

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent Application Publication

20250262014

Kind Code

A1

Publication Date

August 21, 2025

Inventor(s)

Kostrzewski; Szymon

Robotic Surgical Systems

Abstract

A robotic surgical system for performing surgery, the system includes a robotic arm having a force and/or torque control sensor coupled to the end-effector and configured to hold a first surgical tool. The robotic system further includes an actuator that includes controlled movement of the robotic arm and/or positioning of the end-effector. The system further includes a tracking detector having optical markers for real time detection of (i) surgical tool position and/or end-effector position and (ii) patient position. The system also includes a feedback system for moving the end effector to a planned trajectory based on the threshold distance between the planned trajectory and the actual trajectory.

Inventors: Kostrzewski; Szymon (Lausanne, CH)

Applicant: KB MEDICAL SA (AUDUBON, PA)

Family ID: 1000008589653

Appl. No.: 19/203773

Filed: May 09, 2025

Related U.S. Application Data

parent US continuation 18482395 20231006 parent-grant-document US 12295682 child US 19203773

parent US continuation 17352505 20210621 parent-grant-document US 11806100 child US 18482395

parent US continuation 15790538 20171023 parent-grant-document US 11039893 child US 17352505

us-provisional-application US 62411258 20161021

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: A61B34/30 (20160101); **A61B34/00** (20160101); **A61B34/10** (20160101); **A61B34/20** (20160101); **A61B90/11** (20160101); **B25J9/16** (20060101); **B25J15/00** (20060101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC A61B34/30 (20160201); **A61B34/10** (20160201); **A61B34/76** (20160201); **A61B90/11** (20160201); **B25J9/1633** (20130101); **B25J9/1679** (20130101); **B25J15/0019** (20130101); **A61B2034/107** (20160201); **A61B2034/2051** (20160201); **A61B2034/2055** (20160201); **A61B2034/304** (20160201); **B25J9/1602** (20130101); **Y10S901/09** (20130101)

Background/Summary

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS [0001] This application is a continuation of is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 18/482,395, filed on Oct. 6, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/352,505, filed on Jun. 21, 2021 (published as U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2021-0307848), which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 15/790,538, filed on Oct. 23, 2017, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,039,893, which claims priority to U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 62/411,258, filed on Oct. 21, 2016 (expired), all of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety for all purposes.

BACKGROUND

[0002] Robotic-assisted surgical systems have been developed to improve surgical precision and enable the implementation of new surgical procedures. For example, robotic systems have been developed to sense a surgeon's hand movements and translate them to scaled-down micro-movements and filter out unintentional tremors for precise microsurgical techniques in organ transplants, reconstructions, and minimally invasive surgeries. Feedback-controlled robotic systems have also been developed to provide smoother manipulation of a surgical tool during a procedure than could be achieved by an unaided surgeon.

[0003] However, widespread acceptance of robotic systems by surgeons and hospitals is limited for a variety of reasons. Current systems are expensive to own and maintain. They often require extensive preoperative surgical planning prior to use, and they extend the required preparation time in the operating room. They are physically intrusive, possibly obscuring portions of a surgeon's field of view and blocking certain areas around the operating table, such that a surgeon and/or surgical assistants are relegated to one side of the operating table. Current systems may also be non-intuitive or otherwise cumbersome to use, particularly for surgeons who have developed a special skill or “feel” for performing certain maneuvers during surgery and who find that such skill cannot be implemented using the robotic system. Finally, robotic surgical systems may be vulnerable to malfunction or operator error, despite safety interlocks and power backups.

[0004] Spinal surgeries often require precision drilling and placement of screws or other implements in relation to the spine, and there may be constrained access to the vertebrae during surgery that makes such maneuvers difficult. Catastrophic damage or death may result from improper drilling or maneuvering of the body during spinal surgery, due to the proximity of the spinal cord and arteries. Common spinal surgical procedures include a discectomy for removal of all or part of a disk, a foraminotomy for widening of the opening where nerve roots leave the spinal column, a laminectomy for removal of the lamina or bone spurs in the back, and spinal fusion for fusing of two vertebrae or vertebral segments together to eliminate pain caused by movement of the vertebrae.

