



(12) **United States Patent**
Flores, II et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,390,191 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Aug. 19, 2025**

(54) **INTEGRATED PROBE STRUCTURE**

(71) Applicant: **Neurasignal, Inc.**, Los Angeles, CA
(US)

(72) Inventors: **Roman Flores, II**, Los Angeles, CA
(US); **Matthew Hutter**, Los Angeles,
CA (US); **Gerard Salinas**, Los Angeles,
CA (US); **Michael Costa**, Los Angeles,
CA (US); **Matthew Sylvester**, Los
Angeles, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Neurasignal, Inc.**, Los Angeles, CA
(US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 183 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/894,765**

(22) Filed: **Aug. 24, 2022**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2023/0050717 A1 Feb. 16, 2023

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 16/847,247, filed on
Apr. 13, 2020, now Pat. No. 11,452,500, which is a
continuation of application No. 15/399,440, filed on
Jan. 5, 2017, now Pat. No. 10,617,388.

(60) Provisional application No. 62/332,133, filed on May
5, 2016, provisional application No. 62/275,192, filed
on Jan. 5, 2016.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 8/00 (2006.01)
A61B 8/08 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **A61B 8/4444** (2013.01); **A61B 8/0808**
(2013.01); **A61B 8/4209** (2013.01); **A61B**
8/488 (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ... **A61B 8/4444**; **A61B 8/4209**; **A61B 8/0808**;
A61B 8/488

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,841,308 A 10/1974 Tate
3,872,858 A 3/1975 Hudson et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 104605889 A 5/2015
EP 0 403 807 A2 12/1990

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Aaslid, R., et al., "Noninvasive transcranial Doppler ultrasound
recording of flow velocity in basal cerebral arteries", Journal of
Neurosurgery, 1982, 57(6): p. 769-774.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Rex R Holmes

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Polygon IP, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

According to various embodiments, there is provided a
probe structure. The probe structure includes a probe con-
figured to emit acoustic energy. The probe structure further
includes a load cell underneath and aligned with the probe.
The probe structure further includes a probe hub including
a cavity for receiving the probe and the load cell.

7 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,204,547	A	5/1980	Allocca	7,302,064	B2	11/2007	Causevic et al.
4,205,687	A	6/1980	White et al.	7,338,450	B2	3/2008	Kristoffersen et al.
4,413,629	A	11/1983	Durley, III	7,403,805	B2	7/2008	Abreu
4,483,344	A	11/1984	Atkov et al.	7,452,551	B1	11/2008	Unger et al.
4,559,952	A	12/1985	Angelsen et al.	7,534,209	B2	5/2009	Abend et al.
4,759,374	A	7/1988	Kierney et al.	7,537,568	B2	5/2009	Moehring
4,815,705	A	3/1989	Kasugai et al.	D594,127	S	6/2009	Causevic et al.
4,819,648	A	4/1989	Ko	7,547,283	B2	6/2009	Mourad et al.
4,841,986	A	6/1989	Marchbanks	D603,051	S	10/2009	Causevic et al.
4,930,513	A	6/1990	Mayo et al.	7,674,229	B2	3/2010	Hynynen et al.
4,951,653	A	8/1990	Fry et al.	7,720,530	B2	5/2010	Causevic
4,984,567	A	1/1991	Kageyama et al.	7,771,358	B2	8/2010	Moehring et al.
5,040,540	A	8/1991	Sackner	7,815,574	B2	10/2010	Mourad et al.
5,074,310	A	12/1991	Mick	7,854,701	B2	12/2010	Stergiopoulos et al.
5,094,243	A	3/1992	Puy et al.	7,857,763	B2	12/2010	Tai
5,156,152	A	10/1992	Yamazaki et al.	7,904,144	B2	3/2011	Causevic et al.
5,197,019	A	3/1993	Delon-Martin et al.	7,912,269	B2	3/2011	Ikeda et al.
5,348,015	A	9/1994	Moehring et al.	7,938,780	B2	5/2011	Ragauskas et al.
5,379,770	A	1/1995	Van Veen	7,942,820	B2	5/2011	Njemanze
5,388,583	A	2/1995	Ragauskas et al.	D641,886	S	7/2011	Causevic et al.
5,409,005	A	4/1995	Bissonnette et al.	7,998,075	B2	8/2011	Ragauskas et al.
5,409,010	A	4/1995	Beach et al.	RE42,803	E	10/2011	Lipson et al.
5,411,028	A	5/1995	Bonnefous	8,036,856	B2	10/2011	Pan et al.
5,421,565	A	6/1995	Harkrader et al.	8,041,136	B2	10/2011	Causevic
5,514,146	A	5/1996	Lam et al.	8,062,224	B2	11/2011	Ragauskas et al.
5,522,392	A	6/1996	Suorsa et al.	8,075,488	B2	12/2011	Burton
5,526,299	A	6/1996	Coifman et al.	8,109,880	B1	2/2012	Pranevicius et al.
5,617,873	A	4/1997	Yost et al.	8,162,837	B2	4/2012	Moehring et al.
5,840,018	A	11/1998	Michaeli	8,206,303	B2	6/2012	Ragauskas et al.
5,860,929	A	1/1999	Rubin et al.	8,211,023	B2	7/2012	Swan et al.
5,871,445	A	2/1999	Bucholz	8,235,907	B2	8/2012	Wilk et al.
5,899,864	A	5/1999	Arenson et al.	8,254,654	B2	8/2012	Yen et al.
5,919,144	A	7/1999	Bridger et al.	8,265,291	B2	9/2012	Bridger et al.
5,951,477	A	9/1999	Ragauskas et al.	8,353,853	B1	1/2013	Kyle et al.
5,993,398	A	11/1999	Alperin	8,364,254	B2	1/2013	Jacquin et al.
6,027,454	A	2/2000	Low	8,364,255	B2	1/2013	Isenhardt et al.
6,117,089	A	9/2000	Sinha	8,366,627	B2	2/2013	Kashif et al.
6,120,446	A	9/2000	Ji et al.	8,391,948	B2	3/2013	Causevic et al.
6,129,682	A	10/2000	Borchert et al.	8,394,024	B2	3/2013	Miyama et al.
6,135,957	A	10/2000	Cohen-Bacrie et al.	8,394,025	B2	3/2013	Ragauskas et al.
6,139,499	A	10/2000	Wilk	8,414,539	B1	4/2013	Kuracina et al.
6,200,267	B1	3/2001	Burke	8,453,509	B2	6/2013	Oberdorfer et al.
6,231,509	B1	5/2001	Johnson et al.	8,473,024	B2	6/2013	Causevic et al.
6,261,231	B1	7/2001	Damphousse et al.	8,603,014	B2	12/2013	Alleman et al.
6,309,354	B1	10/2001	Madsen et al.	8,613,714	B2	12/2013	Alleman et al.
6,358,239	B1	3/2002	Rake et al.	8,622,912	B2	1/2014	Chin et al.
6,364,869	B1	4/2002	Bonaldo	8,647,278	B2	2/2014	Ji et al.
6,387,051	B1	5/2002	Ragauskas et al.	8,706,205	B2	4/2014	Shahaf et al.
6,403,056	B1	6/2002	Unger	8,834,376	B2	9/2014	Stergiopoulos et al.
6,413,227	B1	7/2002	Yost et al.	8,905,932	B2	12/2014	Lovoi et al.
6,423,003	B1	7/2002	Ustuner et al.	8,926,515	B2	1/2015	Ragauskas et al.
6,425,865	B1	7/2002	Salcudean et al.	8,998,818	B2	4/2015	Pranevicius et al.
6,454,715	B2	9/2002	Teo	9,005,126	B2	4/2015	Beach et al.
6,488,717	B1	12/2002	Mccoll et al.	9,028,416	B2	5/2015	De Viterbo
6,491,647	B1	12/2002	Bridger et al.	9,042,201	B2	5/2015	Tyler et al.
6,503,202	B1	1/2003	Hossack et al.	9,066,679	B2	6/2015	Beach et al.
6,547,731	B1	4/2003	Coleman et al.	9,125,616	B2	9/2015	Bredno et al.
6,547,734	B2	4/2003	Madsen et al.	9,138,154	B2	9/2015	Weinberg et al.
6,547,737	B2	4/2003	Njemanze	9,192,359	B2	11/2015	Flynn et al.
6,589,189	B2	7/2003	Meyerson et al.	9,196,037	B2	11/2015	Jung
6,618,493	B1	9/2003	Torp et al.	9,630,028	B2	4/2017	Browning et al.
6,627,421	B1	9/2003	Unger et al.	RE46,614	E	11/2017	Lipson et al.
6,653,825	B2	11/2003	Munniksmma	10,617,388	B2 *	4/2020	Flores, II A61B 8/488
6,656,125	B2	12/2003	Misczynski et al.	10,709,417	B2	7/2020	O'Brien et al.
6,682,488	B2	1/2004	Abend	11,090,026	B2	8/2021	Hamilton et al.
6,702,743	B2	3/2004	Michaeli	11,129,587	B2	9/2021	Thorpe et al.
6,716,412	B2	4/2004	Unger	11,154,273	B2	10/2021	O'Brien et al.
6,740,048	B2	5/2004	Yost et al.	11,190,677	B2	11/2021	Costa et al.
6,746,422	B1	6/2004	Noriega et al.	11,207,054	B2	12/2021	Flores et al.
6,875,176	B2	4/2005	Mourad et al.	11,452,500	B2 *	9/2022	Flores, II A61B 8/488
6,887,199	B2	5/2005	Bridger et al.	2001/0053879	A1	12/2001	Mills et al.
6,955,648	B2	10/2005	Mozayeni et al.	2002/0103436	A1	8/2002	Njemanze
7,122,007	B2	10/2006	Querfurth	2003/0050607	A1	3/2003	Gagnieux et al.
7,128,713	B2	10/2006	Moehring et al.	2004/0267127	A1	12/2004	Abend et al.
7,147,605	B2	12/2006	Ragauskas	2005/0004457	A1	1/2005	Moilanen et al.
				2005/0004468	A1	1/2005	Abend et al.
				2005/0015009	A1	1/2005	Mourad et al.
				2005/0049515	A1	3/2005	Misczynski et al.
				2005/0119573	A1	6/2005	Vilenkin et al.

