# US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent Application Publication
Kind Code
Publication Date
Inventor(s)

20250265549 A1 August 21, 2025 ROGYNSKYY; Oleg et al.

# SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR UPDATING RECORD OBJECTS OF A SYSTEM OF RECORD

#### Abstract

The present disclosure relates to generating performance profiles of member nodes. A plurality of electronic activities can be accessed. A subset of electronic activities from the plurality of electronic activities can be identified. The subset of electronic activities can be parsed to identify participants of the electronic activities. A second node profile can be accessed for each participant. Participant types can be identified from each second node profiles. A distribution of the subset of electronic activities can be determined. A performance profile can be generated.

Inventors: ROGYNSKYY; Oleg (Menlo Park, CA), BRUNETS; Yurii (Burlingame, CA),

JESKE; Eric (Tiburon, CA), DINGWALL; Nicholas (San Francisco, CA)

**Applicant: People.ai, Inc.** (San Francisco, CA)

Family ID: 1000008589405

Assignee: People.ai, Inc. (San Francisco, CA)

Appl. No.: 19/204262

Filed: May 09, 2025

## **Related U.S. Application Data**

parent US continuation 17986214 20221114 parent-grant-document US 12301683 child US 19204262

parent US continuation 17102379 20201123 parent-grant-document US 11503131 child US 17986214

parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034062 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379 parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034052 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379 parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034033 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379

parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034068 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379

parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034045 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379

```
parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034042 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379
parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034050 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379
parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034030 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379
parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034046 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379
parent US continuation PCT/US2019/034070 20190524 PENDING child US 17102379
parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US
PCT/US2019/034062
parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US
16213754
parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US
16237582
parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US
16237579
parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US
16237585
parent US continuation-in-part 16398150 20190429 parent-grant-document US 10878015 child US
16237580
parent US continuation-in-part 16418836 20190521 parent-grant-document US 11909834 child US
16398150
parent US continuation-in-part 16418892 20190521 parent-grant-document US 11418626 child US
16418836
parent US continuation-in-part 16421256 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10535031 child US
16418892
parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US
PCT/US2019/034052
parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US
16213754
parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US
16237582
parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US
16237580
parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US
16237585
parent US continuation-in-part 16361009 20190321 parent-grant-document US 10678795 child US
16237579
parent US continuation-in-part 16360997 20190321 parent-grant-document US 10509781 child US
16361009
parent US continuation-in-part 16361025 20190321 parent-grant-document US 11048740 child US
16360997
parent US continuation-in-part 16360960 20190321 parent-grant-document US 10521443 child US
16361025
parent US continuation-in-part 16360884 20190321 parent-grant-document US 10516587 child US
16360960
parent US continuation-in-part 16371035 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10866980 child US
16360884
parent US continuation-in-part 16399690 20190430 parent-grant-document US 10860794 child US
16371035
parent US continuation-in-part 16418539 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10671612 child US
16399690
parent US continuation-in-part 16418725 20190521 parent-grant-document US 11363121 child US
```

16418539 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034033 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16237580 parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US 16237579 parent US continuation-in-part 16399679 20190430 parent-grant-document US 10489387 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16418846 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10679001 child US 16399679 parent US continuation-in-part 16418807 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10922345 child US 16418846 parent US continuation-in-part 16419583 20190522 parent-grant-document US 10657131 child US 16418807 parent US continuation-in-part 16420039 20190522 parent-grant-document US 10545980 child US 16419583 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034068 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16237580 parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US 16237579 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16360892 20190321 ABANDONED child US 16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16371049 20190331 ABANDONED child US 16360892 parent US continuation-in-part 16371039 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10503719 child US 16371049 parent US continuation-in-part 16400000 20190430 parent-grant-document US 10489388 child US 16371039 parent US continuation-in-part 16399787 20190430 parent-grant-document US 10496675 child US 16400000 parent US continuation-in-part 16418826 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10769151 child US 16399787 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034045 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16237580 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US

parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US

16237579

16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16371042 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10489457 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16371050 20190331 ABANDONED child US 16371042 parent US continuation-in-part 16371044 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10516784 child US 16371050 parent US continuation-in-part 16398157 20190429 parent-grant-document US 10496688 child US 16371044 parent US continuation-in-part 16399768 20190430 ABANDONED child US 16398157 parent US continuation-in-part 16418851 20190521 parent-grant-document US 11017004 child US 16399768 parent US continuation-in-part 16418867 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10860633 child US 16418851 parent US continuation-in-part 16420052 20190522 parent-grant-document US 10649998 child US 16418867 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034042 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US 16237579 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US 16237580 parent US continuation-in-part 16360953 20190321 parent-grant-document US 11265388 child US 16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16360866 20190321 parent-grant-document US 10496635 child US 16360953 parent US continuation-in-part 16360933 20190321 parent-grant-document US 10505888 child US 16360866 parent US continuation-in-part 16398153 20190429 parent-grant-document US 10489462 child US 16360933 parent US continuation-in-part 16418891 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10496681 child US 16398153 parent US continuation-in-part 16418769 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10496636 child US 16418891 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034050 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US 16237579 parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US 16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16371041 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10552932 child US 16237580

parent US continuation-in-part 16371048 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10657130 child US

16371041 parent US continuation-in-part 16371037 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10503783 child US 16371048 parent US continuation-in-part 16398220 20190429 parent-grant-document US 10901997 child US 16371037 parent US continuation-in-part 16399706 20190430 parent-grant-document US 10509786 child US 16398220 parent US continuation-in-part 16418629 20190521 parent-grant-document US 10489430 child US 16399706 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034030 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US 16237580 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US 16237579 parent US continuation-in-part 16371048 20190331 parent-grant-document US 10657130 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16420059 20190522 parent-grant-document US 11343337 child US 16371048 parent US continuation-in-part 16421288 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10498856 child US 16420059 parent US continuation-in-part 16421151 20190523 ABANDONED child US 16421288 parent US continuation-in-part 16421328 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10649999 child US 16421151 parent US continuation-in-part 16421298 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10504050 child US 16421328 parent US continuation-in-part 16421280 20190523 ABANDONED child US 16421298 parent US continuation-in-part 16421309 20190523 ABANDONED child US 16421280 parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US PCT/US2019/034046 parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US 16213754 parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US 16237582 parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US 16237580 parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US 16237585 parent US continuation-in-part 16420059 20190522 parent-grant-document US 11343337 child US 16237579 parent US continuation-in-part 16421280 20190523 ABANDONED child US 16420059 parent US continuation-in-part 16421328 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10649999 child US 16421280

parent US continuation-in-part 16421288 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10498856 child US

16421328

parent US continuation-in-part 16421298 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10504050 child US

```
16421298
parent US continuation-in-part 16421309 20190523 ABANDONED child US 16421288
parent US continuation-in-part 16421151 20190523 ABANDONED child US 16421309
parent US continuation-in-part 16213754 20181207 parent-grant-document US 10565229 child US
PCT/US2019/034070
parent US continuation-in-part 16237585 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10585880 child US
16213754
parent US continuation-in-part 16237579 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10657129 child US
16237585
parent US continuation-in-part 16237580 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10599653 child US
16237579
parent US continuation-in-part 16237582 20181231 parent-grant-document US 10528601 child US
16237580
parent US continuation-in-part 16398260 20190429 parent-grant-document US 10496634 child US
16237582
parent US continuation-in-part 16421370 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10657132 child US
16398260
parent US continuation-in-part 16421324 20190523 parent-grant-document US 10515072 child US
16421370
parent US continuation-in-part 16418747 20190521 parent-grant-document US 11647091 child US
PCT/US2019/034045
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524
us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831
us-provisional-application US 62747452 20181018
```

us-provisional-application US 62676187 20180524 us-provisional-application US 62725999 20180831

#### **Publication Classification**

```
Int. Cl.: G06Q10/107 (20230101); G06F7/14 (20060101); G06F9/54 (20060101); G06F11/30
        (20060101); G06F11/34 (20060101); G06F16/11 (20190101); G06F16/17 (20190101);
        G06F16/178 (20190101); G06F16/182 (20190101); G06F16/21 (20190101);
        G06F16/215 (20190101); G06F16/22 (20190101); G06F16/23 (20190101); G06F16/245
        (20190101); G06F16/2455 (20190101); G06F16/2457 (20190101); G06F16/2458
        (20190101); G06F16/25 (20190101); G06F16/26 (20190101); G06F16/27 (20190101);
        G06F16/28 (20190101); G06F16/29 (20190101); G06F16/31 (20190101); G06F16/335
        (20190101); G06F16/355 (20250101); G06F16/901 (20190101); G06F16/903
        (20190101); G06F16/9035 (20190101); G06F16/906 (20190101); G06F16/9535
        (20190101); G06F21/62 (20130101); G06F40/20 (20200101); G06F40/205 (20200101);
        G06F40/237 (20200101); G06F40/295 (20200101); G06N3/08 (20230101); G06N5/025
        (20230101); G06N5/04 (20230101); G06N7/02 (20060101); G06N20/00 (20190101);
        G06Q10/04 (20230101); G06Q10/0631 (20230101); G06Q10/0639 (20230101);
        G06Q10/10 (20230101); G06Q10/109 (20230101); G06Q10/1091 (20230101);
        G06Q10/1093 (20230101); G06Q50/22 (20240101); G16H15/00 (20180101);
        G16H50/20 (20180101); G16H50/30 (20180101); H04L12/14 (20240101); H04L41/14
        (20220101); H04L43/00 (20220101); H04L43/026 (20220101); H04L43/045
        (20220101); H04L43/062 (20220101); H04L43/065 (20220101); H04L43/067
        (20220101); H04L43/08 (20220101); H04L43/0876 (20220101); H04L51/046
        (20220101); H04L51/212 (20220101); H04L51/234 (20220101); H04L51/42
        (20220101); H04L61/45 (20220101); H04L67/125 (20220101); H04L67/30 (20220101);
        H04L67/303 (20220101); H04L67/306 (20220101); H04L67/50 (20220101);
        H04L101/00 (20220101); H04L101/35 (20220101); H04L101/37 (20220101);
        H04M3/22 (20060101); H04M3/436 (20060101); H04M3/56 (20060101); H04M15/00
        (20240101)
```

#### U.S. Cl.:

```
CPC
        G06Q10/107 (20130101); G06F7/14 (20130101); G06F9/542 (20130101);
        G06F11/3024 (20130101); G06F11/3452 (20130101); G06F11/3495 (20130101);
        G06F16/122 (20190101); G06F16/1734 (20190101); G06F16/178 (20190101);
        G06F16/182 (20190101); G06F16/212 (20190101); G06F16/215 (20190101);
        G06F16/219 (20190101); G06F16/22 (20190101); G06F16/221 (20190101);
        G06F16/2228 (20190101); G06F16/2264 (20190101); G06F16/2272 (20190101);
        G06F16/23 (20190101); G06F16/235 (20190101); G06F16/2358 (20190101);
        G06F16/2365 (20190101); G06F16/2379 (20190101); G06F16/2386 (20190101);
        G06F16/245 (20190101); G06F16/24558 (20190101); G06F16/24564 (20190101);
        G06F16/2457 (20190101); G06F16/24575 (20190101); G06F16/24578 (20190101);
        G06F16/2477 (20190101); G06F16/254 (20190101); G06F16/256 (20190101);
        G06F16/26 (20190101); G06F16/27 (20190101); G06F16/273 (20190101); G06F16/28
        (20190101); G06F16/285 (20190101); G06F16/288 (20190101); G06F16/289
        (20190101); G06F16/29 (20190101); G06F16/313 (20190101); G06F16/337
        (20190101); G06F16/355 (20190101); G06F16/901 (20190101); G06F16/9024
        (20190101); G06F16/90344 (20190101); G06F16/9035 (20190101); G06F16/906
        (20190101); G06F16/9535 (20190101); G06F21/6218 (20130101); G06F21/6245
```

```
(20130101); G06F40/20 (20200101); G06F40/237 (20200101); G06F40/295
(20200101); G06N3/08 (20130101); G06N5/025 (20130101); G06N5/04 (20130101);
G06N7/02 (20130101); G06Q10/04 (20130101); G06Q10/063114 (20130101);
G06Q10/06312 (20130101); G06Q10/06315 (20130101); G06Q10/06393 (20130101);
G06Q10/06398 (20130101); G06Q10/109 (20130101); G06Q10/1091 (20130101);
G06Q10/1095 (20130101); G06Q50/22 (20130101); G16H50/20 (20180101);
H04L41/14 (20130101); H04L43/026 (20130101); H04L43/045 (20130101);
H04L43/062 (20130101); H04L43/065 (20130101); H04L43/067 (20130101);
H04L43/0876 (20130101); H04L43/14 (20130101); H04L51/046 (20130101);
H04L51/212 (20220501); H04L51/234 (20220501); H04L51/42 (20220501);
H04L61/45 (20220501); H04L67/125 (20130101); H04L67/30 (20130101);
H04L67/303 (20130101); H04L67/306 (20130101); H04L67/535 (20220501);
H04M3/436 (20130101); H04M15/755 (20130101); G06F40/205 (20200101);
G06N20/00 (20190101); G06Q10/10 (20130101); G16H15/00 (20180101); G16H50/30
(20180101); H04L12/1407 (20130101); H04L43/08 (20130101); H04L2101/00
(20220501); H04L2101/35 (20220501); H04L2101/37 (20220501); H04M3/2218
(20130101); H04M3/56 (20130101)
```

### **Background/Summary**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS [0001] The present application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/102,379, filed on Nov. 23, 2020, which is a continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034030, filed on May 24, 2019, which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,048, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/420,059, filed May 22, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,280, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,288, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,298, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,151, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,309, filed May 23, 2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,328, filed May 23, 2019, each of which are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0002] The present application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034046, filed on May 24, 2019, which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,048, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/420,059, filed May 22, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,280, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,288, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,298, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,151, filed May 23, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,309, filed May 23,

```
2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,328, filed May 23, 2019, each of which are
incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0003] The present application is a continuation
of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a continuation of P.C.T.
Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034050, filed on May 24, 2019, which claims the benefit of
and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S.
Provisional Patent Application 62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent
Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed
Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent
application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582,
filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent
application Ser. No. 16/371,048, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,037,
filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,041, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent
application Ser. No. 16/398,220, filed Apr. 29, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/399,706,
filed Apr. 30, 2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,629, filed May 21, 2019, each of
which are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0004] The present application is a
continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a
continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034052, filed on May 24, 2019
(Attorney Docket No. PPL-003PC), which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional
Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application
62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/360,884, filed Mar. 21,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/360,884, filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/360,997, filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/361,009, filed Mar. 21,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/361,025, filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/371,035, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/399,690, filed Apr. 30,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,539, filed May 21, 2019, and U.S. patent application
Ser. No. 16/418,725, filed May 21, 2019, each of which are incorporated herein by reference for all
purposes. [0005] The present application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214,
filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No.
PCT/US2019/034042, filed on May 24, 2019 (Attorney Docket No. PPL-004PC), which claims the
benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S.
Provisional Patent Application 62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent
Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed
Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent
application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582,
filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent
application Ser. No. 16/360,866, filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/360,933,
filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/360,953, filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent
application Ser. No. 16/398,153, filed Apr. 29, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,769,
filed May 21, 2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,891, filed May 21, 2019, each of
which are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0006] The present application is a
continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a
continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034045, filed on May 24, 2019
(Attorney Docket No. PPL-005PC), which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional
Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application
62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
```

```
No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,042, filed Mar. 31,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,050, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/398,157, filed Apr. 29, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/399,768, filed Apr. 30,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,747, filed May 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/418,851, filed May 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,867, filed May 22,
2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/420,052, filed May 22, 2019, each of which are
incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0007] The present application is a continuation
of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a continuation of P.C.T.
Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034070, filed on May 24, 2019 (Attorney Docket No. PPL-
007PC), which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application
62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/725,999, filed Aug. 31,
2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application
Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/398,260, filed Apr. 29, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/421,324, filed May 23, 2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,370, filed May
23, 2019, each of which are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0008] The present
application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which
is a continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034033, filed on May 24, 2019
(Attorney Docket No. PPL-008PC), which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional
Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application
62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/399,679, filed Apr. 30,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,807, filed May 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/418,846, filed May 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/419,583, filed May 22,
2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/420,039, filed May 22, 2019, each of which are
incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0009] The present application is a continuation
of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which is a continuation of P.C.T.
Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034068, filed on May 24, 2019 (Attorney Docket No. PPL-
009PC), which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application
62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/725,999, filed Aug. 31,
2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application
Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/237,582, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31,
2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/360,892, filed Mar. 21, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/371,039, filed Mar. 31, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/371,049, filed Mar. 31,
2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/399,787, filed Apr. 30, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser.
No. 16/400,000, filed Apr. 30, 2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,826, filed May 21,
2019, each of which are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes. [0010] The present
application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 17/986,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2022, which
is a continuation of P.C.T. Patent Application No. PCT/US2019/034062, filed on May 24, 2019
(Attorney Docket No. PPL-010PC), which claims the benefit of and priority to U.S. Provisional
Patent Application 62/747,452, filed Oct. 18, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application
```

62/725,999, filed Aug. 31, 2018, U.S. Provisional Patent Application 62/676,187, filed May 24, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/213,754, filed Dec. 7, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,579, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,580, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/237,585, filed Dec. 31, 2018, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/398,150, filed Apr. 29, 2019, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/418,892, filed May 21, 2019, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/421,256, filed May 21, 2019, each of which are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.

#### **BACKGROUND**

[0011] An organization may attempt to manage or maintain a system of record associated with electronic communications at the organization. The system of record can include information such as contact information, logs, and other data associated with the electronic activities. Data regarding the electronic communications can be transmitted between computing devices associated with one or more organizations using one or more transmission protocols, channels, or formats, and can contain various types of information. For example, the electronic communication can include information about a sender of the electronic communication, a recipient of the electronic communication, and content of the electronic communication. The information regarding the electronic communication can be input into a record being managed or maintained by the organization. However, due to the large volume of heterogeneous electronic communications transmitted between devices and the challenges of manually entering data, inputting the information regarding each electronic communication into a system of record can be challenging, time consuming, and error prone.

#### **SUMMARY**

[0012] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for constructing a node graph based on electronic activity. The node graph can include a plurality of nodes and a plurality of edges between the nodes indicating activity or relationships that are derived from a plurality of data sources that can include one or more types of electronic activities. The plurality of data sources can further include systems of record, such as customer relationship management systems, enterprise resource planning systems, document management systems, applicant tracking systems or other sources of data that may maintain electronic activities, activities or records.

[0013] The present disclosure further relates to systems and methods for using the node graph to manage, maintain, improve, or otherwise modify one or more systems of record by linking and or synchronizing electronic activities to one or more record objects of the systems of record. In particular, the systems described herein can be configured to automatically synchronize real-time or near real-time electronic activity to one or more objects of systems of record. The systems can further extract business process information from the systems of record and in combination with the node graph, use the extracted business process information to improve business processes and to provide data driven solutions to improve such business processes.

[0014] At least one aspect of the present disclosure is directed to systems and methods for maintaining an electronic activity derived member node network. For example, a node profile for a member node in a node graph can include information such as first name, last name, company, and job title. However, it may be challenging to accurately and efficiently populate fields in a node profile due to large number of member nodes. Furthermore, permitting self-population of node profiles by member nodes can result in erroneous data values, improper data values, or otherwise undesired data values due in part to human bias. Having erroneous data values in a node profile can cause downstream components or functions that perform processing using the node profiles to malfunction or generate faulty outputs.

[0015] Thus, systems and methods of the present technical solution can generate an electronic activity derived member node network that includes node profiles for a member node that is

generated based on electronic activity. By generating the member node profile for the member node using electronic activity and a statistical analysis, the system can generate the profile with data fields and values that pass a verification process or statistical analysis using electronic activities. [0016] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can include accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of electronic activities that are transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers. The method can include accessing, by the one or more processors, a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record. Each of the record object of the plurality of record objects can correspond to a record object type. Each of the record objects can include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. The system or record can correspond to the one or more data source providers. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities to match to one or more record objects. The electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities can identify participants that can include a sender of the electronic activity and one or more recipients of the electronic activity. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a data source provider associated with providing the one or more processors access to the electronic activity. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a system of record corresponding to the determined data source provider. The system of record can include a plurality of candidate record objects to which to match the electronic activity. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors and responsive to applying a first policy including one or more filtering rules, that the electronic activity is to be matched to at least one record object of the identified system of record. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors and responsive to applying a second policy including one or more rules for identifying candidate record objects based on one or more participants of the electronic activity, one or more candidate record objects to which to match the electronic activity. The method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, at least one candidate record object based on the second policy. The method can include storing, by the one or more processors, in a data structure an association between the selected at least one candidate record object and the electronic activity. [0017] In some implementations, identifying the system of record corresponding to the determined data source provider can include identifying, by the one or more processors, the system of record based on a domain associated with an email address of the sender of the electronic activity. Determining that the electronic activity is to be matched to at least one record object of the identified system of record can include determining that the electronic activity does not satisfy one or more filtering rules configured to cause the one or more processors to restrict the electronic activity from being matched to the at least one record object. The filtering rules can include at least one of i) a keyword rule configured to restrict electronic activities including a predetermined keyword; ii) a regex pattern rule configured to restrict electronic activities including one or more character strings that match a predetermined regex pattern; iii) a logic-based rule configured to restrict electronic activities based on the participants of the electronic activities satisfying a predetermined group of participants.

[0018] The filtering rules can be defined by the data source provider of the electronic activity and the system of record to which to match the electronic activity. Determining that the electronic activity is to be matched to at least one record object of the identified system of record can include determining that the electronic activity does not include one or more predetermined words included in a list of restricted words. Determining to match the electronic activity can include determining that the electronic activity does not include any character strings that have a regular expression (regex) pattern that matches a predefined regex pattern included in a list of restricted regex patterns. Determining to match the electronic activity can include determining that the sender and at least one of the one or more recipients match a list of restricted sender-recipient pairs. [0019] The method can include selecting candidate record objects based on a first policy and a second policy. The second policy can include a first set of rules and a second set of rules. The

candidate record objects can be identified by applying the second policy including the first set of rules for identifying candidate record objects based on one or more recipients of the electronic activity. The first set of rules can identify a first set of candidate record objects to which to match the electronic activity. Each of the candidate record objects of the first set can be identified based on the one or more recipients of the electronic activity. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, responsive to applying the second policy including the second set of rules for identifying candidate record objects based on the sender of the electronic activity, a second set of candidate record object of the second set can be identified based on the sender of the electronic activity. The method can include selected at least one candidate record object included in both the first set of candidate record objects and the second set of candidate record objects. The selected candidate record object can be match to the electronic activity based on the first set of rules and the second set of rules of the second policy.

[0020] The method can include identifying, responsive to applying the second policy, the first set of candidate record objects by applying a first matching rule of the first set of rules to identify one or more first record objects corresponding to a first record object type and applying a second matching rule of the first set of rules to identify one or more second record objects corresponding to a second record object type. The second policy can assign a first priority level to the first matching rule and a second priority level to the second matching rule. The first priority level can be greater than the second priority level. The method can include receiving, from the data source provider, at least one instruction to select a subset of the first set of rules or the second set of rules and assign a first priority to a first matching rule of the subset and assign a second priority level to a second matching rule of the subset.

[0021] In some implementations, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that a first electronic activity previously matched to a first record object of the data source provider is matched to a second record object of the data source provider. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, one or more matching policies of the data source provider that apply to the first electronic activity. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, responsive to determining that the first electronic activity previously matched to the first record object is matched to the second record object, the one or more matching policies for the data source provider such that the one or more processors can match a second electronic activity including the participants of the first electronic activity to the second record object. The method can include identifying one or more candidate record objects of a first record object type based on the recipients of the electronic activity.

[0022] In some implementations, the first set of rules can include a rule to identify one or more record objects of a first record object type based on an object field value of the record object that identifies one or more nodes. Identifying, responsive to applying the second policy, the first set of candidate record objects can include identifying one or more candidate record objects of the first record object type responsive to determining that the one or more of the participants are identified by the object field value.

[0023] The object field value can be a value of a first object field corresponding to an object owner that identifies an owner of the record object or a second object field corresponding to a team that identifies a group of people linked with the record object in the system of record. Identifying, responsive to applying the second policy, the first set of candidate record objects can include identifying a particular record object of the data source provider responsive to determining that the electronic activity includes a predetermined character string that satisfies a rule to match electronic activities including the character string to a particular record object. The second set of rules can include a rule to identify one or more record objects of a first record object type that are linked with the sender of the electronic activity. Identifying, responsive to applying the second policy, the second set of candidate record objects can include identifying one or more record objects that

identify the sender as an object field value to an object field of the record object.

[0024] The second set of rules can include a rule to identify one or more record objects of a first record object type based on an object field value of the record object that identifies one or more nodes. Identifying, responsive to applying the second policy, the second set of candidate record objects can include identifying one or more candidate record objects of the first record object type responsive to determining that the one or more of the participants are identified by the object field value.

[0025] The method can include retrieving, from one or more second servers, a first plurality of record objects corresponding to a first system of record of a first data source provider and second plurality of record objects corresponding to a second system of record of a second data source provider. The method can include assigning, by the one or more processors, one or more tags to the electronic activity based on a first character string included in a body of the electronic activity; ii) a second character string included in a metadata of the electronic activity; or iii) other electronic activity.

[0026] The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, at least one candidate record object of the one or more record objects based on one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, for at least one of the participants of the electronic activity, a respective unique identifier used by the system of record corresponding to the data source provider to represent the participant.

[0027] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can include maintaining, by one or more processors, a plurality of node profiles. The node profiles can correspond to a plurality of unique entities. Each of the node profiles can include a plurality of fields. Each field of the plurality of fields can include one or more value data structures. Each value data structure of the one or more value data structures can include a node field value and one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that support the node field value of the value data structure. The method can include accessing, by the one or more processors, a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts that can be associated with one or more data source providers. The one or more processors can be configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the plurality of electronic activities. The method can include maintaining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record. Each record object of the plurality of record objects can include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. The method can include extracting, by the one or more processors, data included in an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities. The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles based on determining that the extracted data of the electronic activity and the one or more values of the fields of the at least one node profile satisfy a node profile matching policy. The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to at least one record object of the plurality of record objects based on the extracted data of the electronic activity and object values of the at least one record object. The method can include storing, by the one or more processors, in a data structure, an association between the electronic activity and the at least one record object.

[0028] In some implementations, the method can include retrieving, from one or more second processors, a first plurality of record objects corresponding to a first system of record of a first data source provider and second plurality of record objects corresponding to a second system of record of a second data source provider. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a sender of the electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities. The method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles associated with the sender of the electronic activity. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects of the one or more systems of record based on the first node profile.

[0029] In some implementations, the method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a recipient of the electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities. The method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, a second node profile of the plurality of node profiles associated with the recipient of the electronic activity. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a second set of record objects of the plurality of record objects of the one or more systems of record based on the second node profile. The method can include matching the electronic activity to the at least one record object of the plurality of record objects based on an intersection of the first set of record objects and the second set of record objects. [0030] In some implementations, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of candidate record objects based on the intersection of the first set of record objects and the second set of record objects. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, each of the plurality of candidate record objects as belonging to one of a plurality of record object types. The method can include matching the electronic activity to two or more of the plurality of candidate record objects. Each of the two or more of the plurality of candidate record objects can include a different record object type.

[0031] In some implementations, matching the electronic activity to at least one record object can include matching the electronic activity to the at least one record object based on a matching policy. The matching policy can include a first set of rules for identifying candidate record objects based on one or more recipients of the electronic activity and a second set of rules for identifying candidate record objects based on the sender of the electronic activity. Matching the electronic activity to the at least one record object can include identifying, by the one or more processors, responsive to applying the policy including the first set of rules for identifying candidate record objects based on one or more recipients of the electronic activity, a first set of candidate record objects to which to match the electronic activity. Each of the candidate record objects of the first set can be identified based on the one or more recipients of the electronic activity. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, responsive to applying the second policy including the second set of rules for identifying candidate record objects based on the sender of the electronic activity, a second set of candidate record objects to which to match the electronic activity. Each of the candidate record object of the second set can be identified based on the sender of the electronic activity. The method can include selecting by the one or more processors, at least one candidate record object included in both the first set of candidate record objects and the second set of candidate record objects to match to the electronic activity based on the first set of rules and the second set of rules of the matching policy.

[0032] In some implementations, the method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, based on natural language processing, a string in a body of the electronic activity. The method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, a plurality of candidate record objects based on the string in the body of the electronic activity. Matching the electronic activity to at least one record object of the plurality of record objects can include matching the electronic activity to at least one of the plurality of candidate record objects. In some implementations, the method can include matching the electronic activity to two or more node profiles of the plurality of node profiles based on determining that the extracted data of the electronic activity and the one or more values of the fields of the two or more node profiles satisfy the node profile matching policy. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a relationship between two or more node profiles based on the one or more values of the fields of the two or more node profiles. The method can include assigning, by the one or more processors, one or more tags to the electronic activity based on the relationship between the two or more node profiles. [0033] In some implementations, the method can include assigning, by the one or more processors, one or more tags to the electronic activity. The tags can be assigned based on i) one or more node profiles associated with a sender or one or more recipients of the electronic activity; ii) relationship between the one or more node profiles associated with the sender and the one or more recipients of

the electronic activity; iii) a first string included in a body of the electronic activity; iv) a second string included in a metadata of the electronic activity; or v) other electronic activity. [0034] In some implementations, the method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a first subset of record objects of the plurality of record objects of the one or more systems of record based on one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity. Matching the electronic activity to at least one record object of the plurality of record objects can include matching the electronic activity to at least one of the first subset of record objects of the plurality of record objects based on the one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity. [0035] In some implementations, the method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a sender and one or more recipients of the electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities. Matching the electronic activity to at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles can include matching the electronic activity to a node profile of the sender and a node profile of each of the one or more recipients.

[0036] In some implementations, the method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects of the one or more systems of record based on a node field value of the node profile of the sender. The method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, a second set of record objects of the plurality of record objects of the one or more systems of record based on a node field value of the node profiles of each of the one or more recipients.

[0037] The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a subset of the plurality of electronic activities matched to a first record object of the plurality of record objects. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, for each electronic activity of the subset of the plurality of electronic activities matched to the first record object, one or more node profiles that are matched with the electronic activity. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a stage of the first record object based on the identified one or more node profiles of each of the subset of electronic activities. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a subset of the plurality of electronic activities matched to a first record object of the plurality of record objects. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a stage of the first record object based on tags assigned to one or more electronic activities of the subset of electronic activities.

[0038] The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, for a record object, a corresponding record object maintained by a second processor. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of processor assigned stages associated with the corresponding record object. Each stage of the plurality of processor assigned stages can indicate a proximity to completion of an event. The method can include mapping, by the one or more processors, each processor assigned stage of the corresponding record object to a stage of a plurality of system assigned stages of the record object. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, for at least one data point of the one or more data points of a value of a field of the node profile, a contribution score of the data point based on a time corresponding to when the data point was generated or updated. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the data point.

[0039] The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to the at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles based on the confidence score of the value of the field of the at least one node profile. Determining the contribution score can include determining, for the at least one data point, a contribution score of the data point based on a trust score assigned to a source of the data point, the trust score determined based on a type of source of the data point.

[0040] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts

associated with a plurality of data source providers, the one or more processors maintaining a plurality of node profiles and configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the plurality of electronic activities. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, from data included in an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities to link to one or more node profiles, a plurality of strings. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a plurality of activity field-value pairs from the plurality of strings using an electronic activity parsing policy. The method can include comparing, by the one or more processors, the plurality of activity field-value pairs to respective node field value pairs of one or more node profiles maintained by the one or more processors to identify a subset of activity field value pairs that match respective node field-value pairs of the one or more node profiles. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, for each node profile of a plurality of node profiles, a match score of the node profile indicating a likelihood that the electronic activity is transmitted or received by an account corresponding to the node profile based on comparing the plurality of activity field-value pairs to respective node field-value pairs of the node profile. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a subset of the plurality of node profiles with which to link the electronic activity responsive to determining that the match score of each node profile of the subset satisfies a threshold. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a data structure to include an association between the electronic activity and each node profile of the subset of the plurality of node profiles.

[0041] In some implementations, the method includes selecting, by the one or more processors, a first node profile of the subset of the plurality of node profiles with which to match the electronic activity based on the match score of the first node profile. The method can include linking, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity with the first node profile. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, that a first value of a first activity field-value pair matches a first value of a first node field-value pair. The method can include adding, by the one or more processors, to a first value data structure of the first node field-value pair, a first entry identifying the electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a contribution score of the first entry based on a trust score of a source of the electronic activity, the contribution score used to determine a confidence score of the first value of the first field of the first node profile. In some implementations, the method includes determining the confidence score of the first value of the first field of the first node profile based on the contribution score of the first entry and respective contribution scores of one or more additional entries included in the first value data structure of the first value. [0042] In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, that a second value of a second activity field-value pair matches a second value of a second node field-value pair. The method can include adding, by the one or more profiles, to a second value data structure of the second node field-value pair, a second entry identifying the electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, that a second value of a second activity field-value pair does not match a second value of a second node field-value pair. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a second value data structure of the node profile using the second value, the second value data structure including a second entry that identifies the electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes identifying a first string of the plurality of strings from a portion of the electronic activity. The method can include determining a confidence score that the first string is a first name based on comparing the first string to a plurality of values of the node field-value pairs and the portion of the electronic activity from which the first string was identified. The method can include generating the match score of the electronic activity based on matching characters of the first string to one or more values node field-value pairs.

[0043] In some implementations, the method includes each node profile includes a plurality of fields, each field of the plurality of fields including one or more value data structures, each value

data structure of the one or more value data structures including a value and one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that support the value of the value data structure. The method can include generating the match score of the electronic activity to the first node profile includes matching the plurality of strings identified from the electronic activity to respective node field-value pairs of the first node profile.

[0044] In some implementations, the plurality of fields includes a first name field, a last name field, a phone number field, an email address field and a company name field. In some implementations, the method includes determining the subset of node profiles to correspond to a sender of the electronic activity, the subset being a first subset, the electronic activity being a first electronic activity, identifying a second electronic activity, determining the second electronic activity to be at least one of a reply to or a forward of the first electronic activity, determining a second subset of node profiles that match the second electronic activity, and updating the first subset of node profiles using the second subset of node profiles. In some implementations, the method includes increasing the match score of at least one node profile of the subset of node profiles responsive to determining that the second electronic activity is at least one of a forward or a reply to the first electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes determining the match score based on determining that the electronic activity is at least one of a forward or a reply.

[0045] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can include accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with a plurality of data source providers, the one or more processors maintaining a plurality of node profiles and configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the plurality of electronic activities. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, from data included in an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities to link to one or more node profiles, a plurality of strings, each string of the plurality of strings corresponding to a respective field of one or more node profiles maintained by the one or more processors. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a plurality of candidate node profiles to which to link the electronic activity by comparing one or more strings of the plurality of strings to values of fields of respective candidate node profiles. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, for each candidate node profile, a match score indicating a likelihood that the electronic activity is transmitted or received by an account corresponding to the candidate node profile based on comparing the plurality of strings included in the electronic activity to values of fields included in the candidate node profile. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a subset of the plurality of candidate node profiles based on the match score of each candidate node profile of the subset satisfying a threshold. The method can include linking, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to each candidate node profile of the subset of the plurality of candidate node profiles.

[0046] In some implementations, the method includes selecting, by the one or more processors, a first node profile of the subset of the plurality of candidate node profiles with which to match the electronic activity based on the match score of the first node profile. The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity with the first node profile. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a first string of the plurality of strings is a first string type that corresponds to a first field of the plurality of node profiles. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the first string matches a first value of the first field of the first node profile. The method can include adding, by the one or more processors, to a first value data structure of the first value of the first field of the first node profile, a first entry identifying the electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a contribution score of the first entry based on a trust score of a source of the electronic activity, the contribution score used to determine a confidence score of the first value of the first field of the first node profile.

[0047] In some implementations, the method includes determining the confidence score of the first

value of the first field of the first node profile based on the contribution score of the first entry and respective contribution scores of one or more additional entries included in the first value data structure of the first value. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a second string of the plurality of strings is a second string type that corresponds to a second field of the plurality of node profiles. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, that the second string matches a second value of the second field of the first node profile. The method can include adding, by the one or more profiles, to a second value data structure of the second value of the second field of the first node profile, a second entry identifying the electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a second string of the plurality of strings is a second string type that corresponds to a second field of the plurality of node profiles. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the second field of the first node profile does not include a value that matches the second string. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a second value data structure of the value that matches the second string, the second value data structure including a second entry that identifies the electronic activity.

[0048] In some implementations, the method includes identifying a first string of the plurality of strings from a portion of the electronic activity. The method can include determining a confidence score that the first string is a first name based on comparing the first string to a plurality of values of a first name field of the plurality of node profiles and the portion of the electronic activity from which the first string was identified. The method can include generating the match score of the electronic activity to the first node profile includes generating the match score based on matching the first string of characters to one or more values of the first name field of the first of node profile. [0049] In some implementations, each node profile includes a plurality of fields, each field of the plurality of fields including one or more value data structures, each value data structure of the one or more value data structures including a value and one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that support the value of the value data structure. In some implementations, the method includes generating the match score of the electronic activity to the first node profile includes matching the plurality of strings identified from the electronic activity to respective fields of the first node profile. In some implementations, the plurality of fields include a first name field, a last name field, a phone number field, an email address field and a company name field. [0050] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can include accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record, each record object of the plurality of record objects corresponding to a record object type and each comprising one or more object field-value pairs associating an object field value to a corresponding field of the record object, the systems of record corresponding to the one or more data source providers. The method can include maintaining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of node profiles corresponding to a plurality of unique entities, each node profile including one or more node field-value pairs associating a node field value to a corresponding field of the node profile. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a record object to match to at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles, each record object including a plurality of object field-value pairs associating an object field value to a corresponding field. The method can include comparing, by the one or more processors, the object field values of the one or more object field-value pairs of the record object to the corresponding node field values of the corresponding fields of the node profile. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors based on the comparison, a match score of the node profile indicating a likelihood that the record object corresponds to the node profile. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a subset of the plurality of node profiles with which to link the record object responsive to determining that the match score of each node profile of the subset satisfies a threshold. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a first value data structure of the first node field value by

adding an entry identifying the record object. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a confidence score of the first node field value based on the entry identifying the record object.

[0051] In some implementations, the record object is a first record object and the subset is a first subset. The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, a second record object to a second subset of the plurality of node profiles. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a link between the first record object and the second record object. The method can include at least one of (i) adding the second subset to the first subset or (ii) increasing a match score of comparisons of the node field-value pairs of node profiles of the second subset to the object field-value pairs of the first record object.

[0052] In some implementations, the method includes matching the record object to the first node profile by matching the record object to the first node profile based on a second object field value matching a second node field value of the first node profile, wherein the entry is a first entry, the confidence score is a first confidence score. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a second value data structure of the first node field value by adding a second entry identifying the record object. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a second confidence score of the second node field value based on the second entry identifying the record object. In some implementations, the method includes generating a contribution score of the entry identifying the record object based on a trust score assigned to the system of record associated with the record object, the contribution score contributing to the confidence score of the first node field value. In some implementations, the method includes generating the trust score of the system of record based on comparing the values of object fields of record objects of the system of record to values of node profiles having a confidence score above a predetermined threshold. [0053] In some implementations, the contribution score is based on a time at which the record object was last updated or modified. In some implementations, matching the record object to the first node profile includes matching the record object to the first node profile based on a matching policy, the matching policy including a first rule having a first weight and a second rule having a second weight. In some implementations, the method includes maintaining, by the one or more processors, a shadow record object corresponding to the record object. The method can include adding, by the one or more processors, to the shadow record object, one or more values to one or more shadow object fields of the shadow record object determined from the first node profile to which the record object is matched. In some implementations, the method includes providing a notification to a device to update a value of the object field of the record object based on the one or more values added to the one or more shadow object fields of the shadow record object. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, that a second object field value of a second object field of the record object is different from a second node field value of a corresponding field of the first node profile. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the second node field value of the corresponding field has a confidence score above a predetermined threshold. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a request to update the second object field value to match the second node field value in the second object field of the record object responsive to determining that the second node field value of the corresponding field has a confidence score above a predetermined threshold. [0054] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can include accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record, each record object of the plurality of record objects corresponding to a record object type and comprising one or more object fields having one or more object field values, the systems of record corresponding to the one or more data source providers. The method can include maintaining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of node profiles corresponding to a plurality of unique entities, each node profile including a plurality of node profile fields, each node profile field of the plurality of node profile fields including one or more value data structures, each value data structure of the one or more

value data structures including a node field value and one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that support the node field value of the value data structure. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a record object to match to at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles. The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, the record object to a first node profile based on a first object field value matching a first node field value of the first node profile. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a first value data structure of the first node field value by adding an entry identifying the record object. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a confidence score of the first node field value based on the entry identifying the record object. [0055] In some implementations, the record object is a first record object and the subset is a first subset. The method can include matching, by the one or more processors, a second record object to a second subset of the plurality of node profiles. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a link between the first record object and the second record object. The method can include at least one of (i) adding the second subset to the first subset or (ii) increasing a match score of comparisons of the node field-value pairs of node profiles of the second subset to the object field-value pairs of the first record object.

[0056] In some implementations, the method includes matching the record object to the first node profile by matching the record object to the first node profile based on a second object field value matching a second node field value of the first node profile, wherein the entry is a first entry, the confidence score is a first confidence score. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a second value data structure of the first node field value by adding a second entry identifying the record object. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, a second confidence score of the second node field value based on the second entry identifying the record object.

[0057] In some implementations, the method includes generating a contribution score of the entry identifying the record object based on a trust score assigned to the system of record associated with the record object, the contribution score contributing to the confidence score of the first node field value. In some implementations, the method includes generating the trust score of the system of record based on comparing the values of object fields of record objects of the system of record to values of node profiles having a confidence score above a predetermined threshold. In some implementations, the contribution score is based on a time at which the record object was last updated or modified. In some implementations, matching the record object to the first node profile includes matching the record object to the first node profile based on a matching policy, the matching policy including a first rule having a first weight and a second rule having a second weight. In some implementations, the method includes maintaining, by the one or more processors, a shadow record object corresponding to the record object. The method can include adding, by the one or more processors, to the shadow record object, one or more values to one or more shadow object fields of the shadow record object determined from the first node profile to which the record object is matched. In some implementations, the method includes providing a notification to a device to update a value of the object field of the record object based on the one or more values added to the one or more shadow object fields of the shadow record object.

[0058] In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or more processors, that a second object field value of a second object field of the record object is different from a second node field value of a corresponding field of the first node profile. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the second node field value of the corresponding field has a confidence score above a predetermined threshold. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a request to update the second object field value to match the second node field value in the second object field of the record object responsive to determining that the second node field value of the corresponding field has a confidence score above a predetermined threshold. In some implementations, the method includes determining, by the one or

more processors, the match score as a weighted average based on a uniqueness score of each object field value.

[0059] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure a method can include accessing, by one or more processors, at least one of i) a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers or ii) a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record associated with the one or more data source providers, the one or more processors maintaining a plurality of node profiles and configured to update the plurality of node profiles using at least one of the plurality of electronic activities or the plurality of record objects. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a node profile of the plurality of node profiles including a plurality of fields, each field of the plurality of fields including one or more value data structures, each value data structure of the one or more value data structures corresponding to a value and further including one or more entries, each entry of the one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that include a string that matches the value of the value data structure, each data point of the one or more data points identifying a respective electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities or a respective record object of the plurality of record objects. The method can include determining, for at least one data point of the one or more data points included in a respective value data structure of a value of a field of the plurality of fields of the node profile, a contribution score of the data point based on a time corresponding to when the data point was generated or updated. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the at least one data point.

[0060] In some implementations, the data point identifies an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities or a record object of a system of record accessible by the one or more processors. Determining the contribution score of the data point can include determining, for at least one data point of the one or more data points that includes the value of the field of the node profile, the contribution score of the at least one data point comprises determining, for each data point of the one or more data points that support the value of the field of the node profile, a respective contribution score of the data point based on a respective time corresponding to when the data point was generated or updated. Generating a confidence score of the value can include generating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the at least one data point includes generating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the respective contribution score of each of the one or more data points that support the value of the field of the node profile.

[0061] Determining the contribution score of the data point can include determining, for the at least one data point of the one or more data points, the contribution score of the data point includes determining, for the at least one data point, a contribution score of the data point based on a trust score assigned to a source of the data point, the trust score determined based on a type of source of the data point. The data point can be a record object. The trust score assigned to the data point can be based on a health of the system of record from which the record object was accessed. The health of the system of record from which the record object was accessed can be determined based on comparing field values of object fields included in record objects of the system of record to node profile field values of fields of one or more node profiles having respective confidence scores above a predetermined threshold.

[0062] In some implementations, the method can include receiving, by the one or more processors, a second electronic activity. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the second electronic activity includes the value of the field of the node profile. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a second contribution score of the second electronic activity for the value of the field of the node profile. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value based on the contribution score of

the second electronic activity. In some implementations, the method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a record object of a system of record previously not matched to the value of the field of the node profile. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the record object includes the value of the field of the node profile. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a contribution score of the record object. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the record object.

[0063] In some implementations, the data point identifies an electronic activity is an automatically generated bounce back electronic activity. In some implementations, the method includes maintaining, for the value of the field of the node profile, an occurrence metric indicating a number of data points used to support the value. In some implementations, the node profile includes a first field having a first value data structure identifying a first value and the first value is assigned to the first field by linking a first electronic activity to the node profile.

[0064] In some implementations, the first value data structure includes a first entry identifying the first electronic activity and the first electronic activity is linked to the first node profile by identifying, by the one or more processors, from data included in the first electronic activity, a plurality of strings. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a plurality of candidate node profiles to which to link the electronic activity by comparing one or more strings of the plurality of strings to values of fields of respective candidate node profiles. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, for each candidate node profile, a match score indicating a likelihood that the electronic activity is transmitted or received by an account corresponding to the candidate node profile based on comparing the plurality of strings included in the electronic activity to values of fields included in the candidate node profile. The method can include linking, by the one or more processors, the first electronic activity to the first node profile based on the match score of the first node profile.

[0065] In some implementations, the value of the field of the node profile includes a first value of a first field of the node profile and the contribution score of the data point is a first contribution score of a first data point and the confidence score is a first confidence score of a first value. The method can include identifying a second value data structure of a second field of the node profile, the second value data structure corresponding to a second value of the second field and further including one or more second entries corresponding to respective one or more second data points that support the second value of the second value data structure. The method can include determining, for at least one second data point of the one or more second data points of the second value of the second field of the node profile, a second contribution score of the second data point based on a time corresponding to when the second data point was generated or updated. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a second confidence score of the second value of the second field of the node profile based on the second contribution score of the at least one second data point.

[0066] In some implementations, the field of the plurality of fields of the node profile comprises a second value and a corresponding second value data structure including at least one second entry identifying a second electronic activity or at least one second record object that includes a second string that matches the second value. In some implementations, the field of the plurality of fields is a first field and a second field of the plurality of fields of the node profile comprises a second value and a corresponding second value data structure including at least one second entry identifying the first electronic activity or the first record object that also includes a second string that matches the second value.

[0067] The method can include receiving, by the one or more processors, a subsequent electronic activity. The method can include linking, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to the node profile by including one or more entries identifying the electronic activity to one or more value data structures corresponding to one or more values of one or more fields. The method can

include generating, by the one or more processors, for each entry identifying the electronic activity, a contribution score of the electronic activity, the entry corresponding to a respective value data structure of a respective value of a respective field. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, respective confidence scores for the values based on the respective contribution scores of the electronic activity. In some implementations, the electronic activity includes a signature block in the electronic activity, and linking the electronic activity to the node profile comprises extracting, by the one or more processors, from the signature block of the electronic activity, a plurality of strings. The method can include determining, by the one or more processors, using the plurality of strings extracted from the signature block, that the node profile of the plurality of node profiles includes one or more values that match respective strings of the plurality of strings.

[0068] Another aspect of the disclosure describes a system including one or more processors configured to access at least one of i) a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers or ii) a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record associated with the one or more data source providers. The one or more processors can maintain a plurality of node profiles and be configured to update the plurality of node profiles using at least one of the plurality of electronic activities or the plurality of record objects. The one or more processors can identify a node profile of the plurality of node profiles including a plurality of fields. Each field of the plurality of fields includes one or more value data structures, each value data structure of the one or more value data structures corresponding to a value and further including one or more entries, each entry of the one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that include a string that matches the value of the value data structure. Each data point of the one or more data points identifies a respective electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities or a respective record object of the plurality of record objects. The system determines, for at least one data point of the one or more data points included in a respective value data structure of a value of a field of the plurality of fields of the node profile, a contribution score of the data point based on a time corresponding to when the data point was generated or updated. The system can generate a confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the at least one data point. [0069] In some implementations, the data point identifies an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities or a record object of a system of record accessible by the one or more processors. Determining the contribution score of the data point can include determining, for at least one data point of the one or more data points that includes the value of the field of the node profile, the contribution score of the at least one data point comprises determining, for each data point of the one or more data points that support the value of the field of the node profile, a respective contribution score of the data point based on a respective time corresponding to when the data point was generated or updated. Generating a confidence score of the value can include generating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the at least one data point includes generating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the respective contribution score of each of the one or more data points that support the value of the field of the node profile.

[0070] Determining the contribution score of the data point can include determining, for the at least one data point of the one or more data points, the contribution score of the data point includes determining, for the at least one data point, a contribution score of the data point based on a trust score assigned to a source of the data point, the trust score determined based on a type of source of the data point. The data point can be a record object. The trust score assigned to the data point can be based on a health of the system of record from which the record object was accessed. The health of the system of record from which the record object was accessed can be determined based on comparing field values of object fields included in record objects of the system of record to node

profile field values of fields of one or more node profiles having respective confidence scores above a predetermined threshold.

[0071] In some implementations, the system can receive a second electronic activity. The system can determine that the second electronic activity includes the value of the field of the node profile. The system can generate a second contribution score of the second electronic activity for the value of the field of the node profile. The system can update the confidence score of the value based on the contribution score of the second electronic activity. In some implementations, the system can identify a record object of a system of record previously not matched to the value of the field of the node profile. The system can determine that the record object includes the value of the field of the node profile. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a contribution score of the record object. The method can include updating, by the one or more processors, the confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the record object.

[0072] In some implementations, the data point identifies an electronic activity is an automatically generated bounce back electronic activity. In some implementations, the system can maintain, for the value of the field of the node profile, an occurrence metric indicating a number of data points used to support the value. In some implementations, the node profile includes a first field having a first value data structure identifying a first value and the first value is assigned to the first field by linking a first electronic activity to the node profile.

[0073] In some implementations, the first value data structure includes a first entry identifying the first electronic activity and the first electronic activity is linked to the first node profile by identifying, by the one or more processors, from data included in the first electronic activity, a plurality of strings. The method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a plurality of candidate node profiles to which to link the electronic activity by comparing one or more strings of the plurality of strings to values of fields of respective candidate node profiles. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, for each candidate node profile, a match score indicating a likelihood that the electronic activity is transmitted or received by an account corresponding to the candidate node profile based on comparing the plurality of strings included in the electronic activity to values of fields included in the candidate node profile. The method can include linking, by the one or more processors, the first electronic activity to the first node profile based on the match score of the first node profile.

[0074] In some implementations, the value of the field of the node profile includes a first value of a first field of the node profile and the contribution score of the data point is a first contribution score of a first data point and the confidence score is a first confidence score of a first value. The system can identify a second value data structure of a second field of the node profile, the second value data structure corresponding to a second value of the second field and further including one or more second entries corresponding to respective one or more second data points that support the second value of the second value data structure. The system can determine, for at least one second data point of the one or more second data points of the second value of the second field of the node profile, a second contribution score of the second data point based on a time corresponding to when the second data point was generated or updated. The system can generate a second confidence score of the second value of the second contribution score of the at least one second data point.

[0075] In some implementations, the field of the plurality of fields of the node profile comprises a second value and a corresponding second value data structure including at least one second entry identifying a second electronic activity or at least one second record object that includes a second string that matches the second value. In some implementations, the field of the plurality of fields is a first field and a second field of the plurality of fields of the node profile comprises a second value and a corresponding second value data structure including at least one second entry identifying the first electronic activity or the first record object that also includes a second string that matches the

second value.

[0076] The system can be configured to a subsequent electronic activity. The system can be configured to link the electronic activity to the node profile by including one or more entries identifying the electronic activity to one or more value data structures corresponding to one or more values of one or more fields. The system can generate, for each entry identifying the electronic activity, a contribution score of the electronic activity, the entry corresponding to a respective value data structure of a respective value of a respective field. The system can generate respective confidence scores for the values based on the respective contribution scores of the electronic activity. In some implementations, the electronic activity includes a signature block in the electronic activity, and linking the electronic activity to the node profile comprises extracting, by the one or more processors, from the signature block of the electronic activity, a plurality of strings. The system can determine, using the plurality of strings extracted from the signature block, that the node profile of the plurality of node profiles includes one or more values that match respective strings of the plurality of strings.

[0077] One aspect of the present disclosure relates to a method for measuring goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The method may include accessing a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers, and accessing a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record, each record object of the plurality of record objects including one or more object fields having one or more object field values, the systems of record corresponding to the one or more data source providers. The method may further include identifying, for a first entity identified by at least one field-value pair of a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects, an event generated based on data included in the first set of record objects, the event configured to change from a first status to a second status based on an event policy specifying i) a qualifying entity type or ii) a number of electronic activities that satisfy one or more conditions of the event policy. The method may further include identifying, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities to be linked to a record object of the first set of record objects; and determining, for each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities, a plurality of activity field-value pairs identifying participants of the electronic activity. The method may further include determining, using the set of electronic activities, by applying the event policy, that the event is to be changed from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that: i) at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate an activity field-value pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity, or ii) the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions. The method may further include updating the status of the event from the first status to the second status responsive to applying the event policy.

[0078] In some implementations of the method, the one or more conditions of the event policy include being a specified type of electronic activity, and the method may include determining that an electronic activity of the set of electronic activities satisfies the one or more conditions by: determining, for the electronic activity, a type of the electronic activity; and matching the type of the electronic activity to the specified type of electronic activity.

[0079] In some implementations of the method, it may include determining that the at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate the activity field-value pair that identifies the entity by: parsing the at least one electronic activity to identify a participant of the at least one electronic activity; and determining that the identified participant is an entity of the qualifying entity type. [0080] In some implementations of the method, it may include determining that the identified participant is the entity of the qualifying entity type by: matching the identified participant to a node profile; identifying a field-value pair of the node profile specified by the event policy as having a field corresponding to the qualifying entity type; and matching a value of the field-value

pair to a qualifying value specified by the event policy.

[0081] In some implementations of the method, the field of the field-value pair of the node profile of the participant is one of a plurality of predetermined fields.

[0082] In some implementations of the method, it includes parsing the at least one electronic activity to identify a participant of the at least one electronic activity by: determining a value of a header field for the at least one electronic activity, the header field corresponding to at least one of a contact identifier or a name.

[0083] In some implementations of the method, it includes determining that the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions by: for one or more electronic activities of the set of electronic activities, determining whether the electronic activity satisfies the one or more conditions by applying the event policy, and if the electronic activity satisfies the one or more conditions, incrementing a counter; and comparing the counter to a reference threshold that is based on the number specified by the event policy; and updating the status of the event responsive to applying the event policy includes updating the status of the event responsive to determining that the counter meets or exceeds the reference threshold.

[0084] In some implementations of the method, the one or more conditions include the electronic activity being time-stamped within a predetermined period of time specified by the event policy. [0085] In some implementations of the method, the one or more conditions include the electronic activity being classified by a classifier trained via a machine learning process as falling within a class specified by the event policy.

[0086] In some implementations of the method, it includes storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the event, the event status and an identifier of the node profile of the entity. [0087] Another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system configured for measuring goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The system may include one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions to measure goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The processor(s) may be configured to access a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers, and access a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record, each record object of the plurality of record objects including one or more object fields having one or more object field values, the systems of record corresponding to the one or more data source providers. The processor(s) may be configured to identify, for a first entity identified by at least one field-value pair of a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects, an event generated based on data included in the first set of record objects, the event configured to change from a first status to a second status based on an event policy specifying i) a qualifying entity type or ii) a number of electronic activities that satisfy one or more conditions of the event policy. The processor(s) may be configured to identify, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities to be linked to a record object of the first set of record objects; and determine, for each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities, a plurality of activity field-value pairs identifying participants of the electronic activity. The processor(s) may be configured to determine, using the set of electronic activities, by applying the event policy, that the event is to be changed from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that: i) at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate an activity field-value pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity, or ii) the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions. The processor(s) may be configured to update the status of the event from the first status to the second status responsive to applying the event policy.

[0088] Yet another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or

more processors to perform a method for measuring goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The method may include accessing a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers, and accessing a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record, each record object of the plurality of record objects including one or more object fields having one or more object field values, the systems of record corresponding to the one or more data source providers. The method may further include identifying, for a first entity identified by at least one field-value pair of a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects, an event generated based on data included in the first set of record objects, the event configured to change from a first status to a second status based on an event policy specifying i) a qualifying entity type or ii) a number of electronic activities that satisfy one or more conditions of the event policy. The method may further include identifying, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities to be linked to a record object of the first set of record objects; and determining, for each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities, a plurality of activity field-value pairs identifying participants of the electronic activity. The method may further include determining, using the set of electronic activities, by applying the event policy, that the event is to be changed from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that: i) at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate an activity fieldvalue pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity, or ii) the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions. The method may further include updating the status of the event from the first status to the second status responsive to applying the event policy.

[0089] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the one or more conditions of the event policy include being a specified type of electronic activity, and the method includes determining that an electronic activity of the set of electronic activities satisfies the one or more conditions by: determining, for the electronic activity, a type of the electronic activity; and matching the type of the electronic activity to the specified type of electronic activity.

[0090] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the method includes determining that the at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate the activity field-value pair that identifies the entity by: parsing the at least one electronic activity to identify a participant of the at least one electronic activity; and determining that the identified participant is an entity of the qualifying entity type.

[0091] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the method includes determining that the identified participant is the entity of the qualifying entity type by: matching the identified participant to a node profile; identifying a field-value pair of the node profile specified by the event policy as having a field corresponding to the qualifying entity type; and matching a value of the field-value pair to a qualifying value specified by the event policy. [0092] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the field of the field-value pair of the node profile of the participant is one of a plurality of predetermined fields. [0093] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the method includes parsing the at least one electronic activity to identify a participant of the at least one electronic activity by: determining a value of a header field for the at least one electronic activity, the header field corresponding to at least one of a contact identifier or a name.

[0094] In some of the computer-readable storage medium, the method includes determining that the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities, determining whether the electronic activity satisfies the one or more conditions by applying the event policy, and if the electronic activity satisfies the one or more conditions, incrementing a counter; and comparing the counter to a reference threshold that is based on the number specified by the event policy; and updating the status of the event responsive

to applying the event policy includes updating the status of the event responsive to determining that the counter meets or exceeds the reference threshold.

[0095] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the one or more conditions include the electronic activity being time-stamped within a predetermined period of time specified by the event policy.

[0096] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the one or more conditions include the electronic activity being classified by a classifier trained via a machine learning process as falling within a class specified by the event policy.

[0097] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the method includes storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the event, the event status and an identifier of the node profile of the entity.

