps:

- Create two dummy lists: odd and even
- Traverse original list:
  - nodes at index 0,2,4,... are odd
  - nodes at index 1,3,5,... are even
- Reverse the even list
- Concatenate odd list + reversed even list
- Return head of odd list

#### Java Code:

```
static Node unfold(Node head) {
    if (head == null || head.next == null)
        return head;

    Node oddHead = head;
    Node evenHead = head.next;

    Node odd = oddHead;
    Node even = evenHead;

    // Separate odd and even positioned nodes
    while (even != null && even.next != null) {
        odd.next = even.next;
        odd = odd.next;

        even.next = odd.next;
        even = even.next;
    }

    odd.next = null; // end odd list

    // Reverse even list
    Node revEven = reverse(evenHead);

    // Attach reversed even list
    odd.next = revEven;

    return oddHead;
}

// Standard reverse function
```

```

static Node reverse(Node head) {
    Node prev = null;
    Node curr = head;

    while (curr != null) {
        Node next = curr.next;
        curr.next = prev;
        prev = curr;
        curr = next;
    }
    return prev;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Folding mixes the list like:
  - $L_0, L_n, L_1, L_{n-1}, L_2, L_{n-2} \dots$
- So:
  - Odd positioned elements produce the left-to-right order (partially correct)
  - Even positioned elements are in reverse order of the right half
- Thus:
  - Separate odd/even
  - Reverse even list
  - Attach
- This reconstructs the original order.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
  - Single traversal to split + single traversal to reverse + constant-time attach.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
  - Only pointers used; no extra lists except reconstructed pointer links.

### Approach 2: Using an Array (Simpler but Not Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Convert nodes into array, rearrange them into original order, rebuild list.

#### Steps:

- Put all nodes into array
- First half = original left half
- Second half = reversed right half
- Re-link nodes in order

## Java Code:

```
static Node unfold(Node head) {
    ArrayList<Node> arr = new ArrayList<>();
    Node curr = head;
    while (curr != null) {
        arr.add(curr);
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    int i = 0, j = arr.size() - 1;
    Node dummy = new Node(-1);
    Node tail = dummy;

    while (i <= j) {
        tail.next = arr.get(i++);
        tail = tail.next;
        if (i <= j) {
            tail.next = arr.get(j--);
            i++;
        }
        if (i <= j) {
            tail.next = arr.get(j--);
            tail = tail.next;
        }
    }

    tail.next = null;
    return dummy.next;
}
```

## Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Using array, we can directly reorder nodes since we have random access.

## Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
- $O(n)$
- Space Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
    - Array stores all nodes.

---

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The odd-even separation + reverse method is optimal because:
- Uses only pointer manipulation
- No additional memory

- Linear time
  - Matches the exact fold/unfold logic
  - This is the approach used in interviews and competitive programming.
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Fold a list
  - Check if a list is folded
  - Fold/unfold a doubly linked list
  - Zig-zag rearrangement
  - Rearrange list into  $L_0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots$
  - Merge two halves alternatively
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always check for null before accessing next
  - Use a dummy node for clarity in some variations
  - Reversing even list is essential
  - Odd-even logic ONLY works because fold is deterministic
  - Avoid array unless memory is not a concern
- 

# Q113: Segregating 0s, 1s, and 2s in a Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given a linked list with nodes containing only 0, 1, and 2.
  - You must rearrange the linked list so that:
    - All 0s come first
    - then 1s
    - then 2s
  - Order among equal elements does not need to be preserved strictly (but in-place methods preserve relative order).
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 1000$
  - Node values: 0, 1, or 2
  - List is singly linked
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- List of only 0s, only 1s, or only 2s
  - Empty list
  - Single element
  - Already sorted
  - Reverse sorted
  - All duplicates clustered
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

0 1 1 1 2 0 1 0 1 1

Output:

0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2

Example 2

Input:

0 1 2 0 1

Output:

0 0 1 1 2

---

## 5. Approaches

Approach 1: Counting Method (Optimal, Simplest logic)

**Idea:**

- Count number of 0, 1, 2
- Then traverse list again and overwrite values.

**Steps:**

- Traverse list and count c0, c1, c2
- Traverse list again:
  - First fill c0 times 0
  - Then c1 times 1
  - Finally c2 times 2
- Return head

**Java Code:**

