

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12394278
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 19, 2025
Inventor(s)	Orlow; Daniel K.

Fixed payout table

Abstract

Embodiments of the present disclosure enable system(s) and method(s) for creating and deploying an electronic skill-based activity, including implementing a matchup tool to determine a projected performance score for participants in real-world events based at least in part on historical performance data of each participant. The matchup tool creates suggested matchups for inclusion in a skill-based game by selecting, for each suggested matchup, at least two components, formed from one or more participants, expected to produce substantially similar scores in the skill-based game based on the projected performance score of each participant. The matchup tool renders for display to a game operator the suggested matchups to enable the game operator to interactively select suggested matchups for inclusion within the skill-based game. The matchup tool transmits the selected matchup to presentation devices of users to enable the users to interactively select components as predicted winning components of the skill-based game.

Inventors:	Orlow; Daniel K. (New York, NY)
Applicant:	Vetnos, LLC (New York, NY)
Family ID:	1000008762829
Assignee:	Vetnos, LLC (New York, NY)
Appl. No.:	18/124533
Filed:	March 21, 2023

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20240321053 A1	Sep. 26, 2024

Related U.S. Application Data

continuation parent-doc US 17902739 20220902 US 11886688 20240130 child-doc US 18124533
continuation parent-doc US 17527496 20211116 US 11579754 20230214 child-doc US 17902739
continuation parent-doc US 17509766 20211025 ABANDONED child-doc US 17527496
continuation parent-doc US 17184264 20210224 US 11157147 20211026 child-doc US 17509766
continuation parent-doc US 16502169 20190703 US 11042264 20210622 child-doc US 17184264
continuation parent-doc US 14847795 20150908 US 10353543 20190716 child-doc US 16502169
us-provisional-application US 62047473 20140908
us-provisional-application US 62106613 20150122

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: **A63F9/24** (20060101); **A63F11/00** (20060101); **G06F13/00** (20060101); **G06F17/00** (20190101); **G07F17/32** (20060101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **G07F17/3244** (20130101); **G07F17/323** (20130101); **G07F17/3288** (20130101); **G07F17/3295** (20130101);

Field of Classification Search

CPC: G07F (17/32); G07F (17/3211); G07F (17/3214)

USPC: 463/6; 463/7; 463/20; 463/22; 463/29; 463/30; 463/39

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
5573244	12/1995	Mindes	N/A	N/A
6371855	12/2001	Gavriloff	N/A	N/A
7563162	12/2008	Lawson	N/A	N/A
7614944	12/2008	Hughes et al.	N/A	N/A
8052518	12/2010	Kelly	463/16	G07F 17/3258
8099113	12/2011	Morrison	N/A	N/A
8126479	12/2011	Morrison	N/A	N/A
8126480	12/2011	Morrison	N/A	N/A
8538563	12/2012	Barber	N/A	N/A
9098883	12/2014	Asher	N/A	N/A
9424717	12/2015	Alexander	N/A	N/A
9824543	12/2016	Alexander	N/A	N/A
10353543	12/2018	Morrison	N/A	N/A
10529176	12/2019	Hamilton	N/A	G07F 17/3293
11157147	12/2020	Morrison et al.	N/A	N/A
11471776	12/2021	Orlow	N/A	N/A
2001/0047452	12/2000	Okada	N/A	N/A
2002/0068633	12/2001	Schlaifer	N/A	N/A
2002/0153656	12/2001	Maksymec	N/A	N/A
2004/0066386	12/2003	Leprevost	N/A	N/A
2004/0229671	12/2003	Stronach	N/A	N/A
2005/0233791	12/2004	Kane	463/6	G07F 17/3267
2006/0038342	12/2005	Lomedico	N/A	N/A
2006/0252476	12/2005	Bahou	N/A	N/A
2007/0026934	12/2006	Herbrich	N/A	N/A
2007/0054718	12/2006	Del Prado	N/A	N/A
2007/0112706	12/2006	Herbrich	N/A	N/A
2007/0249420	12/2006	Randall	N/A	N/A
2007/0287533	12/2006	Amour	463/16	G07F 17/32
2008/0102946	12/2007	Amour	N/A	N/A
2008/0113816	12/2007	Mahaffey	463/13	G07F 17/329
2008/0274782	12/2007	Schmidt	N/A	N/A
2009/0023495	12/2008	Koustas et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0026706	12/2008	St. Clair	700/91	G06Q 50/34
2009/0054127	12/2008	Sweary	N/A	N/A
2009/0176560	12/2008	Herrmann et al.	N/A	N/A
2009/0209233	12/2008	Morrison	N/A	N/A
2009/0233575	12/2008	Morrison	N/A	N/A
2009/0233633	12/2008	Morrison	N/A	N/A
2009/0270155	12/2008	Glass et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0093431	12/2009	Kasiotakis	N/A	N/A
2011/0014974	12/2010	Torf	N/A	N/A
2011/0207524	12/2010	Simon	N/A	N/A
2011/0306427	12/2010	Pawson	N/A	N/A
2012/0086583	12/2011	Morrison	N/A	N/A
2012/0115585	12/2011	Goldman et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0196625	12/2011	Morrison	N/A	N/A
2012/0283858	12/2011	Lapadula	N/A	N/A
2012/0289340	12/2011	Pawson	N/A	N/A
2013/0178259	12/2012	Strause et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0178270	12/2012	Flaherty et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0267328	12/2012	Heisler et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0296025	12/2012	Castle, II et al.	N/A	N/A

2013/0337921	12/2012	Butz, Jr.	N/A	N/A
2014/0031105	12/2013	Givant	N/A	N/A
2014/0080581	12/2013	Amour	N/A	N/A
2014/0274363	12/2013	Massing	463/29	A63F 13/216
2014/0309001	12/2013	Root	N/A	N/A
2014/0342817	12/2013	Marantelli	N/A	N/A
2015/0018082	12/2014	Kim	N/A	N/A
2015/0050988	12/2014	Nichols et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0352449	12/2014	Nangia	N/A	N/A
2015/0360133	12/2014	MacCallum	N/A	N/A
2016/0071355	12/2015	Morrison	463/31	G07F 17/3244
2016/0151700	12/2015	Hemberger	N/A	N/A
2016/0210815	12/2015	Holt et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0106293	12/2016	Johnson et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0154239	12/2017	Butler	N/A	N/A
2020/0342233	12/2019	Chang et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0082254	12/2020	Givant	N/A	N/A
2021/0343122	12/2020	Warren	N/A	N/A
2021/0350669	12/2020	Marantelli	N/A	N/A

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
2013242817	12/2013	AU	N/A
2419969	12/2005	GB	N/A
2009089246	12/2008	WO	N/A
2015103083	12/2014	WO	N/A

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Sports fixed odds betting”, 2001 Spreadex Ltd, GB, <https://itunes.apple.com/gb/app/spreadex-sports-betting/d865351439?mt=8>. cited by applicant

“Fixed odds betting,” LiveBetting.net © 2023, <https://livebetting.net/stategy/fixed-odds/>. cited by applicant

SPSG Sherman Patent Search Group Report, dated Sep. 22, 2022, www.shermanpatentsearch.com. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 18/113,353 Non-Final Office Action mailed May 25, 2023. cited by applicant

Non-Final Office Action issued Aug. 17, 2023 in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 18/116,764. cited by applicant

Final Office Action issued Sep. 14, 2023 in corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 18/113,353. cited by applicant

Corrected Notice of Allowability mailed May 20, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/113,353, 2 pages. cited by applicant

DraftDay Fantasy Site Review, <https://www.dailyfantasysports101.com/draftday>, Sep. 15, 2016, 8 pages. cited by applicant

DraftDayMedia, How to Play Daily Fantasy Sports Rapid Fire Games, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BPF6bSsxncu&feature=youtu.be>, May 12, 2014. cited by applicant

Fielding, Roy Thomas, “Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures,” Dissertation,

University of California, Irvine, 180 pages (2000). cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority; PCT/US2015/048970, 12 pages, dated Dec. 8, 2015. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance mailed Dec. 6, 2023 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/113,353, 7 pages. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance mailed Dec. 21, 2023 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/116,764, 7 pages. cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Torimiro; Adetokunbo O

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Greenberg Traurig, LLP

Background/Summary

PRIORITY (1) This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/902,739, filed 2 Sep. 2022, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/527,496, filed 16 Nov. 2021, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/184,264, filed 24 Feb. 2021, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 16/502,169, filed 3 Jul. 2019, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/847,795, filed 8 Sep. 2015, which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/047,473, filed 8 Sep. 2014, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/106,613, filed, 22 Jan. 2015, the disclosures of each of which are incorporated, in their entirety, by this reference.

TECHNICAL FIELD

(1) The present disclosure relates to methods of presenting and playing skill-based fantasy-type gaming activities.

BACKGROUND ART

(2) Sports wagering is a very popular activity because it increases fan interest and excitement in sports events. With a wager at stake, a fan's interest in the outcome of a sports event is heightened. Also, sports wagering increases a fan's interest in a sport as

the fan tracks teams and players in an attempt to place wagers that they believe will be winning. Sports wagering, both land-based and internet-based, has been established to offer such services and may depend on jurisdictional laws.

(3) Sports wagering, however, is not legal in many locations. As a result, other types of activities have been created to increase fan interest and excitement associated with sporting events. As one example, fantasy sports have been created. These activities correlate the performance of players or sets of players involved in actual sports events to a non-wagering entertainment activity.

(4) Skill-based games that allow a player to participate with real money purchases with resulting prizes based on the user's skill have become popular. Such games allow a user to engage in a skill where they compete and play against other users. Examples of such skill-based games include games such as Diamond Strike, poker, and fantasy sports leagues (e.g., baseball, football etc.).

(5) In one implementation of a fantasy football league, a fan forms a fantasy football team comprised of a collection of individual players from a set of different football teams. For example, a fan might pick a quarterback from one football team, a running back from another football team, and so on, in order to create an imaginary or “fantasy” team comprising a collection of players who do not actually form a real team.

(6) Generally, fans pit their fantasy teams against the fantasy teams of other fans usually over the course of professional football season. Commonly, fans pay an entry fee to participate in the fantasy activity and winnings are paid from a pool formed from the entry fees. The winner(s) of the fantasy activity may be determined in a number of fashions. In one common configuration, scores are assigned to each player based upon their performance in an actual game. A fan's fantasy team score then comprises the aggregate of the scores of each of the players on their team. The winner(s) of a particular fantasy activity are the fan(s) whose team(s) achieves the highest score. Winners may be paid at the end of each week of play or at the end of the season.

(7) While fantasy sports activities are popular, there are several reasons why the popularity of such activities is limited. The primary drawback to participating in such fantasy sports activities is the time, effort and understanding of creating teams or selecting players involved in forming and managing a fantasy team. For example, a group of fans may form a fantasy sports pool. Initially, the fans draft or pick players to form their teams. The fans may pick players one at a time in order, in similar fashion to current actual player drafts. In some cases, fans of the same pool are not permitted to select the same players. Thus, each fan must carefully form a fantasy team by evaluating the best players still available when considering the prior picks of other fans. The process of picking the teams may take hours or days and involve spending large amounts of time evaluating available players to be picked. For example, a fan may spend a significant amount of time analyzing statistics, predicted performances, and many other factors for each potential player the fan might wish to draft. The fan might also take into account past performance of each player, the current health status of each player, and so on, to make draft selections.

(8) When the fantasy activity spans multiple games, such as an entire season, a fan may adjust their fantasy player roster to address issues such as injury to the actual players, team matchups, and other factors that may affect a player's performance. There are different variations of these types of fantasy team-type activities, each having their own rules. However, in general, participation in these activities is time consuming, often deterring fans from participating in the activities.

(9) Other drawbacks may keep fans from participating in these activities. For example, with traditional fantasy sports activities, a fan must be ready to participate before a season of a particular sport begins, or else the fan risks being left out and must wait until the next season. Further, it may be difficult for a fan to join multiple leagues or to buy multiple entries from the same provider. Traditional fantasy sports may be difficult for a fan to play casually or as quickly as a fan might desire. Finally, from the perspective of an operator, it may be difficult to attract casual sports fans with traditional fantasy sports.

(10) It is thus desirable to develop a new fantasy-sports type activity that overcomes the limitations of existing activities as previously described.

SUMMARY OF THE EMBODIMENTS

(11) Embodiments of the invention comprise methods and systems for presenting fantasy sports related activities. The method and systems include at least one activity server system comprising a processor configured to execute machine-readable code. The machine-readable code executed by the processor is configured to cause the server to create a set of activity matchups. The matchups each include at least one participant of an event (e.g., a player in a professional sporting event). The server further transmits the set of matchups to a plurality of presentation devices (such as fans' mobile devices, computers, smart television, game consoles etc.). The plurality of presentation devices is configured to display the set of matchups on a display for selection by a fan. The code executed by the processor also enables the server to receive selections of at least one matchup of the set of matchups from the fan, with the selection being input on one of the plurality of presentation devices. Typically, the fan will need to select a minimum number of matchups and winners for that matchup. The lower bound is generally three matchups with no limit on the upper bound with the exception of a restraint placed by the operator. The server further determines actual outcomes of the set of matchups and compares the outcomes with the selections received from the fan. If the fan has correctly selected the actual outcomes of the at least one matchup of the set of matchups from the fan, the server, based on a known payout table previously presented to the fan, then determines winning selections and then calculates the payoff amounts to the fan.

