

# US Patent & Trademark Office

## Patent Public Search | Text View

---

United States Patent	12391604
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 19, 2025
Inventor(s)	Beall; George Halsey et al.

---

### High strength, scratch resistant and transparent glass-based materials

---

#### Abstract

Embodiments of a transparent glass-based material comprising a glass phase and a second phase that is different from and is dispersed in the glass phase are provided. The second phase may comprise a crystalline or a nanocrystalline phase, a fiber, and/or glass particles. In some embodiments, the second phase is crystalline. In one or more embodiments, the glass-based material has a transmittance of at least about 88% over a visible spectrum ranging from about 400 nm to about 700 nm and a fracture toughness of at least about 0.9 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2, and wherein a surface of the glass-based material, when scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of at least 5 N to form a scratch having a width w, is free of chips having a size of greater than 3 w.

---

**Inventors:** Beall; George Halsey (Big Flats, NY), Comte; Marie Jacqueline Monique (Fontenay aux Roses, FR), Gaspar Marques; Paulo Jorge (Herblay sur Seine, FR)

**Applicant:** CORNING INCORPORATED (Corning, NY)

**Family ID:** 1000008766594

**Assignee:** CORNING INCORPORATED (Corning, NY)

**Appl. No.:** 18/384485

**Filed:** October 27, 2023

#### Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20240051867 A1	Feb. 15, 2024

#### Related U.S. Application Data

continuation parent-doc US 18220885 20230712 PENDING child-doc US 18384485  
continuation parent-doc US 17582581 20220124 US 11739021 20230829 child-doc US 18220885  
continuation parent-doc US 15077036 20160322 US 11267747 20220308 child-doc US 17582581

## Publication Classification

**Int. Cl.:** C03C10/00 (20060101); C03C3/085 (20060101); C03C3/091 (20060101); C03C3/093 (20060101); C03C4/00 (20060101); C03C21/00 (20060101)

**U.S. Cl.:**

**CPC** C03C10/0009 (20130101); C03C3/085 (20130101); C03C3/091 (20130101); C03C3/093 (20130101); C03C4/0092 (20130101); C03C10/0045 (20130101); C03C10/0054 (20130101); C03C21/002 (20130101);

## Field of Classification Search

**CPC:** C03C (10/0009); C03C (21/002)

---

## References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
3246972	12/1965	Smith	N/A	N/A
3252811	12/1965	Beall	N/A	N/A
3313609	12/1966	Megles	N/A	N/A
3681097	12/1971	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
3681102	12/1971	Beall	N/A	N/A
4074992	12/1977	Voss	N/A	N/A
4097295	12/1977	Chyung et al.	N/A	N/A
4126476	12/1977	Grossman	N/A	N/A
4455160	12/1983	Rittler	N/A	N/A
4519828	12/1984	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
4707458	12/1986	Chyung et al.	N/A	N/A
4940674	12/1989	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
5219799	12/1992	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
5652188	12/1996	Chyung et al.	N/A	N/A
5744208	12/1997	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
5872069	12/1998	Abe	N/A	N/A
6086977	12/1999	Suzuki et al.	N/A	N/A
6174827	12/2000	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
6248678	12/2000	Pinckney	N/A	N/A
6270876	12/2000	Abe et al.	N/A	N/A
6284340	12/2000	Abe et al.	N/A	N/A
6376402	12/2001	Pannhorst et al.	N/A	N/A
6383645	12/2001	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
6420286	12/2001	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
6455451	12/2001	Brodkin et al.	N/A	N/A
6458728	12/2001	Nagata et al.	N/A	N/A
6514890	12/2002	Nagata et al.	N/A	N/A

6517623	12/2002	Brodkin et al.	N/A	N/A
6524982	12/2002	Nagata et al.	N/A	N/A
6593257	12/2002	Nagata et al.	N/A	N/A
6593258	12/2002	Shimatani et al.	N/A	N/A
6802894	12/2003	Brodkin et al.	N/A	N/A
7875565	12/2010	Pinckney et al.	N/A	N/A
7964262	12/2010	Brocheton et al.	N/A	N/A
8043706	12/2010	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
8114795	12/2011	Yagi et al.	N/A	N/A
8187987	12/2011	Amin et al.	N/A	N/A
8312739	12/2011	Lee et al.	N/A	N/A
8444756	12/2012	Schweiger et al.	N/A	N/A
8445394	12/2012	Aitken et al.	N/A	N/A
8561429	12/2012	Allan et al.	N/A	N/A
8854623	12/2013	Fontaine et al.	N/A	N/A
8956987	12/2014	Durschang et al.	N/A	N/A
8986841	12/2014	Pelletier et al.	N/A	N/A
8993464	12/2014	Gabel et al.	N/A	N/A
9199872	12/2014	Schoenberger et al.	N/A	N/A
9249045	12/2015	Gabel et al.	N/A	N/A
9321672	12/2015	Shiratori et al.	N/A	N/A
9556062	12/2016	Ogawa et al.	N/A	N/A
9688568	12/2016	Borczech-Laczka et al.	N/A	N/A
9809488	12/2016	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
10189741	12/2018	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
10239780	12/2018	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
10427975	12/2018	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
10723649	12/2019	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
10765496	12/2019	Durschang et al.	N/A	N/A
11034610	12/2020	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11148968	12/2020	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11168021	12/2020	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11174194	12/2020	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11267747	12/2021	Beall	N/A	C03C 10/0045
11319244	12/2021	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11384010	12/2021	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11634357	12/2022	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
11739021	12/2022	Beall	428/3	C03C 3/093
11952306	12/2023	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
12049423	12/2023	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
12049424	12/2023	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
12098090	12/2023	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
2002/0137618	12/2001	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
2003/0099062	12/2002	Kataoka et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0096208	12/2004	Zachau et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0082294	12/2006	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0093375	12/2006	Nakajima	N/A	N/A

2007/0281849	12/2006	Yagi et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0248316	12/2007	Goto et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0118113	12/2008	Yagi	N/A	N/A
2009/0162608	12/2008	Yagi et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0202808	12/2008	Glaesemann et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0270242	12/2008	Yanase et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0035038	12/2009	Barefoot et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0092353	12/2010	Amin	501/3	C03C 10/16
2011/0212824	12/2010	Almorice et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0114955	12/2011	Almorice et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0196735	12/2011	Bogaerts et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0045375	12/2012	Gross	N/A	N/A
2013/0178353	12/2012	Comte et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0201678	12/2012	Siebers et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0134397	12/2013	Amin et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0141960	12/2013	Borczech-Laczka et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0200129	12/2013	Durschang et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0238078	12/2013	Boek et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0099124	12/2014	Beunet et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0111717	12/2014	Gabel et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0274581	12/2014	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0368147	12/2014	Dejneka et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0376054	12/2014	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0102010	12/2015	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0159682	12/2015	Borczech-Laczka et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0185653	12/2015	Fushie	N/A	N/A
2016/0280589	12/2015	Beall et al.	N/A	N/A

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
1229975	12/1998	CN	N/A
1723176	12/2005	CN	N/A
1955131	12/2006	CN	N/A
101085698	12/2006	CN	N/A
101279818	12/2007	CN	N/A
102089252	12/2010	CN	N/A
102690059	12/2011	CN	N/A
102741186	12/2011	CN	N/A
103265188	12/2012	CN	N/A
103476723	12/2012	CN	N/A
104108883	12/2013	CN	N/A
262851	12/1987	DE	N/A
0099221	12/1983	EP	N/A
1249728	12/1970	GB	N/A
2284655	12/1994	GB	N/A
10-212132	12/1997	JP	N/A
11-000002	12/1998	JP	N/A

11-000004	12/1998	JP	N/A
2000-143290	12/1999	JP	N/A
2001-035417	12/2000	JP	N/A
2001-097740	12/2000	JP	N/A
2001-126236	12/2000	JP	N/A
2005-062832	12/2004	JP	N/A
2008-522935	12/2007	JP	N/A
2008-254984	12/2007	JP	N/A
2009-114005	12/2008	JP	N/A
4647256	12/2010	JP	N/A
2011-510903	12/2010	JP	N/A
4726400	12/2010	JP	N/A
2011-527105	12/2010	JP	N/A
2013-508257	12/2012	JP	N/A
2013-508258	12/2012	JP	N/A
2013-521203	12/2012	JP	N/A
2014-040353	12/2013	JP	N/A
2014-515722	12/2013	JP	N/A
5762707	12/2014	JP	N/A
5829447	12/2014	JP	N/A
2017-518940	12/2016	JP	N/A
2019-212061	12/2018	JP	N/A
2019-212062	12/2018	JP	N/A
7027594	12/2021	JP	N/A
10-2010-0120683	12/2009	KR	N/A
200409739	12/2003	TW	N/A
200533623	12/2004	TW	N/A
200724506	12/2006	TW	N/A
201228980	12/2011	TW	N/A
02/22767	12/2001	WO	N/A
2008/130366	12/2007	WO	N/A
2012/121116	12/2011	WO	N/A
2012/126394	12/2011	WO	N/A
2012/143137	12/2011	WO	N/A
2013/107653	12/2012	WO	N/A
2014/042200	12/2013	WO	N/A
2016/057285	12/2015	WO	N/A
2016/057748	12/2015	WO	N/A
2020/161949	12/2019	WO	N/A