[0098] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for managing electronic activity related targets. Management of the systems of record described above can include maintaining a node profile that includes data structures relates to generating, assigning, managing, tracking, and measuring an electronic activity driven target and progress of the electronic activity driven target. It can be challenging to assign electronic activity driven targets to node profiles, which may involve parsing a plurality of electronic activities to generate a plurality of electronic activity driven targets, and identifying which electronic activity driven targets, of the plurality of electronic activity driven targets, pertain to and should be assigned to the specific node profiles. Disclosed herein are systems and method for improved management, measurement, and tracking of electronic activity driven targets. The systems and methods disclosed herein can assign sets of electronic activity driven targets to specific node profiles by matching the specific node profiles to other node profiles used to generate the sets of electronic activity driven targets.

[0099] One aspect of the present disclosure relates to a method for managing electronic activity driven targets. The method may include maintaining a plurality of node profiles respectively corresponding to a plurality of unique entities, each node profile including a plurality of field-value pairs; accessing a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers and updating the plurality of node profiles using the first plurality of electronic activities; selecting for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, using one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, an endpoint profile generated using electronic activities of second node profiles including one or more field-value pairs that match the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, the endpoint profile specifying electronic activity driven targets that can be tracked by parsing electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile; storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the first node profile and the endpoint profile specifying the electronic activity driven targets; parsing a second plurality of electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile; and updating a metric relating to the electronic activity driven targets responsive to parsing the second plurality of electronic activities.

[0100] In some implementations of the method, it further comprises generating the endpoint profile, wherein generating the endpoint profile comprises: selecting the plurality of second node profiles based on matching a first set of field-value pairs of the second node profiles to the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile; determining, for each second node profile of the selected second node profiles, a respective electronic activity pattern based on a third plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of the second node profile; and setting, by the one or more processors, one or more target values for the endpoint profile based on each respective electronic activity pattern.

[0101] In some implementations of the method, generating the respective electronic activity pattern for the second node profile comprises: parsing each electronic activity of the third plurality of electronic activities; generating, for the electronic activity, one or more activity field-value pairs corresponding to respective node field-value pairs of node profiles of participants of the electronic

activity; and using the one or more activity field-value pairs to generate the respective electronic activity pattern.

[0102] In some implementations of the method, using the one or more activity-field value pairs to generate the respective electronic activity pattern comprises using a volume of electronic activities that identify participants corresponding to node profiles including node field value pairs that specify a predetermined value of a predetermined field.

[0103] In some implementations of the method, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the method further comprises determining that the updated metric is below a threshold value; and transmitting, responsive to determining that the updated metric is below the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier specified by the first node profile, the notification referencing at least one of the electronic activity driven targets.

[0104] In some implementations of the method, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the method further comprises determining that the updated metric is below a threshold value; and transmitting, responsive to determining that the updated metric is below the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier corresponding to a third node profile having one or more field-value pairs that match corresponding field-value pairs of the first node profile, the notification referencing the at least one of the electronic activity driven targets.

[0105] In some implementations of the method, the metric is a count of a number of record objects associated with the first node profile and having a stage status indicating that a last stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed, and one of the electronic activity driven targets requires meeting at least a threshold value for the metric.

[0106] In some implementations of the method, each second node profile of the second node profiles is associated with at least a threshold number of record objects having a stage status indicating that a specified stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed.

[0107] In some implementations of the method, the electronic activities corresponding to one of the second node profiles used to generate the endpoint profile correspond to a predetermined time period from a trigger time of the second node profile, and a current time is within the predetermined time period of a trigger time of the first node profile.

[0108] Another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system configured for managing electronic activity driven targets. The system may include one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions to manage the electronic activity driven targets. The processor(s) may be configured to maintain a plurality of node profiles respectively corresponding to a plurality of unique entities, each node profile including a plurality of field-value pairs; access a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers, the one or more processors configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the first plurality of electronic activities; select, for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, using one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, an endpoint profile generated using electronic activities of second node profiles including one or more fieldvalue pairs that match the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, the endpoint profile specifying electronic activity driven targets that can be tracked by parsing electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile; store in one or more data structures, an association between the first node profile and the endpoint profile specifying the electronic activity driven targets; parse a second plurality of electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile; and update a metric relating to the electronic activity driven targets responsive to parsing the second plurality of electronic activities.

[0109] In some implementations of the system, the one or more hardware processors are further configured by machine-readable instructions to generate the endpoint profile, and generating the endpoint profile comprises: selecting the plurality of second node profiles based on matching a first set of field-value pairs of the second node profiles to the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile; determining for each second node profile of the selected second node profiles, a

respective electronic activity pattern based on a third plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of the second node profile; and setting one or more target values for the endpoint profile based on each respective electronic activity pattern.

[0110] In some implementations of the system, generating the respective electronic activity pattern for the second node profile comprises: parsing each electronic activity of the third plurality of electronic activities; generating for the electronic activity, one or more activity field-value pairs corresponding to respective node field-value pairs of node profiles of participants of the electronic activity; and using the one or more activity field-value pairs to generate the respective electronic activity pattern.

[0111] In some implementations of the system, using the one or more activity-field value pairs to generate the respective electronic activity pattern comprises using a volume of electronic activities that identify participants corresponding to node profiles including node field value pairs that specify a predetermined value of a predetermined field.

[0112] In some implementations of the system, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the one or more hardware processors are further configured by machine-readable instructions to: determine that the updated metric is below a threshold value; and transmit, responsive to determining that the updated metric is below the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier specified by the first node profile, the notification referencing at least one of the electronic activity driven targets.

[0113] In some implementations of the system, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric and the one or more hardware processors are further configured by machine-readable instructions to: determine that the updated metric is below a threshold value; and transmit, responsive to determining that the updated metric is below the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier corresponding to a third node profile having one or more field-value pairs that match corresponding field-value pairs of the first node profile, the notification referencing the at least one of the electronic activity driven targets.

[0114] In some implementations of the system, the metric is a count of a number of record objects associated with the first node profile and having a stage status indicating that a last stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed, and one of the electronic activity driven targets requires meeting at least a threshold value for the metric.

[0115] In some implementations of the system, each second node profile of the second node profiles is associated with at least a threshold number of record objects having a stage status indicating that a specified stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed.

[0116] In some implementations of the system, the electronic activities corresponding to one of the second node profiles used to generate the endpoint profile correspond to a predetermined time period from a trigger time of the second node profile, and a current time is within the predetermined time period of a trigger time of the first node profile.

[0117] Yet another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors to perform a method for managing electronic activity driven targets. The method may include maintaining a plurality of node profiles respectively corresponding to a plurality of unique entities, each node profile including a plurality of field-value pairs; accessing a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers and updating the plurality of node profiles using the first plurality of electronic activities; selecting for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, using one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, an endpoint profile generated using electronic activities of second node profiles including one or more field-value pairs that match the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, the endpoint profile specifying electronic activity driven targets that can be tracked by parsing electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile; storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the first node profile and the

endpoint profile specifying the electronic activity driven targets; parsing a second plurality of electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile; and updating a metric relating to the electronic activity driven targets responsive to parsing the second plurality of electronic activities. [0118] In some implementations of the computer-readable storage medium, the method further comprises generating the endpoint profile, and generating the endpoint profile comprises: selecting the plurality of second node profiles based on matching a first set of field-value pairs of the second node profiles to the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile; determining, for each second node profile of the selected second node profiles, a respective electronic activity pattern based on a third plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of the second node profile; and setting one or more target values for the endpoint profile based on each respective electronic activity pattern.

[0119] One aspect of the present disclosure relates to a method. The method includes maintaining, by one or more processors, a plurality of member node profiles. Each member node profile of the plurality of member node profiles may include one or more field-value pairs. Each member node profile may be linked to electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of the plurality of member node profiles. The method includes maintaining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of group node profiles. Each member node profile may be linked to a corresponding group node profile. The method includes identifying, by the one or more processors, for a first member node profile corresponding to a first group node profile, a first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile. The method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a first performance profile corresponding to the member node profile based on the first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile and one or more predetermined field-value pairs of the first member node profile. The method includes identifying, by the one or more processors, a plurality of second performance profiles using node field-value pairs of member node profiles linked to the respective second performance profiles. The method includes generating, by the one or more processors, a performance score of the first performance profile based on a comparison of the first performance profile to the plurality of second performance profiles. The method includes storing, by the one or more processors, in one or more data structures, an association between the first member node profile and the performance score of the first performance profile.

[0120] Another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system. The system includes one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions to maintain a plurality of member node profiles. Each member node profile of the plurality of member node profiles includes one or more field-value pairs. The processors are further configured to maintain a plurality of group node profiles. Each member node profile may be linked to a corresponding group node profile. The processors are further configured to identify, for a first member node profile corresponding to a first group node profile, a first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile. The processors are further configured to determine a first performance profile corresponding to the member node profile based on the first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile and one or more predetermined field-value pairs of the first member node profile. The processors are further configured to identify a plurality of second performance profiles using node field-value pairs of member node profiles linked to the respective second performance profiles. The processors are further configured to generate a performance score of the first performance profile based on a comparison of the first performance profile to the plurality of second performance profiles. The processors are further configured to store, in one or more data structures, an association between the first member node profile and the performance score of the first performance profile.

[0121] Yet another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors to perform a method. The method includes maintaining, by one or more

processors, a plurality of member node profiles. Each member node profile of the plurality of member node profiles may include one or more field-value pairs. The method includes maintaining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of group node profiles. Each member node profile may be linked to a corresponding group node profile. The method includes identifying, by the one or more processors, for a first member node profile corresponding to a first group node profile, a first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile. The method includes determining, by the one or more processors, a first performance profile corresponding to the first member node profile based on the first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile and one or more predetermined field-value pairs of the first member node profile. The method includes identifying a plurality of second performance profiles using node field-value pairs of member node profiles linked to the respective second performance profiles. The method includes generating a performance score of the first performance profile based on a comparison of the first performance profile to the plurality of second performance profiles. The method includes storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the first member node profile and the performance score of the first performance profile.

[0122] According to at least one aspect of the disclosure, a method for generating performance profiles of member nodes may include accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with a plurality of data source providers, the plurality of electronic activities used to maintain a plurality of node profiles, each node profile of the plurality node profile including one or more field-value pairs including respective values generated from at least one of the plurality of electronic activities. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, a subset of electronic activities from the plurality of electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the first node profile as a sender or a recipient and parsing, by the one or more processors, each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more participants with which the entity corresponding to the first node profile is communicating. The method may include accessing, by the one or more processors, for each participant of the one or more participants, a second node profile corresponding to the participant from the plurality of node profiles. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, from each second node profile corresponding to a respective participant of the one or more participants, from a plurality of participant types, a participant type for the participant based on the one or more field-value pairs of the second node profile. The method may include determining, by the one or more processors, a distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants; and generating, by the one or more processors, a performance profile for the first node profile based on the distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants.

[0123] In some embodiments, the method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, for each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities for the first node profile, an electronic activity type of the electronic activity. Generating the performance profile further comprises generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on the electronic activity types identified for the subset of electronic activities.

[0124] The method may include determining, by the one or more processors, a second distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the electronic activity types. Generating the performance profile may further include generating the performance profile based on the second distribution. [0125] In some embodiments, accessing the second node profile may further comprise accessing the second node profile including one or more field-value pairs generated from a signature embedded in at least one of the plurality of electronic activities.

[0126] In some embodiments, parsing each electronic activity may further comprise parsing each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more keywords embedded

in the electronic activity. Generating the performance profile further comprises generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on the one or more keywords identified from the subset of electronic activities.

[0127] In some embodiments, the method may include determining, by the one or more processors, for each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities for the first node profile, a metric corresponding to the electronic activity. Generating the performance profile may further comprise generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on the metrics corresponding to the electronic activities of the subset of electronic activities.

[0128] In some embodiments, identifying the subset of electronic activities may further comprise identifying the subset of electronic activities from the plurality of electronic activities based on one or more tags assigned to the plurality of electronic activities.

[0129] In some embodiments, the method may include accessing, by the one or more processors, the plurality of record objects of a system of record corresponding to the plurality of data source providers, each record object of the plurality of record objects having one or more object field-value pairs; and identifying, by the one or more processors, at least one record object corresponding to the first node profile of the plurality of node profiles. Generating the performance profile further comprises generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on the one or more object field-value pairs of the at least one record object identified as corresponding to the first node profile.

[0130] In some embodiments, the method may include generating, by the one or more processors, a performance score for the first node profile based on the performance profile of the first node profile.

[0131] In some embodiments, the performance profile for the first node profile is a first performance profile. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, respective second performance profiles of respective third node profiles that satisfy a similarity threshold based on one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile and the respective third node profiles and comparing, by the one or more processors, the first performance profile for the first node profile and the respective second performance profiles of the third node profiles. Generating the performance score for the first node profile may further comprise generating the first performance profile and the respective second performance profiles.

[0132] In some embodiments, generating the performance profile may further comprise generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on one or more of an average response time to respond to electronic activities or average response rate.

[0133] In some embodiments, the method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, for each record object identifying the entity, a plurality of stages; determining, by the one or more processors, for each stage of the plurality of stages, a subset of electronic activities corresponding to the stage; determining, by the one or more processors, the participants of the electronic activities in the subset in each stage; and generating, by the or more processors, for each stage of the plurality of stages in the record object, a distribution of electronic activities across participant types. Generating the performance profile may further include generating the performance profile based on the plurality of distributions of electronic activities across participant types at each stage of the plurality of stages

[0134] In some embodiments, the method may include accessing, by the one or more processors, subsequent to generating the performance profile, a second plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via the electronic accounts associated with the plurality of data source providers; and updating, by the one or more processors, the performance profile for the first node profile based on a distribution of a second subset of electronic activities from the second plurality of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants. [0135] In some embodiments, the participant type of the participant comprises a first value

corresponding to a seniority and a second value corresponding to a department.

[0136] Another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system for generating performance profiles of member nodes. The system may include one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions. The one or more processors may be configured to access a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with a plurality of data source providers, the plurality of electronic activities used to maintain a plurality of node profiles, each node profile of the plurality node profile including one or more field-value pairs including respective values generated from at least one of the plurality of electronic activities. The one or more processors may further be configured to identify, for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, a subset of electronic activities from the plurality of electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the first node profile as a sender or a recipient The one or more processors may further be configured to parse each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more participants with which the entity corresponding to the first node profile is communicating. The one or more processors may further be configured to access, for each participant of the one or more participants, a second node profile corresponding to the participant from the plurality of node and identify, from each second node profile corresponding to a respective participant of the one or more participants, from a plurality of participant types, a participant type for the participant based on the one or more field-value pairs of the second node profile. The one or more processors may further be configured to determine a distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants and generate a performance profile for the first node profile based on the distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants.

[0137] In some embodiments, the one or more processors may be further configured to identify, for each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities for the first node profile, an electronic activity type of the electronic activity. The one or more processors may be configured to generate the performance profile by generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on the electronic activity types identified for the subset of electronic activities.

[0138] In some embodiments, the one or more processors may be further configured to determine a second distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the electronic activity types. The one or more processors are configured to generate the performance profile by generating the performance profile based on the second distribution.

[0139] In some embodiments, the one or more processors may be configured to access the second node profile by accessing the second node profile including one or more field-value pairs generated from a signature embedded in at least one of the plurality of electronic activities.

[0140] In some embodiments, the one or more processors may be configured to parse each electronic activity by parsing each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more keywords embedded in the electronic activity. The one or more processors may be configured to generate the performance profile by generating the performance profile for the first node profile based on the one or more keywords identified from the subset of electronic activities.

[0141] Yet another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors to perform a method for generating performance profiles of member nodes. The method may include accessing a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with a plurality of data source providers, the plurality of electronic activities used to maintain a plurality of node profiles, each node profile of the plurality node profile including one or more field-value pairs including respective values generated from at least one of the plurality of electronic activities. The method may further include identifying, for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, a subset of electronic activities from the plurality of

```
electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the first node profile as a sender or a
recipient and parsing each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or
more participants with which the entity corresponding to the first node profile is communicating.
The method may further include accessing, for each participant of the one or more participants, a
second node profile corresponding to the participant from the plurality of node profiles. The
method may further include identifying, from each second node profile corresponding to a
respective participant of the one or more participants, from a plurality of participant types, a
participant type for the participant based on the one or more field-value pairs of the second node
profile. The method may further include determining a distribution of the subset of electronic
activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants and generating a
performance profile for the first node profile based on the distribution of the subset of electronic
activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants.
[0142] At least one aspect of this disclosure is directed to a method for generating a performance
profile of a node profile using electronic activities linked to the node profile. The method can
include accessing, by one or more processors, a plurality of first electronic activities linked to a
first node profile maintained by the one or more processors and having an associated timestamp
within a time period. For each first electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities,
the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a type of the first electronic
activity. The method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, a feature extraction
policy to generate a first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first
electronic activity. The method can include generating, by the one or more processors, the first
feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity. The
method can include generating, by the one or more processors, a first performance profile of the
first node profile for the time period by providing the generated first feature array for each first
electronic activity to one or more models trained using second feature arrays corresponding to
second electronic activities of second node profiles. Each second node profile can be assigned a
respective second performance profile. The method can include storing, by the one or more
processors, an association between the first node profile and the first performance profile.
[0143] In some embodiments, the method can include, for each first electronic activity of the
plurality of first electronic activities, categorizing, by the one or more processors, the first
electronic activity into one of at least a first type or a second type, based on a data format of the
first electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or
processors, a first number of the plurality of first electronic activities categorized as the first type.
In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or processors, a second
number of the plurality of first electronic activities categorized as the second type. In some
embodiments, the method can include generating, by the one or more processors, the first
performance profile based on the first number and the second number.
[0144] In some embodiments, for at least one electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic
activities, the method can include generating the first feature array by parsing, by the one or more
processors, the content of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can
include generating, by the one or more processors, using a language complexity determination
engine, a language complexity score indicating a level of language complexity of the at least one
electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more
processors, a character count or word count of the at least one electronic activity. In some
embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, an estimated
amount of time to generate the at least one electronic activity using the language complexity score
and the character count or the word count. In some embodiments, the method can include
generating, by the one or more processors, the first feature array for the at least one electronic
activity based on the estimated amount of time to generate the at least one electronic activity.
[0145] In some embodiments, for at least one of the first electronic activities, the method can
```

include generating the first feature array by extracting, by the one or more processors, for the at least one electronic activity, one or more activity field-values from the at least one electronic activity to match the at least one electronic activity to a third node profile of at least one participant of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, from the third node profile to which the at least one electronic activity is matched, an importance metric of the at least one participant of the at least one electronic activity based on at least one field-value pair of the third node profile satisfying an importance determination policy. In some embodiments, the method can include generating, by the one or more processors, the feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on the importance metric of the at least one participant.

[0146] In some embodiments, for at least one of the plurality of first electronic activities, the method can include generating the first feature array by comparing, by the one or more processors, the at least one electronic activity with at least a second electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities to determine a difference in the content between the at least one electronic activity and the second electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the difference in content is below a threshold. In some embodiments, the method can include generating, by the one or more processors, the feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on determining that the difference in content is below the threshold.

[0147] In some embodiments, for at least one of the first electronic activities, the method can include generating the first feature array by identifying, by the one or more processors, a recipient of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that none of the plurality of first electronic activities were sent from the identified recipient. In some embodiments, the method can include generating, by the one or more processors, the feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on the determination that none of the remaining electronic activities of the plurality of first electronic activities were sent from the identified recipient.

[0148] In some embodiments, the method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, for at least one electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities, a third node profile corresponding to a recipient of the first electronic activity. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, a connection type of a connection between the first node profile and third node profile. In some embodiments, the method can include discarding, from the plurality of first electronic activities, the at least one electronic activity prior to generating the first performance profile, based on the connection type of the connection between the first node profile and the third node profile.

[0149] In some embodiments, the method can include determining the type of at least one electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities by determining, by the one or more processors, that the at least one electronic activity is one of an electronic mail activity, an electronic calendar activity or a phone activity.

[0150] In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, from the plurality of first electronic activities, a vacation period for the first node profile using a vacation detection policy. In some embodiments, the method can include determining, by the one or more processors, that the time period overlaps with at least a portion of the vacation period. In some embodiments, the method can include adjusting, by the one or more processors, the first performance profile responsive to determining that the time period overlaps with at least the portion of the vacation period.

[0151] In some embodiments, the method can include selecting, by the one or more processors, at least one of the second node profiles based on a field-value pair of the second node profile matching a corresponding field-value pair of the first node profile.

[0152] Another aspect of this disclosure is directed to a system for generating a performance profile

of a node profile. The system can include one or more hardware processors configured by machinereadable instructions. The processor(s) may be configured to access a plurality of first electronic activities linked to a first node profile maintained by the one or more processors and having an associated timestamp within a time period. For each first electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a type of the first electronic activity. The processor(s) may be configured to select a feature extraction policy to generate a first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity. The processor(s) may be configured to generate the first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity. The processor(s) may be configured to generate a first performance profile of the first node profile for the time period by providing the generated first feature array for each first electronic activity to one or more models trained using second feature arrays corresponding to second electronic activities of second node profiles, each second node profile can be assigned a respective second performance profile. The processor(s) may be configured to store an association between the first node profile and the first performance profile. [0153] In some embodiments, the one or more hardware processors may be further configured by the machine-readable instructions to, for each first electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities, categorize the first electronic activity into one of at least a first type or a second type, based on a data format of the first electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a first number of the plurality of first electronic activities categorized as the first type. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a second number of the plurality of first electronic activities categorized as the second type. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to generate the first performance profile based on the first number and the second number.

[0154] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to, for at least one electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities, generate the first feature array by parsing the content of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to generate, using a language complexity determination engine, a language complexity score indicating a level of language complexity of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a character count or word count of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine an estimated amount of time to generate the at least one electronic activity using the language complexity score and the character count or the word count. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to generate the first feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on the estimated amount of time to generate the at least one electronic activity. [0155] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to, for at least one electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities, generate the first feature array by extracting one or more activity field-values from the at least one electronic activity to match the at least one electronic activity to a third node profile of at least one participant of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine, from the third node profile to which the at least one electronic activity is matched, an importance metric of the at least one participant of the at least one electronic activity based on at least one field-value pair of the third node profile satisfying an importance determination policy. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to generate the feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on the importance metric of the at least one participant.

[0156] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to, for at least one electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities, generate the first feature array by comparing the at least one electronic activity with at least a second electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities to determine a difference in the content between the at least one electronic activity and the second electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine that the difference in content is below a threshold. In some embodiments,

the processor(s) may be configured to generate the feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on determining that the difference in content is below the threshold.

[0157] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to, for at least one electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities, generate the first feature array by identifying a recipient of the at least one electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine that none of the plurality of first electronic activities were sent from the identified recipient. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to generate the feature array for the at least one electronic activity based on the determination that none of the remaining electronic activities of the plurality of first electronic activities were sent from the identified recipient.

[0158] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to identify, for at least one electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities, a third node profile corresponding to a recipient of the first electronic activity. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a connection type of a connection between the first node profile and third node profile. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to discard, from the plurality of first electronic activities, the at least one electronic activity prior to generating the first performance profile, based on the connection type of the connection between the first node profile and the third node profile.

[0159] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine the type of at least one electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities by determining that the at least one electronic activity is one of an electronic mail activity, an electronic calendar activity or a phone activity.

[0160] In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine, from the plurality of first electronic activities, a vacation period for the first node profile using a vacation detection policy. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to determine that the time period overlaps with at least a portion of the vacation period. In some embodiments, the processor(s) may be configured to adjust the first performance profile responsive to determining that the time period overlaps with at least the portion of the vacation period.

[0161] Another aspect of this disclosure is directed to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon which, when executed by one or more processors, cause the one or more processors to perform a method for generating a performance profile of a node profile. The method can include accessing a plurality of first electronic activities linked to a first node profile maintained by the one or more processors and having an associated timestamp within a time period. For each first electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities, the method can include determining a type of the first electronic activity. The method can include selecting a feature extraction policy to generate a first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity. The method can include generating the first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity. The method can include generating a first performance profile of the first node profile for the time period by providing the generated first feature array for each first electronic activity to one or more models trained using second feature arrays corresponding to second electronic activities of second node profiles. Each second node profile can be assigned a respective second performance profile. The method can include storing an association between the first node profile and the first performance profile.

[0162] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for determining an engagement profile of a participant. The systems and methods may generate the engagement profile based on analysis of the electronic activity level. An example implementation may contain the following steps. The system may access for a first record object electronic activities linked with the first record object. The system may determine the participants involved in the electronic activities linked to the first record object. The system may identify for a participant electronic activities a set

of electronic activities including the participant. The system may determine an engagement profile of the participant based on a first number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities sent by the participant, a second number of the set of electronic activities received by the participant and a temporal distribution of the set of electronic activities. The system may store the engagement profile in one or more data structures.

[0163] At least one aspect of the present disclosure relates to a method for generating an engagement profile. The method may include accessing for a first record object of a system of record of a data source provider, a plurality of electronic activities linked with the first record object. Each electronic activity may identify one or more participants associated with the first record object. The first record object may include a first object field-value pair identifying a stage of a process corresponding to the first record object. The method may include identifying for a participant of the one or more participants, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities including the participant. The method may include determining an engagement profile of the participant based on a first number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities received by the participant and a temporal distribution of the set of electronic activities. The method may include storing in one or more data structures an association between an identifier of the participant and the engagement profile of the participant for the first record object.

[0164] In some implementations of the method, the first record object may be of a first record object type and may include an object field-value pair storing a stage value indicating a proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the method, determining the engagement profile may further include determining a distribution of the set of electronic activities within a timeframe defined by a stage indicated by the stage value indicating the proximity to the completion of the event.

[0165] In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining a volume of the plurality of electronic activities occurring during each of a plurality of stages indicating a different proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the method, determining the engagement profile may further include determining the engagement profile based on a volume of plurality of electronic activities occurring during each of the plurality of stages.

[0166] In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining historical electronic activities of the participant linked with second record objects of the system of record. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining a first volume of the plurality of electronic activities occurring during each of a plurality of stages indicating a different proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining a second volume of the historical electronic activities occurring during each of a second plurality of stages indicating a different proximity to a second completion of a second event associated with each of the second record objects. In some implementations of the method, determining the engagement profile may further include determining the engagement profile based on the first volume of the plurality of electronic activities during each of the plurality of stages and the second volume of the plurality of electronic activities during each of the second plurality of stages.

[0167] The method can include determining a completion score indicating a likelihood of completing an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the method, the completion score may be based on the engagement profile of the participant. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining one or more field-value pairs of a node profile of the participant. In some implementations of the method, the method may include identifying an engagement profile generation policy based on the one or more field-value pairs of the node profile. In some implementations of the method, determining the engagement profile of the participant may include determining the engagement profile using the identified

engagement profile generation policy.

[0168] The method can include determining a second engagement profile generation policy for a second participant of the one or more participants. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining by using a second engagement profile generation policy, a second engagement profile for the second participant. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining a stage value indicating a proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object based on the second engagement profile for the second participant.

[0169] The method can include determining a second engagement profile generation policy for each of the one or more participants. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining by using a respective second engagement profile generation policy, a second engagement profile for each of the one or more participants. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining a completion score indicating a likelihood of completing an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the method, the completion score may be based on the second engagement profile for each of the one or more participants.

[0170] The method can include identifying a plurality of record objects of the system of record associated with the participant. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining a respective set of historical electronic activities of the participant linked with each of the plurality of record objects. In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining by using the engagement profile generation policy, a respective engagement profile for each of the plurality of record objects based on the respective set of historical electronic activities. In some implementations of the method, the method may include generating a production profile of the participant based on the respective engagement profile for each of the plurality of record objects.

[0171] In some implementations of the method, the method may include determining for a new record object, a completion score for the participant. In some implementations of the method, the completion score may indicate a likelihood of completing an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the method, the method may include generating a recommendation to assign the new record object to the participant responsive to determining that the completion score satisfies a record object assignment policy.

[0172] At least one aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system configured for generating an engagement profile. The system may include one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions. The processor(s) may be configured to access for a first record object of a system of record of a data source provider, a plurality of electronic activities linked with the first record object. Each electronic activity may identify one or more participants associated with the first record object. The first record object may include a first object field-value pair identifying a stage of a process corresponding to the first record object. The processor(s) may be configured to identify for a participant of the one or more participants, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities including the participant. The processor(s) may be configured to determine an engagement profile of the participant based on a first number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities sent by the participant, a second number of the set of electronic activities received by the participant and a temporal distribution of the set of electronic activities. The processor(s) may be configured to store in one or more data structures. An association between an identifier of the participant and the engagement profile of the participant for the first record object.

[0173] In some implementations of the system, the first record object may be of a first record object type and includes an object field-value pair storing a stage value indicating a proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the system, determining the engagement profile may further include determining a distribution of the

set of electronic activities within a timeframe defined by a stage indicated by the stage value indicating the proximity to the completion of the event.

[0174] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a volume of the plurality of electronic activities occurring during each of a plurality of stages indicating a different proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the system, determining the engagement profile may further include determining the engagement profile based on a volume of plurality of electronic activities occurring during each of the plurality of stages.

[0175] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine historical electronic activities of the participant linked with second record objects of the system of record. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a first volume of the plurality of electronic activities occurring during each of a plurality of stages indicating a different proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a second volume of the historical electronic activities occurring during each of a second plurality of stages indicating a different proximity to a second completion of a second event associated with each of the second record objects. In some implementations of the system, determining the engagement profile may further include determining the engagement profile based on the first volume of the plurality of electronic activities during each of the plurality of stages and the second volume of the plurality of electronic activities during each of the second plurality of stages. [0176] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a completion score indicating a likelihood of completing an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the system, the completion score may be based on the engagement profile of the participant. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine one or more field-value pairs of a node profile of the participant. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to identify an engagement profile generation policy based on the one or more field-value pairs of the node profile. In some implementations of the system, determining the engagement profile of the participant may include determining the engagement profile using the identified engagement profile generation policy. [0177] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a second engagement profile generation policy for a second participant of the one or more participants. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine by using a second engagement profile generation policy, a second engagement profile for the second participant. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a stage value indicating a proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object based on the second engagement profile for the second participant. [0178] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a second engagement profile generation policy for each of the one or more participants. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine, by using a respective second engagement profile generation policy, a second engagement profile for each of the one or more participants. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a completion score indicating a likelihood of completing an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the system, the completion score may be based on the second engagement profile for each of the one or more participants. [0179] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to identify a plurality of record objects of the system of record associated with the participant. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine a respective set of historical electronic activities of the participant linked with each of the plurality of record objects. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine, by using the engagement profile generation policy, a respective engagement profile for each of the plurality

of record objects based on the respective set of historical electronic activities. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to generate a production profile of the participant based on the respective engagement profile for each of the plurality of record objects.

[0180] In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to determine for a new record object a completion score for the participant. In some implementations of the system, the completion score may indicate a likelihood of completing an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations of the system, the processor(s) may be configured to generate a recommendation to assign the new record object to the participant responsive to determining that the completion score satisfies a record object assignment policy. [0181] At least one aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors to perform a method for generating an engagement profile. The method may include accessing for a first record object of a system of record of a data source provider, a plurality of electronic activities linked with the first record object. Each electronic activity may identify one or more participants associated with the first record object. The first record object may include a first object field-value pair identifying a stage of a process corresponding to the first record object. The method may include identifying for a participant of the one or more participants, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities including the participant. The method may include determining an engagement profile of the participant based on a first number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities sent by the participant, a second number of the set of electronic activities received by the participant and a temporal distribution of the set of electronic activities. The method may include storing in one or more data structures. An association between an identifier of the participant and the engagement profile of the participant for the first record object.

[0182] At least one aspect of the present disclosure relates to a method for predicting the performance of a member node. The method may include identifying, by one or more processors, a first node profile from a plurality of node profiles corresponding to a plurality of unique entities. Each node profile of the plurality of node profiles includes one or more node field-value pairs. Each node field-value pair of the node profile is associated with a corresponding field and a node field value. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers and associated with the first node profile. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, a first group of the plurality of the node profiles of a first category. Each node profile of the first group of the plurality of node profiles is associated with a predetermined event. The method may include selecting, by the one or more processors, from the first group of the plurality of the node profiles, a second group of node profiles having node fieldvalue pairs corresponding to one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The method may include parsing, by the one or more processors, each electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities to identify a creation timestamp of the electronic activity and at least one participant characteristic of participants of the electronic activity. The method may include generating, by the one or more processors, an input array based on the timestamp and the at least one participant characteristic identified from each electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities. The method may include generating, for each node profile of the second group of node profiles, a respective array based on creation timestamps and participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the node profile of the second group of node profiles. The method may include determining, by the one or more processors, by providing the input array as an input in a machine learning model trained using the generated respective arrays, a probability score indicating a likelihood that the node profile belongs to the first category. The method may include storing, by the one or more processors, an association

between the first node profile and the probability score.

[0183] In some embodiments of the method, the method can include identifying, by the one or more processors, a third group of the plurality of the node profiles of a second category. Each node profile of the third group of the plurality of node profiles is associated with the predetermined event. The method may further include selecting, by the one or more processors, from the third group of the plurality of the node profiles, a fourth group of node profiles having node field-value pairs corresponding to the one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The method may further include generating, for each node profile of the fourth group of node profiles, a respective array based on the creation timestamps and the participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the node profile of the fourth group of node profiles. The method may further include training, by the one or more processors, the machine learning model using the respective arrays based on the creation timestamps and the participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying the entity corresponding to the node profile of the fourth group of node profiles.

[0184] In some embodiments of the method, the machine learning model includes one or more of a convolutional neural network or a bayesian algorithm to determine the probability score. [0185] In some embodiments of the method, it may further include receiving, by the one or more processors for each of the plurality of node profiles, a performance profile indicating at least one of an electronic activity transmission frequency or an electronic activity transmission count. The method may further include identifying the first group of the plurality of node profiles based on the performance profile.

[0186] In some embodiments of the method, the one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile store a role value of the first node profile. The participant characteristic comprises a role value of the node profile corresponding to the participant. The method can include identifying a type of the electronic activity. The method may further include generating the input array based on the type of the electronic activity. The method can include receiving a list from a first entity containing an identification of each of the first group of the plurality of node profiles. In some embodiments of the method, each node profile of the second group of node profiles includes a first field-value pair corresponding to an entity name that matches a corresponding first field-value pair of the first node profile and a second field-value pair corresponding to a job title that matches a corresponding second field-value pair of the first node profile.

[0187] At least one aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system configured to predict performance of a member node. The system may include one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions. The processor(s) may be configured to identify a first node profile from a plurality of node profiles corresponding to a plurality of unique entities. Each node profile of the plurality of node profiles includes one or more node field-value pairs. Each node field-value pair of the node profile is associated with a corresponding field and a node field value. The processor(s) may be configured to identify a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers and associated with the first node profile. The processor(s) may be configured to identify a first group of the plurality of the node profiles of a first category, each node profile of the first group of the plurality of node profiles associated with a predetermined event. The processor(s) may be configured to select from the first group of the plurality of the node profiles, a second group of node profiles having node field-value pairs corresponding to one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The processor(s) may be configured to parse each electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities to identify a creation timestamp of the electronic activity and at least one participant characteristic of participants of the electronic activity. The processor(s) may be configured to generate an input array based on the timestamp and the at least one participant characteristic identified from each electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities. The processor(s) may be configured to generate, for each node profile of the second group of node

profiles, a respective array based on creation timestamps and participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the node profile of the second group of node profiles. The processor(s) may be configured to determine, by providing the input array as an input in a machine learning model trained using the generated respective arrays, a probability score indicating a likelihood that the node profile belongs to the first category. The processor(s) may be configured to store an association between the first node profile and the probability score.

[0188] In some embodiments of the system, the processor(s) may be further configured to identify a third group of the plurality of the node profiles of a second category. Each node profile of the third group of the plurality of node profiles is associated with the predetermined event. The processor(s) may be further configured to select, from the third group of the plurality of the node profiles, a fourth group of node profiles having node field-value pairs corresponding to the one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The processor(s) may be further configured to generate, for each node profile of the fourth group of node profiles, a respective array based on the creation timestamps and the participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the node profile of the fourth group of node profiles. The processor(s) may be further configured to train the machine learning model using the respective arrays based on the creation timestamps and the participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying the entity corresponding to the node profile of the fourth group of node profiles. In some embodiments of the system, the machine learning model includes one or more of a convolutional neural network or a bayesian algorithm to determine the probability score. [0189] In some embodiments of the system, the processor(s) may be further configured to receive, for each of the plurality of node profiles, a performance profile indicating at least one of an electronic activity transmission frequency or an electronic activity transmission count. The processor(s) may be further configured to identify the first group of the plurality of node profiles based on the performance profile.

[0190] In some embodiments of the system, the one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile store a role value of the first node profile. In some embodiments of the system, the participant characteristic comprises a role value of the node profile corresponding to the participant. In some embodiments of the system, the processor(s) may be further configured to identify a type of the electronic activity. The processor(s) may be further configured to generate the input array based on the type of the electronic activity. In some embodiments of the system, the processor(s) may be further configured to receive a list from a first entity containing an identification of each of the first group of the plurality of node profiles. In some embodiments of the system, each node profile of the second group of node profiles includes a first field-value pair corresponding to an entity name that matches a corresponding first field-value pair of the first node profile and a second field-value pair corresponding to a job title that matches a corresponding second field-value pair of the first node profile.

[0191] At least one aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors to perform a method for predicting performance of a node. The method may include identifying, by one or more processors, a first node profile from a plurality of node profiles corresponding to a plurality of unique entities. Each node profile of the plurality of node profiles includes one or more node field-value pairs. Each node field-value pair of the node profile is associated with a corresponding field and a node field value. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers and associated with the first node profile. The method may include identifying, by the one or more processors, a first group of the plurality of the node profiles of a first category. Each node profile of the first group of the plurality of node profiles is associated with a predetermined event. The method may include

selecting, by the one or more processors, from the first group of the plurality of the node profiles, a second group of node profiles having node field-value pairs corresponding to one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The method may include parsing, by the one or more processors, each electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities to identify a creation timestamp of the electronic activity and at least one participant characteristic of participants of the electronic activity. The method may include generating, by the one or more processors, an input array based on the timestamp and the at least one participant characteristic identified from each electronic activity of the first plurality of electronic activities. The method may include generating, for each node profile of the second group of node profiles, a respective array based on creation timestamps and participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the node profile of the second group of node profiles. The method may include determining, by the one or more processors, by providing the input array as an input in a machine learning model trained using the generated respective arrays, a probability score indicating a likelihood that the node profile belongs to the first category. The method may include storing, by the one or more processors, an association between the first node profile and the probability score. [0192] In some embodiments of the non-transitory computer-readable storage medium, the method may further include identifying, by the one or more processors, a third group of the plurality of the node profiles of a second category. Each node profile of the third group of the plurality of node profiles is associated with the predetermined event. The method may further include selecting, by the one or more processors, from the third group of the plurality of the node profiles, a fourth group of node profiles having node field-value pairs corresponding to the one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The method may further include generating, for each node profile of the fourth group of node profiles, a respective array based on the creation timestamps and the participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying an entity corresponding to the node profile of the fourth group of node profiles. The method may further include training, by the one or more processors, the machine learning model using the respective arrays based on the creation timestamps and the participant characteristics identified from electronic activities identifying the entity corresponding to the node profile of the fourth group of node profiles. [0193] One aspect of the present disclosure relates to a method for generating performance profiles using electronic activities matched with record objects of one or more systems of record. The method may include accessing a plurality of record objects of a system of record of a data source provider. Each record object of the plurality of record objects corresponding to a record object type and may include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. Each of the plurality of record objects may include one or more electronic activities. The method may include identifying, from the plurality of record objects, a subset of record objects associated with a node profile corresponding to an entity. The method may include identifying, for each record object of the subset of record objects, electronic activities linked to the record object. The method may include determining, for each record object of the subset of record objects, a respective entity engagement profile for the entity based on the electronic activities linked to the record object and one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. The method may include generating a composite entity engagement profile of the entity based on each respective entity engagement profile corresponding to each record object of the subset of record objects. The method may include storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the entity and the entity performance profile.

[0194] Another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a system for generating performance profiles using electronic activities matched with record objects of one or more systems of record. The system may include one or more hardware processors configured by machine-readable instructions. The processor(s) may be configured to access a plurality of record objects of a system of record of a data source provider. Each record object of the plurality of record objects may include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. Each of the plurality of

record objects may include one or more electronic activities. The processor(s) may be configured to identify, from the plurality of record objects, a subset of record objects associated with a node profile corresponding to an entity. The processor(s) may be configured to identify, for each record object of the subset of record objects, electronic activities linked to the record object. The processor(s) may be configured to determine, for each record object of the subset of record objects, a respective entity engagement profile for the entity based on the electronic activities linked to the record object and one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. The processor(s) may be configured to generate a composite entity engagement profile of the entity based on each respective entity engagement profile corresponding to each record object of the subset of record objects. The processor(s) may be configured to store, in one or more data structures, an association between the entity and the entity performance profile.

[0195] Yet another aspect of the present disclosure relates to a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors to perform a method for generating performance profiles using electronic activities matched with record objects of one or more systems of record. The method may include accessing a plurality of record objects of a system of record of a data source provider. Each record object of the plurality of record objects may include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. Each of the plurality of record objects may include one or more electronic activities. The method may include identifying, from the plurality of record objects, a subset of record objects associated with a node profile corresponding to an entity. The method may include identifying, for each record object of the subset of record objects, electronic activities linked to the record object. The method may include determining, for each record object of the subset of record objects, a respective entity engagement profile for the entity based on the electronic activities linked to the record object and one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. The method may include generating a composite entity engagement profile of the entity based on each respective entity engagement profile corresponding to each record object of the subset of record objects. The method may include storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the entity and the entity performance profile.

# **Description**

### BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF THE DRAWINGS

[0196] FIG. **1** illustrates a tiered system architecture for aggregating electronic activities and synchronizing the electronic activities to one or more systems of record according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

[0197] FIG. **2** illustrates a process flow for aggregating electronic activities and synchronizing the electronic activities to one or more systems of record according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

[0198] FIG. **3** illustrates a processing flow diagram for aggregating electronic activities and synchronizing the electronic activities to one or more systems of record according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

[0199] FIG. **4** illustrates a node graph generation system for constructing a node graph based on electronic activity according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

[0200] FIGS. **5**A-**5**C illustrate various types of example electronic activities according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

[0201] FIG. **6**A illustrates a representation of a node profile of a node according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

[0202] FIG. **6**B illustrates representations of three electronic activities and representations of three states of a node profile of a node according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

- [0203] FIG. 7 illustrates a series of electronic activities between two nodes according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0204] FIG. **8** illustrates electronic activities involving two nodes and the impact a time decaying score has on the connection strength between the two nodes according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0205] FIG. **9** illustrates a block diagram of an example electronic activity linking engine according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0206] FIG. **10** illustrates a plurality of example record objects, and their interconnections, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0207] FIG. **11** illustrates the restriction of a first grouping of record objects with a second grouping of record objects according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0208] FIG. **12** illustrates the application of a plurality of matching strategies and then pruning of the matched record objects with a second plurality of matching strategies according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0209] FIG. **13** illustrates an example calculation for calculating the engagement score of an opportunity record object according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0210] FIG. **14** illustrates an example user interface identifying various pieces of information that can be extracted from an electronic activity according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0211] FIG. **15** illustrates an example user interface identifying a record object corresponding to an opportunity according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0212] FIG. **16** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for processing electronic activities in a single-tenant configuration according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0213] FIG. **17** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for processing electronic activities in a multi-tenant configuration according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0214] FIG. **18** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for matching electronic activities with record objects according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0215] FIG. **19** illustrates a block diagram of an example method to match electronic activities directly to record objects according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0216] FIG. **20** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for matching electronic activities with record objects according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0217] FIG. **21** illustrates a block diagram of an example method to match electronic activities with record objects according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0218] FIG. **22** illustrates a block diagram of an example process to match electronic activities with node profiles according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0219] FIG. **23** illustrates a block diagram of an example method to match electronic activities with node profiles according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0220] FIG. **24** illustrates a block diagram of an example method to match electronic objects with node profiles according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0221] FIG. **25** illustrates a block diagram of a series of electronic activities between two nodes according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0222] FIG. **26** illustrates a representation of a node profile of a node according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0223] FIG. **27** illustrates a block diagram of an example method to generate confidence scores of values of fields based on data points according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0224] FIG. **28** illustrates a simplified block diagram of a representative server system and client computer system according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0225] FIG. **29** illustrates an example flow for measuring goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0226] FIG. **30** illustrates an example method of measuring goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;

- [0227] FIG. **31** illustrates an example system for managing electronic activity driven targets, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0228] FIG. **32** illustrates an example method for managing electronic activity driven targets, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0229] FIG. **33** illustrates a use case diagram of an example system for generating performance profiles according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0230] FIG. **34** illustrates a flow diagram of an example method for generating performance scores based on node performance profiles according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0231] FIG. **35** illustrates a use case diagram of an example sequence for generating performance profiles of member nodes, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0232] FIG. **36** illustrates a flow diagram of an example method for generating performance profiles of member nodes, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0233] FIG. **37** illustrates a block diagram of a series of electronic activities, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0234] FIG. **38** illustrates an example method for updating a node profile, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0235] FIG. **39** illustrates an example system for generating a performance profile of a node profile, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0236] FIG. **40** illustrates a method for generating a performance profile of a node profile, according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0237] FIG. **41** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for determining an engagement profile according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0238] FIG. **42** illustrates a block diagram of an example method to generate an engagement profile according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0239] FIG. **43** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for predicting success/performance of a member node based on a type of task and a job title according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0240] FIG. **44** illustrates an example method for predicting performance of a member node according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0241] FIG. **45**A illustrates a use case diagram showing a plurality of record objects within a system of record for generating performance profiles according to embodiments of the present disclosure:
- [0242] FIG. **45**B illustrates a set of graphical representations of engagement profiles corresponding to an entity according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0243] FIG. **45**C illustrates a plurality of electronic activity distributions for different entities linked to the same record object according to embodiments of the present disclosure;
- [0244] FIG. **45**D illustrates a graphical representation of an example composite engagement profile for the entity corresponding to the engagement profiles of FIG. **45**B according to embodiments of the present disclosure; and
- [0245] FIG. **46** illustrates an example method for generating performance profiles using electronic activities matched with record objects of one or more systems of record according to embodiments of the present disclosure.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

[0246] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for constructing a node graph based on electronic activity. The node graph can include a plurality of nodes and a plurality of edges between the nodes indicating activity or relationships that are derived from a plurality of data sources that can include one or more types of electronic activities. The plurality of data sources can include email or messaging servers, phone servers, servers storing calendar information, meeting information, among others. The plurality of data sources further includes systems of record, such as customer relationship management systems, enterprise resource planning systems, document

management systems, applicant tracking systems or other source of data that may maintain electronic activities, activities or records.

[0247] The present disclosure further relates to systems and methods for using the node graph to manage, maintain, improve, or otherwise modify one or more systems of record by linking and or synchronizing electronic activities to one or more record objects of the systems of record. In particular, the systems described herein can be configured to automatically synchronize real-time or near real-time electronic activity to one or more objects of systems of record. The systems can further extract business process information from the systems of record and in combination with the node graph, use the extracted business process information to improve business processes and to provide data driven solutions to improve such business processes.

[0248] Referring briefly to FIG. **1**, FIG. **1** illustrates a tiered system architecture for aggregating electronic activities and synchronizing the electronic activities to one or more systems of record according to embodiments of the present disclosure. As shown in FIG. **1**, at the first tier, the system aggregates electronic activities from one or more data source providers. At the second tier, the system extracts information from the aggregated electronic activities and one or more systems of record of one or more data source providers to construct and maintain a node graph including the plurality of nodes and edges indicating the connections between the nodes. At the third tier, the system utilizes the electronic activities, the systems of record, and the node graph to provide data driven insights to improve one or more business processes of the data source providers and to assist various data source providers in extracting data driven insights.

[0249] FIG. **2** illustrates a process flow for aggregating electronic activities and synchronizing the electronic activities to one or more systems of record according to embodiments of the present disclosure. The system can be configured to receive and aggregate electronic activities identifying one or more nodes. The system can parse the electronic activities and extract information from the electronic activities to generate node profiles for each node, log activities and maintain changes made to each of the node profiles maintained by the system. The system can further be configured to extract information from the electronic activities of the nodes and determine insights or metrics that can be shared with one or more other nodes and the users of the system. The system can be further configured to synchronize the electronic activities to objects of one or more systems of record.

[0250] In a particular use case, sales representatives of an organization may be involved in electronic activities, such as emails, phone calls, meetings, among others that can be tracked and captured by the system via ingestion from one or more data sources of the organization or other organizations. The system can extract information from the electronic activities that may be associated with deals or opportunities the sales representatives are working on. The system can use the information from these electronic activities to generate reports for managers of the organization. These reports are generated based on data derived from electronic activity without requiring the sales representatives to perform any additional activities. Furthermore, the managers also do not need to spend time generating these reports as the system can automatically generate these reports. Furthermore, the system can identify trends and behaviors that may be determined through machine learning techniques otherwise not tracked by the managers, thereby providing reports that may otherwise not be generated by the managers. Further, sales representatives may also no longer be required to spend time synchronizing electronic activities to one or more systems of record. Rather, the system can be configured to automatically synchronize the electronic activities to the appropriate objects of the one or more systems of record. The system can further receive information from the one or more systems and records to determine the results associated with the sales representative's efforts and perform analytics to generate recommendations to assist the sales representatives achieve their goals and eventually improve their performance as sales representatives as well as provide company management with recommendations about improving the performance of the overall business.

[0251] Referring now to FIG. 3, FIG. 3 illustrates a processing flow diagram for aggregating electronic activities, processing the electronic activities to update node profiles of people and to construct a node graph, and synchronizing the electronic activities to one or more systems of record. The process flow **9302** can be executed by a data processing system **9300** that can receive electronic activity and other data from a plurality of data source providers **9350***a-n*. Each data source provider **9350** can include one or more data sources **9355***a*-*n* and/or one or more system of record instances **9360**. Examples of data sources can include electronic mail servers, telephone log servers, contact servers, other types of servers and end-user applications that may receive or maintain electronic activity data or profile data relating to one or more nodes. The data processing system **9300** can ingest electronic activity (**9305**). The data processing system **9300** can featurize and tag the ingested electronic activity (9310) and store the featurized data in a featurized data store (9315). The data processing system 9300 can process the featurized data (9320) to generate a node graph including a plurality of node profiles (9325). The data processing system 9300 can further maintain a plurality of system of record instances **9330***a-n* corresponding to system of record instances of the data source providers **9350**. The data processing system **9300** can utilize the system of record instances to augment the node profiles of the node graph by synchronizing data stored in the system of record instances maintained by the data processing system (9335). The data processing system **9300** can further match the ingested electronic activities to one or more record objects maintained in one or more systems of record instances of the data source provider from which the electronic activity was received (9340). The data processing system 9300 can further synchronize the electronic activity matched to record objects to update the system of record instances of the data source provider (9335). Furthermore, the data processing system 9300 can use the featurized data to provide performance predictions and generate other business process related outputs, insights and recommendations (9345).

[0252] As described herein, electronic activity can include any type of electronic communication that can be stored or logged. Examples of electronic activity can include electronic mail messages, telephone calls, calendar invitations, social media messages, mobile application messages, instant messages, cellular messages such as SMS, MMS, among others, as well as electronic records of any other activity, such as digital content, such as files, photographs, screenshots, browser history, internet activity, shared documents, among others.

[0253] The electronic activity can be stored on one or more data source servers. The electronic activity can be owned or managed by one or more data source providers, such as companies that utilize the services of the data processing system **9300**. The electronic activity can be associated with or otherwise maintained, stored or aggregated by an electronic activity source, such as Google G Suite, Microsoft Office365, Microsoft Exchange, among others. In some embodiments, the electronic activity can be real-time (or near real-time) electronic activity, asynchronous electronic activity (such as emails, text messages, among others) or synchronous electronic activity (such as meetings, phone calls, video calls), or other activity in which two parties are communicating simultaneously.

1. Systems and Methods for Generating a Node Graph Using Electronic Activities [0254] As described above, the present disclosure relates to systems and methods for constructing a node graph based on electronic activity. The node graph can include a plurality of nodes and a plurality of edges between the nodes indicating activity or relationships that are derived from a plurality of data sources that can include one or more types of electronic activities. The plurality of data sources can further include systems of record, such as customer relationship management systems, enterprise resource planning systems, document management systems, applicant tracking systems or other source of data that may maintain electronic activities, activities or records. [0255] Referring now to FIG. 4, FIG. 4 illustrates a node graph generation system 200 can be, include or be part of the data processing system 9300 described in FIG. 3. The node graph

generation system **200** can include an electronic activity ingestor **205**, an electronic activity parser **210**, a tagging engine **265**, a source health scorer **215**, a node profile manager **220**, a node profile database **225**, a record data extractor **230**, an attribute value confidence scorer **235**, a node pairing engine **240**, a node resolution engine **245**, an electronic activity linking engine **250**, a record object manager **255**, a data source provider network generator **260**, a tagging engine **265** and a filtering engine **270**. The node graph generation system **200** can receive electronic activity and systems of record data from one or more data source providers **9350**. The data source providers can provide electronic activity or data stored or maintained on a plurality of data sources **355** and one or more systems of record **360**.

[0256] Referring now to FIG. **5**A, FIG. **5**A illustrates an example electronic message. The electronic message **505** can identify one or more recipients **510**, one or more senders **512**, a subject line **514**, an email body **516**, an email signature **518** and a message header **520**. The message header can include additional information relating to the transmission and receipt of the email message, including a time at which the email was sent, a message identifier identifying a message, an IP address associated with the message, a location associated with the message, a time zone associated with the sender, a time at which the message was transmitted, received, and first accessed, among others. The electronic message **505** can include additional data in the electronic message **505** or in the header or metadata of the electronic message **505**.

[0257] Referring now to FIG. 5B, FIG. 5B illustrates an example call entry representing a phone call or other synchronous communication is shown. The call entry 525 can identify a caller 530, a location 532 of the caller, a time zone 534 of the caller, a receiver 536, a location 538 of the receiver, a time zone **540** of the receiver, a start date and time **542**, an end date and time **544**, a duration 548 and a list of participants **548**. In some embodiments, the times at which each participant joined and left the call can be included. Furthermore, the locations from which each of the callers called can be determined based on determining if the user called from a landline, cell phone, or voice over IP call, among others. The call entry **525** can also include fields for phone number prefixes (e.g., 800, 866, and 877), phone number extensions, and caller ID information. [0258] Referring now to FIG. 5C, FIG. 5C illustrates an example calendar entry **560**. The calendar entry **560** can identify a sender **562**, a list of participants **562**, a start date and time **566** location **532** of the caller, an end date and time **568**, a duration 570 of the calendar entry, a subject **572** of the calendar entry, a body 574 of the calendar entry, one or more attachments 576 included in the calendar entry and a location of event, described by the calendar entry **578**. The calendar entry can include additional data in the calendar entry or in the header or metadata of the calendar entry **560**. [0259] In some embodiments, the electronic activities are exchanged between or otherwise involve nodes. In some embodiments, nodes can be representative of people or companies. In some embodiments, nodes can be member nodes or group nodes. A member node may refer to a node representative of a person that is part of a company or other organizational entity. A group node may refer to a node that is representative of the company or other organizational entity and is linked to multiple member nodes. The electronic activity may be exchanged between member nodes in which case the system is configured to identify the member nodes and the one or more group nodes associated with each of the member nodes.

[0260] The data processing system **9300** or the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to assign each electronic activity a unique electronic activity identifier. This unique electronic activity identifier can be used to uniquely identify the electronic activity. Further, each electronic activity can be associated with a source that provides the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the data source can be the company or entity that authorizes the system **9300** or **200** to receive the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the source can correspond to a system of record, an electronic activity server that stores or manages electronic activity, or any other server that stores or manages electronic activity related to a company or entity. As will be described herein, the quality, health or hygiene of the source of the electronic activity may affect the role the

electronic activity plays in generating the node graph. The node graph generation system **200** can be configured to determine a time at which the electronic activity occurred. In some embodiments, the time may be based on when the electronic activity was transmitted, received or recorded. As will be described herein, the time associated with the electronic activity can also affect the role the electronic activity plays in generating the node graph.

[0261] Referring again to FIG. **4**, additional details relating to the functions performed by various modules of the node graph generation system are provided herein.

# A. Electronic Activity Ingestion

[0262] The electronic activity ingestor **205** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the electronic activity ingestor **205** is executed to perform one or more functions of the electronic activity ingestor **205** can be configured to ingest electronic activities from the plurality of data source providers. The electronic activities may be received or ingested in real-time or asynchronously as electronic activities are generated, transmitted or stored by the one or more data source providers.

[0263] The node graph generation system **200** can ingest electronic activity from a plurality of different source providers. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to manage electronic activities and one or more systems of record for one or more enterprises, organizations, companies, businesses, institutions or any other group associated with a plurality of electronic activity accounts. The node graph generation system 200 can ingest electronic activities from one or more servers that hosts, processes, stores or manages electronic activities. In some embodiments, the one or more servers can be electronic mail or messaging servers. The node graph generation system **200** can ingest all or a portion of the electronic activities stored or managed by the one or more servers. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can ingest the electronic activities stored or managed by the one or more servers once or repeatedly on a periodic basis, such as daily, weekly, monthly or any other frequency. [0264] The node graph generation system **200** can further ingest other data that may be used to generate or update node profiles of one or more nodes maintained by the node graph generation system **200**. The other data may also be stored by the one or more servers that hosts, processes, stores or manages electronic activities. This data can include contact data, such as Names, addresses, phone numbers, Company information, titles, among others.

[0265] The node graph generation system **200** can further ingest data from one or more systems of record. The systems of record can be hosted, processed, stored or managed by one or more servers of the systems of record. The systems of record can be linked or otherwise associated with the one or more servers that host, process, store or manage electronic activities. In some embodiments, both the servers associated with the electronic activities and the servers maintaining the systems of record may belong to the same organization or company.

[0266] The electronic activity ingestor **205** can receive an electronic activity and can assign each electronic activity, an electronic activity unique identifier **502** to enable the node graph generation system **200** to uniquely identify each electronic activity. In some embodiments, the electronic activity unique identifier **502** can be the same identifier as a unique electronic activity identifier included in the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the unique electronic activity is included in the electronic activity by the source of the electronic activity or any other system.

[0267] The electronic activity ingestor **205** can be configured to format the electronic activity in a manner that allows the electronic activity to be parsed or processed. In some embodiments, the electronic activity ingestor **205** can identify one or more fields of the electronic activity and apply one or more normalization techniques to normalize the values included in the one or more fields. In some embodiments, the electronic activity ingestor **205** can format the values of the fields to allow content filters to apply one or more policies to identify one or more regex patterns for filtering the content, as described herein.