(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2005/0124901 A1 6/2005 Misczynski et al.
 2005/0147297 A1 7/2005 McLaughlin et al.
 2005/0148895 A1 7/2005 Misczynski et al.
 2006/0025801 A1 2/2006 Lulo et al.
 2006/0030777 A1 2/2006 Liang et al.
 2006/0049721 A1 3/2006 Kuehnicke
 2006/0173307 A1 8/2006 Amara et al.
 2006/0173337 A1 8/2006 Chen et al.
 2006/0184070 A1 8/2006 Hansmann et al.
 2006/0206037 A1 9/2006 Braxton
 2006/0241462 A1 10/2006 Chou et al.
 2007/0016046 A1 1/2007 Mozayeni et al.
 2007/0016050 A1 1/2007 Moehring et al.
 2007/0078345 A1 4/2007 Mo et al.
 2007/0161891 A1 7/2007 Moore et al.
 2007/0232918 A1 10/2007 Taylor
 2007/0239019 A1 10/2007 Richard et al.
 2007/0244398 A1 10/2007 Lo et al.
 2008/0015478 A1 1/2008 Bose
 2008/0058861 A1 3/2008 Cooper et al.
 2008/0065099 A1 3/2008 Cooper et al.
 2008/0132790 A1 6/2008 Burton
 2008/0208060 A1 8/2008 Murkin
 2008/0262350 A1 10/2008 Unger
 2009/0062813 A1 3/2009 Prisco et al.
 2009/0074151 A1 3/2009 Henderson et al.
 2009/0198137 A1 8/2009 Ragauskas et al.
 2009/0264786 A1 10/2009 Jacquin
 2009/0275836 A1 11/2009 Fujii et al.
 2009/0287084 A1 11/2009 Ragauskas et al.
 2009/0306515 A1 12/2009 Matsumura et al.
 2009/0326379 A1 12/2009 Daigle et al.
 2010/0016707 A1 1/2010 Amara et al.
 2010/0069757 A1 3/2010 Yoshikawa et al.
 2010/0081893 A1 4/2010 Jarvik et al.
 2010/0087728 A1 4/2010 Jarvik et al.
 2010/0121192 A1 5/2010 Nogata et al.
 2010/0125206 A1 5/2010 Syme
 2010/0130866 A1 5/2010 Main et al.
 2010/0274303 A1 10/2010 Bukhman
 2010/0298821 A1 11/2010 Garbagnati
 2011/0112426 A1 5/2011 Causevic
 2011/0137182 A1 6/2011 Bellezza et al.
 2011/0144518 A1 6/2011 Causevic
 2011/0251489 A1 10/2011 Zhang et al.
 2011/0275936 A1 11/2011 Cho et al.
 2011/0301461 A1 12/2011 Anite
 2012/0108967 A1 5/2012 Weng et al.
 2012/0108972 A1 5/2012 Miyama et al.
 2012/0123272 A1 5/2012 Lam et al.
 2012/0123590 A1 5/2012 Halsmer
 2012/0153580 A1 6/2012 Soma
 2012/0157840 A1 6/2012 Syme
 2012/0165675 A1 6/2012 Syme
 2012/0165676 A1 6/2012 Njemanze
 2012/0226163 A1 9/2012 Moehring et al.
 2012/0238875 A1 9/2012 Savitsky et al.
 2013/0006106 A1 1/2013 O'Reilly et al.
 2013/0018277 A1 1/2013 Liu
 2013/0047452 A1 2/2013 Mcmurtry et al.
 2013/0080127 A1 3/2013 Shahaf et al.
 2013/0197401 A1 8/2013 Sato et al.
 2013/0239687 A1 9/2013 Nakabayashi
 2013/0274607 A1 10/2013 Anand et al.
 2014/0031690 A1 1/2014 Toji et al.
 2014/0031693 A1 1/2014 Solek
 2014/0081142 A1 3/2014 Toma et al.
 2014/0081144 A1 3/2014 Moehring et al.
 2014/0094701 A1 4/2014 Kwartowitz et al.
 2014/0163328 A1 6/2014 Geva et al.
 2014/0163379 A1 6/2014 Bukhman
 2014/0171820 A1 6/2014 Causevic
 2014/0194740 A1 7/2014 Stein et al.
 2014/0276059 A1 9/2014 Sheehan
 2014/0316269 A1 10/2014 Zhang et al.