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

G. H. Beall, B. R. Karstetter, and H. L. Rittler “Crystallization and Chemical Strengthening of Stuffed-Quartz Glass-Ceramics,” Journal of the American Ceramic Society vol. 50, No. 4 (Apr. 1967). cited by applicant

G.H. Beall and D. Duke, “Transparent glass-ceramics,” Journal of Materials Science 4 (1969) 340-352. cited by applicant

Japanese Patent Application No. 2017-549701, Office Action dated Jul. 7, 2021, 5 pages (2 pages of English Translation and 3 pages of Original Document), Japanese Patent Office. cited by applicant

T. Berthier et al., “Mechanical Properties and Impact Resistance of a New Transparent Glass-

Ceramic” Advanced Engineering Materials, 2007, 9, No. 3 191-196. cited by applicant  
International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority;  
PCT/US2016/023654; Mailed May 30, 2016. cited by applicant  
Japanese Patent Application No. 2017-549701, Office Action dated Jan. 20, 2021, 8 pages (4 pages of Original Document and 4 pages of English Translation), Japanese Patent Office. cited by applicant  
Beall et al; “Nanophase Glass-Ceramics,” J Am Ceram Soc, 1999, 82, pp. 5-16. cited by applicant  
Hashimoto et al., “Void formation in glasses”, New Journal of Physics, vol. 9, 2007, 10 pages. cited by applicant  
Laczka et al., “Mechanical Properties of a Lithium Disilicate Strengthened Lithium Aluminosilicate Glass-Ceramic”, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 97 [2], 2014, pp. 361-364. cited by applicant  
Laczka et al., “Thermal and spectroscopic characterization of glasses and glass-ceramics of Li<sub>2</sub>O—Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>—SiO<sub>2</sub> (LAS) system”, Journal of Molecular Structure, vol. 1068, 2014, pp. 275-282. cited by applicant  
Park, et al; “Heat-Resistant Ceramics Based on LAS-System Non-Metallic Mineral and Its Thermal Shock Resistance,” J Ceram Soc JPN, 2010, 118, pp. 220-225. cited by applicant  
Sapphirine: Mineral information, data and localities, Available Online at (<https://www.mindat.org/min-3531.html>), 2021, 16 pages. cited by applicant  
Yunkun et al., “Glass-Ceramics with High Strength and High Transmittance Obtained by Multi-Factor Controlling”, Journal of Wuhan University of Technology-Mater. Sci. Ed., vol. 39 No. 3, 2024, 10 pages. cited by applicant  
Zanotto Edgar Dutra, “A bright future for glass-ceramics,” American Ceramic Society Bulletin, vol. 89, No. 9, 2010, pp. 19-27. cited by applicant

---

*Primary Examiner:* Orlando; Amber R

*Assistant Examiner:* Miller; Cameron K

---

## **Background/Summary**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS (1) This Application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 18/220,885 filed Jul. 12, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/582,581 filed Jan. 24, 2022, which issued Aug. 29, 2023 as U.S. Pat. No. 11,739,021 and is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 15/077,036 filed Mar. 22, 2016, which issued Mar. 8, 2022 as U.S. Pat. No. 11,267,747, which claims priority to U.S. App. Nos. 62/186,547 filed Jun. 30, 2015 and 62/137,345 filed Mar. 24, 2015, each of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

## **BACKGROUND**

(1) The disclosure relates to transparent glass-based materials exhibiting improved fracture toughness and scratch resistance.

(2) Known glass-based materials exhibiting improved flexural strength often rely on post-processing such as chemical strengthening and thermal strengthening. Such chemically strengthened glasses have been widely used in electronic devices including hand-held displays and tablets. Other strength performance (e.g., modulus of rupture and fracture toughness) and scratch resistance of these glass-based materials may be limited. Known glass-based materials that exhibit improved modulus of rupture or fracture toughness and scratch resistance are generally opaque. Accordingly, there is a need for a transparent material that exhibits improved fracture toughness and scratch resistance over known glass-based materials.

## SUMMARY

(3) Embodiments of a transparent glass-based material comprising a glass phase and a second phase that is different from and is dispersed in the glass phase are provided. The second phase may comprise a crystalline or a nanocrystalline phase, a fiber, and/or glass particles. In some embodiments, the second phase is crystalline. The glass-based material has a high modulus and fracture toughness and is scratch resistant. In some embodiments, the material can be chemically strengthened. For example, such materials may be ion exchangeable.

(4) Accordingly, one aspect of the disclosure is to provide a glass-based material. The glass-based material comprises a glass phase and a second phase that is different than the glass phase and dispersed within the glass phase. The glass-based material has a transmittance of at least about 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from about 400 nm to about 700 nm and a fracture toughness of at least about  $0.9 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ . When scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of at least 5 N to form a scratch having a width  $w$ , a surface of the glass-based material is free of chips having a size of greater than  $3w$ .

(5) A second aspect of the disclosure is to provide a glass-based material comprising a glass phase and a second phase that is different than the glass phase and dispersed within the glass phase. The glass-based material having a transmittance of at least 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from about 400 nm to about 700 nm and a fracture toughness ( $K_{IC}$ ) of at least about  $0.9 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ . The glass phase has a first index of refraction and the second phase has a second index of refraction, and the difference between the first index of refraction and the second index of refraction is less than about 0.025. The second phase of the glass-based material comprises particles having a mean size in a range from 5 nm to 200 nm, and the volume fraction of the second phase in the glass-based material is in a range from 10% to about 98%.

(6) A third aspect of the disclosure is to provide a glass-based material comprising a glass phase and a second phase that is different than the glass phase and dispersed within the glass phase. The glass-based material having a transmittance of at least 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from about 400 nm to about 700 nm, a toughness of at least about  $0.9 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$  or at least about  $0.9 \text{ MPa}\cdot\text{m}^{1/2}$ , a coefficient of thermal expansion of less than about  $45 \times 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$ , and a Young's modulus ( $E$ ) in a range from about 80 GPa to about 100 GPa.

(7) These and other aspects, advantages, and salient features will become apparent from the following detailed description, the accompanying drawings, and the appended claims.

---

## Description

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

(1) FIG. 1 is a schematic cross-sectional view of prior art apparatus that is used to perform ball drop testing;

(2) FIG. 2 is a schematic cross-sectional view of an embodiment of the apparatus that is used to perform the inverted ball on sandpaper (IBoS) test described in the present disclosure;

(3) FIG. 3 is a schematic cross-sectional representation of the dominant mechanism for failure due to damage introduction plus bending that typically occurs in glass-based materials that are used in mobile or hand held electronic devices;

(4) FIG. 4 is a flow chart for a method of conducting the IBoS test in the apparatus described herein; apparatus;

(5) FIG. 5 is a schematic cross-sectional view of a ring-on-ring apparatus;

(6) FIG. 6 shows a scanning electron microscope image of glass-based material 1;

(7) FIG. 7A shows a scanning electron microscope image of glass-based material 6, before being subjected to ion exchange;

- (8) FIG. 7B shows a scanning electron microscope image of glass-based material 6, after being subjected to ion exchange;
- (9) FIG. 8 is a graph showing the ROR strength, AROR strength and compressive stress layer depth data of strengthened Samples 6A-6D;
- (10) FIG. 9 shows an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum of Glass-based Material 6 after being chemically strengthened for 4 hours in a bath having a temperature of 750° C.;
- (11) FIG. 10 shows an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum of Glass-based Material 6 after being chemically strengthened for 4 hours in a bath having a temperature of 775° C.;
- (12) FIGS. 11A-11D are images Glass-based Material 1 after being scratched with a Knoop diamond at different loads;
- (13) FIG. 12 is an enlarged image of Glass-based Material 1 after being scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of 5 N;
- (14) FIG. 13 is an enlarged image of Glass-based Material 6B after being scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of 5 N; and
- (15) FIG. 14 is a graph showing IBoS results for Glass-based Material 7 and Comparative Glass Material 13.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

(16) In the following description, like reference characters designate like or corresponding parts throughout the several views shown in the figures. It is also understood that, unless otherwise specified, terms such as “top,” “bottom,” “outward,” “inward,” and the like are words of convenience and are not to be construed as limiting terms. In addition, whenever a group is described as comprising at least one of a group of elements and combinations thereof, it is understood that the group may comprise, consist essentially of, or consist of any number of those elements recited, either individually or in combination with each other. Similarly, whenever a group is described as consisting of at least one of a group of elements or combinations thereof, it is understood that the group may consist of any number of those elements recited, either individually or in combination with each other. Unless otherwise specified, a range of values, when recited, includes both the upper and lower limits of the range as well as any ranges therebetween. As used herein, the indefinite articles “a,” “an,” and the corresponding definite article “the” mean “at least one” or “one or more,” unless otherwise specified. It also is understood that the various features disclosed in the specification and the drawings can be used in any and all combinations.

