



US012388731B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Hughes et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,388,731 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Aug. 12, 2025**

(54) **HIERARCHICAL AGGREGATION OF
SELECT NETWORK TRAFFIC STATISTICS**

(71) Applicant: **Hewlett Packard Enterprise
Development LP**, Houston, TX (US)

(72) Inventors: **David Anthony Hughes**, Los Altos
Hills, CA (US); **Pawan Kumar Singh**,
Los Altos, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Hewlett Packard Enterprise
Development LP**, Spring, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/654,141**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 9, 2022**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2022/0200876 A1 Jun. 23, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Division of application No. 16/581,637, filed on Sep.
24, 2019, now abandoned, which is a continuation of
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04L 43/062 (2022.01)
G06F 16/22 (2019.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 43/062** (2013.01); **G06F 16/22**
(2019.01); **G06F 16/248** (2019.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC ... H04L 43/062; H04L 43/045; H04L 43/067;
H04L 43/0876; H04L 43/16;
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,494,108 A 1/1985 Langdon et al.
4,558,302 A 12/1985 Welch
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1507353 A2 2/2005
JP 05-061964 A 3/1993
WO 01/35226 A1 5/2001

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action, dated Mar. 22, 2010, U.S. Appl. No.
11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Joseph L Greene
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Hewlett Packard
Enterprise Patent Department


(57) **ABSTRACT**

Disclosed herein are systems and methods for the collection,
aggregation, and processing of network traffic statistics for
a plurality of network appliances in a wide area network.
Select network traffic statistics can be collected and associ-
ated with a hierarchical string, and aggregated over time. In
this way, only information that is likely to be relevant is
gathered and maintained, allowing for the maintenance of
select network traffic statistics for large-scale operations.

17 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets

BEFORE EVICTION

	Bytes rx	Packets rx
"/sampledomain1/computer1/port1 "	101765	965
"/sampledomain2/computer2/port2 "	76551	727
"/sampledomain1/computer1/port2 "	85001	803



AFTER EVICTION

	Bytes rx	Packets rx
"/sampledomain1/computer1/* "	186766	1768
"/sampledomain2/computer2/port2 "	76551	727

Related U.S. Application Data

application No. 15/180,981, filed on Jun. 13, 2016,
now Pat. No. 10,432,484.

(51) Int. Cl.

G06F 16/248 (2019.01)

G06F 16/28 (2019.01)

H04L 43/026 (2022.01)

H04L 43/045 (2022.01)

H04L 43/067 (2022.01)

(52) U.S. Cl.

CPC **G06F 16/284** (2019.01); **H04L 43/026**
(2013.01); **H04L 43/045** (2013.01); **H04L**
43/067 (2013.01)

(58) Field of Classification Search

CPC G06F 17/30312; G06F 17/30554; G06F
17/30595

See application file for complete search history.

