

# US Patent & Trademark Office

## Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12395534
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 19, 2025
Inventor(s)	Ravi; Prasenna et al.

### Cloud policy enforcement with synthetic request injection logic

#### Abstract

The technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to receive one or more incoming requests towards a cloud application from a client during an application session, inject one or more synthetic requests into the application session to transmit the synthetic requests to the cloud application, and receive one or more responses to the synthetic requests from the cloud application. The synthetic requests are constructed using one or more parameters of the incoming requests, and do not transmit the incoming requests.

<b>Inventors:</b>	<b>Ravi; Prasenna (Thanjavur, IN), Wu; David Tze-Si (Fremont, CA)</b>
<b>Applicant:</b>	<b>Netskope, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA)</b>
<b>Family ID:</b>	<b>1000008764124</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>Netskope, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA)</b>
<b>Appl. No.:</b>	<b>17/721268</b>
<b>Filed:</b>	<b>April 14, 2022</b>

#### Prior Publication Data

<b>Document Identifier</b>	<b>Publication Date</b>
US 20220345500 A1	Oct. 27, 2022

#### Related U.S. Application Data

continuation parent-doc US 17237748 20210422 US 11336698 child-doc US 17721268

#### Publication Classification

**Int. Cl.:** H04L9/40 (20220101); H04L41/0816 (20220101)

**U.S. Cl.:**

**CPC** H04L63/205 (20130101); H04L41/0816 (20130101); H04L63/0245 (20130101); H04L63/1466 (20130101);

#### Field of Classification Search

## References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
5440723	12/1994	Arnold et al.	N/A	N/A
6513122	12/2002	Magdych et al.	N/A	N/A
6622248	12/2002	Hirai	N/A	N/A
7080408	12/2005	Pak et al.	N/A	N/A
7240100	12/2006	Wein et al.	N/A	N/A
7298864	12/2006	Jones	N/A	N/A
7376719	12/2007	Shafer et al.	N/A	N/A
7600230	12/2008	Desai et al.	N/A	N/A
7735116	12/2009	Gauvin	N/A	N/A
7739301	12/2009	Bojinov et al.	N/A	N/A
7822837	12/2009	Urban et al.	N/A	N/A
7966654	12/2010	Crawford	N/A	N/A
8000329	12/2010	Fendick et al.	N/A	N/A
8161082	12/2011	Israel et al.	N/A	N/A
8255984	12/2011	Ghostine et al.	N/A	N/A
8296178	12/2011	Hudis et al.	N/A	N/A
8543667	12/2012	Hluchyj et al.	N/A	N/A
8793151	12/2013	DelZoppo et al.	N/A	N/A
8826443	12/2013	Raman et al.	N/A	N/A
8839417	12/2013	Jordan	N/A	N/A
8856869	12/2013	Brinskelle	N/A	N/A
9031918	12/2014	Venkatrao et al.	N/A	N/A
9075990	12/2014	Yang	N/A	N/A
9197601	12/2014	Pasdar	N/A	N/A
9197673	12/2014	Gaddy et al.	N/A	N/A
9225734	12/2014	Hastings	N/A	N/A
9231968	12/2015	Fang et al.	N/A	N/A
9270765	12/2015	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
9280678	12/2015	Redberg	N/A	N/A
9294442	12/2015	Lian et al.	N/A	N/A
9374374	12/2015	Steinberg et al.	N/A	N/A
9398102	12/2015	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
9613190	12/2016	Ford et al.	N/A	N/A
9762604	12/2016	Zaw	N/A	N/A
9811662	12/2016	Sharpe et al.	N/A	N/A
9912718	12/2017	Lepeska et al.	N/A	N/A
9928377	12/2017	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
10084825	12/2017	Xu	N/A	N/A
10237282	12/2018	Nelson et al.	N/A	N/A
10264071	12/2018	Vincent	N/A	N/A
10334442	12/2018	Vaughn et al.	N/A	N/A
10382468	12/2018	Dods	N/A	N/A
10445167	12/2018	Young	N/A	N/A
10452453	12/2018	Kumar et al.	N/A	N/A
10484334	12/2018	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
10592386	12/2019	Walters et al.	N/A	N/A
10728117	12/2019	Sharma et al.	N/A	N/A
10826941	12/2019	Jain et al.	N/A	N/A

10892964	12/2020	Sharma et al.	N/A	N/A
10938686	12/2020	Sharma et al.	N/A	N/A
10979458	12/2020	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
10983986	12/2020	Kumar	N/A	N/A
10992512	12/2020	He et al.	N/A	N/A
11032301	12/2020	Mandrychenko et al.	N/A	N/A
11036856	12/2020	Graun et al.	N/A	N/A
11140178	12/2020	Yona et al.	N/A	N/A
11178188	12/2020	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A
11184403	12/2020	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A
11190550	12/2020	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A
11271972	12/2021	Ravi et al.	N/A	N/A
11271973	12/2021	Ravi et al.	N/A	N/A
11281775	12/2021	Burdett et al.	N/A	N/A
11303647	12/2021	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A
11336698	12/2021	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A
11366723	12/2021	Bansod et al.	N/A	N/A
11461184	12/2021	Ramohalli Gopala Rao et al.	N/A	N/A
11500669	12/2021	Kumar et al.	N/A	N/A
11755947	12/2022	Hernandez Munuera et al.	N/A	N/A
2001/0023442	12/2000	Masters	N/A	N/A
2002/0040432	12/2001	Gao	N/A	N/A
2002/0099666	12/2001	Dryer et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0055994	12/2002	Herrmann et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0063321	12/2002	Inoue et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0172292	12/2002	Judge	N/A	N/A
2003/0204632	12/2002	Willebeek-LeMair et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0015719	12/2003	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0215746	12/2003	McCanne et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0243703	12/2003	Demmer et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0010593	12/2004	Fellenstein et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0160161	12/2004	Barrett et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0210072	12/2004	Bojinov et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0271246	12/2004	Sharma et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0015728	12/2005	Ballinger et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0156401	12/2005	Newstadt et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0150568	12/2006	Ruiz	N/A	N/A
2007/0204018	12/2006	Chandra et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0237147	12/2006	Quinn et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0069480	12/2007	Aarabi et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0134332	12/2007	Keohane et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0320151	12/2007	McCanne et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0320154	12/2007	Demmer et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0144818	12/2008	Kumar et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0193126	12/2008	Agarwal et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0193129	12/2008	Agarwal et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0199285	12/2008	Agarwal et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0249470	12/2008	Litvin et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0300351	12/2008	Lei et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0300604	12/2008	Barringer	N/A	N/A
2009/0327401	12/2008	Gage	N/A	N/A
2010/0017436	12/2009	Wolge	N/A	N/A
2010/0325357	12/2009	Reddy et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0119481	12/2010	Auradkar et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0145594	12/2010	Jho et al.	N/A	N/A

2011/0231921	12/2010	Narayanan et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0296036	12/2010	Canning et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0136928	12/2011	Dillon	N/A	N/A
2012/0188940	12/2011	Agrawal et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0203932	12/2011	da Costa et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0278896	12/2011	Fang et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0304275	12/2011	Ji et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0007854	12/2012	Sorenson et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0046794	12/2012	De Magalhaes	N/A	N/A
2013/0047195	12/2012	Radhakrishnan	N/A	N/A
2013/0047253	12/2012	Radhakrishnan et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0080714	12/2012	Kegel et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0159694	12/2012	Chiueh et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0219456	12/2012	Sharma et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0238785	12/2012	Hawk et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0276142	12/2012	Peddada	N/A	N/A
2013/0298190	12/2012	Sikka et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0347085	12/2012	Hawthorn et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0013112	12/2013	Cidon et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0032758	12/2013	Barton et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0068030	12/2013	Chambers et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0068705	12/2013	Chambers et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0259093	12/2013	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0280889	12/2013	Nispel et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0282843	12/2013	Buruganahalli et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0337614	12/2013	Kelson et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0359282	12/2013	Shikfa et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0366079	12/2013	Pasdar	N/A	N/A
2015/0074056	12/2014	Kellner et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0100357	12/2014	Seese et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0100664	12/2014	Flack et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0121059	12/2014	Davis	N/A	N/A
2015/0128103	12/2014	Stratton	717/100	G06F 8/00
2015/0135302	12/2014	Cohen et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0280959	12/2014	Vincent	N/A	N/A
2016/0072800	12/2015	Soon-Shiong et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0072847	12/2015	Bremen et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0127379	12/2015	Nayshtut et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0134616	12/2015	Koushik et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0149956	12/2015	Birnbaum et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0212602	12/2015	Libonate et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0248841	12/2015	Barajas Gonzalez et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0259936	12/2015	Mukherjee et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0277368	12/2015	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0323318	12/2015	Terrill et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0344700	12/2015	Gaddy et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0350145	12/2015	Botzer et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0381000	12/2015	Mathew et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0012838	12/2016	Kashtan et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0034201	12/2016	Zaw	N/A	N/A
2017/0064005	12/2016	Lee	N/A	N/A
2017/0093700	12/2016	Gilley et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0093917	12/2016	Chandra et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0249393	12/2016	Nair et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0250951	12/2016	Wang et al.	N/A	N/A

2017/0264619	12/2016	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0264640	12/2016	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0324749	12/2016	Bhargava et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0331870	12/2016	Giger	N/A	N/A
2018/0115547	12/2017	Peterson et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0191701	12/2017	Kong et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0278584	12/2017	Kuperman et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0278651	12/2017	Narayanaswamy et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0307859	12/2017	LaFever et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0332053	12/2017	Weis et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0343236	12/2017	Pillay-Esnault et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0367575	12/2017	Narayanaswamy	N/A	G06F 16/285
2018/0375863	12/2017	Fan	N/A	N/A
2019/0035027	12/2018	Ng et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0058714	12/2018	Joshi et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0075115	12/2018	Anderson et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0097965	12/2018	Linari et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0129976	12/2018	Cha et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0166194	12/2018	Garza et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0222479	12/2018	Nasser et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0253431	12/2018	Atanda	N/A	N/A
2019/0288902	12/2018	He et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0289011	12/2018	Kamiya et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0297079	12/2018	Delcourt et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0318102	12/2018	Araya et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0325074	12/2018	Ghezzi et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0349405	12/2018	Bengtson	N/A	N/A
2020/0044912	12/2019	Chakra et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0050686	12/2019	Kamalapuram et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0067935	12/2019	Carnes, III et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0074092	12/2019	Fliam et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0186501	12/2019	Neystadt et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0210383	12/2019	Demaris et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0220875	12/2019	Harguindeguy et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0274782	12/2019	Balaiah et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0274784	12/2019	Sharma et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0296169	12/2019	Jeuk et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0314121	12/2019	Mittermaier et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0336484	12/2019	Mahajan et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0344264	12/2019	Wolfson et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0351091	12/2019	Gardner	N/A	N/A
2020/0358822	12/2019	Erickson et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0379874	12/2019	Lu	N/A	N/A
2020/0389486	12/2019	Jeyakumar et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0396254	12/2019	Crabtree et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0403976	12/2019	Lewin et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0029089	12/2020	Lewin et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0029119	12/2020	Raman et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0036991	12/2020	Owens et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0051179	12/2020	Hulick, Jr.	N/A	N/A
2021/0073265	12/2020	Thakkar et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0075790	12/2020	Hebert et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0103580	12/2020	Schierz et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0136115	12/2020	Andrews et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0182126	12/2020	Walters et al.	N/A	N/A

2021/0192027	12/2020	Malton et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0192535	12/2020	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0234860	12/2020	Bansal et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0234919	12/2020	Paralikar et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0250341	12/2020	Senftleber et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0255907	12/2020	Walters et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0281567	12/2020	Arai	N/A	N/A
2021/0306371	12/2020	Majkowski et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0247768	12/2021	Datar et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0345490	12/2021	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0345494	12/2021	Wu et al.	N/A	N/A

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

<b>Patent No.</b>	<b>Application Date</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>CPC</b>
1063833	12/1999	EP	N/A
3648431	12/2019	EP	N/A
3699766	12/2019	EP	N/A
5695002	12/2014	JP	N/A
10-2013-0086380	12/2012	KR	N/A
10-2017-0094863	12/2016	KR	N/A
2010144735	12/2009	WO	N/A
2016028779	12/2015	WO	N/A
2021061932	12/2020	WO	N/A

#### **OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

JP-5695002-B2 English Translation. cited by applicant

“Set proxy settings and properties for scripted monitors New Relic Documentation”, [retrieved on Apr. 7, 2021]. Retrieved from the Internet <<https://docs.newrelic.com/docs/synthetics/synthetic-monitoring/scripting-monitors/set-proxy-settings-properties-scripted-monitors>>, 2 pages. cited by applicant

“Set up a proxy for private synthetic monitoring—Dynatrace Help”, [retrieved on Apr. 7, 2021]. Retrieved from the Internet <<https://www.dynatrace.com/support/help/how-to-use-dynatrace/synthetic-monitoring/private-synthetic-locations/setting-up-proxy-for-private-synthetic/>>, 6 pages. cited by applicant

“Write synthetic API tests New Relic Documentation”, [retrieved on Apr. 7, 2021]. Retrieved from the Internet <<https://docs.newrelic.com/docs/synthetics/synthetic-monitoring/scripting-monitors/write-synthetic-api-tests/>>, 4 pages. cited by applicant

J. Reschke et, al, “Out-Of-Band Content Coding for HTTP”, Jun. 24, 2017, 17 pages, [retrieved on Apr. 7, 2021]. Retrieved from the Internet <<https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-reschke-http-oob-encoding-12.html>>. cited by applicant

“Out-of-band resource load (HTTP)—PortSwigger”, 2 pages, [retrieved on Apr. 7, 2021]. Retrieved from the Internet <[https://portswigger.net/kb/issues/00100a00\\_out-of-band-resource-load-http](https://portswigger.net/kb/issues/00100a00_out-of-band-resource-load-http)>. cited by applicant

“Problems in Generating Synthetic HTTP requests”, 1 page, [retrieved on Apr. 7, 2021]. Retrieved from the Internet <[https://www.usenix.org/legacy/publications/library/proceedings/usits97/full\\_papers/banga/banga\\_html/node4.html](https://www.usenix.org/legacy/publications/library/proceedings/usits97/full_papers/banga/banga_html/node4.html)> cited by applicant

Kearn, M., “Synthetic Request Injection to Generate Metadata For Cloud Policy Enforcement Report—Addendum 2B”, Apr. 7, 2021, 2 pages. cited by applicant

Kearn, M., “Synthetic Request Injection to Generate Metadata For Cloud Policy Enforcement Report”, Apr. 7, 2021, 2 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,579—Office Action dated Jun. 24, 2021, 15 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,783—Office Action dated Jul. 22, 2021, 14 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,545—Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 14, 2021, 32 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,863—Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 23, 2021, 17 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,964—Notice of Allowance, dated Jun. 30, 2021, 41 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,563—Office Action, dated Jun. 30, 2021, 29 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,748—Office Action, dated Jun. 25, 2021, 31 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,877—Office Action dated Sep. 1, 2021, 53 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,748—Final Office Action dated Oct. 21, 2021, 46 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,748—Response to Office Action dated Jun. 25, 2021, filed Sep. 27, 2021, 15 pages. cited by

applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,748—Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 10, 2022, 17 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,748—Supplemental Notice of Allowance, dated Jan. 18, 2022, 4 pages. cited by applicant

“Netskope Introspection,” netSkope, Inc., 2015, 3 pgs. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Comparison of instant messaging protocols, Wikipedia, retrieved on Feb. 17, 2022, 2 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Comparison\\_of\\_instant\\_messaging\\_protocols&oldid=1013553466](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Comparison_of_instant_messaging_protocols&oldid=1013553466) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, cURL, Wikipedia, retrieved on Feb. 17, 2022, 4 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=CURL&oldid=1002535730> ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Hypertext Transfer Protocol, Wikipedia, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 15 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hypertext\\_Transfer\\_Protocol&oldid=1012415417](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hypertext_Transfer_Protocol&oldid=1012415417) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Internet Message Protocol (IMAP) Commands—RFC 3501, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 108 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3501> ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Lightweight Directory Access Protocol, Wikipedia, retrieved on Feb. 17, 2022, 13 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lightweight\\_Directory\\_Access\\_Protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lightweight_Directory_Access_Protocol) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, List of FTP commands, Wikipedia, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 5 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_FTP\\_commands](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_FTP_commands) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, List of HTTP header fields, Wikipedia, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 10 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List\\_of\\_HTTP\\_header\\_fields&oldid=1012071227](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_HTTP_header_fields&oldid=1012071227) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Post Office Protocol—Version 3—RFC 1939, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 23 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1939> ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Real Time Streaming Protocol, Wikipedia, retrieved on Feb. 17, 2022, 10 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real\\_Time\\_Streaming\\_Protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real_Time_Streaming_Protocol) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Real-Time Messaging Protocol, Wikipedia, retrieved on Feb. 17, 2022, 10 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real-Time\\_Messaging\\_Protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real-Time_Messaging_Protocol) ]. cited by applicant

Anonymous, Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Commands—RFC 2821, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 79 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2821> ]. cited by applicant

Cheng et al., “Cloud Security For Dummies, Netskope Special Edition,” John Wiley & Sons, Inc., dated 2015, 53 pages. cited by applicant

Cloud Data Loss Prevention Reference Architecture, Netskope, Sep. 2015, WP-88-1, 2 pages. cited by applicant

Curl, The Art of Scripting HTTP Requests Using Curl, Curl Documentation, retrieved on Feb. 17, 2022, 11 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: <https://curl.se/docs/https scripting.html> ]. cited by applicant

Documentation, tftp or utftp Command, IBM, retrieved on Apr. 6, 2022, 15 pages. Retrieved from the internet [URL: [https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/ssw\\_aix\\_72/t\\_commands/tftp.html](https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/ssw_aix_72/t_commands/tftp.html) ]. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in PCT application No. PCT/US2022/025781 dated Jul. 29, 2022, 11 pages. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in Application No. PCT/US2022/025787 dated Aug. 1, 2022, 10 pages. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in PCT Application No. PCT/US2022/025765 dated Aug. 4, 2022, 12 pages. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in PCT application No. PCT/US2022/025769 dated Aug. 4, 2022, 13 pages. cited by applicant

Netskope Cloud Confidence Index, netSkope, Inc., 2015, 4 pgs. cited by applicant

Netskope The 15 Critical CASB Use Cases, Netskope Inc., EB-141-1, dated 2015, 19 pages. cited by applicant

Netskope, “Data Loss Prevention and Monitoring in the Cloud”, Nov. 2014, 18 pages. cited by applicant

Netskope, “The 5 Steps to Cloud Confidence,” netSkope Inc., 2014, 11 pgs. cited by applicant

Repave the Cloud-Data Breach Collision Course, netSkope, Inc., 2014, 6 pgs. cited by applicant

The Netskope Active Platform Enabling Safe Migration to the Cloud, Apr. 2015, DS-1-8, Netskope, Inc., 6 pages. cited by applicant

The Netskope Advantage: Three “Must-Have” Requirements for Cloud Access Security Brokers, Jul. 2015, WP-12-2, 4 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,783—Notice of Allowance, dated Nov. 17, 2021, 14 pages. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,783—Response to Office Action dated Jul. 22, 2021 and Restriction Requirement, filed Oct.

