

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12390286
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 19, 2025
Inventor(s)	Graetzel; Chauncey F. et al.

Instrument shape determination

Abstract

A method of controlling an instrument involves measuring strain of one or more optical fibers associated with an elongate shaft of an instrument, determining a first shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain, determining, based on the measured strain, a strain-indicated characteristic of the elongate shaft with respect to a mechanical condition of the elongate shaft, determining an expected range of the mechanical condition of the elongate shaft, determining that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition, and in response to the determination that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range, determining a second shape estimation of the elongate shaft, the second shape estimation being based to a lesser degree on the measured strain compared to the first shape estimation.

Inventors: Graetzel; Chauncey F. (Palo Alto, CA), Noonan; David Paul (San Francisco, CA)

Applicant: Auris Health, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA)

Family ID: 1000008768199

Assignee: Auris Health, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA)

Appl. No.: 18/469140

Filed: September 18, 2023

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20240000520 A1	Jan. 04, 2024

Related U.S. Application Data

continuation parent-doc US 17124151 20201216 US 11779400 child-doc US 18469140
continuation parent-doc US 16531580 20190805 US 10898276 20210126 child-doc US 17124151

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: A61B34/20 (20160101); A61B34/30 (20160101); A61B90/00 (20160101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC A61B34/20 (20160201); A61B2034/2051 (20160201); A61B2034/2061 (20160201); A61B2034/2065 (20160201); A61B2034/301 (20160201); A61B2090/0809 (20160201); A61B2090/0818 (20160201); A61B2090/3782 (20160201)

Field of Classification Search

CPC: A61B (34/20); A61B (2034/2051); A61B (2090/0809); A61B (2034/2065); A61B (2034/301); A61B (2034/2061); A61B (2034/2072); A61B (2017/00809); A61B (2090/3735); A61B (2090/3614); A61B (2034/105); A61B (2034/2048); A61B (2034/107); A61B (2090/3782); A61B (2090/0818)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
3572325	12/1970	Bazell et al.	N/A	N/A
3913565	12/1974	Kawahara	N/A	N/A
4294234	12/1980	Matsuo	N/A	N/A
4392485	12/1982	Hiltebrandt	N/A	N/A
4607619	12/1985	Seike et al.	N/A	N/A
4690175	12/1986	Ouchi et al.	N/A	N/A
4706656	12/1986	Kuboto	N/A	N/A
4741326	12/1987	Sidall et al.	N/A	N/A
4745908	12/1987	Wardle	N/A	N/A
4748969	12/1987	Wardle	N/A	N/A
4750475	12/1987	Yoshihashi	N/A	N/A
4771766	12/1987	Aoshiro et al.	N/A	N/A
4846791	12/1988	Hattler et al.	N/A	N/A
4869238	12/1988	Opie et al.	N/A	N/A
4906496	12/1989	Hosono et al.	N/A	N/A
4907168	12/1989	Boggs	N/A	N/A
4967732	12/1989	Inoue	N/A	N/A
5050585	12/1990	Takahashi	N/A	N/A
5083549	12/1991	Cho et al.	N/A	N/A
5106387	12/1991	Kittrell et al.	N/A	N/A
5108800	12/1991	Koo	N/A	N/A
5125909	12/1991	Heimberger	N/A	N/A
5168864	12/1991	Shockey	N/A	N/A
5217002	12/1992	Katsurada et al.	N/A	N/A
5251611	12/1992	Zehel et al.	N/A	N/A
5257617	12/1992	Takahashi	N/A	N/A

5261391	12/1992	Inoue	N/A	N/A
5287861	12/1993	Wilk	N/A	N/A
5313934	12/1993	Wiita et al.	N/A	N/A
5386818	12/1994	Schneebaum et al.	N/A	N/A
5448988	12/1994	Watanabe	N/A	N/A
5478330	12/1994	Imran et al.	N/A	N/A
5482029	12/1995	Sekiguchi et al.	N/A	N/A
5489270	12/1995	Erp	N/A	N/A
5507725	12/1995	Savage et al.	N/A	N/A
5533985	12/1995	Wang	N/A	N/A
5580200	12/1995	Fullerton	N/A	N/A
5681296	12/1996	Ishida	N/A	N/A
5704534	12/1997	Huitema et al.	N/A	N/A
5720775	12/1997	Larnard	N/A	N/A
5741429	12/1997	Donadio, III et al.	N/A	N/A
5749889	12/1997	Bacich et al.	N/A	N/A
5873817	12/1998	Kokish et al.	N/A	N/A
5876325	12/1998	Mizuno et al.	N/A	N/A
5879287	12/1998	Yoshihashi	N/A	N/A
5882347	12/1998	Mouris-Laan et al.	N/A	N/A
5888191	12/1998	Akiba et al.	N/A	N/A
5910129	12/1998	Koblish et al.	N/A	N/A
5938586	12/1998	Wilk et al.	N/A	N/A
5938587	12/1998	Taylor et al.	N/A	N/A
6012494	12/1999	Balazs	N/A	N/A
6143013	12/1999	Samson et al.	N/A	N/A
6157853	12/1999	Blume et al.	N/A	N/A
6174280	12/2000	Oneda et al.	N/A	N/A
6197015	12/2000	Wilson	N/A	N/A
6198974	12/2000	Webster, Jr.	N/A	N/A
6234958	12/2000	Snoke et al.	N/A	N/A
6315715	12/2000	Taylor et al.	N/A	N/A
6389187	12/2001	Greenaway et al.	N/A	N/A
6404497	12/2001	Backman et al.	N/A	N/A
6436107	12/2001	Wang et al.	N/A	N/A
6464632	12/2001	Taylor	N/A	N/A
6485411	12/2001	Konstorum et al.	N/A	N/A
6491626	12/2001	Stone et al.	N/A	N/A
6537205	12/2002	Smith	N/A	N/A
6545760	12/2002	Froggatt et al.	N/A	N/A
6554793	12/2002	Pauker et al.	N/A	N/A
6716178	12/2003	Kilpatrick et al.	N/A	N/A
6746422	12/2003	Noriega et al.	N/A	N/A
6749560	12/2003	Konstorum et al.	N/A	N/A
6790173	12/2003	Saadat et al.	N/A	N/A
6827710	12/2003	Mooney et al.	N/A	N/A
6827712	12/2003	Tovey et al.	N/A	N/A
6837846	12/2004	Jaffe et al.	N/A	N/A
6908428	12/2004	Aizenfeld et al.	N/A	N/A
6921362	12/2004	Ouchi	N/A	N/A

6958035	12/2003	Friedman et al.	N/A	N/A
7008401	12/2005	Thompson et al.	N/A	N/A
7130700	12/2005	Gardeski et al.	N/A	N/A
7594903	12/2008	Webler et al.	N/A	N/A
7645230	12/2009	Mikkaichi et al.	N/A	N/A
7645231	12/2009	Akiba	N/A	N/A
7781724	12/2009	Childers et al.	N/A	N/A
7789827	12/2009	Landry	N/A	N/A
7930065	12/2010	Larkin et al.	N/A	N/A
8046049	12/2010	Govari et al.	N/A	N/A
8052636	12/2010	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
8246536	12/2011	Ochi et al.	N/A	N/A
8444637	12/2012	Podmore et al.	N/A	N/A
8460236	12/2012	Roelle et al.	N/A	N/A
8498691	12/2012	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
8515215	12/2012	Younge et al.	N/A	N/A
8652030	12/2013	Matsuura et al.	N/A	N/A
8686747	12/2013	Berner et al.	N/A	N/A
8758231	12/2013	Bunch et al.	N/A	N/A
8827947	12/2013	Bosman et al.	N/A	N/A
9186046	12/2014	Ramamurthy et al.	N/A	N/A
9314953	12/2015	Lauer et al.	N/A	N/A
9427551	12/2015	Leeflang et al.	N/A	N/A
9504604	12/2015	Alvarez	N/A	N/A
9561083	12/2016	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
9591990	12/2016	Chen et al.	N/A	N/A
9622827	12/2016	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
9636184	12/2016	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
9713509	12/2016	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
9726476	12/2016	Ramamurthy et al.	N/A	N/A
9727963	12/2016	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
9737371	12/2016	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
9737373	12/2016	Schuh	N/A	N/A
9744335	12/2016	Jiang	N/A	N/A
9763741	12/2016	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
9788910	12/2016	Schuh	N/A	N/A
9844353	12/2016	Walker et al.	N/A	N/A
9844412	12/2016	Bogusky et al.	N/A	N/A
9867635	12/2017	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
9918659	12/2017	Chopra et al.	N/A	N/A
9918681	12/2017	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
9931025	12/2017	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
9949749	12/2017	Noonan et al.	N/A	N/A
9955986	12/2017	Shah	N/A	N/A
9962228	12/2017	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
9980785	12/2017	Schuh	N/A	N/A
9993313	12/2017	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
10016900	12/2017	Meyer et al.	N/A	N/A
10022192	12/2017	Ummalaneni	N/A	N/A
10080576	12/2017	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A

10130427	12/2017	Tanner et al.	N/A	N/A
10136959	12/2017	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
10145747	12/2017	Lin et al.	N/A	N/A
10149720	12/2017	Romo	N/A	N/A
10159532	12/2017	Ummalaneni	N/A	N/A
10159533	12/2017	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
10169875	12/2018	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
10219874	12/2018	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
10231793	12/2018	Romo	N/A	N/A
10231867	12/2018	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
10244926	12/2018	Noonan et al.	N/A	N/A
10271915	12/2018	Diolaiti et al.	N/A	N/A
10285574	12/2018	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
10299870	12/2018	Connolly et al.	N/A	N/A
10314463	12/2018	Agrawal et al.	N/A	N/A
10363103	12/2018	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
10376672	12/2018	Yu	N/A	N/A
10383765	12/2018	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
10398518	12/2018	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
10405908	12/2018	Redmond	N/A	N/A
10405939	12/2018	Romo	N/A	N/A
10405940	12/2018	Romo	N/A	N/A
10426559	12/2018	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
10426661	12/2018	Kintz	N/A	N/A
10434660	12/2018	Meyer et al.	N/A	N/A
10463439	12/2018	Joseph et al.	N/A	N/A
10464209	12/2018	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
10470830	12/2018	Hill et al.	N/A	N/A
10482599	12/2018	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
10493241	12/2018	Jiang	N/A	N/A
10500001	12/2018	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
10517692	12/2018	Eyre et al.	N/A	N/A
10524866	12/2019	Srinivasan et al.	N/A	N/A
10539478	12/2019	Lin et al.	N/A	N/A
10543048	12/2019	Noonan	N/A	N/A
10555778	12/2019	Ummalaneni	N/A	N/A
10555780	12/2019	Tanner et al.	N/A	N/A
10631949	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
10639108	12/2019	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
10639109	12/2019	Bovay et al.	N/A	N/A
10639114	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
10667720	12/2019	Wong et al.	N/A	N/A
10667871	12/2019	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
10667875	12/2019	DeFonzo et al.	N/A	N/A
10682189	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
10702348	12/2019	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
10716461	12/2019	Jenkins	N/A	N/A
10743751	12/2019	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
10744035	12/2019	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
10751140	12/2019	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A

10765303	12/2019	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
10765487	12/2019	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
10779898	12/2019	Hill et al.	N/A	N/A
10786329	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
10786432	12/2019	Jornitz et al.	N/A	N/A
10792464	12/2019	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
10792466	12/2019	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
10813539	12/2019	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
10814101	12/2019	Jiang	N/A	N/A
10820947	12/2019	Julian	N/A	N/A
10820954	12/2019	Marsot et al.	N/A	N/A
10827913	12/2019	Ummalaneni et al.	N/A	N/A
10828118	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
10835153	12/2019	Rafii-Tari et al.	N/A	N/A
10850013	12/2019	Hsu et al.	N/A	N/A
10881280	12/2020	Baez, Jr.	N/A	N/A
2001/0004676	12/2000	Ouchi	N/A	N/A
2003/0036748	12/2002	Cooper et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0130564	12/2002	Martone et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0158545	12/2002	Hovda et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0163199	12/2002	Boehm et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0195664	12/2002	Nowlin et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0015122	12/2003	Zhang et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0054322	12/2003	Vargas	N/A	N/A
2004/0072066	12/2003	Cho et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0138525	12/2003	Saadat et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0193013	12/2003	Iwasaka et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0249246	12/2003	Campos	N/A	N/A
2004/0257021	12/2003	Chang et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0004515	12/2004	Hart et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0025005	12/2004	Hwang et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0125005	12/2004	Fujikura	N/A	N/A
2005/0131279	12/2004	Boulais et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0154262	12/2004	Banik et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0159646	12/2004	Nordstrom et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0165366	12/2004	Brustad et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0222581	12/2004	Fischer et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0234293	12/2004	Yamamoto et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0256452	12/2004	DeMarchi et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0272975	12/2004	McWeeney et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0273085	12/2004	Hinman et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0288549	12/2004	Mathis	N/A	N/A
2006/0013523	12/2005	Childlers et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0041188	12/2005	Dirusso et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0111692	12/2005	Hlavka et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0241368	12/2005	Fichtinger et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0264708	12/2005	Horne	N/A	N/A
2006/0276827	12/2005	Mitelberg et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0287769	12/2005	Yanagita et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0060879	12/2006	Weitzner et al.	N/A	N/A

2007/0112355	12/2006	Salahieh et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0135733	12/2006	Soukup et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0135763	12/2006	Musbach et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0135803	12/2006	Belson	N/A	N/A
2007/0156019	12/2006	Larkin et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0270645	12/2006	Ikeda	N/A	N/A
2007/0270679	12/2006	Nguyen et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0282167	12/2006	Barenboym et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0287886	12/2006	Saadat	N/A	N/A
2008/0008869	12/2007	Good et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0039255	12/2007	Jinno et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0051629	12/2007	Sugiyama et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0065103	12/2007	Cooper et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0097293	12/2007	Chin et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0108869	12/2007	Sanders et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0139887	12/2007	Fitzpatrick	N/A	N/A
2008/0146874	12/2007	Chen	N/A	N/A
2008/0147089	12/2007	Loh et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0177285	12/2007	Brock et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0208001	12/2007	Hadani	N/A	N/A
2008/0212082	12/2007	Froggatt et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0218770	12/2007	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0285909	12/2007	Younge et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0099420	12/2008	Woodley et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0163851	12/2008	Holloway et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0247880	12/2008	Naruse et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0254083	12/2008	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0262109	12/2008	Markowitz et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0299344	12/2008	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0306587	12/2008	Milijasevic et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0030023	12/2009	Yoshie	N/A	N/A
2010/0073150	12/2009	Olson et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0114115	12/2009	Schlesinger et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0130823	12/2009	Ando	N/A	N/A
2010/0168918	12/2009	Zhao et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0217184	12/2009	Koblish et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0234831	12/2009	Hinman et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0249497	12/2009	Peine et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0249506	12/2009	Prisco et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0009863	12/2010	Marczyk et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0046441	12/2010	Wiltshire et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0077681	12/2010	Nagano et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0098533	12/2010	Onoda et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0130718	12/2010	Kidd et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0148442	12/2010	Berner et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0152880	12/2010	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0196199	12/2010	Donhowe et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0245844	12/2010	Jinno	N/A	N/A
2011/0261183	12/2010	Ma et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0270084	12/2010	Choi et al.	N/A	N/A