[0005] Spinal surgeries that involve screw placement require preparation of holes in bone (e.g.,

vertebral segments) prior to placement of the screws. Where such procedures are performed manually, in some implementations, a surgeon judges a drill trajectory for subsequent screw placement on the basis of pre-operative CT scans. Other manual methods which do not involve usage of the pre-operative CT scans, such as fluoroscopy, 3D fluoroscopy or natural landmark-based, may be used to determine the trajectory for preparing holes in bone prior to placement of the screws. In some implementations, the surgeon holds the drill in his hand while drilling, and fluoroscopic images are obtained to verify if the trajectory is correct. Some surgical techniques involve usage of different tools, such as a pedicle finder or K-wires. Such procedures rely strongly on the expertise of the surgeon, and there is significant variation in success rate among different surgeons. Screw misplacement is a common problem in such surgical procedures.

[0006] Image-guided spinal surgeries involve optical tracking to aid in screw placement. However, such procedures are currently performed manually, and surgical tools can be inaccurately positioned despite virtual tracking. A surgeon is required to coordinate his real-world, manual manipulation of surgical tools using images displayed on a two dimensional screen. Such procedures can be non-intuitive and require training, since the surgeon's eye must constantly scan both the surgical site and the screen to confirm alignment. Furthermore, procedural error can result in registration inaccuracy of the image-guiding system, rendering it useless, or even misleading. Thus, there is a need for a system for stabilizing surgical instruments while allowing the instruments and the instrument holder to be both easily sterilized and installed and removed from the robotic system without deteriorating localization precision as well as attachment rigidity.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] In an exemplary embodiment, a robotic surgical system includes a robotic arm comprising a force and/or torque control end-effector configured to hold a first surgical tool; an actuator for controlled movement of the robotic arm and/or positioning of the end-effector; a tracking detector for real time detection of surgical tool position and/or end-effector position and patient position; and a processor and a non-transitory computer readable medium storing instructions thereon wherein the instructions, when executed, cause the processor to: access or generate a virtual representation of a patient situation; obtain a real-time surgical tool position and/or end-effector position and patient position from the tracking detector; and maintain a surgical instrument along a pre-planned trajectory that is stored in the non-transitory computer readable medium.

[0008] In another exemplary embodiment, the instructions, when executed, cause the processor to: determine the instrument is within a threshold distance of the pre-planned trajectory; and move the robotic arm such that the instrument is appropriately aligned with the trajectory.

[0009] In another exemplary embodiment, the threshold distance is greater than zero (e.g., greater than 0.1 cm, 0.5 cm, or 1 cm) and less than 1 meter (e.g., less than 20 cm, 10 cm, 5 cm, 3 cm).

[0010] In other embodiments, the surgical robotic system may be used with pre-programmed/pre-planned trajectories and/or surgeries. In one exemplary embodiment, the robotic surgical system can move automatically based on sensor data and artificial intelligence.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

[0011] The foregoing and other objects, aspects, features, and advantages of the present disclosure will become more apparent and better understood by referring to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0012] FIG. 1 is an illustration of drilling a hole using anti-skiving technology and drilling a hole without using anti-skiving technology;

[0013] FIGS. 2A-2C illustrate a trajectory snap feature;

[0014] FIGS. 3A-3C illustrate a universal instrument guide and associated method of use;

[0015] FIGS. 4A and 4B illustrate a hole being drilled in bone using real-time compensation; [0016] FIGS. 5A-5E illustrate robotic guiding of surgical instruments; and [0017] FIG. 6 illustrates a flowchart providing the operational features of the invention according to one exemplary embodiment.

[0018] The features and advantages of the present disclosure will become more apparent from the detailed description set forth below when taken in conjunction with the drawings, in which like reference characters identify corresponding elements throughout. In the drawings, like reference numbers generally indicate identical, functionally similar, and/or structurally similar elements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0019] The present application incorporates by reference in its entirety the contents of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/266,769, filed Apr. 30, 2014, entitled “Apparatus and Systems for Precise Guidance of Surgical Tools”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/602,627, filed Jan. 22, 2015, entitled “Sterile Drape and Adapter for Covering a Robotic Surgical Arm and Preventing Contamination of a Sterile Field”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/695,154, filed Apr. 24, 2015, entitled Surgical Instrument Holder for use with a Robotic Surgical System”; U.S. Patent Application No. 62/395,795, filed Sep. 16, 2016, entitled “Anti-Skid Surgical Instrument for use in Preparing Holes in Bone Tissue”; U.S. Patent Application No. 62/278,313, filed Jan. 13, 2016, entitled “Anti-Skid Surgical Instrument for use in Preparing Holes in Bone Tissue”; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/799,170, filed Jul. 14, 2015, entitled “Anti-Skid Surgical Instrument for use in Preparing Holes in Bone Tissue.”