[0268] It should be appreciated that the electronic activity ingestor **205** can be configured to ingest electronic activities in a real-time or near real-time basis for accounts of one or more enterprises, organizations, companies, businesses, institutions or any other group associated with a plurality of electronic activity account with which the node graph generation system **200** has integrated. When an enterprise client subscribes to a service provided by the node graph generation system **200**, the enterprise client provides access to electronic activities maintained by the enterprise client by going through an onboarding process. That onboarding process allows the system 200 to access electronic activities owned or maintained by the enterprise client from one or more electronic activities sources. This can include the enterprise client's mail servers, one or more systems of record, one or more phone services or servers of the enterprise client, among other sources of electronic activity. The electronic activities ingested during an onboarding process may include electronic activities that were generated in the past, perhaps many years ago, that were stored on the electronic activities' sources. In addition, in some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to ingest and re-ingest the same electronic activities from one or more electronic activities sources on a periodic basis, including daily, weekly, monthly, or any reasonable frequency. [0269] The electronic activity ingestor **205** can be configured to receive access to each of the

[0269] The electronic activity ingestor **205** can be configured to receive access to each of the electronic activities from each of these sources of electronic activity including the systems of record of the enterprise client. The electronic activity ingestor **205** can establish one or more listeners, or other mechanisms to receive electronic activities as they are received by the sources of the electronic activities enabling real-time or near real-time integration.

[0270] As more and more data is ingested and processed as described herein, the node graph generated by the node graph generation system **200** can get richer and richer with more information. The additional information, as will be described herein, can be used to populate missing fields or add new values to existing fields, reinforce field values that have low confidence scores and further increase the confidence score of field values, adjust confidence scores of certain data points, and identify patterns or make deductions based on the values of various fields of node profiles of nodes included in the graph.

[0271] As more data is ingested, the node graph generation system **200** can use existing node graph data to predict missing or ambiguous values in electronic activities such that the more node profiles and data included in the node graph, the better the predictions of the node graph generation system **200**, thereby improving the processing of the ingested electronic activities and thereby improving the quality of each node profile of the node graph, which eventually will improve the quality of the overall node graph of the node graph generation system **200**.

[0272] The node graph generation system **200** can be configured to periodically regenerate or recalculate the node graph. The node graph generation system **200** can do so responsive to additional data being ingested by the system **200**. When new electronic activities or data is ingested by the node graph generation system **200**, the system **200** can be configured to recalculate the node graph as the confidence scores (as will be described later) can change based on the information included in the new electronic activities. In some embodiments, the ingestor may re-ingest previously ingested data from the one or more electronic activity sources or simply ingest the new electronic activity not previously ingested by the system **200**.

# B. Electronic Activity Parsing

[0273] The electronic activity parser **210** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, which is configured to enable a computing device on which the electronic activity parser **210** is executed to perform one or more functions of the electronic activity parser **210** described herein.

[0274] The electronic activity parser **210** can be configured to parse the electronic activity to identify one or more values of fields to be used in generating node profiles of one or more nodes and associate the electronic activities between nodes for use in determining the connection and connection strength between nodes. The node profiles can include fields having name-value pairs.

The electronic activity parser **210** can be configured to parse the electronic activity to identify values for as many fields of the node profiles of the nodes with which the electronic activity is associated.

[0275] The electronic activity parser **210** can be configured to first identify each of the nodes associated with the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** can parse the metadata of the electronic activity to identify the nodes. The metadata of the electronic activity can include a To field, a From field, a Subject field, a Body field, a signature within the body and any other information included in the electronic activity header that can be used to identify one or more values of one or more fields of any node profile of nodes associated with the electronic activity. In some embodiments, non-email electronic activity can include meetings or phone calls. The metadata of such non-email electronic activity can include a duration of the meeting or call, one or more participants of the meeting or call, a location of the meeting, locations associated with the initiator and receiver of the phone call, in addition to other information that may be extracted from the metadata of such electronic activity. In some embodiments, nodes are associated with the electronic activity if the node is a sender of the electronic activity, a recipient of the electronic activity, a participant of the electronic node, or identified in the contents of the electronic activity. The node can be identified in the contents of the electronic activity or can be inferred based on information maintained by the node graph generation system **200** and based on the connections of the node and one or more of the sender or recipients of the electronic activity. [0276] The electronic activity parser **210** can be configured to parse the electronic activity to identify attributes, values, or characteristics of the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** can apply natural language processing techniques to the electronic activity to identify regex patterns, words or phrases, or other types of content that may be used for sentiment analysis, filtering, tagging, classifying, deduplication, effort estimation, and other functions performed by the data processing system **200**.

[0277] In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** can be configured to parse an electronic activity to identify values of fields or attributes of one or more nodes. For instance, when an electronic mail message is ingested into the node graph generation system **200**, the electronic activity parser **210** can identify a FROM field of the electronic mail message. The FROM field can include a name and an email address. The name can be in the form of a first name and a last name or a last name, first name. The parser can extract the name in the FROM field and the email address in the FROM field to determine whether a node is associated with the sender of the electronic mail message.

# C. Signature Parsing

[0278] In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** can be configured to identify a signature in a body of an electronic message. The parser **210** can identify the signature by utilizing a signature detection policy that includes logic for identifying patterns of signatures. In some embodiments, a signature can include one or more values of attributes, such as values for attributes including but not limited to a name, a phone number, a company name, a company division, a company address, a job title, one or more social network handles or links, an email address, among others. By parsing the signature, the electronic activity parser **210** can identify each of the values corresponding to the various fields of a node profile associated with the sender of the electronic activity. In addition to information included in the signature, the electronic activity parser can utilize information from the header of the electronic activity (i.e. first and last name) to identify where the signature is located by finding the same first name, last name and email address within a predetermined proximity or distance of each other in a region of the body, for instance, the bottom of the body. Stated in another way, the present disclosure describes methods and systems for utilizing header data of an electronic activity, which in certain embodiments, is verified to make it easier to locate a signature of an email, which may be buried under, around or with other textual content. In some embodiments, one or more of a first name, a last name and an email address

extracted from the header of the electronic activity is used to identify text strings that match the extracted first name, last name and the email address. Responsive to determining that text strings matching the first name, last name and the email address are within a predetermined distance of one another, the parser 210 can identify the text strings are portions of the signature of the electronic activity. The information parsed from the signature can be used to determine a confidence score of a value of a field as further described herein with respect to the attribute value confidence scorer 235. The electronic activity parser 210 can also use signature parsing for node selection and in the identification of the node, to which the activity, containing the signature can be associated.

### D. Node Profiles

[0279] The node profile manager **220** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the node profile manager **220** is executed to perform one or more functions of the node profile manager **220** described herein. The node profile manager is configured to manage node profiles associated with each node. Node profiles of nodes are used to construct a node graph that includes nodes linked to one another based on relationships between the nodes that can be determined from electronic activities parsed and processed by the node graph generation system **200** as well as other information that may be received from one or more systems of record.

[0280] Referring now to FIG. **6**A, FIG. **6**A illustrates a representation of a node profile of a node. The node profile **600** can include a unique node profile identifier **602** and one or more fields or attributes **610***a***-610***n*. Each attribute **610** can include one or more value data structures **615**. Each value data structure can include a value 620, an occurrence metric 625, a confidence score 630 and one or more entries **635***a-n*. Each entry **635** can identify a data source **640** from which the value was identified (for instance, a source of a system of record or a source of an electronic activity), a number of occurrences of the value that appear in the electronic activity, a time **645** associated with the electronic activity (for instance, at which time the electronic activity occurred) and an electronic activity unique identifier **502** identifying the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the occurrence metric **625** can identify a number of times that value is confirmed or identified from electronic activities or systems of record. The node profile manager 220 can be configured to update the occurrence metric each time the value is confirmed. In some embodiments, the electronic activity can increase the occurrence metric of a value more than once. For instance, for a field such as name, the electronic activity parser can parse multiple portions of an electronic activity. In some embodiments, parsing multiple portions of the electronic activity can provide multiple confirmations of, for example, the name associated with the electronic activity. [0281] The node profile manager **220** can be configured to maintain a node profile for each node that includes a time series of data points for every value data structure **615** that are generated based on electronic activities identifying the respective node. The node profile manager 220 can maintain, for each field of the node profile, one or more values data structures **615**. The node profile manager **220** can maintain a confidence score for each value of the field. As will be described herein the confidence score of the value can be determined using information relating to the electronic activities or systems of record that contribute to the value. The confidence score for each value can also be based on the below-described health score of the data source from which the value was received. Further, the node profile manager **220** can maintain an occurrence metric that identifies a number of times electronic activities or systems of record have contributed to the value. In some embodiments, the occurrence metric is equal to or greater than the number of electronic activities or systems of record that contribute to the value. The node profile manager **220** further maintains an array including the plurality of entries **635**. As more and more electronic activities and data from more systems of record are ingested by the node graph generation system **200**, values of each of the fields of node profiles of nodes will become more enriched thereby further refining the confidence score of each value.

[0282] In some embodiments, the node profile can include different types of fields for different types of nodes. Member nodes and group nodes may have some common fields but may also include different fields. Further, member nodes may include fields that get updated more frequently than group nodes. Examples of some fields of member nodes can include i) First name; ii) Last name; iii) Email; iv) job title; v) Phone; vi) Social media handle; vii) LinkedIn URL; viii) website; among others. Each of the fields can be a 3-dimensional array. In some embodiments, each field corresponds to one or more name value pairs, where each field is a name and each value for that field is a value. Examples of some fields of group nodes can include i) Company or Organization name; ii) Address of Company; iii) Phone; iv) Website; v) Social media handle; vi) LinkedIn handle; among others. Each of the fields can be a 3-dimensional array. In some embodiments, each field corresponds to one or more name value pairs, where each field is a name and each value for that field is a value.

[0283] The node profile manager **220** can maintain, for each field of each node profile, a field data structure that can be stored as a multidimensional array. The multidimensional array can include a dimension relating to data points that identify a number of electronic activities or system of records that contribute to the field or the value of the field. Another dimension can identify the source, which can have an associated trust score that can be used to determine how much weight to assign to the data point from that source. Another dimension can identify a time at which the data point was generated (for instance, in the case of a data point derived from an electronic activity such as an email, the time the data point was generated can be the time the electronic activity was sent or received). In the case of a data point being derived from a system of record, the time the data point was generated can be the time the data point can be entered into the system of record or the time the data point was last accessed, modified, confirmed, or otherwise validated in or by the system of record. These dimensions are all used to determine a confidence score of the value as will be described herein. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can assign a contribution score to each data point. The contribution score can be indicative of the data point's contribution towards the confidence score of the value. The contribution score of a data point can decay over time as the data point becomes staler. The contribution scores of each of the data points derived from electronic activities and systems of record can be used to compute the confidence score of the value of a field of the node profile.

[0284] Referring now to FIG. **6**B, FIG. **6**B illustrates a representation of three electronic activities and a representation of three states of a node profile of a node according to embodiments of the present disclosure. As shown in FIG. **6**B, three electronic activities sent at a first time, a second time and third time are shown. The first electronic activity **652***a* includes or is associated with a first electronic activity identifier **654***a* ("EA-003"). The second electronic activity **652***b* includes or is associated with a second electronic activity identifier **654***b* ("EA-017"). The third electronic activity **652***c* includes or is associated with a third electronic activity identifier **654***b* ("EA-098"). Collectively, the electronic activities can be referred to herein as electronic activities **652** or individually as electronic activity **652**. Each electronic activity can include corresponding metadata, as described above, a body, and a respective signature **660***a-c* included in the body of the respective electronic activity **652**. As shown in FIG. **6**B, each of the signatures **660***a-c* is different from the others.

[0285] FIG. **6**B also includes three different representations of a node profile corresponding to three different times. The node profile corresponds to a node profile of the sender of the electronic activities **652** as determined by the node profile manager **220**. The first representation **662***a* of the node profile was updated after the first electronic activity **652***a* was ingested by the node graph generation system **200** but before the second and third electronic activities **652***b* and **652***c* were ingested by the system **200**. The second representation **662***b* of the node profile was updated after the first and second electronic activities **652***a* and **652***b* were ingested by the node graph generation system **200** but before the third electronic activity **652***c* was ingested by the system **200**. The third

representation **662***c* of the node profile was updated after all three electronic activities **652** were ingested by the node graph generation system **200**.

[0286] Each of the representations **662** of the node profile can include fields and corresponding values. For example, in the first representation **662***a*, the field "First Name" is associated with 2 different values, John and Johnathan. The first representation **662***a* also includes the field "Title" which is associated with the value "Director." In contrast, the second representation **662***b* and **662***c* both include an additional value "CEO" for the field "Title." Furthermore, in the third representation **662***c*, the field "Company Name" is associated with 2 different values, Acme and NewCo in contrast with the first two representations **662***a* and **662***b* of the node profile. The values of the last name and cell phone number remain the same in all three representations **662** of the node profile.

[0287] Each of the values included in the node profile can be supported by one or more data points. Data points can be pieces of information or evidence that can be used to support the existence of values of fields of node profiles. A data point can be an electronic activity, a record object of a system of record (as will be described herein), or other information that is accessible and processable by the system **200**. In some embodiments, a data point can identify an electronic activity, a record object of a system of record (as will be described herein), or other information that is accessible and processable by the system **200** that serves as a basis for supporting a value in a node profile. Each data point can be assigned its own unique identifier. Each data point can be associated with a source of the data point identifying an origin of the data point. The source of the data point can be a mail server, a system of record, among others. Each of these data points can also include a timestamp. The timestamp of a data point can identify when the data point was either generated (in the case of an electronic activity such as an email) or the record object that serves as a source of the data point was last updated (in the case when the data point is extracted from a system of record). Each data point can further be associated with a trust score of the source of the data point. The trust score of the source can be used to indicate how trustworthy or reliable the data point is. The data point can also be associated with a contribution score that can indicate how much the data point contributes towards a confidence score of the value associated with the data point. The contribution score can be based on the trust score of the source (which is based in part on a health score of the source) and a time at which the data point was generated or last updated. [0288] A confidence score of the value can indicate a level of certainty that the value of the field is a current value of the field. The higher the confidence score, the more certain the value of the field is the current value. The confidence score can be based on the contribution scores of individual data points associated with the value. The confidence score of the value can also depend on the corresponding confidence scores of other values of the field, or the contribution scores of data points associated with other values of the field.

[0289] The table below illustrates various values for various fields and includes an array of data points that contribute to the respective value. As shown in the table, the same electronic activity can serve as different data points for different values. Further, the table illustrates a simplified form for the same of convenience and understanding.

[0290] Different values can be supported by different number of data points. The three electronic activities shown in FIG. **6**B (**652***a-c*) are included in the table below. Using the table and the representations **662***a-c* of the node profile, one can understand how the system **200** is capable of determining values of fields of node profiles and changes to node profiles as more electronic activities and data points are processed by the system **200**.

[0291] The signature **660***b* is different from the signature **660***a* in that the title of the person John Smith has changed from Director to CEO. The data points supporting or contributing the value Director include the first electronic activity **652***a* but not the second electronic activity **652***b*. Also, the data points include information received from systems of records including data points that correspond to time periods after the value is no longer accurate. For instance, the data point DP

ID225 is a data point supporting the value "Director" for the node profile even though person has been promoted to CEO. The system 200 is configured to process and accept all data points but can assign different contribution scores based on the source of the data point and allow the system 200 to accurately maintain a state of the node profile even if some of the data that is received may be inaccurate or stale.

[0292] As will be described further below, it can be challenging to match electronic activities to node profiles. The system **200** can match the third electronic activity **652**c to the node profile **662** even though the electronic activity identified a different email address, a different company name, and a different office number. In some embodiments, the system **200** can determine, by parsing the electronic activity, information about the sender that can be used to identify the correct node profile. In this particular case, the system **200** can rely on the first name, last name, and cell phone number (which is generally unique) to map the electronic activity to the correct node profile **662** as opposed to other node profiles including the name John Smith. Table 1:

TABLE-US-00001 Trust Contribution Data Point # DP ID TimeStamp Activity ID Source Score Score Field: First Name Value: John [Confidence score] = 0.8 Data Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 4: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 5: DP ID576 Sep. 12, 2015 3 pm ET SOR-145 Talend 20 0.2 Field: First Name Value: Johnathan [Confidence score] = 0.78 Data Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 4: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 5: DP ID576 Sep. 12, 2015 3 pm ET SOR-145 Talend 20 0.2 Field: Title Value: Director [Confidence score] = 0.5 Data Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID243 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-117 Email 100 0.65 Data Point 4: DP ID243 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET SOR-087 CRM 5 0.05 Field: Title Value: CEO [Confidence score] = 0.9 Data Point 1: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 2: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 3: DP ID225 Mar. 18, 2018 2 pm ET SOR-015 CRM 65 0.54 Field: Company Value: Acme [Confidence score] = 0.6 Data Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Field: Company Value: NewCo [Confidence score] = 0.9 Data Point 1: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 2: DP ID654 Jul. 18, 2018 2 pm ET EA-127 Email 100 0.85 Data Point 3: DP ID876 Aug. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-158 Email 100 0.9 Field: Cell Phone Value: 617-555-2000 [Confidence score] = 0.95 Data Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 4: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 5: DP ID576 Sep. 12, 2015 3 pm ET SOR-145 Talend 20 0.2 Data Point 6: DP ID654 Jul. 18, 2018 2 pm ET EA-127 Email 100 0.85 Data Point 7: DP ID876 Aug. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-158 Email 100 0.9 [0293] As a result of populating values of fields of node profiles using electronic activities, the node profile manager **220** can generate a node profile that is unobtrusively generated from electronic activities that traverse networks. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can generate a node profile that is unobtrusively generated from electronic activities and systems of record.

[0294] As described herein, the present disclosure relates to methods and systems for assigning contribution scores to each data point (for example, electronic activity) that contributes to a value of a field such that the same electronic activity can assign different contribution scores to different values of a single node profile and of multiple node profiles. The contribution score can be based on a number of different electronic activities contributing to a given value of a field of a node

profile, a recency of the electronic activity, among others. In some embodiments, a system of record of an enterprise accessible to the node graph generation system can include data that can also contribute to a value of a field of a node profile. The contribution score can be based on a trust score or health score of the system of record, a number of different electronic activities or systems of record contributing to the value of the field of the node profile, a number of different electronic activities or systems of record contributing to other values of the field of the node profile, a recency of the value being confirmed by the system of record, among others.

[0295] In some embodiments, a method of updating confidence scores of values of fields based on electronic activity includes associating the electronic activity to a first value of a first field, assigning a first contribution score to the first value, associating the electronic activity to a second value of a second field, assigning a second contribution score to the second value, and updating a confidence score of the first value and the second value based on the first contribution score and the second contribution score.

[0296] Furthermore, the present disclosure relates to methods and systems for maintaining trust scores for sources and adjusting a contribution score of a data point for one or more values of fields of node profiles based on the trust score of a source.

E. Matching Electronic Activity to Node Profiles

[0297] The node profile manager **220** can be configured to manage node profiles by matching electronic activities to one or more node profiles. Responsive to the electronic activity parser **210** parsing the electronic activity to identify values corresponding to one or more fields or attributes of node profiles, the node profile manager **220** can apply an electronic activity matching policy to match electronic activities to node profiles. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can identify each of the identified values corresponding to a sender of the electronic activity to match the electronic activity to a node profile corresponding to the sender.

[0298] Using an email message as an example of an electronic activity, the node profile manager **220** may first determine if the parsed values of one or more fields corresponding to the sender of the email message match corresponding values of fields. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** may assign different weights to different fields based on a uniqueness of values of the field. For instance, email addresses may be assigned greater weights than first names or last names or phone numbers if the phone number corresponds to a company.

[0299] In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can use data from the electronic activity and one or more values of fields of candidate node profiles to determine whether or not to match the electronic activity to one or more of the candidate node profiles. The node profile manager **220** can attempt to match electronic activities to one or more node profiles maintained by the node profile manager **220** based on the one or more values of the node profiles. The node profile manager **220** can identify data, such as strings or values from a given electronic activity and match the strings or values to corresponding values of the node profiles. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can compute a match score between the electronic activity and a candidate node profile by comparing the strings or values of the electronic activity match corresponding values of the candidate node profile. The match score can be based on a number of fields of the node profile including a value that matches a value or string in the electronic activity. The match score can also be based on different weights applied to different fields. The weights may be based on the uniqueness of values of the field, as mentioned above. The node profile manager **220** can be configured to match the electronic activity to the node with the greatest match score. In some embodiments, the node profile manager can match the electronic activity to each candidate node that has a match score that exceeds a predetermined threshold. Further, the node profile manager 220 can maintain a match score for each electronic activity to that particular node profile, or to each value of the node profile to which the electronic activity matched. By doing so, the node profile manager **220** can use the match score to determine how much weight to assign to that particular electronic activity. Stated in another way, the better the match between the electronic

activity and a node profile, the greater the influence the electronic activity can have on the values (for instance, the contribution scores of the data point on the value and as a result, in the confidence scores of the values) of the node profile. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can assign a first weight to electronic activities that have a first match score and assign a second weight to electronic activities that have a second match score. The first weight may be greater than the second weight if the first match score is greater than the second match score. In some embodiments, if no nodes are found to match the electronic activity or the match score between the email message and any of the candidate node profiles is below a threshold, the node profile manager 220 can be configured to generate a new node profile to which the node profile manager assigns a unique node identifier 602. The node profile manager 220 can then populate various fields of the new node profile from the information extracted from the electronic activity parser 210 after the parser 210 parses the electronic activity.

[0300] In addition to matching the electronic activity to a sender node, the node profile manager is configured to identify each of the nodes to which the electronic activity can be matched. For instance, the electronic activity can be matched to one or more recipient nodes using a similar technique except that the node profile manager 220 is configured to look at values extracted from the TO field or any other field that can include information regarding the recipient of the node. In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser can be configured to parse a name in the salutation portion of the body of the email to identify a value of a name corresponding to a recipient node. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can also match the electronic activity to both member nodes as well as the group nodes to which the member nodes are identified as members.

[0301] In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** can parse the body of the electronic activity to identify additional information that can be used to populate values of one or more node profiles. The body can include one or more phone numbers, addresses, or other information that may be used to update values of fields, such as a phone number field or an address field. Further, if the contents of the electronic activity includes a name of a person different from the sender or recipient, the electronic activity parser **210** can further identify one or more node profiles matching the name to predict a relationship between the sender and/or recipient of the electronic activity and a node profile matching the name included in the body of the electronic activity. [0302] The node profile manager **220** can be configured to maintain a node profile data structure that maintains separate values for the same field. For instance, the electronic message can be destined to john.smith@example.com <Johnathan Smith> and the body of the email states "Dear Johnathan". The parser can be configured to identify a first name, a last name and an email address for the recipient applying logic to specific portions of the electronic activity. In certain embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to run statistical analysis of all nodes and determine that John is a very common name and thus identify that this node not only has Johnathan as first name but also John is the other First Name value. Moreover, the node profile manager 220 can be configured to determine if a value of a field is unique enough to match the electronic activity to the node based on the value of the field. If the value of the field does not meet a predetermined threshold, other values of fields may be used to match the electronic activity to a given node. In addition, values of fields may be prioritized for matching the electronic activity to the node. For instance, the name John is relatively common and as such, attempting to match an electronic activity to a node using the value "John" for the field "First Name" may be less dispositive than matching a more unique value, such as an email address. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can weigh fields that have values that are relatively more unique higher than fields that have values that are relatively less unique when matching an electronic activity to a node. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to restrict matching electronic activities to nodes using values of fields that are determined to not be sufficiently unique.

[0303] The node profile manager 220 can be configured to identify a node that has fields having values that match the values included in the node profile of the node. To do so, the node profile manager may determine that john.smith@example.com belongs to only one node. The node profile manager can then select that node to be the recipient of the email message. The node profile manager would then populate each of the fields of the node profile with an entry for each value of each respective field that was identified by the electronic activity parser 210. In particular, the node profile manager can generate, for each value of a field that is identified by the electronic activity parser 210, an entry in that value data structure that identifies the electronic activity, a source of the electronic activity, a time associated with the electronic activity and a number of occurrences within the electronic activity that include the value. In the email message described above, the node profile manager can update the value data structure of the Name field of the recipient node with an entry that identifies the source of the email, the time associated with the email and a total number of occurrences of the value in the email. In this case, the total number of occurrences was 2 because the first name of the recipient was listed as Johnathan and the salutation identified the name Johnathan.

[0304] Referring briefly to FIG. 7, FIG. 7 illustrates a series of electronic activities between two nodes, N1 702 and N2 704. N1 702 may correspond to a node associated with an entity whose electronic activities are ingested by the node graph generation system 200, while node N2 704 may correspond to a node external to the entity associated with the node N1. A node profile **715** for node N2 is maintained by the node profile manager 220. Before the electronic activity 710 was ingested by the node graph generation system **200**, the node profile included the five fields, name, email, phone, company and job title. This information was previously included in the node profile and may have been determined by ingesting information from a system of record. At that time, the confidence score of each of the fields is 1. When the first electronic activity is ingested by the system **200**, the node profile manager can update the node profile **715** and increase the confidence score of values of fields that can be verified by the electronic activity. By virtue of the electronic activity being successfully transmitted from N1 to N2, the node profile manager 220 can update the confidence score of the email value j@acme.com and the company name Acme by parsing the email address and determining that the domain name of the email matches a domain name of the company node, to which N2 belongs. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 may determine that the electronic activity is successfully transmitted by determining that the N1 did not receive a bounce back electronic activity that indicates that the electronic activity was not successfully transmitted. Examples of bounce back electronic activity can include emails indicating that the destination email address is invalid or incorrect, the person is no longer with company, among others.

[0305] In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can, via the electronic activity parser or through some other module, parse bounce back electronic activities to determine a reason for why the electronic activity bounced back. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can use natural language processing to determine a cause for the bounce back activity. In this way, the node graph generation system **200** can determine if an email address associated with a person or node is still valid or if it is incorrect or if the person is no longer associated with the company identified by the domain of the email address.

[0306] Node N2 can then send back a response email to node N1 that includes a signature 726 in the body of the electronic activity. The node profile manager can update, from the successful transmission of the email response and the parsing of the signature, the node profile of N2 by increasing the confidence score of the name of John Smith, the title from the signature, the company name 2 times (one of which was derived by matching the domain name of the email to the domain name of the group node in the node graph) as it is included in the email address and in the signature, and further add a new value for the phone number, which is extracted from the signature. The extracted phone number can represent his direct office number, while the phone

number previously maintained in the node profile can be a general company number. In some embodiments, the system can be configured to classify phone numbers as a general company number or a direct office number based on the frequency of the number appearing in different node profiles. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to classify phone numbers as a general company number or a direct office number by performing regex patterns to determine if an "ext." or an "x" followed by some numbers is included in the value. The regex can also be configured to identify phone number prefixes, such as "800." The system can identify the phone numbers as the publicly known phone number of the company. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to restrict or otherwise prevent a phone number determined to be a general company number from being inserted as a value of a personal number. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to determine the value of phone numbers of other nodes corresponding to the same company and if the system determines that the number to be added to a node matches the number of multiple other nodes belonging to the same entity or company, the system can probabilistically determine, for instance, that the number is a work number and update the number as a value in the work number field (instead of a personal number field). Similar techniques can be applied for determining or inferring other information by comparing the data of a node profile to patterns observed from a plurality of related node profiles. In some embodiments, the system can determine whether the first predetermined digits (for instance, the first 6 digits) are identical to the first predetermined digits of phone numbers of other nodes belonging to the same company. If the first predetermined digits of the number match the first predetermined digits of phone numbers of other nodes belonging to the same company, the system can determine that the number is a work number. Similarly, an address extracted from a signature can be determined to be a work address if the address matches the address of other nodes belonging to the same entity or company. In this way, any value of a field of a node extracted can be determined to be specific to a company if other nodes corresponding to people belonging to the company also include the same value for the field or inter-related values in other fields. Additional details regarding increasing or adjusting the confidence score of various values of fields of node profiles based on occurrences of electronic activities are provided herein.

[0307] Generally, the node profile manager **220** can attempt to match electronic activities, such as emails, to node profiles based on an email address. However, in some instances, a user may send or receive an email address from a second email address, such as a personal email address instead of a work email address. The node profile manager **220** can analyze the electronic activity and look at other signals from the electronic activity to see if the electronic activity should be matched to a previously established node profile that corresponds to the user that does not include the second email address instead of a creating a new node profile based on the second email address. [0308] For instance, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to identify an email that includes an email address john.smith@gmail.com. The node profile manager 220 can determine that either no node profile includes the john.smith@gmail.com as a value of an email address field or even if the email appears as a value in the email address field of a node profile, the confidence score of the value of the email address is below a certain threshold sufficient for the node profile manager **220**. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can apply one or more policies or rules for generating new nodes. For instance, the node profile manager **220** can implement an email address based node profile generation policy in which the system is configured to not create new node profiles if the email address corresponds to an email address of one or more predefined email systems. For instance, the email address based node profile generation policy can include one or more rules for generating new node profiles or restricting the generation of new node profiles. In some embodiments, the node profile generation policy can restrict the creation or generation of new node profiles if the email address corresponds to an email address of one or more predefined email systems. For instance, the predefined email systems can include email systems that provide

"free" email addresses like "gmail.com" or "yahoo.com". In such cases, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to use other signals from the electronic activity to attempt to match the electronic activity to a node profile for which the email address did not provide a match to a node profile. The node profile manager **220** can use fuzzy matching techniques including a first name, last name, email address prefix, a phone number or any other information that can be extracted from the email address to match the electronic activity to an existing node profile. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can also identify other node profiles to which the electronic activity can be matched and identify likely node profiles based on connection strengths between the node profiles to which the electronic activity can be matched and the one or more likely node profiles.

[0309] As discussed above, in the case that John Smith inadvertently sent an email from his gmail address as opposed to his company email address, john.smith@example.com, the node profile manager **220** can use one or more of the first name, last name, phone number or other information included in the signature of the email to match the electronic activity to a node profile that includes the email address, john.smith@example.com. In this way, if other signals are pointing or expecting a work email address, the electronic activity will be matched to the node profile with the work email address.

## F. Node Profile Value Prediction and Augmentation

[0310] The node profile manager **220** can be configured to augment node profiles with additional information that can be extracted from electronic activities or systems of record or that can be inferred based on other similar electronic activities or systems of record. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can determine a pattern for various fields across a group of member nodes (such as employees of the same company). For instance, the node profile manager **220** can determine, based on multiple node profiles of member nodes belonging to a group node, that employees of a given company are assigned email addresses following a given regex pattern. For instance, [first name].[last name]@[company domain].com. As such, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to predict or augment a value of a field of a node profile of an employee of a given company when only certain information of the employee is known by the node profile manager **220**.

TABLE-US-00002 Company First Name Last Name Name Email address John Smith Example john.smith@example.com George Baker Example george.baker@example.com Adam Jones Example (unknown) adam.jones@exampl.com (predicted) (unknown) (unknown) Example linda.chan@example.com Linda Chan (predicted) (predicted)

[0311] As shown in the table above, the node profile manager 220 can be configured to determine that the email address for Adam Jones is adam.jones@example.com by observing a regex pattern the company Example uses when assigning email addresses to its employees. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can update the email address field of Adam Jones accordingly. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can be configured to transmit an email to adam.jones@example.com to check whether the email address is valid or if a bounce back email is received. If no bounce back email is received indicating that the email address is not valid or cannot be found, the confidence score of adam.jones@example.com can increase even though the email address was unknown to the node graph generation system 200 based on the electronic activities ingested by the system 200.

[0312] Similarly, the node profile manager **220** can infer the first and last names of people having email addresses corresponding to a company by parsing information using the known regex patterns. As shown above, the node profile manager **220** can predict that the name of the person associated with the email address linda.chan@example.com is Linda Chan based on the regex pattern observed from other known node profiles maintained by the node profile manager **220**. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can infer the first and last names of people having email addresses corresponding to a company by also using other data points in the

electronic activity, such as parsing email header metadata, email signature, or a greeting at the top of the email body to correlate with and confirm the name, predicted from the regex pattern above. As previously described with respect to the description associated with Table 1, the system can rely on multiple data points to match an electronic activity to a particular node profile (for instance, relying in part on the cell phone number included in the signature as discussed with respect to Table 1). In this way, further confirmation of the inference of the first name and/or last name can be obtained, thereby improving the accuracy of the node profile and the overall node graph. It should further be appreciated that if multiple people have the same name or initials, the company may assign alternate email address naming conventions for such people. For instance, a company may include a middle initial in the email address for person if the email address generated using the company's primary regex pattern for assigning email addresses is already taken. In such cases, the node profile manager 220 may again further rely on other data points in the electronic activity, such as parsing email header metadata, email signature, or a greeting at the top of the email body to infer the first and last names of the person.

[0313] In this way, by knowing the regex patterns of email addresses assigned by a company, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to predict email addresses of people at the company for which we have some information. Furthermore, if an email address is known, we can predict other information not otherwise known based on the email address. In some embodiments, even if some information is known, the confidence score of that information can be updated based on the node profile manager **220** being configured to predict certain values.

[0314] In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to maintain both work and personal phone numbers and work and personal geographical locations of node profiles. The node profile manager **220** can be configured to determine if a phone number extracted from an electronic activity is a work phone number or a personal phone number through one or more verification techniques. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can be configured to compare the phone number of a node with phone numbers of other nodes belonging to the same company or branch/office. Corporations generally will assign phone numbers to employees that are similar to one another, for instance, all the numbers of the corporation can be 617-550-XXXX. As such, the node profile manager **220** can categorize a phone number as a work number for a node if the phone number starts with 617 550 when at least a threshold number of nodes belonging to the same email domain @example.com also have the phone number beginning with 617-550. In some embodiments, the threshold number can be 2, 3, 4, 5, or more. In some embodiments, the threshold number can be based on a percentage of another value, such as a total number of nodes belonging to the same domain and also having the phone number beginning with the same subset of digits. Conversely, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to categorize a phone number as a personal number if the phone number starts with a different set of numbers. It should be appreciated that more broadly, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to extract a regex pattern or specific template of numbers by comparing the phone numbers of multiple node profiles corresponding to the same corporation.

[0315] In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to compare a location of a person with an area code of a phone number associated with the person to determine if a phone number is to be classified as a work phone number or a personal phone number. If the person lives in the same area as the company's office, the person's personal phone number can have similar first few digits as the company's general phone number. In some such embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to negate the similar digits between the person's phone number and the company's assigned phone numbers to determine if the number identified in the node profile or to be included in the node profile is to be classified as a work phone number or a personal phone number. If the person lives in an area that is further away from the company based on existing information in the node profile, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to classify a number similar to the company's general phone number or having an area code

corresponding to an area where the company is located as a work phone number. If the person lives in an area close to the company, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to identify the digits of the phone number that match the company's general phone number and use the remaining digits to determine if the number corresponds to a work phone number or a personal phone number of the person.

[0316] If the person lives far away from their work address, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to reduce the likelihood of assigning, as a personal phone number, a phone number that has an area code corresponding to the person's work address. More generally, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to rely on additional fields to determine if a particular number belongs to a work phone number or a personal phone number of the person.

[0317] As described herein, the node profile manager **220** can be configured to used information from node profiles to predict other values. In particular, there is significant interplay between dependent fields such as phone numbers and addresses, and titles and companies, in addition to email addresses and names, among others.

# G. Electronic Activity Tagging

[0318] The tagging engine **265** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the tagging engine **265** is executed to perform one or more functions of the tagging engine **265** described herein.

[0319] The tagging engine **265** can use information identified, generated or otherwise made available by the electronic activity parser **210**. The tagging engine can be configured to assign tags to electronic activities, node profiles, systems of record, among others. By having tags assigned to electronic activities, node profiles, records ingested from one or more systems of record, among others, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to better utilize the electronic activities to more accurately identify nodes, and determine types and strengths of connections between nodes, among others. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to assign a confidence score to one or more tags assigned by the tagging engine. The tagging engine 265 can periodically update a confidence score as additional electronic activities are ingested, reingested and analyzed. Additional details about some of the types of tags are provided herein. [0320] The tagging engine **265** can assign one or more tags to electronic activities. The tagging engine **265** can determine, for each electronic activity, a type of electronic activity. Types of electronic activities can include meetings, electronic messages, and phone calls. For meetings and electronic messages such as emails, the tagging engine **265** can further determine if the meeting or electronic message is internal or external and can assign an internal tag to meetings or emails identified as internal or an external tag to meetings and emails identified as external. Internal meetings or emails may be identified as internal if each of the participants or parties included in the meeting or emails belong to the same company as the sender of the email or host of the meeting. The tagging engine **265** can determine this by parsing the email addresses of the participants and determining that the domain of the email addresses map to the domain name or an array of domain names, belonging to the same company or entity. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine if the electronic activity is internal by parsing the email addresses of the participants and determining that the domain of the email addresses map to the same company or entity after removing common (and sometimes free) mail service domains, such as gmail.com and yahoo.com, among others. The tagging engine **265** may apply some additional logic to determine if all emails belong to the same entity and use additional rules for determining if an electronic activity is determined to be internal or external. The tagging engine **265** can also identify each of the participants and determine whether a respective node profile of each of the participants is linked to the same organization. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine if the node profiles of the participants are linked to a common group node (such as the organization's node) to determine if the electronic activity is internal. For phone calls, the tagging engine **265** may

determine the parties to which the phone numbers are either assigned and determine if the parties belong to the same entity or different entities.

[0321] In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to generate, maintain an update an array of domain names that belong to the same company or entity. The node graph generation system **200** may do so by monitoring electronic activities and predicting whether certain domain names belong to the same entity. The node graph generation system **200** can monitor a large number of electronic activities of an entity and determine multiple email accounts of a first domain communicate with multiple email accounts of a second domain in a manner that appears to be internal communications. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can automatically identify all possible domain names of the company based on a frequency of communications that look like internal communications between identified members of a company name, the fact that in multiple systems of record majority of the communicating node profiles belong to the same or related company profile, or by a similarity of the ending part of domain names, for example "us.ibm.com" and "uk.ibm.com". Electronic activities can appear to be internal communications based on analyzing the words used in emails, the meeting numbers used in meeting and calendar invites, as well as determining if the email addresses match certain regex rules that may indicate that the domain names belong to the same company. For instance, electronic activities include email addresses having domain names us.example.com and uk.example.com may increase a likelihood that both us.example.com and uk.example.com appear to belong to the same company, Example. In some embodiments, if there a certain number of emails from certain users of us.example.com to other users of uk.example.com and the emails appear to be internal communications, the node graph generation system **200** or the node profile manager **220** can be configured to update the node profile of the company, Example, to include both domain names, us.example.com and uk.example.com. It should be appreciated that the node graph generation system **200** can then automatically update other node profiles and tags previously assigned to electronic activities responsive to determining that two domains belong to the same company. It should further be appreciated that the node graph generation system **200** can also automatically update confidence scores of certain values of fields of other node profiles and confidence scores of tags previously assigned to electronic activities responsive to determining that two domains belong to the same company.

[0322] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can assign an internal tag or external tag to an electronic activity by applying certain logic. For instance, the tagging engine can determine that the electronic activity is internal if all the domains associated with the electronic activity are internal (or belong to the same domain). In some embodiments, if the tagging engine **265** determines that only some of the domains are internal and one or more domains are personal (i.e. not business external), then the tagging engine can be configured to attempt to match the personal email addresses to nodes and see if those nodes are linked to the same company. If the tagging engine fails to match the personal email addresses to nodes and see if those nodes are linked to the same company, the tagging engine can be configured to tag the electronic activity as external and may not link the electronic activity to a group node belonging to the domain. In some embodiments, if the tagging engine **265** determines that some domains of the email addresses included in the electronic activity are internal and some are business external, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to link the electronic activity to the group node corresponding to the external company, and further determine if individual nodes matching the email address (or first and last names) exist, and if so, linking the electronic activity with the respective individual nodes. In the event that the tagging engine **265** cannot identify an individual node that matches the email address or first and last names, the system **200** can create new individual nodes based on the respective email address or first and last names that were used to unsuccessfully identify the individual node. In the event that no individual (people) or group (company) nodes match, and the domain corresponding to the electronic activity doesn't belong to the list of free/public domains like

@gmail then the system **200** can be configured to automatically create a new group (company) node or generate a flag or notification for an administrator to take an action.

[0323] The tagging engine **265** can further assign a sent tag to emails that are sent by a node associated with the data source provider from which the electronic activity was received or a received tag to emails that are received by a node associated with the data source provider from which the electronic activity was received.

[0324] In addition, the tagging engine can be configured to assign an inbound tag to received electronic activities corresponding to meeting invitations and assign an outbound tag to electronic activities corresponding to meeting invitations transmitted to other people. Moreover, meetings can be tagged with additional tags, such as a "future" tag when a meeting is scheduled for a time in the future. The "future" tag is subsequently replaced with a "past" tag once the time at which the meeting is scheduled to occur is in the past. Moreover, the tagging engine **265** can further assign tags indicating if the meeting took place or not based on other signals, such as electronic activities exchanged within a predetermined time frame of the scheduled meeting time as described herein or containing written confirmations that the meeting took place or not, such as follow-up notes between participants or cancellation notice emails. For electronic activities identified as meetings, the tagging engine 265 can further assign a tag identifying if the meeting is in person or if the meeting is a conference call. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can employ a meeting type policy to determine the type of meeting. In some embodiments, the policy can include rules for parsing the location portion or body of a meeting to determine the location. If the location identifies a physical address or a room or if one of the participants included in the email is a nonhuman participant associated with a meeting room or other type of rooms, the tagging engine **265** can determine that the electronic activity is an in-person meeting and can assign an in-person meeting tag indicating that the meeting is an in-person meeting. In some embodiments, an inperson tag can be assigned to the electronic activity and a confidence score can be determined for the in-person tag that is assigned.

[0325] The confidence score associated with the in-person tag can be indicative of a likelihood that the meeting is actually an in-person meeting. The tagging engine **265** can further be configured to assign an occurrence tag that can be used to indicate a likelihood that the meeting occurred. The tagging engine **265** can further be configured to assign a respective participant attendance tag for each participant that attended the meeting.

[0326] To determine the confidence score associated with the in-person tag, the node graph generation system **200** can scan or analyze electronic activities associated with the participants of the meeting (and in some embodiments, the electronic activities of all users of the system **200**) to identify receipts or other electronic activity, communications, among others indicative of the user physically going to the meeting. In some embodiments, the system **200** can scan electronic activities to find flight information, transportation receipts, and ride-sharing receipts, which may include information that would indicate the user physically going to the location associated with the meeting. For instance, if the meeting is at 100 Main St, San Francisco, CA on a certain date, electronic activities from an airline identifying a local airport may be used to increase the confidence score of the in-person tag. Similarly, even a flight cancellation receipt may increase the confidence score of the in-person tag. This is because even though the person may not have attended the meeting, the proof that a flight was reserved indicates that the meeting was intended to be an in-person meeting. The occurrence tag, which indicates whether the meeting actually occurred, can have its own confidence score. The greater the confidence score of the occurrence tag, the more likely the meeting occurred. As such, a flight confirmation email may increase the confidence score of the occurrence tag, while a flight cancellation email may conversely, decrease the confidence score of the occurrence tag. If multiple participants receive flight cancellation emails, the system may decrease the confidence score of the occurrence tag as it may be indicative of the meeting being canceled. However, if multiple participants received flight reservation emails

and only a subset of the participants received flight cancellation emails, the system may not decrease the confidence score of the occurrence tag by the same amount as the system may assume that the meeting is still occurring but only the subset of participants are not attending. In such cases, the system may decrease the confidence score of the participant attendance tag for those participants that received flight cancellation emails. Moreover, the system can detect and parse an electronic receipt from a ride sharing service identifying one of the addresses as or near the meeting location (for example, 100 Main St, San Francisco, CA) and use the electronic activity to further increase the confidence score of the in-person meeting tag as well as the occurrence tag and the participant attendance tag.

[0327] On the other hand, the tagging engine **265** can determine that the meeting is a conference call by applying the meeting type policy and determining if a phone number or dial-in instructions are provided in the electronic activity. Furthermore, the tagging engine **265** may receive information from other engines or modules of the system to determine if participants are in close proximity to one another, based on time zone and location estimation algorithms used to predict a location of a node as well as determine or predict the locations of the participants based on electronic activities that occur within a predetermined time window of the meeting time that involve the participants. Some of the rules rely on determining a predicted work schedule of the node, a predicted location of the node, and inferred behavior before and after the meeting that can be determined from other electronic activities.

[0328] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** or the system **200** can be configured to cause the system **200** to initiate a call to a phone number included in a meeting invite and responsive to joining the meeting, identify one or more participants of the meeting for instance, based on identifying the phone number from which each of the participants is calling in and comparing those phone numbers to the data in the node graph or node profiles used to generate the node graph, converting speech to text, voice recognition, voice footprinting, among others. In some embodiments, the tagging engine can determine the participants who attended the meeting based on the attendees that accessed a link to a web session and in some such embodiments, used their email address to log into the web session. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine what time a participant joined, a level of contribution of the participant during the meeting, how long the participant attended the meeting for, and generate one or more additional tags based on one or more of the participants' involvement.

[0329] As described above with respect to in-person meetings, the tagging engine **265** can also provide occurrence tags for conference call or virtual meetings as well as attendance tags for participants of such meetings. The occurrence tags can have respective confidence scores indicating the likelihood that the meeting actually occurred. Similarly, the participant attendance tags can be assigned to participants and can have respective confidence scores indicating the likelihood that the participant actually attended the meeting. The confidence scores of the occurrence tags and the attendance tags can be determined based on electronic activities that reference the meeting. In some embodiments, an electronic activity representing a phone log of a user's phone dialing into to a meeting number can be used to increase the confidence score of the occurrence tag of the meeting as well as the confidence score of the attendance tag. [0330] The tagging engine **265** can further be configured to assign tags to people identified or included in one or more electronic activities. These tags can identify a role of the person included in the electronic activity. The tags can include a sender tag indicating a participant as a sender of the electronic activity or an organizer tag indicating a participant as an organizer of a meeting. Other similar types of tags can be assigned to participants based on whether they are included in the To line, the CC line or the BCC line. The tagging engine **265** can further be configured to tag participants based on the context of the electronic activity. For instance, if the electronic activity is determined to be associated with an opportunity, the tagging engine can assign tags to various participants, including tags indicating who the buyer is, who the seller is, who the decision maker

is, who the champion is, among others. This information can be determined based on node profiles of the participants, their level of involvement in the electronic activity or the opportunity in general, among others. The tags can be assigned with certain confidence scores. As additional electronic activities are processed, the confidence scores of these tags can increase or decrease. [0331] In some embodiments, natural language processing can be used to parse electronic activities exchanged between the participants to determine the type of meeting. For instance, an electronic activity exchanged after the meeting may indicate a phrase such as "Thanks for the lunch" which may indicate that the meeting was an in-person meeting, among others. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can further tag electronic activities, such as meetings, with tags indicating if the meeting actually took place. As described above, the tagging engine **265** can tag a meeting as having taken place responsive to identifying a subsequent electronic message that included a phrase such as "Thanks for the lunch." In some embodiments, the tagging engine can determine that the meeting is an in-person meeting by detecting an address or physical location in the body or location fields of the electronic activity. The tagging engine can further attribute a confidence score to the tag based on various data points the tagging engine relies on to determine that the electronic activity corresponds to an in-person meeting. The confidence score of the tag can increase or decrease based on additional electronic activity parsed by the system. For instance, electronic activity exchanged between the participants that may include various phrases that are detected via natural language processing, for instance, "great seeing you," or "thanks for lunch" can increase the confidence score of the in-person tag indicating that the meeting is an in-person meeting. In addition, the electronic activity exchanged between the participants can increase the confidence score of the participant attendance tags of the sender and recipient of the email. Similarly, electronic activities including receipts of transportation (for instance, uber/lyft/flight receipts) to or from the physical location associated with the meeting may be used to increase the confidence score of the in-person tag assigned to the meeting, the occurrence tag assigned to the meeting and the participant attendance tag assigned to respective participants of the meeting. Additional details regarding tagging electronic activity are provided herein.

[0332] The tagging engine **265** can further assign tags indicating if an email is a blast email. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine if an email is a blast email by parsing the message header of the email, identifying a message identifier field of the email and extracting the value of the message identifier field. The tagging engine can then compare the value of the message identifier field and compare the values of other electronic activities to determine if the values partially match. Furthermore, the tagging engine **265** can compare the words included in the body or subject line of the electronic activities that at least partially match and if the ratio of similar words to different words exceeds a threshold, the tagging engine **265** can determine if the email is a blast email. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine electronic activities corresponding to a blast email by analyzing multiple electronic activities and identifying a subset of the multiple electronic activities as blast emails responsive to determining that each electronic activity of the subset has a low variability of word count relative to the other electronic activities in the subset and a low variability in a language complexity index relative to the other electronic activities in the subset.

[0333] In some embodiments, other signals may be used to determine if the email is a blast email, for instance, a time at which the emails were sent, and if a similar email was previously sent to a large number of people. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can assign a blast email tag to an instant electronic activity responsive to determining that a similar electronic activity that is similar to the instant electronic activity above a predetermined similarity threshold was associated to a large number of nodes in a node storage database maintained by the system **200**. In certain embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can learn from previously tagged electronic activities known to be blast emails and use the learnings from such electronic activities to assign a tag to an instant email having language that is similar above a predetermined similarity threshold to one or more

electronic activities previously tagged as blast emails. By determining if an email is a blast email, effort estimation can be more accurately computed.

[0334] The tagging engine **265** can further assign tags indicating if an email is a cold email. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine if an email is a cold email by applying natural language processing to identify patterns or signals that may indicate that the email is a cold email or by determining a tone of an email. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** may determine that an email is a cold email if the participants of the email have not exchanged any electronic activity in the past. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** may determine that an email sent from a sender to a recipient is a cold email if the recipient of the email has not previously transmitted a response to any electronic activity sent from the sender to the recipient in the past. In some embodiments, even if the recipient of the email has not previously transmitted a response to any electronic activity sent from the sender to the recipient in the past the tagging engine **265** may determine that an email sent from a sender to a recipient is not a cold email if the recipient and the sender have communicated via other forms of communication or via other email addresses associated with a respective node of the sender or recipient in the past. In this way, if the recipient starts a new job and gets a new email address, electronic activities sent to the new email address by a sender who has previously communicated with the recipient at the old job would not be classified or tagged as a cold emails because the node graph would indicate that the sender has communicated with the recipient in the past albeit via a different email address of the recipient that is determined based on the values of email addresses stored in a node profile of the recipient. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine if an email is a cold email based on a number of cold emails the sender has sent in the past to one or more recipients as well as by looking at the node graph to determine a number of nodes with which the sender and recipient are commonly connected.

[0335] The tagging engine **265** can further assign tags indicating a classification of the electronic activity based on the participants included in the electronic activity. For instance, if one of the participants is a lawyer, the tagging engine **265** can assign a tag indicating that the electronic activity relates to legal. Moreover, the tagging engine **265** can further assign tags indicating a classification of the electronic activity based on the subject matter included in the electronic activity. The tagging engine **265** can determine a subject matter based on natural language processing, keywords, regex patterns or other rules that may be used to determine the subject matter. In some embodiments, filtering policies that may be provided or configured by users, companies, accounts, among others, may be used by the tagging engine **265** to assign one or more tags. Such tags can be used for filtering, matching electronic activities to record objects of systems of record, determining if emails are personal or business related, among others. [0336] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to determine if an electronic activity is a personal electronic activity or if it is a business related electronic activity. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine that an electronic activity is personal based on parsing the contents of the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine that the electronic activity is personal if the electronic activity is sent during non-work hours and the context of the electronic activity is unrelated to work. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine that the electronic activity is personal if the participants of the electronic activity have titles or job functions that typically do not overlap or correspond to companies that do not generally engage in work related activities. In some embodiments, the tagging engine 265 can also evaluate various features, characteristics or values of fields of node profiles of the participants of the electronic activity to determine whether the electronic activity is personal. For instance, the tagging engine 265 may determine that the electronic activity is likely to be personal if the participants of the electronic activity have the same last name, as derived from the header of the electronic activity, the body or contents of the electronic activity, a signature included in the electronic activity or from the node profiles of the

participants of the electronic activity. It should be appreciated that the tagging engine **265** may not need to rely on information stored in a node profile of a participant of the electronic activity to determine if the electronic activity is personal. For example, the tagging engine **265** can determine if the participants share the same last name by parsing the header of the electronic activity, the body or contents of the electronic activity, a signature included in the electronic activity. Further, if the participants have previously communicated with one another using their personal email addresses or if the contents of the electronic activity suggest that they have a prior relationship outside of work, the tagging engine **265** can determine that the participants may be related outside of work and may be configured to determine that the electronic activities exchanged between them are personal electronic activities. The tagging engine **265** can be configured to tag such electronic activities with a personal tag indicating that the electronic activity is determined to be personal. As described herein, the tagging engine **265** or the system, in general, can assign a confidence score to the tag based on how confident the system believes the electronic activity is personal (or on-work related) in nature, based on a number of methods, described above.

[0337] In some embodiments, the system **200** or the node profile manager **220** can be configured to determine that two node profiles have a personal (non-professional) relationship either based on the electronic activities exchanged between them that may be tagged with a personal tag. The system can then tag the two node profiles as having a personal relationship. The system can further determine a confidence score for the tag classifying the two node profiles based on how confident the system is in its prediction that the two node profiles have a personal relationship. In some embodiments, the system **200** or the node profile manager **220** can further determine if two nodes have a personal relationship based on commonalities in values in their node profiles, for instance, their home addresses (if they are neighbors), college or school affiliations (alumni/classmates), same last names, other non-professional affiliations, or other signals that may indicate the two node profiles may have a personal relationship.

[0338] The system **200** or the tagging engine **265** can be configured to use the personal tag between the node profiles to classify subsequent electronic activities exchanged between the node profiles. In some embodiments, as described below, the system can be configured to restrict matching electronic activities with a personal tag to record objects. The system can further be configured to either unmatch or unlink previously matched electronic activities from record objects of systems of record or remove such activities from existing data structures.

[0339] It should be appreciated that the system can conversely or similarly determine that certain electronic activities are professional in nature and tag such electronic activities with a professional tag. The system **200** can also be configured to determine that relationships between node profiles may also be professional based on their respective node profiles as well as past electronic activities exchanged between them.

[0340] It also should be appreciated that the system **200** or the tagging engine **265** can conversely or similarly determine that certain electronic activities can be more professional in nature. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can determine that an electronic activity is professional if the content of the electronic activity relates to sales, recruiting, scheduling an appointment or other business related activities. The tagging engine **265** can then assign a professional tag to such an electronic activity indicating that the electronic activity is professional in nature. The tagging engine **265** can further assign a tag indicating that the electronic activity is relating to sales, recruiting or scheduling an appointment based on the context of the electronic activity. Such tags can be used to determine whether or not to match the electronic activity to a record object of a system of record. For instance, if the electronic activity relates to sales, the system **200** can tag the electronic activity with a sales tag, which the system **200** can use to determine to match the electronic activity to a record object of one or more systems of record as a sales related electronic activity can be a useful data point for a company in evaluating various aspects of their business processes. In another example, electronic activities relating to scheduling can be provided a

scheduling tag, which can be used by the system **200** to filter out or restrict such electronic activities from being matched to record objects. Restricting certain electronic activities from being matched to record objects reduces the computing resources required for matching electronic activities to record objects by reducing the total volume of electronic activities to match. Restricting certain electronic activities from being matched to record objects also reduces the amount of noise in systems of record as scheduling related electronic activities add noise to the system of record.

[0341] It should be appreciated that certain tags, such as scheduling tags can be used to filter out electronic activities from a queue of electronic activities that the system **200** may attempt to match to record objects. Other such types of tags may include personal tags indicating that the electronic activity is personal, internal tags indicating that the electronic activity as internal to a company, among others.

[0342] The tagging engine **265** can further identify certain types of electronic activities that may enhance the generation of the node graph or further define roles of nodes. For instance, in an out of office email response, a person may identify a second person to contact in their absence. The tagging engine **265** can tag the electronic activity as an out of office response but further allow the node profile manager **220** to update the node profile of the nodes to indicate the potential relationship between the person who is out of office and the second person to contact in their absence or create a new node profile for that person if such a node profile doesn't yet exist. [0343] The tagging engine **265** can assign additional tags, such as vacation tags that can be used by the node profile manager **220** to update the node profile of the node accordingly. The tagging engine **265** can assign a vacation tag to an electronic activity responsive to determining that the electronic activity corresponds to the person being on vacation. The node profile manager **220** can parse the timing of the vacation from the electronic activity and update the node profile of the person on vacation. This information can then be passed to one or more systems of record and cause the systems of record to update their settings for the given person.

[0344] In addition, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to assign a 'no longer with company' tag to an electronic activity responsive to parsing the electronic activity. This information can then be passed to one or more systems of record and cause the systems of record to update their settings for the given person. In addition, the 'no longer with company' tag can cause the system **200** to stop future emails to be sent to the person, and also trigger the system **200** to determine which company that person joined.

[0345] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to assign a 'parental leave' tag to an electronic activity responsive to parsing the electronic activity. The parental leave tag can be helpful to predict when a person may be returning to work. In addition, the system **200** can assign a parental leave tag to a node profile and further associate the node profile to one or more other nodes or persons that have been identified as taking over the responsibilities of the person on parental leave.

[0346] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can tag an electronic activity with a deceased tag responsive to parsing the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the system **200** can then update the associated node profile indicating that the person is deceased.

[0347] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can identify a unique electronic activity identifier for the electronic activity and generate a plurality of tags to assign to the electronic activity. The tagging engine **265** can generate tags to indicate if the electronic activity is external or internal, the participants associated with the electronic activity, an amount of time to generate or perform the electronic activity, job titles or seniority levels of the participants based on their job titles, departments in the organization, to which participants may belong based on their job titles, any values, opportunities or record objects with which the electronic activity may be linked or otherwise associated, one or more stages of the sales opportunity or any other system of record process, among others.

[0348] The tagging engine **265** can be configured to assign custom tags based on one or more tagging policies of one or more users or subscribers of the system **200**. For instance, a subscriber of the node graph generation system **200** may desire to generate custom tags that allows the subscriber to tag all electronic activity including ride sharing receipts that identify the company's address. The subscriber may choose to then use these tags to identify all electronic activity that include ride sharing receipts that identify the company's address to gather information about the employees' use of ride sharing to and from work. The subscriber can use the information to improve business processes, such as considering providing a shuttle service to employees or negotiating with a ride sharing company for discounted pricing. The tagging engine **265** can provide a subscriber an interface through which subscribers can define policies for assigning such custom tags. [0349] It should be appreciated that custom tags can be defined using one or more pieces of information from electronic activities. For instance, custom tags can be defined for certain email addresses, certain names, certain combination of senders and recipients, as well as based on words, phrases or other content included in the subject line or body of an electronic activity. For instance, emails that include "legal@example.com" can be tagged as Legal. Emails that mention "cell" or "mobile" and a regex pattern that matches a cell phone number in the body of an email but not part of the signature block of the email can be tagged as Cell. Emails that include a regex pattern that matches a social security number in the body of an email can be tagged as social security number, while emails that include a regex pattern that matches a credit card number in the body of an email can be tagged as credit card number. The tagging engine **265**, the filtering engine **270** or the node graph generation system **200** can then use these tags to process the electronic activities tagged with these tags in accordance to one or more processing policies, such as filtering policies described herein. The filtering policies can also be customized for a given user, company or subscriber of the system **200** such that a company can deploy rules to handle such emails in accordance with the company's specific rules.

[0350] The tagging engine **265** may iteratively tag and re-tag the same electronic activities as more information is received. The tagging engine can be configured to recalculate, re-ingest and refeaturize, and re-tag all data associated with electronic activities to further refine the tags. [0351] The tagging engine **265** can tag electronic activities based on context derived from features of such electronic activities. As described above, the tagging engine **265** can assign tags indicating a type of meeting: in-person vs. conference call; internal vs. external, a location of the participants to determine if the meeting is an in-person meeting, a time zone of the meeting, countries associated with participants of the meetings, among others.