2014/0323857 A1 10/2014 Mourad et al.
 2014/0343431 A1 11/2014 Vajinepalli et al.
 2015/0051489 A1 2/2015 Caluser et al.
 2015/0065871 A1 3/2015 Konofagou et al.
 2015/0065916 A1 3/2015 Maguire et al.
 2015/0094582 A1 4/2015 Tanaka et al.
 2015/0151142 A1 6/2015 Tyler et al.
 2015/0157266 A1 6/2015 Machon et al.
 2015/0190111 A1* 7/2015 Fry A61B 8/4209
 600/438
 2015/0216500 A1 8/2015 Mano et al.
 2015/0245771 A1 9/2015 Wang et al.
 2015/0245776 A1 9/2015 Hirohata et al.
 2015/0245820 A1 9/2015 Tamada
 2015/0250446 A1 9/2015 Kanayama
 2015/0250448 A1 9/2015 Tamada
 2015/0297176 A1 10/2015 Rincker et al.
 2015/0297177 A1 10/2015 Boctor et al.
 2015/0302584 A1 10/2015 Brauner et al.
 2015/0351718 A1 12/2015 Vollmer et al.
 2015/0356734 A1 12/2015 Ooga et al.
 2015/0359448 A1 12/2015 Beach
 2016/0000367 A1 1/2016 Lyon
 2016/0000411 A1 1/2016 Raju et al.
 2016/0000516 A1 1/2016 Cheng et al.
 2016/0030001 A1 2/2016 Stein et al.
 2016/0094115 A1 3/2016 Okawa et al.
 2016/0151618 A1 6/2016 Powers et al.
 2016/0256130 A1 9/2016 Hamilton et al.
 2016/0278736 A1 9/2016 Hamilton et al.
 2016/0310006 A1 10/2016 Agüero Villarreal et al.
 2016/0310023 A1* 10/2016 Chachisvilis A61B 5/0261
 2016/0317129 A1 11/2016 Seip et al.
 2016/0324585 A1 11/2016 Noonan et al.
 2016/0367217 A1 12/2016 Flores et al.
 2017/0119347 A1 5/2017 Flores et al.
 2017/0188992 A1 7/2017 O'Brien et al.
 2017/0188993 A1 7/2017 Hamilton et al.
 2017/0188994 A1 7/2017 Flores et al.
 2017/0196465 A1 7/2017 Browning et al.
 2017/0307420 A1 10/2017 Flores et al.
 2018/0021021 A1 1/2018 Zwierstra et al.
 2018/0093077 A1 4/2018 Harding et al.
 2018/0103927 A1 4/2018 Chung et al.
 2018/0103928 A1 4/2018 Costa et al.
 2018/0177487 A1 6/2018 Defieux et al.
 2018/0214124 A1 8/2018 O'Brien et al.
 2018/0220991 A1 8/2018 O'Brien et al.
 2019/0150895 A1 5/2019 Tian et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1 750 804 2/2007
 EP 2 034 901 A1 3/2009
 EP 2 111 787 A1 10/2009
 EP 2 858 619 A1 4/2015
 FR 2606625 A1 5/1988
 JP S52-126979 A 10/1977
 JP H02-114008 4/1990
 JP H05-143161 6/1993
 JP H571763 U 9/1993
 JP 07-299066 A 11/1995
 JP H07-299066 A 11/1995
 JP 10-328189 A 12/1998
 JP H10-328189 A 12/1998
 JP 2003-225239 A 8/2003
 JP 2003-230558 A 8/2003
 JP 2003-245280 A 9/2003
 JP 2004-237082 A 8/2004
 JP 2006-025904 A 2/2006
 JP 2007-143704 A 6/2007
 JP 2010-500084 A 1/2010
 JP 2010-200844 A 9/2010
 JP 2013-503681 A 2/2013
 JP 2015-533299 A 11/2015
 WO WO-95/02361 A1 1/1995
 WO WO-99/56625 A1 11/1999
 WO WO-2009/138882 A2 11/2009
 WO WO-2010/042146 A2 4/2010

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	WO-2013/155537	A1	10/2013
WO	WO-2014/070993	A1	5/2014
WO	WO-2015/073903	A1	5/2015
WO	WO-2015/092604	A1	6/2015
WO	WO-2016/001548	A1	1/2016

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Baldwin, K., et al., "Subpeak Regional Analysis of Intracranial Pressure Waveform Morphology based on Cerebrospinal Fluid Hydrodynamics in the Cerebral Aqueduct and Prepontine Cistern", 34th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS, 2012, p. 3935-3938.

Bashford, G., et al., "Monitoring Cerebral Hemodynamics with Transcranial Doppler Ultrasound during Cognitive and Exercise Testing in Adults following Unilateral Stroke", 34th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS, 2012, p. 2310-2313.

Chatelain et al. "Confidence-Driven Control of an Ultrasound Probe: Target-Specific Acoustic Window Optimization." IEEE ICRA May 16-21, 2016, pp. 3441-3446.

Chatelain et al. "Optimization of ultrasound image quality via visual servoing." IEEE ICRA May 26-30, 2015, pp. 5997-6002.

Chen, W., et al., "Intracranial Pressure Level Prediction in Traumatic Brain Injury by Extracting Features from Multiple Sources and Using Machine Learning Methods", 2010 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine, 2010, p. 510-515.