```
static Node segregate(Node head) {  
    int c0=0, c1=0, c2=0;
```

```

Node curr = head;
while (curr != null) {
    if (curr.data == 0) c0++;
    else if (curr.data == 1) c1++;
    else c2++;
    curr = curr.next;
}

curr = head;
while (c0-- > 0) { curr.data = 0; curr = curr.next; }
while (c1-- > 0) { curr.data = 1; curr = curr.next; }
while (c2-- > 0) { curr.data = 2; curr = curr.next; }

return head;
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- This is the linked-list version of Dutch National Flag.
- We're not changing nodes, just updating values.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
    - One pass to count + one pass to rewrite values.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Only integer counters used.

### Approach 2: Three Dummy Lists

#### Idea:

- Create 3 separate lists:
  - One for 0s
  - One for 1s
  - One for 2s
- Use original nodes (no new nodes).
- Then connect:
  - 0-list → 1-list → 2-list

#### Steps:

- Create 3 dummy heads: zero, one, two
- Traverse input list:
  - If data is 0 → move node to zero list

- If 1 → move to one list
- If 2 → move to two list
- Connect:
  - zero → one → two
  - Return zero.next

### Java Code:

```
static Node segregate(Node head) {
    Node zeroD = new Node(-1), oneD = new Node(-1), twoD = new Node(-1);
    Node zero = zeroD, one = oneD, two = twoD;

    Node curr = head;

    while (curr != null) {
        if (curr.data == 0) {
            zero.next = curr;
            zero = zero.next;
        }
        else if (curr.data == 1) {
            one.next = curr;
            one = one.next;
        }
        else {
            two.next = curr;
            two = two.next;
        }
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    // Connect lists
    zero.next = oneD.next != null ? oneD.next : twoD.next;
    one.next = twoD.next;
    two.next = null;

    return zeroD.next;
}
```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Instead of creating new nodes (your mistake),
- we reuse the original nodes and rearrange pointers.
- This is fully in-place.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
    - One single pass through list.

- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Only uses dummy heads (constant nodes).

### Approach 3: Dutch National Flag with Pointer Swaps

#### Idea:

- Instead of counting or splitting the list into multiple lists,
- we perform a 3-way partition directly on the linked list
- just like the Dutch National Flag algorithm.
- We maintain 3 regions while traversing:
  - Region of 0s
  - Region of 1s
  - Region of 2s
- But since it's a singly linked list, we can't use array-like indices.
- Instead, we use three pointers to maintain boundaries and swap data values.
- Important:
- This method only swaps node.data, not links.

#### Steps:

- Use three pointers:
  - ptr0 → last node where data = 0
  - ptr1 → last node where data = 1
  - ptr2 → current scanning node
- Traverse list using ptr2:
- If ptr2.data == 0
  - Swap ptr2.data with ptr0.next.data
  - Move both ptr0 and ptr2
- If ptr2.data == 1
  - Swap with ptr1.next.data
  - Move ptr1 and ptr2
- If ptr2.data == 2
  - Just move ptr2
- Goal:
  - [0 region] [1 region] [Unprocessed region]
  - This builds 0s first, then 1s, then 2s.

