

(12) United States Patent

Perales-Puchalt et al.

US 12,384,826 B2 (10) **Patent No.:**

(45) Date of Patent: Aug. 12, 2025

(54) METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATING CANCER

(71) Applicant: The Wistar Institute of Anatomy and

Biology, Philadelphia, PA (US)

(72) Inventors: Alfredo Perales-Puchalt, Philadelphia,

PA (US); Jose R. Conejo-Garcia,

Philadelphia, PA (US)

Assignee: The Wistar Institute of Anatomy and

Biology, Philadelphia, PA (US)

Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 868 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 17/562,643

(*) Notice:

(22)Filed: Dec. 27, 2021

(65)**Prior Publication Data**

> US 2022/0185861 A1 Jun. 16, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

- (62) Division of application No. 16/281,472, filed on Feb. 21, 2019, now Pat. No. 11,248,033, which is a division of application No. 15/515,442, filed as application No. PCT/US2015/053128 on Sep. 30, 2015, now Pat. No. 10,259,855.
- (60) Provisional application No. 62/202,824, filed on Aug. 8, 2015, provisional application No. 62/059,068, filed on Oct. 2, 2014.

Int. Cl.	
C12N 15/62	(2006.01)
A61K 38/17	(2006.01)
A61K 38/24	(2006.01)
A61K 40/11	(2025.01)
A61K 40/42	(2025.01)
C07K 14/59	(2006.01)
C07K 14/705	(2006.01)
C07K 14/725	(2006.01)
A61K 38/00	(2006.01)
A61K 48/00	(2006.01)
	C12N 15/62 A61K 38/17 A61K 38/24 A61K 40/11 A61K 40/42 C07K 14/59 C07K 14/705 C07K 14/725 A61K 38/00

(52) U.S. Cl.

CPC C07K 14/59 (2013.01); A61K 38/177 (2013.01); A61K 38/1774 (2013.01); A61K 38/24 (2013.01); A61K 40/11 (2025.01); A61K 40/4202 (2025.01); CO7K 14/705 (2013.01); C07K 14/7051 (2013.01); C07K 14/70517 (2013.01); C07K 14/70521 (2013.01); C07K 14/70564 (2013.01); C07K 14/70578 (2013.01); A61K 38/00 (2013.01); A61K 48/00 (2013.01); A61K 2239/31 (2023.05); A61K 2239/38 (2023.05); A61K 2239/59 (2023.05); C07K 2319/00 (2013.01); C07K 2319/02 (2013.01); C07K 2319/03 (2013.01); C07K 2319/30 (2013.01)

Field of Classification Search

See application file for complete search history.

(56)References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,702,702	A	12/1997	Santoli et al.
7,247,472	B2	7/2007	Wilson et al.
7,696,179	B2	4/2010	Lieberman et al.
7,803,611	B2	9/2010	Roelvink et al.
8,546,535	B2	10/2013	Leuschner et al.
2006/0247420	A1	11/2006	Coukos et al.
2008/0234186	A1	9/2008	Lustbader
2013/0287748	A1	10/2013	June et al.
2013/0309258	A1	11/2013	June et al.
2014/0161767	A1	6/2014	Leuschner et al.
2014/0241983	$\mathbf{A}1$	8/2014	Hu et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	WO 2005/071093	8/2005
WO	WO 2009/081170	7/2009
WO	WO 2009/103741	8/2009
WO	WO 2013/044225	3/2013
WO	WO 2013/063019	5/2013
WO	WO 2016/073456	5/2016

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Ali, N. et al., Xenogeneic graft-versus-host-disease in NOD-scid IL-2Rgammanull mice display a T-effector memory phenotype, PLoS One, Aug. 2012, 7(8):e44219.

Al-Timimi, A. at al., An immunohistochemical study of the incidence and significance of human gonadotrophin and prolactin binding sites in normal and neoplastic human ovarian tissue, Br J Cancer, Mar. 1986, 53(3):321-329.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Michael D Pak

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Howson & Howson LLP

(57)ABSTRACT

A nucleic acid sequence is provided that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises a naturally occurring or modified follicle stimulating hormone sequence, e.g., an FSHB sequence, or fragment thereof, which ligand binds to human follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor, linked to either (a) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain; or (b) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a ligand that binds to NKG2D. The vector containing the nucleic acid sequence, the chimeric proteins so encoded, and modified T cells expressing the chimeric protein, as well as method of using these compositions for the treatment of FSHR-expressing cancers or tumor cells are also provided.

21 Claims, 17 Drawing Sheets

Specification includes a Sequence Listing.

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Amatangelo, M. D. et al., Three-dimensional culture sensitizes epithelial ovarian cancer cells to EZH2 methyltransferase inhibition, Cell Cycle, Jul. 2013, 12(13):2113-2119.

Arcangeli S et al. Balance of Anti-CD123 Chimeric Antigen Receptor Binding Affinity and Density for the Targeting of Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Aug. 2, 2017; 25(8):1933-45. Epub May 4, 2017.

Barber, A. et al., Chimeric NKG2D receptor-bearing T cells as immunotherapy for ovarian cancer, Cancer Research, May 2007, 67(10):5003-5008.

Biasco, L. et al., Integration profile of retroviral vector in gene therapy treated patients is cell-specific according to gene expression and chromatin conformation of target cell, EMBO Molecular Medicine, Feb. 2011, 3(2):89-101.

Brando, C. et al., Receptors and lytic mediators regulating anti-tumor activity by the leukemic killer T cell line TALL-104, Journal of Leukocyte Biology, Aug. 2005, 78(2):359-371.

Brentjens, R. J. & Curran, K. J., Novel cellular therapies for leukemia: CAR-modified T cells targeted to the CD19 antigen, American Society of Hematology, Dec. 2012, 2012(1):143-151.

Carpenito, C. et al., Control of large, established tumor xenografts with genetically retargeted human T cells containing CD28 and CD137 domains, PNAS, Mar. 2009, 106(9):3360-3365.

Cesano, A. & Santoli, D., Two unique human leukemic T-cell lines endowed with a stable cytotoxic function and a different spectrum of target reactivity analysis and modulation of their lytic mechanisms, In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology, Sep. 1992, 28A(9/10):648-656.

Chen, et al. "Advances in follicle stimulating hormone receptor and its targeted drugs" Department of Radiation Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province China.

Choi, J. H. et al., Overexpression of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor activates oncogenic pathways in preneoplastic ovarian surface epithelial cells, The Journla of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Nov. 2004, 89(11):5508-5516.

Conejo-Garcia, J. et al., Letal, A Tumor-Associated NKG2D Immunoreceptor Ligand, Induces Activation and Expansion of Effector Immune Cells, Cancer Biology and Therapy, Jul. 2003, 2(4):446-451.

Conejo-Garcia, J. R. et al., Ovarian carcinoma expresses the NKG2D ligand Letal and promotes the survival and expansion of CD28-antitumor T cells, Cancer Research, Mar. 2004, 64:2175-2182.

Conejo-Garcia, J. R. et al., Tumor-infiltrating dendritic cell precursors recruited by a beta-defensin contribute to vasculogenesis under the influence of Vegf-A, Nature Medicine, Sep. 2004, 10(9):950-958.

Coukos, J. R. et al, Immunotherapy for gynecological malignancies, Expert Opin Biol Ther, Sep. 2005, 5(9):1193-210.

Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R. et al., Blocking ovarian cancer progression by targeting tumor microenvironmental leukocytes, Cell Cycle, Jan. 2010, 9(2):260-268.

Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R. et al., cd277 is a Negative Co-stimulatory Molecule Universally Expressed by Ovarian Cancer Microenvironmental Cells, Oncotarget, Sep. 2010, 1(5):329-338.

Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R. et al., Polyethylenimine-based siRNA nanocomplexes reprogram tumor-associated dendritic cells via TLR5 to elicit therapeutic antitumor immunity, The Journal of Clinical Investigation, Aug. 2009, 119(8):2231-2244.

Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R. et al., Reprogramming tumor-associated dendritic cells in vivo using microRNA mimetics triggers protective immunity against ovarian cancer, Cancer Res, Apr. 2012, 72(7):1683-1693

Cui, J et al, Regulation of gene expression in ovarian cancer cells by luteinizing hormone receptor expression and activation, BMC Cancer, Jun. 2011, 11(1):280.

Curiel, T.J. et al., Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival, Nature Medicine, Sep. 2004, 10(9):942-949.

Curran KJ et al. Chimeric antigen receptors for T cell immunotherapy: current understanding and future directions. J Gene Med. Jun. 2012; 14(6):405-15.

Ellis, J. M. et al., Frequencies of HLA-A2 alleles in five U.S. population groups, Predominance Of A*02011 and identification of HLA-A*0231, Hum Immunol, Mar. 2000, 61(3):334-340.

Eruslanov, E. B. et al., Tumor-associated neutrophils stimulate T cell responses in early-stage human lung cancer, Journal of Clinical Investigation, Dec. 2014, 124(12):5466-5480.

Fan QR and Hendrickson WA. Structure of human follicle-stimulating hormone in complex with its receptor. Nature. Jan. 20, 2005; 433(7023):269-77.

Gacerez AT et al. How chimeric antigen receptor design affects adoptive T cell therapy. Cell Physiol. Dec. 2016; 231(12):2590-8. Epub Jun. 2, 2016.

Gartrell, BA et al, The follicle-stimulating hormone receptor: A novel target in genitourinary malignancies. Urol. Oncol: Simiars and Origl Invest., Nov. 2013, 31(8):1403-1407.

Geoerger, B. et al., Antitumor activity of a human cytotoxic T-cell line (TALL-104) in brain tumor xenografts, Neuro Oncology, Apr. 2000, 2(2):103-113.

George, JW et al., Current concepts of fillicle-stimulating hormone receptor gene regulation., Biol. Reproduc. Jan. 2011, 84(1):7-17. Grupp, S. A. et al., Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for acute lymphoid leukemia, The New England Journal of Medicine, Apr. 2013, 368(16):1509-1518.

Gust, T.C. et al., RNA interference-mediated gene silencing in murine T cells: in vitro and in vivo validation of proinflammatory target genes, Cell Commun Signal., Aug. 6, 2008, 6:3.

Hall, J. E., Neuroendocrine changes with reproductive aging in women, Seminars in Reproductive Medicine, Sep. 2007, 25(5):344-351

Hamanishi, J. et al., Programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T lymphocytes are prognostic factors of human ovarian cancer, PNAS, Feb. 2007, 104(9):3360-3365.

Harlin, H. et al., Chemokine expression in melanoma metastases associated with CD8+ T-cell recruitment, Cancer Research, Apr. 2009, 69(7):3077-3085.

Heslop, H. E. et al., Long-term outcome of EBV-specific T-cell infusions to prevent or treat EBV-related lymphoproliferative disease in transplant recipients, Blood, Feb. 2010, 115(5):925-935.

Heublein, S. et al., The G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER/GPR30) is a gonadotropin receptor dependent positive prognosticator in ovarian carcinoma patients, PLoS One, Aug. 2013, 8(8):e71791. Hollyman, D. et al., Manufacturing validation of biologically functional T cells targeted to CD19 antigen for autologous adoptive cell therapy, J Immunother, Feb. 2009, 32(2):169-180.

Huang, B. et al., Modulation of NKG2D-ligand cell surface expression enhances immune cell therapy of cancer, Journal of Immunotherapy Apr. 2011, 34(3):289-296.

Huarte, E. et al., Depletion of dendritic cells delays ovarian cancer progression by boosting anti-tumor immunity, Cancer Res, Sep. 2008, 68(18):7684-7691.

Huarte, E. et al., PILAR is a novel modulator of human T-cell expansion, Blood, Aug. 2008, 112(4):1259-1268.

Jemal, A. et al., Cancer statistics, 2008, CA Cancer J Clin, Mar. 2008, 58(2):71-96.

Jemal, A. et al., Cancer statistics, 2009, CA Cancer J Clin, Jul. 2009, 59(4):225-249.

Jensen MC and Riddell Sr. Design and implementation of adoptive therapy with chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells. Immunol Rev. Jan. 2014; 257(1):127-44.

Kahlon KS et al. Specific recognition and killing of glioblastoma multiforme by interleukin 13-zetakine redirected cytolytic T cells. Cancer Res. Dec. 15, 2004; 64(24):9160-6.

Kalos, M., et al. T cells with chimeric antigen receptors have potent antitumor effects and can establish memory in patients with advanced leukemia, Sci Transl Med, Aug. 2011, 3(95):95ra73.

Kang, TH et al, Control of spontaneous ovarian tumors by CD8+ T cells through NKG2D-targeted delivery of antigenic peptide. Cell & Biosci, Dec. 2013, 3:48.

Kruse, C. A. et al., The human leukemic T-cell line, TALL-104, is cytotoxic to human malignant brain tumors and traffics through

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

brain tissue: implications for local adoptive immunotherapy, Cancer Research, Oct. 2000, 60:5731-5739.

Lamers, C. H. et al., Immune responses to transgene and retroviral vector in patients treated with ex vivo-engineered T cells, Blood, Jan. 2011, 117(1):72-82.

Lauer, P. et al., Constitutive Activation of the PrfA Regulon Enhances the Potency of Vaccines Based on Live-Attenuated and Killed but Matabolically Active Listeria monocytogenes Strains, Aug. 2008, 76(8):3742-3753.

Laws, M.J., et al. Dysregulated estrogen receptor signaling in the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis leads to ovarian epithelial tumorigenesis in mice, PLoS Genetics, Mar. 2014, 10(3):e1004230. Lee, D. W. et al., T cells expressing CD19 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young adults: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial, Lancet, Oct. 2014, 385(9967):517-528.

Maines-Bandiera, S. L. et al., Epithelio-mesenchymal transition in a neoplastic ovarian epithelial hybrid cell line, Differentiation, May 2004, 72(4):150-161.

Manjunath, N. et al., Lentiviral delivery of short hairpin RNAs, Adv Drug Deliv Rev, Jul. 2009, 61(9):732-745.

Mariani, S. et al., Expression and cellular localization of folliclestimulating hormone receptor in normal human prostate, benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer, The Journal of Urology, Jun. 2006, 175(6):2072-2077.

Maude, S. L. et al., Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia, The New England Journal of Medicine, Oct. 2014, 371(16):1507-1517.

Maus, M. V. et al., Antibody-modified T cells: CARs take the front seat for hematologic malignancies, Blood, Apr. 2014, 123(17):2625-2635.

Maus, M. V. et al., T cells expressing chimeric antigen receptors can cause anaphylaxis in humans, Cancer Immunology Research, Jul. 2013, 1(1):26-31.

Milone, M. C. et al., Chimeric receptors containing CD137 signal transduction domains mediate enhanced survival of T cells and increased antileukemic efficacy in vivo, Molecular Therapy, Aug. 2009, 17(8):1453-1464.

Minegishi, T. et al., Expression of gonadotropin and activin receptor messenger ribonucleic acid in human ovarian epithelial neoplasms, Clinical Cancer Research, Jul. 2000, 6(7):2764-2770.

Nesbeth, Y. & Conejo-Garcia, J. R., Harnessing the effect of adoptively transferred tumor-reactive T cells on endogenous (host-derived) antitumor immunity, Clinical and Developmental Immunology, Nov. 2010, 2010(139304):1-11.

Nesbeth, Y. et al., CCL5-mediated endogenous antitumor immunity elicited by adoptively transferred lymphocytes and dendritic cell depletion, Cancer Res, Aug. 2009, 69(15):6331-6338.

Nesbeth, Y.C. et al., CD4+ T cells elicit host immune responses to MHC class II-ovarian cancer through CCL5 secretion and CD40-mediated licensing of dendritic cells, The Journal of Immunology, May 2010, 184(10):5654-5662.

Norelli M et al. Clinical pharmacology of CAR-T cells: Linking cellular pharmacodynamics to pharmacokinetics and antitumor effects. Biochim Biophys Acta. Jan. 2016; 1865(1):90-100. Epub Dec. 31, 2015.

Perales-Puchalt, A. et al., Follicle-Stimulating Hormone Receptor Is Expressed by Most Ovarian Cancer Subtypes and Is a Safe and Effective Immunotherapeutic Target, Clinical Cancer Research, Jan. 2017, 23(2):441-453.

Porter, D. L. et al., A phase 1 trial of donor lymphocyte infusions expanded and activated ex vivo via CD3/CD28 costimulation, Blood, Feb. 2006, 107(4):1325-1331.

Porter, D. L. et al., Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells in chronic lymphoid leukemia, The New England Journal of Medicine, Aug. 2011, 365(8):725-733.

Pule, M. A. et al., Virus-specific T cells engineered to coexpress tumor-specific receptors: persistence and antitumor activity in individuals with neuroblastoma, Nature Medicine, Nov. 2008, 14(11):1264-1270.

Radu, A. et al, Expression of Follicle-Stimulating Hormone Receptor in Tumor Blood Vessels, NEngl J. Medic, Oct. 2010, 363:1621-30

Ramakrishna, V. et al., Naturally occurring peptides associated with HLA-A2 in ovarian cancer cell lines identified by mass spectrometry are targets of HLA-A2-restricted cytotoxic T cells, International Immunology, Jun. 2003, 15(6):751-763.

Ramos, RA and Dotti, G., Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR)-Engineered Lymphocytes for Cancer Therapy, Expert Opin Biol Ther., Jul. 2011, 11(7):855-873.

Ritchie, D. S. et al., Persistence and efficacy of second generation CAR T cell against the LeY antigen in acute myeloid leukemia, Molecular Therapy, Nov. 2013, 21(11):2122-2129.

Rosati, S. F. et al., A novel murine T-cell receptor targeting NY-ESO-1, Journal of Immunotherapy, Apr. 2014, 37(3):135-146. Rutkowski, M. R. et al., Initiation of metastatic breast carcinoma by targeting of the ductal epithelium with adenovirus-cre: a novel transgenic mouse model of breast cancer, Journal of Visualized Experiments, Mar. 2014, 85:e51171.

Rutkowski, M. R. et al., Microbial driven TLR5-dependent signaling governs distal malignant progression through tumor-promoting inflammation, Cancer Cell, Jan. 2015, 27(1):27-40.

Sadelain M et al. The basic principles of chimeric antigen receptor design. Cancer Discov. Apr. 2013; 3(4):388-98. Epub Apr. 2, 2013. Santoro S. "T cells bearing a chimeric antigen receptor against the tumor vasculature destroy the tumor endothelium and result in tumor regression" (2014) Dissertations available from ProQuest. A 43670963

Sato, E. et al., Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer, PNAS, Dec. 2005, 102(51):18538-18543.

Scarlett, U. K. et al., In situ stimulation of CD40 and Toll-like receptor 3 transforms ovarian cancer-infiltrating dendritic cells from immunosuppressive to immunostimulatory cells, Cancer Res, Sep. 2009, 69(18):7329-7337.

Scarlett, U. K. et al., Ovarian cancer progression is controlled by phenotypic changes in dendritic cells, The Journal of Experimental Medicine, Mar. 2012, 209(3):495-506.

Scarlett, UK and Conejo-Garcia, JR, Modulating the tumor immune microenvironment as an ovarian cancer treatment strategy, Sep. 2012, Expert Rev. Obstet Gynecol, 7(5):413-419.

Scholler, J. et al., Decade-long safety and function of retroviral-modified chimeric antigen receptor T cells, Sci Transl Med, May 2012, 4(132):132ra53.

Siegel, R. et al., Cancer statistics, 2014, CA Cancer J Clin, Jan. 2014, 64(1):9-29.

Simoni, M. et al., The follicle-stimulating hormone receptor: biochemistry, molecular biology, physiology, and pathophysiology, Endocrine Reviews, Dec. 1997, 18(6):739-773.

Siraj, A. et al., Expression of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor by the vascular endothelium in tumor metastases, BMC Cancer, May 2013, 13(246):1-8.

Song, D. G. et al., In vivo persistence, tumor localization, and antitumor activity of CAR-engineered T cells is enhanced by costimulatory signaling through CD137 (4-1BB), Cancer Res, Jul. 2011, 71(13):4617-4627.

Stephen, et al., Foxpl Regulates Anti-tumor Effector Functions of CD8+ T cells in Ovarian Cancer, The Journal of Immunology, May 2012, 188 (1 Supplement) 46.

Stephen, T. L. et al., Transforming Growth Factor beta-Mediated Suppression of Antitumor T Cells Requires FoxP1 Transcription Factor Expression, Immunity Sep. 2014, 41(3):427-439.

Teachey, D. T. et al., Cytokine release syndrome after blinatumomab treatment related to abnormal macrophage activation and ameliorated with cytokine-directed therapy, Blood, Jun. 2013, 121(26):5154-5157.

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Terakura, S. et al., Generation of CD19-chimeric antigen receptor modified CD8+ T cells derived from virus-specific central memory T cells, Blood, Jan. 2012, 119(1):72-82.

Urba, W. J. & Longo, D. L., Redirecting T cells, The New England Journal of Medicine, Aug. 2011, 365(8):754-757.

Urbanska, K. et al, Follicle-stimulating hormone receptor as a target in the redirected T-cell therapy for cancer. Oct. 2015, Cancer Immunol. Res., 3(10):1130-1137, publ online Jun. 25, 2015.

Vannier, B. et al., Anti-human FSH receptor monoclonal antibodies: immunochemical and immunocytochemical characterization of the receptor, Biochemistry, Feb. 1996, 35(5):1358-1366.

Visonneau, S. et al., Phase I trial of TALL-104 cells in patients with refractory metastatic breast cancer, Clinical Cancer Research, May 2000, 6(5):1744-1754.

Walker, J. L. et al., Intraperitoneal catheter outcomes in a phase III trial of intravenous versus intraperitoneal chemotherapy in optimal stage III ovarian and primary peritoneal cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study, Gynecologic Oncology, Jan. 2006, 100(1):27-32.

Wang, J. et al., Quantitative analysis of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor in ovarian epithelial tumors: a novel approach to explain the field effect of ovarian cancer development in secondary mullerian systems, International Journal of Cancer, Jan. 2003, 103(3):328-334

Yokokawa, J. et al., Identification of novel human CTL epitopes and their agonist epitopes of mesothelin, Clinical Cancer Research, Sep. 2005, 11(17):6342-6351.

Zhang, L. et al., Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer, The New England Journal of Medicine, Jan. 2003, 348(3):203-213.

Thang, X et al, Targeted paclitaxel nanoparticles modified with follicle-stimulating hormone β 81-95 peptide show effective antitumor activity against ovarian carcinoma. Jun. 2013, Internat. J Pharmaceutics. 453:498-505.

Zhang, X. Y. et al., Follicle-stimulating hormone peptide can facilitate paclitaxel nanoparticles to target ovarian carcinoma in vivo, Cancer Res, Aug. 2009, 69(16):6506-6514.

Zou, W. et al., Stromal-derived factor-1 in human tumors recruits and alters the function of plasmacytoid precursor dendritic cells, Nature Medicine, Dec. 2001, 7(12):1339-1346.

International Search Report and in International Patent Application No. PCT/US15/53128, mailed Dec. 28, 2015.