21, 2021, 9 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,877—Response to Final Office Action dated Jan. 4, 2022, filed Apr. 4, 2022, 11 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,877—Response to Office Action dated Sep. 1, 2021, filed Dec. 1, 2021, 13 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,563—Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 28, 2021, 34 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,563—Response to Office Action dated Jun. 30, 2021, filed Sep. 30, 2021, 13 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,579—Notice of Allowance, dated Oct. 26, 2021, 20 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/238,579—Response to Office Action dated Jun. 24, 2021, filed Sep. 24, 2021, 11 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/688,826 Non-Final Office Action mailed Feb. 16, 2023, 13 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,877—Final Office Action dated Jan. 4, 2022, 47 pages, Jan. 4, 2022. cited by applicant  
Michael Pleshakov, ‘Deploying NGINX Plus as a Cloud Load Balancer for OpenStack’, NGINX, F5, Oct. 19, 2016 [Retrieved on Jun. 22, 2022]. Retrieved from the Internet: <URL:https://www.nginx.com/blog/nginx-plus-cloud-load-balancer-openstack></URL:https:>, Oct. 19, 2016. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/237,877—Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 27, 2022, 13 pages, Dec. 27, 2022. cited by applicant  
Netskope, Netskope Active Cloud OLP, dated 2015, 4 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/527,125 Notice of Allowance mailed Apr. 28, 2023, 21 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/537,399 Notice of Allowance mailed Aug. 9, 2023, 15 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/688,779 Notice of Allowance mailed Aug. 24, 2023, 13 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 18/163,761—Non-Final Office Action, dated Aug. 1, 2023, 10 pages. cited by applicant  
International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued in PCT Application No. PCT/US2022/025765 mailed Nov. 2023, 7 pages. cited by applicant  
International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued in PCT Application No. PCT/US2022/025769 mailed Nov. 2023, 8 pages. cited by applicant  
International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued in PCT Application No. PCT/US2022/025781 mailed Nov. 2023, 6 pages. cited by applicant  
International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued in PCT Application No. PCT/US2022/025787 mailed Nov. 2023, 6 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/537,399 Notice of Allowance mailed Sep. 14, 2023, 5 pages. cited by applicant  
U.S. Appl. No. 17/688,826 Notice of Allowance mailed Sep. 7, 2023, 10 pages. cited by applicant  
Martin, Victoria “Cooperative Security Fabric,” The Fortinet Cookbook, Jun. 8, 2016, 6 pgs., archived Jul. 28, 2016 at <https://web.archive.org/web/20160728170025/http://cookbook.fortinet.com/cooperative-security-fabric-54>. cited by applicant  
Huckaby, Jeff “Ending Clear Text Protocols,” Rackaid.com, Dec. 9, 2008, 3 pgs. cited by applicant  
Newton, Harry “fabric,” Newton's Telecom Dictionary, 30th Updated, Expanded, Anniversary Edition, 2016, 3 pgs. cited by applicant  
Fortinet, “Fortinet Security Fabric Earns 100% Detection Scores Across Several Attack Vectors in NSS Labs' Latest Breach Detection Group Test [press release]”, Aug. 2, 2016, 4 pgs, available at <https://www.fortinet.com/de/corporate/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/2016/security-fabric-earns-100-percent-breach-detection-scores-nss-labs>. cited by applicant  
Fortinet, “Fortinet Security Fabric Named 2016 CRN Network Security Product of the Year [press release]”, Dec. 5, 2016, 4 pgs, available at <https://www.fortinet.com/corporate/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/2016/fortinet-security-fabric-named-2016-crn-network-security-product>. cited by applicant  
McCullagh, Declan, “How safe is instant messaging? A security and privacy survey,” CNET, Jun. 9, 2008, 14 pgs. cited by applicant  
Beck et al., “IBM and Cisco: Together for a World Class Data Center,” IBM Redbooks, Jul. 2013, 654 pgs. cited by applicant  
Martin, Victoria “Installing internal FortiGates and enabling a security fabric,” The Fortinet Cookbook, Jun. 8, 2016, 11 pgs, archived Aug. 28, 2016 at <https://web.archive.org/web/20160828235831/http://cookbook.fortinet.com/installing-isfw-fortigate-enabling-csf-54/> cited by applicant  
Zetter, Kim, “Revealed: The Internet's Biggest Security Hole,” Wired, Aug. 26, 2008, 13 pgs. cited by applicant  
Adya et al., “Farsite: Federated, available, and reliable storage for an incompletely trusted environment,” SIGOPS



Oper. Syst. Rev. 36, SI, Dec. 2002, pp. 1-14. cited by applicant

Agrawal et al., "Order preserving encryption for numeric data," In Proceedings of the 2004 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data, Jun. 2004, pp. 563-574. cited by applicant

Balakrishnan et al., "A layered naming architecture for the Internet," ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, 34(4), 2004, pp. 343-352. cited by applicant

Downing et al., Naming Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms, (11th Ed.) Barron's, 2013, 6 pgs. cited by applicant

Downing et al., Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms, (10th Ed.) Barron's, 2009, 4 pgs. cited by applicant

Zoho Mail, "Email Protocols: What they are & their different types," 2006, 7 pgs. available at <https://www.zoho.com/mail/glossary/email-protocols.html#:~:text=mode of communication.-,What are the different email protocols%3F&and also has defined functions.> cited by applicant

NIIT, Special Edition Using Storage Area Networks, Que, 2002, 6 pgs. cited by applicant

Chapple, Mike, "Firewall redundancy: Deployment scenarios and benefits," TechTarget, 2005, 5 pgs. available at [https://www.techtarget.com/searchsecurity/tip/Firewall-redundancy-Deployment-scenarios-and-benefits?Offer=abt\\_pubpro\\_AI-Insider.](https://www.techtarget.com/searchsecurity/tip/Firewall-redundancy-Deployment-scenarios-and-benefits?Offer=abt_pubpro_AI-Insider.) cited by applicant

Fortinet, FortiGate—3600 User Manual (vol. 1, Version 2.50 MR2) Sep. 5, 2003, 329 pgs. cited by applicant

Fortinet, FortiGate SOHO and SMB Configuration Example, (Version 3.0 MR5), Aug. 24, 2007, 54 pgs. cited by applicant

Fortinet, FortiSandbox—Administration Guide, (Version 2.3.2), Nov. 9, 2016, 191 pgs. cited by applicant

Fortinet, FortiSandbox Administration Guide, (Version 4.2.4) Jun. 12, 2023, 245 pgs. available at [https://fortinetweb.s3.amazonaws.com/docs.fortinet.com/v2/attachments/fba32b46-b7c0-11ed-8e6d-fa163e15d75b/FortiSandbox-4.2.4-Administration\\_Guide.pdf](https://fortinetweb.s3.amazonaws.com/docs.fortinet.com/v2/attachments/fba32b46-b7c0-11ed-8e6d-fa163e15d75b/FortiSandbox-4.2.4-Administration_Guide.pdf). cited by applicant

Fortinet, FortiOS—Administration Guide, (Versions 6.4.0), Jun. 3, 2021, 1638 pgs. cited by applicant

Heady et al., "The Architecture of a Network Level Intrusion Detection System," University of New Mexico, Aug. 15, 1990, 21 pgs. cited by applicant

Kephart et al., "Fighting Computer Viruses," Scientific American (vol. 277, No. 5) Nov. 1997, pp. 88-93. cited by applicant

Wang, L., Chapter 5: Cooperative Security in D2D Communications, "Physical Layer Security in Wireless Cooperative Networks," 41 pgs. first online on Sep. 1, 2017 at [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-61863-0\\_5](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-61863-0_5). cited by applicant

Lee et al., "A Data Mining Framework for Building Intrusion Detection Models," Columbia University, n.d. 13 pgs. cited by applicant

Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 2004, 5 pgs. cited by applicant

Microsoft Computer Dictionary, (5th Ed.), Microsoft Press, 2002, 8 pgs. cited by applicant

Microsoft Computer Dictionary, (4th Ed.), Microsoft Press, 1999, 5 pgs. cited by applicant

Mika et al., "Metadata Statistics for a Large Web Corpus," LDOW2012, Apr. 16, 2012, 6 pgs. cited by applicant

Oxford Dictionary of Computing (6th Ed.), 2008, 5 pgs. cited by applicant

Paxson, Vern, "Bro: a System for Detecting Network Intruders in Real-Time," Proceedings of the 7th USENIX Security Symposium, Jan. 1998, 22 pgs. cited by applicant

Fortinet Inc., U.S. Appl. No. 62/503,252, "Building a Cooperative Security Fabric of Hierarchically Interconnected Network Security Devices." n.d., 87 pgs. cited by applicant

Song et al., "Practical techniques for searches on encrypted data," In Proceeding 2000 IEEE symposium on security and privacy. S&P 2000, May 2000, pp. 44-55. cited by applicant

Dean, Tamara, Guide to Telecommunications Technology, Course Technology, 2003, 5 pgs. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 60/520,577, "Device, System, and Method for Defending a Computer Network," Nov. 17, 2003, 21 pgs. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 60/552,457, "Fortinet Security Update Technology," Mar. 2004, 6 pgs. cited by applicant

Tittel, Ed, Unified Threat Management For Dummies, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2012, 76 pgs. cited by applicant

Fortinet, FortiOS Handbook: UTM Guide (Version 2), Oct. 15, 2010, 188 pgs. cited by applicant

Full Definition of Security, Wayback Machine Archive of Merriam-Webster on Nov. 17, 2016, 1 pg. cited by applicant

Definition of Cooperative, Wayback Machine Archive of Merriam-Webster on Nov. 26, 2016, 1 pg. cited by applicant

Pfaffenberger, Bryan, Webster's New World Computer Dictionary, (10th Ed.), 2003, 5 pgs. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/696,785—Non-Final Office Action, dated Oct. 1, 2024, 16 pages. cited by applicant

## Background/Summary

PRIORITY APPLICATION (1) This application is a continuation of co-pending U.S. Nonprovisional patent application Ser. No. 17/237,748, filed Apr. 22, 2021, entitled “Synthetic Request Injection for Cloud Policy Enforcement”. The priority application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. RELATED APPLICATIONS (2) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/237,964, filed Apr. 22, 2021, titled “SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION TO GENERATE METADATA FOR CLOUD POLICY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. (3) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/238,545, filed Apr. 23, 2021, titled “SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION TO GENERATE METADATA AT POINTS OF PRESENCE FOR CLOUD SECURITY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. (4) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/238,563, filed Apr. 23, 2021, titled “DATA FLOW LOGIC FOR SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION FOR CLOUD SECURITY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. (5) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/238,579, filed Apr. 23, 2021, titled “SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION TO RETRIEVE OBJECT METADATA FOR CLOUD POLICY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. (6) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/237,877, filed Apr. 22, 2021, titled “SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION TO RETRIEVE EXPIRED METADATA FOR CLOUD POLICY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. (7) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/237,863, filed Apr. 22, 2021, titled “SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION TO IMPROVE OBJECT SECURITY POSTURE FOR CLOUD SECURITY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. (8) This application is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/237,783, filed Apr. 22, 2021, titled “SYNTHETIC REQUEST INJECTION TO DISAMBIGUATE BYPASSED LOGIN EVENTS FOR CLOUD POLICY ENFORCEMENT”. The related application is hereby incorporated by reference for all purposes. INCORPORATIONS (9) The following are incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein: U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/198,499, filed Mar. 5, 2014, titled “SECURITY FOR NETWORK DELIVERED SERVICES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/198,508, filed Mar. 5, 2014, titled “SECURITY FOR NETWORK DELIVERED SERVICES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/835,640, filed Aug. 25, 2015, titled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF MONITORING AND CONTROLLING ENTERPRISE INFORMATION STORED ON A CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICE”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/835,632, filed Aug. 25, 2015, titled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF PER-DOCUMENT ENCRYPTION OF ENTERPRISE INFORMATION STORED ON A CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICE”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/368,240, filed Dec. 2, 2016, titled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF ENFORCING MULTI-PART POLICIES ON DATA-DEFICIENT TRANSACTIONS OF CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/368,246, filed Dec. 2, 2016, titled “MIDDLEWARE SECURITY LAYER FOR CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/256,483, filed Sep. 2, 2016, titled “MACHINE LEARNING BASED ANOMALY DETECTION”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/628,547, filed Jun. 20, 2017, titled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF DETECTING AND RESPONDING TO A DATA ATTACK ON A FILE SYSTEM”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/628,551, filed Jun. 20, 2017, titled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF DETECTING AND RESPONDING TO MALWARE ON A FILE SYSTEM”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/795,957, filed Oct. 27, 2017, titled “NON-INTRUSIVE SECURITY ENFORCEMENT FOR FEDERATED SINGLE SIGN-ON”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/958,672, filed Apr. 20, 2018, titled “REDUCING LATENCY IN SECURITY ENFORCEMENT BY A NETWORK SECURITY SYSTEM”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/958,637, filed Apr. 20, 2018, titled “REDUCING ERROR IN SECURITY ENFORCEMENT BY A NETWORK SECURITY SYSTEM”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/044,326, filed Jul. 24, 2018, titled “COMPACT LOGGING OF NETWORK TRAFFIC EVENTS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/016,430, filed Jun. 22, 2018, titled “AGGREGATE NETWORK TRAFFIC MONITORING”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/986,732, filed May 22, 2018, titled “DATA LOSS PREVENTION USING CATEGORY-DIRECTED PARSERS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/911,034, filed Mar. 2, 2018, titled “SIMULATION AND VISUALIZATION OF MALWARE SPREAD IN A CLOUD-BASED

COLLABORATION ENVIRONMENT”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/556,168, filed Aug. 29, 2019, titled “METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR SECURING AND RETRIEVING SENSITIVE DATA USING INDEXABLE DATABASES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/118,278, filed Aug. 30, 2018, titled “ENRICHING DOCUMENT METADATA WITH CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/408,215, filed May 9, 2019, titled “SMALL-FOOTPRINT ENDPOINT DATA LOSS PREVENTION”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/257,027, filed Jan. 24, 2019, titled “INCIDENT-DRIVEN INTROSPECTION FOR DATA LOSS PREVENTION”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/226,394, filed Dec. 19, 2018, titled “MULTI-LABEL CLASSIFICATION OF TEXT DOCUMENTS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/361,023, filed Mar. 21, 2019, titled “SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ALERT PRIORITIZATION USING SECURITY EVENTS GRAPH”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/361,039, filed Mar. 21, 2019, titled “SYSTEM AND METHODS TO SHOW DETAILED STRUCTURE IN A SECURITY EVENTS GRAPH”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/807,128, filed Mar. 2, 2020, titled “LOAD BALANCING IN A DYNAMIC SCALABLE SERVICES MESH”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/807,132, filed Mar. 2, 2020, titled “RECOVERY FROM FAILURE IN A DYNAMIC SCALABLE SERVICES MESH,” U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/157,947, filed Jan. 25, 2021, titled “METADATA-BASED DETECTION AND PREVENTION OF PHISHING ATTACKS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/411,039, filed May 13, 2019, titled “METADATA-BASED DATA LOSS PREVENTION (DLP) FOR CLOUD RESOURCES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/556,183, filed Aug. 29, 2019, titled “EFFICIENT SCANNING FOR THREAT DEFLECTION USING IN-DOC MARKERS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/891,647, filed Jun. 3, 2020, titled “DETECTING IMAGE-BORNE IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENTS FOR PROTECTING SENSITIVE INFORMATION”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/891,678, filed Jun. 3, 2020, titled “DETECTING SCREENSHOT IMAGES FOR PROTECTING AGAINST LOSS OF SENSITIVE SCREENSHOT-BORNE DATA”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/891,698, filed Jun. 3, 2020, titled “DETECTING ORGANIZATION IMAGE-BORNE SENSITIVE DOCUMENTS AND PROTECTING AGAINST LOSS OF THE SENSITIVE DOCUMENTS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/163,408, filed Jan. 30, 2021, titled “UNIFIED POLICY ENFORCEMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CLOUD”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/163,285, filed Jan. 29, 2021, titled “DYNAMIC POWER USER IDENTIFICATION AND ISOLATION FOR MANAGING SLA GUARANTEES”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/154,978, filed Jan. 21, 2021, titled “PREVENTING PHISHING ATTACKS VIA DOCUMENT SHARING”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/184,478, filed Feb. 24, 2021, titled “SIGNATURELESS DETECTION OF MALICIOUS MS OFFICE DOCUMENTS CONTAINING ADVANCED THREATS IN MACROS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/184,502, filed Feb. 24, 2021, titled “SIGNATURELESS DETECTION OF MALICIOUS MS OFFICE DOCUMENTS CONTAINING EMBEDDED OLE OBJECTS”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/163,411, filed Jan. 30, 2021, titled “DYNAMIC DISTRIBUTION OF UNIFIED SECURITY POLICIES IN A CLOUD-BASED SECURITY SYSTEM”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/163,415, filed Jan. 30, 2021, titled “DYNAMIC ROUTING OF ACCESS REQUEST STREAMS IN A UNIFIED POLICY ENFORCEMENT SYSTEM”; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/163,416, filed Jan. 30, 2021, titled “UNIFIED SYSTEM FOR DETECTING POLICY ENFORCEMENT ISSUES IN A CLOUD-BASED ENVIRONMENT”.

## FIELD OF THE TECHNOLOGY DISCLOSED

(1) The technology disclosed relates to configuring a network intermediary or security middleware (e.g., CASBs, SWGs, firewalls) with a network request-response mechanism and methods to achieve a target network security objective. The request-response mechanism and methods can be implemented in various network protocols for inter-process communications like FTP, FTPS, GOPHER, HTTP, HTTPS, IMAP, IMAPS, LDAP, LDAPS, POP3, POP3S, RTMP, RTMPS, RTSP, SCP, SFTP, SMTP, SMTPS, SPDY, and TFTP.

## BACKGROUND

(2) The subject matter discussed in this section should not be assumed to be prior art merely as a result of its mention in this section. Similarly, a problem mentioned in this section or associated with the subject matter provided as background should not be assumed to have been previously recognized in the prior art. The subject matter in this section merely represents different approaches, which in and of themselves can also correspond to implementations of the claimed technology.

(3) Data loss prevention, often shortened to DLP, is a set of processes and tools used to ensure that sensitive data is not lost, misused, or accessed by unauthorized persons. The term sensitive data often refers to personal data, which is defined by the European Union as any information relating to an identified or identifiable

individual. However, sensitive data can also refer to any data of which the compromise with respect to confidentiality, integrity, or availability could have an adverse material effect on the interests of involved parties.

(4) Data loss is a real problem faced by business, gaining importance with the increasing proliferation of information systems. The need of businesses to protect their sensitive data stems not only from financial factors, but also from the need to comply with laws and regulations of their respective countries and moral obligations. For example, Treaty No. 108 of the Council of Europe strictly mandates that appropriate security measures are taken for the protection of personal data stored in automated data files against accidental or unauthorized destruction or accidental loss as well as against unauthorized access, alteration, or dissemination. The failure to comply with such regulations can be punished by harsh penalties. For example, penalties stated in the General Data Protection Regulation (also known as GDPR) have recently garnered wide attention and have become a major concern for many companies.

(5) Public cloud-based applications, especially software as a service, are a special case with regard to data loss prevention. These applications deviate from the older on-premises DLP paradigm by storing and manipulating sensitive data outside the total control of the organization. The systems are hosted outside the premises of the companies and are managed by the cloud provider with limited options for configuration, management, and extensibility by the customer. This severely hinders the capabilities of traditional DLP systems focusing on on-premises infrastructure, as data is heavily manipulated remotely through web applications, is not always stored on endpoints and network shares that can be searched by traditional crawlers and is expected to be allowed to breach the on-premises barrier when communicating with the cloud.

(6) A class of security solutions that focus on protecting data manipulated in cloud-based applications are cloud access security brokers, commonly shortened to CASBs. CASBs provide multiple types of security policy enforcement. These include authenticated access, single sign-on, authorization, credential mapping, DLP, IP restriction, device restriction, device profiling, geographical restriction, time zone restriction, encryption, tokenization, logging, altering, and malware detection and prevention.

(7) CASBs are specialized security solutions developed by third parties to address security gaps in organizations' usage of cloud services. They provide protection concurrently across multiple cloud services and offer visibility into user activities and their granular control. The primary focus is on back-office and productivity applications delivered in the software as a service model. They operate inline between the client and the cloud server, intercepting traffic as a forward or reverse proxy. They can also function in an out-of-band fashion, by interfacing with the cloud service with an application programming interface (API) to perform their operations directly in the cloud environment.

(8) There are two main types of architectural approaches used in proxy-based CASB systems. In the forward proxy model, the solution operates by leveraging a network gateway that intercepts all communication to the cloud services. Some solutions may even complement this by deploying endpoint agents or by other methods. This method can be fairly intrusive with regard to the infrastructure, as it requires all traffic from clients to the cloud servers to be forcibly routed through the gateway. An advantage of this approach is having more visibility into the usage of unsanctioned cloud services, as all traffic to them passes through the broker, and enforcement of real-time policy actions. The main disadvantage lies in the difficulty of addressing unmanaged devices and bring your own device (BYOD) scenarios.

(9) In the reverse proxy model, the network nodes hidden behind the proxy are not the clients, but the cloud servers instead. The broker can be deployed either on-premises or cloud-based, using the software as a service model. This can be achieved by redirecting the authentication mechanism of the cloud service to the CASB by which it inserts itself between the endpoint users and the cloud service. The users can then use the cloud services in the same fashion as before but with rewritten uniform resource locators (URLs). An advantage of this model is easy support for the BYOD scenarios, as there are little to no configuration changes needed on endpoint devices. With regard to data loss prevention, systems that employ these inline protection methods are mainly focused on data in motion. The methods by which they protect sensitive data are very similar to those offered by on-premises DLP systems. They can utilize most of the previously described methods for data classification, as well as handle leakage incidents by blocking, quarantining, encryption, or tokenization before the data is passed to the cloud service.

(10) A significantly different model to the proxy-based approach is used by API-based CASB systems. These solutions provide their functionality by interfacing with API exposed by the cloud service providers themselves, rather than intercepting traffic as a middleman. The point of difference in data loss prevention capabilities, compared to the proxy-based systems, is that these systems are better suited for protection of data

at rest. They are capable of accessing the cloud file storage by themselves by leveraging the API to download and inspect the files for data classification. The data can then be protected by CASB-native methods or by leveraging native capabilities of the cloud services themselves to protect the data with access controls or encryption, for example with Salesforce Shield or Azure Information Protection. Systems utilizing this model can also increase their auditing capabilities by consuming audit and user activity logs collected by the services themselves. The logs from different services can then be consolidated into a single stream. As with other features derived from this approach, this assumes the cloud service providers expose an API for log consumption.

(11) The assignee, Netskope, Inc., offers CASB solutions that use metadata for context-based policy enforcement. However, accessing metadata is a non-trivial challenge because cloud service providers require these CASB solutions to intermediate within an exacting sequence of traffic inspection. When the metadata is not available in a transaction stream, these CASB solutions have limited ability to garner the missing metadata and therefore unable to enforce appropriate policies.

