



US012392232B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Hinderliter et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,392,232 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **Aug. 19, 2025**

(54) **MICROGRID ELECTRICAL LOAD  
MANAGEMENT**

(71) Applicant: **U.S. Well Services, LLC**, Houston, TX  
(US)

(72) Inventors: **Brandon N. Hinderliter**, Houston, TX  
(US); **Jared Oehring**, Houston, TX  
(US)

(73) Assignee: **U.S. WELL SERVICES, LLC**, Willow  
Park, TX (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **18/392,957**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 21, 2023**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2024/0392670 A1 Nov. 28, 2024

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 17/466,261, filed on  
Sep. 3, 2021, now Pat. No. 11,851,999, which is a  
continuation of application No. 16/268,030, filed on  
Feb. 5, 2019, now Pat. No. 11,114,857.

(60) Provisional application No. 62/626,614, filed on Feb.  
5, 2018.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

**E21B 43/26** (2006.01)

**H02J 3/14** (2006.01)

**H02J 3/38** (2006.01)

**H02J 3/46** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... **E21B 43/2607** (2020.05); **H02J 3/14**  
(2013.01); **H02J 3/381** (2013.01); **H02J 3/46**  
(2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... E21B 41/0085; E21B 43/26

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

1,656,861 A	1/1928	Leonard
1,671,436 A	5/1928	Melott
2,004,077 A	6/1935	McCartney
2,183,364 A	12/1939	Bailey
2,220,622 A	11/1940	Homer
2,248,051 A	7/1941	Armstrong
2,407,796 A	9/1946	Page

(Continued)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

AU	2007340913	7/2008
CA	2406801	11/2001

(Continued)

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Albone, "Mobile Compressor Stations for Natural Gas Transmis-  
sion Service," ASME 67-GT-33, Turbo Expo, Power for Land, Sea  
and Air, vol. 79887, p. 1-10, 1967.

(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Jennifer H Gay


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Abel Schillinger, LLP

(57)

**ABSTRACT**

A system for completing a well, including a generator, and  
a plurality of electric load components, each electric load  
component powered by the generator. The system further  
includes a load shedding control panel that monitors the  
generator and, if the generator loses functionality, is capable  
of deactivating one or more of the plurality of electric load  
components to reduce the electric load.

**4 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets**



# US 12,392,232 B2

Page 2

(56)

## References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,416,848	A	3/1947	Rothery	6,442,942	B1	9/2002	Kopko
2,610,741	A	9/1952	Schmid	6,477,852	B2	11/2002	Dodo
2,753,940	A	7/1956	Bonner	6,484,490	B1	11/2002	Olsen
2,976,025	A	3/1961	Pro	6,491,098	B1	12/2002	Dallas
3,055,682	A	9/1962	Bacher	6,529,135	B1	3/2003	Bowers et al.
3,061,039	A	10/1962	Peters	6,585,455	B1	7/2003	Petersen et al.
3,066,503	A	12/1962	Fleming	6,626,646	B2	9/2003	Rajewski
3,334,495	A	8/1967	Jensen	6,719,900	B2	4/2004	Hawkins
3,722,595	A	3/1973	Kiel	6,765,304	B2	7/2004	Baten et al.
3,764,233	A	10/1973	Strickland	6,776,227	B2	8/2004	Beida
3,773,140	A	11/1973	Mahajan	6,802,690	B2	10/2004	Han
3,837,179	A	9/1974	Barth	6,808,303	B2	10/2004	Fisher
3,849,662	A	11/1974	Blaskowski	6,931,310	B2	8/2005	Shimizu et al.
3,878,884	A	4/1975	Raleigh	6,985,750	B1	1/2006	Vicknair et al.
3,881,551	A	5/1975	Terry	7,082,993	B2	8/2006	Ayoub
4,037,431	A	7/1977	Sugimoto	7,104,233	B2	9/2006	Ryczek et al.
4,100,822	A	7/1978	Rosman	7,170,262	B2	1/2007	Pettigrew
4,151,575	A	4/1979	Hogue	7,173,399	B2	2/2007	Sihler
4,226,299	A	10/1980	Hansen	7,308,933	B1	12/2007	Mayfield
4,265,266	A	5/1981	Kierbow et al.	7,312,593	B1	12/2007	Streicher et al.
4,411,313	A	10/1983	Johnson et al.	7,336,514	B2	2/2008	Amarillas
4,432,064	A	2/1984	Barker	7,445,041	B2	11/2008	O'Brien
4,442,665	A	4/1984	Fick et al.	7,494,263	B2	2/2009	Dykstra et al.
4,456,092	A	6/1984	Kubozuka	7,500,642	B2	3/2009	Cunningham
4,506,982	A	3/1985	Smithers et al.	7,525,264	B2	4/2009	Dodge
4,512,387	A	4/1985	Rodriguez	7,563,076	B2	7/2009	Brunet
4,529,887	A	7/1985	Johnson	7,581,379	B2	9/2009	Yoshida
4,538,916	A	9/1985	Zimmerman	7,675,189	B2	3/2010	Grenier
4,601,629	A	7/1986	Zimmerman	7,683,499	B2	3/2010	Saucier
4,676,063	A	6/1987	Goebel et al.	7,717,193	B2	5/2010	Egilsson et al.
4,759,674	A	7/1988	Schroder	7,753,310	B2	7/2010	Kusic
4,768,884	A	9/1988	Elkin	7,807,048	B2	10/2010	Collette
4,793,386	A	12/1988	Sloan	7,845,413	B2	12/2010	Shampine et al.
4,845,981	A	7/1989	Pearson	7,926,562	B2	4/2011	Poitzsch
4,922,463	A	5/1990	Del Zotto et al.	7,977,824	B2 *	7/2011	Halen ..... H01H 9/541 307/130
5,004,400	A	4/1991	Handke	7,984,757	B1	7/2011	Keast
5,006,044	A	4/1991	Walker, Sr.	8,037,936	B2	10/2011	Neuroth
5,025,861	A	6/1991	Huber et al.	8,054,084	B2	11/2011	Schulz et al.
5,050,673	A	9/1991	Baldrige	8,083,504	B2	12/2011	Williams
5,114,239	A	5/1992	Allen	8,091,928	B2	1/2012	Carrier
5,130,628	A	7/1992	Owen	8,096,354	B2	1/2012	Poitzsch
5,131,472	A	7/1992	Dees et al.	8,096,891	B2	1/2012	Lochtefeld
5,172,009	A	12/1992	Mohan	8,139,383	B2	3/2012	Efrainsson
5,189,388	A	2/1993	Mosley	8,146,665	B2	4/2012	Neal
5,230,366	A	7/1993	Marandi	8,154,419	B2	4/2012	Daussin et al.
5,366,324	A	11/1994	Aarlt	8,232,892	B2	7/2012	Overholt et al.
5,414,640	A *	5/1995	Seem ..... H02J 3/144 700/291	8,261,528	B2	9/2012	Chillar
5,422,550	A	6/1995	McClanahan	8,272,439	B2	9/2012	Strickland
5,433,243	A	7/1995	Griswold	8,310,272	B2	11/2012	Quarto
5,439,066	A	8/1995	Gipson	8,354,817	B2	1/2013	Yeh et al.
5,486,047	A	1/1996	Zimmerman	8,474,521	B2	7/2013	Kajaria
5,517,822	A	5/1996	Haws et al.	8,506,267	B2	8/2013	Gambier et al.
5,548,093	A	8/1996	Sato	8,534,235	B2	9/2013	Chandler
5,590,976	A	1/1997	Kilheffer et al.	8,573,303	B2	11/2013	Kerfoot
5,655,361	A	8/1997	Kishi	8,596,056	B2	12/2013	Woodmansee
5,736,838	A	4/1998	Dove et al.	8,616,005	B1	12/2013	Cousino
5,755,096	A	5/1998	Holleyman	8,616,274	B2	12/2013	Belcher et al.
5,790,972	A	8/1998	Kohlenberger	8,646,521	B2	2/2014	Bowen
5,813,455	A	9/1998	Pratt et al.	8,692,408	B2	4/2014	Zhang
5,865,247	A	2/1999	Paterson	8,727,068	B2	5/2014	Bruin
5,879,137	A	3/1999	Yie	8,760,657	B2	6/2014	Pope
5,894,888	A	4/1999	Wiemers	8,763,387	B2	7/2014	Schmidt
5,907,970	A	6/1999	Havlovick et al.	8,774,972	B2	7/2014	Rusnak et al.
5,950,726	A	9/1999	Roberts	8,789,601	B2	7/2014	Broussard
6,097,310	A	8/2000	Harrell et al.	8,795,525	B2	8/2014	McGinnis et al.
6,138,764	A	10/2000	Scarsdale et al.	8,800,652	B2	8/2014	Bartko
6,142,878	A	11/2000	Barin	8,807,960	B2	8/2014	Stephenson
6,164,910	A	12/2000	Mayleben	8,838,341	B2	9/2014	Kumano
6,202,702	B1	3/2001	Ohira	8,851,860	B1	10/2014	Mail
6,208,098	B1	3/2001	Kume	8,857,506	B2	10/2014	Stone, Jr.
6,254,462	B1	7/2001	Kelton	8,899,940	B2	12/2014	Laugemors
6,271,637	B1	8/2001	Kushion	8,905,056	B2	12/2014	Kendrick
6,273,193	B1	8/2001	Hermann	8,905,138	B2	12/2014	Lundstedt et al.
6,315,523	B1	11/2001	Mills	8,997,904	B2	4/2015	Cryer
				9,018,881	B2	4/2015	Mao et al.
				9,051,822	B2	6/2015	Ayan
				9,051,923	B2	6/2015	Kuo
				9,061,223	B2	6/2015	Winborn