Written Opinion in corresponding International Patent Application No. PCT/US15/53128, mailed Dec. 28, 2015.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability in International Patent Application No. PCT/US15/53128, issued Apr. 4, 2017.

Restriction Requirement in U.S. Appl. No. 15/515,442, dated Jan. 31, 2018.

Response to Restriction Requirement in U.S. Appl. No. 15/515,442, filed Mar. 28, 2018.

Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 15/515,442, dated Jul. 6, 2018.

Applicant Initiated Interview Summary in U.S. Appl. No. 15/515,442, dated Sep. 20, 2018.

Response to Non-Final Office Action in U.S. Appl. No. 15/515,442, filed Oct. 9, 2018.

Notice of Allowance in in U.S. Appl. No. 15/515,442, mailed Jan. 1, 2019.

Supplementary European Search Report in European Patent Application No. 15847792.7, dated Mar. 7, 2018.

Communication and Search Opinion in European Patent Application No. 15847792.7, dated Mar. 22, 2018.

Response filed in European Patent Application No. 15847792.7, dated Oct. 19, 2018

Communication in European Patent Application No. 15847792.7, dated Mar. 29, 2019.

Office Action issued in Chinese Patent Application No. 201580065382. 9, dated Jun. 3, 2020, with English Translation.

FIG. 1

FIG. 2A

FSHCER-CD8

300

E 200

B7F

B7m

Irr. CAR

FIG. 2B

FSHCER-CD4

125
100
75
25
B7F
B7m
Inr. CAR

FIG. 3





FIG. 4A






FIG. 4B

Adoptively Transfered T cells




FIG. 4C




FIG. 4D




FIG. 5

																			tage	60
M	K	Ϋ́	L	Q	F	F	F	L	E.	C	С	W	K	A	I	С	С	N	S	
Tg	tga	igct	gac	caa	cat	cac	cat	tặc	aat	aga	gaa	aga	aga	atg	tag	ttt	.ct.g	rcat	.aagc	120
С	E	L	T	N	I	T	I	A	I	E	K	E	E	С	R	F	С	I	S	
At	caa	cac	cac	ttg	gtg	tgc	tgg	cta	ctg	cta	cac	cag	gga	tct	ggt	gta	taa	gga	coca	180
I.	N	Ţ	T	W	С	A	G	Y	C	Y	T	R	D	L	Λ	Y	K	Ď	P	
God	caq	racc	caa	aat	cca	gaa	aac	atq	tac	ctt	caa	gga	act	aat	ata	oga	aac	aat	gaga	240
	~	P				-						~ ~		** **		-		V		-
Gt	acc	caa	cta	taci	tca	cca	tac	aga	ttc	ctt	ata	tac	ata	ccc	agt	aac	cac	cca	gtgt	300
		G					Ã						Y		V		"I"		C	-,
Ċas	cta	taa	caa	atai	tga	caq	caa	caq	cac	taa	tta	tac	tat	aca.	agg	cot	.aac	racc	cage	360
Н		Ğ																	S	
Tag	eta	ctc	ctt	taai	tgaa	aat	αaa	aga	aaa	taa	taa	ttc	taa	taa	taa.	ato	:caa	rt.aa	tggt	420
	-	S																G		
Tai	taa	taa	taa	taci	taci	taa	tat	aca	aaa	aac.	aga	att	tca-	cca:	tat ⁱ	tac	cca	aac	tact	480
		Ğ																	A	
Cto	caa	act	cct	dado	ete	aaq	caa	tcc	acc	cac	taa	aac	ctc	cca	aag	tac	tao	gat	taca	540
		L														***	R	-	T	
Gat	tta	ccc	aga	atq	caco	act	aca	aga	aaa	cac	att	ctt	ctc	cca	acc	aga	tac	ccc	aata	600
		P	-			-									-				I	
CE	toa	ata	cati	aaa	cta	cta	ctt	ctc	taq	agc.	ata	tcc	cac	tac	act	aaq	ratio	caa	gaag	660
L		C											T	P	L	-	S		K	
Acc	rat	att	aat:	ccaa	aaa	gaa	cat	cac	ctc	aga	ato	cac	tta	cta	tat	agc	:taa	atc	atat	720
	-	L																	Y	
Aac	cad	aat	caca	aata	aato	aga	aaa	ttt	caa	aat	gga	gaa	cca-	cac	aac	ata	cca	cta:	cadt.	780
																		C		
Act	:ta	tta	ttai	toak	caaa	atc	tac	cac	gac	qca:	agc	acc	aca.	acc	acc.	aac	acc	aacı	gaac	840
																		A		
Acc	cat	cac	ate	gcac	rcc	cet	atc	act:	aca.	cca	ada	aac	ata.	cca	acc.	മന	:aar:	ada	gggc	900
																		G		

FIG. 5 (cont'd)

Go	agt	gca	cac	gag	ggg	gct	gga	ctt	ogo	ctg	rtga	itat	.ct.a	cat	ctg	ggc	gec	ctt	ggcc	960
													Y					L		
Gg	gac	ttg	tgg	ggt	cat.	tet	cct	gto	act	ggt	tat	cac	cct	tta	ctg	caa	acg	ggg	caga	1020
G	T	С	G	V	L	L	Ī,	S	L	V	I	T	L	Y	С	K	R	G	R	
aa	gaa	act	cċt	gta	tat	att	caa	aca	acc	att	tat	gaç	gacc	agt	aca	aac	tac	tca	agag	1080
K	K	L	L	Y	I	F	K	Q	P	F	M	R	P	V	Q	T	T	Q	E	
Ga	aga	tgg	ctg	tag	ctg	ccg	att	tac	aga	aga	aga	aga	ıagg	agg	atġ	tga	act	gag	agtg	1140
E	D	G	С	S	С	R	£'	p	E	E	E	E	G	G	С	E	L	R	A	
																				1200
K.	F	S	R	S	A	D	A	P	A	Y	Q	Q	G	Q	N	Q	L	Y	И	
																				1260
E	L	N	L	G	R	R	E	E	Y	D	V	L	D	K	R	R	G	R	D	
Cc	tga																		actg	1320
P	E	M	G	G	K	P	R	R	K	N	P	Q	E	G	L	Y	N	E	L	
Ca.	gaa.	aga	taa	gat	ggc	gga	ggc	cta	cag	tga	gat	tgg	gat	gaa	agg	cga	gcg	ccg	gagg	1380
Q	K	D	K	M	A	E	Α	Ã	S	E	I	G	M	K	G	E	R	R	R	
Gg	caa	ggg	gca-	cga	tgg	cct	tta	сса	ggg	tot	cag	rtac	ago	cac	caa	gga	cac	cta	cgac	1440
G	K	G	H	D	G	L	Y	Q	G	L	S	T	A	T	K	D	T	Y	D	
gc	cct	tca	cat	gca	ggc	cct	gcc	ccc	t.cg	cta	a									1473
-6	Ý	5.5	2.5	\sim	n	*	275	Γ	7%	4										

FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B

ATGaatagotgtgagotgaccaacatcaccattgcaatagagaaagaagaatgtcqtttc M N S C E L T N I T I A I E K E E C R F tgcataagcatcaacaccacttggtgtgctggctactgctacaccagggatctggtgtat CISINTTWCAGYCYTRDLVY aaggacccagccaggcccaaaatccagaaaacatgtaccttcaaggaactggtatacgaa K D P A R P K I Q K T C T F K E L V Y E T V R V P G C A H H A D S L Y T Y P V A acccaptqtcactqtqqcaaqtqtqacaqcqacaqcactqattqtactqtqcqaqqcctq T Q C H C G K C D S D S T D C T V R G L gggcccagctactgctcctttggtgaaatgaaagaaggcggcggaagcggaggcggatct G P S Y C S F G E M K E G G G S G G S gggggaggatotggcggcggagctcctgatgtgcaggagacagggtttcaccatgttgcc G G G S G G A P D V Q E T G F H H V A caqqctqctctcaaactcctgagctcaaqcaatccacccactaagqcctcccaaaqtqct Q A A L K L L S S S N P P T K A S Q S A aggattacagattgcccagaatgcacgctacaggaaaacccattcttctcccagccgqqt RITDCPECTLQENPFFSQPG gccccaatacttcagtgcatgggctgctgcttctctagagcatatcccactccactaaqq A P I L Q C M G C C F S R A Y P T P L R tccaagaagacgatgttggtccaaaagaacgtcacctcagagtccacttgctgtgtagct S K K T M L V Q K N V T S E S T C C V A aaatcatataacagggtcacagtaatggggggtttcaaagtggagaaccacacggcgtgc K S Y N R V T V M G G F K V B N H T A C cactgcagtacttgttattatcacaaatctggcggcggaagcggaggcggatctggggga H C S T C Y Y H K S G G G S G G S G G ggatctggcggcggaaacttcactataaaatcattgtccagacctggacagccctggtqt G S G G R F T T K S L S R P G Q P W C qaaqcqcagqtcttcttqaataaaaatcttttccttcagtacaacaqtqacaacaacatq EAQVFLNKNLFLQYNSDNNM gtoaaacctctgggcctcctggggaagaaggtatatgccaccagcacttggggaqaattg V K P L G L L G K K V Y A T S T W G E L acccaaacgctgggagaagtggggggagacctcaggatgctcctttgtgacatcaaaccc T Q T L G E V G R D L R M L L C D I K P cagataaagaccagigatccticcactctgcaagtcgagatgtttttgtcaacgtgaagca Q I K T S D P S T L Q V E M F C Q R E A gaacggtgcactggtgcatcctggcagttcgccaccaatggagagaaatccctcctcttt ERCTGASWQFATNGEKSLLF qacqcaatqaacatgacctggacagtaattaatcatgaagccagtaagatcaaqqaqaca D A M N M T W T V I N H E A S K I K E T tggaagaagacagagggctggaaaagtatttcaggaagctctcaaagggagactgcgat W K K D R G L B K Y F R K L S K G D C D cactggctcagggaattcttagggcactgggaggcaatgccagaaccgacagtgtcacca H W L R E F L G H W E A M P E P T V S P gtaaatgcttcagatatccactggtcttcttctagtctaccaTAG V N A S D I H W S S S S L P Stop

FIG. 6C

ATGcacagctgcgagctgaccaacatcaccatcagcgtggaaaaagaggaatgccggttctgcatc S C E L T N I T I S V E K E E C R F agcatcaacaccacttqqtqcqccqqctactqctacacccgggacctggtqtacaaqqac S I N T T W C A G Y C Y T R D L V Y K D cccgccagacccaacacccagaaagtgtgcaccttcaaagaactggtgtacgagacagtg PARPNTQKVCTFKELVYETV eggetgeeeggetgtgeeagacacagegatageetgtacacetacccogtggeeaeegag R L P G C A R H S D S L Y T Y P V A T E tgccactgcggcaagtgtgacagcgacagcaccgactgtaccgtgcggggactgggccct C H C G K C D S D S T D C T V R G L G P agctactgcagcttcagcgagatgaaggaaggcggggaagcggaggcggatctggggga SYCSFSEMKEGGGSGGGGGG ggatctggcggcggagacttcattattcaaggctgccccgagtgcaagctgaaagagaac G S G G G P F I I Q G C P E C K L K E N aagtacttcagcaagctgggcgctcccatctaccagtgcatgggctgctgcttcaqcaqa K Y F S K L G A P I Y Q C M G C C F S R goctaccccacccctgccagatccaagaaaaccatgctggtgcccaagaacatcacctcc AYPTPARSKKTMLVPKNITS gaggccacctgttgcgtggccaaggccttcaccaaggccaccgtgatgggcaacgccaga E A T C C V A K A F T K A T V M G N A R gtggaaaaccacacagagtgtcactgcagcacctgttactaccacaagagcgctago VENHTECHCSTCYYHKSAS ggcggcggaagcggagcggatctgggggaggatctggcggcgga G G G G G G G G G G G G ccaaggatagaagagactgcttctctttgtaacatttacaaggtt PRIEETASLCNIYKV N R S E S G Q H S H E V Q G L L N R Q P ctttttgtctacaaggataaaaagtgtcatgccattggtgctcataggaacagcatgaat LFVYKDKKCHAIGAHRNSMN qctacaaaqatctqtqaaaaaqaqqttqacactctqaaagatqgaattqacattttcaaa A T K I C E K E V D T L K D G I D I F K qqtctqcttcacatagtgcaggagactaacacaaccggaaagcccctcactctgcag G L L L H I V Q E T N T T G K P L T L Q gctgaggtgtgtgggccagtatgaagtagacaaacatttcacaggatacgccattgttagc A E V C G Q Y E V D K H F T G Y A I V S ctcaatggaaagaatatattccgtgttgacacaagcactggcaactggacccaactggat L N G K N I F R V D T S T G N W T Q L D catqaattcqaqaaqtttataqaaatqtqcaaggaaqacaaggttttagctqccttttta HEFEKFIEMCKEDKVLAAFL aagaagactacagagggcgactgcaggacctggcttgatgagctcatgttgcactggaaa K K T T E G D C R T W L D E L M L H W K GaacatotggagcotgcaggatctTAG E H L E P A G S Stop

FIG. 6D

M N S C E L T N I T I A I E K E E C R F tgcataagcatcaacaccacttggtgtgtgctgctactqctacaccaqqqatctqqtqtat CISINTTWCAGYCYTRDLVY aaggacccagccaggcccaaaatccagaaaacatgtaccttcaaggaactqqtatacqaa K D P A R P K I Q K T C T F K E L V Y E TVRVPGCAHHADSLYTYPVA acccagtgtcactgtggcaagtgtgacagcgacagcactgattgtactgtgcgaggcctg TQCHCGKCDSDSTDCTVRGL gggcccagctactgctcctttggtgaaatgaaagaaggcggcggaagcggaqgcggatct G P S Y C S F G E M K E G G G S G G G S gggggaggatctggcgggagctcctgatgtgcaggattgcccagaatgcacqctacaq G G G G G A P D V Q D C P E C T L Q gaaaacccattcttctcccagccgggtgccccaatacttcagtgcatggqctqcttc ENPFFSQPGAPILQCMGCCF totagagcatatcccactccactaaggtccaagaagacgatgttggtccaaaagaacgtc S R A Y P T P L R S K K T M L V Q K N V acctcagagtccacttgctgtgtagctaaatcatataacagggtcacagtaatggggggt T S E S T C C V A K S Y N R V T V M G G ttcaaagtggagaaccacaggcgtgccactgcagtacttgttattatcacaaatctqqc F K V E N H T A C H C S T C Y Y H K S G ggcggaagcggaggcggatctgggggaggatctggcggcggaaacttcactataaaatca G G S G G S G G S G G N F T I K S ttgtccagacctggacagccctggtgtgaagcgcaggtcttcttgaataaaaatctt L S R P G Q P W C E A Q V F L N K N L ttoottcagtacaacagtgacaacaacatggtcaaacctotgggcctcctggggaagaaq F L Q Y N S D N N M V K P L G L L G K K gtatatgccaccagcacttggggagaattgacccaaacgctgggagaagtgggggagag V Y A T S T W G E L T Q T L G E V G R D ctcaggatgctcctttgtgacatcaaaccccagataaagaccagtgatccttccactctg LRMLLCDIKPQIKTSDPSTL caagtcgagatgttttgtcaacgtgaagcagaacggtgcactggtgcatcctggcagttc Q V E M F C Q R E A E R C T G A S W Q F gccaccaatggagagaaatccctcctctttgacgcaatgaacatgacctggacagtaatt ATNGEKSLLFDAMNMTWTVI NHEASKIKETWKKDRGLEKY ttcaggaagctctcaaagggagactgcgatcactggctcagggaattcttaqqqcactqq FRKLSKGDCDHWLREFLGHW qaqqcaatqccaqaaccqacaqtqtcaccaqtaaatqcttcagatatccactqqtcttct EAMPEPTVSPVNASDIHWSS tctagtctaccaTAG S S L P Stop

FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B




FIG. 8

SP FSHB	***************************************	Hinge M	4-18B CD31
SP FSH()	CG: ke long	Hinge TV	4-1BB CD3(
SP FSHB		Hinge TM	CD28 CD3.5
SP FS H# in	CGe. ke long	Hinge TM	CD28 CD3C

FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11





FIG. 12



METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATING CANCER

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/281,472, filed Feb. 21, 2019, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,248,033, issued Feb. 15, 2022, which is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/515,442, filed Mar. 29, 2017, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,259,855, issued Apr. 16, 2019, which is a National Stage Entry under 35 U.S.C. 371 of International Patent Application No. PCT/US2015/053128, filed Sep. 30, 2015, which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/059,068, filed Oct. 2, 2014, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/202,824, filed Aug. 8, 2015. These applications are incorporated by reference herein.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED IN ELECTRONIC FORM

Applicant hereby incorporates by reference the Sequence Listing material filed in electronic form herewith. This file is labeled "WST150PCT_ST25.txt", was created on Sep. 28, ²⁵ 2015, and is 23 KB.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Despite the advances in surgical approach and chemotherapy, the 5 year survival of ovarian cancer has barely changed in the last 40 years Immune pressure against ovarian cancer progression is elicited by tumor infiltrating T cells. Despite the devastating course of ovarian cancer, T cells can spontaneously exert clinically relevant pressure against malignant progression, to the point that the pattern and the intensity of T cell infiltration can predict the patient's outcome. Ovarian cancers are therefore immunogenic and optimal targets for the design of novel immunotherapies.

Over the last years, immunotherapy has emerged as a promising tool in the treatment of cancer. For example, Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) therapy has shown excellent results in the treatment of chemotherapy resistant hematologic malignancies. However, the paucity of specific antigens expressed on the surface of tumor cells that are not shared with healthy tissues, has so far prevented the success of this technology against most solid tumors, including ovarian cancer. There is considerable difficulty in finding specific antigens in tumor cells which are not present in 50 normal tissues and elicit intolerable side effects. Additionally, the immunosuppressive effect of the tumor microenvironment of solid tumors heavily impairs antitumor T cell responses

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Compositions and methods are described herein that provide effective and useful tools and methods for the treatment of cancer, including solid tumors that are characterized by the cellular expression of the endocrine receptor, Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSHR).

In one aspect, a nucleic acid construct comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises a follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) 65 sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to sequences providing T cell activating functions. In one

2

aspect, the sequences providing T cell activating functions are (a) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an extracellular hinge domain, a spacer element, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain; or (b) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an optional spacer and a ligand that binds to NKG2D. In one embodiment, the ligand is naturally occurring FSH, a single subunit of FSH, FSH β , an FSH or FSH β fragment, or a modified version of any of the foregoing sequences.

In another aspect, a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to either (a) an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain; or (b) an optional spacer, and a ligand that binds to NKG2D. In one embodiment, the ligand is naturally occurring FSH, a single subunit of FSH, FSH β , an FSH or FSH β fragment, or a modified version of any of the foregoing sequences.

In another aspect, a modified human T cell comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain in a pharma-ceutically acceptable carrier. In one embodiment, the modified T cell is an autologous T cell isolated from the patient to whom the T cell will be readministered once the T cell is modified to contain a nucleic acid construct as described herein. In another embodiment, the modified T cell is a universal allogeneic platform, i.e., a heterologous T cell, for administration to any number or patients once the T cell is modified as described herein.

In one embodiment, the ligand is naturally occurring FSH, a single subunit of FSH, FSH β , an FSH or FSH β fragment, or a modified version of any of the foregoing sequences. In still another aspect, a method of treating a cancer in a human subject comprises administering to the subject in need thereof, a composition as described herein, including e.g., a nucleic acid sequence, chimeric protein, or modified T cell. In one embodiment, the method comprises administering to a subject in need thereof a modified human T cell that comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain.

In still another aspect, a method of treating a cancer in a human subject comprises administering to a subject, a composition comprising a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to either (a) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an extracellular hinge domain, a spacer element, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain; or (b) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an optional spacer and a ligand that binds to NKG2D. In one embodiment, the ligand is naturally occurring FSH, a single subunit of FSH, FSHβ, an FSH or FSHβ fragment, or a modified version of any of the foregoing sequences.

In still another aspect, a method of treating a cancer in a human subject comprises administering to a subject, a composition comprising a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to either (a) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an extracellular hinge domain, a spacer element, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling

region, and a signaling endodomain; or (b) a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an optional spacer and a ligand that binds to a tumor-associated NKG2D receptor.

In another aspect, a method of treating ovarian cancer comprises administering to a subject in need thereof, a 5 modified human T cell comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. In one embodiment, the ligand is naturally occurring FSH, a single subunit of FSH, FSH β , an FSH or FSH β fragment, or a modified version of any of the foregoing sequences. In another embodiment, the female subject has 15 been surgically treated for removal of the ovaries prior to the administering step.

Other aspects and advantages of these compositions and methods are described further in the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments thereof.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic of one construct (i.e., a nucleic acid construct or amino acid construct) that expresses the chi- 25 meric endocrine receptor (CER), FSH ligand protein, in T cells.

FIG. 2A is a bar graph showing that T cells containing the nucleic acid sequence encoding the chimeric protein expressing the ligand to FSH receptor (FSHCER-CD8) 30 respond specifically to FSHR-expressing B7F tumor cells. Positively transduced (GFP+) T cells carrying the FSHRtargeting CER (FSHCER-CD8; small checkerboard pattern) of FIG. 1 or an irrelevant mesothelin-targeting (K1) CAR (large checkerboard pattern) were co-incubated (1:20) for 6 35 hours with ID8-Defb29/Vegf-α tumor cells (B7) transduced with FSHCER-CD8 or an empty vector (ID-8; Irrelevant CAR). IFN-y was quantified in supernatants (pg/mL). The FSH chimeric protein-transfected (or FSHR-targeting) modified T cells secreted interferon-γ, an activation marker, 40 in response to mouse B7 ovarian tumor cells that overexpress FSHR. The mesothelin-targeting T cells do not secrete Interferon-y against the FSHR-overexpressing tumor cell line B7F. Likewise the T cell expressing the FSH chimeric protein is not activated with a tumor cell B7 that does not 45 express FSHR.

FIG. 2B is a bar graph showing the same analysis with a FSHCER-CD4 construct.

FIG. 3 is a graph showing that T cells containing the nucleic acid sequence encoding the chimeric protein 50 expressing the ligand to FSH receptor delays progression of established FSHR+ tumor cells. A7C11 syngeneic (B6) breast cancer cells that overexpress FSHR were administered into the flank of two groups of mice (5 mice/group). Four days after tumor cell administration, 10⁶ FSHR-targeting modified T cells (■) or mock (pBMN) transduced T cells (▲) were adoptively transferred intraperitoneally. The progression of the tumor growth in the mice treated with the chimeric protein-carrying T cells is delayed.

FIG. **4**A is a bar graph showing CD4/CD8 ratio in the 60 splenic cells of mice injected with the chimeric protein or the mock pBMN T cells in equal numbers on day 16 post-administration of the T cells carrying the chimeric protein or the mock protein.

FIG. 4B is a bar graph showing the cell count of adoptively transferred T cells of the mice treated as described as in FIG. 4A on day 16.