2011/0306836	12/2010	Ohline et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0319714	12/2010	Roelle et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0071821	12/2011	Yu	N/A	N/A
2012/0071894	12/2011	Tanner et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0071895	12/2011	Stahler et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0123327	12/2011	Miller	N/A	N/A
2012/0136372	12/2011	Girbau et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0136419	12/2011	Zarembo et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0143226	12/2011	Belson et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0190976	12/2011	Kleinstreuer	N/A	N/A
2012/0191107	12/2011	Tanner et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0197097	12/2011	Chan et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0239012	12/2011	Laurent et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0259244	12/2011	Roberts et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0283747	12/2011	Popovic	N/A	N/A
2012/0289777	12/2011	Chopra et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0018400	12/2012	Milton et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0030519	12/2012	Tran et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0035537	12/2012	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0090552	12/2012	Ramamurthy et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0109957	12/2012	Hooft et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0144116	12/2012	Cooper et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0165854	12/2012	Sandhu et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0165908	12/2012	Purdy et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0204124	12/2012	Duindam et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0226151	12/2012	Suehara	N/A	N/A
2013/0303892	12/2012	Zhao et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0304091	12/2012	Straehnz et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0317276	12/2012	D'Andrea	N/A	N/A
2013/0317519	12/2012	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0345519	12/2012	Piskun et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0345719	12/2012	Donhowe et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0012288	12/2013	Darisse et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0046313	12/2013	Pederson et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0142591	12/2013	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0187949	12/2013	Zhao et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0200402	12/2013	Snoke et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0235943	12/2013	Paris et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0276594	12/2013	Tanner et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0316397	12/2013	Brown	N/A	N/A
2014/0343416	12/2013	Panescu et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0357984	12/2013	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0364870	12/2013	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0379000	12/2013	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0031950	12/2014	Drontle et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0255782	12/2014	Kim et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0000414	12/2015	Brown et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0001038	12/2015	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0007881	12/2015	Wong et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0067450	12/2015	Kowshik	N/A	N/A

2016/0183841	12/2015	Duindam et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0227982	12/2015	Takahashi et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0270865	12/2015	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0287279	12/2015	Bovay et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0287346	12/2015	Hyodo et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0346049	12/2015	Allen et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0349044	12/2015	Marell et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0372743	12/2015	Cho et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0374590	12/2015	Wong et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0007337	12/2016	Dan	N/A	N/A
2017/0010084	12/2016	Falchieri et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0100084	12/2016	Walker et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0119481	12/2016	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0151027	12/2016	Walker et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0165011	12/2016	Bovay et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0172673	12/2016	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0202627	12/2016	Sramek et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0209073	12/2016	Sramek et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0281218	12/2016	Timm	N/A	N/A
2017/0290631	12/2016	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0333679	12/2016	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2017/0340396	12/2016	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0367782	12/2016	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0025666	12/2017	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0055589	12/2017	Joseph et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0177556	12/2017	Noonan	N/A	N/A
2018/0214011	12/2017	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0221038	12/2017	Noonan et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0221039	12/2017	Shah	N/A	N/A
2018/0250083	12/2017	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0271616	12/2017	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0279852	12/2017	Rafii-Tari et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0280660	12/2017	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0289431	12/2017	Draper et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0325499	12/2017	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0333044	12/2017	Jenkins	N/A	N/A
2018/0360435	12/2017	Romo	N/A	N/A
2019/0000559	12/2018	Berman et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0000560	12/2018	Berman et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0000576	12/2018	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0083183	12/2018	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0105110	12/2018	Tanner et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0105776	12/2018	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0107454	12/2018	Lin et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0110839	12/2018	Rafii-Tari et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0110843	12/2018	Ummalaneni	N/A	N/A
2019/0151148	12/2018	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0167366	12/2018	Ummalaneni et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0175009	12/2018	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0175062	12/2018	Rafii-Tari et al.	N/A	N/A

2019/0175287	12/2018	Hill et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0175799	12/2018	Hsu et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0183585	12/2018	Rafii-Tari et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0183587	12/2018	Rafii-Tari et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0216548	12/2018	Ummalaneni	N/A	N/A
2019/0216550	12/2018	Eyre et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0216576	12/2018	Eyre et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0223974	12/2018	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0228525	12/2018	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0228528	12/2018	Mintz et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0246882	12/2018	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0262086	12/2018	Connolly et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0269468	12/2018	Hsu et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0274764	12/2018	Romo	N/A	N/A
2019/0290109	12/2018	Agrawal et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0298160	12/2018	Ummalaneni et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0298458	12/2018	Srinivasan et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0298460	12/2018	Al-Jadda et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0298465	12/2018	Chin et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0307987	12/2018	Yu	N/A	N/A
2019/0328213	12/2018	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0336238	12/2018	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0365201	12/2018	Noonan et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0365209	12/2018	Ye et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0365479	12/2018	Rafii-Tari	N/A	N/A
2019/0365486	12/2018	Srinivasan et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0374297	12/2018	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0375383	12/2018	Auer	N/A	N/A
2019/0380787	12/2018	Ye et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0380797	12/2018	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0000530	12/2019	DeFonzo et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0000533	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0007894	12/2019	Zhao et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0022767	12/2019	Hill et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0038123	12/2019	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0038128	12/2019	Joseph et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0039086	12/2019	Meyer et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0046942	12/2019	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0054408	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0060516	12/2019	Baez, Jr.	N/A	N/A
2020/0085516	12/2019	DeFonzo et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0093549	12/2019	Chin et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0093554	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0100845	12/2019	Julian	N/A	N/A
2020/0100853	12/2019	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0100855	12/2019	Leparmentier et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0101264	12/2019	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2020/0107894	12/2019	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0121502	12/2019	Kintz	N/A	N/A
2020/0146769	12/2019	Eyre et al.	N/A	N/A

2020/0170720	12/2019	Ummalaneni	N/A	N/A
2020/0171660	12/2019	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0188043	12/2019	Yu et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0197112	12/2019	Chin et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0206472	12/2019	Ma et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0217733	12/2019	Lin et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0222134	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0237458	12/2019	DeFonzo et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0261172	12/2019	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0268459	12/2019	Noonan	N/A	N/A
2020/0268460	12/2019	Tse et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0281787	12/2019	Ruiz	N/A	N/A
2020/0297437	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0297444	12/2019	Camarillo et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0305983	12/2019	Yampolsky et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0305989	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0305992	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0315717	12/2019	Bovay et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0315723	12/2019	Hassan et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0323596	12/2019	Moll et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0330167	12/2019	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0337593	12/2019	Wong et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0345216	12/2019	Jenkins	N/A	N/A
2020/0352420	12/2019	Graetzel et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0360183	12/2019	Alvarez et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0367726	12/2019	Landey et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0367981	12/2019	Ho et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0375678	12/2019	Wallace et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0405317	12/2019	Wallace	N/A	N/A
2020/0405411	12/2019	Draper et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0405419	12/2019	Mao et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0405420	12/2019	Purohit et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0405423	12/2019	Schuh	N/A	N/A
2020/0405424	12/2019	Schuh	N/A	N/A
2020/0405434	12/2019	Schuh et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0406002	12/2019	Romo et al.	N/A	N/A

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
1846181	12/2005	CN	N/A
1857877	12/2005	CN	N/A
102316817	12/2011	CN	N/A
102458295	12/2011	CN	N/A
102665590	12/2011	CN	N/A
102711586	12/2011	CN	N/A
102711587	12/2011	CN	N/A
102973317	12/2012	CN	N/A
103767659	12/2013	CN	N/A
103930063	12/2013	CN	N/A
0543539	12/1992	EP	N/A

0776739	12/1996	EP	N/A
0904796	12/1998	EP	N/A
1442720	12/2003	EP	N/A
2626029	12/2012	EP	N/A
2006525087	12/2005	JP	N/A
2007511247	12/2006	JP	N/A
2010046384	12/2009	JP	N/A
2011015992	12/2010	JP	N/A
2011200341	12/2010	JP	N/A
2012105793	12/2011	JP	N/A
10-2008-0027256	12/2007	KR	N/A
9414494	12/1993	WO	N/A
0067640	12/1999	WO	N/A
02074178	12/2001	WO	N/A
2004039273	12/2003	WO	N/A
2004096015	12/2003	WO	N/A
2004103430	12/2003	WO	N/A
2004105849	12/2003	WO	N/A
2005032637	12/2004	WO	N/A
2005081202	12/2004	WO	N/A
2006099056	12/2005	WO	N/A
2007146987	12/2006	WO	N/A
2008097540	12/2007	WO	N/A
2009092059	12/2008	WO	N/A
2009097461	12/2008	WO	N/A
2010081187	12/2009	WO	N/A
2011005335	12/2010	WO	N/A
2012101551	12/2011	WO	N/A
2012131550	12/2011	WO	N/A
2013107468	12/2012	WO	N/A
2014001977	12/2013	WO	N/A
2015093602	12/2014	WO	N/A
2016003052	12/2015	WO	N/A
2017139621	12/2016	WO	N/A
2018057633	12/2017	WO	N/A

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

CN Office Action for Appl. No. 201980066136.3, dated Feb. 2, 2024, 6 pages. cited by applicant
CN Search Report for Appl. No. 201980066136.3, dated Feb. 9, 2024, 3 pages. cited by applicant
Notification to Grant Patent Right for Invention from China Patent Application No.

201980066136.3, dated Feb. 28, 2025, 8 pages. cited by applicant

Second Office Action, issued on Aug. 8, 2024, in China Patent Application No. 201980066136.3, pp. 1-23. cited by applicant

First Office Action, issued on Aug. 30, 2024, in Korean Patent Application No. 10-2023-7041982, pp. 1-9. cited by applicant

European Search Report for appl. No. 19848401.6, dated Mar. 29, 2022, 9 pages. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion in application No. PCT/US2019/45125, dated Oct. 24, 2019, 9 pages. cited by applicant

KR Final Rejection for Appl. No. 10-2021-7006777, dated Apr. 25, 2023, 3 pages. cited by applicant

KR Preliminary Rejection for Appl. No. 1020217006777, dated Dec. 21, 8 pages. cited by applicant

Non-Final Rejection for U.S. Appl. No. 16/531,580, dated Apr. 17, 2020, 13 pages. cited by applicant

Non-Final Rejection for U.S. Appl. No. 17/124,151, dated Dec. 7, 2022, 10 pages. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 16/531,580, dated Sep. 17, 2020, 5 pages. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 17/124,151 dated Aug. 3, 2023, 2 pages. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 17/124,151 dated Jun. 7, 2023, 7 pages. cited by applicant

Office Action from European Patent Application No. 19848401.6, dated Apr. 25, 2025, 7 pages. cited by applicant

Office Action from Korean Patent Application No. 10-2023-7041982, dated May 26, 2025, 12 pages. cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Holloway; Jason

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Paradice & Li LLP

Background/Summary

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION (1) This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/124,151, filed Dec. 16, 2020, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,779,400, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/531,580, filed Aug. 5, 2019, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,898,276, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/715,668, filed Aug. 7, 2018, the complete disclosures of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.

TECHNICAL FIELD

(1) The systems and methods disclosed herein are directed to surgical robotics, and more particularly to navigation of a medical instrument within a tubular network of a patient's body.

BACKGROUND

(2) Bronchoscopy is a medical procedure that allows a physician to examine the inside conditions of a patient's lung airways, such as bronchi and bronchioles. The lung airways carry air from the trachea, or windpipe, to the lungs. During the medical procedure, a thin, flexible tubular tool, known as a bronchoscope, may be inserted into the patient's mouth and passed down the patient's throat into his/her lung airways, and patients are generally anesthetized in order to relax their throats and lung cavities for surgical examinations and operations during the medical procedure.

(3) A bronchoscope can include a light source and a small camera that allows a physician to inspect a patient's windpipe and airways, and a rigid tube may be used in conjunction with the bronchoscope for surgical purposes, e.g., when there is a significant amount of bleeding in the lungs of the patient or when a large object obstructs the throat of the patient. When the rigid tube is used, the patient is often anesthetized. Robotic bronchoscopes provide tremendous advantages in navigation through tubular networks. They can ease use and allow therapies and biopsies to be administered conveniently even during the bronchoscopy stage.

(4) Apart from mechanical devices or platforms, e.g., robotic bronchoscopes described above, various methods and software models may be used to help with the surgical operations. As an example, a computerized tomography (CT) scan of the patient's lungs is often performed during pre-operation of a surgical examination. Data from the CT scan may be used to generate a three-

dimensional (3D) model of airways of the patient's lungs, and the generated 3D model enables a physician to access a visual reference that may be useful during the operative procedure of the surgical examination.

(5) However, previous techniques for navigation of tubular networks still have challenges, even when employing medical devices (e.g., robotic bronchoscopes) and when using existing methods (e.g., performing CT scans and generating 3D models). As one example, motion estimation of a medical device (e.g., a bronchoscope tool) inside a patient's body may not be accurate based on location and orientation change of the device, and as a result the device's position may not be accurately or correctly localized inside the patient's body in real time. Inaccurate location information for such an instrument may provide misleading information to the physician that uses the 3D model as a visual reference during medical operation procedures.

(6) Thus, there is a need for improved techniques for navigating through a network of tubular structures.

SUMMARY

(7) Robotic systems and methods for navigation of luminal network that can improve strain-based shape sensing are described. In one aspect, the system can compare strain-based shape data to shape data determined based on robotic data (e.g., command data, force and distance data, mechanical model data, kinematic model data, etc.) and adjust the strain-based shape data as necessary. Any portion of the strain-based shape data can be adjusted, weighted differently, or discarded based on the comparison. For example, data from trustworthy sources may indicate that the shape of an instrument exhibits or should exhibit one or more characteristics. If the system determines that any portion of the strain-based shape data is not in agreement with such characteristics, the system may adjust the portion of the strain-based shape data such that the adjusted strain-based shape data is in agreement with the characteristics of the instrument.

(8) Accordingly, one aspect relates to a method of navigating an instrument within an interior region of a body. The method may include: accessing robotic data regarding the instrument; accessing strain data from an optical fiber positioned within the instrument that is indicative of a strain on a portion of the instrument positioned within the interior region of the body; determining shape data based on the strain data; comparing the robotic data and the shape data; adjusting the shape data based on the comparison of the robotic data and the shape data; determining an estimated state of the instrument based on the adjusted shape data; and outputting the estimated state of the instrument.