(56)**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,612,532	A	9/1986	Bacon et al.	6,618,397	B1	9/2003	Huang
5,023,611	A	6/1991	Chamzas et al.	6,633,953	B2	10/2003	Stark
5,159,452	A	10/1992	Kinoshita et al.	6,643,259	B1	11/2003	Borella et al.
5,243,341	A	9/1993	Seroussi et al.	6,650,644	B1	11/2003	Colley et al.
5,307,413	A	4/1994	Denzer	6,653,954	B2	11/2003	Rijavec
5,357,250	A	10/1994	Healey et al.	6,667,700	B1	12/2003	McCanne et al.
5,359,720	A	10/1994	Tamura et al.	6,674,769	B1	1/2004	Somnath
5,373,290	A	12/1994	Lempel et al.	6,718,361	B1	4/2004	Basani et al.
5,483,556	A	1/1996	Pillan et al.	6,728,840	B1	4/2004	Shatil et al.
5,532,693	A	7/1996	Winters et al.	6,738,379	B1	5/2004	Balazinski et al.
5,592,613	A	1/1997	Miyazawa et al.	6,754,181	B1	6/2004	Elliott et al.
5,602,831	A	2/1997	Gaskill	6,769,048	B2	7/2004	Goldberg et al.
5,608,540	A	3/1997	Ogawa	6,791,945	B1	9/2004	Levenson et al.
5,611,049	A	3/1997	Pitts	6,842,424	B1	1/2005	Key et al.
5,627,533	A	5/1997	Clark	6,856,651	B2	2/2005	Singh
5,635,932	A	6/1997	Shinagawa et al.	6,859,842	B1	2/2005	Nakamichi et al.
5,652,581	A	7/1997	Furlan et al.	6,862,602	B2	3/2005	Guha
5,659,737	A	8/1997	Matsuda	6,910,106	B2	6/2005	Sechrest et al.
5,675,587	A	10/1997	Okuyama et al.	6,963,980	B1	11/2005	Mattsson
5,710,562	A	1/1998	Gormish et al.	6,968,374	B2	11/2005	Lemieux et al.
5,748,122	A	5/1998	Shinagawa et al.	6,978,384	B1	12/2005	Milliken
5,754,774	A	5/1998	Bittinger et al.	7,007,044	B1	2/2006	Rafert et al.
5,802,106	A	9/1998	Packer	7,020,750	B2	3/2006	Thiyagarajan et al.
5,805,822	A	9/1998	Long et al.	7,035,214	B1	4/2006	Seddigh et al.
5,883,891	A	3/1999	Libove et al.	7,047,281	B1	5/2006	Kausik
5,903,230	A	5/1999	Masenas	7,069,268	B1	6/2006	Burns et al.
5,955,976	A	9/1999	Heath	7,069,342	B1	6/2006	Biederman
6,000,053	A	12/1999	Levine et al.	7,110,407	B1	9/2006	Khanna
6,003,087	A	12/1999	Housel et al.	7,111,005	B1	9/2006	Wessman
6,054,943	A	4/2000	Lawrence	7,113,962	B1	9/2006	Kee et al.
6,081,883	A	6/2000	Popelka et al.	7,120,666	B2	10/2006	McCanne et al.
6,084,855	A	7/2000	Soirinsuo et al.	7,145,889	B1	12/2006	Zhang et al.
6,175,944	B1	1/2001	Urbanke et al.	7,149,953	B2	12/2006	Cameron et al.
6,191,710	B1	2/2001	Waletzki	7,177,295	B1	2/2007	Sholander et al.
6,240,463	B1	5/2001	Benmohamed et al.	7,197,597	B1	3/2007	Scheid et al.
6,295,541	B1	9/2001	Bodnar et al.	7,200,847	B2	4/2007	Straube et al.
6,308,148	B1	10/2001	Bruins et al.	7,215,667	B1	5/2007	Davis
6,311,260	B1	10/2001	Stone et al.	7,216,283	B2	5/2007	Shen et al.
6,339,616	B1	1/2002	Kovalev	7,242,681	B1	7/2007	Van et al.
6,374,266	B1	4/2002	Shnelvar	7,243,094	B2	7/2007	Tabellion et al.
6,434,191	B1	8/2002	Agrawal et al.	7,266,645	B2	9/2007	Garg et al.
6,434,641	B1	8/2002	Haupt et al.	7,278,016	B1	10/2007	Detrick et al.
6,434,662	B1	8/2002	Greene et al.	7,318,100	B2	1/2008	Demmer et al.
6,438,664	B1	8/2002	McGrath et al.	7,359,393	B1	4/2008	Nalawade et al.
6,452,915	B1	9/2002	Jorgensen	7,366,829	B1	4/2008	Luttrell et al.
6,463,001	B1	10/2002	Williams	7,380,006	B2	5/2008	Srinivas et al.
6,489,902	B2	12/2002	Heath	7,383,329	B2	6/2008	Erickson
6,493,698	B1	12/2002	Beylin	7,383,348	B2	6/2008	Seki et al.
6,570,511	B1	5/2003	Cooper	7,388,844	B1	6/2008	Brown et al.
6,587,985	B1	7/2003	Fukushima et al.	7,389,357	B2	6/2008	Duffie et al.
6,614,368	B1	9/2003	Cooper	7,389,393	B1	6/2008	Karr et al.
				7,417,570	B2	8/2008	Srinivasan et al.
				7,417,991	B1	8/2008	Crawford et al.
				7,420,992	B1	9/2008	Fang et al.
				7,428,573	B2	9/2008	McCanne et al.
				7,451,237	B2	11/2008	Takekawa et al.
				7,453,379	B2	11/2008	Plamondon
				7,454,443	B2	11/2008	Ram et al.
				7,457,315	B1	11/2008	Smith
				7,460,473	B1	12/2008	Kodama et al.
				7,471,629	B2	12/2008	Melpignano
				7,496,659	B1	2/2009	Coverdill et al.
				7,532,134	B2	5/2009	Samuels et al.
				7,555,484	B2	6/2009	Kulkarni et al.
				7,571,343	B1	8/2009	Xiang et al.
				7,571,344	B2	8/2009	Hughes et al.
				7,587,401	B2	9/2009	Yeo et al.
				7,596,802	B2	9/2009	Border et al.
				7,617,436	B2	11/2009	Wenger et al.
				7,619,545	B2	11/2009	Samuels et al.
				7,620,870	B2	11/2009	Srinivasan et al.
				7,624,333	B2	11/2009	Langner
				7,624,446	B1	11/2009	Wilhelm
				7,630,295	B2	12/2009	Hughes et al.
				7,633,942	B2	12/2009	Bearden et al.
				7,639,256	B1	12/2009	Yablonski et al.
				7,639,700	B1	12/2009	Nabhan et al.
				7,643,426	B1	1/2010	Lee et al.
				7,644,230	B1	1/2010	Hughes et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,676,554	B1	3/2010	Malmskog et al.	9,171,251	B2	10/2015	Camp et al.
7,698,431	B1	4/2010	Hughes	9,191,342	B2	11/2015	Hughes et al.
7,702,843	B1	4/2010	Chen et al.	9,202,304	B1	12/2015	Baenziger et al.
7,714,747	B2	5/2010	Fallon	9,253,277	B2	2/2016	Hughes et al.
7,746,781	B1	6/2010	Xiang	9,306,818	B2	4/2016	Aumann et al.
7,764,606	B1	7/2010	Ferguson et al.	9,307,442	B2	4/2016	Bachmann et al.
7,810,155	B1	10/2010	Ravi	9,363,248	B1	6/2016	Hughes
7,826,798	B2	11/2010	Stephens et al.	9,363,309	B2	6/2016	Hughes
7,827,237	B2	11/2010	Plamondon	9,380,094	B2	6/2016	Florencio et al.
7,849,134	B2	12/2010	McCanne et al.	9,384,203	B1	7/2016	Seiver et al.
7,853,699	B2	12/2010	Wu et al.	9,397,951	B1	7/2016	Hughes
7,873,786	B1	1/2011	Singh et al.	9,438,538	B2	9/2016	Hughes et al.
7,917,599	B1	3/2011	Gopalan et al.	9,549,048	B1	1/2017	Hughes
7,925,711	B1	4/2011	Gopalan et al.	9,584,403	B2	2/2017	Hughes et al.
7,941,606	B1	5/2011	Pullela et al.	9,584,414	B2	2/2017	Sung et al.
7,945,736	B2	5/2011	Hughes et al.	9,613,071	B1	4/2017	Hughes
7,948,921	B1	5/2011	Hughes et al.	9,626,224	B2	4/2017	Hughes et al.
7,953,869	B2	5/2011	Demmer et al.	9,647,949	B2	5/2017	Varki et al.
7,957,307	B2	6/2011	Qiu et al.	9,712,463	B1	7/2017	Hughes et al.
7,970,898	B2	6/2011	Clubb et al.	9,716,644	B2	7/2017	Wei et al.
7,975,018	B2	7/2011	Unrau et al.	9,717,021	B2	7/2017	Hughes et al.
8,069,225	B2	11/2011	McCanne et al.	9,875,344	B1	1/2018	Hughes et al.
8,072,985	B2	12/2011	Golan et al.	9,906,630	B2	2/2018	Hughes
8,090,027	B2	1/2012	Schneider	9,948,496	B1	4/2018	Hughes et al.
8,095,774	B1	1/2012	Hughes et al.	9,961,010	B2	5/2018	Hughes et al.
8,140,757	B1	3/2012	Singh et al.	9,967,056	B1	5/2018	Hughes
8,171,238	B1	5/2012	Hughes et al.	10,091,172	B1	10/2018	Hughes
8,209,334	B1	6/2012	Doerner	10,164,861	B2	12/2018	Hughes et al.
8,225,072	B2	7/2012	Hughes et al.	10,257,082	B2	4/2019	Hughes
8,271,325	B2	9/2012	Silverman et al.	10,313,930	B2	6/2019	Hughes et al.
8,271,847	B2	9/2012	Langner	10,326,551	B2	6/2019	Hughes
8,307,115	B1	11/2012	Hughes	10,432,484	B2	10/2019	Hughes et al.
8,312,226	B2	11/2012	Hughes	10,956,324	B1 *	3/2021	Giles G06F 12/128
8,352,608	B1	1/2013	Keagy et al.	2001/0026231	A1	10/2001	Satoh
8,370,583	B2	2/2013	Hughes	2001/0054084	A1	12/2001	Kosmynin
8,386,797	B1	2/2013	Danilak	2002/0007413	A1	1/2002	Garcia-Luna-Aceves et al.
8,392,684	B2	3/2013	Hughes	2002/0009079	A1	1/2002	Jungck et al.
8,442,052	B1	5/2013	Hughes	2002/0010702	A1	1/2002	Ajtai et al.
8,447,740	B1	5/2013	Huang et al.	2002/0010765	A1	1/2002	Border
8,473,714	B2	6/2013	Hughes et al.	2002/0035628	A1	3/2002	Gil et al.
8,489,562	B1	7/2013	Hughes et al.	2002/0040475	A1	4/2002	Yap et al.
8,516,158	B1	8/2013	Wu et al.	2002/0061027	A1	5/2002	Abiru et al.
8,527,544	B1	9/2013	Colgrove et al.	2002/0065998	A1	5/2002	Buckland
8,553,757	B2	10/2013	Florencio et al.	2002/0071436	A1	6/2002	Border et al.
8,565,118	B2	10/2013	Shukla et al.	2002/0078242	A1	6/2002	Viswanath
8,576,816	B2	11/2013	Lamy-Bergot et al.	2002/0099691	A1 *	7/2002	Lore G06F 16/2237
8,595,314	B1	11/2013	Hughes	2002/0101822	A1	8/2002	Ayyagari et al.
8,613,071	B2	12/2013	Day et al.	2002/0107988	A1	8/2002	Jordan
8,681,614	B1	3/2014	McCanne et al.	2002/0116424	A1	8/2002	Radermacher et al.
8,699,490	B2	4/2014	Zheng et al.	2002/0129158	A1	9/2002	Zhang et al.
8,700,771	B1	4/2014	Ramankutty et al.	2002/0129260	A1	9/2002	Benfield et al.
8,706,947	B1	4/2014	Pradeep	2002/0131434	A1	9/2002	Vukovic et al.
8,725,988	B2	5/2014	Hughes et al.	2002/0150041	A1	10/2002	Reinshmidt et al.
8,732,423	B1	5/2014	Hughes	2002/0159454	A1	10/2002	Delmas
8,738,865	B1	5/2014	Hughes et al.	2002/0163911	A1	11/2002	Wee et al.
8,743,683	B1	6/2014	Hughes	2002/0169818	A1	11/2002	Stewart et al.
8,755,381	B2	6/2014	Hughes et al.	2002/0181494	A1	12/2002	Rhee
8,775,413	B2	7/2014	Brown et al.	2002/0188871	A1	12/2002	Noehring et al.
8,811,431	B2	8/2014	Hughes	2002/0194324	A1	12/2002	Guha
8,843,627	B1	9/2014	Baldi et al.	2003/0002664	A1	1/2003	Anand
8,850,324	B2	9/2014	Clemm et al.	2003/0009558	A1	1/2003	Ben-Yehzekel
8,885,632	B2	11/2014	Hughes et al.	2003/0012400	A1	1/2003	McAuliffe et al.
8,891,554	B2	11/2014	Biehler	2003/0033307	A1	2/2003	Davis et al.
8,929,380	B1	1/2015	Hughes et al.	2003/0046572	A1	3/2003	Newman et al.
8,929,402	B1	1/2015	Hughes	2003/0048750	A1	3/2003	Kobayashi
8,930,650	B1	1/2015	Hughes et al.	2003/0067940	A1	4/2003	Edholm
9,003,541	B1	4/2015	Patidar	2003/0123481	A1	7/2003	Neale et al.
9,036,662	B1	5/2015	Hughes	2003/0123671	A1	7/2003	He et al.
9,054,876	B1	6/2015	Yagnik	2003/0131079	A1	7/2003	Neale et al.
9,092,342	B2	7/2015	Hughes et al.	2003/0133568	A1	7/2003	Stein et al.
9,106,530	B1	8/2015	Wang	2003/0142658	A1	7/2003	Ofuji et al.
9,130,991	B2	9/2015	Hughes	2003/0149661	A1	8/2003	Mitchell et al.
9,131,510	B2	9/2015	Wang	2003/0149869	A1	8/2003	Gleichauf
9,143,455	B1	9/2015	Hughes	2003/0204619	A1	10/2003	Bays
9,152,574	B2	10/2015	Hughes et al.	2003/0214502	A1	11/2003	Park et al.
				2003/0214954	A1	11/2003	Oldak et al.
				2003/0233431	A1	12/2003	Reddy et al.
				2004/0008711	A1	1/2004	Lahti et al.
				2004/0047308	A1	3/2004	Kavanagh et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2004/0083299 A1	4/2004	Dietz et al.	2007/0038858 A1	2/2007	Hughes
2004/0085894 A1	5/2004	Wang et al.	2007/0050475 A1	3/2007	Hughes
2004/0086114 A1	5/2004	Rarick	2007/0076693 A1	4/2007	Krishnaswamy
2004/0088376 A1	5/2004	McCanne et al.	2007/0081513 A1	4/2007	Torsner
2004/0114569 A1	6/2004	Naden et al.	2007/0097874 A1	5/2007	Hughes et al.
2004/0117571 A1	6/2004	Chang et al.	2007/0110046 A1	5/2007	Farrell et al.
2004/0123139 A1	6/2004	Aiello et al.	2007/0115812 A1	5/2007	Hughes
2004/0158644 A1	8/2004	Albuquerque et al.	2007/0127372 A1	6/2007	Khan et al.
2004/0179542 A1	9/2004	Murakami et al.	2007/0130114 A1	6/2007	Li et al.
2004/0181679 A1	9/2004	Dettinger et al.	2007/0140129 A1	6/2007	Bauer et al.
2004/0199771 A1	10/2004	Morten et al.	2007/0150497 A1	6/2007	De et al.
2004/0202110 A1	10/2004	Kim	2007/0174428 A1	7/2007	Lev et al.
2004/0203820 A1	10/2004	Billhartz	2007/0179900 A1	8/2007	Daase et al.
2004/0205332 A1	10/2004	Bouchard et al.	2007/0192863 A1	8/2007	Kapoor et al.
2004/0215626 A1	10/2004	Colossi et al.	2007/0195702 A1	8/2007	Yuen et al.
2004/0243571 A1	12/2004	Judd	2007/0195789 A1	8/2007	Yao
2004/0250027 A1	12/2004	Heflinger	2007/0198523 A1	8/2007	Hayim
2004/0255048 A1	12/2004	Lev et al.	2007/0226320 A1	9/2007	Hager et al.
2005/0010653 A1	1/2005	McCanne	2007/0237104 A1	10/2007	Alon et al.
2005/0044270 A1	2/2005	Grove et al.	2007/0244987 A1	10/2007	Pedersen et al.
2005/0053094 A1	3/2005	Cain et al.	2007/0245079 A1	10/2007	Bhattacharjee et al.
2005/0055372 A1	3/2005	Springer et al.	2007/0248084 A1	10/2007	Whitehead
2005/0055399 A1	3/2005	Savchuk	2007/0258468 A1	11/2007	Bennett
2005/0071453 A1	3/2005	Ellis et al.	2007/0263554 A1	11/2007	Finn
2005/0091234 A1	4/2005	Hsu et al.	2007/0276983 A1	11/2007	Zohar et al.
2005/0111460 A1	5/2005	Sahita	2007/0280245 A1	12/2007	Rosberg
2005/0131939 A1	6/2005	Douglis et al.	2007/0289017 A1	12/2007	Copeland, III
2005/0132044 A1*	6/2005	Guingo H04L 43/106 709/225	2008/0005156 A1	1/2008	Edwards et al.
2005/0132252 A1	6/2005	Fifer et al.	2008/0013532 A1	1/2008	Garner et al.
2005/0141425 A1	6/2005	Foulds	2008/0016301 A1	1/2008	Chen
2005/0171937 A1	8/2005	Hughes et al.	2008/0028467 A1	1/2008	Kommareddy et al.
2005/0177603 A1	8/2005	Shavit	2008/0031149 A1	2/2008	Hughes et al.
2005/0182849 A1	8/2005	Chandrayana et al.	2008/0031240 A1	2/2008	Hughes et al.
2005/0190694 A1	9/2005	Ben-Nun et al.	2008/0037432 A1	2/2008	Cohen et al.
2005/0207443 A1	9/2005	Kawamura et al.	2008/0071818 A1	3/2008	Apanowicz et al.
2005/0210151 A1	9/2005	Abdo et al.	2008/0095060 A1	4/2008	Yao
2005/0220019 A1	10/2005	Melpignano	2008/0133536 A1	6/2008	Bjorner et al.
2005/0220097 A1	10/2005	Swami et al.	2008/0133561 A1	6/2008	Dubnicki et al.
2005/0235119 A1	10/2005	Sechrest et al.	2008/0184081 A1	7/2008	Hama et al.
2005/0240380 A1	10/2005	Jones	2008/0205445 A1	8/2008	Kumar et al.
2005/0243743 A1	11/2005	Kimura	2008/0222044 A1	9/2008	Gottlieb et al.
2005/0243835 A1	11/2005	Sharma et al.	2008/0229137 A1	9/2008	Samuels et al.
2005/0256972 A1	11/2005	Cochran et al.	2008/0243992 A1	10/2008	Jardetzky et al.
2005/0278459 A1	12/2005	Boucher et al.	2008/0267217 A1	10/2008	Colville et al.
2005/0283355 A1	12/2005	Itani et al.	2008/0285463 A1	11/2008	Oran
2005/0286526 A1	12/2005	Sood et al.	2008/0300887 A1	12/2008	Chen et al.
2006/0010243 A1	1/2006	Duree	2008/0313318 A1	12/2008	Vermeulen et al.
2006/0013210 A1	1/2006	Bordogna et al.	2008/0320151 A1	12/2008	McCanne et al.
2006/0026425 A1	2/2006	Douceur et al.	2009/0006801 A1	1/2009	Shultz et al.
2006/0028999 A1	2/2006	Iakobashvili et al.	2009/0024763 A1	1/2009	Stepin et al.
2006/0031936 A1	2/2006	Nelson et al.	2009/0037448 A1	2/2009	Thomas
2006/0036901 A1	2/2006	Yang et al.	2009/0060198 A1	3/2009	Little
2006/0039354 A1	2/2006	Rao et al.	2009/0063696 A1	3/2009	Wang et al.
2006/0045096 A1	3/2006	Farmer et al.	2009/0080460 A1	3/2009	Kronewitter et al.
2006/0059171 A1	3/2006	Borthakur et al.	2009/0089048 A1	4/2009	Pouzin
2006/0059173 A1	3/2006	Hirsch et al.	2009/0092137 A1	4/2009	Haigh et al.
2006/0117385 A1	6/2006	Mester et al.	2009/0100483 A1	4/2009	McDowell
2006/0123035 A1	6/2006	Ivie	2009/0158417 A1	6/2009	Khanna et al.
2006/0136913 A1	6/2006	Sameske	2009/0168786 A1	7/2009	Sarkar et al.
2006/0143497 A1	6/2006	Zohar et al.	2009/0175172 A1	7/2009	Prytz et al.
2006/0193247 A1	8/2006	Naseh et al.	2009/0182864 A1	7/2009	Khan et al.
2006/0195547 A1	8/2006	Sundarrajan et al.	2009/0204961 A1	8/2009	Dehaan et al.
2006/0195840 A1	8/2006	Sundarrajan et al.	2009/0228474 A1	9/2009	Chiu et al.
2006/0212426 A1	9/2006	Shakara et al.	2009/0234966 A1	9/2009	Samuels et al.
2006/0218390 A1	9/2006	Loughran et al.	2009/0245114 A1	10/2009	Vijayaraghavan
2006/0227717 A1	10/2006	Van et al.	2009/0265707 A1	10/2009	Goodman et al.
2006/0250965 A1	11/2006	Irwin	2009/0274294 A1	11/2009	Itani
2006/0268932 A1	11/2006	Singh et al.	2009/0279550 A1	11/2009	Romrell et al.
2006/0280205 A1	12/2006	Cho	2009/0281984 A1	11/2009	Black
2007/0002804 A1	1/2007	Xiong et al.	2010/0005222 A1	1/2010	Brant et al.
2007/0008884 A1	1/2007	Tang	2010/0011125 A1	1/2010	Yang et al.
2007/0011424 A1	1/2007	Sharma et al.	2010/0020693 A1	1/2010	Thakur
2007/0038815 A1	2/2007	Hughes	2010/0054142 A1	3/2010	Moiso et al.
2007/0038816 A1	2/2007	Hughes et al.	2010/0070605 A1	3/2010	Hughes et al.
			2010/0077251 A1	3/2010	Liu et al.
			2010/0082545 A1	4/2010	Bhattacharjee et al.
			2010/0085891 A1*	4/2010	Kind H04L 43/08 370/253
			2010/0085964 A1	4/2010	Weir et al.