4

FIG. 4C is a bar graph showing the individual spleen CD4 counts of the splenic cells from the mice of FIG. 4A on day 16.

FIG. 4D is a graph showing the CD8 cell counts of the splenic cells from the mice of FIG. 4A on day 16. As indicated in the flow cytometric data (data graphs not shown) of these spleen cells gated on CD8 and CD4 markers (not shown), these figures also demonstrate that there is an increased number of transfected cells, both CD4 and CD8, in the spleen of mice administered the chimeric protein bearing T cells, as compared to the mock protein bearing T cells. FIGS. 4A-4D show that there is an increased number of transferred T cells in the spleen of mice injected with the FSH chimeric protein-carrying T cells compared to those of mice injected with the mock protein-carrying T cells. Also a higher CD4/CD8 ratio is detected in the spleen cells into which the chimeric protein-carrying T cells were transferred. This ratio is found to be a good marker of response against ²⁰ cancer in this cell model of ovarian cancer.

FIG. **5** is a nucleic acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 1 and an amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 2 for a construct comprising the following fused components of Table 1:

TABLE 1

Component	Nucleic Acids of SEQ ID NO: 1	Amino Acids of SEQ ID NO: 2
Human FSH Beta Signal	1-54	1-18
Human FSH beta	55-387	19-129
Spacer	388-432	130-144
Human FSH alpha	433-801	145-267
Hinge from Human CD8	802-936	268-312
Transmembrane domain from Human CD8	937-1008	313-336
Human intracellular region from 4-1BB	1009-1134	337-378
Human CD3 Z Domain	1135-1473	379-490

FIG. **6**A is a schematic of a chimeric FSH-Letal construct, which demonstrates how it binds to a tumor cell and a NK cell or a T cell (e.g., a CD8 T cell, a gamma T cell or an NK T cell).

FIG. **6B** is a nucleic acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 3 and an amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 4 for a construct comprising the following fused components of Table 2:

TABLE 2

Component	Nucleic Acids of SEQ ID NO: 3	Amino Acids of SEQ ID NO: 4
Human FSH Beta Signal	1-3	1
Human FSH beta	4-336	2-112
Spacer	337-381	113-127
Human FSH alpha	382-750	128-250
Spacer	751-795	251-265
Human extracellular NKG2D ligand (Letal)	796-1365	266-454

FIG. 6C is a nucleic acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 5 and an amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 6 for a construct comprising the following fused components of Table 3. Construct has a MW of 45.87 kD, and employs noncutting enzyme sites AscI, BamHI, BcgI, BcII, ClaI, HindIII, KpnI, MfeI, MluI, NcoI, NdeI, NotI, PacI, PmeI, PsiI, PvuI, SacII, SalI, SfiI, SgfI, SpeI, SphI, XbaI, and XhoI.

Component	Nucleic Acids of SEQ ID NO: 5	Amino Acids of SEQ ID NO: 6
Mouse FSH Beta Signal	1-3	1
Mouse FSH beta	4-336	2-112
Spacer	337-381	113-127
Mouse FSH alpha	382-657	128-219
Spacer	658-708	220-236
Extracellular domain of Mouse MULTI	709-1260	237-446

FIG. 6D is a nucleic acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 7 and an amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO: 8 for a construct comprising the following fused components of Table 4:

TABLE 4

Component	Nucleic Acids of SEQ ID NO: 7	Amino Acids of SEQ ID NO: 8
Human FSH Beta Signal	1-3	1
Human FSH beta	4-336	2-112
Spacer	337-381	113-127
Human FSH alpha	382-657	128-219
Spacer	658-702	220-234
Human extracellular domain of Letal	703-1272	235-423

FIG. 7A is a bar graph showing that adherent FSHR-transduced ID8-Defb29/Vegf- α (B7F) cancer cells were incubated for 24 hours with FSH CER-expressing or mocked transduced T cells, pBMN (1:40 ratio). After removing non-adherent cells, trypan blue negative cells were counted in a hematocytometer. FSH-targeted CER T cells effectively eliminate FSHR+ tumor cells.

FIG. 7B is a bar graph showing that adherent FSHR-transduced A7C11F transduced with the FSH-targeted constructs described herein effectively eliminate FSHR+ tumor cells. Adherent FSHR-transduced A7C11F cancer cells were incubated for 24 hours with FSH CER-expressing or mocked transduced T cells (1:40 ratio). After removing non-adherent cells, trypan blue negative cells were counted 40 in a hematocytometer. FSH-targeted CER T cells effectively eliminate FSHR+ tumor cells.

FIG. **8** is a schematic showing variants of the FSH Chimeric Endocrine Receptor (CER)-T constructs described herein.

FIG. 9 is a graph showing the human FSHCER T cells kill ovarian tumor cells in a dose-dependent manner. HLA-A2+ human T cells were expanded with ConA, spininfected with hFSHCER in pBMN with retronectin or mock-transduced at 20 and 44 hours, and kept at 0.5-1 million cells/mL with 1 50 ug/mL of IL-7 and 20 U/mL of IL-2. At day 7, CER and control T cells were sorted on GFP expression and rested for 18 hours, before being plated with plated with HLA-A2+ human OVCAR-3 ovarian cancer cells (10000 per well; spontaneously FSHR+) on the indicated effector (E) to target 55 (T) ratios. Six hours after setting the coculture cells were stained with Annexin V and 7AAD and cytotoxicity was analyzed by flow cytometry. The percentage of specific lysis was calculated as (experimental dead–spontaneous dead)/ (maximum dead–spontaneous dead)×100%.

FIG. 10 is a Western gel showing that advanced human ovarian carcinoma specimens express variable levels of FSHR. FSHR protein expression was analyzed by Western Blot (Santa Cruz #H-190) in 6 unselected human advanced ovarian carcinoma specimens, and compared to that in 65 FSH-targeted CER T cell-sensitive OVCAR3 cells. β -actin Ab, Sigma #A5441

6

FIG. 11 is a graph showing that FSHCER T cells abrogate the progression of fshr-expressing orthotopic ovarian tumors. T cells carrying FSHR-targeting cars (FSH-CER) or identically expanded mock-transduced t cells (PBMN) were intraperitoneally administered at days 7 and 14 after intraperitoneal challenge with ID8-defb29/vegf-α tumor cells transduced with FSHR (n=5 mice/group). Malignant progression was compared.

FIG. 12 is a graph showing that a modified allogeneic or
heterologous human FSH CER T cell generated by using
TALL-103/2 cells, kill ovarian tumor cells in a dose-dependent manner. TALL-103/2 cells were transduced with
hFSHCER in pBMN and maintained in culture with 20
U/mL of IL-2. FSH CER-transduced (■) or mock-transduced (▲) TALL-103/2 cells were deprived from IL-2 24 h
before being incubated with luciferase-transduced FSHR+
human OVCAR-3 ovarian cancer cells (10000 per well) at
the indicated effector (E) to target (T) ratios. Four hours after
setting the co-culture cells were lysed and luciferase signal
quantified. The percentage of specific lysis was calculated as
(experimental dead-spontaneous dead)/(maximum deadspontaneous dead)×100%.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Compositions and methods are provided herein that elicit protective anti-tumor immunity against, and prevent recurrence of, e.g., ovarian cancer or other cancers characterized by tumor cells bearing the FSH receptor (FSHR), e.g., prostate cancer cells⁵² and metastatic tumor lesions⁵¹. By targeting hormone receptors by taking advantage of endogenous ligands as targeting motifs, challenges that have prevented the success of certain immunotherapy technologies against epithelial tumors are overcome.

Technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs and by reference to published texts, which provide one skilled in the art with a general guide to many of the terms used in the present application. The following definitions are provided for clarity only and are not intended to limit the claimed invention.

Follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) is a central hormone of mammalian reproduction produced primarily in the antetrior pituitary gland. This hormone exerts its normal biological role by binding to the plasma membrane follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) and stimulating follicular maturation and estrogen production in females. In males, the interaction of FSH and FSHR stimulates Sertoli cell proliferation and maintenance of normal spermatogenesis. The naturally occurring FSH hormone is a heterodimer formed of two subunits, an alpha and a beta subunit. The alpha subunit is also referred to as CGα, and is common to luteinizing hormone (LH) and thyroid stimulating hormone
TSH). The nucleic acid and amino acid sequences of the alpha and beta subunits of FSH for humans and other mammalian species are publically known and accessible.

FSHR is a hormone receptor that is selectively expressed in women in the ovarian granulosa cells and at low levels in the ovarian endothelium. Most importantly, this surface receptor is expressed in 50-70% of ovarian carcinomas but not in the brain, as negative feedback depends on sensing estrogen. Given that oophorectomy is a standard procedure in the treatment of ovarian cancer, targeting the FSHR should not cause damage to healthy tissues.

As used herein, the phrase "a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence, which ligand binds FSHR" includes the

naturally occurring full-length FSH sequence of a suitable mammal. The ligand comprises a sufficient FSH sequence to permit binding between the ligand and the FSHR via the naturally affinity between the hormone sequence and the receptor. The ligand is not an antibody or antibody fragment 5 and does not bind to the receptor in that manner. If the ligand is naturally occurring, e.g., a full-length FSHβ-FSHα sequence or naturally occurring fragment thereof, the ligand does not induce an immunogenic reaction in the subject to which it is administered. If the ligand comprises a modified 10 full-length or fragment of the naturally occurring FSH sequence, in certain embodiments the modifications are not sufficient to induce any strong immunogenic reaction within the subject to which the ligand is administered.

In one embodiment, a ligand that comprises an FSH 15 sequence is a naturally occurring full length human FSH, e.g., the FSH β sequence linked to the FSH α sequence. In another embodiment, a ligand that comprises an FSH sequence is a modified FSH\$\beta\$ sequence linked to a naturally occurring FSH α sequence. In another embodiment, a ligand 20 that comprises an FSH sequence is a modified FSHB sequence linked to a modified FSHα/CGα sequence. In another embodiment, the ligand is a naturally occurring FSH β sequence linked to a modified FSH α sequence. In another embodiment, where the subject mammal is a human 25 compositions herein, a useful fragment of a human FSHB and the target tumor is a human tumor, a suitable FSH sequence is human FSH or modified versions of the human sequence. Alternatively the ligand is a modified FSH, such as a naturally occurring or modified FSHB sequence linked via an optional spacer to a naturally occurring or modified 30 FSHα sequence. In another embodiment, the ligand is a single naturally occurring or modified FSHβ subunit alone. In another embodiment, the ligand is a naturally occurring FSHβ subunit linked via an optional spacer sequence to a modified second FSHβ sequence. In another embodiment, 35 acids 99-113 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 2. the ligand is a modified FSHβ subunit linked via an optional spacer sequence to a naturally occurring second FSHB

In yet another embodiment, the ligand comprises a fragyet another embodiment, the ligand comprises a fragment of a naturally occurring or modified FSHβ sequence. In another embodiment, the ligand is a naturally occurring FSHB subunit linked to a modified FSHa subunit or fragment thereof. In another embodiment, the ligand is a modified 45 FSHβ subunit or fragment thereof linked to a naturally occurring or FSH\alpha subunit. In another embodiment, the ligand comprises a fragment of a naturally occurring or modified FSHβ sequence linked together.

By "naturally occurring" is meant that the sequence is a 50 native nucleic acid or amino acid sequence that occurs in the selected mammal, including any naturally occurring variants in various nucleic acid and/or amino acid positions in the sequences that occur among various members of the mam-

By "modified" is meant that the reference sequence, e.g., FSH or a fragment thereof or FSHβ linked to FSHα nucleic acid or amino acid sequence, or either subunit sequence individually has been deliberately manipulated. Suitable modifications include the use of fragments of the sequences 60 shorter than the naturally occurring full length hormone. Such modifications include changes in the nucleic acid sequences to include preferred codons, which may encode the same or a related amino acid than that occurring in the native amino acid sequence. Modifications also include changes in the nucleic acid or amino acid sequences to introduce conservative amino acid changes, e.g., a change

from one charged or neutral amino acid for a differently charged amino acid. Such modifications may also include use of the FSHβ with or without FSHα sequence in a deliberately created fusion with other sequences with which FSHβ or FSHα do not naturally occur. Modifications also include linking the subunits using deliberately inserted spacer sequences or linking fragments of the subunits together or linking repetitive fragments or subunits together in fusions which are not naturally occurring.

As one example, a naturally occurring human FSHβ nucleic acid sequence inclusive of the signal sequence comprises or consists of nucleic acids 1-387 of SEQ ID NO: 1, and amino acid sequence is an 1-129 of SEQ ID NO: 2. The FSHβ signal sequence itself comprises or consists of nucleic acids 1-54 of SEQ ID NO: 1, and amino acid sequence is an 1-18 of SEQ ID NO: 2 The mature FSHβ comprises or consists of nucleic acids 55-387 of SEQ ID NO: 1, and amino acid sequence is aa 19-129 of SEQ ID

As another example for use in the methods and compositions herein, a mature human FSHβ nucleic acid sequence comprises or consists of nucleic acids 4-336 of SEQ ID NO: 3 or 7, and amino acid sequence is an 2-112 of SEQ ID NO: 4 or 8. As another example for use in the methods and nucleic acid sequence comprises or consists of nucleic acids 55-99 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1, nucleic acids 153-213 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1, nucleic acids 207-249 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1, or nucleic acids 295-339 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1. As another example for use in the methods and compositions herein, a useful fragment of a human FSHβ amino acid sequence comprises or consists of amino acids 19-33 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 2, amino acids 51-71 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 2, amino acids 69-83 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 2, or amino

In embodiments in which the ligand also comprises an FSHα sequence, the naturally occurring human FSHα nucleic acid sequence comprises or consists of nucleic acids 433-801 of SEQ ID NO: 1, and amino acid sequence is aa ment of a naturally occurring or modified FSH sequence. In 40 145-267 of SEQ ID NO: 2. In another embodiment for use in the methods and compositions herein, a human $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FSH}}\alpha$ nucleic acid sequence comprises or consists of nucleic acids 382-750 of SEQ ID NO: 3, and amino acid sequence is aa 128-250 of SEQ ID NO: 4. In another embodiment for use in the methods and compositions herein, a fragment of a human FSHa nucleic acid sequence comprises or consists of nucleic acids 382-657 of SEO ID NO: 7, and amino acid sequence is an 128-219 of SEQ ID NO: 8.

> It should be understood that amino acid modifications or nucleic acid modifications as described above applied to these fragments are also useful ligands in this method. The ligand does not bind to FSHR in an antibody or antibody fragment-antigen complex. As described above, the ligands described herein bind using the naturally affinity between the natural hormone (or a modified version of a natural hormone) and its receptor. Because the ligand is a natural hormone or a modified version thereof, it is designed to avoid inducing an antigenic response in the subject.

> The terms "linker" and "spacer" are used interchangeably and refer to a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a peptide of sufficient length to separate two components and/or refers to the peptide itself. The composition and length of a linker may be selected depending upon the use to which the linker is put. In one embodiment, an amino acid linker used to separate the FSHα and FSHβ (either naturally occurring sequences or modified sequences or fragments) is between 2 to 70 amino acids in length, including any number within

that range. For example, in one embodiment the linker is 10 amino acids in length. In another embodiment, the linker is 15 amino acids in length. In still other embodiment, the linker is 25, 35, 50 or 60 amino acids in length. See, for example, the spacers/linkers identified in the sequences 5 described in Tables 1-4 above.

Correspondingly, the nucleic acid sequences encoding the linker or spacer are comprised of from 6 to 210 nucleotides in length, including all values in that range. In certain embodiment, the linker comprises multiple glycine residues or nucleic acids encoding them. In certain embodiments, the amino acid linker comprises multiple serine residues or nucleic acids encoding them. In other embodiment, the linker comprises multiple thymine residues or nucleic acids encoding them. In still other embodiment, linkers and spacers comprise any combination of the serine, thymine and glycine residues. Still other linkers can be readily designed for use.

As used herein, a "vector" comprises any genetic element including, without limitation, naked DNA, a phage, transposon, cosmid, episome, plasmid, bacteria, or a virus, which expresses, or causes to be expressed, a desired nucleic acid construct.

As used herein, the term "subject" or "patient" refers to a male or female mammal, preferably a human. However, the 25 mammalian subject can also be a veterinary or farm animal, a domestic animal or pet, and animals normally used for clinical research. In one embodiment, the subject of these methods and compositions is a human.

The term "cancer" as used herein means any disease, 30 condition, trait, genotype or phenotype characterized by unregulated cell growth or replication as is known in the art. A "cancer cell" is cell that divides and reproduces abnormally with uncontrolled growth. This cell can break away from the site of its origin (e.g., a tumor) and travel to other 35 parts of the body and set up another site (e.g., another tumor), in a process referred to as metastasis. A "tumor" is an abnormal mass of tissue that results from excessive cell division that is uncontrolled and progressive, and is also referred to as a neoplasm. Tumors can be either benign (not 40 cancerous) or malignant. The compositions and methods described herein are useful for treatment of cancer and tumor cells, i.e., both malignant and benign tumors, so long as the cells to be treated express FSHR. Thus, in various embodiments of the methods and compositions described 45 herein, the cancer can include, without limitation, breast cancer, lung cancer, prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, esophageal cancer, stomach cancer, bladder cancer, pancreatic cancer, kidney cancer, cervical cancer, liver cancer, ovarian cancer, and testicular cancer.

As used herein the term "pharmaceutically acceptable carrier" or "diluent" is intended to include any and all solvents, dispersion media, coatings, antibacterial and antifungal agents, isotonic and absorption delaying agents, adjuvants and the like, compatible with administration to 55 humans. In one embodiment, the diluent is saline or buffered saline. The term "a" or "an", refers to one or more, for example, "an anti-tumor T cell" is understood to represent one or more anti-tumor T cells. As such, the terms "a" (or "an"), "one or more," and "at least one" is used interchange- 60 ably herein. The term "about" is used herein to modify a reference value and to include all values ±0.01% of that value up to values of ±10% of the reference value, and all numbers within and including these endpoints, e.g., ±0.5%, ±1%, ±5%, etc. Various embodiments in the specification are 65 presented using "comprising" language, which is inclusive of other components or method steps. When "comprising" is

10

used, it is to be understood that related embodiments include descriptions using the "consisting of' terminology, which excludes other components or method steps, and "consisting essentially of' terminology, which excludes any components or method steps that substantially change the nature of the embodiment or invention.

In one embodiment, this invention provides a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand comprising an FSH sequence that binds to human FSHR, linked to nucleic acid sequences that encode T cell activating functions. As described above in more detail, in certain embodiments, the ligand is a naturally occurring FSH with both subunits, a single subunit of FSH, an FSH β subunit only, an FSH α /CG α or FSH β fragment, or a modified version of the foregoing sequences.

In one embodiment, the T cell activating functions can be provided by linking the above noted ligand with nucleic acid sequences encoding components useful in the design of known Chimeric Antigen Receptors (CAR). See, e.g., Sadelain, M et al, "The basic principles of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) design" 2013 April, Cancer Discov. 3(4): 388-398; International Patent Application Publication WO2013/044255, US patent application publication No. US 2013/0287748, and other publications directed to the use of such chimeric proteins. These publications are incorporated by reference to provide information concerning various components useful in the design of some of the constructs described herein. Such CAR T cells are genetically modified lymphocytes expressing a ligand that allows them to recognize an antigen of choice. Upon antigen recognition, these modified T cells are activated via signaling domains converting these T cells into potent cell killers. An advantage over endogenous T cells is that they are not MHC restricted, which allows these T cells to overcome an immune surveillance evasion tactic used in many tumor cells by reducing MHC expression19.

For example, such T cell activating functions can be provided by linking the ligand via optional spacers to transmembrane domains, co-stimulatory signaling regions, and/or signaling endodomains.

Thus, one embodiment of a nucleic acid sequence useful in the methods described herein is exemplified in FIG. 5 SEQ ID NO: 1 and Table 1 herein. The nucleic acid sequence or CER construct comprises a ligand formed of a naturally occurring human FSH β sequence formed of the 18 amino acid human FSH β signal sequence and the 120 amino acid mature FSH β , linked to a 15 amino acid spacer, and to the naturally occurring 123 amino acid FSH α sequence. The CER construct also includes other components, i.e., an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a human intracellular region and a signaling endodomain. In the case of the construct of FIG. 5, e.g. the hinge region and transmembrane domains are from human CD8 α , the human intracellular region is from 4-1BB, and the signaling domain is the human CD3 ζ domain.

Other embodiments useful as such a nucleic acid construct can include that construct with a different ligand, such as one of the ligands described above. In one embodiment, the FSHα sequence in the same construct described in FIG. 5 may be a shortened sequence having the nucleic acid sequence of nts 382-657 of SEQ ID NO: 7, and amino acid sequence of aa 128-219 of SEQ ID NO: 8. Still another embodiment of the ligand used in the construct of FIG. 5 may comprise the FSHβ sequence without signal sequence amino acids 2-18 of SEQ ID NO: 2. Embodiments similar to that of the nucleic acid construct of FIG. 5 may be readily

designed by substituting the ligand portions of Table 1 with any of the ligands, modified, naturally occurring or fragments discussed above.

Other embodiments of a nucleic acid construct similar to that of FIG. 5 may employ different components, such as 5 those detailed in Sadelain et al, cited above, or the patent publications, incorporated by reference herein. For example, where a hinge domain is employed, other naturally occurring or synthetic hinge domains, including an immunoglobulin hinge region, such as that from IgG1, the CH₂CH₃ region 10 of immunoglobulin, fragments of CD3, etc. Other embodiments of a nucleic acid construct similar to that of FIG. 5 may employ a different naturally occurring or synthetic transmembrane domain obtained from a T cell receptor. Various transmembrane proteins contain domains useful in 15 the constructs described herein. For example, transmembrane domains obtained from T-cell receptors, CD28, CD3 epsilon, CD45, CD4, CD8, CD9, CD16, CD22, CD33, CD37, CD64, CD80, CD86, CD134, CD137, or CD154 have been noted to be useful.

Other embodiments of a nucleic acid construct similar to that of FIG. 5 may employ a different naturally occurring or synthetic intracellular region, including, among others known in the art, a costimulatory signaling region. The costimulatory signaling region may be the intracellular 25 domain of a cell surface molecule (e.g., a costimulatory molecule) such as CD27, CD28, 4-1BB (CD137), OX40, CD30, CD40, PD-1, ICOS, lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1 (LFA-1), CD2, CD7, LIGHT, NKG2C, B7-H3. See, e.g., others listed in the publications cited above.

Other embodiments of a nucleic acid construct similar to that of FIG. 5 may employ a different naturally occurring or synthetic cytoplasmic signaling domain including, among others known in the art, those derived from CD3 ξ , TCR ξ , FcR γ , FcR β , CD3 γ , CD3 δ , CD3 ϵ , CD5, CD22, 25 CD79a, 35 CD79b, and CD66d, among others.

Given the teachings provided herein and using the information known to the art, any number of variations of the nucleic acid constructs, such as FIG. 5 may be designed for use in the methods described herein.