(12) An opportunity arises to make these CASB solutions self-sufficient to independently retrieve the missing metadata while adhering to the demanding intermediation protocols of the cloud service providers. Improved security posture and reduced risk of data loss, exposure, and exfiltration across multi-cloud, web, and email environment may result.

---

## Description

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

(1) In the drawings, like reference characters generally refer to like parts throughout the different views. Also, the drawings are not necessarily to scale, with an emphasis instead generally being placed upon illustrating the principles of the technology disclosed. In the following description, various implementations of the technology disclosed are described with reference to the following drawings, in which.

(2) FIG. 1 shows one implementation of a network security system issuing a synthetic request during an application session of a cloud application to retrieve metadata that is otherwise missing from the application session.

(3) FIG. 2 depicts a synthetic listener mode of injecting a synthetic request in an application session of a cloud application and extracting metadata from a corresponding synthetic response in accordance with one implementation of the technology disclosed.

(4) FIG. 3 shows one implementation of a processing path that generates synthetic requests using application-specific parsers and synthetic templates.

(5) FIG. 4 shows one implementation of a processing flow that generates an example synthetic request.

(6) FIG. 5 shows one implementation of using bi-directional synthetic requests for native apps.

(7) FIG. 6 shows one example of a 401 App-Expected Response issued as a synthetic request to clients running native apps.

(8) FIG. 7 shows one example of a people token request issued as a synthetic request to cloud applications.

(9) FIG. 8 shows another example of a synthetic request.

(10) FIG. 9 shows one implementation of a side car service that is shared by multiple instances of a network security system to generate synthetic requests.

(11) FIG. 10 shows an example secure access service edge (SASE) network with a plurality of geographically distributed points of presence.

(12) FIG. 11 shows one implementation of use of synthetic requests in a proxy handoff situation.

(13) FIG. 12 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to retrieve object metadata from cloud applications.

(14) FIG. 13 shows one implementation of a succeeding synthetic request being issued to a client to convey object metadata, generated by a preceding synthetic request.

(15) FIG. 14 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to retrieve objects from cloud applications.

(16) FIG. 15 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to retrieve a fresh version of expired metadata.

(17) FIG. 16 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to modify security postures of objects residing in cloud applications.

(18) FIG. 17 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to disambiguate a login event that bypassed a network security system.

(19) FIG. **18** shows one implementation of issuing multiple synthetic requests during an application session. (20) FIG. **19** shows one implementation of issuing a synthetic request to synthetically harvest/generate/garner metadata for policy enforcement on yet-to-be received future incoming requests.

(21) FIG. **20** shows an example computer system that can be used to implement the technology disclosed.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

(22) The following discussion is presented to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the technology disclosed and is provided in the context of a particular application and its requirements. Various modifications to the disclosed implementations will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the general principles defined herein may be applied to other implementations and applications without departing from the spirit and scope of the technology disclosed. Thus, the technology disclosed is not intended to be limited to the implementations shown but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features disclosed herein.

(23) The detailed description of various implementations will be better understood when read in conjunction with the appended drawings. To the extent that the figures illustrate diagrams of the functional blocks of the various implementations, the functional blocks are not necessarily indicative of the division between hardware circuitry. Thus, for example, one or more of the functional blocks (e.g., modules, processors, or memories) may be implemented in a single piece of hardware (e.g., a general purpose signal processor or a block of random access memory, hard disk, or the like) or multiple pieces of hardware. Similarly, the programs may be stand-alone programs, may be incorporated as subroutines in an operating system, may be functions in an installed software package, and the like. It should be understood that the various implementations are not limited to the arrangements and instrumentality shown in the drawings.

(24) The processing engines and databases of the figures, designated as modules, can be implemented in hardware or software, and need not be divided up in precisely the same blocks as shown in the figures. Some of the modules can also be implemented on different processors, computers, or servers, or spread among a number of different processors, computers, or servers. In addition, it will be appreciated that some of the modules can be combined, operated in parallel or in a different sequence than that shown in the figures without affecting the functions achieved. The modules in the figures can also be thought of as flowchart steps in a method. A module also need not necessarily have all its code disposed contiguously in memory; some parts of the code can be separated from other parts of the code with code from other modules or other functions disposed in between.

#### (25) Cloud Applications

(26) Cloud applications **108** are network services that can be web-based (e.g., accessed via a uniform resource locator (URL)) or native, such as sync clients. The cloud applications **108** can be cloud storage applications, cloud computing applications, hosted services, news websites, blogs, video streaming websites, social media websites, collaboration and messaging platforms, and customer relationship management (CRM) platforms. The cloud applications **108** can be provided as software-as-a-service (SaaS) offerings, platform-as-a-service (PaaS) offerings, and infrastructure-as-a-service (IaaS) offerings, as well as internal enterprise applications that are exposed via URLs.

(27) Examples of common cloud applications today include Box™, Dropbox™, Google Drive™, Amazon AWS™, Google Cloud Platform (GCP)™, Microsoft Azure™, Microsoft Office 365™, Google Workspace™, Workday™, Oracle on Demand™, Taleo™, Jive™, Concur™, YouTube™, Facebook™, Twitter™, Google™, LinkedIn™, Wikipedia™, Yahoo™, Baidu™, Amazon™, MSN™, Pinterest™, Taobao™, Instagram™, Tumblr™, eBay™, Hotmail™, Reddit™, IMDb™, Netflix™, PayPal™, Imgur™, Snapchat™, Yammer™, Skype™, Slack™, HipChat™, Confluence™, TeamDrive™, Taskworld™, Chatter™, Zoho™, ProsperWorks™, Gmail™, and Salesforce.com™.

(28) The cloud applications **108** provide functionality to users that is implemented in the cloud and that is the target of policies, e.g., logging in, editing documents, downloading bulk data, reading customer contact information, entering payables, deleting documents, in addition to the offerings of a simple website and ecommerce sites. Note that some consumer facing websites, e.g., Facebook™ and Twitter™, which offer social networks, are the type of cloud applications considered here. Some cloud applications, e.g., Gmail™, can be a hybrid with some free users using the application generally while other corporations use it as an enterprise subscription. Note that a cloud application can be supported by both web browser clients and application clients that use URL-based APIs (application programming interfaces). Thus, using Dropbox™ as an example, user activity on the Dropbox™ website, as well as activity of the Dropbox™ client on the computer could be monitored.

(29) The cloud applications **108** often publish their APIs to allow a third party to communicate with them and utilize their underlying data. An API refers to a packaged collection of code libraries, routines, protocols methods, and fields that belong to a set of classes, including its interface types. The API defines the way that developers and programmers can use the classes for their own software development, just by importing the relevant classes and writing statements that instantiate the classes and call their methods and fields. An API is a source code-based application intended to be used as an interface by software components to communicate with each other. An API can include applications for routines, data structures, object classes, and variables. Basically, an API provides an interface for developers and programmers to access the underlying data, platform capabilities, and features of web services. Implementations of the technology disclosed use different types of APIs, non-exclusive examples of which include remote invocation of services to return data, web service APIs such as HTTP or HTTPs based APIs like SOAP, WSDL, Bulk, XML-RPC and JSON-RPC and REST APIs (e.g., Flickr™, Google Static Maps™, Google Geolocation™), web socket APIs, library-based APIs like JavaScript and TWAIN (e.g., Google Maps™ JavaScript API, Dropbox™ JavaScript Data store API, Twilio™ APIs, Oracle Call Interface (OCI)), class-based APIs like Java API and Android API (e.g., Google Maps™ Android API, MSDN Class Library for .NET Framework, Twilio™ APIs for Java and C#), OS functions and routines like access to file system and access to user interface, object remoting APIs like CORBA and .NET Remoting, and hardware APIs like video acceleration, hard disk drives, and PCI buses. Other examples of APIs used by the technology disclosed include Amazon EC2 API™, Box Content API™, Box Events API™, Microsoft Graph™, Dropbox API™, Dropbox API v2™, Dropbox Core API™, Dropbox Core API v2™, Facebook Graph API™, Foursquare API™, Geonames API™, Force.com API™, Force.com Metadata API™, Apex API™, Visualforce API™, Force.com Enterprise WSDL™, Salesforce.com Streaming API™, Salesforce.com Tooling API™, Google Drive API™, Drive REST API™, AccuWeather API™, and aggregated-single API like CloudRail™ API.

#### (30) Network Security System

(31) A network security system (NSS) **104**, also referred to herein as a policy enforcement system, intermediates network traffic that pass between clients **102** and the cloud applications **108**. The network security system **104** consolidates multiple types of security enforcements. Examples of the security enforcements include authentication, federated single sign-on (SSO), authorization, credential mapping, device profiling, encryption, tokenization, data leakage prevention (DLP), logging, alerting, and malware detection and prevention.

(32) Examples of the clients **102** include browsers, web apps, native apps, and hybrid apps. Examples of the network security system **104** include cloud access security brokers (CASBs), secure web gateways (SWGs), network firewalls, application firewalls, routing systems, load balancing systems, filtering systems, data planes, management planes, data loss prevention (DLP) systems, intrusion prevention systems (IPSs), zero trust network access (ZTNA), and secure access service edge (SASE). The network security system **104** can also be a network security stack that includes different security systems like the CASBs, the SWGs, the network firewalls, the application firewalls, the routing systems, the load balancing systems, the filtering systems, the data planes, the management planes, the DLP systems, and the IP systems. The network security system **104** can be implemented on-premises or can be cloud-based. Also, multiple geographically distributed points of presence of the network security system **104** can be implemented in a secure access service edge (SASE) network.

(33) Employees now rely on the cloud applications **108** to perform business-critical functions and routinely upload sensitive and regulated data to the web. The network security system **104** intercepts network traffic in real-time to prevent loss of sensitive data by inspecting data en route to or from the cloud applications **108** and data resident in the cloud applications **108**. The network security system **104** analyzes application layer traffic using APIs to deeply inspect cloud application transactions in real-time. The network security system **104** uses a combination of deep application programming interface inspection (DAPII), deep packet inspection (DPI), and log inspection to monitor user activity and perform data loss prevention (DLP). The network security system **104** uses DAPII to detect web transactions in real-time, including calls made to the cloud applications **108**. The cloud transactions are decomposed to identify the activity being performed and its associated parameters. In one implementation, the cloud transactions are represented as JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) objects, which identify a structure and format that allows the network security system **104** to both interpret what actions a user is performing in the web service as it is happening. So, for example, the network security system **104** can detect for an organization that “Joe from Investment Banking, currently in Japan, shared his M&A directory with an investor at a hedge fund at 10 PM.” The network security system **104**

achieves DLP by subjecting data packets to content inspection techniques like language-aware data identifier inspection, document fingerprinting, file type detection, keyword search, pattern matching, proximity search, regular expression lookup, exact data matching, metadata extraction, and language-agnostic double-byte character inspection. The network security system **104** inspects data that is encoded in network packets and/or higher order encodings of the network packets such as secure sockets layer (SSL) and/or transport layer security (TLS) handshakes and Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) transactions.

(34) In some implementations, the network security system **104** can run on server-side as a cloud resource. In other implementations, the network security system **104** can run on client-side as an endpoint agent. The network security system **104** is also referred to herein as a “proxy.”

(35) For additional information about the network security system **104**, reference can be made to, for example, commonly owned U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 14/198,499; 14/198,508; 14/835,640; 14/835,632; and 62/307,305; Cheng, Ithal, Narayanaswamy, and Malmskog. Cloud Security For Dummies, Netskope Special Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2015; “Netskope Introspection” by Netskope, Inc.; “Data Loss Prevention and Monitoring in the Cloud” by Netskope, Inc.; “Cloud Data Loss Prevention Reference Architecture” by Netskope, Inc.; “The 5 Steps to Cloud Confidence” by Netskope, Inc.; “The Netskope Active Platform” by Netskope, Inc.; “The Netskope Advantage: Three “Must-Have” Requirements for Cloud Access Security Brokers” by Netskope, Inc.; “The 15 Critical CASB Use Cases” by Netskope, Inc.; “Netskope Active Cloud DLP” by Netskope, Inc.; “Repave the Cloud-Data Breach Collision Course” by Netskope, Inc.; and “Netskope Cloud Confidence Index™” by Netskope, Inc., which are incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(36) Application Session

(37) An “application session” refers to a series of related client requests that emanate from a same client during a certain time period (e.g., fifteen minutes) and are directed towards a same cloud application. A sequence of events occurs in the context of an application session. The main events of note are: (a) login—provide user credentials to a cloud application to authenticate the user; (b) application transactions—execute a set of application level transactions, e.g., upload documents, download documents, add leads, define new campaigns, etc.; and (c) log-out—this event terminates the application session with an application server of the cloud application. In this context, the application session connects these interactions for the network security system **104**. Deep packet inspection logic of the network security system **104** can identify these events and link policy evaluations to each transaction boundary enabling actions to be taken. Most commonly, the application session is identified by a session cookie in the HTTP header and assigned an application session identifier. The network security system **104** can use the session cookie or a URL parameter to define the application session.

(38) In contrast, a “connection” refers to a high-level non-network construct (e.g., not a TCP/IP connection) but rather a series of multiple related networks requests and responses. Thus, a series of requests and responses over the course of a day could be a single connection within an application, e.g., all use of Salesforce.com within a period without logging off. One definition of a connection is to look at the application session identifier, e.g., cookie or URL parameter, used by the cloud application so that each connection corresponds to a single session identifier. A connection can include a sequence of application sessions within the boundary of a login event and a log-out event, such that application sessions in the sequence of application sessions are partitioned by time-out events.

(39) Synthetic Request

(40) A “synthetic request” is a request generated by the network security system **104** during an application session and independent of client requests generated by a client during the application session. The synthetic requests can be generated by the network security system **104**, for example, on an ad hoc basis. That is, the synthetic requests can be dynamically constructed and issued “on-the-fly” to get information when need arises. The synthetic requests may also be referred to as “synthetic URL requests.”

(41) Web transactions are typically accompanied with access tokens (e.g., embedded as request parameters or cookies). By extracting an access token for a given transaction, the network security system **104** can synthetically issue new requests (or transactions) to the cloud applications **108**. The synthetic requests can be, for example, API calls that explicitly request the metadata-of-interest. Alternatively, the synthetic requests can trigger page requests that contain the metadata-of-interest. The synthetic requests can be configured to retrieve the metadata-of-interest from the cloud applications **108** or from another independent metadata store.

(42) Client requests, referred to herein as “incoming requests,” emanate from the clients **102** and directed towards the cloud applications **108** but are intercepted by the network security system **104** for policy



enforcement. The synthetic requests are issued by the network security system **104**, directed towards the cloud applications **108**, and not subjected to policy enforcement by the network security system **104**.

(43) The synthetic requests are issued by the network security system **104** as network transactions of communications protocols (e.g., FTP, FTPS, GOPHER, HTTP, HTTPS, IMAP, IMAPS, LDAP, LDAPS, POP3, POP3S, RTMP, RTMPS, RTSP, SCP, SFTP, SMTP, SMTPS, SPDY and TFTP) that, for example, HTTP/HTTPS transactions specify a unified resource identifier (URI) or URL of a resource on the cloud applications **108**. The synthetic requests can include different configurations of a request line, request header fields and values therefor, and request methods defined by the applicable communications protocols to indicate the desired action to be performed for a given resource.

(44) Examples of request headers such as HTTP and HTTPS headers and header fields that can be used by the network security system **104** to construct the synthetic requests include cache-control, connection, content-encoding, content-length, content-type, date, pragma, trailer, transfer-encoding, upgrade, via, warning, accept, accept-charset, accept-encoding, accept-language, authorization, cookie, expect, from, host, if-match, if-modified-since, if-none-match, if-range, if-unmodified-since, max-forwards, proxy-authorization, range, referer, TE, and user-agent. Additional examples and information about the request header fields can be found, e.g., at List of HTTP header fields, [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List\\_of\\_HTTP\\_headerfields&oldid=1012071227](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_HTTP_headerfields&oldid=1012071227) (last visited Mar. 16, 2021), which is incorporated by

reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(45) One example of request methods, HTTP and HTTPS request methods that can be used by the network security system **104** to transmit the synthetic requests include GET, HEAD, POST, PUT, DELETE, CONNECT, OPTIONS, TRACE, and PATCH. Additional information about the HTTP/HTTPS request methods can be found at Hypertext Transfer Protocol, [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hypertext\\_Transfer\\_Protocol&oldid=1012415417](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hypertext_Transfer_Protocol&oldid=1012415417) (last visited Mar. 16, 2021), which is incorporated by

reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(46) The intended purpose of the synthetic requests can vary from use case-to-use case. However, opposite to the malicious intent of out-of-band requests triggered by middlemen who hijack application sessions, the synthetic requests are configured to enforce security policies, and thereby thwart data exfiltration and malicious attacks. For example, the synthetic requests can be configured to cause the cloud applications **108** to provide metadata to the network security system **104**. In another example, the synthetic requests can be configured to update a security posture of resources (e.g., files) stored at the cloud applications **108**. More examples follow.

(47) In the context of this application, injecting a synthetic request in an application session refers to the network security system **104** generating the synthetic request during an already established application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud applications **108** within the context of the already established application session. The synthetic request injection can also include receiving a synthetic response to the synthetic request within the already established application session. Within an application session, multiple synthetic requests can be injected, in parallel or in sequence. The notion of synthetic request injection analogously applies to connections, such that synthetic requests can be injected in an already established connection across multiple application sessions.

(48) In some implementations, a synthetic request is constructed using fields, variables, events, and parameters that are part of the original client request (or incoming request). Examples of such fields, variables, events, and parameters include cookie data, fixed headers, custom headers, and other request header fields of the original client request.

#### (49) Synthetic Response

(50) A “synthetic response” is an answer that satisfies a corresponding synthetic request issued by the network security system **104**. In preferred implementations, a synthetic request is sent by the network security system **104** to the cloud applications **108**, and therefore the corresponding synthetic response is transmitted by the cloud applications **108** and received by the network security system **104**. Unlike typical server responses, synthetic responses are not subjected to policy enforcement by the network security system **104**. The synthetic responses are generated by the cloud applications **108** and received by the network security system **104** independently of the server responses that answer the client requests. Since the synthetic requests are network requests generated by the network security system **104** over a network protocol (e.g., HTTP, HTTPS), the synthetic responses can also be constructed in the like network protocols. Like a typical server response, a synthetic response can include different configurations of a status line, response header fields and values thereof, and content body.

(51) Examples of response header fields such as HTTP/HTTPS response header fields that can be found in the synthetic responses include cache-control, connection, accept-ranges, age, ETag, location, proxy-authenticate, retry-after, server, set-cookie, vary, WWW-authenticate, allow, content-deposition, content-encoding, content-language, content-length, content-location, content-MD5, content-range, content-type, expires, IM, link, pragma, preference-applied, public-key-pins, trailer, transfer-encoding, Tk, strict-transport-security, upgrade, X-frame-options, via, warning, and last-modified. Additional examples and information about the response header fields can be found at, e.g., List of HTTP header fields, [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List\\_of\\_HTTP\\_header\\_fields&oldid=1012071227](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_HTTP_header_fields&oldid=1012071227) (last visited Mar. 16, 2021), which is incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(52) Examples of Applicable Communication Protocols

(53) The disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism can be implemented using a variety of communication protocols. Communications protocols define the basic patterns of dialogue over computer network in proper descriptions of digital and/or analog message formats as well as rules. The Synthetic Request and Synthetic Response can be implemented in the communication protocols capable of constructing request-response messaging patterns, for example, the HTTP and HTTPS protocols. The HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol), HTTPS (HTTP secure) and subsequent revisions such as HTTP/2 and HTTP/3 are the common communication protocols which function as a request-response protocol in the client-server computing model.

(54) Protocols alternative to the HTTP and the variants include the GOPHER protocol which was an earlier content delivery protocol but was displaced by HTTP in 1990s. Another HTTP alternative is the SPDY protocol which was developed by Google and superseded by HTTP/2. Other communication protocols which may support applications incorporating the use of the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism include but not be limited to, e.g., FTP, FTPS, IMAP, IMAPS, LDAP, LDAPS, POP3, POP3S, RTMP, RTMPS, RTSP, SCP, SFTP, SMTP, SMTPS, and TFTP.

(55) The communication protocols used to exchange files between computers on the Internet or a private network and implementable by the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism include the FTP (File Transfer Protocol), FTPS (File Transfer Protocol Secure) and SFTP (SSH File Transfer Protocol). FTPS is also known as FTP-SSL. FTP Secure is an extension to the commonly used FTP that adds support for the TLS (Transport Layer Security), and formerly the SSL (Secure Socket Layer). The SSH File Transfer Protocol (i.e., SFTP, also Secure File Transfer Protocol) is an extension of the secure shell (SSH) protocol that provides secure file transfer capabilities and is implementable by the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism.

(56) Another file transfer protocol, secure copy protocol (SCP) is a means of securely transferring electronic files between a local host and a remote host or between remote hosts and is implementable by the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism. A client can send (upload) file to a server, optionally including their basic attributes (e.g., permissions, timestamps). A client can also request files or directories from a server (download). Like SFTP, SCP is also based on the Secure Shell (SSH) protocol that the application server has already authenticated the client and the identity of the client user is available to the protocol. SCP is however outdated and inflexible such that the more modern protocol like SFTP is recommended for file transfer and is implementable by the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism.

