

# US Patent & Trademark Office

## Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent  
Kind Code  
Date of Patent  
Inventor(s)

12391992  
B2  
August 19, 2025  
Jiao; Yuchen et al.

### Kit for early screening of liver cell cancer and preparation method and use thereof

#### Abstract

The present invention provides a kit for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma, comprising a gene marker detection reagent and a protein marker detection reagent. The invention also provides a preparation method and application of the kit. The kit comprising specific gene markers and protein markers of the present invention has been demonstrated to be effective in achieving early screening of HCC in community populations, particularly in prospective studies.

<b>Inventors:</b>	Jiao; Yuchen (Beijing, CN), Qu; Chunfeng (Beijing, CN), Wang; Yuting (Beijing, CN), Wang; Pei (Beijing, CN), Chen; Kun (Beijing, CN), Song; Qianqian (Beijing, CN), Liu; Hui (Beijing, CN), Wang; Sizhen (Beijing, CN), Yan; Hai (Beijing, CN)
<b>Applicant:</b>	GENETRON HEALTH (BEIJING) CO, LTD. (Beijing, CN); CANCER HOSPITAL, CHINESE ACADEMY OF MEDICAL SCIENCES (Beijing, CN)
<b>Family ID:</b>	1000008764141
<b>Assignee:</b>	GENETRON HEALTH (BEIJING) CO, LTD. (Beijing, CN); CANCER HOSPITAL, CHINESE ACADEMY OF MEDICAL SCIENCES (Beijing, CN)
<b>Appl. No.:</b>	17/438050
<b>Filed (or PCT Filed):</b>	September 17, 2019
<b>PCT No.:</b>	PCT/CN2019/106064
<b>PCT Pub. No.:</b>	WO2020/181752
<b>PCT Pub. Date:</b>	September 17, 2020

## Prior Publication Data

### Document Identifier

US 20220145399 A1

### Publication Date

May. 12, 2022

## Foreign Application Priority Data

CN

201910179499.X

Mar. 11, 2019

---

## Publication Classification

**Int. Cl.:** C12Q1/68 (20180101); C12Q1/6883 (20180101); C12Q1/6886 (20180101); G01N33/574 (20060101); G16B5/20 (20190101); G16B40/00 (20190101); G16H50/30 (20180101); G16H50/50 (20180101)

### U.S. Cl.:

**CPC** C12Q1/6886 (20130101); G01N33/57438 (20130101); G16B5/20 (20190201); G16B40/00 (20190201); G16H50/30 (20180101); C12Q2600/156 (20130101)

## Field of Classification Search

**USPC:** None

---

## References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
2011/0033873	12/2010	Park	435/189	C12Q 1/6886

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
2017184883	12/2016	WO	N/A

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cook N.R. Statistical Evaluation of Prognostic versus Diagnostic Models: Beyond the ROC Curve. Clinical Chemistry 54(1):17-23 (2008). cited by examiner

Kawai-Kitahata et al. Comprehensive analyses of mutations and hepatitis B virus integration in hepatocellular carcinoma with clinicopathological features. J Gastroenterol (2016) 51:473-486. cited by examiner

Inagaki et al. Clinical and molecular insights into the hepatocellular carcinoma tumour marker des-γ-carboxyprothrombin. Liver Int. Jan. 2011;31(1):22-35. cited by examiner

Ng et al. Circulating Cell-Free DNA in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Current Insights and Outlook. Front Med (Lausanne). Mar. 26, 2018 :5:78. cited by examiner

Chen, H. , et al. "Direct comparison of five serum biomarkers in early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma." Cancer Management & Research 10(2018):1947-1958. cited by applicant

Kawai-Kitahata, F. , et al. "Comprehensive analyses of mutations and hepatitis B virus integration in hepatocellular carcinoma with clinicopathological features." Journal of Gastroenterology 51.5(2016):473-486. cited by applicant

International Search Report issued in corresponding International Application No. PCT/CN2019/106064; mailed Dec. 12, 2019; China National Intellectual Administration, Beijing, China, 8 pgs. cited by applicant  
Written Opinion issued in corresponding International Application; PCT/CN2019/106064; mailed Dec. 12, 2019; China National Intellectual Administration, Beijing, China, 9 pgs. cited by applicant

---

*Primary Examiner:* Zou; Nianxiang

*Attorney, Agent or Firm:* HAUPTMAN HAM, LLP

---

## **Background/Summary**

### **RELATED APPLICATIONS**

(1) The present application is a U.S. National Phase of International Application Number PCT/CN2019/106064 filed Sep. 17, 2019 and claims priority to Chinese Application Number 201910179499.X filed Mar. 11, 2019.

### **TECHNICAL FIELD**

(2) The invention belongs to the medical field, and relates to a kit for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma, and more specifically to a kit for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma of AFP-negative subjects, and a preparation method and application.

### **BACKGROUND ART**

(3) Liver cancer includes two major histopathological types, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA), of which HCC accounts for about 85-90%. Currently, there is no effective treatment for advanced HCC. Therefore, it is recommended that cirrhosis patients at high risk of HCC be screened. (Omata M, et al. (2017) Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: a 2017 update. *Hepatol Int* 11(4):317-370; Marrero J A, et al. (2018) Diagnosis, Staging and Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: 2018 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology*.). In China, according to the guidelines of the Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL), early screening for HCC has been conducted in a number of cohorts, recommending that individuals with liver cirrhosis and hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positive be monitored for HCC every 6 months, including ultrasound (US) and serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) detecting (Omata M, et al. (2017), *ibid.*). In previous studies, early detection and early treatment with this model significantly improved the overall survival rate of liver cancer (Singal A G, Pillai A, & Tiro J (2014) Early detection, curative treatment, and survival rates for hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance in patients with cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *PLoS medicine* 11(4):e1001624.), but the accurate detection of HCC requires experienced experts, which limits its wide application in all HBsAg positive individuals. In addition, the biannual screening was also associated with follow-up appointments and anxiety. At present, most HCC cases in China are diagnosed based on clinical symptoms rather than by HCC screening, and are at an advanced stage at the time of hospital diagnosis.

(4) In recent studies, liquid biopsies based on gene changes in cell free DNA (cfDNA) have shown good results in early detection of cancer (Bettegowda C, et al. (2014) Detection of circulating tumor DNA in early- and late-stage human malignancies. *Science translational medicine* 6(224):224ra224; Chaudhuri A A, et al. (2017) Early Detection of Molecular Residual Disease in Localized Lung Cancer by Circulating Tumor DNA Profiling. *Cancer discovery* 7(12):1394-1403.). Combining genes with protein markers may further improve the sensitivity and specificity of detection and may screen multiple tumor types in one assay (Springer S, et al. (2015) A

Combination of Molecular Markers and Clinical Features Improve the Classification of Pancreatic Cysts. Gastroenterology; Cohen J D, et al. (2018) Detection and localization of surgically resectable cancers with a multi-analyte blood test. *Science* 359(6378):926-930; Cohen J D, et al. (2017) Combined circulating tumor DNA and protein biomarker-based liquid biopsy for the earlier detection of pancreatic cancers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 114(38):10202-10207.). However, these studies were primarily directed to HCC inpatients and healthy people without HBV infection (Cohen J D, et al. (2018), *ibid*). In high risk groups of chronic HBV infection, the performance of liquid biopsy tests may be affected, because some precancerous lesions, such as cirrhosis, may also have driver mutations common in HCC.

Analysis of hepatitis, cirrhosis and non-cancerous liver nodules may be necessary to draw a baseline to accurately identify HCC by imaging or histological clinical validation.

(5) The common causes of liver dysfunction are infection (e.g., hepatitis B virus infection), obesity, alcohol abuse, aflatoxin exposure, dyslipidemia, etc., and liver disease patients are at a higher risk for liver cancer. Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP) and squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCCA) are all protein markers of liver cancer. Researches have shown that the combined measurement of AFP and DCP can improve the sensitivity of predicting liver cancer and distinguish early liver cancer from decompensated cirrhosis. However, AFP, DCP and SCCA were negative in many early liver cancers.

(6) Tumors or other cells release DNA molecules into the blood, which form cell free DNA fragments (cfDNA) after degradation. The detection of cfDNA has great potential in guiding tumor targeting drugs, monitoring efficacy and early screening of cancer. About 90% of liver cancer patients in China have hepatitis B virus infection background, and hepatitis B virus-related liver cancer has almost no KRAS, BRAF and other hotspot mutations.

(7) As previously described, a separate protein marker such as AFP has been used in the past as an early screening indicator for HCC. Chun et al., 2015 (Chun S, Rhie S Y, Ki C S, Kim J E, & Park H D (2015) Evaluation of alpha-fetoprotein as a screening marker for hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis prevalent areas. *Annals of hepatology* 14(6):882-888.) reported the use of a single alpha-fetoprotein as a screening marker, but the effect was not satisfactory, with a positive predictive value of about 1-2%.

(8) Recently, attempts have been made for HCC early screening by combining gene changes with protein markers. Joshua D. Cohen et al., 2018 (Cohen J D, Li L, Wang Y, et al. Detection and localization of surgically resectable cancers with a multi-analyte blood test[J]. *Science*, 2018, 359(6378): eaar3247.) reported early screening of pan-cancerous species, including HCC, using gene mutation binding protein markers, but which did not use gene changes such as TERT and various forms thereof and/or HBV fusions in relation to HCC. And this study was only a retrospective study of inpatients and healthy people who had been diagnosed as HCC, but not a prospective study of patients without HCC symptoms, therefore was not able to predict the occurrence of HCC and give a positive predictive value.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

(9) Traditionally, gene changes or protein markers have each been used alone in cancer early screening. Early screening for cancer by using a combination of gene changes and protein markers has also been attempted. Liquid biopsies that bind cell free DNA (cfDNA) and proteins have shown potential in early cancer detection in a variety of tissue types. However, most of these studies are retrospective, with individuals previously diagnosed with cancer as cases and healthy individuals as controls. Even for a few prospective studies, the markers used in the prior art are poorly predictive of hepatocellular carcinoma. Here, the present invention develops a liquid biopsy assay called hepatocellular carcinoma screening (HCC screening), combines specific gene markers with protein markers, and demonstrates its application value in early HCC detection of chronic HBV infection in a multicenter community population. Verification results show that the method robustly distinguishes HCC individuals from non-HCC individuals, with 85% sensitivity and 93%

specificity. The inventors further conducted a prospective study to apply this assay to 331 individuals with normal liver ultrasonography and serum AFP levels. 24 positive cases were identified and 4 cases were confirmed to develop HCC after 6-8 months of clinical follow-up. During the same time range of follow-up, 307 test-negative individuals were not diagnosed with HCC. The assay showed 100% sensitivity, 94% specificity and 17% positive predictive value in the validation set. The positive predictive value (PPV) of 17% was significantly higher than that previously obtained by AFP horizontal screening alone, (Chun S, Rhie S Y, Ki C S, Kim J E, & Park H D (2015) Evaluation of alpha-fetoprotein as a screening marker for hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis prevalent areas. *Annals of hepatology* 14(6):882-888.) and higher than that obtained by using specific gene markers and specific protein markers in the invention, respectively.

(10) The kit comprising a specific gene marker and a protein marker of the present invention has been shown to be effective in achieving early screening of HCC in a non-specific population, and thus can be used for early screening of HCC in a non-specific population, and more preferably for early screening of HCC in AFP negative subjects.

(11) It is noteworthy that the kit of the present invention is used for prospective early HCC prediction, and each of the 4 cases of HCC is diagnosed in the early stage (<3 cm), which provides a good basis for follow-up treatment. The inventors' research evidence suggests that the joint detection of cfDNA changes and protein markers is a feasible method to identify early HCC from asymptomatic community groups with unknown HCC status.

(12) Accordingly, in one aspect, the present invention provides a kit for early screening for hepatocellular carcinoma, comprising a gene marker detection reagent and a protein marker detection reagent.

(13) The kit may further comprise a data processing system for converting the information of the gene marker and/or the protein marker into a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score of the person to be detected, and for predicting whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score of the person to be detected.

(14) In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for early screening for hepatocellular carcinoma, comprising:

(15) (1) detecting gene markers and protein markers of a subject by using gene marker detection reagents and protein marker detection reagents; and (2) calculating the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score by using the detection results of the gene markers and the protein markers and comparing with a threshold value.

(16) According to the method, the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score and the threshold value are obtained through a liver cancer prediction model; the method for constructing the prediction model of liver cancer comprises the following steps: constructing a training set, wherein the training set consists of a plurality of liver cancer patients and a plurality of patients at high risk of liver cancer; taking gene markers and protein markers of a training set as characteristics, converting detection results into characteristic scores, constructing a liver cancer prediction model by using a penalty logistic regression algorithm, and calculating a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score; and obtaining a ROC curve of sensitivity and specificity of the penalty logistic regression model according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score and the sample grouping information, and determining a cut-off value according to the ROC curve, wherein the cut-off value serves as a threshold value for distinguishing liver cancer patients from patients at high risk of liver cancer.

(17) In yet another aspect, the invention provides the use of gene marker detection reagents and protein marker detection reagents for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma.

(18) In yet another aspect, the invention provides the use of gene marker detection reagents and protein marker detection reagents in the preparation of a kit for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma.

(19) The invention aims to perform early liver cancer screening.

(20) The invention firstly protects a liver cancer early screening kit, which can include detection

reagents of liver cancer mutant gene, a DCP detection reagent and an AFP detection reagent.

(21) The “detection reagents for the liver cancer mutant gene” can be used for detecting the mutation type and/or the mutation reads and/or the gene copy number variation of the liver cancer mutant gene in the cfDNA.

(22) The “liver cancer mutant gene” may be a TP53 gene and/or a TERT gene and/or an AXIN1 gene and/or a CTNNB1 gene.

(23) The DCP detection reagent can be used for detecting the DCP content in plasma.

(24) The AFP detection reagent can be used for detecting AFP content in plasma.

(25) The kit may also include a detection reagent for whether HBV is integrated with the gene and/or a cfDNA detection reagent.

(26) The “detection reagent for whether the HBV is integrated with the gene” can be used for detecting whether an integration sites of HBV sequence and human genome exists in cfDNA.

(27) The “cfDNA detection reagent” can be used to detect the concentration of cfDNA and/or the percentage of different insert fragment lengths of cfDNA to the cfDNA content.

(28) The kit of any of the above may further comprise a data processing system; the data processing system is used for converting liver cancer gene variation information (i.e. information about 11 gene mutation characteristics), DCP content (DCP content in plasma), AFP content (AFP content in plasma), whether HBV is integrated with genes, cfDNA information and clinical information of a subject into a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score (i.e. an HCCscreen score value) of the subject; and for predicting whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score of the person to be detected.

(29) The invention also protects the application of the detection reagents of the liver cancer mutant gene, the DCP detection reagent, the AFP detection reagent, the detection reagent of whether the HBV is integrated with the gene or not and the cfDNA detection reagent, which can be at least one of A1)-A4): A1) predicting whether a person to be detected is a liver cancer patient; A2) preparing a kit for predicting whether a person to be detected is a liver cancer patient; A3) predicting liver cancer; A4) preparing a kit for predicting liver cancer.

(30) The invention also protects the application of the detection reagents of the liver cancer mutant gene, the DCP detection reagent, the AFP detection reagent, the detection reagent of whether the HBV is integrated with the gene or not, the cfDNA detection reagent and the data processing system, which can be at least one of A1)-A4): A1) predicting whether a person to be detected is a liver cancer patient; A2) preparing a kit for predicting whether a person to be detected is a liver cancer patient; A3) predicting liver cancer; A4) preparing a kit for predicting liver cancer.

(31) The invention also protects the age, sex, the content of DCP in the blood plasma, the content of AFP in the blood plasma of the person to be detected and “the mutation type, mutation reads, gene copy number variation of a liver cancer mutant gene, whether HBV is integrated with the gene, the concentration of the cfDNA and the percentage of different insert fragment lengths of cfDNA to the cfDNA content of the person to be detected” as a marker application, which can be at least one of A1)-A4): A1) predicting whether a person to be detected is a liver cancer patient; A2) preparing a kit for predicting whether a person to be detected is a liver cancer patient; A3) predicting liver cancer; A4) preparing a kit for predicting liver cancer.

(32) The invention also provides a method for predicting liver cancer, which can comprise the following steps of: detecting the content of DCP and AFP in the blood plasma of a person to be detected; detecting the mutation type, mutation reads, gene copy number variation of liver cancer mutant genes, whether HBV is integrated with the gene, the concentration of the cfDNA and the percentage of different insert fragment lengths of cfDNA to the cfDNA content of the person to be detected; recording the age and sex of a person to be detected; converting the information of the person to be detected into a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score (i.e. an HCCscreen score value), and predicting whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score.

(33) “Predicting whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score” comprises determining a diagnosis threshold value through a working characteristic curve (ROC curve), comparing the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score of the person to be detected with the size of the diagnosis threshold value, and finishing the liver cancer prediction of the person to be detected.

(34) The HCCscreen score of the person to be detected can be calculated by a liver cancer prediction model. The liver cancer prediction model is a penalty logistic regression model developed according to the characteristic scores and grouping information of each patient in the training set. The training set consists of a plurality of liver cancer patients (constituting a liver cancer group) and a plurality of liver cancer high-risk patients (constituting a liver cancer high-risk group). In one embodiment of the present invention, the training set consists of 65 liver cancer patients and 70 high-risk liver cancer patients.

(35) Whether the HBV is integrated with the gene or not can be as follows: the extent to which HBV is integrated with a gene, whether HBV is integrated with a TERT gene and/or whether HBV is integrated with a non-TERT gene (e.g., APOBEC4, FBX010, FUT8, WDR7, SLC7A10, GUSBP4).

(36) Information of the liver cancer mutant gene of any one of the above includes information of the mutation type and/or mutation reads and/or gene copy number variation of the liver cancer mutant gene.

(37) The cfDNA information described above may include cfDNA concentration and/or the percentage of different insert fragment lengths of cfDNA to the cfDNA content. The percentage of cfDNA content of different insertion fragment length of the cfDNA can be specifically as follows: interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment length less than 90 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 90-140 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 141-200 bp and interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment greater than 200 bp. Interval percentage refers to the percentage of all cfDNA content.

(38) The clinical information of any of the above may include age and/or sex.

(39) The detection reagents of the liver cancer mutant gene comprise a reagent for extracting cfDNA (such as a MagMAX™ Cell-Free DNA Isolation Kit), a reagent for constructing a cfDNA library (such as a KAPA Hyper Prep kit), and a reagent for performing hybridization capture of a target region (such as a sureselect XT target capture kit).

(40) The DCP detection reagent can be a reagent for detecting the content of DCP in plasma. Specifically: separating plasma and detecting DCP by American Abbott ARCHITECT i2000SR chemiluminescence immuno-analyzer.

(41) The AFP detection reagent may be a reagent for detecting AFP content in plasma. Specifically: separating plasma and detecting AFP by American Abbott IMx analyzer.

(42) The detection reagent for whether or not the HBV is integrated with the gene may include a reagent for extracting cfDNA (e.g., MagMAX™ Cell-Free DNA Isolation Kit).

(43) The cfDNA detection reagent includes a reagent for extracting cfDNA (e.g., MagMAX™ Cell-Free DNA Isolation Kit).

(44) In the above, the characteristics of the detection (kit detection) can be specifically 20 characteristics in the embodiment as follows:

(45) I. The characteristics used by the “detection reagents for the liver cancer mutant gene” can specifically be 11 characteristics in the embodiment, which are a TP53 gene non-R249S mutation, a TERT gene mutation, an AXIN1 gene mutation, a CTNNB1 gene mutation, a TP53 R249S hot spot mutation, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5 and a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 6 (i.e. 11 gene mutation characteristics), respectively. The specific steps are as follows:

(46) 1. Extracting cfDNA of blood sample to be detected.

(47) 2. Taking the cfDNA of the blood sample to be detected, and constructing a library by using a KAPA Hyper Prep kit to obtain the cfDNA library of the blood sample to be detected.

(48) 3. Taking a cfDNA library of the blood sample to be detected, performing hybridization capture of target region by using a sureselect XT target capture kit, and sequencing on an Illumina platform. Obtaining a detection result (including mutant gene and mutation frequency) of the liver cancer mutant gene in the cfDNA of the blood sample to be detected.