(9) The aspect described in the above paragraph may also include one or more of the following features in any combination: (a) wherein adjusting the shape data comprises modifying at least a portion of the shape data such that the determination of the estimated state of the instrument is based on the modified portion of the shape data; (b) wherein adjusting the shape data comprises removing at least a portion of the shape data such that the determination of the estimated state of the instrument is not based on the removed portion of the shape data; (c) wherein the method further includes accessing electromagnetic (EM) data captured using (i) an EM sensor located proximal to a tip of the instrument and (ii) at least one external EM sensor or EM field generator located external to the body, comparing the EM data and the shape data, and further adjusting the shape data based on the comparison of the EM data and the shape data; (d) wherein the method further includes accessing image data captured by an imaging device located proximal to a tip of the instrument, comparing the image data and the shape data, and further adjusting the shape data based on the comparison of the image data and the shape data; (e) wherein the strain data is generated based on fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs) created on a portion of the optical fiber; (f) wherein the shape data comprises one of a curvature value of the portion of the instrument or time history data of the portion of the instrument; (g) wherein the method further includes adjusting the shape data based on a determination that the curvature value is greater than or equal to a threshold curvature value in the robotic data; (h) wherein the method further includes adjusting the shape

data based on a determination that the time history data satisfies a threshold time history condition in the robotic data; (i) wherein the method further includes adjusting the shape data based on a change of temperature; (j) wherein the method further includes adjusting the shape data based on a determination that a tip of the instrument is being articulated; (k) wherein the method further includes adjusting the shape data based on a determination that non-shape-changing strain is being applied to the instrument; (l) wherein the method further includes assigning, based on a determination that a first portion of the instrument comprises a distal end of the instrument, a confidence value to the robotic data corresponding to the first portion that is higher than that assigned to the shape data corresponding to the first portion; (m) wherein the method further includes assigning, based on a determination that a first portion of the instrument comprises a proximal end of the instrument, a confidence value to the robotic data corresponding to the first portion that is lower than that assigned to the shape data corresponding to the first portion; (n) wherein the method further includes determining an estimated state of a sheath covering the instrument based on the estimated state of the instrument; (o) wherein the method further includes assigning a confidence value to the shape data based on a comparison of the shape data and additional data indicative of a shape of a sheath covering the instrument; (p) wherein the method further includes determining, based on the estimated state of the instrument, that a damage to the instrument is imminent, and controlling the instrument such that the damage is avoided; and (q) wherein the method further includes determining that a mismatch between the robotic data and the shape data has been detected for at least a threshold amount of time, and outputting an alert indicating that the instrument may be damaged.

(10) Another aspect relates to a method of navigating an instrument within an interior region of a body. The method may include: accessing robotic data regarding the instrument; accessing strain data from an optical fiber positioned within the instrument that is indicative of a strain on a portion of the instrument positioned within the interior region of the body; determining shape data based on the strain data; comparing the robotic data and the shape data; adjusting a confidence value associated with the shape data based on the comparison of the robotic data and the shape data; determining an estimated state of the instrument based on the adjusted confidence value; and outputting the estimated state of the instrument.

(11) The aspect described in the above paragraph may also include one or more of the following features in any combination: (a) wherein the method further includes accessing electromagnetic (EM) data captured using (i) an EM sensor located proximal to a tip of the instrument and (ii) at least one external EM sensor or EM field generator located external to the body, comparing the EM data and the shape data, and adjusting the confidence value associated with the shape data based further on the comparison of the EM data and the shape data; (b) wherein the method further includes accessing image data captured by an imaging device located proximal to a tip of the instrument, comparing the image data and the shape data, and adjusting the confidence value associated with the shape data based further on the comparison of the image data and the shape data; (c) wherein the strain data is generated based on fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs) created on a portion of the optical fiber; (d) wherein the shape data comprises one of a curvature value of the portion of the instrument or time history data of the portion of the instrument; (e) wherein the method further includes adjusting the confidence value based on a determination that the curvature value is greater than or equal to a threshold curvature value in the robotic data; (f) wherein the method further includes adjusting the confidence value based on a determination that the time history data satisfies a threshold time history condition in the robotic data; (g) wherein the method further includes adjusting the confidence value based on a change of temperature; (h) adjusting the confidence value based on a determination that a tip of the instrument is being articulated; (i) wherein the method further includes adjusting the confidence value based on a determination that non-shape-changing strain is being applied to the instrument; (j) wherein the method further includes assigning, based on a determination that a first portion of the instrument comprises a distal

end of the instrument, a confidence value to the robotic data corresponding to the first portion that is higher than that assigned to the shape data corresponding to the first portion; (k) wherein the method further includes assigning, based on a determination that a first portion of the instrument comprises a proximal end of the instrument, a confidence value to the robotic data corresponding to the first portion that is lower than that assigned to the shape data corresponding to the first portion; (l) wherein the method further includes determining an estimated state of a sheath covering the instrument based on the estimated state of the instrument; (m) wherein the method further includes adjusting the confidence value based further on a comparison of the shape data and additional data indicative of a shape of a sheath covering the instrument; (n) wherein the method further includes determining, based on the estimated state of the instrument, that a damage to the instrument is imminent, and controlling the instrument such that the damage is avoided; and (o) wherein the method further includes determining that a mismatch between the robotic data and the shape data has been detected for at least a threshold amount of time, and outputting an alert indicating that the instrument may be damaged.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- (1) The disclosed aspects will hereinafter be described in conjunction with the appended drawings, provided to illustrate and not to limit the disclosed aspects, wherein like designations denote like elements.
- (2) FIG. 1A shows an example surgical robotic system, according to one embodiment.
- (3) FIGS. 1B-1F show various perspective views of a robotic platform coupled to the surgical robotic system shown in FIG. 1A, according to one embodiment.
- (4) FIG. 2 shows an example command console for the example surgical robotic system, according to one embodiment.
- (5) FIG. 3A shows an isometric view of an example independent drive mechanism of the instrument device manipulator (IDM) shown in FIG. 1A, according to one embodiment.
- (6) FIG. 3B shows a conceptual diagram that shows how forces may be measured by a strain gauge of the independent drive mechanism shown in FIG. 3A, according to one embodiment.
- (7) FIG. 4A shows a top view of an example endoscope, according to one embodiment.
- (8) FIG. 4B shows an example endoscope cross-section of the endoscope shown in FIG. 4A, according to one embodiment.
- (9) FIG. 4C shows an example strain-based shape sensing mechanism, according to one embodiment.
- (10) FIGS. 4D-4E show actual shapes of an example endoscope and strain-based predictions of the endoscope, according to one embodiment.
- (11) FIG. 5 shows an example schematic setup of an EM tracking system included in a surgical robotic system, according to one embodiment.
- (12) FIGS. 6A-6B show an example anatomical lumen and an example 3D model of the anatomical lumen, according to one embodiment.
- (13) FIG. 7 shows a computer-generated 3D model representing an anatomical space, according to one embodiment.
- (14) FIG. 8A shows a high-level overview of an example block diagram of a navigation configuration system, according to one embodiment.
- (15) FIG. 8B shows a block diagram illustrating example modules included in the strain-based algorithm module, according to one embodiment.
- (16) FIG. 8C shows a block diagram illustrating examples of robotic data stored in the robotic data store, according to one embodiment.

(17) FIG. 9 shows an example block diagram of a shape data determination module, according to one embodiment.

(18) FIG. 10 shows an example block diagram of a shape data comparison module and a shape data adjustment module, according to one embodiment.

(19) FIG. 11 shows an example block diagram of a shape-based state estimation module, according to one embodiment.

(20) FIG. 12 shows a flowchart illustrating an example method operable by a surgical robotic system, or component(s) thereof, for determining and adjusting shape data, according to one embodiment.

(21) FIG. 13 shows a conceptual diagram illustrating an example method operable by a surgical robotic system, or component(s) thereof, for operating an instrument, according to one embodiment.

(22) FIG. 14 shows a conceptual diagram illustrating an example method operable by a surgical robotic system, or component(s) thereof, for operating an instrument, according to one embodiment.

(23) Reference will now be made in detail to several embodiments, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying figures. It is noted that wherever practicable similar or like reference numbers may be used in the figures and may indicate similar or like functionality. The figures depict embodiments of the described system (or method) for purposes of illustration only. One skilled in the art will readily recognize from the following description that alternative embodiments of the structures and methods illustrated herein may be employed without departing from the principles described herein.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

(24) I. Surgical Robotic System

(25) FIG. 1A shows an example surgical robotic system **100**, according to one embodiment. The surgical robotic system **100** includes a base **101** coupled to one or more robotic arms, e.g., robotic arm **102**. The base **101** is communicatively coupled to a command console, which is further described with reference to FIG. 2 in Section II. Command Console. The base **101** can be positioned such that the robotic arm **102** has access to perform a surgical procedure on a patient, while a user such as a physician may control the surgical robotic system **100** from the comfort of the command console. In some embodiments, the base **101** may be coupled to a surgical operating table or bed for supporting the patient. Though not shown in FIG. 1 for purposes of clarity, the base **101** may include subsystems such as control electronics, pneumatics, power sources, optical sources, and the like. The robotic arm **102** includes multiple arm segments **110** coupled at joints **111**, which provides the robotic arm **102** multiple degrees of freedom, e.g., seven degrees of freedom corresponding to seven arm segments. The base **101** may contain a source of power **112**, pneumatic pressure **113**, and control and sensor electronics **114**—including components such as a central processing unit, data bus, control circuitry, and memory—and related actuators such as motors to move the robotic arm **102**. The electronics **114** in the base **101** may also process and transmit control signals communicated from the command console.

(26) In some embodiments, the base **101** includes wheels **115** to transport the surgical robotic system **100**. Mobility of the surgical robotic system **100** helps accommodate space constraints in a surgical operating room as well as facilitate appropriate positioning and movement of surgical equipment. Further, the mobility allows the robotic arms **102** to be configured such that the robotic arms **102** do not interfere with the patient, physician, anesthesiologist, or any other equipment. During procedures, a user may control the robotic arms **102** using control devices such as the command console.

(27) In some embodiments, the robotic arm **102** includes set up joints that use a combination of brakes and counter-balances to maintain a position of the robotic arm **102**. The counter-balances may include gas springs or coil springs. The brakes, e.g., fail safe brakes, may be include

mechanical and/or electrical components. Further, the robotic arms **102** may be gravity-assisted passive support type robotic arms.

(28) Each robotic arm **102** may be coupled to an instrument device manipulator (IDM) **117** using a mechanism changer interface (MCI) **116**. The IDM **117** can be removed and replaced with a different type of IDM, for example, a first type of IDM manipulates an endoscope, while a second type of IDM manipulates a laparoscope. The MCI **116** includes connectors to transfer pneumatic pressure, electrical power, electrical signals, and optical signals from the robotic arm **102** to the IDM **117**. The MCI **116** can be a set screw or base plate connector. The IDM **117** manipulates surgical instruments such as the endoscope **118** using techniques including direct drive, harmonic drive, geared drives, belts and pulleys, magnetic drives, and the like. The MCI **116** is interchangeable based on the type of IDM **117** and can be customized for a certain type of surgical procedure. The robotic arm **102** can include a joint level torque sensing and a wrist at a distal end, such as the KUKA AG® LBR5 robotic arm.

(29) The endoscope **118** is a tubular and flexible surgical instrument that is inserted into the anatomy of a patient to capture images of the anatomy (e.g., body tissue). In particular, the endoscope **118** includes one or more imaging devices (e.g., cameras or other types of optical sensors) that capture the images. The imaging devices may include one or more optical components such as an optical fiber, fiber array, or lens. The optical components move along with the tip of the endoscope **118** such that movement of the tip of the endoscope **118** results in changes to the images captured by the imaging devices. The endoscope **118** is further described with reference to FIGS. 3A-4B in Section IV. Endoscope.

(30) Robotic arms **102** of the surgical robotic system **100** manipulate the endoscope **118** using elongate movement members. The elongate movement members may include pull wires, also referred to as pull or push wires, cables, fibers, or flexible shafts. For example, the robotic arms **102** actuate multiple pull wires coupled to the endoscope **118** to deflect the tip of the endoscope **118**. The pull wires may include both metallic and non-metallic materials such as stainless steel, Kevlar, tungsten, carbon fiber, and the like. The endoscope **118** may exhibit nonlinear behavior in response to forces applied by the elongate movement members. The nonlinear behavior may be based on stiffness and compressibility of the endoscope **118**, as well as variability in slack or stiffness between different elongate movement members.

(31) FIGS. 1B-1F show various perspective views of the surgical robotic system **100** coupled to a robotic platform **150** (or surgical bed), according to various embodiments. Specifically, FIG. 1B shows a side view of the surgical robotic system **100** with the robotic arms **102** manipulating the endoscope **118** to insert the endoscope inside a patient's body, and the patient is lying on the robotic platform **150**. FIG. 1C shows a top view of the surgical robotic system **100** and the robotic platform **150**, and the endoscope **118** manipulated by the robotic arms is inserted inside the patient's body. FIG. 1D shows a perspective view of the surgical robotic system **100** and the robotic platform **150**, and the endoscope **118** is controlled to be positioned horizontally parallel with the robotic platform. FIG. 1E shows another perspective view of the surgical robotic system **100** and the robotic platform **150**, and the endoscope **118** is controlled to be positioned relatively perpendicular to the robotic platform. In more detail, in FIG. 1E, the angle between the horizontal surface of the robotic platform **150** and the endoscope **118** is 75 degree. FIG. 1F shows the perspective view of the surgical robotic system **100** and the robotic platform **150** shown in FIG. 1E, and in more detail, the angle between the endoscope **118** and the virtual line **160** connecting one end **180** of the endoscope **118** and the robotic arm **102** that is positioned relatively farther away from the robotic platform is 90 degree.

(32) II. Command Console

(33) FIG. 2 shows an example command console **200** for the example surgical robotic system **100**, according to one embodiment. The command console **200** includes a console base **201**, display modules **202**, e.g., monitors, and control modules, e.g., a keyboard **203** and joystick **204**. In some

embodiments, one or more of the command console **200** functionality may be integrated into a base **101** of the surgical robotic system **100** or another system communicatively coupled to the surgical robotic system **100**. A user **205**, e.g., a physician, remotely controls the surgical robotic system **100** from an ergonomic position using the command console **200**.

(34) The console base **201** may include a central processing unit, a memory unit, a data bus, and associated data communication ports that are responsible for interpreting and processing signals such as camera imagery and tracking sensor data, e.g., from the endoscope **118** shown in FIG. **1**. In some embodiments, both the console base **201** and the base **101** perform signal processing for load-balancing. The console base **201** may also process commands and instructions provided by the user **205** through the control modules **203** and **204**. In addition to the keyboard **203** and joystick **204** shown in FIG. **2**, the control modules may include other devices, for example, computer mice, trackpads, trackballs, control pads, video game controllers, and sensors (e.g., motion sensors or cameras) that capture hand gestures and finger gestures.

