A simple bandit algorithm

Initialize, for $a = 1$ to k:

$Q(a) \leftarrow 0$

$N(a) \leftarrow 0$

Loop forever:

$$A \leftarrow \begin{cases} \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(a) & \text{with probability } 1-\varepsilon \quad \text{(breaking ties randomly)} \\ \text{a random action} & \text{with probability } \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

$R \leftarrow bandit(A)$

$N(A) \leftarrow N(A) + 1$

$Q(A) \leftarrow Q(A) + \frac{1}{N(A)}\left[R - Q(A)\right]$

Iterative Policy Evaluation, for estimating $V \approx v_\pi$

Input π, the policy to be evaluated
Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation
Initialize $V(s)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+$, arbitrarily except that $V(terminal) = 0$

Loop:
  $\Delta \leftarrow 0$
  Loop for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
    $v \leftarrow V(s)$
    $V(s) \leftarrow \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s', r|s, a)\big[r + \gamma V(s')\big]$
    $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$
until $\Delta < \theta$

Policy Iteration (using iterative policy evaluation) for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

1. Initialization
   $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ arbitrarily for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

2. Policy Evaluation
   Loop:
   $\quad \Delta \leftarrow 0$
   $\quad$ Loop for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
   $\quad\quad v \leftarrow V(s)$
   $\quad\quad V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s',r} p(s', r | s, \pi(s)) \big[r + \gamma V(s') \big]$
   $\quad\quad \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$
   until $\Delta < \theta$ (a small positive number determining the accuracy of estimation)

3. Policy Improvement
   policy-stable $\leftarrow$ *true*
   For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
   $\quad$ *old-action* $\leftarrow \pi(s)$
   $\quad \pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r | s, a) \big[r + \gamma V(s') \big]$
   $\quad$ If *old-action* $\neq \pi(s)$, then *policy-stable* $\leftarrow$ *false*
   If *policy-stable*, then stop and return $V \approx v_*$ and $\pi \approx \pi_*$; else go to 2

Value Iteration, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation
Initialize $V(s)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+$, arbitrarily except that $V(terminal) = 0$

Loop:
| $\Delta \leftarrow 0$
| Loop for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
| $v \leftarrow V(s)$
| $V(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \,|\, s, a)\big[r + \gamma V(s')\big]$
| $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$
until $\Delta < \theta$

Output a deterministic policy, $\pi \approx \pi_*$, such that
  $\pi(s) = \arg\max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \,|\, s, a)\big[r + \gamma V(s')\big]$

Value iteration effectively combines, in each of its sweeps, one sweep of policy evaluation and one sweep of policy improvement. Faster convergence is often achieved by interposing multiple policy evaluation sweeps between each policy improvement sweep. In general, the entire class of truncated policy iteration algorithms can be thought of as sequences

First-visit MC prediction, for estimating $V \approx v_\pi$

Input: a policy π to be evaluated

Initialize:

  $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$, arbitrarily, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

  $Returns(s) \leftarrow$ an empty list, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

Loop forever (for each episode):

  Generate an episode following π: $S_0, A_0, R_1, S_1, A_1, R_2, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$

  $G \leftarrow 0$

  Loop for each step of episode, $t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0$:

    $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$

    Unless S_t appears in $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}$:

      Append G to $Returns(S_t)$

      $V(S_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t))$

Monte Carlo ES (Exploring Starts), for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Initialize:

$\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ (arbitrarily), for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

$Q(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily), for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

$Returns(s,a) \leftarrow$ empty list, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

Loop forever (for each episode):

Choose $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(S_0)$ randomly such that all pairs have probability > 0

Generate an episode from S_0, A_0, following π: $S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$

$G \leftarrow 0$

Loop for each step of episode, $t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0$:

$G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$

Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears in $S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1 \ldots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}$:

Append G to $Returns(S_t, A_t)$

$Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t, A_t))$

$\pi(S_t) \leftarrow \arg\max_a Q(S_t, a)$

On-policy first-visit MC control (for ε-soft policies), estimates $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameter: small $\varepsilon > 0$

Initialize:

$\pi \leftarrow$ an arbitrary ε-soft policy

$Q(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily), for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

$Returns(s,a) \leftarrow$ empty list, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

Repeat forever (for each episode):

Generate an episode following π: $S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$

$G \leftarrow 0$

Loop for each step of episode, $t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0$:

$G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$

Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears in $S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1 \ldots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}$:

Append G to $Returns(S_t, A_t)$

$Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(Returns(S_t, A_t))$

$A^* \leftarrow \arg\max_a Q(S_t, a)$ (with ties broken arbitrarily)

For all $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_t)$:

$$\pi(a|S_t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a = A^* \\ \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a \neq A^* \end{cases}$$

Off-policy MC prediction (policy evaluation) for estimating $Q \approx q_\pi$

Input: an arbitrary target policy π

Initialize, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$:

  $Q(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily)

  $C(s,a) \leftarrow 0$

Loop forever (for each episode):

  $b \leftarrow$ any policy with coverage of π

  Generate an episode following b: $S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$

  $G \leftarrow 0$

  $W \leftarrow 1$

  Loop for each step of episode, $t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0$, while $W \neq 0$:

    $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$

    $C(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow C(S_t, A_t) + W$

    $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{W}{C(S_t,A_t)} [G - Q(S_t, A_t)]$

