

COMP101 — Recursion & Recurrence

Towers of Hanoi, GCD, Fibonacci

UM6P — SASE

December 19, 2025

Today's goals

- Understand what recursion is (in code and in problem solving)

Today's goals

- Understand what recursion is (in code and in problem solving)
- Visualize the function call stack and what really happens at runtime

Today's goals

- Understand what recursion is (in code and in problem solving)
- Visualize the function call stack and what really happens at runtime
- Turn recursive thinking into a recurrence for the cost of an algorithm

Today's goals

- Understand what recursion is (in code and in problem solving)
- Visualize the function call stack and what really happens at runtime
- Turn recursive thinking into a recurrence for the cost of an algorithm
- Recognize common recursive patterns (Hanoi, factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci)

Today's goals

- Understand what recursion is (in code and in problem solving)
- Visualize the function call stack and what really happens at runtime
- Turn recursive thinking into a recurrence for the cost of an algorithm
- Recognize common recursive patterns (Hanoi, factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci)
- See when recursion is a bad idea and how memoization can rescue us

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time
 - Never place a larger disk on top of a smaller one

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time
 - Never place a larger disk on top of a smaller one
 - Goal: move the full tower from source peg to target peg

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time
 - Never place a larger disk on top of a smaller one
 - Goal: move the full tower from source peg to target peg
- Link to online game :
[<https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html>](https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html)

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time
 - Never place a larger disk on top of a smaller one
 - Goal: move the full tower from source peg to target peg
- Link to online game :
[<https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html>](https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html)
- We will:

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time
 - Never place a larger disk on top of a smaller one
 - Goal: move the full tower from source peg to target peg
- Link to online game :
[<https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html>](https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html)
- We will:
 - Play for small numbers of disks (1, 2, 3, 4)

Let's play a game

- Game: Towers of Hanoi
- Rules:
 - Move one disk at a time
 - Never place a larger disk on top of a smaller one
 - Goal: move the full tower from source peg to target peg
- Link to online game :
[<https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html>](https://www.mathsisfun.com/games/towerofhanoi.html)
- We will:
 - Play for small numbers of disks (1, 2, 3, 4)
 - Try to guess the minimum number of moves

What if we can magically move $n - 1$ disks?

- Imagine we already know how to perfectly move a tower of $n - 1$ disks from any peg to any other

What if we can magically move $n - 1$ disks?

- Imagine we already know how to perfectly move a tower of $n - 1$ disks from any peg to any other
- To move a tower of n disks from source to target:

What if we can magically move $n - 1$ disks?

- Imagine we already know how to perfectly move a tower of $n - 1$ disks from any peg to any other
- To move a tower of n disks from source to target:
 - Step 1: Magically move the top $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary

What if we can magically move $n - 1$ disks?

- Imagine we already know how to perfectly move a tower of $n - 1$ disks from any peg to any other
- To move a tower of n disks from source to target:
 - Step 1: Magically move the top $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
 - Step 2: Move the largest disk (disk n) from source to target

What if we can magically move $n - 1$ disks?

- Imagine we already know how to perfectly move a tower of $n - 1$ disks from any peg to any other
- To move a tower of n disks from source to target:
 - Step 1: Magically move the top $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
 - Step 2: Move the largest disk (disk n) from source to target
 - Step 3: Magically move the $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target

What if we can magically move $n - 1$ disks?

- Imagine we already know how to perfectly move a tower of $n - 1$ disks from any peg to any other
- To move a tower of n disks from source to target:
 - Step 1: Magically move the top $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
 - Step 2: Move the largest disk (disk n) from source to target
 - Step 3: Magically move the $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target
- This is the core recursive pattern: solve two smaller copies of the same problem, plus one simple step

Independent subproblems

- Subproblem 1: move $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary

Independent subproblems

- Subproblem 1: move $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
- Subproblem 2: move $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target

Independent subproblems

- Subproblem 1: move $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
- Subproblem 2: move $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target
- Once we lift disk n , what happens inside the smaller tower does not care about disk n

Independent subproblems

- Subproblem 1: move $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
- Subproblem 2: move $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target
- Once we lift disk n , what happens inside the smaller tower does not care about disk n
- This independence is what makes recursion natural:

Independent subproblems

- Subproblem 1: move $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
- Subproblem 2: move $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target
- Once we lift disk n , what happens inside the smaller tower does not care about disk n
- This independence is what makes recursion natural:
 - Each smaller tower is a smaller copy of the original problem