(57) The FTP and the like provide commands which, similar to the HTTP request methods, can be used by the network security system **104** to transmit the synthetic requests include ACCT, ADAT, AUTH, CSID, DELE, EPRT, HOST, OPTS, QUIT, REST, SITE, XSEM. Additional information about the FTP Commands can be found, e.g., at List of FTP commands, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_FTP\\_commands](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_FTP_commands) (last visited Mar. 24, 2021), which is incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(58) A simple and lightweight file transfer protocol, Trivial File Transfer Protocol (TFTP) allows clients to get a file from or put a file onto a remote host which is typically embedded device retrieving firmware, configuration, or a system image during a boot process for a tftp server. In TFTP, a transfer is initiated by issuing a client (tftp) which issues a request to read or write a file on the server. The client request can optionally include a set of parameters proposed by the client to negotiate the transfer. The tftp client supports some commands that vary by the platforms. A list of tftp commands similar to HTTP request methods such as CONNECT, GET, PUT, QUIT, TRACE can be found at [https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/ssw\\_aix\\_72/t\\_commands/tftp.html](https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/ssw_aix_72/t_commands/tftp.html), which is incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(59) The communication protocols used for retrieving email (i.e., electronic mail) messages from a mail server include the IMAP (Internet Message Access Protocol), IMAPS (secure IMAP over the TLS or former SSL to

cryptographically protect IMAP connections) as well as the earlier POP3 (Post Office Protocol) and the secure variant POP3S. In addition to IMAP and POP3 which are the prevalent standard protocols for retrieving messages, other email protocols implemented for proprietary servers include the SMTP (Simple Mail Transfer Protocol). Like HTTP and FTP protocols, email protocols such as IMAP, POP3 and SMTP are based on the client-server model over a reliable data stream channel, typically a TCP connection. An email retrieval session such as a SMTP session including 0 or more SMTP transactions consists of commands originated by a SMTP client and corresponding responses from the SMTP server, so that the session is opened, and parameters are exchanged.

(60) Like file transfer protocols, email protocols provide commands which, similar to the HTTP request methods, can be used by the network security system **104** to transmit the synthetic requests. Examples of the text-based commands include HELO, MAIL, RCPT, DATA, NOOP, RSET, SEND, VRFY and QUIT for SMTP protocol, and commonly used commands like USER, PASS, STAT, LIST RETR, DELE, RSET, TOP and QUIT for POP3 protocol. Additional information about email protocol commands can be found at Request for Comments (RFC Standard Track publications from the Internet Society, Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)), e.g., RFC 2821 <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2821> for SMTP Commands; RFC 3501 <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3501> for IMAP Commands; RFC 1939 <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1939> for POP3 (last visited Mar. 24, 2021), which are incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(61) Another communication protocol which may support synthetic request-response paradigm is the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) and its secure variant LDAPS (i.e., LDAP over SSL). This communication protocol is an open, vendor neutral, industry standard application protocol for accessing and maintaining distributed directory information services over Internet network. A client starts an LDAP session by connecting to a LDAP server over a TCP/IP connection. The client then sends an operation request to the server which in turn sends a response in return. Analogous to HTTP request methods and FTP commands, a LDAP client may request from server the following operations: Bind, Search, Compare, Add, Delete, Modify, Modify DN, Unbind, Abandon, and Extended. Additional information about the LDAP protocol can be found at [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lightweight\\_Directory\\_Access\\_Protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lightweight_Directory_Access_Protocol), which is incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(62) Real-Time Streaming Protocol (RTSP), Real-Time Messaging Protocol (RTMP) and its secure variant RTMPS (RTMP over TLS/SSL) are some proprietary protocols for real-time streaming audio, video and data over the Internet network that are implementable by the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism. For example, the RTSP protocol is used for establishing and controlling media sessions between two endpoints. Similar in some ways to HTTP, RTSP defines control sequences (referred as commands, requests or protocol directives) useful in controlling multimedia playback. Clients of media server issue RTMP requests, such as PLAY, RECORD and PAUSE to facilitate real-time control of streaming from a client to a server (Voice Recording), while some commands travel from a server to a client (Video on Demand). Some typical HTTP requests, e.g., the OPTIONS request, are also available in RTSP and are implementable by the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism. Additional information about the RTSP and its commands can be found at [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real\\_Time\\_Streaming\\_Protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real_Time_Streaming_Protocol); additional information about the RTMP/RTMPS can be found at [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real-Time\\_Messaging\\_Protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real-Time_Messaging_Protocol), which are incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(63) In other implementations, the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism can be implemented in real-time chat/instant messaging (IM) protocols like XMPP (Jabber), YMSG, Skype, and so on. Some applications like Slack start out as HTTP/S and then fall into a web socket mode where they treat the connection as a TCP connection and use a non-HTTP/S protocol for communication. The disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism can be implemented using such instant messaging protocols. Additional information about such instant messaging protocols can be found at Comparison of instant messaging protocols, [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Comparison\\_of\\_instant\\_messaging\\_protocols&oldid=1013553466](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Comparison_of_instant_messaging_protocols&oldid=1013553466) (last visited Apr. 8, 2021), which is incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(64) Overcoming Metadata Deficiency

(65) Portions of the specification may refer to “metadata-deficient transactions,” “metadata-deficient requests,” “metadata-deficient application sessions,” and “metadata-deficient connections.” Metadata deficiency in a transaction/request/application session/connection is characterized by the absence of target metadata required to make a policy determination, and thereby to enforce a policy. Consider, for example, a

policy that requires that only corporate user credentials be used to access the cloud applications **108** and not private user credentials. When metadata (e.g., request header fields) that typically specify user credentials are missing from the transaction/request/application session/connection, then there exists a metadata deficiency that can be overcome by the disclosed synthetic request injection.

(66) The metadata deficiency may result for different reasons under different circumstances. Typically, CASB and SWG proxies operate in a passive mode—monitoring network traffic that pass through the proxies to extract metadata and annotate client requests that are proxied (i.e., rerouted, or intercepted). For example, some cloud applications support multiple login instances, for example, a corporate instance to access Google Drive™ like “joeuser@companyxyz.com” and a private instance to access Google Drive™ like “joeuser@gmail.com.” In such cases, the proxies may want to annotate the client transactions, e.g., HTTP/HTTPS transactions, to Google Drive™ with a user instance ID used to initiate the client transactions. The proxies may be configured to persist the user instance ID for reporting purposes or to apply policies.

(67) In order to determine the user instance ID, the proxies need to process a login transaction that contains the user instance ID. If such a login transaction does not bypass the proxies and is intercepted, the proxies then need to persist the state of the login transaction, i.e., store the user instance ID extracted from the login transaction and build a mapping from the cookie and URL parameters set for that login with the instance information.

(68) Circumstances arise when metadata like the user instance ID is not accessible to the proxies. For example, the proxies may have missed the login transaction that establishes the metadata mapping. This happens, for example, when the clients **102** are already logged into the cloud applications **108** prior to rerouting of an application session to the proxies. As a result, the proxies do not capture the login transaction. Subsequent transactions, which follow the login transaction and are captured by the proxies, are not useful because they do not contain the required metadata. In another circumstance, some cloud applications, such as native mobile applications, the transaction that establishes the metadata mapping is sent once or very infrequently, and therefore sometimes missed by the proxies.

(69) The disclosed synthetic request injection enables the proxies to independently retrieve the otherwise missing metadata directly from the cloud applications **108** on an ad hoc basis. The proxies no longer need to be dependent on the metadata mapping transactions as the sole source of metadata. This makes the proxies self-sufficient and greatly expands their policy enforcement horizon.

(70) Reducing Redundant Metadata Synchronization in a SASE Network

(71) In a secure access service edge (SASE) network, the proxies are deployed as geographically distributed points of presence. This requires that metadata mappings be periodically distributed to each proxy in the SASE network. The metadata mapping synchronization cycles can become a significant computation burden and can take valuable compute resources away from the core security engines of the SASE network like DLP systems.

(72) The disclosed synthetic request injection makes the metadata mapping synchronization unnecessary because a proxy in the SASE network that needs the metadata mappings can issue the synthetic requests on an ad hoc basis and receive the metadata mapping directly from the cloud applications **108**, without having to rely on and coordinate with any other proxy in the SASE network. This way the compute resources of the proxies and the SASE network can be dedicated to the core security flows and not wasted on redundant checkpointing and data distribution.

(73) Reducing Metadata Storage Footprint and Building Reliable Metadata

(74) The huge volume of the metadata mappings also makes them a significant storage burden. Ad hoc generation of the metadata mappings using the disclosed synthetic request injection obviates the need to persist each and every metadata mapping, thereby reducing the storage burden of the proxies and the SASE network. Stale or expired metadata mappings can be refreshed by issuing ad hoc synthetic requests as well, thereby building more reliable and up-to-date metadata mappings.

(75) Actions

(76) Beyond obtaining metadata information, the disclosed synthetic request injection can also execute actions on the cloud applications **108**, for example, on an ad hoc basis. For example, the synthetic requests can be used by the proxies to perform actions against the cloud applications **108** using the original transaction's authority. In the case of inline CASBs, this can be used to implement real-time enforcement of actions without a prior authorization or a prior access grant, as required with out-of-band API CASBs. This also allows the inline CASBs to inject policy actions for unsanctioned applications for which the CASBs lack API connectors.

(77) The disclosed synthetic request injection can also execute actions on resources (e.g., objects) of the cloud applications **108**. For example, the synthetic requests can retrieve objects from the cloud applications **108**. The

synthetic requests can change security configuration of the objects on the cloud applications **108** and modify security posture of the objects. The synthetic requests can be used to modify the security posture of the objects, i.e., change the security configuration of the objects, either after uploading the objects to the cloud applications **108**, or after downloading the objects from the cloud applications **108**. For example, the synthetic requests can change the share settings of an object from “sharing allowed” to “sharing not allowed,” or from “sharing allowed externally” to “sharing allowed only internally.” The synthetic requests can move an object from one location to another location in the cloud applications **108**, or from one cloud application to another cloud application, for example, when there is an active session with another cloud application.

(78) The information generated/retrieved by the synthetic requests can be used to block transmission of the objects to and from the cloud applications **108**. The synthetic requests can encrypt the objects before or after the objects arrive at the cloud applications **108**. The synthetic requests can quarantine the objects before or after the objects arrive at the cloud applications **108**. The synthetic requests can extract metadata from another request or transaction, for example, to determine the activity being performed, to determine the user instance ID being used, and to determine the sensitivity tag of an object. The synthetic requests can also run inline DLP checks on the objects to determine their sensitivity in real-time, and responsively execute security actions like blocking, allowing, encrypting, quarantining, coaching, and seeking justification based on the determined sensitivity.

(79) In some implementations, transmission (or flow) of objects to or from cloud applications can be controlled/modulated (e.g., blocked) when the synthetic requests is/are used to determine that the object being manipulated (e.g., being uploaded or downloaded) is sensitive based on the retrieved sensitivity metadata and that the account-type from which the manipulation was initiated or attempted is an uncontrolled or private account (e.g., non-corporation instance) based on the retrieved login metadata. This way, a combination of login metadata and sensitivity metadata retrieved by use of one or more synthetic requests can be used for policy enforcement. Generally speaking, metadata of different types/formats/creation dates/creation sources/storage origins, retrieved by one or more synthetic requests or retrieved from one or more sources by one or more synthetic requests, can be analyzed in the aggregate or as a combination to make a policy enforcement decision on one or more objects.

(80) The disclosed synthetic request injection can also in turn cause the cloud applications **108** to execute actions. For example, the synthetic requests can cause the cloud applications **108** to crawl objects residing in the cloud applications **108** and generate an inventory of the objects and associated metadata (e.g., an audit of share settings, collaboration networks, user assignments, and sensitivity statuses of the objects). The inventory can then be provided to the network security system **104** by the corresponding synthetic response. The network security system **104** can then use the inventory for policy enforcement.

(81) Consider, for example, the Box™ storage cloud application which provides an administrative API called the Box Content API™ to provide visibility into an organization's accounts of its users. The synthetic requests can poll the administrative API to discover any changes made to any of the accounts. Alternatively, the synthetic requests can register with the administrative API to inform the network security system **104** of any significant events. For example, the synthetic requests can use Microsoft Office365 Webhooks API™ to learn when a file has been shared externally. In other implementations, the disclosed synthetic request-response mechanism can interface with user APIs, in addition to/instead of administrative APIs.

(82) Retrieving metadata and executing actions are some examples of target network security objectives that can be achieved using the disclosed synthetic request injection. A person skilled in the art will appreciate that the application of the disclosed concept of configuring a network intermediary or middleware like the network security system **104** with network request-response (or request-reply) mechanism and methods to self-generate requests to satisfy a cloud security requirement may vary from use case-to-use case, architecture-to-architecture, and domain-to-domain. The request-response mechanism and methods can be implemented in various network protocols for inter-process communications like FTP, FTPS, GOPHER, HTTP, HTTPS, IMAP, IMAPS, LDAP, LDAPS, POP3, POP3S, RTMP, RTMPS, RTSP, SCP, SFTP, SMTP, SMTPS, SPDY and TFTP.

(83) For example, in some implementations, the synthetic requests may not be targeted towards the cloud applications **108** and instead may be directed at other sources. In one example, the synthetic requests may be used for commutation between different security systems of the network security stack, in which case, the synthetic requests could be aimed at other CASBs and SWGs in the SASE network. In another example, particularly in the case of native apps, the synthetic requests can be sent to the clients **102** or to the cloud applications **108** as responses, for example, sending a 401 App-Expected Response as a synthetic request to

retrieve a master token from the native apps running on the clients **102**. In yet another example, the synthetic requests can be sent to the clients **102** or to the cloud applications **108** to retrieve tokens, for example, to retrieve a people token from the cloud applications **108**.

(84) In yet another example, the synthetic requests can be sent to the clients **102** to convey (e.g., across a GUI) that the transaction is completed. For example, after an object is uploaded to the cloud applications **108**, a synthetic request can generate a GUI notification at the clients **102** indicating that the object is uploaded.

(85) Endpoint Devices

(86) An “unmanaged device” is referred to as a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) and/or an off-network device whose traffic is not being tunneled through the network security system **104**. The network security system **104** analyzes the incoming traffic to determine whether the cloud application transactions are made within the confines of a corporate network and/or from a device with a security agent or security profile installed. A device can be classified as an unmanaged device or as a managed device based on certain device characteristics collected by an endpoint routing agent (ERC). Depending on the type of device, the ERC can be a virtual private network (VPN) such as VPN on demand or per-app-VPN that use certificate-based authentication. For example, for iOS™ devices, it can be a per-app-VPN or can be a set of domain-based VPN profiles. For Android™ devices, it can be a cloud director mobile app. For Windows™ devices, it can be a per-app-VPN or can be a set of domain-based VPN profiles. The ERC can also be an agent that is downloaded using e-mail or silently installed using mass deployment tools like ConfigMgr™, Altris™, and Jamf™.

(87) The ERC collects device information such as registry key, active directory (AD) membership, presence of a process, operating system type, presence of a file, AD domain, encryption check, OPSWAT check, media access control (MAC) address, IMEI number, and device serial number. Based on the collected device information, the ERC classifies the device as unmanaged or managed. Additional or different categories can be used to classify a device such as a semi-managed device category or an unknown device category.

(88) For additional information regarding how the network security system **104** determines whether the incoming traffic is routed from a managed device or an unmanaged device, reference can be made to, for example, commonly owned U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 14/198,499; 14/198,508; 14/835,640; 14/835,632; and 62/307,305, which are incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(89) Portions of the specification may make distinctions between two types of endpoint devices used by users to access the cloud applications **108**. The primary distinction is between the mechanisms for coupling the endpoint devices to the network security system **104**. In relation to endpoint devices, the term “computer” will refer to more open systems where the network security system **104** can more directly install software and modify the networking stack. Similarly, in relation to endpoint devices, the terms “mobile” or “tablet” will refer to more closed systems where the network security system options for modifying the network stack are more limited. This terminology mirrors the situation today where computer-endpoint devices running Mac OS X, Windows desktop versions, Android, and/or Linux can be more easily modified than mobile or tablet devices running iOS, and/or Windows Mobile. Thus, the terminology refers to how third-party operating system vendor limitations are addressed to provide access to the network security system as opposed to a fundamental technical difference between the types of endpoint devices. Further, if mobile OS vendors open their systems further, it is likely that the distinction could be eliminated with more classes of endpoint devices. Additionally, it can be the case that certain server computers and other computing devices within an organization can have the client installed to cover machine-to-machine communications.

(90) A closely related point is that some clients interface with the network security system **104** differently. The browser add-on clients or PAC (proxy auto-configuration) files, for example, redirect the browsers to an explicit proxy. Only the traffic needed to apply the policy to is rerouted and it is done so within the application. The traffic arriving at the network security system **104** can have the user identity embedded in the data or within the secure tunnel headers, e.g., additional headers or SSL client side certificates. Other clients redirect select network traffic through transparent proxies. For these connections, some traffic beyond exactly those requests needed by the policy can be routed to the network security system **104**. Further, the user identity information is generally not within the data itself, but rather established by the client in setting up a secure tunnel to the network security system **104**.

(91) The interconnection between the clients **102**, the network security system **104**, and the cloud applications **108** will now be described. A public network couples the clients **102**, the network security system **104**, and the cloud applications **108**, all in communication with each other. The actual communication path can be point-to-point over public and/or private networks. Some items, such as the ERC, might be delivered indirectly, e.g., via an application store. The communications can occur over a variety of networks, e.g., private networks,

VPN, MPLS circuit, or Internet, and can use appropriate application programming interfaces (APIs) and data interchange formats, e.g., Representational State Transfer (REST), JavaScript Object Notation (JSON), Extensible Markup Language (XML), Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP), Java Message Service (JMS), and/or Java Platform Module System. All of the communications can be encrypted. The communication is generally over a network such as the LAN (local area network), WAN (wide area network), telephone network (Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN)), Session Initiation Protocol (SIP), wireless network, point-to-point network, star network, token ring network, hub network, Internet, inclusive of the mobile Internet, via protocols such as EDGE, 3G, 4G LTE, Wi-Fi, and WiMAX. Additionally, a variety of authorization and authentication techniques, such as username/password, Open Authorization (OAuth), Kerberos, SecureID, digital certificates and more, can be used to secure the communications.

#### (92) Policy

(93) The term “policy,” sometimes also referred to as a policy definition or policy data or content policy refers to a machine-readable representation of flow control and content control requirements for the cloud applications **108**. Typically, a policy is defined by one or more administrators at a corporation, or other entity, and is enforced upon users within that corporation, or entity. It is possible for individuals to define policies for their own usage that are enforced upon them; however, corporate usage is the more common case. It is also possible for a policy to be enforced on visitors or customers of a cloud application, e.g., where a corporation hosts or subscribes to a cloud application and requires visiting customers, users, or employees to adhere to the policy for use. Of particular note is that the policies considered herein are capable of being sensitive to the semantics of a cloud application, which is to say a policy can differentiate between logging in to a cloud application from, say, editing documents on the cloud application.

(94) Context is important for understanding usage; for an entity, the collection of dozens or hundreds of individual policies (e.g., log bulk downloads, prohibit editing documents on the service, only allow bulk downloads for users who are in the “Vice President” group) is referred to singularly as one policy or one policy definition. Thus, a system supporting multiple entities will generally have one policy per entity, each made up of dozens or hundreds of individual flow control and content control policies. Similarly, the policy that is transferred to individual computers can be a subset of a full corporate policy, e.g., solely a machine-readable representation of the URLs of interest, as opposed to the full policy specification for each URL describing the flow control and/or content manipulations.

(95) A “multi-part policy” refers to a policy that specifies triggering of at least one security action when at least one condition about the transaction is met. A multi-part policy applies to a single transaction, but at least one policy condition of the multi-part policy requires evaluation of data or metadata not available in the single transaction. Also, a multi-part policy applies to a single transaction, but at least one policy condition of the multi-part policy requires evaluation of data or metadata available in an external data or metadata store. Further, a multi-part policy applies to a single transaction, but at least one policy condition of the multi-part policy requires evaluation of data or metadata generated by an external engine. A multi-part policy applies in real-time during active analysis, but at least one policy condition of the multi-part policy requires evaluation of data or metadata collected in deferred time or during non-real-time inspection. Examples of multi-part policies include “prevent user from uploading/downloading, if user is at risk as indicated by anomaly detection,” “prevent sharing of a file, if file is sensitive,” “prevent download of a file to a device, if the device is at risk as indicated by a malware detection engine,” “prevent deletion of a virtual machine, if the virtual machine is a critical server,” and others.

#### (96) Metadata Retrieval

(97) FIG. 1 shows one implementation of the network security system **104** issuing a synthetic request during an application session of a cloud application to retrieve metadata that is otherwise missing from the application session. In FIG. 1, a client issues an authentication request **122** to log into a cloud application. The authentication request **122** provides a metadata mapping. The metadata mapping specifies a login instance (e.g., an email identified by “from-user” or “instance-id” information) used by the client to access the cloud application. The authentication request **122** also includes an authentication token that the client uses to access the cloud application. Successful authentication **138** results in the establishment **114** of an application session **144**.