(12) In one embodiment, the matchups relate to sports events. The matchups may pair one or more players, where a fan attempts to pick the player(s) of the matchup who they believe will be the winner of the matchup. In one embodiment, the winner of each matchup is determined by performance criteria of the players in the actual sporting event(s). The performance criterion includes a set of predetermined rules and a methodology for scoring each participant according to performance statistics. The final determination of a player's performance is determined by the calculation of a ‘fantasy points score’ where points are awarded based on their game performance/statistics—i.e., touchdown+5 pts, Fumble−2 pts

(13) In accordance with embodiments of the invention, a skill-based activity is created that increases the excitement of associated sports events or the like, while decreasing the time, effort, and coordination required to participate in the activity. Multiple games are presented to the fan along with the ability for the fan to utilize data from their ‘fantasy team games’ to further integrate with this ‘system’ by allowing the fan to match up their team roster with matchups in the system that are

available—that either match their team players against the game system or other players in their fantasy game leagues. Further objects, features, and advantages of the present disclosure over the prior art will become apparent from the detailed description of the drawings that follows, when considered with the attached figures.

(14) In certain embodiments of the invention, a user through a presentation device will access a remote activity server system. The user will download a platform that can be installed on the presentation device. The platform may include an application that provides for communication with the activity server system and the platform allows for determination whether a predetermined set of compliance criteria have been met prior to letting the user play a game that is associated with the platform. The user downloads one or more games from the activity server system on the presentation device. In one embodiment, the game is a skill-based game. The skill-based game application is configured to communicate with the platform to determine whether a set of compliance criteria has been satisfied. If the compliance criteria are deemed satisfied, the game application communicates with the activity server system. The activity server system may perform additional authentication and/or determine whether compliance criteria has been met. The activity server system will then serve game related data to the game application for presentation to the user, allowing the user to play the game. For example, the activity server system may serve a set of predetermined matchups.

(15) Embodiments of the invention may further include a system for presenting a skill-based game. The system may include a non-transitory storage medium storing machine readable code and at least one activity server system comprising a processor configured to execute the machine-readable code and to communicate over a wide area network to a plurality of presentation computing devices and to receive information from the plurality of presentation computing devices. The system may also include a device storage system coupled to the activity server system storing (i) information concerning a plurality of users, each user identifiable by a user account, (ii) event data pertinent to a set of events and participants in the set of events. The machine-readable code, when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes comprising: serving over the wide area network a set of matchups of participants along with associated a fixed-payout table based on winning user matchup selections, to the presentation devices, so as to cause the presentation devices to display the set of matchups to the users; receiving over the wide area network user roster data, from the presentation devices, characterizing a set of user-selected winners of the matchups made by the users; updating the event data pertinent to participants in the actual events; and using the updated data to calculate and assign payoffs to the users based on the fixed payoff odds.

(16) The system may also include machine-readable code that when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes further comprising: in a matchup selection tool process, creating and modifying the set of matchups based on a plurality of participants, and transmitting the set of matchups to the server.

(17) The matchup selection tool process may further include presenting information about the participants including expected fantasy points for each participant for an upcoming event.

(18) In another embodiment of the invention, the machine-readable code, when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes further comprising: in a risk assessment engine process: determining a total possible payout based upon the user selected winners for a matchup; comparing the total possible payout with a predetermined threshold; and if the total possible payout exceeds the threshold, eliminating the matchup from further play.

(19) In a further embodiment of the invention, the received user roster data includes a user-selected hedge value by which a given user specifies a minimum number of a total number of matchup outcome selections made by the given user that must be correct in order for the given user to win.

(20) Embodiments of the system may include a graduated payout table and the system may further include machine-readable code, when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes further comprising calculating the graduated payout table based on the fixed payoff odds and serving over the wide area network a set of matchups of participants along with associated fixed-payoff odds to the presentation devices further comprises serving the graduated payout table.

(21) The embodiments of the invention also include a computer-based method for providing suggested athlete matchups to a matchmaker organizing a skill-based game. The computer-based method implemented by a server system performing computer processes comprising: receiving by a server system schedule information about a plurality of games on a computing device from an information source containing the schedule information; determining by the server system the athletes expected to compete in the plurality of games by accessing player information for each team; ranking by the server system the athletes using historical fantasy point performance values for the athletes based at least upon a calculated expected fantasy point performance values to be scored by the athlete in a next game; and causing display by the server system in a graphical user interface identities of athletes according to their expected fantasy point performance values wherein the graphical user interface allows the matchmaker to select players to assign to a matchup.

(22) Embodiments of the computer-based method may include ranking for each athlete wherein the computer processes further comprise: providing by the server system a filter to the matchmaker for filtering relevant historical fantasy point performance values for the athlete from a set of historical fantasy point performance values based upon a criteria set; predicting, based on the historical fantasy point performance values, by the server system an expected team fantasy point performance value for the team of the athlete for the same game; and determining, by the server system, the expected fantasy performance values of the athlete based on the filtered relevant historical fantasy points performance values weighted by the predicted team fantasy point performance value divided by a historical team fantasy point performance value.

(23) The criteria set for filtering the relevant historical fantasy point performance values may include at least one of a number of previous games played, a duration of play within the games, algorithm selection, a venue, and a characteristic of at least one member of the opposing team. Additionally, the method step of determining the expected fantasy performance values of the athlete based on filtered relevant historical fantasy points performance values includes using the predicted team fantasy point performance value that has been filtered based on the criteria set.

(24) The computer processes may further comprise, after determining the expected fantasy point performance values for the athletes, ordering the athletes according to expected fantasy point values. In certain embodiments, the athletes are filtered and displayed by expected fantasy point total according to position.

(25) The computer-based method may also provide for allowing a matchmaker to review the list of athletes and expected fantasy point performance values and to select individual athletes to assign to a matchup and storing the assignment of athletes to the matchup in a matchup data store.

(26) The described system may also include machine-readable code, when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes further comprising: in a computer-based risk assessment engine process, querying the data store to identify users matchup selections with a disproportionate number of users selecting one of two participants in a matchup; when such a matchup with a disproportionate number of users selecting one of the two participants in a matchup has been identified, determining whether the number exceeds a first threshold; if the number exceeds the first threshold, removing or modifying the matchup from the set of matchups for all subsequent requests for serving the set of matchups.

(27) In certain embodiments of the invention, the machine-readable code, when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes further comprising: if the number exceeds the first threshold, determining whether a potential payout exceeds a second threshold; wherein removing the matchup from the set of matchups is subject to the further condition that the payout exceeds the second threshold.

(28) In certain embodiments of the invention the machine-readable code, when executed by the activity server system, causes performance of computer processes further comprising: monitoring electronic information sources about mentions of participants in the set of matchups and monitoring for keywords including the keyword "injury" and if a mention of a participant occurs on an electronic information and a keyword is identified, producing a graphical alert to an operator, the graphical alert allowing the operator to remove one or more matchups.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

(1) FIG. 1 is a flow chart that illustrates a method of presenting an activity to a fan according to an exemplary embodiment of the invention;

(2) FIGS. 2-10 illustrate a sequence of a presenting or playing in an activity in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention;

(3) FIG. 2 shows an exemplary screen shot presented on a presentation device of a user showing a series of athlete matchups for selection by the user;

(4) FIG. 3 shows an exemplary screen shot presented on a presentation device of a user, when the user has selected a particular matchup, so that the user can select an athlete;

(5) FIG. 4 shows an exemplary screen shot presented to a user on the user's presentation device where statistics are presented for each of the athletes in a selected matchup;

(6) FIG. 5 shows a next matchup presented to the user on the user's presentation device;

(7) FIG. 6 shows a screen shot in which the computer code on the presentation device directs the user to select at least one more pick in order to complete a card;

(8) FIG. 7 show screen shot of a next matchup in a set of 10 possible matchups presented to the user on the user's presentation device;

(9) FIG. 8 is a screen shot of a summary screen that shows the user's selections for the matchups;

(10) FIG. 9 shows a screen shot in which a user has elected to play at least the minimum number of matchups and can then select an amount to wager;

(11) FIG. 10 shows an exemplary screen shoot of a confirmation pop-up that is generated on the user's presentation device confirming the user's wager;

(12) FIG. 11 shows an environment for implementing the present inventive methodology and systems in a networked environment;

(13) FIGS. 12-14 illustrate a sequence for managing a fan's activity account according to an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

(14) FIG. 12 is a screen shot showing an illustrative welcome interface displayed on the device of the user when the user downloads and launches the application on their presentation device;

(15) FIG. 13 is a screen shot showing an illustrative account creation screen, allowing a user to create an enter information about the user;

(16) FIG. 14 is a screen shoot showing a user's account scree on the presentation device of the user;

(17) FIG. 15 shows an environment for implementing the invention including an activity server system and various components;

(18) FIG. 15A shows an exemplary activity server system including a risk assessment engine that is in communication with a data store management server and associated data store containing a database of current user roster selections;

(19) FIG. 16 is a flow chart describing how the matchup tool and player ranking tool determine the expected performance for each athlete playing within a game from which matchups are selected;

(20) FIG. 17 is an exemplary screen shot of the matchup tool graphical user interface that is presented to an operator that shows upcoming games along with related information about the games;

(21) FIG. 18 shows an exemplary screen shot of the matchup tool sorted by player wherein a match maker can select a to add a player to a match and can view both historical and expected fantasy points for the player.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

(22) Definitions. As used in this description and the accompanying claims, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated, unless the context otherwise requires:

(23) The term “fan” and “user” means an individual playing the “fantasy skill-based game”.

(24) The term “participant” refers to a “player” such as an “athlete” within an event (e.g., sporting event such as professional football or other event etc.) on which the fantasy skill-based game is based and the term may be used interchangeably with the term “athlete” and “player” where appropriate.

(25) A “set” includes at least one member.

(26) The term “skill-based game” shall mean a game that allows a user to use a skill such as the selection of participants based upon statistics and personal knowledge prior to occurrence of a set of events, such as sporting events, wherein, for the skill-based game, a determination will be made based upon a set of preexisting scoring rules for scoring the one or more of the participants based upon actual performance in the set of events. As used herein, the term “skill-based game” shall be understood to operate in a manner as to be exempt from regulation under provisions of the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act of 2006 (UIGEA), 31 U.S.C. §§ 5361-5366, as a “fantasy or simulation sports game or educational game or contest in which (if the game or contest involves a team or teams) no fantasy or simulation sports team is based on the current membership of an actual team that is a member of an amateur or professional sports organization (as those terms are defined in section 3701 of title 28)” and that additionally meet the enumerated criteria of 31 U.S.C. § 5362 (1)(E)(ix). Specifically, (I) All prizes and awards offered to winning participants are established and made known to the participants in advance of the game or contest and their value is not determined by the number of participants or the amount of any fees paid by those participants. (11) All winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of the participants and are determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of individuals (athletes in the case of sports events) in multiple real-world sporting or other events. (111) No winning outcome is based—(aa) on the score, point-spread, or any performance or performances of any single real-world team or any combination of such teams; or (bb) solely on any single performance of an individual athlete in any single real-world sporting or other event.”.

(27) The term “matchmaker” and “operator” may be used interchangeably to mean a person or group that operates the system for presenting the skill-based game to a user. In certain contexts, the matchmaker refers to a person or group of people that are skilled at determining matchups of players of equal sport skill that will likely produce similar fantasy points during a given game. The matchmaker may receive matchup suggestions from a matchup suggestion engine and may use a matchup tool for making the final assigned matchups. The operator of the system for presenting the skill-based game to the user, manages the activity server, the platform and the distributed computer application for playing the skill-based fantasy sports game.

(28) A “computer process” is the performance of a described function in a computer using computer hardware (such as a processor, field-programmable gate array or other electronic combinatorial logic, or similar device), which may be operating under control of software or firmware or a combination of any of these or operating outside control of any of the foregoing. All or part of the described function may be performed by active or passive electronic components, such as transistors or resistors. In using the term “computer process” we do not necessarily require a schedulable entity, or operation of a computer program or a part thereof, although, in some embodiments, a computer process may be implemented by such a schedulable entity, or operation of a computer program or a part thereof. Furthermore, unless the context otherwise requires, a “process” may be implemented using more than one processor or more than one (single- or multi-processor) computer.

(29) In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a more thorough description of the present invention. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art, that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known features have not been described in detail so as not to obscure the invention.

(30) Embodiments of the invention comprise methods and systems for presenting skill-based, entertainment activities. In one embodiment, those activities comprise fantasy-sports type activities.

(31) In general, according to one embodiment of the invention, a plurality of fantasy sports “matchups” is generated. Each matchup pairs one or more components (e.g., players) against one or more different components. For example, in one embodiment, each matchup may pair one or more first sports event participants against one or more second sports event participants.

(32) A fan that enters the skill-based, sports-related entertainment activity is authenticated by a system before being authorized to participate. Authentication may include confirming the identity of the user and may also include compliance with a set of compliance criteria. The compliance criteria may include such information as the age of the user and the location of the user (e.g., the state or locality in which the user is presently located). The compliance criteria may be configured to confirm that access to the game is in compliance with all local and federal laws. Once authenticated, the fan may determine a number of matchups to play (e.g., 4 through 10 matchups) and then pick either the first or second component(s) of each selected matchup. In one embodiment, a fan thus selects a number of matchups and then picks either the first or second participant(s) of each selected matchup. Further, the fan may be required to select a minimum number of matchups. These matchups are selected by the fan prior to the participants undertaking their activity (e.g., playing in a professional sporting event). The set of selected matchups and the selected participants for winning the matchups shall be referred to as “user roster data”.

(33) The outcomes of the matchups are determined with reference to the first and second participants' actual performance in one or more actual sports event(s) according to a predetermined scoring criterion. For example, in one embodiment, the first or second participant(s) with better statistics in predetermined, weighted, statistical categories (e.g., a better fantasy sports score) in the one or more actual sporting event(s) may be determined as the “winner” of each matchup. The winners of the matchups are compared to the fan's picks. If the fan has made a sufficient number of winning picks, then the fan is declared to be a winner of the activity. Thus, one embodiment of the present invention requires a fixed payout table, and each fan has an opportunity to

win against the system. This is in contrast to other games, such as pari-mutuel games, where fans play against other fans and payouts are based upon the amount of money that fans associate with their selections.