Independent subproblems

- Subproblem 1: move $n - 1$ disks from source to auxiliary
- Subproblem 2: move $n - 1$ disks from auxiliary to target
- Once we lift disk n , what happens inside the smaller tower does not care about disk n
- This independence is what makes recursion natural:
 - Each smaller tower is a smaller copy of the original problem
- Our whole solution will be: base case for tiny towers, plus this splitting for bigger towers

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up
 - aux: the remaining peg we can use as helper

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up
 - aux: the remaining peg we can use as helper
- A single move is fully determined by:

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up
 - aux: the remaining peg we can use as helper
- A single move is fully determined by:
 - Which peg we take from (source of the move)

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up
 - aux: the remaining peg we can use as helper
- A single move is fully determined by:
 - Which peg we take from (source of the move)
 - Which peg we place on (target of the move)

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up
 - aux: the remaining peg we can use as helper
- A single move is fully determined by:
 - Which peg we take from (source of the move)
 - Which peg we place on (target of the move)
- Because we can only touch the top disk of any peg, the actual disk moved is implicit

Encoding the problem

- The full Hanoi state we care about can be encoded by:
 - n : number of disks in the tower we are moving
 - source: peg where this tower currently is
 - target: peg where we want this tower to end up
 - aux: the remaining peg we can use as helper
- A single move is fully determined by:
 - Which peg we take from (source of the move)
 - Which peg we place on (target of the move)
- Because we can only touch the top disk of any peg, the actual disk moved is implicit
- So our recursive function will only need: $\text{hanoi}(n, \text{source}, \text{target}, \text{aux})$

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: $\text{hanoi}(n, \text{source}, \text{target}, \text{aux})$
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do
 - If $n = 1$: single move from source to target

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do
 - If $n = 1$: single move from source to target
- Recursive case idea for $n \geq 2$:

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do
 - If $n = 1$: single move from source to target
- Recursive case idea for $n \geq 2$:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks from source to aux

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do
 - If $n = 1$: single move from source to target
- Recursive case idea for $n \geq 2$:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks from source to aux
 - Move disk n from source to target

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do
 - If $n = 1$: single move from source to target
- Recursive case idea for $n \geq 2$:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks from source to aux
 - Move disk n from source to target
 - Move $n - 1$ disks from aux to target

Deriving the recursive solution

- We want a function: `hanoi(n, source, target, aux)`
- Base case idea:
 - If $n = 0$: nothing to do
 - If $n = 1$: single move from source to target
- Recursive case idea for $n \geq 2$:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks from source to aux
 - Move disk n from source to target
 - Move $n - 1$ disks from aux to target
- We will write the Python code live following this structure

Hanoi — recursive Python skeleton

```
def hanoi(n, source, target, auxiliary):
    """Print moves to solve Towers of Hanoi with n disks."""
    if n == 0:
        return

    # TODO: move top n-1 disks from source to auxiliary

    # TODO: move disk n from source to target

    # TODO: move n-1 disks from auxiliary to target
```

- We will fill in the three TODOs together

Hanoi — recursive Python skeleton

```
def hanoi(n, source, target, auxiliary):
    """Print moves to solve Towers of Hanoi with n disks."""
    if n == 0:
        return

    # TODO: move top n-1 disks from source to auxiliary

    # TODO: move disk n from source to target

    # TODO: move n-1 disks from auxiliary to target
```

- We will fill in the three TODOs together
- Notice how the function calls itself on a smaller value of n

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks
- From our algorithm:

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks
- From our algorithm:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks: costs $T(n - 1)$ moves

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks
- From our algorithm:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks: costs $T(n - 1)$ moves
 - Move disk n : costs 1 move

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks
- From our algorithm:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks: costs $T(n - 1)$ moves
 - Move disk n : costs 1 move
 - Move $n - 1$ disks again: costs another $T(n - 1)$ moves

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks
- From our algorithm:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks: costs $T(n - 1)$ moves
 - Move disk n : costs 1 move
 - Move $n - 1$ disks again: costs another $T(n - 1)$ moves
- So we get the recurrence:

$$T(1) = 1, \quad T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

How many moves does it take?