(49) 4. Annotating and scoring of gene mutation results

(50) Annotating the detection result of the liver cancer mutant gene in the cfDNA: annotation score for mutation reads support frequency.

(51) 5 Taking a cfDNA library of a blood sample to be detected, performing low-depth whole genome sequencing, and then performing CNV detection and cfDNA fragment length detection on sequencing data.

(52) 6. Feature extraction of gene copy number variation detection results

(53) The CNV detection results are processed as follows: principal component analysis (PCA) dimensionality reduction is performed on the CNV signals (the sex chromosomes were deleted to rule out the effect of gender on CNV signal) at each chromosome arm level, with the cumulative ratio (cumulative proportion)  $\geq 95\%$  as the threshold, the first six principal components (CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 6) are selected as CNV related characteristics, the CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 6) are as CNV characteristics for subsequent calculation, and the corresponding principal component score of each CNV characteristic is the characteristic score of the characteristic.

(54) 7. Detecting cfDNA fragment length

(55) The low-depth whole genome sequencing data can be used to analyze the four characteristics in the examples, which can be interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment length less than 90 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 90-140 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 141-200 bp and interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment greater than 200 bp, respectively.

(56) II. The characteristics used by the “cfDNA detection reagent” for detection specifically be the concentration of cfDNA. The cfDNA concentration values were taken as characteristic scores after  $\log_2$  transformation.

(57) III. The characteristics used by the “DCP detection reagent” may be specifically one characteristics in the examples, that is, the DCP content in plasma.

(58) IV. The characteristics used by the “AFP detection reagent” can be specifically one characteristics in the examples, that is, the AFP content in plasma.

(59) V. The characteristics used by the “whether the HBV is integrated with the gene or not” can be specifically two characteristics in the example, namely the situation of integration variation of the HBV and whether the HBV is integrated with the TERT or not (i.e. two gene mutation characteristics).

(60) In the above, mutation site integration and scoring: for each gene mutation, giving an annotation score according to the mutation reads support frequency; the mutation site scores are then accumulated into different ROI (Region Of Interest) intervals (i.e., obtaining characteristic scores). The interval includes four genes (TP53, CTNNB1, TERT and AXIN1) and a TP53 R249S hotspot mutation site region. The calculation formula is as follows:



(61)  $R O I = \log_2 \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{adj\_score}_i}$

(62) Where n is the number of mutations overlapping the ROI and adj\_score is the reads support frequency of the mutation.

(63) In the above, the structural variation result characteristic extraction steps are as follows:

(64) (1) Detecting the characteristic score of HBV integration variation in each sample: for each integration mutation detected, it was divided into three grades A, B and C according to the reads support credibility (the number of integrated reads  $\geq 10$ , grade A;  $10 >$  the number of integrated reads  $> 6$ , grade B; the rest was grade C, as shown in column 3 of Table 7), and the corresponding scores were 1, 0.8 and 0.3 respectively, and then summed up to obtain the characteristic score of HBV integration variation.

(65) (2) Detecting the score of the HBV and TERT integration variation characteristic of each sample: TERT integration occurs, and the characteristic score of TERT integration variation is 1 (without considering reads support credibility rating); TERT integration did not occur, and the characteristic score of TERT integration variation was 0.

(66) In the above, the related characteristic extraction steps of the cell free DNA length are as follows: calculating the percentage of the length of the cfDNA fragment in four intervals ( $< 90$  bp, 90-140 bp, 141-200 bp and  $> 200$  bp), taking the characteristics as prediction variables, the percentage of the length of the cfDNA fragment in the four intervals is a characteristic score.

(67) In the above, the related characteristic extraction of the protein marker comprises the following steps of:

(68) Dividing actual measured values of AFP into five numerical levels from low to high according to threshold values (13, 20, 200, 400): 0, 5, 8, 20 and 30, dividing actual measured values of the DCP into three numerical levels from low to high according to threshold values (40 and 60): 0, 2, 5 as characteristic scores of two protein markers.

(69) In addition, two characteristics can be extracted according to clinical and experimental correlation characteristics, and the clinical characteristics include age and gender of patients, and also have a certain correlation with case phenotype. Wherein, the characteristic value of the age is the actual age value of the sample; The characteristic score of male is 1, and that of female is 0.

(70) The characteristics may include the following 22 characteristics: 13 gene mutation characteristics, 2 protein markers, 5 cfDNA physical characteristics and the basic information composition of 2 blood sample. The 13 gene mutations characteristics are a TP53 gene non-R249S mutation, a TERT gene mutation, an AXIN1 gene mutation, a CTNNB1 gene mutation, a TP53 R249S hot spot mutation, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5 and a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 6, HBV integrated variation, whether HBV and TERT integrated variation, respectively. The two protein markers were AFP and DCP, respectively. The five physical characteristics of cfDNA were as follows interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment length less than 90 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 90-140 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 141-200 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment greater than 200 bp and the concentration of cfDNA respectively concentration. The basic information of 2 blood sample is sex and age, respectively.

(71) Early detection of cancer is the most effective way to reduce cancer-induced death. In recent studies, cfDNA and/or protein-based liquid biopsies have shown promise in early detection of cancer in a variety of tissue types (Cohen J D, et al. (2018), *ibid*), but have not demonstrated good predictive results for HCC, nor have they demonstrated efficacy in identifying early liver cancer and high-risk populations. In this study, the inventors developed and tested a liquid biopsy assay. In the selection of biomarkers, we focus on frequently changing genetic biomarkers with clear carcinogenic mechanisms, such as TERT promoter mutations, and protein markers with definite

diagnostic value, such as DCP (Lok A S, et al. (2010) Des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin and alpha-fetoprotein as biomarkers for the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 138(2):493-502.). The present invention comprises a limited number of candidate biomarkers specifically associated with HCC, and to avoid over-fitting effects when studying a large number of candidate biomarkers in a limited number of tumor/normal cases, we incorporate a small number of candidate biomarkers specifically associated with HCC. By using research tools for retrospective and/or prospective studies to verify a particular combination of gene markers and protein markers selected according to the present invention, it has been found that this particular combination achieves superior results in both retrospective and prospective verification.

(72) Accordingly, in one aspect, the present invention provides a kit for the early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma in AFP negative subjects, comprising gene marker detection reagents and a DCP detection reagent.

(73) The kit may further comprise a data processing system for converting the information of the gene markers and/or the protein markers into a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score of the person to be detected, and for predicting whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score of the person to be detected.

(74) The gene marker detection reagents of any one of the above may comprise one or more, preferably three or four, selected from: TP53 detection reagent, CTNNB1 detection reagent, AXIN1 detection reagent, TERT detection reagent.

(75) The gene marker detection reagents of any one of the above may further comprise a detection reagent for whether HBV is integrated with a gene.

(76) The protein marker detection reagents of any one of the above may comprise one or more selected from: AFP detection reagent and DCP detection reagent.

(77) The kit of the present invention can be used for early screening of HCC in non-specific populations, as well as for early screening of HCC in specific populations such as AFP negative subjects. Since AFP is a common test indicator in routine physical examinations such as blood tests, it is likely that the subject's AFP status (negative or positive) is known. Thus, in some embodiments, the kit of the present invention is an HCC early screen for a particular population, such as AFP negative subjects, wherein the kit does not include an AFP detection reagent. Similarly, in some embodiments, the kit of the present invention is an HCC early screen for a particular population, such as DCP negative subjects, wherein the kit does not include a DCP detection reagent. Similarly, in some embodiments, the kit of the present invention is an HCC early screen for a particular population such as AFP and DCP negative subjects, wherein the kit does not include an AFP detection reagent and a DCP detection reagent. Thus in some embodiments, the present invention provides a kit for the early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma in AFP negative subjects comprising a gene marker detection reagent and a protein marker detection reagent, preferably wherein the protein marker detection reagent comprises a DCP detection reagent. In some embodiments, the present invention provides a kit for screening hepatocellular carcinoma in a DCP negative subject comprising a gene marker detection reagent and a protein marker detection reagent, preferably wherein the protein marker detection reagent comprises an AFP detection reagent. In some embodiments, the present invention provides a kit for the early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma in AFP and DCP negative subjects comprising a gene marker detection reagent. The gene marker detection reagents according to the present invention can detect the presence and/or type of gene markers, including mutant types and mutant reads.

(78) Gene marker detection reagents according to the present invention also include CNV detection reagents in some embodiments. The CNV detection reagent is typically used to detect CNV at the whole genome level, but in some embodiments may also be used to detect at local levels, such as CNV of genes. In some embodiments, a kit of the invention comprises a CNV detection reagent for detecting global CNV levels. In some embodiments, a kit of the invention comprises a CNV detection reagent for detecting local CNV levels. In some embodiments, a kit of the invention

comprises a CNV detection reagent for detecting CNV level of a TERT gene. The use of CNV detection reagents may further improve the sensitivity and specificity of HCC screening. In some embodiments, the CNV detection result may be converted to CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5, and/or CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 6.

(79) As used herein, the term “gene marker detection reagents” are detection reagents for detecting gene markers, including those well known to those skilled in the art and described herein. Accordingly, the terms “TP53 detection reagent”, “CTNNB1 detection reagent”, “AXIN1 detection reagent” and “TERT detection reagent” are detection reagents for detecting the respective specified gene markers, including those well known to those skilled in the art and described herein. TP53, CTNNB1, AXIN1 and TERT are well known to those skilled in the art as common gene markers in the art, such as TERT promoter mutations. In some embodiments, the full length of TP53 is detected. In some embodiments, one or more exons of TP53 are detected. The invention is characterized in some aspects by detecting the full length of TP53, rather than detecting only one or more exons of TP53.

(80) Those skilled in the art will readily recognize that a gene of the present invention, when used as a gene marker, utilizes at least one or more nucleotide differences between all or a portion of the sequence obtained by sequencing and its corresponding wild-type sequence, and is not necessarily limited to a particular site. The TP53, CTNNB1, AXIN1 and TERT genes, when used as gene markers, may differ in length from their corresponding wild-type sequences by at least one or more nucleotides. The TP53 gene, when used as a gene marker, may also differ in its particular hotspot (e.g., R249S) from its corresponding wild-type sequence by at least one or more nucleotides. The TERT gene, when used as a gene marker, may also differ in its particular hotspot (e.g., chr5:1295228C>T or chr5:1295250C>T) from its corresponding wild-type sequence by at least one or more nucleotides.

(81) Gene marker detection reagents according to the present invention also include HBV integration detection reagents in some embodiments. As used herein, the term “HBV integration detection reagent” is an agent used to detect whether HBV is integrated into the genome. In some embodiments, HBV integration in the genome may include HBV integration near TERT in the genome, e.g., within 1.5 kb upstream of TERT, and HBV integration elsewhere in the genome.

(82) In some embodiments, the subject's gene marker is detected from the subject's cfDNA. In general, when gene markers are detected by using the gene marker detection reagents described herein, the use process or detection process includes cfDNA extraction and detection, from which information related to the cfDNA is known, including, for example, cfDNA concentration and/or the percentage of different insert fragment lengths of cfDNA to the cfDNA content and/or cfDNA length detection reagents. Thus, in some embodiments, the “gene marker detection reagent” and its hyponyms described herein may also function as a cfDNA detection reagent and thus be used interchangeably with the “cfDNA detection reagent”. In other embodiments, the kit of the invention further comprises a cfDNA detection reagent.

(83) As used herein, the term “protein marker detection reagent” is a detection reagent for detecting protein markers, including those well known to those skilled in the art and described herein. Accordingly, the terms “AFP detection reagent” and “DCP detection reagent” are detection reagents for detecting the respective specified protein markers, including those well known to those skilled in the art and described herein. AFP and DCP are well known to those skilled in the art as common protein markers in the art.

(84) In some embodiments, the subject's protein marker is detected from the subject's blood or a component thereof, such as serum or plasma. In some embodiments, the kit further comprises a blood collection set.

(85) The kit of the present invention may also include or be used in conjunction with a data

processing system, which may be included in a computer, for example. The data processing system is used for processing detection results of the gene marker detection reagent and/or the protein marker detection reagent according to the present invention. In some embodiments, the data processing system uses the detection results of the gene markers and protein markers to calculate a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score. In some embodiments, the data processing system compares the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score to a threshold. In some embodiments, the data processing system is used to estimate and/or verify and/or predict HCC, preferably by comparing the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score to a threshold.

(86) Using this HCC screening, the present invention finds it possible to identify early HCC individuals and distinguish them from non-HCC individuals with chronic liver disease, including cirrhosis. The assay showed 85% sensitivity and 93% specificity in the diagnosis of HCC in individuals with elevated liver nodules and/or serum AFP detected by ultrasound. More importantly, performance was also maintained in the AFP/US negative validation set with sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 94%, respectively. Current sensitivity is based on a limited number of HCC cases. If additional HCC cases are identified, this may vary with long term follow-up or dynamic CT/MRI examination of all individuals. In this case, determination of sensitivity and specificity based on follow-up time requires prospective and large-scale clinical trials. However, 17% of the current positive predictive value (PPV) in the validation set was significantly higher than previously obtained with AFP level screening alone (Chun S, Rhie S Y, Ki C S, Kim J E, & Park H D (2015) Evaluation of alpha-fetoprotein as a screening marker for hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis prevalent areas. *Annals of hepatology* 14(6):882-888.).

(87) Accordingly, in another aspect, the present invention provides a method for early screening for hepatocellular carcinoma, comprising:

(88) (1) detecting gene markers and protein markers of a subject; and

(89) (2) calculating the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score by using the detection results of the gene markers and the protein markers and comparing with a threshold value.

(90) PPV can be further improved if a second HCC screening is provided for cases that are positive in the first test. High PPV is very helpful for clinical routine use because it reduces unnecessary anxiety and follow-up in non-HCC individuals.

(91) Accordingly, in another aspect, the present invention provides a method for early screening for hepatocellular carcinoma, comprising:

(92) (1) detecting gene markers and protein markers of a subject;

(93) (2) calculating the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score by using the detection results of the gene markers and the protein markers and comparing with a threshold value; and

(94) (3) if the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score is above the threshold, steps (1) and (2) are repeated one or more times for the subject after a period of time.

(95) In one embodiment, the subject's gene marker is detected from the subject's cfDNA. That is, the method includes extracting cfDNA from the subject.

(96) In one embodiment, the subject's protein marker is detected from the subject's blood. That is, the method includes withdrawing blood, preferably serum or plasma, from the subject.

(97) As used herein, the term "a period of time" may be one day, two days, three days, four days, five days, six days, one week, two weeks, three weeks, one month, two months, three months, four months, five months, six months, seven months, eight months, nine months, ten months, eleven months, one year, and is not limited thereto.

(98) In some embodiments, the threshold for comparing with the calculated hepatocellular carcinoma screening score is 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, or 1.0. In a preferred embodiment, the threshold is 0.4. In a preferred embodiment, the threshold is 0.5.

(99) In yet another aspect, the invention provides the use of gene marker detection reagents and protein marker detection reagents for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma.

(100) In yet another aspect, the invention provides the use of gene marker detection reagents and

protein marker detection reagents in the preparation of a kit for early screening of hepatocellular carcinoma.

(101) Those skilled in the art will appreciate that all definitions of the characteristics, parameters, effects, etc. described in the description of the kit herein can be appropriately combined with any other aspects of the method or use of the present invention.

(102) Tumor size is an important clinical parameter in diagnosis, affecting the survival of HCC patients. Unlike protein or RNA-based biomarkers, tumor cells typically contain only one copy of mutant DNA in most cases. One fundamental problem with early detection screening based on cfDNA is whether the early tumor releases enough copies of mutant DNA to be detected in circulation. Of all identified HCC cases screened by HCC in this study, 85% and 68% of cases were <5 cm and <3 cm, respectively. HCC tumors <5 cm are early stage and suitable for curative surgery. Patients with tumors <3 cm may have even better results, emphasizing the value of HCC screening to reduce HCC morbidity and mortality. In the validation set, the present invention identified 4 HCC, 2-3 cm in size, from the AFP/US negative population. These results clearly show that the sensitivity of HCC screening is promising for early HCC detection.

(103) The ideal tumor screening method should have high sensitivity and specificity, and it should also be easy to perform in clinical practice. The present HCC screening assay detects mutations in the coding region and translocation/HBV integration with unknown breakpoints at a cost <150. In addition, the liquid biopsy assay can be centralized and standardized and requires minimal expertise and equipment in local hospitals/clinics. In general, the method is very suitable as a routine test for HCC screening in high-risk populations.

(104) Evidence provided by the present study indicates that cfDNA mutation and protein marker based screening in high-risk populations is effective in identifying HCC patients. It is non-invasive and can detect early and late stage tumors. More importantly, since somatic mutations in driver genes are common in the development of most cancers, this strategy can be modified for early screening of other tumor types or multiple tumor types from single-tube blood.

(105) The kit of the invention may also contain additional therapeutic agents. The method of the invention may further comprise administering an additional therapeutic agent. In one embodiment, the additional therapeutic agent is a cancer (e.g., hepatocellular carcinoma) therapeutic agent known in the art.

(106) Where a series of numerical values is recited herein, it is to be understood that any recited numerical value may be the upper or lower limit of the numerical range. It is also to be understood that the invention encompasses all such numerical ranges, i.e., a range having a combination of an upper numerical limit and a lower numerical limit, wherein each numerical value of the upper and lower numerical limits may be any numerical value recited in the present invention. The scope of the invention provided should be understood to include all values within that range. For example, 1-10 should be understood to include all of the values 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, and as the case may be, fractional values. Ranges expressed as “up to” a certain value (e.g., up to 5) are to be understood as all values (including the upper limit of the range), e.g., 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and as the case may be, fractional values. Up to one week or within one week is understood to include 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 days. Similarly, a range defined by “at least” should be understood to include the lower values provided and all higher values.

(107) Unless otherwise indicated, all percentages are weight/weight.

(108) As used herein, “about” is understood to include within three standard deviations of the mean or within standard tolerances in a particular field. In certain embodiments, about is understood to be a variation of no more than 0.5. “About” modifies all recited values thereafter. For example, “about 1, 2, 3” means “about 1”, “about 2”, “about 3”.

(109) The articles “a” and “an” are used herein to refer to one or more (i.e., at least one) of the grammatical objects of the article. For example, “an element” refers to one element or more than one elements.

(110) The term “comprise” is used herein to refer to the phrase “including, but not limited to”, and is used interchangeably therewith.

(111) Unless the context clearly indicates otherwise, the term “or” is used inclusively in the present invention to refer to the term “and/or” and is used interchangeably therewith.

(112) The term “such as” is used herein to refer to the phrase “such as, but not limited to”, and is used interchangeably therewith.

(113) It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the technical characteristics described above in the various embodiments may be used alone or in combination with the technical solutions of the various aspects of the invention.

(114) Some embodiments of the invention are illustrated by the following non-limiting examples.

(115) The early liver cancer screening markers are mostly protein or gene methylation information. The present invention reports a novel hepatocellular carcinoma screening (HCC screening) method based on the detection of both serum protein markers and changes in cfDNA, and demonstrates its utility in early HCC detection in multicenter community populations with chronic HBV infection. The inventors of the present invention firstly confirmed that gene mutation information of cfDNA in plasma can be used for early HCC prediction through a large number of experiments. According to the invention, a liver cancer prediction model is adopted to score a person to be detected, and whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not is predicted through a score value, so that the combination of the gene marker and the protein marker disclosed by the invention, which can effectively perform HCC early screening, is verified. Therefore, the detection of cfDNA for early screening, disease tracking, efficacy evaluation, prognosis prediction of liver cancer has important clinical significance.