(35) The user **205** can control a surgical instrument such as the endoscope **118** using the command console **200** in a velocity mode or position control mode. In velocity mode, the user **205** directly controls pitch and yaw motion of a distal end of the endoscope **118** based on direct manual control using the control modules. For example, movement on the joystick **204** may be mapped to yaw and pitch movement in the distal end of the endoscope **118**. The joystick **204** can provide haptic feedback to the user **205**. For example, the joystick **204** vibrates to indicate that the endoscope **118** cannot further translate or rotate in a certain direction. The command console **200** can also provide visual feedback (e.g., pop-up messages) and/or audio feedback (e.g., beeping) to indicate that the endoscope **118** has reached maximum translation or rotation.

(36) In position control mode, the command console **200** uses a three-dimensional (3D) map of a patient and pre-determined computer models of the patient to control a surgical instrument, e.g., the endoscope **118**. The command console **200** provides control signals to robotic arms **102** of the surgical robotic system **100** to manipulate the endoscope **118** to a target location. Due to the reliance on the 3D map, position control mode requires accurate mapping of the anatomy of the patient.

(37) In some embodiments, users **205** can manually manipulate robotic arms **102** of the surgical robotic system **100** without using the command console **200**. During setup in a surgical operating room, the users **205** may move the robotic arms **102**, endoscopes **118**, and other surgical equipment to access a patient. The surgical robotic system **100** may rely on force feedback and inertia control from the users **205** to determine appropriate configuration of the robotic arms **102** and equipment.

(38) The display modules **202** may include electronic monitors, virtual reality viewing devices, e.g., goggles or glasses, and/or other means of display devices. In some embodiments, the display modules **202** are integrated with the control modules, for example, as a tablet device with a touchscreen. Further, the user **205** can both view data and input commands to the surgical robotic system **100** using the integrated display modules **202** and control modules.

(39) The display modules **202** can display 3D images using a stereoscopic device, e.g., a visor or goggle. The 3D images provide an “endo view” (i.e., endoscopic view), which is a computer 3D model illustrating the anatomy of a patient. The “endo view” provides a virtual environment of the patient's interior and an expected location of an endoscope **118** inside the patient. A user **205** compares the “endo view” model to actual images captured by a camera to help mentally orient and confirm that the endoscope **118** is in the correct—or approximately correct—location within the patient. The “endo view” provides information about anatomical structures, e.g., the shape of an intestine or colon of the patient, around the distal end of the endoscope **118**. The display modules **202** can simultaneously display the 3D model and computerized tomography (CT) scans of the anatomy the around distal end of the endoscope **118**. Further, the display modules **202** may overlay the already determined navigation paths of the endoscope **118** on the 3D model and scans/images generated based on preoperative model data (e.g., CT scans).

(40) In some embodiments, a model of the endoscope **118** is displayed with the 3D models to help indicate a status of a surgical procedure. For example, the CT scans identify a lesion in the anatomy where a biopsy may be necessary. During operation, the display modules **202** may show a reference image captured by the endoscope **118** corresponding to the current location of the endoscope **118**. The display modules **202** may automatically display different views of the model of the endoscope **118** depending on user settings and a particular surgical procedure. For example, the display modules **202** show an overhead fluoroscopic view of the endoscope **118** during a navigation step as the endoscope **118** approaches an operative region of a patient.

(41) III. Instrument Device Manipulator

(42) FIG. 3A shows an isometric view of an example independent drive mechanism of the IDM **117** shown in FIG. 1, according to one embodiment. The independent drive mechanism can tighten or loosen the pull wires **321**, **322**, **323**, and **324** (e.g., independently from each other) of an endoscope by rotating the output shafts **305**, **306**, **307**, and **308** of the IDM **117**, respectively. Just as the output shafts **305**, **306**, **307**, and **308** transfer force down pull wires **321**, **322**, **323**, and **324**, respectively, through angular motion, the pull wires **321**, **322**, **323**, and **324** transfer force back to the output shafts. The IDM **117** and/or the surgical robotic system **100** can measure the transferred force using a sensor, e.g., a strain gauge further described below.

(43) FIG. 3B shows a conceptual diagram that shows how forces may be measured by a strain gauge **334** of the independent drive mechanism shown in FIG. 3A, according to one embodiment. A force **331** may direct away from the output shaft **305** coupled to the motor mount **333** of the motor **337**. Accordingly, the force **331** results in horizontal displacement of the motor mount **333**. Further, the strain gauge **334** horizontally coupled to the motor mount **333** experiences strain in the direction of the force **331**. The strain may be measured as a ratio of the horizontal displacement of the tip **335** of strain gauge **334** to the overall horizontal width **336** of the strain gauge **334**.

(44) In some embodiments, the IDM **117** includes additional sensors, e.g., inclinometers or accelerometers, to determine an orientation of the IDM **117**. Based on measurements from the additional sensors and/or the strain gauge **334**, the surgical robotic system **100** can calibrate readings from the strain gauge **334** to account for gravitational load effects. For example, if the IDM **117** is oriented on a horizontal side of the IDM **117**, the weight of certain components of the IDM **117** may cause a strain on the motor mount **333**. Accordingly, without accounting for gravitational load effects, the strain gauge **334** may measure strain that did not result from strain on the output shafts.

(45) IV. Endoscope

(46) IV. A. Endoscope Top View

(47) FIG. 4A shows a top view of an example endoscope **118**, according to one embodiment. The endoscope **118** includes a leader **415** tubular component nested or partially nested inside and longitudinally-aligned with a sheath **411** tubular component. The sheath **411** includes a proximal sheath section **412** and distal sheath section **413**. The leader **415** has a smaller outer diameter than the sheath **411** and includes a proximal leader section **416** and distal leader section **417**. The sheath base **414** and the leader base **418** actuate the distal sheath section **413** and the distal leader section **417**, respectively, for example, based on control signals from a user of a surgical robotic system **100**. The sheath base **414** and the leader base **418** are, e.g., part of the IDM **117** shown in FIG. 1.

(48) Both the sheath base **414** and the leader base **418** include drive mechanisms (e.g., the independent drive mechanism further described with reference to FIG. 3A-B in Section III. Instrument Device Manipulator) to control pull wires coupled to the sheath **411** and leader **415**. For example, the sheath base **414** generates tensile loads on pull wires coupled to the sheath **411** to deflect the distal sheath section **413**. Similarly, the leader base **418** generates tensile loads on pull wires coupled to the leader **415** to deflect the distal leader section **417**. Both the sheath base **414** and leader base **418** may also include couplings for the routing of pneumatic pressure, electrical power, electrical signals, or optical signals from IDMs to the sheath **411** and leader **415**,

respectively. A pull wire may include a steel coil pipe along the length of the pull wire within the sheath **411** or the leader **415**, which transfers axial compression back to the origin of the load, e.g., the sheath base **414** or the leader base **418**, respectively.

(49) The endoscope **118** can navigate the anatomy of a patient with ease due to the multiple degrees of freedom provided by pull wires coupled to the sheath **411** and the leader **415**. For example, four or more pull wires may be used in either the sheath **411** and/or the leader **415**, providing eight or more degrees of freedom. In other embodiments, up to three pull wires may be used, providing up to six degrees of freedom. The sheath **411** and leader **415** may be rotated up to 360 degrees along a longitudinal axis **406**, providing more degrees of motion. The combination of rotational angles and multiple degrees of freedom provides a user of the surgical robotic system **100** with a user friendly and instinctive control of the endoscope **118**. Although not illustrated in FIG. **4A**, the endoscope **118** may include one or more optical fibers for sensing the shape in one or more portions of the endoscope **118**. For example, as illustrated in FIG. **4B**, the optical fiber(s) can be included in the leader portion of the endoscope **118**. Alternatively or additionally, the optical fiber(s) can be included in the sheath portion of the endoscope **118**. As will be explained in more detail below, information from the optical fibers can be used in combination with information from other input sources, such as other input sensors, modelling data, known properties and characteristics of the endoscope, and the like, to enhance performance of the navigation system, catheter control, or the like.

(50) IV. B. Endoscope Cross-Sectional View

(51) FIG. **4B** illustrates an example endoscope cross-section **430** of the endoscope **118** shown in FIG. **4A**, according to one embodiment. In FIG. **4B**, the endoscope cross-section **430** includes illumination sources **432**, electromagnetic (EM) coils **434**, and shape-sensing fibers **436**. The illumination sources **432** provide light to illuminate an interior portion of an anatomical space. The provided light may allow an imaging device provided at the tip of the endoscope **118** to record images of that space, which can then be transmitted to a computer system such as command console **200** for processing as described herein. EM coils **434** may be used with an EM tracking system to detect the position and orientation of the tip of the endoscope **118** while it is disposed within an anatomical system. In some embodiments, the coils may be angled to provide sensitivity to EM fields along different axes, giving the ability to measure a full 6 degrees of freedom: three positional and three angular. In other embodiments, only a single coil may be disposed within the tip of the endoscope **118**, with its axis oriented along the endoscope shaft of the endoscope **118**; due to the rotational symmetry of such a system, it is insensitive to roll about its axis, so only 5 degrees of freedom may be detected in such a case. The endoscope cross-section **430** further includes a working channel **438** through which surgical instruments, such as biopsy needles, may be inserted along the endoscope shaft, allowing access to the area near the endoscope tip.

“Instruments” as used herein can refer to surgical instruments, medical instruments, and any other instrument or device that can be navigated in a luminal network.

(52) While the illustrated embodiment is disclosed as including illumination sources **432** and EM coils **434** and corresponding imaging device and EM tracking system, it is anticipated that modified embodiments of the endoscopes described herein can be without one or more of such features. Further, while the shape-sensing fibers **436** are described as being integrated into the endoscope **118**, in other embodiments, any of the one or more shape-sensing fibers **436** can instead be a removable working channel device that can be inserted into the working channel **438** and removed from the working channel **438** after shape sensing is performed. In other embodiments, the shape-sensing fibers **436** may be mounted external to the endoscope **118**.

(53) IV. C. Shape-Sensing Optical Fibers

(54) FIG. **4C** shows a system **450** having a shape detector **452**, which can be used to generate and detect light used for determining the shape of the instrument, an endoscope **454**, and an optical fiber **456**. The optical fiber **456** can include one or more segments of fiber Bragg gratings (FBG)

458, which reflect certain wavelengths of light while transmitting other wavelengths. The gratings **458** may comprise a series of modulations of refractive index so as to generate a spatial periodicity in the refraction index. During fabrication of the gratings **458**, the modulations can be spaced by a known distance, thereby causing reflection of a known band of wavelengths. The shape detector **452** may transmit light through the optical fiber **456** and receive light reflected from the optical fiber **456**. The shape detector **452** may further generate reflection spectrum data based on the wavelengths of light reflected by the gratings **458**.

(55) As shown in FIG. **4C**, a single optical fiber may include multiple sets of fiber Bragg gratings. The endoscope **454** may include multiple optical fibers, and the shape detector **452** may detect and analyze signals from more than one fiber. One or more optical fibers may be included in the leader **415** of FIG. **4A**, the sheath **411** of FIG. **4A**, or both. Although the endoscope **454** is used as an example, the techniques described herein can be applied to any other elongated instrument. The shape detector **452** may be operatively coupled with a controller configured to determine a geometric shape or configuration of the optical fiber **456** and, therefore, at least a portion of the endoscope **454** (or other elongated instrument such as a catheter and the like) based on a spectral analysis of the detected reflected light signals.

(56) The controller within or in communication with the shape detector **452** (e.g., the surgical robotic system **500**) can analyze the reflection spectrum data to generate position and orientation data of the endoscope **454** in two or three dimensional space. In particular, as the endoscope **454** bends, the optical fiber **456** positioned inside also bends, which causes strain on the optical fiber **456**. When strain is induced on the optical fiber **456**, the spacing of the modulations will change, depending on the amount of strain on the optical fiber **456**. To measure strain, light is sent down the optical fiber **456**, and characteristics of the returning light are measured. For example, the gratings **458** may produce a reflected wavelength that is a function of the strain on the optical fiber **456** (and other factors such as temperature). Based on the specific wavelengths of light reflected by the gratings **458**, the system can determine the amount of strain on the optical fiber **456** and further predict the shape of the optical fiber **456** based on the amount of strain (e.g., based on how the strain characteristics of a “straight” endoscope may differ from those of a “curved” endoscope). Thus, the system can determine, for example, how many degrees the endoscope **454** has bent in one or more directions (e.g., in response to commands from the surgical robotic system **500**) by identifying differences in the reflection spectrum data.

(57) In some embodiments, the optical fiber **456** includes multiple cores within a single cladding. In such embodiments, each core may operate as a separate optical fiber with sufficient distance and cladding separating the cores such that the light in each core does not interact significantly with the light carried in other cores. In other embodiments, the number of cores may vary or each core may be contained in a separate optical fiber. When the strain and shape analysis is applied to a multicore optical fiber, bending of the optical fiber **456** may induce strain on the cores that can be measured by monitoring the wavelength shifts in each core. By having two or more cores disposed off-axis in the optical fiber **456**, bending of the optical fiber induces different strains on each of the cores. These strains are a function of the local degree of bending of the fiber. For example, regions of the cores containing the gratings **458**, if located at points where the optical fiber **456** is bent, can thereby be used to determine the amount of bending at those points. These data, combined with the known spacings of the gratings **458**, can be used to reconstruct the shape of the optical fiber **456**.

(58) The optical fiber is suitable for data collection inside the body of the patient because no line-of-sight to the shape sensing optical fiber is required. Various systems and methods for monitoring the shape and relative position of an optical fiber in three dimensions are described in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0013523, filed Jul. 13, 2005, titled “FIBER OPTIC POSITION AND SHAPE SENSING DEVICE AND METHOD RELATING THERETO,” and U.S. Pat. No. 6,389,187, filed on Jun. 17, 1998, entitled “OPTICAL FIBER BEND SENSOR,” the contents of which are fully incorporated herein by reference.

(59) While the illustrated embodiment utilizes a fiber with Bragg gratings, in a modified variation, an optical fiber can include slight imperfections that result in index of refraction variations along the fiber core. These variations can result in a small amount of backscatter that is called Rayleigh scatter. Changes in strain or temperature of the optical fiber cause changes to the effective length of the optical fiber. This change in the effective length results in variation or change of the spatial position of the Rayleigh scatter points. Cross correlation techniques can measure this change in the Rayleigh scattering and can extract information regarding the strain. These techniques can include using optical frequency domain reflectometer techniques in a manner that is very similar to that associated with low reflectivity fiber gratings.