(34) When the fan is a winner of an activity, the fan is awarded a prize according to a predetermined pay table. For example, the fan may win a cash, a reward, or other prize for correctly picking all of the selected matchups, or for correctly picking a predetermined number of matchups from the selected matchups (e.g., for getting “close”).

(35) One example of the invention will be described initially with reference to FIG. 1. In the example below, reference is made to a skill-based fantasy activity based on the actual game of football. However, it will be appreciated by a person of ordinary skill that the activity may be applied to any number of actual sports, games, or other competitions. In accordance with a first step S1, a set of fantasy matchups is created.

(36) In one embodiment, each matchup comprises a matchup of one or more sports event participants. As one example, a matchup may comprise a matchup of two football players relative to their participation in one or more football games that those players are playing in (the players may be playing in the same or different games). The matchups may match players based upon various criteria, such as playing position, etc. In one embodiment, the matchup may match two or more participants against two or more other participants. For example, a matchup might pit a first quarterback and running back pairing (the players may be on the same team or different teams and be playing in the same or different games) against a second quarterback and running back pairing. Additionally, groups of more than two participants, such as three, four, or even more participants or players might be utilized in the matchups. Further, it is possible for the number of first participants in a matchup to be different than the number of second participants. For example, a matchup might pit a quarterback and a running back pairing against a second quarterback, a second running back, and wide receiver. In order for the fan to win, the fan must select the winning athlete of a match based on the fantasy points that are scored during an event. The fantasy point may include a handicap or biased value.

(37) In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, the participants of each side of a matchup are pre-selected in a manner that they are closely or evenly matched with reference to an expected outcome. The matchups may be predetermined by a professional odds-maker or an odds-maker in conjunction with an automated process based upon statistical analysis.

(38) As described below, the advantage of having evenly matched participants in a given matchup is so that the activity, including the outcome thereof (e.g., whether the fan is a winner or loser), will require a significant amount of skill or knowledge from the fan. First, the fan will use their skill in attempting to pick those matchups, which may appear to be uneven, as the fan is more likely to select the winner of those matchups. As to selected matchups which appear to be even, skill is involved in the player attempting to predict the winner of the matchup (e.g. if the matchup is uneven, such as where a very good player is pitted against a very bad player, little skill is necessary in predicting the winner; but as to matchups which are very even, great skill is required to successfully select the winner (if the fan is to achieve a success rate of over 50% as would generally be expected for truly even matchups)).

(39) In one embodiment of the invention, multiple matchups are generated for presentation to one or more fans participating in the fantasy sports activity. The total number of matchups, which are generated, may vary, and depend, for example, on the number of matchups that a fan must select in order to participate in the activity. In one embodiment, preferably, however, the minimum number of matchups that the fan must select is a sub-set of the total number of matchups from which the fan may select. In this manner, part of the skill involved in the activity is the fan's determination of which matchups to play from the set of matchups that is presented to the fan.

(40) Further, the matchups presented to the one or more fans, including the number of matchups presented, may vary during the course of the fantasy sports activity. For example, matchups that involve one or more participants that have already completed an actual game may be removed and be replaced with one or more matchups involving participants that will play an actual game in the future. Matchups may also be changed based on a number of other criteria including for example, an injury to a participant, game cancellations, and a number of times a particular matchup is selected by the fans, etc. For example, if a particular matchup is selected by a certain percentage of fans, it may become clear that this matchup is not considered to be an even match and therefore, this matchup may be removed from the list of available matchups.

(41) In one embodiment of the invention, 40 matchups are generated. Each matchup uses different sets of participants (whereby each of the 40 matchups are different from one another). The set of matchups may be presented in a “card” type format (although the card may be virtual). As described below, different cards (or sets of matchups) may be generated and presented to fans, including at different times. Also, as further described below, the number of matchups on a card may be reduced or vary from time to time based on game, risk analysis, player injury or other factors effecting game play or rules. As previously stated, if a believed even pre-determined matchup becomes un-evenly matched because of either a real (e.g., injury) or perceived condition (e.g., a great majority of fans select one participant of a matchup), the matchup may be removed from the pool of matchups available for future fans selection.

(42) As described below, the matchups may be generated in a number of ways. For example, a suggestion engine may use defined criteria to create a number of proposed matchups. The operator may use a matchup tool to create one or more final sets of matchups, such as by filtering and selecting final matchups from those generated by the suggestion engine or by generating other matchups.

(43) In a second step S2 (see FIG. 1), a fan that wishes to participate in the fantasy sports activity is authenticated by a system before being authorized to play and then the set of matchups is presented for selection by one or more fans.

(44) For example, certain authentication of the fan (their identity, location, age, etc.) may be performed in order to allow the fan to access the activity and their user account and/or in order to comply with local, state, or federal requirements. As described below, the fan may use a mobile device, and the mobile device may be authenticated with the system. The selections may be presented to the fan via a graphical user interface on the fan's mobile device. Alternatively, the fan may also use a desktop computer, laptop computer or other processor-based device that can make network connections (e.g., connect with the Internet)

or in other manners of participating in the fantasy sports activity.

(45) Once a fan is authorized to participate in the activity, the set of matchups is presented to the fan. FIG. 2 illustrates one embodiment of a graphical user interface that may be used to present matchup information. In this example, the interface presents a plurality of matchups to the fan (the number of matchups and how they are illustrated may depend, for example, on the interface, including the screen size of the like). The matchups may be presented in a card or list format for quick reference or viewing by the fan. In addition, however, the fan may have the option of selecting one or more of the plurality of matchups to form a user-selected roster. Upon selection of a matchup, the interface may present or display the matchup in further detail, such as in an enlarged and more informative format. In this example as shown in FIG. 3, the illustrated matchup is between two players, T. Brady, and B. Roethlisberger. Images of these players, their names, team affiliation, fantasy sports score and/or other information may be presented by the interface to the fan. The interface may also allow the fan to optionally view additional statistical information for each of the players in the matchup, as shown in FIG. 4. If a fan is permitted to select from multiple matchups, similar matchup image or detail information may be presented for each matchup.

(46) In one embodiment, the interface may present each matchup in a predetermined order. For example, as shown in FIG. 2, the fan may view the first matchup between T. Brady and B. Roethlisberger. The fan, based on his knowledge of each of the players in the matchup, may select the matchup by, for example, selecting one of the players in the matchup, or the fan may skip the matchup and select other matchups. Thereafter, the interface may present the next matchup as shown in FIG. 5, for example, between D. Brees and E. Manning. The fan may then select or skip this matchup, and so continue through each of the available matchups.

(47) Thus, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention, a fan selects one or more matchups from the set of matchups. The fan then selects one of the components or elements of the matchup (e.g., the first participant or participants in the matchup or the second participant or participants in the matchup) as their selection for the predicted winner of the matchup. The fan's selections are received, as indicated in step S3 (see FIG. 1). For example, a fan may select matchups 1, 11, 14 and 34 out of forty possible presented matchups. These selected matchups and the selected winners are the user's roster data. Relative to matchup 1, the fan might select the first participant (where that participant may comprise a single player or multiple players in the first position of that matchup). Relative to matchup 11, the fan might select the second participant (such as a single player or multiple players in the second position of that matchup). As indicated below, a fan's selection of matchups and selection of participants from those matchups may be received in various manners.

(48) As indicated below, various information or interfaces may be used to display information to the fan regarding the matchups and selections. For example, after a fan makes a selection, a summary may be presented to the fan regarding the matchups they have elected to play and their selections. For example, after the fan elected to play the D. Brees/E. Manning matchup illustrated in FIG. 5 and selected D. Brees, a summary of that selection may be displayed with other elected matchups and selections, as illustrated in FIG. 6.

(49) As described below, the fan may be required to play a minimum number of matchups from the set of presented matchups, such as four matchups of 40 presented matchups. In that event, the fan may also be notified of the number of additional matchups they must play to enter the activity. For example, where the minimum number of matchups that must be played by a fan is four and the fan has only elected three, the fan may be instructed that they must elect at least one additional matchup, as illustrated in FIG. 6. Thereafter, the fan may review the next matchup (as illustrated in FIG. 7) and elect to play that or other matchups. Again, as illustrated in FIG. 8, if the fan elects to play another matchup, it may be added to the summary.

(50) As also illustrated in FIG. 8, a summary of previous picks may be provided along with the current matchup and associated fan selection. Further, as illustrated in FIG. 9, once the fan has elected to play the minimum number of matchups to participate in the activity, the fan may be informed of the possibility of playing additional matchups. As illustrated in FIG. 9, the fan has been informed that they have played the minimum number of 4 matchups and would be entitled to a 10 times (10×) prize if they correctly predict the outcomes of the matchups, but the fan has also been informed that if they played one additional matchup, then they would be entitled to a prize of eighteen times (18×) if they correctly predict the outcomes of the matchups.

(51) As described below, in one embodiment of the invention, a fan is required to pay a fee to participate in the activity. In one embodiment, the fee may be based upon the number of cards that the fan plays. Further, the fan may be required to play a minimum number of matchups in order to be eligible for a prize. For example, a fan may be required to play a minimum number of four (4) matchups. The minimum number of matchups required may be set in advance by the operator and may also be changed by the operator. Depending upon the number of matchups that the fan plays and then the number of those matchups in which the fan correctly selects the winning outcome, the fan may be awarded a prize, such as based upon a prize table. The prize table may be presented in various fashions. FIG. 9 illustrates one embodiment of a graphical user interface in which prizes are displayed in relation to the number of matchups played by the fan, based upon the fan's entry fee. In these cases, prize tables are presented so the fan knows in advance, what the prizes are prior to purchasing a 'roster' of user selected winners of matchups.

(52) In one embodiment, there may be a minimum entry fee. There may also be a maximum entry fee, whereby the fan may select an entry fee for their card that is between the minimum and the maximum. As detailed herein, the prizes may depend on a number of criteria and may vary, such as varying based upon the amount of the fan's entry fee, the number of selected matchups or the like. In the example illustrated in FIG. 9, the fan has elected to pay a \$70 entry fee and the potential prize winnings if the fan is a winner have been displayed (\$700 if the fan elects to play the 4 selected matchups, or \$1260 if the fan were to elect an additional matchup and play 5 matchups, etc.). The fan's selection of an entry fee may be input and confirmed in various manners, such as illustrated in FIGS. 9 and 10. In one embodiment, the fan may be presented with a sliding selector, as shown in FIG. 9, which the fan may drag between a minimum and maximum entry fee to select his or her desired entry fee. The fan may drag the sliding selector using a touch screen on a mobile device, or other suitable input device. As shown in FIG. 10, the fan may be asked to confirm the desired entry fee prior to submitting his or her selections.

(53) It is noted that the authentication process including compliance criteria described above may be repeated when the fan submits the entry fee. Thus, the location of the fan, a state of the device, and the like as described above can be verified not only at login, but at each time the fan pays an entry fee to participate in the fantasy sports activity. This includes authentication of the player information upon withdrawal of any winnings from their account balance. For example, there are presently two states that have an age requirement of 19 for a user/fan to participate in on-line fantasy sport games. The communication between the presentation device of the fan and the activity server system and the authentication process will be further described with respect to FIG. 13. In a step S4, the outcomes of the matchups are determined and compared to the fan's selections to determine the outcome of the activity. In accordance with one embodiment of the invention, scores are assigned to the participants of each matchup. In another embodiment, those scores are based upon objective criteria, which relate to the relevant sports event and cannot be manipulated by the fan.

(54) For example, relative to football players, each player may be assigned a score based upon the players statistics from their play in a football game using the following points schedule shown in Table 1 below:

(55) TABLE-US-00001 TABLE 1 Example Fantasy Sports Scoring! Offense Passing Yards: 1 point per 25 yards passing Passing Touchdowns: 4 points Interceptions: -2 points Rushing Yards: 1 point per 10 yards Receiving Touchdowns: 6 points Receiving Yards: 1 point per 10 yards Receiving Touchdowns: 6 points Fumble Recovered for a Touchdown: 6 points 2-Point Conversions: 2 points Fumbles Lost: -2 points Kicking PAT Made: 1 point FG Made (0-49 yards): 3 points FG Made (50+ yards): 5 points Defense Sacks: 1 point Interceptions: 2 points Fumbles Recovered: 2 points Safeties: 2 points Defensive Touchdowns: 6 points Kick and Punt Return Touchdowns: 6 Points Points Allowed (0): 10 points Points Allowed (1-6): 7 points Points Allowed (7-13): 4 points Points Allowed (14-20): 1 point Points Allowed (21-27): 0 points Points Allowed (28-34): -1 points Points Allowed (35+): -4 points

(56) It should be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art that other fantasy point scoring systems may be used without deviating from the intended scope of the invention. Additionally, fantasy point scoring is not limited simply to football, but may be for any sport. For example, in the case of basketball fantasy scoring points may include the following: 3 pt basket equals 3 fantasy points, 2 pt basket equal 2 fantasy points, steal equal 1 fantasy point, rebound equal 1 fantasy point etc.

(57) In the example fantasy sports scoring table above, fractional points may be awarded, the points may be rounded to the nearest point, or the points may be truncated to obtain the final score for the player. Similar point schedules for other sports or events may also be used.

(58) As one example, suppose one of the matchups selected by a fan pits quarterback A against wide receiver B. In this example, quarterback A participates in a game and has 275 yards passing, 2 passing touchdowns, 1 interception, and 1 fumble lost. Based on quarterback A's performance in the game, quarterback A is assigned a fantasy score. If the point schedule set forth in Table 1 is used, quarterback A scores 11 points for 275 yards passing, 8 points for 2 passing touchdowns, -2 points for 1 interception, and -2 points for 1 fumble lost. Thus, quarterback A's overall fantasy score is 15 for his performance in the game.