(98) As illustrated in FIG. 1, the authentication request **122** bypasses the network security system **104**. The bypass can be due to a variety of reasons, some of which are discussed above. More importantly, the bypass results in the network security system **104** not able to capture the metadata mapping. This presents itself as a technical problem because certain security policies are based on the “from-user” or “instance-id” information.

(99) Eventually though, the client is rerouted to the network security system **104**, for example, by an endpoint routing client (ERC), such as a browser add-in or an agent running on the client. When this happens, from there onwards, the application session **144** is intermediated by the network security system **104** and subsequent requests from the client are intercepted by the network security system **104**. In other implementations, the application session **144** comes under the ambit of the network security system **104** when an incoming request **152** is sent by the client towards the cloud application and rerouted to the network security system **104** for policy enforcement. Most commonly, the incoming request **152** is a client request of a communication protocol, e.g., HTTP/HTTPS client request that attempts to execute an application activity transaction on the cloud application. The communication protocols such as HTTP, HTTPS, FTP, IMAP, SNMP and SMTP define the basic patterns of dialogue which support request-response messaging patterns and commonly operate in an application layer.

(100) Upon receiving the incoming request **152** during the application session **144**, the network security system **104** determines **154** whether it has access to metadata required to enforce a security policy on the incoming request **152**. In one implementation, this determination is made by inspecting a transaction header (e.g., HTTP and HTTPS headers) of the incoming request **152** and probing whether certain fields and variables supply the required metadata. In another implementation, this determination is made by looking up a metadata mapping store (e.g., a Redis in-memory cache) and inquiring whether there already exists a metadata mapping associated with an application session identifier ("app-session-ID") of the application session **144**. In the scenario illustrated in FIG. 1, both these evaluations would reveal that the required metadata is missing because the authentication request **122** that provided the metadata mapping was never captured by the network security system **104**.

(101) Accordingly, when the network security system **104** determines that it does not have access to the required metadata, it holds **164** the incoming request **152** and does not transmit it to the cloud application. Then, the network security system **104** generates a synthetic request **168** and injects the synthetic request **168** into the application session **144** to transmit the synthetic request **168** to the cloud application. The synthetic request **168** is configured to retrieve the missing metadata from the cloud application by inducing an application server of the cloud application to generate a response that includes the missing metadata. The network security system **104** configures the synthetic request **168** with the authentication token supplied by the incoming request **152** so that the synthetic request **168** can access the cloud application.

(102) The network security system **104** then receives a synthetic response **176** to the synthetic request **168** from the cloud application. The synthetic response **176** supplies the missing metadata **178** to the network security system **104**. The network security system **104** then uses the metadata **178** for metadata-based policy enforcement **184**. For example, if the metadata **178** specifies that the login instance was from a controlled account (e.g., a corporate email), then the network security system **104** releases the incoming request **152** and transmits **186** it to the cloud application. In contrast, if the metadata **178** specifies that the login instance was from an uncontrolled account (e.g., a private email), then the network security system **104** blocks the incoming request **152** and does not transmit it to the cloud application, or, in other implementations, alters the end user that a policy enforcement has prevented the activity from being completed.

(103) The discussion now turns to some example implementations of how, in different scenarios, the network security system **104** constructs the synthetic requests and retrieves metadata from the synthetic responses. A person skilled in the art will appreciate that the disclosed synthetic request injection is not limited to these example implementations. There may exist, now and in future, other ways of implementing the disclosed synthetic request injection. This disclosure may not explicitly enumerate these other ways. Still, these other ways are within the scope of this disclosure because the intended purpose of the disclosed synthetic request injection is to enforce and improve network security. Variants of this intended purpose may be realized in different ways in different network architectures without deviating from the disclosed concept of configuring a network intermediary or middleware like the network security system **104** with network request/response (request-reply) mechanism and methods to self-generate requests to achieve a variety of network security objectives.

(104) Synthetic Listener Mode

(105) FIG. 2 depicts a synthetic listener mode of injecting a synthetic request in an application session of a cloud application and extracting metadata from a corresponding synthetic response in accordance with one implementation of the technology disclosed. In some implementations of the synthetic listener mode, the network security system **104** uses application-specific parsers (or connectors) and synthetic templates to construct the synthetic requests and extract the metadata-of-interest from the synthetic responses.



(106) FIG. 2 shows many instances **104A-N** of the network security system **104**. A data flow logic **202**, running to the network security system **104**, injects the incoming request **152** to a processing path of a particular instance **104C** of the network security system **104**. In one implementation, the particular instance **104C** of the network security system **104** passes the incoming request **152** to a service thread **204**. The service thread **204** holds the incoming request **152** and instructs a client URL (cURL) utility thread **206** to initiate a cURL request (operation **1**). Additional information about the cURL requests can be found at, e.g., The Art Of Scripting HTTP Requests Using Curl, <https://curl.se/docs/httpscripting.html> (last visited Mar. 17, 2021) and CURL, <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=CURL&oldid=1002535730> (last visited Mar. 18, 2021), which are incorporated by reference for all purposes as if fully set forth herein.

(107) The cURL utility thread **206** injects the cURL request into a synthetic listener mode **214** (operation **2**). The synthetic listener mode **214** selects an application-specific parser **222** that is specific to the cloud application targeted by the incoming request **152** (e.g., by identifying the cloud application as a resource from a URL parameter or an HTTP request header field of the incoming request **152**). The application-specific parser **222** specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application.

(108) The application-specific parser **222** implements a DAPII (Deep Application Programming Interface Inspection), e.g., HTTP/DAPII request processing **236**, which uses a synthetic template to determine whether the metadata-of-interest is missing from the application session **144**. When the metadata-of-interest is found to be missing, the synthetic template constructs a synthetic request using headers, fields, values, and parameters defined with syntax that elicits the metadata-of-interest from an application server **248** of the cloud application. At operation **3**, the constructed synthetic request is sent to the application server **248**.

(109) At operation **4**, a synthetic response from the application server **248** is routed to the synthetic listener mode **214**. In some implementations, the synthetic response is processed by a service thread (not shown). The application-specific parser **222** implements an HTTP/DAPII response processing **254** to extract the metadata-of-interest from the synthetic response. The extracted metadata (e.g., the “from-user” or “instance-id” information) is stored in a metadata store **264** (at operation **5**) for use in policy enforcement. At operation **6**, a cURL response is sent back to the cURL utility thread **206**, which in turn sends back a response to the service thread **204** (at operation **7**). The service thread **204** then releases the held incoming request **152**.

#### (110) Synthetic Templates

(111) As discussed above, the synthetic requests are constructed by synthetic templates of application-specific parsers, according to one implementation of the technology disclosed. A particular application-specific parser of a cloud application can have a set of synthetic templates. Respective synthetic templates in the set of synthetic templates can correspond to respective activities, for example, one synthetic template for upload activities, synthetic template for download activities, and yet another synthetic template for share activities. The set of synthetic templates can include a default synthetic template for generic activities (e.g., login, log-outs). The set of synthetic templates can also include a specialized synthetic template for native apps running on endpoints (e.g., mobiles, computers).

(112) FIG. 3 shows one implementation of a processing path that generates synthetic requests using application-specific parsers and synthetic templates. In FIG. 3, a parser selection logic **312** selects a particular application-specific parser **322C** from a plurality of application-specific parsers **322A-N**. The particular application-specific parser **322C** is specific to the cloud application targeted by the incoming request **152**. The parser selection logic **312** determines that the incoming request **152** is directed to the cloud application because a resource of the cloud application is specified by a URL parameter or a request header field of the incoming request **152** of a communication protocol (e.g., FTP, FTPS, GOPHER, HTTP, HTTPS, IMAP, IMAPS, LDAP, LDAPS, POP3, POP3S, RTMP, RTMPS, RTSP, SCP, SFTP, SMTP, SMTPS, SPDY and TFTP). The parser selection logic **312** then invokes the particular application-specific parser **322C**. In some implementations, when no application-specific parser is available for a given cloud application, the parser selection logic **312** can select a universal parser that, for example, applies to an entire category of cloud applications (e.g., social media sites, messenger apps, blogs).

(113) A template selection logic **332** selects a particular synthetic template from a set of synthetic templates **0-N** of the particular application-specific parser **322C**. In one implementation, the template selection logic **332** uses if-then-else rules and match conditions to select the particular synthetic template. For example, if the metadata-of-interest is available, then the synthetic listener mode is exited and normal processing of the incoming request **152** resumed. If the metadata-of-interest is missing and the activity is a generic activity (e.g., a login event), then a default synthetic template is used to construct the synthetic request. If the metadata-of-

interest is missing and the activity is a particular activity (e.g., an upload event), then a specialized synthetic template that is specific to the particular activity is used to construct the synthetic request. If the metadata-of-interest is missing and the cloud application is a native app, then a specialized synthetic template that is specific to native apps is used to construct the synthetic request. Also, in some implementations, the synthetic templates are defined as JSON files.

(114) Once the particular synthetic template of the particular application-specific parser **322C** is selected, a metadata detection logic **342** of the particular synthetic template can determine whether relevant fields, variables, events, or parameters of the incoming request **152** or a metadata-mapping store contain the metadata-of-interest. If not, a synthetic request generation logic **352** of the particular synthetic template can construct the synthetic request by configuring those request header fields that elicit a response from the application server of the cloud application which supplies the metadata-of-interest. In some implementations, the synthetic request generation logic **352** uses some of the fields, variables, events, or parameters that are part of the incoming request **152** to construct the synthetic request.

(115) A synthetic response parsing logic **362** of the particular synthetic template can parse response header fields and content body of the synthetic response to extract the metadata-of-interest. Alternatively, the metadata extraction can be done directly by the particular application-specific parser **322C**, without using the particular synthetic template.

(116) Processing Flow

(117) FIG. 4 shows one implementation of a processing flow that generates an example synthetic request. The steps illustrated in FIG. 4 can be executed on server-side or client-side. At step **402**, a client transaction requesting interaction with a cloud application is intercepted. At step **412**, an application-specific parser specific to the cloud application is invoked. At step **422**, the application-specific parser processes the client transaction and determines an activity being performed by the client transaction.

(118) At step **432**, a particular synthetic template is selected that is specific to the cloud application and the determined activity. At step **442**, the particular synthetic template determines that the metadata-of-interest required to process the client transaction is missing. At step **452**, the particular synthetic template is used to generate and inject a synthetic request **462**. The synthetic request **462** uses a method, e.g., HTTP GET method **454**, has a URL **456**, has a fixed header **464** like user-agent, and has a custom header **466** with cookie data **468** like SID, SSID, HSID, and OSID.

(119) At step **472**, a synthetic response is received to the synthetic request **462**. At step **482**, the metadata-of-interest **492** is retrieved from the synthetic response. At step **494**, the retrieved metadata **492** is used for policy enforcement on the client transaction.

(120) Native Apps

(121) FIG. 5 shows one implementation of using bi-directional synthetic requests for native apps. When the network security system **104** determines **526** that the cloud application is a native app, the network security system **104** first determines **528** whether a master token is missing from the transaction. If so, the network security system **104** issues a first synthetic request to the client in the form of a 401 App-Expected Response **532**. In response, the client provides a master token **542** to the network security system **104**. FIG. 6 shows example details of the 401 App-Expected Response **532**.

(122) The network security system **104** then determines **544** whether a people token is missing from the transaction. If so, the network security system **104** issues a second synthetic request to the cloud application in the form of a people token request **546**. In response, the cloud application provides a people token **548** to the network security system **104**. FIG. 7 shows example details of the people token request **546**.

(123) The network security system **104** then uses the people token **548** to issue a third synthetic request **568** to the cloud application. FIG. 8 shows example details of the third synthetic request **568**. The network security system **104** then receives the synthetic response **576** that supplies the metadata **578**. The network security system **104** then uses the supplied metadata **578** for the policy enforcement **584**. Then, the network security system **104** releases the flow **586** to transmit the incoming request **152** to the cloud application.

(124) In some implementations, when the master token **542** is not missing, the network security system **104** does not issue the 401 App-Expected Response **532** to the client, and instead directly issues the people token request **546** to the cloud application. Similarly, when both the master token **542** and the people token **548** are not missing, the network security system **104** directly issues the synthetic request **568** to the cloud application.

(125) Side Car Service

(126) FIG. 9 shows one implementation of a side car service **902** that is shared by the multiple instances **104A-N** of the network security system **104** to implement the synthetic listener mode **214** and generate the

synthetic requests. The side car service **902** can be considered a hypervisor (or a separate container) that runs in a data center as a containerized service servicing the multiple instances **104A-N** of the network security system **104**. Multiple instances of the side car service **902** can service the multiple instances **104A-N** of the network security system **104** too.

(127) Proxy Handoff in SASE Network

(128) FIG. **10** shows an example secure access service edge (SASE) network **1000** with a plurality of geographically distributed points of presence **1-7**. In some implementations, each of the points of presence in FIG. **10** can be considered a data center that includes the multiple instances **104A-N** of the network security system **104** and other necessary infrastructure. In other implementations, each of the points of presence in FIG. **10** can be considered a respective instance of the network security system **104**. Also, as shown in FIG. **10**, each of the points of presence **1-7** can be configured with an inline metadata generation logic **1002**.

(129) As discussed above, the metadata mappings need to be periodically synchronized between the points of presence **1-7**, posing a technical burden of redundant data distribution. FIG. **11** shows one implementation of the disclosed synthetic request injection overcoming this technical burden. In particular, FIG. **11** shows one implementation of use of the synthetic requests in a proxy handoff situation.

(130) In FIG. **11**, during the application session **144**, a first point of presence **1** intercepts a metadata-generating event **1102** during the application session **144**. The metadata-generating event **1102** is triggered by an incoming request **1104**. The first point of presence **1** performs metadata extraction **1106** on the incoming request **1104**, extracts metadata **1108**, and stores the extracted metadata **1108** in a metadata store **1190** local to the first point of presence **1** and not accessible to other points of presence in the SASE network **1000**.

(131) Later, a proxy handoff **1110** occurs that routes the application session **144** and the incoming request **1104** to a second point of presence **2** different from the first point of presence **1**. Since the second point of presence **2** did not capture the incoming request **1104**, it does not have access to the metadata **1108**, i.e., the metadata **1108** is missing **1114** from the second point of presence **2**. However, the second point of presence **2** now needs to execute a policy-enforcement requiring event **1112** that requires the metadata **1108**. Meanwhile, the incoming request **1104** is kept held.

(132) To independently retrieve the metadata **1108** from the cloud application, an inline metadata generation logic **1002** of the second point of presence **2** issues the synthetic request **168** to the cloud application. The second point of presence **2** then receives the synthetic response **176** that supplies the metadata **1108**. The second point of presence **2** then uses the supplied metadata **1108** for the policy enforcement **184**. Then, the second point of presence **2** releases the flow **1186** to transmit the incoming request **1104** to the cloud application. In other implementations, the incoming request **1104** is blocked and not transmitted to the cloud application.

(133) Object Metadata

(134) FIG. **12** shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to retrieve object metadata from cloud applications. In FIG. **12**, an incoming request **1204** is intercepted by the network security system **104**. The incoming request **1204** includes an object identifier **1206** of a target object resident at the cloud application. The network security system **104** detects **1208** the object identifier **1206** from the incoming request **1204** (e.g., from the HTTP request header). The network security system **104** then configures a synthetic request with the object identifier **1206** and issues the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve object metadata **1214** about the target object from the cloud application using the object identifier **1206**. Examples of the object metadata **1214** include object name, object size, object type, and object sensitivity.

(135) Then, a synthetic response **1212** is received by the network security system **104**. The synthetic response **1212** supplies the object metadata **1214** to the network security system **104**. Then, the network security system **104** uses the supplied object metadata **1214** for policy enforcement **1284**, for example, on the held incoming request **1204**. In some implementations, the network security system **104** releases the flow **1298** to transmit the incoming request **1204** to the cloud application. In other implementations, the incoming request **1204** is blocked and not transmitted to the cloud application.

(136) FIG. **13** shows one implementation of a succeeding synthetic request being issued to a client to convey object metadata, generated by a preceding synthetic request. In FIG. **13**, the object metadata **1214**, generated by the preceding synthetic request **1210**, is sent by the network security system **104** to the client using a succeeding synthetic request **1302**. One example of the object metadata **1214** conveyed to the client by the succeeding synthetic request **1302** includes notification about completion of a transaction like an upload or a download. The notification serves as a confirmation, for example, via a GUI that the requested transaction was

successful.

(137) FIG. 14 shows one implementation of using the synthetic requests to retrieve objects from the cloud applications 108. In such an implementation, the synthetic response 1212 supplies the target object 1402 to the network security system 104 from the cloud application. Also, the policy enforcement 1412 is then on the object 1402 itself, for example, running DLP checks on the object 1402 for sensitivity determination, in addition to or instead of being on the held incoming request 1204. In some implementations, based on the results of the policy enforcement 1412, the network security system 104 releases the flow 1422 to transmit the incoming request 1204 to the cloud application. In other implementations, the incoming request 1204 is blocked and not transmitted to the cloud application.

(138) Expired Metadata

(139) FIG. 15 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to retrieve a fresh version of expired metadata. In FIG. 15, during the application session 144, an incoming request 1504 is intercepted by the network security system 104. The network security system 104 performs metadata extraction 1506 on the incoming request 1504, extracts metadata 1508, and stores the extracted metadata 1508 in the metadata store 264 for an expiration window 1510. The expiration window 1510 terminates with a timeout event 1512 after a certain time period (e.g., after fifteen minutes or after two days). After the expiration window 1510, the metadata 1508 becomes stale (e.g., is removed from the metadata store 264) and is therefore unavailable to the network security system 104. The original incoming request 1504 that provided the metadata 1508 can be transmitted 1514 to the cloud application.

(140) When a further incoming request 1516 that lacks the metadata 1508 required for policy enforcement is intercepted by the network security system 104, the network security system 104 determines 1518 that the required metadata 1508 is neither provided by the further incoming request 1516 nor present in the metadata store 264 anymore. To independently get access to a fresh version of the metadata 1508, the network security system 104 injects the synthetic request 168, receives a fresh metadata-supplying synthetic response 176, uses the supplied fresh metadata 1508 for policy enforcement 184, and releases the held further incoming request 1516 for transmission 1586 to the cloud application, in some implementations. In other implementations, the further incoming request 1516 is blocked and not transmitted to the cloud application.

(141) Security Posture Modification

(142) FIG. 16 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to modify security postures of objects residing in the cloud applications 108. In FIG. 16, during the application session 144, an incoming request 1604 is intercepted by the network security system 104. The incoming request 1604 attempts to upload an object 1606 on the cloud application. After subjecting the object 1606 to policy enforcement 1608, in one implementation, the object 1606 is uploaded 1610 to the cloud application.

(143) A supplemental synthetic request 1612 is issued by the network security system 104 to modify 1614 a security posture of the uploaded object 1606. Examples of modifying the security posture of the uploaded object 1606 include changing security configurations of the uploaded object 1606 on the cloud application, for example, changing the share settings of the uploaded object 1606 on the cloud application from “sharing allowed” to “sharing not allowed,” or from “sharing allowed externally” to “sharing allowed only internally.” Examples of modifying the security posture of the uploaded object 1606 include moving the uploaded object 1606 from one location to another location in the cloud application, or from the cloud application to another cloud application. Examples of modifying the security posture of the uploaded object 1606 include changing a sensitivity status of the uploaded object 1606 on the cloud application from “not sensitive” to “sensitive.” In other implementations, the synthetic requests can be used to modify any information or metadata about the uploaded object 1606, or a previously-residing object on the cloud application.

(144) Login Event Disambiguation

(145) FIG. 17 shows one implementation of using synthetic requests to disambiguate a login event that bypassed the network security system 104. In FIG. 17, the instance metadata 178, generated by the synthetic request 168 and supplied by the synthetic response 176, is used by the network security system 104 to determine whether the original login event 122, which bypassed by the network security system 104, and/or the incoming request 152, which was intercepted by the network security system 104, emanated from a controlled account or an uncontrolled account.

(146) If the instance metadata 178 specifies that the original login event 122 and/or the incoming request 152 emanated from a controlled account like a corporate email, the incoming request 152 is transmitted 1722 to the cloud application. Alternatively, if the instance metadata 178 specifies that the original login event 122 and/or the incoming request 152 emanated from an uncontrolled account like a personal email, the incoming request

**152** is blocked **1724** and not transmitted to the cloud application.

(147) Parallel Synthetic Requests

(148) FIG. **18** shows one implementation of issuing multiple synthetic requests during an application session. In FIG. **18**, during the application session **144**, multiple incoming requests **1810A-N** can be held and unheld by the network security system **104** in parallel or in sequence in response to the network security system **104** issuing multiple corresponding synthetic requests **1812A-N** in parallel or in sequence, and/or the network security system **104** receiving multiple corresponding synthetic responses **1814A-N** in parallel or in sequence.

(149) Synthetic Requests for Future Incoming Requests

(150) FIG. **19** shows one implementation of issuing a synthetic request to synthetically harvest/generate/garner metadata for policy enforcement on yet-to-be received future incoming requests. In FIG. **19**, a first incoming request **1952** is intercepted by the network security system **104**. The network security system **104** determines **1954** that the first incoming request **1952** fails to supply the metadata required for policy enforcement. Despite this, the network security system **104** does not hold the first incoming request **1952** and sends **1958** it to the cloud application.