(17) Referring to the drawings in general, it will be understood that the illustrations are for the purpose of describing particular embodiments and are not intended to limit the disclosure or appended claims thereto. The drawings are not necessarily to scale, and certain features and certain views of the drawings may be shown exaggerated in scale or in schematic in the interest of clarity and conciseness.

(18) As used herein, the terms “glass article” and “glass articles” are used in their broadest sense to include any object made wholly or partly of glass or the glass-based materials described herein. Unless otherwise specified, all compositions are expressed in terms of mole percent (mol %).

Coefficients of thermal expansion (CTE) are expressed in terms of 10-7° C. and represent a value measured over a temperature range from about 20° C. to about 300° C., unless otherwise specified.

(19) As used herein, the term “liquidus temperature,” or “T.sup.L” refers to the temperature at which crystals first appear as a molten glass cools down from the melting temperature, or the temperature at which the very last crystals melt away as temperature is increased from room temperature. As used herein, the term “165 kP temperature” or “T.sup.165kP” refers to the temperature at which the glass or glass melt has a viscosity of 160,000 Poise (P), or 160 kiloPoise (kP). As used herein, the term “35 kP temperature” or “T.sup.35kP” refers to the temperature at which the glass or glass melt has a viscosity of 35,000 Poise (P), or 35 kiloPoise (kP).

(20) It is noted that the terms “substantially” and “about” may be utilized herein to represent the inherent degree of uncertainty that may be attributed to any quantitative comparison, value,



measurement, or other representation. These terms are also utilized herein to represent the degree by which a quantitative representation may vary from a stated reference without resulting in a change in the basic function of the subject matter at issue. Thus, a glass that is “substantially free of MgO” is one in which MgO is not actively added or batched into the glass, but may be present in very small amounts as a contaminant.

(21) Vickers crack initiation thresholds or indentation fracture threshold (IFT) described herein are determined by applying and then removing indentation load to a substrate. The IFT test is performed using an Automated Hardness Testers Tukon™ 2500 provided by Wilson® Hardness. A maximum indentation load is applied and held on the substrate for 10 seconds. The indentation cracking threshold is defined at the indentation load in units of kgf of 10 indents that exhibit a radial and/or median cracks emanating from the corners of the indent impression. The maximum load is increased until the threshold is met for a given substrate. All indentation measurements are performed at room temperature and humidity.

(22) A first aspect of this disclosure pertains to a glass-based material including a glass and a second phase that is different than the glass phase. In some embodiments, the second phase may be dispersed within the glass phase. Alternatively, the second phase may be disposed within the glass phase in a non-random fashion.

(23) The glass phase of the glass-based material may include at least one of a soda lime glass, an alkali aluminosilicate glass, borosilicate glass, aborosilicate glass, and a lithium alumina silicate glass. In some embodiments, the glass phase may be substantially free of arsenic or antimony oxides. Exemplary glass phase compositions include SiO<sub>2</sub> in the range from about 55 mol % to about 75 mol %, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 10 mol % to about 20 mol %, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 0 mol % to about 16 mol %, Na<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, K<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, Li<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % to about 8 mol %, MgO in a range from about 0 mol % to about 12 mol %, ZnO in a range from about 0 mol % to about 10 mol %, ZrO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 0 mol % to about 5 mol %, and SnO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 0 mol % to about 0.5 mol %.

(24) One or more specific embodiments may include a glass phase having a composition including SiO<sub>2</sub> in the range from about 55 mol % to about 75 mol %, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 12 mol % to about 20 mol %, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 10 mol % to about 16 mol %, Na<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, K<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, MgO in a range from about 4 mol % to about 12 mol %, ZnO in a range from about 4 mol % to about 10 mol %, and SnO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 0 mol % to about 0.5 mol %. In some embodiments, the glass phase may include any one or more of Li<sub>2</sub>O in the range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, SrO in the range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, and CaO of about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %.

(25) More specific glass phase compositions include SiO<sub>2</sub> in the range from about 55 mol % to about 75 mol %, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 10 mol % to about 16 mol %, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 0 mol % to about 8 mol % (or from about 0 mol % to about 5 mol %), Na<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, Li<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 4 mol % to about 8 mol %, MgO in a range from about 2 mol % to about 12 mol %, ZnO in a range from about 0 mol % to about 4 mol %, ZrO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 1 mol % to about 5 mol %, and SnO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 0 mol % to about 0.5 mol %.

(26) Some embodiments of glass-based material that includes a β-quartz second phase include SiO<sub>2</sub> in the range from about 55 mol % to about 75 mol %, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the range from about 10 mol % to about 16 mol %, Na<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 0 mol % (or 0.2 mol %) to about 4 mol %, Li<sub>2</sub>O in a range from about 1.5 mol % to about 8 mol %, MgO in a range from about 6 mol % to about 12 mol %, ZrO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 1 mol % to about 5 mol %, and SnO<sub>2</sub> in a range from about 0 mol % to about 0.5 mol %.

(27) The second phase may be present in embodiments of the glass-based material as nanocrystals, fibers, particles or combinations thereof. Where the second phase includes nanocrystals, such nanocrystals may include at least one of diamond, carbon, and a metal. Where the second phase includes fibers, such fibers may include at least one of carbon, a ceramic, and a glass. In one or more embodiments, where the second phase is provided as particles, such particles may be amorphous (e.g., glass) or crystalline. The average largest cross-sectional dimension of the particles may have a mean size in a range from 5 nm to 200 nm.

(28) In one or more embodiments, the second phase may include a crystalline phase. In some embodiments, the crystalline phase may include crystals having a mean crystalline size in a range from 5 nm to 200 nm, as determined from x-ray diffraction/Rietveld analysis.

(29) In one or more embodiments, the crystalline phase may include up to 98 volume % of the glass-based materials. In some embodiments, the crystalline phase may include from about 10 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 15 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 20 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 30 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 40 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 50 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 60 volume % to about 98 volume %, from about 10 volume % to about 95 volume %, from about 10 volume % to about 90 volume %, or from about 10 volume % to about 80 volume %.

(30) The crystalline phase may include any one or more of mullite, spinel,  $\alpha$ -quartz,  $\beta$ -quartz solid solution, petalite, lithium disilicate,  $\beta$ -spodumene, nepheline, and alumina. In some embodiments, glass-based material includes a second crystalline phase. The second crystalline phase may include the crystalline phases described herein, and some embodiments may include either one or both nepheline and anorthite.

(31) In one or more embodiments, the glass phase and the second phase have a minimal difference in refractive index. For example, the difference in refractive index between the glass phase and the second phase may be less than about 0.025 (e.g., about 0.02 or less, about 0.015 or less, about 0.01 or less or about 0.005 or less). In some instances, the refractive index difference between the glass phase and the second phase may be greater than the values provided herein, if the crystallites are of sufficient size to provide transparency (i.e., the crystallites are sufficiently small in dimension) For example, the refractive index difference may be difference may be greater than 0.025 (e.g., up to about 0.5) if the crystallites have a major dimension of less than about 100 nm or less than about 50 nm.

(32) The glass-based material of one or more embodiments may exhibit a transmittance of at least about 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from about 400 nm to about 800 nm. In some embodiments, the glass-based materials exhibit a transmittance of about 90%/mm or greater, about 92%/mm or greater or about 94%/mm or greater, over the visible spectrum in the range from about 400 nm to about 800 nm.

(33) The glass-based material may also exhibit a fracture toughness of about 0.9 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater, 1.2 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater (e.g., about 1.3 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater, 1.4 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater, 1.5 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater, 1.6 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater, or 1.7 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2 or greater). The fracture toughness may be measured using either Vickers indentation or Chevron notch indentation techniques commonly used in the art.