Thus, another component described herein is a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSHβ sequence, or a modification or fragment of said FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to peptides or proteins that have T cell activating functions. 45 Such a chimeric protein comprises a ligand as described above that binds to human FSHR linked to an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain. Exemplary chimeric proteins are encoded by the nucleic acid sequences 50 described above. One embodiment of such a chimeric protein is that of FIG. 5 SEQ ID NO: 2. Others are readily designed employing the various ligands identified herein, e.g., one or more of the FSHβ fragment identified in detail above, or the other FSHR binding ligands identified herein 55 in place of the ligand specific exemplified in SEQ ID NO: 2.

In another embodiment, a useful CER construct is a nucleic acid sequence that encodes ligand that comprises an FSHβ sequence, or a modification or fragment of said FSH sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, as described 60 above, linked to a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a ligand that binds to a tumor-associated NKG2D receptor. See, e.g., FIG. 6A. One such NKG2D ligand is termed Letal or ULBP4. Letal is encoded by nucleic acid sequence nts 796-1365 of SEQ ID NO: 3 and has the amino acid sequence 65 of aa 266-454 of SEQ ID NO: 4. See, e.g., Conejo-Garcia, J et al, "Letal, A Tumor-Associated NKG2D Immunorecep-

12

tor Ligand, Induces Activation and Expansion of Effector Immune Cells" July 2003, Canc. Biol. & Ther., 2(4): 446-451; and US patent application publication No. 20060247420, incorporated by reference herein. Other NKG2D ligands or amino acid modifications, modifications on the nucleic acid level or functional fragments of the Letal sequence may be substituted in this description for the exemplified Letal sequences.

Additionally, these FSHR binding ligands and NKG2D ligand are optionally linked by a suitable spacer or linker as described above.

Specific examples of such a nucleic construct are provided in FIG. 6A, FIG. 6B, Table 2, SEQ ID NO: 3, FIG. 6D, Table 4, SEQ ID NO: 7, and FIG. 8. In the embodiment of FIG. 7B, the FSHR binding ligand is formed of a naturally occurring human FSHβ sequence formed of a single amino acid methionine from the signal sequence, followed by the 120 amino acid mature FSHβ, linked to a 15 amino acid spacer, in turn linked to the naturally occurring 123 amino 20 acid FSHα sequence. This ligand is in turn linked to Letal via another 15 amino acid spacer. In the embodiment of FIG. 6D, the FSHR binding ligand is formed of a naturally occurring human FSH\$\beta\$ sequence formed of a single amino acid methionine from the signal sequence, followed by the 120 amino acid mature FSHβ, linked to a 15 amino acid spacer, in turn linked to the modified FSHα sequence, i.e., a fragment of amino acids 128-219 of SEQ ID NO: 8, encoded by nucleotides 382-657 of SEQ ID NO: 7. This ligand is in turn linked to Letal via another 15 amino acid spacer.

Other embodiments useful as such a nucleic acid construct can include the constructs of FIGS. 6B and 6D with a different ligand-encoding sequence, such as a sequence encoding one of the ligands described above. In one embodiment, the FSH α sequence in the same construct described in FIG. 6B may be a single or multiple copies of full length FSHβ with or without a signal sequence. As another example the construct of FIG. 6B or 6D may contain a ligand formed by a fragment of a human FSHβ encoded by nucleic 40 acid sequence comprising or consisting of nucleic acids 55-99 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1, nucleic acids 153-213 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1, nucleic acids 207-249 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1, or nucleic acids 295-339 of FSHβ SEQ ID NO: 1. The ligand may be formed by these fragments alone, in combination or substituted for the full-length FSHβ and thus fused via a linker with the FSHα sequence of FIG. 6B or 6D. Embodiments similar to that of the nucleic acid construct of FIG. 6B or 6D may be readily designed by substituting the ligand portions of Table 2 or 4 with any of the ligands, modified, naturally occurring or fragments discussed above.

As another aspect, therefore, is a chimeric or bi-specific protein encoded by the nucleic acid sequences described above and comprising a ligand comprising a FSH β sequence, or a modification or fragment of said FSH sequence as described herein that binds to human FSHR, linked to a ligand that binds to NKG2D. These proteins are primarily useful in the form of a protein, and function in vivo to bring together endogenous lymphocytes and FSHR+tumor cells.

In still other aspects, recombinant vectors carrying the above-described nucleic acid constructs are provided. The nucleic acid constructs may be carried, and chimeric proteins may be expressed in, plasmid based systems, of which many are commercially available or in replicating or non-replicating recombinant viral vectors. The nucleic acid sequences discussed herein may be expressed and produced using such vectors in vitro in desired host cells or in vivo.

Thus, in one embodiment, the vector is a non-pathogenic virus. In another embodiment, the vector is a non-replicating virus. In one embodiment, a desirable viral vector may be a retroviral vector, such as a lentiviral vector. In another embodiment, a desirable vector is an adenoviral vector. In 5 still another embodiment, a suitable vector is an adenoassociated viral vector. Adeno, adeno-associated and lentiviruses are generally preferred because they infect actively dividing as well as resting and differentiated cells such as the stem cells, macrophages and neurons. A variety of adenovirus, lentivirus and AAV strains are available from the American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia, or available by request from a variety of commercial and institutional sources. Further, the sequences of many such strains are available from a variety of databases including, 15 e.g., PubMed and GenBank.

In one embodiment, a lentiviral vector is used. Among useful vectors are the equine infectious anemia virus and feline as well as bovine immunodeficiency virus, and HIV-based vectors. A variety of useful lentivirus vectors, as well 20 as the methods and manipulations for generating such vectors for use in transducing cells and expressing heterologous genes, e.g., N Manjunath et al, 2009 Adv Drug Deliv Rev., 61(9): 732-745; Porter et al., N Engl J Med. 2011 Aug. 25; 365(8):725-33), among others.

In another embodiment, the vector used herein is an adenovirus vector. Such vectors can be constructed using adenovirus DNA of one or more of any of the known adenovirus serotypes. See, e.g., T. Shenk et al., Adenoviridae: The Viruses and their Replication", Ch. 67, in FIELD'S 30 VIROLOGY, 6th Ed., edited by B. N Fields et al, (Lippincott Raven Publishers, Philadelphia, 1996), p. 111-2112; 6,083, 716, which describes the genome of two chimpanzee adenoviruses; U.S. Pat. No. 7,247,472; WO 2005/1071093, etc. One of skill in the art can readily construct a suitable 35 adenovirus vector to carry and express a nucleotide construct as described herein. In another embodiment, the vector used herein is an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector. Such vectors can be constructed using AAV DNA of one or more of the known AAV serotypes. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. 40 Nos. 7,803,611; 7,696,179, among others.

In yet another embodiment, the vector used herein is a bacterial vector. In one embodiment, the bacterial vector is *Listeria monocytogenes*. See, e.g., Lauer et al, Infect. Immunity, 76(8):3742-53 (August 2008). Thus, in one embodiment, the bacterial vector is live-attenuated or photochemically inactivated. The chimeric protein can be expressed recombinantly by the bacteria, e.g., via a plasmid introduced into the bacteria, or integrated into the bacterial genome, i.e., via homologous recombination.

These vectors also include conventional control elements that permit transcription, translation and/or expression of the nucleic acid constructs in a cell transfected with the plasmid vector or infected with the viral vector. A great number of expression control sequences, including promoters which 55 are native, constitutive, inducible and/or tissue-specific, are known in the art and may be utilized. In one embodiment, the promoter is selected based on the chosen vector. In another embodiment, when the vector is lentivirus, the promoter is U6, H1, CMV IE gene, EF-1a, ubiquitin C, or 60 phosphoglycero-kinase (PGK) promoter. In another embodiment, when the vector is an AAV, the promoter is an RSV, U6, or CMV promoter. In another embodiment, when the vector is an adenovirus, the promoter is RSV, U6, CMV, or H1 promoters. In another embodiment, when the vector is 65 Listeria monocytogenes, the promoter is a hly or actA promoter. Still other conventional expression control

sequences include selectable markers or reporter genes, which may include sequences encoding geneticin, hygromicin, ampicillin or purimycin resistance, among others. Other components of the vector may include an origin of replication. Selection of these and other promoters and vector elements are conventional and many such sequences are available (see, e.g., the references cited herein).

14

These vectors are generated using the techniques and sequences provided herein, in conjunction with techniques known to those of skill in the art. Such techniques include conventional cloning techniques of cDNA such as those described in texts (Sambrook et al, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY), use of overlapping oligonucleotide sequences, polymerase chain reaction, and any suitable method which provides the desired nucleotide sequence.

Thus, in one embodiment, using the information taught herein and publically available and known vector construction components and techniques, one of skill in the art can construct a viral vector (or plasmid) that expresses the desired nucleic acid construct. The chimeric proteins encoded by these nucleic acid constructs may be expressed in vitro, or ex vivo in host cells or expressed in vivo by administration to a mammalian subject. Alternatively the chimeric proteins may be generated synthetically by known chemical synthesis methodologies. One of skill in the art can select the appropriate method to produce these chimeric proteins depending upon the components, the efficiency of the methodologies and the intended use, e.g., whether for administration as proteins, nucleic acids or in adoptive T cells, or otherwise to accomplish the desired therapeutic result

In yet another aspect, a modified human T cell is provided that comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a ligand that binds to human FSHR, linked to nucleic acid sequences that encode T cell activating functions. In one embodiment, these latter nucleic acid sequences encode an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier

A modified T cell is a T cell that has been transduced or transfected with one of the above-described vectors carrying the nucleic acid constructs encoding the chimeric proteins. Desirably, the T cell is a primary T cell, a CD8 (cytotoxic) T cell, or an NK T cell or other T cell obtained from the same mammalian subject into whom the modified T cell is administered or from another member of the mammalian species. In one embodiment, the T cell is an autologous human T cell or natural killer (NK) T cell obtained from the subject or from a bone marrow transplant match for the subject. Other suitable T cells include T cells obtained from resected tumors, a polyclonal or monoclonal tumor-reactive T cell. The T cell is generally obtained by apheresis, and transfected or transduced with the selected nucleic acid construct to express the chimeric protein in vivo.

Still other suitable T cells include an allogeneic or heterologous T cells useful as a universal T cell platform carrying the nucleic acid constructs described herein. In one embodiment, a human cytotoxic T cell may be employed. TALL-104 and TALL-103/2 cells are CD3-responsive lymphocytes, CD3+TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ and CD3+TCR $\gamma\delta^+$, respectively, derived from childhood T cell leukemia that display major histocompatibility complex nonrestricted, NK cell receptormediated tumoricidal activity, primarily dependent on NKG2D. 59,60,61 TALL cells display a broad range of tumor target reactivity that is NKG2D-dependent. Irradiated

TALL-104 cells have been used for the treatment of metastatic breast and ovarian cancer due to their spontaneous (NK-like) cytolytic activity and safety.

These modified T cells, whether autologous or endogenous, are activated via signaling domains converting these T cells into potent cell killers. The autologous cells have an advantage over endogenous T cells in that they are not MHC restricted, which allows these T cells to overcome an immune surveillance evasion tactic used in many tumor cells by reducing MHC expression. The endogenous cells, such as the TALL cells, have an advantage in being universal, amenable to mass production, standardization and further cell engineering, to target FSHR⁺ ovarian cancers.

In yet another embodiment, the modified T cell is also 15 engineered ex vivo to inhibit, ablate, or decrease the expression of Forkhead Box Protein (Foxp 1). In one embodiment, The T cells is engineered or manipulated to decrease Foxp1 before the T cell is transfected with a nucleic acid sequence as described above that encodes the chimeric protein com- 20 prising a ligand that comprises a naturally occurring or modified FSH sequence or fragment thereof, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to other T cell stimulating or targeting sequences. In another embodiment the manipulation to decrease or ablate Foxp1 occurs after the T cell is 25 transfected with a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein or bi-specific protein as described herein. In one embodiment, the T cell has been pre-treated so that it does not express Foxp1 once the T cells are delivered to the subject. Most desirably, the Foxp1 in the modified T cell is 30 ablated. The T cells may be treated with zinc finger nucleases, transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALEN), the CRISPR/Cas system, or engineered meganuclease re-engineered homing endonucleases along with sequences that are optimized and designed to target the 35 unique sequence of Foxp1 to introduce defects into or delete the Fox-P1 genomic sequence. By taking advantage of endogenous DNA repair machinery, these reagents remove Foxp1 from the modified T cells before adoptive transfer. Alternatively, the T cells may be co-transfected with another 40 nucleic acid sequence designed to inhibit, decrease, downregulate or ablate expression of Foxp1. See, e.g., International Patent Application Publication WO2013/063019, incorporated by reference herein. Various combinations of these techniques may also be employed before or after the T 45 cells have been modified by introduction of the nucleic acid

Generally, when delivering the vector by transfection to the T cells, the vector is delivered in an amount from about 5 μ g to about 100 μ g DNA to about 1×10^4 cells to about 50 1×10^{13} cells. In another embodiment, the vector is delivered in an amount from about 10 to about 50 μg DNA to 1×10^4 cells to about 1×10¹³ cells. In another embodiment, the vector is delivered in an amount from about 5 µg to about 100 μg DNA to about 10⁵ cells. However, the relative 55 amounts of vector DNA to the T cells may be adjusted, taking into consideration such factors as the selected vector, the delivery method and the host cells selected. The vector may be introduced into the T cells by any means known in the art or as disclosed above, including transfection, trans- 60 formation, infection, extraporation or direct DNA injection. The nucleic acid construct may be stably integrated into the genome of the host cell, stably expressed as episomes, or expressed transiently.

The resulting modified T cells are prepared to expressed 65 the nucleic acid constructs for adoptive therapy in a suitable pharmaceutical carrier. However, the chimeric bi-specific

16

proteins may be administered as proteins in a suitable pharmaceutical carrier, as mentioned above.

All of the compositions and components described above may be used in the methods described herein for treating the cancers described herein and stimulating anti-tumor immune activity. Thus, methods of treating a cancer in a human subject are provided that comprise administering to a subject, any of the compositions as described above, in a pharmaceutically acceptable formulation or carrier.

The subject being treated by the method is in one embodiment a subject who has a cancer that expresses FSHR, including those cancers listed above. In another embodiment, the subject with FSHR-expressing cancer or tumor cells has been surgically treated to resect the tumor in question prior to administration of the composition described herein. In one embodiment, the subject is a female with ovarian cancer. In another embodiment, the female subject with ovarian cancer has been surgically treated to remove ovaries, fallopian tubes and/or uterus. Subjects having any of the other cancers enumerated above may be treated by appropriate surgery before or after application of these methods.

In one embodiment, the subject is administered a composition comprising a nucleic acid construct as described above. In another embodiment, the subject is administered a composition comprising a chimeric protein as described above. In one specific embodiment, the method of treating cancer in a human subject comprises administering to a subject in need thereof the bi-specific protein comprising a ligand that comprises an FSH β sequence, which ligand binds to human FSHR, linked to a ligand that binds to NKG2D. In another embodiment, the composition is a viral vector carrying the nucleic acid construct to permit infection in vivo.

In another embodiment the method of treating cancer in a human subject comprises administering to a subject in need thereof a modified human T cell that comprises a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising a FSH sequence, a modification or fragment of said FSH sequence, which ligand binds to FSHR, linked to nucleic acid sequences that encode T cell activating functions. In one embodiment, the T cell activating functions are provided by a nucleic acid sequence that encodes an extracellular hinge domain, a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory signaling region, and a signaling endodomain. In one embodiment, the modified T cell expresses any of the nucleic acid constructs described herein. In one exemplary embodiment, the modified T cell expresses the nucleic acid construct of FIG. 5 or similar constructs described herein. In another embodiment, upon administration the modified T cell does not express Forkhead Box Protein (Foxp1). In another embodiment, the modified T cell carries a nucleic acid construct that expresses or co-expresses sequences that ablate or decrease expression of Foxp1.

In still another embodiment, the modified human T cell is administered with clinically available PD-1 inhibitors. In still another embodiment, the modified human T cell is administered with clinically available including TGF- β inhibitors (including blocking antibodies). In still another embodiment, the modified human T cell is administered with clinically available IL-10 inhibitors.

These methods of treatment are designed to enhance the therapeutic activity of the T cells and prolong the survival of cancer patients. The therapeutic compositions administered by these methods, e.g., whether nucleic acid construct alone, in a virus vector or nanoparticle, as chimeric or bi-specific protein, or as modified anti-tumor T cell treated for adoptive

therapy, are administered systemically or directly into the environment of the cancer cell or tumor microenvironment of the subject. Conventional and pharmaceutically acceptable routes of administration include, but are not limited to, systemic routes, such as intraperitoneal, intravenous, intranasal, intravenous, intramuscular, intratracheal, subcutaneous, and other parenteral routes of administration or intraor intranodal administration. administration may be combined, if desired. In some embodiments, the administration is repeated periodically. In one embodiment, the composition is administered intraperitoneally. In one embodiment, the composition is administered intravenously. In another embodiment, the composition is administered intratumorally.

These therapeutic compositions may be administered to a patient, preferably suspended in a biologically compatible solution or pharmaceutically acceptable delivery vehicle. The various components of the compositions are prepared for administration by being suspended or dissolved in a 20 pharmaceutically or physiologically acceptable carrier such as isotonic saline; isotonic salts solution or other formulations that will be apparent to those skilled in such administration. The appropriate carrier will be evident to those skilled in the art and will depend in large part upon the route 25 of administration. Other aqueous and non-aqueous isotonic sterile injection solutions and aqueous and non-aqueous sterile suspensions known to be pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and well known to those of skill in the art may be employed for this purpose.

Dosages of these therapeutic compositions will depend primarily on factors such as type of composition (i.e., T cells, vectors, nucleic acid constructs or proteins) the condition being treated, the age, weight and health of the patient, and may thus vary among patients. In one embodiment, the modified T cell-containing composition is administered in multiple dosages of between 2 million and 200 million modified T cells. Any value therebetween may be selected depending upon the condition and response of the 40 subject with an FSHR-expressing cancer or tumor include individual patient. As another example, the number of adoptively transferred anti-tumor T cells can be optimized by one of skill in the art. In one embodiment, such a dosage can range from about 10⁵ to about 10¹¹ cells per kilogram of body weight of the subject. In another embodiment, the 45 dosage of anti-tumor T cells is about 1.5×10⁵ cells per kilogram of body weight. In another embodiment, the dosage of anti-tumor T cells is about 1.5×10⁶ cells per kilogram of body weight. In another embodiment, the dosage of anti-tumor T cells is about 1.5×10' cells per kilogram of 50 body weight. In another embodiment, the dosage of antitumor T cells is about 1.5×10⁸ cells per kilogram of body weight. In another embodiment, the dosage of anti-tumor T cells is about 1.5×10^9 cells per kilogram of body weight. In another embodiment, the dosage of anti-tumor T cells is about 1.5×10¹⁰ cells per kilogram of body weight. In another embodiment, the dosage of anti-tumor T cells is about 1.5×10¹¹ cells per kilogram of body weight. Other dosages within these specified amounts are also encompassed by 60 these methods.

In another embodiment, a therapeutically effective adult human or veterinary dosage of a viral vector is generally in the range of from about 100 µL to about 100 mL of a carrier containing concentrations of from about 1×106 to about 65 1×10^{15} particles, about 1×10^{11} to 1×10^{13} particles, or about 1×10^9 to 1×10^{12} particles virus.

18

Administration of the protein-containing compositions may range between a unit dosage of between 0.01 mg to 100 mg of protein (which is equivalent to about 12.5 μg/kg body weight).

Methods for determining the timing of frequency (boosters) of administration will include an assessment of tumor response to the administration.

In still other embodiments, these methods of treating cancer by administering a composition described herein are part of a combination therapy with various other treatments or therapies for the cancer.

In one embodiment, the methods include administration of a cytokine, such as IL-7 treatment as tumor-specific host conditioning strategies. Exogenous administration of IL-7 further promotes the in vivo activity specifically of Foxp1deficient T cells. In another embodiment, the method further comprises administering to the subject along with the compositions described herein, an adjunctive anti-cancer therapy which may include a monoclonal antibody, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, a cytokine, or a combination thereof. In still another embodiment the methods herein may include co-administration or a course of therapy also using other small nucleic acid molecules or small chemical molecules or with treatments or therapeutic agents for the management and treatment of cancer. In one embodiment, a method of treatment of the invention comprises the use of one or more drug therapies under conditions suitable for cancer treatment.

As previously mentioned surgical debulking, in certain embodiments is a necessary procedure for the removal of large tumor masses, and can be employed before, during or after application of the methods and compositions as described herein. Chemotherapy and radiation therapy, in other embodiments, bolster the effects of the methods described herein. Such combination approaches (surgery plus chemotherapy/radiation plus immunotherapy) are anticipated to be successful in the treatment of many cancers along with the methods described herein.

In still further embodiments, the methods of treating a the following steps prior to administration of the compositions described herein. In one embodiment, the methods include removing T cells from the subject and transducing the T cells ex vivo with a vector expressing the chimeric protein. In another embodiment, the removed T cells are treated to ablate or reduce the expression of Fox-P1 in the T cells before or after transduction of the removed T cells with the nucleic acid construct described herein. In another method, the removed, treated T cells are cultured prior to administration to remove Foxp1 from the cells ex vivo. Another method step involves formulating the T cells in a suitable pharmaceutical carrier prior to administration. It is also possible to freeze the removed and treated T cells for later thawing and administration.

The methods of treatment may also include extracting T cells from the subject for modification and ex vivo cell expansion followed by treating the subject with chemotherapy and depleting the subject of lymphocytes and optionally surgically resecting the tumor. These steps may take place prior to administering the modified T cells or other compositions to the subject.

The invention is now described with reference to the following examples. These examples are provided for the purpose of illustration only. The compositions, experimental protocols and methods disclosed and/or claimed herein can be made and executed without undue experimentation in light of the present disclosure. The protocols and methods

described in the examples are not considered to be limitations on the scope of the claimed invention. Rather this specification should be construed to encompass any and all variations that become evident as a result of the teaching provided herein. One of skill in the art will understand that changes or variations can be made in the disclosed embodiments of the examples, and expected similar results can be obtained. For example, the substitutions of reagents that are chemically or physiologically related for the reagents described herein are anticipated to produce the same or similar results. All such similar substitutes and modifications are apparent to those skilled in the art and fall within the scope of the invention.

Example 1: Generation Of Human And Mouse FSHR- Targeting Constructs

We generated a new fully murine construct as described herein against mouse FSHR that includes the mouse version of all signals successfully used in human patients. To target 20 FSHR, we synthetized a construct expressing a signal peptide, followed by the two subunits (alpha and beta) of endogenous FSH, separated by a linker (See FIG. 1). This targeting motif was cloned in frame with a hinge domain from murine IgG, such as CD8 α , followed by the transmembrane domain of CD8 α , the intracellular domain of co-stimulatory mediator (e.g., murine 4-1BB or CD28), finally, the activating CD3 ζ domain.