- Let $T(n)$ be the minimum number of moves to solve Hanoi with n disks
- From our algorithm:
 - Move $n - 1$ disks: costs $T(n - 1)$ moves
 - Move disk n : costs 1 move
 - Move $n - 1$ disks again: costs another $T(n - 1)$ moves
- So we get the recurrence:

$$T(1) = 1, \quad T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

- This is our first serious recurrence relation

From $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1$ to a closed form

- Unroll a few steps:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n - 1) + 1 \\ &= 2(2T(n - 2) + 1) + 1 = 4T(n - 2) + 3 \\ &= 4(2T(n - 3) + 1) + 3 = 8T(n - 3) + 7 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

From $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1$ to a closed form

- Unroll a few steps:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n - 1) + 1 \\ &= 2(2T(n - 2) + 1) + 1 = 4T(n - 2) + 3 \\ &= 4(2T(n - 3) + 1) + 3 = 8T(n - 3) + 7 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

- After k steps, pattern:

$$T(n) = 2^k T(n - k) + (2^k - 1)$$

From $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1$ to a closed form

- Unroll a few steps:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n - 1) + 1 \\ &= 2(2T(n - 2) + 1) + 1 = 4T(n - 2) + 3 \\ &= 4(2T(n - 3) + 1) + 3 = 8T(n - 3) + 7 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

- After k steps, pattern:

$$T(n) = 2^k T(n - k) + (2^k - 1)$$

- Take $k = n - 1$ and use $T(1) = 1$:

$$T(n) = 2^{n-1} T(1) + (2^{n-1} - 1) = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} - 1 = 2^n - 1$$

From $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1$ to a closed form

- Unroll a few steps:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n - 1) + 1 \\ &= 2(2T(n - 2) + 1) + 1 = 4T(n - 2) + 3 \\ &= 4(2T(n - 3) + 1) + 3 = 8T(n - 3) + 7 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

- After k steps, pattern:

$$T(n) = 2^k T(n - k) + (2^k - 1)$$

- Take $k = n - 1$ and use $T(1) = 1$:

$$T(n) = 2^{n-1} T(1) + (2^{n-1} - 1) = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} - 1 = 2^n - 1$$

- So:

$$T(n) = 2^n - 1 \quad (\text{exponential growth})$$

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:
 - If you split the problem into a subproblems of size n/b

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:
 - If you split the problem into a subproblems of size n/b
 - And pay an extra cost $f(n)$ to combine results

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:
 - If you split the problem into a subproblems of size n/b
 - And pay an extra cost $f(n)$ to combine results
- Master Theorem tells you how $T(n)$ grows (e.g., $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$, ...)

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:
 - If you split the problem into a subproblems of size n/b
 - And pay an extra cost $f(n)$ to combine results
- Master Theorem tells you how $T(n)$ grows (e.g., $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$, ...)
- Our Hanoi recurrence is different ($n - 1$ instead of $n/2$) but it shows:

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:
 - If you split the problem into a subproblems of size n/b
 - And pay an extra cost $f(n)$ to combine results
- Master Theorem tells you how $T(n)$ grows (e.g., $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$, ...)
- Our Hanoi recurrence is different ($n - 1$ instead of $n/2$) but it shows:
 - Recurrence with $T(n - 1)$ often leads to exponential growth

- Many divide-and-conquer algorithms satisfy recurrences of the form:

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Rough intuition:
 - If you split the problem into a subproblems of size n/b
 - And pay an extra cost $f(n)$ to combine results
- Master Theorem tells you how $T(n)$ grows (e.g., $O(n \log n)$, $O(n^2)$, ...)
- Our Hanoi recurrence is different ($n - 1$ instead of $n/2$) but it shows:
 - Recurrence with $T(n - 1)$ often leads to exponential growth
 - Recurrence with $T(n/2)$ often leads to logarithmic depth

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself
- More precisely:

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself
- More precisely:
 - It solves a problem by calling itself on a smaller (simpler) input

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself
- More precisely:
 - It solves a problem by calling itself on a smaller (simpler) input
 - It must have at least one base case that does not call itself

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself
- More precisely:
 - It solves a problem by calling itself on a smaller (simpler) input
 - It must have at least one base case that does not call itself
- Every recursive function implies a call stack:

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself
- More precisely:
 - It solves a problem by calling itself on a smaller (simpler) input
 - It must have at least one base case that does not call itself
- Every recursive function implies a call stack:
 - Each call waits for the result of the next call

What does it mean for a function to be recursive?

