

Page	Criteria	Explanation / Comments / Improvements
4	Comprehensibility	In the diagram of the Use Case, the Operators are not clearly stated.
6	Comprehensibility	The system requirements are not described in detail. This can lead to misunderstanding from a technical perspective.
	Validity	The customers needs are not stated in the document. Therefore, the validity of the requirements can not be assessed
	Consticency	Introduction says smartphone app architecture shows notebook requirements say browser and app Every page is page 1
	Completeness	In the document are no requirements by the user stated. Therefore, completeness can not be assessed.
7	Realism	Im Grossen und Ganzen sind alle Anforderungen gut realisierbar. Disaster-Recovery concept braucht entsprechende Infrastruktur und Wissen. Könnte je nach vorhandener Infrasturktur und Wissen im Team schwierig werden. Dasselbe gilt für Datenverschlüsselung und PIN-Umsetzung.
5	Realism	There can be no popup generated if the web-application is closed. The application is deactivated.
	Verifiability	No verifiability is provided for the requirements.
	Adaptability	Dependencies between the requirements not really clear. Adaptability can't be evaluated properly. This can be a high risk because adabtability problems may ocur at later time that were not predictable due to a lack of evaluation.
6	Tracability	User Requirements are nowhere stated, therefore System Requirements can't be tracked to the origin
6	Costiscency	Popups cannot be generated if Application is closed
4	Comprehensibility	In the diagram of the Use Case, the Operators are not clearly stated.
4	Comprehensibility	The lines are messy. No clear flow of where the arrows go
Punktabzüge	Document	Missing User Requirements
Total Punkte:	9 / 10	