---

## **Description**

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

- (1) FIG. 1 is a study design protocol. This includes population recruitment, training of HCC screening models, and validation in sampled AFP/US negative individuals.
- (2) FIG. 2 is a detailed study design protocol.
- (3) FIG. 3 is a design of gene profiling of cfDNA in an HCC screening assay.
- (4) FIGS. 4A-4G shows the performance of HCC screening in the training set and validation set. Wherein, FIG. 4A is the contribution of HCC screening scores and cfDNA and protein biomarkers in the training set and in the diagnostic model; FIG. 4B is a binary result of a training centralized diagnosis model; FIG. 4C is an ROC curve of a diagnosis model for HCC screening in a training set; FIG. 4D is HCC screening performance of the diagnosis model in the validation set; FIG. 4E is the follow-up and diagnosis of HCC positive cases in the validation set; FIG. 4F is a binary result of the diagnosis model the validation set; FIG. 4G is dynamic CT imaging of 4 HCC cases detected by HCC screening in AFP/US negative individuals.
- (5) FIGS. 5A-5B is a representation of different training sets. Wherein FIG. 5A is a ROC curve of an HCC screening diagnosis model in a training set using a healthy individual without HBV infection as a control; FIG. 5B was trained with HCC and non-HCC individuals (left) and with HCC and healthy individuals (right).
- (6) FIG. 6 is a ROC curve of a liver cancer prediction model.
- (7) FIG. 7 is a graph comparing scores of different group models.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

- (8) The following examples facilitate a better understanding of the invention but do not limit it.
- (9) The experimental procedures in the following examples, if not specified, are conventional.
- (10) The test materials used in the following examples, unless otherwise specified, were purchased from conventional biochemical reagent stores.

(11) The quantitative experiments in the following examples were set up in triplicate and the results averaged.

(12) In the following embodiments, all liver cancer patients, high-risk liver cancer patients and healthy volunteers have informed consent to the content of this study.

(13) MagMAX™ Cell-Free DNA Isolation Kit is a product of Thermo Fisher. The KAPA Hyper Prep kit is a product of KAPA. The sureselect XT target capture kit is a product of Agilent.

(14) In the following examples, the basic information of some liver cancer patients, liver cancer high-risk patients and healthy volunteers is detailed in Table 1.

(15) TABLE-US-00001

TABLE 1	Number	Sex	Age	Diagnosis (CT)	Tumor Size
HCCscreen01	Male	48	liver cancer	1.9 cm × 2.7 cm	
HCCscreen02	Male	56	liver cancer	8 cm	
HCCscreen03	Male	75	liver cancer	3 cm × 2 cm × 2 cm	
HCCscreen04	Male	58	liver cancer	5.0 cm × 3.0 cm	
HCCscreen05	Female	53	liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen06	Male	63	liver cancer	4.1 cm × 3.2 cm	
HCCscreen07	Male	39	liver cancer	2.3 cm × 2 cm × 1.8 cm	
HCCscreen08	Male	42	liver cancer	3.8 cm × 3.5 cm	
HCCscreen09	Male	56	liver cancer	2.3 cm × 2.6 cm	
HCCscreen10	Female	68	liver cancer	4.7 cm × 4.2 cm	
HCCscreen11	Male	53	liver cancer	2.1 cm × 1.2 cm	
HCCscreen12	Male	69	liver cancer	1.2 cm × 1.4 cm	
HCCscreen13	Male	69	liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen14	Male	60	liver cancer	3.2 cm × 2.6 cm	
HCCscreen15	Male	54	liver cancer	3.0 cm × 2.5 cm	
HCCscreen16	Male	62	liver cancer	3.6 cm × 3.8 cm and 1.4 cm × 1.8 cm	
HCCscreen17	Male	69	liver cancer	3.1 cm × 2.2 cm	
HCCscreen18	Male	68	liver cancer	Multiple, maximum 4.5 cm × 3.0 cm	
HCCscreen19	Male	55	liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen20	Female	70	liver cancer	4.9 cm × 4.4 cm	
HCCscreen21	Male	50	liver cancer	Multiple, maximum 8.0 cm × 6.5 cm, recurrence after treatment	
HCCscreen22	Male	70	liver cancer	Multiple, maximum 14.7 cm × 13.0 cm	
HCCscreen23	Male	41	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen24	Male	46	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen25	Female	60	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen26	Male	54	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen27	Female	56	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen28	Male	56	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen29	Male	38	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen30	Male	54	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen31	Female	64	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen32	Female	55	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen33	Female	52	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen34	Female	53	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen35	Female	44	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen36	Female	55	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen37	Female	51	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen38	Female	57	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen39	Female	66	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen40	Male	54	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen41	Male	43	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen42	Male	38	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen43	Male	48	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen44	Male	45	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen45	Male	47	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen46	Male	43	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen47	Female	47	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen48	Female	63	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen49	Female	55	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen50	Male	34	High risk for liver cancer	—	
HCCscreen51	Male	32	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen52	Male	32	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen53	Male	34	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen54	Male	36	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen55	Male	28	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen56	Female	24	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen57	Male	32	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen58	Female	29	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen59	Female	32	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen60	Male	39	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen61	Male	30	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen62	Female	22	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen63	Male	29	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen64	Female	36	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen65	Female	33	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen66	Male	28	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen67	Female	24	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen68	Male	35	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen69	Female	42	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen70	Male	35	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen71	Female	20	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen72	Female	46	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen73	Male	26	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen74	Male	37	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen75	Male	30	Healthy volunteer	—	
HCCscreen76	Male	28	Healthy volunteer	—	

HCCscreen77 Female 33 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen78 Female 23 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen79 Female 29 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen80 Female 37 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen81 Female 31 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen82 Female 26 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen83 Male 26 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen84 Male 26 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen85 Female 26 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen86 Male 26 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen87 Female 27 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen88 Female 26 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen89 Male 25 Healthy volunteer — HCCscreen90 Female 24 Healthy volunteer — Note: “—” indicates that no tumor was recorded or detected; Tumor size is tumor volume, tumor maximum diameter, or tumor maximum cross-sectional area.

## Statement of Ethics

(16) Based on the early HCC screening program for community groups, the inventors established a community-based cohort study (CCOP-LC cohort; China Clinical Registration, ChiCTR-EOC-17012835) on high-risk groups for liver cancer in 2017. The study protocol (NCC201709011) was approved by the Institutional Review Committee of the National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences.

(17) Overview of Early HCC Screening Programs in Community Populations

(18) Early HCC screening is based on the “Technical Plan for early diagnosis and early treatment of Cancer” issued by the Chinese expert Committee for early Detection and early treatment of Cancer of the Center for Disease Control and Prevention of the Ministry of Health (Shia Y C, Beever J E, Lewin H A, & Schook L B (1991) Restriction fragment length polymorphisms at the porcine t complex polypeptide 1 (TCP1) locus. *Anim Genet* 22(2):194.). A population-based cancer registry and demographic department were established at all screening centres (Chen W, et al.

(2018) Cancer incidence and mortality in China, 2014. *Chinese journal of cancer research=Chung-kuo yen cheng yen chiu* 30(1):1-12.). Briefly, HBsAg positive “healthy” individuals between the ages of 35 and 69 were invited to participate in early HCC screening. All participants underwent serum AFP concentration determination and ultrasound examination (US; Aloka ProSound SSD-4000; Shanghai, China), as well as other standard biochemical tests (Table 2). Based on serum AFP levels and liver nodule detection, individuals were designated as AFP/US positive, suspected, or negative. An “AFP/US positive” individual has any of the following: 1) regardless of the nodules detected by ultrasound, the level of serum AFP >400 ng/mL; 2) regardless of the concentration of serum AFP, the nodules detected by ultrasound  $\geq 2$  cm; 3) the nodules detected by ultrasound  $\geq 1$  cm, and the serum AFP  $\geq 200$  ng/mL. An “AFP/US suspected” individual has any of the following: 1) serum AFP level  $\geq 20$  ng/mL regardless of hepatic nodules detected by ultrasound; 2) nodules detected by ultrasound  $\geq 1$  cm. An “AFP/US negative” individual is defined as having serum AFP levels <20 ng/mL and no ultrasound-detected hepatic nodules. AFP/US positive individuals were transferred to a senior hospital (Chinese tertiary hospital) for diagnosis, such as liver cancer patients identified by dynamic CT or dynamic MRI, and receive relevant treatment based on clinical practice guidelines (FIG. 1) (Omata M, et al. (2017) Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: a 2017 update. *Hepatol Int* 11(4):317-370.). Undiagnosed individuals were invited to return within 2 months for dynamic CT/MRI examination. The suspected individuals of AFP/US were recommended to undergo a second round of serum AFP quantitative examination and ultrasound examination within 2-3 months.

(19) TABLE-US-00002 TABLE 2 General information for participants of AFP/US screening and liquid biopsy analysis HCC Participant in liquid biopsy analysis screening AFP/US positive & suspected Sampled AFP/US negative participants % (number) P-value.sup.† % (number) P-value.sup.† Total 3793 176 N/A 331 N/A Demographic data Age (median, age) 50 54 N/A 52 N/A Sex, Male % 51.73% (1962) 68.75% (121) <0.001 53.47% (177) 0.483 Previous screening, % (number) Once in a year 24.17% (917) 15.91% (28) <0.001 41.69% (138) <0.001 Once in the past 2-3 years 35.36% (1341) 18.75% (33) 53.17% (176) None in the past 5 years 40.47% (1535) 65.34% (115) 5.13% (17) Ultrasound shows hardening % (number) 11.13% (422) 54.98% (95)



$<0.001$  11.18% (37) 0.883 Albumin, g/L Mean  $\pm$  SD 46.92  $\pm$  4.81 43.38  $\pm$  5.05 47.19  $\pm$  3.46  
 $\leq 35$ , % (number) 1.00% (38) 7.39% (13)  $<0.001$  0.60% (2) 0.768.sup.‡ ALT (IU/mL), % (number)  
Mean  $\pm$  SD 33.41  $\pm$  34.75 55.47  $\pm$  50.56 36.26  $\pm$  43.45  $<45$  83.28% (3159) 57.95% (102)  
 $<0.001$  78.85% (261) 0.033  $\geq 45$  16.72% (634) 42.05% (74) 21.15% (70) AFP (ng/mL)  
Mean  $\pm$  SD 34.34  $\pm$  666.10 676.52  $\pm$  3030.51 4.38  $\pm$  4.23  $<20$  97.07% (3682) 36.93%  
(65)  $<0.001$  100% (331)  $<0.001$ .sup.‡  $\geq 20$  2.93% (111) 63.07% (111) 0 HBV-DNA  
(IU/mL), % (number)\* Median with quartile 2.40E+02 ( $<30$ , 2.53E+03) 3.44E+03 (1.31E+02,  
6.20E+05) 8.25E+01 ( $<30$ , 3.25E+03)  $<100$  39.88% (1298) 23.12% (40)  $<0.001$  51.66%  
(171)  $<0.001$  100-999 999 51.49% (1676) 54.34% (94) 39.58% (131)  $\geq 1$  000 000 8.63% (281)  
22.54% (39) 8.76% (29) \*Total 3255 participants were assessed for HBV-DNA concentration.  
.sup.†For all HCC screening participants, Chi-square tests were used in addition to the P value  
with.sup.‡ marker. .sup.‡Fisher's exact tests were performed and compared to all HCC screening  
participants.

## Participants and Research Design

(20) Participants in the current study were obtained from CCOP-LC cohorts of individuals evaluated by four screening centers in Jiangsu and Anhui, China (FIG. 1). During AFP/US screening (considering baseline, performed between Oct. 7, 2017 and Jan. 31, 2018), the inventors collected peripheral blood (5 mL in EDTA coated tubes) which was centrifuged at 4000 g for 10 min within 2 h after collection to separate plasma and blood cells. All samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$  C. In most cases, 0.5 mL of plasma was used to determine protein markers and 2 mL of plasma was used for cfDNA extraction.

(21) 176 AFP/US positive/suspected cases were further analyzed in the HCC screening assay. Participants with reliable diagnosis were selected as the training set in this study based on the results of diagnosis in follow-up examinations. To verify the inventors' findings, the present invention samples 331 participants from AFP/US negative individuals who are similar in age to AFP/US positive/suspected patients in the HCC screening assay. 331 individuals were followed up from May 20 to Jul. 17, 2018 (6-8 months after baseline blood drawing) by dynamic CT/MRI, AFP/ultrasound or telephone interview. CT/MRI images were independently evaluated by two radiologists from the National Cancer Center, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing. During this period, the present invention provides additional AFP/US testing for individuals who are AFP/US negative at baseline and have not undergone HCC screening testing. Some of them did not select additional AFP/US examinations and their liver cancer results (ICD-10 Code C22) before Jun. 30, 2018 were obtained from a population-based cancer registry at the screening center (FIG. 1). Of the 3617 AFP/US negative individuals, 1612 (44.6%) participants were able to follow-up from May 20 to Jul. 17, 2018, i.e. 6-8 months after baseline screening. Of these, 87 participants received dynamic CT/MRI, 1120 received AFP/US, and 68 were interviewed by telephone. The liver cancer results of 337 participants were obtained from the local population-based cancer registry (FIG. 2). The HCC status of the other 2005 participants was not available until Jun. 30, 2018 (FIG. 2).

(22) 70 healthy controls were obtained from a population undergoing an annual physical examination and reporting no HBV infection. When donating blood, all were confirmed to be HBsAg negative.

## (23) Determination of Serum DCP Concentration

(24) According to the manufacturer's instructions (Abbott Laboratories; Chicago, Ill., USA), serum DCP levels were determined by using a commercial kit in an Abbott ARCHITECT i2000.sub.SR Chemiluminescence Immuno-analyzer (CLIA).

## (25) Spectrum Analysis of cfDNA Changes

(26) The inventors designed experiments to sequence cfDNA for spectral analysis: 1) coding regions of TP53, CTNNB1, AXIN1 and a promoter region of TERT (Table 3); 2) integration of HBV. Briefly, cfDNA fragments were first linked to adaptors with random DNA bar code (FIG. 3).

The linked constructs were amplified by 10 reaction cycles to produce a whole genome library containing hundreds of redundant constructs with unique DNA bar codes that recognize each original cfDNA fragment. The amplified library was sufficient for 5-10 independent sequencing analyses. The target region was amplified together with the DNA bar code in 9 cycles of PCR using target-specific primers (TS primer 1) and primers matching the ligand sequence (Perera B P & Kim J (2016) Next-generation sequencing-based 5' rapid amplification of cDNA ends for alternative promoters. *Analytical biochemistry* 494:82-84; Zheng Z, et al. (2014) Anchored multiplex PCR for targeted next-generation sequencing. *Nature medicine* 20(12):1479-1484.) (FIG. 3). A second round of 15 cycles of PCR was performed using a pair of nested primers (TS Primer 2) matching the linker and target region to further enrich the target region and add the Illumina sequencing linker (FIG. 3). Effective enrichment was observed in this PCR-based assay, >80% of the reads mapped to a small target region of <10 Kb. Using this assay, the present invention can cover target regions >100,000 times, 3 Gb sequencing data, enabling 20×redundant sequencing of 5,000 copies of the original cfDNA. Where a DNA bar code is linked to the original cfDNA molecule, redundant reads from the original cfDNA molecule can be tracked to minimize calling errors inherent in PCR amplification and parallel mutation sequencing (Kinde I, Wu J, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler K W, & Vogelstein B (2011) Detection and quantification of rare mutations with massively parallel sequencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108(23):9530-9535; Chaudhuri A A, et al. (2017) Early Detection of Molecular Residual Disease in Localized Lung Cancer by Circulating Tumor DNA Profiling. *Cancer discovery* 7(12):1394-1403.). The present invention examined 11 mutations detected in this assay by digital PCR and verified all of these mutations with a mutation score of 0.03-0.16%.

(27) TABLE-US-00003 TABLE 3 HCC screening characteristics and coefficient characteristics thereof

Classification of characteristics	characteristics	Coefficient	cfDNA	TP53	other than R249S
2.02	TP53	R249S	0.21	TERT	1.37
SV_TERT	0.70	CTNNB1	1.20	AXIN1	0.01
Integration of HBV	0.82	Concentration of cfDNA	-0.27	Protein AFP	0.21
DCP	1.59	Clinical information	Sex	0.66	Age
1.69	(intercept)	-2.68			

(28) Penalty logistic regression:  $\lambda=0.14$ ;  $a=0$ .

(29) Data Processing and Mutation Detection

(30) Sequencing reads are processed to extract tags and remove sequence adaptors. Trimmomatic (v0.36) was then used to remove residual linkers and low quality regions. The 'bwa (v0.7.10) mem' with default parameters (Li H & Durbin R (2010) Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 26(5):589-595.) was used to map the clean reads to the hg19 and HBV genomes. Samtools mpileup (Li H, et al. (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25(16):2078-2079.) was used to identify candidate mutations consisting of SNP and INDEL in the target region of interest. To ensure accuracy, reads with the same tag and start and end coordinates are grouped into a Unique Identifier family (UID family). A UID family containing at least two reads and at least 80% of the reads of the same type is defined as an Effective Unique Identifier family (EUID family) Each mutation frequency is calculated by dividing the number of alternative EUID families by the sum of the alternatives and the references. Mutations were further examined manually in IGV. Candidate variants were annotated with Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (Wang J, et al. (2011) CREST maps somatic structural variation in cancer genomes with base-pair resolution. *Nat Methods* 8(8):652-654). HBV integration was identified by using Crest (McLaren W, et al. (2016) The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome biology* 17(1):122.) and requires at least 4 soft-clip reads supports.

(31) Model Construction

(32) 1. Feature Mapping and Data Preprocessing

(33) 1) Mutation Notes and Scores:

(34) The mutation frequency (the fraction of reads supporting candidate mutations) is highly proportional to the total amount of circulating tumor DNA in the blood and tumor size. Thus, the

present invention annotates all input mutations with their reads supporting frequency.

(35) 2) Decomposition of Mutations

(36) Multiple gene characteristics are extracted by decomposing the mutation into gene levels or focal regions. For each region of interest (ROI), the ROI score is obtained by calculation.

$$(37) ROI = \log_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{adj\_score}_i \right)$$

(38) Where n is the number of mutations overlapping the ROI and adj\_score is the reads support frequency of the mutation.

(39) 3) Proteins and Experimental Markers

(40) Two protein markers DCP and AFP were used in the model of the present invention because they have been shown in previous studies to be very strong indicators of HCC diagnosis (Chen H, et al. (2018) Direct comparison of five serum biomarkers in early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer management and research* 10:1947-1958.). These values are ranked into a plurality of numerical categories. The cfDNA concentrations are also included in the list of model characteristics of the present invention.

(41) 4) Clinical Information as Characteristics

(42) The age and sex of the patient also comprised of part of the predictor of the present invention, as it has been demonstrated that the likelihood of HCC diagnosis is somewhat related to the age and sex of the individual.

(43) 2. Characteristics Selection

(44) The RandomForest is used for screening useful variables from candidate characteristics; the inventors applied backward variables subtraction by minimizing unbiased out-of-bag error estimation, eliminating one characteristic per run. The protein, gene markers, and clinical information are then optimized to construct the final characteristics of the binary classifier. In the training of HCC compared to healthy individuals, only ctDNA SNP/indel mutations and protein markers were used. HBV-TERT fusion or other HBV integration is not included because the healthy group has no HBV infection.

(45) 3. Model and Parameter Optimization

(46) The penalty logistic regression model was constructed from a training set of 135 samples containing 65 HCC cases and 70 non-HCC cases. Model performance was evaluated on both the training and validation data sets by area under the curve (AUC) statistics. The sensitivity and specificity of the model were also determined by using an optimized cut-off value of 0.4. The Youden index is used for optimization of this cut-off value. In order to perform cluster analysis of gene, protein and CNV levels respectively, the cross-validation coefficient of each characteristic using penalty logic regression is also given. The model is started in the R package 'glmnet' (R version 3.5.1), and the penalty parameter  $\alpha$  is optimized in the training data set by 10-fold cross validation, and the optimized value is 0.

(47) Statistical Analysis

(48) The present invention uses a penalty logistic regression model with ctDNA mutations, protein biomarker levels, and clinical characteristics as variables. The inventors defined HCC cases and non-HCC cases with dynamic CT/MRI and/or histology in AFP/US positive and AFP/US suspected individuals (FIG. 1). The sensitivity and specificity of HCC screening assays were calculated by LOOCV (Leave-One-Out Cross Validation) with 100 iterations on training data sets of 65 HCC cases and 70 non-HCC cases.