(56)	References Cited			2014/0210652	A1 *	7/2014	Bartnik	H03M 7/40 341/67
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			2014/0222736	A1	8/2014	Drew	
				2014/0250166	A1 *	9/2014	Desai	G06Q 30/0601 709/203
2010/0088670	A1	4/2010	Paster	2014/0269705	A1	9/2014	Decusatis et al.	
2010/0115137	A1	5/2010	Kim et al.	2014/0279078	A1	9/2014	Nukala et al.	
2010/0121957	A1	5/2010	Roy et al.	2014/0321290	A1	10/2014	Jin et al.	
2010/0124239	A1	5/2010	Hughes	2014/0379937	A1	12/2014	Hughes et al.	
2010/0128615	A1	5/2010	Monk et al.	2015/0058488	A1	2/2015	Backholm	
2010/0131957	A1	5/2010	Kami	2015/0074291	A1	3/2015	Hughes	
2010/0150158	A1	6/2010	Cathey et al.	2015/0074361	A1	3/2015	Hughes et al.	
2010/0169467	A1	7/2010	Shukla et al.	2015/0078397	A1	3/2015	Hughes et al.	
2010/0177663	A1	7/2010	Johansson et al.	2015/0110113	A1	4/2015	Levy et al.	
2010/0225658	A1	9/2010	Coleman	2015/0120663	A1	4/2015	Le et al.	
2010/0232443	A1	9/2010	Pandey	2015/0124825	A1	5/2015	Dharmapurikar et al.	
2010/0242106	A1	9/2010	Harris et al.	2015/0143505	A1	5/2015	Border et al.	
2010/0246584	A1	9/2010	Ferguson et al.	2015/0170221	A1	6/2015	Shah	
2010/0290364	A1	11/2010	Black	2015/0281099	A1	10/2015	Banavalikar	
2010/0318892	A1	12/2010	Teevan et al.	2015/0281391	A1	10/2015	Hughes et al.	
2010/0333212	A1	12/2010	Carpenter et al.	2015/0312054	A1	10/2015	Barabash et al.	
2011/0002346	A1	1/2011	Wu	2015/0334210	A1	11/2015	Hughes	
2011/0022812	A1	1/2011	Van et al.	2015/0363478	A1	12/2015	Haynes	
2011/0113472	A1	5/2011	Fung et al.	2015/0365293	A1	12/2015	Madrigal et al.	
2011/0154169	A1	6/2011	Gopal et al.	2015/0370723	A1	12/2015	Nambiar et al.	
2011/0154329	A1	6/2011	Arcese et al.	2016/0014051	A1	1/2016	Hughes et al.	
2011/0179037	A1	7/2011	Mizuguchi et al.	2016/0034305	A1	2/2016	Shear et al.	
2011/0181448	A1	7/2011	Koratagere	2016/0093193	A1	3/2016	Silvers et al.	
2011/0219181	A1	9/2011	Hughes et al.	2016/0112255	A1	4/2016	Li	
2011/0225322	A1	9/2011	Demidov et al.	2016/0218947	A1	7/2016	Hughes et al.	
2011/0258049	A1	10/2011	Ramer et al.	2016/0255000	A1	9/2016	Gattani et al.	
2011/0261828	A1	10/2011	Smith	2016/0255542	A1	9/2016	Hughes et al.	
2011/0276963	A1	11/2011	Wu et al.	2016/0357587	A1	12/2016	Yadav et al.	
2011/0299537	A1	12/2011	Saraiya et al.	2016/0359679	A1	12/2016	Parandehgheibi et al.	
2012/0005549	A1	1/2012	Ichiki et al.	2016/0359685	A1 *	12/2016	Yadav	H04L 41/16
2012/0033673	A1	2/2012	Goel	2016/0359708	A1	12/2016	Gandham et al.	
2012/0036325	A1	2/2012	Mashtizadeh et al.	2016/0359740	A1	12/2016	Parandehgheibi et al.	
2012/0051234	A1	3/2012	Gintis et al.	2016/0359872	A1	12/2016	Yadav et al.	
2012/0069131	A1	3/2012	Abelow	2016/0373292	A1	12/2016	Sigoure	
2012/0147894	A1	6/2012	Mulligan et al.	2016/0380886	A1	12/2016	Blair et al.	
2012/0162265	A1	6/2012	Heinrich et al.	2017/0026467	A1	1/2017	Barsness et al.	
2012/0173759	A1	7/2012	Agarwal et al.	2017/0070445	A1	3/2017	Zhang et al.	
2012/0185775	A1	7/2012	Clemm et al.	2017/0111692	A1	4/2017	An et al.	
2012/0198346	A1	8/2012	Clemm et al.	2017/0149679	A1	5/2017	Hughes et al.	
2012/0218130	A1	8/2012	Boettcher et al.	2017/0187581	A1	6/2017	Hughes et al.	
2012/0221611	A1	8/2012	Watanabe et al.	2017/0359238	A1	12/2017	Hughes et al.	
2012/0230345	A1	9/2012	Ovsianikov	2018/0089994	A1	3/2018	Dhondse et al.	
2012/0239872	A1	9/2012	Hughes et al.	2018/0121634	A1	5/2018	Hughes et al.	
2012/0290636	A1	11/2012	Kadous et al.	2018/0123861	A1	5/2018	Hughes et al.	
2013/0018722	A1	1/2013	Libby	2018/0131711	A1	5/2018	Chen et al.	
2013/0018765	A1	1/2013	Fork et al.	2018/0205494	A1	7/2018	Hughes	
2013/0031642	A1	1/2013	Dwivedi et al.	2018/0227216	A1	8/2018	Hughes	
2013/0044751	A1	2/2013	Casado et al.	2018/0227223	A1	8/2018	Hughes	
2013/0058354	A1	3/2013	Casado et al.	2019/0089620	A1	3/2019	Hefel et al.	
2013/0080619	A1	3/2013	Assuncao et al.	2019/0104207	A1	4/2019	Goel et al.	
2013/0083806	A1	4/2013	Suarez et al.	2019/0149447	A1	5/2019	Hughes et al.	
2013/0086236	A1	4/2013	Baucke et al.	2019/0230038	A1	7/2019	Hughes	
2013/0094501	A1	4/2013	Hughes	2019/0245771	A1	8/2019	Wu et al.	
2013/0103655	A1	4/2013	Fanghaenel et al.	2019/0253187	A1	8/2019	Hughes	
2013/0117494	A1	5/2013	Hughes et al.	2019/0260683	A1	8/2019	Anthony	
2013/0121209	A1	5/2013	Padmanabhan et al.	2019/0274070	A1	9/2019	Hughes et al.	
2013/0141259	A1	6/2013	Hazarika et al.	2019/0280917	A1	9/2019	Hughes et al.	
2013/0142050	A1	6/2013	Luna					
2013/0163594	A1	6/2013	Sharma et al.					
2013/0250951	A1	9/2013	Koganti					
2013/0263125	A1	10/2013	Shamsee et al.					
2013/0266007	A1	10/2013	Kumbhare et al.					
2013/0282970	A1	10/2013	Hughes et al.					
2013/0325986	A1	12/2013	Brady et al.					
2013/0343191	A1	12/2013	Kim et al.					
2014/0052864	A1	2/2014	Van et al.					
2014/0075554	A1	3/2014	Cooley					
2014/0086069	A1	3/2014	Frey et al.					
2014/0101426	A1	4/2014	Senthurpandi					
2014/0108360	A1	4/2014	Kunath et al.					
2014/0114742	A1	4/2014	Lamontagne et al.					
2014/0123213	A1	5/2014	Vank et al.					
2014/0157142	A1	6/2014	Heinrich et al.					
2014/0181381	A1	6/2014	Hughes et al.					