- A function is recursive if it calls itself
- More precisely:
 - It solves a problem by calling itself on a smaller (simpler) input
 - It must have at least one base case that does not call itself
- Every recursive function implies a call stack:
 - Each call waits for the result of the next call
 - When the base case hits, we unwind the stack back up

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:
 - Leads to infinite recursion until the program crashes

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:
 - Leads to infinite recursion until the program crashes
- No progress toward the base case:

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:
 - Leads to infinite recursion until the program crashes
- No progress toward the base case:
 - Example: calling the function again with the same argument

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:
 - Leads to infinite recursion until the program crashes
- No progress toward the base case:
 - Example: calling the function again with the same argument
- In Python: RecursionError: maximum recursion depth exceeded

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:
 - Leads to infinite recursion until the program crashes
- No progress toward the base case:
 - Example: calling the function again with the same argument
- In Python: RecursionError: maximum recursion depth exceeded
 - Each call uses stack space

Common pitfalls with recursion

- Missing or incorrect base case:
 - Leads to infinite recursion until the program crashes
- No progress toward the base case:
 - Example: calling the function again with the same argument
- In Python: RecursionError: maximum recursion depth exceeded
 - Each call uses stack space
 - Deep recursion hits the interpreter limit

Python recursion limit (handle with care)

- Python protects you with a default maximum recursion depth

```
import sys

print(sys.getrecursionlimit())    # default is often ~1000

# Increase the limit (dangerous if you have a bug!):
sys.setrecursionlimit(10**6)
```

Python recursion limit (handle with care)

- Python protects you with a default maximum recursion depth
- You can inspect and change it:

```
import sys

print(sys.getrecursionlimit())    # default is often ~1000

# Increase the limit (dangerous if you have a bug!):
sys.setrecursionlimit(10**6)
```

Python recursion limit (handle with care)

- Python protects you with a default maximum recursion depth
- You can inspect and change it:

```
import sys

print(sys.getrecursionlimit())    # default is often ~1000

# Increase the limit (dangerous if you have a bug!):
sys.setrecursionlimit(10**6)
```

- This is a **last resort**, not a fix for bad recursion design

Python recursion limit (handle with care)

- Python protects you with a default maximum recursion depth
- You can inspect and change it:

```
import sys

print(sys.getrecursionlimit())    # default is often ~1000

# Increase the limit (dangerous if you have a bug!):
sys.setrecursionlimit(10**6)
```

- This is a **last resort**, not a fix for bad recursion design
- First: fix your base cases, reduce depth, or use an iterative approach

To the drawing board: call stack

- On the board, we will trace:

To the drawing board: call stack

- On the board, we will trace:
 - A small example like hanoi(3, 'A', 'C', 'B') or fact(4)

To the drawing board: call stack

- On the board, we will trace:
 - A small example like hanoi(3, 'A', 'C', 'B') or fact(4)
 - How each call creates a new frame with its own local variables

To the drawing board: call stack

- On the board, we will trace:
 - A small example like hanoi(3, 'A', 'C', 'B') or fact(4)
 - How each call creates a new frame with its own local variables
- Goal: see how the stack grows and then unwinds

To the drawing board: call stack

- On the board, we will trace:
 - A small example like hanoi(3, 'A', 'C', 'B') or fact(4)
 - How each call creates a new frame with its own local variables
- Goal: see how the stack grows and then unwinds
- Keep this mental model for all future recursive functions

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:
 - Express the solution in terms of smaller inputs

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:
 - Express the solution in terms of smaller inputs
 - Make sure each step moves closer to a base case

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:
 - Express the solution in terms of smaller inputs
 - Make sure each step moves closer to a base case
- Progress guarantee:

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:
 - Express the solution in terms of smaller inputs
 - Make sure each step moves closer to a base case
- Progress guarantee:
 - On each call, something gets simpler: $n - 1$, smaller slice, smaller range, ...