(60) Methods and devices for calculating birefringence in an optical fiber based on Rayleigh scatter as well as apparatus and methods for measuring strain in an optical fiber using the spectral shift of Rayleigh scatter can be found in PCT Publication No. WO 2006/099056 filed on Mar. 9, 2006 and U.S. Pat. No. 6,545,760 filed on Mar. 24, 2000, both of which are fully incorporated herein by reference. Birefringence can be used to measure axial strain and/or temperature in a waveguide.

(61) IV. D. Improving Strain-Based Shape Data

(62) Strain-based shape sensing can allow reconstruction of the shape of an endoscope or other instrument by measuring the strain along the optical fibers that run inside the instrument. The measurement of the strain captures the spatiotemporal variations of the reflection of light on gratings inside the optical fibers. The distance between each grating affects the reflection and can therefore be used to measure the strain at a precise location along the optical fiber (or the instrument). However, in some cases, strain-based shape sensing can be negatively affected by noise. In such cases, it can be difficult to distinguish between a real change in strain and a false one.

(63) An improved strain-based shape sensing system can utilize other data available to the system (e.g., robotic data, image data, EM data, etc.) to improve the precision of (or adjust the confidence in) its strain-based shape sensing or state estimations determined based on such strain-based shape sensing. Alternatively or additionally, an improved strain-based shape sensing system can utilize the shape data determined based on its strain-based shape sensing to improve the precision of (or adjust the confidence in) its other data (e.g., robotic data, image data, EM data, etc.) or state estimations determined based on such data.

(64) FIGS. 4D-4E illustrate how the system may utilize information available to the system to improve, adjust, or weight its strain-based shape sensing. The system may access data available to the system such as robotic data (e.g., command data, force and distance data, mechanical model data, kinematic model data, etc.) and determine, based on such data, certain characteristics about the shape of the instrument (or specific portions thereof) navigated within a patient's body. Such characteristics may include curvature information (e.g., maximum curvature that the instrument is capable of exhibiting, or a range of acceptable curvature values given the current force and distance data indicated by the robotic data), movement information (e.g., maximum speed at which the instrument is capable of moving, or a range of acceptable speed values given the current force and distance data indicated by the robotic data), sheath information (e.g., current shape of the sheath covering one or more portions of the instrument), and the like. Upon determining that the strain-based shape prediction does not satisfy one or more constraints indicated by these characteristics determined based on the robotic data, the system can adjust the strain-based shape prediction such that the adjusted strain-based shape prediction satisfies the constraints, reduce the confidence or weight associated with the particular strain-based shape prediction, or disregard the strain-based shape prediction.

(65) FIG. 4D shows actual shape 472 of the endoscope 118, robotic-data-based shape prediction 473, and strain-based shape prediction 474 of the endoscope 118. The actual shape 472 exhibits an actual curvature 476, whereas the robotic-data-based shape prediction 473 exhibit a predicted curvature 477, and the strain-based shape prediction 474 exhibits a predicted curvature 478. In the

example of FIG. 4D, the system may determine, based on the robotic data, one or more conditions that the endoscope **118** is expected to satisfy (e.g., the curvature value at a given point along the endoscope **118** should be within a predetermined range of values, or should be within a range of values determined based on the pull force on the pull wires and/or the distances that the pull wires have been actuated). Upon determining that a portion of the strain-based shape prediction **474** does not satisfy such conditions (e.g., by indicating a predicted curvature value that is outside an expected curvature value range as determined based on the robotic data corresponding to the endoscope **118**), the system can adjust the strain-based shape prediction **474** such that the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **474** satisfies the conditions (e.g., such that the shape data no longer indicates a predicted curvature value that is outside the expected curvature value range), reduce the confidence or weight associated with the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **474**, or disregard the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **474** (e.g., refrain from using the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **474** in estimating the current state of the endoscope **118**). For example, as shown in FIG. 4D, the system may determine, based on the robotic data (e.g., pull force and distances), the robotic-data-based shape prediction **473** exhibiting the predicted curvature **477** at a given point. The system may compare the predicted curvature **477** to the predicted curvature **478** exhibited by the strain-based shape prediction **474**. Upon determining that the predicted curvature **478** is different from the predicted curvature **477**, the system may adjust the predicted curvature **487** to equal the predicted curvature **477**. Alternatively, upon determining that the predicted curvature **478** is not within a given threshold range (e.g., ± 10 percent) from the predicted curvature **477**, the system may adjust the predicted curvature **487** to be within the threshold range (e.g., set to the upper bound of the threshold range if the predicted curvature **478** exceeds the range, and set to the lower bound of the threshold range if the predicted curvature **478** falls short of the range). Additionally or alternatively, the system may lower the confidence value associated with the strain-based shape prediction **474** based on a determination that the predicted curvature **478** is different from the predicted curvature **477** (or that the predicted curvature **478** is not within the given threshold range), and/or increase the confidence value associated with the strain-based shape prediction **474** based on a determination that the predicted curvature **478** equals the predicted curvature **477** (or that the predicted curvature **478** is within the given threshold range).

(66) FIG. 4E shows robotic-data-based shape prediction **482** of the endoscope **118** and strain-based shape prediction **484** of the endoscope **118**. The robotic-data-based shape prediction **482** exhibits a predicted movement **486**, whereas the strain-based shape prediction **484** exhibits a predicted movement **488**. As described with reference to FIG. 4D, the system may determine, based on the robotic data, one or more conditions that the endoscope **118** is expected to satisfy. For example, based on the robotic data, the system may determine that the speed at which the endoscope **118** moves should be within a certain range of values). Upon determining that a portion of the strain-based shape prediction **484** does not satisfy such conditions, the system can adjust the strain-based shape prediction **484** such that the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **484** satisfies the conditions (e.g., such that the shape data no longer indicates a predicted speed value that is outside the expected speed value range), reduce the confidence or weight associated with the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **484**, or disregard the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **484** (e.g., refrain from using the portion of the strain-based shape prediction **484** in estimating the current state of the endoscope **118**). For example, as shown in FIG. 4E, the system may determine, based on the robotic data (e.g., pull force and/or distances as a function of time), the robotic-data-based shape prediction **482** exhibiting the predicted movement **486**. The system may compare the predicted movement **486** to the predicted movement **488** exhibited by the strain-based shape prediction **484**. Upon determining that the predicted movement **488** is different from the predicted movement **486**, the system may adjust the predicted movement **488** to be identical to the predicted movement **486**. Alternatively, upon determining that the predicted movement **488** is not within a

given threshold range (e.g., movement speed being within ± 10 percent of the movement speed of the predicted movement **486**), the system may adjust the predicted movement **488** to be within the threshold range (e.g., set to the upper bound of the threshold movement speed range if the predicted movement speed of the strain-based shape prediction **484** exceeds the movement speed range, and set to the lower bound of the threshold movement speed range if the predicted movement speed of the strain-based shape prediction **484** falls short of the movement speed range). Additionally or alternatively, the system may lower the confidence value associated with the strain-based shape prediction **484** based on a determination that the predicted movement **488** is different from the predicted movement **486** (or that the predicted movement **488** is not within the given threshold), and/or increase the confidence value associated with the strain-based shape prediction **484** based on a determination that the predicted movement **488** is identical to the predicted movement **486** (or that the predicted movement **488** is within the given threshold).

(67) The process of collecting strain data and other data (some or all of which can be utilized to improve the strain-based shape data) and determining state estimations is described in greater detail below with reference to FIGS. **8-11**.

(68) V. Registration Transform of EM System to 3D Model

(69) V. A. Schematic Setup of an EM Tracking System

(70) In certain embodiments an EM tracking system can be used in combination with the systems described herein. FIG. **5** shows an example schematic setup of such an EM tracking system **505** that can be included in a surgical robotic system **500**, according to one embodiment. In FIG. **5**, multiple robot components (e.g., window field generator, reference sensors as described below) are included in the EM tracking system **505**. The surgical robotic system **500** includes a surgical bed **511** to hold a patient's body. Beneath the bed **511** is the window field generator (WFG) **512** configured to sequentially activate a set of EM coils (e.g., the EM coils **434** shown in FIG. **4B**). The WFG **512** generates an alternating current (AC) magnetic field over a wide volume; for example, in some cases it may create an AC field in a volume of about $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$ m.

(71) Additional fields may be applied by further field generators to aid in tracking instruments within the body. For example, a planar field generator (PFG) may be attached to a system arm adjacent to the patient and oriented to provide an EM field at an angle. Reference sensors **513** may be placed on the patient's body to provide local EM fields to further increase tracking accuracy. Each of the reference sensors **513** may be attached by cables **514** to a command module **515**. The cables **514** are connected to the command module **515** through interface units **516** which handle communications with their respective devices as well as providing power. The interface unit **516** is coupled to a system control unit (SCU) **517** which acts as an overall interface controller for the various entities mentioned above. The SCU **517** also drives the field generators (e.g., WFG **512**), as well as collecting sensor data from the interface units **516**, from which it calculates the position and orientation of sensors within the body. The SCU **517** may be coupled to a personal computer (PC) **518** to allow user access and control.

(72) The command module **515** is also connected to the various IDMs **519** coupled to the surgical robotic system **500** as described herein. The IDMs **519** are typically coupled to a single surgical robotic system (e.g., the surgical robotic system **500**) and are used to control and receive data from their respective connected robotic components; for example, robotic endoscope tools or robotic arms. As described above, as an example, the IDMs **519** are coupled to an endoscopic tool (not shown here) of the surgical robotic system **500**.

(73) The command module **515** receives data passed from the endoscopic tool. The type of received data depends on the corresponding type of instrument attached. For example, example received data includes sensor data (e.g., image data, EM data), robotic data (e.g., command data, force and distance data, mechanical model data, kinematic model data, etc.), control data, and/or video data. To better handle video data, a field-programmable gate array (FPGA) **520** may be configured to handle image processing. Comparing data obtained from the various sensors, devices,

and field generators allows the SCU **517** to precisely track the movements of different components of the surgical robotic system **500**, and for example, positions and orientations of these components.

(74) In order to track a sensor through the patient's anatomy, the EM tracking system **505** may require a process known as “registration,” where the system finds the geometric transformation that aligns a single object between different coordinate systems. For instance, a specific anatomical site on a patient has two different representations in the 3D model coordinates and in the EM sensor coordinates. To be able to establish consistency and common language between these two different coordinate systems, the EM tracking system **505** needs to find the transformation that links these two representations, i.e., registration. For example, the position of the EM tracker relative to the position of the EM field generator may be mapped to a 3D coordinate system to isolate a location in a corresponding 3D model.

(75) V. B. 3D Model Representation

(76) FIGS. **6A-6B** show an example anatomical lumen **600** and an example 3D model **620** of the anatomical lumen, according to one embodiment. More specifically, FIGS. **6A-6B** illustrate the relationships of centerline coordinates, diameter measurements and anatomical spaces between the actual anatomical lumen **600** and its 3D model **620**. In FIG. **6A**, the anatomical lumen **600** is roughly tracked longitudinally by centerline coordinates **601**, **602**, **603**, **604**, **605**, and **606** where each centerline coordinate roughly approximates the center of the tomographic slice of the lumen. The centerline coordinates are connected and visualized by a centerline **607**. The volume of the lumen can be further visualized by measuring the diameter of the lumen at each centerline coordinate, e.g., diameters **608**, **609**, **610**, **611**, **612**, and **613** represent the measurements of the anatomical lumen **600** corresponding to coordinates **601**, **602**, **603**, **604**, **605**, and **606**.

(77) FIG. **6B** shows the example 3D model **620** of the anatomical lumen **600** shown in FIG. **6A**, according to one embodiment. In FIG. **6B**, the anatomical lumen **600** is visualized in 3D space by first locating the centerline coordinates **601**, **602**, **603**, **604**, **605**, and **606** in 3D space based on the centerline **607**. As one example, at each centerline coordinate, the lumen diameter is visualized as a 2D circular space (e.g., the 2D circular space **630**) with diameters **608**, **609**, **610**, **611**, **612**, and **613**. By connecting those 2D circular spaces to form a 3D space, the anatomical lumen **600** is approximated and visualized as the 3D model **620**. More accurate approximations may be determined by increasing the resolution of the centerline coordinates and measurements, i.e., increasing the density of centerline coordinates and measurements for a given lumen or subsection. Centerline coordinates may also include markers to indicate point of interest for the physician, including lesions.

(78) In some embodiments, a pre-operative software package is also used to analyze and derive a navigation path based on the generated 3D model of the anatomical space. For example, the software package may derive a shortest navigation path to a single lesion (marked by a centerline coordinate) or to several lesions. This navigation path may be presented to the operator intra-operatively either in two-dimensions or three-dimensions depending on the operator's preference. In certain implementations, the navigation path (or at a portion thereof) may be pre-operatively selected by the operator. The path selection may include identification of one or more target locations (also simply referred to as a “target”) within the patient's anatomy.

(79) FIG. **7** shows a computer-generated 3D model **700** representing an anatomical space, according to one embodiment. As discussed above in FIGS. **6A-6B**, the 3D model **700** may be generated using a centerline **701** that was obtained by reviewing CT scans that were generated preoperatively. In some embodiments, computer software may be able to map a navigation path **702** within the tubular network to access an operative site **703** (or other target) within the 3D model **700**. In some embodiments, the operative site **703** may be linked to an individual centerline coordinate **704**, which allows a computer algorithm to topologically search the centerline coordinates of the 3D model **700** for the optimum path **702** within the tubular network. In certain

embodiments, the topological search for the path **702** may be constrained by certain operator selected parameters, such as the location of one or more targets, one or more waypoints, etc.

(80) In some embodiments, the distal end of the endoscopic tool within the patient's anatomy is tracked, and the tracked location of the endoscopic tool within the patient's anatomy is mapped and placed within a computer model, which enhances the navigational capabilities of the tubular network. In order to track the distal working end of the endoscopic tool, i.e., location and orientation of the working end, a number of approaches may be employed, either individually or in combination.

(81) In a sensor-based approach to localization, a sensor, such as an EM tracker, may be coupled to the distal working end of the endoscopic tool to provide a real-time indication of the progression of the endoscopic tool. In EM-based tracking, an EM tracker, embedded in the endoscopic tool, measures the variation in the electromagnetic field created by one or more EM transmitters. The transmitters (or field generators), may be placed close to the patient (e.g., as part of the surgical bed) to create a low intensity magnetic field. This induces small-currents in sensor coils in the EM tracker, which are correlated to the distance and angle between the sensor and the generator. The electrical signal may then be digitized by an interface unit (on-chip or PCB) and sent via cables/wiring back to the system cart and then to the command module. The data may then be processed to interpret the current data and calculate the precise location and orientation of the sensor relative to the transmitters. Multiple sensors may be used at different locations in the endoscopic tool, for instance in leader and sheath in order to calculate the individual positions of those components. Accordingly, based on readings from an artificially-generated EM field, the EM tracker may detect changes in field strength as it moves through the patient's anatomy.