[0352] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can identify if the meeting is a conference call or a web-based meeting. In some embodiments, the type of activity can determine the types of tags to assign to the activity. For instance, for meetings, the tagging engine **265** can assign the following tags: External, internal, in-person, conference call, and custom tags, based on NLP, regex and other rules, customized by the user. For emails, the tagging engine 265 can assign the following tags: External, internal, sent, received, blast, cold. In some embodiments, blast detection techniques can be used to determine if the email is a blast email. These techniques include natural language processing analysis, blast email header analysis, volume of electronic activity for a given node, as well as MIME message data. Generally, blast emails do not include a Blast Message ID that is common across all of the blast emails. As such, detecting an email as a blast email is quite complex. In fact, blast emails are generally generated to appear as non-blast emails and as such, the present disclosure provides techniques that are based on the low variability of language complexity and word count. In some embodiments, the blast email tag assigned can include metadata identifying, for instance, the number of emails in a blast, the tool used to send the blast. The blast email tag can be used to group all emails of the blast and can include metadata about the group of emails. The tagging engine can deploy artificial intelligence to stitch the blast message ID together across multiple emails to identify if a portion of a message ID is common across multiple emails.

For calls, the tagging engine **265** can assign tags to the call indicating if the call was electronically logged or manually entered. The call can be tagged based on the caller and the receiver, duration, disposition, etc.

[0353] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can employ custom policies for tagging electronic activities. For instance, the tagging engine can tag every first meeting with a company as a new business meeting. The tagging engine can tag every meeting with a CXO title, such as CEO, CMO, COO, CLO, CFO, CSO, as CXO. The tagging engine can tag every meeting with CFO as finance. A reporting engine can then use these tags to generate custom reports for instance, a report identifying all new business meetings, or all activities involving finance, among others. [0354] Tags can also be assigned for certain words, such as product names, taglines, competitor mentions, among others. By parsing emails of employees to identify the use of certain words or phrases specifically defined for a particular entity, the tagging engine can tag such electronic activities to particular products and use such electronic activities to determine if training is needed, if the correct messaging is being used or if the employees are implementing the latest messaging outlined by the company. For instance, a company can train reps to say X, but then train reps to say Y, and then use tags (from NLP) to determine which reps actually say Y. For example, if a company has 18,000 sales reps, how does the company ensure their employees are using the new training or actively selling a new product. In addition, the tagging engine **265** can apply policies to tag electronic activities based on a sentiment analysis. For instance, the tagging engine **265** can apply employee activities tags based on, negative or positive sentiment with the mention of the company's competitor or the company's feature.

[0355] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can assign tags based on predicting likelihood of deal or business process completion and time to completion from electronic activities. Additional details regarding how this is determined is described herein and based in part on stage classification and the roles of the participants in the electronic activities.

[0356] In some embodiments, tags can be defined by rules. Some rules can be global rules, company rules defined by company, team level rules and user level rules.

## H. Filtering Engine

[0357] The filtering engine **270** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the filtering engine **270** is executed to perform one or more functions of the filtering engine **270** described herein.

[0358] The filtering engine **270** can use information identified, generated or otherwise made available by the tagging engine **265**. The filtering engine **270** can be configured to block, remove, redact, delete, or authorize electronic activities tagged or otherwise parsed or processed by the tagging engine **265**. For example, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to assign tags to electronic activities, node profiles, systems of record **9360**, among others. The filtering engine **270** can be configured with a policy or rule that prevents ingestion of an electronic activity having a specific tag or any combination of tags, such as a credit card tag or social security tag. By applying filtering rules or policies to tags assigned to electronic activities, node profiles, or records from the one or more systems of record, among others, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to block, delete, redact or authorize electronic activities at the ingestion step or redact out parts or whole values of any of the fields in the ingested electronic activities. Additional details about some of the types of filtering based on tags are provided herein.

I. Source Health Scores Including Field-Specific Health Scores, Overall Health Scores and Determining Trust Scores Based on Health Scores

[0359] The source health scorer **215** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the source health scorer **215** is executed to perform one or more functions of the source health scorer **215** described herein. The source health scorer **215** is configured to access a system of

record and retrieve all data stored in the system of record. The source health scorer **215** can then identify each record object stored in the system of record and determine, for each record object, a number of missing values of fields. The source health scorer can then generate a field-specific score for each field indicating a health or quality of each field of the system of record. The source health scorer **215** can further determine an overall health score for the source based on the field-specific scores of each field. In some such embodiments, the overall health score is based on missing field values.

[0360] The source health scorer **215** can further be configured to determine if the values of fields of record objects are accurate by comparing the values to node profiles maintained by the node profile manager **220** or to record objects maintained by the record objects manager. Based on the number of values that are inconsistent with the values maintained by the node graph generation system **200**, the source health scorer can generate a health score for the system of record.

[0361] The source health scorer **215** can similarly generate a health score for each system of record. The source health scorer **215** can then compare the health score of a given system of record to the aggregate health scores of a plurality of systems of record to determine a relative trust score of the system of record. In some embodiments, the source health scorer **215** can assign different weights or scores to different types of systems of record. The source health scorer **215** may assign lower health scores to data included in a system of record that is generated using manual entry relative to node profiles that are automatically populated or generated by the node graph generation system **200** based on electronic activities.

[0362] Further, different types of sources can include emails, or email signatures within an email, one or more systems of record, among many other source types. The trust score of a source can be determined based on the health score of the source, at least in the case of a system of record. In some embodiments, the trust score assigned to electronic activity such as an email can be greater than a trust score assigned to a data point derived from a system of record as the system of record can be manually updated and changed. Additional details regarding the health score of a system of record are described below.

[0363] In some embodiments, the health score of a system of record maintained by a data source provider can be determined by comparing the record objects of the system of record with data that the system has identified as being true. For instance, the system **200** can identify, based on confidence scores of values (as described below) of fields, that certain values of fields are true. For instance, the system may determine that a value is true or correct if multiple data points provide support for the same value. In some embodiments, the multiple data points may for example, be at least 5 data points, at least 10 data points, or more. The system **200** can then, for a value of a field of a record object of the system of record, compare the value of the system of record to the value known to the system to be true. The system can repeat this for each field of a record object to determine if any values of a record object are different from the values the system knows to be true. In some embodiments, when determining the health score, the system may only compare those values of fields of record objects of the system of record that the system has a corresponding value that the system knows is true. For instance, the system may know that a phone number of a person "Roger Nadal" is 617-555-3131 and may identify such a number as true based on multiple data points. However, the system may not know an address of the person Roger Nadal. In such an instance, the system may only compare the phone number of the record object corresponding to Roger Nadal to determine the health score of the system of record but not compare the address of the person Roger Nadal as the system does not know the address of Roger Nadal. Furthermore, even if the node profile of Roger Nadal had an address but the confidence score of the address was below a predetermined threshold, the system would not compare the address from the system of record to the address of the node profile since the system does not have enough confidence or certainty that the address is true. As such, the system can be configured to determine the health score of a system of record by comparing certain values of record objects of the system of record to

values the system knows as true or above a predetermined confidence score. In this way, in some embodiments, the health score of the system of record is based on an accuracy of the data included in the system of record rather than how complete the system of record is not.

[0364] As described above, the health score of a system of record can be an overall health score that can be based on aggregating individual field-specific health scores of the system of record. It should be appreciated that the system **200** can assign different weights to each of the field-specific health scores based on a volume of data corresponding to the respective field, a number of values that does not match values the system **200** knows to be true, among others.

[0365] In certain situations, the system **200** can compute trust scores for data points based on the health score of a system of record. In some embodiments, the system **200** can compute the trust score based on the overall health score of the system of record that is the source of the data point. However, in some embodiments, it may be desirable to configure the system **200** to provide more granularity when assigning a trust score to a system of record that is the source of the data point. For instance, a company may meticulously maintain phone numbers of record objects but may not be so meticulous in maintaining job titles of record objects such that the field specific health score for the phone number field of the system of record is much better than the field-specific health score for the job title field and also better than the overall health score of the system of record determined based on the aggregate of the respective field specific health scores of fields of the system of record. In some embodiments, as will be described herein, if a data point supporting a phone number of a node profile is provided by the system of record, the system **200** may be configured to determine a trust score for the data point based on the field specific health score of the field "phone number" for the system of record rather than the overall health score of the system of record, which is lower because the field specific health score of the field "job title" of the system of record is much lower than the field specific health score of the field "phone number." By determining trust scores based on the field-specific health scores of systems of record, the system **200** may be able to more accurately rely on the data point and provide a more accurate contribution score of the data point as will be described herein. Additional concepts relating to health scores and trust scores are provided herein with respect to section 5 relating to monitoring health scores of systems of record. ix. Node Field Value Confidence Scoring

[0366] The attribute value confidence scorer 235 can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the attribute value confidence scorer 235 is executed to perform one or more functions of the attribute value confidence scorer 235 described herein. The attribute value confidence scorer 235 can be configured to determine a confidence of each value of an attribute of a node profile. The confidence of a value is determined based in part on a number of electronic activities or sources that contribute to the value, time since each electronic activity provided support or evidence of the value, time since the field value in the source system of record was last modified or confirmed by a human operator, as well as the source of the electronic activity. Electronic activity that is received from mail servers or another source that does not involve manual entry may be assigned a greater weight (or trust/health score) than a source that involves manual entry, such as a customer relationship management tool.

[0367] The attribute value confidence scorer **235** can be configured to determine a confidence of each value of an attribute of a node profile. An attribute or field can have multiple candidate values and the value with the highest confidence score can be used by the node graph generation system for confirming or validating the value of the field. The attribute value confidence scorer **235** can apply one or more scoring algorithms to determine the likelihood that each value is a correct value of the attribute. It should be appreciated that a value does not need to be current to be correct. In some embodiments, as new entities are onboarded into the system, electronic activities and systems of record corresponding to systems of record of the new entities can be processed by the system **200**. In processing these electronic activities and systems of record, some electronic activities can

be associated with dates many years in the past. Such electronic activities are not discarded. Rather, the system processes such electronic activities and information extracted from these electronic activities are used to populate values of fields of node profiles. Since each data point is associated with a timestamp, the data point may provide evidence for a certain value even if that value is not a current value. One example of such a value can be a job title of a person. The person many years ago may simply have been an associate at a law firm. However, that person is now a partner at the firm. If emails sent from this person's email account are processed by the system **200**, more recently sent emails will have a signature of the person indicating he's a partner, while older emails will have a signature of the person indicating he's an associate. Both values, partner and associate are correct values except only partner is the current value for the job title field. A confidence score of the current value may be higher in some embodiments as data points that are more recent may be assigned a higher contribution score than data points that are older. Additional details about contribution scores and confidence scores are provided below.

[0368] In some embodiments, a node profile can correspond to or represent a person. As will be described later, such node profiles can be referred to as member node profiles. The node profile can be associated with a node profile identifier that uniquely identifies the node profile. Each node profile can include a plurality of attributes or fields, such as First name, Last name, Email, job title, Phone, LinkedIn URL, Twitter handle, among others. In some embodiments, a node profile can correspond to a company. As will be described later, such node profiles can be referred to as group node profiles. The group node profile can be similar to the member node profile of a person except that certain fields may be different, for example, a member node profile of a person may include a personal cell phone number while a group node of a company may not have a personal cell phone number but may instead have a field corresponding to parent company or child company or fields corresponding to CEO, CTO, CFO, among others. As described herein, member node profiles of people and group node profiles of companies for the most part function the same and as such, descriptions related to node profiles herein relate to both member node profiles and group node profiles. Each field or attribute can itself be a 3-dimensional array. For instance, the First name attribute can have two values: first name\_1|first name\_2, one Last name value and three email address values email\_A|email\_B|email\_C. Each value can have an Occurrence (counter) value, and for each occurrence that contributes to the Occurrence value, there is an associated Source (for example, email or System of record) value and an associated timestamp (for example, today, 3;04 pm PST) value. In this way, in some embodiments, each value of a field or attribute can include a plurality of arrays, each array identifying a data point or an electronic activity, a source of the data point or electronic activity, a time associated with the data point or electronic activity, a contribution score of the data point or electronic activity and, in some embodiments, a link to a record of the data point or electronic activity. It should be appreciated that the data point can be derived from a system of record. Since systems of records can have varying levels of trust scores, the contribution score of the data point can be based on the trust score of the system of record from which the data point was derived. Stated in another way, in addition to each attribute being a 3dimensional array, in some embodiments, each value of an attribute can be represented as a plurality of arrays. Each array can identify an electronic activity that contributed to the value of the attribute, a time associated with the electronic activity and a source associated with the electronic activity. In certain embodiments, the sub-array of occurrences, sources and times can be a fully featured sub-array of data with linkage to where the data came from.

#### J. Node Profile Inferences

[0369] Certain information about a node can be inferred by the node graph generation system **200** based on information included in electronic activities ingested by the system **200**. For instance, the node profile manager **220** or the electronic activity tagging engine **265** can infer if a person has left a job or switched jobs if the occurrence counter for a first value stops increasing or the frequency at which the occurrences of the first value appear has been reduced and the occurrence counter for a

second value is increasing or the occurrences are more recent or are received from a source that has a higher trust score indicating that the person has changed email addresses, which can indicate that the person has switched jobs. In certain embodiments, the system **200** can determine if the second value corresponds to an email address corresponding to another employer or another company. In some embodiments, the system **200** can determine if the domain name of the email address corresponds to a list of known domain names corresponding to personal, non-work email addresses (for instance, gmail.com, outlook.com), among others. In some embodiments, the system **200** can determine if the domain name is associated with a predetermined minimum number of accounts with the same domain name. The node profile manager **220** can look at relevancy of Source, recency of time and Occurrences to determine whether to update the email field from the first email (Email A) to the second email (Email B).

[0370] In some embodiments, the attribute value confidence scorer 235 described herein can provide mechanisms to confirm validity of data using multiple data sources. For instance, each electronic activity can be a source of data. As more electronic activities are ingested and increase the occurrence of a value of a data field, the system can confirm the validity of the value of the field based on the number of occurrences. As such, the system described herein can compute a validity score of a value of a field of a node profile based on multiple data sources. For instance, the system can determine how many data sources indicate that the job title of the person is VP sales and can use the health score of those sources to compute a validity score or confidence score of that particular value. In addition, the timestamp associated with each electronic activity can be used to determine the validity score or confidence score of that particular value. More recent electronic activities may be given greater weight and therefore may influence the validity score of the particular value more than electronic activity that is much older.

[0371] It should be appreciated that electronic activity that is generated and ingested in real-time or near real-time can be assigned a greater weight as the electronic activity has no bias, whereas data input manually into a system of record may have some human bias. In certain embodiments in which data is imported from systems of records, the weight the data has on a confidence score of the value is based on a trust score of the system of record from which the data is imported.

[0372] In some embodiments, the attribute value confidence scorer 235 can determine a confidence score of a data point based on the data sources at any given time. A data point can be a value of a field. For example, "VP, product" can be a value for a job title of a node profile. The attribute value confidence scorer 235 can utilize the electronic activities ingested in the system to determine how many electronic activities have confirmed that the value for the job title is VP, product for that node in the email signatures present in those electronic activities. In some embodiments, the attribute value confidence scorer 235 can take into account a recency of the activity data and the source type or a health score of the source type to determine the confidence score of the value of the field. In some embodiments, the node profile manager can determine a current value of a field based on the value of the field having the highest confidence score.

# K. Stitching Time Series Together

[0373] The system can be configured to maintain a time series array for each field of a node profile that can be used to determine a timeline of events associated with the node. The system can maintain the time series array based on timestamps of all data sources of all values for each field of the node. For instance, the timeline can be used to determine a career timeline with work history information, a series of job title changes indicating promotions, among other things. In addition, the timeline of events can track a person's movement across companies or geographic locations over time as well as a list of other nodes or persons the company has been affiliated or associated with at different points in time. For instance, the job title of a node profile can include the following values over a period of time: director|vp sales|president|CEO. In certain embodiments, each of the values of the title can have an increase in a confidence score at different times and as a confidence score of a given value of the title field increases, the confidence score of the preceding

value of the title field decreases.

#### L. Node Connections

[0374] The node pairing engine **240** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the node pairing engine **240** is executed to perform one or more functions of the node pairing engine **240** described herein. The node pairing engine **240** can compute a connection strength between nodes based on electronic activity associated with both of the nodes. More of the recent electronic activity between the two nodes will indicate a greater connection strength. Moreover, with different tags assigned to those electronic activities, the node pairing engine **240** can further determine the relationship between the two nodes and the context in which the two nodes are connected. For instance, two nodes may be connected through their work on one or more opportunities or one node may report to the second node, among others. The context behind the relationships can be derived from the electronic activity associated with the two nodes as well as other electronic activity associated with each node independent of the other node. In certain embodiments, the node pairing engine 240 can use metadata from the electronic activities to infer connection strength or relationships. For instance, the node pairing engine can compute an average time a node takes to respond to another node and use the average time to respond to determine a connection strength. In some embodiments, the average time to respond is inversely proportional to the strength of the connection. Furthermore, the node pairing engine **240** can look at other information relating to the electronic activities to infer connection strengths. If a node responds to another node outside of business hours can be an indicator of connection strength or connection relationships. [0375] The node pairing engine **240** can determine a connection strength between nodes at a given point in time across a timeline. As the nodes exchange further electronic activity, the connection strength can increase. The system is configured to determine the connection strength at a particular time period by filtering the electronic activities based on their respective times. In certain embodiments, the node pairing engine **240** can recalculate a connection strength between nodes responsive to a trigger. In some embodiments, the trigger can be based on a confidence score falling below a predetermined threshold indicating that the confidence in a particular value is unstable or unusable. For instance, the trigger can be satisfied or actuated when the node pairing engine **240** determines that the confidence score of a particular value of a field, such as a current employer of a person is below a predetermined confidence score (indicating that the person may no longer be at a particular company). In certain embodiments, certain changes to values in fields can trigger recalculating a connection strength irrespective of activity volume, for instance, when a new value under the employer field is added in the node.

[0376] In some embodiments, the node pairing engine **240** can determine a connection strength between two nodes by identifying each of the electronic activities that associate the nodes to one another. In contrast to other systems that may rely on whether a node has previously connected with another node, the node pairing engine **240** can determine a connection strength at various time periods based on electronic activities that occur before that time period. In particular, the node pairing engine **240** can determine staleness between nodes and take the staleness to determine a current connection strength between nodes. As such, the node pairing engine **240** can determine a temporally changing connection strength. For instance, the node pairing engine **240** can determine how many interactions recently between the two nodes. The node pairing engine **240** can determine whether the connection between the two nodes is cold or warm based on a length of time since the two nodes were involved in an electronic activity or an amount of electronic activity between the two nodes. For instance, the node pairing engine **240** can determine that the connection strength between two nodes is cold if the two nodes have not interacted for a predetermined amount of time, for instance a year. In some embodiments, the predetermined amount of time can vary based on previous electronic activity or past relationships by determining additional information from their respective node profiles. For instance, former colleagues at a company may not have a cold

connection strength even if they do not communicate for more than a year.

[0377] Referring briefly to FIG. **8**, FIG. **8** illustrates electronic activities involving two nodes and the impact a time decaying relevancy score has on the connection strength between the two nodes. As shown in FIGS. **8**, N**1** and N**2** may exchange a series of electronic activities. The node pairing engine **240** or the system **200** can maintain a log of each of the electronic activities involving both nodes. Each electronic activity can have a unique electronic activity identifier and can identify a type of activity and maintain a time decaying relevancy score that can decrease in strength over time as time goes by. The node pairing engine **240** can compute the connection strength in part by taking the sum of the respective time decaying relevancy score of each of the electronic activities between the two nodes. In some embodiments, the node pairing engine **240** can take into account other factors for computing the connection strength, for instance, by comparing one or more fields of the node profiles. For instance, nodes that belong to the same organization, report to each other via a clear reporting logic (and lack of reporting up alternative nodes) or have previously worked together can contribute to the connection strength between the nodes.

[0378] In certain embodiments, the node pairing engine **240** can determine that a first node reports to a second node based on monitoring electronic activity exchanged between the two nodes as well as electronic activity that includes both nodes. In some embodiments, the node pairing engine **240** can apply one or more rules to predict a relationship between two nodes based on the metadata information associated with the electronic activities including both nodes.

[0379] In some embodiments, the connection strength between two nodes can be greater if the node pairing engine **240** can determine, from the electronic activities involving the two nodes, a type of relationship between the two nodes. For instance, if the node pairing engine **240** can determine that one of the nodes is the only known superior node and the other of the nodes is the likely subordinate (instead of simply knowing that the two nodes are colleagues or on the same team), the node pairing engine **240** can increase the connection strength between the two nodes.

[0380] In some embodiments, the node pairing engine **240** figured to determine the connection strength between two nodes by monitoring the type of electronic activities exchanged between them, the time of day, the day of the week, the mode of communication (email versus telephone versus text message versus office phone versus cell phone), and the duration of such communications. The system **200** can determine that if two nodes are communicating over a weekend, the connection is stronger than other connections that may only have communications limited to weekdays during office hours. The system **200** can also determine that the connection strength between two nodes may be strong if the two nodes are responding to each over the weekend, if they follow up with phone calls after receiving emails, or other patterns that may indicated a strong connection strength.

[0381] The node pairing engine **240** can be configured to identify a plurality of node pairs that have a strong connection strength. The node pairing engine **240** can then apply machine learning techniques to analyze electronic activities between the nodes of the node pair as well as analyze the node profiles of each node and the nodes to which each of the nodes are connected. The node pairing engine **240** can then generate a connection strength determination model that can be configured to determine the connection strength between two nodes using the model that is trained on node pairs known to have a strong connection strength. In some embodiments, the node pairing engine can further train the model with node pairs that have a weak connection strength in a similar fashion.

[0382] The node paring engine **240** or the tagging engine **265** can further tag the connection between the nodes as professional, personal, colleagues, ex-colleagues, alumni, classmates, among others. These tags can be updated as more and more electronic activities are processed over time and the confidence score of these tags can be adjusted accordingly. The connection strength between nodes can be used by companies to determine which employee to assign to leads, accounts, or opportunities based on the node's connections strengths with the lead, employees at the

account, and employees of the account that may likely be working on the opportunity. Additional details about assigning employees to record such record objects are described below with respect to Section 12.

### M. Node Resolution

[0383] The node resolution engine **245** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the node resolution engine **245** is executed to perform one or more functions of the node resolution engine **245** described herein.

[0384] The node resolution engine **245** is configured to resolve nodes to which electronic activities are to be linked or otherwise associated. The node resolution engine **245** can use the parsed information from the electronic activity to identify values included in node profiles to determine a match score between the electronic activity and a given node profile. The node resolution engine **245** can match the electronic activity to one or more node profiles based on a match score between the electronic activity and each of the node profiles exceeding a certain threshold. Different fields are assigned different weights based on the uniqueness of each value. In some embodiments, the uniqueness of each value can be determining how many node profiles include the same value for the given field relative to the total number of node profiles.

[0385] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** may match the electronic activity to the nodes between which the electronic activity occurred. The node resolution engine **245** or the node pairing engine can establish an edge between the two nodes corresponding to the electronic activity.

[0386] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** may not be able to determine if the electronic activity matches any of the existing node profiles maintained by the node profile manager. In some such embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** can cause a new node profile to be generated and populated with values extracted from the electronic activity. Before the node resolution engine **245** or other module of the system **200** determines to generate a new node, the node resolution engine **245** can be configured to execute a node creation process. In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** can determine if the metadata of the electronic activity has attributes that are high confidence that match, such as phone number, LinkedIn ID, or email address. At the initial stage, the node resolution engine **245** can create a temporary node because not enough information is known to match the electronic activity to an existing node. As a response to the electronic activity is received, additional information can be parsed from the response to the electronic activity, which can then be used to further populate the temporary node. The temporary node can then be matched to existing node profiles to determine if an existing node matches the temporary node. If so, the temporary node can be merged with the existing node profile. In some embodiments, the process of merging involves appending the temporary node with another node because there might be mutually exclusive information that should be added. [0387] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** can perform identity resolution or deduplication based on one or more unique identifiers associated with a node profile. For instance, if one system of record provides a first email address, uniquename@example 1.com and another system of record provides a second email address, uniquename@example 2.com, while there is not a direct match, the node resolution engine **245** can resolve the two identifiers if there is a statistically significant number of matching or near matching fields, tags, or other statistical resemblances.

[0388] In particular, the node resolution engine **245** can parse the string before the @ in the email to determine one or more of a first name and last name of the person. The node resolution engine **245** can apply several techniques to do so. First, the node resolution engine **245** can check to see if there are any rules in place for the domain name of the email that indicate a particular pattern for assigning email addresses by the domain. For instance, does the company associated with the domain assign email addresses using any of the following conventions:

firstname.lastname@domainname.com, FirstInitialLastname@domainname.com,

firstname@domainname.com, among others. This can be determined by looking at node profiles (and email addresses) of other people belonging to the same company. Second, the node resolution engine 245 can parse the string before the @ to attempt to recognize names from the strings. the node profile manager 220 maintains node profiles that include first names and last names and as such, the node resolution engine 245 can attempt to match a sequence of characters in the string to the list of first names and last names to see if certain names are included in the string. Upon identifying names from the string, the node resolution engine 245 can determine if the name is typically a first name or a last name based on a frequency of such names being first names or last names. Upon identifying the names with some level of statistical confidence, the node resolution engine 245 can identify a first name and a last name of a person associated with the email address and may use the first name, the last name and the company name to try and match the email address to an existing node profile of the person.

[0389] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** or the node profile manager **220** can build a frequency distribution of first and last names from information included in the node profiles maintained by the node profile manager **220**. The node resolution engine **245** can determine from a full name, a first name and a last name based on certain names being more common as last names and other names being more common as first names. The node resolution engine **245** can then determine a domain of the email. The node resolution engine can then calculate the probability that the string before the @ in the email corresponds to a person.

[0390] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** can further determine if additional fields that could be matching-such as a social handle or a phone number to then have more surface to compare one node to other nodes to identify if any of the nodes can be merged.

[0391] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine can utilize time zone detection to resolve if two nodes belong to the same person. The system **200** can compute a time zone of each node by monitoring their electronic activities and deducing that the time zone they are in is based on the times at which the electronic activities are ingested by the system **200**. For instance, the node resolution engine **245** can determine that two nodes are different if the time zones deduced from their electronic activity match different time zones.

[0392] In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** can be configured to periodically perform deduplication by comparing each node to every other node to determine if two nodes can be merged.

N. Systems of Record Data Extraction

[0393] The record data extractor **230** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the record data extractor **230** is executed to perform one or more functions of the record data extractor **230** described herein.

[0394] The record data extractor **230** can be configured to extract data from one or more records of one or more systems of record. The record data extractors **230** can identify record objects included in a system of record and extract data from each of the record objects, including values of particular fields. In some embodiments, the record data extractor **230** can be configured to extract values of fields included in the record object that are also included in the node profile maintained by the node graph generation system **200**.

O. Linking Electronic Activity to Systems of Record Data

[0395] The electronic activity linking engine **250** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the electronic activity linking engine **250** is executed to perform one or more functions of the electronic activity linking engine **250** described herein. Additional details regarding the electronic activity linking engine is provided below.

P. Systems of Record Record Object Management

[0396] The record object manager **255** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the record object manager **255** is executed to perform one or more functions of the record object manager **255** described herein. The record object manager **225** can be configured to maintain data regarding record objects of multiple systems of record and can be configured to augment information for a record object by extracting information from multiple record objects across a plurality of systems of record. The record object manager **255** can function as a systems of record object aggregator that is configured to aggregate data points from many systems of record, calculate the contribution score of each data point, and a timeline of the contribution score of each of those data points.

[0397] The record object manager **255** or the system **200** in general can then enrich the node graph generated and maintained by the node graph generation system **200** by updating node profiles using the data points and their corresponding contribution scores. In certain embodiments, the record object manager **255** can be further configured to utilize the data from the node graph to update or fill in missing data in a target system of record provided the data in the node graph satisfies a predetermined confidence value. Additional details regarding the record object manager **255** is provided below.

## Q. Organizational Node Graph

[0398] The data source provider network generator **260** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the data source provider network generator **260** is executed to perform one or more functions of the data source provider network generator **260** described herein. Additional details relating to the functionality of data source provider network generator **260** are provided below with respect to the generation of a company cloud described in Section 9.

2. Systems and Methods for Linking Electronic Activity to Systems of Record [0399] At least one aspect of the disclosure relates to systems and methods of linking electronic activities to record objects of systems of record. The linking can be performed by the electronic activity linking engine **250** (and other components) of the node graph generation system **200** illustrated in FIG. **4**.

[0400] Enterprises and other companies spend significant amount of resources to maintain and update one or more systems of records. Examples of systems of records can include customer relationship management (CRM) systems, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, document management systems, applicant tracking systems, among others. Typically, these systems of records are manually updated, which can result in multiple issues. First, the information that is updated into the systems of records can be incorrect either due to human error or in some cases, malicious intent. Second, the information may not be updated in a timely manner. Third, employees may not be motivated enough to even update the systems of records, resulting in systems of records that include outdated, incorrect, or incomplete information. To the extent that enterprises rely on the data included in their systems of records to make projections or predictions, such projections and predictions may also be inaccurate as the data relied upon is also inaccurate. The present disclosure aims to address these challenges that enterprises face with their existing systems of records. In particular, the present disclosure describes systems and methods for linking electronic activities to record objects included in one or more systems of record. Electronic activities, such as electronic mail, phone calls, calendar events, among others, can be used to populate, update, and maintain states of record objects of systems of record. As electronic activities are exchanged between users, these electronic activities can be parsed to not only update a node graph as described above, but further update shadow record objects for one or more systems of records of enterprises that have provided access to such systems of record to the data processing system **9300** shown in FIG. **3** or the node graph generation system **200**. As described herein, the shadow record objects can be synced with the record objects of the one or more systems of records of the

enterprises. In some embodiments, the electronic activities can be used to directly update the one or more systems of records of the enterprises without first updating a shadow record object. As described herein, and also referring to FIG. 3, the updating of record objects with electronic activity can refer to updating record objects within systems of record 9360 and/or shadow record objects within the shadow systems of record. By way of the present disclosure, the node graph generation system 200 can use the electronic activities to populate, maintain, and update states of record objects of systems of record.

[0401] As described herein, the node graph generation system **200** can include the electronic activity linking engine **250** that is configured to link electronic activities to record objects of one or more systems of record. By linking the electronic activities to such record objects, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can be configured to update states of one or more record objects based on the electronic activities.

[0402] Linking electronic activities to record objects can also be referred to as matching or mapping the electronic activities to record objects. Linking the electronic activities to the record objects can provide context to the electronic activities. The linked electronic activities can be stored in association with one or more record objects to which the electronic activity is linked in a system of record. Linking an electronic activity to a record object can provide context to the electronic activity by indicating what happened in the electronic activity or record object, who was involved in the electronic activity or record object, and to what contact, node, person or business process, the electronic activity or record object should be assigned. Linking the electronic activity to the record object can indirectly provide context as to why the electronic activity occurred. For example, the linking of electronic activity, such as an email, to a lead record object (in the context or a customer relationship management system) can provide context to the email that the email was sent to establish or further a lead with the intent of converting the lead into an opportunity (and the lead record object into an opportunity record object). Although the description provided herein may refer to record objects and business processes corresponding to customer relationship management systems, it should be appreciated that the present disclosure is not intended to be limited to such systems of records but can apply to many types of systems of record including but not limited to enterprise resource planning systems, document management systems, applicant tracking systems, among others. For the sake of clarity, it should be appreciated that electronic activities can be matched to record objects directly without having to link the electronic activities to node profiles. In some embodiments, the electronic activities can be matched to node profiles and those links can be used to match some of the electronic activities to record objects.

[0403] Referring now to FIG. **9**, FIG. **9** illustrates a block diagram of an example electronic activity linking engine **250**. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can use metadata to identify a data source provider associated with an ingested electronic activity and identify a corresponding system of record. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can match the electronic activity to a record object of the corresponding system of record. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can include, or otherwise use, a tagging engine, such as the tagging engine **265** described above to determine and apply tags to the ingested electronic activities. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can include a feature extraction engine **310** to extract features from the electronic activities that can be used to link electronic activities with one or more record objects of systems of records. In some embodiments, some of the features can include values corresponding to values stored in one or more node profiles maintained by the node graph generation system **200**. The features, however, can include other information that may be used to in conjunction with information also included in node profiles to link the electronic activity to one or more record objects included in one or more systems of record.

[0404] The electronic activity linking engine **250** can include a record object identification module **315** to identify which record object or objects within a system of record to match a given electronic activity. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can include a policy engine **320**. The policy

engine **320** can maintain policies that include strategies for matching the electronic activities to the record objects. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can include a stage classification engine **325** to determine a shadow stage for a given opportunity record object. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can include a link restriction engine **330** that can apply one or more policies from the policy engine **320** when linking electronic activities to record objects. The linking engine **250** can link the electronic activity to the record object identified by the record object identification module **315**. Additional details regarding each of the components **310-335** are further provided herein.

[0405] The features extraction engine **310** of the electronic activity linking engine **250** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the features extraction engine **310** is executed to extract or identify features from one or more electronic activities and/or corresponding node profiles maintained by the node graph generation system **200** and use the extracted or identified features to generate corresponding feature vectors for the one or more electronic activities. [0406] The features extraction engine **310** can be a component of the electronic activity parser **210** or otherwise interface with the electronic activity parser **210** to parse electronic activities and extract features from electronic activities. For example, the electronic activity parser **210** can parse ingested electronic activities, such as, emails, calendar meetings, and phone calls. The features extraction engine **310** can, for each electronic activity, extract various features from the electronic activity and in some embodiments, from one or more node profiles corresponding to the electronic activity, that the electronic activity linking engine **250** can use to link the electronic activity to one or more record objects of the one or more systems of record. In some embodiments, before an electronic activity can be linked to a record object of a system of record, the electronic activity can be matched to one or more node profiles in the node graph. In this way, the features extraction engine **310** can generate, based on the parsed data from the electronic activity parser **210**, a feature vector for the electronic activity that can be used to link the electronic activity to a record object based on features extracted from the electronic activity as well as one or more node profiles of the node graph.

[0407] The feature vector can be an array of feature values that is associated with the electronic activity. The feature vector can include each of the features that were extracted or identified in the electronic activity by the feature extraction engine **310**. For example, the feature vector for an email can include the sending email address, the receiving email address, and data parsed from the email signature. Each feature value in the array can correspond to a feature or include a featurevalue pair. For example, the contact feature "John Smith" can be stored in the feature vector as "John Smith" or "name: John Smith" or "first name: John" "last name: Smith." As described herein, the matching model **340** can use the feature vector to match or link the electronic activity to a record object. The feature vector can include information extracted from an electronic activity and also include information inferred from one or more node profiles of the node graph generation system **200**. The feature vector can be used to link an electronic activity to at least particular record object of a system of record by matching the feature values of the feature vector to a record object. For instance, if the feature vector includes the values "John" for first name and "Smith" for last name, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can link the electronic activity to a record object, such as a lead record object that includes the name "John Smith" assuming other matching conditions are also met.

[0408] The features for an electronic activity can be explicit from the electronic activity. The explicit features can be determined from the metadata or content of the electronic activity. For example, the "sender's email address" of an email can be parsed from the email's header value, as described in relation to FIG. 5A. In some embodiments, some features for an electronic activity can be derived from the electronic activity. The derived features can be determined or implied based on explicit features of the electronic activity or determined from node profiles of the node graph

described above. For example, an example electronic activity may not include a name of the company to which the sender belongs. In such a case, the feature extraction engine **310** can extract the name of the company to which the sender belongs from a node profile of the sender, which can include the name of the company. The name of the company can be retrieved from the node profile of the sender and saved as a value in the feature vector once retrieved from the node profile associated with the sender.

[0409] The features included in the feature vector for an electronic activity can include features associated with the generator (or sender) of the electronic activity and features associated with the recipient (or receiver) of the electronic activity. For example, sender's email address and the recipient's email address can both be used as features of the electronic activity. The features for an electronic activity can include, but are not limited to, a contact role, contact name, sender email address, recipient email address, domain, list of recipient email addresses, estimated effort, and time, features extracted from email contents using natural language processing, features extracted from email signature, time of the email sent/delivery, among others. The feature vectors can be used to match electronic activities to record objects of one or more systems of record.

[0410] The feature extractor engine 310 can further identify one or more tags assigned to an electronic activity or one or more node profiles associated with the electronic activity by the tagging engine 265 and include those tags or information relating to those tags in the feature vector. In some embodiments, these tags can be used to provide context to certain electronic activities, which can be used by the electronic activity linking engine 250 to link electronic activities to record objects of one or more systems of records.

[0411] The record object identification module **315** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the record object identification module **315** is executed to determine or select one or more record objects to which an electronic activity should be linked or matched.

[0412] Briefly referring to FIG. **10**, among others, FIG. **10** illustrates a plurality of example record objects, and their interconnections. The record objects shown in FIG. **10** can be record objects or data records of a system of record, such as a customer relationship management (CRM) system. It should be appreciated that other types of systems of records and record objects may exist and can be integrated with the node graph generation system **200**. For instance, other systems of records can include Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS), such as Lever, located in San Francisco, CA or Talend by Talend Inc., located in Redwood City, CA, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, customer success systems, such as Gainsight located in Redwood City, CA, Document Management Systems, among others.

[0413] The systems of record can be one or more of shadow systems of record of the data processing system **9300** or the systems of record of the data source providers. Additional details relating to the shadow systems of record of the data processing system **9300** are provided below. As illustrated in FIG. **10**, the record objects can include a lead record object **1000**, an account record object **1002**, an opportunity record object **1004**, or a contact record object **1006**. Each of the different types of record objects can generally be referred to as record objects.

[0414] Each record object can be a data structure or data file into which data is stored or associated. The lead record object **1000** can be a low quality object that includes unqualified contact information typically received through a web inquiry. A lead record object can correspond to one or more stages. Upon reaching a final "Converted" stage, a lead record object can be converted in a one-to-many relationship into a Contact record object (person), an Account record object (company, if new, or added to existing account) and an Opportunity record object (if there is an opportunity for a deal here or added as contact role into existing opportunity).

[0415] For example, the lead record object **1000** can include the contact information for a lead or prospective buyer. The lead record object **1000** can include fields, such as, Address, City, Company, CompanyDunsNumber, Description, Email, Industry, NumberOfEmployees, Phone, job

title, and Website, among others.

[0416] The account record object **1002** can be a data structure that includes fields associated with an account that is held with the data source provider. The fields can include AccountNumber, BillingAddress, Description, Industry, Fax, DunsNumber, LastActivityDate, MasterRecordId, Name, NumberOfEmployees, Ownership, Website, YearStarted, and IsPersonAccount, among others. A system of record can include an account record object **1002** for each of the data provider's customers. The system of record can include multiple account record objects **1002** for a given customer. For example, the system of record can include an account record object **1002** for each division of a given customer. The account record object **1002** can be stored with one or more opportunity record objects **1004**.

[0417] In some embodiments, the CRM can include partner record objects, which can also be referred to as partner account record objects. A partner account record object can be similar to an account record object. The partner account record object can include an additional field to designate the record object as a partner account record object rather than a standard account record object. The partner account record object can be an account record object that is associated with a partner to the data source provider. For example, the partner account record object can be an account record object for a distributor of the data source provider that distributes goods to the company of the account record object.

[0418] The opportunity record objects **1004** can be data structures that include a plurality of fields for a given opportunity. The opportunity can indicate a possible or planned deal with a customer for which an account record object is already stored in the system of record. The opportunity record objects **1004** can include fields such as AccountId, Amount, CampaignId, CloseDate, Description, ExpectedRevenue, Fiscal, HasOpenActivity, IsClosed, IsWon, LastActivityDate, Name, OwnerId, StageName, Territory2Id, and Type, among others. One or more contact record objects **1006** can be associated with the account record object **1002**. The contact record objects **1006** can be data structures that include fields associated with a contact. The contact record object **1006** can include fields such as AccountId, AssistantName, Birthdate, Department, Description, DoNotCall, Email, Fax, FirstName, HasOptedOutOfEmail, HomePhone, LastName, MailingAddress, and MobilePhone, among others.

[0419] One or more contact record objects **1006** can be associated with an opportunity record object **1004** via an Opportunity Contact Role object (OCR). For example, a lead to sell a service to a potential customer can convert into an opportunity record object **1004** when the customer begins the negotiation process to purchase the service. A contact record object **1006** can be generated for each of the customer's employees involved in the purchase. Each of the contact record objects **1006** can be associated with the opportunity record object **1004** for the sale via Opportunity Contact Roles, which contain their own metadata about involvement of specific individuals in the opportunity, such as their Role in this particular opportunity or whether they are the Primary Contact of the Account in this Opportunity.

[0420] In some embodiments, a lead record object **1000** can be converted into a contact record object **1006**, an account record object **1002**, and an opportunity record object **1004**. For example, a lead record object **1000** can be converted into a new contact record object **1006**, account record object **1002**, and opportunity record object **1004** once the lead record object **1000** after a predetermined number and nature of electronic activities are associated with the lead record object **1000**. Continuing this example, the lead record object **1000** can be generated based on a web inquiry from an interested party (lead) or via a cold email being sent to a potential new customer. If the customer responds and passes qualification criteria, the lead record object **1000** can be converted into a new contact record object **1006**, account record object **1002**, and opportunity record object **1004**. In some embodiments, the lead record object **1000** can be converted into a, for example, contact record object **1006** that can get attached to or linked with an existing account record object **1002** and an existing opportunity record via an Opportunity Contact Role record.

[0421] The fields of each of the different record object types can include hierarchical data or the fields can be linked together in a hierarchical fashion. The hierarchical linking of the fields can be based on the explicit or implicit linking of record objects. For example, a contact record object 1006 can include a "Reports To" field into which an identifier of the contact can be stored. The "Reports To" field can indicate an explicit link in a hierarchy between two contact record objects 1006 (e.g., the first contact record object 1006 to the contact record object 1006 of the person identified by the "Reports To" field). In another example, the linking of the record objects can be implicit and learned by the electronic activity linking engine 250. For example, the electronic activity linking engine 250 can learn if multiple customers have the same value for a "Parent Account" field across multiple system of record sources with high trust score and derive a statistically significant probability that a specific account belongs to (e.g., is beneath the record object in the given hierarchy) another account record object.

[0422] Referring to FIG. **9**, among others, the record object identification module **315** can determine, for a given electronic activity to which record object the electronic activity should be linked. Linking the electronic activity to one or more record objects can enable the status, metrics, and stage of the deal or opportunity to be tracked and analyzed, or the context in which the electronic activity was performed to be understood programmatically. Linking electronic activities to the record objects can also enable employee performance to be measured as described herein. The record object identification module **315** can identify a record object of one of the data processing system's shadow systems of record using the feature vectors and node graph. In this way, the record object identification module **315** can assist, aid or allow the electronic activity linking engine **250** to match the electronic activity with a record object using one or more matching models **340**.

[0423] The record object identification engine **315** can include one or more matching models **340**. A matching model **340** can be trained or programmed to aid in matching electronic activities to record objects to allow the electronic activity linking engine **250** to link the electronic activities to the matched record objects. For example, the record object identification engine **315** can include or use one or more matching models **340** to assist, aid or allow the electronic activity linking engine **250** to match electronic activities to record objects. In some embodiments, each of the one or more matching models **340** can be specific to a particular data source provider, electronic activity type, or record object type. In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can include a single matching model that the record object identification engine **315** can use to match electronic activities ingested by the data processing system 9300 to any number of a plurality of record objects of a plurality of systems of records. In some embodiments, the matching models **340** can be data structures that include rules or heuristics for linking electronic activities with record objects. The matching models **340** can include matching rules (which can be referred to as matching strategies) and can include restricting rules (which can be referred to as restricting strategies or pruning strategies). As described further in relation to FIGS. 11 and 12, the record object identification engine 315 can use the matching strategies to select candidate record objects to which the electronic activity could be linked and use the restricting strategies to refine, discard, or select from the candidate record objects. In some embodiments, the matching models **340** can include a data structure that includes the coefficients for a machine learning model for use in linking electronic activities with record objects.

[0424] In some embodiments, the matching model **340** used to link electronic activities to one or more record objects can be trained using machine learning or include a plurality of heuristics. For example, as described above the features extraction engine **310** can generate a feature vector for each electronic activity. The matching model **340** can use neural networks, nearest neighbor classification, or other modeling approaches to classify the electronic activity based on the feature vector. In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can use only a subset of an electronic activity's features to match the electronic activity to a record object.

[0425] In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can use matching models **340** trained with machine learning to match, for example, the electronic activity to a record object based on a similarity of the text in and the sender of the electronic activity with the text in and sender of an electronic activity previously matched to a given electronic activity. In some embodiments, the matching model **340** can be updated as electronic activities are matched to record objects. For example, a matching model **340** can include one or more rules to use when matching an electronic activity to a record object. If a user matches an electronic activity to a record object other than the record object to which the electronic activity linking engine **250** matched the electronic activity, record object identification engine **315** can update the matching model **340** to alter or remove the rule that led to the incorrect matching.

[0426] In some embodiments, once an electronic activity is matched with a record object, a user can accept or reject the linking. Additionally, the user can change or remap the linking between the electronic activity and the record object. An indication of the acceptance, rejection, or remapping can be used to update the machine learning model or reorder the matching strategies as discussed in relation to FIGS. **11** and **12**. The updated model can be used in the future linking of electronic activity to nodes and the nodes to record objects by the record object identification engine **315**. To train the machine learning models, the system can scan one or more systems of record that include manually matched electronic activity and record objects. The previous manually matched data can be used as a training set for the machine learning models.

[0427] In some embodiments, the matching model **340** can include a plurality of heuristics with which the record object identification engine **315** can use to link an electronic activity to one or more record objects. The heuristics can include a plurality of matching algorithms that are encapsulated into matching strategies. The record object identification engine 315 can apply one or more matching strategies from the matching models **340** to the electronic activity to select which record object (or record objects) to link with the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can use the matching strategies to select candidate record objects to which the electronic activity can be linked. The record object identification engine **315** can use a second set of strategies (e.g., restricting strategies) to prune the candidate record objects and select to which of the candidate record objects the electronic activity should be linked. [0428] The application of each strategy to an electronic activity can result in the selection of one or more record objects (e.g., candidate record objects). The selection of which matching strategies to apply to an electronic activity can be performed by the policy engine **320**. The policy engine **320** is described further below, but briefly, the policy engine 320 can generate, manage or provide a matching policy for each of the data source providers **9350**. The policy engine **320** can generate the matching policy automatically. The policy engine **320** can generate the matching policy with input or feedback from the data source provider **9350** to which the matching policy is associated. For example, the data source provider (for example, an administrator at the data source provider) can provide feedback when an electronic activity is incorrectly linked and the matching policy can be updated based on the feedback.

[0429] A given matching policy can include a plurality of matching strategies and the order in which the matching strategies should be applied to identify one or more record objects to which to link the electronic activity. The record object identification module **315** can apply one or more of the plurality of matching strategies from the matching models **340**, in a predetermined order specified or determined via the matching policy, to identify one or more candidate record objects. The record object identification module **315** can also determine, for each matching strategy used to identify a candidate record object, a respective weight that the record object identification module **315** should use to determine whether or not the candidate record object is a good match to the electronic activity. The record object identification module **315** can be configured to compute a matching score for each candidate record object based on the plurality of respective weights corresponding to the matching strategies that were used to identify the candidate record object. The

matching score can indicate how closely a record object matches the electronic activity based on the one or more matching strategies used by the record object identification module **315**. [0430] One or more of the matching strategies can be used to identify one or more candidate record objects to which the electronic activity linking engine can match a given electronic activity based on one or more features (e.g., an email address) extracted from the electronic activity or tags assigned to the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the features can be tags assigned by the tagging engine **265**. In some embodiments, the electronic activity can be matched to a node profile that is already matched to a record object, thereby allowing the record object identification module **315** to match the electronic activity to a record object previously matched or linked to a node profile with which the electronic activity may be linked. In addition, the matching strategies can be designed or created to identify candidate record objects using other types of data included in the node graph generation system, or one or more systems of record, among others. In some embodiments, the matching strategies can be generated by analyzing how one or more electronic activities are matched to one or more record objects, including using machine learning techniques to generate matching strategies in a supervised or unsupervised learning environments. [0431] Subsequent strategies can be applied to prune or restrict the record objects that are selected as potential matches (e.g., candidate record objects). For example, and also referring to FIG. 11, FIG. **11** illustrates the restriction of a first grouping **1102** of record objects with a second grouping **1106** of record objects. A first plurality of strategies **1100** can be applied to select a first grouping **1102** of record objects. A second plurality of strategies **1104** can be applied to identify a second grouping **1106** of record objects that can be used to restrict or prune the first grouping **1102** of record objects. For example, the record object identification module **315** can select the record object to which the electronic activity is linked from the overlap **1108** of the groupings **1102** and **1106**.

[0432] For example, and also referring to FIG. 12, among others, FIG. 12 illustrates the application of a first plurality of matching strategies and a second plurality of matching strategies to generate one or more grouping of record objects and then selecting record objects that satisfy both the first plurality of matching strategies and the second plurality of matching strategies. In some embodiments, the first plurality of matching strategies can be configured to generate the first grouping **1102** of record objects shown in FIG. **11**, while the second plurality of matching strategies **1204** can be configured to generate the second grouping **1104** of record objects. In some embodiments, the first plurality of matching strategies **1100** can be associated with one or more recipients of the electronic activity to be matched and the second plurality of matching strategies **1104** can be associated with a sender of the electronic activity to be matched. The candidate record objects selected by the first plurality of matching strategies 1100 and the second plurality of matching strategies 1104 can be filtered, pruned or otherwise discarded from being matched with the electronic activity using restricting strategies (described further below). In some embodiments, the first plurality of strategies can be referred to as buyer-side or recipient-side strategies and the second plurality of strategies can be referred to as seller-side or sender-side strategies. The policy engine **320** can select one or more matching strategies of the first plurality of matching strategies **1100**, second plurality of matching strategies **1104** and restricting strategies for the record object identification engine **315** to apply in a predetermined order. The matching strategies of the first plurality of matching strategies **1100** and the second plurality of matching strategies **1104** can each be configured to select one of the types of record objects. For example, the matching strategies 1100 and 1104 can each be configured to select one of a lead record object 1000, an account record object 1002, an opportunity record object 1004, a partner record object, among others. For example, a matching strategy can be used to match an electronic activity to an account record object **1002** in the shadow system of records based on an email address extracted from the electronic activity via a number of sequentially used matching strategies. The restriction strategies can be used to remove one or more record objects that are selected by any of the first plurality of

matching strategies **1100** or any of the second plurality of matching strategies **1104**. [0433] In an example where the electronic activity includes the email "john.smith@example.com," the record object identification module **315** can use a first matching strategy, such as a matching strategy for selecting the account record object based on email addresses to identify one or more candidate record objects that may match the email address field of the electronic activity. First, the

record object identification module **315** can return all contact record objects with "john.smith@example.com" in the email field. The record object identification engine **315** can then identify the account record objects that are linked with each of the contact record objects with "john.smith@example.com" in the email field.

[0434] In some embodiments, if the system was not able to find a contact record object with the field (or other fields) containing "john.smith@example.com", the system can use a secondary matching strategy **1100** and find an account record object with the domain name that matches the domain name of the email "@example.com". If after applying the restricting strategies and eliminating possible options, only one account with such domain name is left, the system would have identified the account to which potential contact with email address

"john.smith@example.com" should belong and the original electronic activity should be linked to. In this case, the system could automatically create a contact record with email

"john.smith@example.com", linked to the account record with domain name "example.com" and then associate electronic activity from which this process started to the newly created contact record object and right account record object. It is worth noting that the order in which matching strategies **1100** and **1104** and the restriction strategies are applied can impact and modify outcomes of matching model **340**.

[0435] Still referring to FIG. 12, the record object identification engine 315 can use one or more of the matching strategies 1100 associated with account record objects to generate a matched candidate record object array 1202 that identifies one or more candidate record objects that were identified based on the matching strategies 1100 associated with account record objects. The record object identification engine 315 can generate three matched record object arrays 1202. Each of the matched record object arrays can be associated with a different one of the record object types. For example, the record object identification engine 315 can generate an account record object array, an opportunity object array, a contact object array, a lead object array, and a partner object array (not shown). The results (e.g., the returned record objects) for a given matching strategy 1100 can be appended to the record object array 1202 for the associated record object type. For example, matching strategy 1100(1) can be used to return the account record objects with UIDs A1 and A17, the matching strategy 1100(2) can be used to return the account record object with the UID A93, and the matching strategy 1100(3) can be used to return the account record object with the UIDs A123 and A320.

[0436] The recipient-side matching strategies **1100** can include a plurality of matching strategies. The matching strategies can be arranged in a predetermined and configurable order. The matching strategies of the recipient-side strategies **1100** can include one or more of matching to opportunity record objects based on contact role, matching to account record objects based on contact record objects, matching to account record objects based on contacts, matching to partner account record objects based on contacts, matching to partner account record objects based on contacts, matching to partner account record object identification engine **315** can use the recipient-side strategies **1100** to select a plurality of candidate record objects to form record object arrays **1202**.

[0437] Each value in the matched record object arrays **1202** can include an indication of one of the record objects that was matched using the matching strategies (e.g., the recipient-side strategies **1100**). For example, the matched record object arrays **1202** can include an array of UIDs associated with each of the record objects that were matched by the record object identification engine **315** using the matching strategies. In some embodiments, each value in the array can be a data pair that

includes the matched record object UID and a score indicating how confident the system is on the match between the electronic activity and the record object. The score can be based on the matching strategy which returned the given record object. In some embodiments, the score may be adjusted based on previous matches and how a user accepted or modified the previous matches. In some embodiments, a record object can be selected multiple times; for example, a first and a second matching strategy can each select a given record object. A score can be associated with each matching strategy and the score for the record object selected by multiple matching strategies can be an aggregate (for example, a weighted aggregate) of the scores associated with each of the matching strategies that selected the record object. The scores can indicate how well the selected record object satisfied the one or more matching strategies.

[0438] The record object identification engine **315** can select record objects based on matching strategies for each of the participants associated with the electronic activity. For example, the electronic activity can be an email with a sender and a plurality of recipients. The sender and the plurality of recipients can be the participants that are associated with the electronic activity. The record object identification engine 315 can apply each of the matching strategies for each of the participants. Multiple matching strategies for a given participant can return the same record object multiple times. A matching strategy applied to multiple participants can return the same record object multiple times. The score that the record object identification engine **315** assigns to each selected record object can be based on the number of times the given record object was returned after the matching strategies were applied for each of the electronic activity's participants. For example, a first record object can be returned or selected four times and a second record object can be returned or selected once. The record object identification engine 315 can assign the first record object a higher relative score than the second record object that was only selected once. [0439] In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can select record objects using matching strategies that select record objects based on tags. The electronic activity can be parsed with a natural language processor and the tags can be based on terms identified in the electronic activity. Parsing the electronic activity with the natural language processor can enable the electronic activity to be matched to record objects by mention. For example, the electronic activity can be parsed and the term "renewal" can be identified in the electronic activity. A "renewal" tag can be applied to the electronic activity. A matching strategy to select record objects based on tags can select a renewal record object opportunity with the electronic activity and include the renewal record object opportunity in the record object array 1202. In another example, the system **200** can identify identification numbers contained in the electronic activity for which tags can be assigned to the electronic activity. The identification numbers can include serial numbers, account numbers, product numbers, etc. In this example, and assuming a tag identifying an account number is assigned to the electronic activity, a matching strategy to select record object based on tags can select an account record object that includes a field with the account number identified in the electronic activity's tag.

[0440] The record object identification engine **315** can apply one or more of a plurality of senderside strategies **1104** that can be used to select one or more candidate record objects included in one or more second set of record object arrays **1204**. In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can apply one or more of a plurality of sender-side strategies **1104** to restrict or prune the record objects selected using the matching strategies **1100**. By applying the set of sender-side strategies **1104**, the record object identification engine **315** can generate the second set of record object arrays **1204** that can be used to prune or restrict the first set of record object arrays **1202**. For example, the record object identification engine **315**, applying a sender-side strategy **1104** that selects accounts record objects based on an account owner, can select the account record object with UID A**17** and A**123**. The record object identification engine **315** can use sender-side strategies such as selecting record objects for matching based on account teams associated with one or more participants of the electronic activity. For example, the record object

identification engine **315** can select a record object that identifies the sender of the electronic activity. as a member of the account team associated with the record object.

[0441] The record object identification engine 315 can prune the identified candidate record object by determining the intersection of the first set of record object arrays 1202 (produced with matching strategies 1100) and the second set of record object arrays 1204 (produced with matching strategies 1104). For example, the account record object array 1202 generated with the set of matching strategies 1100 is, in the example illustrated in FIG. 12, {A1, A17, A93, A123, A320}. The account object array 1204 generated with the set of sender-side strategies 1104 is {A17, A123}. The record object identification engine 315 can determine that the intersection array 1206 of the account record object array 1202 and account record object array 1204 is {A17, A123}. In this way, the sender-side strategy restricted the record objects A1, A93 and A320 from being selected as a match to the incoming electronic activity. The record object identification engine 315 can combine the intersection arrays 1206 generated by the intersection of the sender-side strategies 1104 and the recipient-side strategies 1100 to generate an output array 1208. The output array 1208 can include indications of record objects and the weights or scores associated with each of the record objects.

[0442] The record object identification engine **315** can also use restriction strategies to further prune or restrict out record objects selected using the matching strategies **1100** and **1104**. The record object identification engine **315** can use the restriction strategies to select one or more record objects to which the electronic activity should not be matched. For example, although this example is not reflected in FIG. **12**, the record object identification engine **315** can use a restriction strategy to select record objects A**1** and A**17** to generate a restriction record object array including {A**1**, A**17**}. If, using the recipient-side matching strategies, the record object identification engine **315** selects record objects A**1**, A**3**, A**10**, and A**17** to generate {A**1**, A**3**, A**10**, A**17**}, the record object identification engine **315** can remove A**1** and A**17** from the record object array because they were identified in the restriction record object array as record object to which the electronic activity should not be matched.

[0443] In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can apply the restriction strategies once the record object identification engine **315** selects one or more record objects with the sender-side strategies **1104** or the recipient-side strategies **1100**. The record object identification engine **315** can apply the restriction strategies before the record object identification engine **315** selects one or more record objects with the sender-side and recipient-side strategies. For example, the restriction strategies can be one of the below-described matching filters.

[0444] In some embodiments, the output array 1208 can include one or more record objects that can be possible matches for the electronic activity. The selection from the output array 1208 can be performed by the below described record object identification engine 315. If the output array 1208 only includes one record object, the electronic activity can be matched with the record object of the output array 1208. In some embodiments, the electronic activity is only matched with the record object if the confidence score of the record object is above a predetermined threshold. The confidence score of the record object indicates a level of confidence that the record object is the correct record object to which to link the electronic activity. If the output array 1208 includes multiple record objects, the electronic activity can be matched with the record object having the highest confidence score (given that the highest confidence score is above the predetermined threshold). If the output array 1208 does not include any record objects, the confidence score of the record objects are not above the predetermined threshold, or multiple record objects have the same confidence score above the predetermined threshold, the system can request input from the user as to which record object to match the electronic activity. In these cases, the matching strategies can be updated based on the input from the user.

[0445] In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can group or link contact record objects on one or both sides of a business process into groups. The record object

identification engine **315** can use the groups in the matching strategies. For example, the record object identification engine **315** can group users on a seller side into account teams and opportunity teams. Account teams can indicate a collection of users on the seller side that collaborate to close an initial or additional deals from a given account. Opportunity teams can be a collection of users on the seller side that collaborate to close a given deal. The record object identification engine **315** can add a user to an account or opportunity team by linking the contact record object of the user to the given account team record object or opportunity team record object. The record object identification engine **315** can use account team-based matching strategies or opportunity team-based matching strategies to select record objects with which the electronic activity can be matched.