We have also generated constructs with the corresponding human sequences (see FIG. 5, Table 1, SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 30.). Human variants of the FSHR-expressing constructs are generated to define the leading formulation and demonstrate the relevance of experiments in mice. Human HLA-A2+ T cells (>50% of Caucasians are A2+) from healthy donors are transduced with retro- or lentiviral stocks containing the 35 FSH-targeted construct, which is optimized for cytotoxic testing.

In frame constructs similar to the mouse sequences described above are generated to compare CD28 vs. 4-1BB/CD137. CD28 is an alternative intracellular co-stimulatory motif because, although T cells expressing 4-1BB/CD137 exhibited enhanced persistence in xenograft models in published experiments with CAR-T cells, it is unclear that long-term survival of T cells is preferable over multiple injections. In addition, the two human variants of the alpha subunit of human FSH (NM_000735.3 vs. NM_001252383.1) are tested. These two subunits have different lengths and have the potential to promote different binding affinities.

Overall, the 8 variants cloned (in frame) for expression 50 into viral vectors are: 1) CG α (long)+4-1BB; 2) CG α (long)+CD28; 3) CG α (short)+4-1BB; and 4) CG α (short)+ CD28 (see FIG. 8).

Other constructs are designed using only the beta subunit of FSH (which provides specificity for FSHR binding) and 55 with a 15 aa binding region of the beta subunit that also binds FSHR, e.g., the fragment of amino acids 19-32 of SEQ ID NO: 2 of FSH β or other FSH β fragments identified above

Example 2: FSH Constructs Respond Specifically to FSHR+ Tumor Cells

Retroviral (pSFG) vs. lentiviral (pELNS) vectors are tested to transduce the FSHR-carrying construct into human 65 T cells. There is no formal demonstration that lentiviral vectors are superior for ex vivo transduction. Most impor-

20

tantly, concerns regarding the risk of insertional oncogenesis after gene transfer in the T cell are negligible after a decade-long safety using retroviral vectors. The pSFG vector in particular has been used many times for similar retroviral transduction of T cells in clinical trials^{41, 42}.

Retroviral or lentiviral stocks expressing these constructs are generated and used to transduce human T cells from healthy HLA-A2 donors (>50% Caucasians). Retroviral stocks were used to transduce CD3/CD28-activated T cell splenocytes, which were FACS-sorted based on co-expression of GFP. The specificity of the binding of modified T cells expressing the FSH nucleic acid constructs of Example 1 was then tested against FSHR- or mock-transduced ID8-Defb29/Vegf-a ovarian cancer cells. As shown in FIG. 2, co-incubation of T cells transduced with the FHSR targeting construct, but not T cells carrying an irrelevant mesothelintargeting construct (K1), elicited the secretion of IFN-γ. Further supporting the specificity of FSHR recognition, IFN-γ secretion did not occur in the presence of mock-transduced (naturally FSHR-) tumor cells.

Example 3: Intratumoral Administration of FSH Construct-Expressing T Cells Delays the Progression of FSHR+ Breast Tumors

To gain some insight into the potential effectiveness and safety of FSHR-targeting modified T cells in vivo in immunocompetent mice, we also transduced A7C11 breast cancer cells, a cell line generated from an autochthonous p53/KRas-mutated tumor, with mouse FSHR. Syngeneic mice were then challenged with flank tumors and administered identically treated 10⁶ FSHR-targeting modified T cells or mock transduced T cells through intraperitoneal injection. As shown in FIG. 3, a single administration of FSHR-targeting modified T cells was sufficient to significantly delay the progression of established flank tumors, without noticeable side effects. These results support the use of FSHR-targeting modified T cells against ovarian orthotopic tumors, alone or in combination with other clinically available immunotherapies.

The use of mouse FSH as a targeting motif is much more predictive of the effects of FSHR-targeting modified T cells than the use of human FSHR targeting constructs in immunodeficient mice, because: 1) T cells expressing mouse FSH target potentially unidentified healthy cells expressing endogenous FSHR (unlike T cells expressing human FSH administered into immunodeficient mice); 2) certain T cells, e.g., CER-T, cells can boost polyclonal anti-tumor immunity by enhancing pre-existing T cell responses through antigen spreading and decreasing the immunosuppressive burden; and 3) interactions between FSH and its specific receptor are highly conserved.

To demonstrate the cytotoxic potential of the FSHR-construct-containing T cells specifically against FSHR+tumor cells, we again incubated the FSHR-constructs or mock-transduced T cells with FSHR+ ID8-Defb29/Vegf-a33 (ovarian tumor) or A7C1134 (a cell line generated in the lab from autochthonous p53/KRas-mutated breast tumors34) cells (40:1 ratio for 24 h.), and cytotoxic killing was determined by counting Tripan blueneg (live) tumor cells. As shown in FIGS. 7A and 7B, FSH CER T cells, but not mock-transduced lymphocytes eliminated both types of tumor cells. Comparable results were obtained in a MTS assay (not shown), further supporting that FSH targeting

motifs are able to elicit CER-mediated T cell cytotoxic activity in a FSHR-specific manner.

Example 4: The Effectiveness Vs. Toxicity of FSH Ligand-Expressing Modified T Cells in Preclinical Ovarian Cancer Models in Immunocompetent Hosts

To define the immunological consequences of using FSHR-targeting modified T cells in immunocompetent preclinical tumors models that include all healthy tissues where 10 endogenous FSH could potentially bind (as it will happen in patients), we have generated new nucleic acid constructs with the mouse counterparts of all targeting and activating domains. See Table 3, FIG. 6C and SEQ ID NOs 4 and 5.

We test the hypothesis that FSHR-targeting modified T 15 cells show selective activity on the FSHR-expressing cells, impairing tumor progression, while not harboring significant adverse effects in the mouse. These results define the effect of this promising therapy on ovarian cancer and ensure its safety for the future translation of this approach to the clinic. 20

We use aggressive orthotopic ID8-Vegf/Defb29 tumors which have been transduced and selected with mouse FSHR. We validate the general applicability of selected findings using transduced parental ID8 cells and/or, an autochthonous p53-dependent inducible tumor model or our derived ²⁵ cell lines.

Example 5. Mouse Clinical Trial: Define the Effectiveness of FSHR-Targeting Modified T Cells Against Human Ovarian Cancers

We generated constructs with all human domains (see FIG. 5), which are used in our established cohort of primary tumor-derived xenografts in NSG mice. By using the same patients' tumors and T cells, we mimic a limited clinical trial 35 that recapitulates the heterogeneity of clinical ovarian cancer. We hypothesize that FSHR-targeting modified T cells are effective against established FSHR+ ovarian cancers from different patients, and synergize with combinatorial interventions targeting the TME.

By establishing the effectiveness and potential toxicity of FSHR-targeting modified T cells in a variety of preclinical models, we provide a mechanistic rationale for the testing of such T cells as a potential therapy against ovarian cancer. By using FSHR as a specific tumor target and a ligand comprising an FSH sequence as targeting motif, we overcome some of the challenges that have prevented the success of a variety of technologies against epithelial tumors.

To define full spectrum of activities of FSHR-targeting modified T cells in vivo in immunocompetent hosts, we have 50 generated fully murine FSHR-targeting modified T cells. (CD45.2+) established (FSHR+ and FSHR-) ovarian tumorbearing mice (n≥10/group) are treated with (CD45.1+, congenic) 10⁶ i.p. anti-FSHR-targeting modified T cells 7 d. after tumor challenge. Control mice receive mock trans- 55 duced T cells. We compare survival, as an indisputable readout of effectiveness. Additional injections of FSHRtargeting modified T cells are administered depending on these results. In different mice, at days 14, 21 and 28 after tumor challenge, we track the homing and persistence of 60 (specifically CD45.1+) transferred CD4 and CD8 T cells. Samples from spleen, draining (mediastinal) lymph nodes, bone marrow and tumor beds (peritoneal wash) are included. An exhaustive analysis of activation (e.g., CD44, CD69, CD27, CD25) vs. exhaustion (e.g., PD-1, Lag3) markers in 65 transferred lymphocytes is included. In addition, possible central memory differentiation of (congenic) FSHR-target22

ing modified T cells in BM and lymph nodes is analyzed, as a potential predictor of long-term engraftment and durable protection.

Example 6: Effects of FSHR-Targeting Modified T Cells on Pre-Existing Anti-Tumor Immunity

We determine the effects that FSHR-targeting modified CER-T cells have on ongoing anti-tumor immune responses, through antigen spreading and reduction of the immunosuppressive burden. For that purpose, we treat tumor-bearing mice with FSHR-targeting modified CER-T cells vs. mocktransduced T cells and FACS-sort the endogenous (CD3+ CD8+CD45.2+) T cells from tumor and lymphatic locations, at days 7 and 14 after adoptive transfer (days 14 and 21 after tumor). Magnitude of anti-tumor immune responses_attributable to pre-existing T cells influenced by treatment, are quantified through IFNy and Granzyme B ELISPOT analysis. Activation vs. exhaustion markers in endogenous T cells additionally define the effects of FSHR-targeting modified T cells. To define immune protection against recurrences potentially elicited by these combinatorial interventions, mice are re-challenged if they rejected their tumors.

Example 7—Short and Long-Term Toxicity Potentially Induced by FSHR-Targeting Modified T Cells

The main concern in T cell adoptive transfer protocols in ³⁰ the short term is the occurrence of a cytokine release syndrome, a systemic inflammatory response that induces non-infective fever and is associated with high levels of TNFα and IL-6.

We first monitor temperature and cytokine levels in treated vs. control mice, besides obvious signs of disease (e.g., ruffled fur). If a cytokine release syndrome was a frequent occurrence, we define whether the use of corticoids or IL6 depletion could make it a manageable event. The FSHR has not been reported to be expressed in normal tissue outside of the ovary or the testes; therefore, we expect no long term side effects. However, we monitor any macroscopic alterations in treated mice for up to 4 months after treatment.

Example 8—Mouse Clinical Trial in Patient-Derived Xenografts

We engraft fresh advanced ovarian carcinoma that we receive (~2-3 specimens/month). Fresh specimens are delivered through a courier within 2 h. after resection, and ~1 mm3 chunks are engrafted into the ovarian bursa of NSG (severely immunodeficient) mice, through an optimized surgical procedure. Importantly, we receive peripheral blood from the same patients, and buffy coats are immediately cryopreserved. In the last 2 months, we have challenged ~35 mice with 7 different specimens. Most tumors become palpable and visible in ~45 days although their progress is slow. Approximately 2-3 new fresh specimens arrive every month. We generate xenografts for ≥10 primary tumors from different patients. Mice are used to define the effectiveness of FSHR-targeting modified T cells against heterogeneous human ovarian tumors. For that, we CD3/CD28-expand and transduce the FHSR-targeting construct on T cells from the same patient, thus mimicking its potential clinical application.

We have generated FSH-targeting modified T cells with the corresponding human endogenous FSH, the hinge and

transmembrane domains, and the co-stimulatory 4-1BB and activating CD3 ξ motifs shown in FIG. 1 (all human sequences—see FIG. 5). We determine the expression of FSHR in each xenograft through Western-blot analysis, to define how it predicts effectiveness. T cells from healthy 5 donors with matching HLAs can be transduced and transferred.

To define the effectiveness of FSHR-targeting modified T cells against ovarian cancer, we use peripheral blood autologous to our engrafted human ovarian cancer specimens (≥3 10 mice/tumor; 10 different patients). T cells are expanded and transduced with human FSHR-targeting nucleic acid constructs or the empty vector (and/or irrelevant human CAR T19), and adoptively transferred into xenograft-bearing NSG mice challenged at the same time. Tumor growth is 15 monitored through palpation and ultrasound, and mice are sacrificed when tumors protrude through the abdomen, or earlier if the mice show signs of distress or advanced disease. Tumor growth, metastases and survival are quantified as a readout of effectiveness. How tumor growth is 20 affected as a function of the expression of FSHR is defined, using WB analysis in matching surgical specimens. We dissociate tumor specimens to determine the accumulation of transferred T cells in the presence vs. the absence of the target (NSG mice do not have endogenous lymphocytes). 25 Persistence of FSHR-targeting modified T cells at bone marrow and lymph node locations is determined and correlated with the expression of the targeted hormone receptor (FSHR) and effectiveness.

Example 9: Modulating Immunosuppression to Enhance the Effect of FSHR-Targeting Modified T Cells Activity Against Ovarian Cancer

A potential challenge of adoptive T cell transfer interven- 35 tions against solid tumors is the prospect that immunosuppressive networks in the TME abrogate the protective activity of exogenous T cells. To modulate the tumor microenvironment in order to decrease its immunosuppressive effects, and increase survival, adjunctive methods are 40 applied with the FSHR-targeting modified T cells. To elicit tumor rejection and sustained protection, the FSHR-targeting modified T cells are combined with the administration of clinically available PD-1 inhibitors (or PD1 blockers alone in control groups). Effectiveness as a function of PD-L1 45 expression in tumor cells is monitored. Alternatively, we block other immunosuppressive pathways in the ovarian cancer microenvironment in mice receiving FSHR-targeting modified T cells, including TGF- β (for which blocking Abs have been recently developed) and IL-10.

In summary, the above examples demonstrate that we targeted a G-protein coupled receptor (FSHR) that is expressed on the surface of ovarian cancer cells in most tumors and has not been used in T cell based interventions. To enhance both specificity and receptor:ligand interactions, 55 we use the endogenous hormone as a targeting motif, thereby providing a rationale for the clinical testing of FSHR-targeting modified T cells carrying the human counterparts of these motifs. We use the endogenous ligand (a hormone), as opposed to anti-FSHR antibody or antibody fragments as a ligand to ensure effectiveness or specificity. We show that modified T cells directed against FSHR are safe and do not induce obvious toxicity in vivo.

Most relevant for this application, the cytotoxic activity of modified T cells targeting FSHR+ tumor cells boosts pre- 65 existing lymphocyte responses through antigen spreading, thus enhancing polyclonal anti-tumor immunity. In addition,

we define whether combinatorial targeting of suppressive networks operating in the ovarian cancer microenvironment unleashes both FSHR-targeting modified T cells and tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes from tolerogenic pathways that could dampen their protective activity.

24

Thus, this application is innovative at multiple conceptual and experimental levels. We leverage a collection of freshly, orthotopically engrafted primary ovarian cancer xenografts to reflect the heterogeneity of the human disease in terms of FSHR expression and response variability. Specifically, we anticipate the above studies to demonstrate that ovarian cancer-bearing mice treated with FSHR-targeting modified T cells show significantly increased survival (even tumor rejection in some cases), compared to controls receiving mock-transduced T cells. Correspondingly, we identify FSHR-targeting modified T cells in vivo in treated mice for relatively long periods, as opposed to control T cells. Because the bone marrow is a reservoir of memory T cells in our system that is where we see niches of persistent FSHR-targeting modified T cells. FSHR-targeting modified T cells are less sensitive to mechanisms of exhaustion at tumor beads. Together, these results are interpreted as evidence for the therapeutic potential of FSHR-targeting modified T cells, and pave the way for subsequent clinical testing.

The data is anticipated to demonstrate that FSHR-targeting modified T cells induce a significant boost in the suboptimal but measurable anti-tumor activity of pre-existing T cells, as quantified by ELISPOT analysis. The combined activity of FSHR-targeting modified T cells and endogenous lymphocytes correspondingly confers protection against recurrence in those mice rejecting established tumors. This underscores the potential of FSHR-targeting modified T cells to elicit polyclonal immunological memory against tumor relapse, even if tumors lose targeted FSHR in the process.

The data is expected to show absence of significant adverse effects in the long-term (e.g., autoimmunity) for ovarian cancer, as expression of FSHR is restricted to the ovary (including all nucleated cells). We cannot rule out that the acute administration of FSHR-targeting modified T cells will result in flu-like symptoms, but it is unlikely that they will cause a cytokine-release syndrome. Together, these data further support using FSHR-targeting modified T cells in ovarian cancer patients.

The data is anticipated to demonstrate that >50% of primary tumors eventually grow exponentially in NSG mice and allow serial engraftment into different mice. 50-70% of these tumors express surface FSHR. Although we have shown the feasibility of engrafting human cultured ovarian cells (which could be used as a back-up or complementary approach), this resource recapitulates the heterogeneity of the human disease.

The data is anticipated to show that FSHR-targeting modified T cells are also effective against xenografted human ovarian cancers that express FSHR, but not against FSHR-tumors. Correspondingly, we anticipate that tumors with higher levels of FSHR expression are superior responders. Accordingly, we find enhanced persistence of modified T cells, stronger infiltrates (due to FSHR-induced proliferation) and less exhaustion in FSHR^{high} tumor-bearing hosts. These results further support both the specificity and the therapeutic potential of FSHR-targeting compositions, as described herein.

Combination of PD-1 inhibitors with FSHR-targeting modified T cells promote the rejection of PD-L1+ tumors, while only a significant delay in malignant progression is observed using individual treatments. Given the emerging

25

clinical success of PD-1 blockers, we expect that they are overall superior to other interventions targeting immunosuppression, which could nevertheless be more effective in PD-L1-tumors. Combinatorial interventions integrating cellular and molecular immunotherapies has obvious implications for subsequent clinical testing.

Example 10—Expression of CER Variants in Human T Cells

We use peripheral blood from the aphaeresis of healthy HLA-A2+ donors (>50% of Caucasians), to minimize allogeneic reactions when transduced T cells are co-incubated with (A2+) tumor cells. We use methods and resources as described^{5,29,30} ^{44,45}. Briefly, monocytes are depleted from 15 the apheresis product and T cells are expanded in 5% normal human AB serum using beads conjugated anti-CD3 (OKT3) and anti-CD28 (clone 9.3) antibodies (3:1 bead/CD3+ cell ratio). On day 1 after stimulation, T cells are exposed to retro- or lentivirus supernatant encoding the FSH-targeted 20 constructs variants (MOI~3), on retronectin coated plates in the presence of 50 UI/mL of IL-2 and 1 ng/mL of IL-7, followed by spinoculation (1000 g, 45 min, 4° C.). On day 2, media are changed and spinoculation repeated, followed by up to 12 days of expansion. After completion of cell 25 culture, magnetic beads are removed through magnetic separation and the cells are washed and resuspended in PlasmaLyte A (Baxter). The efficiency of transduction is determined by flow cytometry using primary antibodies against human FSHβ (Clone 405326) expressed outside 30 infected cells, and PE-labeled anti-mouse IgG as secondary antibodies. The transduction of human HLA-A2+ T cells is optimized for cytotoxic testing of all variants.

Example 11—The Anti-Tumor Activity of FSH-Targeted CER Variants In Vitro

An in vitro luciferase assay is used to test the effectiveness, i.e., cytotoxic activity, of the FSH-targeted T cell variants generated as described in the Examples above. We use human HLA-A2+ OVCAR3 ovarian cancer cells¹⁹, which are also known to express high levels of FSHR^{20,46}. By using HLA-A2+ OVCAR3 T cells (expressing the most common HLA type present in 50% of the Caucasians and 35% of African-Americans), we can use T cells from a wide variety of donors without eliciting an alloimmune response. We thereby restrict the elicitation of cytotoxic killing to specific recognition of the FSHR receptor. We also measure IFN-γ production by transduced T cells in response to FSHR-expressing tumor cells. The two FSHR-expressing T 50 cell variants that show the best combined cytotoxic activity and IFN-γ production are selected for subsequent in vivo testing

Transfection with the retroviral vector pBABE-luc-puro makes the OVCAR3 cells express firefly luciferase spontaneously, and allow selection by a puromycin resistance gene.

Human HLA-A2+ FSH-targeted construct-transduced T cells as described herein are used as effectors. If more than 60% of the T cells are transduced, the T cells are considered ready to use in the assays. If less than 60% of the T cells are 60 transduced, we enrich for the transduced T cells by FACS-sorting for the FSH+ cells.

After clonal selection and expansion of luciferase expressing OVCAR3 (OVCAR3-luc), we plate 10,000 cells per well and coculture with T cells expressing the different 65 FSH construct variants at ratios 1:1 (tumor cells: T cells), 1:5, 1:10 and 1:20. We also have a condition without T cells

26

as negative control (no cell death) and another treated with Triton X as positive control for maximal tumor cell death. 18 hours after plating the cells in co-culture we remove the media, wash the wells, lyse the cells and add the luciferase substrate. We measure the amount of luciferase signal to determine the specific lysis of OVCAR3-luc cells by the FSH-targeted CER T cells.

Example 12—Production of IFN-Γ in Response to FSHR by all CER T Cell Variants

Another parameter potentially important for therapeutic effectiveness is the production of effector cytokines that may influence the immunoenvironment in vivo.

Complementarily, we co-culture OVCAR3 cells and T cells expressing the different variants of FSH-targeted construct and collect the supernatants at 18 hours for determining IFN γ production by ELISA with at 1:1, 1:10 and 1:20 tumor cell to T cell ratios. If time permits, we also determine IFN- γ production by intracellular staining of FSH-targeted transfected T cells incubated with FSHR+ tumor cells, as well as other cytokines such as TNF- α (ELISA) and granzyme B (intracellular staining).

These experiments are run in (at least) triplicates and repeated 3 times, to achieve statistical significance (P<0.05) using the Mann-Whitney's test. We identify two FSH-targeted construct-transfected T cell variants for further investigation and development in an in vivo system. We select the FSH-targeted CER variant that provides, in order of priority: 1) The highest % of specific OVCAR3-luc cell lysis at the different ratios; 2) the highest levels of IFN-γ secretion are selected. Where there are different best candidates at different ratios, we choose the one with the highest specific lysis at a lowest ratio. If all variants show similar activity, we prioritize the use of retroviral vectors, the inclusion of CD28 instead of 4-1BB, and the shorter CGα variant. If there are no differences we choose the variant that shows higher transduction efficiency.

If FSHR-targeted killing of OVCAR3 cells is suboptimal, we use Caov-3 ovarian cancer cells (ATCC #HTB-75), which also co-express FSHR and HLA-A2. In this case, this cell line is used for subsequent in vivo testing.

Example 13—FSHR Construct Variants Tested In Vivo in Ovarian Cancer Xenografts

We compare the in vivo efficacy of the two leading CER T cell variants identified in the Examples above. OVCAR3 cells (HLA-A2+19; FSHR+20) are engrafted into the ovarian bursa of NSG (severely immunodeficient) mice. Orthotopic tumor-bearing mice are treated with HLA-A2+ CER T cells as follows. Tumor chunks (~1 mm³) are derived from TOV-21G ovarian clear cell carcinoma cells implanted into the flank of immunodeficient mice engrafted into the ovarian bursa of NSG mice in ~1 month. The challenged ovary was taken by malignant growth and compared to the left contralateral ovary. The tumor (figure not shown) was particularly aggressive and grew in ~21 days. We have also challenged mice with either tumor chunks (right ovary) or single cell suspensions from the same freshly dissociated primary ovarian cancer specimens.