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action, dated Mar. 24, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Mar. 8, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 13, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,440, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 18, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/679,965, filed Apr. 6, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 20, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 24, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,440, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 3, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/679,965, filed Apr. 6, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 4, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/811,482, filed Jul. 28, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 6, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/477,804, filed Sep. 4, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated May 6, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Nov. 17, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/263,755, filed Oct. 31, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Nov. 2, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 15/403,116, filed Jan. 10, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Nov. 26, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/333,486, filed Jul. 16, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Nov. 6, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 1, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/190,940, filed Feb. 26, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 13, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 20, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 22, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 4, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 7, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 9, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 10, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/757,548, filed Feb. 1, 2013.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 11, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 15/148,671, filed May 6, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 13, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 17, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/357,657, filed Feb. 16, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 20, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 13/517,575, filed Jun. 13, 2012.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 22, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 25, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 26, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Sep. 27, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 13/112,936, filed May 20, 2011.

Notice of Allowance, dated Apr. 14, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.

Notice of Allowance, dated Apr. 21, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,497, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated Apr. 28, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/357,657, filed Feb. 16, 2006.

Notice of Allowance, dated Aug. 30, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Notice of Allowance, dated Aug. 31, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/724,800, filed Mar. 15, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated Dec. 22, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/333,486, filed Jul. 16, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Dec. 26, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Notice of Allowance, dated Dec. 3, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/796,239, filed Apr. 27, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated Dec. 9, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/622,324, filed Nov. 19, 2009.

Notice of Allowance, dated Feb. 11, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/903,416, filed Sep. 20, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated Feb. 14, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Notice of Allowance, dated Feb. 16, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/248,167, filed Apr. 8, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Feb. 19, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/482,321, filed May 29, 2012.

Notice of Allowance, dated Feb. 29, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,440, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated Feb. 8, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/543,781, filed Nov. 17, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 16, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 2, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 20, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/622,324, filed Nov. 19, 2009.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 23, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/248,188, filed Apr. 8, 2014.

"Decision Granting Motion to Terminate", Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2014-00245, Feb. 7, 2018, 4 pages.

"IPsec Anti-Replay Window: Expanding and Disabling," Cisco IOS Security Configuration Guide. 2005-2006 Cisco Systems, Inc. Last updated: Sep. 12, 2006, 14 pages.

"Notice of Entry of Judgement Accompanied by Opinion", United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Case: 15-2072, Oct. 24, 2017, 6 pages.

"Shared LAN Cache Datasheet", 1996, <<http://www.lanache.com/sledata.htm>>, 8 pages.

Advisory Action, dated Apr. 4, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/517,575, filed Jun. 13, 2012.

Advisory Action, dated Aug. 20, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.

Advisory Action, dated Dec. 3, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Advisory Action, dated Jan. 24, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.

Advisory Action, dated Jan. 29, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Advisory Action, dated Jul. 16, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Advisory Action, dated Jul. 2, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Advisory Action, dated Jun. 27, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Advisory Action, dated Mar. 21, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/679,965, filed Apr. 6, 2015.

Advisory Action, dated Mar. 25, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Advisory Action, dated Mar. 5, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Advisory Action, dated May 23, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Advisory Action, dated Nov. 25, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Advisory Action, dated Nov. 25, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/482,321, filed May 29, 2012.

Advisory Action, dated Oct. 2, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Advisory Action, dated Sep. 27, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.

Business Wire, "Silver Peak Systems Delivers Family of Appliances for Enterprise-Wide Centralization of Branch Office Infrastructure; Innovative Local Instance Networking Approach Overcomes Traditional Application Acceleration Pitfalls" (available at <http://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20050919005450/en/Silver-Peak-Systems-Delivers-Family-Appliances-Enterprise-Wide#UVzkPk7u-1> (last visited Aug. 8, 2014)), pp. 1-4.

Corrected Notice of Allowability, dated Aug. 5, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/248,188, filed Apr. 8, 2014.

Corrected Notice of Allowability, dated Mar. 14, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/677,841, filed Apr. 2, 2015.

Corrected Notice of Allowability, dated Mar. 7, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/543,781, filed Nov. 17, 2014.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Decision on Appeal, dated Jun. 28, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Decision on Appeal, dated Nov. 14, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Decision on Appeal, dated Sep. 17, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Definition memory (n), Webster's Third New International Dictionary, Unabridged (1993), available at <<http://lionreference.chadwyck.com>> (Dictionaries/Webster's Dictionary). Copy not provided in IPR2013-00402 proceedings.

Douglis, F. et al., "Application specific Delta-encoding via Resemblance Detection", Published in the 2003 USENIX Annual Technical Conference, pp. 1-14.

Examiner's Answer to Appeal Brief, dated Oct. 14, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Examiner's Answer to Appeal Brief, dated Oct. 27, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Examiner's Answer to Appeal Brief, dated Oct. 27, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Final Office Action, dated Apr. 1, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Final Office Action, dated Apr. 15, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Apr. 18, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Final Office Action, dated Apr. 23, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Final Office Action, dated Aug. 12, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Aug. 17, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Aug. 7, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Dec. 18, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Final Office Action, dated Dec. 21, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/679,965, filed Apr. 6, 2015.

Final Office Action, dated Dec. 31, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Dec. 8, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 1, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 10, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 17, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 15/148,933, filed May 6, 2016.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 22, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 22, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 13/112,936, filed May 20, 2011.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 3, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Final Office Action, dated Feb. 4, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 24, 2007, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 24, 2007, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 28, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 1, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 15, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/479,131, filed Sep. 5, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 16, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/859,179, filed Sep. 18, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 20, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 30, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,440, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 31, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/621,534, filed Sep. 17, 2012.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 6, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Dec. 9, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,497, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Feb. 2, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Feb. 3, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Feb. 3, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/151,839, filed May 8, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Feb. 4, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 12, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/477,804, filed Sep. 4, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 22, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 23, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/548,195, filed Nov. 19, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 26, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 26, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/903,416, filed Sep. 20, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 3, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/482,321, filed May 29, 2012.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 4, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jan. 6, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 1, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 10, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 10, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 11, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/248,188, filed Apr. 8, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 15, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/734,949, filed Jun. 9, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 17, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 18, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 18, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 21, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 25, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/067,619, filed Oct. 30, 2013.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 27, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/981,814, filed Dec. 28, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 30, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 5, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 7, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jul. 8, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 13, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 14, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/151,839, filed May 8, 2008.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 15, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 15/091,533, filed Apr. 5, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 17, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/622,324, filed Nov. 19, 2009.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 18, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,497, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 2, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 20, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 15/148,933, filed May 6, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 22, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/796,239, filed Apr. 27, 2007.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 22, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/447,505, filed Jul. 30, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 6, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/190,940, filed Feb. 26, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Jun. 8, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/248,167, filed Apr. 8, 2014.

Non-Final Office Action, dated Mar. 11, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/549,425, filed Nov. 20, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 3, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/757,548, filed Feb. 1, 2013.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jul. 27, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/549,425, filed Nov. 20, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jun. 10, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jun. 3, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/548,195, filed Nov. 19, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jun. 3, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/859,179, filed Sep. 18, 2015.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 16, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/151,839, filed May 8, 2008.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 16, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/190,940, filed Feb. 26, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 2, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/677,841, filed Apr. 2, 2015.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 21, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 12/070,796, filed Feb. 20, 2008.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 22, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 13/621,534, filed Sep. 17, 2012.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 23, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 15/091,533, filed Apr. 5, 2016.