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:
 - Express the solution in terms of smaller inputs
 - Make sure each step moves closer to a base case
- Progress guarantee:
 - On each call, something gets simpler: $n - 1$, smaller slice, smaller range, ...
- If you forget the base case or progress:

Anatomy of a recursive function

- Base case(s):
 - Smallest inputs where we can answer directly
 - No recursive calls here
- Recursive case:
 - Express the solution in terms of smaller inputs
 - Make sure each step moves closer to a base case
- Progress guarantee:
 - On each call, something gets simpler: $n - 1$, smaller slice, smaller range, ...
- If you forget the base case or progress:
 - The recursion will go on forever and eventually crash

Example: factorial

- Mathematical definition:

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ n \cdot (n - 1)!, & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

```
def fact(n):
    if n == 0:          # base case
        return 1
    return n * fact(n - 1) # recursive case
```

Example: factorial

- Mathematical definition:

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ n \cdot (n - 1)!, & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Directly turns into a recursive function:

```
def fact(n):
    if n == 0:          # base case
        return 1
    return n * fact(n - 1) # recursive case
```

Example: factorial

- Mathematical definition:

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ n \cdot (n - 1)!, & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Directly turns into a recursive function:

```
def fact(n):
    if n == 0:          # base case
        return 1
    return n * fact(n - 1) # recursive case
```

- Each call reduces n by 1 until we hit the base case $n = 0$

Example: sum from 1 to n

- Let $S(n)$ be the sum of integers from 1 to n :

$$S(n) = 1 + 2 + \cdots + n$$

```
def sum_to_n(n):
    if n == 1:                  # base case
        return 1
    return sum_to_n(n - 1) + n
```

Example: sum from 1 to n

- Let $S(n)$ be the sum of integers from 1 to n :

$$S(n) = 1 + 2 + \cdots + n$$

- Recurrence:

$$S(1) = 1, \quad S(n) = S(n - 1) + n$$

```
def sum_to_n(n):
    if n == 1:                  # base case
        return 1
    return sum_to_n(n - 1) + n
```

Example: sum from 1 to n

- Let $S(n)$ be the sum of integers from 1 to n :

$$S(n) = 1 + 2 + \cdots + n$$

- Recurrence:

$$S(1) = 1, \quad S(n) = S(n - 1) + n$$

```
def sum_to_n(n):
    if n == 1:                  # base case
        return 1
    return sum_to_n(n - 1) + n
```

- Again: smaller input $n - 1$ + one simple local operation ($+n$)

Example: GCD via Euclid's algorithm

- Goal: compute $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ (greatest common divisor)

```
def gcd(a, b):  
    if b == 0:          # base case  
        return a  
    return gcd(b, a % b)
```

Example: GCD via Euclid's algorithm

- Goal: compute $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ (greatest common divisor)
- Key idea: $\text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, a \bmod b)$

```
def gcd(a, b):  
    if b == 0:          # base case  
        return a  
    return gcd(b, a % b)
```

Example: GCD via Euclid's algorithm

- Goal: compute $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ (greatest common divisor)
- Key idea: $\text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, a \bmod b)$
- Recurrence:

$$\text{gcd}(a, 0) = a, \quad \text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, a \bmod b) \text{ for } b \neq 0$$

```
def gcd(a, b):  
    if b == 0:          # base case  
        return a  
    return gcd(b, a % b)
```

Example: GCD via Euclid's algorithm

- Goal: compute $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ (greatest common divisor)
- Key idea: $\text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, a \bmod b)$
- Recurrence:

$$\text{gcd}(a, 0) = a, \quad \text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, a \bmod b) \text{ for } b \neq 0$$

```
def gcd(a, b):  
    if b == 0:          # base case  
        return a  
    return gcd(b, a % b)
```

- Each step makes the second argument smaller, until it reaches 0

Example: Fibonacci numbers

- Definition:

$$F(0) = 0, \quad F(1) = 1, \quad F(n) = F(n - 1) + F(n - 2) \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

```
def fib(n):
    if n <= 1:                  # base cases: 0 and 1
        return n
    return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2)
```

Example: Fibonacci numbers

- Definition:

$$F(0) = 0, \quad F(1) = 1, \quad F(n) = F(n - 1) + F(n - 2) \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

- Naive recursive implementation:

```
def fib(n):
    if n <= 1:          # base cases: 0 and 1
        return n
    return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2)
```

Example: Fibonacci numbers

- Definition:

$$F(0) = 0, \quad F(1) = 1, \quad F(n) = F(n - 1) + F(n - 2) \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

- Naive recursive implementation:

```
def fib(n):
    if n <= 1:          # base cases: 0 and 1
        return n
    return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2)
```

- Looks elegant, but hides a big cost

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:
 - $\text{fib}(n-1)$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:
 - $\text{fib}(n-1)$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$
- Call tree explodes:

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:
 - $\text{fib}(n-1)$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$
- Call tree explodes:
 - Many values are recomputed again and again

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:
 - $\text{fib}(n-1)$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$
- Call tree explodes:
 - Many values are recomputed again and again
 - Runtime grows roughly like c^n (exponential)

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:
 - $\text{fib}(n-1)$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$
- Call tree explodes:
 - Many values are recomputed again and again
 - Runtime grows roughly like c^n (exponential)
- This is a classic example of recursion **done wrong** from a performance point of view

Why naive Fibonacci is a disaster

- Each call to $\text{fib}(n)$ makes two recursive calls:
 - $\text{fib}(n-1)$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$
- Call tree explodes:
 - Many values are recomputed again and again
 - Runtime grows roughly like c^n (exponential)
- This is a classic example of recursion **done wrong** from a performance point of view
- We need a way to remember results we have already computed

Fixing Fibonacci with memoization

- Memoization idea:

```
def fib_memo(n, memo=None):  
    if memo is None:  
        memo = []  
  
    if n in memo:  
        return memo[n]  
  
    if n <= 1:  
        memo[n] = n  
    else:  
        memo[n] = fib_memo(n - 1, memo) + fib_memo(n - 2, memo)  
  
    return memo[n]
```

Fixing Fibonacci with memoization

- Memoization idea:
 - Store results of expensive calls

```
def fib_memo(n, memo=None):  
    if memo is None:  
        memo = []  
  
    if n in memo:  
        return memo[n]  
  
    if n <= 1:  
        memo[n] = n  
    else:  
        memo[n] = fib_memo(n - 1, memo) + fib_memo(n - 2, memo)  
  
    return memo[n]
```

Fixing Fibonacci with memoization

- Memoization idea:
 - Store results of expensive calls
 - Reuse them instead of recomputing

```
def fib_memo(n, memo=None):  
    if memo is None:  
        memo = []  
  
    if n in memo:  
        return memo[n]  
  
    if n <= 1:  
        memo[n] = n  
    else:  
        memo[n] = fib_memo(n - 1, memo) + fib_memo(n - 2, memo)  
  
    return memo[n]
```

Fixing Fibonacci with memoization

- Memoization idea:
 - Store results of expensive calls
 - Reuse them instead of recomputing

```
def fib_memo(n, memo=None):  
    if memo is None:  
        memo = []  
  
    if n in memo:  
        return memo[n]  
  
    if n <= 1:  
        memo[n] = n  
    else:  
        memo[n] = fib_memo(n - 1, memo) + fib_memo(n - 2, memo)  
  
    return memo[n]
```

Fixing Fibonacci with memoization

- Memoization idea:
 - Store results of expensive calls
 - Reuse them instead of recomputing

```
def fib_memo(n, memo=None):  
    if memo is None:  
        memo = []  
  
    if n in memo:  
        return memo[n]  
  
    if n <= 1:  
        memo[n] = n  
    else:  
        memo[n] = fib_memo(n - 1, memo) + fib_memo(n - 2, memo)  
  
    return memo[n]
```

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm
 - A recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \Rightarrow T(n) = 2^n - 1$

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm
 - A recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \Rightarrow T(n) = 2^n - 1$
- Many classic algorithms are recursive: factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci, divide-and-conquer

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm
 - A recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \Rightarrow T(n) = 2^n - 1$
- Many classic algorithms are recursive: factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci, divide-and-conquer
- Always design:

Recap

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm
 - A recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \Rightarrow T(n) = 2^n - 1$
- Many classic algorithms are recursive: factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci, divide-and-conquer
- Always design:
 - Clear base cases

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm
 - A recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \Rightarrow T(n) = 2^n - 1$
- Many classic algorithms are recursive: factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci, divide-and-conquer
- Always design:
 - Clear base cases
 - Recursive steps that shrink the problem

- Recursion: solve big problems by trusting solutions to smaller copies
- Towers of Hanoi gave us both:
 - A clean recursive algorithm
 - A recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + 1 \Rightarrow T(n) = 2^n - 1$
- Many classic algorithms are recursive: factorial, sum, GCD, Fibonacci, divide-and-conquer
- Always design:
 - Clear base cases
 - Recursive steps that shrink the problem
- Next time: we will keep using recurrences to reason about algorithm complexity