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

9,062,545 B2	6/2015	Roberts et al.	10,731,561 B2	8/2020	Oehring et al.
9,067,182 B2	6/2015	Nichols	10,738,535 B2 *	8/2020	Wern ..... H02J 50/90
9,103,193 B2	8/2015	Coli	10,740,730 B2	8/2020	Altamirano et al.
9,119,326 B2	8/2015	McDonnell	10,753,165 B1 *	8/2020	Fischer ..... F04D 13/02
9,121,257 B2	9/2015	Coli	10,767,561 B2	9/2020	Brady
9,140,105 B2	9/2015	Pattillo	10,781,752 B2	9/2020	Kikkawa et al.
9,140,110 B2	9/2015	Coli et al.	10,988,998 B2	4/2021	Fischer et al.
9,160,168 B2	10/2015	Chapel	11,114,857 B2 *	9/2021	Hinderliter ..... H02J 3/46
9,175,554 B1	11/2015	Watson	11,181,107 B2 *	11/2021	Oehring ..... F04B 15/02
9,206,684 B2	12/2015	Parra	11,208,878 B2 *	12/2021	Oehring ..... F02B 63/06
9,260,253 B2	2/2016	Naizer	11,268,350 B2 *	3/2022	Garcia ..... E21B 44/04
9,322,239 B2	4/2016	Angeles Boza et al.	11,339,612 B1 *	5/2022	Newman ..... F04B 49/06
9,324,049 B2	4/2016	Thomeer	11,476,781 B2 *	10/2022	Oehring ..... F04B 35/04
9,340,353 B2	5/2016	Oren	11,549,506 B2 *	1/2023	Harvell ..... E21B 43/12
9,353,593 B1	5/2016	Lu et al.	11,851,999 B2 *	12/2023	Hinderliter ..... H02J 3/46
9,366,114 B2	6/2016	Coli et al.	2001/0000996 A1	5/2001	Grimland et al.
9,410,410 B2	8/2016	Broussard et al.	2002/0169523 A1	11/2002	Ross et al.
9,450,385 B2	9/2016	Kristensen	2003/0056514 A1	3/2003	Lohn
9,458,687 B2	10/2016	Hallundbæk	2003/0079875 A1	5/2003	Weng
9,475,020 B2	10/2016	Coli et al.	2003/0138327 A1	7/2003	Jones et al.
9,475,021 B2	10/2016	Coli et al.	2004/0040746 A1	3/2004	Niedermayr et al.
9,482,086 B2	11/2016	Richardson et al.	2004/0045703 A1	3/2004	Hooper et al.
9,499,335 B2	11/2016	McIver	2004/0102109 A1	5/2004	Cratty et al.
9,506,333 B2	11/2016	Castillo et al.	2004/0167738 A1	8/2004	Miller
9,513,055 B1	12/2016	Seal	2005/0061548 A1	3/2005	Hooper et al.
9,534,473 B2	1/2017	Morris et al.	2005/0116541 A1	6/2005	Seiver
9,562,420 B2	2/2017	Morris et al.	2005/0201197 A1	9/2005	Duell et al.
9,587,649 B2	3/2017	Oehring	2005/0274508 A1	12/2005	Folk
9,611,728 B2	4/2017	Oehring	2006/0052903 A1	3/2006	Bassett
9,650,871 B2 *	5/2017	Oehring ..... F04B 51/00	2006/0065319 A1	3/2006	Csitari
9,650,879 B2 *	5/2017	Broussard ..... E21B 43/2607	2006/0109141 A1	5/2006	Huang
9,706,185 B2	7/2017	Ellis	2006/0260331 A1	11/2006	Andreychuk
9,738,461 B2	8/2017	Degaray	2007/0131410 A1	6/2007	Hill
9,739,546 B2	8/2017	Bertilsson et al.	2007/0187163 A1	8/2007	Cone
9,745,840 B2 *	8/2017	Oehring ..... F04B 23/04	2007/0201305 A1	8/2007	Heilman et al.
9,790,858 B2	10/2017	Kanebako	2007/0226089 A1	9/2007	DeGaray et al.
9,840,901 B2 *	12/2017	Oehring ..... F01D 15/10	2007/0277982 A1	12/2007	Shampine
9,863,228 B2	1/2018	Shampine et al.	2007/0278140 A1	12/2007	Mallett et al.
9,893,500 B2 *	2/2018	Oehring ..... F04B 35/04	2008/0017369 A1	1/2008	Sarada
9,909,398 B2	3/2018	Pham	2008/0041596 A1	2/2008	Blount
9,915,128 B2	3/2018	Hunter	2008/0095644 A1	4/2008	Mantei et al.
9,945,365 B2	4/2018	Hernandez et al.	2008/0112802 A1	5/2008	Orlando
9,963,961 B2	5/2018	Hardin	2008/0137266 A1	6/2008	Jensen
9,970,278 B2 *	5/2018	Broussard ..... E21B 43/2607	2008/0164023 A1	7/2008	Dykstra et al.
9,976,351 B2	5/2018	Randall	2008/0208478 A1	8/2008	Ella et al.
9,995,218 B2 *	6/2018	Oehring ..... F02C 7/143	2008/0217024 A1	9/2008	Moore
10,008,880 B2	6/2018	Vicknair	2008/0236818 A1	10/2008	Dykstra
10,020,711 B2 *	7/2018	Oehring ..... H02P 29/0241	2008/0257449 A1	10/2008	Weinstein et al.
10,036,238 B2 *	7/2018	Oehring ..... F04B 23/04	2008/0264625 A1	10/2008	Ochoa
10,107,086 B2	10/2018	Oehring	2008/0264640 A1	10/2008	Eslinger
10,119,381 B2 *	11/2018	Oehring ..... F04B 47/00	2008/0264649 A1	10/2008	Crawford
10,184,465 B2	1/2019	Enis et al.	2008/0277120 A1	11/2008	Hickie
10,196,878 B2	2/2019	Hunter	2009/0045782 A1	2/2009	Datta
10,221,639 B2	3/2019	Romer et al.	2009/0065299 A1	3/2009	Vito
10,232,332 B2 *	3/2019	Oehring ..... F04B 49/065	2009/0078410 A1	3/2009	Krenek et al.
10,254,732 B2 *	4/2019	Oehring ..... G05B 19/0428	2009/0090504 A1	4/2009	Weightman
10,260,327 B2	4/2019	Kajaria	2009/0093317 A1	4/2009	Kajiwara et al.
10,280,724 B2 *	5/2019	Hinderliter ..... E21B 43/2607	2009/0095482 A1	4/2009	Surjaatmadja
10,287,873 B2	5/2019	Filas	2009/0145611 A1	6/2009	Pallini, Jr.
10,302,079 B2	5/2019	Kendrick	2009/0153354 A1	6/2009	Daussin et al.
10,309,205 B2	6/2019	Randall	2009/0188181 A1	7/2009	Forbis
10,337,308 B2	7/2019	Broussard	2009/0200035 A1	8/2009	Bjerkreim et al.
10,407,990 B2	9/2019	Oehring	2009/0260826 A1	10/2009	Sherwood
10,408,030 B2	9/2019	Oehring et al.	2009/0308602 A1	12/2009	Bruins et al.
10,408,031 B2	9/2019	Oehring et al.	2010/0000508 A1	1/2010	Chandler
10,415,332 B2	9/2019	Morris	2010/0019574 A1	1/2010	Baldassarre et al.
10,559,957 B2 *	2/2020	Pedersen ..... F02D 19/06	2010/0038907 A1 *	2/2010	Hunt ..... E21B 41/0085
10,627,003 B2	4/2020	Dale et al.	2010/0045109 A1	2/2010	Arnold
10,648,270 B2	5/2020	Brunty et al.	2010/0051272 A1	3/2010	Loree et al.
10,669,471 B2	6/2020	Schmidt et al.	2010/0101785 A1	4/2010	Khvoshchev
10,669,804 B2	6/2020	Kotrla	2010/0132949 A1	6/2010	Defosse et al.
10,673,238 B2 *	6/2020	Boone ..... E21B 7/02	2010/0146981 A1	6/2010	Motakef
10,686,301 B2	6/2020	Oehring et al.	2010/0172202 A1	7/2010	Borgstadt
10,695,950 B2	6/2020	Igo et al.	2010/0200224 A1	8/2010	Nguete
10,711,576 B2	7/2020	Bishop	2010/0250139 A1	9/2010	Hobbs et al.
			2010/0293973 A1	11/2010	Erickson
			2010/0303655 A1	12/2010	Scekic
			2010/0322802 A1	12/2010	Kugelev