[0020] Among other things, the disclosed technology relates to intra-operative planning of surgeries using robotic surgical systems and haptic control. Examples of such a system are described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/266,769, filed Apr. 30, 2014, entitled “Apparatus and Systems for Precise Guidance of Surgical Tools”, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0021] Furthermore, the disclosed technology includes methods and systems for stabilizing the robotic surgical system on the operation room floor. Additionally, the disclosed technology includes various components utilized in or with the robotic surgical system, such as a sterile drape and an instrument holder. A sterile drape for use with the disclosed technology is described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/602,627, filed Jan. 22, 2015, entitled “Sterile Drape and Adapter for Covering a Robotic Surgical Arm and Preventing Contamination of a Sterile Field”, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. An example instrument holder that can be used with the disclosed technology is described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/695,154, filed Apr. 24, 2015, entitled Surgical Instrument Holder for use with a Robotic Surgical System”, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0022] The present application relates to robotic surgical systems for assisting surgeons during spinal, neuro, and orthopedic surgery. The disclosed technology provides surgeons with the ability to perform precise, cost-effective robotic-assisted surgery. The disclosed technology may improve patients' outcome and quality of life as well as reduce the radiation received by the operation room team during surgery.

[0023] In one exemplary embodiment, a surgical robotic system provides haptic steering and force feedback and integrates with existing standard instruments. In another exemplary embodiment, the surgical system can be integrated with existing surgical methods, including open, minimally invasive, or percutaneous procedures with or without assistance of a navigation system.

[0024] FIG. 1 is an illustration of using anti-skiving technology to improve hole placement accuracy. Skiving occurs when drill bit goes off the trajectory due to drilling at an angle different than the right angle to the surface. Skiving is a well-known and documented problem for robots used in surgery, such as spinal surgery. The disclosed technology, including the robot, control system and specially designed drill bit, enables skiving to be practically removed. Examples of this technology are described in U.S. Patent Application No. 62/395,795, filed Sep. 16, 2016, entitled

“Anti-Skid Surgical Instrument for use in Preparing Holes in Bone Tissue”, U.S. Patent Application No. 62/278,313, filed Jan. 13, 2016, entitled “Anti-Skid Surgical Instrument for use in Preparing Holes in Bone Tissue”, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/799,170, filed Jul. 14, 2015, entitled “Anti-Skid Surgical Instrument for use in Preparing Holes in Bone Tissue”, the contents of each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. Anti-skiving (also referred to as anti-skid) technology can be used in robotic surgery, with difficult patient anatomy, and for revision surgeries.

[0025] The present disclosure provides a surgical robot that includes a robotic arm mounted on a mobile cart. An actuator may move the robotic arm. The robotic arm may include a force control end-effector configured to hold a surgical tool. The robot may be configured to control and/or allow positioning and/or movement of the end-effector with at least four degrees of freedom (e.g., six degrees of freedom, three translations and three rotations).

[0026] In some implementations, the robotic arm is configured to releasably hold a surgical tool, allowing the surgical tool to be removed and replaced with a second surgical tool. The system may allow the surgical tools to be swapped without re-registration, or with automatic or semi-automatic re-registration of the position of the end-effector.

[0027] In some implementations, the surgical system includes a surgical robot, a tracking detector that captures the position of the patient and different components of the surgical robot, and a display screen that displays, for example, real time patient data and/or real time surgical robot trajectories.

[0028] In some implementations, a tracking detector monitors the location of patient and the surgical robot. The tracking detector may be a camera, a video camera, an infrared detector, field generator and sensors for electro-magnetic tracking or any other motion detecting apparatus. In some implementation, based on the patient and robot position, the display screen displays a projected trajectory and/or a proposed trajectory for the robotic arm of robot from its current location to a patient operation site. By continuously monitoring the patient and robotic arm positions, using tracking detector, the surgical system can calculate updated trajectories and visually display these trajectories on display screen to inform and guide surgeons and/or technicians in the operating room using the surgical robot. In addition, in certain embodiments, the surgical robot may also change its position and automatically position itself based on trajectories calculated from the real time patient and robotic arm positions captured using the tracking detector. For instance, the trajectory of the end-effector can be automatically adjusted in real time to account for movement of the vertebrae or other part of the patient during the surgical procedure.