Example 1. Clinical Parameters of Baseline Participants in Four Screening Centers and Follow-Up of Results of Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)

(49) Community individuals (n=72720) were screened by the blood hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) test in four screening centers and then questionnaires were conducted. HBsAg positive individuals (n=3793) were invited to participate in AFP/US screening. Of these HBsAg positive individuals, 176 had relevant AFP/US results (designated AFP/US positive/suspected group), while

the remaining HBsAg positive patients constituted the AFP/US negative group (n=3617) (FIG. 1 and Table 3). To determine their HCC status, it is recommended that all AFP/US positive/suspected individuals undergo dynamic CT/MRI testing within 2 months of the first screening. Patients with reliable diagnosis of HCC status were included in the training set of this study, and baseline AFP/US blood samples obtained from these individuals were subjected to HCC screening tests (FIG. 1).

(50) Of the 3617 AFP/US negative individuals, approximately 60% had been subjected to AFP/US screening prior to baseline screening in this study (FIG. 2 and Table 3). To reduce anxiety and non-compliance during follow-up procedures, the present invention primarily selects individuals who have undergone AFP/US screening in the past 1-3 years as a validation set (n=331). Based on sex, the proportion of cirrhosis detected by US and serum albumin levels, the distribution of sampled AFP/US negative participants was similar to all HBsAg positive participants (FIG. 2 and Table 3). The present invention performs HCC liquid biopsy testing (HCC screening) on blood samples collected from a validation set at baseline AFP/US screening and HCC status was followed up 6-8 months after baseline screening. The present invention also screened 70 healthy individuals without HBV infection for HCC.

#### Example 2. Selection and Detection of HCC Markers Using HCC Screening

(51) The invention uses two types of biomarkers to develop an HCC screening assay: 1) Gene alterations that are very common in HCC and can be detected in cfDNA; And 2) serum protein markers-alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and des-gamma-carboxyprothrombin (DCP). In previous cancer genome studies, most HBV-related HCC carried at least one mutation in the following gene/position: TP53, CTNNB1, AXIN1 or TERT promoter (Totoki Y, et al. (2014) Trans-ancestry mutational landscape of hepatocellular carcinoma genomes. *Nature genetics* 46(12):1267-1273; Zhang W, et al. (2017) Genetic Features of Aflatoxin-associated Hepatocellular Carcinomas. *Gastroenterology*). The present invention also contemplates HBV integration breakpoints as potential biomarkers for HCC. Since the HBV integration site should be unique in each individual cell, detection of multiple copies (>2) of a particular integration site from plasma (2-3 ml) may indicate clonal expansion of a single cell carrying HBV integration. Only in this case will the resulting tumor release multiple copies of the same genomic DNA into the blood. The present invention contemplates assays that can profile gene changes in parallel. The extracted cfDNA is linked to a custom linker with a DNA bar code and then amplified to generate a whole genome library. Using a method similar to rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), the inventors used multiple primers covering the coding regions of TP53, CTNNB1 and AXIN1, the promoter region of TERT and HBV sequences to enrich targets with point mutations and HBV integration (FIG. 3) (Chaudhuri A A, et al. (2017) Early Detection of Molecular Residual Disease in Localized Lung Cancer by Circulating Tumor DNA Profiling. *Cancer discovery* 7(12):1394-1403; Waltari E, et al. (2018) 5' Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends and Illumina MiSeq Reveals B Cell Receptor Features in Healthy Adults, Adults With Chronic HIV-1 Infection, Cord Blood, and Humanized Mice. *Frontiers in immunology* 9:628.). Secondary sequenced reads can be traced to the original cfDNA molecule by DNA bar codes, thereby filtering false positive single nucleotide variations (SNV) from sequencing/amplification errors (Kinde I, Wu J, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler K W, & Vogelstein B (2011) Detection and quantification of rare mutations with massively parallel sequencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108(23):9530-9535.).

(52) Based on previous findings by the inventors and reports from other hospitalized patients affected by HCC, cirrhosis and chronic hepatitis, the combination of serum protein levels of AFP and DCP showed significant sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing between early HCC and decompensated cirrhosis (Chen H, et al. (2018) Direct comparison of five serum biomarkers in early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer management and research* 10:1947-1958.). Thus, the present invention combines these two serum protein markers with changes in cfDNA to

investigate whether such liquid biopsy-based assays (including AFP, DCP and cfDNA) can effectively screen early HCC.

### Example 3. Consistency of Clinical Diagnosis with HCC Screening Assays

(53) To determine its utility in HCC detection, the present invention performs HCC screening in individuals known to be diagnosed with HCC or who have been excluded (non-HCC). 65 HCC cases and 70 non-HCC cases were obtained from AFP/US positive/suspected individuals. The HCC positive or HCC negative status is based on dynamic CT/MRI imaging and histological confirmation. These 135 cases were used as training sets and HCC screening results were compared with clinical diagnosis. To establish a classifier that integrates different types of biomarkers in an assay, the present invention first collapses different types of cfDNA mutations into regions of interest (ROI) scores for each gene or locus. The ROI score is a weighted sum of the destruction effect and frequency of each point mutation within the ROI. In addition to the ROI score of the SNV/indel mutation in the gene, the present invention adds two structural variant characteristics (HBV integration and other HBV integration in the TERT promoter region), one experimental characteristic (cfDNA concentration), two protein markers (AFP and DCP), and two clinical characteristics (age and sex) as final characteristics for constructing a diagnostic classifier to predict HCC status (Table 2). with these markers, the HCC screening model distinguished HCC cases from non-HCC cases by using a penalty logistic regression algorithm (FIG. 4A). This assay was found to have 85% sensitivity and 93% specificity in HCC diagnosis (area under the curve=0.928) (FIG. 4B and FIG. 4C) by 100 repeated leave-one-out cross validation of training data sets including 65 HCC cases and 70 non-HCC cases. The HCC screening score cut-off value was 0.4 for the highest Youden index score (FIG. 5B and Table 4). Both cfDNA and protein markers contribute significantly to HCC recognition (FIG. 4C and Table 5).

(54) TABLE-US-00004 TABLE 4 Features and HCC Screening Scores

AFP	DCP	Integration of HCC screening Set ID	Sex	Age	(ng/ml)	(mAU/ml)	HBV score	Training-HCC	RG871NM1	Male	68
14.86	231.11	13	1.00	Training-HCC	RG898NM1	Male	62	1811.25	304.45	7	0.99
Training-HCC	RH443NM1	Male	66	3442.19	2624.6	2	0.99	Training-HCC	RG872NM1	Male	65
2.99	487.02	11	0.98	Training-HCC	RG895NM1	Male	69	3338.52	>30000	5	0.98
Training-HCC	RH390NM1	Male	52	20000	27118.7	11	0.97	Training-HCC	RG876NM1	Male	63
23.93	242.5	9	0.97	Training-HCC	RG869NM1	Male	68	26.22	188.95	9	0.97
Training-HCC	RH455NM1	Female	70	87.07	1123.06	2	0.97	Training-HCC	RH377NM1	Male	71
88.89	198.35	1	0.96	Training-HCC	RG883NM1	Male	65	3284.6	>30000	6	0.96
Training-HCC	RH421NM1	Male	49	24.43	78.54	13	0.96	Training-HCC	RH397NM1	Female	66
4260.1	213.25	4	0.96	Training-HCC	RG878NM1	Male	55	7.66	423.93	0	0.95
Training-HCC	RH419NM1	Male	48	96.91	4250.46	2	0.95	Training-HCC	RH897NM1	Male	59
4.27	1871.1	6	0.95	Training-HCC	RG881NM1	Male	50	14.48	2464.26	7	0.94
Training-HCC	RH414NM1	Male	51	23.17	470.61	0	0.93	Training-HCC	RH385NM1	Male	66
7537.75	1606.41	3	0.93	Training-HCC	RH398NM1	Male	68	138.38	94.12	2	0.92
Training-HCC	RG873NM1	Male	70	199.35	342.12	1	0.91	Training-HCC	RH373NM1	Male	44
20000	1175.16	6	0.89	Training-HCC	RG774NM1	Male	56	97.09	98	5	0.89
Training-HCC	RG868NM1	Male	46	1.12	65.95	5	0.89	Training-HCC	RH380NM1	Male	67
24.59	416.13	1	0.88	Training-HCC	RG776NM1	Male	75	12	265	0	0.87
Training-HCC	RG888NM1	Male	60	1.92	72.66	0	0.86	Training-HCC	RG897NM1	Male	69
6.55	20.84	9	0.85	Training-HCC	RG796NM1	Male	58	238.7	38.59	5	0.83
Training-HCC	RH394NM1	Female	53	19031	1555.36	2	0.82	Training-HCC	RH903NM1	Male	64
4.31	7994.33	2	0.82	Training-HCC	RH440NM1	Male	54	104.28	509.12	2	0.81
Training-HCC	RG892NM1	Male	54	1.71	81.47	1	0.78	Training-HCC	RG886NM1	Female	70
130.95	148.62	3	0.78	Training-HCC	RH369NM1	Male	61	461.02	267.58	0	0.77
Training-HCC	RG904NM1	Male	56	1843.39	23.06	4	0.76	Training-HCC	RH900NM1	Male	49
3.28	15.11	0	0.75	Training-HCC	RH898NM1	Male	49	4.28	422.37	3	0.75
Training-HCC	RH137NM1	Male	53	5.83	140.5	0	0.75	Training-HCC	RG785NM1	Female	53
1210	22.71	0	0.74	Training-HCC	RH462NM1	Male	70	24.08	14.46	16	0.71
Training-HCC	RG804NM1	Male	59	132.2	205.77	0	0.71	Training-HCC	RH381NM1	Male	55

22.28 229.71 3 0.69 Training-HCC RG905NM1 Female 68 2.3 180.03 1 0.69 Training-HCC  
RG773NM1 Male 48 6.5 178 6 0.69 Training-HCC RH441NM1 Male 48 20000 1237.34 1 0.66  
Training-HCC RH891NM1 Female 42 11.22 17.18 7 0.62 Training-HCC RG790NM1 Male 49  
36.48 2393.86 0 0.62 Training-HCC RH905NM1 Male 58 2.4 55.1 1 0.56 Training-HCC  
RG870NM1 Female 68 125.99 21.59 1 0.50 Training-HCC RG901NM1 Male 63 5.37 19.14 2 0.50  
Training-HCC RH425NM1 Male 50 18.23 16.43 1 0.47 Training-HCC RH382NM1 Male 55 28.76  
27.55 1 0.43 Training-HCC RG903NM1 Male 42 1380.46 50.14 1 0.43 Training-HCC RH402NM1  
Male 64 327.55 25.13 3 0.41 Training-HCC RH906NM1 Male 52 3.07 18.19 0 0.34 Training-HCC  
RH379NM1 Female 71 115.29 21.29 0 0.34 Training-HCC RG894NM1 Male 69 1.79 11.39 0 0.34  
Training-HCC RG879NM1 Female 66 2.4 7.14 0 0.28 Training-HCC RG902NM1 Male 39 2136.1  
18.58 2 0.27 Training-HCC RH422NM1 Male 51 111.46 26.58 0 0.26 Training-HCC RG896NM1  
Male 53 2.06 12.87 1 0.25 Training-HCC RH460NM1 Male 42 34.58 21.39 0 0.21 Training-HCC  
RH889NM1 Female 67 4.05 19.77 0 0.21 Training-HCC RG781NM1 Female 48 177.7 30.57 0  
0.14 Training-non-HCC RH393NM1 Female 64 24.03 167.25 0 0.70 Training-non-HCC  
RH430NM1 Male 56 27.87 36.33 3 0.58 Training-non-HCC RG792NM1 Female 54 76.34 17.69  
22 0.44 Training-non-HCC RH878NM1 Male 62 8.62 25.51 0 0.42 Training-non-HCC  
RH915NM1 Male 63 2.71 18.55 0 0.41 Training-non-HCC RH450NM1 Male 70 106.36 32.14 0  
0.38 Training-non-HCC RH438NM1 Male 49 31.52 12.16 5 0.37 Training-non-HCC RH459NM1  
Male 63 209.03 16.17 0 0.37 Training-non-HCC RH383NM1 Male 53 21.06 27.22 0 0.37  
Training-non-HCC RH395NM1 Female 68 321.84 16.02 4 0.37 Training-non-HCC RH418NM1  
Male 46 58.25 18.99 4 0.37 Training-non-HCC RH428NM1 Male 58 449.17 17.67 0 0.35  
Training-non-HCC RH431NM1 Male 63 40.04 16.79 0 0.34 Training-non-HCC RH879NM1 Male  
67 2.32 14.99 0 0.34 Training-non-HCC RH400NM1 Male 44 66.32 21.11 1 0.33 Training-non-  
HCC RH883NM1 Male 66 2.74 10.49 1 0.32 Training-non-HCC RG801NM1 Male 56 37.33 21.09  
5 0.32 Training-non-HCC RH408NM1 Female 61 23.47 20.16 1 0.32 Training-non-HCC  
RH378NM1 Female 66 57.89 15.14 1 0.30 Training-non-HCC RH409NM1 Male 38 770.97 23.32  
8 0.29 Training-non-HCC RH371NM1 Male 54 24.7 25.07 1 0.29 Training-non-HCC RG794NM1  
Male 54 28.34 39.51 3 0.28 Training-non-HCC RG805NM1 Female 55 119.9 21.06 1 0.28  
Training-non-HCC RH452NM1 Male 38 42.01 8.26 0 0.28 Training-non-HCC RH461NM1 Male  
53 55.83 10.49 0 0.28 Training-non-HCC RH415NM1 Male 54 97.82 11.48 0 0.28 Training-non-  
HCC RG795NM1 Male 54 108.3 39.45 0 0.28 Training-non-HCC RH406NM1 Male 53 25.16  
25.04 0 0.28 Training-non-HCC RH437NM1 Female 57 48.16 12.82 0 0.27 Training-non-HCC  
RH882NM1 Male 56 3.61 11.99 0 0.26 Training-non-HCC RH423NM1 Male 47 32.66 24.85 2  
0.26 Training-non-HCC RH413NM1 Male 48 21.85 19.83 1 0.25 Training-non-HCC RH884NM1  
Female 45 2.45 23.35 3 0.25 Training-non-HCC RG787NM1 Male 46 21.27 27.75 2 0.24 Training-  
non-HCC RH420NM1 Male 45 43.84 17.12 2 0.24 Training-non-HCC RH376NM1 Male 39 242  
25.74 0 0.24 Training-non-HCC RH445NM1 Male 43 107.99 27.52 3 0.23 Training-non-HCC  
RH881NM1 Male 50 2.67 11.29 0 0.23 Training-non-HCC RG780NM1 Male 41 117.1 26.67 2  
0.22 Training-non-HCC RH910NM1 Female 52 4.12 17.02 2 0.22 Training-non-HCC RH372NM1  
Male 44 141.16 20.53 0 0.22 Training-non-HCC RH399NM1 Male 43 35.87 21.34 1 0.22  
Training-non-HCC RH151NM1 Male 47 11.14 30.64 0 0.21 Training-non-HCC RH885NM1  
Female 54 9.31 25.36 0 0.21 Training-non-HCC RG803NM1 Female 64 32.24 33.92 0 0.21  
Training-non-HCC RH411NM1 Female 62 31.42 25.63 0 0.20 Training-non-HCC RH367NM1  
Female 63 22.6 28.38 0 0.20 Training-non-HCC RH433NM1 Female 62 77.56 26.57 0 0.20  
Training-non-HCC RG778NM1 Male 38 33.02 27.5 0 0.20 Training-non-HCC RG877NM1  
Female 66 4.33 14.69 0 0.19 Training-non-HCC RH449NM1 Male 37 48.7 21.58 0 0.19 Training-  
non-HCC RG788NM1 Female 60 49.62 13.24 3 0.19 Training-non-HCC RH902NM1 Female 66  
1.79 18.04 0 0.19 Training-non-HCC RG797NM1 Female 56 31.64 15.49 4 0.19 Training-non-  
HCC RH370NM1 Male 34 25.2 33.21 0 0.18 Training-non-HCC RH429NM1 Female 53 249.1  
14.35 0 0.17 Training-non-HCC RG900NM1 Female 53 4.81 9.19 5 0.17 Training-non-HCC

RH446NM1 Female 47 28.96 19.74 6 0.16 Training-non-HCC RH368NM1 Female 55 95.88 17.92  
0 0.16 Training-non-HCC RH908NM1 Female 54 3.92 29.51 0 0.16 Training-non-HCC  
RG891NM1 Female 57 7.78 17.99 0 0.16 Training-non-HCC RG890NM1 Female 51 309.58 11.02  
0 0.16 Training-non-HCC RG889NM1 Female 55 2.7 11.44 0 0.15 Training-non-HCC RH465NM1  
Female 49 35.12 14.32 0 0.15 Training-non-HCC RH914NM1 Female 53 1.81 20.43 0 0.14  
Training-non-HCC RH451NM1 Female 47 130.72 28.01 0 0.14 Training-non-HCC RG893NM1  
Female 52 1.86 10.37 1 0.14 Training-non-HCC RH464NM1 Female 48 24.27 10.28 0 0.13  
Training-non-HCC RG887NM1 Female 44 1 18.34 0 0.12 Training-non-HCC RH916NM1 Female  
40 1.38 33.04 0 0.11 Training-health HN45NM1 Male 56 5.4 29.38 0 0.35 Training-health  
HN36NM1 Male 56 3.16 26.88 0 0.27 Training-health HN67NM1 Male 52 1.3 14.96 0 0.25  
Training-health HN60NM1 Male 52 3.29 23.62 0 0.25 Training-health HN39NM1 Male 41 3.38  
38.05 0 0.25 Training-health HN50NM1 Male 49 3.14 24.09 0 0.24 Training-health HN56NM1  
Male 49 5.17 23.56 0 0.24 Training-health HN57NM1 Male 48 1.85 28.18 0 0.23 Training-health  
HN62NM1 Male 46 2.3 30.67 0 0.22 Training-health HN53NM1 Male 34 1.7 27.57 0 0.21  
Training-health HN69NM1 Male 42 3.86 20.15 0 0.20 Training-health HN61NM1 Male 41 4.21  
18.98 0 0.19 Training-health HN06NM1 Male 40 3.12 17.63 0 0.19 Training-health HN65NM1  
Female 48 1.77 16.01 0 0.18 Training-health HN52NM1 Male 39 1.3 20.81 0 0.18 Training-health  
HN58NM1 Male 39 1.94 28.07 0 0.18 Training-health HN10NM1 Male 35 1.72 20.63 0 0.17  
Training-health HN02NM1 Male 34 2.7 31.31 0 0.17 Training-health HN32NM1 Male 33 4.24  
25.02 0 0.16 Training-health HN01NM1 Male 32 2.55 25.95 0 0.16 Training-health HN04NM1  
Male 32 2.42 25.03 0 0.16 Training-health HN07NM1 Male 30 1.04 25.33 0 0.15 Training-health  
HN35NM1 Male 30 3.82 32.02 0 0.15 Training-health HN48NM1 Male 30 3.21 26.9 0 0.15  
Training-health HN08NM1 Male 29 1.29 22.82 0 0.15 Training-health HN33NM1 Male 29 3.82  
29.22 0 0.15 Training-health HN03NM1 Male 28 3.27 34.29 0 0.14 Training-health HN09NM1  
Male 28 2.5 22.13 0 0.14 Training-health HN11NM1 Male 28 2.11 26.1 0 0.14 Training-health  
HN34NM1 Male 27 1.97 21.85 0 0.14 Training-health HN19NM1 Male 27 3.65 31.3 0 0.14  
Training-health HN43NM1 Female 49 1.56 21.16 0 0.14 Training-health HN51NM1 Male 27 1.89  
21.67 0 0.13 Training-health HN15NM1 Male 26 2.77 23.78 0 0.13 Training-health HN16NM1  
Male 26 6.55 30.78 0 0.13 Training-health HN66NM1 Female 48 3.83 25.49 0 0.13 Training-health  
HN18NM1 Male 25 2.86 38.26 0 0.13 Training-health HN49NM1 Female 37 2.82 16.24 0 0.13  
Training-health HN59NM1 Female 47 2.43 15.18 0 0.13 Training-health HN68NM1 Male 24 1.33  
18.75 0 0.13 Training-health HN54NM1 Male 24 2.85 20.71 0 0.13 Training-health HN21NM1  
Male 23 1.01 37.04 0 0.12 Training-health HN37NM1 Female 36 2.22 31.52 0 0.12 Training-health  
HN40NM1 Female 44 1.7 23.68 0 0.12 Training-health HN63NM1 Female 44 4.41 27.13 0 0.12  
Training-health HN64NM1 Female 40 1.26 28.33 0 0.11 Training-health HN46NM1 Female 38  
2.27 17.91 0 0.10 Training-health HN13NM1 Female 37 5.47 25.68 0 0.10 Training-health  
HN44NM1 Female 37 5.09 25.52 0 0.10 Training-health HN23NM1 Female 36 5.35 17.69 0 0.10  
Training-health HN31NM1 Female 36 3.02 19.51 0 0.10 Training-health HN70NM1 Female 36 1  
15.49 0 0.10 Training-health HN55NM1 Female 33 2.18 25.54 0 0.09 Training-health HN20NM1  
Female 32 2.73 13.98 0 0.09 Training-health HN14NM1 Female 31 2.21 17.95 0 0.09 Training-  
health HN25NM1 Female 31 1.76 18.58 0 0.09 Training-health HN41NM1 Female 31 2.79 22.96 0  
0.08 Training-health HN05NM1 Female 29 3.09 28.6 0 0.08 Training-health HN12NM1 Female 29  
2.65 32.78 0 0.08 Training-health HN47NM1 Female 29 1.34 19.03 0 0.08 Training-health  
HN28NM1 Female 28 2.06 22.86 0 0.08 Training-health HN42NM1 Female 28 2.97 20.15 0 0.08  
Training-health HN38NM1 Female 28 1.81 19.03 0 0.08 Training-health HN17NM1 Female 27  
2.76 24.36 0 0.08 Training-health HN26NM1 Female 27 1.84 19.49 0 0.08 Training-health  
HN27NM1 Female 26 1.11 17.59 0 0.07 Training-health HN24NM1 Female 25 2.18 15.06 0 0.07  
Training-health HN29NM1 Female 25 1.85 14.85 0 0.07 Training-health HN30NM1 Female 24  
1.52 15.8 0 0.07 Training-health HN22NM1 Female 22 1.6 28.4 0 0.07 Verification RH585NM1  
Male 58 8.33 85.46 0 0.91 Verification RH391NM1 Male 62 14.96 87.41 0 0.84 Verification