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2015/0136043	A1	5/2015	Shaaban	
2015/0144336	A1	5/2015	Hardin et al.	
2015/0147194	A1	5/2015	Foote	
2015/0159911	A1	6/2015	Holt	
2015/0175013	A1	6/2015	Cryer et al.	
2015/0176386	A1	6/2015	Castillo et al.	
2015/0211512	A1	7/2015	Wiegman	
2015/0211524	A1 *	7/2015	Broussard	F04B 9/02 417/423.1
2015/0217672	A1	8/2015	Shampine	
2015/0225113	A1	8/2015	Lungu	
2015/0233530	A1	8/2015	Sandidge	
2015/0252661	A1	9/2015	Glass	
2015/0300145	A1	10/2015	Coli et al.	
2015/0300336	A1	10/2015	Hernandez	
2015/0314225	A1	11/2015	Parsons et al.	
2015/0330172	A1	11/2015	Allmaras	
2015/0354322	A1	12/2015	Vicknair	
2016/0032703	A1 *	2/2016	Broussard	E21B 43/2607 166/250.01
2016/0102537	A1	4/2016	Lopez	
2016/0105022	A1 *	4/2016	Oehring	H02J 3/14 307/29
2016/0160889	A1	6/2016	Hoffman et al.	
2016/0177675	A1	6/2016	Morris et al.	
2016/0177678	A1	6/2016	Morris	
2016/0186531	A1	6/2016	Harkless et al.	
2016/0208592	A1	7/2016	Oehring	
2016/0208593	A1	7/2016	Coli et al.	
2016/0208594	A1	7/2016	Coli et al.	
2016/0208595	A1	7/2016	Tang	
2016/0221220	A1	8/2016	Paige	
2016/0230524	A1	8/2016	Dumoit	
2016/0230525	A1	8/2016	Lestz et al.	
2016/0230660	A1	8/2016	Zeitoun et al.	
2016/0258267	A1 *	9/2016	Payne	E21B 21/062
2016/0265457	A1	9/2016	Stephenson	
2016/0273328	A1 *	9/2016	Oehring	F04B 23/04
2016/0273456	A1	9/2016	Zhang et al.	
2016/0281484	A1	9/2016	Lestz	
2016/0290114	A1 *	10/2016	Oehring	E21B 43/2607
2016/0290563	A1	10/2016	Diggins	
2016/0312108	A1	10/2016	Lestz et al.	
2016/0319650	A1 *	11/2016	Oehring	E21B 43/2607
2016/0326853	A1	11/2016	Fred et al.	
2016/0326854	A1	11/2016	Broussard	
2016/0326855	A1	11/2016	Coli et al.	
2016/0341281	A1	11/2016	Brunvold et al.	
2016/0348479	A1 *	12/2016	Oehring	F04B 35/04
2016/0349728	A1 *	12/2016	Oehring	G05B 19/46
2016/0369609	A1	12/2016	Morris et al.	
2017/0016433	A1	1/2017	Chong	
2017/0021318	A1	1/2017	McIver et al.	
2017/0022788	A1 *	1/2017	Oehring	F04B 49/20
2017/0022807	A1	1/2017	Dursun	
2017/0028368	A1 *	2/2017	Oehring	B01F 35/3204
2017/0030177	A1	2/2017	Oehring et al.	
2017/0030178	A1 *	2/2017	Oehring	E21B 23/08
2017/0036178	A1	2/2017	Coli et al.	
2017/0036872	A1	2/2017	Wallace	
2017/0037717	A1 *	2/2017	Oehring	F04B 19/22
2017/0037718	A1	2/2017	Coli et al.	
2017/0043280	A1	2/2017	Vankouwenberg	
2017/0051732	A1	2/2017	Hernandez et al.	
2017/0074076	A1	3/2017	Joseph et al.	
2017/0082033	A1	3/2017	Wu et al.	
2017/0096885	A1 *	4/2017	Oehring	H04N 7/185
2017/0096889	A1	4/2017	Blancaert et al.	
2017/0104389	A1	4/2017	Morris et al.	
2017/0114625	A1	4/2017	Norris	
2017/0130743	A1	5/2017	Anderson	
2017/0138171	A1	5/2017	Richards et al.	
2017/0145918	A1	5/2017	Oehring	
2017/0146189	A1	5/2017	Herman	
2017/0159570	A1	6/2017	Bickert	
2017/0159654	A1	6/2017	Kendrick	
2017/0175516	A1	6/2017	Eslinger	
2017/0204852	A1	7/2017	Barnett	
2017/0212535	A1	7/2017	Shelman et al.	

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2017/0218727 A1\* 8/2017 Oehring ..... H02P 21/00  
 2017/0218843 A1\* 8/2017 Oehring ..... H02K 7/1823  
 2017/0222409 A1\* 8/2017 Oehring ..... H02B 7/06  
 2017/0226838 A1 8/2017 Ciezobka et al.  
 2017/0226839 A1 8/2017 Broussard  
 2017/0226842 A1 8/2017 Omont et al.  
 2017/0234250 A1\* 8/2017 Janik ..... F02D 25/00  
 700/286  
 2017/0241221 A1 8/2017 Seshadri  
 2017/0259227 A1 9/2017 Morris et al.  
 2017/0292513 A1 10/2017 Haddad  
 2017/0313499 A1 11/2017 Hughes et al.  
 2017/0314380 A1 11/2017 Oehring  
 2017/0314979 A1 11/2017 Ye  
 2017/0328179 A1 11/2017 Dykstra  
 2017/0369258 A1 12/2017 DeGaray  
 2017/0370639 A1 12/2017 Bardon et al.  
 2018/0028992 A1\* 2/2018 Stegemoeller ..... E21B 21/06  
 2018/0038216 A1 2/2018 Zhang  
 2018/0045331 A1 2/2018 Lopez  
 2018/0090914 A1 3/2018 Johnson et al.  
 2018/0109110 A1\* 4/2018 Gerdes ..... H02K 7/1815  
 2018/0156210 A1\* 6/2018 Oehring ..... E21B 43/2607  
 2018/0181830 A1 6/2018 Luharuka et al.  
 2018/0183219 A1\* 6/2018 Oehring ..... F02C 3/22  
 2018/0216455 A1 8/2018 Andreychuk  
 2018/0238147 A1 8/2018 Shahri  
 2018/0245428 A1 8/2018 Richards  
 2018/0258746 A1 9/2018 Broussard  
 2018/0259080 A1 9/2018 Dale et al.  
 2018/0266217 A1 9/2018 Funkhouser et al.  
 2018/0266412 A1 9/2018 Stokkevag  
 2018/0274446 A1 9/2018 Oehring  
 2018/0284817 A1 10/2018 Cook et al.  
 2018/0291713 A1 10/2018 Jeanson  
 2018/0298731 A1 10/2018 Bishop  
 2018/0312738 A1 11/2018 Rutsch et al.  
 2018/0313677 A1 11/2018 Warren et al.  
 2018/0320483 A1\* 11/2018 Zhang ..... G05B 13/021  
 2018/0343125 A1 11/2018 Clish  
 2018/0363437 A1 12/2018 Coli  
 2018/0366950 A1\* 12/2018 Pedersen ..... F02D 19/06  
 2019/0003329 A1\* 1/2019 Morris ..... E21B 43/26  
 2019/0010793 A1\* 1/2019 Hinderliter ..... H02P 5/74  
 2019/0040727 A1 2/2019 Oehring et al.  
 2019/0063309 A1 2/2019 Davis  
 2019/0100989 A1 4/2019 Stewart  
 2019/0112910 A1 4/2019 Oehring  
 2019/0115758 A1\* 4/2019 Orban ..... E21B 3/022  
 2019/0119096 A1 4/2019 Haile  
 2019/0120024 A1\* 4/2019 Oehring ..... E21B 44/00  
 2019/0128080 A1 5/2019 Ross  
 2019/0128104 A1 5/2019 Graham et al.  
 2019/0145251 A1 5/2019 Johnson  
 2019/0154020 A1 5/2019 Glass  
 2019/0162061 A1 5/2019 Stephenson  
 2019/0169971 A1\* 6/2019 Oehring ..... F04D 13/06  
 2019/0178057 A1 6/2019 Hunter  
 2019/0178235 A1 6/2019 Coskrey  
 2019/0203567 A1 7/2019 Ross  
 2019/0203572 A1 7/2019 Morris  
 2019/0211661 A1\* 7/2019 Reckels ..... F02C 6/00  
 2019/0226317 A1 7/2019 Payne  
 2019/0245348 A1\* 8/2019 Hinderliter ..... H02J 3/46  
 2019/0249527 A1 8/2019 Kraynek  
 2019/0257462 A1 8/2019 Rogers  
 2019/0292866 A1 9/2019 Ross  
 2019/0292891 A1 9/2019 Kajaria  
 2019/0316447 A1 10/2019 Oehring  
 2019/0383123 A1\* 12/2019 Hinderliter ..... E21B 41/0085  
 2020/0040705 A1\* 2/2020 Morris ..... E21B 43/2607  
 2020/0040878 A1 2/2020 Morris  
 2020/0047141 A1 2/2020 Oehring et al.  
 2020/0088152 A1 3/2020 Alloin et al.  
 2020/0232454 A1 7/2020 Chretien

2020/0263498 A1\* 8/2020 Fischer ..... F04D 13/0686  
 2020/0263527 A1\* 8/2020 Fischer ..... H02K 7/18  
 2020/0325760 A1 10/2020 Markham  
 2020/0350790 A1 11/2020 Luft et al.  
 2020/0408070 A1\* 12/2020 Rouse ..... H02J 3/32  
 2024/0392670 A1\* 11/2024 Hinderliter ..... H02J 3/46

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2707269 12/2010  
 CA 2482943 5/2011  
 CA 3050131 11/2011  
 CA 2955706 10/2012  
 CA 2966672 10/2012  
 CA 3000322 4/2013  
 CA 2787814 2/2014  
 CA 2833711 5/2014  
 CA 2978706 9/2016  
 CA 2944980 2/2017  
 CA 3006422 6/2017  
 CA 3018485 8/2017  
 CA 2964593 10/2017  
 CA 2849825 7/2018  
 CA 3067854 1/2019  
 CA 2919649 2/2019  
 CA 2919666 7/2019  
 CA 2797081 9/2019  
 CA 2945579 10/2019  
 CN 201687513 12/2010  
 CN 101977016 2/2011  
 CN 202023547 11/2011  
 CN 102602322 7/2012  
 CN 104117308 10/2014  
 CN 104196613 12/2014  
 CN 205986303 2/2017  
 CN 108049999 5/2018  
 CN 112196508 1/2021  
 JP 2004264589 9/2004  
 WO 2009046280 4/2009  
 WO 2014177346 11/2014  
 WO 2016144939 9/2016  
 WO 2016160458 10/2016  
 WO 2017097305 6/2017  
 WO 2018044307 3/2018  
 WO 2018213925 11/2018  
 WO 2019210417 11/2019