Notice of Allowance, dated Mar. 26, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 13/112,936, filed May 20, 2011.

Notice of Allowance, dated May 14, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated May 21, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Notice of Allowance, dated Nov. 12, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,497, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Notice of Allowance, dated Nov. 25, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/917,517, filed Jun. 13, 2013.

Notice of Allowance, dated Oct. 23, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Notice of Allowance, dated Oct. 25, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/447,505, filed Jul. 30, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Oct. 5, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/734,949, filed Jun. 9, 2015.

Notice of Allowance, dated Oct. 6, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/270,101, filed May 5, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 12, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/657,733, filed Oct. 22, 2012.

Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 26, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/517,575, filed Jun. 13, 2012.

Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 5, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 14/248,229, filed Apr. 8, 2014.

Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 5, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/811,482, filed Jul. 28, 2015.

Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 8, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/263,755, filed Oct. 31, 2005.

Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 8, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/479,131, filed Sep. 5, 2014.

Petitioner's Demonstratives, Feb. 3, 2015, Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2014-00245.

Request for Trial Granted, dated Jan. 2, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Request for Trial Granted, dated Jan. 2, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Request for Trial Granted, dated Jun. 11, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Riverbed, "Riverbed Awarded Patent on Core WDS Technology" (available at: <http://www.riverbed.com/about/news-articles/pressreleases/riverbed-awarded-patent-on-core-wds-technology.html> (last visited Aug. 8, 2014)), 2 pages.

Riverbed, "Riverbed Introduces Market-Leading WDS Solutions for Disaster Recovery and Business Application Acceleration" (available at <http://www.riverbed.com/about/news-articles/pressreleases/riverbed-introduces-market-leading-wds-solutions-for-disaster-recovery-and-business-application-acceleration.html> (last visited Aug. 8, 2014)), 4 pages.

Riverbed, "The Riverbed Optimization System (RiOS) v4.0: A Technical Overview" (explaining "Data Security" through segmentation) (available at http://mediacms.riverbed.com/documents/TechOverview-Riverbed-RiOS_4_0.pdf (last visited Aug. 8, 2014)), pp. 1-18.

Silver Peak Systems, "The Benefits of Byte-level WAN Deduplication" (2008), pp. 1-5.

Singh et al.; "Future of Internet Security—IPSEC"; 2005; pp. 1-8.

Spring et al., "A protocol-independent technique for eliminating redundant network traffic", ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, vol. 30, Issue 4 (Oct. 2000) pp. 87-95, Year of Publication: 2000.

Supplemental Notice of Allowability, dated Oct. 9, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 13/657,733, filed Oct. 22, 2012.

Tseng, Josh, "When accelerating secure traffic is not secure" (available at <http://www.riverbed.com/blogs/whenacceleratingsecuretraffic.html?isSearch=true&pageSize=3&page=2> (last visited Aug. 8, 2014)), 3 pages.

You, L. L. and Karamanolis, C. 2004. "Evaluation of efficient archival storage techniques", In Proceedings of the 21st IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies (MSST), pp. 1-6.

You, L. L. et al., "Deep Store an Archival Storage System Architecture" Data Engineering, 2005. ICDE 2005. Proceedings of the 21st Intl. Conf. on Data Eng., Tokyo, Japan, Apr. 5-8, 2005, pp. 12.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 11, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/517,575, filed Jun. 13, 2012.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 11, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 12, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 12, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/274,162, filed Oct. 14, 2011.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 14, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 22, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 5, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/240,110, filed Sep. 29, 2005.

Final Office Action, dated Jan. 9, 2008, U.S. Appl. No. 11/497,026, filed Jul. 31, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Jul. 13, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Jul. 14, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 13/482,321, filed May 29, 2012.

Final Office Action, dated Jul. 17, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.

Final Office Action, dated Jul. 22, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.

Final Office Action, dated Jul. 26, 2016, U.S. Appl. No. 14/477,804, filed Sep. 4, 2014.

Final Office Action, dated Mar. 16, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,473, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Mar. 25, 2014, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.

Final Office Action, dated Mar. 30, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/285,816, filed Nov. 22, 2005.

(56)

References Cited**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Final Office Action, dated May 11, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/263,755, filed Oct. 31, 2005.
 Final Office Action, dated May 14, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.
 Final Office Action, dated May 25, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.
 Final Office Action, dated May 3, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/479,131, filed Sep. 5, 2014.
 Final Office Action, dated May 5, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/903,416, filed Sep. 20, 2007.
 Final Office Action, dated Nov. 2, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.
 Final Office Action, dated Nov. 20, 2012, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.
 Final Office Action, dated Nov. 4, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,497, filed Jul. 5, 2007.
 Final Office Action, dated Nov. 9, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.
 Final Office Action, dated Oct. 12, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,440, filed Jul. 5, 2007.
 Final Office Action, dated Oct. 12, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 12/151,839, filed May 8, 2008.
 Final Office Action, dated Oct. 13, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/998,726, filed Nov. 30, 2007.
 Final Office Action, dated Oct. 5, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 15/148,933, filed May 6, 2016.
 Final Office Action, dated Sep. 1, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/498,491, filed Aug. 2, 2006.
 Final Office Action, dated Sep. 18, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/477,804, filed Sep. 4, 2014.
 Final Office Action, dated Sep. 23, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/151,839, filed May 8, 2008.
 Final Office Action, dated Sep. 26, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/288,691, filed Nov. 3, 2011.
 Final Office Action, dated Sep. 30, 2011, U.S. Appl. No. 11/825,440, filed Jul. 5, 2007.

Final Written Decision, dated Dec. 30, 2014, Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2013-00402, pp. 1-37.
 Final Written Decision, dated Dec. 30, 2014, Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2013-00403, pp. 1-38.
 Final Written Decision, dated Jun. 9, 2015, Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2014-00245, pp. 1-40.
 Hong, B et al. "Duplicate data elimination in a SAN file system", In Proceedings of the 21st Symposium on Mass Storage Systems (MSS '04), Goddard, MD, Apr. 2004. IEEE, pp. 101-114.
 Knutsson, Bjorn et al., "Transparent Proxy Signalling", Journal of Communications and Networks, vol. 3, No. 2, Jun. 2001, pp. 164-174.
 Manber, Udi, "Finding Similar Files in a Large File System", TR 93-33 Oct. 1994, Department of Computer Science, University of Arizona. <<http://webglimpse.net/pubs/TR93-33.pdf>>. Also appears in the 1994 winter USENIX Technical Conference.
 Muthitacharoen, Athicha et al., "A Low-bandwidth Network File System," 2001, in Proc. of the 18th ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, Banff, Canada, pp. 174-187.
 Newton, "Newton's Telecom Dictionary", 17th Ed., 2001, pp. 38, 201, and 714.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Apr. 2, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/427,422, filed Mar. 22, 2012.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Apr. 27, 2017, U.S. Appl. No. 14/447,505, filed Jul. 30, 2014.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 11, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/677,841, filed Apr. 2, 2015.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 12, 2010, U.S. Appl. No. 12/313,618, filed Nov. 20, 2008.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 14, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 12/217,440, filed Jul. 3, 2008.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 14, 2013, U.S. Appl. No. 13/917,517, filed Jun. 13, 2013.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 18, 2015, U.S. Appl. No. 14/543,781, filed Nov. 17, 2014.
 Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 24, 2007, U.S. Appl. No. 11/202,697, filed Aug. 12, 2005.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1A (PRIOR ART)




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

400

"/domain name/computer/port "

		Bytes rx	Packets rx	
410	"/sampledomain1/computer1/port1 "	101765	965	Row 1
420	"/sampledomain2/computer2/port2 "	76551	727	Row 2
430	"/sampledomain1/computer1/port2 "	85001	803	Row 3
	
	
	
	
	
440	"/sampledomainA/computerB/portC"	D	E	Row F

450

"/sourceIP/destinationIP "

		Bytes rx	Packets rx
460	"/111.11.10.110/222.20.22.200 "	5467	248
470	"/111.11.10.110/333.30.33.300 "	432	126

FIG. 4A





FIG. 4B





FIG. 5A

BEFORE EVICTION

	Bytes rx	Packets rx
“ /sampledomain1/computer1/port1 ”	101765	965
“ /sampledomain2/computer2/port2 ”	76551	727
“ /sampledomain1/computer1/port2 ”	85001	803



AFTER EVICTION

	Bytes rx	Packets rx
“ /sampledomain1/computer1/* ”	186766	1768
“ /sampledomain2/computer2/port2 ”	76551	727

FIG. 5B




FIG. 6

HIERARCHICAL AGGREGATION OF SELECT NETWORK TRAFFIC STATISTICS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a Divisional of, and claims the priority benefit of, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/581, 637 filed on 24 Sep. 2019, which is a Continuation of, and claims the priority benefit of, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/180,981 filed on 13 Jun. 2016. The disclosure of the above-referenced applications are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety for all purposes.

TECHNICAL FIELD

This disclosure relates generally to the collection, aggregation, and processing of network traffic statistics for a plurality of network appliances.

BACKGROUND

The approaches described in this section could be pursued, but are not necessarily approaches that have previously been conceived or pursued. Therefore, unless otherwise indicated, it should not be assumed that any of the approaches described in this section qualify as prior art merely by virtue of their inclusion in this section.

An increasing number of network appliances, physical and virtual, are deployed in communication networks such as wide area networks (WAN). For each network appliance, it may be desirable to monitor attributes and statistics of the data traffic handled by the device. For example, information can be collected regarding source IP addresses, destination IP addresses, traffic type, port numbers, etc. for the traffic that passes through the network appliance. Typically this information is collected for each data flow using industry standards such as NetFlow and IPFIX. The collected data is transported across the network to a collection engine, stored in a database, and can be utilized for running queries and generating reports regarding the network.

Since there can be any number of data flows processed by a network appliance each minute (hundreds, thousands, or even millions), this results in a large volume of data that is collected each minute, for each network appliance. As the number of network appliances in a communication network increases, the amount of data generated can quickly become unmanageable. Moreover, transporting all of this data across the network from each network appliance to the collection engine can be a significant burden, as well as storing and maintaining a database with all of the data. Further, it may take longer to run a query and generate a report since the amount of data to be processed and analyzed is so large.