RH206NM1 Male 58 16.47 64.76 4 0.82 Verification RH134NM1 Male 60 12.14 32.99 11 0.67  
Verification RH245NM1 Male 49 2.75 1355.1 0 0.62 Verification RH165NM1 Male 66 2.85 25.22  
2 0.59 Verification RH291NM1 Female 53 1.87 18.91 0 0.59 Verification RH256NM1 Male 50  
2.13 37.02 0 0.56 Verification RH283NM1 Female 62 1.68 67.64 0 0.53 Verification RH127NM1  
Male 63 3.34 19.79 8 0.53 Verification RH476NM1 Male 59 8.5 22.8 0 0.51 Verification  
RH567NM1 Male 54 1.74 23.63 0 0.48 Verification RG874NM1 Male 68 3.91 11.96 0 0.48  
Verification RH147NM1 Male 43 3.27 21.22 18 0.48 Verification RH547NM1 Male 54 3.87 14.2 1  
0.47 Verification RH384NM1 Male 55 19.17 55.04 2 0.45 Verification RH227NM1 Male 59 3.94  
32.95 0 0.44 Verification RH520NM1 Male 54 1.49 22.75 0 0.44 Verification RH602NM1 Male 57  
4.82 23.11 0 0.43 Verification RG899NM1 Male 61 2.75 19.39 2 0.43 Verification RH507NM1  
Male 57 4.09 46.58 0 0.42 Verification RH232NM1 Male 59 2.1 25.92 0 0.42 Verification  
RH524NM1 Male 57 2.75 25.81 0 0.41 Verification RH285NM1 Male 47 3.53 26.02 2 0.41  
Verification RH270NM1 Male 61 3.41 21.81 0 0.40 Verification RH132NM1 Male 52 13.81 34.88  
5 0.39 Verification RH531NM1 Male 56 3.55 26.95 0 0.39 Verification RH561NM1 Male 59 4.05  
19.86 0 0.39 Verification RH538NM1 Female 62 1.43 22.28 3 0.39 Verification RH887NM1 Male  
58 2.58 22.53 2 0.39 Verification RH604NM1 Male 54 7.63 41.19 0 0.39 Verification RH513NM1  
Male 57 1.9 28.39 0 0.39 Verification RH544NM1 Male 57 3.5 14.87 0 0.39 Verification  
RH563NM1 Male 56 2.78 28.56 0 0.39 Verification RH504NM1 Male 58 3.78 23.16 0 0.39  
Verification RH189NM1 Male 56 2.2 32.17 0 0.38 Verification RH288NM1 Male 53 3.81 34.37 0  
0.38 Verification RH601NM1 Female 64 4.4 19.05 0 0.38 Verification RH608NM1 Male 54 1.11  
12.72 0 0.37 Verification RH257NM1 Female 63 3.2 41.77 0 0.37 Verification RH244NM1 Male  
48 1.95 40.55 0 0.37 Verification RH374NM1 Male 68 15.66 20.99 0 0.37 Verification  
RH170NM1 Male 53 2.1 16.61 16 0.37 Verification RH584NM1 Female 62 3.77 14.21 0 0.37  
Verification RH212NM1 Male 55 3.86 18.97 0 0.37 Verification RH577NM1 Male 56 1.54 23.59 0  
0.36 Verification RH609NM1 Male 54 2.64 23.19 0 0.35 Verification RH210NM1 Male 54 15.6  
25.06 0 0.35 Verification RH214NM1 Male 55 5 24.69 0 0.35 Verification RH123NM1 Male 54  
1.78 17.32 0 0.35 Verification RH233NM1 Male 48 2.67 17 0 0.34 Verification RH282NM1 Male  
50 3.57 31.48 0 0.34 Verification RH253NM1 Male 48 1.79 27.35 0 0.34 Verification RH403NM1  
Male 52 14.97 20.07 0 0.34 Verification RH135NM1 Male 55 9.62 25.77 0 0.34 Verification  
RH592NM1 Female 56 2.29 13.82 0 0.33 Verification RH261NM1 Male 49 3.3 26.75 0 0.33  
Verification RH157NM1 Male 46 3.09 35.34 0 0.33 Verification RH129NM1 Male 66 2.96 22.73 0  
0.32 Verification RH306NM1 Male 52 2.15 27.13 0 0.32 Verification RH293NM1 Male 52 2.32  
21.19 0 0.32 Verification RH434NM1 Male 53 18.44 35.66 5 0.32 Verification RH236NM1 Male  
63 2.46 18.37 0 0.32 Verification RH139NM1 Male 49 8.84 23.1 0 0.32 Verification RH510NM1  
Male 41 2.37 18.66 0 0.32 Verification RH466NM1 Male 65 13.37 19.55 0 0.32 Verification  
RH458NM1 Male 42 15.11 23.07 0 0.31 Verification RH128NM1 Male 57 2.28 19.71 6 0.31  
Verification RH228NM1 Male 63 2.08 30.01 0 0.31 Verification RH581NM1 Male 62 1.71 16.49 1  
0.31 Verification RH179NM1 Male 49 3.27 28.17 0 0.31 Verification RH225NM1 Female 53 2.81  
18.37 0 0.31 Verification RH298NM1 Male 63 1.36 22.73 0 0.31 Verification RH535NM1 Female  
64 6.3 16.74 0 0.31 Verification RH478NM1 Male 61 1.42 27.88 0 0.30 Verification RH426NM1  
Male 55 13.56 20.14 2 0.30 Verification RH124NM1 Male 62 2.32 24.98 0 0.30 Verification  
RH187NM1 Male 60 4.16 21.32 0 0.30 Verification RH500NM1 Male 57 1.57 12.08 3 0.30  
Verification RH281NM1 Male 62 2.56 34.31 0 0.30 Verification RH292NM1 Female 56 1.46 16.66  
0 0.30 Verification RH184NM1 Female 67 2.18 16.44 0 0.29 Verification RH198NM1 Male 36 3.6  
49.08 0 0.29 Verification RH260NM1 Male 57 0.93 24.25 2 0.29 Verification RH553NM1 Male 59  
2.11 29.62 0 0.29 Verification RH502NM1 Male 58 0.86 26.96 0 0.29 Verification RH487NM1  
Male 58 2.51 17.97 0 0.28 Verification RH582NM1 Male 57 1.66 17.91 0 0.28 Verification  
RH501NM1 Male 41 1.99 19.34 0 0.28 Verification RH595NM1 Male 58 7.43 25.61 0 0.28  
Verification RH251NM1 Male 36 2.11 36.96 0 0.28 Verification RH493NM1 Male 56 2.68 29.62 0  
0.28 Verification RH541NM1 Male 57 4.07 22.73 1 0.28 Verification RH508NM1 Male 56 2.74



30.58 0 0.28 Verification RH559NM1 Male 56 2.07 21.98 0 0.28 Verification RH412NM1 Male 54  
14.51 24.03 0 0.28 Verification RH591NM1 Female 64 2.22 9.31 0 0.27 Verification RH560NM1  
Male 57 2.5 22.89 0 0.27 Verification RH479NM1 Male 59 3.14 22.15 1 0.27 Verification  
RH568NM1 Male 54 3.5 20.76 0 0.27 Verification RH183NM1 Male 54 2.43 23.34 0 0.27  
Verification RH424NM1 Male 56 14.78 27.02 0 0.27 Verification RH558NM1 Female 60 2.79 21.8  
0 0.27 Verification RH477NM1 Female 61 3.49 34.14 0 0.27 Verification RH580NM1 Male 56  
1.51 21.19 0 0.27 Verification RH204NM1 Male 56 14.65 19.02 0 0.27 Verification RH295NM1  
Male 55 2.91 33.45 0 0.27 Verification RH188NM1 Male 55 2.55 28.05 0 0.27 Verification  
RH517NM1 Female 64 2.4 23.99 0 0.27 Verification RH498NM1 Female 63 1.7 15.47 0 0.27  
Verification RH482NM1 Female 55 4.99 16.62 0 0.27 Verification RH484NM1 Female 64 3.91  
21.48 0 0.27 Verification RH209NM1 Female 53 15.92 17.11 1 0.27 Verification RH186NM1 Male  
53 3.98 35.35 1 0.26 Verification RH199NM1 Male 50 5.9 29.01 3 0.26 Verification RH396NM1  
Male 52 15.63 22.92 2 0.26 Verification RH427NM1 Male 54 16.9 17.53 0 0.26 Verification  
RH489NM1 Female 61 1.41 17.89 0 0.26 Verification RH201NM1 Male 52 17.18 32.22 2 0.26  
Verification RH155NM1 Male 57 3.17 31.42 0 0.26 Verification RH272NM1 Male 54 4.52 26.86 0  
0.26 Verification RH410NM1 Male 53 13.61 15.96 0 0.26 Verification RH607NM1 Male 54 0.96  
13.53 0 0.26 Verification RH548NM1 Male 54 3.54 28.14 0 0.26 Verification RH554NM1 Female  
63 2.45 22.75 0 0.26 Verification RH180NM1 Male 55 2.88 28.71 0 0.26 Verification RH596NM1  
Male 54 2.06 14.69 0 0.26 Verification RH161NM1 Female 59 3.82 32.86 1 0.26 Verification  
RH221NM1 Male 53 1.98 23.59 0 0.26 Verification RH525NM1 Male 36 4.23 33.85 0 0.26  
Verification RH238NM1 Male 52 4.3 23.39 0 0.26 Verification RH527NM1 Male 54 2.96 24.11 0  
0.26 Verification RH203NM1 Female 54 13.44 19.52 4 0.26 Verification RH193NM1 Male 52 2.05  
21.45 0 0.26 Verification RH435NM1 Male 50 17.08 26.45 0 0.25 Verification RH598NM1 Male  
54 5.77 18.02 0 0.25 Verification RH250NM1 Male 52 3.49 27.66 0 0.25 Verification RH213NM1  
Female 62 5.38 23.02 0 0.25 Verification RH528NM1 Female 62 2.95 15.87 0 0.25 Verification  
RH138NM1 Male 54 7.85 20.37 0 0.25 Verification RH237NM1 Male 53 3.01 39.92 0 0.25  
Verification RH605NM1 Male 54 4.44 22.49 0 0.25 Verification RH141NM1 Male 53 2.16 16.93 0  
0.25 Verification RH587NM1 Female 62 4.44 17.1 0 0.25 Verification RH401NM1 Male 50 13.26  
24.1 0 0.25 Verification RH488NM1 Male 52 2.32 30.18 0 0.25 Verification RH556NM1 Female  
61 3.18 22.32 0 0.25 Verification RH255NM1 Male 51 2.75 27.84 0 0.25 Verification RH223NM1  
Male 52 3.88 29.91 0 0.25 Verification RH264NM1 Male 51 6.13 21.66 0 0.25 Verification  
RH505NM1 Female 60 3.6 24.54 0 0.25 Verification RH509NM1 Male 54 8.07 26.43 0 0.25  
Verification RH146NM1 Male 51 3.46 20.43 0 0.25 Verification RH130NM1 Male 52 2.65 22.66 0  
0.24 Verification RH144NM1 Male 53 5.08 12.67 0 0.24 Verification RH162NM1 Male 50 3.8  
24.03 1 0.24 Verification RH181NM1 Male 50 1.87 16.01 0 0.24 Verification RH594NM1 Female  
55 2.98 10.76 0 0.24 Verification RH530NM1 Male 49 1.52 30.57 0 0.24 Verification RH590NM1  
Female 55 1.5 18.13 2 0.24 Verification RH432NM1 Female 55 15.87 15.73 2 0.24 Verification  
RH242NM1 Male 49 3.23 29.49 0 0.24 Verification RH491NM1 Male 49 1.96 23.8 0 0.24  
Verification RH533NM1 Male 49 3.3 29.42 0 0.24 Verification RH439NM1 Male 51 19.17 18.41 0  
0.24 Verification RH231NM1 Male 48 2.1 30.67 0 0.24 Verification RH499NM1 Male 49 3.39  
23.15 0 0.24 Verification RH514NM1 Male 36 2.26 28.7 14 0.24 Verification RH526NM1 Male 49  
2.08 19.73 0 0.23 Verification RH555NM1 Female 53 2.69 21.57 1 0.23 Verification RH588NM1  
Female 55 1.61 20.94 0 0.23 Verification RH131NM1 Male 50 2.93 23.61 0 0.23 Verification  
RH222NM1 Female 58 2.88 26.78 0 0.23 Verification RH200NM1 Male 46 1.81 27.14 0 0.23  
Verification RH254NM1 Female 54 1.66 22.02 1 0.23 Verification RH243NM1 Male 47 4.07 26.27  
1 0.23 Verification RH274NM1 Male 47 1.52 19.41 0 0.22 Verification RH536NM1 Female 53  
2.11 28.92 0 0.22 Verification RH125NM1 Male 33 3.93 17.06 1 0.22 Verification RH278NM1  
Male 48 3.1 22.88 0 0.22 Verification RH166NM1 Male 48 4.47 22.97 0 0.22 Verification  
RH543NM1 Female 55 2.36 15.04 1 0.22 Verification RH271NM1 Male 47 0.98 34.09 0 0.22  
Verification RH185NM1 Male 46 2.15 29.04 0 0.22 Verification RH490NM1 Female 54 1.9 19.2 2

0.22 Verification RH16NM1 Female 55 5.17 22.27 2 0.22 Verification RH405NM1 Female 65  
17.53 15.92 0 0.22 Verification RH294NM1 Male 46 3.24 32.01 0 0.22 Verification RH494NM1  
Female 54 2.37 17.81 0 0.21 Verification RH136NM1 Male 36 12.68 28.69 7 0.21 Verification  
RH252NM1 Male 43 3.27 25.93 0 0.21 Verification RH297NM1 Female 54 3.62 32.87 0 0.21  
Verification RH407NM1 Male 42 13.64 15.6 1 0.21 Verification RH191NM1 Male 38 4.22 24.95 6  
0.21 Verification RH886NM1 Male 44 3.45 21.43 0 0.21 Verification RH284NM1 Male 44 3.92  
31.48 0 0.21 Verification RH149NM1 Male 43 8.65 31.79 1 0.21 Verification RH133NM1 Male 44  
1.61 26.58 0 0.20 Verification RH557NM1 Male 41 2.91 26.01 1 0.20 Verification RH164NM1  
Female 51 2.41 28.09 0 0.20 Verification RH126NM1 Female 53 3.09 20.78 0 0.20 Verification  
RH515NM1 Male 41 2.15 26.53 0 0.20 Verification RH229NM1 Male 42 4.07 32.05 0 0.20  
Verification RH269NM1 Female 65 2.22 25.13 0 0.20 Verification RH266NM1 Female 50 3.11  
23.83 0 0.20 Verification RH296NM1 Male 41 1.27 32.69 0 0.20 Verification RH503NM1 Female  
61 1.41 17.82 3 0.20 Verification RH569NM1 Female 64 2.38 21.4 0 0.20 Verification RH153NM1  
Male 42 3.16 32.1 0 0.19 Verification RH589NM1 Male 38 2.92 20.92 1 0.19 Verification  
RH258NM1 Female 48 3.29 24.33 0 0.19 Verification RH579NM1 Female 64 4.56 16.66 0 0.19  
Verification RH599NM1 Female 64 1.26 12 0 0.19 Verification RH143NM1 Male 39 3.54 22.75 2  
0.19 Verification RH475NM1 Male 38 4.4 37.77 0 0.19 Verification RH522NM1 Female 64 1.76  
18.42 0 0.19 Verification RH549NM1 Female 60 1.76 18.12 1 0.19 Verification RH575NM1  
Female 63 2.42 20.98 1 0.19 Verification RH305NM1 Female 64 1.75 20.89 0 0.19 Verification  
RH468NM1 Female 63 2.78 20.5 0 0.19 Verification RH436NM1 Male 38 16.36 19.13 0 0.18  
Verification RH194NM1 Male 40 2.33 27.23 0 0.18 Verification RH140NM1 Male 40 5.3 19.03 0  
0.18 Verification RH546NM1 Male 38 5.64 24.16 0 0.18 Verification RH154NM1 Female 52 1.76  
18.6 0 0.18 Verification RH606NM1 Male 38 2.83 22.97 0 0.18 Verification RH551NM1 Male 36  
1.78 23.58 0 0.18 Verification RH570NM1 Female 62 3.45 19.93 0 0.18 Verification RH392NM1  
Female 56 19.98 18.75 0 0.18 Verification RH265NM1 Male 38 4.26 33.54 0 0.18 Verification  
RH597NM1 Male 36 7.3 25.73 0 0.18 Verification RH496NM1 Male 38 1.27 22.8 0 0.18  
Verification RH262NM1 Female 62 1.37 27.32 0 0.18 Verification RH603NM1 Male 36 1.82 18.81  
0 0.18 Verification RH472NM1 Female 60 1.97 17.64 0 0.17 Verification RH521NM1 Male 36 1.6  
28.7 0 0.17 Verification RH246NM1 Female 60 4.59 29.63 0 0.17 Verification RH299NM1 Male  
36 2.9 31.31 1 0.17 Verification RH552NM1 Female 60 1.42 15.27 0 0.17 Verification RH148NM1  
Male 35 3.6 23.41 1 0.17 Verification RH218NM1 Female 59 2.16 16.93 0 0.17 Verification  
RH196NM1 Female 59 4.78 22.83 0 0.17 Verification RH216NM1 Female 59 2.52 26.61 0 0.17  
Verification RH480NM1 Female 55 1.62 23.12 1 0.17 Verification RH217NM1 Female 58 6.52  
24.03 0 0.16 Verification RH247NM1 Female 57 3.92 23.54 0 0.16 Verification RH234NM1  
Female 54 3.12 17.35 1 0.16 Verification RH366NM1 Female 53 13.99 17.71 2 0.16 Verification  
RH519NM1 Female 56 1.64 17.45 0 0.16 Verification RH593NM1 Female 56 2.86 16.52 1 0.16  
Verification RH289NM1 Female 57 3.59 25.28 0 0.16 Verification RH562NM1 Female 55 2.08  
15.23 0 0.16 Verification RH273NM1 Female 56 2.4 20.84 0 0.16 Verification RH182NM1 Female  
56 3.69 21.44 0 0.16 Verification RH248NM1 Female 56 2.25 19.02 0 0.16 Verification  
RH301NM1 Female 57 1.75 20.74 0 0.16 Verification RH518NM1 Female 54 2.83 14.04 1 0.16  
Verification RH571NM1 Female 56 1.53 16.8 0 0.16 Verification RH208NM1 Female 56 15.29  
26.95 0 0.16 Verification RH572NM1 Female 56 2.65 21.36 0 0.16 Verification RH287NM1  
Female 58 1.46 25.61 0 0.16 Verification RH532NM1 Female 56 0.81 23.2 0 0.16 Verification  
RH539NM1 Female 55 2.26 16.71 0 0.16 Verification RH565NM1 Female 56 1.6 19.47 0 0.16  
Verification RH537NM1 Female 55 2.13 15.97 0 0.16 Verification RH417NM1 Female 53 15.07  
18.15 0 0.16 Verification RH578NM1 Female 55 1.28 13.94 0 0.16 Verification RH241NM1  
Female 54 1.88 23.32 0 0.15 Verification RH249NM1 Female 53 1.13 15.42 1 0.15 Verification  
RH279NM1 Female 39 5.33 21.11 0 0.15 Verification RH512NM1 Female 54 1.06 17.58 0 0.15  
Verification RH240NM1 Female 55 3 18.34 0 0.15 Verification RH574NM1 Female 55 5.21 13.02  
0 0.15 Verification RH576NM1 Female 55 4.48 19.62 0 0.15 Verification RH226NM1 Female 54