[0446] In some embodiments, at periodic intervals, the record object identification engine **315** can process the electronic activities linked with account record objects and opportunity record objects to generate account teams and opportunity teams, respectively. For a given account record object, the record object identification engine **315** can count the number of times that a seller side user interacts with the account record object (for example, is included in an electronic activity that is linked or matched to the account record object). For example, the record object identification engine **315** can count the number of times the user was included on an email or sent an email that was linked with the account record object. If the count of the interactions is above a predetermined threshold, the record object identification engine **315** can add the user to an account team for the account record object. In some embodiments, the count can be made over a predetermined time frame, such as within the last week, month, or quarter. The record object identification engine **315** can perform a similar process for generating opportunity teams. In some embodiments, the account teams and opportunity teams can be included in the matching and restriction strategies used to match an electronic activity with a record object. Conversely, if the count of the interactions of a particular user is below a predetermined threshold within a predetermined time frame (for example, a week, a month, three months, among others), the record object identification engine **315** can remove the user from the account team or the opportunity team.

[0447] In some embodiments, the record object identification engine **315** can select record objects with which to match a first electronic activity based on a second electronic activity. The second electronic activity can be an electronic activity that is already linked to a record object. The second electronic activity can be associated with the first electronic activity. For example, the system **200** can determine that the first and second electronic activities are both emails in a threaded email chain. The system can determine the emails are in the same thread using a thread detection policy. The thread detection policy can include one or more rules for detecting a thread by comparing subject lines and participants of a first email and a second email or in some embodiments, by parsing the contents of the body of the second email to determine if the body of the second email includes content that matches the first email and email header information of the first email is included in the body of the second email. If the second electronic activity is an earlier electronic activity that is already matched to a given record object, the record object identification engine **315** can match the first electronic activity to the same record object.

[0448] The policy engine **320** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the policy engine **320** is executed to manage, store, and select matching strategies. The policy engine **320** can generate, manage, and store one or more matching strategy policies for each of the data source providers. For example, the policy engine **320** can generate matching strategy and restriction strategy policies for each division or group of users within a data source provider.

[0449] In some embodiments, a matching policy can include a data structure that indicates which matching strategies to apply to an electronic activity for a given data source provider. For example, the matching policy can include a list of matching strategies that are used to select record objects. The list of matching strategies can be manually created by a user or automatically generated or

suggested by the system. In some embodiments, the policy engine can learn one or more matching strategies based on observing how one or more users previously matched electronic activities to record objects. These matching strategies can be specific to a particular user, group, account, company, or across multiple companies. In some embodiments, the policy engine can detect a change in linkages between one or more electronic activities and record objects in the system of record (for example, responsive to a user linking an electronic activity to another object inside a system of record manually). The policy engine can, in response to detecting the change, learn from the detected change and update the matching strategy or create a new matching strategy within the matching policy. The policy engine can be configured to then propagate the learning from that detected change across multiple matching strategies corresponding to one or more users, groups, accounts, and companies. The system can also be configured to find all past matching decisions that would have changed had the system detected the user-driven matching change before, and update those matching decisions retroactively using the new learning.

[0450] In some embodiments, the matching policy can also identify which restriction strategies to apply to an electronic activity for a given data source provider. For example, the restriction policy can include a list of restriction strategies that are used to restrict record objects. The list of restriction strategies can be manually created by a user or automatically generated or suggested by the system. In some embodiments, the policy engine can learn one or more restriction strategies based on observing how one or more users previously matched or unmatched electronic activities to record objects. These restriction strategies can be specific to a particular user, group, account, company, or across multiple companies. In some embodiments, the policy engine can detect a change in linkages between one or more electronic activities and record objects in the system of record (for example, responsive to a user linking or unlinking an electronic activity to another object inside a system of record manually). The policy engine can, in response to detecting the change, learn from the detected change and update the restriction strategy or create a new restriction strategy within the restriction policy. The policy engine can be configured to then propagate the learning from that detected change across multiple restriction strategies corresponding to one or more users, groups, accounts, and companies. The system can also be configured to find all past matching decisions that would have changed had the system detected the user-driven restriction change before, and update those matching decisions retroactively using the new learning.

[0451] The policy engine **320** can update the matching policy with input or feedback from the data source provider to which the matching policy is associated. For example, the data source provider can provide feedback when an electronic activity is incorrectly linked and the matching policy can be updated based on the feedback. Updating a matching policy can include reordering the matching strategies, adding matching or restriction strategies, adjusting individual matching strategy behavior, removing matching strategies, or adding restriction strategies. The link restriction engine **330** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the link restriction engine **330** is executed to limit to which record objects an electronic activity can be linked.

[0452] In some embodiments, data source providers can generate restriction policies or restriction strategies that include rules that indicate conditions under which electronic activities should not be linked to record objects. For example, restriction policies can include rules that prevent internal emails from being linked to a record object. Other restriction policies can limit bot emails (e.g., emails sent to a plurality of people or an email sent as an automatic reply), non-person electronic activity (e.g., electronic activity, such as calendar activity, associated with an asset, such as a conference room), activities, related to persons, who are working in sensitive or unrelated positions (e.g. HR employees), activities, related to persons who do not "own" specific records in the system of record or who do not belong to specific groups of users, or to private or personal electronic activities (e.g., non-work-related emails). These restriction policies or restriction strategies can

include one or more matching filters described herein.

[0453] The restriction policies can be generated automatically by the system or can be provided by the data source provider. Different restriction policies can be linked together to form a hierarchy of restriction policies, preserving the order in which they should be applied. For example, restriction policies can be set and applied at a group node level (e.g., company level), member node level (e.g., user level), account level, opportunity level, or team level (e.g., groups of users such as account teams or opportunity teams). For example, a restriction policy applied at the company level can apply to the electronic activity sent or received by each employee of the company while a restriction policy applied at the user level is only applied to the electronic activity sent or received by the user.

[0454] The link restriction engine **330** can use the restriction policies to remove or discard record objects from the output array **1208**. For example, if a restriction policy indicates that electronic activity from a given employee should not be linked to record object A**17** and record object A**17** is included in the output array **1208**, the link restriction engine **330** can remove record object A**17** from the output array **1208**.

[0455] In some embodiments, the link restriction engine **330** can apply the restriction policies to electronic activities prior to the matching performed by the record object identification module **315**. For example, if a restriction policy includes rules that calendar-based electronic activity for a conference room should not be linked to any record object, the link restriction engine **330** can discard or otherwise prevent the record object identification module **315** from linking the electronic activity to a record object.

[0456] The tagging engine **265** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the tagging engine **265** is executed to generate tags for the electronic activity. The tagging engine **265** can generate or add tags to electronic activity based on information generated or otherwise made available by the record object identification module **315** and the matching model **340**. The tagging engine **265** can generate a tag array that includes each of the plurality of tags assigned or associated with a given electronic activity. By having tags assigned to electronic activities the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to better utilize the electronic activities to more accurately identify nodes and record objects to which the electronic activity should be linked.

[0457] In addition to the above described tags, the tagging engine **265** can assign tags to an

electronic activity based on the output of the record object identification module **315** and matching model **340**, among other components of the system described herein. For example, the tagging engine **265** can add one or more tags indicating to which record objects the record object identification module **315** returned as candidate record objects for the electronic activity. For example, and also referring to FIG. **12**, the tagging engine **265** can add tags to indicate each record object contained within the output array **1208**. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can add a tag for each record object contained within the output array **1208**. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can add a tag for each record object contained within the output array **1208**. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can include a tag only for the record object in the output array **1208** that most closely matches the electronic activity.

[0458] The linking generator **335** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the linking generator **335** is executed to link electronic activities to record objects. As described above, the system can generate and maintain a shadow system of record for each of a data source provider's system of record can be referred to as a master system of record or tenant-specific system of record. The linking generator **335** can select a record object from the record object array **1208** and link the electronic activity to the selected record object in the shadow system of record. For example, the record object identification engine **315** can use the confidence scores of the record objects in the record object array to select a record object with

which to match the electronic activity.

more of the record objects included in the output array **1208**. In some embodiments, the linking generator **335** can link the electronic activity to one or more record objects in the output array **1208**. For example, the linking generator **335** may only link the electronic activity to the record object in the output array 1208 that most closely matches the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the linking generator 335 links the electronic activity with only one of the record objects in the output array **1208** (e.g., the record object having the highest score). [0460] Linking the electronic activity with a record object can include saving the electronic activity (or an identifier thereof) into the shadow system of record in association with the record object. For example, each record object can include a unique identifier. The electronic activity can be saved into the system of record and the record object's unique identifier can be added to a record object field of the electronic activity to indicate to which record object the electronic activity is linked. In some embodiments, each electronic activity can be assigned a unique identifier. The electronic activity's unique identifier can be added to a field in the shadow record object to indicate that the electronic activity is associated with the shadow record object. In some embodiments, the shadow record object can be matched or synced with a record object in a client's system. When the shadow record object and the record object are synced, data, such as the electronic activity's unique identifier in the above example, can be copied to the corresponding field in the matched record object of the client's system. For example, if the linking generator **335** matches an email to a given record object in the shadow system of record, when synced the email can be matched to the given record object in the client's system of record.

[0459] Also referring to FIG. 12, the linking generator 335 can link the electronic activity to one or

[0461] By linking the electronic activities to record objects, the system can generate metrics regarding the electronic activities. The metrics can include engagement scores for users, employees, specific deals or opportunities, managers, companies, or other parties associated with a system of record. Additional details regarding metrics and the calculation thereof are described below in Section 11, among others. The engagement scores can indicate amongst other things how likely an opportunity (or deal) is to close successfully (or unsuccessfully) or whether the number of contacts in the account are sufficiently engaged with the sales representative to prevent the account from disengaging with the company. The engagement scores can provide an indication of an employee's productivity and can indicate whether the user should receive additional training or can indicate whether the user is on track to achieve predefined goals. The metrics can be calculated dynamically as the electronic activities are matched to nodes and record objects or the metrics can be calculated in batches, at predetermined intervals. Metrics can also be based on the content or other components of the electronic activity in addition to or in place of the linking of the electronic activity to a node and record object.

[0462] For example, FIG. 13 illustrates an example calculation for calculating the engagement score of an opportunity record object. The example calculation can include an electronic activity weight 1300, a volume vector 1302 indicating a count of each electronic activity type, a seniority weight 1304, and a department weight 1306. As illustrated in FIG. 13, the electronic activity linking engine 250 can determine the engagement score by collecting each of the electronic activities associated with a given opportunity record object. The electronic activity linking engine 250 can count the volume (e.g., number) of each type of electronic activity linked with the opportunity record object. For example, the electronic activity linking engine 250 can tag each ingested electronic activity as being an in-person meeting electronic activity, a conference call electronic activity, a received email electronic activity, a sent email electronic activity, a cold email electronic activity, a blast email electronic activity, or a call, among others. The electronic activity linking engine 250 can also tag the electronic activity using NLP. For example, electronic activity linking engine 250 can tag an email based on mentions of a competitor, product, specific people, specific places, or other phrases contained within the electronic activity. The electronic activity

linking engine **250** can also generate tags based on the combination of other tags, linking information, and fields within linked objects.

[0463] The count of each of the different types of electronic activities can be stored in the volume vector **1302**. The volume vector **1302** can be multiplied by the weight or points assigned to each of the different electronic activities. The weight or points associated with each of the electronic activity types in the electronic activity weight can indicate the significance of the electronic activity to the successful completion of the deal. In some embodiments, the weights can be set by the electronic activity linking engine **250**. The weights can be set based on the sales motion of the given tenant or data source provider. Each weight can be a normalized value that can represent the significance a given feature, or collection of electronic activities. For example, an email including the VP of Sales can be given a higher weight when compared to an email that only includes managers. In some embodiments, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can reference an organizational hierarchy derived from the node graph and assign relatively higher weights to electronic activities that involve people relatively higher in the organizational hierarchy. For example, having repeated, in-person meetings with a CxO at a prospective client or company can be more beneficial to the successful closing of the deal than cold calling a random contact at the company. Accordingly, the in-person meeting is assigned a higher weight (50 points) that the call, which is assigned a relatively lower weight of 1.

[0464] The engagement score can also be based on a seniority weighting factor. The seniority weighting factor can then be applied to the volume weighted scores of the electronic activities. The seniority weighting factor can apply a weighting based on those included on or involved with the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the feature extraction engine **310** can determine which contacts or people are associated with electronic activity. For example, the feature extraction engine **310** can parse the TO; and CC: fields of an email (an example electronic activity) and then, using the node graph, determine seniority, department, job title, or role of each contact listed on the email at their current and past roles. In some embodiments, the seniority weighting factor can be based on the contact record objects to which the matching model **340** (or other component of the system) matched the electronic activity.

[0465] The engagement score can also be based on a department weighting factor. The department weighting factor can be normalized across all the departments (such as within a company or account). In some embodiments, once the system determines which contacts are associated with the electronic activity, as described above, the system can determine the department of each of the contacts using the node graph.

[0466] The stage classification engine **325** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code, that is configured to enable a computing device on which the stage classification engine **325** is executed to determine or predict a stage of a deal or opportunity.

[0467] In some embodiments, record objects can be associated with a plurality of stages. In some embodiments, the record object can be an opportunity record object or any other record object that describes a business process, such as a sales process, a hiring process, or a support ticket. The stages can be defined by the system or by the data source provider.

[0468] Using the example of an opportunity record object in a sales process, the stages can indicate the steps taken in an opportunity or deal from the beginning of the deal to the final disposition of the deal (e.g., close and won or closed and lost). The stages can include, but are not limited to: prospecting, developing, negotiation, review, closed/won, or closed/lost.

[0469] Each of the stages can be linked to different tasks or milestones. For example, a sales representative can develop a proposal during the "developing" stage. Each of the stages can be linked to different actions taken by the sales representative or prospect contacts, associated contacts or other people. For example, initially during the prospecting and developing stages a sales representative may be involved in the opportunity or deal. At a later stage, such as negotiations, a

sales manager may become involved in the deal.

[0470] The stages can be based on the contacts present or involved on both sides of the deal. For example, as the deal advances to higher stages, more senior people may be included in the electronic activities. The stage of the deal can be based on the identification or introduction of an opportunity contact role (OCR) champion. In some embodiments, an administrator or user of the system of record can link the opportunity record object with a contact record object and designate the contact of the contact record object as an opportunity contact role. The champion can be a person on the buyer side of the deal that will support and provide guidance about the deal or opportunity to the seller side. In some embodiments, the OCR champion can be selected based on one or more rules. For example, the one or more rules can include setting the person identified as the VP of sales (or other specific role) as the OCR champion. In some embodiments, the OCR champion can be selected based on historical data. For example, the historical data can indicate that in 90% of the past deals a specific person or role was the OCR champion. Based on the historical data, when the person is added as a recipient of an electronic activity, the person can be identified as the OCR champion. The OCR champion can also be identified probabilistically based on tags associated with the electronic activities linked to the opportunity record object or content within the electronic activities.

[0471] In some embodiments, OCRs can be configurable by the company on an account by account basis. Depending on the type, size or nature of the opportunity, the customer or account involved in the opportunity may have different types and numbers of OCRs involved in the opportunity relative to other opportunities the same customer is involved in. Examples of OCRs can include "Champion," "Legal," "Decision Maker," "Executive sponsor" among others.

[0472] The system **200** can be configured to assign respective opportunity contact roles to one or more contacts involved in an opportunity. The system **200** can be configured to determine the opportunity contact role of a contact involved in the opportunity based on the contact's involvement. In some embodiments, system **200** can determine the contact's role based on a function the contact is serving. The function can be determined based on the contact's title, the context of electronic activities the contact is involved in, and other signals that can be derived from the electronic activities and node graph. In addition, the system **200** can assign the contact a specific opportunity contact role based on analyzing past deals or opportunities in which the contact has been involved and determining which opportunity contact role the contact has been assigned in the past. Based on historical role assignments, the system **200** can predict which role the contact should be assigned for the present opportunity. In this way, the system **200** can make recommendations to the owner of the opportunity record object to add contacts to the opportunity or assign the contact an opportunity contact role.

[0473] In some embodiments, the system **200** can determine that a contact should be assigned an opportunity contact role of "Executive Sponsor." The system may determine this by parsing electronic activities sent to and from the contact and identify, using NLP, words or a context that corresponds to the role of an Executive sponsor. In addition, the system can determine if the contact has previously been assigned an opportunity contact role of executive sponsor in previous deals or opportunities. The system can further determine the contact's title to determine if his title is senior enough to serve as the Executive sponsor.

[0474] In some embodiments, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can use a sequential occurrence of electronic activities to determine contact record objects that should be linked or associated with an opportunity record object. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can also determine the roles of people associated with the contact record objects linked to an opportunity. The identification of people associated with opportunity and account record objects (and their associated roles) can be used to determine stage classification, group of contacts on the buyer side that are responsible for the purchase, and for many other use cases. In some embodiments, the sequential occurrence of electronic activities can be used to determine the role or seniority of users

involved in a business process. For example, initial emails linked with an opportunity record object can involve relatively lower-level employees. Later emails linked to the opportunity record object can include relatively higher-level employees, such as managers or Vice Presidents. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can also identify the introduction of contacts in a chain of electronic activities, such as a series of email replies or meeting invites, to determine a contact's participation and role in a business process. For example, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can use NLP and other methods to identify the introduction of a manager as a new OCR based on an email chain.

[0475] It should be appreciated that in some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can include node profiles corresponding to each of the contact record objects included in one or more shadow system of records or master systems of records. As sequential electronic activities traverse the system **200**, the node graph generation system **200** can parse the electronic activities and determine that additional email addresses are being included or some existing email addresses are being removed in subsequent electronic activities. The node graph generation system can identify node profiles corresponding to the email addresses being added and establish links or relationships between the node profiles included in the electronic activity. As the electronic activity linking engine **250** links electronic activities to record objects, such as opportunity record objects, node profiles included in the electronic activity are also linked to the opportunity record object. The stage classification engine can use this information to classify a stage of the opportunity based in part on node profiles linked to the record object and based on the involvement of the node profiles in the electronic activities that can be determined using effort estimation techniques, volumes of emails exchanged, as well as based on NLP of the content to identify the role of each of the node profiles, as well as historical patterns of linkage of similar node profiles to similar record objects, as discussed below.

[0476] In some embodiments, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can also determine a contact's role based on the tags of the electronic activity in which the contact was included. For example, relatively higher-level employees, such as managers, can be more likely to be included electronic activities such as in person meeting invites and conference calls. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can also use NLP on the content of electronic activities to determine the role of contacts. For example, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can process the content of the electronic activities to identify terms that may indicate a role of a contact. For example, an email can include the phrase "my assistant Jeff will schedule the meeting." The electronic activity linking engine **250** can identify the phrase "my assistant Jeff" and include in the contact record object associated with Jeff the role of "assistant." The electronic activity linking engine **250** can also determine that the sender of the email is more likely to be a manager because the sender of the email has an assistant.

[0477] Similar to how the record object manager **255** maintains the shadow systems of record and corresponding record objects, the stage classification engine **325** can maintain a shadow stage indicating a stage the stage classification engine **325** determines is the current stage for the deal or opportunity. The stage classification engine **325** can determine or estimate the stage of the opportunity using a top-down algorithm or a bottom-up algorithm. With the top-down algorithm, the data source provider can provide a policy that includes a plurality of rules. The rules can indicate requirements for entering or exiting a stage. For example, the data source provider's policy may include a rule indicating that an opportunity cannot progress to a negotiation stage until a procurement manager is involved in the deal on the buyer's side. In this example, the stage classification engine **325** can monitor the ingested electronic activities. When the stage classification engine **325** detects that the system has linked an electronic activity (such as an email) to the opportunity record object and the electronic activity includes a contact that is a procurement manager (as determined, for example, via the node graph), the stage classification engine **325** can set the shadow stage to negotiation stage. In some embodiments, the shadow stage can be synced to

the data source provider's stage for the given record object. In some embodiments, the stage classification engine **325** can update a stage of a record object of the master system of record to match the shadow stage of the corresponding record object determined by the stage classification engine **325**. In some such embodiments, the client may provide or select a configuration setting that allows the stage classification engine **325** to update the stage classification of a record object of the master system of record of the client. In some embodiments, the stage classification engine **325** can use a bottom-up approach to predict or determine the stage. The stage classification engine **325** can use machine learning to predict or determine the stage of a deal or opportunity. For example, the stage classification engine 325 can combine the features from each of the electronic activities linked to an opportunity record object into a feature vector. The stage classification engine 325 can use a neural network, or other machine learning technique, to classify the deal into one of the stages based on the feature vector. The machine learning algorithm can be trained using the progression of previous deals through the stages. In some embodiments, the stage classification engine **325** can map the feature vector and plurality of electronic activities to a specific stage as defined by the data source provider. In some embodiments, the stage classification engine 325 can map the feature vector and plurality of electronic activities to a normalized stage as defined by the system. The normalized stages can be used with different data source providers to provide a translatable staging system or nomenclature across the different data source providers. The stage classification engine **325** can maintain mappings between the normalized stages and the stages of the different data source providers. For example, the stage classification engine **325** can define five, normalized stages. A first data source provider can define a deal or opportunity as including 7 stages. A second data source provider can define a deal or opportunity as including 3 stages. The stage classification engine 325, for the first data source provider, may map stages 1 and 2 to normalized stage 1, stage 3 to normalized stage 2, stage 4 to normalized stage 3, stage 5 to normalized stage **4**, and stages **6** and **7** to normalized stage **5**. Accordingly, the data source provider's stages can be mapped to the normalized stages based on the tasks, requirements, or content of the stages rather than by the naming or numbering of the stages.

[0478] The stage classification engine **325** can map the electronic activities or feature vector to one of the five normalized stages. The indication of which normalized stage the electronic activities or feature vector was mapped to can be saved as a shadow stage. When syncing the shadow stage to the master stage of the data source provider, the stage classification engine **325** can map each of the normalized stages to the stages as defined by the data source provider. For example, the first normalized stage may be mapped to the first stage as defined by the data source provider and the second normalized stage may be mapped to the second and third stages as defined by the data source providers.

3. Systems and Methods for Linking Electronic Activities to Record Objects Maintained on Systems of Record

[0479] As described above, the system can maintain one or more shadow systems of record and shadow stages for each of the data source providers. The shadow systems of record can mirror the data source provider's systems of record at different instances in time. In some embodiments, as described above, electronic activities ingested by the system from a given data source provider are linked to the data source provider's shadow systems of record to enable the system to perform analysis and generate metrics regarding the data source provider's systems of record. In some embodiments, the system can synchronize the linked electronic activities between the shadow systems of record and the data source provider's master systems of record.

[0480] The record object manager **255** can maintain data regarding the record objects in the shadow systems of record and the master systems of record. The record object manager **255** can synchronize shadow systems of record and master systems of record for each of the data source providers. In some embodiments, to synchronize the shadow systems of record and the master systems of record the record object manager **255** can detect changes in the master systems of

record. The changes can include added, deleted, or modified account record objects, opportunity record objects, or lead record objects or any other record objects. For example, the record object manager **255** can determine that a new account record object was generated at the master system of record and generate a corresponding copy of the new account record object at the shadow system of record. The corresponding copy of the new account record object at the shadow system of record can be a copy of the new account record object at the master system of record. Responsive to adding the new record object, the system can reprocess previously processed electronic activities to determine if the electronic activities should be matched with the new record object.

[0481] Detecting if modifications occurred to the record objects of the master system of record can

include determining if one or more fields of the record object changed or if the linking of electronic activities with the record object changed. For example, during a previous synchronization cycle the record object manager **255** could link an electronic activity with a first record object at the master system of record. After the synchronization, a user at the master system of record may modify linkage to link the electronic activity with a second record object. In another example, the system can detect that an additional field value was added. For example, location data can be added to location field of a record object. The record object manager 225 can resynchronize the updated record object to identify potential new matches based on the added location data. The system can also reevaluate previous matches and determine if the location data makes the match with the previous matches more or less likely. The record object manager **255** can determine that the electronic activity was linked by the user to a different record object. The record object manager **255** can provide an indication of the change to the record object identification module **315** as feedback so that matching model 340 can update its machine learning models or matching strategies. In some embodiments, a user can add additional information or change information in a record object. Responsive to the change to the record object, the system can perform the rematching of the electronic activity with nodes and record objects.

[0482] The record object manager **255** can synchronize changes to the shadow systems of record to the master systems of record. For example, new linkings of electronic activities to record objects can be synchronized to the master system of record. Synchronizing the shadow system of record to the master system of record can include adding any linked electronic activities since the last synchronization cycle to the master system of record. The electronic activities can be linked to the same record object in the master system of record to which they are linked in the shadow system of record. In some embodiments, the record object manager **255** can add a flag or tag to the electronic activity when the electronic activity is synchronized from the shadow system of record to the master system of record. The flag can include an indication that the electronic activity was synchronized from the shadow system of record. In some embodiments, setting of the flag can cause the master system of record to prompt a user of the master system of record to confirm that the electronic activity was linked to the correct record object. In some embodiments, setting of the flag can cause the master system of record to provide a visual indication to a user of the master system of record that the flagged electronic activity was linked and synchronized from a shadow system of record. In some embodiments, the user can confirm or decline the addition of the linked electronic activity from the shadow system of record. Based on the approval or disapproval of the linked electronic activity, the system can update the matching strategies.

4. Systems and Methods for Generating a Multi-Tenant Master Instance of Systems of Record Using Single-Tenant Instances

[0483] In some embodiments, the system **200** or the system **9300** shown in FIG. **3** as described herein can generate a multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record. The multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record can include data from a plurality of master systems of record from a plurality of different data source providers, which can be referred to as tenants, or from the plurality of shadow systems of record, which can themselves be mirrors or copies of master systems of record from the different tenants. In some embodiments, the multi-tenant master

instance of the systems of record can be a combination of the record objects from the separate shadow systems of record.

[0484] As described herein, the system **200** or the system **9300** shown in FIG. **3** can include shadow systems of record that correspond to respective master systems of record belonging to respective data source providers. In some embodiments, each of the shadow systems of record (and corresponding master systems of record) can include a plurality of record objects. The record object manager **255** can synchronize the record objects (or data therein) from each of the shadow systems of record or master systems of record from different tenants into a multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record can include all of the data included in each record object of the one or more shadow systems of record and the corresponding master systems of record. The multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record can be used to further enrich the node profiles maintained by the node profile manager **220**.

[0485] The multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record maintained by the system 200 or the system 9300 shown in FIG. 3 can be used to synchronize data between the master systems of record from the different tenants as well as improve the multi-tenant master system of record and individual master systems of record of the data source providers using parsed and normalized activity data received from electronic communications servers of the data source providers. Moreover, the system can update one or more node profiles maintained by the node profile manager 220 using the data from the record objects of the one or more master systems of record. The record object manager 255 can sync fields or data between node profiles and record objects such as, but not limited to, names, phone numbers, email address, domains, other contact information, address, D-U-Ns numbers, job titles, department IDs and other standard company or person information. In some embodiments, some types of systems of record can include record object (and data) types that are not included in other types of systems of record such that one or more of the systems of record may not support all record object types or data types maintained in the multi-tenant master system or record.

[0486] The record object manager **255** can populate data from the record objects from the individual master systems of record into the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record. The record object manager **255** can also be configured to synchronize the record objects (or data contained therein) from the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record back to the individual shadow systems of record enabling data to be shared between the different tenants. In some embodiments, each shadow system of record can include data that is obtained from a corresponding master system of record of a specific data source provider. This data can be shared with or accessed by the record object manager **255**, which can use the data from each of the shadow systems of record to update the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record. Moreover, the record object manager **255** can further update the record objects included in the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record from the node profiles of the nodes maintained by the node profile manager **220**. The record object manager **255** can then use the data included in the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record, which has been updated from multiple systems of records and the node profiles, to update one or more of the shadow systems of records, which can then be used to update the corresponding master systems of records of the data source providers.

[0487] Data source providers or tenants that provide access to their systems of record can establish, via the system **9300**, one or more controls or settings to manage how the data in their respective systems of record are treated. In some embodiments, a tenant can select a setting that restricts the system **9300** from using the information included in the tenant's system of record to update the master instance of the systems of record maintained by the system **9300**. In some embodiments, a tenant can select a setting that restricts the system **9300** from using the information included in the tenant's system of record to update systems of record of other tenants maintained by the system

**9300**. Furthermore, in some embodiments, a tenant can select a setting that restricts the system **9300** from using only certain information, such as sensitive or competitive information included in the tenant's system of record to update the master instance of the systems of record maintained by the system **9300**. The system **9300** can provide individual tenants control as to how the data included in a tenant's system of record can be updated, used and shared. For instance, a tenant can select a configuration setting that restricts the system **9300** from updating the tenant's system of record

[0488] Each record object can include a plurality of fields that are populated with data regarding a given record object. As one example, a contact record object can include fields for first name, last name, email, mobile phone number, office phone number, among others. A user can populate the fields of the contact record object at the master system of record of one of the tenants (e.g., one of the data source providers). The record object manager 255 can synchronize the populated fields into the corresponding fields of the record object in the shadow system of record. The node profile manager **220**, described herein, can generate a first node (e.g., a member node). The node profile manager **220** can populate the fields of the first node with the data from the contact record object. In this example, a second user can populate the fields of a second contact record object in a second master system of record of a different tenant. Once synchronized to the system, the node profile manager **220** can generate a second node based on the second record object. In some embodiments, the node resolution engine **245** can determine that the first node and the second node are associated with the same contact. For example, the node resolution engine **245** can determine that the email fields of the first and second nodes are populated with the same email address. Determining that the first and second nodes are associated with the same contact, the node resolution engine **245** can merge the first and second nodes such that the merged node includes data from both the first and the second nodes. The record object manager **255** can sync the merged fields back to the respective record objects and master systems of record.

[0489] For example, and continuing the above example, the first user may have entered a phone number into a contact field but not a department identifier into a department field of the first user's respective contact record object. The second user may have entered the department identifier into the department field but not the phone number into the second user's respective contact record object. The record object manager 255 can determine the two contact record objects are associated with the same person and merge the data into the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record maintained by the system 200. In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can generate a node for the person in the node graph. To sync or otherwise update the merged data back to the respective contact record objects in the corresponding shadow system of record or the corresponding master system of record, the record object manager 255 can update the first user's contact record object with the department identifier and the second user's contact record object with the phone number. In some embodiments, the record object manager 255 can set a flag indicating the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record as the source of the updated data in the record objects.

[0490] When syncing data between the different tenant systems of record and the multi-tenant master instance of the systems of record, the record object manager 255 can resolve conflicts between record objects and field values in the different systems of record that include different data. The record object manager 255 can resolve the conflicts using the above-described node graph. For example, the record object manager 255 can select between conflicting data by selecting the data that has highest likelihood of being accurate. The system 200 can, via the node profile manager 220, maintain confidence scores of different values of fields to determine a likelihood of the value being accurate. In some embodiments, two values of the same field may both be accurate except one may be more current than the other. In such embodiments, the record object manager 255 can select the value that is accurate and more current. As described herein, a confidence score of a value can be based on contribution scores of one or more data points serving as evidence for

the value. The contribution scores of the data points can be based in part on a recency of the data point and a trust score of the source indicating how trustworthy the source is. The trustworthiness of a source, such as a system of record, can be based on a health score of the source, which can be determined based on how many values of record objects of the system of record match values the system **200** knows to be true or accurate and how many values of the record objects do not match values the system **200** knows to be true or accurate.

[0491] The record object manager **255** can also resolve conflicts based on the time series of the data for the respective fields. For example, an email field that was recently updated by a user may indicate that the contact recently changed their email address and that the newer email address is an updated email address and not an inaccurate email address. Furthermore, such data may be reconfirmed by extracting the newer email address from an email signature in an electronic activity received from an electronic communications server associated with one of the data source providers. In some embodiments, the record object manager **255** can periodically execute batch jobs to synchronize the shadow and master systems of record. For example, each evening the record object manager 255 can synchronize the shadow and master systems of record. When synchronizing the record objects, the record object manager 255 can reprocess previously synced record objects (and the fields therein) to determine if the record objects should be updated. For example, based on the electronic activities processed during the day, the confidence score associated with a value of a field of a record object in the shadow system of record may have decreased below a predetermined threshold and the record object manager **255** can remove the value from the field of the record object of the shadow system of record during the daily sync. [0492] In some embodiments, the synchronization between from the shadow system or record to the master system of record can be governed by privacy policies. For example, electronic activities, record objects, or data contained therein can be flagged to be labeled as private by the system or a user and may not be synced to the master system of record or to other tenant systems of record. In some embodiments, for little known or possibly sensitive data, the system may not sync fields back to systems of record until the data in the field is identified in a predetermined number of systems of record. For example, if a contact record object for John Smith from a first tenant lists the cell phone of John Smith, the cell phone number may not be synced to other tenants' master systems of record until the system **200** identifies the cell phone number in the contact record object of a predetermined number (e.g., 3) of tenant master systems of record, meaning that at least 2 other companies, connected to the system **200** also possess the phone number for John Smith. 5. Systems and Methods for Monitoring Health of Systems of Record [0493] In some embodiments, the system described herein can be used to monitor the health of a system of record. The source health scorer **215** can monitor the health of the system of record and can calculate a health score for the system of record. The health score for the system of record can be used to determine or otherwise calculate a trust score for the system of record. [0494] The health (or health score) of a system of record can provide an indication of the accuracy or completeness of a system of record's data. In some embodiments, the health score can be calculated with respect to the given system of record. For example, the health score can indicate that 20% of the records within the system of record are inaccurate. In some embodiments, the health score can be calculated with respect to the other data processing systems. For example, the health score can indicate that the completeness of the systems of record' database is in the 97th percentile when compared to the completeness of other systems of record.

[0495] The health score can be based on the completeness of data in the system of record and/or the accuracy of the data in the system of record. For example, each record object in a system of record can include a plurality of fields. In some embodiments, the completeness of the system of record can be based on the ratio of the total number of populated standard fields to the total number of unpopulated standard fields. In some other embodiments, the completeness of the system of record can be based on the ratio of the total number of populated standard and supplemental fields to the

total number of unpopulated standard and supplemental fields. In some embodiments, fields of record objects in systems of record can be classified as standard fields if they are common among different systems or record. Examples of standard fields can include company name, company phone number, company address as record objects across different systems of records for the same company may each include this information. Similarly, for record objects directed towards individuals, the standard fields can include first name, last name, work phone number, title as record objects across different systems of records for the same individual may each include this information. Other fields that are not standard fields can include custom fields or fields that include supplemental information that is not common across different systems of record can be classified as supplemental fields. Examples of supplemental fields can include fields such as opportunity contact role, years of experience, industry, as these fields may not be common across multiple systems of record.

[0496] In some embodiments, the health score can be based on the total count of the fields that are populated or just the total count of the standard fields that are populated. In some embodiments, the health score can also be based on the accuracy of the data populated into the standard fields. The system can determine the accuracy of the data in the standard fields by comparing the data to other instances of the data in other systems of record or in the multi-tenant master instance. For example, the system can determine that the first tenant system of record indicates a phone number for a given contact is 555-5555. A second and third tenant system of record can indicate that the phone number for the given contact is 555-4433. The system can determine that the phone number in the first tenant system of record is incorrect or not current because more tenants (with health scores satisfying a certain threshold) include the 555-4433 phone number. The accuracy of the data can also be based on the health score associated with data source from which the data was received. For example, the phone number may not be changed when contradicted by a source with a low health score. The accuracy of data can also be based on electronic activities and the confidence score of values of fields maintained in node profiles of the system **200**. The accuracy of data included in a system of record can be determined by comparing data included in the record objects of the system of record to information included in corresponding node profiles maintained by the system **200**. As described above, the node profiles can be updated with information extracted from electronic activities, which are unbiased and not self-reported or manually entered. Based on the comparison of the data included in the record objects of the system of record and the corresponding node profiles, the source health scorer can determine a health of the system of record. The health score can also be time dependent. For example, the health score can decay with time because the data in the system of record can become stale if the data is not updated or not checked. In some embodiments, newer data can have a greater probability of being accurate. For example, a newly entered job title for a contact may be accurate and indicate a promotion. [0497] In some embodiments, the health score can be based on the links between record objects.

For example, the system of record may require that each opportunity record object be linked with a least one contact record object. In these examples, the data fields within the record objects may be complete but the source health scorer **215** can reduce the system of record's health score or assign a lower health score to a system of record responsive to determining that the system of record does not include proper links between one or more opportunity record objects and corresponding contact record objects or any other record objects with which the one or more opportunity record objects should be linked. In some embodiments, the source health scorer **215** can base the health score on the accuracy of the links between the record objects of the system of record. For example, the system can process the electronic activities already linked to the system of record to perform historical matching based on using the techniques described herein to generate predictions for linking between the system or record's record objects. If the linkages between the record objects do not match the predicted matches, the source health scorer **215** can assign the system of record a lower health score.

[0498] The source health scorer 215 can also calculate or otherwise determine a trust score for each data point included in an array of a value of a node profile maintained by the system 200 or that contributes towards a value in a record object maintained by the system 200. The trust score can be based on the source of the data point. In some embodiments, the trust score can be based on a health score of the source of the data point. For instance, some systems of record can be better maintained than others. The source health scorer 215 can perform a health check on a system of record to compute a health score for the system of record. The health score of the system of record can be used to assign a trust score. In contrast to data points whose source is a system of record, a data point whose source is an electronic activity ingested by the system 200 can have a higher trust score since electronic activities do not have health related issues as they are not manually input or updated. Systems of record are generally manually input and updated and therefore can include inaccuracies or may be stale resulting in lower health scores, and thereby, lower trust scores. In some embodiments, the source health scorer 215 can assign a trust score of 100% or a maximum rating to data points derived from electronic activities.

6. Systems and Methods for Generating Recommendations to Improve Health Based on a Node Graph Generated from Electronic Activity

[0499] In some embodiments, the system described herein can make recommendations based on the health and trust scores associated with a system of record or data source provider. The source health scorer **215** or other components of the system can generate the recommendations based on metrics of the systems of record, record objects therein, and the trust and health scores associated with the systems of record.

[0500] The source health scorer **215** can determine, for each field type, of number of standard fields not populated with data. For example, the source health scorer **215** can determine, for a given system of record, that 75% of the contact record objects include domain fields that are not populated with a website field value. In this case, the recommendation can be that the data source provider should update the domain fields of the contact record objects. In some occurrences, the system can automatically fill in a predetermined percentage of the missing field values in a given system of record to automatically improve the health score of the given system of record. Given a significant number of systems of record, connected to the multi-tenant system of record instance and the source health scorer **215**, such a system can systematically and continuously improve the health scores of all connected systems of record. Stated in another way, by generating or maintaining a multi-tenant system of record that can be used to update one or more master system of records maintained by customers or enterprises, a network of systems of record are created with automated data entry, thereby allowing each of the master systems of records to get updated. This will result in an improvement in the health and corresponding health score of each of the master systems of record through the network effect until all of the master systems of record are identical and, in some embodiments, pristine or perfect.

[0501] In some embodiments, the recommendations can indicate to a data source provider that the data within the system of record is stale or out of date. For example, if a first company is sold to a second company, the system can alert the data source provider to update the company or other information in its systems of record based on the sale of the first company. The recommendations can also include updates to field values, organizational charts, job titles, employment changes, and changes to an organization, such as mergers and acquisitions.

7. Systems and Methods for Filtering and Database Pruning

[0502] At least one aspect of the present disclosure is directed to systems and methods for filtering and database pruning. For example, the tagging engine **265** can assign tags based on the contents of the electronic activity, associations of the electronic activity with specific nodes, people, or companies, confidence and trust scores, information in record objects, or other information associated with the electronic activity. The rules used by the tagging engine **265** to generate tags can be used by one or more systems or components described herein. In some cases, the rules used

by the tagging engine **265** to generate tags can generate filter tags, which can be configured to cause the system to block, delete, remove, drop or redact the electronic activity associated with the filter tag.

[0503] A system, such as the data processing system **9300** depicted in FIG. **3**, the node graph generation system **200** depicted in FIG. **4**, the tagging engine **265** depicted in FIG. **4**, the electronic activity linking engine **250** depicted in FIG. **9**, or one or more components thereof, may perform significant computationally extensive processing on various types of electronic activities or records as depicted in FIG. **3**. Since a large volume of electronic activities associated with sending or receiving electronic activities are received by the systems or components depicted in FIG. **3**, **4**, or **9** in accordance with the process flow **9302** depicted in FIG. **9**, it can be challenging to efficiently process such data without causing excessive delay or latency issues. Further, databases associated with the systems and components depicted in FIGS. **3**, **4** and **9**, as well as third-party databases with which the systems depicted in FIGS. **3**, **4** and **9** can interface or communicate, may store or maintain records that may be stale, sensitive, corrupt, erroneous, or otherwise not needed or not wanted. As such, systems and methods of the present technical solution can provide filtering at an ingestion step **9305** as depicted in the functional flow diagram of FIG. **9302**, as well as scrubbing of records maintained in one or more databases, using parsing techniques, rules or machine learning.

[0504] The node graph generation system **200** can, via ingestor **205**, receive electronic activities. The electronic activities can include, for example, electronic messages or electronic calendar events and associated metadata. The ingestor **205** can receive the electronic activities from one or more data source providers **9350**, which can include an electronic messaging or mail server. The ingestor **205**, upon receiving the electronic activities, can format the metadata or otherwise manage or manipulate the data to facilitate further processing. The ingestor **205** can receive the electronic activities in real-time, asynchronously, on a periodic basis, based on a time interval, in a batch process or batch download, or responsive to a trigger of event.

[0505] The tagging engine **265** can, using one or more rules, policies, or techniques, tag the electronic activities such that the filtering engine **270** can apply a content filter to the tagged electronic activities to determine whether to filter out the electronic activity or authorize or approve the electronic activity for further processing, or redact a portion of the electronic activity. The filtering engine **270** can filter out the electronic activity, which can refer to or include redacting out sensitive or private parts of the electronic communications or preventing the entire electronic activity (or metadata thereof) from being forwarded to another component or memory of the system so that the electronic activity is prevented or blocked from further processing or storage. Preventing the electronic activity from being further processed or stored can reduce unnecessary computing resource utilization or memory utilization as well as prevent sensitive or private information from being carried from systems of record or activity data sources to other systems of record. [0506] The electronic activity parser **210** can provide an alert, tag, notification, label or other indication of the reason the electronic activity was filtered out, blocked or deleted or redacted. The indication can indicate the type of filter or rule that triggered or caused the removal or redaction. [0507] In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can tag the electronic activities with a filter tag based on one or more rules or policies. The filtering engine **270** can then filter out the electronic activities based on the assigned filter tag or cause another system or component to filter out the electronic activity responsive to the filter tag. For example, the tagging engine **265**, using technologies such as regular expressions, pattern recognition or NLP, can tag the electronic activity to cause the filtering engine **270** to block ingestion of the electronic activities or perform other filtering in downstream systems.

[0508] The system **200** can be configured to provide, via the filtering engine **270**, various types of filtering techniques that may be applied to electronic activities during ingestion, during processing of the electronic activities, or when attempting to match electronic activities to record objects of

shadow system of records or master system of records.

[0509] As described herein, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply different types of filtering techniques. As will be described herein, the filtering engine **270** can apply filters based on the content included in electronic activities. Such filters may be referred to as content filters. The filtering engine **270** can also apply logic based filters based on one or more logic based rules for filtering electronic activities. Such filters may be referred to as logic based filters. In addition, the filtering engine **270** may apply filters to restrict matching of electronic activities to node profiles or restrict matching of electronic activities to one or more record objects of systems of records. Additional details regarding the different types of filters are provided herein.

[0510] As described herein, the filtering engine **270** can apply various filtering techniques at a user specific level, a company level, a system level, among others. These filtering techniques can be controlled by users, administrators of a company, administrators of the system **200**, among others. A. Content Based Filtering

[0511] The filtering engine **270** can be configured to perform content filtering. Content filtering involves performing one or more actions on an electronic activity based on the content of the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the actions can include restricting ingestion of the electronic activity into the system **200**. In some embodiments, the action can include redacting a portion or all of the content included in the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the action can include restricting matching the electronic activity to a node profile or restricting matching the electronic activity to one or more record objects.

[0512] As described herein, the tagging engine **265** or electronic activity parser **210** can identify terms, text, content or other information in the body or metadata of the electronic activity. The tagging engine **265** can then apply a rule, policy, logic, machine learning algorithm, or natural language processing techniques to assign one or more tags to the electronic activity based on the identified terms, text, content or other information in the body or metadata of the electronic activity. The tag can include a content filter tag or other type of tag that the filtering engine **270** can use to perform content filtering. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to apply a tagging policy that uses keywords and NLP to identify portions of electronic activities that satisfy one or more filtering rules. The tagging engine **265** can then tag such electronic activities with appropriate content filter tags that the filtering engine 270 can use to either redact portions of the tagged electronic activity, block the entire tagged electronic activity from being ingested, stored, or otherwise processed. In some embodiments, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to parse electronic activities to determine if the respective electronic activity includes any of one or more predetermined keywords, phrases, regex patterns or content in the electronic activity. Responsive to determining that the electronic activity includes any of one or more predetermined keywords, phrases, regex patterns or content in the electronic activity, the filtering engine **270** can restrict ingestion of the electronic activity into the system 200.

[0513] In some embodiments, the tagging engine can tag the electronic activity with a tag indicating that the electronic activity includes sensitive information. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can be configured to assign specific content filter tags based on the type of content detected in the electronic activity. For instance, the tagging engine **265** can assign a social security tag responsive to detecting a social security number (or any other number that matches a regex pattern corresponding to a social security number). In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can run one or more algorithms to identify various types of information for which a content filtering rule applies. Accordingly, the tagging engine **265** may determine if the electronic activity includes content that satisfies a content filtering rule, the tagging engine **265** may assign one or more content filter tags to the electronic activity indicating that the electronic activity includes content that may be subject to a content filtering rule. In some such embodiments, the content filter tag can include additional information that the system **200** or the filtering engine **270** can use to determine a basis for why the electronic activity satisfies the content filtering rule.

[0514] Based on the type of content filter tag assigned to the electronic activity, the filtering engine **270** can take one or more actions on the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can tag the electronic activity with a content filter tag that the filtering engine **270** can use to determine what action to take on the electronic activity. For instance, in the example of the tagging engine **265** assigning a social security tag responsive to detecting a social security number (or any other number that matches a regex pattern corresponding to a social security number) in the electronic activity, the filtering engine can be configured to parse the electronic activity to identify the content that matches the regex pattern of the social security number and can apply a redaction policy to the electronic activity, causing the filtering engine **270** to redact the number from the electronic activity. It should be appreciated that the filtering engine **270** can redact the content by either obscuring the text with a visual marker, replacing the numbers with text indicating that the content is redacted, or other techniques for redacting text. The system can be configured to determine other types of sensitive information including credit card numbers, bank account numbers, date of births, or other sensitive or confidential information for which the filtering engine **270** may include one more filtering rules.

[0515] In some embodiments, the tag can indicate a type of data or field present in the electronic activity, such as a social security number or credit card number, in which case the filtering engine **270** can be configured with a policy to redact out sensitive information from electronic activities or filter out electronic activities tagged as containing credit card numbers or social security numbers or other sensitive or private information or perform any other action.

#### B. Tag Based Filtering

[0516] As described above, the filtering engine **270** can use one or more content filters or content filtering policies to filter the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to filter electronic activities based on one or more tags assigned by the tagging engine **265**. Some of the tags assigned by the tagging engine **265** can be used to filter electronic activities, either from ingestion by the system **200** or from matching or linking the electronic activity to record objects of one or more systems of record. The tags assigned by the tagging engine **265** can be used for purposes other than filtering, for instance, for updating node profiles, determining connection strengths between nodes, understanding context of electronic activities, among others.

[0517] The tagging engine **265** can first tag all electronic activities based on numerous tagging methods as described herein. Thereafter, the filtering engine **270** can determine or choose to filter content out based on one or more tags, and otherwise determine or choose to allow further processing or storage of electronic activity based on other tags. The tagging engine **265** can determine to generate, apply or assign a tag, such as a filter tag, based on a regular expression. A regular expression (or regex or regexp) can include a sequence of characters that define a pattern. Example regular expressions can be configured to detect credit card numbers, social security numbers, license numbers, date of birth or any other combination of words or numbers. The tagging engine **265** can be configured with a regex for a credit card number. For example, a regex for a credit card number can be defined as a sequence of 13 to 16 digits, with specific digits at the start that identify the card issuer. The tagging engine **265** can be configured with predetermined digits of card issuers. The tagging engine **265** can apply the credit card number detection technique to the electronic activity (or metadata thereof) to detect or determine whether the electronic activity contains a credit card number. If the tagging engine **265** determines that the electronic activity contains a credit card number responsive to applying or searching for the credit card regex, the tagging engine **265** can apply a filter tag to cause the filtering engine to filter out the electronic activity or redact out the credit card number.

[0518] The tagging engine **265** can determine to apply a filter tag based on predetermined keywords. The keywords can indicate topics, concept or terms. Keywords can include, for example, "Credit Card No." or "License No." or "SSN" or "SSID", etc. Keywords for topics to be filtered

out can include, for example, "medical record", "health record", "doctor visit", etc. The system 200 can use a master list of keywords that can be used to form a Global Keyword Based Content Filter that can be applied across all (new and existing) customers or users of the system 200. It should be appreciated that the system 200 can be configured to generate, maintain, use or otherwise access keyword ontology or one or more machine learning models trained on keywords, clusters of text or other documents to build the master list of keywords. The content filter can be global or the content filter can be specific to a customer, user or other category or level. The system 200 can continue to update this global list of keyword based content filter. The system 200 can use filters based on a natural language processing technique to determine or identify synonyms, translations into other languages, or related keywords.

[0519] As described herein, a filter or filter tag can be applied or generated for a type of electronic activity. For example, a type of electronic activity can be adding a non-human participant (e.g., a room, device, projector, printer, display, etc.) to an electronic meeting event. The system **200** can use a filter to prevent or block further processing on an electronic activity associated with adding a non-human participant or prevent a non-human participant from being matched or from being created as a new record in the multi-tenant, shadow, or other systems of record.

C. Logic-Based Filtering

[0520] The filtering engine **270** can be configured to perform logic-based filtering in which the filtering engine **270** applies one or more logic-based rules to filter electronic activities. The logic filter can include a set of logic-based rules that can be used to filter electronic activities. The filtering engine **270** can be configured to execute one or more logic filtering policies by identifying structured metadata around an electronic activity or record object, and then blocking the electronic activity or record object from being ingested by the system 200 based on identifying the structured metadata. In some embodiments, the logic-based filtering can apply one or more rules or heuristics to restrict matching an electronic activity to a node profile or to one or more record objects of systems of record. In some embodiments, the logic-based filtering can restrict an electronic activity from being matched to a particular record object if the electronic activity was sent by a bot or is sent to a personal email address (such as a gmail address or a hotmail address, among others). [0521] In one example, the system **200**, an administrator of the data source provider or a user of the system **200** can establish a logic-based filter to restrict ingesting electronic activities that satisfy one or more logic-based rules. In this example, the administrator of the data source provider can establish a logic-based filter to restrict ingestion of electronic activities that relate to one or more predetermined federal, state, or local government agencies, for instance, the CIA, NSA or FBI. The administrator can create one or more logic based rules that restrict the system **200** from ingesting the electronic activity into the system **200** if the electronic activity can be matched to an account type field having a value of government, or if the electronic activity is sent from or received by a domain name that matches a contains a domain name that matches any of the predetermined federal, state, or local government agencies, or if the contents of the email include certain predetermined character strings (for instance, CIA, NSA or FBI) or if the system 200 otherwise determines that the electronic activity is in any possible way related to the CIA, NSA or FBI. D. Matching Filter

[0522] As described above, matching filters can be a type of restriction strategy or restriction policy that can be used to restrict electronic activities from being matched to record objects. These matching filters can be a part of, include or use one or more restriction strategies to prevent electronic activities from being linked to particular record objects or a particular system of record in general. In some embodiments, the matching filter can restrict matching electronic activities to record objects if the matching score between the electronic activity and the record object is less than or equal to a predetermined threshold (e.g., 70%, 60%, 50%, etc.). The matching score can indicate how closely the electronic activity matches the record object.

[0523] In some embodiments, users of a system of record can be configured to establish one or

more restriction strategies or matching filters to restrict matching electronic activities to certain record objects. The user can be a user associated with the record object. In some embodiments, the user can be an administrator of the system of record and can establish one or more matching filters that can be used to restrict matching. For instance, an administrator can establish a matching filter that restricts matching electronic activities including a credit card number to certain record objects. In some embodiments, the matching filters can include multiple rules, which when satisfied, restrict the electronic activity from being matched to a certain record object. In some embodiments, the matching filters can be used to restrict electronic activities from being matched to record objects even if the record object was selected by one or more matching strategies **1100** and **1104** as described above.

### E. NLP Based Tags and Filtering

[0524] The tagging engine **265** can determine to apply a filter tag, or the filtering engine **270** can determine to perform filtering, based on natural language processing. Natural language processing can refer to parsing metadata associated with the electronic activity to identify a meaning, concept, topic or other higher level concept associated with the metadata. Natural language processing techniques or algorithms can determine whether the electronic activity contains or is regarding a concept that is to be filtered out.

[0525] Natural language processing can be used to determine whether an electronic activity is a personal electronic activity or a business electronic activity. The filtering engine **270** can perform or execute the filter to redact out sensitive content, block or prevent further processing of personal electronic activities, and authorize or approve further processing of business related electronic activities. The tagging engine **265** when determining to apply a filter tag, or the filtering engine **270** when determining whether to perform filtering, can determine whether the electronic activity is personal based on a tag from the tagging engine or an email identifier used by the sender or recipient (e.g., a domain of the email address indicating personal use (or typically used for personal use) versus a domain indicating a business use or corresponding to an employer of the sender or recipient). The system **200** (e.g., via electronic activity parser **210**, tagging engine **265**, or filtering engine **270**) can further determine personal or business electronic activities based on keywords, terms, topics, or concepts of the electronic activity (e.g., vacation-related versus order or purchase related). In some cases, the system **200** can determine that an electronic activity was sent using a personal electronic mail address, but the content of the electronic activity was to further a business objective. Thus, the system **200** can initially determine to block the electronic activity, but then determine to authorize or approve the electronic activity, thereby overriding an initial filter layer. [0526] The system **200** can use a natural language processing engine that can be configured to parse text or keywords in different languages and determine synonyms or equivalent concepts across multiple languages. For example, the system **200**, using the natural language processing engine, can determine the equivalent of a keyword in English but in Japanese, and, therefore, be configured to perform tagging and filtering in multiple languages. The NLP engine can further expand a keyword into a number of synonyms or related keywords. Thus, even if the list of keywords used for filtering are not comprehensive, the system can still perform robust tagging and filtering.

#### F. Machine Learning Based Filtering

[0527] The system **200** can use machine learning to determine whether to filter an electronic activity. Machine learning can refer to a training set of data that includes metadata for electronic activities that are to be approved or authorized for further processing, as well as metadata for electronic activities that are to be filtered out based on both structured data and also on vectorized content of the communications. For example, if words in the electronic activities are converted into vectors with word2vec or similar technology, a machine learning model can be trained based on the content of the electronic activities alongside (not mutually exclusive) with natural language processing systems. The machine learning based filter can automatically establish, based on the

training set, features, weights or other criteria that indicate whether or how an electronic activity should be tagged. In some embodiments, the system **200** can then determine if the electronic activity should be approved or filtered out based on the one or more tags. Thus, by using a machine learning technique, the system **200** can automatically determine features, weights or criteria to detect in metadata of the electronic activity to determine whether to tag and then filter out or authorize the electronic activity for further processing.

G. Filtering Based on Bot Detection

[0528] The machine learning filtering technique can include bot detection. The system **200** (e.g., electronic activity parser **210**, tagging engine **265** or filtering engine **270**) can use or be configured with a bot detection machine learning algorithm to detect whether the electronic activity was sent by a bot-such as an automatic electronic activity generator. If the electronic activity was transmitted by a bot, the tagging engine **265** or the filtering engine **270** can tag the electronic activity with a tag indicating that the electronic activity was generated by a bot. In some embodiments, the filtering engine **270** can remove or prevent further processing or storage of the electronic activity (e.g., responsive to the tagging engine **265** tagging the electronic activity with a filter tag or a tag indicating that the electronic activity was transmitted by a bot). The system 200 can leverage or use the node graph to automate populating a blacklist of email addresses or other unique identifiers associated with bots through bot detection for syncing. For example, if an electronic activity is from a bot, that information may not be matched, linked or synced to a system of record. In some embodiments, the system **200** can detect bots based on the node graph since the node graph can indicate that a node of the sender bot is associated with edges of interactions between other nodes that indicate heavy one-way interactions across a large number of nodes. Thus, by measuring or detecting edge connection strength between the sender bot node and other nodes, or in some embodiments, comparing the number of inbound interactions (received electronic activities) and outbound interactions (transmitted electronic activities) between the sender bot node and other nodes, the system can classify non-human participants. For instance, non-human participants, such as no-reply@example.com generally transmit emails to a large number of other nodes but only receive a much smaller number of emails from other nodes, thereby allowing the system **200** to classify the email no-reply@example.com as a bot. The system **200** can use or apply similar techniques to detect and classify other types of non-human communication patterns and activity participants. For example, conference room email addresses only get added to meetings but never send or receive regular (non-meeting invite) emails and thus can be classified as Conference Room bots. Furthermore, the system **200** can identify a bot by parsing the email address or name associated with the email address. For instance, the bot detection algorithm can detect that an email address including "no-reply" is associated with a bot. In some embodiments, the bot detection algorithm can parse an electronic activity and determine, using NLP, that the email address associated with the sender is a bot based on language indicating not to send a reply to the electronic activity.

H. Using Feedback to Improve Filtering Techniques

[0529] The system **200** can tune or improve the machine learning techniques based on feedback. For example, upon applying the machine learning techniques to electronic activities, the system **200** can provide the filter decision to an administrator or other user of system **200**. The user can input whether the filter decision was correct or incorrect. If the filter decision was correct, the machine learning filter can maintain the weights or rules used to make the filter decision, or increase weights used to make the filter decision. If the filter decision was incorrect, the system **200** can modify the features, weights or criteria in an attempt to correct the filter decision. Similarly, the system **200** can use user input to modify features, weights, or criteria for other types of tagging or filtering, including, for example, natural language processing, rules, linking, or other logic flows that can be improved, enhanced or otherwise benefit from user input. In some embodiments, the machine can be configured to update the weights based on feedback without any intervention of a

user or administrator.

I. Global Pattern Based Process Filter

[0530] Since end users can send/receive sensitive information from various systems (e.g., Human Resource systems, payroll systems, benefits systems, applicant tracking systems, recruiting systems, medical bill payment systems, phone bill payment systems, utility bill payment systems, banking or financial institutions, ride sharing systems, etc.) that could include highly sensitive information like payroll, benefits, hiring/termination letters, feedback on hiring a candidate or a cell phone bill which includes call details, the filtering engine **270** can block or prevent such electronic activities from being further processed or ingested by one or more components of the node graph generation system **200** or electronic activity linking engine **250** by maintaining a Global Pattern Based Process Filter which is applicable for all nodes. This can include a pattern based process filter that can identify rules to detect automated emails based on a 'from' and other fields; trigger a job to generate an automated systems blacklist; obtain a global blacklist from a storage or database; and apply a global pattern based process to filter out automated system electronic activity. Patterns can also be based on or applied to different fields or aspects of electronic activities, such as other data in an electronic message, meeting or calendar entry, telephone transcript, etc. [0531] To generate such filters, the tagging engine **265** or filtering engine **270** can use bot detection techniques to identify bots automatically and blacklist the identified bots. Further, and in some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** can use natural language processing or machine learning techniques to automatically assess sensitivity of data from a new sender. For example, if a new source starts sending emails to multiple users, and greater than a threshold percentage (e.g., 70%, 80%, 90% or some other percentage) of the emails contain sensitive or confidential information (e.g., social security numbers), then the system **200** can automatically generate and apply a global filter to automatically blacklist this source.