(59) Next, suppose that wide receiver B participates in a game and has 130 receiving yards, 1 receiving touchdowns, and loses 1 fumble. Based on wide receiver B's performance in the game, wide receiver B is assigned a fantasy score. If the point schedule set forth in Table 1 is used, wide receiver B scores 13 points for 130 receiving yards, 6 points for 1 receiving touchdown, and -2 points for 1 fumble lost. Thus, wide receiver B's overall fantasy score is 17 for his performance in the game.

(60) The fantasy scores of quarterback A and wide receiver B are then compared to determine the winner of the matchup. In the above example, quarterback A has a fantasy score of 15, while wide receiver B has a fantasy score of 17. Thus, wide receiver B would be declared the winner of the matchup.

(61) Thus, each participant (or group of participants if group scoring is used) is assigned a score based upon their performance in the one or more relevant sports events. The scores of participants in a matchup are compared to one another, where the participant(s) with the highest score are declared the winner.

(62) As explained previously, players in a matchup may not necessarily play in the same game. Thus, in the above example, the game in which quarterback A plays may be played at a different time than the game in which wide receiver B plays. Thus, the outcome of the matchup is not determined until after the completion of the game(s) in which the participants in the matchup play.

(63) Once games for each of the participants in the selected matchups are complete, and the winners of each of the matchups are determined, those winners are compared to the fan's selections (e.g., user roster data). In one embodiment, a fan, based upon his or her ability to select matchups and judge the performance of the participants in the selected matchups, is required to correctly pick a certain number of winners of the matchups they have selected or played in order to be entitled to a prize.

(64) For example, set forth below in Table 2 is an example prize table in accordance with one embodiment of the invention. As indicated in this table, if a fan elects to select or play four matchups and they pick the winner of all four matchups, then the fan is awarded an amount equal to 10 times (10x) the amount of their entry fee. On the other hand, if the fan elects to select or play five matchups and the fan picks the winner of all five matchups, then the fan is awarded an amount equal to 18 times (18x) the amount of their entry fee. While in one embodiment a fan may be required to correctly pick the winner of all of their selected matchups, other prize tables might award prizes when the fan picks the winner of some, but not all, of the selected matchups.

(65) TABLE-US-00002 TABLE 2 Example Prize Table Matchup Outcomes Matchups Played Correctly Predicted Prize 4 4 10x Entry Fee 5 5 18x Entry Fee 6 6 35x Entry Fee 7 7 70x Entry Fee 8 8 125x Entry Fee 9 9 250x Entry Fee 10 10 500x Entry Fee

(66) Additional aspects of the invention will now be described. First, as one of ordinary skill will appreciate, the invention may be applied to any kind of event. For example, the invention may be applied to sports events such as football, baseball, basketball, soccer, rugby, hockey, horse or dog racing, automobile racing or any other type of competition (chess, ping-pong, bowling and so on). Further, the participants of the matchups can be players or other participants of those events, or groups of participants in those events.

(67) The outcome of the matchup may be determined relative to one sports event or multiple sports events. For example, a

matchup might pit quarterback A who is playing for team A in a game between teams A and B against quarterback C who is playing for team C in a game between teams C and D (e.g., the matchup need not be in relation to participants who are competing against one another in the same sports event). The matchup might relate to more than one sports event. For example, the matchup might pit quarterback A against quarterback B in relation to an entire season (whereby the outcome of the matchup is not known, and the outcome of the activity cannot be determined, until the season ends).

(68) As also described in more detail below, while the matchup may pit one player against another player or one group of players against another group of players, other matchups may be utilized. For example, one matchup may challenge a fan to predict the performance of a single participant's ability to achieve a predetermined fantasy point total, as described below.

(69) In one embodiment of the invention, the operator of the activity may require fans to enter the activity by a predetermined time. For example, matchups might pit NFL players against one another relative to weekly NFL games occurring between Thursday and Monday. The operator may thus require that fans enter the activity before the start of the first game on Thursday in order to participate in the activity, or at least before the first game involving one of the participants in the set of matchups that are being presented to the fan for selection. Thus, the computer-based system such as the activity server referred to below with respect to FIG. 15 will stop participation of an activity and will not accept entry into the activity if the user submits an entry of matchups electronically from the user's presentation device (presentation device of the fan 151 in FIG. 15)

(70) In this regard, it is noted that the operator may present different sets of matchups to different fans. First, different sets of matchups might be presented at different times. For example, relative a weekly NFL schedule, a first set or card of 40 matchups, which includes participants playing in Thursday night football games, might be presented to fans before the Thursday night football games. However, after the Thursday night football games begin, a second set or card of 40 matchups may be presented to fans, which matchups include participants playing in Saturday, Sunday, and Monday games. As a result, the activity server includes a clock mechanism and associates each of the matchups with time after which the matchup is no longer valid. The matchup suggestion engine 159 will remove the time barred matchups from the matchups being distributed to a fan's presentation device and may provide alternative matchups to the fan's presentation device that have not been time-barred.

(71) In addition, matchups might be changed based upon participant injury or other factors. For example, a first set of matchups might include a matchup between players A and B. Once it is determined that player A is injured, that matchup may be removed from the card. For example, a risk assessment engine 162 in FIG. 15 can cancel matchups that have been previously selected by an operator and stored in a data store (predetermined matchups 165). These cancelled matchups will not be provided to the matchup suggestion engine 159 and will not be passed through to the presentation device of the fan. If a fan has already selected the matchup that is removed, the fan may be notified via, for example, a text message to his or her mobile device and/or email (e.g., the presentation device of the fan). The fan may then have the opportunity to update his or her selected matchups. The removed matchup might be replaced on the card with a new matchup, or fans might then be permitted to select from the remaining matchups on the card (e.g., at that point the card may only include 39 matchups).

(72) In some cases, a matchup may need to be removed from a card after fans have already entered the activity. In one embodiment, if removal of one or more matchups causes the fan's selected matchups to number less than the minimum matchups in play, then the fan's card may be voided and the fan's entry fee may be refunded. The minimum number of matchups may vary depending on the sport or activity on which the fantasy game is based, but in general, the minimum number of matchups will be at least 3. However, if removal of one or more matchups causes the fan to still have at least the minimum number of matchups in play, then the card may be treated as having been played with that number of matchups originally. For example, a fan might select 5 matchups from a card of 40 matchups. As a result of cancellation of a game due to weather, one of the matchups the fan had selected was taken out of play, leaving the fan with 4 matchups in play. In that event, the results of those 4 matchups may be evaluated and the fan may be paid prizes based upon 4 matchups instead of the originally selected 5 matchups. Of course, other prizes might be awarded in such a situation (for example, in that situation, the fan might be awarded the prize based upon 5 matchups).

(73) As indicated, in order to ensure that the result or outcome of correctly picking the result of a matchup requires a substantial amount of skill from the fan, each matchup is generated so that the pairings are generally equal or equally weighted, such as pairing equally matched players. In other words, players with similar skill or ability to play the sports event are matched in the matchups. Further, to add more excitement to the fantasy sports activity for the fan, well-known or popular players may be included in the matchups.

(74) One embodiment of the invention comprises a matchup tool that assists in the creation of the matchups. This matchup tool (see 163 in FIG. 15) aids an operator in generating matchups and is part of the game creation and management system. For example, the matchup tool 163 may aid the operator in creating matchups by providing information that would lead to the creation of matchups to the operator between players or groups of players where the expected outcome of the matchup is nearly equal.

(75) For example, the matchup tool 163 may compare available player fantasy scores, player statistics, power rankings, and other available metrics to determine a suggested matchup between two evenly ranked players. As indicated, in one example of the invention, the outcome of a matchup is determined by a performance score assigned to the players in the matchup (which performance score is determined by each player's performance during a sports event). As such, the matchup tool may look at historical scores for those players as a guide to project the probable scores of those players in a new matchup. Other factors might also be considered that may modify the predicted score of the player(s). These other factors affecting a player's projected performance (such as fantasy score for the upcoming week) may include the location of the game being played (e.g., whether a player is on a home or an away team), forecasted weather, strength of the scheduled opponent, injuries to teammates, and other information about a player as may be available in various published news feeds. The matchup tool may further weigh player popularity to suggest matchups using the most popular players to the operator.

(76) As one example, Player A might have a historical score average of 10.6 and Player B might have a historical score average

of 10.7. However, in the next round of games, Player A might be playing a very strong opponent in bad weather and Player B might be playing an easy opponent in good weather, thus causing the expected scores to be adjusted, such as to Player A of 8 and Player B of 12. In such a case, this matchup may be viewed as sufficiently unequal that it is not used.

(77) In one embodiment, the matchup tool may specify a plurality of matchups of individual player-pair suggestions or paired groupings of players that the operator may choose from in order to reduce the risk of the operator manually specifying unbalanced matchups. Further, the matchup tool may help to speed up matchup selection by the operator.

(78) The matchup tool may include or use stored information (see **161** below in FIG. **15**), such as information regarding players (including name, performance data, team data, etc.), sports event information (such as location of games, dates, team matchups) and the like in order to generate proposed matchups. The matchup tool **163** may generate a large number of matchups and then, based upon certain criteria such as a maximum score or value spread, eliminate generated matchups from being presented to the operator for selection (i.e., the matchup tool may generate a large number of matchup permutations and then remove those that are outside of certain baseline criteria). The operator might then select one or more sets of matchups from those generated by the matchup tool wherein the selected matchups are stored in a memory location (See FIG. **15 165**).

(79) The matchup tool **163** further enables the operator to ensure balanced matchups in the matchup pairings. The matchup tool interface provides a list of potential matchup candidate players as shown in FIG. **18**. The interface may include a graphical representation of each of the listed candidate players. For each candidate player, the interface may provide visual comparisons of past fantasy performances, statistics, next opponent, and other parameters to aid the operator in selecting evenly balanced matchups. The interface provides means for the operator to quickly organize candidate players into matchups. For example, when implemented on a desktop or laptop computer, a mobile device, or the like, the operator may select and drag candidate players into matchup positions. Using the matchup tool, the operator may select and finalize each of the matchups to be presented to the fan.

(80) The system may also include a player-ranking tool as shown in FIGS. **17** and **18** that may be part of the matchup tool. FIG. **17** shows an exemplary screen shot of the matchup tool where the matchmaker selects a set of games over a period of time for making matches. The games are displayed in a column **1700** and the graphical user interface indicates the home **1730** and away **1710** teams and may provide other information such as the team name **1720**. The matchmaker may then request display of the players for the selected games as shown in FIG. **18**. The player-ranking tool may rank each player according to an expected fantasy point total **1800** that is composed of historical performance **1830** and predicted game performance. The player-ranking tool operates according to the following methodology as shown in FIG. **16**. First, the historical performance values are filtered in accordance with the preferences of the operator to determine a historical fantasy point total for the athlete (i.e., player) being evaluated **1600**. The filtering of the historical data may be based upon a number of factors including duration. The fantasy point total may be an average of fantasy points for a previous number of games (e.g., 20, 5, 2 etc.). Another filter that may be applied is a selection of an algorithm for calculating the fantasy points total. In one embodiment, a median algorithm is used to remove skew from statistical outliers. In another embodiment, an average algorithm is used. Another filter may be based upon a threshold condition. The fantasy points for a player may be limited to games in which the player played a minimum number of minutes or a percentage of the game (e.g., 60%, 70% etc.). The historical fantasy points may also be filtered according to venue so that average or median fantasy points over a set of games are based on whether the games are played at home or away. The historical fantasy points may also be filtered according to the opposition. For example, in baseball the historical information for a player, can be filtered in accordance with the pitcher handedness, such that the player's performance versus a right or left handed pitcher may be evaluated. This is especially useful in making matchups for upcoming games in which the opposing pitcher is known and therefore the handedness of the pitcher can be taken into account. Each of these factors affects the historical performance numbers.

(81) The player-rating is then adjusted based upon the expected fantasy points for the upcoming game so that the player-rating is a composite of the historical fantasy points rating (average/median per game) and the expected fantasy points for an upcoming game. The expected fantasy points for a player may be calculated based upon the expected team performance for that same game **1610**. The algorithm assumes a strong correlation between the performance of a player and that of the team. For example, if an NBA team is expected to score 10% more team points than usual, the methodology assumes that the individual player will score 10% more points and therefore, will have 10% more fantasy points than the historical average/median for that player.

(82) In order to calculate the expected fantasy points, the calculated historical fantasy points are weighted by the athlete's predicted team score (see **1840** in FIG. **18**) divided by the historical team score **1620**.

(83)
$$FPTS_{\text{expected}} = FPTS_{\text{historical}} \left[\frac{\text{TeamScore}_{\text{expected}}}{\text{TeamScore}_{\text{historical}}} \right]$$

(84) One method for calculating the expected team score begins with the published Las Vegas Totals and Point Spreads. For example, if the bookmaker odds predict that 200 points will be scored in an NBA game and that the Point Spread is -6 points for the athlete's team, then the expected team score for our athlete is $[200 - (-6)] * 0.5 = 103$

(85)
$$\text{TeamScore}_{\text{expected}} = \left[\frac{\text{GameScore}_{\text{total}} - \text{PointSpread}}{2} \right] = \left[\frac{200 - (-6)}{2} \right] = 103$$

(86) Total game score and point spread are either entered into the system by the operators, or they are imported from a bookmaking site or APL. The historical team score is also calculated. There are several ways to calculate the historical team score. In one embodiment, the average covers all expected team scores for all of the players in the player ranking tool list of players. In one embodiment, the Historical Team Score is calculated from the same set of games that are used to calculate the athlete's fantasy points in the column for last **20** games played.