Thus, there is a need for a more efficient mechanism for collecting and storing network traffic statistics for a large number of network appliances in a communication network.

SUMMARY

This summary is provided to introduce a selection of concepts in a simplified form that are further described in the Detailed Description below. This summary is not intended to identify key features or essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended to be used as an aid in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter.

In various exemplary methods of the present disclosure, a system for aggregating select network traffic statistics is

disclosed. The system comprises a plurality of network appliances in a communication network configured to collect a plurality of flow attributes for network traffic through each network appliance, build a plurality of hierarchical strings of network traffic flow attributes with extracted attribute values of those flow attributes, extract at least one network metric for at least one network characteristic associated with each of the plurality of hierarchical strings, and aggregate the at least one network metric for the at least one network characteristic over the plurality of flows, and transmit the aggregated information to a network information collector in communication with each network appliance; and the network information collector configured to receive the information from each network appliance, and provide the information to a user on a graphical user display in response to the user running a query on the received information.

In other embodiments, a method for aggregating select network traffic statistics for each of a plurality of network appliances connected in a communication network is disclosed. The method for each flow from a network appliance, extracting an attribute value of a first flow attribute; for each flow from the network appliance, extracting an attribute value of a second flow attribute; building at least one hierarchical string with the extracted attribute values; extracting at least one network metric for at least one network characteristic associated with the at least one hierarchical string; aggregating the at least one network metric for the at least one network characteristic over a plurality of flows; and transmitting the at least one hierarchical string and associated aggregated network metrics to a network information collector in communication with the network appliance.

Other features, examples, and embodiments are described below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Embodiments are illustrated by way of example and not by limitation in the figures of the accompanying drawings, in which like references indicate similar elements.

FIG. 1A depicts an exemplary system of the prior art.

FIG. 1B depicts an exemplary system within which the present disclosure can be implemented.

FIG. 2 illustrates a block diagram of a network appliance, in an exemplary implementation of the disclosure.

FIG. 3 depicts an exemplary flow table at a network appliance.

FIG. 4A depicts an exemplary accumulating map at a network appliance.

FIG. 4B depicts exemplary information from a row of an accumulating map.

FIG. 5A depicts an exemplary sorting via bins for an accumulating map.

FIG. 5B depicts an exemplary eviction policy for an accumulating map.

FIG. 6 depicts an exemplary method for building a hierarchical string.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following detailed description includes references to the accompanying drawings, which form a part of the detailed description. The drawings show illustrations, in accordance with exemplary embodiments. These exemplary embodiments, which are also referred to herein as "examples," are described in enough detail to enable those

skilled in the art to practice the present subject matter. The embodiments can be combined, other embodiments can be utilized, or structural, logical, and electrical changes can be made without departing from the scope of what is claimed. The following detailed description is therefore not to be taken in a limiting sense, and the scope is defined by the appended claims and their equivalents. In this document, the terms “a” and “an” are used, as is common in patent documents, to include one or more than one. In this document, the term “or” is used to refer to a nonexclusive “or,” such that “A or B” includes “A but not B,” “B but not A,” and “A and B,” unless otherwise indicated.

The embodiments disclosed herein may be implemented using a variety of technologies. For example, the methods described herein may be implemented in software executing on a computer system containing one or more computers, or in hardware utilizing either a combination of microprocessors or other specially designed application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), programmable logic devices, or various combinations thereof. In particular, the methods described herein may be implemented by a series of computer-executable instructions residing on a storage medium, such as a disk drive, or computer-readable medium.

The embodiments described herein relate to the collection, aggregation, and processing of network traffic statistics for a plurality of network appliances.

FIG. 1A depicts an exemplary system 100 within which embodiments of the prior art are implemented. The system comprises a plurality of network appliances 110 in communication with a flow information collector 120 over one or more wired or wireless communication network(s) 160. The flow information collector 120 is further in communication with one or more flow database(s) 125, which in turn is in communication with a reporting engine 140 that is accessible by a user 150.

Network appliance 110 collects information about network flows that are processed through the appliance and maintains flow records 112. These flow records are transmitted to the flow information collector 120 and maintained in flow database 125. User 150 can access information from these flow records 112 via reporting engine 140.

FIG. 1B depicts an exemplary system 170 within which the present disclosure can be implemented. The system comprises a plurality of network appliances 110 in communication with a network information collector 180 over one or more wired or wireless communication network(s) 160. The network information collector 180 is further in communication with one or more database(s) 130, which in turn is in communication with a reporting engine 140 that is accessible by a user 150. While network information collector 180, database(s) 130, and reporting engine 140 are depicted in the figure as separate, one or more of these engines can be part of the same computing machine or distributed across many computers.

In a wide area network, there can be multiple network appliances deployed in one or more geographic locations. Each network appliance 110 comprises hardware and/or software elements configured to receive data and optionally perform any type of processing, including but not limited to, WAN optimization techniques to the data, before transmitting to another appliance. In various embodiments, the network appliance 110 can be configured as an additional router or gateway. If a network appliance has multiple interfaces, it can be transparent on some interfaces, and act like a router/bridge on others. Alternatively, the network appliance can be transparent on all interfaces, or appear as a router/bridge on all interfaces. In some embodiments,

network traffic can be intercepted by another device and mirrored (copied) onto network appliance 110. The network appliance 110 may further be either physical or virtual. A virtual network appliance can be in a virtual private cloud (not shown), managed by a cloud service provider, such as Amazon Web Services, or others.

Network appliance 110 collects information about network flows that are processed through the appliance in flow records 112. From these flow records 112, network appliance 110 further generates an accumulating map 114 containing select information from many flow records 112 aggregated over a certain time period. The flow records 112 and accumulating map 114 generated at network appliance 110 are discussed in further detail below with respect to FIGS. 3 and 4.

At certain time intervals, network appliance 110 transmits information from the accumulating map 114 (and not flow records 112) to network information collector 180 and maintains this information in one or more database(s) 130. User 150 can access information from these accumulating maps via reporting engine 140, or in some instances user 150 can access information from these accumulating maps directly from a network appliance 110.

FIG. 2 illustrates a block diagram of a network appliance 110, in an exemplary implementation of the disclosure. The network appliance 110 includes a processor 210, a memory 220, a WAN communication interface 230, a LAN communication interface 240, and a database 250. A system bus 280 links the processor 210, the memory 220, the WAN communication interface 230, the LAN communication interface 240, and the database 250. Line 260 links the WAN communication interface 230 to another device, such as another appliance, router, or gateway, and line 270 links the LAN communication interface 240 to a user computing device, or other networking device. While network appliance 110 is depicted in FIG. 2 as having these exemplary components, the appliance may have additional or fewer components.

The database 250 comprises hardware and/or software elements configured to store data in an organized format to allow the processor 210 to create, modify, and retrieve the data. The hardware and/or software elements of the database 250 may include storage devices, such as RAM, hard drives, optical drives, flash memory, and magnetic tape.

In some embodiments, some network appliances comprise identical hardware and/or software elements. Alternatively, in other embodiments, some network appliances may include hardware and/or software elements providing additional processing, communication, and storage capacity.

Each network appliance 110 can be in communication with at least one other network appliance 110, whether in the same geographic location, different geographic location, private cloud network, customer datacenter, or any other location. As understood by persons of ordinary skill in the art, any type of network topology may be used. There can be one or more secure tunnels between one or more network appliances. The secure tunnel may be utilized with encryption (e.g., IPsec), access control lists (ACLs), compression (such as header and payload compression), fragmentation/coalescing optimizations and/or error detection and correction provided by an appliance.

A network appliance 110 can further have a software program operating in the background that tracks its activity and performance. For example, information about data flows that are processed by the network appliance 110 can be collected. Any type of information about a flow can be collected, such as header information (source port, destination port, source address, destination address, protocol, etc.),

5

packet count, byte count, timestamp, traffic type, or any other flow attribute. This information can be stored in a flow table **300** at the network appliance **110**. Flow tables will be discussed in further detail below, with respect to FIG. 3.

In exemplary embodiments, select information from flow table **300** is aggregated and populated into an accumulating map, which is discussed in further detail below with respect to FIG. 4. Information from the accumulating map is transmitted by network appliance **110** across communication networks(s) **160** to network information collector **180**. In this way, the information regarding flows processed by network appliance **110** is not transmitted directly to network information collector **180**, but rather a condensed and aggregated version of selected flow information is transmitted across the network, creating less network traffic.

After a flow table **300** is used to populate an accumulating map, or on a certain periodic basis or activation of a condition, flow table **300** may be discarded by network appliance **110** and a new flow table is started. Similarly, after an accumulating map **400** is received by network information collector **180**, or on a certain periodic basis or activation of a condition, accumulating map **400** may be discarded by network appliance **110** and a new accumulating map is started.

Returning to FIG. 1B, network information collector **180** comprises hardware and/or software elements, including at least one processor, for receiving data from network appliance **110** and processing it. Network information collector **180** may process data received from network appliance **110** and store the data in database(s) **130**. In various embodiments, database(s) **130** is a relational database that stores the information from accumulating map **400**. The information can be stored directly into database(s) **130** or separated into columns and then stored in database(s) **130**.

Database(s) **130** is further in communication with reporting engine **140**. Reporting engine **140** comprises hardware and/or software elements, including at least one processor, for querying data in database(s) **130**, processing it, and presenting it to user **150** via a graphical user interface. In this way, user **150** can run any type of query on the stored data. For example, a user can run a query requesting information on the most visited websites, or a “top talkers” report, as discussed in further detail below.

FIG. 3 depicts an exemplary flow table **300** at network appliance **110** for flows **1** through **N**, with **N** representing any number. The flow table contains one or more rows of information for each flow that is processed through network appliance **110**. Data packets transmitted and received between a single user and a single website that the user is browsing can be parsed into multiple flows. Thus, one browsing session for a user on a website may comprise many flows. Typically a TCP flow begins with a SYN packet and ends with a FIN packet. Other methods can be used for determining the start and end of non-TCP flows. The attributes of each of these flows, while they may be identical or substantially similar, are by convention stored in different rows of flow table **300** since they are technically different flows.

