04/08/11 15:08:19

```
응
  응
     COPYRIGHT (2009) University of Dallas at Texas.
                                                                         응
  응
                                                                         응
  응
     Developed at the Applied Logic, Programming Languages and Systems
                                                                         응
  응
     (ALPS) Laboratory at UTD by Feliks Kluzniak.
                                                                         응
  응
                                                                         응
     Permission is granted to modify this file, and to distribute its
  응
                                                                         응
  응
     original or modified contents for non-commercial purposes, on the
                                                                         응
  응
     condition that this notice is included in all copies in its
                                                                         읒
  응
     original form.
                                                                         ્ર
  응
                                                                         응
  응
     THE SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND,
                                                                         응
  응
     EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES
                                                                         응
     OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE AND
                                                                         응
  응
     NON-INFRINGEMENT. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS OR
     ANYONE DISTRIBUTING THE SOFTWARE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES OR
                                                                         응
  읒
     OTHER LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, TORT OR OTHERWISE, ARISING
                                                                         응
  응
     FROM, OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOFTWARE OR THE USE OR
                                                                         응
     OTHER DEALINGS IN THE SOFTWARE.
                                                                         응
  응
                                                                         응
  %% Gopal Gupta's LTL interpreter, modified by Feliks Kluzniak and amended
%% according to suggestions by Brian W. DeVries.
응응
%% This is a version of verifier.tlp that has been extended to produce a
%% counterexample.
응응
%% NOTE: This version loops for certain queries, because the treatment of paths
응응
        is too naive. (Discovered by Brian W. DeVries.)
응응
%% Include with the definition of an automaton, which should specify
%% the following predicates:
응응
                       - succeeds only if the argument is a proposition,
       proposition/1
응응
                         can be used to enumerate all the symbols that denote
응응
                         propositions.
응응
       state/1
                       - succeeds only if the argument is a state,
응응
                         can be used to enumerate all the symbols that denote
응응
                         states.
응응
                       - given the first argument (which should represent a
       trans/2
응응
                         state S) nondeterministically produces the symbols
                         that represent all the states that can be reached from
응응
응응
                       - succeeds only if the first argument represents a
응응
       holds/2
응응
                         state, and the second represents a proposition that
응응
                         holds in that state.
응응
%% Invoke through
응응
응응
     check ( state, formula ).
응응
```

%% The formula will be normalized and negated by the program.

```
:- [ 'operators.pl' ].
:- [ 'normalize.pl' ].
:- [ 'looping_prefix.pl' ].
:- [ 'consistency_checker.pl' ].
:- top check/2. % The "entry point"
%% Check whether the state satisfies the formula.
%% This is done by checking that it does not satisfy the formula's negation.
%% (We have to apply the conditional, because our tabling interpreter does not
%% support the cut, and we don't yet support negation for coinduction.)
check (State, Formula):-
        check_consistency,
            state (State)
        ->
            true
        ;
            write( '\"' ),
            write( State ),
            write( '\" is not a state' ),
            nl,
            fail
        write( 'Query for state ' ),
        write( State ),
        write( ': ' ),
        write( Formula ),
        once( normalize( \tilde{\ } Formula, NormalizedNegationOfFormula ) ),
        write( '(Negated and normalized: '),
        write( NormalizedNegationOfFormula ),
        write(')'),
        nl,
        (
            once( verify( State, NormalizedNegationOfFormula, Path ) )
            write( 'COUNTEREXAMPLE: ' ),
            looping_prefix( Path, Prefix ),
            write( Prefix ),
            nl,
            fail
        ;
            true
        ) .
```

```
----- The verifier proper ------
%--- The formula is normalized: only propositions can be negated.
% NOTE: The rule for conjunction imposes restrictions on paths,
        so results might be different than for the version without paths.
응
        The restriction is that the path for one conjunct must be a prefix
응
        of the path for the other.
verify(S, gA,
                Path
                          ) :- once( coverify( S, g A,
                                                        Path ) ).
verify( S, A r B, Path
                          ) :- once(coverify(S, ArB, Path)).
verify(S, f A, Path
                          ) :- tverify(S, f A, Path).
                          ) :- tverify(S, A u B, Path).
verify(S, A u B, Path
verify(S, A,
               [ S ]
                          ):- proposition(A), holds(S, A).
                          ) :- proposition(A), \+ holds(S, A).
verify(S, ~A, [S]
verify( S, A ^ B, Path
                        ) :- verify( S, A, PathA ), verify( S, B, PathB ),
                                   append( PathA, _, PathB )
                                                            % prefix?
                                ->
                                   Path = PathB
                                ;
                                   append( PathB, _, PathA ) % prefix?
                                ->
                                   Path = PathA
                                % otherwise fail!
                                ) .
verify( S, A v B, Path ) :- verify( S, A, Path ) ; verify( S, B, Path ).
verify(S, xA, [S|P]) := trans(S, S2), verify(S2, A, P).
                        \mbox{\%} The last clause is correct only because the query is
                        % always negated, so for a successful query we will
                        % try out all the relevant clauses of trans/2 through
                        % backtracking.
:- tabled tverify/3.
tverify(S, f A, Path):- verify(S, A, Path)
                            verify (S, x f A, Path).
tverify( S, A u B, Path ) :- verify( S, B, Path )
                            verify(S, A ^{^{\prime}} x(A u B), Path).
:- coinductive coverify/3.
coverify(S, gA, Path): - verify(S, A^xgA,
                                                      Path ).
coverify(S, ArB, Path) :- verify(S, A^B,
                                                       Path ).
coverify( S, A r B, Path ) :- verify( S, B ^ x( A r B ), Path ).
```