Cheng, Y. & Zhao, R., "Self-training classifier via local learning regularization", Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, 2009, p. 454-459.

Chinese Office Action dated Aug. 18, 2020, from application No. 201780005508.2.

Chinese Office Action dated Aug. 27, 2020, from application No. 201780005528.X.

Chinese Office Action dated Jun. 30, 2020, from application No. 201780005447.X.

Chinese Office Action dated Mar. 24, 2020, from application No. 201680034144.6.

Chinese Office Action dated Sep. 23, 2020, from application No. 201780005865.9.

Ekroth, R., et al., "Transcranial Doppler-estimated versus thermodilution estimated cerebral blood flow during cardiac operations. Influence of temperature and arterial carbon dioxide tension." Journal Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgery, 1991, 102(1): p. 95-102.

European Office Action dated Sep. 24, 2021, from application No. 17735919.7.

European Office Action dated Sep. 28, 2021, from application No. 17736375.1.

Extended European Search Report dated Jan. 4, 2019, from application No. 16812644.9.

Extended European Search Report dated Jul. 16, 2019, from application No. 17736353.8.

Extended European Search Report dated Jul. 19, 2019, from application No. 17736375.1.

Extended European Search Report dated Jul. 24, 2019, from application No. 17735919.7.

Extended European Search Report dated Nov. 12, 2019, from application No. 17736371.0.

Extended European Search Report dated Nov. 21, 2019, from application No. 17790294.7.

Final Office Action dated Apr. 23, 2021, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/187,397.

Final Office Action dated Aug. 2, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,648.

Final Office Action dated Aug. 28, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,440.

Final Office Action dated Jan. 28, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/942,368.

Final Office Action dated Jan. 30, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/497,039.

Final Office Action dated Jun. 15, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,735.

Final Office Action dated Jun. 9, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,648.

Final Office Action dated Sep. 18, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,710.

Gomez, C., et al., Transcranial Doppler Ultrasonographic Assessment of Intermittent Light Stimulation at Different Frequencies, Stroke, 1990, 21, p. 1746-1748.

Harrison, M. & Markus, H., "Estimation of cerebrovascular reactivity using transcranial Doppler, including the use of breath-holding as the vasodilatory stimulus", Stroke, 1992, 23(5) p. 668-73.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Dec. 28, 2017, from international application No. PCT/US2016/038433.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Jul. 19, 2018, from application No. PCT/IB2017/050349.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Jul. 19, 2018, from application No. PCT/US2017/012365.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Jul. 19, 2018, from application No. PCT/US2017/012395.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Jul. 19, 2018, from application No. PCT/US2017/012402.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Nov. 8, 2018, from application No. PCT/US2017/029483.

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Aug. 14, 2017, from international application No. PCT/US2017/029483.

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated May 4, 2017, from application No. PCT/US2017/012395.

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Oct. 13, 2016, from related international application No. PCT/US2016/038433.

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Jun. 1, 2017, from application No. PCT/IB2017/050349.

International Search Report and Written Opinion mailed Jun. 8, 2017, from application No. PCT/US2017/012402.

Jaffres, P., et al., "Transcranial Doppler to detection admission patients at risk for neurological deterioration following mild and moderate brain trauma", Intensive Care Med, 2005, 31 (6): p. 785-790.

Japanese Decision of Rejection dated Dec. 18, 2018, from application No. 2016-554529.

Japanese Office Action dated Apr. 24, 2018, from application No. 2016-554529.

Japanese Office Action dated Aug. 28, 2018, from application No. 2016-554529.

Japanese Office Action dated Dec. 10, 2020, from application No. 2018-534916.

Japanese Office Action dated Jan. 27, 2020, from application No. 2018-534127.

Japanese Office Action dated Mar. 11, 2021, from application No. 2018-555541.

Japanese Office Action dated Nov. 5, 2020, from application No. 2018-534904.

Japanese Office Action dated Oct. 22, 2020, from application No. 2018-534131.

Len, T.K., et al., "Cerebrovascular reactivity impairment after sport-induced concussion", Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2011, 43(12): p. 2241-2248.

M.H. Raibert et al., "Hybrid Position/Force Control of Manipulators", Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control, vol. 102, Jun. 1981, pp. 126-133, abstract.

Mackinnon et al. "Long-Term Ambulatory Monitoring for Cerebral Emboli Using Transcranial Doppler Ultrasound." Stroke(35), 2004; pp. 73-78.

Nadeau et al. "Intensity-Based Ultrasound Visual Servoing: Modeling and Validation with 2-D and 3-D Probes." IEEE Trans on Robotics (29:4), Aug. 2013, pp. 1003-1015.

Ni, et al., "Serial Transcranial Doppler Sonography in Ischemic Strokes in Middle Cerebral Artery Territory", Journal of Neuroimaging, Oct. 1, 1994, pp. 232-236.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 2, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,440.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Aug. 14, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/497,039.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 11, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,710.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 8, 2021, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,735.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Jul. 16, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/497,039.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Jun. 27, 2018, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/942,368.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Jun. 28, 2018, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/940,925.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Mar. 19, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,648.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Nov. 19, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,648.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Oct. 1, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,735.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Oct. 28, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/187,397.
 Non-Final Office Action dated Sep. 17, 2018, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/156,175.
 Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 24, 2021, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/187,397.
 Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 9, 2019, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,440.

Notice of Allowance dated Mar. 19, 2021, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,710.
 Notice of Allowance dated Mar. 4, 2020, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/942,368.
 Qiu et al., "A Robotic Holder of Transcranial Doppler Probe for CBFV Auto-Searching." Proc of IEEE ICIA, Aug. 2013, pp. 1284-1289.
 Qiu, et al., "A Robotic Holder of Transcranial Doppler Probe for CBFV Auto-Searching", 2013 IEEE International Conference on Information and Automation (ICIA), IEEE, Aug. 26, 2013, pp. 1284-1289.
 Souza-Daw et al. "Towards Ultrasonic Detection of Acoustic Windows for Transcranial Doppler Ultrasound and related Procedures." IEEE Proc INDS'11 & ISTET'11. Jul. 25-27, 2011. 6 pages.
 Tatasurya, Samuel Radiant, "Multimodal Graphical User Interface for Ultrasound Machine Control via da Vinci Surgeon Console: Design, Development, and Initial Evaluation," The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Aug. 2015, p. 33, paragraph 1.
 Uguz, H., "A hybrid system based on information gain and principal component analysis for the classification of transcranial Doppler signals", Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 2010, 107(2012) p. 598-609.
 US Notice of Allowance dated May 27, 2022, from U.S. Appl. No. 16/847,247.
 Zhu, X., "Semi-supervised Learning Literature Survey", Computer Sciences TR 1530, University of Wisconsin—Madison, 2008.
 US Final Office Action dated Aug. 16, 2022, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,735.
 US Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 9, 2022, from U.S. Appl. No. 15/399,735.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11

1200




FIG. 12A




FIG. 12B


1300

FIG. 13A




FIG. 13B




FIG. 13C

1

INTEGRATED PROBE STRUCTURE**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 16/847,247, filed Apr. 13, 2020, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,452,500, granted Sep. 27, 2022, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 15/399,440, filed Jan. 5, 2017, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,617,388, granted Apr. 14, 2020, which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/332,133, filed May 5, 2016, and U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/275,192, filed Jan. 5, 2016, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties.