(151) To make the metadata available for future incoming requests, the network security system **104** generates a synthetic request **1968** and injects **1964** it into the application session **144** to transmit the synthetic request **1968** to the cloud application. In response, the network security system **104** receives a synthetic response **1976** that supplies the required metadata **1978**.

(152) From there onwards, when the network security system **104** receives subsequent incoming requests **1982A-N**, the network security system **104** uses the synthetically harvested/generated/garnered metadata **1978** to perform policy enforcement **1984** on the subsequent incoming requests **1982A-N**.

(153) Computer System

(154) FIG. **20** shows an example computer system **2000** that can be used to implement the technology disclosed. Computer system **2000** includes at least one central processing unit (CPU) **2072** that communicates with a number of peripheral devices via bus subsystem **2055**. These peripheral devices can include a storage subsystem **2010** including, for example, memory devices and a file storage subsystem **2036**, user interface input devices **2038**, user interface output devices **2076**, and a network interface subsystem **2074**. The input and output devices allow user interaction with computer system **2000**. Network interface subsystem **2074** provides an interface to outside networks, including an interface to corresponding interface devices in other computer systems.

(155) In one implementation, the network security system **104** is communicably linked to the storage subsystem **2010** and the user interface input devices **2038**.

(156) User interface input devices **2038** can include a keyboard; pointing devices such as a mouse, trackball, touchpad, or graphics tablet; a scanner; a touch screen incorporated into the display; audio input devices such as voice recognition systems and microphones; and other types of input devices. In general, use of the term “input device” is intended to include all possible types of devices and ways to input information into computer system **2000**.

(157) User interface output devices **2076** can include a display subsystem, a printer, a fax machine, or non-visual displays such as audio output devices. The display subsystem can include an LED display, a cathode ray tube (CRT), a flat-panel device such as a liquid crystal display (LCD), a projection device, or some other mechanism for creating a visible image. The display subsystem can also provide a non-visual display such as audio output devices. In general, use of the term “output device” is intended to include all possible types of devices and ways to output information from computer system **2000** to the user or to another machine or computer system.

(158) Storage subsystem **2010** stores programming and data constructs that provide the functionality of some or all of the modules and methods described herein. These software modules are generally executed by processors **2078**.

(159) Processors **2078** can be graphics processing units (GPUs), field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), and/or coarse-grained reconfigurable architectures (CGRAs). Processors **2078** can be hosted by a deep learning cloud platform such as Google Cloud Platform™, Xilinx™, and Cirrascale™. Examples of processors **2078** include Google's Tensor Processing Unit (TPU)™, rackmount solutions like GX4 Rackmount Series™, GX20 Rackmount Series™, NVIDIA DGX-1™, Microsoft' Stratix V FPGA™, Graphcore's Intelligent Processor Unit (IPU)™, Qualcomm's Zeroth Platform™ with Snapdragon Processors™, NVIDIA's Volta™, NVIDIA's DRIVE PX™, NVIDIA's JETSON TX1/TX2 MODULE™, Intel's Nirvana™, Movidius VPU™, Fujitsu DPI™, ARM's DynamicIQ™, IBM TrueNorth™, Lambda GPU

Server with Testa V100s™, and others.

(160) Memory subsystem **2022** used in the storage subsystem **2010** can include a number of memories including a main random access memory (RAM) **2032** for storage of instructions and data during program execution and a read only memory (ROM) **2034** in which fixed instructions are stored. A file storage subsystem **2036** can provide persistent storage for program and data files, and can include a hard disk drive, a floppy disk drive along with associated removable media, a CD-ROM drive, an optical drive, or removable media cartridges. The modules implementing the functionality of certain implementations can be stored by file storage subsystem **2036** in the storage subsystem **2010**, or in other machines accessible by the processor.

(161) Bus subsystem **2055** provides a mechanism for letting the various components and subsystems of computer system **2000** communicate with each other as intended. Although bus subsystem **2055** is shown schematically as a single bus, alternative implementations of the bus subsystem can use multiple buses.

(162) Computer system **2000** itself can be of varying types including a personal computer, a portable computer, a workstation, a computer terminal, a network computer, a television, a mainframe, a server farm, a widely-distributed set of loosely networked computers, or any other data processing system or user device. Due to the ever-changing nature of computers and networks, the description of computer system **2000** depicted in FIG. **20** is intended only as a specific example for purposes of illustrating the preferred implementations of the present invention. Many other configurations of computer system **2000** are possible having more or less components than the computer system depicted in FIG. **20**.

(163) Particular Implementations

(164) The technology disclosed configures network security systems with the ability to trigger synthetic requests during application sessions of cloud applications. The technology disclosed can be practiced as a system, method, or article of manufacture. One or more features of an implementation can be combined with the base implementation. Implementations that are not mutually exclusive are taught to be combinable. One or more features of an implementation can be combined with other implementations. This disclosure periodically reminds the user of these options. Omission from some implementations of recitations that repeat these options should not be taken as limiting the combinations taught in the preceding sections—these recitations are hereby incorporated forward by reference into each of the following implementations.

(165) The implementations described in this section can be combined as features. In the interest of conciseness, the combinations of features are not individually enumerated and are not repeated with each base set of features. The reader will understand how features identified in the implementations described in this section can readily be combined with sets of base features identified as implementations in other sections of this application. These implementations are not meant to be mutually exclusive, exhaustive, or restrictive; and the technology disclosed is not limited to these implementations but rather encompasses all possible combinations, modifications, and variations within the scope of the claimed technology and its equivalents.

(166) In various implementations of the technology disclosed, an object may be a file, a folder, a node, a resource, an AWS bucket, a GCP bucket, a blob bucket, and similar equivalents.

(167) 1) Synthetic Request Injection to Generate Metadata for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(168) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to receive from a client an incoming request to access a cloud application in an application session, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request.

(169) The network security system is further configured to analyze the incoming request and detect absence of at least some metadata required to enforce a security policy on the incoming request.

(170) The network security system is further configured to hold the incoming request, generate a synthetic request, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve otherwise absent metadata from the cloud application.

(171) The network security system is further configured to receive a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the otherwise absent metadata.

(172) The network security system is further configured to use the otherwise absent metadata to enforce the security policy on the incoming request.

(173) In one implementation of the system, the synthetic request is generated by the network security system and not found in the incoming request from the client.

(174) In one implementation of the system, the otherwise absent metadata supplied by the response identifies a login instance used by the incoming request to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an

uncontrolled account.

(175) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to fulfill the incoming request if the login instance is the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account.

(176) In another implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to block the incoming request if the login instance is the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(177) In one implementation of the system, the incoming request attempts to upload an object to the cloud application. In some implementations of the system, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve, from the cloud application, the otherwise absent metadata that identifies whether the object is sensitive or not. In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to block upload of the object to the cloud application if the object is sensitive. In other implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to upload the object to the cloud application if the object is not sensitive.

(178) In one implementation of the system, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve, from the cloud application, the otherwise absent metadata that identifies user information of a user who caused the client to issue the incoming request. The user information is from-user information.

(179) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to receive a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies a user profile page that includes the user information.

(180) In one implementation of the system, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve the otherwise absent metadata from a metadata store independent of the cloud application. In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to receive a response to the synthetic request from the metadata store. The response supplies the otherwise absent metadata.

(181) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to extract an authorization token from the incoming request, and to configure the synthetic request with the authorization token to cause the synthetic request to access the cloud application.

(182) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to use an application-specific parser (connector) specific to the cloud application to inspect the incoming request and detect the otherwise absent metadata. In some implementations of the system, the application-specific parser specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application. In some implementations of the system, the match conditions are configured to specify which fields and variables in requests directed to a user API are configured to contain the metadata, and to extract the otherwise absent metadata from responses generated by the particular API.

(183) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to use an application-specific template of the application-specific parser to construct the synthetic request. In some implementations of the system, the application-specific template specifies parameters to include in the synthetic request. The parameters are specific to the request and response syntaxes. The parameters are configured to cause the cloud application to generate responses that contain the otherwise absent metadata.

(184) In one implementation of the system, the parameters include a unified resource locator (URL). In another implementation of the system, the parameters include a set of header fields. In yet another implementation of the system, header fields in the set of header fields include fields from the incoming request. In yet further implementation of the system, the parameters include a response body.

(185) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes a network security system receiving from a client an incoming request to access a cloud application in an application session. The network security system is interposed between clients and cloud applications. The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system analyzing the incoming request and detecting absence of at least some metadata required to enforce a security policy on the incoming request.

(186) The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system holding the incoming request, generating a synthetic request, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve otherwise absent metadata from the cloud application.

(187) The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the otherwise absent metadata.

- (188) The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system using the otherwise absent metadata to enforce the security policy on the incoming request.
- (189) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the synthetic request is generated by the network security system and not found in the incoming request from the client.
- (190) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the otherwise absent metadata supplied by the response identifies a login instance used by the incoming request to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account.
- (191) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system fulfilling the incoming request if the login instance is the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account.
- (192) In another implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system blocking the incoming request if the login instance is the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.
- (193) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request attempts to upload an object to the cloud application. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve, from the cloud application, the otherwise absent metadata that identifies whether the object is sensitive or not. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system blocking upload of the object to the cloud application if the object is sensitive. In other implementations of the computer-implemented method, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system uploading the object to the cloud application if the object is not sensitive.
- (194) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve, from the cloud application, the otherwise absent metadata that identifies user information of a user who caused the client to issue the incoming request. The user information is from-user information.
- (195) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies a user profile page that includes the user information.
- (196) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve the otherwise absent metadata from a metadata store independent of the cloud application. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the metadata store. The response supplies the otherwise absent metadata.
- (197) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system extracting an authorization token from the incoming request and configuring the synthetic request with the authorization token to cause the synthetic request to access the cloud application.
- (198) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system using an application-specific parser (connector) specific to the cloud application to inspect the incoming request and detecting the otherwise absent metadata. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific parser specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the match conditions are configured to specify which fields and variables in requests directed to a user API are configured to contain the metadata, and to extract the otherwise absent metadata from responses generated by the particular API.
- (199) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system using an application-specific template of the application-specific parser to construct the synthetic request. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific template specifies parameters to include in the synthetic request. The parameters are specific to the request and response syntaxes. The parameters are configured to cause the cloud application to generate responses that contain the otherwise absent metadata.
- (200) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the parameters include a unified resource locator (URL). In another implementation of the computer-implemented method, the parameters include a set of header fields. In yet another implementation of the computer-implemented method, header fields in the set of header fields include fields from the incoming request. In yet further implementation of the computer-implemented method, the parameters include a response body.
- (201) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-



transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above. (202) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising a network security system receiving from a client an incoming request to access a cloud application in an application session. The network security system is interposed between clients and cloud applications. The method further comprises the network security system analyzing the incoming request and detecting absence of at least some metadata required to enforce a security policy on the incoming request.

(203) The method further comprises the network security system holding the incoming request, generating a synthetic request, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve otherwise absent metadata from the cloud application.

(204) The method further comprises the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the otherwise absent metadata.

(205) The method further comprises the network security system using the otherwise absent metadata to enforce the security policy on the incoming request.

(206) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the synthetic request is generated by the network security system and not found in the incoming request from the client.

(207) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the otherwise absent metadata supplied by the response identifies a login instance used by the incoming request to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account.

(208) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system fulfilling the incoming request if the login instance is the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account.

(209) In another implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system blocking the incoming request if the login instance is the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(210) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request attempts to upload an object to the cloud application. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve, from the cloud application, the otherwise absent metadata that identifies whether the object is sensitive or not. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system blocking upload of the object to the cloud application if the object is sensitive. In other implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system uploading the object to the cloud application if the object is not sensitive.

(211) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve, from the cloud application, the otherwise absent metadata that identifies user information of a user who caused the client to issue the incoming request. The user information is from-user information.

(212) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies a user profile page that includes the user information.

(213) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the synthetic request is further configured to retrieve the otherwise absent metadata from a metadata store independent of the cloud application. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the metadata store. The response supplies the otherwise absent metadata.

(214) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system extracting an authorization token from the incoming request and configuring the synthetic request with the authorization token to cause the synthetic request to access the cloud application.

(215) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the

network security system using an application-specific parser (connector) specific to the cloud application to inspect the incoming request and detecting the otherwise absent metadata. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific parser specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the match conditions are configured to specify which fields and variables in requests directed to a user API are configured to contain the metadata, and to extract the otherwise absent metadata from responses generated by the particular API.

(216) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security system using an application-specific template of the application-specific parser to construct the synthetic request. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific template specifies parameters to include in the synthetic request. The parameters are specific to the request and response syntaxes. The parameters are configured to cause the cloud application to generate responses that contain the otherwise absent metadata.

(217) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters include a unified resource locator (URL). In another implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters include a set of header fields. In yet another implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, header fields in the set of header fields include fields from the incoming request. In yet further implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters include a response body.

(218) 2) Synthetic Request Injection to Generate Metadata at Points of Presence for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(219) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises an edge network of a plurality of points of presence of a network security system. Points of presence in the plurality of points of presence are configured to intermediate traffic between clients and cloud applications and to use metadata to apply policies on the intermediated traffic. There are redundancies in metadata synchronization between the points of presence due to metadata migration to a second point of presence from a first point of presence handing off intermediation to the second point of presence within an application session.

(220) Each of the points of presence is configured with inline metadata generation logic. The inline metadata generation logic is configured to issue synthetic requests to provide the metadata to the second point of presence without requiring the metadata migration to the second point of presence.

(221) In one implementation of the system, a client directs towards a cloud application a sequence of incoming requests within the application session. The cloud application is a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request. In some implementations of the system, incoming requests in the sequence of incoming requests characterize at least one metadata-generating event (e.g., login event) that precedes at least one policy enforcement-requiring event (e.g., activities like upload, share, edit, delete, download).

(222) In one implementation of the system, the first point of presence captured, from the metadata-generating event, metadata required to enforce one or more data protection policies (e.g., data loss protection (DLP) policies, threat detection policies, and malware detection policies) on the policy enforcement-requiring event. In some implementations of the system, the first point of presence materialized the metadata in a local metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is confined only to the first point of presence in the edge network. In other implementations of the system, the first point of presence materialized the metadata in a distributed metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is shared by the points of presence in the edge network.

(223) In one implementation of the system, the first point of presence handed off the intermediation to the second point of presence after the metadata-generating event but before the policy enforcement-requiring event, and therefore the metadata-generating event bypassed the second point of presence, and therefore the metadata is locally unavailable to the second point of presence and requires the metadata migration from the local metadata store or the distributed metadata store.

(224) In one implementation of the system, the second point of presence intermediates the policy enforcement-requiring event and detects absence of the metadata when enforcing the data protection policies on the policy enforcement-requiring event. The absence of the metadata is determined when a local metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is confined only to the second point of presence in the edge network returns an empty value.

(225) In one implementation of the system, the inline metadata generation logic is further configured to issue a synthetic request to retrieve the metadata in response to the detection of the absence of the metadata, and to

provide the retrieved metadata to the second point of presence to enforce the data protection policies on the policy enforcement-requiring event, thereby obviating the metadata migration from the local metadata store of the first point of presence or the distributed metadata store.

(226) In one implementation of the system, the inline metadata generation logic is further configured to issue the synthetic request to the cloud application, and to receive a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the metadata.

(227) In one implementation of the system, the inline metadata generation logic is further configured to issue the synthetic request to a metadata store independent of the cloud application, and to receive a response to the synthetic request from the metadata store. The response supplies the metadata. In some implementations of the system, the inline metadata generation logic is further configured to store the supplied metadata in the local metadata store of the second point of presence.

(228) In one implementation of the system, the synthetic request is issued within the application session and independently of the incoming requests.

(229) In one implementation of the system, the metadata-generating event is a login event. The metadata generated by the login event includes instance metadata. The instance metadata identifies a login instance used to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account. In some implementations of the system, the policy enforcement-requiring event is an upload activity that attempts to upload an object to the cloud application.

(230) In some implementations of the system, the second point of presence, based on the instance metadata, allows the upload activity if the login instance is the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account. In other implementations of the system, the second point of presence, based on the instance metadata, blocks the upload activity if the login instance is the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(231) In one implementation of the system, the metadata generated by the login event includes user metadata. The user metadata identifies user information of a user who caused the client to access the cloud application.

(232) In one implementation of the system, the second point of presence, based on the user metadata, allows the upload activity if the user information indicates that the user is an authorized user. In another implementation of the system, the second point of presence, based on the user metadata, blocks the upload activity if the user information indicates that the user is an unauthorized user.

(233) In one implementation of the system, the points of presence are data centers that are geographically distributed across the edge network.

(234) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes an edge network intermediating traffic between clients and cloud applications and applying policies on the intermediated traffic based on metadata. The edge network comprises a plurality of points of presence of a network security system. Points of presence in the plurality of points of presence are configured to intermediate traffic between clients and cloud applications and to use metadata to apply policies on the intermediated traffic. There are redundancies in metadata synchronization between the points of presence due to metadata migration to a second point of presence from a first point of presence handing off intermediation to the second point of presence within an application session.

(235) The computer-implemented method further includes inline metadata generation logic running in each of the points of presence and issuing synthetic requests to provide the metadata to the second point of presence without requiring the metadata migration to the second point of presence.

(236) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, a client directs towards a cloud application a sequence of incoming requests within the application session. The cloud application is a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, incoming requests in the sequence of incoming requests characterize at least one metadata-generating event (e.g., login event) that precedes at least one policy enforcement-requiring event (e.g., activities like upload, share, edit, delete, download).

(237) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the first point of presence captured, from the metadata-generating event, metadata required to enforce one or more data protection policies (e.g., data loss protection (DLP) policies, threat detection policies, and malware detection policies) on the policy enforcement-requiring event. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the first point of presence materialized the metadata in a local metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is confined only to the first point of presence in the edge network. In other implementations of the computer-implemented method, the first point of presence materialized the metadata in

a distributed metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is shared by the points of presence in the edge network.

(238) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the first point of presence handed off the intermediation to the second point of presence after the metadata-generating event but before the policy enforcement-requiring event, and therefore the metadata-generating event bypassed the second point of presence, and therefore the metadata is locally unavailable to the second point of presence and requires the metadata migration from the local metadata store or the distributed metadata store.

(239) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the second point of presence intermediates the policy enforcement-requiring event and detects absence of the metadata when enforcing the data protection policies on the policy enforcement-requiring event. The absence of the metadata is determined when a local metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is confined only to the second point of presence in the edge network returns an empty value.

(240) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the inline metadata generation logic issuing a synthetic request to retrieve the metadata in response to the detection of the absence of the metadata, and providing the retrieved metadata to the second point of presence to enforce the data protection policies on the policy enforcement-requiring event, thereby obviating the metadata migration from the local metadata store of the first point of presence or the distributed metadata store.

(241) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the inline metadata generation logic issuing the synthetic request to the cloud application and receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the metadata.

(242) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the inline metadata generation logic issuing the synthetic request to a metadata store independent of the cloud application and receiving a response to the synthetic request from the metadata store. The response supplies the metadata. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the inline metadata generation logic storing the supplied metadata in the local metadata store of the second point of presence.

(243) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the synthetic request is issued within the application session and independently of the incoming requests.

(244) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the metadata-generating event is a login event. The metadata generated by the login event includes instance metadata. The instance metadata identifies a login instance used to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the policy enforcement-requiring event is an upload activity that attempts to upload an object to the cloud application.

(245) In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the second point of presence, based on the instance metadata, allows the upload activity if the login instance is the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account. In other implementations of the computer-implemented method, the second point of presence, based on the instance metadata, blocks the upload activity if the login instance is the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(246) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the metadata generated by the login event includes user metadata. The user metadata identifies user information of a user who caused the client to access the cloud application.

(247) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the second point of presence, based on the user metadata, allows the upload activity if the user information indicates that the user is an authorized user. In another implementation of the computer-implemented method, the second point of presence, based on the user metadata, blocks the upload activity if the user information indicates that the user is an unauthorized user.

(248) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the points of presence are data centers that are geographically distributed across the edge network.

(249) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above.

(250) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising an edge network intermediating traffic between clients and cloud applications and applying policies on the intermediated traffic based on metadata. The edge network comprises

a plurality of points of presence of a network security system. Points of presence in the plurality of points of presence are configured to intermediate traffic between clients and cloud applications and to use metadata to apply policies on the intermediated traffic. There are redundancies in metadata synchronization between the points of presence due to metadata migration to a second point of presence from a first point of presence handing off intermediation to the second point of presence within an application session.

(251) The method further comprises inline metadata generation logic running in each of the points of presence and issuing synthetic requests to provide the metadata to the second point of presence without requiring the metadata migration to the second point of presence.

(252) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, a client directs towards a cloud application a sequence of incoming requests within the application session. The cloud application is a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, incoming requests in the sequence of incoming requests characterize at least one metadata-generating event (e.g., login event) that precedes at least one policy enforcement-requiring event (e.g., activities like upload, share, edit, delete, download).