[0029] Now turning to drawings, FIG. 1 illustrates entry holes created by a standard drill bit and an enhanced drill bit according to one embodiment of the present application. In this exemplary embodiment, an enhanced drill bit is provided which creates an entry hole **12** that is generally larger than non-enhanced drill bit. The enhanced drill bit provides anti-skiving features and produces a larger entry hole to minimize errors that may occur during surgery caused by skiving.

[0030] FIGS. 2A-2C illustrate a surgical robotic system that includes a robot arm **14**, and an end effector **16** that is positioned over a patient. In one embodiment, there is a trajectory “snap” feature that allows optimal positioning of the end effector **16** on a preferred trajectory. In certain embodiments, a surgeon must move the robotic arm **14** so that the end effector **16** is near the desired trajectory for the operation. Rather than have the surgeon perfectly align the end-effector with the trajectory, the robot arm **14** can move the end-effector **16** so that it is appropriately positioned relative to the trajectory once the end-effector is within a threshold distance of the trajectory.

[0031] In certain embodiments, once the end-effector **16** is within a threshold distance of the desired trajectory, the robotic surgical system may automatically move (e.g., at a pre-programmed pace) the end effector **16** such that the end-effector is appropriately positioned along the trajectory. The threshold distance can be greater than zero (e.g., greater than 0.1 cm, 0.5 cm, or 1 cm) and less

than 1 meter (e.g., less than 20 cm, 10 cm, 5 cm, 3 cm).

[0032] In manual surgery, the trajectory has to be found four times: before incision, when drilling, when tapping, and when placing screw. Using the disclosed technology, the trajectory is found once and can be maintained or a new trajectory may be used. The disclosed technology, in certain embodiments, assists a user in quickly finding trajectories in space using guiding forces (like gravity or virtual spring). Trajectories can also be planned using navigation techniques and may be downloaded from navigation, planned manually, or planned automatically. The surgeon can at any time fine-tune the trajectory using haptic control. This provides significant potential for time saving in deformity cases.

[0033] FIGS. 3A-3C illustrates a surgical robotic system that includes a robot arm **20**, and a universal instrument guide **22** and associated methods of use. FIG. 3A is an illustration of a drill bit **24** being inserted into the guide **22** held by the robot arm **20** to drill a hole in a bone. Anti-skiving technology as described above can be used for drilling. Next, as shown in FIG. 3B, a tap **26** is used to prepare/create threads in the hole. Finally, as shown in FIG. 3C, an instrument **26** is used to place a screw in the tapped hole. This can be accomplished using the disclosed technology without the need for a k-wire. Accordingly, a user can drill, tap and place a screw through the same access channel.

[0034] FIGS. 4A and 4B illustrate real-time compensation of the robot arm based on the tracking of optical markers positioned on instruments during the surgical procedure. Specifically, real-time compensation allows the instrument to track the movement of the vertebra. In some situations, the vertebra can move when forces are applied, such as while drilling a hole. In one exemplary embodiment, the robot arm follows the movement of the vertebra in real time using navigation techniques.

[0035] In one embodiment, as movement of the vertebra is detected and the robot arm

[0036] automatically adjusts the position of the instrument based on this detected movement. This feature allows the planned or set trajectory to be maintained.

[0037] Specifically, FIG. 4A illustrates the vertebra **30** of a patient and tracking device **32** in a first position and the drill **34** in a first position. As shown in FIG. 4B, the vertebra **30** has moved to a second position and the drill **34** has moved to a corresponding second position automatically based on the tracking of the patient and the instruments. This technique can be used in many surgeries, particularly surgeries that will encounter highly mobile vertebrae, such as cervical and trauma surgeries. In other embodiments, as the vertebra is moved either accidentally or purposefully, the robotic system automatically calculates the movement of the vertebra based on movement and registration of the tracking device **32**. Using the monitored movement of the vertebra, the robotic system then automatically causes the robot arm to move to the planned trajectory based on the second position of the vertebra.