BACKGROUND

1. Field

Subject matter described herein relates generally to medical devices, and more particularly to a probe for diagnosing medical conditions.

2. Background

For devices utilizing a probe (e.g., an automated Transcranial Doppler (TCD) device), there exist patient safety concerns related to the placement and alignment of TCD probes against a human being's skull. This safety concern exists within the structure of an automated robotic headset or manual operation of TCD probes. In existing solutions, either manual placement of the TCD probe or the complexity of the TCD probe mechanism may not be optimal. Currently there is no method to observe the amount of pressure or force exerted on a patient's temporal window or skull and thus there are no mediums to monitor patient discomfort during an automated or manual TCD probe placement.

SUMMARY

In general, various embodiments relate to systems and methods for providing an integrated probe structure incorporating a probe integrated with a gimbal structure or probe hub.

According to various embodiments, there is provided a probe structure. The probe structure includes a probe configured to emit acoustic energy. The probe structure further includes a load cell underneath and aligned with the probe. The probe structure further includes a probe hub including a cavity for receiving the probe and the load cell.

In some embodiments, the probe structure further includes a probe seat interposed between the probe and the load cell.

In some embodiments, the probe hub includes a lengthwise slot.

In some embodiments, the lengthwise slot is configured to align and retain a cable connected to the probe and a wire connected to the load cell.

In some embodiments, the wire connected to the load cell is held statically within the lengthwise slot while the cable of the probe is configured to move along the lengthwise slot.

In some embodiments, the probe structure further includes an adhesive layer between the load cell and a bottom of the cavity of the probe hub.

2

In some embodiments, the load cell further includes a probe seat interposed between the probe and the load cell and an adhesive layer between the probe and the probe seat.

In some embodiments, the adhesive layer includes epoxy.

In some embodiments, the load cell includes a protrusion and the probe includes a hollow for receiving the protrusion for securing the load cell and the probe together.

In some embodiments, the probe structure further includes a probe seat interposed between the probe and the load cell, wherein the probe seat has a through hole such that the protrusion of the load cell threads through the through hole and the hollow of the probe.

In some embodiments, the probe hub is configured to house the load cell and a portion of the probe.

In some embodiments, the cavity of the probe hub includes an inner diameter that is substantially equal to an outer diameter of the portion of the probe.

In some embodiments, the cavity of the probe hub includes a first inner diameter corresponding to a location of the portion of the probe housed within the cavity and a second inner diameter corresponding to a location of the load cell housed within the cavity, the first inner diameter being different from the second inner diameter.

In some embodiments, the first inner diameter is greater than the second inner diameter.

In some embodiments, the first inner diameter is substantially equal to an outer diameter of the portion of the probe and the second inner diameter is substantially equal to an outer diameter of the load cell.

In some embodiments, the probe structure further includes a probe seat interposed between the probe and the load cell, wherein the first inner diameter further corresponds to a location of the probe seat housed within the cavity.

In some embodiments, the load cell is configured to detect forces exerted against the probe along a plurality of axes.

In some embodiments, the probe includes a transcranial Doppler (TCD) probe.

According to various embodiments, there is provided a method of manufacturing a probe structure. The method includes providing a probe configured to emit acoustic energy. The method further includes aligning a load cell underneath the probe. The method further includes providing a probe hub including a cavity for receiving the probe and the load cell.

According to various embodiments, there is provided a system for detecting neurological conditions of a subject. The system includes automated robotics configured to position a probe structure with respect to the subject. The probe structure includes a probe configured to emit acoustic energy. The probe structure further includes a load cell underneath and aligned with the probe. The probe structure further includes a probe hub including a cavity for receiving the probe and the load cell.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates a perspective view of a TCD probe previously known in the art.

FIG. 2 illustrates a robotic headset for incorporating a TCD probe.

FIG. 3 illustrates a perspective view of an integrated TCD probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 4 is an exploded view of an integrated TCD probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 5 illustrates a side cross-sectional view of an integrated TCD probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 6 illustrates a perspective view of an integrated gimbal probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 7 illustrates a side view of an integrated gimbal probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 8 illustrates a perspective view of a TCD probe adapted for use with an integrated gimbal probe structure with a cover according to various embodiments.

FIG. 9 illustrates a perspective view of an integrated force center probe according to various embodiments.

FIG. 10 illustrates a side cross-sectional view of a TCD probe adapted for use with a three piece integrated gimbal probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 11 illustrates a perspective exploded view of a TCD probe adapted for use with an integrated gimbal probe structure integrated with a cover according to various embodiments.

FIG. 12A illustrates a perspective view of an integrated probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 12B illustrates an exploded view of the integrated probe structure shown in FIG. 12A according to various embodiments.

FIG. 12C illustrates a perspective cross-sectional view of the integrated probe structure shown in FIG. 12A according to various embodiments.

FIG. 13A illustrates a perspective view of an integrated probe structure according to various embodiments.

FIG. 13B illustrates a transparent perspective view of the integrated probe structure shown in FIG. 13A according to various embodiments.

FIG. 13C illustrates an exploded view of the integrated probe structure shown in FIG. 13A according to various embodiments.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The detailed description set forth below in connection with the appended drawings is intended as a description of various configurations and is not intended to represent the only configurations in which the concepts described herein may be practiced. The detailed description includes specific details for providing a thorough understanding of various concepts. However, it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that these concepts may be practiced without these specific details. In some instances, well-known structures and components are shown in block diagram form in order to avoid obscuring such concepts.

FIG. 1 illustrates a side view of a prior art TCD probe 102 pressed against a human being's skull 104. In the prior art, when a TCD probe 102 was manipulated by a human operator (e.g., a skilled sonographer operating a TCD probe), it was not critical to reduce the size of the TCD probe 102.

FIG. 2 illustrates a robotic headset 106 mounted on a human being's skull 104. To facilitate automated TCD scans without the use of a human operator manipulating a TCD probe, it would be advantageous to reduce the size of a TCD probe so that it would fit within a reasonably sized headset 106.