## J. Hierarchy of Filtering Rules

[0532] The system **200** (e.g., via electronic activity parser **210**, tagging engine **265** or filtering engine **270**) can apply one or more layers of filters. The system **200** can apply the one or more layers of filters in parallel or serially. The system **200** can select one or more layers of filters to apply to an electronic activity based on a policy, rule or other logic. Layers of filters can refer to or include different types of filters or different configurations for filters. Layers of filters can refer to or include different type of filter controls or thresholds. Layers of filters can correspond to a hierarchy. For example, a first filter layer can include filtering policies, rules or logic established, based on or customized for a node in the node graph (e.g., a member node, an employee node, a user node, or an individual node). A second filter layer can include filtering policies, rules or logic established, based on or customized for an account. The account can refer to buyer account established by a seller for the buyer. A third filter layer can include filtering policies, rules or logic established, based on or customized for an organization. The organization can refer to or include a buyer organization, such as a company. A fourth filter layer can include filtering policies, rules or logic established by governmental agencies. A fifth filter layer (or master filter layer) can include filtering policies, rules or logic established, based on or customized for an administrator or provider of the node graph generation system **200** or electronic activity linking engine **250**. Different entities can establish various types of filters with various thresholds, controls, rules or policies. [0533] As described below, the system **200** can be configured to apply different types of filtering policies. Each of the filtering policies outlined below can correspond to one of the filter layers

## K. Entity-Defined Filtering Policies

described above.

[0534] The system **200** can select one or more filters to apply to an electronic activity or all electronic activity ingested. The system **200** can select the one or more filters based on the metadata associated with the electronic activity. The system **200** can select the one or more filters to apply based on filtering rules defined for an account, a user, a group of users within an

enterprise, an enterprise, or the system **200**.

i. Account-Specific Filtering Policies

[0535] The filtering engine **270** can maintain account-specific filtering policies that include one or more rules defined for one or more accounts. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply filters to emails either transmitted by or received by a specific account, such as an email account. In some embodiments, the account-specific filtering policy can include one or more rules to apply one or more content filters, logic-based filters or matching filters on electronic activities corresponding to the specific account. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can apply an account-specific filtering policy to an account, such as an email address corresponding to a bot. In one example, the account-specific filtering policy can include a rule to restrict matching any emails transmitted by the account to a record object of a system of record. The account-specific filtering policy can be defined by a user, an administrator of the enterprise, or an administrator of the system **200**.

### ii. User-Specific Filtering Policies

[0536] The filtering engine **270** can maintain user-specific filtering policies that include one or more rules defined for specific users. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply filters to emails either transmitted by or received by a specific user. In some embodiments, the user-specific filtering policy can include one or more rules to apply one or more content filters, logic-based filters or matching filters on electronic activities corresponding to the specific user. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can apply a user-specific filtering policy that includes restricting certain electronic activities sent by the user from being linked to one or more systems of record or to the node profile of the user. For instance, the user may define a rule to restrict any emails between the user and their lawyer from being linked to one or more systems of record or to the node profile of the user. In another example, the user may define a rule to restrict emails sent to the user's spouse at a given company to be linked to record objects of the company. The user-specific filtering policy of a user can be defined by a user, an administrator of the enterprise, or an administrator of the system **200**.

## iii. Group-Specific Filtering Policies

[0537] The filtering engine **270** can maintain group-specific filtering policies that include one or more rules defined for specific groups of users. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply filters to emails either transmitted by or received by users defined within a specific group of users. In some embodiments, the group-specific filtering policy can include one or more rules to apply one or more content filters, logic-based filters or matching filters on electronic activities corresponding to the specific group of users. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can apply a group-specific filtering policy that includes restricting certain electronic activities sent by a user of the group from being linked to one or more systems of record or to the node profile of the user. For instance, a user of the group or an administrator of the enterprise associated with the group or the system **200** may define a rule to redact text included in any emails between one or more users of the group before storing the electronic activity in the system **200** or any record object with which the electronic activity is matched. The group-specific filtering policy of a user can be defined by a user, an administrator of the enterprise, or an administrator of the system **200**. iv. Enterprise-Specific Filtering Policies

[0538] The system **200** can select the one or more filters to apply based on enterprise-specific filtering policies. For example, an administrator of a first enterprise or customer of the system **200** can indicate to remove electronic activity accessed via a mail server of the first customer having metadata that matches a regex for a credit card number, whereas an administrator of a second enterprise or customer of the system **200** can indicate to remove electronic activity accessed via a mail server of the second customer having metadata that matches a keyword or regex pattern for a credit card number. In this example, the system **200** applies enterprise-level filtering rules that may be defined by specific enterprises on how to process electronic activities received from their

electronic communications servers. The enterprise-specific filtering policy of a user can be defined by an administrator of the enterprise or the system **200**.

### v. System Defined Filtering Policies

[0539] The filtering engine **270** can maintain system-specific filtering policies that include one or more rules defined for the system **200**. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply filters to all electronic activities processed by the system **200**. For instance, the system-specific filtering policy can include one or more rules to apply one or more content filters, logic-based filters or matching filters on electronic activities accessible by or ingested by the system **200**. For instance, the filtering engine **270** can apply a system-specific filtering policy that includes tagging all electronic activities that include numbers matching a credit card regex pattern with a credit card tag indicating that the electronic activity includes a credit card. The system **200** can then be configured to determine if the filtering engine **270** is to take any additional actions on the electronic activity with the credit card tag, for example, redacting the credit card information, restricting matching the electronic activity to record objects, among others. The system-specific filtering policy can be defined by an administrator of the system **200**.

#### L. Sensitive Information Filter

[0540] The system **200** can determine to filter out an electronic activity responsive to detecting sensitive information or data in or associated with the electronic activity. For example, upon detecting or identifying a social security number or a financial account number in the electronic activity, or a tag indicating sensitive information, the system **200** can filter out the electronic activity. This is an example of one type of content filtering.

#### M. Source Based Filtering

[0541] The system **200** can select the filter to apply based on who is a sender or recipient of the electronic activity or a source of the electronic activity (for example, whose mail server the electronic activity came from). In some cases, the system **200** can select one or more filters to apply or apply all filters that are configured or compatible with the electronic activity. This is similar to the user-specific filtering policy described above. In one example, the data source provider of a system of record can establish a rule that causes the system **200** to restrict any emails coming from a craigslist.org domain from being matched to any record object of the system of record.

#### N. Previous Communication Activity Filter

[0542] The system **200** can filter out the electronic activity if there have been no previous electronic activities between the sender and recipient of the electronic activity. However, the system **200** can authorize or approve the electronic activity if electronic activities have occurred between a node in close proximity in the node graph to the sender node or recipient node. In some embodiments, two nodes may be in close proximity in the node graph if they have a connection strength above a predetermined threshold. In some embodiments, two nodes may be in close proximity in the node graph if they have either exchanged electronic activity with each other or with a predetermined number of connection nodes in common. Thus, the system **200** can determine to approve or filter out electronic activities based on the extent to which prior electronic activities between the sender and recipient have occurred (e.g., a metric associated with one or more prior activities satisfying a threshold).

### O. Geographic Location Based Filters

[0543] The system **200** can select filters based on a geographic location inferred for a node associated with the electronic activity. In some embodiments, a geographic location can be inferred for a node based on detecting a time zone based on timestamps of electronic activities transmitted by the sender node. In some embodiments, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply one or more filtering rules based on a geographic location of the sender or recipient of the electronic activity. In some embodiments, geographic location based filtering may be applied in conjunction with the previous communication activity filter or other types of filters. For instance, the system

**200** can be configured to restrict matching electronic activities sent to a first user from a second user if the number of communications between the two users is less than a certain threshold and the first user is in a particular geographic region, for instance, Massachusetts.

[0544] In some embodiments, the system **200** can authorize or approve further processing or storage of electronic activities if a user has consented to the further processing or storage of such electronic activities. The system **200** can authorize or approve further processing or storage of electronic activities if, based on the overall volume or nature of communications, as well as keywords or context, the metadata associated with the electronic activity indicates an opportunity, contract, or other relationship. The system **200** can determine, using the overall volume, context and nature of electronic activities, (which can be determined using keywords, machine learning or natural language processing), whether the electronic activity is indicative of a legitimate business interaction (e.g., amount of time spent on electronic activities, number of electronic activities, roles, or type of electronic activity such as in-person, video conference, web conference, telephone call). Responsive to this determination, the system **200** can authorize or approve the electronic activity for further processing, or conversely delete, remove or block further processing or storage of the electronic activity if the electronic activity is not indicative of a legitimate business interaction.

[0545] Using the filters, the system **200** can determine which electronic activities are to be processed by the system or added, stored or linked to nodes in a node graph or a system of record or one or more systems of record. As described herein, the filtering techniques can be used to prevent sensitive or private electronic activities from being linked or stored in a system of record. The filter can work by (1) completely filtering out the electronic activity, or (2) filtering out/blocking ingestions of the content of the electronic activity, while the electronic activity itself, without the content body, is synced and a graph edge between the sender and recipient of the electronic activity is created, or (3) by redacting out sensitive parts of the electronic activity. [0546] In some cases, the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply one or more filtering policies to one or more systems of record to scrub or remove data from the systems of record that satisfy the one or more filtering policies. For example, a system of record of an enterprise can be pruned using one or more enterprise-specific filters to remove electronic activities or other values or data from the system of record of the enterprise that satisfy the one or more enterprise-specific filters. For instance, an administrator of an enterprise can establish a new filtering policy to redact social security numbers from any electronic activities that include social security numbers and that are also matched to the systems of records of the enterprise. The filtering engine **270** can be configured to evaluate, responsive to the new filtering policy, each electronic activity previously matched to the systems of record of the enterprise to identify if the electronic activity includes a social security number and if so, redact the social security number from the electronic activity. [0547] It should be appreciated that the filtering engine **270** can be configured to apply one or more filtering policies defined by a user of the system, an administrator of the enterprise or an administrator of the system 200 to prune one or more systems of record, a shadow system of record, or a master system of record.

[0548] In some embodiments, the system **200** can identify electronic activities or record objects having personal email domains. The system **200** can maintain a static list of all personal email domains to determine which domains are personal and which domains are not personal. However, to prevent personal electronic activity from being matched or synced to the system of record, the filtering engine **270** can allow linking and syncing based on the domain name.

8. Systems and Methods for Threshold-Based Data Management

[0549] At least one aspect of the present disclosure is directed to systems and methods for threshold-based data management. The system **200**, such as the tagging engine **265**, filtering engine **270**, electronic activity parser **210** or other component or module, can analyze a data source provider's system of record to identify with which contacts or nodes, employees or users associated

with the data source provider have sufficient activity above a predetermined level or threshold. Responsive to the system **200** determining that the level of activity between a user associated with the data source provider and a contact or node is equal to or greater than (i.e. satisfies) a predetermined threshold, the system **200** can authorize, allow, or approve for storage an electronic activity between the user associated with the data source provider and the contact or node. For example, if the user associated with the data source provider has communicated with the contact or node before or had a certain number of communications or certain number of communications of a certain type or certain context, then the system **200** can determine that level of interaction satisfies a threshold and proceed to store the electronic activity or metadata or other information associated with the electronic activity or the node or contact. In this way, cold emails, unsolicited emails or other types of electronic activities that do not warrant being linked to the system of record of the data source provider can be restricted from being linked to the system of record of the data source provider.

[0550] The system **200** can detect, using keywords, machine learning or natural language processing, whether the electronic activity is indicative of a legitimate business interaction based on the volume, nature, content or context of the electronic activity or based on the number of electronic activities transmitted between the user associated with the data source provider and the contact. For example, the system **200** can detect a legitimate business interaction based on the amount of time the contact or user associated with the data source provider spent on electronic activities. In some embodiments, the system **200** can detect a legitimate business interaction based on a number of electronic activities, roles, direction of the electronic activity such as inbound or outbound, or type of electronic activity such as in-person, video conference, web conference, or telephone call. Responsive to determining whether the electronic activity is indicative of a legitimate business interest, the system **200** can authorize or approve the electronic activity for further processing, or otherwise delete, remove or block further processing or storage of the electronic activity.

[0551] In some embodiments, the system **200** can leverage a node graph to determine the level of activity between an employee associated with the system of record and a contact. The system 200 can determine the level of activity based on the number of electronic activities transmitted by the employee to the contact, the number of electronic activities transmitted by the contact to the employee, or the type of electronic activities being transmitted, information associated with the electronic activities (e.g., calendar invite for a teleconference or in-person meeting, blast email, etc.). In some embodiments, the system **200** can authorize or approve the electronic activity if electronic activities have occurred between a node in close proximity in the node graph to the sender node or recipient node. In some embodiments, two nodes may be in close proximity in the node graph if they have a connection strength above a predetermined threshold. In some embodiments, two nodes may be in close proximity in the node graph if they have either exchanged electronic activities with each other or with a predetermined number of common nodes. Thus, the system 200 can determine to approve or filter out electronic activities based on whether prior electronic activities between the sender and recipient have occurred, or the extent to which prior electronic activities between the sender and recipient have occurred (e.g., a metric associated with one or more prior activities satisfying a threshold).

[0552] In some embodiments, the system **200** can scan or crawl the content of electronic activities received from a contact or node to detect proof of consent or other indication of interest (e.g., detecting a type of intent) using natural language processing. If the system **200** determines, based on the content of the electronic activities, that the contact gives permission to store data associated with the contact, then the system **200** can proceed to store the data associated with the contact. [0553] As described above, the system **200** can be configured to determine if electronic activities are personal or business, and through such electronic activities between two nodes, classifying the relationship between the two nodes as either personal or business (and then tagging such

relationship as personal/business and then other parts of system **200** can use such tags to perform one or more functions or actions, including filtering, matching, among others).

[0554] In some embodiments, certain companies may establish one or more rules to limit the initiation of communications from an employee of the company to other nodes or people outside of the company. The system **200** can be configured to assist such companies by identifying contacts of the employees that the employee may be allowed to contact based on the employee's previous electronic activities with other nodes or people or based on certain types of introductions. [0555] To do so, the system can be configured to detect business or professional introductions from electronic activities. In some embodiments, the system can be configured to determine if an electronic activity, using NLP or other techniques, whether an electronic activity can be tagged with an "introductory" tag. The tagging engine **265** can determine if an electronic activity or a sequence of electronic activities should be tagged with an introductory tag responsive to determining that the context of the electronic activity is one that relates to an introduction. The system **200** can then determine the participants of the electronic activity and create a tag or an indication in their respective node profiles or elsewhere in the system **200** that the participants have been introduced and qualify as contacts. Upon qualifying the participant as a contact of the other participant, if the other participant is an employee of a company that employs rules limiting the types of people the employee can contact, the system **200** can identify the participant as a person the employee can contact. In some embodiments in which the system **200** can update one or more systems of the company, the system can provide an indication to the system that the employee is authorized to contact that person now that the person is a contact of the employee.

9. Systems and Methods for Maintaining an Electronic Activity Derived Member Node Network [0556] At least one aspect of the present disclosure is directed to systems and methods for maintaining an electronic activity derived member node network. For example, a member node profile for a member node in a node graph can include information such as first name, last name, company name, phone number, email address, and job title, among others. However, it may be challenging to accurately and efficiently populate fields in a member node profile due to large number of member nodes who may change companies, get promotions, change names (for instance via marriage, or change locations, among others. Furthermore, permitting self-reporting on information in member node profiles by member nodes can result in erroneous data values, improper data values, or otherwise undesired data values. Having erroneous data values in a member node profile that are unsubstantiated by data points serving as evidence to a value of a node profile can cause downstream components or functions that perform processing using the member node profiles to malfunction or generate faulty outputs.

[0557] Thus, systems and methods of the present disclosure can generate an electronic activity derived member node network that includes member node profiles for member nodes that are generated or updated based on electronic activity processed by the system. By generating the member node profiles for the member nodes using electronic activities or systems of records and a statistical analysis, the system **200** can update member node profiles using electronic activities, record objects of systems of record and other data points as described above. The system **200** can generate or update member node profiles using data included in systems of record of data source providers, and validate values included in the member node profile using electronic activities and record objects and a statistical analysis.

[0558] Furthermore, the node graph generation system **200** can further establish links, connections or relationships between member node profiles based on electronic activities exchanged between them or other electronic activities processed by the node graph generation system **200**. These established links, connections or relationships and the corresponding node profiles form the node graph generated by the node graph generation system **200**.

[0559] By generating the member node profiles and the corresponding node graph by processing electronic activities traversing through or being processed by the node graph generation system **200** 

and accessing information included in one or more systems of record, the node graph generation system **200** can generate the member node profiles using a statistics-driven analytics process based on the electronic activities, thereby improving upon existing node graphs that are generated based on self-reported information by users. Such existing node graphs are not dynamically updated automatically based on electronic activities and may include information that is inaccurate or not vetted as the information is self-reported with no or little verification.

[0560] Furthermore, as the node graph generated by the system **200** is generated in part using electronic activities that are continually being generated and transmitted, the node graph can remain current and up to date without requiring any self-reporting on the part of the nodes associated with the node profiles. Furthermore, given that the node graph is updated as more electronic activities are generated, the system can, using certain parameters, such as dates, be able to determine a status of the node graph at any particular point in time. This is because the node graph is generated, in part, based on electronic activities that are time-stamped and as such, electronic activities that occur before the particular point in time can be used to determine the status of the node graph while electronic activities occurring after the particular point in time can be discarded from the analysis relating to determining the status of the node graph (and individual node profiles) at the particular point in time.

[0561] To generate the member node profiles, the node graph generation system **200**, or components thereof such as the node profile manager **220**, can receive electronic activities including any information related to the electronic activities. The node graph generation system **200** can maintain an array of a time series data set of data points or sources for every value of every field, parameter, or attribute of every node. As also described above with respect to the node profile manager **220**, the node graph generation system **200** can associate node profiles of the node graph to electronic activities, or update node profiles that form the node graph based on updates detected by the system **200** responsive to parsing the electronic activities. The node graph generation system **200** can automatically detect potential changes to fields of node profiles of the node graph based on patterns in the electronic activities, and then determine to trigger an update to the node graph. The node graph generation system **200** can sync with one or more systems of records to determine additional information that can be used to update one or more member node profiles. As described herein, updating a node profile does not necessarily mean changing a value of a node profile. In some embodiments, updating the node profile can include adding additional data points to a value data structure to increase or adjust a confidence score of a value corresponding to the value data structure. In some embodiments, the data points can be electronic activities. In some embodiments, the data points can be values determined from record objects of one or more master systems of record. In some embodiments, the system **200** can receive information from record objects of one or more systems of record and use the information to create new node profiles or update existing node profiles by adding data points to support values of fields of such node profiles. [0562] Each node profile can include values that are based on one or more data points. The system

is configured to determine, for a particular time, a state of any node profile. The state of the node profile at any given time can be a representation of the node profile using electronic activities and systems of record data that occurred prior to the given time. For instance, the system is configured to output a job title of a given node at a particular date, for example, Dec. 2, 2017. The system can do so by discarding any electronic activity generated after Dec. 2, 2017 and any data from a system of record that was modified after Dec. 2, 2017.

[0563] Similarly, the system can be configured to detect changes to a node profile and generate a timeline of changes to values of fields of the node profile. For instance, the system can be configured to detect that a node has changed jobs or gets a new title, among others, based on monitoring electronic activities accessible to the system. For instance, the system can determine that a node has changed jobs if the system detects bounce back activity from the email address of the node and also detects that a person with the same name, phone number in the email signature

(or other values) as the node is sending emails from a new email address, perhaps, around the same time that the system detects bounce back email activity from the email address of the node. Similarly, the system can detect a change in the job title based on a change in a signature of the node. The system can then identify a date that the signature was first changed to reflect the new title and mark that date as a date of the title change. In this way, the system can detect when users or nodes get promotions, demotions, join new divisions, leave jobs, start new jobs, among others. [0564] In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to provide companies access to data collected, generated and managed by the system **200**. The data managed by the system **200** can be used to provide insights to the companies, improve the accuracy of data maintained in one or more systems of record of the companies, among others. In some embodiments, the companies that receive access to the data managed by the system **200** can provide access to data maintained by one or more systems of record of the company as well as electronic communications servers (for example, email servers, messaging servers, among others) of the company, phone servers of the company, as well as other data sources maintained or under the control of the company. [0565] Upon a company providing access to the servers storing data of the company to the system **200**, the system **200** can be configured to establish one or more communication interfaces with the one or more servers storing the company's data. The servers storing the company's data can include the email servers, messaging servers, the systems of record servers, among others. Upon establishing communication interfaces with these servers, the system **200** can be configured to receive data from each of the servers storing the company's data. The system **200** can ingest the data and process it as described with respect to FIG. **3** and others.

[0566] In some embodiments, the system **200** can receive a large number of electronic activities from the electronic communication servers storing electronic activities of the company. These electronic activities can include all electronic activities accessible by the electronic communication servers. Some of the electronic activities received can be emails that were sent many years ago. However, such electronic activities can still be processed by the system **200** even though electronic activities from other electronic communication servers that were generated more recently have previously been processed by the system **200**.

[0567] Similarly, the system **200** can receive data from one or more systems of record of the company. The systems of record can include record objects that include values of fields. The system **200** can be configured to ingest the data from these record objects of the systems of record and process the data included in the record objects.

[0568] The system **200** can be configured to process these electronic activities and record objects by updating one or more node profiles maintained by the system **200** or generating new node profiles responsive to determining that certain electronic activity or record objects do not match any existing node profile with a certain minimum level of confidence. The system can be configured to determine, for each electronic activity ingested by the system 200, whether the electronic activity can be used as evidence to support any value of a field of any existing node profile maintained by the system **200**. The system can do so by attempting to match the electronic activity to node profiles of the system **200**. Responsive to identifying a node profile with which to match the electronic activity, the system can add the electronic activity as a data point to a value of the field of the node profile that was used to match the node profile with the electronic activity. Similarly, the system can match record objects to node profiles by matching values of node profiles to values of existing node profiles. Once a node profile is matched with an electronic activity or record object, the system can determine if there are any values included in the electronic activity or record object that does not previously exist in the node profile. If so, the system can add a value to a corresponding field of the node profile and add the electronic activity or record object as a data point supporting the added value.

[0569] It should be appreciated that the system **200** can be configured to ingest and process each and every electronic activity maintained by the electronic communication servers under the control

or direction of the company as well as each and every record object maintained in one or more systems of record of the company. As such, a large amount of electronic activity and record objects are processed and can be used to update existing node profiles maintained by the system 200 or generate new node profiles for the system **200**. As additional companies share access to their data with the system **200** and the system **200** processes the data, the node profiles maintained by the system 200 will be further enriched and the data included in the node profiles will be more accurate. Moreover, data that is less accurate will have lower confidence scores while data that is more accurate will have higher confidence scores as there will be more data points that will be contributing towards the confidence score of the correct values. In this way, the node profiles will become more accurate. As a result, as more companies are on boarded and share access to their data with the system **200**, the node graph generated from the node profiles will also become more accurate further increasing the accuracy of the system and each of the node profiles and corresponding node graph. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can detect a change in an electronic mail address status responsive to an electronic message bouncing back due to the message being undeliverable or otherwise not deliverable to the sent address, or having an automated "no longer with company" auto-responder. The node graph generation system 200 can further detect information from the electronic activities or the one or more systems of record with which the node graph generation system **200** (or electronic activity linking engine **250**) interacts in order to obtain, infer or determine additional information that can be added to the member node profile. By parsing data from a bounce back electronic activity or auto-responder generated electronic activity, the system **200** can determine various pieces of information. For example, by applying natural language processing to auto-responder generated electronic activities, the system can detect different events corresponding to a node profile associated with the email address for which the auto-responder generated electronic activity was generated. In one example, the autoresponder generated electronic activity can indicate that the person is on a vacation. Such autoresponder generated electronic activities can either mention the word "vacation" or some other synonym or words that may suggest a vacation. The electronic activity can also identify a return date indicating a date when the person will return to the office. The electronic activity can also identify another person (along with an email address, title and phone number, if present) to contact while the person is on vacation. The system can be configured to update the node profile of the person to indicate that the person is out of the office until the return date and further update the node profiles of the person and the other person to indicate a connection or relationship between them. The system can learn from the autoresponder generated electronic activity to determine who else to talk to at the company on a specific matter while the person is on vacation. Moreover, the system can monitor different autoresponder generated electronic activities generated responsive to the same email address to determine other connections of the person if different autoresponder generated electronic activities include different people. Furthermore, the system can use the information from the autoresponder generated electronic activity, for example, the other persons, to determine an organizational structure within the company. For instance, if multiple autoresponder generated electronic activities generated responsive to multiple email addresses of different people identify the same person to contact in their absence, the system may determine that each of the different people report to the same person or are assisted by the same person. [0570] In another example, the electronic activity can be a bounce back electronic activity indicating that the email address is no longer active or the person is no longer with company. Such an electronic activity can be referred to as a soft bounce. In such an example, the system can be configured to determine that the person associated with the email address is no longer at the company by parsing the contents of the electronic activity. In another related example, the electronic activity can be a bounce back electronic activity indicating that the email was not deliverable. In such examples, the system can be determined to apply heuristics to determine a cause for the bounce back by identifying the email that triggered the bounce back activity. If there

is no other reason, such as the email size being too big, or if multiple recipients, connected to the system **200** have received similar non-deliverable reports over a period of time, the system can make an assumption that the person has left the company. The system may wait for multiple bounce back electronic activities generated responsive to the email address to confirm that the person has left the company. Upon the system confirming that the person has left the company via natural language processing of a soft-bounce electronic activity or multiple bounce back electronic activities responsive to the same email address, the system can update the node profile to indicate that the user is no longer at the company.

[0571] The system can further be configured to identify if any electronic activities generated after the date that the bounce back electronic activity was generated that mention the person's name, city and state (for example, in a signature of the email) or other values of the node profile can be matched to the node profile. The system can eventually determine an electronic activity that matches various values of the node profile and can parse the electronic activity to identify a new email address of the node profile. The system can use the bounce back activity as well as the subsequent electronic activity that matched the node profile to identify various events associated with the person. For example, the system can determine that the person left his previous job before the time of the bounce back activity and started a new job on or before the date of the subsequent electronic activity. In some embodiments, multiple electronic activities need to be processed to confirm if a person has left a company or started at a new company. Furthermore, the system can be configured to update the node profile of the person by adding additional electronic activities including the new email of the person once the system determines that the new email address belongs to the node profile of the person. This information can be used to generate or maintain a job timeline (e.g., start date and end date) of the person and can be used to detect when a user changes jobs for instance, or other information associated with the member node. [0572] In some embodiments, auto-responder electronic activities generated responsive to receiving an email can include additional information that can be parsed to better understand the role of the person to whom the electronic activity was sent including identifying people to contact in the person's absence, when the person will become available if at all, and whether the person is still at the company or not.

[0573] For instance, an auto-responder generated electronic activity indicating that a user is on maternity or paternity leave may not include an expected date of return or may identify one or more other people to contact during the person's leave. The system may be configured to detect a maternity or paternity leave related autoresponder generated electronic activity. The system can detect the first time the auto-responder generated electronic activity was generated by analyzing multiple electronic activities matched to the node profile of the person. The system can then determine, based on typical maternity or paternity leaves for the company (by monitoring other people's email activity in similar cases) a likely return date for the person and can update the node profile of the person to reflect that they are on maternity or paternity leave. In other embodiments, the system **200** can determine the return date by parsing the contents of the auto-responder generated electronic activity.

[0574] The system **200** as described herein can be configured to parse bounce back and autoresponder generated electronic activities to update node profiles or determine additional information about node profiles. In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to establish connections with one or more third-party data sources, for instance, marketing automation or mass mailing systems, to receive additional data from such data sources. In some embodiments, the system **200** can access the data for companies that also provided access to their electronic communication servers and systems of record. The system can then harvest the data related to bounce back activity based on electronic activities sent via or generated by the third-party data sources, such as marketing automation systems, and use the data related to bounce back activity to increase the number of bounce back electronic activities the system **200** ingests or can access,

thereby further increasing volume of data and further enriching member and group node profiles and the node graph.

[0575] In addition to the examples provided herein, the system can be configured to provide job timeline verification, based on electronic activities. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via **200** electronic activity parser **210**) can identify a sender and recipient of an electronic activity. As described above, the system **200** is configured to attempt to match the electronic activity to a node profile corresponding to the sender and one or more node profiles corresponding to the respective recipients. In some embodiments, the system is unable to match the electronic activity to a node profile of a sender or a recipient if the system has not previously generated a node profile for the sender or recipient. When the node graph generation system **200** detects a new recipient or sender of the electronic activity, based on an identifier such as an email address, the node graph generation system **200** can create a new member node profile for the new recipient or sender. The node graph generation system **200** can, in some cases, determine, using a de-duplication and identity resolution process, that the new member node profile matches or is the same as a previously generated node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can identify, using one or more parsing or processing techniques, a first name and a last name associated with electronic activity. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can parse an electronic signature in the body of the electronic activity or email to identify a first name, last name, job title, phone number, or other contact or identifying information. The node graph generation system **200** can identify fields that have values that do not change when a person moves from one job to another, such as their first name, last name, personal phone number, or other usernames or identifiers not tied to the job. By identifying information that does not change with the job and information that likely changes with the job (e.g., company email address, work phone number or job title), the node graph generation system 200 can map, match, or link the newly created member node profile with a previously generated or created node profile. The previously generated node profile may have included a different email address, such as an email address with a different domain that may correspond to a previous employer, while for example, the mobile phone number stayed the same. The system can determine, thereafter, that the new electronic activity associated with the new email address corresponds to the same member node, but that the member node has switched or changed jobs. Accordingly, the system can set or establish an approximate start date in the job timeline responsive to detecting the new email address.

[0576] Further, the node graph generation system **200** can establish, set or update the previous job timeline with an end date. The node graph generation system **200** can establish, set or update the previous job timeline with the end date responsive to detecting bounce-back emails to the previous email address or the last communication with the member node profile that went through using their old email address. The node graph generation system **200** can further corroborate the end date based on detecting the start date for the new job based on the new email address having the new domain different from the previous domain.

[0577] The node graph generation system **200** can update additional information about the member node profile, such as a new company name, a new company address, a new company phone number, a new email address, a new job title, among others. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via node profile manager **220**) can detect various pieces of information with which to update the node profile by parsing an electronic signature embedded or included in an electronic activity such as an email sent from the new email address. The node graph generation system **200** can use a statistics-driven analysis technique to determine the new company name, the new company address, the new company phone number, the new job title, among others. For example, if the sender of the electronic activity sends 10 electronic messages to 10 different recipients within a predetermined time interval and using the same electronic signature containing the same company name, company address, company phone number, and job title, then the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to update the node profile of the member node to reflect new values

for company name, company address, company phone number, and job title. Furthermore, the confidence score of each of these values can be determined and increased as additional emails are sent and received via the new company email address. In some cases, the confidence score in the job title can be further determined based on the recipients of the electronic activities. The system can be configured to maintain, for a given job title of a person, a mapping of volume or distribution of emails to people having certain titles. For instance, a CEO is more likely to send emails to other CEOs or C level executives than a person having a title of associate. Similarly, a person with a sales related title is likely to send more outbound emails than a person with a title related to Human Resources. As such, the system can be configured to determine a confidence score of the job title based on the contribution scores of data points supporting the value but also based on whether the person's emailing activity matches that of other people with similar titles. Stated in another way, in this example, the node graph generation system **200** can perform job title verification based on evaluating node profiles linked to electronic activities that identify the person's new email address. [0578] The node graph generation system **200** can use the member node profile to maintain an accurate organization chart for a given company. For example, a field in a member node profile can include a "Reports to" field. The node graph generation system **200** can maintain, for each value of the "Reports to" field of a node profile, an array of data points identifying sources that include record objects having the "Reports to" field for the node profile to determine the confidence score of the value. In some embodiments, based on the values of the reports to field of multiple node profiles belonging to the same group node or company, the node graph generation system can maintain an organization chart for the company. In some embodiments, job titles of various node profiles can further be used to determine the organization chart. Furthermore, the organization chart can further be determined based on parsing electronic activities, including but not limited to out of office and other autogenerated electronic activities that may include information identifying links between certain node profiles. Moreover, using effort estimation and analyzing the content of electronic activities exchanged between two nodes, the system can further determine a relationship between the two nodes including predicting a boss-subordinate relationship between nodes. [0579] In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can detect job title changes and use the detected change to reevaluate or update an organization chart. The node graph generation system 200 can utilize master data model to match member nodes in a member node graph to a group node in a group node graph (e.g., a company graph). The node graph generation system **200** can use the member node graph to build, generate or update a group node graph that can include a hierarchy or organizational structure comprised of member nodes from the member node graph. As the node graph generation system **200** detects changes or updates to the member node profile of a member node based on parsing electronic activities and email signatures therein, and determines that a confidence score of a value of a field in the member node profile associated with the detected change warrants updating the member node profile, the node graph generation system **200** can update the value in the corresponding field in the member node profile, as well as update a hierarchical organization or structure in the corresponding group node graph or network. [0580] The node graph generation system **200** can present one or more member node profiles for display. The node graph generation system **200** can present the member node profiles for display via a webpage, website, browser, application, or via other presentation medium. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can present a member node profile for display via a mobile application executing on a client computing device having a display device. In some cases, the node graph generation system **200** can present the member node profile via audio output, such as via a voice interface.

[0581] The node graph generation system **200** can be configured to hide or otherwise prevent or block from display one or more fields in the member node profile. The member node, such as the owner of the member node profile, can establish the configuration as to which fields, or values thereof, to hide from display. The node graph generation system **200** can provide access control

options via a computing device to a member node or user thereof. The node graph generation system **200** can generate a graphical user interface or other type of user interface to present the access control options, as well as receive selections or modifications to such access control options. Using the access control interface generated and provided by the node graph generation system **200**, the user can control which fields are presented for display via the web page, for example. In some cases, the user can control which accounts can access the member node profile of the user, or, on a more granular level, control which account can access which fields or values in the member node profile.

[0582] In some cases, the node graph generation system **200** can allow a third-party device to request access or request presentation of a value of a particular field in a member node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can receive the request and forward the request to the member node via an electronic activity. The member node can accept or reject the request. In the event the member node accepts the request for access to the value in the field, the node graph generation system **200** can, automatically and responsive to accepting the request, update the access configuration profile for the member node profile. Thus, the node graph generation system can hide or unhide one or more fields (or values) from one or more third-parties or computing devices based on the preferences of the owner of the member node profile.

10. Systems and Methods for Monitoring Performance of Node Profiles

[0583] As described herein, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to ingest and process large amounts of electronic activity that are provided by one or more electronic communications servers storing electronic activities belonging to or associated with one or more enterprises or companies. The system **200** can only ingest and process those electronic activities to which the enterprises or companies provide access. The system **200** can also be configured to ingest and process data from systems of record maintained by one or more servers. Similar to electronic activities, the system **200** can only ingest and process those systems of record to which the enterprises or companies provide access. As described herein, the system **200** can process electronic activities and record objects of systems of record to update node profiles of nodes, link or match electronic activities to record objects of the one or more systems of record accessible to the system **200**, determine or predict a stage of a business process, among others.

[0584] The node graph generation system **200** can further be configured to process electronic

activities and record objects of one or more systems of record of a company to determine insights for the company. For instance, the node graph generation system **200** can provide insights to Company A by processing electronic activities and record objects that Company A has made accessible to the node graph generation system **200**. The insights can include metrics at a company level, a department level, a group level, a user level, among others. The insights can identify patterns, behaviors, trends, metrics including performance related metrics at a company level, a department level, a group level, a user level, among others. Additional details relating to the insights are described herein.

[0585] The node graph generation system **200** can include a performance module **280** that can be configured to generate performance profiles for a company. In some embodiments, the performance profile can be a performance profile of an employee of the company. In some embodiments, the performance profile can be a performance profile of a department of the company, a group within a department, or individual employees of the company. The performance module **280** can generate the performance profiles using data accessible by the node graph generation system **200**. In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can generate the performance profiles using all data including electronic activities and systems of record accessible by the node graph generation system **200** from multiple companies. In some other embodiments, the performance module **280** can generate the performance profiles for a company only using data provided by the company to the node graph generation system **200**. In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can be configured to generate certain types of performance profiles for employees, groups, departments of

a company that has provided access to the system **200** while generating other types of reports or insights for other node profiles of the system **200** that are not employees of the company. [0586] The performance module **280** can be configured to predict employee success at a company or in a job role. The performance module **280** can, based on an analysis of electronic activities as well as information stored in one or more systems of record, predict the success of the member node. For example, the performance module **280** can generate a performance profile for the member node. The performance profile can be a statistics driven performance profile. The performance profile can be based on electronic activities and information stored in one or more systems of record. For example, the performance profile can be based on a number or amount of electronic activities associated with the member node during a time interval, a type of the electronic activities, the amount of time the member node spends generating or preparing the electronic activities (e.g., amount of time spent writing an email), the recipients of the email, natural language processing of the email, etc.

[0587] For example, the node graph generation system **200** (via performance module **280**), using job history and performance history reconstructed from an internal member node graph, can generate a performance score, purchasing preference, decision making power, interests or other information for the member node. By syncing information associated with the systems of record and electronic activities with the member node graph, the node graph generation system **200** can generate or extrapolate types of opportunities or features on the public profile.

[0588] For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that a member node performs medical device sales, the member node's territory is the northeast region, the member node prefers or is more successful when doing in-person sales, the member node prefers or more successful when doing CEO level sales, or an average deal size or amount. To do so, the node graph generation system **200** can parse or featurize information corresponding to tasks or activities (e.g., deals) associated with the member node (e.g., a salesperson or other knowledge worker) that is derived from one or more record objects stored in the one or more systems of record. By parsing or generating features from the record objects, the node graph generation system **200** can update a member node profile to reflect various performance information derived from record objects in one or more systems of record as well from electronic activities. The node graph generation system **200** can generate various outputs derived from record objects in one or more systems of record and electronic activities. Outputs can include a performance score or performance grade indicating how well a member node has performed or may perform in general, at a type of task, in a specific job or under certain circumstances of a job or job environment, as determined by the communications metadata, extracted from the node graph.

[0589] For example, the node graph generation system **200** can generate an output corresponding to a performance score or performance grade of a user based on an average seniority of attendees to a meeting initiated, established, conducted or led by the user. The node graph generation system **200** can determine the average seniority of attendees to the meeting established by the user by parsing electronic activities associated with the meeting (e.g., calendar invite or emails) to identify the attendees, and further determining the seniority of the attendees based on a member node profile for the attendees or metadata associated with the electronic activities. The node graph generation system **200** can generate an absolute performance score based on the determined seniority of the attendees. In some cases, the node graph generation system **200** can compare the average seniority of attendees to a meeting established by a first user with the average seniority of attendees to meetings established by other users. The system **200** can be configured to determine or measure the number of communications a user is involved in, the types of communications the user is having (by using NLP and other semantic analysis techniques to determine context of communications), and the roles of the people the user communicates with. These metrics or other metrics can be representative of future success. For instance, the system has been configured to determine that employees who drive meetings with a higher average seniority of attendees are more likely to be

successful than employees who drive meetings with a lower average seniority of attendees. As such, the use of the tagging engine **265** and the node profiles to assign tags to meetings indicating roles of attendees, seniority of attendees (such as CxO) can be used by the system to predict or measure employee performance and success.

[0590] In some embodiments, the system can be configured to track employee activity behavior. The system can utilize supervised or unsupervised machine learning to determine behaviors that result in future success for the employee. For instance, the system **200** can determine that the number of communications a user is involved in, the types of communications the user is having (by using NLP and other semantic analysis techniques to determine context of communications), and the roles of the people the user communicates with are all behaviors, traits, features, metrics or other signals that can be used to predict future success based on training the system **200** on past or other current employees identified as being successful and other employees identified as unsuccessful.

[0591] In some embodiments, the system **200** can generate or maintain, for one or more roles of a company, a standardized performance profile generated based on aggregating performance profiles of a plurality of performance profiles of users in the role previously identified as being successful in the role. The system **200** can compare the user's performance profile generated based on the user's activity behavior to the standardized performance profile to predict a likelihood of success of the user and can further be configured to provide feedback to the user on how to improve their performance based on the comparison.

[0592] The system **200** can be configured to generate a performance profile of a user based on the user's role as different roles may perform vastly different functions. Two employees in different roles may both be very successful in their roles but their electronic activity footprints may appear very different. For instance, a successful customer success manager's electronic activity footprint or behavior may have a regular cadence of meetings (in-person or telephonic) with each of their customers. Different customers may require different cadence of meetings but a successful customer success manager may maintain the cadence for each of their customers. For the system to determine how well an employee is performing, the system can be configured to monitor, for each customer, whether the employee is having regular, recurring meetings with the customer that matches the cadence of meetings the employee is supposed to have with the customer. The system can determine this based on analyzing the employee's electronic activities to see if meeting requests are sent within particular time periods and meetings actually occur. As described herein with respect to tagging, the system **200** can confirm whether a meeting happened and this information can be used to determine if the employee is having regular meetings. As such, the node graph generation system **200** can determine a performance of a user based on a cadence of meetings with each of the user's customers. The regularity of the cadence can be based on the number of meetings with customers within a time interval, such as a week, two weeks, month, two months, etc. [0593] Furthermore, the system **200** can be trained or configured to use the cadence of meetings for the user's customers to determine a user's level of engagement with the customers. The user's level of engagement can be used as a signal to quantify a user's performance as an employee as a low level of engagement can predict that the customer may disengage with the company or may look elsewhere to service their needs. The system 200 can maintain a user engagement model for each customer or customer type that is based on one or more parameters or metrics. The user engagement model can be used as a benchmark. The system **200** can then compare the user's level of engagement with the user engagement model to determine if the user's level of engagement is below, the same or above the benchmark. If the user's level of engagement is below the benchmark, the system can notify the user or the company and provide tips to increase the level of engagement to improve customer satisfaction and/or reduce the likelihood that the customer may leave. [0594] In some embodiments, a user's performance can be measured on his electronic activity behavior. For instance, for employees in certain roles, the employee's performance can be based on

how quickly the employee responds to emails, how much time the employee spends preparing responses to the emails, as well as various other metrics, parameters or attributes that can be determined from the emails the employee sends. In some embodiments, an employee's response time to emails from a customer can be used as a metric to determine the employee's level of engagement with the customer. The employee's response time to emails from the customer can be compared to the employee's response time to other customers to determine the employee's level of engagement with that customer. Furthermore, the quality of the employee's responses may also provide an indication of the employee's level of engagement. For instance, the system **200** can be configured to determine an amount of time the employee spent drafting the email based on a time estimation model that analyzes the number of words, the choice of words, the time difference between when the email to which the employee is responding to was received and the time the response was sent, among others. In some embodiments, the time estimation model can take into account the titles of the participants of the email.

[0595] In another example, the node graph generation system 200 can determine the performance score for a user based on the amount of time it takes to receive a response to a ping or electronic activity transmitted by the user. For example, if the user is a recruiter, the recruiter's performance can be based on how quickly he gets job candidates to respond to their email as well as how many job candidates respond to their email, and how many emails (or follow up emails) on average it takes a job candidate to respond to the recruiter. The system 200 can be configured to determine that recruiters that have lower response times (time it takes the candidate to reply to the recruiter) have a higher performance score than recruiters with higher response times. Furthermore, the system 200 can be configured to determine that recruiters with higher response rates (number of candidates who actually respond) and lower average number of emails it takes to receive an initial response to the email perform better than recruiters with lower response rates and higher average number of emails to receive initial responses. It should be appreciated that the system 200 can be configured to generate such statistics for every user type or node having a certain title and comparing the statistics of such users or nodes to generate a benchmark for various parameters that may be factors that contribute to a performance of a user or node.

[0596] In yet another illustrative example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine, from the member node graph profile and one or more record objects in one or more systems of record, that a member node performs deals in the northeast region and that the deals are more likely to close when there a certain number of in-person meetings associated with that deal. The node graph generation system **200**, utilizing this performance information, can generate an extrapolation curve to determine how well the member node might perform in the future, or forecast performance of the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can generate the performance forecast based on historical electronic activities, one or more record objects, and a member node profile. Similarly, the node graph generation system **200** can determine based on a low performance score that the employee is likely to fail or leave the company.

[0597] In some cases, the node graph generation system **200** can match a member node with a candidate deal or potential deal or ongoing deal. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can match a representative to the right potential or ongoing deal. The node graph generation system **200** can match the representative to the deal based on a social proximity territory assignment, which can be based on a strength of overall relationships of the representative with a certain type of person or member node (e.g., buyers, such as someone who as the authority to close a deal, at target accounts). The node graph generation system **200** can determine that the more people the representative has a relationship with at a target account, the more likely the representative is to succeed with the target account. As such, the node graph generation system **200** can match the representative with target accounts with which the representative has the most relationships as well as the most of strong relationships with people at the target account that are associated with closing a deal or other successful outcome.

[0598] The node graph generation system **200** can match representatives to a target account or deal based on a selling style of the representative. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that a target account or buyer prefers a certain type of selling style, such as primarily face-to-face vs over the phone, or meeting certain people at the target account such as the CEO. The node graph generation system **200** can then identify representatives that sell using these styles or are known to perform well using these styles, and then assign the representative to the corresponding target account. For example, if a representative is determined to perform well when meeting a CEO based on analyzing historical deals, electronic activities or profile information, then the node graph generation system **200** can match the representative with a target account that is associated with successful outcomes when the CEO of the target account meets with the representative.

11. Systems and Methods for Providing a Company Cloud

[0599] At least one aspect of the present disclosure is directed to systems and methods for providing a company cloud. The company cloud can identify a plurality of companies or enterprises. Each company included in the company cloud can be represented as a company or group node and each group node can include or be linked to one or member node profiles corresponding to people belonging to or affiliated with the company. The company cloud can refer to or include a group node graph or network of group nodes. A group node can be a representation of a company and include fields. Fields can include, for example, a company name, a company phone number, a company address, a unique identifier for the company, a company size, a company location, or other information associated with the company. The group node can further be linked to one or more member node profiles corresponding to people who are either employed by the company or in some embodiments, have some affiliation with the company.

[0600] In some embodiments, one or more values of the fields of the group node can be populated based on values of one or more member node profiles belonging to nodes that are employed or affiliated with the company. For example, values of various fields such as company name, phone number, address, among others may be derived from node profiles of its employees. In some embodiments, the values of the fields may be associated with value data structures including entries identifying data points that support the value. Such data points can be data points that support values of fields of member node profiles belonging to employees of the company associated with the group node.

[0601] Similar to how member node profiles are generated and updated as described above, the node graph generation system **200** can generate company or group nodes using the same sources of data, namely, electronic activities and data from systems of record.

[0602] In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can analyze systems of record of different data source providers (for example, enterprises) to identify multiple account record objects representing the same company. The multiple account record objects representing the same company can be maintained in different systems of record belonging to different enterprises. For instance, multiple companies can maintain an account record object for the company, Acme. A first enterprise can maintain a first account record object for the company Acme. The first account record can include a first value for the field Company Phone Number. A second enterprise can maintain a second account record object for the same company Acme. The second account record object can include a second value for the field Company Address. The node graph generation system **200** or the data source provider network generator **260** can create a group node profile for the company Acme by extracting values from both the first account record object and the second account record object such that the group node profile is richer in information than each of the respective first and second account record objects. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system can further be configured to maintain a master account record object for the company that includes values from each of the account record objects across multiple systems of record such that the master account record object of the system **200** is richer in information than

each of the respective account record objects across the multiple systems of record. In the example above, the group node profile and the master account record object can include the first value for the field Company Phone Number and the second value for the field Company Address. [0603] The node graph generation system **200** can add or update values of one or more fields of the group node profile for the first group node. In some embodiments, the first time the node graph generation system **200** detects or identifies an account record object or electronic activity to be associated with a particular group node profile of a company, the node graph generation system **200** can create or establish a group node profile for the company. Thereafter, the node graph generation system **200** can continually amend or update that group node profile with additional information or updated information. Further, as the node graph generation system **200** receives conflicting information for the group node profile from different record objects of different systems of record maintained by different data source providers, the node graph generation system **200** can resolve the conflicts using rules, policies, or a confidence score of a value of an attribute or field of a group node profile, for example.

[0604] In some cases, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that different systems of record may have different values for the same field for the same group node profile corresponding to a particular account or company. The node graph generation system **200** can use one or more techniques to determine the correct value for the field for the group node profile, or the most accurate or likely to be correct value for the group node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can use techniques for generating or determining the confidence score of a value of an attribute or field in a group node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** via the attribute value confidence scorer **235** can determine a confidence score for each value for each attribute or field in the group node profile based on an array of data points maintained for each value.

[0605] By analyzing, parsing or otherwise processing multiple systems of record and electronic activities, the node graph generation system **200** can generate a master group node profile for a company or account that contains one or multiple values for one or more of the fields. Similar to member node profiles, the system **200** can be configured to generate a confidence score for each value of the one or more fields that is based on contribution scores of each of the data points supporting the value as evidence.

[0606] As described above with respect to member node profiles, the group node profiles can also be updated as more information is ingested by the system **200**. In some embodiments, the system **200** can ingest new electronic activities and data from systems of record and periodically update the member node profiles based on the new data. In some embodiments, the system is configured to ingest and process new data once a day, once a week, among others. In some embodiments, the system can ingest and process new data as new systems of record are made accessible to the system. In some embodiments, the system can be configured to ingest and process new data responsive to a request from a user or an administrator of the system **200**. In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to update the node graph, which can include both group nodes and member nodes, responsive to ingesting or processing the data. The system **200** can be configured to update tags or associated confidence scores assigned to previously processed electronic activities. Furthermore, the system **200** can be configured to update value data structures of node profiles by removing electronic activities previously assigned to a value but determined responsive to new data that the electronic activities were previously assigned to a particular value or node profile based on insufficient data. In some embodiments, such changes to node profiles can be made responsive to determining that the tag or electronic activity is improperly assigned or classified. It should be appreciated that as more data is ingested by the system **200**, certain classifications and tags can be misclassified or assigned but can be corrected by the system based on the new data. As such, the node graph generation system **200** can update the group node profiles on a periodic basis, based on a time interval, responsive to a request, or based on new or updated

insights or information that is derived from electronic activity data flowing through the system **200** as a time series dataset.

[0607] In an illustrative example, a field in an account level for a First Company can be "Parent Account" field. The parent account field can have a value, linking to the record of "Second Company" because Second Company can be the parent company of the First Company. The node graph generation system 200 can determine that this is a field in an account and then extrapolate that this field denominates a parent company in a complex corporate structure when a Second Company owns a First Company, thereby resulting in the Second Company being named in the "Parent Account" field. The node graph generation system **200** can analyze, for example, 50 different systems of record to identify 50 different account record objects that contain an account for the First Company. The node graph generation system **200** can then determine, for each of the 50 different account record objects, the value of the parent account field. If all 50 of account record objects have the same value in the account field (e.g., Second Company), then the node graph generation system can establish a group node profile for a group node in the master group node graph for the account for the First Company to include the value "Second Company" in the parent account field. The node graph generation system 200 (e.g., via attribute value confidence scorer 235) can use one or more policies, rules, weighting systems, scores or other logic to select a value to use for the account field in the group node profile for the group node profile in the master group node graph. For example, the node graph generation system **200**, via attribute value confidence scorer 235, can leverage a time-series calculation of values of this field across multiple systems of record, while taking into account a confidence score and recency of each value of each field, where the more recent values are assigned a higher weight.

[0608] The node graph generation system **200** can analyze the systems of record on a period basis or based on some other time interval and detect a change in values in fields. The node graph generation system **200** can, responsive to detecting a change in some or all of the systems of record, update the group node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can update the group node profile responsive to detecting the change in 5 of the 50 account record objects of the 50 systems of record. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via attribute value confidence scorer **235**) can determine that while only 10% of the account record objects reflect a change in the value, that these 10% of account record objects are reflecting an accurate change (e.g., based on high trust scores of the systems or sources that produced the change, and a recency of the field change) and, therefore, the master group node graph is to be updated. The node graph generation system **200** can determine, for example, that this 10% of systems of record are associated with a relatively high trust score which may cause the attribute value confidence scorer to generate a higher confidence score for values of fields received from such systems of record or other score relative to some or all of the remaining 90% of systems of record. Thus, the node graph generation system 200 can detect a change in company ownership or subsidiary status based on a subset of systems of record and before other systems of record are updated to reflect such ownership or organizational change, thereby reducing latency in updating organizational structure across all systems of record, connected to the system.

12. Systems and Methods for Improving Member Node Performance Based on Electronic Activity [0609] At least one aspect is directed to systems and methods for improving member node performance based on electronic activity. The node graph generation system **200**, or one or more component thereof, can analyze electronic activities associated with member nodes to generate a member node profile for a member node in a member node graph. The node graph generation system **200** can identify metrics for each member node profile based on the electronic activities. The node graph generation system can correlate the metrics with desired performance outcomes or results, including but not limited to closed sales, recruited candidates, or renewed contracts to identify which metrics are correlated with desired performance outcomes. Based on identifying the desired metrics that result in desired outcomes, the node graph generation system **200** can set one

or more goals for member nodes, as well as help track those goals to increase the likelihood that the member node achieves the desired performance outcome, thereby improving the likelihood that the member node achieves the desired performance outcome.

[0610] In some embodiments, the node graph system **200** can include a recommendation engine **275**. The node graph system **200** (via recommendation engine **275**) can provide a recommendation or set a target goal for a member node. The node graph generation system **200** can, for example, provide these recommendations or target goals to one or more member nodes or one or more group nodes based on historical matching electronic activities to desired performance outcomes. The node graph generation system **200** (or one or more component thereof) can match electronic activities to desired performance outcomes stored or indicated in one or more systems of record. [0611] The node graph generation system **200** can include a performance module designed and constructed to determine a performance metric or performance level of a member node based on electronic activities. To generate a recommendation, the node graph generation system **200** (via a performance module **280** and recommendation engine **275**) can identify member node performance as compared to a member node's past performance or as compared to the performance of other member nodes that have a similar role or otherwise share similar characteristics. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via a member node performance module) can determine a performance of a member node. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify electronic activities associated with multiple member nodes that are linked to a group node in a group node graph. The node graph generation system **200** can then identify a system of record associated with the group node. The system of record can include account record objects, lead record objects, opportunity record objects, deal record objects or other types of record objects. The system of record can include stages for any business process, such as opportunities with stages, recruiting of candidate with interview stages, renewing contract with renewal stages, etc. In an illustrative example, an opportunity record object can include multiple sequential stages for the opportunity, such as a first stage, second stage, third stage, and a fourth stage, where the first stage indicates an initial stage and the fourth stage indicates a final or completion stage for the opportunity. The node graph generation system **200** can correlate electronic activities with the opportunity record objects as well as the stages of the opportunity record objects. The node graph generation system **200** can determine metrics based on electronic activities that are associated with an opportunity advancing stages or not advancing stages. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can correlate that, on average: 5 emails and 1 in-person meeting occurred in a time interval for an opportunity before it moved from a first stage to a second stage; 10 emails and 2 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a second stage to a third stage; 15 emails and 3 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a third stage to a fourth stage; and 20 emails and 4 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a third stage to a fourth or final stage. By determining metrics that are correlated with advancing an opportunity from one stage to another based on electronic activities correlated with stages in opportunity record objects stored in a system of record, the node graph generation system **200** (or component or module thereof) can predict or forecast metrics that, when met, are likely to result in the desired performance outcome. The node graph generation system **200** can determine which metrics of electronic activities have the highest correlation to successful outcomes in order to generate goals.

[0612] For example, when a member node enters a first stage of a process described in a system of record (e.g., a first stage of an opportunity, recruiting process, contract renewal, etc.), the node graph generation system **200** can identify, for a similar opportunity and a similar member node, the metrics that, on average, likely resulted in a desired performance outcome of advancing from the first stage to a second stage. The node graph generation system **200** can further provide an indication of these metrics to the member node as a goal or target metrics to improve the likelihood that the member node advances from the first stage to the second stage. The node graph generation

system **200** can further provide metrics estimated to advance from each stage to the final stage. The node graph generation system **200** can generate the estimate by benchmarking across member nodes in similar roles working on similar processes in order to identify the desired performance outcomes and metrics associated with such desired performance outcome. For example, the benchmarking process can include identifying member nodes that conduct interviews in a recruiting process to identify metrics associated with candidates that accepted an offer to join a company in order to provide an estimate of a metric that might result in a desired outcome. An example metric for this example can include a response time or response quality associated with emails between the interviewer and the candidate before or after the interview. Other example metrics can include the duration of the interview, whether the interview was face-to-face or telephonic, or whether the interviewer or candidate was late to the scheduled interview based on natural language processing of the correspondence between the candidate and the interviewer. [0613] In another example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify member nodes linked to a group node that perform well or have desired performance outcomes. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via recommendation engine **275**) can identify a temporal aspect to the metrics associated with the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can determine when member node first joined the group node or was first linked to the group node (e.g., a job start date or beginning date), and how the member node's performance and behavior metrics evolved over time. This initial time interval can be referred to as a ramp-up period (e.g., when an employee first joins a company and then gets up to speed or ramps up). The node graph generation system **200** can identify metrics associated with a successful ramp-up period based on identifying member nodes that are associated with desired performance outcomes based on reaching desired stages in an opportunity record object (i.e. by analyzing how successful employees had ramped in the past). Thus, by analyzing electronic activities and a corresponding system of record to determine data driven metrics associated with desired performance outcomes determined by linking activities with record objects describing process stages (e.g., an opportunity record) in the system of record, the node graph generation system **200** can generate or identify goals to set for member nodes that are in a ramp-up period or other time interval, such as during a performance improvement plan (a plan, set up by employee's manager to bring the employee to optimal performance after a period of poor performance). The node graph generation system **200** can further reevaluate the member node's metrics to update the goals or set new goals by comparing current metrics (e.g., actual actions or performance) associated with the member node's current electronic activities with the desired metrics (e.g., planned actions or performance) for electronic activities correlated with the desired performance outcome or result. [0614] In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to compare performances of

employees of a company by monitoring the employee's contribution to opportunity record objects and the progression of the stages the opportunity record object goes through. For instance, a high performing employee may be involved in electronic activities that are linked to opportunity record objects that advance from one of the stages to another stage much quicker than another employee with the same role. Similarly, a high performing employee may be involved in electronic activities that are linked to a greater number of opportunity record objects that advance from one of the stages to another stage than another employee with the same role. as such, by tracking the opportunity record objects with which an employee is linked, a performance of the employee can be determined and the employee's metrics can be used to set certain benchmarks that can then be used to determine a performance of another employee with a similar role or generate a ramp up schedule based on the employee's metrics. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that when a member node completes 25 calls in a week, reaches out to 10 companies in a week, has 5 in-person meetings in a week, and then writes 100 emails in the same week, then the member node should be able to complete a number of deals or advance a desired number of stages in one or more deals or otherwise achieve an expected performance outcome after a certain time

(e.g., a time delay between input activities and outcome results). The metric can refer to or include an attribute of an activity, such as an amount of the activity. The metric can be a binary value that indicates a yes or no, such as "did you have a meeting with 10 people", with a value of 1 or 0 indicated yes or no, respectively. In some cases, the metric can be a count, a ratio, a time value, or a percentage value, based on any combination/formula, calculated from any number of data points in the member node graph or system of records. The metrics can vary in granularity based on the data the node graph generation system **200** can analyze via electronic activities or one or more systems of record. Based on previous or historical activity, the node graph generation system **200** can predict, forecast or estimate what activity should occur to achieve a desired outcome, and propose or set goals for a member node or group node accordingly. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via the electronic activity linking engine) can correlate the electronic activities with the stages or desired outcomes as stored or determined in the system of record or an opportunity record object thereof. The electronic activity linking engine can match, correlate, link or otherwise associate electronic activities with outcomes (e.g., advancing stages, won, lost, etc.) stored in the system of record.