(34) In one or more embodiments, the glass-based material is resistant to sharp impact and is able to withstand direct or point impacts. Such glass-based materials do not exhibit lateral damage such as, but not limited to, chipping when scratched at a rate of 0.4 minis with a Knoop diamond that is oriented so that the angle between the leading and trailing edges of the tip of the Knoop diamond is 172°30' at a load of 5 N and, in some embodiments, at a load of 10 N. As used herein, "chipping" refers to the removal or ejection of glass fragments from a surface of a glass when the surface is scratched with an object such as a stylus. As used herein, "chip" can refer to either a fragment removed during scratching of the glass-based material surface or the region on the surface

from which the chip is removed. In the latter sense, a chip is typically characterized as a depression in the vicinity of the scratch. When scratched, the glass-based material described herein does not exhibit chipping (i.e., chips are not generated, or the glass is free of chips) beyond a region extending laterally on either side of the scratch track (i.e., the scratch formed by the Knoop diamond) formed for a distance  $d$  that is greater than twice the width  $w$  of the scratch and, in another embodiment, three times the width  $w$  of the scratch. In other words, chipping generated by scratching is limited to a region bordering either side of the scratch track, wherein the width of the region is no greater than twice (in some embodiment, no greater than three times) the width  $w$  of the scratch. In other words, when a surface of the glass-based material is scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of at least 5 N to form a scratch having a width  $w$ , the resulting scratch is free of chips having a size of greater than  $3w$  or  $2w$ .

(35) The glass-based material may exhibit a relatively low coefficient of thermal expansion. For example, in one or more embodiments, the glass-based material has a coefficient of thermal expansion of less than about  $45 \times 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$ . In some instances, the coefficient of thermal expansion may be about  $40 \times 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$  or less, about  $35 \times 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$  or less, or about  $30 \times 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$  or less. The lower limit of the coefficient of thermal expansion may be about  $15 \times 10^{-7} \text{ K}^{-1}$ .

(36) The glass-based material may exhibit increased Young's modulus ( $E$ ), when compared to known glass materials exhibiting the same or comparable transmittance. For example, in one or more embodiments, the glass-based material may exhibit a Young's modulus ( $E$ ) of greater than about 70 MPa or greater than about 75 MPa. In some instances, the Young's modulus ( $E$ ) may be in the range from about 80 GPa to about 100 GPa (e.g., from about 80 MPa to about 95 MPa, from about 80 MPa to about 90 MPa, from about 85 MPa to about 100 MPa, or from about 90 MPa to about 100 MPa).

(37) The glass-based materials described herein demonstrate improved fracture resistance when subjected to repeated drop tests. It should be noted that the glass-based materials are provided as sheets for such testing. The purpose of such drop tests is to characterize the performance of such glass-based materials in normal use as display windows or cover plates for handheld electronic devices such as cell phones, smart phones, and the like.

(38) A typical ball drop test concept that is currently in use is shown in FIG. 1. The ball drop test assembly **150** includes a solid, hard substrate **112** such as a granite slab or the like and a steel ball **130** of predetermined mass and diameter. A sample **120** (e.g., a sheet of a material) is secured to the substrate **112**, and a piece of sandpaper **114** having the desired grit is placed on the upper surface of the sample **120** opposite the substrate **112**. The sandpaper **114** is placed on the sample **120** such that the roughened surface **114a** of the sandpaper contacts the upper surface **122** of the sample **120**. The steel ball **130** is allowed to fall freely from a predetermined height  $h$  onto the sandpaper **114**. The upper surface **122** or compression face of the sample **220** makes contact with the roughened surface **114a** of the sandpaper **114**, introducing cracks into the surface of the upper surface/compression face **122**. The height  $h$  may be increased incrementally until either a maximum height is reached or the glass sample fractures.

(39) When used with glass-based samples, the ball drop test **150** described hereinabove does not represent the true behavior of glass-based materials when dropped onto and contacted by a rough surface. Instead, it is known that the face of the glass-based material bends outward in tension, rather than inward in compression as shown in FIG. 1.

(40) An inverted ball on sandpaper (IBoS) test is a dynamic component level test that mimics the dominant mechanism for failure due to damage introduction plus bending that typically occurs in glass-based materials that are used in mobile or hand held electronic devices, as schematically shown in FIG. 2. In the field, damage introduction (a in FIG. 3) occurs on the top surface of the glass-based material. Fracture initiates on the top surface of the glass-based material and damage either penetrates the glass-based material (b in FIG. 3) or the fracture propagates from bending on

the top surface or from the interior portions of the glass-based material (c in FIG. 3). The IBoS test is designed to simultaneously introduce damage to the surface of the glass and apply bending under dynamic load. In some instances, the glass-based material exhibits improved drop performance when it includes a compressive stress than if the same glass-based material does not include a compressive stress.

(41) An IBoS test apparatus is schematically shown in FIG. 2. Apparatus **200** includes a test stand **210** and a ball **230**. Ball **230** is a rigid or solid ball such as, for example, a stainless steel ball, or the like. In one embodiment, ball **230** is a 4.2 gram stainless steel ball having diameter of 10 mm. The ball **230** is dropped directly onto the glass-based material sample **218** from a predetermined height  $h$ . Test stand **210** includes a solid base **212** comprising a hard, rigid material such as granite or the like. A sheet **214** having an abrasive material disposed on a surface is placed on the upper surface of the solid base **212** such that surface with the abrasive material faces upward. In some embodiments, sheet **214** is sandpaper having a 30 grit surface and, in other embodiments, a 180 grit surface. The glass-based material sample **218** is held in place above sheet **214** by sample holder **215** such that an air gap **216** exists between glass-based material sample **218** and sheet **214**. The air gap **216** between sheet **214** and glass-based material sample **218** allows the glass-based material sample **218** to bend upon impact by ball **230** and onto the abrasive surface of sheet **214**. In one embodiment, the glass-based material sample **218** is clamped across all corners to keep bending contained only to the point of ball impact and to ensure repeatability. In some embodiments, sample holder **215** and test stand **210** are adapted to accommodate sample thicknesses of up to about 2 mm. The air gap **216** is in a range from about 50  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Air gap **216** is adapted to adjust for difference of material stiffness (Young's modulus,  $E_{\text{mod}}$ ), but also includes the elastic modulus and thickness of the sample. An adhesive tape **220** may be used to cover the upper surface of the glass-based material sample to collect fragments in the event of fracture of the glass-based material sample **218** upon impact of ball **230**.

(42) Various materials may be used as the abrasive surface. In a one particular embodiment, the abrasive surface is sandpaper, such as silicon carbide or alumina sandpaper, engineered sandpaper, or any abrasive material known to those skilled in the art for having comparable hardness and/or sharpness. In some embodiments, sandpaper having 30 grit may be used, as it has a surface topography that is more consistent than either concrete or asphalt, and a particle size and sharpness that produces the desired level of specimen surface damage.

(43) In one aspect, a method **300** of conducting the IBoS test using the apparatus **200** described hereinabove is shown in FIG. 4. In Step **310**, a glass-based material sample (**218** in FIG. 2) is placed in the test stand **210**, described previously and secured in sample holder **215** such that an air gap **216** is formed between the glass-based material sample **218** and sheet **214** with an abrasive surface. Method **300** presumes that the sheet **214** with an abrasive surface has already been placed in test stand **210**. In some embodiments, however, the method may include placing sheet **214** in test stand **210** such that the surface with abrasive material faces upward. In some embodiments (Step **310a**), an adhesive tape **220** is applied to the upper surface of the glass-based material sample **218** prior to securing the glass-based material sample **218** in the sample holder **210**.

(44) In Step **320**, a solid ball **230** of predetermined mass and size is dropped from a predetermined height  $h$  onto the upper surface of the glass-based material sample **218**, such that the ball **230** impacts the upper surface (or adhesive tape **220** affixed to the upper surface) at approximately the center (i.e., within 1 mm, or within 3 mm, or within 5 mm, or within 10 mm of the center) of the upper surface. Following impact in Step **320**, the extent of damage to the glass-based material sample **218** is determined (Step **330**). As previously described hereinabove, herein, the term “fracture” means that a crack propagates across the entire thickness and/or entire surface of a substrate when the substrate is dropped or impacted by an object.

(45) In method **300**, the sheet **218** with the abrasive surface may be replaced after each drop to avoid “aging” effects that have been observed in repeated use of other types (e.g., concrete or

asphalt) of drop test surfaces.

(46) Various predetermined drop heights  $h$  and increments are typically used in method **300**. The test may, for example, utilize a minimum drop height to start (e.g., about 10-20 cm). The height may then be increased for successive drops by either a set increment or variable increments. The test described in method **300** is stopped once the glass-based material sample **218** breaks or fractures (Step **331**). Alternatively, if the drop height  $h$  reaches the maximum drop height (e.g., about 100 cm) without fracture, the drop test of method **300** may also be stopped, or Step **320** may be repeated at the maximum height until fracture occurs.

(47) In some embodiments, IBoS test of method **300** is performed only once on each glass-based material sample **218** at each predetermined height  $h$ . In other embodiments, however, each sample may be subjected to multiple tests at each height.