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Canadian Office Action dated Mar. 2, 2018 in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,833,711.  
 Canadian Office Action dated Apr. 18, 2018 in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,928,711.  
 Canadian Office Action dated Jun. 22, 2018 in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,886,697.  
 Canadian Office Action dated Sep. 28, 2018 in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,945,281.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed May 30, 2019 in corresponding CA Application No. 2,833,711.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed Jun. 20, 2019 in corresponding CA Application No. 2,964,597.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed Oct. 1, 2019 in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,936,997.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed Aug. 17, 2020 in related CA Patent Application No. 2,944,968.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed Aug. 18, 2020 in related CA Patent Application No. 2,933,444.  
 Canadian Office Action issued Aug. 31, 2020 in Canadian Patent Application No. 2,944,980.  
 Canadian Office Action issued Sep. 8, 2020 in Canadian Patent Application No. 2,928,707.  
 Canadian Office Action issued Sep. 22, 2020 in Canadian Application No. 2,982,974.  
 Canadian Office Action issued in Canadian Application No. 3,094,768 mailed Oct. 28, 2021.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed Jan. 30, 2019 in related Canadian

(56)

**References Cited****OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Patent Application No. 2,936,997.  
 Canadian Office Action mailed Mar. 1, 2019 in related Canadian Patent Application No. 2,943,275.  
 Dan T. Ton & Merrill A. Smith, The U.S. Department of Energy's Microgrid Initiative, 25 The Electricity J. 84 (2012), pp. 84-94.  
 Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,532 dated Dec. 21, 2015.  
 Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,532 dated Dec. 7, 2016.  
 Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,491 dated Jan. 20, 2017.  
 Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/294,349 dated Jul. 6, 2017.  
 Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,491 dated Sep. 6, 2017.  
 Final Office Action mailed Mar. 31, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/356,436.  
 Final Office Action mailed Jan. 11, 2021 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/404,283.  
 Final Office Action mailed Jan. 21, 2021 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/458,696.  
 Final Office Action mailed Feb. 4, 2021 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/597,014.  
 Final Office Action mailed Jun. 11, 2019 in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 16/210,749.  
 Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 16/356,263 mailed Oct. 7, 2021.  
 Final Office Action mailed Jun. 7, 2019 in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 16/170,695.  
 Final Office Action mailed Sep. 11, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/268,030.  
 Goodwin, "High-voltage auxilliary switchgear for power stations," Poer Engineering Journal, 1989, 10 pg. (Year 1989).  
 "Griswold Model 811 Pumps: Installation, Operation and Maintenance Manual, ANSI Process Pump," 2010, 60 pages.  
 "Heat Exchanger" ([https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Heat\\_exchanger&oldid=89300146](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Heat_exchanger&oldid=89300146)) 12-18-19 Apr. 2019 (Apr. 18, 2019), entire document, especially para (0001).  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Sep. 19, 2018 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US2018/040683.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Dec. 31, 2018 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US18/55913.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jan. 2, 2019 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US18/54542.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jan. 2, 2019 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US18/54548.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jan. 4, 2019 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US18/57539.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Feb. 15, 2019 in related PCT Application No. PCT/US18/63977.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Mar. 5, 2019 in related PCT Application No. PCT/US18/63970.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Apr. 10, 2019 in corresponding PCT Application No. PCT/US2019/016635.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Jul. 9, 2019 in corresponding PCT Application No. PCT/US2019/027584.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Sep. 11, 2019 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/037493.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Nov. 26, 2019 in related PCT Application No. PCT/US19/51018.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Jan. 2, 2020 in related PCT Patent Application No. PCT/US19/55325.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Feb. 11, 2020 in related PCT Application No. PCT/US2019/055323.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in PCT/US2020/023809 mailed Jun. 2, 2020.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Jun. 23, 2020 in corresponding PCT Application No. PCT/US20/23912.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Jul. 22, 2020 in corresponding PCT Application No. PCT/US20/00017.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion Mailed Aug. 28, 2020 in PCT/US20/23821.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Mar. 3, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/152,695.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,443 mailed May 8, 2020.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 14/881,535 mailed May 20, 2020.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 16/458,696 mailed May 22, 2020.  
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jun. 22, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/377,861.  
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jun. 29, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/404,283.  
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jun. 29, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/728,359.  
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Aug. 4, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/385,070.  
 Non-Final Office Action Mailed Aug. 31, 2020 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/167,083.  
 Non-Final Office Action Mailed Sep. 2, 2020 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/356,263.  
 Non-Final Office Action Mailed Sep. 29, 2020 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/943,727.  
 Non-Final Office Action Mailed Oct. 5, 2020 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/443,273.  
 Non-Final Office Action Mailed Oct. 26, 2020 in U.S. Appl. No. 15/356,436.  
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jan. 4, 2021 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/522,043.  
 Non-Final Office Action mailed Jan. 29, 2021 in U.S. Appl. No. 16/564,185.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 16/901,774 mailed Sep. 14, 2021.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 17/060,647 mailed Sep. 20, 2021.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 16/564,186 mailed Oct. 15, 2021.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 16/943,935 mailed Oct. 21, 2021.  
 Non-Final Office Action issued in U.S. Appl. No. 16/871,328 mailed Dec. 9, 2021.  
 Notice of Allowance issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,532 dated Mar. 27, 2017.  
 Notice of Allowance issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/217,040 dated Mar. 28, 2017.  
 Notice of Allowance mailed Apr. 23, 2019 in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/635,028.  
 Notice of Allowance mailed Jan. 9, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/570,331.  
 "Process Burner" (<https://www.cebassrt.com/products/loi-gas/process-burner>) 06, Sep. 6, 2018 (Sep. 6, 2018), entire document, especially para (Burners for refinery Heaters).  
 Schlumberger, "Jet Manual 23, Fracturing Pump Units, SPF/SPS-343, Version 1.0," Jan. 31, 2007, 68 pages.  
 Stewart & Stevenson, "Stimulation Systems," 2007, 20 pages.  
 UK Power Networks—Transformers to Supply Heat to Tate Modern—from Press Releases May 16, 2013.  
 "Water and Glycol Heating Systems" (<https://www.heat-inc.com/wg-series—water-glycol-systems/>) Jun. 18, 2018 (Jun. 18, 2018), entire document, especially WG Series Water Glycol Systems.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion Mailed Sep. 3, 2020 in PCT/US2020/36932.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Dec. 14, 2020 in PCT/US2020/53980.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Feb. 2, 2021 in PCT/US20/58906.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Feb. 3, 2021 in PCT/US20/58899.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Feb. 4, 2021 in PCT/US20/59834.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed in PCT/US2020/066543 mailed Mar. 11, 2021.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed in PCT/US20/67523 mailed Mar. 22, 2021.

(56)

**References Cited****OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed in PCT/US20/67146 mailed Mar. 29, 2021.

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed in PCT/US20/67526 mailed May 6, 2021.

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed in PCT/US20/67528 mailed Mar. 19, 2021.

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed in PCT/US20/67608 mailed Mar. 30, 2021.

Karim, "Duel Fuel Diesel Engines," (2015), Taylor & Francis, pp. 62-63, Retrieved from <https://app.knovel.com/hotlink/toc/id:kpDFDE0001/dual-fueal-diesel-engines/duel-fuel-diesel-engines> (Year 2015).

Kroposki et al., Making Microgrids Work, 6 IEEE Power and Energy Mag. 40, 41 (2008).

Luis Gamboa, "Variable Frequency Drives in Oil and Gas Pumping Systems," Dec. 17, 2011, 5 pages.

Morris et al., U.S. Appl. No. 62/526,869; Hydration-Blender Transport and Electric Power Distribution for Fracturing Operation; Jun. 28, 2018; USPTO; see entire document.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,532 dated Aug. 5, 2015.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/622,532 dated May 17, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,491 dated Sep. 12, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/217,040 dated Nov. 29, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/235,788 dated Dec. 14, 2016.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/291,842 dated Jan. 6, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,443 dated Feb. 7, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/293,681 dated Feb. 16, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/294,349 dated Mar. 14, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,491 dated May 15, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/486,970 dated Jun. 22, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/487,656 dated Jun. 23, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/487,694 dated Jun. 26, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/884,363 dated Sep. 5, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/881,535 dated Oct. 6, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/644,487 dated Nov. 13, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action issued in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 15/145,414 dated Nov. 29, 2017.

Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 2, 2018 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/183,387.

Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 10, 2018 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/294,349.

Non-Final Office Action dated May 29, 2018 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/235,716.

Non-Final Office Action dated Jul. 25, 2018 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/644,487.

Non-Final Office Action dated Oct. 4, 2018 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/217,081.

Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 12, 2018 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/160,708.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Feb. 12, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/170,695.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Feb. 25, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/210,749.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Mar. 6, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/183,387.

Non-Final Office Action mailed May 10, 2019 in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 16/268,030.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Aug. 19, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/356,436.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 3, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 15/994,772.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Sep. 20, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/443,273.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 2, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/152,732.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Oct. 11, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/385,070.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Dec. 6, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/564,186.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Dec. 23, 2019 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/597,008.

Non-Final Office Action mailed Jan. 10, 2020 in related U.S. Appl. No. 16/597,014.

\* cited by examiner





FIG. 1





**FIG. 2**




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

1

## MICROGRID ELECTRICAL LOAD MANAGEMENT

### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/466,261 filed Sep. 3, 2021 titled “MICROGRID ELECTRICAL LOAD MANAGEMENT,” now U.S. Pat. No. 11,851,999 issued Dec. 26, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/268,030 filed Feb. 5, 2019 titled “MICROGRID ELECTRICAL LOAD MANAGEMENT,” now U.S. Pat. No. 11,114,857 issued Sep. 7, 2021, which claims priority to and the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 62/626,614 filed Feb. 5, 2018 titled “MICROGRID ELECTRICAL LOAD MANAGEMENT,” the full disclosures of which are hereby incorporated herein by reference in their entirety for all intents and purposes.

### BACKGROUND

#### 1. Technical Field

This disclosure relates generally to hydraulic fracturing and more particularly to systems and methods for configuring high horsepower pumping systems.