[0615] The node graph generation system **200** can generate an automated employee ramp-up schedule based on the previously identified high performing member nodes based on internal user data. The node graph generation system **200** identifies high performing member nodes based on electronic activities associated with the member nodes matching desired outcomes as indicated in opportunity record objects stored in a system of record (e.g., system of record 9360) or stored in a shadow or temporary system of record associated with the node graph generation system 200, or otherwise stored in a master system of record. With this automatically generated ramp-up schedule containing metrics for electronic activities that is correlated with high performing member nodes, the node graph generation system **200** can provide goals or recommendations to new member nodes that are beginning a new job or new role at a company. Such recommendations can be especially relevant for employees in sales, customer success, recruiting, or other functions. [0616] To generate the ramp-up schedule for a new member node (e.g., a new hire), the node graph generation system 200 can identify a high performing member node that has a node profile that is similar to the member node profile of the new member node. The node graph generation system **200** can compare member node profiles based on values of fields of the member node profiles, such as geographic area, type of industry, experience, or any other field of the member node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can then identify metrics associated with the similar member node profile of the high performing member node and generate a ramp-up schedule using the metrics.

[0617] To identify the metrics, the node graph generation system **200** can normalize the metrics for a time interval. The node graph generation system **200** can identify metrics for the high performing member node that occurred during a time interval that is similar or relevant to the new member node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify the first two weeks of employment by determining when the first email was actually sent by the employee, and then identifying the metrics for electronic activities that correspond to the first two weeks of the high performing member node's employing at the company. These first two weeks may not indicate a high performance. For example, the high performing member node may not have been high performing with reference to desired outcomes in matching opportunity record objects in a system of record for another 6 months; however, the metrics associated with electronic activities that occurred in the first two weeks or other time interval prior to the desired performance outcomes may nonetheless be indicative or relevant to the high performance level of the high performing member node. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** can select the metrics of electronic activities that occurred in the first two weeks and provide those metrics as goals or target goals or target metrics for the new member node without setting a goal or expectation that the member node achieve a desired opportunity stage in the initial time interval, but, instead, with the goal that the

new member node may achieve the desired performance with references to opportunity stages during a later or subsequent time interval. The node graph generation system **200** can correlate metrics to outcomes (e.g., all metrics of electronic activities that correlate with positive outcome), and then compare new employee to a previously successful employee.

[0618] The node graph generation system **200** can normalize the time interval or otherwise account for environmental factors or external factors associated with the time interval that can affect the metrics associated with electronic activities or performance outcomes. For example, the node graph generation system can take into account a seasonal component by detecting a reduction in electronic activities during a vacation time interval. The node graph generation system 200 can determine or detect the vacation based on identifying an automatic out of office reply in outbound electronic activities corresponding to the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can determine or detect the vacation based on identifying a vacation calendar entry electronic activity corresponding to the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can identify the vacation responsive to determining that a volume of electronic activity or responsiveness to electronic activities during a predetermined time interval is below a threshold for the email account of the node profile, or the hours during which emails are sent vary from a traditional time range or time zone for the member node (e.g., whether electronic activities or communications are clustered around business hours). By determining that the new member node may be on vacation—or that a high performing member node's metrics were associated with a vacation—the node graph generation system **200** can remove or filter out metrics or data during the vacation period so as not to set improper or erroneous goals that might be faulty due to a vacation time interval, or so as not to determine that the new member node is underperforming or not meeting goals due to the new member node being on vacation.

[0619] The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via recommendation engine **275**) can provide the target goal or recommendation to the member node, or a manager member node that may then propagate the target goal to employee member nodes. A manager member node can refer or correspond to a person whose role is a manager of employees or a team of people. The member node profile can include a field that denominates a role of the member, such as manager or employee. The member node profile can further include a field that denominates who the manager is, such as a "managed by" field. In some embodiments, the recommendation engine 275 can include or interface with a machine learning engine that obtains feedback from a manager member node and adjusts the recommendations or target goals accordingly. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify manager member nodes that are linked to employee member nodes that are performing with a desired outcome based on a system of record. The node graph generation system **200** can further identify that when new employee member nodes are linked or join the network of the manager member node, the new employee member node ramps up in a desired time interval and to a desired performance level. The node graph generation system 200 can receive human input from a manager corresponding to a manager member node. Based on the human input, the node graph generation system 200 can determine that the manager member node sets goals that are effective or successful in improving the performance of the employee member nodes. The node graph generation system **200** can receive, via the manager member node or one or more employee member nodes, the target goals and input these target goals into a machine learning engine or otherwise compare the input target goals with automatically generated target goals to tune or update the generation of target goals. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** (or recommendation engine 275) can receive human input from high performing managers in order to update the recommendation engine **275** and improve the generation of recommendations or goals for member nodes.

[0620] The node graph generation system **200** can include a performance module **280** designed and configured to determine a performance of a member node. The performance module **280** can identify when metrics of a member node do not meet or exceed the target goal metrics set for the

member node. The node graph generation system **200** can recommend to the manager to establish, responsive to detecting that the metrics for electronic activities for a member node do not satisfy the target goals, a performance improvement plan for the member node. The performance improvement plan can be based on a difference between the member nodes' current metrics and the target metrics. The performance improvement plan can be further based on identifying a similar member node to the underperforming member node that also previously underwent a performance improvement plan but is high performing now. The performance improvement plan can be based on human input received from a manager member node. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via recommendation engine **275**) can generate a customized or tailored performance improvement plan that is based on a similar member node whose activity levels and goal attainment indicates that the similar member node successfully completed a performance improvement plan and is now a high performing member node. The node graph generation system **200** can generate this customized or tailored performance improvement plan using human input from a manager that is deemed, by the recommendation engine **275**, to be a high performing manager.

[0621] The node graph generation system **200** can set performance benchmarks for a member node, a plurality of member nodes (for example, a team of member nodes), group nodes, industry nodes representing a plurality of group nodes belonging to the same industry, nodes within a geographic territory, or any other collection or group of nodes. The node graph generation system **200** can establish benchmarks for performance based on analyzing the performance of one or more groups of nodes having similar characteristics. The node graph generation system **200** can identify similar groups of nodes based on a group size (e.g., number of member nodes in the group node), revenue of the group node, industry associated with the group node, geographic region of the group node, or other characteristic. These characteristics can be set or stored or inferred from a group node profile associated with the group node of a group node graph.

[0622] The node graph generation system **200** can generate income estimates for member nodes based on performance outcomes derived from electronic activities associated with the member node. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine how performance outcomes map to income, for example in sales, and then estimate income based on metrics of electronic activities that match the performance outcome stored in an opportunity record object in a system of record. The node graph generation system **200** can perform a deal-by-deal benchmarking to determine an income estimate. The system 200 can identify successful historical deals that are similar to a target deal. The system **200** can determine whether the types or quantities of electronic activities (or other metrics associated with electronic activities) associated with the successful historical deals are similar to the electronic activities metrics that are occurring in the target deal. If the system **200** determines that the target deal is on track to be a successful deal based on the electronic activities metrics for historical similar deals that were successful, then the system 200 can determine that the target deal will be successful, or more likely to close, so the representative member node for the deal is likely to keep a commission. The node graph generation system 200 can provide an indication to the member node on a periodic or other time interval with current metrics of electronic activities and target metrics for electronic activities in order to achieve the desired income. Based on deal-by-deal benchmarking, the system **200** can determine how many deals of what type the member node needs to close in a year to make the desired income. Based on the number and type of deals, the system **200** can set the goal electronic activities metrics for the member node that are likely to result in closing the deals. For example, a member node may want to make \$50,000 per year, then the node graph generation system **200** can notify the member node that they need to have 10 in-person external meetings per week, write 100 emails to external contacts, and make 25 phone calls to external contacts (e.g., metrics for electronic activities that are were associated with similar deals that were successful).

[0623] The node graph generation system **200** can detect, based on analyzing electronic activities,

whether the member node is satisfying the target goals. If the member node is not satisfying the target goals to achieve the desired income, the node graph generation system **200** can predict the reduction in income relative to the desired income and notify the member node of the reduction in income that may result from missing the target goals. The system **200** can tie current performance level to future projected wins (e.g., successful deals), and hence to future projected income. [0624] In some embodiments, an employee's compensation may be based on the performance of the team that the employee is managing. For such an employee, such as a team manager, the node graph generation system **200** can help establish a compensation structure for the team manager member node that is based on the performance of his team, which is based on the individual performance outcomes of the employee member nodes the team manager manages. In some embodiments, the system **200** can analyze electronic activities (and corresponding record objects to which the electronic activities are matched) relating to the team managed by the team manager to determine or predict the performance of the team. The system **200** can then generate specific actions that the team manager or his team can or should take to improve the performance of the team or to achieve previously established goals. More generally, the node graph generation system 200 can establish goal outcomes and recommend actions based on analyzing electronic activities or accessing or analyzing systems of record. The node graph generation system 200 (e.g., via performance module **280**) can compare electronic activity metrics or aggregated activity metadata for similar processes (e.g., sales deals, recruitment process, etc.) to determine a performance outcome for the member node participating in the process. The node graph generation system 200 can generate such goal outcomes or recommend actions (e.g., electronic activities) with varying granularity, for instance, hourly, daily, weekly, bi-weekly or monthly, among others. The node graph generation system 200 can establish a sales compensation system based on analyzing electronic activities or accessing or analyzing systems of record. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** can automate the process of goal setting for team management, or setting team management on autopilot, based analyzing electronic activities or accessing or analyzing systems

[0625] The node graph generation system **200** can set a manager member node goal of having every employee member node perform a certain number and type of electronic activities in a certain time interval. In some cases, the manager member node goal can include aggregate activity metadata associated with electronic activities, such as response rates from C-level executives, meeting attendance rates, or meeting reschedule rates. The node graph generation system **200** can detect that the goal was not met by a first employee member node, and then perform an early warning prediction that the first employee member node may not be ramping up on time. The node graph generation system **200** can tie this missed goal detection with an indication that the first employee member node may not be ramping up on time. For example, out of 50 member nodes that succeeded at a company, their metrics trended in accordance with curve X, whereas the first employee member node's metrics trend in accordance with curve Y, which may not intersect with curve X, therefore the first employee member node may not be ramping up in a satisfactory manner. Metrics can indicate a cadence, response time to emails, number of calls, etc. [0626] The node graph generation system **200** can identify different patterns for different industries or different types of processes (e.g., sales, recruiting, etc.). The node graph generation system **200** can establish goals for each type of deal or opportunity or industry based on the patterns. The node graph generation system **200** can, for example, establish patterns to advance stage with a specific OCR or champion. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can establish metrics for electronic activities that are tailored or customized for the specific OCR with which the seller is interacting. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can estimate for a specific deal to advance to a next stage, there should be a certain number of electronic activities with the OCR during a time interval; so, the node graph generation system **200** can set that as the goal for the time interval. The number of electronic activities can be based on or include a number of people in a

meeting, average seniority of people in a meeting, or other granular indicators.

[0627] The node graph generation system **200** can generate an effort estimation model for each member node based on electronic activities or metrics thereof. The metrics can indicate low responsiveness, empty times on calendar during key business hours, or other predictors that someone is not putting in a threshold level effort. The node graph generation system **200** can detect a drop off in metrics as a drop off in effort. The node graph generation system **200** can detect a drop off or lack of participation in certain types of activities as an indication of low effort and thus predict a person being disengaged and preparing to leave the company.

13. Systems and Methods for Assigning Employees to Business Processes Including Leads, Accounts, and Opportunities

[0628] Companies typically assign employees to certain leads or accounts in a round robin fashion. As new leads or accounts are identified, a company may assign a different sales rep to the lead or account without attempting to match the sales rep to the lead or account. However, none of the assignments of sales reps to leads or accounts or opportunities with such accounts is data driven, automated or objective in nature.

[0629] As described herein, companies can maintain various systems of record, including a customer relationship management system, which the company can use as a holding system for descriptions of business processes. The system of record can include lead record objects identifying leads that the company may pursue, account record objects identifying accounts to which the company sells one or more products or services, opportunity record objects identifying deals or opportunities between the company and the account, among others.

[0630] The present disclosure describes systems and methods for automatically assigning employees of a company to certain business processes of the company using a data driven approach. Before describing specific examples of different business processes to which employees of a company can be assigned, it should be appreciated that the system **200** can automatically assign employees to certain business processes by taking advantage or utilizing other aspects of the system **200**.

[0631] As described herein, the system **200** can be configured to receive and parse electronic activities, link such electronic activities to node profiles of a node graph, update the node profiles based on the contents of the electronic activities, match the electronic activities to record objects of one or more systems of record of companies, generate activity patterns of node profiles including but not limited to communication styles, response rates, response times, communication mode preferences, among others. These insights and others can be determined by the system **200** based on the electronic activities the system **200** parses.

[0632] The system **200** can be configured to automatically assign at least one employee of a company to one or more record objects or provide recommendations to the company (for instance, the data source provider) to assign the at least one employee to the one or more record objects. The system **200** can be configured to automatically assign or generate a recommendation to assign a business process or associated record object to an employee of a company associated with the business process. Perhaps, more generally, the system **200** can automatically match or generate a recommendation to match or pair an employee of a company and a record object of a system of record of the company.

[0633] In some embodiments, to do so, the system **200** can be configured to maintain, for each employee of the company, an availability of the employee based on a status of one or more record objects to which the employee is assigned. In some embodiments, the employee can be assigned to a first number of lead record objects, a second number of account record objects and a third number of opportunity record objects. The system **200** can further determine, for each of the opportunity record objects, a stage of the opportunity record object. Moreover, the system can determine an amount of time the employee needs to spend on the opportunity based on the stage of the opportunity record object, a size of the deal associated with the opportunity, an expected or

predicted time frame for closing the opportunity, and other parameters associated with the opportunity record object. The system can determine, based on each record object to which the employee is assigned, an availability schedule of the employee that identifies the employee's availability during various time periods, including for example, the next week, the next two weeks, the next month, the next quarter, the next year, among others.

[0634] The system **200** can be configured to automatically match or generate a recommendation to match or pair an employee of a company and a record object of a system of record of the company by using one or more rules that may be specific for different types of record objects. In some embodiments, the rules can be learned by analyzing previous matches between employees and record objects and the success or failures of such matches. The rules can be learned using machine learning or other techniques.

[0635] The following sections describe how the system can automatically match or generate a recommendation to match or pair an employee of a company and different types of record objects of a system of record of the company.

A. Matching Employees and Lead Record Objects

[0636] This section relates to matching employees and lead record objects, assigning employees to lead record objects or assigning lead record objects to employees. A lead record object can identify a person who can be an early interest for the company. Determining how successful an assignment of a lead to an employee is likely to be is based on several factors. Some of these factors include i) a quality of the lead; ii) behaviors or business practices of the employee; iii) behaviors or business practices of the lead; and iv) availability of the employee to service the lead, among others. Out of these factors, the availability of the employee to service the lead can be a more important factor. This makes sense because a salesperson currently working on 5 late stage deals likely will not have the availability to service the lead, which will result in the company losing the lead because the salesperson was unable to commit enough time to building a relationship with the lead. Examples of behaviors or business practices of the employee can be their preferences to want phone calls over emails or in person meetings, a desired time of day factoring in their time zone during which the employee likes to communicate with leads, or an employee's comfort level with dealing with leads having certain titles, for example, CIO, CEO or other executive level leads. Similarly, the behaviors, preferences and business practices of the lead can also be relevant. [0637] In one embodiment, the system **200** can be configured to first determine, for a given lead, a

plurality of employees of the company that may be potentially be assigned to the lead. These employees may be salespersons. The system **200** can then determine the availability of each of the salespersons and based on their respective availabilities, the system **200** can select a subset of the salespersons as candidate salespersons. The system can then determine, for each candidate salesperson, the behaviors, preferences and business practices of the candidate salesperson that the system **200** can derive from parsing electronic activities linked to a node profile of the candidate salesperson. The system **200** can then compare the determined behaviors, preferences and business practices of the candidate salesperson to behaviors, preferences and business practices of the lead (which can also be determined by the system **200** by parsing electronic activities linked to a node profile of the lead). In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to determine the behaviors, preferences and business practices of the candidate salesperson as it relates to the lead by only analyzing electronic activities exchanged between the salesperson and other leads in the past. Similarly, the system **200** can be configured to determine the behaviors, preferences and business practices of the lead as it relates to the plurality of candidate salespersons by only analyzing electronic activities exchanged between the lead and other salespersons in the past. The system **200** can then determine a match score between the candidate salesperson and the lead based on the comparison and either automatically assign the candidate salesperson to the lead or vice versa or provide a recommendation to assign the candidate salesperson to the lead or vice versa to the administrator or user of the system of record in charge for assigning leads to employees.

[0638] In some embodiments, the system **200** can use other signals or factors for matching leads to employees. For instance, if the system **200** can determine if the lead has any prior connection with any of the candidate salespersons and also determine a connection strength and type of connection between the lead and the candidate salesperson. As described herein, the system **200** can maintain a connection strength between node profiles of the system **200** and as such, the system can use the connection strength between the lead and the candidate salespersons as a factor to determine which candidate salesperson to match to the lead.

[0639] The system **200** can be configured to assign different weights to different factors used for matching leads and employees. In some embodiments, the system can enable each company to establish its own rules or policies for recommending matches between leads and employees. In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to train a machine learning model to match leads and salespersons based on analyzing a salesperson's matches with leads in the past as well as analyzing the lead's matches with other salespersons in the past.

[0640] By way of this solution, the system **200** can reduce the number of candidate salespersons the company needs to consider for each new lead thereby allowing the person responsible for assigning leads to employees to spend less time pairing leads to employees while improving the likelihood of success of converting the lead by selecting candidate employees that are most likely going to succeed with this lead based on objectively analyzing historical electronic activities. Moreover, at present, companies are focusing on lead generation without optimizing the conversion of existing leads. The solution described herein aims to determine which employee is most likely to convert the lead to optimize the company's ability to convert each and every lead of the company. B. Matching Employees and Account Record Objects

[0641] In contrast to the concept of matching employees and leads described above, this section relates to matching employees to accounts. An account or an account record object corresponds to a customer of the company. Each account can be linked to one or more lead record objects and opportunity record objects. In contrast to lead assignments described in the previous section, account assignment is similar except that a lead is one person while an account includes a group of people.

[0642] The system **200** can be configured to identify an account of a company to which to assign one or more employees of the company to service the account. The system **200** can be configured to identify each of the contacts at the account. The contacts may be identified by analyzing the contact record objects of the system of record to identify which contacts are linked to the account. In some embodiments, the system can utilize the node graph of the system to analyze ode profiles that currently work at the account. The system can then run an analysis for each employee of the plurality of employees of the company that may be a candidate to service the account based on the employee's function or job description. Upon selecting a set of candidate employees from the plurality of employees of the company, the system 200 can determine, for each employee, a connection strength between the employee and each of the contacts at the account. The system can then aggregate, for the employee, the connection strengths between the employee and each of the contacts by applying different weights based on the role, title or function of the contact within the account, which can all be determined by the system through the system of record or the node profiles maintained by the system **200**. The system can then determine, from the aggregated connection strengths of each of the plurality of employees, at least one employee to assign or recommend assigning to the account. As mentioned above, the contacts at the account with which the employee has relationships can be weighted based on their role, title or function. [0643] In some embodiments, the system **200** can take into account other factors other than connection strengths prior to assigning the employee to the account. In particular, the system 200 can also consider the geographical proximity between the employee and the account or the contacts within the account. The system **200** can also consider the employee's selling style or other

behavioral patterns and compare them to the buying style of the contacts within the account to

determine whether or not to assign the employee to the account. In addition, the system **200** can take into account past experiences of the employee with the contacts or the account itself. For instance, the system **200** can determine if the employee has previously worked for or with the account at a previous job. The system **200** can also determine if the employee has previously worked with any of the contacts included in the account. The system **200** can also determine if the employee has previously worked with similar types of accounts, for instance, if the account is Verizon, the system can determine if the employee has worked with AT&T given that AT&T is in the same sector as Verizon and so the employee may be a better fit for an account such as Verizon. In some embodiments, the system **200** an also determine an availability of the employee to determine if the employee has the capacity to service the account.

[0644] In some embodiments, the system can determine a target persona for the account. For instance, if the account is a marketing department of a customer, the system can be configured to generate a target persona that corresponds to the marketing department as opposed to an accounts department. The system can then attempt to identify employees within the company that most closely match the target persona corresponding to the marketing department as this employee will be most likely to best serve the account.

[0645] The system **200** can also be configured to take into account other employees to assign to the account as part of a sales team. As such, the system **200** may be configured to determine whether or not to assign an employee to the account based on which other employees are already assigned to the account or are candidates to be assigned to the account. The system **200** can be configured to assign an employee to the account based on the employee's relationship with other employees who are already assigned to the account, for instance, the employee is part of 3 other sales teams that include the other employees.

[0646] In addition, the system **200** can be configured to recommend additional employees to assign to the account based on selecting an employee to assign to the account. For instance, the system **200** can identify a first employee as a sales representative to the account. The sales representative generally works with a sales engineer and an account executive when selling to a company. As such, the system **200** can be configured to select a sales engineer from a plurality of candidate sales engineers and select an account executive from a plurality of candidate account executives to assign to the account based on determining that the sales engineer and the account executive have been included in sales teams with the sales representative for other accounts.

[0647] In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to recommend overlay resources like sales engineers to the account. The sales engineer that is recommended may be selected for recommendation responsive to the system determining that the sales engineer also has connections to the account. In addition, the system **200** can further recommend executives on the company side to which to recommend or assign to the account. By generating these additional recommendations of employees to the account, the system can be configured to automatically recommend or generate account team recommendations that the company can use to build account teams. As described herein, these account teams can be based on their relationships with contacts at the account, their past experiences with the account their past experiences working with each other on other accounts, as well as their availability to service the account, among others.

[0648] In some embodiments, the system can identify one or more people at the account who may be considered to form the buying group. The buying group can be determined by the system **200** using the node graph of the system **200** or from other systems of record accessible to the system **200**. The system **200** can be configured to identify employees to assign to the account based on the target persona of the account as well as the buying group of the account. In some such embodiments, the system can adopt the same techniques and methodology described herein but adjust weights of certain factors based on the target persona of the account as well as the buying group of the account.

[0649] As described herein, the system **200** can be configured to detect account teams from

electronic activities that are matched to record objects corresponding to account record objects or opportunity record objects. Detecting that an employee belongs to an account team based on electronic activities can be useful to the system **200** for matching the electronic activities identifying the employee to the appropriate record object of a system of record, among others. In some embodiments, an account team can be determined from the system of record based on linking contact record objects to an account record object, for instance. However, it should be appreciated that the system **200**, as described with respect to Section 12, is configured to provide recommendations of employees to add to existing account teams or create new account teams for new accounts.

[0650] As described above with respect to matching electronic activities to record objects, the system **200** can be configured to identify candidate record objects to match electronic activities based on account teams. By being able to expand the account teams or verify if an employee should be added to an account team, the system **200** can be configured to improve its ability to match electronic activities to record objects by better identifying record objects using matching strategies involving account teams.

### C. Matching Employees and Opportunity Record Objects

[0651] The system can be configured to also be configured to automatically assign or recommend assigning an employee to an opportunity record object. The system can identify employees to match to opportunity record objects in a manner similar to lead record objects and account record objects. As opportunities are business processes that need active involvement in the short term, selecting an employee to assign to the opportunity, the system can give more importance to the employee's availability in the short term relative to when the system selects an employee to match to an account. The system can be configured to determine, for each employee, their current load or available capacity based on the number of opportunities the employee is working on, what stage each of the opportunities is in, among others. As such, a described here, the system's ability to predict stage classification of opportunity record objects can be used to determine the employee's availability and based on the employee's availability, a recommendation to assign the employee to one or more opportunities that the employee is not currently assigned to.

[0652] The system can be configured to identify, for a given opportunity, one or more opportunity contact roles associated with the opportunity as well as other contacts at the account level that are involved with the activity. The system can then determine to identify candidate employees that would be a good fit for the opportunity based on a comparison of the candidate employee and the contacts involved or likely to be involved with the opportunity. Based on the determination, the system can provide a recommendation to add a candidate employee to the account team servicing the opportunity record object. As described above with respect to matching employees and lead record objects and account record objects, the system can determine similar factors to determine how good a fit the candidate employee will be for the opportunity.

# D. Matching Employees and Named Account Lists

[0653] The system **200** can be configured to match employees to one or more account lists. In a scenario where a new employee joins a company, a supervisor may be assigned to assign the employee to multiple accounts or leads, among others. At present, the supervisor may simply assign the employees to accounts based on a geographical location of the employee and corresponding locations of the accounts. However, assigning employees to accounts simply based on location matching fails to optimize the employee's ability to generate new leads and opportunities.

[0654] In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to generate a list of accounts to which to assign an employee of the company. In some embodiments, the system **200** can receive a request from a user of the system **200** to assign or identify accounts of the company to the employee. The system **200** can first identify all of the accounts of the company to which the system **200** can possibly match the employee. The system **200** can then determine, for each account, one or

more contacts at the account with which the employee has a connection. The system 200 can use the node profiles and node graph to determine these contacts. The system 200 can then determine, for each contact with which the employee has a connection, a connection strength between the contact and the employee. The system 200 can then weight each of these connections based on the account and the role of the contact within the contact. The system 200 can then determine an aggregated score between the account and the employee based on the weights and connection strengths of the employee with the contacts of the account. The system can compute the aggregated score also by factoring in a location of the employee relative to the account, a time zone of the employee relative to the account, a selling style or communication style of the employee relative to the buying style or communication system of the contacts within the account. The system can then generate a list of accounts to which to match the employee based on the aggregated scores between the employee and the respective account. It should be appreciated that other factors, such as the employee's availability, timing of potential opportunities of the account, other employees that may likely form the account team, can also be factors in computing the aggregated score between the employee and the respective account.

## E. Matching Employees and Territories

[0655] Some companies may maintain one or more systems of record in which employees are assigned to territories, such as geographical regions. In some such cases, the system **200** can be configured to assign employees to territories, which may be assigned to certain accounts. Similar to how the system **200** can determine an aggregate score for each account described above, the system **200** can be configured to assign employees to territories based on determining an aggregate score between the territory and the employee by determining individual scores between accounts within the territory and the employee.

[0656] It should be appreciated that matching employees to various record objects or business processes described above can be based on objective data that is parsed from electronic activities involving the employee or electronic activities involving leads or contacts at the accounts. As such, the system **200** can be configured to rely on certain electronic activities when determining which record objects or business processes to match or assign or which employee to assign or match to the record objects or business processes of the company. In this way, a data-driven approach to selecting employees to assign to accounts can be achieved, which can result in better outcomes for the employee, the account, and the company.

[0657] It should further be appreciated that similar methodology can be used by the system **200** for identifying potential candidates to suggest to a company to hire as employees. The system **200** can analyze a candidate's connections and communication style from electronic activities linked to the node profile of the candidate and use that information to compare to accounts of the company to determine if the employee will be a good fit. Similarly, for a person looking to join new company the system **200** can identify potential candidate companies to the person based on the person's connections and communication style determined by the system **200** from electronic activities linked to the node profile of the person and information about the candidate companies and their respective accounts also maintained by the system **200**.

14. Systems and Methods for Generating Data Recommendations Based on an Immutable Member Node Network

[0658] At least one aspect of the present disclosure is directed to systems and methods for generating data recommendations based on an immutable member node network. The immutable member node network can refer to or include a member node network containing member nodes connected to one or more other member nodes. The member nodes can contain a member node profile that is generated by the node graph generation system **200** (or node profile manager **220**) using electronic activity information or information from a master system of record. By using electronic activity information or a master system of record generated and maintained by the node graph generation system **200**, the node graph generation system **200** can generate data

recommendation using the immutable member node network.

connections to the buyer group, among others).

[0659] The member node network may be immutable in that the member node network may be accurate and not contain erroneous data, or lack data with a confidence score that falls below a threshold. The node graph generation system **200**, using the member node network (e.g., member node graph) can match member nodes to a potential group node, job, account, or opportunity based on the member node profile matching profiles, metrics or parameters associated with the group node, job, account or opportunity.

[0660] For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that a particular member

node is represented by a member node profile that includes fields and values for the fields. The node graph generation system **200** can further determine, via a member node performance module **280**, a performance score as well as performance metrics for the member node. The performance information can be correlated with metrics associated with electronic activities. The performance metrics can be granular and correspond to profile values. For example, the member node profile performance information can indicate that a member node has a high performance level when the member node performs electronic activities that include at least a first number of in-person meetings with C-level executives. For example, if the member node has five in-person meetings with C-level executives in a week, then the performance module **280** can determine that the member node is performing well based on historical performance information for the member node or similar deals. The node graph generation system **200** (or member node performance module **280**) can determine the high performance level (e.g., relative to an average performance level across member nodes or a subset of member nodes). The node graph generation system **200** can then identify group nodes or group profiles associated with group nodes or companies that match the profile values correlated to the high performance level of the member node. [0661] As described above also with respect to Section 12, the system **200** can be configured to utilize information included in the node graph to match candidate employee and companies based on the candidate employee's connections with one or more accounts of the companies to which the system **200** determines a match. In some embodiments, the system **200** can determine a match based on a candidate employee's selling style and a buying style of a buyer's group of an opportunity linked to one of the accounts of a company. It should be appreciated that the system 200 can look at other signals too when making such matches and not rely simply on matching according to a selling style or for a single opportunity. In some embodiments, the system **200** can identify an employee within a company that should be put on an account team of an opportunity record object based on the selling style of the employee (or other factors such as availability,

[0662] Thus, the node graph generation system **200** can match member nodes to a group node based on performance characteristics or other metrics of the member node and the group node that are derived, inferred, or otherwise determined using electronic activities from data source providers **9350** and record objects from one or more systems of record. The node graph generation system **200** can use the electronic activities and the data in the systems of record to generation a performance profile for a member node, which can be stored in a master member node network or immutable member node network. The member node network can be immutable because it is not self-written or self-reported by individuals; instead, the node graph generation system **200** generates the performance profile and member node profile using electronic activities and systems of record, which is an independent, factual, and objective source of activity information. The node graph generation system 200 can generate the group node network containing group profiles for group nodes. The node graph generations system 200 can identify granular values that are correlated with desired performance or outcomes based on stages of opportunities or stages of other business processes, stored in record objects of a system of record or one or more systems of record. [0663] As described herein and supplemental to the description of various terms provided above, electronic activities can include emails, electronic calendar events, electronic meetings, phone call

logs, instant messages, other any other electronic communications generated by a node, received by a node, exchanged between nodes or otherwise stored on an electronic server configured to provide electronic activities to the data processing system **9300**.

[0664] An individual or member node can be an electronic representation of a user, person, account of a person or user, an employee, a bot, or any other entity that may have an account or an identifier that the data processing system can generate a node profile for. A group node can be an electronic representation of an enterprise, a company, an organization, an employer, a team of employees or people, or a plurality of member nodes that can be treated as a single entity. A node profile can be an electronic representation of a profile of a member node or a group node. The node profile can include fields. Each field can include one or more values. An example field can be an email address. An example value can be john.smith@example.com. A value of a field can include an array of data points identifying occurrences of the value. Each value can have a confidence score. A data point can identify an electronic activity or other piece of information that contributes the value to the field. The data point can include or identify a source of the electronic activity, a trust score of the source of the data point, a time or recency of the electronic activity and a contribution score. The source of the electronic activity can be a mail server, a system of record, or any other repository of electronic activities.

[0665] A trust score of the source of the data point can indicate a trustworthiness of the source of the data point. The trust score of the source can be based on a completeness of system of record maintained by the source. The trust score can also serve as an indication of how reliable the source may be.

[0666] A contribution score of the data point can indicate how much the data point contributes towards a confidence score of the value associated with the data point. The contribution score can be based on the trust score of the source, a health score of the source, and a time at which the data point was generated or last updated.

[0667] A confidence score of the value can indicate a level of certainty that the value of the field is a current value of the field. The higher the confidence score, the more certain the value of the field is the current value. The confidence score can be based on the contribution scores of individual data points associated with the value. The confidence score of the value can also depend on the corresponding confidence scores of other values of the field, or the contribution scores of data points associated with other values of the field.

[0668] A confidence score generally relates to a level of confidence that a certain piece of information is accurate. As used herein, a confidence score of a piece of information, such as an assigned tag, a value of a field of a node profile, a stage classification prediction, a record object match, can indicate a level of confidence that the piece of information is accurate. The confidence score of the piece of information can change based on a temporal basis. A node profile can include a first email address corresponding to a first job and a second email corresponding to a subsequent job. Each of the two email addresses are at respective points in time, accurate and valid. As the person switches jobs, the first email address is no longer valid but the confidence score associated with the email address can in some embodiments, remain high indicating that the first email address belongs to the node profile. Similarly, the second email address also belongs to the node profile and therefore also has a high confidence score. After the system determines that the second email address is active and functioning, the system can assign a higher confidence score to the second email address relative to the first email address since the contribution scores provided by recent data points (for example, recent electronic activities identifying the second email address) can contribute towards the higher confidence score. Similarly, any tags that are assigned to electronic activities identifying bounce back activity related to the first email address (indicating that the first email address is no longer active) can reduce the confidence score of the first electronic activity.

[0669] The health score of the source can indicate a level of health of the source. The health of the

source can include a completeness of the source (for example, a system of record), an accuracy of the data included in the source, a frequency at which the data in the source is updated, among others.

[0670] A connection strength between two nodes can be based on the electronic activities associated with both the nodes. In some embodiments, each electronic activity can be used by the system to determine a connection strength between the two nodes. The contribution of each electronic activity towards the connection strength can diminish over time as older electronic activities may indicate a past connection but do not indicate a current status of the connection strength between the two nodes.

[0671] The time decaying relevancy score of an electronic activity can indicate how relevant the electronic activity is for determining a connection strength between two nodes exchanged between or otherwise associated with the two nodes. The connection strength between two nodes can be based on the time decaying relevancy scores of all of the electronic activities exchanged between or otherwise associated with the two nodes.

[0672] As further described herein, electronic activities can be linked to or matched to record objects. Record objects can be maintained in a shadow system of record maintained by the system **9300** or in some embodiments, linked or matched to record objects maintained in master system of records that are maintained by customers or enterprises.

15. Matching Electronic Activities Directly to Record Objects of Systems of Record [0673] As described above, the system described herein can match electronic activities with one or more record objects. The system can match the electronic activities in a single-tenant or multitenant configuration of the system. For example, in a single-tenant configuration, the system can receive or access electronic activities from a single data source provider and match the electronic activities to record objects of a system of record of the data source provider from which the electronic activities were received or accessed. In a multi-tenant configuration, the system can receive or access electronic activities from multiple data source providers and match the electronic activities to record objects of a system of record of the respective data source provider from which the electronic activities were received or accessed. As described herein, the system can automatically match, link, or otherwise associate the electronic activities with one or more record objects. For an electronic activity that is eligible or qualifies to be matched with one or more record objects, the system can identify one or more set of rules or rule sets. Using the rule sets, the system can identify candidate record objects. The system can then rank the identified candidate record objects to select one or more record objects with which to associate the electronic activity. The system can then store an association between the electronic activity and the selected one or more record objects.

[0674] FIG. 16 illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow 1600 for processing electronic activities in a single-tenant configuration. Also, with reference to FIGS. 3 and 4, among others, the data processing system 9300 can be in communication with one or more data source providers 9350. Each of the data source providers 9350 can include a data source 9355. FIG. 16 illustrates an example of a single-tenant system where the electronic activities 9305 from a single tenant (e.g., the data source provider 9350 that includes the data source 9355) is matched to the record objects 1602 of a single shadow system of record 9330. The single shadow system of record 9330 can be associated with the data source provider 9350 that provided the electronic activity. For example, the shadow system or record can include data retrieved from the record objects of the data source provider's system of record. It should be appreciated that although FIG. 16 illustrates a shadow system of record including one or more shadow record objects that correspond to respective record objects of a corresponding system of record of the data source provider, the data processing system 9300 is configured to directly match the electronic activities of the data source provider to the record objects of the system of record 9360 without having to first match the electronic activity to a shadow record object of the shadow system of record 9330.

[0675] The data source provider **9350** can store electronic activity **9305(1)**-electronic activity **9305**(N) (generally referred to as electronic activity **9305**) in the data source **9355**. As described above, the electronic activities can include one or more forms of electronic activity, such as email or other forms of electronic communication. The data processing system **9300** can access or otherwise retrieve the electronic activity 9305 from the data source 9355. For example, the abovedescribed electronic activity ingestor 205 can be configured to ingest electronic activities in a realtime or near real-time basis for accounts of one or more enterprises, organizations, companies, businesses, institutions or any other group associated with the data source providers. The electronic activity ingestor **205** can ingest electronic activities. For example, when a data source provider subscribes to a service provided by the data processing system **9300**, the data source provider can provide access to electronic activities maintained by the data source provider by going through an onboarding process. That onboarding process can enable the data processing system **9300** to access electronic activities owned or maintained by the data source provider in one or more data sources **9355**. For example, the data sources **9355** can be, but are not limited to, mail servers, one or more systems of record, one or more phone services or servers of the data source provider, among other sources of electronic activity. The electronic activities ingested during an onboarding process may include electronic activities that were generated in the past, perhaps many years ago, that were stored on the electronic activities' sources. The data processing system **9300** can be configured to ingest (and re-ingest) the electronic activities from one or more data sources 9355 on a periodic basis, including daily, weekly, monthly, or any reasonable frequency.

[0676] The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** to one or more record objects **1602** of the shadow system of record **9330**. The record objects **1602** of the shadow system of record **9330** can be synced with the record object **1602** of the system of record **9360**. Syncing the shadow record objects **1602** with the record objects **1602** of the system of record **9360** can include adding values from fields of the shadow record objects **1602** to the corresponding values, such as matched electronic activities **9305**, of the record objects **1602** in the system of record **9360**. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** directly to the system of record **9360**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects in the system of record **9360** without matching the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects in the shadow system or record **9330**. [0677] FIG. 17 illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow 1700 for processing electronic activities in a multi-tenant configuration. As illustrated by the process flow **1700**, the multi-tenant configuration can include a plurality of data sources **9355(1)-9355(N)**, each of which can be a component of a respective data source provider **9350(1)-9350(N)**. The data processing system **9300** can receive or access electronic activities **9305** from each of the respective data sources **9355(1)-9355(**N).

[0678] The data processing system **9300** can identify from which of the data sources **9355**, each of the respective electronic activities **9305** were received and then match the electronic activities **9305** with one or more record objects **1602** associated with the data source provider **9355**. [0679] For example, and as illustrated in FIG. **17**, the data source **9355(1)** can be associated with the shadow system or record **9330(1)**, the data source **9355(2)** can be associated with the shadow system or record **9330(N)**. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity **9305(1)**, from the data source **9355(1)**, with two of the record objects **1602** in the shadow system of record **9330(1)**. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity **9305(2)**, from the data source **9355(2)**, with two of the record objects **1602** in the shadow system of record **9330(2)**. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity **9305(N)**, from the data source **9355(N)**, with one of the record objects **1602** in the shadow system of record **9330(N)**. [0680] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic

activities **9305** directly to the system of records **9360**. For example, the data processing system

**9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects in the system of record **9360** without matching the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects in the shadow systems or record **9330**.

[0681] FIG. 18 illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow 1800 for matching electronic activities 9305 with record objects 1602. The data source 9355 includes a plurality of electronic activities 9305 that are accessed by or transmitted to the data processing system 9300. The data processing system 9300 can include a filtering rule set 1701 and matching rules 1702. The data processing system 9300 can use the filtering rule set 1701 and the matching rules 1702 to map the incoming electronic activities 9305 to one or more of the record objects 1602 in the system of record 9360.

[0682] Also, with reference to FIGS. 11 and 12, among others, the data processing system 9300 can include one or more filtering rule sets 1701. The filtering rule sets 1701 can include rule sets for filtering or excluding electronic activities 9305 from the matching process. For example, when the data processing system 9300 processes an incoming electronic activity 9305, the data processing system 9300 can first process the electronic activity 9305 with the filtering rule sets 1701 before attempting to match the electronic activity 9305 with a record object 1602. As illustrated in FIG. 18, the electronic activity 9305(1) can be received by the data processing system 9300. The data processing system 9300 can process the electronic activity 9305(1) with the filtering rule set 1701 before the data processing system 9300 passes the electronic activity 9305(1) to the matching rules 1702. As illustrated in FIG. 18, the electronic activity 9305(1) is processed with the filtering rule set 1701 and is restricted from further processing and is not matched with one of the record objects 1602.

[0683] The filtering rule set **1701** can include a plurality of rules or heuristics for determining whether the electronic activity **9305** should be restricted from further processing including matching the electronic activity to a record object. The rules can be keyword-based. For example, the rules can include a list of keywords. The data processing system **9300** can process the text of the electronic activity **9305** and determine whether one or more of the keywords are present in the electronic activity **9305**. The data processing system **9300** can determine the electronic activity **9305** should be restricted if the data processing system **9300** can identifies one of the keywords in the electronic activity **9305**. The data processing system **9300** can identify identical matches of the keyword. The data processing system **9300** can identify misspellings or plurals of the keyword (e.g., the data processing system **9300** can identify misspellings or plurals of the keyword). In some implementations, the keywords can include wildcards. For example, the keyword may be only the base or root of a word. The rules can be pattern-based. For example, the rules can include regex patterns with which the data processing system **9300** processes the text of the electronic activities **9305**. For example, the regex pattern can be configured to identify social security numbers.

[0684] If the data processing system **9300** determines that the electronic activity **9305** is selected with one of the rules of the filtering rule set **1701**, the data processing system **9300** can stop further processing or ingestion of the electronic activity **9305**. For example, if the electronic activity **9305** is an email that includes a social security number and one of the rules of the filtering rule set **1701** is configured to identify social security number patterns, the data processing system **9300** can identify the email with the rule and stop ingestion of the email such that the email is not matched to one of the record objects **1602**. The electronic activities **9305** identified by the filtering rule set **1701** can be ingested but are restricted from being matched to one or more record objects. For example, the electronic activity **9305** may be restricted from being matched to a record object, but the data processing system **9300** can use the data in the electronic activity **9305** to populate fields with values in the above-described node profile graph.

[0685] The data processing system **9300** can include one or more matching rules **1702**. The rules of the matching rules **1702** can include rules for matching electronic activities **9305** with one or more

record objects **1602**. Also referring to FIGS. **11** and **12**, among others, the rules for matching the electronic activities **9305** to record objects **1602** can be grouped into sets such as buyer-side rules or strategies that match electronic activities **9305** to record objects **1602** based on data related to the recipient of the electronic activity **9305**. Another example filtering rule set **1701** can include a grouping of rules based on seller-side rules or strategies that match electronic activities **9305** to record objects **1602** based on data related to the sending of the electronic activities **9305**. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects **1602** based on a plurality of matching rules **1702**. For example, the electronic activity **9305**(3) is matched with the record object **1602**(3) based on a plurality of matching rules **1702**(1). In some implementations, the matching rules **1702** can be to select a group of record objects. The data processing system **9300** can then select a candidate record object from an intersection of the groups of record objects. For example, the candidate record object may be the record object that is selected by each of the matching rules **1702**.

[0686] FIG. **19** illustrates a method **1900** to match electronic activities directly to record objects. The method **1900** can include accessing a plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **1902**). With reference to FIGS. **16-18**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can access a plurality of electronic activities. The electronic activities can be transmitted to the data processing system **9300** from data source providers. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the electronic activities from the data source providers. For example, the data source provider can include or be an email server. The data processing system **9300** can have the authority to access the emails stored on the email server through an API or an HTTP method (e.g., a GET method).

[0687] The method **1900** can include accessing a plurality of record objects (BLOCK **1904**). The method **1900** can include accessing, by the data processing system **9300**, a plurality of record objects. The data processing system **9300** can access the record objects for a plurality of different systems of record, as described above in relation to FIG. **17**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can make a call to the systems of record **9360** that are associated with each of the data source providers from which the data processing system **9300** retrieved electronic activities at BLOCK **1902**. The data processing system **9300** can generate a copy of the accessed record objects. The data processing system's copy of the access record objects can be referred to as shadow record objects.

[0688] As described above in relation to FIG. **10**, each of the record objects can be of a record object type. For example, the record objects can be lead record objects, account record objects, opportunity record objects, or contact record objects. The record objects can be any type of record object in a system of record. The other systems of records can include Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS), such as Lever, located in San Francisco, CA or Talend by Talend Inc., located in Redwood City, CA, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, customer success systems, such as Gainsight located in Redwood City, CA, and Document Management Systems, among others.

[0689] The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects from servers that

correspond to the data source provider or data source from which the data processing system **9300** retrieved the electronic activities **9305**. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects **1602** from a system of record **9360**. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects **1602** through an API call. For example, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve a first plurality of record objects corresponding to a first system of record of a first data source provider and second plurality of record objects corresponding to a second system of record of a second data source provider.

[0690] As described above in relation to FIG. **17**, among others, the system can be configured in a multi-tenant configuration. In a multi-tenant configuration, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve a respective plurality of record objects that correspond to each of the data source provider (e.g., tenants) associated with the data processing system **9300**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve a plurality of record objects from a system of record for each of the data

source providers.

[0691] Each of the record objects can include one or more object fields and corresponding object field values. For example, the record objects can be data structures and the object field values can be values of object fields of the data structure. For example, for a contact record object, the data structure can include fields such as, but not limited to, name, address, email, and phone number, which can be filled with respective field values.

[0692] The method **1900** can include identifying an electronic activity (BLOCK **1906**). The method **1900** can include identifying, by the data processing system **9300**, an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities to match to one or more record objects. The data processing system **9300** can identify an electronic activity that is a candidate for matching to one or more record objects. The data processing system **9300** can determine that an electronic activity is a candidate for matching to one or more record objects based on the filtering and exclusion rules. For example, if the electronic activity is identified by one or more filtering or exclusion rules the electronic activity can be disregarded from consideration for matching to a record object. [0693] The data processing system **9300** can identify electronic activities as candidates based on one or more tags applied to the electronic activity. The above-described tagging engine **265** can assign one or more tags to the electronic activity when the electronic activity are internal

processed. For example, if all the participants associated with the electronic activity are internal (e.g., each participant has an email address with the domain of the data source provider), the tagging engine **265** can tag the electronic activity as internal. The data processing system **9300** can be configured such that electronic activities tagged as internal are not matched to record objects. In another example, if the electronic activity includes a participant that is associated with an account record object, the data processing system **9300** can tag the electronic activity as a candidate for matching.

[0694] As described above in relation to FIGS. **5**A-**5**C and **6**B, the data processing system **9300** can identify and extract content from the electronic activities. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify participants associated with the electronic activity. The participants can be the sender or the receiver of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify the participants associated with the electronic activity by identify the sender's email address and the recipient's email address.

[0695] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can assign one or more tags to the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can assign tags to the electronic activities based on the content included in the electronic activity or the metadata therefor. For example, the tags can be based on one or more character strings identified in the body of the electronic activity, in the metadata of the electronic activity, or in related electronic activities.

[0696] For example, the electronic activity can be an email message and the data processing system 9300 can identify keywords within the email's body. The keywords can be identified by the above-described tagging engine 265. The keywords can identify the subject matter, phrases, accounts, topics, identification numbers, or other terms in or related to the subject of the electronic activity. [0697] The method 1900 can include determining a data source provider (BLOCK 1908). The method 1900 can include the data processing system 9300 from which of the data source providers, the data processing system 9300 received the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system 9300 can receive electronic activity from a plurality of data source providers. In some implementations, when the data processing system 9300 receives the electronic activity, the electronic activity can label or store the electronic activity in a database in association of the data source provider that provided the electronic activity.

[0698] The method **1900** can include identifying a system of record (BLOCK **1910**). The data processing system **9300** can identify a system of record that corresponds to the data source provider that the data processing system **9300** identified at BLOCK **1908**. The data processing system **9300** can identify a plurality of candidate record objects that are associated with the data source provider.

For example, and referring to FIGS. **3**, **4**, and **16-18**, among others, once the data processing system **9300** identifies a system of record **9360**, the data processing system **9300** can identify the record objects in the system of record **9360** as candidate record objects to which the electronic activity can be matched. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity with one or more of the record objects in the system of record **9360**.

[0699] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can identify the shadow record objects in the shadow system of record as candidate record objects. For example, and referring to FIG. 3, the system of record from each data source provider can be copied into the data processing system 9300 as shadow system of record 9330. Each of the shadow systems of record 9330 can include a plurality of record objects that are shadow record objects of the record objects in the data source provider's system of record **9360**. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to one of the identified shadow record objects. The data processing system **9300** can directly match the electronic activity to one or more record objects in the shadow system of record **9330**, one or more record objects in the system of record **9360**, or one or more record objects in both the shadow system of record **9330** and the system of record **9360** subject to limitations of the system of record **9360**. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to one or more record objects in the shadow system of record **9330**, which can then be synced with the record objects in the system of record **9360**. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to more than one record object in the shadow system of record **9330**. In some such implementations, the data processing system **9300** can determine the shadow record object with which the electronic activity most closely matches (or has the highest match score) and cause the electronic activity to match the corresponding record object in the system of record **9360**.

[0700] In some implementations, each of the electronic activities can be associated with a domain. For example, the domain can be identified by the sending email address of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify the system of record based on a domain associated with an email address of the sender of the electronic activity.

[0701] The method **1900** can include determining whether the electronic activity can be matched to a record object (BLOCK **1912**). The data processing system **9300** can determine if the electronic activity can be matched to a record object by applying a first policy. The policy can include one or more filtering rules.

[0702] For example, and also referring to FIGS. **4** and **18** among others, the filtering engine **270** can first process the electronic activity with filtering rules **1701** to determine whether the electronic activity should be blocked, removed from further processing, redacted, or deleted from the data processing system **9300**.

[0703] The above described filtering engine can determine the electronic activity should not be matched to a record object based on one or more filtering rules. The filtering rules can restrict the data processing system **9300** from performing further processing or matching on the electronic activity. The filtering rules can include a keyword rule configured to restrict electronic activities including a predetermined keyword; a regex pattern rule configured to restrict electronic activities including one or more character strings that match a predetermined regex pattern; a logic-based rule configured to restrict electronic activities based on the participants of the electronic activities satisfying a predetermined group of participants; or any combination thereof.

[0704] The filtering rules can be defined by the data source provider of the electronic activity and the system of record to which to match the electronic activity. For example, the data source provider can define rules for electronic activities that should not be matched to the record objects in its system of record **9360**.

[0705] In some implementations, the filtering engine **270** can restrict electronic activities from being matched to a record object by applying one or more rules to the electronic activity to identify the electronic activities that should not be matched with a record object. The rules can include

determining that the electronic activity includes one or more predetermined words included in a list of restricted words. For example, electronic activities that include terms or phrases related to a specific product identified by the data source provider or department (e.g., legal department) associated with the data source provider can be identified by the filtering engine **270** for restriction from further processing.

[0706] In some implementations, the filtering engine **270** can restrict electronic activity from being matched with a record object if the electronic activity includes any character strings that has a regular expression pattern that matches a predefined regex pattern included in a list of restricted regex patterns. For example, the filtering engine **270** can include a list of restricted regex patterns that can include a pattern to identify social security numbers, bank account numbers, credit card numbers, dates of birth, or other sensitive information.

[0707] The filtering engine **270** can restrict electronic activity from being matched with a record object by determining that the sender of the electronic activity match a sender included in a list of restricted sender list. For example, the email address of the company's general counsel can be included on a restricted sender list and all of the emails sent by the general counsel will be restricted out by the filtering engine **270**. The filtering engine **270** can restrict electronic activity from being matched with a record object by determining that a recipient of the electronic activity matches a recipient included in a restricted recipient list. For example, the filtering engine **270** may restrict out any email or electronic activity sent to a human resource manager. The filtering rules **1701** can include one or more rule sets. The rules in the filtering rules **1701** can be defined by the data processing system **9300**. The rules can be global rules that the data processing system **9300** can apply to the electronic activities of each data source provider. The data processing system 9300 can include semi-global rules that are applied to the electronic activities from a subset of the data source providers. For example, the data processing system 9300 can have finance semi-global rules that are applied to the electronic activities from data source providers involved in the business of finance. The rules can be defined or otherwise configured by the data source provider and applied to only the electronic activities associated with the data source provider.

[0708] The filtering engine **270** can restrict electronic activity from being matched with a record object based on a sender-recipient pair. For example, the filtering engine **270** can include a restriction list that includes a plurality of sender-recipient pairs. When a sender of the electronic activity sends an electronic activity to one of the recipients with which the sender is paired in the restriction list, the filtering engine can restrict out the electronic activity.

[0709] If the filtering engine **270** does not restrict the electronic activity from further processing by identifying the electronic activity with the filtering rules **1701**, the data processing system **9300** can determine that the electronic activity should be matched with one of the candidate record objects associated with the data source provider.

[0710] The method **1900** can include identifying candidate record objects (BLOCK **1914**). The data processing system **9300** can identify one or more candidate record objects to which the electronic activity can be matched. For example, as described above in relation to FIG. **12**, the electronic activity can be matched to a plurality of record objects. The data processing system **9300** can identify the candidate record objects based on applying a second policy. The second policy can include one or more rules for identifying candidate record objects based on one or more participants of the electronic activity.

[0711] Also referring to FIGS. **11**, **12**, and **18**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can identify the plurality of record objects to which the electronic activity can be matched based on one or more rules or rule sets. The rules that identify to which of the record objects the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity can be included in a second policy that includes one or more rule sets. The data processing system **9300** can identify the plurality of record objects based on one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity by the tagging engine **265**.

[0712] As described above, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can identify one or more

candidate record objects to match the electronic activity using recipient-based rules that identify the candidate record objects based on one or more recipients of the electronic activity. The recipient-based rules can include rules for identifying the recipient based on a specific recipient (e.g., based on an email address). The recipient-based rules can include rules for identifying the recipient based on data associated with the recipient. For example, the rule can identify recipients having a predetermined domain in their email address. An indication of the recipient can be included in the identified record object as a value in an object field.

[0713] The electronic activity linking engine **250** can identify one or more candidate record objects to match the electronic activity using sender-based rules that can identify the candidate record objects based on the sender of the electronic activity. The sender-based rules can include rules for identifying the record object based on a specific sender or based on data associated with the sender. An identification of the sender can be included in the identified record object as a value in an object field.

[0714] In some implementations, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can identify the candidate record objects based on sender-based rules or recipient-based rules or both. For example, and referring to FIG. **11**, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can select a first group of candidate record object using the recipient-based rules and a second group of candidate record objects using the sender-based rules. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can match the electronic activity to one of the candidate record objects that is included in the both the first group of record objects and the second group of record objects.

[0715] In some implementations, the matching rules can be configured to select record objects of a specific type. For example, and also referring to FIGS. **10-12**, among others, the matching rules can include a first set of rules that identify account record objects, a second set of rules that identify opportunity record objects, and a third set of rules that identify lead record objects.

[0716] Each of the matching rules can have a priority level, score, or weight. The candidate record objects selected with rules with a higher priority level can be assigned a higher score. For example, if the rules select multiple record objects, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can select the candidate record object with the highest score. In some embodiments, a candidate record object can be selected multiple times. For example, a first and a second matching rule can each select a given record object. The record object selected by multiple matching strategies can be given an aggregate (for example, a weighted aggregate) of the scores associated with each of the matching rules that selected the candidate record object.

[0717] The data source provider can assign the priority level, score, rank, or weight to each of the matching rules. For example, the data source provider can assign a first priority level to a first subset of the matching rules and a second priority level to a second subset of the matching rules. [0718] Also referring to FIGS. **5**A-**9**, among others, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can identify candidate record objects based on matching rules that can identify record objects based on an object field value of the record object that identifies one or more nodes. One or more participants of the electronic activity can be used to select a node of a node graph.

[0719] In some implementations, the rules can candidate record objects based on participants that are linked to a record object. For example, an account record object can include an object field that can include a plurality of values. The object field values can identify nodes of a node graph. The data processing system **9300** can, using the matching rules, select contact record objects that are associated with identified nodes of the node graph. In some implementations, the candidate record objects can be identified based on one or more of the participants associated with the electronic activity being identified in the object field value.

[0720] The object field of the record object can identify an object owner or team, which can be user, contact, or team that is responsible for the account associated with a record object. Based on the values, the data processing system **9300** can identify a plurality of contact record objects that are associated with the object as candidate record objects.

[0721] The data processing system **9300** can identify candidate record objects based on one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity. The tagging engine **265** and the tagging of electronic activity is described above in Section G, among others. The tagging engine **265** can tag the electronic activity as specifically mentioning an account, product, contact, lead, or as including another predetermined character string. One or more of the rules can select candidate record objects based on the selecting record objects associated with the one or more tags of the electronic activity. For example, a predetermined account tag can be applied to an email if the body of the email includes an identification of the tag and the data processing system **9300** can identify the account record object associated with the account tag as a candidate record object. In another example, the electronic activity can be parsed and the term "renewal" can be identified in the electronic activity. A "renewal" tag can be applied to the electronic activity. A matching rule to select record objects based on tags can select a renewal record object opportunity with the electronic activity and identify the renewal record object opportunity as a candidate record object. As described above in relation to FIG. **12**, an indication of each of the record objects identified by a matching rule can be stored in a record object array **1202**.

[0722] The method **1900** can include selecting a record object (BLOCK **1916**). Also referring to FIG. **12**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can include identify candidate record objects to which the electronic activity can be matched. As illustrated in FIG. **12**, the matching rules can identify more than one candidate record objects. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can select one or more of the candidate record objects with which to match the electronic activity.

[0723] The electronic activity linking engine **250** can select the one or more record objects from the plurality of candidate record objects based on the priority level used to select or identify each of the plurality of candidate record objects. For example, as described above in relation to FIG. **11**, among others, each of the matching rules can have a priority level, score, or weight. The candidate record objects selected with rules with a higher priority level can be assigned a higher score. For example, if the rules select multiple record objects, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can select the candidate record object with the highest score. In some embodiments, a candidate record object can be selected multiple times. For example, a first and a second matching rule can each select a given record object. The record object selected by multiple matching strategies can be given an aggregate (for example, a weighted aggregate) of the scores associated with each of the matching rules that selected the candidate record object.

[0724] The data source provider can assign the priority level, score, rank, or weight to each of the matching rules. For example, the data source provider can assign a first priority level to a first subset of the matching rules and a second priority level to a second subset of the matching rules. [0725] The method **1900** can include storing an association between the selected candidate record object and the electronic activity (BLOCK **1918**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can store, in a data structure, an association between the selected candidate record objects and the electronic activity. Also referring to FIGS. **3** and **4**, among others, the electronic activity can be matched to one or more candidate record objects that are record objects in a shadow system of record for the data provider that provided the electronic activity.

[0726] In some implementations, once the electronic activity is matched with one or more record objects, the data processing system **9300** can identify subsequent electronic activities that are related to the matched electronic activities. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify emails that are part of the same email chain. The data processing system **9300** can match each of the emails in the email chain to the one or more record objects to which the first email was matched.

[0727] In some implementations, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can detect changes in the stored associations between electronic activities and record objects. Once the electronic activity is matched to a record object a user can accept, reject, or update the linking between the electronic

activity and the matched record object. The user can manually remap the linking of the electronic activity from a first record object to a second, different record object. In another example, the data processing system **9300** may automatically rematch electronic activities at predetermined intervals or when the data processing system **9300** receives additional data.