[0038] FIG. 5A illustrates a user planning a trajectory. In one embodiment, there is a robotic arm **40** coupled to a base **42**. The robotic arm **40** includes an end effector **44** that is configured to receive an instrument **46** for performing surgical procedures. A user can move the robotic arm **40** with the instrument **46** (e.g., pointer) held by the end-effector **44** to a desired trajectory. The user can then select to have the particular trajectory saved by within a computer processor of the robotic surgical system. Alternatively, the trajectory can be obtained through real-time navigation or tracking of the instrument **46** and the patient through the use of optical markers. As shown in FIG. 5B, in certain embodiments, the vertebra can be punched with an instrument **46** such as an awl. As shown in FIG. 5C, the hole is drilled and tapped to prepare for insertion of a screw. In certain embodiments, as shown in FIG. 5D, the robot arm is in trajectory-lock mode and follows the advancement of an instrument **46**. This can be accomplished by measuring the force applied to the instrument by the user via a force sensor coupled at the end effector and moving the robotic arm in accordance with this force. The force sensor is configured to sense and measure all forces applied to the end effector. These force sensor is capable of measuring forces in the x, y, and z directions. Next, as

shown in FIG. 5E, a screw is placed in the tapped hole. In other embodiments, the instrument **46** may be a drill, a tap, k-wire or anything instrument **46** suited to be used for a particular spinal procedure.

[0039] FIG. **6** illustrates a flow chart of the feedback system according to one exemplary embodiment of the present application. A user provides a planned trajectory **60** for positioning the robot arm and end effector through the use of navigation techniques. In one exemplary embodiment the planned trajectory is the directional position of the end effector so that a pedicle screw may be placed in the vertebra. In other embodiments, the planned trajectory may align the end effector so that an intervertebral spacer may be positioned through the end effector in the intervertebral space of adjacent vertebral bodies. In another embodiment, the planned trajectory may align the end effector so that a bone plate may be positioned on adjacent vertebral bodies. In other embodiments, the planned trajectory may be a procedure such a biopsy, a discectomy, bone graft implementation, kyphoplasty, vertebroplasty.

[0040] Turning back to FIG. **6**, once the planned trajectory is provided to the computer system operating the robotic arm, the system continuously checks the position of the end effector with planned trajectory positions and provides a graphical image **64** of the planned positioning of the end effector and the actual positioning of the end effector. The variance **66** between the planned trajectory and actual positioning of the end effector and the patient is calculated and imaged to the user. If the variance is less than a threshold distance **68**, then robot arm remains unmoved from the planned trajectory and the computer system rechecks the position of the end effector **62** in view of the patient. If the variance is greater than a threshold distance **70**, the feedback system will signal the actuator of the robot arm to move the end effector to the planned trajectory based on the position of the patient and the instruments that are navigated by the optical system. In some embodiments, if the patient is moved accidentally, the threshold variance will be greater than the planned trajectory variance and the robot arm will move the end effector to the new trajectory that is within the threshold distance. In one exemplary embodiment, the threshold distance may be greater than 0.1 cm, 0.5 cm, or 1 cm or less than 1 meter (less than 20 cm, 10 cm, 5 cm, 3 cm). In certain embodiments, the robotic surgical system continuously checks the threshold distances in real-time. In other embodiments, the user may input a number of reviews of the threshold distances to minimize robotic arm movements.

[0041] In certain embodiments, the disclosed technology is used for volume removal. For example, the disclosed technology can be used for orthopedic surgery, such as unilateral knee replacement. No-go zones, such as locations of nerves and tendons, can be defined before the procedure is performed. Stay-in zones (volume for implant placement-“negative” of the implant) can also be defined. A surgeon can manipulate the robot, directly or remotely, to perform the robot. However, the robot can ensure that the instrument used attached to the end-effector does not enter a no-go zone remains within a stay-in zone. This provides quick and precise implant placement in accordance with planning. Furthermore, the system can be fully interactive such that the surgeon remains in control the entire time.

[0042] In certain embodiments, the disclosed technology can be used for rod bending. For example, the system can bend rods for use in deformity cases. The system provides quick, easy and automatic rod bending to create the appropriately shaped rod. The desired shape can take into consideration target sagittal balance and actual pedicle screw placement. The system can also provide a small bending radius even when the rod is formed of the hardest materials. In certain embodiments, the robot is “locked” to particular rods only. The rod bending system provides significant time savings and usability improvements.

[0043] In view of the structure, functions and apparatus of the systems and methods described here, in some implementations, a system and method for providing a robotic surgical system are provided. Having described certain implementations of methods and apparatus for supporting an robotic surgical systems, it will now become apparent to one of skill in the art that other

implementations incorporating the concepts of the disclosure may be used. Therefore, the disclosure should not be limited to certain implementations, but rather should be limited only by the spirit and scope of the following claims.