(48) If fracture of the glass-based material sample **218** has occurred (Step **331** in FIG. 4), the IBoS test according to method **300** is ended (Step **340**). If no fracture resulting from the ball drop at the predetermined drop height is observed (Step **332**), the drop height is increased by a predetermined increment (Step **334**)—such as, for example 5, 10, or 20 cm—and Steps **320** and **330** are repeated until either sample fracture is observed (**331**) or the maximum test height is reached (**336**) without sample fracture. When either Step **331** or **336** is reached, the test according to method **300** is ended.

(49) When subjected to the inverted ball on sandpaper (IBoS) test described above, embodiments of the glass-based material described herein have at least about a 60% survival rate when the ball is dropped onto the surface of the glass from a height of 80 cm. For example, a glass-based material is described as having a 60% survival rate when dropped from a given height when three of five identical (or nearly identical) samples (i.e., having approximately the same composition and, when strengthened, approximately the same compressive stress and depth of compression or compressive stress layer, as described herein) survive the IBoS drop test without fracture when dropped from the prescribed height (here 80 cm). In other embodiments, the survival rate in the 80 cm IBoS test of the glass-based materials that are strengthened is at least about 70%, in other embodiments, at least about 80%, and, in still other embodiments, at least about 90%. In other embodiments, the survival rate of the strengthened glass-based materials dropped from a height of 100 cm in the IBoS test is at least about 60%, in other embodiments, at least about 70%, in still other embodiments, at least about 80%, and, in other embodiments, at least about 90%.

(50) To determine the survivability rate of the glass-based materials when dropped from a predetermined height using the IBoS test method and apparatus described hereinabove, at least five identical (or nearly identical) samples (i.e., having approximately the same composition and, if strengthened, approximately the same compressive stress and depth of compression or layer) of the glass-based materials are tested, although larger numbers (e.g., 10, 20, 30, etc.) of samples may be subjected to testing to raise the confidence level of the test results. Each sample is dropped a single time from the predetermined height (e.g., 80 cm) or, alternatively, dropped from progressively higher heights without fracture until the predetermined height is reached, and visually (i.e., with the naked eye) examined for evidence of fracture (crack formation and propagation across the entire thickness and/or entire surface of a sample). A sample is deemed to have “survived” the drop test if no fracture is observed after being dropped from the predetermined height, and a sample is deemed to have “failed (or “not survived”) if fracture is observed when the sample is dropped from a height that is less than or equal to the predetermined height. The survivability rate is determined to be the percentage of the sample population that survived the drop test. For example, if 7 samples out of a group of 10 did not fracture when dropped from the predetermined height, the survivability rate of the glass would be 70%.

(51) The glass-based materials described herein also demonstrate improved surface strength when subjected to ring-on-ring (ROR) testing and abraded ring-on-ring (AROR) testing. ROR test is identical to the AROR test, except the sample is not abraded prior to ring-on-ring testing. The strength of a material as measured by ROR testing and AROR testing is defined as the stress at

which fracture occurs. The abraded ring-on-ring test is a surface strength measurement for testing flat glass specimens, and ASTM C1499-09(2013), entitled “Standard Test Method for Monotonic Equibiaxial Flexural Strength of Advanced Ceramics at Ambient Temperature,” serves as the basis for the ring-on-ring abraded ROR test methodology described herein. The contents of ASTM C1499-09 are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety. In one embodiment, the glass-based material sample is provided as a sheet and is abraded prior to ring-on-ring testing with 90 grit silicon carbide (SiC) particles that are delivered to the sample using the method and apparatus described in Annex A2, entitled “abrasion Procedures,” of ASTM C158-02(2012), entitled “Standard Test Methods for Strength of Glass by Flexure (Determination of Modulus of Rupture). The contents of ASTM C158-02 and the contents of Annex 2 in particular are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

(52) Prior to ring-on-ring testing a surface of the glass-based material sample is abraded as described in ASTM C158-02, Annex 2, to normalize and/or control the surface defect condition of the sample using the apparatus shown in Figure A2.1 of ASTM C158-02. The abrasive material is sandblasted onto the sample surface at a load of 15 psi using an air pressure of 304 kPa (44 psi). After air flow is established, 5 cm.sup.3 of abrasive material is dumped into a funnel and the sample is sandblasted for 5 seconds after introduction of the abrasive material.

(53) For the ring-on-ring test, a glass-based material sample having at least one abraded surface is placed between two concentric rings of differing size to determine equibiaxial flexural strength (i.e., the maximum stress that a material is capable of sustaining when subjected to flexure between two concentric rings), as schematically shown in FIG. 5. In the AROR configuration **400**, the abraded glass-based material sample **410** is supported by a support ring **420** having a diameter  $D_{sub.2}$ . A force  $F$  is applied by a load cell (not shown) to the surface of the glass-based material sample by a loading ring **430** having a diameter  $D_{sub.1}$ . For ROR, the unabraded glass-based material sample **410** is supported in the same manner and force  $F$  is applied in the same manner.

(54) The ratio of diameters of the loading ring and support ring  $D_{sub.1}/D_{sub.2}$  may be in a range from about 0.2 to about 0.5. In some embodiments,  $D_{sub.1}/D_{sub.2}$  is about 0.5. Loading and support rings **430**, **420** should be aligned concentrically to within 0.5% of support ring diameter  $D_{sub.2}$ . The load cell used for testing should be accurate to within  $\pm 1\%$  at any load within a selected range. In some embodiments, testing is carried out at a temperature of  $23 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$ . and a relative humidity of  $40 \pm 10\%$ .

(55) For fixture design, the radius  $r$  of the protruding surface of the loading ring **430**,  $h/2 \leq r \leq 3h/2$ , where  $h$  is the thickness of specimen **410**. Loading and support rings **430**, **420** are typically made of hardened steel with hardness  $HR_{sub.c} > 40$ . ROR fixtures are commercially available.

(56) The intended failure mechanism for the ROR or AROR test is to observe fracture of the glass-based material sample **410** originating from the surface **430a** within the loading ring **430**. Failures that occur outside of this region—i.e., between the loading rings **430** and support rings **420**—are omitted from data analysis. In some instances, due to the thinness and strength of the glass-based material sample **410**, however, large deflections that exceed  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the specimen thickness  $h$  are sometimes observed. It is therefore not uncommon to observe a high percentage of failures originating from underneath the loading ring **430**. Stress cannot be accurately calculated without knowledge of stress development both inside and under the ring (collected via strain gauge analysis) and the origin of failure in each specimen. ROR and AROR testing therefore focuses on peak load at failure as the measured response.

(57) The strength of glass-based materials depends on the presence of surface flaws. However, the likelihood of a flaw of a given size being present cannot be precisely predicted, as the strength of glass-based materials is statistical in nature. A Weibull probability distribution is therefore generally used as a statistical representation of the data obtained.

(58) In some embodiments, the glass-based material described herein, when provided as a sheet, has a surface or equibiaxial flexural strength of about 250 MPa or greater or even 550 MPa or

greater, as determined by AROR testing.

(59) The values provided herein the surface or equibiaxial flexural strength of the glass-based materials, as determined by AROR testing, and the survival rate of the glass-based materials dropped from various heights (e.g., 80 cm or 100 cm) in the IBoS test are with respect to a glass-based material having a sheet form and a thickness in the range from about 0.1 mm to about 2 mm.

(60) It should be understood that the shape and the dimensions (e.g., thickness) of the glass-based materials may vary. In one or more embodiments, the glass-based material may be substantially planar or sheet-like. The glass-based material may also be curved or otherwise shaped or sculpted. Additionally or alternatively, the physical thickness of the glass-based material may vary along one or more of its dimensions for aesthetic and/or functional reasons. For example, the edges of the glass-based material may be thicker as compared to more central regions. The length, width and physical thickness dimensions of the glass-based material may also vary according to the application or use. For example, the glass-based material may be a sheet having a thickness in the range from about 100  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 2 mm. Exemplary thicknesses range from about 100  $\mu\text{m}$  to about 1 mm (e.g., 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900 or 1000  $\mu\text{m}$ ). The glass-based material may have a physical thickness greater than about 1 mm (e.g., about 2, 3, 4, or 5 mm). The glass-based material may be acid polished or otherwise treated to remove or reduce the effect of surface flaws.

(61) The glass-based material may be provided using a variety of different processes. For instance, various forming methods can include float glass or rolling processes and down-draw processes such as fusion draw and slot draw. In some embodiments, the glass-based materials may be described as down-drawable by processes known in the art, such as slot-drawing, fusion drawing, re-drawing, and the like, and have a liquidus viscosity of at least 130 kilopoise.

(62) Once formed, the glass-based material may be strengthened by one or more processes. Exemplary processes include chemically strengthening processes (e.g., ion-exchange process in which larger ions are exchanged for smaller ions in the surface of the material), thermal strengthening processes (e.g., thermal tempering) and the use of a mismatch of the coefficient of thermal expansion between the glass-based material and another material to create regions with compressive stress (CS) and regions with tensile stress or central tension (CT).