In exemplary embodiments, flow table **300** may collect certain information about the flow, such as header information **310**, network information **320**, and other information **330**. As would be understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art, flow table **300** can comprise fewer or additional fields than depicted in FIG. 3. Moreover, even though header information **310** is depicted as having three entries in exemplary flow table **300**, there can be fewer or additional entries for header information. Similarly, there can be fewer

6

or additional entries for network information **320** and for other information **330** than the number of entries depicted in exemplary flow table **300**.

Header information **310** can comprise any type of information found in a packet header, for example, source port, destination port, source address (such as IP address), destination address, protocol. Network information **320** can comprise any type of information regarding the network, such as a number of bytes received or a number of bytes transmitted during that flow. Further, network information **320** can contain information regarding other characteristics such as loss, latency, jitter, reordering, etc. Flow table **300** may store a sum of the number of packets or bytes of each characteristic, or a mathematical operator other than the sum, such as maximum, minimum, mean, median, average, etc. Other information **330** can comprise any other type of information regarding the flow, such as traffic type or domain name (instead of address).

In an example embodiment, entry **340** of flow **N** is the source port for the flow, entry **345** is the destination port for the flow, and entry **350** is the destination IP address for the flow. Entry **355** is the domain name for the website that flow **N** originates from or is directed to, entry **360** denotes that the flow is for a voice traffic type, and entry **365** is an application name (for example from deep packet inspection (DPI)). Entry **370** contains the number of packets in the flow and entry **375** contains a number of bytes in the flow.

The flow information regarding every flow is collected by the network appliance **110** at all times, in the background. A network appliance **110** could have one million flows every minute, in which case a flow table for one minute of data for network appliance **110** would have one million rows. Over time, this amount of data becomes cumbersome to process, synthesize, and manipulate. Conventional systems may transport a flow table directly to a flow information collector, or to reduce the amount of data, retain only a fraction of the records from the flow table as a sample. In contrast, embodiments of the present disclosure reduce the amount of data to be processed regarding flows, with minimal information loss, by synthesizing selected information from flow table **300** into an accumulating map. This synthesis can occur on a periodic basis (such as every minute, every 5 minutes, every hour, etc.), or upon the meeting of a condition, such as number of flows recorded in the flow table **300**, network status, or any other condition.

FIG. 4A depicts exemplary accumulating maps that are constructed from information from flow table **300**. A string of information is built in a hierarchical manner from information in flow table **300**. A network administrator can determine one or more strings of information to be gathered. For example, a network administrator may determine that information should be collected regarding a domain name, user computing device, and user computer's port number that is accessing that domain. A user computing device can identify different computing devices utilized by the same user (such as a laptop, smartphone, desktop, tablet, smartwatch, etc.). The user computing device can be identified in any manner, such as by host name, MAC address, user ID, etc.

Exemplary table **400** has rows **1** through **F**, with **F** being any number, for the hierarchical string “/domain name/computer/port” that is built from this information. Since the accumulating map **400** is an aggregation of flow information, **F** will be a much smaller value than **N**, the total number of flows from flow table **300**.

Exemplary table **450** shows data being collected for a string of source IP address and destination IP address

combinations. Thus, information regarding which IP addresses are communicating with each other is accumulated. Network appliance **110** can populate an accumulating map for any number of strings of information from flow table **300**. In an exemplary embodiment, network appliance **110** populates multiple accumulating maps, each for a different string hierarchy of information from flow table **300**. While FIG. **4A** depicts only two string hierarchies, there can be fewer or additional strings of information collected in accumulating maps.

Row **410** in exemplary accumulating map **400** shows that during the time interval represented, sampledomain1 was accessed by computer1 from port 1. All of the flows where sampledomain1 was accessed by computer1 from port1 in flow table **300** are aggregated into a single row, row **410**, in accumulating map **400**. The network information **320** may be aggregated for the flows to depict a total number of bytes received and a total number of packets received from sampledomain1 accessed by computer1 via port1 during the time interval of flow table **300**. In this way, a large number of flows may be condensed into a single row in accumulating map **400**.

As would be understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art, while accumulating map **400** depicts a total number of bytes received and a total number of packets received (also referred to herein as a network characteristic), any attribute can be collected and aggregated into accumulating map **400**. For example, instead of a sum of bytes received, accumulating map **400** can track a maximum value, minimum value, median, percentile, or other numeric attribute for a string. Additionally, the network characteristic can be other characteristics besides number of packets or number of bytes. Loss, latency, re-ordering, and other characteristics can be tracked for a string in addition to, or instead of, packets and bytes, such as number of flows that are aggregated into the row. For example, packet loss and packet jitter can be measured by time stamps and serial numbers from the flow table. Additional information on measurement of network characteristics can be found in commonly owned U.S. Pat. No. 9,143,455 issued on Sep. 22, 2015 and entitled "Quality of Service Using Multiple Flows", which is hereby incorporated herein in its entirety.

Row **430** shows that the same computer (computer1) accessed the same domain name (sampledomain1), but from a different port (port2). Thus, all of the flows in flow table **300** from port2 of computer1 to sampledomain1 are aggregated into row **430**. Similarly, accumulating map **400** can be populated with information from flow table **300** for any number of domains accessed by any number of computers from any number of ports, as shown in row **440**.

Flow table **300** may comprise data for one time interval while accumulating map **400** can comprise data for a different time interval. For example, flow table **300** can comprise data for all flows through network appliance **110** over the course of a minute, while data from 60 minutes can all be aggregated into one accumulating map. Thus, if a user returns to the same website from the same computer from the same port within the same hour, even though this network traffic is on a different flow, the data can be combined with the previous flow information for the same parameters into the accumulating map. This significantly reduces the number of records that are maintained. All activity between a computer and a domain from a certain port is aggregated together as one record in the accumulating map, instead of multiple records per flow. This provides

information in a compact manner for further processing, while also foregoing the maintenance of all details about more specific activities.

Exemplary accumulating map **450** depicts flow information for another string—source IP address and destination IP address combinations. In IPv4 addressing alone, there are four billion possibilities for source IP addresses and four billion possibilities for destination IP addresses. To maintain a table of all possible IP address combinations between these would be an unwieldy table of information to collect. Further, most combinations for a particular network appliance **110** would be zero. Thus, to maintain large volumes of data in a scalable way, the accumulating map **450** only collects information regarding IP addresses actually used as a source or destination, instead of every possible combination of IP addresses.

The accumulating map **450** can be indexed in different indexing structures, as would be understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art. For example, a hash table can be used where the key is the string and a hash of the string is computed to find a hash bin. In that bin is a list of strings and their associated values. Furthermore, there can be additional indexing to make operations (like finding smallest value) fast, as discussed herein. An accumulating map may comprise the contents of the table, such as that depicted in **400** and **450**, and additionally one or more indexing structures and additional information related to the table. In some embodiments, only the table itself from the accumulating map may be transmitted to network information collector **180**. In other embodiments, some or all of the additional information, such as indexing information, may be transmitted with the table.

The information from an accumulating map can be collected from the network appliances and then stored in database(s) **130**, which may be a relational database. The scheme can use raw aggregated strings and corresponding values in columns of the database(s) **130**, or separate columns can be used for each flow attribute of the string and its corresponding values. For example, port, computer, and domain name can all be separate columns in a relational database, rather than stored as one column for the string.

The reporting engine **140** allows a user **150** or network administrator to run a query and generate a report from information in accumulating maps that was stored in database(s) **130**. For example, a user **150** can query which websites were visited by searching "/domain/*". A user **150** can query the top traffic types by searching "/*/traffic type". Multi-dimensional searches can also be run on data in database(s) **130**. For example, who are they top talkers and which websites are they visiting? For the top destinations, who is going there? For the top websites, what are the top traffic types? A network administrator can configure the system to aggregate selected flow information based specifically on the most common types of queries that are run on network data. Further, multi-dimensional queries can be run on this aggregated information, even though the data is not stored in a multi-dimensional format (such as a cube).

Further, by collecting flow information for a certain time interval in flow table **300** (e.g., once a minute), and aggregating selected flow information into one or more accumulating maps for a set time interval (e.g., once an hour) at the network appliance **110**, only relevant flow information is gathered by network information collector **180** and maintained in database(s) **130**. This allows for efficient scalability of a large number of network appliances in a WAN, since the amount of information collected and stored is significantly reduced, compared to simply collecting and storing all

information about all flows through every network appliance for all time. Through an accumulating map, information can be aggregated by time, appliance, traffic type, IP address, website/domain, or any other attribute associated with a flow.

While the strings of an accumulating map are depicted herein with slashes, the information can be stored in an accumulating map in any format, such as other symbols or even no symbol at all. A string can be composed of binary records joined together to make a string, or normal ASCII text, Unicode text, or concatenations thereof. For example, row **410** can be represented as “sampledomain1, computer1, port1” or in any number of ways. Further, instead of delimiting a string by characters, it can be delimited by links and values. Information can also be sorted lexicographically.

FIG. 4B depicts exemplary information from a row of an accumulating map. A string is composed of an attribute value **412** (such as 1.2.3.4) of a first attribute **411** (such as source IP address), and an attribute value **414** (such as 5.6.7.8) of a second attribute **413** (such as destination IP address). For each string of information, there is an associated network characteristic **415** (such as number of bytes received) and its corresponding network metric **416** (such as 54) and there can optionally be a second network characteristic **417** (number of packets received) and its corresponding network metric **418** (such as 13). While two network characteristics are depicted here, there can be only one network characteristic or three or more network characteristics. Similarly, there can be fewer or additional attributes in a string. This information can also be stored as a binary key string **419** as depicted in the figure.

Furthermore, while data is discussed herein as being applicable to a particular flow, a similar mechanism can be utilized to gather data for a tunnel, instead of just a flow. For example, a string of information comprising “/tunnelname/application/website” can be gathered in an accumulating map. In this way, information regarding which tunnel a flow goes into and which application is using that tunnel can be collected and stored. Data packets can be encapsulated into tunnel packets, and a single string may collect information regarding each of these packets as a way of tracking tunnel performance.

In various embodiments, an accumulating map, such as map **400**, can have a maximum or target number of rows or records that can be maintained. Since one purpose of the accumulating map is to reduce the amount of flow information that is collected, transmitted, and stored, it can be advantageous to limit the size of the accumulating map. Once a defined number of records is reached, then an eviction policy can be applied to determine how new entries are processed. The eviction policy can be triggered upon reaching a maximum number of records, or upon reaching a lower target number of records.