[0044] Throughout the description, where apparatus and systems are described as having, including, or comprising specific components, or where processes and methods are described as having, including, or comprising specific steps, it is contemplated that, additionally, there are apparatus, and systems of the present invention that consist essentially of, or consist of, the recited components, and that there are processes and methods according to the present invention that consist essentially of, or consist of, the recited processing steps.

[0045] It should be understood that the order of steps or order for performing certain action is immaterial so long as the invention remains operable. Moreover, two or more steps or actions may be conducted simultaneously.

Claims

1. A method for performing robotic-assisted surgery, the method comprising: providing a robot surgical system including: a robotic arm; an end-effector configured to be attached to the robotic arm and hold a surgical tool; an actuator to move the robotic arm and position the end-effector; tracking markers configured to be attached to a patient; and a tracking detector configured to track the position of the tracking markers; obtaining a real-time patient position from the tracking detector and a real-time end-effector position while a surgeon is manually moving the end-effector; determining whether the manually moving end-effector is within a threshold distance of a pre-planned trajectory based on the obtained real-time patient position and the real-time end-effector position; upon determining that the end-effector position is within the threshold distance, controlling the actuator to automatically take over and move the robotic arm at a pre-programmed pace such that the end-effector is aligned with the pre-planned trajectory; and after the end-effector position has been aligned, performing an automatic and continuous motion compensation by maintaining the alignment of the end-effector position along the pre-planned trajectory.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein performing an automatic and continuous motion compensation includes: repeatedly calculating a variance between a planned position and an actual position of the end-effector relative to the patient; controlling the actuator to move the end-effector to the planned position if the calculated variance is greater than a threshold amount.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein controlling the actuator to automatically take over and move the robotic arm includes moving the robotic arm to snap the end-effector into the pre-planned trajectory.
4. The method of claim 2, wherein controlling the actuator to move the end-effector to the planned position includes controlling the actuator to move if the calculated variance is greater than 0.5 cm.
5. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting the surgical tool through a through hole of the end effector.
6. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting a drill bit as the surgical tool through a through hole of the end effector.
7. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting an awl as the surgical tool through a through hole of the end effector.
8. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting a pedicle screw in bone using the surgical tool held by the end-effector.
9. The method of claim 8, further comprising determining a position of the surgical tool by tracking markers attached to the surgical tool.
10. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting a pedicle screw in bone using the surgical tool held by the end-effector while the end-effector is aligned along the pre-planned trajectory.
11. The method of claim 1, further comprising inserting an intervertebral spacer between two

adjacent vertebral bodies using the surgical tool held by the end-effector while the end-effector is aligned along the pre-planned trajectory.

12. A method for performing robotic-assisted surgery, the method comprising: providing a robot surgical system including: a robotic arm, an end-effector configured to be attached to the robotic arm, an actuator to move the robotic arm, optical tracking markers configured to be attached to a patient, and an optical tracking detector; obtaining a real-time patient position from the optical tracking detector and a real-time end-effector position while a surgeon is manually moving the end-effector; determining whether the manually moving end-effector is within a threshold distance of a pre-planned trajectory based on the obtained real-time patient position and the real-time end-effector position; upon determining that the end-effector position is within the threshold distance, controlling the actuator to automatically take over and snap the end-effector into the pre-planned trajectory; and after the end-effector position has been snapped into the pre-planned trajectory, performing an automatic and continuous motion compensation by maintaining the alignment of the end-effector position along the pre-planned trajectory.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein performing an automatic and continuous motion compensation includes: repeatedly calculating a variance between a planned position and an actual position of the end-effector relative to the patient; controlling the actuator to move the end-effector to the planned position if the calculated variance is greater than a threshold amount.

14. The method of claim 12, wherein controlling the actuator to move the end-effector to the planned position includes controlling the actuator to move if the calculated variance is greater than 0.5 cm.

15. The method of claim 12, further comprising inserting the surgical tool through a through hole of the end effector.

16. The method of claim 12, further comprising inserting a drill bit as the surgical tool through a through hole of the end effector.

17. The method of claim 12, further comprising inserting an awl as the surgical tool through a through hole of the end effector.

18. The method of claim 12, further comprising inserting a pedicle screw in bone using the surgical tool held by the end-effector while the end-effector is aligned along the pre-planned trajectory.

19. The method of claim 18, further comprising determining a position of the surgical tool by tracking optical markers attached to the surgical tool.

20. The method of claim 12, further comprising inserting an intervertebral spacer between two adjacent vertebral bodies using the surgical tool held by the end-effector while the end-effector is aligned along the pre-planned trajectory.