(63) Where the glass-based material is chemically strengthened by an ion exchange process, the ions in the surface layer of the glass-based material are replaced by—or exchanged with—larger ions having the same valence or oxidation state. Ion exchange processes are typically carried out by immersing a glass-based material in one or more molten salt baths containing the larger ions to be exchanged with the smaller ions in the glass-based material. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that parameters for the ion exchange process, including, but not limited to, bath composition and temperature, immersion time, the number of immersions of the glass-based material in a salt bath (or baths), use of multiple salt baths, additional steps such as annealing, washing, and the like, are generally determined by the composition of the glass-based material and the desired CS, depth of compressive stress layer (DOL) or depth of compression (DOC). By way of example, ion exchange of glass-based materials may be achieved by immersion in at least one molten bath containing a salt such as, but not limited to, nitrates, sulfates, and chlorides of the larger alkali metal ion. Depending on the composition of the glass-based material, the bath(s) may include molten alkali salts, such as lithium salt, sodium salt, and/or potassium salt. In some embodiments, the bath(s) may include  $\text{LiNO}_3$ ,  $\text{LiCl}$ ,  $\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{KNO}_3$ ,  $\text{KCl}$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{CaNitrate}$ ,  $\text{KNO}_3$  and other similar compositions or combinations thereof in molten form. In one or more embodiments, the molten salt bath includes a mixture of 90% (by weight)  $\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4$ –10%  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The temperature of the molten salt bath typically is in a range from 300 up to about 900° C. while immersion times range from about 15 minutes up to about 40 hours. The temperature depends on the salt bath composition. It should be noted that such temperatures may reach about 700° C. and, due to the corrosion at such

high temperatures, the molten salt baths may be contained in platinum vessels. The bath composition, bath temperature and immersion times may differ from those described above.

(64) In some embodiments, the glass-based material may be subjected to ion exchange with silver, copper, or other elements, to impart other properties or to lower the melting temperature of salt bath mixture.

(65) In addition, non-limiting examples of ion exchange processes in which glass-based materials are immersed in multiple ion exchange baths, with washing and/or annealing steps between immersions, are described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/500,650, filed Jul. 10, 2009, by Douglas C. Allan et al., entitled “Glass with Compressive Surface for Consumer Applications” and claiming priority from U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/079,995, filed Jul. 11, 2008, in which glasses are strengthened by immersion in multiple, successive, ion exchange treatments in salt baths of different concentrations; and U.S. Pat. No. 8,312,739, by Christopher M. Lee et al., issued on Nov. 20, 2012, and entitled “Dual Stage Ion Exchange for Chemical Strengthening of Glass,” and claiming priority from U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/084,398, filed Jul. 29, 2008, in which glasses are strengthened by ion exchange in a first bath is diluted with an effluent ion, followed by immersion in a second bath having a smaller concentration of the effluent ion than the first bath. The contents of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/500,650 and U.S. Pat. No. 8,312,739 are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

(66) The degree of chemical strengthening achieved by ion exchange may be quantified based on the parameters of CT, surface CS, and DOL or DOC. Compressive stress and depth of layer are measured using those means known in the art. Such means include, but are not limited to, measurement of surface stress (FSM) using refractive near-field (RNF) measurements as described in U.S. Pat. No. 8,854,623B2, entitled “Systems and methods for measuring a profile characteristic of a glass sample”, which is incorporated herein in its entirety.

(67) The profile can be non-linear, error function etc. In various sections of the disclosure, CT and CS are expressed herein in megaPascals (MPa), physical thickness  $t$  is expressed in either micrometers ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) or millimeters (mm) and DOL or DOC is expressed in micrometers ( $\mu\text{m}$ ).

(68) In or more embodiments, the glass-based material can have a surface CS of 250 MPa or greater, 300 MPa or greater, e.g., 400 MPa or greater, 450 MPa or greater, 500 MPa or greater, 550 MPa or greater, 600 MPa or greater, 650 MPa or greater, 700 MPa or greater, 750 MPa or greater or 800 MPa or greater. The strengthened glass-based material may have a DOL of 40  $\mu\text{m}$  or greater (e.g., 45  $\mu\text{m}$ , 50  $\mu\text{m}$ , 60  $\mu\text{m}$ , 70  $\mu\text{m}$ , 80  $\mu\text{m}$ , 90  $\mu\text{m}$ , 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , 110  $\mu\text{m}$ , 120  $\mu\text{m}$ , 130  $\mu\text{m}$  or 140  $\mu\text{m}$  or greater) and/or a CT of 50 MPa or less, 40 MPa or greater, 20 MPa or greater, or 10 MPa or greater. Without being bound by theory, the glass-based materials described herein exhibit the same CS levels as other materials (e.g., glass) and higher CT levels that such materials, without being frangible.

(69) The glass-based materials described herein may be used in various applications. For example, the glass-based materials may be used in various glass articles such as cover plates, enclosures or housings for consumer electronic products to protect critical devices within the product, to provide a user interface for input and/or display, and/or many other functions. Such consumer electronic products include mobile devices, such as smart phones, mp3 players and computer tablets. As used herein, the terms “enclosure,” “cover plate,” and “window” are used interchangeably and refer to articles, including windows, cover plates, screens, panels, and substrates, that form the outer portion of a display screen, window, or structure for mobile electronic devices. The glass-based materials may be used in architectural articles, transportation-related articles, appliance articles, or any article that requires transparency, scratch-resistance, fracture toughness or a combination thereof.

## EXAMPLES

(70) Various embodiments will be further clarified by the following examples.

(71) Compositions 1-5, as set forth in Table 1, were batched and melted by placing 1000 grams of



raw materials in a platinum crucible, and placing the crucible in a furnace preheated at 1500° C. The temperature in the furnace is increased to 1600° C. in 1 hour after the raw materials are introduced and the raw materials are heated at 1600° C. for 4 more hours. The melted glass is poured and rolled to a thickness of 6 mm and annealed at 650° C.

(72) The resulting glasses from Compositions 1-5 are then cerammed according to either Ceramming Schedule A or Ceramming Schedule B to provide Glass-based Materials 1-5, respectively, as shown in Table 2. Ceramming Schedule A includes heat treating the glass at a temperature of 750° C. (“nucleation temperature” or T.sub.N) for 4 hours to nucleate the glass, followed by heat treating the glass at a temperature of 775° C. (“ceramming temperature” or T.sub.C) for 2 hours to form a second phase. Ceramming Schedule B includes heat treating the glass at a temperature of 750° C. (T.sub.N) for 4 hours to nucleate the glass, followed by heat treating the glass at a temperature of 830° C. (T.sub.C) for 2 hours to form a second phase. The heating rate between the nucleation temperature and the ceramming temperature was 10° C./minute.

(73) The properties of the resulting Glass-based Materials 1-5 are shown in Table 2 after being cerammed according to Ceramming Schedule A or Ceramming Schedule B. The CTE values reported in Table 2 were measured over a temperature range from about 25° C. to about 700° C. The fracture toughness values (K.sub.1C) are measured by Vickers indentation. The resulting Glass-based Materials 1-5 were also examined using X-ray diffraction analysis and showed the presence of a second phase including crystals having a mullite structure. The mean size of the crystals, as determined by Rietveld analysis, is also included in Table 2.

(74) As shown in Table 2, the Glass-based Materials 1-5 exhibited high transmittance (i.e., greater than about 90%/mm) and a high fracture toughness (i.e., greater than about 1.3 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2). FIG. 6 shows an image of Glass-based Material 1, formed using Ceramming Schedule B, taken by SEM. The mullite crystals observed had an elongated form. Without being bound by theory, it is believed that the crystal shape may contribute to the observed increased fracture toughness.