(87) The above-described methodology for the player-ranking tool provides a matchmaker with a list of players ordered as closely as possible to their game day performances. Thus, in this embodiment, the Player-Ranking Tool allows match makers to create matchups quickly and easily. As shown in FIG. **18**, players **1810** and **1820** both have expected fantasy points of 14.15 and thus, would make a good matchup. As an adjunct, the player-ranking tool can also be used for warning the matchmakers

when creating an unevenly matched matchup and presenting suggested matchup cards to the matchmaker. The player-ranking tool is designed to assist the matchmaker in making matches and removing matches from play. Thus, the matchmaker can override any of the suggestions by the matchmaker can overrule any suggestions from the player-ranking tool.

(88) Other types of activities may be offered in accordance with the invention. One type of activity is a round-robin activity. When considering a set of matchups, a fan might feel particularly confident about a certain set of matchups. For example, a fan might like 5 particular matchups and thus the fan might play a card of all 5 matchups.

(89) In accordance with the invention, however, the fan might be offered the chance to still be a winner of the activity if the fan only correctly selects the winner of 4 of the 5 matchups. For example, the fan may be offered “insurance” against one wrong pick, such that the fan still wins a prize if they pick any combination of 4 of the 5 matchups correctly. The fan may be required to place an additional entry fee (or insurance fee) to play the activity this way and the prizes may be less. For example, if a fan correctly picks the winners of all 5 matchups, the fan might be paid a prize of $18\times$ their entry fee, but if the fan only picks 4 of the 5 winners, then they might only be paid a lower prize based upon their entry fee (or insurance fee). This feature may automatically be implemented, whereby when a fan elects a “pick 4 of 5” option, the system is configured to evaluate all combinations of the various matchup results to determine if any combination of 4 of the fan's 5 selections were correct. Of course, other options might be provided, such as a “pick 3 of 5”, “pick 5 of 6” or other combinations of matchups.

(90) As indicated above, in one embodiment matchups may be presented by the gaming engine **158** (See FIG. **15**) where the fan simply attempts to correctly pick the winner of the matchup based upon even or head-to-head scoring. However, in other embodiments, the matchups could include a “spread” or offset which attempts to equalize the outcome of the matchup. For example, the matchup suggestion engine might predict a fantasy score of 10 for Player A and 12 for Player B. A matchup might thus be generated as “Player A” vs. “Player B-2” by the matchup suggestion engine **159** as shown in FIG. **15**.

(91) In one embodiment, the fan may be challenged to project the performance of a single participant's ability to achieve a predetermined fantasy point total. Here, the components or elements of the matchup might be generated as an “over” or “under” selection. For example, Player A might be predicted to achieve a fantasy score of 14 points in his next game. A matchup might be presented as “Player A Under 14” vs. “Player A Over 14”, whereby the fan must attempt to predict whether Player A will score over or under the identified score.

(92) In another game variation, an entire subset of a team could be treated as an athlete. For example, football special teams may be compared against one another wherein the total fantasy points for one special team may be compared against the fantasy points of a second special team. Other team subsets could also be combined together, for example, the forwards for a first basketball team could be matched against the forwards for a second basketball team.

(93) In yet another game variation, splits may be added wherein fantasy points are only collected during a subset of an entire game. The subsets could be based on boundaries such as periods, quarters, halves etc. Each side of a matchup could have a different split. For example: Split matchup for first quarter example: “T. Brady QB in Q1 vs R. Wilson QB in Q1”. Split matchup for one athlete example: “T. Brady QB in H1 vs T. Brady QB in H2”. Split matchup with point spread: “T. Brady QB -2.5 in Q4 vs R. Wilson QB +2.5 in Q4”.

(94) Additionally, the fantasy point calculations may be according to standard practices or may vary in accordance with that of the operator. Alternative point scoring (APS) totals may be used for matchups. For example: APS matchup “T. Brady QB FPTS from Rushing Yards vs R. Wilson QB FPTS from Rushing Yards”. APS with point spread example: “T. Brady QB FPTS from Rushing Yards +5.5 vs R. Wilson QB FPTS from Rushing Yards -5.5”. APS for players on same team example: “T. Brady QB FPTS from Passing Yards vs R. Gronkowski TE FPTS from Receiving Yards”. APS with DST, point spread, and splits example: “NE DST FPTS from Sacks in H1 +1.5 vs SEA DST FPTS from Sacks in H1 -1.5”. APS with totals example for T. Brady QB FPTS from Passing Yards: “Greater Than 14.5 FPTS vs Less Than 14.5 FPTS”.

(95) In another variation, there may be multi-player matchups. A multi-player matchup introduces a matchup in which either or both sides are made up of several athletes whose fantasy points are summed together for comparison with the fantasy points of the other side. Each side of a multi-matchup can have different numbers of athletes.

(96) An Example Multi-Matchup would be: “T. Brady QB, R. Gronkowski TE, NE DST (Defensive Special Teams) vs R. Wilson QB, L. Willson TE, S. Hauschka K, SEA DST (Defensive Special Teams)”

(97) As indicated above, an advantage of an embodiment of the invention is that the determination of whether the fan is a winner or loser is based solely upon the fan's selections and not those of other fans. In some wagering and non-wagering events, the winner or winners of the events are determined by comparing the player's results. For example, in some existing games or events, a prize might be awarded to the one or more players who correctly predict the most winners of a set of events. In this situation, a determination of whether a player wins or loses the event is not determined solely by how many winners that player predicts, but also how many winners other players correctly predict. On the other hand, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, the determination of whether the fan is a winner or loser is measured solely by the fan's selections. The present activity is not pari-mutuel in nature. Pari-mutuel activities have the significant drawback that the size of the prize that is awarded to a winner is determined by the size of the prize pool and the number of winners. For example, in a pari-mutuel event, if only 2 players entered and each paid a \$1 entry fee, then the maximum prize award would be \$2. Further, the size of the winning prize is based upon the number of winners. For example, an event might indicate that a participant is a winner if they select 3 correct outcomes. However, 20 participants might all select all 3 correct outcomes, such that there are 20 winners. If the prize pool was \$100, each of those 20 winners would then only be awarded $\$100/20$, or \$5. Contrary to this common prize award configuration, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, a fan is paid a fixed prize if they achieve winning criteria (e.g., the size of the prize does not vary, such as based upon whether other fans also met the winning criteria).

(98) Another difference between an embodiment of the present invention and a pari-mutuel type event is the exposure to the operator. In a pari-mutuel event, the maximum exposure to the operator is limited because the prize pool is fixed (e.g., the number of winners only changes each winner's share of the prize pool and does not increase the size of the pool or amount that

the operator must pay out). However, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention, the amount of prizes that the operator must pay out increases as the number of winning fans increases. Thus, a risk assessment engine is used to aid in ensuring that: (1) matchups or combinations thereof are generally equal in weight and (2) that entry fees for the play of matchups, which are being selected unequally, are reduced or eliminated. Risk assessment is based on monitoring the ration of potential payouts at any given time to the correlation of those payouts.

(99) In accordance with one embodiment of the invention, certain matchups or cards may be removed from play based upon an evaluation of the real-time receipt of entries from fans. Evaluation of risk and removal of matchups occurs in the risk assessment engine **162** (FIG. **15**). For example, a card may offer 40 matchups. The first 100 entries received from fans may all include the selection of matchups 1, 17 and 20, where each fan has selected player A as the predicted winner of the matchup. In that event, the risk engine may determine that the operator may have a high risk of loss/payout (given the payable of prizes), that the card or at least matchups 1, 17 and/or 20 should be removed. Likewise, the risk assessment engine might determine that in certain matchups the number of fans who are selecting opposing participant is nearly equal, but the aggregate fees of the fans selecting certain participants is much higher than for the other participant. Again, in view of this skewed activity, the risk assessment engine **162** may determine that certain cards or matchups should be removed from further play. For example, out of a card of 40 matchups, 200 players might select the first participant for each of matchups 1, 8, 9 and 22 and 200 players might select the second participant for each of those same matchups. However, the 200 players selecting the first participant might have collectively paid entry fees of \$2000, while the other 200 players selecting the second participant in those matchups might have paid entry fees of \$20,000. In this substantially uneven distribution of fees, the risk engine might remove the card or matchups 1, 8, 9 and 22 from that card. In one embodiment, the risk assessment engine **162** evaluates entries by fans on a real time basis, collating and collecting the matchup selections and fees to thereby constantly analyze the weighting of selections and fees. Thresholds may be programmed or set in the risk engine so that the risk engine automatically makes matchup or card adjustments when thresholds are hit, such as to protect the operator from unjustifiably high risks of loss.

(100) The risk assessment engine **162** may include a graphical user interface **169** (See FIG. **15A**) that allows an operator to view a listing of correlated roster payouts (wherein a roster is the selection by a user of a pre-defined number of matchups and selected winners for those matchups) and roster counts ordered by potential payout amount to determine the riskiest combinations, which would be presented at the top of a listing in the graphical user interface. As indicated above the risk assessment engine GUI **169** may be local to the risk assessment engine **162** or a client application may be available to an operator such that the GUI **169** is presented remotely on the presentation device of the operator and the operator can remotely monitor the game and any risk determined by the risk assessment engine **162**. The risk assessment engine **162** may include a set of predefined thresholds or the operator may set a threshold value for each number of picks of potential payout maximums and roster count maximums. In one embodiment of the invention, the risk assessment engine is configured to highlight and present selection groups above a threshold in the graphical user interface with some indicia, such as highlighting the selection group in red. The risk assessment engine may also generate alerts, which can be auditory or may generate an e-mail notification to one or more operators. Depending upon the configuration by the operator, the risk assessment engine may automatically close individual matchups when a threshold level has been met without requiring authorization from an operator. The thresholds may be determined at the discretion of the operator but will be based at least in part on an expected number of users submitting rosters along with historical information concerning payouts.

(101) As shown in FIG. **15A**, the roster selections by user playing the fantasy sports game (user roster data) are sent from the presentation device of the user through the network connection **154** between the application **153** and the gaming engine **158**. The data received by the gaming engine will include the ID number for the user, a description of the selected roster including the number of picks, whether the picks include a hedge, and an array of selections for each matchup within the roster. Thus, the array will include a pointer to the selected athlete in each matchup selected by the user. Additionally, other parameters may be communicated when the roster selections are transmitted by the application **153** running on the presentation device of the user **151**, including the location in which the presentation device is located. On a periodic basis or at the request of an operator, the risk assessment engine queries the data store management server **167** using a database query API to query the data store of user roster selections. The database of the data store **168** contains all of the currently active user roster selections for all of the users playing the fantasy game. The API query will produce a report to the risk assessment engine. For example, the database query may produce a report that indicates the N-riskiest selections in terms of overall possible payout disparity for matchups. It should be recognized that other database searches may be used to provide information concerning risk to the risk assessment engine. The risk assessment engine will receive the results of the database query in the form of a report, such as a data file in a predefined format in conformity with the database APL. The risk assessment engine parses the report and compares the entries within the report to a threshold value that has either been preset or set by an operator. For example, the threshold could be based on a percentage of disparity, such as 80% of the users betting on one athlete or the threshold could be in the disparity of the total amount bet, such that a payout that included a matchup may exceed \$500,000. Again, other thresholds can be established based upon the risk without deviating from the intended scope of the invention. It should be recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art that the data-base and data management server may operate as part of the activity server system or may be outside of the activity server system. Additionally, the data store management server may periodically push the results of a pre-set number of database queries to the risk assessment engine within the risk assessment engine needing to query the data store management server **167**.

(102) In one embodiment of the invention, matchups may be presented on a customized basis to fans. Customization of matchups occurs in a matchup suggestion engine **159** that takes into account Fan Account Information stored in a datastore **157**. For example, while a plurality of fans may be presented with the same card or set of matchups, the order of the matchups or how the matchups are presented might be customized. As one example, the first presented matchup might be selected based upon the location of the fan or based upon a fan's profile. If the matchups include a matchup involving Drew Brees, fans who

are located in Louisiana or identify themselves as New Orleans Saints fans may be presented with a set of matchups where the first matchup is the matchup involving Drew Brees. Thus, the order or configuration of the matchups or even the matchups in the set of matchups might vary based upon geographic region, time of year, rankings of players or teams, fan input or profile or other criteria. In addition, the graphical presentation of the matchups might be changed based upon such criteria.

(103) The invention may be implemented in various manners, including in various environments. In one embodiment, the fantasy-sports type activity 1s implemented in a computing environment. One embodiment of such an environment is illustrated in FIG. 11. As illustrated, a system 20 comprises one or more presentation devices 22 and at least one activity management server 24. The presentation devices 22 may be dedicated/special purpose devices or may be general purpose devices. In one embodiment, the presentation devices 22 include at least one video display 28 capable of displaying activity information, at least one input device 30, and at least one communication interface. The presentation device may also be embodied in a game console, smart television, kiosks, gaming tables, gaming tablets or other device configured to communicate with the activity server system.

(104) The presentation device 22 might comprise, for example, a desktop computer 32, a telephone (including cellular, wireless or wired telephones) or smart phone 34 (such as an iPhone® or Android® device), a laptop or notebook computer 36, tablets or various other devices.

(105) The input device 30 might comprise, for example, a keyboard, mouse, joystick, touch-screen, button(s), track-balls or other devices now known or later configured and which are capable of receiving input from a fan. The communication interface is configured to permit information or data to be exchanged from one or more remote device or locations with the presentation device 22. The one or more communication interface might support wired or wireless communications using various protocols. For example, if the presentation device 22 is a phone or PDA, the communications might be by 3G, 4G, IMT, GSM or the like. If the presentation device 22 is a desktop computer, the communications might be by TCP/IP or the like. Of course, other protocols may be used such as Bluetooth, 802.11xx and the like.

(106) It will be appreciated that the presentation device 22 may include other components. For example, the presentation device 22 may include a main processor, a video and/or audio processor, input and output ports or the like.

(107) In one embodiment, the presentation device 22 includes an application and a platform that have been downloaded from the activity server system or other server associated with the system. As described below, the application aids in presentation of the activity, including by causing activity information to be displayed to the fan and for receiving input from the fan.