To define the effectiveness of FSH-targeted construct-transduced T cells against FSHR+ ovarian cancer, we use the two CER T cell variants that show the best combined cytotoxic activity and IFN-γ production. If all variants show similar activity, we prioritize the use of retroviral vectors, the inclusion of CD28 instead of 4-1BB, and the shorter CGa

27 variant. Mice showing established orthotopic ovarian tumors

of similar size by ultrasound and/or palpation (≥5 mice/ group) receive T cells transduced with the two variants of

FSHR-targeting construct-transduced, or mock-transduced,

T cells as an alternative control. If <60% transduction is

achieved, positively transduced T cells are FACS-sorted and

allowed to rest for 2 h before treatment. 10⁷ FSHR-target

construct-transduced T cells/injection and two injections 14

days apart (i.p. in PBS) are administered for these analyses.

cells in tumor-bearing immunocompetent mice; (2) administering a single dose $(2\times10^6, 10^7 \text{ and } 5\times10^7)$ human T cells in human tumor-bearing immunodeficient mice; and (3) administering a single dose $(2\times10^6, 10^7 \text{ and } 5\times10^7)$ mouse T cells in tumor-free immunocompetent mice. After obtaining a single dose MTD from these experiments, the following experiments are conducted: (4) administering multi-doses of MTD (mouse T cells) in tumor-bearing immunocompetent mice on days 21, 28 and 32; (5) administering multi-doses

of MTD (human T cells) in human cancer-bearing immu-

nodeficient mice (3 times, a week apart); and (6) adminis-

tering multi-doses of MTD (mouse T cells) in tumor-free

immunocompetent mice on days 0, 7 and 14. The results of

28

Tumor growth is monitored through palpation and ultrasound, and mice are sacrificed when tumors protrude through the abdomen, or earlier if the show signs of distress or advanced disease. Tumor growth, metastases and survival are first quantified as an undisputable readout of effectiveness.

these experiments define the multi-dose MTD.

These experiments provide a rationale for subsequent development of FSH-targeted CER T cells for the treatment of ovarian cancer. They allow the identification of a lead variant to be used for clinical interventions. To pave the way for immediate clinical testing, we determine the single-infusion maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of our leading FSH-targeted CER. We evaluate tumor-dependent, FSH receptor-specific, and non-specific toxicity after infusion of FSH-targeted CER T cells.

Example 14—The Persistence of the Two Cer T Cell Variants In Vivo in Tumor-Bearing Mice

Single Dose Escalation In Vivo in Immunocompetent Mice.

An important parameter associated with long-term pro- 20 tection is the persistence of central memory FSH-targeted construct-transduced T cells that can produce new waves of T cell effectors upon tumor recurrence. To determine what CER T cell variant persists for longer time in vivo, we identically treat different OVCAR3-growing mice (≥5 mice/ 25 group) with FSH-targeted construct-transduced T cells, and monitor where they gather and persist. First, the accumulation of transferred T cells at days 14 and 28 after adoptive transfer are determined through FACS analysis using dissociated tumor tissue, bone marrow (a reservoir of central 30 memory T cells), lymph nodes and spleen samples. We use human CD3, as NSG mice do not have endogenous lymphocytes. Their memory attributes (CD62L+CD45RA-CD122+CD127+) at lymphatic and BM locations are determined. If mice reject tumors upon the administration of 35 FSH-targeted T cells, we re-challenge them with OVACR3 flank tumors, and compare tumor progression with that in naïve (untreated) NSG mice.

In order to determine single infusion MTD, a single dose escalation is conducted in both tumor- and non-tumor bearing immunocompetent mice. Thus, although the NOD/ SCID/γc-/- (NSG) mouse is the best available model for evaluating preclinical efficacy of CER T cells for FSHRexpressing tumors, the mouse tumor xenograft model is a non-relevant species for determination of some aspects of toxicology for this particular CER T cell, because the human FSH component may not bind to normal mouse FSHR, and therefore, this mouse could under-predict toxicity. For this reason, we first use FSH-targeted CER T cell constructs that contain the exact mouse counterpart of each motified, to be expressed by murine primary T cells. The goal of these initial experiments is to have a system where the endogenous (mouse FSHR) hormone receptor is present in the ovary and potentially unidentified healthy tissues. These studies test the capacity of the host for orchestrating inflammatory reactions similar to what could be observed in cancer patients in the presence of an intact immune system. Expression of FSH-targeted CER in mouse primary T cells is performed with retroviral vectors independently of the results of Phase I, as lentiviruses do not infect mouse lymphocytes. Doses have been selected based on 5 years of experience with adoptive transfer of T cells in various preclinical models^{7,30,43,49,50}, including the use of FSHtargeted CER lymphocytes to treat FSHR+ tumors in immunocompetent mice (FIG. 3). The "standard" efficacious and non-toxic dose is 10^7 cells, though we have shown efficacy below this dose. Mice are infused with 2×10^6 , 10^7 , or 5×10^7 mock-transduced T cells, FSH-targeted CER T cells, or HBSS. Because the expression of the FSHR has been also reported to be present in the altered endothelium found in metastatic lesions (but not in healthy blood vessels)⁵¹, as well as in the epithelial cells in prostate cancer⁵², we conduct two independent experiments for each protocol; one used male mice and another used female mice, with 5 mice per group in each experiment. These experiments define toxicity in both genders by not excluding the potential presence of endogenous FSHR in healthy male or female tissues.

Based on our previous observations, we anticipate that 5 mice per group should provide a 5% significance level and 40 95% power to detect differences of 20% or greater, using Mann-Whitney's or Wilcoxon's tests. Experiments use at least 5 mice/group (plus a repetition) and are analyzed according to these statistical parameters. We thereby identify the lead FSH-targeted T cell variant that is used for final 45 preclinical optimization. The selected candidate shows a combination of, in order of importance: 1) strongest effectiveness against established tumor growth; 2) central memory differentiation at lymphatic or bone marrow locations; and 3) superior overall persistence in vivo.

In both males and females, FSHR-transduced ID8-Defb29/Vegf-a ovarian cancer cells are administered to generate ovarian tumors disseminated throughout the peri-

We theorize that persistence of FSH targeted T cells is important for long-term remissions, based on clinical evidence treating leukemia^{12,38,48}. If both FSH target construct-transduced T cell variants express CD28 and do not persist for at least 2 weeks, we also test the in vivo effectiveness of 55 T cells carrying the constructs using 4-1BB. If comparable tumor reduction was achieved, we would select CD28 as a co-stimulatory domain.

We complement these experiments with the use of Caov-3 ovarian cancer cells, which express even higher levels of the 60 FSH Receptor and are HLA-A2⁺.

Example 15: Maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD) For Single Dose Administrations

The experimental plan to define MTD involves: (1) administering a single dose $(2\times10^6,10^7)$ and $5\times10^7)$ mouse T

setting.

toneal cavity. These tumors, albeit more slowly and slightly less reproducibly, also grow in male mice. Tumor-bearing mice are infused with T cells or HBSS 5 days after tumor injection. Because the standard administration of other CAR T cells in the clinic involves previous lymphodepletion²⁸, 5 we sublethally irradiate the mice (300 rads) 5 h before T cell adoptive transfer. All tumor cells and T cells are initially infused i.p., because this is the route endorsed by the NCI for targeting ovarian cancer in the clinic⁵³. If two or more mice at a particular T cell dose show signs of toxicity, all mice at 10 that dose and paired HBSS treated controls were sacrificed for analysis. In that case, the administration of CER T cells i.v. is evaluated.

29

Single Dose Escalation In Vivo in Human Ovarian Cancer-Bearing Mice.

These complementary experiments are conducted in OVCAR3 (FSHR+) ovarian cancer-bearing NSG mice, which is the best available model for evaluating preclinical efficacy of CER T cells for FSHR-expressing tumors (survival). FSH-targeted CERs are expressed with the viral 20 vector developed for clinical testing. Monocyte-depleted human T cells from the aphaeresis of HLA-A2+ healthy donors are used for transduction of the (human) FSHtargeted CER T cell variant. Because NSG mice do not have T, NK or B cells, adoptively transferred T cells undergo 25 homeostatic expansion anyway, making lymphodepletion (sublethal irradiation) unnecessary. The goal of these studies is to identify potential side effects restricted to the use of human T cells. For instance human IL-6 is known to signal on mouse receptors and therefore a potential cytokine 30 release syndrome could be detected.

In both sets of experiments, the following readouts are monitored:

The health status of mice is monitored and graded on a scale from 1 to 4: 1—normal and healthy; 1.5—some 35 lethargy, walking a bit slowly; 2—moving slowly and a slight dragging of a limb; 2.5—dragging limbs when moving; 3—hunched posture and little movement; 3.5—laying on side, no or little movement upon touch; 4—death. A cohort of mice (≥5/group) is sacrificed between 6 and 20 40 hours after T cell infusion and their health status is recorded. Potential differences in the presence CER vs. HBSS, and between the presence vs. the absence of tumor are recorded. If mice experienced signs of grade 3-4 health deterioration, serum is collected for quantification of IL-6 circulating 45 levels, as this cytokine is responsible for the cytokine release syndrome observed in some patients.

We also measure and record body weight on each day of T cell infusion and the three subsequent days. We continue to monitor body weight throughout the experiments. If mice 50 experienced >10% of body weight loss in 24 h they are sacrificed. Otherwise, recorded body weight is compared to control mice, and also in the presence vs. the absence of a tumor.

Tissue sections are be analyzed in a blinded manner in all 55 sacrificed mice. The liver, pancreas, spleen, small intestine, large intestine, heart, kidney, and lung are examined for evidence of tissue damage in H&E staining as well as the presence of (CD45+) inflammatory infiltrates by IHC. Leukocyte accumulation in healthy tissues is compared in mice 60 treated with CER T cells vs. HBSS, and also in the presence vs. the absence of tumor. The presence of red blood cells in the alveolar space or airways is additionally monitored to detect acute bleeding.

Survival is monitored in tumor-free vs. tumor-bearing 65 mice receiving a single infusion of CER vs. control T cells. If mice infused with the highest dose $(5\times10^7 \text{ cells})$ of

30

FSH-targeted CER T cells suffer from severe acute toxicity, they are sacrificed regardless of whether they have tumors.

These experiments define the maximum tolerated dose for single infusion of our FSH-targeted T cells.

Maximum Tolerated Dose for Multi-Dose Administrations
The single dose MTD is likely between 2×10⁶ and 5×10⁷
of FSH-targeted CER T cells. To determine the toxicity of
multiple FSH-targeted CER T cell administrations analogous to a therapeutic regimen in a clinical setting tumordependent, FSH receptor-specific, and non-specific toxicity
are evaluated after infusion of FSH-targeted CER T cells.
Both human xenografts and immunocompetent mouse systems are used to predict potential side effects in a clinical

To determine whether multiple infusions at this dose would result in toxicity based on in vivo accumulation of T cells or host sensitization, tumor-bearing and non-tumor bearing mice are treated with three infusions of the MTD of CER T cells, the same amount of mock transduced (control) T cells, or HBSS. FSHR-transduced ID8-Defb29/Vegf-a ovarian cancer-bearing immunocompetent mice receive primary mouse T cells transduced with the mouse version of our FSH-targeted CER Immunodeficient mice growing FSHR+HLA-A2+ OVCAR3 human ovarian cancer cells are treated with human HLA-A2+ FSH-targeted CER T cells. To maximize the probability of tumor-associated toxicity, mice are treated at later time points, once tumors have been established. Mice with orthotopic ID8-Defb29Vegf-a ovarian tumors start treatment at day 21 after tumor challenge, when ascites becomes evident in the absence of treatment. Injections are repeated 7 days apart (days 28 and 32 after tumor challenge). For OVCAR3 tumor-bearing mice, treatments are initiated when tumors become palpable or are clearly established, as determined by ultrasound. Subsequent injections are administered a week apart. NSG mice receiving human T cells are not expected to show signs of GVHD before 30 days of the first infusion, which provides an evolution time long-enough to define toxicity as a function of effectiveness. By treating tumors at advanced stages, we anticipate a significant survival benefit for mice treated with FSH-targeted CER T cells but we do not expect total tumor elimination. NSG mice treated with human CER T cells are sacrificed at day 28 after the first T cell administration. Again, 5 mice per group are used for each experiment.

The same readouts as described above, i.e., health status, body weight, histology and survival are monitored. We do not expect to identify signs of toxicity after the second and third administrations. We compare how the presence vs. the absence of a tumor influences toxicity. In the unexpected event that significant toxicity is observed upon repeated injections, we escalate down the second and third infusion, starting with 50% of the previously determined MTD, and administering the full dose in the last injection. Subsequent reductions (50%) of the two last injections are tested if toxicity persists. Body weight is assessed starting on the day of each T cell infusion and three subsequent days. We monitor body weight throughout the experiment. We anticipate a slight decrease (~1%) in body weight one day after these infusions, likely reflecting the stress of handling and injection. However, we do not expect major weight losses. If they occur, we escalate down the second and third injections. We collect liver, pancreas, spleen, small intestine, large intestine, heart, kidney, and lung from all sacrificed mice and generate histological sections for analysis of obvious tissue damage and inflammatory infiltrates. Histological patterns after treatment with control vs. CER T cells,

and administration in the presence vs. the absence of tumor are compared. Survival is monitored in tumor-free vs. tumor-bearing mice receiving multiple doses of CER vs. control T cells. We do not expect differences in survival of non-tumor bearing mice. However, we anticipate that 5 advanced tumor-bearing mice receiving multiple doses of FSH-targeted CER T cells will exhibit significantly longer survival than those treated with control (mock-transduced) T cells. Survival in all groups is recorded.

Example 16—Evaluation of Biomarkers

The ability to monitor the PK/PD of the infused T cells is important for interpretation of outcomes, determination of mechanism, and identification of potential adverse effects 15 early in the clinic. In addition, an important consideration is that the CER can become immunogenic^{31,32}. The expression of an endogenous hormone in our FSH-targeted CER minimizes those potential side effects. Novel epitopes are created at the fusion joint of human signaling domains that are not 20 normally juxtaposed (e.g., the joint regions of CD28 and CD3 ξ , or the joint regions of CG α and the hinge region). Immunogenicity of the CER can lead to the rejection of the adoptively-transferred T cells and cause inflammatory reactions. As a means to understand the in vivo function of 25 FSH-targeted CER T cells and those theoretical side effects, we evaluate specific biomarkers of cell activity in the serum. Serum is analyzed using ELISA and standard assays for the following markers:

Cytokines are important predictors of both in vivo activity 30 of CAR T cells against tumor cells (e.g., tumor lysis) and potential allergic/inflammatory reactions. The following inflammatory cytokines are determined by ELISA in the serum of treated and control mice: IL-6, IFN-γ and TNF-α. From those, IL-6 is expected to show the strongest correlation with obvious behavioral alterations or changes in body weight, based on clinical evidence⁵⁴. We anticipate some increases in at least IL-6 within the first 3 days after CER T cell administration, compared to the infusion of HBSS. This presumed mechanism of toxicity is well-understood in the 40 clinic, and effective interventions (usually steroidal or IL-6 blockers) are known and commonly practiced. These inflammation markers are useful in clinical trials to monitor patients and determine the initial dose. We do not expect sustained elevations of systemic inflammatory cytokines >3 45 days after treatment, although they are monitored nevertheless. Potential changes are recorded and correlated with clinical responses. These surrogate markers are used for determining the minimal anticipated biological effect level.

Hyperferritinemia, peaking at days 2-3, is another important surrogate marker of a cytokine released syndrome in the clinic⁵⁷. We determine the concentration of serum ferritin in control vs. treated mice, and correlate those levels with health deterioration, weight loss and histological changes.

Creatinine is measured as an indicator of potential damage of renal function. Values in control mice are compared to those in mice receiving FSH-targeted CER T cells. Again, we do not anticipate any kidney damage due to CER T cell administration.

AST is determined as an indicator of potential damage to 60 the liver. Histological analyses of liver tissues are correlated with AST values, including potential tumor growth in the liver, as ovarian cancer is a peritoneal disease. Values in control mice receiving HBSS are compared to those in mice infused with CER T cells. Based on clinical evidence with 65 other CER formulations, we do not expect that treatment with FSH-targeted T cells will adversely affect the liver.

32

We believe, based on clinical information that an important predictor of long-term protection when targeted tumor determinants are truly specific is the persistence of adoptively transferred T cells. We analyze the accumulation of FSH-targeted CER T cells in lymph nodes, the bone marrow, and tumor tissue (if tumors are not rejected) of different xenograft-bearing NSG mice (≥5/group). By analyzing dissociated lymph nodes and bone marrow at days 7 and 14 after adoptive transfer (before GVHD takes place56), flow cytometry determines the phenotype of (CD3+, as NSG mice do not have T or B cells) persistent CER T cells in terms of acquisition of memory attributes (CD45RA-CD62L+CCR7+CD122+ lymphocytes). Thus, although immunodeficient mice are likely permissive, the presence of a co-stimulatory domain in transferred human T cells promotes long term engraftment and memory differentiation. This is interpreted as a predictor of subsequent therapeutic effectiveness but may have a reflection in the levels of circulating cytokines.

For additional safety, mass doses much lower than the toxic dose are initially used in mice, although the dose is slowly escalated as patients are monitored for signs of toxicity. In one known study, the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) in human mesothelioma-bearing NSG mice treated with anti-mesothelin CAR T cells was 50×10^7 cells/mouse. We anticipate similar or better results, given the specificity of our target. Based on preclinical evidence with different T cell adoptive transfer protocols 7,30,43 , administration is up to 3 weekly injections. However, clinical protocols are based on infusing CAR T cells in the course of 3 days²⁸. If significant toxicity is observed after the third weekly injection, doses of CER T cells are adjusted for tolerance when administered for 3 consecutive days.

Example 17—Minimal Anticipated Biological Effect Level (MABEL)

The minimum anticipated biological effect level for humans is based on the lowest animal dose or concentration required to produce activity in vivo and/or in vitro data in animal/human systems. MABEL is defined through dose-response data from in vivo studies in human tumor-bearing NSG mice treated with CER T cells. Dose/concentration-effect curves are generated derived from experimental data and extrapolated from animal to human to initiate careful dose escalations. The starting point is the dose that corresponds to the minimal biological effect, using the biomarkers defined above as surrogate markers.

To determine MABEL different NSG mice are challenged with OVCAR3 (FSHR+) ovarian cancer cells injected into the ovarian bursa (n≥5/group). When tumors become palpable and show similar size by ultrasound (~300 mm3), FSH-targeted CERs are expressed with the viral vector in CD3/CD28-expanded human T cells from the aphaeresis of HLA-A2+ healthy donors. A selected (human) FSH-targeted CER T cell variant is transduced and the maximum dose of CER T cells with no observed adverse effects is administered. Control mice receive HBSS. IL-6, IFN- γ , TNF- α and ferritin are again determined in serum at the temporal points where increases are observed (i.e., expected to happen only within the first 3 days). Based on this baseline, different cohorts of tumor-bearing mice are identically treated with doses of CER T cells escalated down by 50%, until any increases in the aforementioned cytokines (compared to control mice) disappears (becomes the same as in the control

group). This amount of FSH-targeted CER T cells, calculated in terms of body weight, is used to define the starting dose for human intervention.

Patients currently receive between 10⁷ and 10⁸ T cells transduced with different CER per kg of body weight in ongoing trials²⁸. Considering that we have not observed noticeable toxicity in mice at doses of 10⁹/kg of body weight (~10⁷ CER T cells/mouse; ~30 g/mouse; FIG. 3), we anticipate that a safe initial dose can be adjusted and escalated in different patients to reach a "No Observable Adverse Effect Level" below these amounts. For additional safety, a "split dose" approach to dosing is followed over 3 days, administering CER-transduced T cells using 10% of the total intended on day 0, 30% on day 1 and 60% on day 2, starting 2 days following chemotherapy²⁸.

We analyze test batches of the initial virus to determine which clone is producing a high titer of FSH-targeted CER virus, by both qPCR analysis and ELISA for human FSH. We transduce human T cells with this virus, and determine $_{20}$ the expression of FSH $_{\beta}$, CD3, CD4 and CD8 by flow cytometry, and IFN- $_{\gamma}$ production after co-culture with FSHR+ OVCAR3 tumor cells (as in FIG. 2). We select the cell clones that produce the highest titers for expansion, testing, and production of the master cell bank. This master $_{25}$ cell bank can be used as a source of virus producing cells for additional studies.

We then test the product to assure the safety of biological products including tests for (1) sterility, (2) mycoplasma, and (3) adventitious viral agents, following FDA guidelines. 30

Example 18—Persistence of CER-T Cells in Peripheral Blood

A Q-PCR assay for determining the trafficking and persistence of adoptively transferred CER T cells in vivo in peripheral blood is defined. Primers and TaqMan probes are designed to span the joints between the sequence of CGa and the transmembrane domains of the CER, which are not 40 naturally present in any cell in patients. In addition, a flow cytometry analysis of transferred CER T cells is optimized based on the detection of FSHβ on transduced (CD3+) T cells, by fluorescently labeling available anti-human FSH antibodies, or using a primary anti-FSH antibody and a 45 fluorescently labeled secondary antibody. Tracking of transferred T cells includes CD4 and CD8 antibodies in the assay. to gain understanding of the mechanisms of therapeutic effectiveness. These reagents are tested in a new cohort of OVCAR3 tumor-bearing NSG mice (≥5) adoptively trans- 50 ferred with A2+ T cells from healthy donors transduced with the clinical grade vector and procedures. This experiment verifies the effectiveness of the new reagent against tumor growth.

The primary toxicity anticipated is whether the T cells 55 will cause inflammation by killing tumor cells that express the target. After setting the starting dose, the conventional dose escalation is conservatively based on 3-fold increments. Because we use endogenous FSH, the risk of anaphylaxis or immune targeting of CAR T cells previously 60 described for xenogeneic (murine) scFvs is negligible. As importantly, the expression of the FSH receptor has been limited to the ovary through millions of years of evolution. Because they are routinely resected in ovarian cancer patients and no other organ should bind the FSH hormone, 65 we expect that FSH-targeted CER T cells represent a safe and effective intervention.

Example 19—Use of Tall Cells as a Universal Platform

CER constructs have been expressed in TALL-103/2 cells and in TALL-104 cells (ATCC CRL11386; U.S. Pat. No. 5,702,702), to re-direct their cytotoxic potential towards FSHR+ tumors through ligand rather than a scFv, but otherwise using the activating domains successfully used against leukemias.

The optimization of TALL-103/2 cells as an universal allogeneic platform is one embodiment, because they grow significantly faster than TALL-104 cells in vitro and therefore will be easier to handle for mass production. We will nevertheless also use TALL-104 cells, which traffic spontaneously to tumor beds. Expressing FSH-targeted chimeric receptors enhances the therapeutic potential of TALL-103/2 and TALL-104 cells, by re-directing their cytotoxic activity towards FSHR+ ovarian cancer cells through its endogenous (non-immunogenic) ligand. Preliminary results show that transduction of our FSH CER empowers TALL-103/2 or TALL-104 cells to kill ovarian cancer cells spontaneously expressing FSHRs significantly more effectively than their mock-transduced counterparts. FSH-targeting CER TALLcells are able to specifically and effectively kill FSHR expressing ovarian cancer cells and abrogate malignant progression in clinically relevant ovarian cancer models without significant adverse effects. These results are anticipated to translate to ovarian cancer patients in subsequent clinical trials.