In one eviction policy, any new strings of flow information that are not already in the accumulating map will simply be discarded for that time interval, until a new accumulating map is started for the next time interval.

In a second eviction policy, the strings of information that constitute overflow are summarized into a log file, called an eviction log. The eviction log can be postprocessed and transmitted to the network information collector **180** at substantially the same time as information from the accumulating map. Alternatively, the eviction log may be consulted only at a later time when further detail is required.

In a third eviction policy, when a new string needs to be added to an accumulating map, then an existing record can be moved from the accumulating map into an eviction log to

make space for the new string which is then added to the accumulating map. The determination of which existing record to purge from the accumulating map can be based on a metric. For example, the existing entry with the least number of bytes received can be evicted. In various embodiments there can also be a time parameter for this so that new strings have a chance to aggregate and build up before automatically being evicted for having the lowest number of bytes. That is, to avoid a situation where the newest entry is constantly evicted, a time parameter can be imposed to allow for any desired aggregation of flows for the string.

In some embodiments, to find the existing entry with the least number of bytes to be evicted, the whole accumulating map can be scanned. In other embodiments, the accumulating map is already indexed (such as via a hash table) so it is already sorted and the lowest value can be easily found.

In further embodiments, information from an accumulating map can be stored in bins such as those depicted in FIG. 5A. In the exemplary embodiment of FIG. 5A, aggregated network metric values of a network characteristic are displayed, and bins are labeled with various numeric ranges, such as 0-10, 11-40 and 41-100. Each network metric is associated with the bin of its numeric range. Thus strings and their corresponding aggregated values can be placed in an indexing structure for the accumulating map in accordance with the metric value of their corresponding network characteristic. As a network metric increases (for example from new flows being aggregated into the string), or as a network metric decreases (for example from some strings being evicted), then the entry can be moved to a different bin in accordance with its new numeric range. In an exemplary embodiment, the table of an accumulating map is a first data structure, a bin is a second data structure, and sorting operations can be conducted in a third data structure.

Placing data from accumulating map **400** in bins allows for eviction to occur from the lowest value bin with data. Any record can be evicted from the lowest value bin with data, or the lowest value bin can be scanned to find the entry with the lowest network metric for eviction.

The bins can also be arranged in powers of two to cover bigger ranges of values. For example, bins can have ranges of 0-1, 2-3, 4-7, 8-15, 16-31, 32-63, 64-127 and so on. In this way, the information from accumulating map doesn't need to be kept perfectly sorted by network metric, which can require a significant amount of indexing.

In another exemplary embodiment, space can be freed up in an accumulating map by combining multiple records that have common attributes. For example, in the accumulating map of FIG. 5B, there are two entries with the same domain and computer, but different port numbers. The data from these entries can be combined by keeping the domain and computer in the string, but removing the port numbers. In this way, two or more records in the accumulating map with common flow attributes can be aggregated into one record by removing the uncommon attributes from the record. The bytes received and packets received for the new condensed record is an aggregation of the previous separate records. In this way, some information may be lost from the accumulating map (through loss of some granularity), but by least importance as defined by the combination of attributes in the string (by removing a lower level but keeping a higher level of information in the string). Alternatively, of the two entries with the same domain and computer but different port numbers, the record with the lowest number of bytes may simply be evicted from the accumulating map and added to the eviction log. There can also be a time interval allotted to

11

the record before it is evicted to allow flow data to be aggregated for that string before eviction.

In a fourth eviction policy, a batch eviction can be conducted on the accumulating map to free up space. For example, a determination may be made of which records are the least useful and then those are evicted from the accumulating map and logged in the eviction log. In an exemplary embodiment, an accumulating map may be capable of having 10,000 records. A batch eviction may remove 1,000 records at a time. However, any number of records can be moved in a batch eviction process, and an accumulating map size can be set to any number of records. A batch eviction can also remove one or more bins of information.

FIG. 6 depicts an exemplary method for building a hierarchical string and aggregating the associated values, as discussed herein. In step 610, information about network traffic flows is collected at a network appliance. In step 620, an attribute value of a first attribute (or flow attributes) is extracted when the flow ends, or on a periodic basis. For example, if a flow attribute is source IP address, then the attribute value of the source IP address (such as 1.2.3.4) is extracted. An attribute value of a second flow attribute can also be extracted. There can be any number of flow attributes extracted from flow information. In step 630, at least one hierarchical string is built with the extracted attribute values. For example, source IP may be a part of only one, or multiple different hierarchical strings. Network metric(s) for the associated network characteristic(s) of the hierarchical string(s) are extracted in step 640, and the network metrics are aggregated for the different flows into an accumulating map record for each hierarchical string in step 650. For example, a string of “/source IP/destination IP” can be built from the various source and destination IP address combinations with the aggregated network metrics of the network characteristic of number of bytes exchanged between each source IP and destination IP combination.

The aggregated information may be sent from each network device to the network information collector 180 as discussed herein. The information can be transmitted as raw data, or may be subjected to processing such as encryption, compression, or other type of processing. The network information collector 180 may initiate a request for the data from each network appliance, or the network appliance may send it automatically, such as on a periodic basis after the passage of a certain amount of time (for example, every minute, every 5 minutes, every hour, etc.).

While the method has been described in these discrete steps, various steps may occur in a different order, or concurrently. Further, this method may be practiced for each incoming flow or outgoing flow of a network appliance.

Thus, methods and systems for aggregated select network traffic statistics are disclosed. Although embodiments have been described with reference to specific examples, it will be evident that various modifications and changes can be made to these example embodiments without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the present application. Therefore, these and other variations upon the exemplary embodiments are intended to be covered by the present disclosure. Accordingly, the specification and drawings are to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense.

The invention claimed is:

1. A network information collector, comprising:
 - a processor; and
 - a memory including instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the network information collector to:

12

periodically receive, from a plurality of network appliances connected in a communication network, a respective plurality of accumulating maps, wherein:

each accumulating map includes entries organized hierarchically according to a set of flow attributes, and each entry represents one or more flows in a flow table of a respective network appliance of the plurality of network appliances;

aggregate each of the received respective plurality of accumulating maps into a global accumulating map; and

remove, from the global accumulating map, entries according to a lowest number of bytes eviction policy that evicts an entry having a lowest number of bytes among entries of the global accumulating map, wherein the entry having the lowest number of bytes is the entry that includes a first network metric of a bytes received count that is lowest of any entry in the global accumulating map.

2. The network information collector of claim 1, wherein the instructions further cause the network information collector to forward information from the global accumulating map to a network administrator via a graphical user interface such that the network administrator can generate a report based on the forwarded information and a search query.

3. The network information collector of claim 1, wherein the accumulating maps are periodically received after a pre-determined time interval.

4. The network information collector of claim 3, wherein each successive accumulating map received from a network appliance includes entries for flows during the pre-determined time interval.

5. The network information collector of claim 1, wherein entries removed from the global accumulating map are recorded in an eviction log.

6. The network information collector of claim 1, wherein a first attribute of the set of flow attributes is related to and more specific than a second attribute of the set of flow attributes.

7. A method, comprising:

receiving, at a network information collector and from a plurality of network appliances, a plurality of respective accumulating maps,

wherein:

each accumulating map includes entries organized hierarchically according to a set of flow attributes, a first attribute of the set of flow attributes is related to and more specific than a second attribute of the set of flow attributes, and

each entry represents one or more flows in a flow table of a respective network appliance of the plurality of network appliances;

aggregating, at the network information collector, each of the received plurality of respective accumulating maps into a global accumulating map, wherein the aggregating comprises:

for each entry of each received accumulating map, identifying a corresponding entry of the global accumulating map;

updating each identified corresponding entry to incorporate information from the respective received accumulating map entry; and

removing entries according to a lowest number of bytes eviction policy that evicts an entry having a lowest number of bytes among entries of the global accumulating map, wherein the entry having the lowest number of bytes is the entry that includes a first

13

network metric of a bytes received count that is lowest of any entry in the global accumulating map.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein each successive accumulating map received from a network appliance includes entries for flows during a pre-determined time interval.

9. The method of claim 7, wherein entries removed from the global accumulating map are recorded in an eviction log.

10. The method of claim 7, wherein each entry includes a network metric extracted from associated flows.

11. The method of claim 7, wherein the network appliances are connected in a communication network and each network appliance gathers information on flows passing through itself.

12. The method of claim 7, further comprising forwarding information from the global accumulating map to a network administrator via a graphical user interface such that the network administrator can generate a report based on the forwarded information and a search query.

13. A system, comprising:

a plurality of network appliances each comprising a respective processor and a memory including instructions that, when executed by the respective processor, cause each respective network appliance of the plurality of network appliances to:

insert details of a plurality flows into a flow table;

generate one or more entries according to a set of flow attributes of the plurality of flows, wherein each of the one or more entries may be associated with one or more of the plurality of flows;

insert the one or more entries into an accumulating map such that the entries are organized hierarchically according to a set of related flow attributes,

wherein a first attribute of the set of flow attributes is related to and more specific than a second attribute of the set of flow attributes; and

14

periodically transmit the accumulating map to a network information collector; and

the network information collector comprising a processor and a memory including instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the network information collector to:

receive an accumulating map from a network appliance;

aggregate the received accumulating map into a global accumulating map;

remove, from the global accumulating map, entries according to,

a lowest number of bytes eviction policy that evicts an entry having a lowest number of bytes among entries of the global accumulating map, wherein the entry having the lowest number of bytes is the entry that includes a first network metric of a bytes received count that is lowest of any entry in the global accumulating map; and

forward information from the global accumulating map to a network administrator via a graphical user interface such that the network administrator can generate a report based on the forwarded information and a search query.

14. The system of claim 13, wherein each entry includes a network metric extracted from associated flows.

15. The system of claim 14, wherein upon removing an entry, the network metric of the removed entry is combined with a network metric of another entry associated with the removed entry.

16. The system of claim 13, wherein each successive accumulating map received from a network appliance includes entries for flows during a pre-determined time interval.

17. The system of claim 13, wherein entries removed from the global accumulating map are recorded in an eviction log.

* * * * *