#### Java Code:

```
static Node segregate(Node head) {
    if (head == null || head.next == null)
        return head;

    // ptr0 will mark end of 0s region
    Node ptr0 = new Node(-1);
```



```

ptr0.next = head;
ptr0 = ptr0.next;

// ptr1 will mark end of 1s region
Node ptr1 = ptr0;

// ptr2 scans list
Node ptr2 = ptr0.next;

while (ptr2 != null) {
    if (ptr2.data == 0) {
        // expand 0-region -> swap ptr2 with ptr0
        int temp = ptr2.data;
        ptr2.data = ptr0.data;
        ptr0.data = temp;

        ptr0 = ptr0.next;
        if (ptr1 == ptr0) ptr1 = ptr1.next;

        ptr2 = ptr2.next;
    }
    else if (ptr2.data == 1) {
        // expand 1-region -> swap ptr2 with ptr1
        int temp = ptr2.data;
        ptr2.data = ptr1.data;
        ptr1.data = temp;

        ptr1 = ptr1.next;
        ptr2 = ptr2.next;
    }
    else {
        // data == 2 â†’ unprocessed region
        ptr2 = ptr2.next;
    }
}

return head;
}

```

### ðŸ’ Intuition Behind the Approach:

- This uses the exact logic of Dutch National Flag:
- Move 0s to front
- Move 1s to the middle
- Leave 2s at the back
- But since linked lists canâ€™t jump back and forth,
- we simulate the 3-way partition by maintaining pointers to the boundaries
- and swapping values into correct regions.
- We simulate the 3 bins (0,1,2) in-place, rearranging each item into its correct region by swapping data fields.
- This avoids:

- Creating new nodes
- Managing multiple lists
- Using counting passes
- Instead, it dynamically rearranges data during one traversal.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - WHY?
    - Each of the nodes is visited exactly once by ptr2.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - WHY?
    - Only a few pointers (ptr0, ptr1, ptr2) and temp swaps are used.
- When to Use / Avoid
  - Use when:
    - You want in-place partitioning
    - No extra memory allowed
    - You're allowed to modify node data
  - Avoid when:
    - Data swap not allowed
    - Nodes must not be modified except via linking
    - List contains large objects (not primitive values)

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The optimal solutions are:
- Approach 1 (Counting) is simplest, fastest
- Approach 2 (3-lists in-place) is best pointer-based solution
- Your old solution was close to Approach 2, but created new nodes, making it  $O(n)$  space.
- Correct approach uses no new nodes, just pointer attachments.

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Sort linked list of 0-1 only
- Sort linked list with arbitrary integers (merge sort)
- Sort linked list based on custom 3-way criteria
- Sort linked list but preserve stability

## 8. Tips & Observations

- For values limited to 0, 1, 2 counting is easiest
- For general linked list sorting merge sort is required
- Avoid creating new nodes – pointer manipulation is faster & memory-efficient

# Q114: Rearrange Even and Odd Nodes

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- Given the head of a singly linked list, rearrange the list so that:
    - All even-valued nodes come before all odd-valued nodes
    - Relative order inside even group must remain preserved
    - Relative order inside odd group must remain preserved
    - Modify the list in-place (no creation of new actual nodes)
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 1000$
  - Node values are integers
  - Must preserve original ordering within each group
  - Must not create new nodes representing list values
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- All values even → no change
  - All values odd → no change
  - Single element
  - Mixed order
  - Already partitioned
  - Even values appear after some odd values
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

1 2 3 4 5

Output:

2 4 1 3 5

Example 2

Input:

2 4 6 8 10 1 3 5 7 9

Output:

2 4 6 8 10 1 3 5 7 9

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: In-Place Stable Partition Using Dummy Nodes (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Use two pointer chains:
  - even list to gather all nodes with value % 2 == 0
  - odd list to gather all nodes with value % 2 == 1
- We reuse the same nodes, we simply attach them into two separate chains, then connect:
- even-list → odd-list

#### Steps:

- Create two dummy nodes evenD, oddD
- Traverse original list:
  - If node.val is even → append to even chain
  - Else → append to odd chain
- Connect even chain tail → odd chain head
- end odd list with null
- Return evenD.next