(108) For example, the application implements a user interface that allows the fan to navigate through a list of graphically displayed matchups. In one embodiment, the fan may select one of the two players of a matchup and have the next matchup presented on the display via a single touch swipe or fling gesture to a touch-sensitive display or other input device of their presentation device 22.

(109) For example, in one embodiment, the application interface displays the first and second player on left and right sides of a screen, respectively. As shown in FIG. 3, the fan may swipe towards one of the two players. When the gesture of swiping or flinging towards one of the players is entered by the fan, the mobile client both selects the player and moves on to the next matchup. Alternatively, the fan might swipe up or down to move from the displayed matchup to another matchup without selecting either of the players. Thus, the fan may easily select and navigate the various presented matchups.

(110) The application may further include visual indicators to aid the user in being confident that his or her intended action is accomplished. These aids include movement of the graphics displayed in coordination with the fan's touch gesture, highlighting selected portions of the graphics displayed to indicate an action, or other visual indicators that any player has been selected.

(111) Other mobile interfaces may also be possible. For example, the mobile device may include a microphone and a voice recognition feature, which may allow the fan to select matchups and pick winners by speaking to the mobile device (or the device may be configured to receive other inputs, such as gestures, etc.). Further, although the presentation device has been described above as being a mobile device, the presentation device could also include a laptop or desktop computer, a "smart" TV, or other device (e.g., game console or TV console).

(112) The at least one activity management server 24 is one or more devices which are configured to receive a request for fan participation in an activity and to present activity information to the fan. In one embodiment, the activity management server 24 comprises one or more servers and can thus, be considered an activity system (see 150 in FIG. 15). In such an embodiment, the activity management server 24 might comprise a server, which is configured as a computing device, which has a processor for executing instructions, a memory for storing data such as instructions, and at least one communication interface for forming a communication link to at least one presentation device 22. In one embodiment, the activity management server 24 might comprise or be in communication with one or more mass data storage devices, such as one or more hard drives or the like. The server 24 may store information such as player statistics, sports event schedules, images of players, data regarding matchups, fan information (as disclosed below, a fan may create an account which identifies the fan and provides contact information and the like), and other information. The server 24 might also be configured to communicate with other devices to obtain such information, such as to contact another server or device to obtain game schedule information, updated player rosters or the like.

(113) The activity management server 24 might also include one or more user interface features. Such might comprise, for example, a user station including a video display and one or more input devices (such as a keyboard, mouse or the like). Such a user station may permit an operator to interface with and manage or control the activity management server 24, such as to change operator settings and the like.

(114) It will be appreciated that the activity management server 24 might comprise more than one device. For example, the activity management server 24 might comprise a router and one or more separate computing devices. The functions of the various computing devices might be segregated. For example, one computing device might save and verify login information (as detailed below), while another might monitor game play via the gaming devices.

(115) As described in more detail below, at one or more times a presentation device 22 and the activity management server 24

are linked by a communication link between their communication interfaces. The type of communication link may vary, such as depending upon the particular type of presentation device **22** and/or the communication path or protocol, which is utilized.

(116) In one embodiment, the presentation device **22** and activity management server **24** may communicate through at least network **38**. Such a network might comprise the Internet, a cellular network, a satellite communication network, and/or other networks or communication systems or devices. As another alternative, the communication link might comprise a dedicated link, such as a dedicated wired link between the devices. Further, the communication link might comprise a number of local, wide area or other networks or pathways linked together. Such networks might be public and/or private. Further, communication may occur using different channels or links, such as secure (for the exchange of sensitive/private fan data) and unsecure (for the exchange of general public information) channels.

(117) In one embodiment, the activity management server **24** is configured to service or communicate with multiple presentation devices **22**. In this manner, an operator can communicate with and present the activity to multiple fans. For example, an operator might operate one or more servers in specific locations, which servers serve mobile fans over a large area, such as one or more states or countries.

(118) As indicated above, the activity management server **24** may include a matchup suggestion engine, a matchup tool and other features. Such features may be implemented by machine-readable or executable code or “software” which is stored in a tangible medium (such as in or on a data storage device). The matchup suggestion engine and/or matchup tool might access stored information or access information externally, such as to obtain player information, game schedules or the like, or to obtain photos of players when forming graphical presentations of proposed matchups.

(119) Of course, aspects of the invention may be implemented via distributed systems or devices, via a “cloud” implementation, or using other technology now known or later developed.

(120) Aspects of the invention may also be implemented as an “application” (i.e., downloadable, installable or installed software) which runs on the presentation device **22**. For example, as indicated above, one aspect of the invention is a “mobile client” which may comprise a downloadable application. For example, a fan may download an application from the operator or from an application store. The application may be stored on the fan's presentation device **22** and then be executed by one or more processors thereof.

(121) One advantage of the downloadable application is that a much more engaging and rich media experience may be provided to the fan. Further, enhanced security features including authentication and verification of identity, location, and other factors may be implemented on the application to deliver a compliant and secure experience for the fan.

(122) The mobile client may be configured to interface with the activity management server **24**, such as to receive information from that server **24** and to transmit information to that server **24**, as well as implement other functionality. As one example, after a fan has downloaded the application, the fan may start the application. The application (alone or in conjunction with data acquired from the server **24**) may cause a Welcome interface to be displayed at the fan's device, such as illustrated in FIG. **12**.

(123) The fan may be required to create a user account before they are able to participate in activities. For example, by selecting the “Create Account” option from the Welcome page, the fan's device may display a new page or interface, such as illustrated in FIG. **13**. This page may comprise a form into which the fan may input data which is transmitted from their device **22** to the server **24** for use in generating the account. For example, the fan may generate a username and password, which is securely stored on the activity management server **24**. The fan may submit identification information and preferences. For example, the fan may provide identifying information including birthday, gender, address, phone number, and the like. The fan may also provide various preferences including favorite sports, favorite teams, and favorite players. The fan may submit the information via his or her presentation device **22**. For example, the fan may manually enter such information, or may import the information from a driver's license or other form of identification. The activity management server **24** may further acquire location information from the presentation device. The operator may require such information for purposes such as verification of a fan's age and location, such as to comply with certain laws or regulations.

(124) In addition, the management server **24** may present different player matchups, or may change the order of player matchups based on the account information of the user. For example, of forty matchups, the activity management server **24** may present the matchups having a fan's preferred player or team before presenting the additional matchups, in order to increase the interest of the fan in those matchups.

(125) The management server **24** may store the account information of each fan. In this manner, the management server may associate each of the fan's selections from the various matchups with the fan's account. The management server **24** is configured to send account information to the fan's presentation device **22** to allow a fan to manage his or her account.

(126) For example, as shown in FIG. **14**, the fan may have the ability to view and access his or her account information on the presentation device **22**. In one embodiment, the fan may view his or her current matchup cards to track the status of his or her selected matchups. The fan further may view messages from the operator concerning the fantasy sports activity, such as removal of a matchup due to an injury of a player, or a status update on one or more of the fan's cards. The fan may further update account information as necessary, or may contact customer support as needed.

(127) Embodiments of the invention may have a number of benefits and advantages. A particular advantage of one embodiment of the invention is the creation of a skill-based activity, which relates to one or more sports events and the participants thereof. In one embodiment, the activity comprises a fantasy type sports activity which does not comprise sports wagering and which is not pari-mutuel in nature. Instead, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, an activity is defined which allows a fan to participate in a skill-based fantasy sports activity that does not require the time and coordination required in typical fantasy sports activities by assisting the fan in selecting a match up. Further, the outcome of the activity is measured skill of the user versus the skill of the matchmaker. In addition, if a fan is declared a winner, the fan is paid a fixed amount (rather than a share or amount, which is based upon the number of other fans who are winners).

(128) It will be appreciated that aspects of the invention may be implemented in other manners, other environments, or in other

For combinations. For example, the “swipe to select and present next information” feature of the mobile client might be implemented relative to other types of information (other than the type of activity described herein), such as an on-line shopping tool or the like.

(129) FIG. 15 shows some of the internal components within the activity server system 150 and within the presentation device 151 of a fan. The fan first communicates through the presentation device 151 with the activity server system 150 and downloads a platform 152. The platform 152 is then run on the presentation device 151. The platform 152 provides an interface between the activity server system 150 and any platform-specific game application 153 that is downloaded to the presentation device 151. The platform 152 may perform some or all of the authentication processing and will determine if the user meets with a set of compliance criteria. The fan downloads a platform specific game application 153 to the presentation device 151 from the activity server system 150. The platform specific game application 153 includes hooks, such as API function calls to the platform. Thus, the game application 153 will only operate if authorized by the platform 152. As previously noted, the compliance criteria may be based upon local or national laws and determining whether the user complies with such laws. For example, the platform 152 may have access to GPS information, or IP-based location information and may use this information to select the compliance criteria based upon location. Additionally, the age of the fan may be pertinent as jurisdictions may have various age requirements. Thus, the platform 152 will interface with the presentation device 151 and extract information from one or more sources (e.g., GPS data, IP information) or may require the fan to enter a password or answer a series of questions to validate the fan's identity. Once the platform 152 determines that the fan is an authorized user and one that meets the compliance criteria, the platform 152 will grant access to the application game and allow the application game to either communicate 154 directly with the activity server system or communicate 155 with the activity server system 150 through the platform 152.

(130) The activity server system 150 may include one or more servers (e.g., management server 24) and may operate in a distributed computing environment. Thus, the functionality performed by the computer-based modules of the activity server system may be distributed across processors. The presentation device 151 of the fan interfaces with one or more computer-based modules of the activity server system 150 through a communications network. The activity server system 150 will receive a request to play a game from the presentation device at an authentication engine 156 and will receive a key that indicates that the platform 152 has confirmed compliance. The authentication engine 156 will access a fan account information module 157 and will obtain the account information for the specified fan. The authentication engine 156 may perform additional authentication based upon data passed from the platform and the fan's account information.

(131) Once the authentication engine 156 is satisfied, the authentication engine will access the gaming engine 158. The gaming engine 158 provides game information (i.e., matchup data, graphical images, statistics) to the game application 153 on the presentation device 151. The game engine 158 is in communication with a matchup suggestion engine 159, an image database 160, a participant statistics and historical information database 161. The game engine 158 gathers this information and formats the information in accordance with a protocol that will be recognized and understood by the game application. The game application 153 will receive the data and incorporate the data into one or more templates for presentation to the user. Additionally, the application 153 will provide an interface for the transmission of selection data and other game related data to the game engine 158.

(132) The matchup suggestion engine 159, will provide a series of matchups to the game engine 158 based upon a plurality of factors including: the specific fan and his likes and dislikes (e.g., as to teams or particular participants etc.), a risk assessment engine 162 determination, and a set of predetermined matchups. The predetermined matchups are determined by an operator, who is knowledgeable about the specific activity associated with the fantasy activity of the game.

(133) A matchup tool 163 is provided to the operator for assisting the operator in his selections. The matchup tool 163 may include a graphical interface and accesses participant statistics and historical data in a database 161. The operator 164 determines these matchups and the predetermined matchups are stored in a matchup database 165.

(134) The matchup suggestion engine 159 will communicate with a risk assessment engine 162. The risk assessment engine 162 receives all of the predetermined matchups from the matchup database 165 and determines whether matchups should be eliminated based upon a criteria set. As expressed above, the risk assessment engine 162 may eliminate matchups based upon player injuries or if a determination is made that the matchup is no longer an even match. The risk assessment engine 162 may receive data from one or more locations about matchups selection by users. If the matchup selections reach a predetermined percentage in favor of one of the participants, the risk assessment engine 162 may remove the matchup from the list of possible matchups. It should be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art that other analytical tools may be used for assessing risk and making determinations about, which matchups to eliminate from presentation. Additionally, it should be recognized that the risk assessment engine 162 continues to operate during the playing of the sports games and can cause the matchups displayed between end-users to change. The risk assessment engine may allow for an operator to respond to the identification of a risk and to take action based on that risk by instructing the activity server and 150 to change the predetermined matchups as stored in a data source (e.g., matchup database 165). Risk can be identified based upon information, such as a report about an athlete, an injury report, information posted on a news or blog website. The risk assessment engine may include a web monitoring tool for each player that is part of a matchup, such that information about the player is collected and represented to an operator. Additionally, the risk assessment engine may be regularly updated based upon wagers that occur. For example, the risk assessment engine may provide a listing of the 10 highest matchups with the greatest risk. The risk could be based simply on an imbalance in sales or on a more complex formula. Additionally, the risk assessment engine 162 may monitor all of the selections by users and identify if there are imbalances based upon groups of matchups that show an imbalance in the selected matchups. The risk assessment engine includes a graphical user interface that displays the matchups with the greatest risk and reports on developing news about players where imbalances in matchup selections are occurring. For example, the 30 highest matchups that have the greatest risk may be presented and a news ticker of information about the players in the matchups may

be included from either a general web search or by monitoring specific websites (sports-related websites, e.g., ESPN, NBA, MLB etc. or fantasy sports-related websites) for the names of the players in the matchups. Thus, the risk assessment engine, allows for monitoring of risk in real-time.

(135) In embodiments of the invention, the risk assessment engine can be automated based upon threshold values to remove matchups. For example, there may be a monetary threshold for a matchup that will cause the matchup to be removed, such as a \$500,000 differential in bets on one player or if more than 80% of the wagers are on one player.

(136) The risk assessment engine **162** passes the matchups to the matchup suggestion engine **159**. The matchup suggestion engine **159** may then further eliminate some of the matchups. For example, matchups that include a participant from a favored team of the fan may be preferred. Similarly, matchups that include participants from a team that the fan does not like will be given a lower priority. Other factors may be used by the matchup suggestion engine **159** in determining the matchups to present as discussed above.