FIG. 3 illustrates a perspective view of a TCD probe 202 mounted in a gimbal 204 for use in a robotic headset 106. While this specification frequently discusses TCD probes, in general, the techniques and devices discussed herein specifically described as using TCD can also be employed in various embodiments using probes for methods such as

ultrasound, transcranial color-coded sonography (TCCS), phased arrays, as well as other known ultrasound energy modalities. Additionally, other techniques that use probes that emit or receive energy in the electromagnetic spectrum such as functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (fNIRS) or EEG can also be employed. In some embodiments, the gimbal 204 includes a pivoted support that allows for rotation of an object (e.g., the probe 202), about an axis (e.g., about a single axis). In some embodiments, the gimbal 204 is a probe hub. Further disclosure regarding the probe hub is described below. A data/power cable 206 allows for the flow of electricity to power the TCD probe 202 and the flow of data from the TCD probe 202. The gimbal 204 allows the TCD probe 202 to pan and tilt.

FIG. 4 illustrates an exploded view of the TCD probe 202 connection to the gimbal 204. To allow for connection of the TCD probe 202 to the gimbal 204, the TCD probe 202 is fastened, typically with glue, to a thrust plate 208. The thrust plate 208 has a plurality of legs 210a, 210b, 210c, 210d designed to mount in and align with corresponding receiving holes 212a, 212b (other holes 212c, 212d not shown). The thrust plate 208 is secured to the gimbal 204 by snap rings (not shown) on the bottom of the gimbal 204. Other methods of fastening known to those of skill in the art may also be employed, such as, but not limited to, interfacing (e.g., counter sunk features). A load cell 214 is fastened, typically with a form to fit counter sunk feature for initial alignment and with glue for stabilization, to the gimbal 204, and is designed to fit between the gimbal 204 and thrust plate 208. As is known in the art, a load cell 214 is a transducer that is used to translate physical phenomenon into an electrical signal whose magnitude is proportional to, in this case, the force being measured. Wires 216 extending from the load cell 214 provide electrical signals (e.g., data and power signals) emanating from the load cell 214 responsive to the force on the load cell 214. In operation, when the TCD probe 202 is pressed against a human being's skull 104, a force will also be imparted through the interfacing thrust plate 208 to the load cell 214, which will result in an electrical signal which can be measured.

FIG. 5 illustrates a perspective cross-sectional view of the of the TCD probe 202 connected to the thrust plate 208, which is in turn in contact with the load cell 214 connected to the gimbal 204.

FIG. 6 illustrates a perspective view of a preferred embodiment of an integrated gimbal TCD probe 300 and FIG. 7 illustrates an elevation view of the integrated gimbal TCD probe 300. The integrated gimbal TCD probe 300 reduces the number of components compared to the embodiment of FIG. 4. The integrated gimbal TCD probe 300 has a TCD probe 302 capable of transmitting ultrasound waves into a human being's skull 104. The ultrasound waves are transmitted through the transducer face 303 which is pressed against the skin of a human being's skull 104. The TCD probe 302, rather than being cylinder shaped, has a tapered portion 304 adapted to receive a cover (as shown in FIG. 8). Beyond the tapered portion 304, the TCD probe 302 probe body 306 extends to a gimbal mount 314. The gimbal mount 314 has a plurality of tapped holes 310a, 310b, designed to mount with and allow for fastening of the gimbal mount 314 to a gimbal interface. A data/power cable 312 extends from the gimbal mount 314 of the integrated gimbal TCD probe 300 such that it has proper clearance from the gimbal.

FIG. 8 illustrates a TCD probe 402 having a shape similar to the integrated gimbal TCD probe 300 shown in FIG. 6. The TCD probe 402 has a tapered portion 404 adapted to receive a cover 406. The cover 406 mounts snugly to the

5

tapered portion 404 to prevent a patient's skin from being pinched between the TCD probe 402 and any other mechanism of the robotic headset 106. Further, in operation, gel is typically placed on a transducer face 408 of the TCD probe 402 to provide improved conductivity between the skin of the patient and the transducer face 408. Employing a cover 406 snugly mounted with the tapered portion 404 will act to help prevent gel from moving past the tapered portion into the rest of the mechanism of the robotic headset 106. If gel were to move into the mechanism of the robotic headset 106, the gel may degrade operation of the robotic headset 106 or may require that the robotic headset 106 be cleaned from time to time to remove unwanted gel.

FIG. 9 illustrates a perspective view of an integrated force center probe 500. The integrated force center probe 500 includes a TCD probe 502 capable of transmitting ultrasound waves into a human being's skull 104. The TCD probe 502 has a tapered portion 504 adapted to receive a cover (as shown in FIG. 8). Below the tapered portion 504, the TCD probe 502 probe body 506 extends to a gimbal mount 514. Between the gimbal mount 514 and the probe body 506, an overmold piece 516 connects the gimbal mount 514 and the probe body 506. The gimbal mount 514 has a plurality of tapped holes 510 designed to mount with and allow for fastening of the gimbal mount 514 to a gimbal. A data/power cable 512 extends from the gimbal mount 514 of the integrated gimbal TCD probe 500 such that it has proper clearance from the gimbal.

FIG. 10 illustrates a cross-sectional side view of the integrated force center probe 500. A load cell 508 is molded into the bottom of TCD probe 502 having a probe body 506. The assembly of the load cell 508 and TCD probe 502 is then molded to gimbal mount 514 such that when the load cell 508 contacts the gimbal mount 514 a specific pre-defined preload is applied to a button 518 on the load cell 508. The gimbal mount 514 and probe body 506 are then molded together with an overmold piece 516. A data/power cable 512 extends from the gimbal mount 514 of the integrated force center probe 500 such that it has proper clearance from the gimbal.

FIG. 11 illustrates a perspective view of an exploded portion of the integrated force center probe 500 oriented in a direction opposite that of FIG. 10. This view does not show the gimbal mount 514 or the data/power cable 512. Load cell 508 is mounted within a recess or countersink 520 of the probe body 506. Wires 522 extending from the load cell 508 provide electrical signals emanating from the load cell 508 responsive to the force on the load cell 508. The wires 522 exit the probe body 506 through a recess 524 in the probe body 506.

FIG. 12A illustrates a perspective view of an integrated probe structure 1200 according to various embodiments. FIG. 12B illustrates an exploded view of the integrated probe structure 1200 shown in FIG. 12A according to various embodiments. FIG. 12C illustrates a perspective cross-sectional view of the integrated probe structure 1200 shown in FIG. 12A according to various embodiments.