3.08 20.57 0 0.15 Verification RH583NM1 Female 55 1.69 25.96 0 0.15 Verification RH276NM1  
 Female 54 1.38 27.72 0 0.15 Verification RH267NM1 Female 52 1.99 26.19 1 0.15 Verification  
 RH230NM1 Female 52 3.21 29.43 1 0.15 Verification RH545NM1 Female 54 2.73 22.64 0 0.15  
 Verification RH215NM1 Female 55 3.27 17.81 0 0.15 Verification RH207NM1 Female 53 14.22  
 17.78 1 0.15 Verification RH275NM1 Female 52 1.38 19.49 0 0.15 Verification RH534NM1  
 Female 53 2.76 14.37 0 0.15 Verification RH485NM1 Female 53 2.2 27.14 0 0.15 Verification  
 RH483NM1 Female 54 3.25 19.87 0 0.15 Verification RH495NM1 Female 53 2.67 15.79 0 0.15  
 Verification RH474NM1 Female 53 1.75 12.4 0 0.15 Verification RH529NM1 Female 53 2.56 8.1  
 0 0.15 Verification RH268NM1 Female 55 2.07 25.48 0 0.15 Verification RH471NM1 Female 54  
 2.16 17.71 0 0.15 Verification RH550NM1 Female 53 2.27 19.35 0 0.15 Verification RH304NM1  
 Female 54 1.52 25.4 0 0.14 Verification RH235NM1 Female 53 4.36 12.99 0 0.14 Verification  
 RH190NM1 Female 55 4.32 32.9 0 0.14 Verification RH168NM1 Female 45 6.75 15.35 4 0.14  
 Verification RH307NM1 Female 52 2.63 28.23 0 0.14 Verification RH470NM1 Female 53 2.37  
 18.46 0 0.14 Verification RH263NM1 Female 52 1.69 21.26 0 0.14 Verification RH277NM1  
 Female 51 1.6 26.39 0 0.14 Verification RH302NM1 Female 52 1.31 23.82 0 0.14 Verification  
 RH220NM1 Female 53 1.7 30.17 0 0.14 Verification RH300NM1 Female 52 1.68 22.56 0 0.14  
 Verification RH160NM1 Female 47 2.78 23.67 1 0.13 Verification RH167NM1 Female 48 3.51  
 12.67 0 0.12 Verification RH159NM1 Female 46 1.66 16.96 1 0.12 Verification RH303NM1  
 Female 46 3.2 20.53 0 0.12 Verification RH286NM1 Female 47 4.07 25.63 0 0.12 Verification  
 RH197NM1 Female 41 1.47 22.41 0 0.11 Verification RH205NM1 Female 40 15.39 24.66 0 0.11  
 Verification RH150NM1 Female 44 3.23 26.22 0 0.11 Verification RH169NM1 Female 42 2.28  
 24.44 0 0.11 Verification RH202NM1 Female 38 15.31 20.12 0 0.11 Verification RH195NM1  
 Female 42 2.15 25.92 0 0.11 Verification RH239NM1 Female 40 0.79 12.75 0 0.10 Verification  
 RH573NM1 Female 38 4.85 22.26 0 0.10 Verification RH290NM1 Female 39 3.11 23.66 0 0.10  
 Verification RH542NM1 Female 38 5.96 13.98 0 0.10 Verification RH492NM1 Female 38 1.93  
 14.9 0 0.10 Verification RH511NM1 Female 30 1.35 16.76 4 0.10 Verification RH219NM1 Female  
 38 2.69 16.22 0 0.10 Verification RH158NM1 Female 37 2.72 20.02 1 0.10 Verification  
 RH259NM1 Female 36 2.71 29.6 0 0.10 Verification RH224NM1 Female 25 2.19 19.47 0 0.09  
 Verification RH600NM1 Female 33 17.02 11.83 0 0.09 Verification RH586NM1 Female 32 3.5  
 20.84 0 0.09 Verification RH473NM1 Female 32 1.53 28.35 1 0.08 Verification RH566NM1  
 Female 29 2.06 23.29 0 0.08 Verification RH211NM1 Female 29 5.32 18.37 0 0.08 Verification  
 RH497NM1 Female 30 1.82 23.8 0 0.08 Verification RH192NM1 Female 27 3.46 18.49 0 0.08  
 (55) TABLE-US-00005 TABLE 5 Mutation information Chro- Start End Mutant\_ Sample\_  
 Gene\_ mo- posi- posi- classifi- Mutant\_ Protein\_ Tumor\_ Tumor\_ ID name some tion tion cation  
 type Ref Alt change depth frequency Grade\* RG869NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266097 41266097  
 Missense\_ SNP G T p.Asp32Tyr 15482 0.14094 T mutant RG876NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266097  
 41266097 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Asp32Asn 10552 0.02104 T mutant RG895NM1 CTNNB1 3  
 41266098 41266098 Missense\_ SNP A G p.Asp32Gly 16286 0.06981 T mutant RG898NM1  
 CTNNB1 3 41266098 41266098 Missense\_ SNP A C p.Asp32Ala 12768 0.00368 T mutant  
 RH455NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266098 41266098 Missense\_ SNP A C p.Asp32Ala 27189 0.00614 T  
 mutant RH903NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266098 41266098 Missense\_ SNP A G p.Asp32Gly 18374  
 0.10977 T mutant RH29INM1 CTNNB1 3 41266098 41266099 Frame INS — CTCTG  
 p.Thr40Leu 7021 0.00057 S shift\_ GAATC fsTer14 mutant CATTC TGGTG RG878NM1  
 CTNNB1 3 41266101 41266101 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Ser33Phe 14749 0.00183 T mutant  
 RG892NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266101 41266101 Missense\_ SNP C G p.Ser33Cys 13683 0.00132 T  
 mutant RH443NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266101 41266101 Missense\_ SNP C G p.Ser33Cys 7430  
 0.09273 T mutant RH897NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266101 41266101 Missense\_ SNP C G p.Ser33Cys  
 12413 0.00161 T mutant RG898NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266103 41266103 Missense\_ SNP G A  
 p.Gly34Arg 12790 0.00719 T mutant RH443NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266103 41266103 Missense\_  
 SNP G A p.Gly34Arg 7462 0.00161 T mutant RH897NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266104 41266104

Missense\_ SNP T A p.Gly34Glu 12421 0.00177 T mutant RH455NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266107  
41266107 Missense\_ SNP T G p.Ile35Ser 25818 0.0024 T mutant RG878NM1 CTNNB1 3  
41266112 41266112 Missense\_ SNP T G p.Ser37Ala 14741 0.00224 T mutant RH891NM1  
CTNNB1 3 41266112 41266112 Missense\_ SNP T G p.Ser37Ala 9288 0.04339 T mutant  
RH385NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266118 41266118 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Ala39Thr 23624 0.00063 T  
mutant RH377NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266124 41266124 Missense\_ SNP A G p.Thr41Ala 8948  
0.00257 T mutant RH897NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266124 41266124 Missense\_ SNP A G p.Thr41Ala  
12499 0.07609 T mutant RG886NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266137 41266137 Missense\_ SNP C T  
p.Ser45Phe 15325 0.00548 T mutant RH393NM1 CTNNB1 3 41266188 41266188 Missense\_ SNP  
T A p.Val62Asp 6129 0.00196 T mutant RG774NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G  
A • 5383 0.00985 T gene\_ mutatnt RG785NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A •  
3910 0.1243 T gene\_ mutant RG796NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 4015  
0.02441 T gene\_ mutant RG869NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 5323  
0.19218 T gene\_ mutatnt RG895NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 7720  
0.00687 T gene\_ mutant RG898NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 4848  
0.03032 T gene\_ mutant RH337NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 6437  
0.03387 T gene\_ mutatnt RH414NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 5393  
0.01558 T gene\_ mutant RH419NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 5450  
0.00991 T gene\_ mutant RH443NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 2793  
0.09273 T gene\_ mutatnt RH900NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 3078  
0.05458 T gene\_ mutant RG870NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 9595  
0.00125 T gene\_ mutant RG871NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 6380  
0.00188 T gene\_ mutatnt RG874NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 2935  
0.00136 S gene\_ mutant RG878NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 7791  
0.00539 T gene\_ mutant RH314NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 2338  
0.00257 S gene\_ mutatnt RH137NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 5818  
0.00103 S gene\_ mutant RH227NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 1886  
0.00212 S gene\_ mutant RH767NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 3387  
0.00118 S gene\_ mutant RH397NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 2539  
0.0067 T gene\_ mutant RH398NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 1979  
0.00303 S gene\_ mutant RH421NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 4764  
0.01721 T gene\_ mutant RH905NM1 TERT 5 1295228 1295228 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 5136  
0.00136 S gene\_ mutant RH455NM1 TERT 5 1295250 1295250 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 2542  
0.03029 T gene\_ mutant RG872NM1 TERT 5 1295250 1295250 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 5786  
0.00069 S gene\_ mutant RH397NM1 TERT 5 1295250 1295250 Upstream\_ SNP G T • 2463  
0.00162 S gene\_ mutant RH878NM1 TERT 5 1295250 1295250 Upstream\_ SNP G A • 9659  
0.00145 T gene\_ mutant RH390NM1 AXIN1 16 347141 347141 stop\_ SNP C A p.Glu624 25046  
0.00104 T gained Ter RH240NM1 AXIN1 16 347213 347213 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Val1600 1804  
0.0061 T mutant Met RH204NM1 AXIN1 16 347883 347883 inframe- DEL GTGGT — p.His538\_  
5822 0.00052 S deletion GGACG His541del TGTG RH436NM1 AXIN1 16 347892 347892  
inframe- DEL GTG — p.His538del 10162 0.00059 S deletion RH471NM1 AXIN1 16 347892  
347894 inframe- DEL GTG — p.His538del 6743 0.00104 S deletion RH526NM1 AXIN1 16  
347924 347924 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Glv528 8043 0.00124 T mutant Ser RH393NM1 AXIN1 16  
347992 347992 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Ala505 6645 0.00181 T mutant Val RH878NM1 AXIN1 16  
348100 348100 Frame DEL CT — p.Ser469 8295 0.00084 S shift\_ HisfsTer mutant 121  
RH397NM1 AXIN1 16 348113 348113 stop\_ SNP C A p.Glu465 10014 0.02676 T gained Ter  
RH882NM1 AXIN1 16 348131 348131 Missense\_ SNP G T p.Leu459Ile 8915 0.00112 T mutant  
RH196NM1 AXIN1 16 348182 348182 Missense\_ SNP G T p.Pro442Thr 7668 0.0013 T mutant  
RH147NM1 AXIN1 16 348217 348231 inframe- DEL CCTGA — p.Asp426\_ 7573 0.00053 S  
deletion CGATG Gly430del GATCG RG790NM1 AXIN1 16 348230 348230 Missense\_ SNP C T

p.Asp426 9229 0.00123 T mutant Asn RH289NM1 AXIN1 16 348230 348230 Missense\_ SNP C T  
p.Asp426 5106 0.00196 T mutant Asn RH544NM1 AXIN1 16 348241 348241 Missense\_ SNP C T  
p.Glv422 1995 0.5198 T mutant Asp RH385NM1 AXIN1 16 396164 396164 stop\_ SNP C A  
p.Glu288 10142 0.00552 T gained Ter RH464NM1 AXIN1 16 396303 396303 Missense\_ SNP T A  
p.Leu241 4894 0.00204 T mutant Phe RG904NM1 AXIN1 16 396567 396579 Frame DEL ATTGT  
— p.Ile149 2710 0.00148 S shift\_ TATCA MetfsTer16 mutant AGA RH455NM1 AXIN1 16 396589  
396589 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg146 23251 0.00099 T mutant Gln RH390NM1 AXIN1 16 396590  
396590 stop\_ SNP G A p.Arg146 24009 0.16215 T gained Ter RH479NM1 AXIN1 16 396671  
396671 Missense\_ SNP A C p.Phe119 4564 0.00219 T mutant Val RH394NM1 AXIN1 16 396740  
396740 stop\_ SNP G A p.Gln96Ter 6063 0.04519 T gained RH285NM1 AXIN1 16 396799 396799  
Missense\_ SNP G A p.Ala76Val 5638 0.00177 T mutant RH490NM1 TP53 17 7572941 7572948  
Frame DEL GCCCTT — p.Glu388 15405 0.00052 T shift\_ CT Ter mutant RG879NM1 TP53 17  
7572973 7572973 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg379 7895 0.00127 T mutant His RG905NM1 TP53 17  
7572973 7572973 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg379 9475 0.00116 T mutant His RH291NM1 TP53 17  
7572973 7572973 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg379 4685 0.00256 T mutant His RH455NM1 TP53 17  
7572973 7572973 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg379 4991 0.002 T mutant His RH476NM1 TP53 17  
7572973 7572973 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg379 6230 0.00257 T mutant His RH906NM1 TP53 17  
7572973 7572973 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg379 6614 0.00151 T mutant His RH393NM1 TP53 17  
7572974 7572974 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Arg379 7234 0.00166 T mutant Cys RH884NM1 TP53  
17 7573944 7573944 Frame DEL C — p.Ser362 8458 0.0013 T shift\_ AlafsTer8 mutant  
RH538NM1 TP53 17 7573946 7573946 Missense\_ SNP C G p.Gly361 14281 0.00329 T mutant  
Arg RH224NM1 TP53 17 7573975 7573975 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Lys351 9886 0.00101 T mutant  
Met RH292NM1 TP53 17 7573992 7573993 Frame DEL AT — p.Asn345 13985 0.00057 T shift\_  
ArgfsTer36 mutant RH528NM1 TP53 17 7574012 7574012 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Glu339 10347  
0.00106 T mutant Lys RG883NM1 TP53 17 7574024 7574026 Splice\_ DEL GCCCA — — 11423  
0.03589 T receptor\_ CGGAT mutant CTGCA GCAAC AGA RG878NM1 TP53 17 7574030  
7574030 Frame DEL G — p.Arg333 13047 0.00376 T shift\_ ValfsTer12 mutant RH288NM1 TP53  
17 7574030 7574030 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Arg333 3538 0.00283 T mutant Cys RH225NM1  
TP53 17 7574032 7574032 Missense\_ SNP A T p.Ile332Asn 12577 0.00119 T mutant RH233NM1  
TP53 17 7576897 7576898 Frame DEL GG — p.Gln317 2531 0.00237 T shift\_ AlafsTer19 mutant  
RH425NM1 TP53 17 7576909 7576909 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Ser313Cys 10333 0.00116 T mutant  
RH520NM1 TP53 17 7576909 7576909 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Ser313Cys 9468 0.00106 T mutant  
RH608NM1 TP53 17 7577021 7577021 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg306 6632 0.00181 T mutant Gln  
RH440NM1 TP53 17 7577046 7577046 stop\_ SNP C A p.Glu298 27867 0.0084 T gained Ter  
RH391NM1 TP53 17 7577046 7577046 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Glu298 9238 0.0013 T mutant Lys  
RH170NM1 TP53 17 7577061 7577061 Missense\_ SNP C G p.Gly293 5419 0.59125 T mutant Arg  
RH455NM1 TP53 17 7577105 7577105 Missense\_ SNP G T p.Pro278 10238 0.00107 T mutant  
His RH891NM1 TP53 17 7577120 7577120 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg273 5439 0.06803 T mutant  
Leu RH602NM1 TP53 17 7577124 7577124 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Val272 2726 0.01101 T mutant  
Met RH567NM1 TP53 17 7577127 7577127 stop\_ SNP C A p.Glu271 9377 0.00149 T gained Ter  
RG805NM1 TP53 17 7577130 7577130 Missense\_ SNP A G p.Phe270 2370 0.00506 T mutant Leu  
RH256NM1 TP53 17 7577138 7577138 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg267 6643 0.00151 T mutant Gln  
RH482NM1 TP53 17 7577157 7577157 Splice\_ SNP T A • 23254 0.0258 T receptor\_ mutant  
RH390NM1 TP53 17 7577498 7577498 Splice\_ SNP C A • 17162 0.123 T receptor\_ mutant  
RH419NM1 TP53 17 7577498 7577498 Splice\_ SNP C A • 12474 0.0364 T receptor\_ mutant  
RH517NM1 TP53 17 7577512 7577513 Frame DEL GT — p.Leu257 6982 0.00086 S shift\_  
GlyfsTer6 mutant RH543NM1 TP53 17 7577512 7577513 Frame DEL GT — p.Lcu257 9582  
0.00063 S shift\_ GlyfsTer6 mutant RH592NM1 TP53 17 7577512 7577513 Frame DEL GT —  
p.Leu257 9177 0.00087 S shift\_ GlyfsTer6 mutant RH285NM1 TP53 17 7577513 7577517 Frame  
DEL TGTGA — p.Ile255 5420 0.00129 S shift\_ TrfsTer7 mutant RH554NM1 TP53 17 7577521

7577521 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Ile254Phe 15857 0.00107 T mutant RH489NM1 TP53 17 7577533  
7577533 Missense\_ DNP GC AA p.ArgPro — — T mutant 249SerSer RG792NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 2930 0.00239 S mutant Ser RG796NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 3809 0.6905 T mutant Ser RG868NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11902 0.00202 T mutant Ser RG871NM1 TP53  
17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 16130 0.00087 S mutant Ser RG872NM1  
TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 13678 0.1471 T mutant Ser RG873NM1  
TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 24 0.41667 T mutant Ser RG881NM1  
TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 12881 0.12173 T mutant Ser  
RG898NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11673 0.11642 T mutant  
Ser RG904NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7355 0.00761 T mutant  
Ser RH127NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 13235 0.00159 T  
mutant Ser RH147NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 6822 0.00191 T  
mutant Ser RH161NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 12989 0.00269  
T mutant Ser RH165NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 13453  
0.00164 T mutant Ser RH184NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249  
12568 0.00064 S mutant Ser RH189NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 6244 0.00096 S mutant Ser RH206NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 7731 0.00414 T mutant Ser RH209NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 13992 0.00522 T mutant Ser RH225NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C  
A p.Arg249 14626 0.00137 T mutant Ser RH254NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP  
C A p.Arg249 7519 0.0008 S mutant Ser RH297NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP  
C A p.Arg249 8745 0.00183 T mutant Ser RH382NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP  
C A p.Arg249 6799 0.00088 S mutant Ser RH390NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP  
C A p.Arg249 19293 0.00218 T mutant Ser RH394NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
SNP C A p.Arg249 20891 0.24029 T mutant Ser RH400NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 18462 0.00179 T mutant Ser RH408NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 5540 0.00487 T mutant Ser RH414NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11987 0.02553 T mutant Ser RH421NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 15021 0.03322 T mutant Ser RH425NM1 TP53  
17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11484 0.00192 T mutant Ser RH437NM1  
TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 13230 0.00121 T mutant Ser  
RH458NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 13197 0.00174 T mutant  
Ser RH462NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 20608 0.00558 T  
mutant Ser RH477NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 17912 0.00167  
T mutant Ser RH524NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 6466 0.00325  
T mutant Ser RH536NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 10568  
0.00076 S mutant Ser RH538NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249  
13225 0.00227 T mutant Ser RH555NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 18797 0.0009 T mutant Ser RH555NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 14168 0.00113 T mutant Ser RH563NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C  
A p.Arg249 7361 0.00163 T mutant Ser RH585NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C  
A p.Arg249 8974 0.001 S mutant Ser RH588NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 9004 0.00056 S mutant Ser RH590NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 10106 0.00119 T mutant Ser RH885NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C  
A p.Arg249 14022 0.00107 T mutant Ser RH910NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP  
C A p.Arg249 19048 0.00121 T mutant Ser RH776NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
SNP C A p.Arg249 3236 0.00216 S mutant Ser RH126NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
SNP C A p.Arg249 10958 0.00064 S mutant Ser RH134NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7769 0.00051 S mutant Ser RH135NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534

Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 15182 0.00059 S mutant Ser RH139NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 10639 0.00132 T mutant Ser RH164NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 12422 0.00089 S mutant Ser RH179NM1 TP53  
17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 10474 0.00057 S mutant Ser RH210NM1  
TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 10764 0.00056 S mutant Ser  
RH217NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 14319 0.00112 T mutant  
Ser RH213NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 9069 0.00066 S mutant  
Ser RH214NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7381 0.00054 S mutant  
Ser RH222NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7241 0.00055 S mutant  
Ser RH232NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 4309 0.00162 S mutant  
Ser RH258NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 5106 0.00118 S mutant  
Ser RH266NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7249 0.00083 S mutant  
Ser RH270NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 8245 0.00073 S mutant  
Ser RH282NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 4035 0.00099 S mutant  
Ser RH285NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 5717 0.00052 S mutant  
Ser RH291NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 8306 0.00072 S mutant  
Ser RH293NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7117 0.00056 S mutant  
Ser RH306NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 8901 0.00067 S mutant  
Ser RH377NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 9732 0.00062 S mutant  
Ser RH378NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 25942 0.00054 S  
mutant Ser RH383NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11353 0.0007 S  
mutant Ser RH391NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7490 0.00053 S  
mutant Ser RH403NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 16461 0.00067  
S mutant Ser RH418NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 10085  
0.00099 S mutant Ser RH432NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249  
22124 0.0005 S mutant Ser RH438NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249  
12114 0.00157 T mutant Ser RH476NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A  
p.Arg249 13744 0.00073 S mutant Ser RH484NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C  
A p.Arg249 16634 0.00078 S mutant Ser RH494NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP  
C A p.Arg249 12254 0.00065 S mutant Ser RH498NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
SNP C A p.Arg249 13967 0.001 T mutant Ser RH516NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
SNP C A p.Arg249 16660 0.00072 S mutant Ser RH520NM1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11725 0.00051 S mutant Ser RH525NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 5044 0.00119 S mutant Ser RH544NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 4331 0.00092 S mutant Ser RH547NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C G p.Arg249 7950 0.0005 S mutant Ser RH556NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7393 0.00054 S mutant Ser RH567NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 9371 0.00107 T mutant Ser RH577NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 8006 0.0005 S mutant Ser RH584NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 8223 0.00073 S mutant Ser RH587NM1 TP53 17 7577534  
7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 15256 0.00079 S mutant Ser RH591NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 6472 0.00062 S mutant Ser RH592NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 9781 0.00153 T mutant Ser RH594NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 4762 0.00168 S mutant Ser RH601NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 11149 0.0009 S mutant Ser RH889NM1 TP53 17  
7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 12370 0.00129 T mutant Ser RH915NM1 TP53  
17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 10781 0.00074 S mutant Ser RH535NM1  
TP53 17 7577539 7577539 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Arg248 14917 0.00469 T mutant Trp  
RH547NM1 TP53 17 7577539 7577539 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Arg248 7941 0.00201 T mutant Trp  
RH397NM1 TP53 17 7577545 7577545 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Met246 11578 0.241 T mutant Leu



RH165NM1 TP53 17 7577548 7577548 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Gly245 23427 0.00107 T mutant  
 Ser RH395NM1 TP53 17 7577556 7577556 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Cys242 19634 0.00148 T  
 mutant Tyr RH253NM1 TP53 17 7577559 7577559 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Ser241 6521 0.00184 T  
 mutant Phe RH430NM1 TP53 17 7577559 7577559 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Ser241 33409 0.00054  
 T mutant Phe RH513NM1 TP53 17 7577562 7577562 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Ser240 8502 0.00141  
 T mutant Ile RH154NM1 TP53 17 7577574 7577574 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Tyr236 12368 0.00113  
 T mutant Phe RH251NM1 TP53 17 7577576 7577578 inframe\_ DEL GTT — p.Asn235 1930  
 0.00363 S deletion del RH871NM1 TP53 17 7577580 7577580 Missense\_ SNP T C p.Tyr234  
 17951 0.01382 T mutant Cys RH292NM1 TP53 17 7577591 7577591 Frame DEL G — p.Thr231  
 9011 0.00133 T shift\_ rofsTer16 mutant RH125NM1 TP53 17 7577605 7577605 Missense\_ SNP C  
 A p.Gly226 10295 0.00107 T mutant Cys RH203NM1 TP53 17 7578190 7578190 Missense\_ SNP  
 T C p.Tyr220 14947 0.00167 T mutant Cys RH601NM1 TP53 17 7578190 7578190 Missense\_  
 SNP T C p.Tyr220 8908 0.00135 T mutant Cys RH876NM1 TP53 17 7578203 7578203 Missense\_  
 SNP C A p.Val216 9888 0.02164 T mutant Leu RH132NM1 TP53 17 7578203 7578203 Missense\_  
 SNP C T p.Val216 11507 0.00235 T mutant Met RH157NM1 TP53 17 7578203 7578203  
 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Val216 8730 0.0047 T mutant Met RH897NM1 TP53 17 7578211 7578211  
 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg213 12348 0.13233 T mutant Leu RH887NM1 TP53 17 7578212  
 7578212 stop\_ SNP G A p.Arg213 8479 0.0013 T gained Ter RH385NM1 TP53 17 7578249  
 7578250 Frame SNP — T p.Asn200 19036 0.13259 T shift\_ LysfsTer9 mutant RH279NM1 TP53  
 17 7578275 7578275 inframe\_ DEL GAG — p.Pro191 4678 0.00128 S deletion del RH419NM1  
 TP53 17 7578275 7578277 inframe\_ DEL GAG — p.Pro191 9472 0.00063 S deletion del  
 RH505NM1 TP53 17 7578389 7578389 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Arg181 11519 0.00234 T mutant  
 Cys RH398NM1 TP53 17 7578392 7578392 stop\_ SNP C A p.Glu180 8482 0.00236 T gained Ter  
 RG888NM1 TP53 17 7578395 7578395 Missense\_ SNP G A p.His179 9505 0.0041 T mutant Tyr  
 RH584NM1 TP53 17 7578401 7578401 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Pro177 8925 0.00157 T mutant Ser  
 RH501NM1 TP53 17 7578404 7578404 Missense\_ SNP A T p.Cys176 8920 0.00112 T mutant Ser  
 RH510NM1 TP53 17 7578406 7578406 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg175 8880 0.00439 T mutant His  
 RH531NM1 TP53 17 7578406 7578406 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg175 13146 0.00198 T mutant  
 His RH291NM1 TP53 17 7578406 7578406 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg175 9654 0.00104 T mutant  
 His RH585NM1 TP53 17 7578415 7578415 Missense\_ SNP A C p.Val172 9844 0.01199 T mutant  
 Gly RH380NM1 TP53 17 7578457 7578457 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg158 14377 0.02379 T  
 mutant His RG785NM1 TP53 17 7578461 7578461 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Val157 195 0.18974 T  
 mutant Phe RG868NM1 TP53 17 7578461 7578461 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Val157 13247 0.03616  
 T mutant Phe RH443NM1 TP53 17 7578461 7578461 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Val157 8078 0.24016  
 T mutant Phe RH257NM1 TP53 17 7578461 7578461 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Val157 20862  
 0.00096 T mutant Ile RH884NM1 TP53 17 7578461 7578461 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Val157 8403  
 0.00119 T mutant Ile RH609NM1 TP53 17 7578473 7578474 Frame DEL GC — p.Pro153 6235  
 0.00096 S shift\_ ArgfsTer27 mutant RG871NM1 TP53 17 7578475 7578475 Missense\_ SNP G A  
 p.Pro152 16849 0.00142 T mutant Leu RH256NM1 TP53 17 7578475 7578475 Missense\_ SNP G  
 A p.Pro152 9341 0.00214 T mutant Leu RH900NM1 TP53 17 7578479 7578479 Missense\_ SNP G  
 T p.Prol51 9799 0.09327 T mutant Thr RG888NM1 TP53 17 7578491 7578491 Missense\_ SNP C  
 T p.Val147 7539 0.00172 T mutant Ile RH261NM1 TP53 17 7578491 7578492 Frame DEL CC —  
 p.Trpl46 5257 0.00114 S shift\_ CysfsTer2 mutant RH561NM1 TP53 17 7578503 7578503  
 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Val143 7723 0.00142 T mutant Met RH379NM1 TP53 17 7578518 7578518  
 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Alal38 7529 0.00133 T mutant Thr RG878NM1 TP53 17 7578538 7578538  
 Missense\_ SNP T A p.Asn131 10345 0.00203 T mutant Ile RG892NM1 TP53 17 7579329 7579329  
 Missense\_ SNP T C p.Lysl20 9102 0.00132 T mutant Glu RG452NM1 TP53 17 7579340 7579340  
 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Ser116 12029 0.00116 T mutant Phe RG886NM1 TP53 17 7579358 7579358  
 Missense\_ SNP C T p.Arg110 10193 0.00108 T mutant His RH123NM1 TP53 17 7579363  
 7579371 inframe\_ DEL ACCGT — p.Ser106\_ 4671 0.00128 S deletion AGCT Gly108del



RH291NM1 TP53 17 7879395 7879395 Missense\_ SNP G A p.Pro98 3686 0.00461 T mutant Ser  
 RG899NM1 TP53 17 7579401 7579401 Missense\_ DEL A C p.Ser96Ala 4181 0.00239 T mutant  
 RH504NM1 TP53 17 7579414 7579414 Frame SNP C — p.Trp91C 10839 0.0012 T shift\_  
 ysfsTer32 mutant RG898NM1 TP53 17 7579473 7579473 Missense\_ SNP G C p.Pro72Ala 8312  
 0.5409 T mutant RH585NM1 TP53 17 7579479 7579482 Frame DEL CAGC — p.Ala69 7638  
 0.00079 S shift\_ LeufsTer53 mutant RH589NM1 TP53 17 7579482 7579482 Missense\_ SNP C T  
 p.Ala69Thr 2773 0.49189 T mutant RH256NM1 TP53 17 9579482 9579483 Frame DEL CC —  
 p.Glu68A 6245 0.00096 S shift\_ spfsTer80 mutant RG871NM1 TP53 17 7579536 7579536 stop\_  
 SNP C A p.Glu51Ter 10547 0.00114 T gained RG870NM1 TP53 17 7579722 7579722 Splice\_  
 SNP C G • 14884 0.0043 T receptor\_ mutant RK137NX1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
 SNP C A p.Arg249 6726 0.00059 S mutant Ser RK139NX1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534 Missense\_  
 SNP C A p.Arg249 10385 0.00077 S mutant Ser RG145NX1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 6670 0.0009 S mutant Ser RK149NX1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 7490 0.0008 S mutant Ser RK155NX1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 6279 0.00064 S mutant Ser RK170NX1 TP53 17 7577534 7577534  
 Missense\_ SNP C A p.Arg249 5786 0.00138 S mutant Ser \*t represents a true mutation; S  
 represents a suspected mutation.

#### Example 4. Predictive Value of Early HCC of AFP/US Negative Individuals by HCC Screening Assay

(56) The invention further tests whether HCC screening can detect HCC from AFP/US negative and HBsAg positive individuals without clinical symptoms. 331 AFP/US negative individuals were tested with HCC screening and 24 positive cases (referred to as HCC screening positive) were identified based on the algorithm from the training set (FIG. 4D).

(57) 24 HCC screening positive individuals were followed up for 6-8 months to obtain clinical results of HCC. Of these individuals, 17 were examined by dynamic CT, 4 by AFP/US and 3 by telephone interview. 4 of the 24 screened HCC positive individuals were eventually diagnosed as HCC, with a positive predictive value of HCC detection being 17% (FIG. 4E). In addition, a group of HCC screening negative participants (n=70) agreed to have a dynamic CT test at 6-8 months, and no one was diagnosed with HCC. The present invention also tracked 172 HCC screening negative participants by AFP/US 6-8 months after baseline AFP/US screening and no HCC cases were diagnosed. Of the 65 participants followed up by telephone interviews, no HCC patients were found (FIG. 2). Overall, no HCC cases were found in these HCC screening negative cases. Taken together, the HCC screening assay produced a positive predictive value of 17%, a sensitivity of 100% (4/4), and a specificity of 94% (307/327) in AFP/US negative individuals (FIG. 4F). All 4 HCC patients identified had tumor sizes <3 cm when diagnosed by dynamic CT (FIG. 4G), and these 4 patients had no cirrhosis based on US results at baseline.

(58) The present invention provides AFP/US examinations within 6-8 months after baseline testing to 944 participants who were AFP/US-negative at baseline and who did not have an HCC screening test. Four HCC cases were detected and further confirmed (0.4%, 4/944). Cancer registration records showed that no liver cancer results were identified in these 337 participants before Jun. 30, 2018 (ICD-10 Code C22), who were AFP/US negative in baseline screening and did not undergo HCC screening or any further AFP/US screening (FIG. 2).

(59) 6-8 months after the first blood sampling at baseline, 13 of the 24 HCC screening positive cases underwent a second blood drawing to repeat the HCC screening assay. One of the HCC cases continued to be positive and the score was higher than 6 months ago. Another case of HCC that had been surgically resected prior to the second blood drawing showed negative HCC screening consistent with this condition. Of the 11 HCC screening positive non-HCC cases, 7 (64%) were negative in the second HCC screening test, although two of the screening results were close to the threshold (0.40). The remaining 4 non-HCC cases were still positive in the second HCC screening (FIG. 4E). These results indicate that the positive predictive value can be further improved by

repeating the test at the second time point. These cases are currently followed up to further validate the assay.

#### Example 5. Training a Liquid Biopsy Assay with Healthy Individuals

(60) The HCC screening assay shows strong HCC recognition ability in high-risk populations. Previous studies have predicted that sensitivity and specificity may be lower in such high-risk populations than in cancer patients compared to healthy individuals without HBV infection or other risk factors. In order to test this hypothesis, in the invention HCC screening was performed on 70 healthy individuals without HBV infection (HBsAg negative), and these data was used to replace 70 HBsAg positive non-HCC cases in the training set. Through analysis of cfDNA and protein markers, the HCC screening assay effectively recognized HCC cases from healthy individuals with a sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 100% (FIG. 5A). However, the algorithm derived from this training set (HCC and healthy individuals) does not perform well in HBsAg positive non-HCC cases. According to this algorithm, most non-HCC cases are classified as positive, while HCC and non-HCC cases are highly overlapping (FIG. 5B). In addition, validation sets do not perform well. Although all four HCC cases were positive in the test, many of the HBsAg (+) individuals were classified as positive, resulting in specificity and positive predictive values of only 58% and 2.8%, respectively (FIG. 5B). On the other hand, the algorithm derived from the case of HCC and non-HCC correctly classified all healthy individuals (100%) as negative, except for their performance in the HBsAg positive validation set (FIG. 5B).

#### Example 6. Liquid Biopsy Assay Further Including CNV

##### (61) I. Obtaining a Blood Sample

(62) Blood samples from patients with liver cancer were provided from 65 patients with liver cancer who had been clinically identified as liver cancer.

(63) The blood samples of patients with high risk of liver cancer were provided by 70 patients with high risk of liver cancer who were identified as high risk of liver cancer by the methods provided in the literature (Omata, M., et al., Asia-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatocellular carcinoma: a 2017 update. *Hepatol Int*, 2017.11(4):p. 317-370.).

(64) Healthy human blood samples were provided by 100 healthy volunteers.

##### (65) II. Detection of Liver Cancer Mutation Genes in cfDNA of Blood Samples to be Detected and CNV Detection

(66) The blood samples to be detected are 65 liver cancer patient blood samples, 70 liver cancer high-risk patient blood samples and 100 healthy person blood samples.

(67) 1. The cfDNA of blood samples to be detected was extracted by MagMAX™ Cell-Free DNA Isolation Kit respectively.

(68) 2. After completing step 1, liquid phase hybridization capture technique was used to detect the mutation information of liver cancer gene in cfDNA of blood sample to be detected, such as the mutation information of TP53 gene, AXIN1 gene, CTNNB1 gene, promoter of TERT gene, B-type HBV and C-type HBV. The specific steps are as follows:

(69) (1) Taking the cfDNA of the blood sample to be detected) and constructing a library by using a KAPA Hyper Prep kit to obtain the cfDNA library of the blood sample to be detected.

(70) (2) After completing step (1), taking a cfDNA library of the blood sample to be detected, performing hybridization capture of target region by using a sureselect XT target capture kit, and sequencing on an Illumina platform with a sequencing depth of 20000×. The versions, chromosomes, start positions, stop positions and coverage areas of the genes or viruses detected are detailed in Table 6.

(71) TABLE-US-00006 TABLE 6 Gene or virus Version Chromosome Start position Stop-position Coverage area TP53 gene HG19 17 7572927 7579884 TP53 gene exon full length AXIN1 gene HG19 16 338122 397000 AXIN1 gene exon full length CTNNB1 gene HG19 3 41265560 41281237 CTNNB1 gene exon full length TERT gene HG19 5 1295228 1295250 Positions 228 and 250 of the TERT gene promoter Hepatitis B virus type C AF533983 1 1 3215 Full length of

type C HBV genome Type B HBV AB602818 1 1 3215 Full length of type B HBV genome

(72) The detection results of liver cancer mutant genes in cfDNA of some blood samples to be detected are shown in columns 2 and 4 of Table 7.