#### Java Code:

```
public Node rearrangeList(Node head) {
    if (head == null || head.next == null) return head;

    Node evenD = new Node(-1), oddD = new Node(-1);
    Node even = evenD, odd = oddD;

    Node curr = head;

    while (curr != null) {
        if (curr.val % 2 == 0) {
            even.next = curr;
            even = even.next;
        } else {
            odd.next = curr;
            odd = odd.next;
        }
        curr = curr.next;
    }

    odd.next = null; // end odd list
```

```

    even.next = oddD.next;          // connect even + odd

    return evenD.next;             // head of rearranged list
}

```

### ðŸ’ Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Partitioning the original list into two linked chains maintains the order.
- Even list grows first, preserving all even nodes as they appear.
- Odd list grows next, preserving all odd nodes as they appear.
- Finally attaching the two lists produces the required output.
- This is exactly like stable partition in arrays but done with pointer adjustments.
- Dummy nodes are in-place because they do not store real data nodes, do not become part of the final list, and use only constant memory. The final list contains only original nodes.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(n)$
  - Why?
    - Single traversal collecting even and odd chains.
- Space Complexity
  - $O(1)$
  - Why?
    - Dummy nodes are constant-memory and do not scale with input size.

## 6. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Partition around any pivot value
- Stable partition without extra memory
- Partition doubly linked list
- Partition around multiple conditions (e.g., negative/zero/positive)

## 7. Tips & Observations

- Dummy nodes make merging logic easier
- Always end the final list with null
- Avoid creating new nodes with original values
- Use pointer manipulation for true in-place modification

# Q115: Quick Sort on Linked List

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given the head of a linked list.
  - You must sort the list using Quick Sort, but without converting it to an array.
  - Quick Sort for linked list works differently from arrays:
  - No random access
  - We use partition by swapping data, not nodes
  - We recursively sort left and right partitions
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 20000$
  - Linked list nodes contain integers
  - Must use Quick Sort, not Merge Sort
  - Must maintain linked list structure
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Single-node list is already sorted
  - Already sorted list
  - Reverse sorted list
  - Duplicate values
  - Very large list (ensure tail-next=null)
  - Pivot at end may cause skew is still valid
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

3

1 6 2

Output:

1 2 6

Example 2

Input:

4

1 9 3 8

Output:

1 3 8 9

---

## 5. Approaches

## Approach 1: QuickSort Using Last Node as Pivot

### Idea:

- Choose last node as pivot (end).
- Partition list so:
  - Nodes with value < pivot â€™ left side
  - Nodes with value â‰¥ pivot â€™ right side
- Swap values, not nodes (much easier).
- Recursively sort left and right sublists.

### Steps:

- Find the tail of the list.
- Call quickSort(head, tail).
- Partition around pivot (the tail).
- Get pivotâ€™s correct position.
- QuickSort left part.
- QuickSort right part.