(75) TABLE-US-00001

TABLE 1		Compositions 1-5.		Composition		Composition		Composition		Composition		Composition		Composition		Composition		Composition	
Composition	Composition	1	2	3	4	5	Mol %	Wt %	Mol %	Wt %	Mol %	Wt %	Mol %	Wt %	Mol %	Wt %	Mol %	Wt %	
SiO.sub.2	59.85	53	59.85	50.92	59.85	53.55	59.85	51.21	61.35	54.37	Al.sub.2O.sub.3	16.64	25	16.64	24.03	16.64	25.26	16.64	
25	16.64	24.03	16.64	25.26	16.64	24.16	18.14	27.28	B.sub.2O.sub.3	14.62	15	14.62	14.41	14.62	15.16	14.62	14.49	11.62	
11.93	Na.sub.2O	2.16	1.99	2.16	1.91	2.16	1.97	K.sub.2O	2.16	3	2.16	2.88	MgO	6.73	4	6.73	4.04	6.73	
4	ZnO	6.73	7.75	6.73	7.8	SnO.sub.2	0.2	0.43	0.2	0.44									

(76) TABLE-US-00002

TABLE 2		Measured properties of Glass-based Materials 1-5.		Glass-		Glass-		Glass-		Glass-		Glass-		Glass-		Glass-		Glass-	
material 1	material 2	material 3	material 4	material 5	Ceramming Schedule A	Crystalline Mullite	Mullite	Mullite	Mullite	Mullite	Mullite	Phase (XRD)	structure	structure	structure	structure	structure	structure	
Mean size of Crystals (XRD)	Density 2.42	K.sub.1C 1.32	Young's 83	modulus (GPa)	Shear Modulus 34	G GPa	IFT (kgf)	1-2	Ceramming Schedule B	Crystalline Mullite	Mullite	Mullite	Mullite	Phase (XRD)	structure	structure*	structure*	structure*	
Mean size of ~10 nm Crystals (XRD)	Density 2.41	2.42	K.sub.1C 1.29	1.35	Young's 83	84	modulus (GPa)	Shear Modulus 33	34	G GPa	IFT (kgf)	1-1.5	ROR (MPa)	170	Transmission 5/90.1	1/91.2	(thickness mm/Y %)	CTE 37	

\*Note: XRD analysis showed the main crystalline phase as a mullite (3Al.sub.2O.sub.3—2SiO.sub.2) structure. This structure may be a solid solution including some boron.

(77) Composition 6 as set forth in Table 3 was prepared by mixing dry batch materials thoroughly and placing the batch materials in a platinum crucible. The platinum crucible was placed in a globar furnace for 6 hours at 1615° C. Patties of the melted glass were rolled to a thickness of 6 mm and annealed at 675° C. for 1 hour. The resulting glass was then cerammed according to Ceramming Schedule C in a resistance-heated furnace to form a second phase. Ceramming Schedule C included heat treating the glass at temperature of 820° C. (T.sub.N) for 4 hours to

nucleate the glass, followed by heat treating the glass at a temperature of 875° C. (T.sub.C) for 4 hours. The heating rate between the nucleation temperature and the ceramming temperature was 5° C./minute.

(78) TABLE-US-00003 TABLE 3 Composition 6 Wt % Mol % SiO.sub.2 66.1 70.52

Al.sub.2O.sub.3 21.0 13.2 MgO 5.7 9.07 Li.sub.2O 1.9 4.08 ZrO.sub.2 4.8 2.5 Na.sub.2O 0.5 0.52  
SnO.sub.2 0.3 0.13

(79) As shown in Table 4, the resulting Glass-based Material 6 was transparent over the visible spectrum and highly crystalline (with less than 5 w % of the Glass-based Material 6 comprising residual glass). Glass-based Material 6 can be characterized as including a second phase with a large proportion of fine-grained stuffed  $\beta$ -quartz solid solution crystals. The second phase was obtained by controlled crystallization of a MgO—Li.sub.2O—Al.sub.2O.sub.3—SiO.sub.2 glass containing appropriate amounts of nucleating agents such as TiO.sub.2 and/or ZrO.sub.2. FIG. 7A shows an image of Glass-based Material 6 taken by SEM. As shown in FIG. 7A, Glass-based Material 6 is highly crystalline and included stuffed  $\beta$ -quartz solid solution crystals having a dimension of 100 nm to about 150 nm.

(80) Glass-based Material 6 was then chemically strengthened using molten salt bath including reagent grade of alkali metal salt included a mixture of 90% (by weight) Li.sub.2SO.sub.4—10% K.sub.2SO.sub.4. When glass-based materials including a second phase of stuffed  $\beta$ -quartz solid solution are immersed in molten lithium and or/ potassium salt baths, an ion-exchange reaction results in lithium from the molten salt bath replacing magnesium in the crystal lattice. The “crowding” of the surface resulting from the modification of lattice parameter of the solid solution creates a compressive stress and a surface compressive stress layer in the glass-based materials. Without being bound by theory, it is believed that such strengthened glass-based materials can exhibit up to a 5× increase in flexural strength. FIG. 7B shows the Glass-based Material 6 after ion exchange and being etched by hydrofluoric acid. As shown in FIG. 7B, the ion exchange process modifies the composition of the residual glass at the surface.

(81) The properties of the resulting Glass-based Material 6 are shown in Table 4. The CTE value reported in Table 4 was measured over a temperature range from about 25° C. to about 700° C. The fracture toughness value (K.sub.1C) was measured by Vickers indentation. Glass-based Material 6 was also examined using X-ray diffraction analysis, which showed the presence of a second phase including  $\beta$ -quartz crystals. The mean size of the crystals, as determined by Rietveld analysis, is also included in Table 4. The transmittance value provided is with respect to the visible wavelength range from about 400 nm to about 800 nm.

(82) TABLE-US-00004 TABLE 4 Measured properties of Glass-based Material 6. Crystals (XRD)  $\beta$ -quartz  $\beta$ -quartz size (nm) 97 Zirconia (nm) 5 K.sub.1C (MPa .Math. m.sup.1/2) 0.94 E (GPa) 96.6 G (GPa) 38.4 Poisson ratio 0.26 IFT (Kgf) 0.3 Transmittance at thickness 89.9% of 1.0 mm

(83) Influence of lithium bath temperature and ion exchange time on Glass-based Material 6 was studied. Samples 6A-6D of Glass-based Material 6 were each immersed in a molten bath including 90% (by weight) Li.sub.2SO.sub.4—10% K.sub.2SO.sub.4 according Table 5.

(84) TABLE-US-00005 TABLE 5 Molten salt bath temperature and immersion time for Samples 6A-6D. Sample 6A 6B 6C 6D Molten salt bath 710 725 775 800 temperature (° C.) Immersion time 4 16 4 4 (hours)

(85) Samples 6A-6D each had a thickness of 2.1 mm and were tested for ROR and AROR (using 90 grit silicon carbide (SiC) particles that are delivered at 15 psi for 5 seconds). The DOL values of Samples 6A-6D were also measured. The ROR strength, AROR strength and DOL data of strengthened Samples 6A-6D are shown in FIG. 8. Sample 6B exhibited a favorable combination of strength and DOL (>100  $\mu$ m).

(86) The measured mechanical properties of Sample 6A are shown in Table 6. The compressive stress was measured by RNF. The hardness of Sample 6A was measured using a Knoop indenter at a 100 g load.

(87) TABLE-US-00006 TABLE 6 Measured mechanical properties of Sample 6A. Before Strengthening After Strengthening CS (RNF) (MPa) NA 600 DOL ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) NA 40 AROR (MPa) 412 Vickers IFT (kgf) 0.3 5-6 HK100 (MPa) 652 MPa 636 Young's modulus (GPa) 96.6 GPa 98 K.sub.1C (MPa .Math. m.sup.1/2) 0.94 NA

(88) Without being bound by theory, it is believed that the cations involved in the interdiffusion process are not the same at low and high temperature and thus the strengthening effect is not the same. Sample 6E of Glass-based Material 6 was immersed in the same molten bath as Sample 6D but having a temperature of 750° C., for 4 hours. FIGS. 9-10 show EDX scan of the compressive stress layer of Samples 6E and 6D, respectively. At a “low bath temperature” (i.e., 750° C., Sample 6E), MgO diffuses out of the Glass-based Material, lithium from the molten salt bath diffused into the Glass-based Material, and potassium from the molten salt bath does not diffuse at all. At a “higher bath temperature” (i.e., 775° C., Sample 6D), preferential diffusion of potassium from the molten salt bath occurs and magnesium is not believed to participate in the ion exchange. This difference of cations involved in the interdiffusion reactions implies a modification of strengthening principle. At “low temperature”, compression occurs from crystal stuffing and at high temperature, compression arises from amorphization of the glass-ceramic following potassium diffusion. Strengthening through “crystal stuffing” is more efficient than amorphization and thus preferred.

(89) The Knoop scratch threshold (KST) and scratch depth and width of Glass-based Materials 1 and 6B were tested. Glass-based Material 1 exhibited a KST in the range from about 5 N to about 6 N.

(90) Samples of Glass-based Material 1 were scratched at a rate of 0.4 mm/s with a Knoop diamond that is oriented so that the angle between the leading and trailing edges of the tip of the Knoop diamond is 172°30' at a load of 5 N and 6 N, respectively. Images of the resulting scratches are shown in FIGS. 11A-11D. FIGS. 11A and 11B show the resulting scratch on the same sample at a load of 5 N and 6 N, respectively. FIGS. 11C and 11D show the resulting scratch on the same sample at a load of 5 N and 6 N, respectively. The measured depths of the scratches are shown in Table 7.

(91) Samples of Glass-based Material 6B were scratched in the same manner using a Knoop diamond at different loads. The measured depths of the scratches are shown in Table 7.