We have already transduced and selected TALL-103/2 and TALL-104 cells with pBMN retroviruses encoding human FSHR targeted CERs. To demonstrate that spontaneous (NK-like) cytolytic activity of TALL-103/2 cells can be significantly enhanced through the expression of our FSH-targeted CER, we again performed in vitro cytotoxicity experiments using human ovarian cancer (A2+FSHR+) OVCAR3 cells as targets. As shown in FIG. 12, mocktransduced TALL-103/2 cells showed, as expected, some dose-dependent anti-tumor activity against ovarian cancer cells. However, the expression of our FSH-targeted CER empowered this cell line to eliminate ~60% of tumor cells at effector:target ratios as low as 1:4. These experiments support the potential of re-directing our universal allogeneic platform more specifically against FSHR+ (70%) ovarian tumors through the expression of FSHR CERs. Of note, FSH-targeted CER TALL-103/2 cells grow in our bioreactors as effectively as parental cells. This experiment provided proof-of-concept for a potentially safer and universally accessible system; namely, combining the spontaneous therapeutic activity of TALL-103/2 or TALL 104 cells with the power of our FSH-targeted activating receptor, to maximize their specificity; and their anti-tumor cytotoxic activity.

Importantly, the spontaneous cytotoxic activity of TALL-103/2 cells in the absence of CAR/CER expression is restricted to NK-susceptible targets that express NKG2D ligands, such as K562 and U937 leukemic cells, while healthy cells are completely spared from cytotoxic killing. In addition, TALL-103/2 cells are unlikely to cause GVHD upon administration into patients, because they express a single ($\gamma\delta$) TCR, as it was demonstrated for TALL-104 cells. However, the effector activity of TALL-103/2 cells can be elicited through the activation of CD3 or the administration of IL-2. Together with their faster ex vivo growth in bioreactors, compared to clinically available TALL-104 cells, these attributes make TALL-103/2 cells potentially superior allogenic platforms for re-directing their anti-tumor potential through the expression of our FSH-targeted CERs.

However, TALL-104 cells are also desirable for this use as they traffic spontaneously to tumor beds.

Each and every patent, patent application including U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/059,068, U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/202,824, and any document identified herein and the sequence of any publically available nucleic acid and/or peptide sequence cited throughout the disclosure is expressly incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Embodiments and variations of this invention other than those specifically disclosed above may be devised by others skilled in the art without departing from the true spirit and scope of the invention. The appended claims include such embodiments and equivalent variations.

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel, R., Ma, J., Zou, Z. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 64, 9-29 (2014).
- 2. Curiel, T. J., et al. Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and 20 predicts reduced survival. Nat Med 10, 942-949 (2004).
- 3. Zhang, L., et al. Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 348, 203-213 (2003).
- 4. Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R., et al. Polyethylenimine-based siRNA 25 nanocomplexes reprogram tumor-associated dendritic cells via TLR5 to elicit therapeutic antitumor immunity. JClin Invest 119, 2231-2244 (2009).
- 5. Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R., et al. CD277 is a Negative Costimulatory Molecule Universally Expressed by Ovarian 30 Cancer Microenvironmental Cells. Oncotarget 1, 329-328 (2010).
- 6. Huarte, E., et al. Depletion of dendritic cells delays ovarian cancer progression by boosting antitumor immunity. Cancer Res 68, 7684-7691 (2008).
- 7. Nesbeth, Y., et al. CCL5-mediated endogenous antitumor immunity elicited by adoptively transferred lymphocytes and dendritic cell depletion. Cancer Res 69, 6331-6338
- 8. Scarlett, U. K., et al. In situ stimulation of CD40 and 40 Toll-like receptor 3 transforms ovarian cancer-infiltrating dendritic cells from immunosuppressive to immunostimulatory cells. Cancer Res 69, 7329-7337 (2009).
- 9. Scarlett, U. K., et al. Ovarian cancer progression is controlled by phenotypic changes in dendritic cells. JExp 45 Med 209, 495-506 (2012).
- 10. Cubillos-Ruiz, J. R., et al. Reprogramming tumorassociated dendritic cells in vivo using microRNA mimetics triggers protective immunity against ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 72, 1683-1693 (2012).
- 11. Porter, D. L., Levine, B. L., Kalos, M., Bagg, A. & June, C. H. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells in chronic lymphoid leukemia. N Engl J Med 365, 725-733 (2011).
- 12. Maus, M. V., Grupp, S. A., Porter, D. L. & June, C. H. 55 Antibody-modified T cells: CARs take the front seat for hematologic malignancies. Blood 123, 2625-2635 (2014).
- 13. Kalos, M., et al. T cells with chimeric antigen receptors have potent antitumor effects and can establish memory in patients with advanced leukemia. Sci Transl Med 3, 60 33. Conejo-Garcia, J. R., et al. Tumor-infiltrating dendritic 95ra73 (2011).
- 14. Simoni, M., Gromoll, J. & Nieschlag, E. The folliclestimulating hormone receptor: biochemistry, molecular biology, physiology, and pathophysiology. Endocr Rev 18, 739-773 (1997).
- 15. Vannier, B., Loosfelt, H., Meduri, G., Pichon, C. & Milgrom, E. Anti-human FSH receptor monoclonal anti-

36

- bodies: immunochemical and immunocytochemical characterization of the receptor. Biochemistry 35, 1358-1366
- 16. Zhang, X. Y., et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone peptide can facilitate paclitaxel nanoparticles to target ovarian carcinoma in vivo. Cancer Res 69, 6506-6514 (2009).
- 17. Al-Timimi, A., Buckley, C. H. & Fox, H. An immunohistochemical study of the incidence and significance of human gonadotrophin and prolactin binding sites in normal and neoplastic human ovarian tissue. Br J Cancer 53, 321-329 (1986).
- 18. Hall, J. E. Neuroendocrine changes with reproductive aging in women. Semin Reprod Med 25, 344-351 (2007).
- 19. Ramakrishna, V., et al. Naturally occurring peptides associated with HLA-A2 in ovarian cancer cell lines identified by mass spectrometry are targets of HLA-A2restricted cytotoxic T cells. Int Immunol 15, 751-763 (2003).
- 20. Choi, J. H., Choi, K. C., Auersperg, N. & Leung, P. C. Overexpression of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor activates oncogenic pathways in preneoplastic ovarian surface epithelial cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89, 5508-5516 (2004).
- 21. Jemal, A., et al. Cancer statistics, 2009. CA Cancer J Clin 59, 225-249 (2009).
- 22. Jemal, A., et al. Cancer statistics, 2008. CA Cancer J Clin 58, 71-96 (2008).
- 23. Coukos, G., Conejo-Garcia, J. R., Roden, R. B. & Wu, T C Immunotherapy for gynaecological malignancies. Expert Opin Biol Ther 5, 1193-1210 (2005).
- 24. Conejo-Garcia, J. R., et al. Ovarian carcinoma expresses the NKG2D ligand Letal and promotes the survival and expansion of CD28-antitumor T cells. Cancer Res 64, 2175-2182 (2004).
- 35 25. Hamanishi, J., et al. Programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T lymphocytes are prognostic factors of human ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104, 3360-3365 (2007).
- 26. Sato, E., et al. Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102, 18538-18543 (2005).
 - 27. Urba, W. J. & Longo, D. L. Redirecting T cells. N Engl J Med 365, 754-757 (2011).
- 28. Maude, S. L., et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. N Engl J Med 371, 1507-1517 (2014).
- 29. Huarte, E., et al. PILAR is a novel modulator of human T-cell expansion. *Blood* 112, 1259-1268 (2008).
- 50 30. Stephen, T. L., et al. Transforming Growth Factor beta-Mediated Suppression of Antitumor T Cells Requires FoxP1 Transcription Factor Expression. Immunity 41, 427-439 (2014).
 - 31. Lamers, C. H., et al. Immune responses to transgene and retroviral vector in patients treated with ex vivo-engineered T cells. Blood 117, 72-82 (2011).
 - 32. Maus, M. V., et al. T cells expressing chimeric antigen receptors can cause anaphylaxis in humans. Cancer Immunol Res 1, 26-31 (2013).
- cell precursors recruited by a beta-defensin contribute to vasculogenesis under the influence of Vegf-A. Nat Med 10, 950-958 (2004).
- 34. Rutkowski, M. R., et al. Initiation of metastatic breast carcinoma by targeting of the ductal epithelium with adenovirus-cre: a novel transgenic mouse model of breast cancer. J Vis Exp (2014).

37 35. Song, D. G., et al. In vivo persistence, tumor localiza-

tion, and antitumor activity of CAR-engineered T cells is

enhanced by costimulatory signaling through CD137

(4-1BB). Cancer Res 71, 4617-4627 (2011).

vivo. Mol Ther 17, 1453-1464 (2009).

- 38 49. Amatangelo, M. D., et al. Three-dimensional culture sensitizes epithelial ovarian cancer cells to EZH2 methyltransferase inhibition. Cell Cycle 12, 2113-2119 (2013).
- 50. Nesbeth, Y. & Conejo-Garcia, J. R. Harnessing the effect 36. Milone, M. C., et al. Chimeric receptors containing 5 of adoptively transferred tumor-reactive T cells on endog-CD137 signal transduction domains mediate enhanced enous (host-derived) antitumor immunity. Clin Dev survival of T cells and increased antileukemic efficacy in Immunol 2010, 139304 (2010).
 - 51. Siraj, A., et al. Expression of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor by the vascular endothelium in tumor metastases. BMC Cancer 13, 246 (2013).
 - 52. Mariani, S., et al. Expression and cellular localization of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor in normal human prostate, benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer. J Urol 175, 2072-2077; discussion 2077 (2006).
 - 53. Walker, J. L., et al. Intraperitoneal catheter outcomes in a phase III trial of intravenous versus intraperitoneal chemotherapy in optimal stage III ovarian and primary peritoneal cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. Gynecol Oncol 100, 27-32 (2006).
 - 54. Lee, D. W., et al. T cells expressing CD19 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young adults: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. Lancet (2014).
 - T cells for acute lymphoid leukemia. N Engl J Med 368, 1509-1518 (2013).
 - 56. Carpenito, C., et al. Control of large, established tumor xenografts with genetically retargeted human T cells containing CD28 and CD137 domains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 3360-3365 (2009).
 - 57. Teachey, D. T., et al. Cytokine release syndrome after blinatumomab treatment related to abnormal macrophage activation and ameliorated with cytokine-directed therapy. Blood 121, 5154-5157 (2013).
 - 58. Barber, A., et al. Chimeric NKG2D receptor-bearing T cells as immunotherapy for ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 67, 5003-5008 (2007).
 - T-cell lines endowed with a stable cytotoxic function and a different spectrum of target reactivity analysis and modulation of their lytic mechanisms. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol 28A, 648-656 (1992).
 - 60. Brando, C., et al. Receptors and lytic mediators regulating anti-tumor activity by the leukemic killer T cell line TALL-104. J Leukoc Biol 78, 359-371 (2005).
 - 61. Visonneau, S., et al. Phase I trial of TALL-104 cells in patients with refractory metastatic breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 6, 1744-1754 (2000).
 - 62. U.S. Pat. No. 5,702,702

60

925-935 (2010). 38. Scholler, J., et al. Decade-long safety and function of retroviral-modified chimeric antigen receptor T cells. Sci Transl Med 4, 132ra153 (2012).

37. Heslop, H. E., et al. Long-term outcome of EBV-specific

roliferative disease in transplant recipients. Blood 115,

T-cell infusions to prevent or treat EBV-related lymphop- 10

- 39. Biasco, L., et al. Integration profile of retroviral vector in gene therapy treated patients is cell-specific according to gene expression and chromatin conformation of target cell. EMBO Mol Med 3, 89-101 (2011).
- 40. Brentiens, R. J. & Curran, K. J. Novel cellular therapies 20 for leukemia: CAR-modified T cells targeted to the CD19 antigen. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2012, 143-151 (2012).
- 41. Hollyman, D., et al. Manufacturing validation of biologically functional T cells targeted to CD19 antigen for 25 55. Grupp, S. A., et al. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified autologous adoptive cell therapy. J Immunother 32, 169-180 (2009).
- 42. Pule, M. A., et al. Virus-specific T cells engineered to coexpress tumor-specific receptors: persistence and antitumor activity in individuals with neuroblastoma. Nat 30 Med 14, 1264-1270 (2008).
- 43. Nesbeth, Y. C., et al. CD4+ T cells elicit host immune responses to MHC class II-ovarian cancer through CCL5 secretion and CD40-mediated licensing of dendritic cells. J Immunol 184, 5654-5662 (2010).
- 44. Porter, D. L., et al. A phase 1 trial of donor lymphocyte infusions expanded and activated ex vivo via CD3/CD28 costimulation. Blood 107, 1325-1331 (2006).
- 45. Terakura, S., et al. Generation of CD19-chimeric antigen receptor modified CD8+ T cells derived from virus- 40 59. Cesano, A. & Santoli, D. Two unique human leukemic specific central memory T cells. *Blood* 119, 72-82 (2012).
- 46. Maines-Bandiera, S. L., et al. Epithelio-mesenchymal transition in a neoplastic ovarian epithelial hybrid cell line. Differentiation 72, 150-161 (2004).
- 47. Ellis, J. M., et al. Frequencies of HLA-A2 alleles in five 45 U.S. population groups. Predominance Of A*02011 and identification of HLA-A*0231. Hum Immunol 61, 334-340 (2000).
- 48. Ritchie, D. S., et al. Persistence and efficacy of second generation CART cell against the LeY antigen in acute 50 myeloid leukemia. Mol Ther 21, 2122-2129 (2013).

SEQUENCE LISTING

<160> NUMBER OF SEO ID NOS: 8 <210> SEQ ID NO 1

<211> LENGTH: 1473 <212> TYPE: DNA

<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 1

atgaagacac tecagttttt etteetttte tgttgetgga aageaatetg etgeaatage

-continued

atcaacacca cttggtgtgc tggctactgc tacaccaggg atctggtgta taaggaccca	180
gccaggccca aaatccagaa aacatgtacc ttcaaggaac tggtatacga aacagtgaga	240
gtgcccggct gtgctcacca tgcagattcc ttgtatacat acccagtggc cacccagtgt	300
cactgtggca agtgtgacag cgacagcact gattgtactg tgcgaggcct ggggcccagc	360
tactgctcct ttggtgaaat gaaagaaggt ggtggttctg gtggtggatc cggtggtggt	420
totggtggtg gtgctcctga tgtgcaggag acagggtttc accatgttgc ccaggctgct	480
ctcaaactcc tgagctcaag caatccaccc actaaggcct cccaaagtgc taggattaca	540
gattgcccag aatgcacgct acaggaaaac ccattettet eccageeggg tgeeccaata	600
cttcagtgca tgggctgctg cttctctaga gcatatccca ctccactaag gtccaagaag	660
acgatgttgg tccaaaagaa cgtcacctca gagtccactt gctgtgtagc taaatcatat	720
aacagggtca cagtaatggg gggtttcaaa gtggagaacc acacggcgtg ccactgcagt	780
acttgttatt atcacaaatc taccacgacg ccagegeege gaccaccaac aceggegeee	840
accategogt egeageeect gteeetgege eeagaggegt geeggeeage ggeggggge	900
gcagtgcaca cgagggggct ggacttcgcc tgtgatatct acatctgggc gcccttggcc	960
gggacttgtg gggtccttct cctgtcactg gttatcaccc tttactgcaa acggggcaga	1020
aagaaactcc tgtatatatt caaacaacca tttatgagac cagtacaaac tactcaagag	1080
gaagatggct gtagctgccg atttccagaa gaagaagaag gaggatgtga actgagagtg	1140
aagttcagca ggagcgcaga cgcccccgcg taccagcagg gccagaacca gctctataac	1200
gageteaate taggaegaag agaggagtae gatgttttgg acaagagaeg tggeegggae	1260
cctgagatgg ggggaaagcc gagaaggaag aaccctcagg aaggcctgta caatgaactg	1320
cagaaagata agatggcgga ggcctacagt gagattggga tgaaaggcga gcgccggagg	1380
ggcaaggggc acgatggcct ttaccagggt ctcagtacag ccaccaagga cacctacgac	1440
gecetteaca tgeaggeest geceestege taa	1473
<210> SEQ ID NO 2 <211> LENGTH: 490 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 2	
Met Lys Thr Leu Gln Phe Phe Phe Leu Phe Cys Cys Trp Lys Ala Ile	
1 5 10 15	
Cys Cys Asn Ser Cys Glu Leu Thr Asn Ile Thr Ile Ala Ile Glu Lys 20 25 30	
Glu Glu Cys Arg Phe Cys Ile Ser Ile Asn Thr Thr Trp Cys Ala Gly 35 40 45	
Tyr Cys Tyr Thr Arg Asp Leu Val Tyr Lys Asp Pro Ala Arg Pro Lys 50 55 60	
Ile Gln Lys Thr Cys Thr Phe Lys Glu Leu Val Tyr Glu Thr Val Arg 65 70 75 80	
Val Pro Gly Cys Ala His His Ala Asp Ser Leu Tyr Thr Tyr Pro Val 85 90 95	
Ala Thr Gln Cys His Cys Gly Lys Cys Asp Ser Asp Ser Thr Asp Cys	
100 105 110	
Thr Val Arg Gly Leu Gly Pro Ser Tyr Cys Ser Phe Gly Glu Met Lys	
115 120 125	

Glu Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly

-continued

	130					135					140					•
Ala 145	Pro	Asp	Val	Gln	Glu 150	Thr	Gly	Phe	His	His 155	Val	Ala	Gln	Ala	Ala 160	
Leu	Lys	Leu	Leu	Ser 165	Ser	Ser	Asn	Pro	Pro 170	Thr	Lys	Ala	Ser	Gln 175	Ser	
Ala	Arg	Ile	Thr 180	Asp	CAa	Pro	Glu	Сув 185	Thr	Leu	Gln	Glu	Asn 190	Pro	Phe	
Phe	Ser	Gln 195	Pro	Gly	Ala	Pro	Ile 200	Leu	Gln	Сув	Met	Gly 205	Сув	Сув	Phe	
Ser	Arg 210	Ala	Tyr	Pro	Thr	Pro 215	Leu	Arg	Ser	Lys	Lys 220	Thr	Met	Leu	Val	
Gln 225	Lys	Asn	Val	Thr	Ser 230	Glu	Ser	Thr	Cys	Cys 235	Val	Ala	Lys	Ser	Tyr 240	
Asn	Arg	Val	Thr	Val 245	Met	Gly	Gly	Phe	Lys 250	Val	Glu	Asn	His	Thr 255	Ala	
CAa	His	Cys	Ser 260	Thr	CAa	Tyr	Tyr	His 265	Lys	Ser	Thr	Thr	Thr 270	Pro	Ala	
Pro	Arg	Pro 275	Pro	Thr	Pro	Ala	Pro 280	Thr	Ile	Ala	Ser	Gln 285	Pro	Leu	Ser	
Leu	Arg 290	Pro	Glu	Ala	CAa	Arg 295	Pro	Ala	Ala	Gly	Gly 300	Ala	Val	His	Thr	
Arg 305	Gly	Leu	Asp	Phe	Ala 310	Cys	Asp	Ile	Tyr	Ile 315	Trp	Ala	Pro	Leu	Ala 320	
Gly	Thr	Cys	Gly	Val 325	Leu	Leu	Leu	Ser	Leu 330	Val	Ile	Thr	Leu	Tyr 335	Cya	
ГÀв	Arg	Gly	Arg 340	ГÀв	Lys	Leu	Leu	Tyr 345	Ile	Phe	Lys	Gln	Pro 350	Phe	Met	
Arg	Pro	Val 355	Gln	Thr	Thr	Gln	Glu 360	Glu	Asp	Gly	CAa	Ser 365	СЛа	Arg	Phe	
Pro	Glu 370	Glu	Glu	Glu	Gly	Gly 375	CÀa	Glu	Leu	Arg	Val 380	ГÀа	Phe	Ser	Arg	
Ser 385	Ala	Asp	Ala	Pro	Ala 390	Tyr	Gln	Gln	Gly	Gln 395	Asn	Gln	Leu	Tyr	Asn 400	
Glu	Leu	Asn	Leu	Gly 405	Arg	Arg	Glu	Glu	Tyr 410	Asp	Val	Leu	Asp	Lys 415	Arg	
Arg	Gly	Arg	Asp 420	Pro	Glu	Met	Gly	Gly 425	ГÀа	Pro	Arg	Arg	Lys 430	Asn	Pro	
Gln	Glu	Gly 435	Leu	Tyr	Asn	Glu	Leu 440	Gln	Lys	Asp	Lys	Met 445	Ala	Glu	Ala	
Tyr	Ser 450	Glu	Ile	Gly	Met	Lув 455	Gly	Glu	Arg	Arg	Arg 460	Gly	Lys	Gly	His	
Asp 465	Gly	Leu	Tyr	Gln	Gly 470	Leu	Ser	Thr	Ala	Thr 475	Lys	Asp	Thr	Tyr	Asp 480	
Ala	Leu	His	Met	Gln 485	Ala	Leu	Pro	Pro	Arg 490							
<21 <21	0 > SI 1 > LI 2 > T 3 > OI	ENGTI	H: 13 DNA	365	o saj	piens	5									
< 40	0 > S1	EQUEI	NCE :	3												
atg	aata	gct (gtga	gctg	ac ca	aacat	tcaco	c att	gcaa	atag	aga	aagaa	aga a	atgto	cgtttc	60
tac	ataa	aca t	caa	cacca	ac ti	aat	atact	a a a	ctact	act	aca	ccaq	gga 1	tctq	atatat	120

tgcataagca tcaacaccac ttggtgtgct ggctactgct acaccaggga tctggtgtat

-continued

aaggacccag	ccaggcccaa	aatccagaaa	acatgtacct	tcaaggaact ggtatacgaa	180
acagtgagag	tgcccggctg	tgctcaccat	gcagattcct	tgtatacata cccagtggcc	240
acccagtgtc	actgtggcaa	gtgtgacagc	gacagcactg	attgtactgt gcgaggcctg	300
gggcccagct	actgctcctt	tggtgaaatg	aaagaaggcg	gcggaagcgg aggcggatct	360
gggggaggat	ctggcggcgg	agctcctgat	gtgcaggaga	cagggtttca ccatgttgcc	420
caggetgete	tcaaactcct	gagctcaagc	aatccaccca	ctaaggcctc ccaaagtgct	480
aggattacag	attgcccaga	atgcacgcta	caggaaaacc	cattettete ecageegggt	540
gccccaatac	ttcagtgcat	gggctgctgc	ttctctagag	catatcccac tccactaagg	600
tccaagaaga	cgatgttggt	ccaaaagaac	gtcacctcag	agtccacttg ctgtgtagct	660
aaatcatata	acagggtcac	agtaatgggg	ggtttcaaag	tggagaacca cacggcgtgc	720
cactgcagta	cttgttatta	tcacaaatct	ggcggcggaa	gcggaggcgg atctggggga	780
ggatetggeg	gcggaaactt	cactataaaa	tcattgtcca	gacctggaca gccctggtgt	840
gaagcgcagg	tcttcttgaa	taaaaatctt	ttccttcagt	acaacagtga caacaacatg	900
gtcaaacctc	tgggcctcct	ggggaagaag	gtatatgcca	ccagcacttg gggagaattg	960
acccaaacgc	tgggagaagt	ggggcgagac	ctcaggatgc	tcctttgtga catcaaaccc	1020
cagataaaga	ccagtgatcc	ttccactctg	caagtcgaga	tgttttgtca acgtgaagca	1080
gaacggtgca	ctggtgcatc	ctggcagttc	gccaccaatg	gagagaaatc cctcctcttt	1140
gacgcaatga	acatgacctg	gacagtaatt	aatcatgaag	ccagtaagat caaggagaca	1200
tggaagaaag	acagagggct	ggaaaagtat	ttcaggaagc	tctcaaaggg agactgcgat	1260
cactggctca	gggaattctt	agggcactgg	gaggcaatgc	cagaaccgac agtgtcacca	1320
gtaaatgctt	cagatatcca	ctggtcttct	tctagtctac	catag	1365
<210> SEQ I <211> LENGT <212> TYPE: <213> ORGAN	H: 454 PRT	sapiens			
<400> SEQUE	NCE: 4				
Met Asn Ser 1	: Cys Glu Lo 5	eu Thr Asn	Ile Thr Ile 10	Ala Ile Glu Lys Glu 15	
Glu Cys Arg	Phe Cys I 20		Asn Thr Thr 25	Trp Cys Ala Gly Tyr 30	
Cys Tyr Thr	Arg Asp L	eu Val Tyr 1 40	Lys Asp Pro	Ala Arg Pro Lys Ile 45	
Gln Lys Thr 50	Cys Thr Pl	ne Lys Glu : 55	Leu Val Tyr	Glu Thr Val Arg Val	
Pro Gly Cys 65	Ala His H.	_	Ser Leu Tyr 75	Thr Tyr Pro Val Ala	