### Java Code:

```
// Partition the list around the end node as pivot
static Node partition(Node head, Node end, Node[] newHead, Node[] newEnd) {
    Node pivot = end;
    Node prev = null, curr = head, tail = pivot;

    // Initially new head and new end will be assigned later
    while (curr != pivot) {
        if (curr.data < pivot.data) {
            if (newHead[0] == null)
                newHead[0] = curr;
            prev = curr;
            curr = curr.next;
        } else {
            // Move nodes >= pivot to end
            if (prev != null)
                prev.next = curr.next;

            Node temp = curr.next;
            curr.next = null;
            tail.next = curr;
            tail = curr;
            curr = temp;
        }
    }

    if (newHead[0] == null)
        newHead[0] = pivot;

    newEnd[0] = tail;
```

```

    return pivot;
}

// Recursively apply quick sort
static Node quickSortRecur(Node head, Node end) {
    if (head == null || head == end)
        return head;

    Node[] newHead = new Node[1];
    Node[] newEnd = new Node[1];

    Node pivot = partition(head, end, newHead, newEnd);

    // If pivot is not the smallest element
    if (newHead[0] != pivot) {
        Node temp = newHead[0];

        while (temp.next != pivot)
            temp = temp.next;

        temp.next = null;

        newHead[0] = quickSortRecur(newHead[0], temp);

        temp = getTail(newHead[0]);
        temp.next = pivot;
    }

    pivot.next = quickSortRecur(pivot.next, newEnd[0]);

    return newHead[0];
}

// Returns the tail of a linked list
static Node getTail(Node head) {
    while (head != null && head.next != null)
        head = head.next;
    return head;
}

// Main QuickSort function
static Node quickSort(Node head) {
    Node tail = getTail(head);
    return quickSortRecur(head, tail);
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- QuickSort works best when we split partitions.



- Since linked lists don't allow random access, swapping nodes is hard.
- Instead, we swap data, making partition simpler.
- Pivot is chosen as last node for consistency.
- Recursion builds sorted sublists automatically.
- Final linking maintains correct order.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - Best/Average:  $O(N \log N)$
  - Worst (already sorted / reverse):  $O(N^2)$
- Space Complexity
  - Recursion depth:  $O(\log N)$  (best)
  - Worst-case recursion:  $O(N)$

### Approach 2: QuickSort on Values Only

#### Idea:

- Copy all values to an array → apply QuickSort → rebuild list.
- ❌ Not allowed here (problem requires QuickSort on list itself).

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- QuickSort is chosen because:
- Partitioning is straightforward using last node as pivot.
- Works in-place; no extra arrays.
- Allowed by problem even though MergeSort is normally preferred.

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- QuickSort with random pivot
- QuickSort by node swapping instead of data swapping
- 3-way partition QuickSort (useful with many duplicates)

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always use last node as pivot for simplicity.
- Use data swapping, not node swapping.
- Ensure to properly cut and reattach sublists.
- Linked list QuickSort is trickier than array QuickSort because of no random access.
- For interviews, MergeSort is usually preferred → but QuickSort is asked for concept testing.

# Q116: Merge Sort for Linked List

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given the head of a linked list with  $n$  nodes.
  - Your task is to sort the linked list using Merge Sort.
  - Merge Sort is ideal for linked lists because:
  - It does not need random access
  - It works in  $O(N \log N)$  time
  - Merging can be done efficiently using pointers
  - This is the standard, most optimal sorting method for linked lists.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq n \leq 100000$
  - $1 \leq \text{node.data} \leq 1000$
  - Must use Merge Sort (not arrays, not quick sort)
  - Large input size must be  $O(N \log N)$  or better
- 

## 3. Edge Cases

- Only 1 node already sorted
  - 2 nodes swapped should sort correctly
  - Repeated values
  - Negative values? (Not required here, but logic supports it)
  - Very long list (ensure no stack overflow)
- 

## 4. Examples

Example 1

Input:

5  
3 5 2 4 1

Output:

1 2 3 4 5

Example 2

Input:

6  
3 5 2 4 1 6

Output:

1 2 3 4 5 6

---

## 5. Approaches

### Approach 1: Classic Merge Sort on Linked List (Optimal)

#### Idea:

- Find mid using slow & fast pointers
- Split list into two halves
- Recursively sort each half
- Merge both halves using a sorted merge function

#### Steps:

- Base case: if head is null or head.next is null â€˜ return head
- Find mid: using slow&fast pointers
- Split list: left = head, right = mid.next
- Sort both halves:
  - left = mergeSort(left)
  - right = mergeSort(right)
- Merge: return merge(left, right)

#### Java Code:

Find Middle Node

```
static Node getMid(Node head) {
    Node slow = head, fast = head.next;

    while (fast != null && fast.next != null) {
        slow = slow.next;
        fast = fast.next.next;
    }
    return slow;
}
```

Merge Two Sorted Lists