(73) TABLE-US-00007 TABLE 7 Number Mutant gene mutation frequency HBV integration score  
HBV integration gene HCCscreen01 — B KLF4; BUB1; HMHB1; F13B; LRFN2 HCCscreen02  
TERT|1.2%; TP53|3.7% A PKDCC; SNTG1; AFF4 HCCscreen03 TP53|0.2% — — HCCscreen04  
TERT|1.1%; TP53|8.4% A GLP2R; ZNF438; HMGXB3; FGB; COL23A1 HCCscreen05  
TERT|19%; TP53|21%; AXIN1|1.5%; — — CTNNB1|1% HCCscreen06 — B TERT; RAPGEF2;  
FSTL5 HCCscreen07 — A COL22A1; LRRC31; SAMD7 HCCscreen08 CTNNB1|0.3% B  
SEPT7P2 HCCscreen09 TP53|1.2%; AXIN1|0.2% A KMT2B; TERT; MTRNR2L1; SLC26A7;  
RUNX1T1 HCCscreen10 TP53|0.1% B LOC100288788; IQSEC3 HCCscreen11 — C PBX1;  
LMX1A HCCscreen12 — — — HCCscreen13 TERT|1.6%; CTNNB1|6.7% A SAMD11; TERT;  
LINC01511; LOC10192; LOC102467213 HCCscreen14 TP53|0.3% — — HCCscreen15  
TP53|0.1%; CTNNB1|0.1% C CWH43 HCCscreen16 TERT|3%; CTNNB1|0.9% A TERT;  
LINC01242; NFATC2; CPA6 HCCscreen17 TP53|12.8 A TERT; ELAC2; DHX15 HCCscreen18  
TERT|15%; CTNNB1|15.4% C APOBEC4; FBX010; FUT8; WDR7; SLC7A10; GUSBP4  
HCCscreen19 TERT|0.5%; TP53|0.3; CTNNB1|0.2% — — HCCscreen20 TP53|0.1%;  
CTNNB1|0.7% A RAB9BP1; NLGN1; HTR2A HCCscreen21 TP53|1%; AXIN1|0.2% A TERT;  
FRG2B; LOC100506; AADAT HCCscreen22 TP53|32%; CTNNB1|0.7% B LINC00871  
HCCscreen23 CTNNB1|0.1% A LINC00273; LOC100294362 HCCscreen24 — B MARCH1;  
GLP2R HCCscreen25 — B CCDC60; PLXDC2; CCDC173 HCCscreen26 — B SUGCT;  
EGLLN3; PCBP3 HCCscreen27 — B ADAMTSL1; LOC101929; NMNAT2; FGF12  
HCCscreen28 — B PTGER1; SLC39A11; ABHD4; LOC100507 HCCscreen29 — — —  
HCCscreen30 — — — HCCscreen31 — — — HCCscreen32 TP53|0.5% B KIF26B HCCscreen33  
— C TUNAR HCCscreen34 — A CC2D2A; DCAF8L1; MGAT4C; RAD23B; RASA2  
HCCscreen35 — — — HCCscreen36 — — — HCCscreen37 — — — HCCscreen38 — — —  
HCCscreen39 — — — HCCscreen40 — C C11ORF63 HCCscreen41 — C SYT10; UTR3  
HCCscreen42 — A SYNDIC1; AK4; TPM3; LINC01021 HCCscreen43 — C LOC728637  
HCCscreen44 — C PRKAA1; RND219 HCCscreen45 — B NEDD4; TET2 HCCscreen46 — B  
YWHAZ; SNX16; FRG2B HCCscreen47 — B ZNF716; TMTC2; PDE4DIP; KIF16B  
HCCscreen48 — — — HCCscreen49 — — — HCCscreen50 — — — HCCscreen51 — — —  
HCCscreen52 TP53|0.13%; AXIN1|0.27% — — HCCscreen53 — — — HCCscreen54 — — —  
HCCscreen55 — — — HCCscreen56 — — — HCCscreen57 — — — HCCscreen58 — — —  
HCCscreen59 — — — HCCscreen60 — — — HCCscreen61 — — — HCCscreen62 — — —  
HCCscreen63 — — — HCCscreen64 — — — HCCscreen65 — — — HCCscreen66 — — —  
HCCscreen67 — — — HCCscreen68 TERT|0.06% — — HCCscreen69 AXIN|0.13% — —  
HCCscreen70 — — — HCCscreen71 — — — HCCscreen72 — — — HCCscreen73 — — —  
HCCscreen74 — — — HCCscreen75 — — — HCCscreen76 — — — HCCscreen77 — — —  
HCCscreen78 — — — HCCscreen79 — — — HCCscreen80 — — — HCCscreen81 — — —  
HCCscreen82 — — — HCCscreen83 — — — HCCscreen84 — — — HCCscreen85 — — —  
HCCscreen86 — — — HCCscreen87 — — — HCCscreen88 — — — HCCscreen89 — — —  
HCCscreen90 — — — Note: “—” indicates that no mutation was detected and “—” indicates that  
no integration was detected.

(74) 3. Taking the cfDNA library of the blood sample to be detected prepared in the step 2 (1),  
performing low-depth whole genome sequencing, and then performing CNV detection on  
sequencing data (about 3G).

(75) III. Detecting the AFP Content in the Plasma

(76) The blood samples to be detected are 65 liver cancer patient blood samples, 70 liver cancer  
high-risk patient blood samples and 100 healthy person blood samples.

(77) 1 Taking a blood sample to be detected, uniformly mixing in a blood collection tube upside

down for 10 times, centrifuging for 10 min at 4° C. and 2000 g, then transferring the upper-layer plasma to a centrifuge tube (the specification is 1.5 mL), centrifuging for 10 min at 4° C. and 16000 g, and collecting the supernatant (i.e. plasma).

(78) 2. After completing the step 1, taking the plasma and detecting AFP by American Abbott IMx analyzer.

(79) The results of the determination of AFP content in plasma of some of the blood samples to be detected are shown in column 2, Table 8.

(80) TABLE-US-00008 TABLE 8 Number AFP(ng/mL) DCP(mAU/mL) Number AFP(ng/mL) DCP(mAU/mL)

HCCscreen01	6.5	178	HCCscreen46	107.99	27.52	HCCscreen02	97.09	98
HCCscreen47	28.96	19.74	HCCscreen03	12	265	HCCscreen48	22.6	28.38
HCCscreen04	238.7	38.59	HCCscreen49	95.88	17.92	HCCscreen05	1210	22.71
HCCscreen50	25.2	33.21	HCCscreen06	5.37	19.14	HCCscreen51	2.55	25.95
HCCscreen07	2136.1	18.58	HCCscreen52	1.24	22.73	HCCscreen08	1380.46	50.14
HCCscreen53	2.7	31.31	HCCscreen09	1843.39	23.06	HCCscreen54	4.51	20.76
HCCscreen10	2.3	180.03	HCCscreen55	3.27	34.29	HCCscreen11	2.06	12.87
HCCscreen56	1.67	16.64	HCCscreen12	1.79	11.39	HCCscreen57	2.42	25.03
HCCscreen13	3338.52	>30000	HCCscreen58	3.09	28.6	HCCscreen14	1.92	72.66
HCCscreen59	4.87	19.58	HCCscreen15	1.71	81.47	HCCscreen60	3.12	17.63
HCCscreen16	1811.25	304.45	HCCscreen61	1.04	25.33	HCCscreen17	6.55	20.84
HCCscreen62	0.973	21.49	HCCscreen18	26.22	188.95	HCCscreen63	1.29	22.82
HCCscreen19	7.66	423.93	HCCscreen64	2	15.77	HCCscreen20	130.95	148.62
HCCscreen65	2.05	18.97	HCCscreen21	14.48	2464.26	HCCscreen66	2.5	22.13
HCCscreen22	199.35	342.12	HCCscreen67	1.04	37.64	HCCscreen23	117.1	26.67
HCCscreen68	—	—	HCCscreen24	21.27	27.75	HCCscreen69	—	—
HCCscreen25	49.62	13.24	HCCscreen70	—	20.63	HCCscreen26	28.34	39.51
HCCscreen71	1.49	26.29	HCCscreen27	31.64	15.49	HCCscreen72	1.54	15.4
HCCscreen28	37.33	21.09	HCCscreen73	2.29	19.8	HCCscreen29	33.02	27.5
HCCscreen74	4.02	14.7	HCCscreen30	108.3	39.45	HCCscreen75	1.45	29.64
HCCscreen31	32.24	33.92	HCCscreen76	2.11	26.1	HCCscreen32	119.9	21.06
HCCscreen77	4.52	15.12	HCCscreen33	1.86	10.37	HCCscreen78	3.69	18.49
HCCscreen34	4.81	9.19	HCCscreen79	2.65	32.78	HCCscreen35	1	18.34
HCCscreen80	5.47	25.68	HCCscreen36	2.7	11.44	HCCscreen81	2.21	17.95
HCCscreen37	309.58	11.02	HCCscreen82	2.33	21.52	HCCscreen38	7.78	17.99
HCCscreen83	2.41	27.08	HCCscreen39	4.33	14.69	HCCscreen84	2.77	23.78
HCCscreen40	24.7	25.07	HCCscreen85	3.6	17.76	HCCscreen41	35.87	21.34
HCCscreen86	6.55	30.78	HCCscreen42	770.97	23.32	HCCscreen87	2.76	24.36
HCCscreen43	21.85	19.83	HCCscreen88	3.12	35.14	HCCscreen44	43.84	17.12
HCCscreen89	2.86	38.26	HCCscreen45	32.66	24.85	HCCscreen90	3.46	22.29

#### IV. Detecting the DCP Content in the Plasma

(81) The blood samples to be detected are 65 liver cancer patient blood samples, 70 liver cancer high-risk patient blood samples and 100 healthy person blood samples.

(82) 1 Taking a blood sample to be detected, uniformly mixing in a blood collection tube upside down for 10 times, centrifuging for 10 min at 4° C. and 2000 g, then transferring the upper-layer plasma to a centrifuge tube (the specification is 1.5 mL), centrifuging for 10 min at 4° C. and 16000 g, and collecting the supernatant (i.e. plasma).

(83) 2. After completing the step 1, taking the plasma and detecting the content of DCP by American Abbott ARCHITECT i2000SR chemiluminescence immuno-analyzer.

(84) The results of the determination of DCP content in plasma of some of the blood samples to be detected are shown in column 3, Table 8.

#### (85) V. Data Processing and Obtaining 22 Characteristic Scores

(86) 1. Annotating and Scoring of Gene Mutation Results

(87) Annotating the detection result of the liver cancer mutant gene in the cfDNA in step II: annotation score for mutation reads support frequency. Mutation reads support reflects to a large extent the percentage of differentiated cells in the tissue and is therefore an important

phenotypically relevant factor.

## (88) 2. Mutation Site Integration and Scoring

(89) For each gene mutation, giving an annotation score according to the mutation reads support frequency; the mutation site scores are then accumulated into different ROI (Region Of Interest) intervals (i.e., obtaining characteristic scores). The interval includes four genes (TP53, CTNNB1, TERT and AXIN1) and a TP53 R249S hotspot mutation site region. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$(90) ROI = \log_2 \prod_{i=1}^n \text{adj\_score}_i$$

(91) Where n is the number of mutations overlapping the ROI and adj\_score is the reads support frequency of the mutation.

## (92) 3. The Structural Variation Result Characteristic Extraction

(93) (1) Detecting the score of the HBV and TERT integration variation characteristic of each sample: TERT integration occurs, and the characteristic score of TERT integration variation is 1; TERT integration did not occur, and the characteristic score of TERT integration variation was 0.

(94) (2) Detecting the characteristic score of HBV integration variation in each sample: for each integration mutation detected, it was divided into three grades A, B and C according to the reads support credibility (the number of integrated reads  $\geq 10$ , grade A;  $10 > \text{the number of integrated reads} > 6$ , grade B;  $10 > \text{Integrated reads} > 6$ , Grade B; the rest was grade C, as shown in column 3 of Table 7), and the corresponding scores were 1, 0.8 and 0.3 respectively, and then summed up to obtain the characteristic score of HBV integration variation.

## (95) 4. Feature Extraction of Gene Copy Number Variation Detection Results

(96) The CNV detection results in step II are processed as follows: dimensionality reduction is performed on the 44 CNV signals (the sex chromosomes were deleted to rule out the effect of gender on CNV signal) score at each chromosome arm level, the first six principal components (CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 6) were selected as CNV-related characteristics by R.sup.2 value, the R.sup.2 values of CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 1, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 2, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 3, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 4, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 5 and CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 6 are characteristic scores.

## (97) 5. Extraction of Cell Free DNA Length Related Characteristic

(98) The inventors of the present invention calculated the percentage of the length of the cfDNA fragment in four intervals (<90 bp, 90-140 bp, 141-200 bp and >200 bp), taking the characteristics as prediction variables, the percentage of the length of the cfDNA fragment in the four intervals is a characteristic score.

## (99) 6. The Related Characteristic Extraction of the Protein Marker

(100) Dividing actual measured values of AFP into five numerical levels from low to high according to threshold values (13, 20, 200, 400): 0, 5, 8, 20 and 30, dividing actual measured values of the DCP into three numerical levels from low to high according to threshold values (40 and 60): 0, 2, 5 as characteristic scores of two protein markers.

## (101) 7. Extraction of Clinic and Experiment Related Characteristic

(102) Clinical characteristics including patient age, sex, and cfDNA concentration (cfDNA content/plasma volume) were also correlated with case phenotype and were included in the model. Wherein, the cfDNA concentration values were taken as characteristic scores after log 2; the characteristic value of the age is the actual age value of the sample; The characteristic score of male is 1, and that of female is 0.

(103) In summary, 22 characteristics are composed of 13 gene mutation characteristics, 2 protein

markers, 5 cfDNA physical characteristics and the basic information composition of 2 blood sample. The 13 gene mutations characteristics are a TP53 gene mutation, a TERT gene mutation, an AXIN1 gene mutation, a CTNNB1 gene mutation, a TP53 R249S hot spot region, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5 and a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 6, HBV and TERT integrated variation, HBV and non-TERT integrated variation, respectively. The two protein markers were AFP and DCP, respectively. The five physical characteristics of cfDNA were as follows interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment length less than 90 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 90-140 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment 141-200 bp, interval percentage of cell free DNA fragment greater than 200 bp and the concentration of cfDNA respectively concentration. The basic information of 2 blood sample is sex and age, respectively.

#### (104) VI. Predicting Liver Cancer

(105) 1. Obtaining characteristic scores of 22 characteristics of persons to be detected according to the method in the steps I to V;

(106) 2. Taking the characteristic score obtained in the step 1 as a parameter, the training set data of 135 samples including 65 HCC cases and 70 liver cancer high-risk patients were modeled by using the penalty logistic regression algorithm, and the HCCscreen score was calculated. In order to perform cluster analysis of gene, protein and CNV levels respectively, the cross-validation coefficient of each characteristic using penalty logic regression is also given. The model is started in the R package 'glmnet' (R version 3.5.1), and the penalty parameter  $\alpha$  is optimized in the training data set by 10-fold cross validation, and the optimized value is 0. The ROC curve (receiver operating characteristic curve) is then plotted by the HCC Screen score and sample grouping (cancerous or non-cancerous) information. The HCCScreen score corresponding to the maximum Youden's index was taken as the threshold. In this model, 0.4 was chosen as the best cut-off value for the model.

(107) When HCC Screen  $>0.4$ , it was interpreted as liver cancer, otherwise it was interpreted as non-liver cancer.

#### (108) VII. Verifying the Validity of the Liver Cancer Prediction Model

(109) Taking the liver cancer group (composed of 65 patients with liver cancer), the liver cancer high-risk group (composed of 70 patients with high risk of liver cancer) and the healthy group (composed of 100 healthy volunteers) as samples, the validity of the prognosis method of the prediction model of the liver cancer in step VII was verified.

(110) The results are shown in FIG. 7. The results show that the liver cancer prediction model can predict whether the persons to be detected are liver cancer patients or not.

#### INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

(111) The inventors of the present invention firstly confirmed that gene mutation information of cfDNA in plasma can be used for early HCC prediction through a large number of experiments. According to the invention, a liver cancer prediction model is adopted to score a person to be detected, and whether the person to be detected is a liver cancer patient or not is predicted through a score value, so that the effective HCC early screening effect of the combination of the gene marker and the protein marker disclosed by the invention can be verified. Therefore, the detection of cfDNA for early screening, disease tracking, efficacy evaluation, prognosis prediction of liver cancer has important clinical significance.

## Claims

1. A method for early screening for hepatocellular carcinoma in alpha-feto protein (AFP) negative subjects, comprising obtaining characteristic scores of gene mutation characteristics, content of

protein markers, cell-free (cfDNA) physical characteristics and clinical characteristics of the subjects; wherein, the gene mutation characteristics comprise the following 13 gene mutation characteristics: a tumor protein p53 (TP53) gene non-R249S mutation, a telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) gene mutation, an axis inhibition protein 1 (AXIN1) gene mutation, a catenin beta 1 (CTNNB1) gene mutation, a TP53 R249S hot spot region mutation, a copy number variation (CNV) dimensionality reduction characteristic 1, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 2, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 3, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 4, a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristic 5 and a CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 6, hepatitis B virus (HBV) and TERT integrated variation, HBV and non-TERT integrated variation; the protein markers comprise AFP and des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP); the cfDNA physical characteristics comprise the following 5 cfDNA physical characteristics: interval percentage of cfDNA fragment length less than 90 bp, interval percentage of cfDNA fragment 90-140 bp, interval percentage of cfDNA fragment 141-200 bp, interval percentage of cfDNA fragment greater than 200 bp and the concentration of cfDNA; the clinical characteristics comprise at least blood sample information including sex and age; inputting the characteristic scores of the gene mutation characteristics, the content of the protein markers, the cfDNA physical characteristics and the clinical characteristics into a liver cancer prediction model, calculating a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score; and comparing the screening score with a threshold value to determine whether the subject is a liver cancer patient; wherein the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score and the threshold value are obtained through the liver cancer prediction model; and wherein the method for constructing the prediction model of liver cancer includes: constructing a training set, wherein the training set consists of a plurality of liver cancer patients and a plurality of patients at high risk of liver cancer; taking gene mutation characteristics, the content of the protein markers, cfDNA physical characteristics and clinical characteristics of a training set as characteristics, converting detection results into characteristic scores, constructing a liver cancer prediction model by using a penalty logistic regression algorithm, and calculating a hepatocellular carcinoma screening score; and obtaining a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of sensitivity and specificity of the penalty logistic regression model according to the hepatocellular carcinoma screening score and the sample grouping information, and determining a cut-off value according to the ROC curve, wherein the cut-off value serves as a threshold value for distinguishing liver cancer patients from patients at high risk of liver cancer.

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the characteristic scores of gene mutation characteristics of the TP53 non-R249S mutation, the CTNNB1 gene mutation, the TERT gene mutation, the AXIN1 gene mutation, and the TP53 R249S hotspot mutation are obtained as follows: (1) annotating the detection result of the liver cancer mutant gene as annotation score for mutation reads support frequency; (2) giving an annotation score according to the mutation reads support frequency; the mutation site scores are then accumulated into different ROI (Region Of Interest) intervals to obtain characteristic scores; wherein, the interval includes four genes TP53, CTNNB1, TERT and AXIN1, as well as a TP53 R249S hotspot mutation site region, and are calculated as follows: 
$$ROI = \log_2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n adj\_score_i$$
 n is the number of mutations overlapping the ROI and adj\_score is the reads support frequency of the mutation.

3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the characteristic scores of gene mutation characteristics of HBV and TERT/non-TERT integrated variation are obtained as follows: (1) when detecting whether HBV is integrated with a TERT gene, detecting the score of the HBV and TERT integration variation characteristic of each sample: if TERT integration occurs, and the characteristic score of TERT integration variation is 1; if TERT integration did not occur, and the characteristic score of TERT integration variation is 0; (2) when detecting whether HBV is integrated with a non-TERT gene, detecting the characteristic score of HBV integration variation in

each sample: for each integration mutation detected, it is divided into three grades A, B and C according to the reads support credibility (the number of integrated reads  $\geq 10$ , grade A;  $10 > \text{the number of integrated reads} > 6$ , grade B;  $10 > \text{Integrated reads} > 6$ , Grade B; the rest is grade C), and the corresponding scores are 1, 0.8 and 0.3 respectively, and then summed up to obtain the characteristic score of HBV integration variation.

4. The method according to claim 1, wherein the characteristic scores of gene mutation characteristics of CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics are obtained as follows: calculating R.supp.2 values of the CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 1, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 2, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 3, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 4, CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 5 and CNV dimensionality reduction characteristics 6 to obtain the characteristic scores.

5. The method according to claim 1, wherein, the characteristic scores of the content of the protein markers are obtained as follows: dividing actual measured values of AFP into five numerical levels from low to high according to threshold values (13, 20, 200, 400): 0, 5, 8, 20 and 30, dividing actual measured values of the DCP into three numerical levels from low to high according to threshold values (40 and 60): 0, 2, 5 as characteristic scores of two protein markers.

6. The method according to claim 1, wherein, the characteristic score of the cfDNA physical characteristics are obtained as follows: calculating the percentage of the length of the cfDNA fragment in four intervals (<90 bp, 90-140 bp, 141-200 bp and >200 bp), taking the characteristics as prediction variables, the percentage of the length of the cfDNA fragment in the four intervals is a characteristic score; the cfDNA concentration values are taken as characteristic scores after log 2.

7. The method according to claim 1, wherein, the characteristic scores of the clinical characteristics are obtained as follows: the characteristic value of the age is the actual age value of the sample, the characteristic score of male is 1, and that of female is 0.

---