Lys Leu Leu Ser Ser Ser Asn Pro Pro Thr Lys Ala Ser Gln Ser Ala

135

-continued

145				150					155					160			
Arg Il	e Thr	Asp	Суs 165	Pro	Glu	Cys	Thr	Leu 170	Gln	Glu	Asn	Pro	Phe 175	Phe			
Ser Gl	n Pro	Gly 180	Ala	Pro	Ile	Leu	Gln 185	Сув	Met	Gly	Сув	Сув 190	Phe	Ser			
Arg Al	a Tyr 195	Pro	Thr	Pro	Leu	Arg 200	Ser	Lys	ГЛа	Thr	Met 205	Leu	Val	Gln			
Lys As 21		Thr	Ser	Glu	Ser 215	Thr	СЛа	Cys	Val	Ala 220	Lys	Ser	Tyr	Asn			
Arg Va 225	l Thr	Val	Met	Gly 230	Gly	Phe	Lys	Val	Glu 235	Asn	His	Thr	Ala	Cys 240			
His Cy	s Ser	Thr	Cys 245	Tyr	Tyr	His	Lys	Ser 250	Gly	Gly	Gly	Ser	Gly 255	Gly			
Gly Se	r Gly	Gly 260	Gly	Ser	Gly	Gly	Gly 265	Asn	Phe	Thr	Ile	Lys 270	Ser	Leu			
Ser Ar	g Pro 275	Gly	Gln	Pro	Trp	Cys 280	Glu	Ala	Gln	Val	Phe 285	Leu	Asn	Lys			
Asn Le		Leu	Gln	Tyr	Asn 295	Ser	Asp	Asn	Asn	Met 300	Val	ГЛа	Pro	Leu			
Gly Le 305	u Leu	Gly	Lys	Lys 310	Val	Tyr	Ala	Thr	Ser 315	Thr	Trp	Gly	Glu	Leu 320			
Thr Gl	n Thr	Leu	Gly 325	Glu	Val	Gly	Arg	Asp 330	Leu	Arg	Met	Leu	Leu 335	Cys			
Asp Il	e Lys	Pro 340	Gln	Ile	Lys	Thr	Ser 345	Asp	Pro	Ser	Thr	Leu 350	Gln	Val			
Glu Me	t Phe 355	Cys	Gln	Arg	Glu	Ala 360	Glu	Arg	CAa	Thr	Gly 365	Ala	Ser	Trp			
Gln Ph 37		Thr	Asn	Gly	Glu 375	Lys	Ser	Leu	Leu	Phe 380	Asp	Ala	Met	Asn			
Met Th	r Trp	Thr	Val	Ile 390	Asn	His	Glu	Ala	Ser 395	Lys	Ile	Lys	Glu	Thr 400			
Trp Ly	s Lys	Asp	Arg 405	Gly	Leu	Glu	Lys	Tyr 410	Phe	Arg	Lys	Leu	Ser 415	Lys			
Gly As	p Cys	Asp 420	His	Trp	Leu	Arg	Glu 425	Phe	Leu	Gly	His	Trp 430	Glu	Ala			
Met Pr	o Glu 435		Thr	Val	Ser	Pro 440	Val	Asn	Ala	Ser	Asp 445	Ile	His	Trp			
Ser Se 45		Ser	Leu	Pro													
<210><211><212><212><213>	LENGT TYPE :	H: 1: DNA	260	mus	culu	s											
<400>	SEQUE	NCE :	5														
atgcac	_		_										_			60	
tgcatc	agca	tcaa	cacc	ac t	tggt	gege	gge	ctaci	tgct	acad	cccg	gga (cctg	gtgtac	12	20	
aaggac	cccg	ccag	accc	aa c	accc	agaaa	a gto	gtgc	acct	tcaa	aagaa	act 9	ggtgi	acgag	18	30	
acagtg	cggc	tgcc	cggc	tg t	gcca	gaca	c ago	cgata	agcc	tgta	acac	cta (cccc	gtggcc	24	40	
accgag	tgcc	actg	cggc	aa g	tgtg	acag	ga(cage	accg	acto	gtac	gt (gegg	ggactg	30	00	
ggccct	agct	actg	cagc	tt c	agcg.	agat	gaaq	ggaa	ggcg	gcg	gaag	egg a	aggc	ggatct	36	60	

-continued

-continued	
gggggaggat ctggcggcgg agacttcatt attcaaggct gccccgagtg caagctgaaa	420
gagaacaagt acttcagcaa gctgggcgct cccatctacc agtgcatggg ctgctgcttc	480
agcagageet acceeaecee tgecagatee aagaaaacea tgetggtgee caagaacate	540
accteegagg ccacetgttg egtggecaag geetteacca aggeeacegt gatgggeaac	600
gecagagtgg aaaaccacac agagtgteac tgeageacet gttactacca caagageget	660
agcggcggcg gaagcggagg cggatctggg ggaggatctg gcggcggacc aaggatagaa	720
gagactgctt ctctttgtaa catttacaag gttaacaggt cagagtctgg acaacatagt	780
catgaagtte aaggeetaet caacagacag cetetttttg tetacaagga taaaaagtgt	840
catgccattg gtgctcatag gaacagcatg aatgctacaa agatctgtga aaaagaggtt	900
gacactotga aagatggaat tgacatttto aaaggtotgo tgottoacat agtgcaggag	960
actaacacaa coggaaagce cetcactetg caggetgagg tgtgtggeca gtatgaagta	1020
gacaaacatt tcacaggata cgccattgtt agcctcaatg gaaagaatat attccgtgtt	1080
gacacaagca ctggcaactg gacccaactg gatcatgaat tcgagaagtt tatagaaatg	1140
tgcaaggaag acaaggtttt agctgccttt ttaaagaaga ctacagaggg cgactgcagg	1200
acctggcttg atgagctcat gttgcactgg aaagaacatc tggagcctgc aggatcttag	1260
<210> SEQ ID NO 6 <211> LENGTH: 446 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Mus musculus	
<400> SEQUENCE: 6	
Met His Ser Cys Glu Leu Thr Asn Ile Thr Ile Ser Val Glu Lys Glu 1 5 10 15	
Glu Cys Arg Phe Cys Ile Ser Ile Asn Thr Thr Trp Cys Ala Gly Tyr 20 25 30	
Cys Tyr Thr Arg Asp Leu Val Tyr Lys Asp Pro Ala Arg Pro Asn Thr 35 40 45	
Gln Lys Val Cys Thr Phe Lys Glu Leu Val Tyr Glu Thr Val Arg Leu 50 55 60	
Pro Gly Cys Ala Arg His Ser Asp Ser Leu Tyr Thr Tyr Pro Val Ala 65 70 75 80	
Thr Glu Cys His Cys Gly Lys Cys Asp Ser Asp Ser Thr Asp Cys Thr 85 90 95	
Val Arg Gly Leu Gly Pro Ser Tyr Cys Ser Phe Ser Glu Met Lys Glu 100 105 110	
Gly Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly Asp 115 120 125	
Phe Ile Ile Gln Gly Cys Pro Glu Cys Lys Leu Lys Glu Asn Lys Tyr 130 135 140	
Phe Ser Lys Leu Gly Ala Pro Ile Tyr Gln Cys Met Gly Cys Cys Phe 145 150 155 160	
Ser Arg Ala Tyr Pro Thr Pro Ala Arg Ser Lys Lys Thr Met Leu Val	
165 170 175	
Pro Lys Asn Ile Thr Ser Glu Ala Thr Cys Cys Val Ala Lys Ala Phe 180 185 190	
Thr Lys Ala Thr Val Met Gly Asn Ala Arg Val Glu Asn His Thr Glu 195 200 205	

Cys His Cys Ser Thr Cys Tyr Tyr His Lys Ser Ala Ser Gly Gly Gly 210 215 220

-continued

Ser 225	Gly	Gly	Gly	Ser	Gly 230	Gly	Gly	Ser	Gly	Gly 235	Gly	Pro	Arg	Ile	Glu 240
Glu	Thr	Ala	Ser	Leu 245	Càa	Asn	Ile	Tyr	Lув 250	Val	Asn	Arg	Ser	Glu 255	Ser
Gly	Gln	His	Ser 260	His	Glu	Val	Gln	Gly 265	Leu	Leu	Asn	Arg	Gln 270	Pro	Leu
Phe	Val	Tyr 275	ГÀа	Asp	ГÀз	Lys	Сув 280	His	Ala	Ile	Gly	Ala 285	His	Arg	Asn
Ser	Met 290	Asn	Ala	Thr	ГЛа	Ile 295	Сла	Glu	ГЛа	Glu	Val 300	Asp	Thr	Leu	Lys
Asp 305	Gly	Ile	Asp	Ile	Phe 310	ГЛа	Gly	Leu	Leu	Leu 315	His	Ile	Val	Gln	Glu 320
Thr	Asn	Thr	Thr	Gly 325	ГÀз	Pro	Leu	Thr	Leu 330	Gln	Ala	Glu	Val	Сув 335	Gly
Gln	Tyr	Glu	Val 340	Asp	ГÀа	His	Phe	Thr 345	Gly	Tyr	Ala	Ile	Val 350	Ser	Leu
Asn	Gly	Lys 355	Asn	Ile	Phe	Arg	Val 360	Asp	Thr	Ser	Thr	Gly 365	Asn	Trp	Thr
Gln	Leu 370	Asp	His	Glu	Phe	Glu 375	Lys	Phe	Ile	Glu	Met 380	CÀa	ГÀа	Glu	Asp
385 Tàs	Val	Leu	Ala	Ala	Phe 390	Leu	ГЛа	Lys	Thr	Thr 395	Glu	Gly	Asp	CÀa	Arg 400
Thr	Trp	Leu	Asp	Glu 405	Leu	Met	Leu	His	Trp 410	Lys	Gly	Ala	Ala	Cys 415	Ala
Thr	Cys	Thr	Gly 420	Gly	Ala	Gly	Сла	Cys 425	Thr	Gly	CÀa	Ala	Gly 430	Gly	Ala
Thr	Cys	Thr 435	Thr	Ala	Gly	Glu	His 440	Leu	Glu	Pro	Ala	Gly 445	Ser		
<211)> SE L> LE	ENGTH	H: 12												

<212> TYPE: DNA

<213 > ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 7

atgaatagct gtgagctgac caacatcacc attgcaatag agaaagaaga atgtcgtttc 60 tgcataagca tcaacaccac ttggtgtgct ggctactgct acaccaggga tctggtgtat aaggacccag ccaggcccaa aatccagaaa acatgtacct tcaaggaact ggtatacgaa 180 acagtgagag tgcccggctg tgctcaccat gcagattcct tgtatacata cccagtggcc accoagtgtc actgtggcaa gtgtgacagc gacagcactg attgtactgt gcgaggcctg gggcccagct actgctcctt tggtgaaatg aaagaaggcg gcggaagcgg aggcggatct 360 gggggaggat ctggcggcgg agctcctgat gtgcaggatt gcccagaatg cacgctacag 420 480 gaaaacccat tetteteeca geegggtgee eeaataette agtgeatggg etgetgette tctagagcat atcccactcc actaaggtcc aagaagacga tgttggtcca aaagaacgtc 540 acctcagagt ccacttgctg tgtagctaaa tcatataaca gggtcacagt aatggggggt 600 ttcaaagtgg agaaccacac ggcgtgccac tgcagtactt gttattatca caaatctggc 660 ggcggaagcg gaggcggatc tgggggagga tctggcggcg gaaacttcac tataaaatca ttgtccagac ctggacagcc ctggtgtgaa gcgcaggtct tcttgaataa aaatcttttc 780 cttcagtaca acagtgacaa caacatggtc aaacctctgg gcctcctggg gaagaaggta 840

-continued
-continuea

tate	gccad	cca (gcact	ttgg	gg ag	gaatt	gaco	c caa	aacgo	tgg	gaga	aagt	999 9	gcgaç	gacctc	900
agga	atgct	ccc t	tttgt	tgaca	at ca	aaaco	ccaç	g ata	aaaga	acca	gtga	atcct	ttc (cacto	ctgcaa	960
gtc	gagat	gt t	tttgt	tcaa	eg to	gaago	cagaa	a cgg	gtgca	actg	gtg	catco	ctg (gcagt	tagaa	1020
acca	aatg	gag a	agaaa	atcc	et co	ctctt	tgad	e gea	aatga	aaca	tgad	cctg	gac a	agtaa	attaat	1080
cato	gaago	cca (gtaaq	gatca	aa g	gagad	catg	g aag	gaaag	gaca	gag	ggct	gga a	aaagt	atttc	1140
agga	aagct	ct (caaaq	ggga	ga ct	gega	atcad	t tg	gctca	aggg	aatt	ctta	agg (gcact	gggag	1200
gcaa	atgc	cag a	aacco	gaca	gt gt	caco	cagta	a aat	gctt	cag	atat	cca	ctg (gtctt	cttct	1260
agto	ctaco	cat a	ag													1272
<213 <213 <213	L> LI 2> T 3> OI	ENGTI PE: RGAN:	ISM:	23 Homo	o sal	piens	3									
			NCE:		_		_									
Met 1	Asn	Ser	CAa	Glu 5	Leu	Thr	Asn	Ile	Thr 10	Ile	Ala	Ile	Glu	Lys 15	Glu	
Glu	СЛа	Arg	Phe 20	CÀa	Ile	Ser	Ile	Asn 25	Thr	Thr	Trp	СЛа	Ala 30	Gly	Tyr	
Cys	Tyr	Thr 35	Arg	Asp	Leu	Val	Tyr 40	Lys	Asp	Pro	Ala	Arg 45	Pro	Lys	Ile	
Gln	Lys 50	Thr	Cys	Thr	Phe	Lys 55	Glu	Leu	Val	Tyr	Glu 60	Thr	Val	Arg	Val	
Pro 65	Gly	Сув	Ala	His	His 70	Ala	Asp	Ser	Leu	Tyr 75	Thr	Tyr	Pro	Val	Ala 80	
Thr	Gln	Cys	His	Сув 85	Gly	Lys	Cys	Asp	Ser 90	Asp	Ser	Thr	Asp	Сув 95	Thr	
Val	Arg	Gly	Leu 100	Gly	Pro	Ser	Tyr	Cys 105	Ser	Phe	Gly	Glu	Met 110	Lys	Glu	
Gly	Gly	Gly 115	Ser	Gly	Gly	Gly	Ser 120	Gly	Gly	Gly	Ser	Gly 125	Gly	Gly	Ala	
Pro	Asp 130	Val	Gln	Asp	Cys	Pro 135	Glu	Cys	Thr	Leu	Gln 140	Glu	Asn	Pro	Phe	
Phe 145	Ser	Gln	Pro	Gly	Ala 150	Pro	Ile	Leu	Gln	Суз 155	Met	Gly	Сув	Cys	Phe 160	
Ser	Arg	Ala	Tyr	Pro 165	Thr	Pro	Leu	Arg	Ser 170	Lys	Lys	Thr	Met	Leu 175	Val	
Gln	Lys	Asn	Val 180	Thr	Ser	Glu	Ser	Thr 185	Сув	Сув	Val	Ala	Lys 190	Ser	Tyr	
Asn	Arg	Val 195	Thr	Val	Met	Gly	Gly 200	Phe	Lys	Val	Glu	Asn 205	His	Thr	Ala	
Cys	His 210	Cys	Ser	Thr	CÀa	Tyr 215	Tyr	His	Lys	Ser	Gly 220	Gly	Gly	Ser	Gly	
Gly 225	Gly	Ser	Gly	Gly	Gly 230	Ser	Gly	Gly	Gly	Asn 235	Phe	Thr	Ile	Lys	Ser 240	
Leu	Ser	Arg	Pro	Gly 245	Gln	Pro	Trp	Сув	Glu 250	Ala	Gln	Val	Phe	Leu 255	Asn	
Lys	Asn	Leu	Phe 260	Leu	Gln	Tyr	Asn	Ser 265	Asp	Asn	Asn	Met	Val 270	Lys	Pro	
Leu	Gly	Leu 275	Leu	Gly	Lys	Lys	Val 280	Tyr	Ala	Thr	Ser	Thr 285	Trp	Gly	Glu	

Leu Thr Gln Thr Leu Gly Glu Val Gly Arg Asp Leu Arg Met Leu Leu

-continued

_															
	290					295					300				
Сув 305	Asp	Ile	Lys	Pro	Gln 310	Ile	Lys	Thr	Ser	Asp 315	Pro	Ser	Thr	Leu	Gln 320
Val	Glu	Met	Phe	Сув 325	Gln	Arg	Glu	Ala	Glu 330	Arg	Cys	Thr	Gly	Ala 335	Ser
Trp	Gln	Phe	Ala 340	Thr	Asn	Gly	Glu	Lys 345	Ser	Leu	Leu	Phe	Asp 350	Ala	Met
Asn	Met	Thr 355	Trp	Thr	Val	Ile	Asn 360	His	Glu	Ala	Ser	Lys 365	Ile	ГÀз	Glu
Thr	Trp 370	Lys	ГÀа	Asp	Arg	Gly 375	Leu	Glu	Lys	Tyr	Phe 380	Arg	Lys	Leu	Ser
Lys 385	Gly	Asp	CÀa	Asp	His 390	Trp	Leu	Arg	Glu	Phe 395	Leu	Gly	His	Trp	Glu 400
Ala	Met	Pro	Glu	Pro 405	Thr	Val	Ser	Pro	Val 410	Asn	Ala	Ser	Asp	Ile 415	His
Trp	Ser	Ser	Ser 420	Ser	Leu	Pro									

The invention claimed is:

- 1. A nucleic acid construct comprising a nucleic acid sequence that encodes a chimeric protein comprising i) a ligand that comprises a follicle stimulating hormone sequence or fragment wherein the ligand is capable of binding to a human follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor, ii) an extracellular hinge domain, iii) a transmembrane domain, iv) a co-stimulatory signaling region, and v) a signaling endodomain.
- 2. A vector comprising the nucleic acid sequence of claim 1.
- 3. The nucleic acid construct according to claim 1, wherein the ligand comprises FSH, a FSH β subunit, a FSH β subunit separated by a linker sequence to a FSH α subunit, a FSH β subunit separated by a linker sequence to a second FSH β subunit, or a FSH β fragment thereof.
- **4**. The nucleic acid construct according to claim **1**, further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
- **5**. The nucleic acid construct of claim **1**, wherein the chimeric protein is capable of activating a modified human T cell expressing the chimeric protein.
- 6. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the ligand comprises a full length FSH β subunit.
- 7. The nucleic acid construct of claim 6, wherein the ligand further comprises an FSH α subunit.
- **8**. The nucleic acid construct of claim 7, wherein the 50 FSHβ subunit is linked to the FSHα subunit by a linker.
- **9**. The nucleic acid construct of claim **1**, wherein the ligand comprises an amino acid sequence of amino acids 19-129 of SEQ ID NO: 2.
- 10. The nucleic acid construct of claim 3, wherein the 55 FSH β subunit is a first FSH β subunit, wherein the ligand further comprises a second FSH β subunit and a linker, and wherein the first FSH β subunit is linked to the second FSH β subunit by the linker.
- 11. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the ligand comprises an FSH β subunit fragment.
- 12. The nucleic acid construct of claim 11, wherein the FSH β subunit fragment comprises an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of amino acids 19-33 of

- ⁵ SEQ ID NO: 2, 51-71 of SEQ ID NO: 2, 69-83 of SEQ ID NO: 2, and 99-113 of SEQ ID NO: 2.
- 13. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, further comprising a spacer element linking the extracellular hinge domain to the transmembrane domain.
- **14**. The nucleic acid construct of claim **1**, wherein the extracellular hinge domain is selected from a CD8 hinge domain, an IgG1 hinge domain, a CD3 hinge domain and a CH₂CH₃ region of an immunoglobulin.
- 15. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the transmembrane domain is selected from a T cell receptor, CD28, CD3 ε, CD45, CD4, CD8, CD9, CD16, CD22, CD33, CD37, CD64, CD80, CD86, CD134, CD137, and CD154 transmembrane domain.
- **16**. The nucleic acid construct of claim **1**, wherein the co-stimulatory signaling region is selected from a CD27, CD28, 4-1BB (CD137), OX40, CD30, CD40, PD-1, ICOS, LFA-1, CD2, CD7, LIGHT, NKG2C, and B7-H3 co-stimulatory signaling region.
- 17. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the signaling endodomain is selected from a CD3 ξ , TCR ξ , FcR γ , FcR β , CD3 γ , CD3 δ , CD3 ϵ , CD5, CD22, CD79a, CD79b, and CD66d signaling endodomain.
- 18. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the construct is capable of expression in a plasmid vector.
- 19. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the construct is capable of expression in a recombinant viral vector, wherein the viral vector comprises one or more of a retroviral vector, a lentiviral vector, an adenoviral vector, or an adeno-associated viral vector.
- **20**. The nucleic acid construct of claim **1**, wherein the human FSH receptor is on a tumor that expresses FSH receptor.
- 21. The nucleic acid construct of claim 1, wherein the ligand binds specifically to a human follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor.

* * * * *