```
static Node merge(Node a, Node b) {
    if (a == null) return b;
    if (b == null) return a;

    Node dummy = new Node(-1);
    Node temp = dummy;

    while (a != null && b != null) {
        if (a.data <= b.data) {
            temp.next = a;
            a = a.next;
        } else {
            temp.next = b;
            b = b.next;
        }
        temp = temp.next;
    }
```

```

    }

    if (a != null) temp.next = a;
    else temp.next = b;

    return dummy.next;
}

Merge Sort on Linked List
static Node mergeSort(Node head) {
    if (head == null || head.next == null)
        return head;

    Node mid = getMid(head);
    Node rightHead = mid.next;
    mid.next = null;

    Node left = mergeSort(head);
    Node right = mergeSort(rightHead);

    return merge(left, right);
}

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Merge Sort is naturally suited for linked lists.
- Splitting using slow-fast traversal is efficient ( $O(N)$ ).
- Merging is pointer-based and does not require shifting values.
- Unlike arrays, QuickSort is inefficient on linked lists due to no random access.
- Merge Sort ensures stable, predictable  $O(N \log N)$  behavior.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - $O(N \log N)$
  - ( $N$  for each level merge,  $\log N$  levels of recursion)
- Space Complexity
  - $O(\log N)$  recursion stack
  - No extra list or array created

### Approach 2: (Not Recommended): Convert to Array and Sort

#### Idea:

- Copy values into an array
  - Sort array
  - Rebuild list
  - ❌ Violates problem requirement
  - ❌ Uses extra  $O(N)$  space
-

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Merge Sort is the most optimal and preferred method for sorting linked lists because:
  - Linked lists allow cheap merging
  - Do not support random access for QuickSort
  - Merge Sort guarantees good performance in worst case
  - Stable sorting method
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Merge sort on Doubly Linked List
  - Bottom-up Merge Sort (iterative)
  - Sorting K-sorted linked list using merge logic
  - Merging K sorted lists (Heap + Merge logic)
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always break the list into exact halves to avoid infinite recursion.
  - Use slow&quot;fast technique to find the middle reliably.
  - Merge function should not create new nodes &quot; re-link existing ones.
  - For very large lists, iterative bottom-up merge sort avoids recursion depth issues.
  - After merge, ensure next pointers are not accidentally left pointing to old references.
- 

# Q117: Clone a Linked List with Next and Random Pointer

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- We are given a linked list where each node has:
    - next pointer &quot; points to next node
    - random pointer &quot; points to ANY node in the list (or NULL)
  - We must create a deep copy:
    - Create N new nodes
    - Each new node:
      - Has the same value
      - next and random pointers must point to new nodes only
    - No pointer of the cloned list should reference original nodes.
  - This is a classic Random Pointer Linked List Cloning problem.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- $1 \leq N \leq 100$

- $1 \leq M \leq N$
  - Random pointer pairs given as:
    - a b meaning node a has random pointer to node b
  - Random pointer can be missing → treated as NULL
  - Must return head of cloned list
- 

### 3. Edge Cases

- Only 1 node
  - No random pointers
  - All random pointers NULL
  - Random pointer pointing to itself
  - Multiple nodes pointing to same random target
  - Random pointers forming cycles
  - Last node having random pointer
  - Random pointers out of order (given as values not linked structure)
- 

### 4. Examples

Example 1

```
1 -> 2 -> 3 -> 4
1->random = 2
2->random = 4
```

Output: 1 (means clone is valid)

Example 2

```
1 -> 3 -> 5 -> 9
1->random = 1
3->random = 4
```

Output: 1

---

### 5. Approaches

Approach 1:  $O(1)$  Extra Space → Interleaving Nodes (Optimal)

**Idea:**

- Clone each node and insert it next to original node:
  - $1 \rightarrow 1' \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 2' \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3' \dots$
- Set all cloned nodes' random pointers by using:
  - `clone.random = original.random.next`
- Detach cloned list from original list.