(137) The game engine **158** will then send the pruned matchups from the matchup suggestion engine **159** along with images of the participants in the matchups, statistics of the participants and other data needed by the application game **153** for providing the intended visual and game experience to the fan on the presentation device **151** through a secure network connection **154**. It should be recognized that the platform **152** and the application **153** are client programs that run locally on the presentation device of the user. The various components of the activity server system can communicate with one another through an Application Programming Interface (API) **170**. The API allows for the communication of the game information between the application **153** and the gaming engine **158**. Additionally, the API can be used for supplying both tools such as the match-up tool and the risk assessment engine to a presentation device of the operator **164** in the form a client programs **172**, so that the operator may remotely monitor and make changes to the game without the need to be directly connected to the activity server system **150**. The application game **153** will receive this data and will incorporate the data into one or more templates for presentation on the display associated with the presentation device **151**. Communication will continue between the game engine **158** and the application game **153** until the end of the session. The fan's selection will be saved and stored in a database **157** associated with the fan's account. The game engine **158** includes a settlement engine **171** that will also determine whether the user has selected one or more winning participants and will inform the application game **153** whether the fan has won the game based upon the game rules. The settlement engine is also configured to provide payment to a winning user and may interact with the fan account information data store **157** to determine where any winnings should be transferred (e.g., a banking account, such as a checking account or other account such as PayPal account).

(138) In one embodiment of the invention, the game engine allows a fan to pick from a plurality of matchups and the user can also select between different parlay options. The parlay payouts may be determined in the gaming engine or may be determined in another server and imported into the activity server system **150**.

(139) The Graduated Payout Table system is a method for providing multiple user options for parlay purchases. These options allow the user to select between hedge values that provide various levels of risk versus payout. The payout multipliers are dependent on the number of picks, the number of wins, and the user selected hedge value. The Graduated Payout Table is a three-dimensional array whose axes are represented by picks, wins, and hedge. For any purchase, the final payout is the array value determined by those parameters.

(140) A 3D Graduated Payout Table can be calculated from a standard one-dimensional flat payout table as commonly used to generate parlay payouts. The result Graduated Payout Table provides equivalent risk and odds performance for the house and for the end user. The result of truncating or shaving any data value or values is a table that in all ways provides equal or better risk in favor of the house.

(141) The Graduated Payout Table is generated based on the premise that the payout odds for certain types of simple purchases (picking 4 of 4 matches, 5 of 5 matches, 6 of 6 matches) can be combined in such a way as to demonstrate that those odds are equivalent to other more complicated purchases (4 out of 5 matches, 5 out of 6 matches, 3 out of 5 matches etc.). In this manner, a composite purchase is defined that allows various payout options based on performance (number of wins), having the risk of the set of simple purchases. The risk for the composite purchase is the sum of the risk values for the simple purchases in the set. In order to simplify the explanation for the graduated payout table a default payout table is assumed for simple purchases. It should be recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art that other default payout schema may be used without deviating from the invented scope of the invention.

(142) TABLE-US-00003 1. Default Flat Payout Table. FIG. 1. Default Flat Payout Table Picks 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Payout 5-for-1 10-for-1 18-for-1 35-for-1 70-for-1 125-for-1 250-for-1 500-for-1

(143) Composite Purchase Definition: A 10-Pick Parlay in which 10-Wins payout is X-for-1 and 9-Wins payout is Y-for-1. Fewer Wins result in zero payout. In order to determine the equivalent set of simple parlay purchases, there is some combination of 10-Pick Simple Parlays and 9-Pick Simple Parlays that is equivalent to the Composite Purchase. A 'Simple Parlay' is a purchase in which all of the Picks must be Wins. One equivalent combination is one 10-Pick and ten 9-Picks, where all of the picks are the same 10 matchups selected in the Composite Purchase. Purchase amounts and final payouts still need to be calculated. For example, an \$11.00 total purchase. For the Composite Purchase, that is one purchase for \$11.00. For the equivalent set of simple purchases, there is one 10-Pick for \$1.00 and ten 9-Picks for \$1.00 each in which each of the 9-Picks choose a different combination of the original ten Matchups.

(144) In both cases, the user has now spent \$11.00. This purchased the single Composite Purchase or the 11 simple purchases. For the 11 simple purchases, we can calculate the user's odds of winning and the potential payout for every possible outcome as follows: If all 10 selections Win, then the user Wins his 10-Pick and all ten of his 9-Picks. The 10-Pick pays out at 500-for-1 according to the flat payout table. The 9-Picks each pay out at 250-for-1 according to the same payout table. Therefore, the total win is:

(145) Payout = \$1.00 .Math. 500 + 10 .Math. \$1.00 .Math. 250 = \$500.00 + \$2500.00 = \$3000.00 . If any 9 of the 10

(146) Payout = \$1.00 .Math. 250 = \$250.00. If fewer than 9 of the 10 selections Win, then all 11 of the user's purchases are Losers and the payout is zero. Looking at the combination of 11 separate purchases as a single entity, the payout equivalencies are 272-for-1 if all 10 picks Win and 22-for-1 if nine of the 10 picks Win, as demonstrated in FIG. 2. Composite Payouts IO-Picks Hedge=1.

(147) TABLE-US-00004 FIG. 2. Composite Payouts 10-Picks Hedge = 1 \$11.00 Purchase Normalized Pretty* 10--.Wins \$3000.00 272.72--.for---1 t 250--.for---1 9---Wins \$250.00 22.73--.for---1* 20--.for---1 Hedge is a purchase definition parameter that defines the maximum number of selections that are permitted to Lose in a Composite purchase that has a non-zero payout. The traditional simple 10-Pick parlay purchase requires all selections to Win in order for any payout to occur. Therefore, Hedge=0. The Example above provides payout for a minimum of 9-Wins, which is '1' less than the number of Picks. Hence, Hedge=1.

(148) The next example provides payout for 8-Wins in a 10-Pick parlay. Hence, Hedge=2. Consider a \$56.00 Composite Purchase where 8, 9, or 10 Wins provide payouts.

(149) The equivalent individual purchases are:

(150) 1 \$1.00 10-Pick purchase 10 \$1.00 9-Pick purchases 45 \$1.00 8-Pick purchases

(151) If all 10 selections Win, then the user Wins his 10-Pick and all ten of his 9-Picks and all 45 of his 8-Picks. The 10-Pick pays out at 500-for-1, the 9-Picks each pay out at 250-for-1, and the 8-Picks each payout at 125-for-1. Therefore, the total win is:

(152)

Payout = \$1.00 .Math. 500 + 10 .Math. \$1.00 .Math. 250 + 45 .Math. \$1.00 .Math. 125 = \$500.00 + \$2500.00 + \$5625.00 = \$8625.00

(153) If any 9 of the 10 selections Win, then exactly one of the user's 9-Pick purchases will win and pay out at 250-for-1 and nine of the user's 8-Pick purchases will win and pay out at 125-for-1. Therefore, the total win is:

(154) Payout = \$1.00 .Math. 250 + 9 .Math. \$1.00 .Math. 125 = \$250.00 + \$1125.00 = \$1375.00.

(155) If anyone combination of 8 of the 10 selections Win, then exactly one of the user's 8-Pick purchases will win and pay 125-for-1. Therefore, the total win is:

(156) Payout = \$1.00 .Math. 125 = \$125.00.

(157) TABLE-US-00005 FIG. 3. Composite Payouts 10-Picks Hedge = 2 \$56.00 Purchase Normalized Pretty 10--.Wins \$8625.00 154.01--.for--1 150--.for---1 9---Wins \$1375.00 24.55--.for---1 20--.for---1 8--.Wins \$125.00 2.23--.for---1 2--.for--1

(158) The number of combinations of R objects in a set of N objects is:

(159) combinations = $N! / (R!(N - R)!)$

(160) Both of the examples use the intuitively obvious case of 9 parlays with 10 selections.

(161) In this case

(162) $10! / (9! \cdot 1!) = 3628800 / (362880 \cdot 1) = 10$.

(163) Thus, there are 10 possible ways to arrange 10 selections into 9-Picks. Similarly for 8-Picks in 10 possible selections, there are:

(164) $10! / (8! \cdot 2!) = 3628800 / (40320 \cdot 2) = 3628800 / 80640 = 45$.

There are 45 ways to build 8-Pick purchases from a set of 10 total selections. Also, there are $1+10+45=56$ ways to build 8, 9, or IO-Pick parlays out of 10 selections.

(165) Certain constraints apply to the mathematical formula when applied to a real-world game. One is that the number of possible picks needs to be supported by a pre-defined payout table. The minimum number of picks is limited to the number of picks supported by the current payout table. Further, the number of wins is constrained to between 0 and the number of user picks. [0, Picks]. The Hedge value varies inversely with the payout value, such that the higher the Hedge value, the lower the payout value, and payout values quickly dip well below 1.0. In one version of the invention fractional payouts are not supported so that the hedge value is constrained to a lower bound of 1.

(166) The above discussed methodology may be implemented in computer code for operation on a computer system for determining graduated payout tables based upon a predetermined payout table. Provided below is pseudo code for the determination of the graduated payout tables. The methodology can be employed in the gaming engine 158 based upon the criteria of the game such as the number of possible matchups, possible hedges, and the predefined payout table prior to a game becoming active and available for a user/fan. In other embodiments, the graduated payout tables may be precalculated and stored in a data store and accessed and used by the gaming engine 158 when registering a user's matchups and hedge selection and upon payout to the user based on the conclusion of all of the games for which a user has selected matchups.

(167) TABLE-US-00006 // Default Flat Payout Table const int maxPayoutTable[] 0, // 0 Picks 0, // 1 Pick 0, // 2 Picks 5, // 3 Picks 10, // 4 Picks 18, // 5 Picks 35, // 6 Picks 70, // 7 Picks 125, // 8 Picks 250, // 9 Picks 500 // 10 Picks } ;
// 'comboCount' is the table of combinations of n items in m samples. // n | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 //
=====|===== // m = 4 | 4 6 4 1 // m = 5 | 5 10 10 5 1 // m = 6 | 6 15 20 15 6 1 // m = 7 | 7 21 35 35 21 7 1 // m = 8 | 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1 // m = 9 | 9 36 84 126 126 84 36 9 1 // m = 10 | 10 45 120 210 252 210 120 45 10 1 // Total number of purchases is the sum of combinations at // each supported (hedged) level. int calculatePurchaseCount(const int nPick, const int nHedge) int totalCount = 0; for(int iHedge = 0; Hedge <= nHedge; iHedge++) { const int n = nPick - iHedge; const int m = nPick; const int nCombos = comboCount[n][m]; totalCount += nCombos; } return totalCount; double calculatePayout(const int nPick, const int nHedge, const int nWin) { int sumPay = 0; for(int iHedge = 0; iHedge <= nHedge; iHedge++) { const int iPick = nPick - iHedge; if(nWin >= iPick) { const int nCombos = comboCount[iPick][nWin]; const int sumPay += } } iPay maxPayoutTable[iPick]; iPay * nCombos; const int purchaseCount = calculatePurchaseCount(nPick, nHedge); return (double)sumPay / purchaseCount;

(168) The result of the algorithm is the graduated payout tables, which are provided below and are based upon the predefined default flat payout table as shown in FIG. 1. The 'Exact' version of the graduated payout table is displayed exactly as calculated. The 'Proposed' version is displayed with payout values rounded down to integers.

(169) TABLE-US-00007 TABLE 4 Graduated Payout Table Exact Number of Picks (1 through 7): 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Hedge [null, null, [5.0], [10.0], [18.0], [35.0], [70.0], // 0 [null, null, null, null, [11.3, 1.7], [20.4, 2.6], [39.4, 4.4], // 1 [null, null, null, null, null, [13.3, 3.1, 0.5], [23.9, 4.9, 0.6], // 2 [null, null, null, null, null, null, [16.3, 4.6, 1.1, 0.2], // 3 [null, null, null, null, null, null, null, // 4 [null, null, null, null, null, null, null, // 5 [null, null, null, null, null, null, null, // 6 Number of Picks (8 through 10): 8. 9. 10 Hedge [125.0], [250.0], [500.0]], // 0 [76.1, 7.8], [137.5, 12.5], [272.7, 22.7]], // 1 [45.0, 8.5, 0.9], [84.7, 14.9, 1.5], [154.0, 24.6, 2.2]], // 2 [28.7, 7.5, 1.5, 0.2], [52.6, 12.8, 2.4, 0.3], [96.7, 22.1, 3.9, 0.4]], // 3 [20.7, 6.4, 1.3, 0.4, 0.1], [35.6, 10.4, 2.7, 0.6, 0.1], [63.1, 17.7, 4.3, 0.8, 0.1]], // 4 null, [27.1, 8.8, 2.7, 0.8, 0.2] [45.3, 14.3, 4.2, 1.1, 0.2]], // 5 null, null, 36.6, 12.2, 4.0, 1.2, 0.3, 0.1]] // 6

(170) TABLE-US-00008 TABLE 5 Graduated Payout Table Proposed Number of Picks: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10 Hedge [null, null, [5.0], [10], [18], [35], [70], [125], [250], [500]], // 0 [null, null, null, null, [10, 1], [20, 2], [35, 4], [75, 5], [130, 10], [250, 20]], // 1 [null, null, null, null, null, [10, 3], [15, 4, 1], [40, 8], [80, 10, 1], [150, 20, 2]], // 2 [null, [null, null, null, null, null, null, [25, 5, 1], [50, 10, 2], [40, 10, 4]], // 3

(171) After all the games have ended for all of the matchups for a user, the system moves toward settlement with the user through settlement engine 171. In some instances, degradation of matchups may occur. Degradation occurs when matchups are cancelled for various reasons (due to injury, lack of playing time by a player, unusual betting practices etc.). This will cause at least one of the matchups selected by a user to be void and if the user has selected standard payout (pick all winning matchups), the user will be provided with a N-1 payout from the standard payout table if all of the user's other picks are winning picks. In the case where, settlement occurs and the user has selected a parlay for payment based upon the graduated payout tables, settlement can occur as with the standard payout tables. However, in an embodiment of the invention, the user selected 'Hedge' value is used for the degraded roster. If the new pick value does not support the selected Hedge value, the next lower Hedge value is used instead. For example, if the user purchased a 6-Pick Roster with Hedge=I and the Roster are degraded to a 5-Pick, the supported value Hedge=I will still be used. As another example, for the same 6-Pick Roster but with Hedge=2, when the Roster is degraded to a 5-Pick, the Hedge value must also be degraded to Hedge=1, because that is the highest Hedge value supported for a 5-Pick parlay.