#### 2. Background

With advancements in technology over the past few decades, the ability to reach unconventional sources of hydrocarbons has tremendously increased. Horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing are two such ways that new developments in technology have led to hydrocarbon production from previously unreachable shale formations. Hydraulic fracturing (fracturing) operations typically require powering numerous components in order to recover oil and gas resources from the ground. For example, hydraulic fracturing usually includes pumps that inject fracturing fluid down the wellbore, blenders that mix proppant, chemicals, and the like into the fluid, cranes, wireline units, and many other components that all perform different functions to carry out fracturing operations.

Usually in fracturing systems, the fracturing equipment runs on diesel motors or by other internal combustion engines. Such engines may be very powerful, but have certain disadvantages. Diesel is more expensive, is less environmentally friendly, less safe, and heavier to transport than natural gas. For example, diesel engines are very heavy, and so require the use of a large amount of heavy equipment, including trailers and trucks, to transport the engines to and from a well site. In addition, such engines are not clean, generating large amounts of exhaust and pollutants that may cause environmental hazards, and are extremely loud, among other problems. Onsite refueling, especially during operations, presents increased risks of fuel leaks, fires, and other accidents. The large amounts of diesel fuel needed to power traditional fracturing operations require constant transportation and delivery by diesel tankers onto the well site, resulting in significant carbon dioxide emissions.

Some systems have tried to eliminate partial reliance on diesel by creating bi-fuel systems. These systems blend natural gas and diesel, but have not been very successful. It is thus desirable that a natural gas powered fracturing system be used in order to improve safety, save costs, and provide benefits to the environment over diesel powered systems.

2

Because of the problems associated with diesel and bi-fuel systems, some operators have turned to electric motors connected to turbine generators to power the pumps and other equipment associated with hydraulic fracturing operations. Electric hydraulic fracturing operations may utilize multiple turbine generators, ultimately powering multiple pumps.

One problem with electric powered hydraulic fracturing fleets is that if a single turbine generator fails, it will typically shutdown completely within a few seconds. If the power demand from the fracturing equipment is higher than the output of the remaining turbine generators, the remaining generators will begin to shut down within a few seconds as well. The reaction times by human operators are almost always too slow to manually shutdown pumps, thereby shedding electrical load, in time to prevent a blackout.

In a worst case scenario, this can lead to the pumps stopping instantly while pumping proppant laden fluid (often called “slurry” or “dirty fluid”) so that a flush cannot be completed. Once fluid stops moving, the proppant begins to fall out of suspension and can accumulate in the wellbore. Horizontal wells have a vertical section before curving to the horizontal segment along the targeted shale formation. If proppant drops out of the slurry, it will slowly fall down the vertical segment and pile up at the curve (or heel) of the well and plug it off. Even if a partial flush is completed and proppant laden fluid is only in the horizontal segment of the well, proppant dropout can still cause plugging issues or can partially plug off the perforations in the well casing. This can also cause extended down time, or non-productive time, where several cycles of flowing the well back and performing low rate injection tests can be required to clear the well of proppant and open the perforations back up.

### SUMMARY

One aspect of the present technology provides a system for completing a well. The system includes a generator and a plurality of electric load components, each electric load component powered by the generator, the system also includes a load shedding control panel that monitors the generator and, if the generator loses functionality, is capable of deactivating one or more of the plurality of electric load components to reduce the electric load.

In some embodiments, the technology can include a switchgear positioned between the generator and the plurality of electric load components to distribute power between the generator and the plurality of electric load components. In addition, the generator can be a natural gas turbine generator, a natural gas generator, a diesel generator, or a combination of these or other power sources.

According to some embodiments, the electric load components can be selected from the group consisting of electric hydraulic fracturing pumps (e.g., triplex frac pumps, quintuplex frac pumps, dual fracturing pump units, long stroke intensifier pumps, or any other style of frac pump used to move hydraulic fracturing fluid), a blender, sand equipment, a hydration unit, and a data van. Furthermore, the load shedding panel can prioritize the order in which the plurality of electric load components will be deactivated, and can be programmed to deactivate the blender after other electric load components are deactivated. In yet further embodiments, the generator can be in selective electrical communication with a power grid.

Another aspect of the present technology provides a system for completing a well, including a generator, a plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components,

3

each of the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components powered by the generator, and an electric drilling rig, the electric drilling rig powered by the generator. The system can further include a load shedding control panel that monitors the generator and, if the generator loses functionality, is capable of deactivating one or more of the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components or the electric drilling rig or other oilfield equipment to reduce the electric load.

In some embodiments, the technology can include a switchgear positioned between the generator and the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components, and between the generator and the electric drilling rig, to distribute power between the generator and the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components, and between the generator and the electric drilling rig. In addition, the generator can be a natural gas turbine generator, a natural gas generator, a diesel generator, or a combination of these or other power sources.

According to some embodiments, the hydraulic fracturing equipment components can be selected from the group consisting of electric hydraulic fracturing pumps (e.g., triplex frac pumps, quintuplex frac pumps, dual fracturing pumps, long stroke intensifier pumps, or any other style of frac pump used to move hydraulic fracturing fluid), a blender, sand equipment, a hydration unit, and a data van. Furthermore, the load shedding panel can prioritize the order in which the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components and the electric drilling rig or other oilfield equipment will be deactivated, and can be programmed to deactivate the blender after other hydraulic fracturing equipment components are deactivated. In yet further embodiments, the generator can be in selective electrical communication with a power grid.

Yet another aspect of the technology provides a method of completing a well. The method includes the steps of powering a plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components with a generator, monitoring the generator to determine when the generator loses functionality, and when the generator loses functionality, selectively deactivating one or more of the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components to decrease the load.

According to some embodiments, the method can further include powering an electric drilling rig or other oilfield equipment with a generator. Furthermore, the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment can include at least one blender, and the method can further include the step of prioritizing the deactivation of the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components so that the at least one blender is deactivated only after other hydraulic fracturing equipment is deactivated.

In alternate embodiments, the method can include distributing power from the generator to a power grid, and distributing power between the generator and the plurality of hydraulic fracturing equipment components with a switchgear. Furthermore, in yet further embodiments, the method can include a load shedding control panel that monitors the generator to determine when the generator loses functionality.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

The present technology will be better understood on reading the following detailed description of non-limiting embodiments thereof, and on examining the accompanying drawings, in which:

4

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a load shedding control system according to an embodiment of the present technology;

FIG. 2 is schematic diagram of a load shedding control system according to an alternate embodiment of the present technology;

FIG. 3 is a schematic diagram of a load shedding control system according to yet another embodiment of the present technology, where the load shedding control package communicates with turbine generators; and

FIG. 4 is a schematic diagram of a load shedding control system according to another alternate embodiment of the present technology.

While the disclosure will be described in connection with the preferred embodiments, it will be understood that it is not intended to limit the disclosure to that embodiment. On the contrary, it is intended to cover all alternatives, modifications, and equivalents, as may be included within the spirit and scope of the disclosure as defined by the appended claims.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The foregoing aspects, features, and advantages of the present disclosure will be further appreciated when considered with reference to the following description of embodiments and accompanying drawings. In describing the embodiments of the disclosure illustrated in the appended drawings, specific terminology will be used for the sake of clarity. However, the disclosure is not intended to be limited to the specific terms used, and it is to be understood that each specific term includes equivalents that operate in a similar manner to accomplish a similar purpose.

When introducing elements of various embodiments of the present disclosure, the articles “a”, “an”, “the”, and “said” are intended to mean that there are one or more of the elements. The terms “comprising”, “including”, and “having” are intended to be inclusive and mean that there may be additional elements other than the listed elements. Any examples of operating parameters and/or environmental conditions are not exclusive of other parameters/conditions of the disclosed embodiments. Additionally, it should be understood that references to “one embodiment”, “an embodiment”, “certain embodiments”, or “other embodiments” of the present disclosure are not intended to be interpreted as excluding the existence of additional embodiments that also incorporate the recited features. Furthermore, reference to terms such as “above”, “below”, “upper”, “lower”, “side”, “front”, “back”, or other terms regarding orientation or direction are made with reference to the illustrated embodiments and are not intended to be limiting or exclude other orientations or directions. Additionally, recitations of steps of a method should be understood as being capable of being performed in any order unless specifically stated otherwise. Furthermore, the steps may be performed in series or in parallel unless specifically stated otherwise.

Embodiments of the present disclosure describe systems and methods for various pump configurations to produce greater horsepower (HP) output with a smaller footprint at a well site. In certain embodiments, various components may be arranged on a common support structure, such as a trailer or skid. For example, the trailer may include a transformer, variable frequency drive (VFD), soft start, and pump. In such embodiments, the total area available for pumps on the trailer may be decreased due to the support equipment, and as a result, the horsepower output from the pump may be

reduced because of its size. In various embodiments, a separate skid or trailer may be utilized for certain support components to thereby enable larger pumps or more pumps to be positioned on the pump trailer to increase the total horsepower output and reduce the number of pump trailers arranged at the well site.

Embodiments of the present disclosure describe systems and methods for pumping configurations utilizing electric powered pumps that produce horsepower greater than or equal to diesel-powered pumping configuration. Diesel-powered systems are noisy and generate pollution. Moreover, transportation of fuel to well sites may be costly and availability of fuel may delay or otherwise bottleneck fracturing operations. In various embodiments, electric pumping configurations include trailers or skids with a pump and a VFD mounted on a single skid or trailer. In certain embodiments, the VFD or softstart may be moved to a separate auxiliary skid to increase the room available on the trailer or skid housing the pump. As a result, multiple pumps may be situated on the skid or trailer, or larger pumps may be situated on the skid or trailer. In various embodiments, a single trailer or skid may have a capacity for a 6000+ HP output utilizing a variety of configurations such as a single pump with multiple electric motors, a single electric motor powering a large pump, a large electric motor powering multiple pumps, or the like.