Referring to FIGS. 12A-12C, the probe structure 1200 includes a probe 1202, a probe hub or gimbal 1204, a probe seat 1206, and a load cell 1208. In some embodiments, the probe 1202 includes a first end (e.g., the end that is free and facing empty space) and a second end that is opposite to the first end. In some embodiments, the first end includes a concave surface that is configured to be adjacent to or contact a scanning surface. The concave surface is configured with a particular pitch to focus generated energy towards the scanning surface. In some embodiments, the

6

probe structure is a Transcranial Doppler (TCD) apparatus such that the first end of the probe is configured to be adjacent to or contact and align along a human head (e.g., a side of the human head), and the first end of the probe 1202 is configured to provide ultrasound wave emissions from the first end and directed into the human head (e.g., towards the brain). In other embodiments, the probe 1202 is configured to emit other types of waves during operation, such as, but not limited to, infrared waves, x-rays, or the like.

In some embodiments, the second end of the probe 1202 is coupled to the probe seat 1206. The probe 1202 includes a hollow 1202A extending through the center of the probe 1202. In some embodiments, the hollow 1202A includes a threaded cavity-type interface. The hollow 1202A allows for alignment amongst the probe 1202, the probe seat 1206, and the load cell 1208. For example, the probe seat 1206 includes a circular ridge 1206A defining a through hole 1206B and the circular ridge 1206A extending upwards into the hollow 1202A of the probe 1202. The circular ridge 1206A includes a lip defining or housing a through hole, and the lip is fitted to extend upwards from the probe seat 1206. While the probe 1202 is coupled or attached to the probe seat 1206 at one side of the probe seat 1206, the load cell 1208 is coupled or attached to the opposite side of the probe seat 1206 such that the probe seat 1206 is interposed between the probe 1202 and the load cell 1208. Accordingly, in some embodiments, the probe seat 1206 is made from any suitable material for transferring the full or almost full force applied to the first end of the probe 1202 to the load cell 1208, such as, but not limited to, a non-metal material (e.g., polyurethane) and the like. In some embodiments, the probe structure 1200 does not include the probe seat 1206 such that the probe 1202 and the load cell 1208 contact each other.

In some embodiments, the probe seat 1206 is affixed to the probe 1202 through an adhesive layer. The adhesive layer may be any suitable material for securely coupling the probe seat 1206 and the probe 1202 together, such as, but not limited to, an epoxy. In other embodiments, the probe 1202 is secured in the probe seat 1206 by any other suitable connecting means, such as, but not limited to, welding, potting, one or more hooks and latches, one or more separate screws, press fittings, or the like.

In some embodiments, the load cell 1208 is coupled to the probe seat 1206. Accordingly, the probe seat 1206 may also function as a load cell register. In some embodiments, the load cell 1208 is configured to take measurements of pressure or force exerted on the probe 1202. In some embodiments, the load cell 1208 is assembled so as to exhibit a preload. For example, the load cell 1208 may be designed to exhibit and include a preload in a range from about 2 Newtons to about 3 Newtons. In some embodiments, because the load cell 1208 is aligned with and proximate the probe 1202 (e.g., coupled to the probe 1202 via the probe seat 1206), a force exerted against the concave surface of the first end of the probe 1202 (e.g., caused by the concave surface being pressed against a human head), is registered and measured at the load cell 1208.

In some embodiments, the load cell 1208 is a transducer that is used to create an electrical signal whose magnitude is proportional to the force being measured. In some embodiments, a wire 1212 extending from the load cell 1208 provides electrical signals generated from the load cell 1208, responsive to the force on the load cell 1208 caused by the probe 1202. During operation, in some embodiments, when the probe 1202 is pressed against a human skull, a force will

also be imparted through the probe seat **1206** to the load cell **1208**, which can be measured and transmitted by the load cell **1208**.

Accordingly, in some embodiments, the probe structure **1200** utilizes the measurements of the load cell **1208** to adjust the pressure exerted by the probe **1202** (e.g., by a robotic apparatus attached to the probe structure **1200**). For example, in some embodiments, the probe structure **1200** decreases the force exerted against a human head by the probe **1202** when the pressure measured by the load cell **1208** is determined to be relatively high (e.g., the pressure measurement exceeds a predetermined threshold). In some embodiments, the predetermined threshold is user-defined and can be adjusted as desired.

In some embodiments, the load cell **1208** includes a cylindrical protrusion **1208A** extending upwards from the load cell **1208**. The protrusion **1208A** passes through the through hole **1206B** of the probe seat **1206** and extends into the hollow **1202A** (or the threaded cavity-type interface of the hollow **1202A**) of the probe **1202**. Accordingly, the probe **1202**, the probe seat **1206**, and the load cell **1208** are capable of remaining aligned such that a maximum amount of force is transferred from the probe **1202** to the load cell **1208**. In some embodiments, the load cell **1208** is affixed to a bottom inner surface of the probe hub (or gimbal) **1204** through an adhesive layer. The adhesive layer may be any suitable material for securely coupling the load cell **1208** and the probe hub **1204** together, such as, but not limited to, an epoxy, potting, and the like.

In some embodiments, the probe hub **1204** provides a plurality of single axis pivoted supports and interfaces with links and motors to provide a pan and tilt about respective Y and X axes. In some embodiments, the probe hub **1204** is a gimbal as described above. In some embodiments, the probe hub **1204** has a fitted cavity for receiving and housing a portion of the probe **1202**, the probe seat **1206**, and the load cell **1208** to provide further security and alignment of the probe structure **1200**. The cavity of the probe hub (or gimbal) **1204** includes a counter sunk first inner diameter D1 that corresponds to a location of the load cell **1208** when the load cell **1208** is housed within the probe hub **1204**. The first diameter D1 is substantially equal to (e.g., slightly larger than) an outer diameter of the load cell **1208** such that the load cell **1208** does not shift radially while housed in the probe hub (or gimbal) **1204**. Accordingly, the load cell **1208** remains axially aligned with the probe seat **1206** and a shaft end of the probe **1202**.

Similarly, the cavity of the probe hub **1204** includes a second inner diameter D2 that corresponds to a location of the probe **1202** and the probe seat **1206** when the probe **1202** and the probe seat **1206** are housed within the probe hub **1204**. The second inner diameter D2 is substantially equal to (e.g., slightly larger than) an outer diameter of the shaft end of the probe **1202** and the probe seat **1206** such that the probe **1202** and the probe seat **1206** do not shift radially while housed in the probe hub **1204**. Accordingly, the probe **1202** and the probe seat **1206** remains axially aligned with the load cell **1208**. In some embodiments, the second inner diameter D2 is greater than the first inner diameter D1.