### Steps:

- Step 1 "Insert clone nodes after each original"
  - For each node A, create A':
  - A -> A' -> B -> B' -> C -> C' ...
- Step 2 "Set random pointer of cloned nodes"
  - If A.random = R
  - then
    - A'.random = R.next
  - Because R.next is R's clone.
- Step 3 "Detach cloned list"
  - Extract all A' nodes into a separate cloned list.

### Java Code:

```
static Node cloneLinkedList(Node head) {
    if (head == null) return null;

    Node curr = head;

    // Step 1: Insert cloned nodes
    while (curr != null) {
        Node next = curr.next;
        Node copy = new Node(curr.data);
        curr.next = copy;
        copy.next = next;
        curr = next;
    }

    // Step 2: Set random pointers
    curr = head;
    while (curr != null) {
        if (curr.random != null)
            curr.next.random = curr.random.next;
        curr = curr.next.next;
    }

    // Step 3: Separate cloned list
    curr = head;
    Node copyHead = head.next;
    Node copy = copyHead;

    while (curr != null) {
        curr.next = curr.next.next;
        if (copy.next != null)
            copy.next = copy.next.next;

        curr = curr.next;
        copy = copy.next;
    }
}
```

```

        return copyHead;
    }

```

### Intuition Behind the Approach:

- We avoid extra space like HashMap by creating a twin node right next to original.
- This interleaving gives us direct access to cloned node of any node using curr.next.
- Since random pointers can point anywhere, interleaving ensures we can assign clone-randoms in O(1) time.
- Finally, we untangle both lists to restore the original and produce the clone.
- This is efficient and elegant.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- Time Complexity
  - O(N)
  - (Every node visited a constant number of times)
- Space Complexity
  - O(1) extra space
  - (No hashing, no extra arrays)

### Approach 2: HashMap Method (Simpler but uses O(N) space)

#### Idea:

- Create all new nodes in first pass
- Store mapping: oldNode → newNode
- Second pass: assign next & random using map

#### Java Code:

```

HashMap<Node, Node> map = new HashMap<>();

Node curr = head;
while (curr != null) {
    map.put(curr, new Node(curr.data));
    curr = curr.next;
}

curr = head;
while (curr != null) {
    map.get(curr).next = map.get(curr.next);
    map.get(curr).random = map.get(curr.random);
    curr = curr.next;
}

return map.get(head);

```



## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- Interleaving method:
    - Uses constant space
    - Solves random pointer linking efficiently
    - Avoids extra memory overhead
    - Is the most optimal approach taught in interviews
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Clone a doubly linked list with random pointers
  - Clone a tree with random pointers
  - Clone graph using BFS/DFS
  - Create deep copy of complex structures
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Interleaving list ensures random pointers can be assigned without storing mappings
  - Always detach the lists at the end, or you will corrupt original list
  - Use `.next.next` carefully during traversal
  - Random pointer may be NULL â handle that condition
  - This question appears frequently in FAANG interviews
- 

# Q118: Flattening a Linked List

---

## 1. Problem Understanding

- You are given a linked list where:
    - Each node has 2 pointers:
      - right â points to next list head
      - down â points to a sorted sub-linked-list
  - Every sub-list is sorted
  - We must flatten all lists into a single sorted list, using only the down pointer.
  - After flattening:
    - All nodes appear in a single down chain
    - Output is printed using the down pointer only
    - The right pointer should not be used in final list
  - This is similar to merge K sorted linked lists.
- 

## 2. Constraints

- Number of lists  $n \leq 50$
- Size of each sublist  $k \leq 20$

- Total nodes ≈ 1000
- Values ≈ 1000
- Must maintain sorted order

### 3. Edge Cases

- Empty main list (n = 0)
- Only 1 list
- Each list has only 1 element
- Some lists have size 1, others long
- All values identical
- Highly skewed down chains