(253) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the first point of presence captured, from the metadata-generating event, metadata required to enforce one or more data protection policies (e.g., data loss protection (DLP) policies, threat detection policies, and malware detection policies) on the policy enforcement-requiring event. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the first point of presence materialized the metadata in a local metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is confined only to the first point of presence in the edge network. In other implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the first point of presence materialized the metadata in a distributed metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is shared by the points of presence in the edge network.

(254) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the first point of presence handed off the intermediation to the second point of presence after the metadata-generating event but before the policy enforcement-requiring event, and therefore the metadata-generating event bypassed the second point of presence, and therefore the metadata is locally unavailable to the second point of presence and requires the metadata migration from the local metadata store or the distributed metadata store.

(255) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the second point of presence intermediates the policy enforcement-requiring event and detects absence of the metadata when enforcing the data protection policies on the policy enforcement-requiring event. The absence of the metadata is determined when a local metadata store (e.g., an in-memory data structure store (e.g., a Redis database)) that is confined only to the second point of presence in the edge network returns an empty value.

(256) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the inline metadata generation logic issuing a synthetic request to retrieve the metadata in response to the detection of the absence of the metadata, and providing the retrieved metadata to the second point of presence to enforce the data protection policies on the policy enforcement-requiring event, thereby obviating the metadata migration from the local metadata store of the first point of presence or the distributed metadata store.

(257) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the inline metadata generation logic issuing the synthetic request to the cloud application and receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the metadata.

(258) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the inline metadata generation logic issuing the synthetic request to a metadata store independent of the cloud application and receiving a response to the synthetic request from the metadata store. The response supplies the metadata. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the inline metadata generation logic storing the supplied metadata in the local metadata store of the second point of presence.

(259) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the synthetic request is issued within the application session and independently of the incoming requests.

(260) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the metadata-generating event is a login event. The metadata generated by the login event includes instance metadata. The instance metadata identifies a login instance used to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the policy enforcement-requiring event is an upload activity that attempts to upload an object to the cloud

application.

(261) In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the second point of presence, based on the instance metadata, allows the upload activity if the login instance is the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account. In other implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the second point of presence, based on the instance metadata, blocks the upload activity if the login instance is the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(262) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the metadata generated by the login event includes user metadata. The user metadata identifies user information of a user who caused the client to access the cloud application.

(263) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the second point of presence, based on the user metadata, allows the upload activity if the user information indicates that the user is an authorized user. In another implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the second point of presence, based on the user metadata, blocks the upload activity if the user information indicates that the user is an unauthorized user.

(264) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the points of presence are data centers that are geographically distributed across the edge network.

(265) 3) Data Flow Logic for Synthetic Request Injection for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(266) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a plurality of network security systems. Network security systems in the plurality of network security systems are configured to intermediate traffic between clients and cloud applications. The system comprises data flow logic. The data flow logic is configured to inject an incoming request directed to a cloud application in a processing path of a particular network security system in the network security systems.

(267) The particular network security system is configured to use an application-specific parser specific to the cloud application to inspect certain fields and variables in the incoming request for metadata, determine that the metadata is missing, and use an application-specific template of the application-specific parser to construct a synthetic request.

(268) The data flow logic is further configured to inject the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application.

(269) The data flow logic is further configured to inject a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application in the processing path of the particular network security system.

(270) The particular network security system is further configured to use the application-specific parser to extract the missing metadata from the response.

(271) In one implementation of the system, the application-specific parser specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application. In some implementations of the system, the match conditions are configured to specify which fields and variables in requests directed to a user API are configured to contain the metadata, and to extract the missing metadata from responses generated by the particular API.

(272) In one implementation of the system, the application-specific template specifies parameters to include in the synthetic request. The parameters are specific to the request and response syntaxes. In some implementations of the system, the parameters are configured to cause the cloud application to generate responses that contain the missing metadata. In one implementation of the system, the parameters include a unified resource locator (URL). In another implementation of the system, the parameters include a set of header fields. In some implementations of the system, header fields in the set of header fields include fields from the incoming request. In yet another implementation of the system, the parameters include a response body.

(273) In one implementation of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to use the application-specific parser to determine an activity being performed by the incoming request.

(274) In one implementation of the system, the application-specific parser has respective application-specific templates for respective activities. The application-specific template is used to generate the synthetic request for the determined activity. In some implementations of the system, the application-specific parser has an application-specific templates for a plurality of activities determined by the application-specific parser. The application-specific template is used to generate the synthetic request for determined activities in the plurality of activities.

(275) In one implementation of the system, the application-specific template includes a first construction logic to generate the synthetic request for a browser instance of the cloud application.

(276) In one implementation of the system, the application-specific template includes a second construction logic to generate the synthetic request for a native instance of the cloud application running locally on a client. In some implementations of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to use the second construction logic to send a synthetic response to the native instance to retrieve a master token of a user identity spanning the cloud application, send a first synthetic request to the cloud application to retrieve a people token specific to the native instance of the cloud application, and send a second synthetic request to the cloud application to retrieve the missing metadata.

(277) In one implementation of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to store the extracted missing metadata. In some implementations of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to hold the incoming request in response to determining that the metadata is missing. In other implementations of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to release the incoming request and use the extracted missing metadata to subject the incoming request to policy enforcement.

(278) In one implementation of the system, the incoming request is completed in dependence upon the policy enforcement and transmitted to the cloud application. In some implementations of the system, the completed incoming request causes an activity to be executed on an object. In one implementation of the system, the activity is uploading the object to the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is downloading the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is editing the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is deleting the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is creating the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is sharing the object on the cloud application (e.g., via a link). In one implementation of the system, the activity is moving the object within the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is moving the object outside the cloud application.

(279) In one implementation of the system, the incoming request is blocked in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(280) In one implementation of the system, the particular network security system is an inline metadata generation side car service shared by multiple network security systems in the plurality of network security systems and configured to retrieve the metadata using the synthetic request.

(281) In one implementation of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to inspect the incoming request and identify the cloud application and invoke the application-specific parser based on the identified cloud application.

(282) In one implementation of the system, the data flow logic is further configured to inject the incoming request in the processing path of the particular network security system in a first application session. In some implementations of the system, the data flow logic is further configured to inject the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system in the first application session. In some implementations of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to configure the synthetic request with one or more application session identifiers that are used by the data flow logic to inject the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system in the first application session.

(283) In one implementation of the system, the application session identifiers include at least one of user agent information, username, authenticated user, tenant identifier (ID), location internet protocol (IP) address.

(284) In one implementation of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to strip off the application session identifiers from the synthetic request prior to transmission to the cloud application.

(285) In one implementation of the system, the particular network security system is further configured to use the application session identifiers to inject the supplied metadata in the first application session to subject the incoming request or a further incoming request to policy enforcement within the first application session.

(286) In one implementation of the system, the data flow logic is further configured to inject the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system using a client URL (cURL) module and synthetic listener mode of the particular network security system. In some implementations of the system, the cURL protocol generates a cURL synthetic request for the synthetic request and sends the cURL synthetic request to the synthetic listener mode. In some implementations of the system, the synthetic listener mode marks the cURL synthetic request as an internal request and subjects the cURL synthetic request to processing by the application-specific parser, sends the cURL synthetic request to the cloud application, receives the response to the cURL synthetic request from the cloud application, and uses the application-specific parser to

extract the missing metadata from the response.

(287) In one implementation of the system, the synthetic listener mode stores the extracted missing metadata in a local metadata store of the particular network security system. In another implementation of the system, the synthetic listener mode stores the extracted missing metadata in a distributed metadata store shared by the network security systems. In yet another implementation of the system, the synthetic listener mode generates a cURL response, which is used to release the incoming request.

(288) In one implementation of the system, the cURL request is generated by a cURL utility thread implementing the cURL protocol, and the cURL response is received by the cURL utility thread.

(289) In one implementation of the system, the internal request bypasses policy enforcement and event generation by the particular network security system.

(290) In one implementation of the system, the network security systems are configured to run as containerized services. In some implementations of the system, the particular network security system is configured to run as a container hypervisor generating synthetic requests for a plurality of the containerized services.

(291) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes network security systems in a plurality of network security systems intermediating traffic between clients and cloud applications.

(292) The computer-implemented method further includes data flow logic injecting an incoming request directed to a cloud application in a processing path of a particular network security system in the network security systems.

(293) The computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system using an application-specific parser specific to the cloud application to inspect certain fields and variables in the incoming request for metadata, determining that the metadata is missing, and using an application-specific template of the application-specific parser to construct a synthetic request.

(294) The computer-implemented method further includes the data flow logic injecting the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application.

(295) The computer-implemented method further includes the data flow logic injecting a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application in the processing path of the particular network security system.

(296) The computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system using the application-specific parser to extract the missing metadata from the response.

(297) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific parser specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the match conditions are configured to specify which fields and variables in requests directed to a user API are configured to contain the metadata, and to extract the missing metadata from responses generated by the particular API.

(298) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific template specifies parameters to include in the synthetic request. The parameters are specific to the request and response syntaxes. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the parameters are configured to cause the cloud application to generate responses that contain the missing metadata. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the parameters include a unified resource locator (URL). In another implementation of the computer-implemented method, the parameters include a set of header fields. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, header fields in the set of header fields include fields from the incoming request. In yet another implementation of the computer-implemented method, the parameters include a response body.

(299) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system using the application-specific parser to determine an activity being performed by the incoming request.

(300) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific parser has respective application-specific templates for respective activities. The application-specific template is used to generate the synthetic request for the determined activity. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific parser has an application-specific templates for a plurality of activities determined by the application-specific parser. The application-specific template is used to generate the synthetic request for determined activities in the plurality of activities.



(301) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific template includes a first construction logic to generate the synthetic request for a browser instance of the cloud application.

(302) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the application-specific template includes a second construction logic to generate the synthetic request for a native instance of the cloud application running locally on a client. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system using the second construction logic to send a synthetic response to the native instance to retrieve a master token of a user identity spanning the cloud application, sending a first synthetic request to the cloud application to retrieve a people token specific to the native instance of the cloud application, and sending a second synthetic request to the cloud application to retrieve the missing metadata.

(303) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system storing the extracted missing metadata. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system holding the incoming request in response to determining that the metadata is missing. In other implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system releasing the incoming request and using the extracted missing metadata to subject the incoming request to policy enforcement.

(304) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request is completed in dependence upon the policy enforcement and transmitted to the cloud application. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the completed incoming request causes an activity to be executed on an object. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is uploading the object to the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is downloading the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is editing the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is deleting the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is creating the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is sharing the object on the cloud application (e.g., via a link). In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is moving the object within the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is moving the object outside the cloud application.

(305) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request is blocked in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(306) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the particular network security system is an inline metadata generation side car service shared by multiple network security systems in the plurality of network security systems and configured to retrieve the metadata using the synthetic request.

(307) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system inspecting the incoming request and identifying the cloud application and invoking the application-specific parser based on the identified cloud application.

(308) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the data flow logic injecting the incoming request in the processing path of the particular network security system in a first application session. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the data flow logic injecting the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system in the first application session. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system configuring the synthetic request with one or more application session identifiers that are used by the data flow logic to inject the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system in the first application session.

(309) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the application session identifiers include at least one of user agent information, username, authenticated user, tenant identifier (ID), location internet protocol (IP) address.

(310) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system stripping off the application session identifiers from the synthetic request prior to transmission to the cloud application.

(311) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system using the application session identifiers to inject the supplied metadata in the first application session to subject the incoming request or a further incoming request to policy enforcement within the first application session.

(312) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the data flow logic injecting

the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system using a client URL (cURL) module and synthetic listener mode of the particular network security system. In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the cURL protocol generates a cURL synthetic request for the synthetic request and sends the cURL synthetic request to the synthetic listener mode. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the synthetic listener mode marking the cURL synthetic request as an internal request and subjecting the cURL synthetic request to processing by the application-specific parser, sending the cURL synthetic request to the cloud application, receiving the response to the cURL synthetic request from the cloud application, and using the application-specific parser to extract the missing metadata from the response.

(313) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the synthetic listener mode storing the extracted missing metadata in a local metadata store of the particular network security system. In another implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the synthetic listener mode storing the extracted missing metadata in a distributed metadata store shared by the network security systems. In yet another implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the synthetic listener mode generating a cURL response, which is used to release the incoming request.

(314) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes generating the cURL request using a cURL utility thread implementing the cURL protocol, and the cURL response is received by the cURL utility thread.

(315) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the internal request bypassing policy enforcement and event generation by the particular network security system.

(316) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security systems running as containerized services. In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the particular network security system running as a container hypervisor generating synthetic requests for a plurality of the containerized services.

(317) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above.

(318) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising network security systems in a plurality of network security systems intermediating traffic between clients and cloud applications.

(319) The non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises data flow logic injecting an incoming request directed to a cloud application in a processing path of a particular network security system in the network security systems.

(320) The non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system using an application-specific parser specific to the cloud application to inspect certain fields and variables in the incoming request for metadata, determining that the metadata is missing, and using an application-specific template of the application-specific parser to construct a synthetic request.

(321) The non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the data flow logic injecting the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application.

(322) The non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the data flow logic injecting a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application in the processing path of the particular network security system.

(323) The non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system using the application-specific parser to extract the missing metadata from the response.

(324) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific parser specifies match conditions that are specific to request and response syntaxes defined for a particular application programming interface (API) of the cloud application. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the match conditions are configured to specify which fields and variables in requests directed to a user API are configured to contain the metadata, and to extract the missing metadata from responses generated by the particular API.

(325) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific

template specifies parameters to include in the synthetic request. The parameters are specific to the request and response syntaxes. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters are configured to cause the cloud application to generate responses that contain the missing metadata. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters include a unified resource locator (URL). In another implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters include a set of header fields. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, header fields in the set of header fields include fields from the incoming request. In yet another implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the parameters include a response body.

(326) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system using the application-specific parser to determine an activity being performed by the incoming request.

(327) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific parser has respective application-specific templates for respective activities. The application-specific template is used to generate the synthetic request for the determined activity. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific parser has an application-specific templates for a plurality of activities determined by the application-specific parser. The application-specific template is used to generate the synthetic request for determined activities in the plurality of activities.

(328) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific template includes a first construction logic to generate the synthetic request for a browser instance of the cloud application.

(329) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application-specific template includes a second construction logic to generate the synthetic request for a native instance of the cloud application running locally on a client. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system using the second construction logic to send a synthetic response to the native instance to retrieve a master token of a user identity spanning the cloud application, sending a first synthetic request to the cloud application to retrieve a people token specific to the native instance of the cloud application, and sending a second synthetic request to the cloud application to retrieve the missing metadata.

(330) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system storing the extracted missing metadata. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system holding the incoming request in response to determining that the metadata is missing. In other implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system releasing the incoming request and using the extracted missing metadata to subject the incoming request to policy enforcement.

(331) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request is completed in dependence upon the policy enforcement and transmitted to the cloud application. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the completed incoming request causes an activity to be executed on an object. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is uploading the object to the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is downloading the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is editing the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is deleting the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is creating the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is sharing the object on the cloud application (e.g., via a link). In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is moving the object within the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is moving the object outside the cloud application.

(332) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request is blocked in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(333) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the particular network security system is an inline metadata generation side car service shared by multiple network security systems

in the plurality of network security systems and configured to retrieve the metadata using the synthetic request.  
(334) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system inspecting the incoming request and identifying the cloud application and invoking the application-specific parser based on the identified cloud application.

(335) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the data flow logic injecting the incoming request in the processing path of the particular network security system in a first application session. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the data flow logic injecting the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system in the first application session. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system configuring the synthetic request with one or more application session identifiers that are used by the data flow logic to inject the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system in the first application session.

(336) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the application session identifiers include at least one of user agent information, username, authenticated user, tenant identifier (ID), location internet protocol (IP) address.

(337) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system stripping off the application session identifiers from the synthetic request prior to transmission to the cloud application.

(338) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system using the application session identifiers to inject the supplied metadata in the first application session to subject the incoming request or a further incoming request to policy enforcement within the first application session.

(339) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the data flow logic injecting the synthetic request in the processing path of the particular network security system using a client URL (cURL) module and synthetic listener mode of the particular network security system. In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the cURL protocol generates a cURL synthetic request for the synthetic request and sends the cURL synthetic request to the synthetic listener mode. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the synthetic listener mode marking the cURL synthetic request as an internal request and subjecting the cURL synthetic request to processing by the application-specific parser, sending the cURL synthetic request to the cloud application, receiving the response to the cURL synthetic request from the cloud application, and using the application-specific parser to extract the missing metadata from the response.

(340) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the synthetic listener mode storing the extracted missing metadata in a local metadata store of the particular network security system. In another implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the synthetic listener mode storing the extracted missing metadata in a distributed metadata store shared by the network security systems. In yet another implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the synthetic listener mode generating a cURL response, which is used to release the incoming request.

(341) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises generating the cURL request using a cURL utility thread implementing the cURL protocol, and the cURL response is received by the cURL utility thread.

(342) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the internal request bypassing policy enforcement and event generation by the particular network security system.

(343) In one implementation, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the network security systems running as containerized services. In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further comprises the particular network security system running as a container hypervisor generating synthetic requests for a plurality of the containerized services.

(344) 4) Synthetic Request Injection to Retrieve Object Metadata for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(345) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to receive, during an application session, an incoming request from a client. The incoming request is directed towards a cloud application, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request, and includes an object identifier of an object. The network security system is further configured to analyze the incoming

request and detect the object identifier.

(346) The network security system is further configured to configure a synthetic request with the object identifier and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve object metadata about the object using the object identifier.

(347) The network security system is further configured to receive a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the object metadata.

(348) In one implementation of the system, the object metadata is object name. In one implementation of the system, the object metadata is object size. In one implementation of the system, the object metadata is object type. In one implementation of the system, the object metadata is object sensitivity.

(349) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to use the object metadata to enforce at least one security policy on the incoming request and/or a further incoming request that follows the incoming request.

(350) In some implementations of the system, the incoming request and/or the further incoming request attempts to execute an activity involving the object. In one implementation of the system, the activity is uploading the object to the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is downloading the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is editing the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is deleting the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is creating the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is sharing the object on the cloud application (e.g., via a link). In one implementation of the system, the activity is moving the object within the cloud application. In one implementation of the system, the activity is moving the object outside the cloud application.

(351) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to hold the incoming request, and thereby hold execution of the activity on the object. transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application and receive the response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the object metadata. The network security system is further configured to determine that the activity qualifies as executable on the object based on an evaluation of the supplied object metadata against the security policy, and execute the activity on the object.

(352) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to execute the activity on the object, transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application, and receive the response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the object metadata. The network security system is further configured to return the supplied object metadata to the client for display as supplemental confirmation of the execution of the activity on the object.

(353) In some implementations of the system, the synthetic request is further configured to use the object identifier to retrieve the object from the cloud application. The response supplies the object. In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to enforce the security policy on the object.

(354) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to analyze the retrieved object to generate the object metadata (e.g., using data loss prevention (DLP) analysis (e.g., text analysis). The generated object metadata is sensitivity metadata that specifies whether the retrieved object is sensitive or not.

(355) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to use the generated object metadata to enforce the security policy on the retrieved object.

(356) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to transmit the retrieved object to the client.

(357) In some implementations of the system, the network security system is further configured to extract an authentication token from the incoming request, and to configure the synthetic request with the authentication token to access the cloud application.

(358) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes a network security system receiving, during an application session, an incoming request from a client. The network security system is interposed between clients and cloud applications. The incoming request is directed towards a cloud application, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request, and includes an object identifier of an object. The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system analyzing the incoming request and detecting the object identifier.

(359) The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system configuring a synthetic request with the object identifier and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve object metadata about the object using the object identifier.

(360) The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the object metadata.

(361) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the object metadata is object name. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the object metadata is object size. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the object metadata is object type. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the object metadata is object sensitivity.

(362) In some implementations, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system using the object metadata to enforce at least one security policy on the incoming request and/or a further incoming request that follows the incoming request.

(363) In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request and/or the further incoming request attempts to execute an activity involving the object. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is uploading the object to the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is downloading the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is editing the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is deleting the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is creating the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is sharing the object on the cloud application (e.g., via a link). In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is moving the object within the cloud application. In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the activity is moving the object outside the cloud application.

(364) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above.

(365) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising a network security system receiving, during an application session, an incoming request from a client. The network security system is interposed between clients and cloud applications. The incoming request is directed towards a cloud application, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request, and includes an object identifier of an object. The method further comprises the network security system analyzing the incoming request and detecting the object identifier.

(366) The method further comprises the network security system configuring a synthetic request with the object identifier and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve object metadata about the object using the object identifier.

(367) The method further comprises the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the object metadata.

(368) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the object metadata is object name. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the object metadata is object size. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the object metadata is object type. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the object metadata is object sensitivity.

(369) In some implementations, the non-transitory computer readable storage medium further includes the network security system using the object metadata to enforce at least one security policy on the incoming request and/or a further incoming request that follows the incoming request.

(370) In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request and/or the further incoming request attempts to execute an activity involving the object. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is uploading the object to the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is downloading the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer

readable storage medium, the activity is editing the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is deleting the object from the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is creating the object on the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is sharing the object on the cloud application (e.g., via a link). In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is moving the object within the cloud application. In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the activity is moving the object outside the cloud application.