In some embodiments, the probe hub (or gimbal) **1204** has a length long enough to encompass and house the load cell **1208** (e.g., entirely), the probe seat **1206** (e.g., entirely), and a portion (e.g., a substantial portion) of the probe **1202**. In some embodiments, the probe hub **1204** is long enough to house approximately 50% of the length of the body of the probe **1202**. In other embodiments, the probe hub **1204** is long enough to house more than 50% of the length of the

body of the probe **1202** (e.g., about 55%, 60%, 65%, or more). In other embodiments, the probe hub **1204** houses less than 50% of the length of the body of the probe **1202** (e.g., about 45%, 40%, 35%, or less). In particular embodiments, the probe hub **1204** house about 33% of the length of the body of the probe **1202**.

In some embodiments, the probe hub **1204** includes a lengthwise slot **1204A**. The slot **1204A** may extend along the full length of the body of the probe hub **1204**. In other embodiments, the slot **1204A** extends along less than the full length of the body of the probe hub **1204**. The slot **1204A** is configured to receive and retain wires and cables originating from the components housed within the probe hub **1204**. For example, the slot **1204A** receives and retains the wire **1212** originating from the load cell **1208** and a cable **1210** originating from the probe **1202**. Accordingly, the wire **1212** and the cable **1210** can be aligned and secured (e.g., during assembly and outside of the probe hub or gimbal **1204**) so that they do not become an obstacle during assembly or operation of the probe structure **1200**. In some embodiments, the wire **1212** remains static in the slot **1204A**, while the cable **1210** is configured to move within the slot **1204A** (e.g., flex or otherwise move along the length of the slot **1204A**). In some embodiments, the probe hub **1204** further includes a gimbal interface **1214** for attaching to gimbal linkages that can control the probe structure **1200**.

FIG. 13A illustrates a perspective view of an integrated probe structure **1300** according to various embodiments. FIG. 13B illustrates a transparent probe housing in a perspective view of the integrated probe structure **1300** shown in FIG. 13A according to various embodiments. FIG. 13C illustrates an exploded view of the integrated probe structure **1300** shown in FIG. 13A according to various embodiments.

The probe structure **1300** includes a probe housing **1302**, a probe **1304**, an interconnection structure **1306**, and a load cell **1308**. In some embodiments, the probe structure **1300** includes an end effector, for example, used in conjunction with a robot arm (e.g., a 6-axis robot arm). The probe housing **1302** covers and houses the probe **1304**, the interconnection structure **1306**, and the load cell **1308**. The probe **1304** extends through a top opening of the probe housing **1302**. The interconnection structure **1306** provides the framework of the probe structure **1300** for securing the components together. The load cell **1308** is located adjacent to the probe **1304** (e.g., directly underneath the probe **1304**). The probe structure **1300** can be used in connection with a robotic arm (e.g., a robotic arm including multiple degrees of freedom, such as, but not limited to, six degrees of freedom).

Although the present disclosure illustrates and describes an integrated probe system including a load cell for detecting force exerted against a probe in a single axis (e.g., along an axis that is perpendicular to the upper surface of the probe facing a scanning surface), in some embodiments, the load cell and the integrated probe system may be configured to detect forces in a plurality of axes. For example, the integrated probe system may be configured to detect force exerted against the probe along two axes, three axes, four axes, five axes, or six axes. In some embodiments, the probe is continuously adjusted to maintain a normal position along a scanning surface using a load cell that detects force along a plurality of axes (e.g., along six different axes).

As used herein, the terms “approximately,” “substantially,” “substantial” and “about” are used to describe and account for small variations. When used in conjunction with an event or circumstance, the terms can refer to instances in which the event or circumstance occurs precisely as well as

instances in which the event or circumstance occurs to a close approximation. For example, when used in conjunction with a numerical value, the terms can refer to a range of variation less than or equal to $\pm 10\%$ of that numerical value, such as less than or equal to $\pm 5\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 4\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 3\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 2\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 1\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 0.5\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 0.1\%$, or less than or equal to $\pm 0.05\%$. For example, two numerical values can be deemed to be “substantially” the same or equal if a difference between the values is less than or equal to $\pm 10\%$ of an average of the values, such as less than or equal to $\pm 5\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 4\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 3\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 2\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 1\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 0.5\%$, less than or equal to $\pm 0.1\%$, or less than or equal to $\pm 0.05\%$.

The above used terms, including “attached,” “connected,” “secured,” and the like are used interchangeably. In addition, while certain embodiments have been described to include a first element as being “coupled” (or “attached,” “connected,” “fastened,” etc.) to a second element, the first element may be directly coupled to the second element or may be indirectly coupled to the second element via a third element.

The previous description is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to practice the various aspects described herein. Various modifications to these aspects will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other aspects. Thus, the claims are not intended to be limited to the aspects shown herein, but is to be accorded the full scope consistent with the language claims, wherein reference to an element in the singular is not intended to mean “one and only one” unless specifically so stated, but rather “one or more.” Unless specifically stated otherwise, the term “some” refers to one or more. All structural and functional equivalents to the elements of the various aspects described throughout the previous description that are known or later come to be known to those of ordinary skill in the art are expressly incorporated herein by reference and are intended to be encompassed by the claims. Moreover, nothing disclosed herein is intended to be dedicated to the public regardless of whether such disclosure is explicitly recited in the claims. No claim element is to be construed as a means plus function unless the element is expressly recited using the phrase “means for.”

It is understood that the specific order or hierarchy of steps in the processes disclosed is an example of illustrative

approaches. Based upon design preferences, it is understood that the specific order or hierarchy of steps in the processes may be rearranged while remaining within the scope of the previous description. The accompanying method claims present elements of the various steps in a sample order, and are not meant to be limited to the specific order or hierarchy presented.

The previous description of the disclosed implementations is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the disclosed subject matter. Various modifications to these implementations will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other implementations without departing from the spirit or scope of the previous description. Thus, the previous description is not intended to be limited to the implementations shown herein but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and novel features disclosed herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A system, comprising:

a probe configured to emit acoustic energy and comprising a hollow cavity disposed within a center portion of the probe;

a sensor configured to output a signal indicating an amount of force exerted against the probe when the probe is against a surface of a subject and comprising a cylindrical protrusion extending into the hollow cavity to align the probe and the sensor; and

a probe hub, wherein the probe, the sensor, and the probe hub are aligned through an axis.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the sensor further comprises a load cell.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein the sensor is configured to detect the amount of force exerted against the probe along a plurality of axes.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the probe further comprises a Transcranial Doppler (TCD) probe.

5. The system of claim 1, wherein the probe hub comprises at least one motor.

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the probe hub comprises an opening through which the probe extends, the opening and the probe align along the axis.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the probe hub encloses at least 50% of a body of the probe.

* * * * *