In various embodiments, the pumps utilized with the disclosed configurations may include non-standard fluid ends (e.g., a fluid manifold with valves and seats to isolate a suction side and high pressure discharge side without allowing back flow). By way of example only, the fluid ends may include more than 3 plungers (e.g., triplex) or more than 5 plungers (e.g., quintuplex) or plunger stroke lengths longer than 11 inches. For example, the fluid ends may be septenplex (7 plungers), novenplex (9 plungers), undenplex (11 plungers), tredenplex (13 plungers), or include any other reasonable number of plungers. Size constraints and the like have produced difficulty utilizing such pumps in other systems. However, by adjusting the position of various support equipment for the pumps, such as VFDs, transformers, and motor control centers (MCCs), the trailer or skid may have sufficient size to accommodate larger or non-standard pumps for use with hydraulic fracturing. The pump may be of an intensifier style that utilizes hydraulic power to generate hydraulic horsepower using a VFD, softstart, or other controller for the electric pumps of the hydraulic system.

In various embodiments, the pumping configurations described herein may include a support skid. This support skid may include auxiliary components for operating the pumps, such as the VFDs, transformers, MCCs, and the like to thereby free up space on the skid or trailer housing the pumps for various additional different configurations, such as more pumps or larger pumps. While referred to herein as “support skids” it should be appreciated that the components associated with the support skids may be mounted on a skid or trailer. That is, the term “support skid” should not be interpreted as limiting the base or support structure to only a skid and other support structures, such as pads, trailers, truck beds, and the like may also be utilized and fall within the scope of the embodiments disclosed herein. Moreover, references to “pump trailers” should be interpreted as including embodiments where the support structure for the pumps and/or associated pumping equipment includes a trailer, a skid, a pad, a truck bed, or any other reasonable support structure.

Various embodiments utilize VFDs in order to control and monitor operation of the electric fracturing pumps. The VFDs may include soft stalls for improved operation. The soft stall allows the VFD to “disengage” the motor for a short amount of time (such as milliseconds) instead of tripping the VFD off to protect the drive and motor. Due to fluctuations in the wellhead pressure and pump fluid rate, if the VFD is near its upper limitations on torque a small fluctuation of pressure can cause the VFD to “trip” or shut down to protect itself to prevent damage. The soft stalls allow the VFD to stall temporarily then reengage the motor instead of shutting down completely. These “soft stalls” are unnoticed by the operator and are so quick that total fluid rate is not affected. This feature allows operation of the VFDs and motors at higher horsepower without fear of suffering an unexpected shutdown. Rated hydraulic horsepower (HHP) may be increased from 1,600 HP to 1,700 HP or more. In various embodiments, the soft stall is a software setting implemented as an executable instruction stored on a non-transitory machine readable memory and initiated by an associated processor of a control system.

According to systems of the present technology, electric motors may also be used to power other equipment associated with hydraulic fracturing operations. For example, the motors can power auxiliary equipment, such as blenders, proppant equipment, hydration units, etc.

The present technology relates to the process of automating the shedding and addition of electrical load and power generation from a mobile electric microgrid to prevent blackouts. In some embodiments, a software control package can be implemented to allow equipment that draws power to be automatically shut down when power demand is too high for the power generation equipment to supply, or if a generator failure causes an unexpected loss in available power supply. To prevent a black out (such as when all generators shut down due to too high of a power demand), certain equipment can be quickly shut down. This process is advantageous because it can prevent costly and potentially dangerous black outs, and can allow operators to prioritize equipment to be shed from the grid during these situations. The software controls can rapidly drop non-process critical equipment to allow power to be supplied to critical equipment until the last possible moment. The equipment can then be re-enabled for use by the operators once extra power generation is available. The control system can also automate the start-up and addition of any standby power generators to a microgrid.

For example, in one example hypothetical situation, there can be 4 turbine generators on a single power grid capable of supplying 23 MW of power to 16 frac pumps and 1 blender, as well as smaller auxiliary equipment. During operation, one turbine generator can suffer an unexpected mechanical failure and shut down during a frac stage where the power draw is 18 MW. This can happen very quickly. The power output capability of only 3 turbine generators in such a situation may be as low as 17 MW. As a result, within moments, the remaining turbine generators would be overdrawn and shutdown to protect themselves from failure. This shutdown in turn could cause the frac pumps to lose power, and they in turn would cease pumping fluid into the well. Lack of fluid circulation in the well could lead to a screenout, which occurs when fluid velocity is lost and the proppant drops out of the fluid and plugs the wellbore, causing extended downtime at great expense to clean out the well to resume operations.

In another non-limiting example, there can be a single turbine generator on a single power grid capable of supply-

ing 30 MW of power to 22 frac pumps and 2 blenders, as well as smaller auxiliary equipment. During operation, the power available to one turbine generator can be reduced to only 20 MW of power. This can happen very quickly. If the running equipment draws greater than 20 MW of power, the turbine could be overdrawn and shutdown to protect itself from failure. This shutdown in turn could cause the frac pumps to lose power, and they in turn would cease pumping fluid into the well. Lack of fluid circulation in the well could lead to a screen out, which occurs when fluid velocity is lost and the proppant drops out of the fluid and plugs the wellbore, causing extended downtime at great expense to clean out the well to resume operations.

With the control system of the present technology, on the other hand, the power grid of the above hypothetical situations can automatically shut down one or two frac pumps at the time of the unexpected mechanical failure. This could lower the power draw requirement from 18 MW to, for example, 16 MW, thereby preventing a blackout and allowing the wellbore to be flushed properly, which would in turn prevent a screenout event. The order of shedding equipment can be prioritized. For example, the order can be preselected to ensure that the blender is the last piece of equipment dropped off the grid.

One advantage of the present technology is that it can provide management of standby generators during peak power demand. For example, in certain embodiments, equipment can have switch gear units equipped with extra input breakers allowing use of both turbine generators, as well as back-up diesel generators to power the system. The load management control system can be capable of automatically starting the back-up diesel generators once the power load reaches a specified percentage of the maximum capability of the turbine generators. For example, once the power draw of the frac equipment reaches about 80% of the capability of the turbine generators (in some embodiments, this could be about 18.5 MW), the control system can trigger the auto ignition of the spare diesel generators, but not close the breaker connecting them to the grid. Once the power load reaches about 90% of the turbine generator's capability, the control system can rev up the diesel generators, phase match the power, and close the breakers connecting to the power grid. In one specific example, if two 2000 kW diesel generators are connected, total power generation can reach 27 MW, and can power the frac fleet at peak power demand instead of shedding load, or forcing the frac pump operators to back down on fluid rate. Operating in this manner can eliminate or reduce the need for backup generators to idle constantly, thereby saving time, fuel, and wear and tear on the backup generators.

In certain embodiments, the backup generators can be automated. For example, the system can be designed so that if one turbine generator fails and shuts down and the load shedding system automatically shuts down two frac pumps (as discussed in the above example), the system can start up the back-up diesel generators and connect them to the grid, then enable the previously shutdown frac pumps to begin operation again. This will allow the pump operator to then begin using those frac pumps when sufficient power is available. Doing this will allow the frac stage to be completed normally instead of being forced to flush the well and shut down without properly completing the stage.

The technology herein shown and described is beneficial because it allows an operator to complete a fracturing stage, which usually consists of 2-4 hours of non-stop fluid pumping at the designed fluid rate, until the designed amount of proppant is displaced down the wellbore and into the shale

formation. If the stage cannot be completed, in this case due to loss of power generation, the second best scenario is to flush the wellbore, which means to stop proppant delivery and to pump "clean" fluid only (non-proppant laden fluid) into the wellbore until all proppant laden fluid is displaced into the shale formation. If this is done, pumping can be stopped without any adverse consequences such as a screen out event, but the frac stage is usually considered incomplete. Flushing the well can take up to 10 minutes depending on the depth of the well and the fluid rate being pumped.

If a single turbine generator fails, it will typically shut-down completely within a few seconds. If the power demand from the fracturing equipment is higher than the output of the remaining turbine generators, the remaining generators will begin to shut down within a few seconds as well. The reaction times by human operators are almost always too slow to manually shutdown pumps, thereby shedding electrical load, in time to prevent a blackout. Thus, the software driven embodiments of the present technology are advantageous because they can greatly increase reaction times.

In a worst case scenario, this can lead to the pumps stopping instantly while pumping proppant laden fluid (often called "slurry" or "dirty fluid") so that a flush cannot be completed. Once fluid stops moving, the proppant begins to fall out of suspension and can accumulate in the wellbore. Horizontal wells have a vertical section before curving to the horizontal segment along the targeted shale formation. If proppant drops out of the slurry, it will slowly fall down the vertical segment and pile up at the curve (or heel) of the well and plug it off. Even if a partial flush is completed and proppant laden fluid is only in the horizontal segment of the well, proppant dropout can still cause plugging issues or can partially plug off the perforations in the well casing. This can also cause extended down time, or non-productive time, where several cycles of flowing the well back and performing low rate injection tests can be required to clear the well of proppant and open the perforations back up. If a blackout occurs, such that all frac pumps lose power and stop functioning, a shutdown turbine can take 15-30 minute to get operational again, while multiple turbines can take hours to restart and phase match to allow breakers to close to a common bus, or switch gear unit.

Another advantage of the present technology is that it provides for prioritized equipment shutdown. For example, the load management control system shown and described herein can save many hours of down time by preventing an electrical blackout. If, however, the electrical load that the system sheds is the auxiliary unit (which can power, for example, the blender, hydration unit, sand equipment, etc.) then the fluid flow will stop as well. The blender draws on low pressure water (typically about 20-60 psi), mixes in chemicals and proppants, and discharges the slurry at a high enough pressure (typically about 100-140 psi) to prevent cavitation in the fracturing pumps. A single blender can also be capable of providing up to 130 bpm of fluid (BPM=barrels per minutes, a barrel is 42 gallons of fluid and is a standard unit of measurement in US oilfield operations). On the other hand, a single frac pump is capable of taking 100 psi fluid from the blender and discharge it at up to 15,000 psi, but it can typically only pump at a max rate of about 5 to 12 bpm. If, therefore, a fracturing stage design requires 100 bpm of fluid rate then up to 20 frac pumps are required, but only 1 blender. Due to this, it is advantageous to prioritize the order of load shedding to make sure that the blender is shut down last, or not at all, even if it results in a blackout.