(82) VI. Navigation Configuration System

(83) VI. A. High-Level Overview of Navigation Configuration System

(84) FIG. **8A** shows an example block diagram of a navigation configuration system **900**, according to one embodiment. In FIG. **8A**, the navigation configuration system **900** includes multiple input data stores, a navigation module **905** that receives various types of input data from the multiple input data stores, and an output navigation data store **990** that receives output navigation data from the navigation module **905**. The block diagram of the navigation configuration system **900** shown in FIG. **8A** is merely one example, and in alternative embodiments not shown, the navigation configuration system **900** can include different and/or additional elements or not include one or more of the elements shown in FIG. **8A**. Likewise, functions performed by various elements of the navigation configuration system **900** may differ according to different embodiments. The navigation configuration system **900** may be similar to the navigational system described in U.S. Pat. No. 9,727,963, issued on Aug. 8, 2017, the entirety of which is incorporated herein by reference.

(85) The input data, as used herein, refers to raw or processed data gathered from input devices (e.g., command module, optical sensor, EM sensor, IDM) for generating estimated state information for the endoscope **118** (or other instrument) as well as output navigation data. The multiple input data stores **901-941** can include a strain data store **901**, an image data store **910**, an EM data store **920**, a robotic data store **930**, a 3D model data store **940**, and other data store(s) **941**. Each type of the input data stores **901-941** stores the name-indicated type of data for access and use by the navigation module **905**. Strain data may include one or more measurements of strain along the endoscope **118** (e.g., generated and/or stored by the shape detector **452** of FIG. **4C**).

(86) Image data may include one or more image frames captured by the imaging device at the instrument tip, as well as information such as frame rates or timestamps that allow a determination of the time elapsed between pairs of frames.

(87) Robotic data may include data typically used by the system for functions related to the control of the instrument (e.g., endoscope **118** and/or its sheath), and/or physical movement of the instrument or part of the instrument (e.g., the instrument tip or sheath) within the tubular network.

Robotic data may allow the state of the instrument to be inferred based on the data measured while navigating the instrument within the tubular network. The kinematic and dynamic models may be generated based on a priori information gathered during a calibration stage. This a priori information can be stored on the device and read and utilized by the robot to improve the drive, control, and navigation of the instrument and to improve other types of data available to the robot (e.g., EM data, image data, strain-based shape data, etc.). The robotic data may include parameters that are specific to each instrument.

(88) FIG. 8C illustrates examples of the robotic data that may be stored in the robotic data store **930** of FIG. 8A. As shown in FIG. 8C, the robotic data may include command data **931** instructing the instrument tip to reach a specific anatomical site and/or change its orientation (e.g., articulation data instructing the instrument to exhibit a desired articulation with a specific pitch, roll, and yaw, insertion and retraction data instructing the insertion and retraction for one or both of a leader and a sheath, etc.) force and distance data **932** (e.g., the distances that the pull wires have been actuated since the device was loaded on the robot, the amount of force being applied to the pull wires as measured by the torque sensors in the IDM, the amount of insertion force exerted by the robot arm to insert or retract the instruments, etc.), mechanical model data **933** representing mechanical movement of an elongate member of the instrument (e.g. motion of one or more pull wires, tendons, or shafts of the endoscope that drive the actual movement of the medical instrument within the tubular network, kinematic model data **934** representing the motion and shape of an instrument (e.g., geometric parameters indicative of a position of the instrument, and/or any changes to the geometric parameters relative to a pre-determined or reference position or set of coordinates), and the like.

(89) EM data may be collected by one or more EM sensors (e.g., located proximal to a tip of the instrument) and/or the EM tracking system as described above. 3D model data may be derived from, among other things, 2D CT scans as described above.

(90) The output navigation data store **990** receives and stores output navigation data provided by the navigation module **905**. Output navigation data indicates information to assist in directing the instrument through a patient's anatomy and in one example through a tubular network to arrive at a particular destination within the tubular network, and is based on estimated state information for the instrument at each instant time. The estimated state information can include the location and orientation of the instrument within the tubular network. In one embodiment, as the instrument moves inside the tubular network, the output navigation data indicating updates of movement and location/orientation information of the instrument is provided in real time, which better assists its navigation through the tubular network.

(91) To determine the output navigation data, the navigation module **905** locates (or determines) the estimated state of the instrument within the tubular network. As shown in FIG. 8A, the navigation module **905** further includes various algorithm modules, such as a strain-based algorithm module **945**, an EM-based algorithm module **950**, an image-based algorithm module **960**, a robot-based algorithm module **970**, an algorithm module **971** based on other data, etc. These modules may each consume mainly certain types of input data and contribute a different type of data to a state estimator **980**. As illustrated in FIG. 8A, the different kinds of data output by these modules, (labeled strain-based estimated state data, EM-based estimated state data, the image-based estimated state data, and the robot-based estimated state data, and estimated state data based on other data) may be generally referred to as “intermediate data” for the sake of explanation. In some cases, the navigation module **905** determines, based on the estimated state of the instrument, that a damage to the instrument or a malfunction is imminent (e.g., buckling, prolapse, etc.). In such cases, the navigation module **905** may cause the instrument to be controlled in a way to avoid the damage or malfunction. The detailed composition of each algorithm module and the state estimator **980** is described in greater detail below.

(92) VI. B. Navigation Module

(93) VI. B. 1. State Estimator

(94) As introduced above, the navigation module **905** further includes a state estimator **980** as well as multiple algorithm modules that employ different algorithms for navigating through a tubular network. For clarity of description, the state estimator **980** is described first, followed by the description of the various modules that exchange data with the state estimator **980**.

(95) The state estimator **980** included in the navigation module **905** receives various intermediate data and provides the estimated state of the instrument tip (or other portions of the instrument) as a function of time, where the estimated state indicates the estimated location and orientation information of the instrument tip (or other portions of the instrument) within the tubular network. The estimated state data are stored in the estimated state data store **985** that is included in the state estimator **980**. While the description herein is described within the context of determining the estimated location and orientation information of the instrument tip (or other portions of the instrument) within a tubular network, in other arrangements, the information can be used to determine estimated location and orientation information of the instrument tip (or other portions of the instrument) with respect to the patient, in general.

(96) VI. B. 2. Estimated State Data Store

(97) The estimated state data store **985** may include a bifurcation data store, a position data store, a depth data store, and an orientation data store. However this particular breakdown of data storage is merely one example, and in alternative embodiments not shown, different and/or additional data stores can be included in the estimated state data store **985**.

(98) The various stores introduced above represent estimated state data in a variety of ways. Bifurcation data may refer to the location of the instrument with respect to the set of branches (e.g., bifurcation, trifurcation or a division into more than three branches) within the tubular network. For example, the bifurcation data can be set of branch choices elected by the instrument as it traverses through the tubular network, based on a larger set of available branches as provided, for example, by the 3D model which maps the entirety of the tubular network. The bifurcation data can further include information in front of the location of the instrument tip, such as branches (bifurcations) that the instrument tip is near but has not yet traversed through, but which may have been detected, for example, based on the tip's current position information relative to the 3D model, or based on images captured of the upcoming bifurcations.

(99) Position data may indicate three-dimensional position of some part of the instrument within the tubular network or some part of the tubular network itself. Position data can be in the form of absolute locations or relative locations relative to, for example, the 3D model of the tubular network. As one example, position data can include an indication of the position of the location of the instrument being within a specific branch. The identification of the specific branch may also be stored as a segment identification (ID) which uniquely identifies the specific segment of the model in which the instrument tip is located.

(100) Depth data may indicate depth information of the instrument tip within the tubular network. Example depth data includes the total insertion (absolute) depth of the instrument into the patient as well as the (relative) depth within an identified branch (e.g., the segment identified by the position data store **1087**). Depth data may be determined based on position data regarding both the tubular network and instrument.

(101) Orientation data may indicate orientation information of the instrument tip, and may include overall roll, pitch, and yaw in relation to the 3D model as well as pitch, roll, yaw within an identified branch.

(102) VI. B. 3. Data Output to Algorithm Modules

(103) As illustrated in FIG. **8A**, the state estimator **980** provides the estimated state data back to the algorithm modules for generating more accurate intermediate data, which the state estimator uses to generate improved and/or updated estimated states, and so on forming a feedback loop. The state estimator **980** receives estimated state data from one or more algorithm modules shown in FIG. **8A**.

The state estimator **980** uses this data to generate “estimated state data (prior)” that is associated with timestamp “t-1.” The state estimator **980** then provides the data to one or more (which can be a different combination of algorithm modules than the one from which estimated state data was received previously) of the algorithm modules. The “estimated state data (prior)” may be based on a combination of different types of intermediate data (e.g., image data, mechanical model data, command data, kinematic model data, and the like) that is associated with timestamp “t-1” as generated and received from different algorithm modules. For example, estimated state data based on a combination of the non-strain-based data **972** may be provided to the strain-based algorithm module **945**, and the strain-based algorithm module **945** may determine and output strain-based estimated state data to the state estimator **980**.

(104) Next, the one or more of the algorithm modules run their respective algorithms using the received estimated state data (prior) to output to the state estimator **980** improved and updated estimated state data, which is represented by “estimated state data (current)” shown for the respective algorithm modules and associated with timestamp “t.” This process can be repeated for future timestamps to generate estimated state data.

(105) As the state estimator **980** may use several different kinds of intermediate data to arrive at its estimates of the state of the instrument within the tubular network, the state estimator **980** is configured to account for the various different kinds of errors and uncertainty in both measurement and analysis that each type of underlying data (robotic, EM, image) and each type of algorithm module may create or carry through into the intermediate data used for consideration in determining the estimated state. To address these, two concepts are discussed, that of a probability distribution and that of confidence value.

(106) The term “probability” in the phrase “probability distribution”, as used herein, refers to a likelihood of an estimation of a possible location and/or orientation of the instrument being correct. For example, different probabilities may be calculated by one of the algorithm modules indicating the relative likelihood that the instrument is in one of several different possible branches within the tubular network. In one embodiment, the type of probability distribution (e.g., discrete distribution or continuous distribution) is chosen to match features of an estimated state (e.g., type of the estimated state, for example continuous position information vs. discrete branch choice). As one example, estimated states for identifying which segment the instrument is in for a trifurcation may be represented by a discrete probability distribution, and may include three discrete values of 20%, 30% and 50% representing chance as being in the location inside each of the three branches as determined by one of the algorithm modules. As another example, the estimated state may include a roll angle of the instrument of 40 ± 5 degrees and a segment depth of the instrument tip within a branch may be 4 ± 1 mm, each represented by a Gaussian distribution which is a type of continuous probability distribution. Different methods or modalities can be used to generate the probabilities, which will vary by algorithm module as more fully described below with reference to later figures.

(107) In contrast, the “confidence value,” as used herein, reflects a measure of confidence in the estimation of the state provided by one of the algorithms based one or more factors. For strain-based algorithms using shape-sensing fibers, factors such as temperature, proximity to the proximal end of the catheter, and the like may affect the confidence in estimation of the state. For example, thermal expansion and contraction of the optical fiber portions may erroneously indicate that the instrument is bending. Further, in some embodiments, strain measurements of distal portions of the instrument rely on shape/position data determined based on strain measurements of proximal portions of the instrument (e.g., closer to the shape detector **452**), and any errors in the strain measurements of proximal portions may be magnified in the strain measurements of distal portions. For the EM-based algorithms, factors such as distortion to EM field, inaccuracy in EM registration, shift or movement of the patient, and respiration of the patient may affect the confidence in estimation of the state. Particularly, the confidence value in estimation of the state provided by the

EM-based algorithms may depend on the respiration cycle of the patient, movement of the patient or the EM field generators, and the location within the anatomy where the instrument tip locates. For the image-based algorithms, examples factors that may affect the confidence value in estimation of the state include illumination condition for the location within the anatomy where the images are captured, presence of fluid, tissue, or other obstructions against or in front of the optical sensor capturing the images, respiration of the patient, condition of the tubular network of the patient itself (e.g., lung) such as the general fluid inside the tubular network and occlusion of the tubular network, and specific operating techniques used in, e.g., navigating or image capturing. (108) For example one factor may be that a particular algorithm has differing levels of accuracy at different depths in a patient's lungs, such that relatively close to the airway opening, a particular algorithm may have a high confidence in its estimations of instrument location and orientation, but the further into the bottom of the lung the instrument travels that confidence value may drop. Generally, the confidence value is based on one or more systemic factors relating to the process by which a result is determined, whereas probability is a relative measure that arises when trying to determine the correct result from multiple possibilities with a single algorithm based on underlying data.

(109) As one example, a mathematical equation for calculating results of an estimated state represented by a discrete probability distribution (e.g., branch/segment identification for a trifurcation with three values of an estimated state involved) can be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{sub.1} &= C_{sub.EM} * P_{sub.1,EM} + C_{sub.Image} * P_{sub.1,Image} + C_{sub.Robot} * P_{sub.1,Robot}; \\ S_{sub.2} &= C_{sub.EM} * P_{sub.2,EM} + C_{sub.Image} * P_{sub.2,Image} + C_{sub.Robot} * P_{sub.2,Robot}; \\ S_{sub.3} &= C_{sub.EM} * P_{sub.3,EM} + C_{sub.Image} * P_{sub.3,Image} + C_{sub.Robot} * P_{sub.3,Robot}. \end{aligned}$$

(110) In the example mathematical equation above, $S_{sub.i}$ ($i=1,2,3$) represents possible example values of an estimated state in a case where 3 possible segments are identified or present in the 3D model, $C_{sub.EM}$, $C_{sub.Image}$, and $C_{sub.Robot}$ represents confidence value corresponding to EM-based algorithm, image-based algorithm, and robot-based algorithm and $P_{sub.i,EM}$, $P_{sub.i,Image}$, and $P_{sub.i,Robot}$ represent the probabilities for segment i .

(111) To better illustrate the concepts of probability distributions and confidence value associated with estimate states, a detailed example is provided here. In this example, a user is trying to identify segment where an instrument tip is located in a certain trifurcation within a central airway (the predicted region) of the tubular network, and three algorithms modules are used including EM-based algorithm, image-based algorithm, and robot-based algorithm. In this example, a probability distribution corresponding to the EM-based algorithm may be 20% in the first branch, 30% in the second branch, and 50% in the third (last) branch, and the confidence value applied to this EM-based algorithm and the central airway is 80%. For the same example, a probability distribution corresponding to the image-based algorithm may be 40%, 20%, 40% for the first, second, and third branch, and the confidence value applied to this image-based algorithm is 30%; while a probability distribution corresponding to the robot-based algorithm may be 10%, 60%, 30% for the first, second, and third branch, and the confidence value applied to this image-based algorithm is 20%. The difference of confidence values applied to the EM-based algorithm and the image-based algorithm indicates that the EM-based algorithm may be a better choice for segment identification in the central airway, compared with the image-based algorithm. An example mathematical calculation of a final estimated state can be: for the first branch: $20\% * 80\% + 40\% * 30\% + 10\% * 20\% = 30\%$; for the second branch: $30\% * 80\% + 20\% * 30\% + 60\% * 20\% = 42\%$; and for the third branch: $50\% * 80\% + 40\% * 30\% + 30\% * 20\% = 58\%$.