    $W \leftarrow W \frac{\pi(A_t|S_t)}{b(A_t|S_t)}$

Off-policy MC control, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Initialize, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$:
    $Q(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily)
    $C(s,a) \leftarrow 0$
    $\pi(s) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s,a)$ (with ties broken consistently)

Loop forever (for each episode):
    $b \leftarrow$ any soft policy
    Generate an episode using b: $S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$
    $G \leftarrow 0$
    $W \leftarrow 1$
    Loop for each step of episode, $t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0$:
        $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$
        $C(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow C(S_t, A_t) + W$
        $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \frac{W}{C(S_t, A_t)} [G - Q(S_t, A_t)]$
        $\pi(S_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(S_t, a)$ (with ties broken consistently)
        If $A_t \neq \pi(S_t)$ then exit inner Loop (proceed to next episode)
        $W \leftarrow W \frac{1}{b(A_t|S_t)}$

method is called TD(0), or *one-step* TD, because it is a special case of the TD(λ) and n-step TD methods developed in Chapter 12 and Chapter 7. The box below specifies TD(0) completely in procedural form.

Tabular TD(0) for estimating v_π

Input: the policy π to be evaluated
Algorithm parameter: step size $\alpha \in (0, 1]$
Initialize $V(s)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+$, arbitrarily except that $V(terminal) = 0$

Loop for each episode:
    Initialize S
    Loop for each step of episode:
        $A \leftarrow$ action given by π for S
        Take action A, observe R, S'
        $V(S) \leftarrow V(S) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma V(S') - V(S)\big]$
        $S \leftarrow S'$
    until S is terminal

Because TD(0) bases its update in part on an existing estimate, we say that it is a *bootstrapping* method, like DP. We know from Chapter 3 that

Sarsa (on-policy TD control) for estimating $Q \approx q_*$

Algorithm parameters: step size $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, small $\varepsilon > 0$
Initialize $Q(s, a)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$, arbitrarily except that $Q(terminal, \cdot) = 0$

Loop for each episode:
  Initialize S
  Choose A from S using policy derived from Q (e.g., ε-greedy)
  Loop for each step of episode:
    Take action A, observe R, S'
    Choose A' from S' using policy derived from Q (e.g., ε-greedy)
    $Q(S, A) \leftarrow Q(S, A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma Q(S', A') - Q(S, A)\big]$
    $S \leftarrow S'$; $A \leftarrow A'$;
  until S is terminal

Example 6.5: Windy Gridworld Shown inset below is a standard gridworld, with start and goal states, but with one difference: there is a crosswind running upward through the middle of the grid. The actions are the standard four—`up`, `down`, `right`,

Q-learning (off-policy TD control) for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameters: step size $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, small $\varepsilon > 0$
Initialize $Q(s, a)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$, arbitrarily except that $Q(\textit{terminal}, \cdot) = 0$

Loop for each episode:
  Initialize S
  Loop for each step of episode:
    Choose A from S using policy derived from Q (e.g., ε-greedy)
    Take action A, observe R, S'
    $Q(S, A) \leftarrow Q(S, A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma \max_a Q(S', a) - Q(S, A)\big]$
    $S \leftarrow S'$
  until S is terminal

The box below shows a simple example of a planning method based on one-step tabular Q-learning and on random samples from a sample model. This method, which we call *random-sample one-step tabular Q-planning*, converges to the optimal policy for the model under the same conditions that one-step tabular Q-learning converges to the optimal policy for the real environment (each state–action pair must be selected an infinite number of times in Step 1, and α must decrease appropriately over time).

Random-sample one-step tabular Q-planning

Loop forever:

1. Select a state, $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and an action, $A \in \mathcal{A}(S)$, at random
2. Send S, A to a sample model, and obtain
   a sample next reward, R, and a sample next state, S'
3. Apply one-step tabular Q-learning to S, A, R, S':
   $Q(S,A) \leftarrow Q(S,A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma \max_a Q(S',a) - Q(S,A)\big]$

In addition to the unified view of planning and learning methods, a second theme in this chapter is the benefits of planning in small, incremental steps. This enables planning to be interrupted or redirected at any time with little wasted computation, which appears to be a key requirement for efficiently intermixing planning with acting and with learning of the model. Planning in very small steps may be the most efficient approach even on and planning are implemented by steps (d), (e), and (f), respectively. If (e) and (f) were omitted, the remaining algorithm would be one-step tabular Q-learning.

Tabular Dyna-Q

Initialize $Q(s,a)$ and $Model(s,a)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$
Loop forever:
(a) $S \leftarrow$ current (nonterminal) state
(b) $A \leftarrow \varepsilon$-greedy(S,Q)
(c) Take action A; observe resultant reward, R, and state, S'
(d) $Q(S,A) \leftarrow Q(S,A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma \max_a Q(S',a) - Q(S,A)\big]$
(e) $Model(S,A) \leftarrow R, S'$ (assuming deterministic environment)
(f) Loop repeat n times:
$S \leftarrow$ random previously observed state
$A \leftarrow$ random action previously taken in S
$R, S' \leftarrow Model(S,A)$
$Q(S,A) \leftarrow Q(S,A) + \alpha\big[R + \gamma \max_a Q(S',a) - Q(S,A)\big]$

Example 8.1: Dyna Maze Consider the simple maze shown inset in Figure 8.2. In each of the 47 states there are four actions, up, `down`, `right`, and `left`, which take the