### 4. Examples

Example 1:

Flatten:

```

5  → 10  → 19  → 28
   →      →      →
7   20   22   35
   →      →      →
8       50   40
   →           →
30          45

```

Output:

```
5 7 8 10 19 20 22 28 30 35 40 45 50
```

Example 2:

Output:

```
5 7 8 10 19 22 28 30 50
```

### 5. Approaches

Approach 1: Merge down lists one by one (Optimal & Simple)

**Idea:**

- Treat each right sub-list as a sorted linked list.
- We repeatedly:
  - Flatten the right sublist

- Merge the current list with the flattened right list
- Return merged result
- This reduces to merge of K sorted lists using recursion.

#### Steps:

- Base case â€” if head == null or head.right == null, return head
- Recursively flatten the list starting from head.right
- Merge head and flatten(head.right)
- Ensure result uses only down pointers
- Set right = null to fully flatten structure

#### Java Code:

```

Merge two sorted â€œdownâ€” linked lists
static Node merge(Node a, Node b) {
    if (a == null) return b;
    if (b == null) return a;

    Node result;

    if (a.data < b.data) {
        result = a;
        result.down = merge(a.down, b);
    } else {
        result = b;
        result.down = merge(a, b.down);
    }

    result.right = null; // ensure right pointers removed
    return result;
}

Flatten function
static Node flatten(Node root) {
    if (root == null || root.right == null)
        return root;

    // Flatten the right side first
    root.right = flatten(root.right);

    // Merge current list with flattened right list
    root = merge(root, root.right);

    return root;
}

```

#### ðŸ’ Intuition Behind the Approach:

- Each nodeâ€™s â€œdownâ€” is a sorted list.
- The main list is: L1 -> L2 -> L3 -> ... -> Ln

- Flattening means merging these lists:
- `merge(L1, merge(L2, merge(L3, ...)))`
- Merging two sorted lists is  $O(N)$
- Using recursion ensures gradually flattening from right to left
- The right pointer is ignored in final flattened list
- This is efficient and clean.

### Complexity (Time & Space):

- $\Theta(N \log n)$  Time Complexity
    - Let total nodes =  $N$ 
      - Each merge operation =  $O(N)$
      - Number of merges =  $n$  (number of lists  $\approx 50$ )
    - Overall:
      - Time:  $O(N * \log n)$  (if optimized)
      - Simple recursive merge gives  $O(N * n)$  (still fine for constraints)
  - $\Theta(1)$  Space Complexity
    - Recursion depth =  $O(n) \approx 50$
    - No extra linked lists created
    - Uses same nodes & in-place flattening
- 

## 6. Justification / Proof of Optimality

- The problem requires flattening multiple sorted linked lists into one sorted list.
  - The optimal way to combine sorted lists is through merge operations, just like merging in Merge Sort.
  - Since each node's down list is already sorted, merging two lists using the down pointer preserves sorted order without extra space.
  - Recursively flattening the right side first ensures we gradually combine all lists from right to left, simplifying structure.
  - Eliminating right pointers and relying only on down ensures the final flattened list is a single-level sorted list, exactly as required.
  - This approach avoids creating new nodes, ensuring in-place, memory-efficient flattening.
  - The merge-based method maintains optimal time complexity and is the standard recommended solution for this problem.
- 

## 7. Variants / Follow-Ups

- Flatten a multilevel doubly linked list
  - Merge  $K$  sorted linked lists (priority queue)
  - Flatten a tree-like structure
- 

## 8. Tips & Observations

- Always merge using down pointer only
- Merge like merging 2 sorted linked lists
- Set right = null to avoid mixing structures

- The flatten process works right to left
  - Base case simplifies recursion
  - Print using down pointer always
  - Do not create new nodes (in-place merge required)
-