(112) In this example, the output estimated state for the instrument tip can be the result values (e.g., the resulting 30%, 42%, and 58%), or derivative value from these result values such as the determination that the instrument tip is in the third branch.

(113) As above the estimated state may be represented in a number of different ways. For example,

the estimated state may further include an absolute depth from airway to location of the tip of the instrument, as well as a set of data representing the set of branches traversed by the instrument within the tubular network, the set being a subset of the entire set of branches provided by the 3D model of the patient's lungs, for example. The application of probability distribution and confidence value on estimated states allows improved accuracy of estimation of location and/or orientation of the instrument tip within the tubular network.

(114) VI. B. 4. Strain-Based Algorithm Module

(115) VI. B. 4. i. Elements of Strain-Based Algorithm Module

(116) The strain-based algorithm module **945** uses strain data to determine the estimated state of the instrument within the tubular network. FIGS. **8B** and **9-11** illustrate the modules that may be included in the strain-based algorithm module **945**. As illustrated in FIG. **8B**, the strain-based algorithm module **945** may include (i) shape data determination module **906** for determining the shape data based on strain data, (ii) shape data comparison module **907** for comparing the shape data to robotic data, (iii) shape data adjustment module **908** for adjusting the shape data (or the confidence in the shape data) based on the comparison between the shape data and the robotic data, and (iv) shape-based state estimation module **909** for determining shape-based estimated state data based on the adjusted shape data (or adjusted confidence in the shape data). Although illustrated as separate components, the modules **906-909** may be implemented as one or more hardware components (e.g., a single component, individual components, or any number of components), one or more software components (e.g., a single component, individual components, or any number of components), or any combination thereof. The modules **906-909** are described in greater detail below with reference to FIGS. **9-11**.

(117) VI. B. 4. ii. Determining Shape Data

(118) FIG. **9** shows an example shape data determination module that may be included in the strain-based algorithm module **945**. As shown in FIG. **9**, the shape data determination module **906** receives strain data from the strain data store **901** and outputs shape data to shape data store **902**. The shape data determination module **906** may determine the shape data based on the strain data received from the strain data store **901**. As discussed with reference to FIG. **8A**, the strain data may include one or more measurements of strain along the one or more optical fibers **456** (or one or more cores therein) that are generated and/or stored by the shape detector **452** of FIG. **4C**. The shape data may include angles, coordinates, or a combination thereof indicative of the current shape of the instrument. In some cases, the shape data may include curvature information (e.g., curvature value of one or more portion of the instrument), orientation information (e.g., roll, pitch, and/or yaw of one or more portions of the instrument), position information (e.g., position of one or more portions of the instrument in a reference coordinate system, which is, for example, used by the system to navigate the instrument), and/or other information that can be used to indicate the shape of the instrument.

(119) VI. B. 4. iii. Improving Shape Data Using Robotic Data

(120) FIG. **10** shows an example shape data comparison module and shape data adjustment module that may be included in the strain-based algorithm module **945**. As shown in FIG. **10**, the shape data comparison module **907** receives data from a plurality of data stores **902-941**. For example, the received data can include shape data from the shape data store **902** and robotic data from the robotic data store **930**. The shape data comparison module **907** may compare the received shape data to the received robotic data and determine whether the received shape data is consistent with the received robotic data.

(121) As described herein, the robotic data may include, as one example, kinematic model data that indicates the movement of the instrument expected to result from a given set of control commands. The shape data comparison module **907** may compare the movement indicated by the robotic data with the movement indicated by the shape data received from the shape data determination module **906**. Based on the comparison, the shape data comparison module **907** may output a comparison

result that indicates whether or not the shape data is consistent with the robotic data and the extent of the difference between the shape data and the robotic data. For example, the comparison result may indicate that the curvature of the instrument indicated by the shape data is outside the range of acceptable curvature indicated by the robotic data (e.g., exceeds the highest acceptable curvature by a specific amount). As another example, the comparison result may indicate that the shape data corresponding to a specific portion of the instrument is not consistent with the torque measurements included in the robotic data (e.g., measurements of the torque applied to the pull wires).

(122) VI. B. 4. iv. Improving Shape Data Using Data Other than Robotic Data

(123) In other embodiments, the shape data comparison module **907** can compare the shape data to the image data received from the image data store **910**, the shape data to the EM data received from the EM data store **920**, the shape data to the 3D model data received from the 3D model data store **940**, the shape data to other data received from other data store(s) **941**, and/or any combination of data received from two or more of the data stores **910-941**.

(124) For example, the shape data comparison module **907** may determine, based on the image data received from the image data store **910**, an expected orientation of the instrument (e.g., at or near the distal end of the instrument). The shape data comparison module **907** may then determine whether the shape data is inconsistent with the expected orientation of the instrument (e.g., the image data indicates that the tip of the instrument is pointing in a direction parallel to the anatomical lumen, but the shape data indicates that the tip of the instrument is pointing at an inner wall of the anatomical lumen).

(125) In another example, the shape data comparison module **907** may determine, based on the 3D model data received from the 3D model data store **940**, that the anatomical lumen in which the instrument is located has a range of possible coordinate values. The shape data comparison module **907** may then determine whether the shape data indicates that the instrument is located outside the range of possible coordinate values or whether the shape data indicates that the instrument is shaped in a way that would not fit in the anatomical lumen.

(126) In yet another example, the shape data comparison module **907** may determine, based on the EM data received from the EM data store **920**, a set of coordinate values corresponding to the current location of the instrument in a reference coordinate system. The shape data comparison module **907** may then determine whether the shape data is inconsistent with the expected orientation of the instrument (e.g., the set of coordinate values indicated by the shape data is different from the set of coordinate values indicated by the EM data, or deviates from the set of coordinate values indicated by the EM data by more than a threshold amount).

(127) In yet another example, fluoroscopy (X-ray) images can be analyzed by a computer vision algorithm to extract the silhouette of the instrument, and the shape data comparison module **907** may then determine whether the shape data is inconsistent with the extracted silhouette of the instrument.

(128) In yet another example, different sensing modalities can be fit into the working channel **438** and may be connected to work with the system. These sensing modalities include radial endobronchial ultrasound (REBUS) probes, multispectral imaging (spectroscopes), tomography imaging (optical coherence tomography, confocal microscopy, two-photon excitation microscopy, etc.). Using the sensor data generated by these sensing modalities, the shape data comparison module **907** can determine whether the shape data is inconsistent with the sensor data.

(129) VI. B. 4. v. Other Examples of Shape Data Comparison

(130) In some embodiments, the shape data comparison module **907** determines that a mismatch between the shape data and the robotic data has been detected for over a threshold amount of time, and outputs an alert indicating that the instrument may be damaged. For example, the shape data comparison module **907** may determine that the last five comparison results output to the shape data adjustment module **908** indicated that the shape data was inconsistent with the robotic data,

and output an alert (e.g., indicating that the instrument may be damaged, stuck, or otherwise malfunctioning).

(131) Although not illustrated in FIG. 10, the shape data comparison module **907** can, additionally or alternatively, compare the shape data to the estimated state data received from the state estimator **980**. In some cases, the shape of the sheath may be known (e.g., based on shape-sensing using optical fibers inside the sheath, or robotic data corresponding to the sheath). In such cases, the shape data comparison module **907** may access the shape data corresponding to the sheath surrounding the instrument, and compare the shape data of the instrument to the shape data of the sheath.

(132) In some cases, the shape data comparison module **907** determines that the robotic data has a higher confidence value than the shape data, and based on the determination, compares the shape data to the robotic data. Alternatively, in some cases, the shape data comparison module **907** determines that robotic data has a lower confidence value than the shape data, and based on the determination, refrains from comparing the shape data to the robotic data.

(133) For example, at or near the distal end of the instrument, the confidence value assigned to the shape data or strain data may be lower than those assigned to the robotic data, because as discussed above, strain measurements of distal portions of the instrument may rely on shape/position data determined based on strain measurements of proximal portions of the instrument (e.g., closer to the shape detector **452**), and any errors in the strain measurements of proximal portions may be magnified in the strain measurements of distal portions. On the other hand, at or near the proximal end of the instrument, the confidence value assigned to the shape data or strain data may be higher than those assigned to the robotic data.

(134) In some embodiments, the shape data is compared to the robotic data at or near the distal end of the instrument and adjusted as needed, but the shape data is not compared to the robotic data at or near the proximal end of the instrument. In other embodiments, the shape data is compared to the robotic data at or near both the distal end and the proximal end of the instrument and adjusted as needed.

(135) VI. B. 4. vi. Adjusting Shape Data Using Comparison Result

(136) The shape data comparison module **907** outputs the result of the comparison to the shape data adjustment module **908**. The result of the comparison may indicate which portion of the shape data, if any, does not satisfy one or more conditions indicated by the data to which the shape data is compared (e.g., the robotic data). For example, as discussed with reference to FIG. 4D, the comparison result may indicate that the shape data corresponding to a portion of the endoscope **118** indicates that the portion exhibits a curvature value that is not consistent with the robotic data. In another example, as discussed with reference to FIG. 4E, the comparison result may indicate that the shape data corresponding to a portion of the endoscope **118** indicates that the portion is moving at a speed that is not consistent with the robotic data.

(137) In other cases, the comparison result may indicate that the direction of the instrument tip indicated by the shape data deviates from the direction of the instrument tip indicated by the robotic data by more than a threshold amount, that the shape data indicates that the shape of the instrument is such that a portion of the instrument would be outside the anatomical lumen, or that the location of the instrument indicated by the shape data deviates from the location of the instrument indicated by the robotic data by more than a threshold amount. The comparison result may indicate any error or deviation from what the system expects based on one or more of the data from various sources and/or estimated states from the state estimator **980**.

(138) Based on the received comparison result, the shape data adjustment module **908** adjusts the shape data and outputs the adjusted shape data to the shape data store **902**. For example, upon determining that the curvature value indicated by the shape data is not consistent with the robotic data, the shape data adjustment module **908** may modify the shape data such that the curvature value is within the acceptable curvature range indicated by the robotic data. As another example,

upon determining that the current speed indicated by the shape data is not consistent with the robotic data, the shape data adjustment module **908** may modify the shape data such that the current speed is within the acceptable speed range indicated by the robotic data. In yet another example, upon determining that a portion or all of the shape data does not satisfy one or more conditions indicated by the robotic data, the shape data adjustment module **908** may, instead of adjusting the shape data, discard such shape data. In yet another example, upon determining that a portion or all of the shape data does not satisfy one or more conditions indicated by the robotic data, the shape data adjustment module **908** may, instead of adjusting the shape data, reduce the confidence in the shape data (e.g., by decreasing the confidence value associated with the shape data). The adjusted shape data is stored in the shape data store **902**. In some cases, the adjusted shape data is stored in another data store different from the shape data store **902**.

(139) In some cases, the shape data adjustment module **908** may make alternative or additional adjustments based on other factors. For example, the shape data adjustment module **908** may adjust the shape data (or adjust the confidence in the shape data) based on a change in temperature. In such an example, the shape data adjustment module **908** may adjust the shape data (or adjust the confidence in the shape data) based on thermal expansion and contraction properties of the optical fibers. The shape data adjustment module **908** may make such an adjustment in response to determining that the received comparison result indicates that the shape data is at odds with at least one other data. In other cases, the shape data determination module **906** takes the current temperature into account when determining the shape data based on the received strain data, and the shape data adjustment module **908** does not make additional temperature-based adjustments to the shape data.

(140) In some embodiments, the shape data adjustment module **908** may adjust the shape data (or adjust the confidence in the shape data) based on whether the tip of the instrument is being articulated or not. Alternatively or additionally, the shape data adjustment module **908** may adjust the shape data (or adjust the confidence in the shape data) based on whether non-shape-changing strain (e.g., temperature, articulation mode, etc.) is being applied to the instrument. The shape data adjustment module **908** may make one or both of these adjustments in response to determining that the received comparison result indicates that the shape data is at odds with at least one other data.

(141) FIG. **11** shows an example shape-based state estimation module that may be included in the strain-based algorithm module **945**. As shown in FIG. **11**, the shape-based state estimation module **909** receives the adjusted shape data from the shape data store **902** and determines shape-based estimated state data based on the adjusted shape data and prior estimated state data received from the estimated state data store **985**. The shape-based state estimation module **909** outputs the shape-based estimated state data to the estimated state data store **985**. This process can be repeated to generate estimated state data for future timestamps. In some cases, the shape-based state estimation module **909** determines an estimated state of a sheath covering the instrument based on the estimated state of the instrument.

(142) VII. A. Overview of Shape Data Adjustment Based on Robotic Data

(143) FIG. **12** is a flowchart illustrating an example method operable by a surgical robotic system, or component(s) thereof, for determining and adjusting shape data based on other data available to the surgical robotic system, such as robotic data, according to one embodiment. For example, the steps of method **1200** illustrated in FIG. **12** may be performed by processor(s) and/or other component(s) of a medical robotic system (e.g., surgical robotic system **500**) or associated system(s) (e.g., the strain-based algorithm module **945** of the navigation configuration system **900**). For convenience, the method **1200** is described as performed by the surgical robotic system, also referred to simply as the “system” in connection with the description of the method **1200**.

(144) The method **1200** begins at block **1201**. At block **1205**, the system accesses robotic data regarding an instrument navigated (or to be navigated) within an interior region of a body. The robotic data can include data related to the control of the instrument (e.g., endoscope **118** and/or its

sheath), and/or physical movement of the instrument or part of the instrument (e.g., the instrument tip or sheath) within the tubular network. As described above, the robotic data can include command data, force and distance data, mechanical model data, kinematic model data, and the like. (145) At block **1210**, the system accesses strain data from an optical fiber positioned within the instrument. The strain data may be indicative of a strain on a portion of the instrument positioned within the interior region of the body. In some cases, the strain data indicates one or both of a strain on the distal end of the instrument and a strain on the proximal end of the instrument. The strain data may be generated by the shape detector **452** and stored in the strain data store **901**, and the system may access the strain data from the strain data store **901**.