The system of certain embodiments of the present technology can allow the operator to preselect equipment to shed in a power loss situation. Operators can designate the blender to be the last piece of equipment to lose power (or to not lose power at all), and can choose frac pumps to be

shed first that have mechanical issues such as worn out seats and valves, are experiencing high cavitation, cooling issues, have fluid leaks, or are overdue for routine maintenance. It is common to have supplemental diesel powered frac pumps in fluid communication with the electric pumps. In some embodiments, the load shedding control system of the present technology can also recognize diesel pumps, and avoid shutting them down in an attempt to shed electrical load. It is also common to have energized electric pumps which are operable but are not actively pumping. De-energizing these pumps does not lower the electrical power load, and thus the control system can ignore them and only shutdown prioritized pumps which are consuming electrical power.

The embodiment of FIG. 1 shows a simplified block diagram of an embodiment of a hydraulic fracturing system 100, including a tie breaker load sharing management arrangement. In the illustrated embodiment, a power generation section 102 includes five turbine generators 104A-E and three diesel backup generators 105A-C arranged to produce electrical energy at approximately 13.8 kV and generate more than approximately 20 MW of power depending on demand, size, and the like. That is, different types of generators may be arranged at the well site and produce different quantities of electrical energy. Furthermore, different sizes of generators may be utilized in order to accommodate size and space restrictions at the well site. It should be appreciated that other equipment, such as compressors, filters, heaters, electronic equipment rooms and the like can be part of the system, but have been omitted from the figures for clarity.

The illustrated embodiment further includes a power distribution section 106 including switch gear units 108A-C for protection and distribution, as well as auxiliary unit 110. As shown, the generators 104A-E produce electrical energy at 13.8 kV for transmission to the switch gear units 108A-C. Thereafter, step down transformers (not shown) can receive and convert the energy to 600 V, which is distributed to pumps 112. As shown, the auxiliary unit 110 can be utilized to step down the energy for the associated fracturing equipment, such as a data van 114, blender 116, sand equipment 118, and a hydration unit 120. In various embodiments, the auxiliary unit(s) 110 may include transformers to step down the energy to 600 V, 240 V, or any other reasonable voltage output.

Continuing with FIG. 1, the illustrated embodiment includes hydraulic fracturing equipment, such as the illustrated pumps 112, data van 114, blenders 116, sand equipment 118, and hydration unit 120. It should be appreciated that various components have been simplified and/or removed for clarity. Moreover, the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 1 is not intended to be limiting. For instance, more than 10 frac pump units may be arranged at a well site. Moreover, multiple data vans, blenders, sand equipment, and hydration units may be utilized. The illustrated pumps 112 can be twin frac pumps. The twin frac pumps may be arranged on a common skid or trailer and receive energy from the transformers. It should be appreciated that the pumps 112 may be configured to operate at different voltages, such as 600 V, 13.8 kV, 4,160 V, or any reasonable voltage. Moreover, in embodiments the pumps 112 may be singular pumps mounted on a trailer or skid. However, in embodiments that

utilize the twin frac pumps, the trailer or skid may include two fully independent, electrically powered fluid pumps. In various embodiments, the illustrated fleet is capable of generating approximately 16,000 HP for fracturing jobs. Different configurations, for example of the pumps, may enable more than approximately 20,000 HP.

The tie breaker load sharing management arrangement shown in FIG. 1 is similar in idea to the prioritized equipment shutdown discussed above. With a load shedding control system, it is less risky to provide power to equipment performing other operations. For example, on some larger well sites, it is possible to perform hydraulic fracturing operations on completed wells while a drilling rig is still operating on other wells. Drilling operations can be more sensitive than fracturing operations, and can be less tolerant of unplanned power losses.

In FIG. 1, the power generation components include generators 104A-E and diesel backup generators 105A-C. Power distribution components include the switch gears 108A-C and an auxiliary unit 110. The remaining components act as the power load. The arrows depict the electrical cable arrangement and the normal direction of electrical power flow. The equipment shown has tie breakers 122A, 122B for load sharing, as well as load shedding software in one embodiment (discussed in greater detail below). Switch gear tie breakers 122A, 122B allow the switch gear to act as a single bus (or circuit) and allow for phase matching and breaker protection. One aspect of the present technology is that the diesel backup generators 105A-C can be directly connected to the switch gears 108A-C to be used for load sharing, and they can be automated in the event of load shedding.

In the embodiment shown in FIG. 1, the microgrid provides power for a hydraulic fracturing fleet 100 and a drilling rig 124. In some embodiments, the drilling rig 124 can require up to about 4 MW of power, while a frac fleet for certain formations can require around about 22 MW of electrical power. For normal fracturing operations, the turbine generator 104A and switch gear 108A may not be needed.

Each switch gear 108A-C can be electrically connected with a tie breaker 122A, 122B. This is where smart automated management of power distribution comes into play. If one of the turbine generators 104B-E fail, the rig turbine generator 104A can supplement power to the frac equipment, but will prioritize the drilling rig 124, and will open the breaker 122A to the frac equipment if the power demand becomes too much and threatens shutting down the turbine 104A and causing a black out. This will force the frac equipment to begin load shedding to lower power demand.

The opposite is also true. That is, if the rig turbine 104A fails, the frac turbines 104B-E can provide power through the tie breaker 122A to ensure that drilling operations are uninterrupted. If there is not enough power available for simultaneous operations, the frac side can be forced to start load shedding to prevent a black out. The example herein is true if the diesel backup generators 105A-C are unable to provide enough supplementary power, or if they have failed as well (e.g., won't start due to cold weather, are out of fuel, take too long to start up and warm up, etc.). If the load shedding is not quick enough, or if there are multiple failed generators, the tie breaker 122A between the drilling rig and the frac equipment can be opened. This creates two independent circuits where failures occurring on one will not affect the other.

Referring now to FIG. 2, there is shown an alternative embodiment of a hydraulic fracturing system 200, including



## 11

a tie breaker load sharing management arrangement. In the illustrated embodiment, a power generation section 202 includes four turbine generators 204A-D and two diesel backup generators 205A, 205B arranged to produce electrical energy at approximately 13.8 kV and generate more than approximately 20 MW of power depending on demand, size, and the like. That is, different types of generators may be arranged at the well site and produce different quantities of electrical energy. Furthermore, different sizes of generators may be utilized in order to accommodate size and space restrictions at the well site. It should be appreciated that other equipment, such as compressors, filters, heaters, electronic equipment rooms and the like can be part of the system, but have been omitted from the figures for clarity.

The illustrated embodiment further includes a power distribution section 206 including switch gears 208A, 208B for protection and distribution. As shown, the generators 204A-D produce electrical energy at 13.8 kV for transmission to the switch gears 208A, 208B. Thereafter, step down transformers can receive and convert the energy to 600 V, which is distributed to pumps 112. An auxiliary unit can be utilized to step down the energy for associated fracturing equipment, such as, for example a data van, blender, sand equipment, and a hydration unit. In various embodiments, the auxiliary unit may include transformers to step down the energy to 700 V, 600 V, 240 V, or any other reasonable voltage output.

One advantage of the present technology is that, with this level of power distribution management, it is possible to safely and reliably connect the mobile microgrid to a local utility grid. Typically, connecting to a utility power grid is risky due to the power demands of a frac fleet being too large and too unstable for a utility grid to handle without itself becoming unstable. Now, with an automatically managed utility tie breaker 222 and load shedding control software, it is possible to connect to the power grid to supply excess power to the utility when available. The opposite is true as well. That is, it is possible to draw power from the utility grid to supplement the oilfield equipment when the utility grid is far from its peak demand. This is without the risk of a black out if the utility needs to disconnect or experiences a service interruption. If this happens, and the generators 204A-D can't make up the difference in power supply, the control software can simply load shed equipment to keep fluid moving.

One mode of practicing the processes herein shown and described is to have a central datavan 114 (shown in FIG. 1), or data unit for the fracturing equipment control load shedding software. The datavan 114 can already have a resident software control package that controls, monitors, and records all activity of the fracturing equipment, and is already able to remotely shut down frac pumps. It can also be able to monitor turbine power load and can remotely open switch gear breakers with operator input.

In some embodiments, the system can have the ability to automatically start up and connect the diesel backup generators 205A, 205B based on power demand, to instantly shut down equipment based off of prioritization, and to automatically open or close switch gear breakers. The preference to shed load can be to simply stop the electric motor(s) without opening the switch gear breakers. This may allow quick re-energization of the equipment once power is restored or a backup source of power is connected (e.g., the diesel backup generators, a non-frac generator on an adjacent switch gear, or a utility grid). This can also reduce wear and tear on the large breakers with physically moving parts, and reduces the risk of an arc flash inside the switch gear

## 12

108A, 108B. The tie breakers 222 for load sharing can be controlled by operating the physical breakers to make the electrical connections.

Referring now to FIG. 3, alternate embodiments of the present technology include control software and hardware that can be installed in switch gear trailers. Such software and hardware can include a load shedding panel 326 in communication with switchgear units 308A, 308B and turbine generators 304A-D, as well as backup diesel generator 305. The load shedding panel 326 can also be in communication with programming terminals 328A, 328B, such as a computer, and a distributed control (DCS) system or supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system 330. In some embodiments, communication between the programming terminal 328 and the load shedding panel 326, and between the DCS/SCADA system 330 and the load shedding panel 326 can be via Ethernet or other cable 332. In other embodiments, such communication can be wireless.

The software and hardware shown in FIG. 3 can have individual breaker power load information, and control over opening and closing breakers without the need for communication cables to a datavan. In addition, in some embodiments, it may also be possible to install the load shedding control package in the turbine generators 304A-D. Advantageously, the system of FIG. 3 can be set up for controlling and monitoring power generation only, without the consideration of the frac fleet or other types of oilfield equipment.