(371) 5) Synthetic Request Injection to Retrieve Expired Metadata for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(372) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to process an incoming request from a client and generate metadata. The network security system is further configured to transmit the incoming request to a cloud application, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request. The network security system is further configured to configure the metadata to expire after an expiration window.

(373) The network security system is further configured to receive, after the expiration window, a further incoming request from the client. The further incoming request is directed towards the cloud application and subject to policy enforcement that requires the expired metadata. The network security system is further configured to hold the further incoming request and transmit a synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve the expired metadata from the cloud application.

(374) The network security system is further configured to receive a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the expired metadata. The network security system is further configured to use the supplied expired metadata to subject the further incoming request to the policy enforcement.

(375) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to store the metadata for a period of time defined by the expiration window.

(376) In one implementation of the system, the incoming request, the further incoming request, and the synthetic request are generated during a same application session.

(377) In one implementation of the system, the incoming request is generated during a first application session. The further incoming request and the synthetic request are generated during a second application session that follows the first application session.

(378) In one implementation of the system, the network security system is further configured to extract an authentication token from the incoming request, and to configure the synthetic request with the authentication token to access the cloud application.

(379) In one implementation of the system, the expired metadata supplied by the response identifies a login instance used by the incoming request to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account.

(380) In one implementation of the system, the expired metadata supplied by the response identifies object metadata.

(381) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes a network security system processing an incoming request from a client and generating metadata. The network security system is interposed between clients and cloud applications. The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system transmitting the incoming request to a cloud application, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request. The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system configuring the metadata to expire after an expiration window.

(382) The computer-implemented method further includes the policy enforcement receiving, after the expiration window, a further incoming request from the client. The further incoming request is directed towards the cloud application and subject to policy enforcement that requires the expired metadata. The computer-implemented method further includes the policy enforcement holding the further incoming request and transmitting a synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve the expired metadata from the cloud application.

(383) The computer-implemented method further includes the policy enforcement receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the expired metadata. The computer-implemented method further includes the policy enforcement using the supplied expired metadata to subject

the further incoming request to the policy enforcement.

(384) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system storing the metadata for a period of time defined by the expiration window.

(385) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request, the further incoming request, and the synthetic request are generated during a same application session.

(386) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request is generated during a first application session. The further incoming request and the synthetic request are generated during a second application session that follows the first application session.

(387) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes the network security system extracting an authentication token from the incoming request and configuring the synthetic request with the authentication token to access the cloud application.

(388) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the expired metadata supplied by the response identifies a login instance used by the incoming request to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account.

(389) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the expired metadata supplied by the response identifies object metadata.

(390) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above.

(391) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising a network security system processing an incoming request from a client and generating metadata. The network security system is interposed between clients and cloud applications. The method further comprises the network security system transmitting the incoming request to a cloud application, i.e., a target cloud application targeted by the incoming request. The method further comprises the network security system configuring the metadata to expire after an expiration window.

(392) The method further comprises the policy enforcement receiving, after the expiration window, a further incoming request from the client. The further incoming request is directed towards the cloud application and subject to policy enforcement that requires the expired metadata. The method further comprises the policy enforcement holding the further incoming request and transmitting a synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve the expired metadata from the cloud application.

(393) The method further comprises the policy enforcement receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the expired metadata. The method further comprises the policy enforcement using the supplied expired metadata to subject the further incoming request to the policy enforcement.

(394) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the method further comprises the network security system storing the metadata for a period of time defined by the expiration window.

(395) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request, the further incoming request, and the synthetic request are generated during a same application session.

(396) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request is generated during a first application session. The further incoming request and the synthetic request are generated during a second application session that follows the first application session.

(397) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the method further comprises the network security system extracting an authentication token from the incoming request and configuring the synthetic request with the authentication token to access the cloud application.

(398) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the expired metadata supplied by the response identifies a login instance used by the incoming request to access the cloud application as being a controlled account or an uncontrolled account.

(399) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the expired metadata supplied by the response identifies object metadata.

(400) 6) Synthetic Request Injection to Improve Object Security Posture for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(401) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network



security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to receive from a client an incoming request to upload an object to a cloud application over an application session. The object is subject to policy enforcement by the network security system. The network security system is further configured to generate a synthetic request, upload the object to the cloud application, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify a security posture of the uploaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(402) In one implementation of the system, the security posture includes sharing configurations of the uploaded object. The synthetic request is further configured to modify the sharing configurations to prevent sharing of the uploaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(403) In one implementation of the system, the security posture includes sensitivity configurations of the uploaded object. The synthetic request is further configured to modify the sensitivity configurations to set sensitivity status of the uploaded object as sensitive.

(404) In one implementation, the system is further configured to receive from the client an incoming request to edit the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generate the synthetic request, edit the object on the cloud application, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the edited object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(405) In one implementation, the system is further configured to receive from the client an incoming request to download the object from the cloud application over the application session, and generate the synthetic request, download the object from the cloud application, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the downloaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(406) In one implementation, the system is further configured to receive from the client an incoming request to create the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generate the synthetic request, create the object on the cloud application, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the created object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(407) In one implementation, the system is further configured to receive from the client an incoming request to share the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generate the synthetic request, share the object on the cloud application, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the shared object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(408) In one implementation, the system is further configured to receive from the client an incoming request to move the object inside or outside the cloud application over the application session, and generate the synthetic request, move the object inside or outside the cloud application, and inject the synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the moved object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(409) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes receiving from a client an incoming request to upload an object to a cloud application over an application session. The object is subject to policy enforcement by a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The computer-implemented method further includes generating a synthetic request, uploading the object to the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify a security posture of the uploaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(410) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the security posture includes sharing configurations of the uploaded object. The synthetic request is further configured to modify the sharing configurations to prevent sharing of the uploaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(411) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the security posture includes sensitivity configurations of the uploaded object. The synthetic request is further configured to modify the sensitivity configurations to set sensitivity status of the uploaded object as sensitive.

(412) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes receiving from the client an incoming request to edit the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, editing the object on the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the

application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the edited object in dependence upon the policy enforcement. (413) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes receiving from the client an incoming request to download the object from the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, downloading the object from the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the downloaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(414) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes receiving from the client an incoming request to create the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, creating the object on the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the created object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(415) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes receiving from the client an incoming request to share the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, sharing the object on the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the shared object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(416) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes receiving from the client an incoming request to move the object inside or outside the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, moving the object inside or outside the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the moved object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(417) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above.

(418) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising receiving from a client an incoming request to upload an object to a cloud application over an application session. The object is subject to policy enforcement by a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The method further comprises generating a synthetic request, uploading the object to the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify a security posture of the uploaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(419) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the security posture includes sharing configurations of the uploaded object. The synthetic request is further configured to modify the sharing configurations to prevent sharing of the uploaded object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(420) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the security posture includes sensitivity configurations of the uploaded object. The synthetic request is further configured to modify the sensitivity configurations to set sensitivity status of the uploaded object as sensitive.

(421) In one implementation, the instructions implement the method further comprising receiving from the client an incoming request to edit the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, editing the object on the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the edited object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(422) In one implementation, the instructions implement the method further comprising receiving from the client an incoming request to download the object from the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, downloading the object from the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the downloaded object in dependence upon

the policy enforcement.

(423) In one implementation, the instructions implement the method further comprising receiving from the client an incoming request to create the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, creating the object on the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the created object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(424) In one implementation, the instructions implement the method further comprising receiving from the client an incoming request to share the object on the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, sharing the object on the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the shared object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(425) In one implementation, the instructions implement the method further comprising receiving from the client an incoming request to move the object inside or outside the cloud application over the application session, and generating the synthetic request, moving the object inside or outside the cloud application, and injecting the synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to modify the security posture of the moved object in dependence upon the policy enforcement.

(426) 7) Synthetic Request Injection to Disambiguate Bypassed Login Events for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(427) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes disambiguating a bypassed login event that caused a client to access a cloud application but bypassed a network security system configured to intermediate traffic between the client and the cloud application.

(428) The network security system receives from the client an incoming request to access a resource on the cloud application over an application session. The bypassed login event preceded the incoming request.

(429) The network security system analyzes the incoming request and detects absence of instance metadata required to determine whether the bypassed login event emanated from a controlled account or an uncontrolled account. The network security system holds the incoming request, generates a synthetic request, and injects the synthetic request into the application session and transmits the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve the instance metadata from the cloud application.

(430) The network security system receives a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the instance metadata. The network security system uses the instance metadata to determine whether the bypassed login event emanated from the controlled account or the uncontrolled account.

(431) The method described in this section and other sections of the technology disclosed can include one or more of the following features and/or features described in connection with additional methods disclosed. In the interest of conciseness, the combinations of features disclosed in this application are not individually enumerated and are not repeated with each base set of features. The reader will understand how features identified in this method can readily be combined with sets of base features identified as implementations in other sections of this application.

(432) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request is fulfilled if the bypassed login event emanated from the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account.

(433) In another implementation of the computer-implemented method, the incoming request is blocked if the bypassed login event emanated from the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(434) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the cloud application is a native application running locally on a client and configured to provide access without a login page. The bypassed login event bypassed the network security system due to lack of the login page.

(435) In one implementation of the computer-implemented method, the cloud application is an unsanctioned application for which the network security system lacks an application-specific parser. The bypassed login event bypassed the network security system due to failure of the network security system to inspect fields and variables in the incoming request for the instance metadata.

(436) In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, the instance metadata is used to subject the incoming request to policy enforcement.

(437) In some implementations of the computer-implemented method, an authentication token is extracted from the incoming request. The synthetic request is configured with the authentication token.

(438) Other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform the computer-implemented method described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented method disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform the computer-implemented method described above.

(439) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises an inline proxy configured with synthetic request injection logic to intercept incoming requests and generate synthetic requests independent of the incoming requests within a same application session.

(440) In yet another implementation, a non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on a processor, implement a method comprising disambiguating a bypassed login event that caused a client to access a cloud application but bypassed a network security system configured to intermediate traffic between the client and the cloud application.

(441) The network security system receives from the client an incoming request to access a resource on the cloud application over an application session. The bypassed login event preceded the incoming request.

(442) The network security system analyzes the incoming request and detects absence of instance metadata required to determine whether the bypassed login event emanated from a controlled account or an uncontrolled account. The network security system holds the incoming request, generates a synthetic request, and injects the synthetic request into the application session and transmits the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve the instance metadata from the cloud application.

(443) The network security system receives a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the instance metadata. The network security system uses the instance metadata to determine whether the bypassed login event emanated from the controlled account or the uncontrolled account.

(444) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request is fulfilled if the bypassed login event emanated from the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account.

(445) In another implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the incoming request is blocked if the bypassed login event emanated from the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(446) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the cloud application is a native application running locally on a client and configured to provide access without a login page. The bypassed login event bypassed the network security system due to lack of the login page.

(447) In one implementation of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the cloud application is an unsanctioned application for which the network security system lacks an application-specific parser. The bypassed login event bypassed the network security system due to failure of the network security system to inspect fields and variables in the incoming request for the instance metadata.

(448) In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, the instance metadata is used to subject the incoming request to policy enforcement.

(449) In some implementations of the non-transitory computer readable storage medium, an authentication token is extracted from the incoming request. The synthetic request is configured with the authentication token.

(450) In yet another implementation, a system including one or more processors coupled to memory loaded with computer instructions to enforce policies is described. The instructions, when executed on the processors, implement actions comprising disambiguating a bypassed login event that caused a client to access a cloud application but bypassed a network security system configured to intermediate traffic between the client and the cloud application.

(451) The network security system receives from the client an incoming request to access a resource on the cloud application over an application session. The bypassed login event preceded the incoming request.

(452) The network security system analyzes the incoming request and detects absence of instance metadata required to determine whether the bypassed login event emanated from a controlled account or an uncontrolled account. The network security system holds the incoming request, generates a synthetic request, and injects the synthetic request into the application session and transmits the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve the instance metadata from the cloud application.

(453) The network security system receives a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the instance metadata. The network security system uses the instance metadata to determine

whether the bypassed login event emanated from the controlled account or the uncontrolled account.

(454) In one implementation of the system, the incoming request is fulfilled if the bypassed login event emanated from the controlled account. The controlled account is a corporate account.

(455) In another implementation of the system, the incoming request is blocked if the bypassed login event emanated from the uncontrolled account. The uncontrolled account is a private account.

(456) In one implementation of the system, the cloud application is a native application running locally on a client and configured to provide access without a login page. The bypassed login event bypassed the network security system due to lack of the login page.

(457) In one implementation of the system, the cloud application is an unsanctioned application for which the network security system lacks an application-specific parser. The bypassed login event bypassed the network security system due to failure of the network security system to inspect fields and variables in the incoming request for the instance metadata.

(458) In some implementations of the system, the instance metadata is used to subject the incoming request to policy enforcement.

(459) In some implementations of the system, an authentication token is extracted from the incoming request. The synthetic request is configured with the authentication token.

(460) 8) Synthetic Request Injection for Cloud Policy Enforcement

(461) In one implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to receive one or more incoming requests from a client during an application session, inject one or more synthetic requests into the application session independently of the incoming requests to transmit the synthetic requests to the cloud application, and receive one or more responses to the synthetic requests from the cloud application.

(462) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to hold at least one of the incoming requests until the network security system receives at least one of the responses.

(463) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to transmit at least one of the incoming requests to the cloud application before the network security system transmits at least one of the synthetic requests to the cloud application.

(464) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to transmit, in parallel, at least one of the incoming requests and at least one of the synthetic requests to the cloud application.

(465) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to use data supplied by at least one of the responses for policy enforcement on objects intercepted by the network security system.

(466) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to use the data to change security configurations of objects on the cloud application.

(467) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to inject the synthetic requests into the application session in response to detecting absence of some metadata.

(468) In some implementations, the synthetic requests are configured to retrieve the metadata from the cloud application.

(469) In some implementations, the synthetic requests are configured to retrieve the metadata from a metadata store independent of the cloud application.

(470) In one implementation, the network security system is further configured to transmit, in parallel, multiples ones of the synthetic requests to the cloud application for multiple ones of the incoming requests. The multiple ones of the incoming requests correspond to respective activities being performed on the cloud application.

(471) In another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a system. The system comprises a network security system interposed between clients and cloud applications. The network security system is configured to receive a first incoming request from a client during an application session, transmit the first incoming request to a cloud application, and inject a synthetic request into the application session to transmit the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve metadata not supplied by the first incoming request. The network security system is further configured to receive a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the metadata. The network security system is further configured to receive a further incoming request from the client during the application session, and use the supplied metadata to subject the further incoming request to policy enforcement.

(472) In yet another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes a network security system, interposed between clients and cloud

applications, receiving one or more incoming requests from a client during an application session, the network security system injecting one or more synthetic requests into the application session independently of the incoming requests and transmitting the synthetic requests to the cloud application, and the network security system receiving one or more responses to the synthetic requests from the cloud application.

(473) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes holding at least one of the incoming requests until the network security system receives at least one of the responses.

(474) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes transmitting at least one of the incoming requests to the cloud application before the network security system transmits at least one of the synthetic requests to the cloud application.

(475) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes transmitting, in parallel, at least one of the incoming requests and at least one of the synthetic requests to the cloud application.

(476) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further includes using data supplied by at least one of the responses for policy enforcement on objects intercepted by the network security system.

(477) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further using the data to change security configurations of objects on the cloud application.

(478) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further injecting the synthetic requests into the application session in response to detecting absence of some metadata.

(479) In some implementations, the synthetic requests are configured to retrieve the metadata from the cloud application.

(480) In some implementations, the synthetic requests are configured to retrieve the metadata from a metadata store independent of the cloud application.

(481) In one implementation, the computer-implemented method further transmitting, in parallel, multiples ones of the synthetic requests to the cloud application for multiple ones of the incoming requests. The multiple ones of the incoming requests correspond to respective activities being performed on the cloud application.

(482) In yet another implementation, the technology disclosed describes a computer-implemented method. The computer-implemented method includes a network security system, interposed between clients and cloud applications, receiving a first incoming request from a client during an application session and transmitting the first incoming request to a cloud application, and the network security system injecting a synthetic request into the application session and transmitting the synthetic request to the cloud application. The synthetic request is configured to retrieve metadata not supplied by the first incoming request. The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system receiving a response to the synthetic request from the cloud application. The response supplies the metadata. The computer-implemented method further includes the network security system receiving a further incoming request from the client during the application session, and using the supplied metadata to subject the further incoming request to policy enforcement.

(483) Other implementations of the computer-implemented methods disclosed herein can include a non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions executable by a processor to perform any of the computer-implemented methods described above. Yet other implementations of the computer-implemented methods disclosed herein can include a system including memory and one or more processors operable to execute instructions, stored in the memory, to perform any of the computer-implemented methods described above.

(484) While the present invention is disclosed by reference to the preferred embodiments and examples detailed above, it is to be understood that these examples are intended in an illustrative rather than in a limiting sense. It is contemplated that modifications and combinations will readily occur to those skilled in the art, which modifications and combinations will be within the spirit of the invention and the scope of the following claims.

## Claims

1. A system, comprising: a network security system, running on one or more hardware processors, interposed between clients and cloud applications, the network security system configured to: receive one or more incoming requests targeted at a cloud application of the cloud applications from a client of the clients during an application session, wherein the one or more incoming requests and corresponding responses from the cloud application are subject to enforcement of security policies by the network security system, and wherein the security policies include data loss prevention (DLP) policies; inject one or more synthetic requests into the application session to transmit the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application, wherein the one or

more synthetic requests are constructed using one or more parameters of the one or more incoming requests, and the one or more synthetic requests are not subject to the enforcement of the security policies; receive one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests from the cloud application, wherein the one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests are not subject to the enforcement of the security policies; and enforce the security policies on the one or more incoming requests using data supplied in at least one of the one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the network security system is further configured to hold at least one of the one or more incoming requests until the network security system receives at least one of the one or more responses.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein the network security system is further configured to transmit at least one of the one or more incoming requests to the cloud application before the network security system transmits at least one of the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the network security system is further configured to transmit, in parallel, at least one of the one or more incoming requests and at least one of the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application.

5. The system of claim 1, wherein the network security system is further configured to use data supplied by at least one of the one or more responses for enforcement of the security policies on objects intercepted by the network security system.

6. The system of claim 5, wherein the network security system is further configured to use the data to change security configurations of objects stored on the cloud application.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the network security system is further configured to inject the one or more synthetic requests into the application session in response to detecting absence of particular metadata.

8. The system of claim 7, wherein the one or more synthetic requests are configured to retrieve the particular metadata from the cloud application.

9. The system of claim 7, wherein at least one of the one or more synthetic requests are configured to retrieve the particular metadata from a metadata store independent of the cloud application.

10. The system of claim 1, wherein the network security system is further configured to transmit, in parallel, multiple ones of the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application for multiple ones of the one or more incoming requests, wherein the multiple ones of the one or more incoming requests correspond to respective activities being performed on the cloud application.

11. A computer-implemented method, including: during an application session, receiving one or more incoming requests targeted at a cloud application, wherein the one or more incoming requests and corresponding responses from the cloud application are subject to enforcement of security policies, and wherein the security policies include data loss prevention (DLP) policies; injecting one or more synthetic requests into the application session, and transmitting the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application, wherein the one or more synthetic requests are constructed using one or more parameters of the one or more incoming requests, and the one or more synthetic requests are not subject to the enforcement of the security policies; receiving one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests from the cloud application, wherein the one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests are not subject to the enforcement of the security policies; and enforcing the security policies on the one or more incoming requests using data supplied in at least one of the one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests.

12. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, further including holding at least one of the one or more incoming requests until at least one of the one or more responses is received.

13. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, further including transmitting, in parallel, at least one of the one or more incoming requests and at least one of the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application.

14. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, further including using data supplied by at least one of the one or more responses for policy enforcement on those objects that the one or more incoming requests attempt to transmit to the cloud application.

15. The computer-implemented method of claim 14, further including using the data to change security configurations of objects stored on the cloud application.

16. A non-transitory computer readable storage medium impressed with computer program instructions to enforce policies, the instructions, when executed on one or more processors, implement a method comprising: during an application session, receiving one or more incoming requests targeted at a cloud application, wherein the one or more incoming requests and corresponding responses from the cloud application are

subject to enforcement of security policies, and wherein the security policies include data loss prevention (DLP) policies; injecting one or more synthetic requests into the application session, and transmitting the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application, wherein the one or more synthetic requests are constructed using one or more parameters of the one or more incoming requests, and the one or more synthetic requests are not subject to the enforcement of the security policies; receiving one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests from the cloud application, wherein the one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests are not subject to the enforcement of the security policies; and enforcing the security policies on the one or more incoming requests using data supplied in at least one of the one or more responses to the one or more synthetic requests.

17. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 16, implementing the method further comprising holding at least one of the one or more incoming requests until at least one of the one or more responses is received.

18. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 16, implementing the method further comprising transmitting, in parallel, at least one of the one or more incoming requests and at least one of the one or more synthetic requests to the cloud application.

19. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 16, implementing the method further comprising using data supplied by at least one of the one or more responses for policy enforcement on those objects that the one or more incoming requests attempt to transmit to the cloud application.

20. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 19, implementing the method further comprising using the data to change security configurations of objects stored on the cloud application.

---