(172) It will be understood that the above described arrangements of apparatus and the method there from are merely illustrative of applications of the principles of this invention and many other embodiments and modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the claims.

(173) The present invention may be embodied in many different forms, including, but in no way limited to, computer program logic for use with a processor (e.g., a microprocessor, microcontroller, digital signal processor, or general purpose computer), programmable logic for use with a programmable logic device (e.g., a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) or other PLD), discrete components, integrated circuitry (e.g., an Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC)), or any other means including any combination thereof

(174) Computer program logic implementing all or part of the functionality previously described herein may be embodied in various forms, including, but in no way limited to, a source code form, a computer executable form, and various intermediate forms (e.g., forms generated by an assembler, compiler, networker, or locator.) Source code may include a series of computer program instructions implemented in any of various programming languages (e.g., an object code, an assembly language, or a high-level language such as FORTRAN, C, C++, JAVA, or HTML) for use with various operating systems or operating environments. The source code may define and use various data structures and communication messages. The source code may be in a computer executable form (e.g., via an interpreter), or the source code may be converted (e.g., via a translator, assembler, or compiler) into a computer executable form.

(175) The computer program may be fixed in any form (e.g., source code form, computer executable form, or an intermediate form) either permanently or transitorily in a tangible storage medium, such as a semiconductor memory device (e.g., a RAM, ROM, PROM, EEPROM, or Flash-Programmable RAM), a magnetic memory device (e.g., a diskette or fixed disk), an optical memory device (e.g., a CD-ROM), a PC card (e.g., PCMCIA card), or other memory device. The computer program may be fixed in any form in a signal that is transmittable to a computer using any of various communication technologies, including, but in no way limited to, analog technologies, digital technologies, optical technologies, wireless technologies, networking technologies, and internetworking technologies. The computer program may be distributed in any form as a removable storage medium with accompanying printed or electronic documentation (e.g., shrink wrapped software or a magnetic tape), preloaded with a computer system (e.g., on system ROM or fixed disk), or distributed from a server or electronic bulletin board over the communication system (e.g., the Internet or World Wide Web.)

(176) Hardware logic (including programmable logic for use with a programmable logic device) implementing all or part of the functionality previously described herein may be designed using traditional manual methods, or may be designed, captured, simulated, or documented electronically using various tools, such as Computer Aided Design (CAD), a hardware description language (e.g., VHDL or AHDL), or a PLD programming language (e.g., PALASM, ABEL, or CUPL.)

(177) While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to specific embodiments, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and detail may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended clauses. As will be apparent to those skilled in the art, techniques described above for panoramas may be applied to images that have been captured as non-panoramic images, and vice versa.

(178) Embodiments of the present invention may be described, without limitation, by the following clauses. While these embodiments have been described in the clauses by process steps, an apparatus comprising a computer with associated display capable of executing the process steps in the clauses below is also included in the present invention. Likewise, a computer

program product including computer executable instructions for executing the process steps in the clauses below and stored on a computer readable medium is included within the present invention.

(179) The embodiments of the invention described above are intended to be merely exemplary; numerous variations and modifications will be apparent to those skilled in the art. All such variations and modifications are intended to be within the scope of the present invention as defined in any appended claims.

Claims

1. A method comprising: receiving, by at least one processor, in real-time from at least one user computing device associated with at least one user within a plurality of users, at least one predicted winning component for at least one matchup in a skill-based game, wherein each matchup within the skill-based game comprises at least two components associated with at least one participant within a plurality of participants in at least one real-world event, wherein the at least two components of each matchup are selected by the at least one processor to produce outcomes that are generally equally weighted within the skill-based game; determining, by the at least one processor, based at least in part on at least one outcome of the at least one real-world event, that the at least one predicted winning component is a winning component in the skill-based game, wherein the winning component is associated with a total possible payout value calculated by a risk assessment engine, wherein the total possible payout value accounts for risk of payout that is dependent on the at least one user's selections of equally weighted outcomes in the skill-based game, and wherein the determination that the at least one predicted winning component is the winning component in the skill-based game is based solely upon the at least one user's selections and not on the selections of other users; determining, by the at least one processor, a fixed odds prize table configured to associate the winning component with at least one fixed odds prize, wherein the fixed odds prize is fixed in advance by the fixed odds prize table such that the fixed odds prize does not vary based on the number of other users within the skill-based game that correctly predict the winning component and is not determined based on the amount of fees paid by the other users within the skill-based game, and wherein the fixed odds prize is less in value than the total possible payout value based on the determined amount of risk of payout; and automatically triggering, by the at least one processor, in real-time, a settlement process to execute the fixed odds prize to the at least one user based at least in part on the winning component and the fixed odds prize table.
2. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the fixed odds prize table comprises a plurality of columns, each column representing: a number of matchups representing a number of the at least one matchup, and the fixed odds prize associated with the number of matchups being equal to a number of winning matchups, wherein each payout comprises a ratio of payout quantity to a purchase price paid by the user based on the generally equally weighted outcomes.
3. The method as recited in claim 2, further comprising: determining, by the at least one processor, that the number of the at least one matchup is equal to the number of winning matchups; determining, by the at least one processor, the fixed odds prize associated with the number of the at least one matchup by referencing the number of matchups in the fixed odds prize table; determining, by the at least one processor, the purchase price paid by the user to play the skill-based game; and determining, by the at least one processor, a payout based at least in part on the fixed odds prize and the purchase price.
4. The method as recited in claim 2, further comprising: receiving, by the at least one processor, the at least one predicted winning component of the at least one matchup, wherein the at least one predicted winning comprises a first number of predicted winning components and the at least one matchup is a second number of purchased components; wherein the second number of purchased components comprises a parlay such that the fixed odds prize is applicable where the first number is less than the second number by a parlay value; determining, by the at least one processor, that the first number of predicted winning components is less than the second number of purchased components by an amount equal to the parlay value; and determining, by the at least one processor, the fixed odds prize based on the first number by referencing the number of matchups in the fixed odds prize table.
5. The method as recited in claim 4, further comprising transmitting, by the at least one processor, to the user computing device prior to the skill-based game, a skill-based game purchase request for the purchase price, wherein the skill-based game purchase request comprises a user selected purchase amount multiplied by a total number of potential combinations of the first number of predicted winning components added to the user selected purchase amount multiplied by the total number of potential combinations of the second number.
6. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the fixed odds prize table comprises a graduated table defining payouts for winning a number of matchups in a set that is less than a total number of matchups in the set, wherein the payout amounts in the graduated table comprises fixed payout quantities that are based on the generally equally weighted outcomes of each matchup in the set.
7. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising: receiving, by the at least one processor from the at least one user computing device, at least one payout type selection selected by the user to indicate a desired type of payout for the skill-based game; wherein the desired type of payout comprises at least one of: a fixed payout type, a fixed progressive payout type, a dynamic fixed payout type, or a dynamic fixed progressive payout type; and determining, by the at least one processor, the fixed odds prize table based at least in part on the at least one payout type selection.
8. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising: determining, by the at least one processor, at least one projected performance score for each of the plurality of participants in the at least one real-world event, wherein the at least one projected performance score is based at least in part on historical performance data associated with a participant in the plurality of participants; creating, by the at least one processor, a plurality of suggested matchups for inclusion in the skill-based game by selecting, for each suggested matchup, at least two suggested components associated with at least one suggested participant within the plurality of participants, the at least two suggested components being expected to produce, based at least in part on the at least one projected performance score of the at least one suggested participant, at least one suggested matchup feature that

has outcomes that are generally equally weighted within the skill-based game; and transmitting, by the at least one processor, the at least one matchup from the plurality of suggested matchups to the user computing device to enable the user to interactively select, within the skill-based game and for the at least one matchup, one of the at least two components as the predicted winning component of the skill-based game.

9. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the generally equally weighted outcomes comprise a 50-50 odds distribution.

10. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the at least one matchup comprises at least one of: a sports event, an animal race, a mechanical race, a board game, or a competition; and wherein the at least one matchup is organized into the skill-based game comprising at least one of: a head-to-head matchup between participants, an over-under matchup, or an array-based game.

11. A system comprising: at least one processor in communication with at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium having software instructions stored thereon, wherein the at least one processor is configured, upon execution of the software instructions, to: receive, in real-time from at least one user computing device associated with at least one user within a plurality of users, at least one predicted winning component for at least one matchup in a skill-based game, wherein each matchup within the skill-based game comprises at least two components associated with at least one participant within a plurality of participants in at least one real-world event, wherein the at least two components of each matchup are selected by the at least one processor to produce outcomes that are generally equally weighted within the skill-based game; determine, based at least in part on at least one outcome of the at least one real-world event, that the at least one predicted winning component is a winning component in the skill-based game, wherein the winning component is associated with a total possible payout value calculated by a risk assessment engine, wherein the total possible payout value accounts for risk of payout that is dependent on the at least one user's selections of equally weighted outcomes in the skill-based game, and wherein the determination that the at least one predicted winning component is the winning component in the skill-based game is based solely upon the at least one user's selections and not on the selections of other users; determine a fixed odds prize table configured to associate the winning component with at least one fixed odds prize, wherein the fixed odds prize is fixed in advance by the fixed odds prize table such that the fixed odds prize does not vary based on the number of other users within the skill-based game that correctly predict the winning component and is not determined based on the amount of fees paid by the other users within the skill-based game, and wherein the fixed odds prize is less in value than the total possible payout value based on the determined amount of risk of payout; and automatically trigger, in real-time, a settlement process to execute the fixed odds prize to the at least one user based at least in part on the winning component and the fixed odds prize table.

12. The system as recited in claim 11, wherein the fixed odds prize table comprises a plurality of columns, each column representing: a number of matchups representing a number of the at least one matchup, and the fixed odds prize associated with the number of matchups being equal to a number of winning matchups, wherein each payout comprises a ratio of payout quantity to a purchase price paid by the user based on the generally equally weighted outcomes.

13. The system as recited in claim 12, wherein the at least one processor is further configured, upon execution of the software instructions, to: determine that the number of the at least one matchup is equal to the number of winning matchups; determine the fixed odds prize associated with the number of the at least one matchup by referencing the number of matchups in the fixed odds prize table; determine the purchase price paid by the user to play the skill-based game; and determine a payout based at least in part on the fixed odds prize and the purchase price.

14. The system as recited in claim 12, wherein the at least one processor is further configured, upon execution of the software instructions, to: receive the at least one predicted winning component of the at least one matchup, wherein the at least one predicted winning comprises a first number of predicted winning components and the at least one matchup is a second number of purchased components; wherein the second number of purchased components comprises a parlay such that the fixed odds prize is applicable where the first number is less than the second number by a parlay value; determine that the first number of predicted winning components is less than the second number of purchased components by an amount equal to the parlay value; and determine the fixed odds prize based on the first number by referencing the number of matchups in the fixed odds prize table.

15. The system as recited in claim 14, wherein the at least one processor is further configured, upon execution of the software instructions, to transmit to the user computing device prior to the skill-based game, a skill-based game purchase request for the purchase price, wherein the skill-based game purchase request comprises a user selected purchase amount multiplied by a total number of potential combinations of the first number of predicted winning components added to the user selected purchase amount multiplied by the total number of potential combinations of the second number.

16. The system as recited in claim 11, wherein the fixed odds prize table comprises a graduated table defining payouts for winning a number of matchups in a set that is less than a total number of matchups in the set, wherein the payout amounts in the graduated table comprises fixed payout quantities that are based on the generally equally weighted outcomes of each matchup in the set.

17. The system as recited in claim 11, wherein the at least one processor is further configured, upon execution of the software instructions, to: receive, from the at least one user computing device, at least one payout type selection selected by the user to indicate a desired type of payout for the skill-based game; wherein the desired type of payout comprises at least one of: a fixed payout type, a fixed progressive payout type, a dynamic fixed payout type, or a dynamic fixed progressive payout type; and determine the fixed odds prize table based at least in part on the at least one payout type selection.

18. The system as recited in claim 11, wherein the at least one processor is further configured, upon execution of the software instructions, to: determine at least one projected performance score for each of the plurality of participants in the at least one real-world event, wherein the at least one projected performance score is based at least in part on historical performance data associated with a participant in the plurality of participants; create a plurality of suggested matchups for inclusion in the skill-based game by selecting, for each suggested matchup, at least two suggested components associated with at least one suggested participant within the plurality of participants, the at least two suggested components being expected to produce, based at least

in part on the at least one projected performance score of the at least one suggested participant, at least one suggested matchup feature that has outcomes that are generally equally weighted within the skill-based game; and transmit the at least one matchup from the plurality of suggested matchups to the user computing device to enable the user to interactively select, within the skill-based game and for the at least one matchup, one of the at least two components as the predicted winning component of the skill-based game.

19. The system as recited in claim 11, wherein the generally equally weighted outcomes comprises a 50-50 odds distribution.

20. The system as recited in claim 11, wherein the at least one matchup comprises at least one of: a sports event, an animal race, a mechanical race, a board game, or a competition; and wherein the at least one matchup is organized into the skill-based game comprising at least one of: a head-to-head matchup between participants, an over-under matchup, or an array-based game.