At least two alternate methods can be used for shutting down electrical equipment to shed power loads. These include 1) opening the associated breaker for that particular piece of equipment, or 2) by signaling the onboard control system to stop the large electric motor and any associated electrical loads. Opening the breaker will shed all electrical load by cutting off power to the entire piece of equipment. On the other hand, signaling the onboard control system to shut down the VFD and drive motor will cut most of the power load while keeping the control system energized so it can be quickly restarted and process monitoring instruments can still be recording and reporting information, such as pressures and temperatures.

While a single blender is shown and described in individual figures in this disclosure, the present technology contemplates perform load shedding with multiple auxiliary units and blenders. Some operators require two blenders to be onsite and powered at all times. In such a case, one blender can be prioritized for load shedding over the other. There are also designs to manufacture a blender that does not require an auxiliary unit.

The load shedding control system of the present technology also works independently of the placement of the VFDs or transformers. They can be individual skids/trailers or they can be included onboard the pump trailers or blenders. In addition, in certain embodiments, the system of the present technology can work on electrically powered equipment that is skid mounted, trailer mounted, or bodyload mounted.

The load management control system can work for any commonly used voltage due to its ability to control the electrical breakers and/or the onboard controls for the equipment. This includes the power distribution methods of constant voltage where the voltage generated is also the voltage utilized by the equipment, a step up voltage transformer where the voltage that is generated is stepped up to a higher voltage for use by the equipment, and the use of step down voltage transformers where the voltage generated is stepped down to be utilized by the equipment.

There are also some designs that require a 1:1 voltage transformer where the voltage is not changed, but is used to

isolate the equipment from what is sometimes called “dirty power,” where harmonics or ripples can affect the quality of the power supply, and an isolation transformer can be used to prevent damage to the equipment. Common voltages used are 13.8 kV, 4,160V, 700 V, 600V, and 480V.

In some embodiments, different oilfield equipment may require different voltages. Possibly, the fracturing equipment can require 600V, but a drilling rig can require 480V. It is even possible that different types of electrically powered frac equipment can be used where some frac pumps require 600V and others require 4,160V. In such situations, the use of step down or step up transformers can be provided. These transformers can be installed onboard the pump trailers, or can be separate pieces of equipment with interconnecting electrical cables.

According to some embodiments of the present technology, the microgrid can safely and reliably provide power to a utility grid or third party power grid (e.g., for other oilfield or industrial processes), or to supplement from it to a certain amount of power. If the electrical draw from the microgrid becomes too large for the utility grid to handle, the tie breaker can be opened to isolate the grids to prevent a black out of the utility. The opposite is also true. If the utility is drawing too much power from the microgrid, it can be “shed.” These parameters can be set in the software prior to energizing equipment and connecting external utility grids.

In other words, embodiments of the present technology provide for limited tie breaker load sharing or full tie breaker load sharing. Limited tie breaker load sharing can open the breaker when an allowable power draw is exceeded, such as for an external power grid or non-critical process. Full tie breaker load sharing can be used for common processes such as frac equipment that requires multiple switchgear to electrically connect everything. In this case, no limits can be imposed on the tie breaker (other than for safety so breakers or power cables don’t fail) and the load management system can shed load or add generators as needed while keeping the tie breaker between switch gears closed to allow load sharing.

Often times smaller diesel generators only operate at 480V or 600V. If one of these is used as a DBU, a step up transformer may be required to allow it to be electrically connected to the microgrid. There may also be situations where step down transformers will be required to voltage match generators so they can be tied to a common bus. This is also true for when a utility grid is being electrically connected to the microgrid. Utilities often operate at different voltages and can be stepped up or stepped down, or possibly require the use of an isolation transformer.

This load shedding control system of the present technology can be used with or without switch gear tie breakers. The load management software can prevent blackouts even if it has to treat each switch gear as an isolated circuit.

According to some embodiments, each incoming generator connection to a switch gear can be a diesel or natural gas powered turbine engine or reciprocating engine. The power output capacity is inconsequential as well. Smaller diesel or natural gas generators can be as little as 400 kW or as large as 2000 kW. Larger generators (usually turbines) can be from 3,000 kW all the way up to 30,000 kW, and in some cases even larger.

Referring now to FIG. 4, there is shown a system 400 incorporating most of the features described above in a single microgrid. Thus, FIG. 4 illustrates the capabilities of oilfield power generation with a mobile microgrid outfitted with a load management software control package. For example, FIG. 4 includes a power generation section 402

includes five turbine generators 404A-E and a diesel backup generator 405. Different types of generators may be arranged at the well site and produce different quantities of electrical energy. Furthermore, different sizes of generators may be utilized in order to accommodate size and space restrictions at the well site. It should be appreciated that other equipment, such as compressors, filters, heaters, electronic equipment rooms and the like can be part of the system, but have been omitted from the figures for clarity.

The illustrated embodiment further includes a power distribution section 406 including switch gear units 408A-C for protection and distribution, as well as auxiliary unit 410. As shown, the generators 404A-E produce electrical energy for transmission to the switch gear units 408A-C. Thereafter, step down transformers can receive and convert the energy to a different voltage, as needed, and the energy is then distributed to pumps 412. As shown, the auxiliary unit 410 can be utilized to step down the energy for the associated fracturing equipment, such as a data van 414, blender 416, sand equipment 418, and a hydration unit 420. In various embodiments, the auxiliary unit(s) 410 may include transformers to step down the energy as required.

Continuing with FIG. 4, the illustrated embodiment includes hydraulic fracturing equipment, such as the illustrated pumps 412, data van 414, blenders 416, sand equipment 418, and hydration unit 420. It should be appreciated that various components have been simplified and/or removed for clarity. Moreover, the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 4 is not intended to be limiting. For instance, more than 10 frac pumps may be arranged at a well site. Moreover, multiple data vans, blenders, sand equipment, and hydration units may be utilized. The illustrated pumps 412 can be twin frac pumps. The twin frac pumps may be arranged on a common skid or trailer and receive energy from the transformers. It should be appreciated that the pumps 412 may be configured to operate at different voltages. Moreover, in embodiments the pumps 412 may be singular pumps mounted on a trailer or skid with or without an integrated transformer.

The system of FIG. 4 includes step up transformers for power generation, step down transformers 409 (discussed above) for power generation, a diesel backup generator 405 electrically connected to the bus (switch gear system), natural gas reciprocating generators 436 electrically connected to the bus, natural gas turbine generators 404A-D electrically connected to the bus, turbine generators having different voltage outputs, switch gear tie breakers 422, a tie breaker to a local utility grid with a transformer 438 if needed (transformer 438 can be step up, step down, or isolation, according to the requirements of the system). The system can also include the ability to supply power to a non-fracturing application at a different voltage (e.g., the drilling rig at 480V), step down transformers 409 for equipment, as well as step up and step down transformers 434 for voltage matching the power distribution switch gear.

The use of different types of generators allows for constant voltage (e.g., 4,160V power generation supplying 4,160V frac equipment), step down voltage (4,160V and 13.8 kV generators supplying power to the common bus which is being stepped down to power 600V frac equipment and 480V drilling equipment, and even the 13.8 kV being stepped down to 4,160V), and step up voltage (e.g., 480V generators on the same common bus providing power for the 600V and 4,160V frac equipment). This arrangement allows for frac equipment of different operating voltages pumping on a common well, or even on different wells.

15

In the embodiment shown in FIG. 4, the diesel generator can be used as prime power or as a diesel backup generator. Similarly, any electrically connected generator can be used for prime power or standby (back-up) power. Furthermore, any standby generator can be managed by the load shedding software of the present technology to automatically start and connect to the bus if total power load is approaching the maximum power generation of the prime power generators. Power loads can be shed individually but shutting down specific frac pumps, or power load can be shed in groups by opening up tie breakers in extreme power loss situations.

The present disclosure described herein, therefore, is well adapted to carry out the objects and attain the ends and advantages mentioned, as well as others inherent therein. While presently preferred embodiments of the disclosure have been given for purposes of disclosure, numerous changes exist in the details of procedures for accomplishing the desired results. These and other similar modifications will readily suggest themselves to those skilled in the art, and are intended to be encompassed within the spirit of the present disclosure disclosed herein and the scope of the appended claims.

The invention claimed is:

1. A system for completing a well, the system comprising: two or more generators; a plurality of electric load components, each electric load component powered by the two or more generators; and a load shedding control panel that monitors the two or more generators and, if at least one of the two or more generators loses functionality, the load shedding control panel deactivates one or more of the plurality of electric load components to reduce an electric load, wherein the load shedding control panel prioritizes an

16

order in which at least one or more of the plurality of electric load components are deactivated,

wherein the plurality of electric load components comprises at least one of an electric drilling rig, one or more hydraulic fracturing pumps, a blender, sand equipment, a hydration unit, a data van, or combinations thereof, and

wherein deactivation of at least one of the blender, the one or more hydraulic fracturing pumps, the sand equipment, the hydration unit, the data van, and/or combinations thereof prevents deactivation of another one of the blender, the one or more hydraulic fracturing pumps, the sand equipment, the hydration unit, the data van, and/or combination thereof in response to reduction of the electric load.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the one or more generators comprise a natural gas turbine generator, a natural gas generator, a diesel generator, or combinations thereof.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein the load shedding control panel prioritizes the order in which at least one of the blender, the one or more hydraulic fracturing pumps, the sand equipment, the hydration unit, the data van, and/or combinations thereof are deactivated prior to deactivation of the electric drilling rig.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein deactivation of at least one of the blender, the one or more hydraulic fracturing pumps, the sand equipment, the hydration unit, the data van, and/or combinations thereof prevents deactivation of the electric drilling rig in response to reduction of the electric load.

\* \* \* \* \*