(92) TABLE-US-00007 TABLE 7 Scratch depth of Glass-based Materials 1 and 6B. Scratch depth Load (micrometers) Glass-based 0.25N 0.176 Material 6B Glass-based 0.25N 0.252 Material 6B Glass-based 0.5N.sup. 4.2 Material 6B Glass-based 5N 9.5 Material 6B Glass-based 6N 14.9 Material 6B Glass-based 5N 1.75 Material 1 Glass-based 6N 2.1 Material 1 Glass-based 6N 2.08 Material 1 Glass-based 6N 18.6 Material 1

(93) FIG. 12 shows an image of Glass-based Material 1 after being scratched using a load of 5 N. The scratch has a width w of about 13 micrometers and chips having a size of up to 25 micrometers. Accordingly, Glass-based Material 1 shows the size of the chips is less than about 2 w. Accordingly, Glass-based material 1 is free of chips having a size greater than 2 w.

(94) FIG. 13 shows an image of Glass-based Material 6B after being scratched using a load of 5 N. As shown in Table 7, the scratch width including chips is 75.5 micrometers, and FIG. 13 shows the scratch width w (without chips) is about 25 micrometers. Accordingly, Glass-based Material 6B shows the size of the chips is about 3 w. Accordingly, Glass-based material 6B is free of chips having a size greater than 3 w.

(95) Compositions 7-12 as set forth in Table 8 were prepared by mixing dry batch materials thoroughly and placing the batch materials in a platinum crucible. The platinum crucible was placed in a global furnace for 6 hours at 1615° C. Patties of the melted glass were rolled to a thickness of 6 mm and annealed at 675° C. for 1 hour. The resulting glass was then cerammed in a resistance-heated furnace to form a second phase.

(96) TABLE-US-00008 TABLE 8 Compositions 7-12 and DOL for Glass-based Materials 7-12. 7 8

9 10 11 12 Mol % Wt % Mol % Wt % Mol % Wt % Mol % Wt % Mol % Wt % Mol % Wt % SiO<sub>2</sub>  
 70.6 66.1 70.6 66.2 70.6 66.0 70.6 65.9 74.0 69.8 65.8 61.0 Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 13.2 21.0 13.2 21.0 13.2 21.0  
 13.2 20.9 11.7 18.7 15.4 24.2 MgO 9.1 5.7 8.1 5.1 10.1 6.3 11.1 6.9 8.1 5.1 10.6 6.6 ZrO<sub>2</sub> 2.5 4.8  
 2.5 4.8 2.5 4.8 2.5 4.8 2.2 4.3 2.9 5.5 Li<sub>2</sub>O 4.1 1.9 5.1 2.4 3.1 1.4 2.1 1.0 3.6 1.7 4.7 2.2 Na<sub>2</sub>O 0.50  
 0.48 0.50 0.48 0.50 0.48 0.50 0.48 0.44 0.4 0.58 0.6 DOL @ 50 49 49 47 57 116 725° C., 4 h  
 (microns)

(97) The resulting Glass-based Materials 7-12 were then chemically strengthened using molten salt bath including reagent grade of alkali metal salt included a mixture of 90% (by weight)

Li.sub.2SO.sub.4–10% K.sub.2SO.sub.4 and a temperature of 725° C. The Glass-based Materials were immersed in the molten salt bath for 4 hours. The resulting depths of compressive stress layer (DOL) are shown in Table 8.

(98) Ten samples of Glass-based Material 7, having a thickness of 0.8 mm were chemically strengthened to exhibit a compressive stress of 900 MPa and DOL of 100 micrometers. The samples were then subjected to the IBoS test, using a 4.2 g ball and 30-grit sandpaper. As shown in FIG. 14, four of the ten samples did not fail at the maximum drop height of 190 cm. The results are compared to the drop test results of a known glass substrate (“Comparative Glass Material 13”) (having no second phase) having a nominal composition of 58 mol % SiO.sub.2, 16.5 mol % Al.sub.2O.sub.3, 16.7 mol % Na.sub.2O, 2.8 mol % MgO, 6.5 mol % P.sub.2O.sub.5 and 0.05 mol % SnO.sub.2, and thickness of 0.8 mm. Ten samples of Comparative Glass Material 13 were chemically strengthened to exhibit a compressive stress of 865 MPa and DOL of 97 micrometers. As shown in FIG. 14, none of the samples of Comparative Glass Material 13 survived beyond a drop height of 150 cm.

(99) While typical embodiments have been set forth for the purpose of illustration, the foregoing description should not be deemed to be a limitation on the scope of the disclosure or appended claims. Accordingly, various modifications, adaptations, and alternatives may occur to one skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the present disclosure or appended claims.

## Claims

1. A glass-ceramic material, comprising: a glass phase comprising at least one of a soda lime glass, an alkali aluminosilicate glass, and a lithium alumina silicate glass; a crystalline phase dispersed within the glass phase, wherein the crystalline phase comprises petalite and at least one of mullite, spinel,  $\beta$ -quartz, lithium disilicate,  $\beta$ -spodumene, nepheline, and alumina; wherein crystallites of the crystalline phase have a major dimension less than 100 nm; wherein the crystallites of the crystalline phase are sufficiently small in dimension to provide transparency to the glass-ceramic material; wherein the transparency is such that the glass-ceramic material has transmittance of at least 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from 400 nm to 700 nm; wherein a difference in refractive index between the glass phase and the crystalline phase is less than 0.5; and wherein the glass-ceramic material has a fracture toughness of at least 0.9 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2.

2. The glass-ceramic material of claim 1, wherein a volume fraction of the crystalline phase is between 10% to 98% of the glass-ceramic material.

3. The glass-ceramic material of claim 1, wherein the difference in refractive index between the glass phase and the crystalline phase is less than 0.025.

4. An article comprising the glass-ceramic material of claim 1, wherein the article comprises a surface, and wherein when scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of at least 5 N to form a scratch having a width w, the surface is free of chips having a size of greater than 3w.

5. The article of claim 4, wherein the article has at least a 60% survival rate when subjected to an inverted ball on sandpaper test with a 4.2 g stainless steel ball having a diameter of 10 mm from a drop height of 100 cm onto a 30 grit sandpaper positioned above the surface of the article so there is a 100  $\mu$ m air gap, wherein the survival rate is based on testing 30 samples.

6. A glass-ceramic material, comprising: a glass phase comprising at least one of a soda lime glass, an alkali aluminosilicate glass, and a lithium alumina silicate glass; a crystalline phase dispersed within the glass phase, wherein the crystalline phase comprises petalite and at least one of mullite, spinel,  $\beta$ -quartz, lithium disilicate,  $\beta$ -spodumene, nepheline, and alumina; wherein crystallites of the crystalline phase have a mean size in a range from 5 nm to 200 nm; wherein the crystallites of the crystalline phase are sufficiently small in dimension to provide transparency to the glass-ceramic material; wherein the transparency is such that the glass-ceramic material has transmittance of at least 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from 400 nm to 700 nm; wherein a difference in refractive index between the glass phase and the crystalline phase is less than 0.5; and wherein the glass-ceramic material has a fracture toughness of at least 0.9 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2.

7. The glass-ceramic material of claim 6, wherein a volume fraction of the crystalline phase is between 10% to 98% of the glass-ceramic material.

8. The glass-ceramic material of claim 6, wherein the difference in refractive index between the glass phase and the crystalline phase is less than 0.025.

9. An article comprising the glass-ceramic material of claim 6, wherein the article comprises a surface, and wherein when scratched with a Knoop diamond at a load of at least 5 N to form a scratch having a width  $w$ , the surface is free of chips having a size of greater than  $3w$ .

10. The article of claim 9, wherein the article has at least a 60% survival rate when subjected to an inverted ball on sandpaper test with a 4.2 g stainless steel ball having a diameter of 10 mm from a drop height of 100 cm onto a 30 grit sandpaper positioned above the surface of the article so there is a 100  $\mu$ m air gap, wherein the survival rate is based on testing 30 samples.

11. A glass-ceramic material, comprising: a glass phase comprising at least one of a soda lime glass, an alkali aluminosilicate glass, and a lithium alumina silicate glass; a crystalline phase dispersed within the glass phase, the crystalline phase comprising at least one of mullite, spinel,  $\beta$ -quartz, lithium disilicate,  $\beta$ -spodumene, nepheline, and alumina, wherein the crystalline phase further comprises petalite; wherein crystallites of the crystalline phase have a major dimension less than 100 nm; wherein the crystallites of the crystalline phase are sufficiently small in dimension to provide transparency to the glass-ceramic material; wherein the transparency is such that the glass-ceramic material has transmittance of at least 88%/mm over a visible spectrum ranging from 400 nm to 700 nm; wherein a difference in refractive index between the glass phase and the crystalline phase is less than 0.5; and wherein the glass-ceramic material has a fracture toughness of at least 0.9 MPa.Math.m.sup.1/2.

---