(146) At block **1215**, the system determines shape data based on the strain data. For example, based on the strain on a specific portion of the instrument indicated by the strain data, the system may predict the shape of the specific portion of the instrument. The shape data may include angles, coordinates, or a combination thereof indicative of the current shape of the instrument. In some cases, the shape data may include curvature information (e.g., curvature value of one or more portion of the instrument), orientation information (e.g., roll, pitch, and/or yaw of one or more portions of the instrument), position information (e.g., position of one or more portions of the instrument in a reference coordinate system, which is, for example, used by the system to navigate the instrument), and/or other information that can be used to indicate the shape of the instrument.

(147) At block **1220**, the system compares the robotic data and the shape data. In some embodiments, the comparison includes determining whether a specific value included in the shape data satisfies a corresponding condition indicated by the robotic data. For example, the robotic data accessed by the system may indicate that the instrument is not capable of being controlled in a way that results in a curvature value greater than a maximum curvature value or outside a given range of curvature values. In such an example, the system may determine whether the curvature value of a given portion of the instrument indicated by the shape data exceeds the maximum curvature value or is outside the given range of curvature values indicated by the robotic data for the given portion of the instrument. In another example, the robotic data accessed by the system may indicate that the instrument is not capable of being moved faster than a maximum speed or outside a specific movement range. In such an example, the system may determine whether the movement (e.g., speed, movement path, or other time history data) of a given portion of the instrument indicated by the shape data satisfies a movement condition (e.g., maximum speed, movement speed range, etc.) indicated by the robotic data for the given portion of the instrument. A similar technique can be applied in other cases such that the system can determine whether any parameter value indicated by the shape data satisfies a corresponding shape condition (e.g., minimum, maximum, and/or range values that can indicate whether a given parameter value in the shape data is or is likely to be erroneous) indicated by the robotic data.

(148) At block **1225**, the system adjusts the shape data based on the comparison of the robotic data and the shape data. In some embodiments, the adjustment includes modifying at least a portion of the shape data such that the determination of the estimated state of the instrument (at block **1230**) is based on the modified portion of the shape data. For example, upon determining that the curvature value indicated by the shape data exceeds the maximum curvature value indicated by the robotic data, the system may modify the shape data such that the curvature value is less than or equal to the maximum curvature value indicated by the robotic data. As another example, upon determining that the current speed indicated by the shape data exceeds the maximum speed indicated by the robotic data, the system may modify the shape data such that the current speed is less than or equal to the maximum speed indicated by the robotic data. In other embodiments, the adjustment includes removing at least a portion of the shape data such that the determination of the estimated state of the instrument (at block **1230**) is not based on the removed portion of the shape data. For example, upon determining that a portion or all of the shape data does not satisfy one or more conditions indicated by the robotic data, the system may discard such shape data or disregard such shape data

in the determination of the estimated state at block **1230**.

(149) Adjusting the shape data may also include assigning a confidence value or weight to the shape data or adjusting such confidence value or weight assigned to the shape data. For example, upon determining that the shape data satisfies one or more conditions indicated by the robotic data, the system may increase the confidence value or weight associated with the shape data. Alternatively, upon determining that the shape data does not satisfy one or more conditions indicated by the robotic data, the system may decrease the confidence value or weight associated with the shape data.

(150) At block **1230**, the system determines an estimated state of the instrument based on the adjusted shape data. In some cases, the system may determine the estimated state of the instrument based on a combination of the adjusted shape data and data from one or more data stores shown in FIG. **8A** and/or one or more estimated state data from the state estimator **980** in FIG. **8A**. At block **1235**, the system outputs the estimated state of the instrument. The method **1200** ends at block **1240**.

(151) VII. B. Overview of Shape Data Adjustment Process

(152) FIG. **13** is a conceptual diagram illustrating an example method operable by a surgical robotic system, or component(s) thereof, for operating an instrument, according to one embodiment. For example, the steps shown in diagram **1300** illustrated in FIG. **13** may be performed by processor(s) and/or other component(s) of a medical robotic system (e.g., surgical robotic system **500**) or associated system(s) (e.g., the strain-based algorithm module **945** of the navigation configuration system **900**). For convenience, the processes illustrated in diagram **1300** are described as performed by the surgical robotic system, also referred to simply as the “system.”

(153) As shown in FIG. **13**, shape data **1305** and robotic data **1310** are fed into the decision block **1315**. At block **1315**, the system determines whether the shape data **1305** is acceptable in view of the robotic data **1310**. Upon determining that the shape data is not acceptable, the system proceeds to block **1320** and adjusts the shape data. Upon determining that the shape data is acceptable, the system proceeds to block **1325** to drive the instrument based at least on the shape data (or adjusted shape data) and/or to block **1330** to navigate the instrument based at least on the shape data (or adjusted shape data).

(154) VII. C. Overview of Shape Data Confidence Adjustment Process

(155) FIG. **14** is a conceptual diagram illustrating an example method operable by a surgical robotic system, or component(s) thereof, for operating an instrument, according to one embodiment. For example, the steps shown in diagram **1400** illustrated in FIG. **14** may be performed by processor(s) and/or other component(s) of a medical robotic system (e.g., surgical robotic system **500**) or associated system(s) (e.g., the strain-based algorithm module **945** of the navigation configuration system **900**). For convenience, the processes illustrated in diagram **1400** are described as performed by the surgical robotic system, also referred to simply as the “system.”

(156) As shown in FIG. **14**, the system takes shape data **1405** and robotic data **1410** and generates weighted data **1415**. The weighted data **1415** may be a weighted sum of the shape data **1405** and the robotic data **1410**, where the shape data **1405** and the robotic data **1410** are weighted based on their respective confidence values. If the confidence value associated with the shape data **1405** is higher than that of the robotic data **1410**, the shape represented by the weighted data **1415** may be closer to that represented by the shape data **1405**. On the other hand, if the confidence value associated with the robotic data **1410** is higher than that of the shape data **1405**, the shape represented by the weighted data **1415** may be closer to that represented by the robotic data **1410**. At block **1420**, the system determines whether the shape data **1405** is acceptable in view of the robotic data **1410**. Upon determining that the shape data **1405** is not acceptable, the system proceeds to block **1425** and adjusts the confidence value associated with the shape data **1405**. The system then proceeds to block **1430** to drive the instrument based at least on the weighted data reflecting the adjusted confidence value and/or to block **1435** to navigate the instrument based at

least on the weighted data reflecting the adjusted confidence value. On the other hand, upon determining that the shape data **1405** is acceptable, the system proceeds to block **1430** to drive the instrument based at least on the weighted data **1415** and/or to block **1435** to navigate the instrument based at least on the weighted data **1415**.

(157) As just discussed with respect to FIG. **14**, a number of embodiments described herein may adjust confidence values to improve navigation or the control of the medical instrument. For example, in some cases, a navigation system may use the adjusted confidence value to lower the weight given to the strain-based shape data to determine the location of the medical instrument relative to anatomy of a patient, as may be represented by a preoperative model of the patient. As discussed in other portions of this disclosure, a navigation system (see, e.g., FIG. **8A**) may receive a number of different state estimates of the medical instruments from corresponding state estimators and, according to the embodiment shown in FIG. **14**, the navigation system may lower the weight given to the state derived from the strain-based shape data based on the comparison. Depending on the adjusted confidence, the navigation system may disregard the state estimate derived from state estimators using the strain-based shape data or may lower the impact the state estimator has on determining the estimated state of the medical device.

(158) It is to be appreciated that the converse is also possible for embodiments contemplated by this disclosure. That is, if the comparison between the strain-based shape data and the robotic data-based shape data determines that the two types of data closely matches (as may be determined by a threshold amount) then the navigation system may increase the confidence or weight of the state estimate derived from the strain-based shape data.

(159) Similarly described in FIG. **14**, some embodiments may include a control system that controls the drive of the medical instrument based on the comparison between the strain-based shape data and the robotic data. Such control systems may, based on the comparison, use or disregard the strain-based shape data when controlling the pose of the medical instrument.

(160) While FIGS. **4D**, **4E**, and **9-14** are described herein with respect to robotic data, in other embodiments, other data can be used instead of robotic data or in combination with robotic data. Also, although some techniques described herein are described with reference to surgical robotic systems, in other embodiments, such techniques can be applied to non-surgical systems such as medical robotic systems and systems for controlling an instrument within interior regions of a body that do not involve a surgery.

(161) VIII. Implementing Systems and Terminology

(162) Implementations disclosed herein provide systems, methods and apparatuses for detecting physiological noise during navigation of a luminal network.

(163) It should be noted that the terms “couple,” “coupling,” “coupled,” or other variations of the word couple as used herein may indicate either an indirect connection or a direct connection. For example, if a first component is “coupled” to a second component, the first component may be either indirectly connected to the second component via another component or directly connected to the second component.

(164) The functions described herein may be stored as one or more instructions on a processor-readable or computer-readable medium. The term “computer-readable medium” refers to any available medium that can be accessed by a computer or processor. By way of example, and not limitation, such a medium may comprise random access memory (RAM), read-only memory (ROM), electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), flash memory, compact disc read-only memory (CD-ROM) or other optical disk storage may comprise RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory, CD-ROM or other optical disk storage, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium that can be used to store desired program code in the form of instructions or data structures and that can be accessed by a computer. It should be noted that a computer-readable medium may be tangible and non-transitory. As used herein, the term “code” may refer to software, instructions, code or data that is/are executable by a computing

device or processor.

(165) The methods disclosed herein comprise one or more steps or actions for achieving the described method. The method steps and/or actions may be interchanged with one another without departing from the scope of the claims. In other words, unless a specific order of steps or actions is required for proper operation of the method that is being described, the order and/or use of specific steps and/or actions may be modified without departing from the scope of the claims.

(166) As used herein, the term “plurality” denotes two or more. For example, a plurality of components indicates two or more components. The term “determining” encompasses a wide variety of actions and, therefore, “determining” can include calculating, computing, processing, deriving, investigating, looking up (e.g., looking up in a table, a database or another data structure), ascertaining and the like. Also, “determining” can include receiving (e.g., receiving information), accessing (e.g., accessing data in a memory) and the like. Also, “determining” can include resolving, selecting, choosing, establishing and the like.

(167) The phrase “based on” does not mean “based only on,” unless expressly specified otherwise. In other words, the phrase “based on” describes both “based only on” and “based at least on.”

(168) The previous description of the disclosed implementations is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the present invention. Various modifications to these implementations will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other implementations without departing from the scope of the invention. For example, it will be appreciated that one of ordinary skill in the art will be able to employ a number corresponding alternative and equivalent structural details, such as equivalent ways of fastening, mounting, coupling, or engaging tool components, equivalent mechanisms for producing particular actuation motions, and equivalent mechanisms for delivering electrical energy. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the implementations shown herein but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and novel features disclosed herein.

Claims

1. A method of controlling an instrument, the method comprising: measuring strain of one or more optical fibers associated with an elongate shaft of an instrument; determining a first shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain and a first weight associated therewith; determining, based on the measured strain, a strain-indicated characteristic of the elongate shaft with respect to a mechanical condition of the elongate shaft; determining an expected range of the mechanical condition of the elongate shaft; determining that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition; in response to the determination that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range, determining a second shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain and a second weight associated therewith, the second weight lower than the first weight; and outputting the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the mechanical condition is one of curvature of the elongate shaft or speed of motion of the elongate shaft.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on robotic command data used to control movement of the instrument.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the robotic command data indicates a commanded pitch, roll, yaw, and insertion of the instrument.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on at least one of: pull force on one or more articulation pull wires associated with the elongate shaft; or actuation position of the one or more articulation pull wires.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on image data received from a camera associated with a distal portion of the elongate shaft.

7. The method of claim 6, further comprising determining that the image data indicates an orientation of the elongate shaft relative to an anatomical lumen, wherein said determining that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on the indicated orientation of the elongate shaft.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein said determining that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition involves: determining a position of at least a portion of the elongate shaft relative to a virtual model of an anatomical lumen in which at least a portion of the elongate shaft is disposed; and determining that the position of the at least a portion of the elongate shaft is outside of a boundary of the virtual model of the anatomical lumen.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein said determining the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft involves decreasing a confidence value associated with the measured strain.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein said determining the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft involves adjusting the measured strain to bring the strain-indicated characteristic within the expected range.
11. A robotic system for controlling an instrument, the system comprising: an interface configured to receive signals from one or more optical fibers disposed at least partially within an elongate shaft of an instrument; and control circuitry configured to: determine a measured strain of the one or more optical fibers based on the received signals; determine a first shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain and a first weight associated therewith; determine, based on the measured strain, a strain-indicated characteristic of the elongate shaft with respect to a mechanical condition of the elongate shaft; determine an expected range of the mechanical condition of the elongate shaft; determine that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition; in response to the determination that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range, determine a second shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain and a second weight associated therewith, the second weight lower than the first weight; and output the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft.
12. The robotic system of claim 11, wherein the mechanical condition is one of curvature of the elongate shaft or speed of motion of the elongate shaft.
13. The robotic system of claim 11, wherein the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on robotic command data that indicates at least one of a commanded pitch, roll, yaw, or insertion of the instrument.
14. The robotic system of claim 11, wherein the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on at least one of: pull force on one or more articulation pull wires associated with the elongate shaft; or actuation position of the one or more articulation pull wires.
15. The robotic system of claim 11, wherein: the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on image data received from a camera associated with a distal portion of the elongate shaft; the control circuitry is further configured to determine that the image data indicates an orientation of the elongate shaft relative to an anatomical lumen; and said determining that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on the indicated orientation of the elongate shaft.
16. The robotic system of claim 11, wherein said determining that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition involves: determining a position of at least a portion of the elongate shaft relative to a virtual model of an anatomical lumen in which at least a portion of the elongate shaft is disposed; and determining that the position of the at least a portion of the elongate shaft is outside of a boundary of the virtual model of the anatomical lumen.
17. The robotic system of claim 11, wherein said determining the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft involves adjusting the measured strain to bring the strain-indicated characteristic within the expected range.
18. A non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having stored thereon instructions that, when executed, cause one or more processors of a device to at least: measure strain of one or more

optical fibers associated with an elongate shaft of an instrument; determine a first shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain and a first weight associated therewith; determine, based on the measured strain, a strain-indicated characteristic of the elongate shaft with respect to a mechanical condition of the elongate shaft; determine an expected range of the mechanical condition of the elongate shaft; determine that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range of the mechanical condition; in response to the determination that the strain-indicated characteristic is outside of the expected range, determining a second shape estimation of the elongate shaft based on the measured strain and a second weight associated therewith, the second weight lower than the first weight; and output the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft.

19. The non-transitory computer-readable storage medium of claim 18, wherein the expected range of the mechanical condition is based on at least one of: pull force on one or more articulation pull wires associated with the elongate shaft; or actuation position of the one or more articulation pull wires.

20. The non-transitory computer-readable storage medium of claim 18, wherein said determining the second shape estimation of the elongate shaft involves adjusting the measured strain to bring the strain-indicated characteristic within the expected range.