[0728] In some implementations, when the electronic activity linking engine **250** determines that the electronic activity is matched with a second, different record object, the electronic activity linking engine **250** can update the matching rules or policies that matched the electronic activity to the original record object. The electronic activity linking engine **250** can update the matching rules or policies such that the subsequent electronic activities are correctly matched with the correct record object.

16. Matching Electronic Activities to Record Objects of Systems of Record with Node Profiles [0729] As described above, the system described herein can match electronic activities with one or more record objects. The system can match the electronic activities in a single-tenant or multitenant configuration of the system. For example, in a single-tenant configuration, the system can receive or access electronic activities from a single data source provider and match the electronic activities to record objects of a system of record of the data source provider from which the electronic activities were received or accessed. In a multi-tenant configuration, the system can receive or access electronic activities from multiple data source providers and match the electronic activities to record objects of a system of record of the respective data source provider from which the electronic activities were received or accessed. As described herein, the system can automatically match, link, or otherwise associate the electronic activities with one or more record objects. In some implementations, the system can match the electronic activity with one or more node profiles. The system can use the node profiles to identify one or more record objects with which the electronic activity can be matched. If the system determines the electronic activity is eligible or qualifies to be matched with one or more record objects, the system can match the electronic activity to one or more of the record objects identified with the node profiles using one or more set of rules or rule sets. The system can then rank the identified candidate record objects to select one or more record objects with which to associate the electronic activity. The system can then store an association between the electronic activity and the selected one or more record objects.

[0730] FIG. **20** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow **2000** for processing electronic activities. Also, with reference to FIGS. **3**, **4**, and **16-18**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can be in communication with one or more data source providers **9350**. Each of the data source providers **9350** can include a data source **9355**. FIG. **20** illustrates an example of a single-tenant system where the electronic activities **9305** from a single tenant (e.g., the data source provider **9350** that includes the data source **9355**) is matched to the record objects **1602** of a single shadow system of record **9330**. The single shadow system of record **9330** can be associated with the data source provider **9350** that provided the electronic activity. For example, the shadow system or record can include data retrieved from the record objects of the data source provider's system of record.

[0731] As illustrated in FIG. **17**, among others, the system illustrated in FIG. **20** can be a multitenant system that can include a plurality of data sources **9355** that can each include a plurality of electronic activities **9305**. The system can match the electronic activities with record objects in shadow systems of record **9330** or directly with systems of record **9360** associated with the respective data source **9355**.

[0732] The data source provider **9350** can store electronic activity **9305(1)**-electronic activity **9305(N)** (generally referred to as electronic activity **9305)** in the data source **9355**. As described above, the electronic activities can include one or more forms of electronic activity, such as email or other forms of electronic communication. The data processing system **9300** can access or otherwise retrieve the electronic activity **9305** from the data source **9355**. For example, the above-

described electronic activity ingestor **205** can be configured to ingest electronic activities in a real-time or near real-time basis for accounts of one or more enterprises, organizations, companies, businesses, institutions or any other group associated with the data source providers. The electronic activity ingestor **205** can ingest electronic activities. For example, when a data source provider subscribes to a service provided by the data processing system **9300**, the data source provider can provide access to electronic activities maintained by the data source provider by going through an onboarding process. That onboarding process can enable the data processing system **9300** to access electronic activities owned or maintained by the data source provider in one or more data sources **9355**. For example, the data sources **9355** can be, but are not limited to, mail servers, one or more systems of record, one or more phone services or servers of the data source provider, among other sources of electronic activity. The electronic activities ingested during an onboarding process may include electronic activities that were generated in the past, perhaps many years ago, that were stored on the electronic activities' sources. The data processing system **9300** can be configured to ingest (and re-ingest) the electronic activities from one or more data sources **9355** on a periodic basis, including daily, weekly, monthly, or any reasonable frequency.

[0733] The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** with one or more node profiles **715**. For example, and also referring to FIGS. **3-9**, among others, the node graph generation system **200** can generate a node graph that includes a plurality of nodes. Each of the nodes can include a node profile **715**, which can be a data structure that includes a plurality of fields. For example, an example node profile **715** can include fields such as, but not limited to name, email, phone, company, and job title. The system can ingest electronic activities and populate the fields with values. Also referring to FIG. **4**, among others, as the system ingests additional emails the node profile manager can update the node profile **715**. The node profile managed can update the node profile **715** by, for example, increasing or decreasing a confidence score of the values of fields that can be verified or contradicted by subsequent electronic activities. The node profile manager can add additional (e.g., updated) values to a field based on ingested electronic activities.

[0734] When matching an ingested electronic activity **9305** to a record object **1602**, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity **9305** with one or more node profiles **715**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify or parse the sender and recipient email addresses from an email (an example electronic activity) and identify a first node profile **715** based on the sender's email address and a second node profile **715** based on the recipient's email address. As illustrated in FIG. **20**, the electronic activity **9305(1)** can be matched with a first and a second node profile **715**. For example, one of the node profiles **715** can be associated with the sender and one of the node profiles **715** can be associated with the recipient of the electronic activity **9305(1)**. Additional details relating to matching electronic activities to node profiles are described herein in Section 17 and the descriptions above with respect to FIGS. **2-9**.

[0735] The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** to one or more record objects **1602** of the shadow system of record **9330** using the node profiles **715** to which the electronic activity **9305** was matched. For example, and also referring to FIG. **6**A, among others, the data processing system **9300** can use one or more values **620** from one or more fields **610** to identify candidate record objects. In some implementations, the node profiles **715** can include additional information that isn't extracted from the given electronic activity **9305** being matched to a record object. In this example, matching the electronic activity to a node profile **715** can enable the identification of additional record objects that may not be identified when using only data extracted from the electronic activity **9305**. As illustrated in FIG. **20**, a first node profile **715** is matched to a first and second record object **1602** and a second node profile **715** is matched to the first and a third record object **1602**. Each of the node profiles **715** that are matched with a given electronic activity **9305** can match to the same record objects, different record objects, or first and second sets of record objects that at least partially intersect with one another.

[0736] The record objects **1602** of the shadow system of record **9330** can be synced with the record object **1602** of the system of record **9360**. Syncing the shadow record objects **1602** with the record objects **1602** of the system of record **9360** can include adding values from fields of the shadow record objects **1602** to the corresponding values, such as matched electronic activities **9305**, of the record objects **1602** in the system of record **9360**. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** directly to the system of record **9360**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects in the system of record **9360** without matching the electronic activities **9305** to the record objects in the shadow system or record **9330**.

[0737] FIG. **21** illustrates a block diagram of an example method **2100** to match electronic activities to record objects of systems of record with node profiles. The method **2100** can include maintaining a plurality of node profiles (BLOCK **2102**). The method **2100** can include maintaining, by one or more processors of the data processing system **9300**, a plurality of node profiles. Also referring to FIG. **6**A, among others, each of the node profiles can correspond to a different unique entity, such as a person or company. Each of the node profiles can include a plurality of fields, such as, but not limited to name, email address, company, domain, telephone number. Each of the fields can include one or more value data structures. Each of the value data structures can include node field value and one or more entries corresponding to respective data points that support the node field value of the value data structure. For example, and also referring to FIG. **6**A among others, value data structure 615 can include a value 620, an occurrence metric 625, a confidence score 630 and one or more entries **635***a-n*. The entries **635** can include data (or an indication thereof) the basis for the value **620**, the occurrence metric **625**, and the confidence score **630**. [0738] For example, each entry **635** can identify a data source **640** from which the value was identified (for instance, a source of a system of record or a source of an electronic activity), a number of occurrences of the value that appear in the electronic activity, a time **645** associated with the electronic activity (for instance, at which time the electronic activity occurred) and an electronic activity unique identifier **502** identifying the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the occurrence metric **625** can identify a number of times that value is confirmed or identified from electronic activities or systems of record. The node profile manager 220 can be configured to update the occurrence metric each time the value is confirmed. In some embodiments, the electronic activity can increase the occurrence metric of a value more than once. For instance, for a field such as name, the electronic activity parser can parse multiple portions of an electronic activity. In some embodiments, parsing multiple portions of the electronic activity can provide multiple confirmations of, for example, the name associated with the electronic activity. [0739] The method **2100** can include accessing a plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **2104**). The method **2100** can include accessing, by the one or more processors, a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers. The data processing system **9300** can update the node profiles using the electronic activities. With reference to FIGS. **16-20**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can access a plurality of electronic activities. The electronic activities can be transmitted to the data processing system **9300** from data source providers. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the electronic activities from the data source providers. For example, the data source provider can include or be an email server. The data processing system **9300** can have the authority to access the emails stored on the email server through an API or an HTTP method (e.g., a GET method). [0740] As described herein in relation to FIGS. **4-8**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can update the node profiles based on the accessed electronic activities. For example, the node profile manager **220** can maintain a node profile **715** for each unique entity, such as a person or company. As the data processing system **9300** ingests electronic activities, the node profile manager can update the node profile **715**. The node profiles can be updated by changing one or more confidence scores of respective values corresponding to respective value data structures by

adding additional data points to the value data structure that support the corresponding value. Furthermore, if a particular value of a field of a node profile doesn't exist, the node profile manager can add one or more additional values and corresponding value data structures to the field. The increase or decrease in the confidence score of values of fields can be based on the electronic activity. For example, when an electronic activity, such as an email is successfully transmitted to the intended destination, the node profile manager **220** can update the confidence score of the recipient email value. The data processing system **9300** can determine the email was successfully transmitted to the recipient, for example, if a bounce back email is not received in response to the email.

[0741] The method **2100** can include maintain one or more record objects (BLOCK **2106**). The method **2100** can include maintaining, by the one or more processors, a plurality of record objects for one or more systems of record. Each of the record objects of the plurality of record objects can include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. As described above in relation to FIG. **20**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can make a call to the systems of record **9360** that are associated with each of the data source providers from which the data processing system **9300** can generate a copy of the accessed record objects. The data processing system's copy of the access record objects can be referred to as shadow record objects. The data processing system **9300** can update the shadow record objects and sync the changes back to the record objects in the tenant's system of record.

[0742] As described above in relation to FIG. **10**, each of the record objects can be of a record object type. For example, the record objects can be lead record objects, account record objects, opportunity record objects, or contact record objects. The record objects can be any type of record object in a system of record. The other systems of records can include Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS), such as Lever, located in San Francisco, CA or Talend by Talend Inc., located in Redwood City, CA, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, customer success systems, such as Gainsight located in Redwood City, CA, and Document Management Systems, among others.

[0743] The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects from servers that correspond to the data source provider or data source from which the data processing system **9300** retrieved the electronic activities **9305**. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects **1602** from a system of record **9360**. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects **1602** through an API call. For example, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve a first plurality of record objects corresponding to a first system of record of a first data source provider and second plurality of record objects corresponding to a second system of record of a second data source provider.

[0744] As described herein the system can be configured in a multi-tenant configuration or a single-tenant configuration. In a multi-tenant configuration, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve a respective plurality of record objects that correspond to each of the data source provider (e.g., tenants) associated with the data processing system **9300**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve a plurality of record objects from a first system of record and from a second system of record.

[0745] Each of the record objects can include one or more object fields and corresponding object field values. For example, the record objects can be data structures and the object field values can be values of object fields of the data structure. For example, for a contact record object, the data structure can include fields such as, but not limited to, name, address, email, and phone number, which can be filled with respective field values.

[0746] The method **2100** can include extracting data from an electronic activity (BLOCK **2108**). The method **2100** can include extracting, by the one or more processors, data included in an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities. For example, and referring to FIGS. **5**A-**5**C among others, the data processing system **9300** can one or more recipients **510**, one or more

senders **512** of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify a subject line **514**, an email body **516**, an email signature **518**, and a message header **520** of the electronic activity. The message header can include additional information relating to the transmission and receipt of the email message, including a time at which the email was sent, a message identifier identifying a message, an IP address associated with the message, a location associated with the message, a time zone associated with the sender, a time at which the message was transmitted, received, and first accessed, among others. The electronic message **505** can include additional data in the electronic message **505** or in the header or metadata of the electronic message **505**. In some implementations, the electronic activity can be an email, a call entry, a calendar entry, among others.

[0747] The method **2100** can include matching the electronic activity to a node profile (BLOCK **2110**). The method **2100** can include matching, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to the one or more node profiles based on determining that the extracted data of the electronic activity and the one or more values of the fields of the at least one node profile satisfy a node profile matching policy. For example, as described herein, each value in a value data structure can include a confidence score.

[0748] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can identify a sender and one or more recipients of the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can extract from the electronic activity the sender's email address and the email addresses of the one or more recipients of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to a plurality of node profiles. For example, the data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to a first node profile based on the sender's email address. The data processing system **9300** can also match the electronic activity to one or more additional node profiles based on the extracted recipient email addresses. In some embodiments, strings or values are extracted from electronic activities and associated with candidate or potential fields to form field-value pairs. These field-value pairs extracted from an electronic activity can then be compared with corresponding field-value pairs of node profiles to identify or compute a match score between the electronic activity and respective node profiles having the field-value pairs with which the field-value pairs of the electronic activity are compared.

[0749] In some implementations, the matching policies for the matching of the electronic activity to one or more node profiles can be based on tags associated with the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can determine a relationship between two or more node profiles based on the one or more values of the fields of the two or more node profiles. The data processing system 9300 can assigning one or more tags to the electronic activity based on the relationship between the two or more node profiles. In one example, the data processing system 9300 can assign a personal tag to the electronic activity. For example, the node profile manager 220 can be configured to determine that two node profiles have a personal (non-professional) relationship based on the electronic activities exchanged between the users associated with the node profiles and apply a "personal" tag to the emails between the users. The system can further determine a confidence score for the tag classifying the two node profiles based on how confident the system is in the prediction that the two node profiles have a personal relationship. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can further determine if two nodes have a personal relationship based on commonalities in values in their node profiles, for instance, their home addresses (if they are neighbors), college or school affiliations (alumni/classmates), same last names, other non-professional affiliations, or other signals that may indicate the two node profiles may have a personal relationship. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can determine to not match an electronic activity that is associated with a personal tag to one or more record objects.

[0750] The data processing system **9300** can assign one or more tags to the electronic activity

based on one or more policies. The data processing system 9300 assign the tags based on one or more node profiles associated with a sender or one or more recipients of the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify, based on the body of the electronic activity, that the electronic activity is related to a sales deal and can tag the electronic activity with a sales tag. The data processing system **9300** can assign tags based on a relationship between the one or more node profiles associated with the sender and the one or more recipients of the electronic activity. For example, as described herein the data processing system **9300** can determine whether the users associated with the node profiles have a professional or personal relationship and assign a professional or personal tag to the electronic activity, accordingly. The data processing system 9300 can assign tags to the electronic activity based on one or more strings identified in the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can parse the body of the email with regex to identify an account number and the data processing system **9300** can assign a tag based on the account number. The data processing system **9300** can assign the tags to the electronic activity based on one or more strings identified in the metadata of the electronic activity. For example, the metadata can be a header of an email and can include a domain associated with the sender of the electronic activity and the data processing system 9300 can assign a tag based on the domain identified in the header of the email.

[0751] The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to one or more node profiles based on contribution scores. For example, each data point for a value in a value data structure can include a contribution score. The contribution score can indicate the contribution of the data point to the value. The data point's contribution score can be time dependent. For example, as described in relation to FIG. **7**, among others, the contribution of the data point can decrease over time. In one example, a data point can have a greater contribution score if the data point was recently updated or generated when compared to a data point that was updated or generated in the past. Based on the contribution scores for each of the data points associated with the value, the data processing system **9300** can calculate a confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile. The data processing system **9300** can select the node profiles based on the confidence scores. For example, the electronic activity may match to a plurality of node profiles based on a value of a field in each of the node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can discard each of the node profiles as candidate node profiles if the value of the field in a node profile has a confidence score below a predetermined threshold.

[0752] In some implementations, the contribution score of the data point can be based on a trust score associated with the data source provider. The data processing system **9300** can determine, for a data point, a contribution score for the data point based on the trust score associated with the data source provider. For example, a relatively low trust score can reduce the confidence score of the data point. The trust score can be based on a type of source of the data point.

[0753] The method **2100** can include matching the electronic activity to one or more record objects (BLOCK **2112**). The method **2100** can include matching, by the one or more processors, the electronic activity to at least one record object of the plurality of record objects based on the extracted data of the electronic activity and object values of the at least one record object. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify a sender of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can select a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles based on the sender of the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify the email address of the sender and select a node profile based on the identified email address. Based on the node profile, the data processing system **9300** can identify a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects. For example, and also referring to FIG. **12**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can identify a plurality of account, opportunity, and lead record objects based on the email address of the sender. The data processing system **9300** can also identify one or more record objects based on node field values contained in the identified node profile. For example, the node profile can include a field for teams on which the user is assigned. The field can

include a value in a value data structure for each of the teams on which the user is assigned. The data processing system **9300** can select one or more record objects based on the teams identified in the node profile.

[0754] The data processing system **9300** can identify one or more record objects based on a recipient of the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify a recipient email address of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify a second node profile of the plurality of node profiles based on the recipient of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify a second set of record objects of the plurality of record objects based on the second node profile.

[0755] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can filter or prune the first set of record objects (e.g., the record objects selected based on the sender of the electronic activity) and the second set of record objects (e.g., the record objects selected based on the recipient of the electronic activity). For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify an intersection of the first and second set of record objects (e.g., record objects that are included in both the first and second set of record objects). The data processing system **9300** can match the electronic activity to at least one of the record objects in the intersection of the first set of record objects and the second set of record objects.

[0756] In some implementations, each of the record objects in the intersection of the first set of record objects and the second set of record objects can be referred to as candidate record objects. The candidate record objects can include one or more types of record object types. For example, the record objects can be account record objects, opportunity record objects, or lead record objects, among others. The data processing system 9300 can match the electronic activity with one or more of the record objects in candidate record objects. For example, the data processing system 9300 can match the electronic activity to record objects in the candidate record objects that have different types. For example, the data processing system 9300 can match the electronic activity to an account record object and an opportunity record object. In some implementations, the data processing system 9300 can match the electronic activity to multiple record objects with the same type. For example, the data processing system 9300 can match the electronic activity to two candidate record objects that are both account record objects.

[0757] The method **2100** can include matching the electronic activity to one or more of the identified record objects based on one or more matching policies, rules, heuristic, or filters. The matching policies can be based on the sender and/or recipient of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify a first set of matching policies based on the sender of the electronic activity and a second set of matching polices based on the recipients of the electronic activity. As described herein, the data processing system **9300** can identify a first set of candidate record objects based on the first set of matching policies and a second set of candidate record objects based on the second set of matching policies. The data processing system **9300** can identify an intersection between the first and second sets of candidate record objects.

[0758] For example, and also referring to FIGS. **4** and **18** among others, the filtering engine **270** can first process the electronic activity with filtering rules **1701** to determine whether the electronic activity should be blocked, removed from further processing, redacted, or deleted from the data processing system **9300**. The above described filtering engine can determine the electronic activity should not be matched to a record object based on one or more filtering rules. The filtering rules can restrict the data processing system **9300** from performing further processing or matching on the electronic activity. The filtering rules can include a keyword rule configured to restrict electronic activities including a predetermined keyword; a regex pattern rule configured to restrict electronic activities including one or more character strings that match a predetermined regex pattern; a logic-based rule configured to restrict electronic activities based on the participants of the electronic activities satisfying a predetermined group of participants; or any combination thereof.

[0759] The data processing system **9300** can also use one or more policies (e.g., matching rules

**1702**) to select the candidate record objects. matching policies can be defined by the data source provider of the electronic activity and the system of record to which to match the electronic activity. For example, the data source provider can define rules for electronic activities that should not be matched to the record objects in its system of record **9360**. The rules can include determining that the electronic activity includes one or more predetermined words included in a list of restricted words. For example, electronic activities that include terms or phrases related to a specific product identified by the data source provider or department (e.g., legal department) associated with the data source provider can be identified by the filtering engine **270** for restriction from further processing.

[0760] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can identify, using natural language processing, a string in the electronic activity. The matching policies can include matching the electronic activity to one or more record objects based on the string identified in the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify a string in the body of the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify the string using regex or other pattern matching technique. The string can include an account number or other identifier. The data processing system **9300** can select the candidate record objects based on the string in the body of the electronic activity.

[0761] In some implementations, the matching policies can match the electronic activity to one or more record objects based on tags associated with the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can identify a first subset of record objects based on one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can then match the electronic activity to at least one record object in the first subset of the record objects based on the one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity.

[0762] The method **2100** can include storing an association between the electronic activity and one or more record objects (BLOCK **2114**). The method **2100** can include storing the association in a data structure. Also referring to FIGS. **3** and **4**, among others, the electronic activity can be matched to one or more candidate record objects that are record objects in a shadow system of record (or the system of record) for the data provider that provided the electronic activity.

[0763] In some implementations, once the electronic activity is matched with one or more record objects, the data processing system **9300** can identify subsequent electronic activities that are related to the matched electronic activities. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify emails that are part of the same email chain. The data processing system **9300** can match each of the emails in the email chain to the one or more record objects to which the first email was matched.

[0764] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can detect changes in the stored associations between electronic activities and record objects. Once the electronic activity is matched to a record object a user can accept, reject, or update the linking between the electronic activity and the matched record object. The user can manually remap the linking of the electronic activity from a first record object to a second, different record object. In another example, the data processing system **9300** may automatically rematch electronic activities at predetermined intervals or when the data processing system **9300** receives additional data.

[0765] As described herein, and in relation to the stage classification engine **325**, for example, once one or more electronic activities are matched to a record object (e.g., an opportunity record object), the data processing system **9300** can classify a stage of the record object. The stages can be a stage, step, or task in a business process, a sales process, a hiring process, a support ticket, or other workflow. The stages can be defined by the system or by the data source provider. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify at least a subset of the plurality of electronic activities that are matched to a first record object. The data processing system **9300** can also identify, for each of the electronic activities matched with the record object, one or more node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can determine a stage of the first record object based on the identified one

or more node profiles of each of the subset of electronic activities.

[0766] Using the example of an opportunity record object in a sales process, the stages can indicate the steps taken in an opportunity or deal from the beginning of the deal to the final disposition of the deal (e.g., close and won or closed and lost). The stages can include, but are not limited to: prospecting, developing, negotiation, review, closed/won, or closed/lost.

[0767] In some implementations, the stages can be based on the contacts present or involved on one or more sides of the deal. For example, as the deal advances to higher stages, more senior people may be included in the electronic activities. The stage of the deal can be based on the identification or introduction of the above-described OCR. The data processing system **9300** can identify the OCR or other contacts present or involved on the deal based on the node profiles. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify the node profiles based matched with the one or more electronic activities associated with a record object. Based on the node profiles, the data processing system **9300** can determine each of the contacts roles, positions, or titles. For example, "title" can be one of the fields in the node profile. The data processing system 9300 can use the field node value in the title field to determine the title of the person involved with the record object. The data processing system **9300** can also determine the stage of the record object based on the one or more tags assigned to the electronic activity associated with the record object. [0768] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can maintain a normalized set of stages. The normalized set of stages can be referred to as processor assigned stages. Each of the data source providers can define custom stages for the record objects of the data source provider. Each stage (of the processor assigned stages or the data source provider assigned stages) can indicate a proximity to the completion of an event, task, process, or other workflow. The data processing system **9300** can generate a mapping between the data source provider assigned stages and the processor assigned stages. For example, the stage classification engine 325 can define five, normalized stages. A first data source provider can define a deal or opportunity as including 7 stages. A second data source provider can define a deal or opportunity as including 3 stages. The stage classification engine 325, for the first data source provider, may map stages 1 and 2 to normalized stage 1, stage 3 to normalized stage 2, stage 4 to normalized stage 3, stage 5 to normalized stage **4**, and stages **6** and **7** to normalized stage **5**. Accordingly, the data source provider's stages can be mapped to the normalized stages based on the tasks, requirements, or content of the stages rather than by the naming or numbering of the stages.

17. Linking Electronic Activities to Node Profiles

[0769] The present solution can enable real-time or near real-time linking of electronic activities to node profiles, with increased accuracy. In some systems that maintain data regarding entities, such as individuals or enterprises, including systems of record, the data may be self-reported, such as in response to specific queries to provide data for fields such as first name, last name, title, or email. As such, this data may be inaccurate. For example, when the data was provided, the data may have been inaccurate due to the data being self-reported. At a particular instant in time after the data was provided, due to changes to the data that may have occurred subsequent to when the data was provided and before the data has been updated, the data even if it was previously correct at the time the data was provided, may also eventually become obsolete, stale or inaccurate.

[0770] The present solution described herein can match electronic activities to node profiles maintained by a node graph generation system, that can use the data included in the electronic activities to update node profiles and the values of fields of these node profiles unobtrusively and without requiring any human input. As such, the present disclosure describes solutions for maintaining node profiles that remain accurate as the node profiles do not include self-reported information submitted by a user to update the node profile and because the node profiles are automatically updated as electronic activities are ingested and processed by the system without requiring any human activity. In this way, the present solution can enable dynamic updates to node profiles and a node graph including such node profiles, rather than manual/self-reported updates.

[0771] By linking electronic activities to node profiles, the present solution can increase the accuracy and validity of the node profiles, such as by increasing a likelihood that each node profile represents the true state of the world. For example, when node profiles are used to generate a node graph indicative of a hierarchy or other relationships amongst node profiles, the present solution can more accurately represent values of fields such as hierarchical titles within enterprises that are used to generate the node graph. The present solution can more accurately rank each value of each field (each value representing a potential true state of the world) by dynamically updating the confidence score corresponding to each value responsive to extracting data from electronic activities, so that the present solution outputs an evidence-based estimation of which value is the true value with improved accuracy. As an example, a node profile can include a first email address corresponding to a first enterprise at which the user corresponding to the node profile was employed and a second email corresponding to a subsequent enterprise at which the user corresponding to the node profile was employed. Each of the two email addresses are at respective points in time, accurate and valid. As the person switches jobs, the first email address is no longer valid but the confidence score associated with the first email address can in some embodiments, remain high indicating that the first email address belongs to the node profile. Similarly, the second email address also belongs to the node profile and therefore also can have a confidence score that may start low but increase as more electronic activities including the second email address are processed by the data processing system described herein. After the system determines that the second email address is active and functioning, the system can automatically increase the confidence score of the second email address since the contribution scores provided by recent data points (for example, recent electronic activities identifying the second email address) can contribute towards the higher confidence score while automatically decreasing the confidence score of the first email address since the electronic activities supporting the first email address are getting older and no new electronic activities serve as data points for the first email address. The present solution can thus respond to changes in the true state of the world represented by the node profile using the second email, rather than relying on self-reported information which may be inaccurate and/or delayed.

[0772] Referring further to FIG. 2, among other, the node graph generation system **200** can ingest electronic activities to generate or update node profiles that are maintained by the node graph generation system **200** using data from the electronic activities. For example, as illustrated in FIGS. **5**A**-5**C and **6**B, the node graph generation system **200** can process electronic activities such as an email **505**, a call entry **525**, or a calendar entry **560**. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can process the email **505** to identify a plurality of strings having data from the To: field **510** (to identify a recipient of the email **505**), the From: field **512** (to identify a sender of the email **505**), the email body **516** (to identify a recipient of the email **505**), and the email signature **518** (to identify the sender of the email **505**).

[0773] Using the identified plurality of strings, the node graph generation system **200** can generate activity field-value pairs. Each activity field-value pair can include a data structure that associates a particular field to a value for the field that the node graph generation system **200** extracts from the electronic activity. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can generate a FirstNamevalue pair associating a value of "John" to the first name field, a LastName-value pair associating a value of "Smith" to the last name field, a Title-value pair associating a value of "Director" to the title field, and a CompanyName-value pair associating a value of "ACME" to the company name field based on the email **652***a* illustrated in FIG. **6**B. Because each electronic activity may include multiple strings having data that corresponds to a particular field, the node graph generation system can generate multiple activity-field value pairs from each electronic activity (e.g., multiple first name-value field pairs based on information from a sender field and a signature block). [0774] Referring further to FIG. **6**A, the node graph generation system **200** can maintain a plurality

of node profiles **600**. Each node profile **600** includes a plurality of node field-value pairs

corresponding to attributes **610** and value data structures **615**. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can maintain a first node field-value pair associating a first value **620** (e.g., Va) to field **610(1)**, a second node field-value pair associating a second value **620** (e.g., Vb) to the field **610(1)**, and so on for each value. As shown for the node profile illustrated in the table above, the node graph generation system **200** can generate a first field-value pair associating a value of John to the first name field, and a second field-value pair associating a value of Johnathan to the first name field.

[0775] The node graph generation system **200** can compare the activity field-value pairs of an electronic activity to be matched to respective node field-value pairs of one or more candidate node profiles with which to match the electronic activity. The node graph generation system **200** can compare one or more activity field-value pairs of the electronic activity to corresponding node field-value pairs of a candidate node profile to determine a match score between the electronic activity and the candidate node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can identify one or more node profiles with which to match the electronic activity based on the match score. Node profiles having a match score below a predetermined threshold can be determined not to be matched.

[0776] To compute the match score, the node graph generation system **200** can iterate through each activity field-value pair, identify the field of the activity field-value pair, and identify a corresponding field of a node field-value pair of the node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify the field of the activity field-value pair to be first name, and based on the identification, select the field of the node field-value pairs that will be used for the comparison to be the first name field of the node field-value pairs. The node graph generation system **200** can retrieve the value from the activity field-value pair, retrieve a corresponding value that is associated to the identified field of the node field-value pair, and compare the values. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can select the first name field of a first activity field-value pair, identify a corresponding first name field of a first node field-value pair, retrieve the value of the first name from the first activity field-value pair, retrieve the corresponding value of the first name from the first node field-value pair, and compare the retrieved values. With reference to the electronic activity EA-003 and node profile NPID-12 of FIG. **6**B, the node graph generation system can generate an activity field-value pair of FirstName: John, identify the field to be first name, identify the corresponding first name field of each node field-value pair of the node profile NPID-12, retrieve the first name John from the activity field-value pair, and retrieve the first name John from the node field-value pair (or the first name Johnathan from the second value that is assigned to the first name field of the node profile NPID-12). The node graph generation system **200** can compare the first name John of the activity field-value pair to the first name John of the node field-value pair, and calculate a match score based on the comparison. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can assign a match score of 100 percent based on the comparison of John and John. The node graph generation system **200** may assign a match score less than 100 percent based on the comparison of John and Johnathan. The node graph generation system 200 can calculate match scores for each comparison of the electronic activity and respective candidate node profiles.

[0777] The node graph generation system **200** can compare each match score between the electronic activity and the node profile to a match score threshold to determine whether the electronic activity is to be matched to the node profile. As such, the node graph generation system **200** can use the data extracted from the electronic activity to make decisions such as whether an electronic account associated with the electronic activity was a sender or a recipient of the electronic activity. The node graph generation system **200** can calculate an average (e.g., weighted average) of each match score determined for each comparison for the electronic activity, and compare the weighted average to the match score threshold to determine whether the electronic activity matches the node profile.

[0778] The node graph generation system **200** can apply various rules to determine how to calculate the weighted average. In some implementations, the node graph generation system **200** calculates the weighted average based on a measure of uniqueness of the field of the value used to calculate the match score. The node graph generation system **200** can apply different weights to different fields based on the rarity score of the field. The rarity score of the field can be determined by generating a count of each value of the field across all node profiles maintained by the node graph generation system. If a predetermined number or threshold of values have a frequency count that satisfies a predetermined threshold, the field can have a lower rarity score than another field in which none of the values have a frequency count that exceeds the predetermined threshold. For example, the field FirstName can have a low rarity score because there are a lot of common first names, such as John, Chris, Tom, Ben, Dave, Alex, etc. In contrast, the field Email can have a higher rarity score because email addresses are generally unique to individuals. In some implementations, the system may determine certain emails that may not be personal to an individual but rather belong to a group and the system can discount the influence those emails that belong to a group In some embodiments, info@example.com or help@example.com may be indicative of an email address that does not belong to an individual node profile. In this way, the node graph generation system **200** can assign a first rarity score to the first name field, a second rarity score greater than the first rarity score to the last name field, and a third rarity score greater than the second value to the phone number field. Responsive to the match score satisfying the match score threshold, the node graph generation system **200** can link the electronic activity to the node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can maintain an association in a data structure, the association indicating that the electronic activity is linked to the node profile. [0779] Linking an electronic activity to a node profile includes adding an entry to each value data structure of each value of each field of the node profile that is supported by the electronic activity. As an example, let's say the electronic activity is matched to a first node profile corresponding to John Smith corresponding to a sender of the electronic activity and a second node profile Abigail Xu corresponding to a recipient of the electronic activity. The system can identify each of the values of the fields of the sender's node profile that is supported by the electronic activity, such as the first name of the sender, the last name of the sender, the company of the sender, the email address of the sender and other fields that include values that can be supported by the signature of the sender included in the email. The system can then update the value data structure of each of those values by adding an entry identifying the electronic activity as a data point. As such, the electronic activity can serve as a data point for multiple values of multiple fields of a particular node profile. Similarly, the system can identify each of the values of the recipient's node profile that is supported by the electronic activity and can add entries in respective value data structures of values of fields of the recipient's node profile that are supported by the electronic activity. In this way, the electronic activity not only can update multiple value data structures of a single node profile but can also update the value data structures of multiple node profiles thereby multiplying the impact a single electronic activity can have towards the accuracy and state of the node profiles and the node graph in aggregate.

[0780] Referring now to FIG. **22**, FIG. **22** illustrates a process flow **2200** in which the node graph generation system (NGGS) **200** can use relationship information among multiple electronic activities to more accurately identify the subset of node profiles to which to link the electronic activities. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify a sender of a first electronic activity, such as an email, and a recipient of the first electronic activity, and determine that a subsequent, second electronic activity sent by the recipient to the sender is a reply to the first electronic activity. Based on this relationship and based on information extracted from the second electronic activity used to identify a second subset of node profiles that the second electronic activity is to be linked to, such as node profiles that may potentially represent the recipient, the node graph generation system **200** can effectively increase a match score of linking the first

electronic activity to node profiles of the second subset (which may not necessarily have been identified as node profiles of the recipient based only on information extracted from the first electronic activity). The node graph generation system **200** can execute the process **2200** in real-time by searching for and identifying such relationships responsive to ingesting each second electronic activity, and then updating the corresponding match scores of the first electronic activity based on the links made from the second electronic activity. The node graph generation system **200** can execute the process **2200** periodically and/or in near-real time, such as in a batch processing of electronic activities.

[0781] As illustrated in FIG. 22, the node graph generation system 200 can identify a first electronic activity **2204**. The node graph generation system **200** can extract a first sender **2212** of the first electronic activity **2204**, and determine the first sender to be John Smith (e.g., to have first name John and last name Smith). The node graph generation system **200** can extract a first recipient **2208** of the first electronic activity **2204**, and determine the first recipient to be John Doe (e.g., to have first name John and last name Doe). For example, the node graph generation system 200 can extract, from the signature block 2216 of the first electronic activity 2204, FirstName and LastName strings, and determine the FirstName and LastName string to correspond to the sender **2212** based on the FirstName and LastName strings being extracted from the signature block **2216**. [0782] The node graph generation system **200** can execute various processes described herein to identify a subset of a plurality of node profiles that match the first electronic activity **2204**. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify a subset of the plurality of node profiles that includes a first sender subset 2220*a*-2220*n*, and a first recipient subset 2224*a*-2224*m*. The node graph generation system **200** can respectively assign sender and recipient statuses to the first electronic activity **2204** when identifying the node profiles of the subsets **2220***a***-2220***n*, 2224a-2224m. The node graph generation system 200 can identify the first sender subset 2220a-**2220***n* by determining that match scores of comparing the electronic activity **2204** to the first sender subset **2220***a*-**2220***n* satisfy the match score threshold, and determining that match scores of comparing the first electronic activity **2204** to the first recipient subset **2224***a***-2224***m* satisfy the match score threshold. There may be node profiles for which the comparison results in match scores that do not satisfy the match score threshold, such as if data extracted from the first electronic activity 2204 does not match data of such node profiles, even if such node profiles should match the first electronic activity **22***b***04**.

[0783] The node graph generation system **200** can identify a second electronic activity **2228**. The node graph generation system **200** can extract a second recipient **2232** of the second electronic activity **2228**, and determine the second recipient **2236** to be John Smith. The node graph generation system **200** can extract a second sender **2236** of the second electronic activity **2228**, and determine the second sender **2236** to be John Doe. The node graph generation system **200** can extract, from the signature block **2240**, first name, last name, and office (or cell) phone number information. The node graph generation system **200** can process the second electronic activity **2228** to identify a subset of node profiles that match the second electronic activity **2228**, including a second sender subset **2244***a-m* and a second recipient subset **2248***a-m*.

[0784] The node graph generation system **200** can determine that the second electronic activity **2228** is a reply to or a forward of the first electronic activity **2204**. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can process metadata of the second electronic activity **2228** to identify a status indicator indicating that the second electronic activity **2228** is a reply to or a forward of the first electronic activity **2204**. The node graph generation system **200** can parse a subject line of the second electronic activity **2228** to determine that the second electronic activity **2228** is a reply to or a forward of the first electronic activity **2204**, such as if the subject line of the second electronic activity **2204** that has been appended to the characters "RE:" or "FW" (in various type cases of those characters).

[0785] Responsive to determining that the second electronic activity **2228** is a reply to the first

electronic activity **2204**, the node graph generation system **200** can determine at least one of (i) the recipient of the second electronic activity **2228** is the sender of the first electronic activity **2204** or (ii) the sender of the second electronic activity **2228** is the recipient of the first electronic activity **2204**. Based on these determinations, the node graph generation system **200** can update the first recipient subset **2224***a-m* to include at least one node profile of the second sender subset **2244***a-m* that did not satisfy the match score threshold when the node graph generation system **200** initially identified the first recipient subset **2224***a-m*. For example, when identifying the second sender subset **2244***a-m*, the node graph generation system **200** may have identified at least one node profile that satisfied the match score threshold because of the phone number extracted from the signature block **2240**. The node graph generation system **200** can update the first sender subset **2220***a-n* to include at least one node profile of the second recipient subset **2248***a-m*. By using the send and reply relationships of electronic activities **2204** and **2228**, the node graph generation system **200** can more precisely identify the subsets **2220***a-n*, **2224***a-m* to which to link the first electronic activity **2204**, and more precisely identify the subsets **2244***a-m* and **2248***a-m* to which to link the second electronic activity **2228**.

[0786] Referring now to FIG. 23, FIG. 23 illustrates a method 2300 of linking electronic activities to node profiles. The method 2300 can include accessing a plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK 2302). With reference to FIGS. 16-18, among others, the data processing system 9300 can access a plurality of electronic activities. The electronic activities can be transmitted to the data processing system 9300 from data source providers. The data processing system 9300 can retrieve the electronic activities from the data source providers. For example, the data source provider can include or be an email server. The data processing system 9300 can have the authority to access the emails stored on the email server through an API or an HTTP method (e.g., a GET method). The plurality of electronic activities can be received via electronic accounts associated with a plurality of data source providers.

[0787] The data processing system **9300** maintains a plurality of node profiles. Each node profile can include information such as first name, last name, company, and job title, each of which are represented by fields having one or more values, each value having a confidence score assigned to the value. The data processing system **9300** is configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the plurality of electronic activities.

[0788] The method **2300** can include identifying a plurality of strings from data included in an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities, such as to link the electronic activity to one or more node profiles (BLOCK **2304**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can execute the electronic activity parser **210** to identify the plurality of strings. The plurality of strings can correspond to fields including a first name field, a last name field, an email address field, a phone number field, a title field, and a company field.

[0789] The method **2300** can include generating a plurality of activity field-value pairs from the plurality of strings (BLOCK **2306**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can use an electronic activity parsing policy to generate the plurality of activity field-value pairs. Each activity field-value pair can include a data structure that associates a value extracted from a string of the plurality of strings to a particular field represented by the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify a value of a first name from the electronic activity (e.g., "John"), and associate the identified value to a first name field, to generate an activity field-value pair such as First Name: John. The data processing system **9300** can use the electronic activity parser **210** to execute the electronic activity parsing policy, such as to identify strings from metadata as well as non-metadata of the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify a first string from a portion of the electronic activity, and determine a confidence score that the first string is a first name by at least one of (i) comparing the first string to a plurality of values of a first name field of the plurality of node profiles or (ii) a portion of the electronic activity from which the first string was identified.

[0790] The method **2300** can include comparing the plurality of activity field-value pairs to respective node field-value pairs of one or more node profiles (BLOCK **2308**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare each activity field-value pair to respective field-value pairs of each of the one or more node profiles to identify a subset of activity field-value pairs that match respective node field-value pairs of the one or more node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can identify a field of the activity field-value pair, retrieve the value associated to the identified field, identify a corresponding field of the node field-value pairs, and compare the value retrieved from the activity field-value pair to each value associated to the corresponding field each node field-value pair. The data processing system **9300** can identify a string type of the string corresponds to a field type of the activity field or the node field in order to retrieve the values that are compared.

[0791] The method **2300** can include generating a match score of the node profile indicating a likelihood that the electronic activity is transmitted or received by an account corresponding to the node profile based on the comparison (BLOCK 2310). The data processing system 9300 can generate the match scores using the comparisons of respective values of activity field-value pairs and node field-value pairs. For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare characters of the value (e.g., of the string from which the value is extracted) to values of the corresponding field of the activity-field value pairs. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** determines a weighted average of a plurality of match scores for a plurality of values of the electronic activity (e.g., each value of each activity field-value pair). The data processing system **9300** can determine the weighted average by assigning a uniqueness score as a weight to each value used to determine the weighted average. For example, the data processing system **9300** can assign a uniqueness score based on the field to which the value is associated (e.g., a value of a first name field has a lesser uniqueness score than a value of a last name field, which has a lesser uniqueness score than a value of a phone number field). The data processing system **9300** can assign a uniqueness score based on a rarity of the value (e.g., some first names may be more rare than other first names); the data processing system **9300** can assign the uniqueness score of each value based on how many node profiles include the same value for the given field relative to the total number of node profiles.

[0792] The method **2300** can include determining a subset of the plurality of node profiles to which to link the electronic activity, responsive to determining that the match score of each node profile of the subset of the plurality of node profiles satisfies a threshold (BLOCK **2312**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare each match score of each electronic activity (which may be a weighted average) to the threshold, and select the subset of the plurality of node profiles for which the comparison satisfies the threshold.

[0793] The method 2300 can include updating a data structure to include an association between the electronic activity and each node profile of the subset of the plurality of node profiles (BLOCK 2314). For example, the data processing system 9300 can generate a data structure that includes a link indicating a connection between the electronic activity and the node profiles of the subset of the plurality of node profiles. In some implementations, the data processing system 9300 adds entries to the node profiles of the subset of the plurality of node profiles to identify the electronic activity responsive to determining the electronic to match the node profiles. For example, the data processing system 9300 can add an entry to a value data structure of the value of the field of the node profile that is used to match the electronic activity to the node profile. For example, the data processing system 9300 can determine that the string used to match the electronic activity to the node profile is a string of a first name, such as the string having the value "John," and in response can add an entry to a value data structure that includes the value John assigned to the first name field of the node profile to identify the electronic activity. The data processing system 9300 can determine that a second string used to match the electronic activity is a string of a second field type, such as a last name field type corresponding to the string having the value "Smith," and in

response can add a second entry to a value data structure that includes the value Smith assigned to the last name field of the node profile to identify the electronic activity. In some implementations, the node profile may not have an existing value data structure corresponding to each value retrieved from the electronic activity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can determine that the node profile has a value data structure that matches the value John for the first name field, but does not have a value data structure that matches the value Smith (retrieved from the same electronic activity as the value John) for the last name field, and the data processing system **9300** can generate a value data structure that includes the value Smith for the last name field. [0794] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** determines a contribution score of the entry that is added to identify the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can determine the contribution score based on a trust score of a source of the electronic activity. The contribution score can be indicative of the data point's contribution towards the confidence score of the value. The contribution score of a data point can decay over time as the data point becomes staler. For example, the contribution score can be based on a time at which the data point (e.g., the value) was generated or last updated. The data processing system 9300 can use the contribution score to determine a confidence score of the value. The data processing system **9300** can use each contribution score associated with each entry that indicates the value to calculate the confidence score of the value. For example, for the value "John" of a first name field, the data processing system **9300** can determine a weighted average of contribution scores of each electronic activity from which the value "John" is identified to determine the confidence score. By linking electronic activities to node profiles, and using contribution scores to determine the confidence score of each value, the data processing system **9300** can use the electronic activities as data points that support the value associated with the field, such as to enable an objective an accurate indication of the value that should correspond to the electronic account that the node profile represents. [0795] In some implementations, the method **2300** includes selecting a first node profile of the subset of the plurality of node profiles based on the match score of the first node profile, and linking the electronic activity with the first node profile. For example, the data processing system **9300** can rank each node profile of the subset of the plurality of node profiles based on each respective match score, and select the first node profile as the node profile having a greatest match score in order to link the node profile having the greatest match score to the electronic activity. 18. Linking Record Objects to Node Profiles [0796] The present solution can enable real-time or near real-time linking of record objects to node

profiles, with increased accuracy. In some systems that maintain data regarding entities, such as individuals or enterprises, including systems of record, the data may be self-reported, such as in response to specific queries to provide data for fields such as first name, last name, title, or email. As such, this data may be inaccurate. For example, when the data was provided, the data may have been inaccurate due to the data being self-reported. At a particular instant in time after the data was provided, due to changes to the data that may have occurred subsequent to when the data was provided and before the data has been updated, the data even if it was previously correct at the time the data was provided, may also eventually become obsolete, stale or inaccurate.

[0797] The present solution described herein can match record objects of systems of record to node profiles maintained by a node graph generation system, that can use the data included in the record objects to update node profiles and the values of fields of these node profiles unobtrusively and without requiring any direct human input. As such, the present disclosure describes solutions for maintaining node profiles that remain accurate as the node profiles do not rely directly on self-reported information submitted by a user to update the node profile and because the node profiles are automatically updated as record objects are ingested and processed by the system without requiring any human activity. In this way, the present solution can enable dynamic updates to node profiles and a node graph including such node profiles, rather than manual/self-reported updates. Even if the underlying record objects include self-reported information, the present solution can

maintain contribution scores of each data source of record objects, update the contribution scores based on verification of the data extracted from the data source, and determine confidence scores of each value of a field of the node profile based on the contribution scores of the record objects that support that value.

[0798] By linking record objects to node profiles, the present solution can increase the accuracy and validity of the node profiles, such as by increasing a likelihood that each node profile represents the true state of the world. For example, when node profiles are used to generate a node graph indicative of a hierarchy or other relationships amongst node profiles, the present solution can more accurately represent values of fields such as job titles that are used to generate the node graph. The present solution can more accurately rank each value of each field (each value representing a potential true state of the world) by dynamically updating the confidence score corresponding to each value responsive to extracting data from record objects, so that the present solution outputs an evidence-based estimation of which value is the true value with improved accuracy. As an example, a node profile can include a first email address corresponding to a first job and a second email corresponding to a subsequent job. Each of the two email addresses are at respective points in time, accurate and valid. As the person switches jobs, the first email address is no longer valid but the confidence score associated with the email address can in some embodiments, remain high indicating that the first email address belongs to the node profile. Similarly, the second email address also belongs to the node profile and therefore also has a high confidence score. After the system determines that the second email address is active and functioning, the system can assign a higher confidence score to the second email address relative to the first email address since the contribution scores provided by recent data points (for example, recent record objects identifying the second email address) can contribute towards the higher confidence score. The present solution can thus respond to changes in the true state of the world represented by the node profile using the second email, rather than relying on self-reported information which may be inaccurate and/or delayed.

[0799] Referring further to FIG. **2**, among others, the node graph generation system **200** can ingest record objects to generate or update node profiles that are maintained by the node graph generation system **200** using data from the record objects. For example, as illustrated in FIG. **10**, the node graph generation system **200** can process record objects or data records of a system of record, such as a customer relationship management (CRM) system. The node graph generation system **200** can process record objects of systems of records such as Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS), such as Lever, located in San Francisco, CA or Talend by Talend Inc., located in Redwood City, CA, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, customer success systems, such as Gainsight located in Redwood City, CA, Document Management Systems, among others. As illustrated in FIG. **10**, the record objects can include a lead record object **1000**, an account record object **1002**, an opportunity record object **1004**, or a contact record object **1006**.

[0800] The node graph generation system **200** can process the record objects to identify a plurality of object fields of the record objects. For example, each record object can have one or more object field-value pairs. The node graph generation system **200** can process the record object to identify values from structured data fields of the record objects. In some embodiments, the node graph generation system **200** can execute a record object parsing policy to identify values from unstructured data of the record objects, such as by executing functions of the record data extractor **230**. The node graph generation system **200** can generate a plurality of object field-value pairs that associate the identified values to the corresponding fields. Because each record object may include multiple strings having data that corresponds to a particular field, the node graph generation system **200** can generate multiple object field-value pairs from each electronic activity (e.g., multiple first name-value field pairs based on multiple record entries of the record object).

[0801] Referring further to FIG. **6**A, the node graph generation system **200** can maintain a plurality of node profiles **600**. Each node profile **600** includes a plurality of node field-value pairs

corresponding to attributes **610** and value data structures **615**. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can maintain a first node field-value pair associating a first value **620** (e.g., Va) to field **610**(1), a second node field-value pair associating a second value **620** (e.g., Vb) to the field **610**(1), and so on for each value. As shown for the node profile illustrated in the table above, the node graph generation system **200** can generate a first field-value pair associating a value of John to the first name field, and a second field-value pair associating a value of Johnathan to the first name field.

[0802] The node graph generation system **200** can compare the object field-value pairs of a record object to be matched to respective node field-value pairs of one or more candidate node profiles with which to match the record object. The node graph generation system **200** can compare one or more object field-value pairs of the record object to corresponding node field-value pairs of a candidate node profile to determine a match score between the record object and the candidate node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can identify a node profile with which to match the record object based on the match score. Node profiles having a match score below a predetermined threshold can be determined not to be matched.

[0803] To compute the match score, the node graph generation system **200** can iterate through each object field-value pair, identify the field of the node field-value pair, and identify a corresponding field of a node field-value pair of the node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify the field of the object field-value pair to be first name, and based on the identification, select the field of the node field-value pairs that will be used for the comparison to be the first name field of the node field-value pairs. The node graph generation system **200** can retrieve the value from the object field-value pair, retrieve a corresponding value that is associated to the identified field of the node field-value pair, and compare the values. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can select the first name field of a first object field-value pair, identify a corresponding first name field of a first node field-value pair, retrieve the value of the first name from the first object field-value pair, retrieve the corresponding value of the first name from the first node field-value pair, and compare the retrieved values.

[0804] With reference to the account record object **1002** of FIG. **10** and node profile NPID-12 of FIG. **6**B, the node graph generation system can generate an object field-value pair of Field **1**: XYZ (e.g., "John"), identify the field to be first name, identify the corresponding first name field of each node field-value pair of the node profile NPID-12, retrieve the first name John from the object field-value pair, and retrieve the first name John from the node field-value pair (or the first name Johnathan from the second value that is assigned to the first name field of the node profile NPID-12). The node graph generation system **200** can compare the first name John of the object fieldvalue pair to the first name John of the node field-value pair, and calculate a match score based on the comparison. The node graph generation system can then match object field value pairs of the record object with remaining field-value pairs of the node profile and based on the comparison of these object field value pairs and field-value pairs, determine a match score between the record object and the node profile based on a number of pairs that matched. In some embodiments, the match score can be based on which node field-value pairs matched. For instance, node field-value pairs that are more unique to node profiles in the node graph generation system can contribute more to the match score than node field-value pairs that are less unique. For example, the field-value pair for a very large company, such as Google may not be as unique as a cell phone number of a particular person. Moreover, node field-value pairs that have values with a higher confidence score can contribute more to the match score than field-value pairs that have values with a lower confidence score to improve the accuracy of linking or matching record objects to node profiles. The node graph generation system **200** can calculate match scores for each comparison of the record object and respective candidate node profiles.

[0805] The node graph generation system **200** can compare each match score between the record object and the node profile to a match score threshold to determine whether the record object is to

be matched to the node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can calculate an average (e.g., weighted average) of each match score determined for each comparison for the record object, and compare the weighted average to the match score threshold to determine whether the record object matches the node profile.

[0806] The node graph generation system **200** can apply various rules to determine how to calculate the weighted average. In some implementations, the node graph generation system **200** calculates the weighted average based on a measure of uniqueness of the field of the value used to calculate the match score. The node graph generation system **200** can apply different weights to different fields based on the rarity score of the field. The rarity score of the field can be determined by generating a count of each value of the field across all node profiles maintained by the node graph generation system. If a predetermined number or threshold of values have a frequency count that satisfies a predetermined threshold, the field can have a lower rarity score than another field in which none of the values have a frequency count that exceeds the predetermined threshold. For example, the field FirstName can have a low rarity score because there are a lot of common first names, such as John, Chris, Tom, Ben, Dave, Alex, etc. In contrast, the field Email can have a higher rarity score because email addresses are generally unique to individuals. In some implementations, the system may determine certain emails that may not be personal to an individual but rather belong to a group and the system can discount the influence those emails that belong to a group In some embodiments, info@example.com or help@example.com may be indicative of an email address that does not belong to an individual node profile. In this way, the node graph generation system **200** can assign a first rarity score to the first name field, a second rarity score greater than the first rarity score to the last name field, and a third rarity score greater than the second value to the phone number field.

[0807] In some implementations, the node graph generation system **200** calculates the weighted average based on a measure of uniqueness of the value in addition to or in contrast to the rarity score of the field. For example, certain names may be more unique (e.g., rare) than other names. The node graph generation system **200** can maintain a uniqueness data structure mapping each value of each field of each of the plurality of node profiles to a corresponding uniqueness or frequency count, and retrieve the uniqueness using the value. The node graph generation system **200** can generate the uniqueness data structure using the plurality of node profiles, and update the uniqueness data structure responsive to receiving node profile data. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can count a number of each unique value of the node profiles, and calculate the uniqueness for each unique value based on the count. The node graph generation system **200** can thus rely more heavily on data extracted from the record object that has a higher likelihood of specifically corresponding to the node profile (e.g., rather than matching the record object from which the first name value of John was extracted to every node profile having the first name John). [0808] Responsive to the match score satisfying the match score threshold, the node graph generation system **200** can link the electronic activity to the node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can maintain an association in a data structure. The association can indicate that the electronic activity is linked to the node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can update a confidence score of each value of the node profile that matches corresponding value(s) extracted from the electronic activity.

[0809] Referring further to FIG. **10**, the node graph generation system **200** can use relationship information amongst record objects to more precisely determine the subset of node profiles that match the record objects. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify that two record objects are linked based on data such as opportunity contact role (OCR) objects, a conversion of a lead record object **100** into a contact record object **1006**, an account record object **1002**, and an opportunity record object **1004**, or other links between record objects, including explicit or implicit linking of record objects. Responsive to identifying a link between two record objects, the node graph generation system **200** can use the node profiles that are determined to

match one of the record objects to update the matches to the other record object. For example, responsive to determining that the lead record object **1000** is linked to the account record object **1002**, the node graph generation system **200** can increase a match score between the lead record object and node profiles that are matched to the account record object **1002**. This can be useful in the event where a few node profiles have a high match score with a first node profile but there are many node profiles that are candidate matches to a second record object linked to the first record object. In such an event, the system can identify the node profiles linked to the first record object to identify the same node profiles from the many node profiles that are candidate matches to the second record object.

[0810] For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify the lead record object **1000**, and determine a first subset of node profiles that match the lead record object as described herein, such as by comparing object field-value pairs of the lead record object to node field-value pairs of the node profiles of the first subset and evaluating match scores of the comparison relating to a match score threshold. The node graph generation system **200** can determine that the lead record object 1000 is linked to the account record object 1002 from information included in the record objects or the system of record. The node graph generation system **200** can determine a second subset of node profiles that match the account record object **1002** as described herein, such as by comparing object field-value pairs of the account record object **1002** to node field-value pairs of the node profiles and evaluating match scores of the comparison relative to a match score threshold. In some implementations, responsive to determining that the account record object **1002** is linked to the lead record object **1000**, the node graph generation system **200** can add the node profiles of the second subset to the node profiles of the first subset. In some implementations, responsive to determining that the account record object **1002** is linked to the lead record object **1000**, the node graph generation system **200** increases a match score of the comparison of the node field-value pairs of the node profiles of the second subset to the object field-value pairs of the lead record object **1000**. As such, even if certain record objects have incomplete information that may result in inaccurately low match scores, by using the linking between record objects, the node graph generation system 200 can more accurately identify the node profiles that match the record objects (and thus the node profiles to which to link the record objects). This improves the accuracy of the matches made between record objects and node profiles and further improves the accuracy of the values of the fields of the node profile, thereby improving the accuracy of the node graph and the insights and analytics derived from the node profiles and the node graph.

[0811] Referring now to FIG. **24**, FIG. **24** illustrates a method **2400** of linking record objects to node profiles. The method **2400** can include accessing a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record (BLOCK **2402**). Each record object corresponds to a record object type (e.g., lead record object, account record object, among others) and includes one or more object field-value pairs associating an object field value to a corresponding field of the record object. The systems of record correspond to one or more data source providers. The data processing system **9300** can retrieve the record objects from the systems of record.

[0812] The method **2400** can include maintaining a plurality of node profiles corresponding to a plurality of unique entities (BLOCK **2404**). Each node profile includes one or more field-value pairs associating a node field value to a corresponding field of the node profile. For example, the data processing system **9300** can maintain a plurality of node profiles that can include information such as first name, last name, company, and job title, each of which are represented by fields having one or more values, each value having a confidence score assigned to the value. The data processing system **9300** is configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the plurality of record objects.

[0813] The method **2400** can include identifying a record object to match to at least one node profile of the plurality of node profiles (BLOCK **2406**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can parse the system of record of the record object to identify the record object periodically,

or responsive to detecting or receiving an indication of an update to the system of record. The data processing system **9300** can identify from each record object a plurality of object field-value pairs that associate a value of an object field to the object field. For example, the data processing system **9300** can identify a first name field of the record object, extract the first name from the first name field, and generate the object field-value pair to associate the first name to the first name field. [0814] The method **2400** can include comparing the object field values of the one or more object field-value pairs of the record object to corresponding node field values of the corresponding fields of the node profile (BLOCK **2408**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare each object field-value pair to respective field-value pairs of each of the one or more node profiles to identify a subset of object field-value pairs that match respective node field-value pairs of the one or more node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can identify a field of the object field-value pair, retrieve the value associated to the identified field, identify a corresponding field of the node field-value pairs, and compare the value retrieved from the object field-value pair to each value associated to the corresponding field each node field-value pair.

[0815] The method **2400** can include generating a match score based on the comparison that indicates a likelihood that the record object corresponds to the node profile (BLOCK 2410). The data processing system **9300** can generate the match scores using the comparisons of respective values of object field-value pairs and node field-value pairs. For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare characters of the value (e.g., of the string from which the value is extracted) to values of the corresponding field of the object field-value pairs. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** determines a weighted average of a plurality of match scores for a plurality of values of the record object (e.g., each value of each object fieldvalue pair). The data processing system **9300** can determine the weighted average by assigning a uniqueness score as a weight to each value used to determine the weighted average. For example, the data processing system 9300 can assign a uniqueness score based on the field to which the value is associated (e.g., a value of a first name field has a lesser uniqueness score than a value of a last name field, which has a lesser uniqueness score than a value of a phone number field). The data processing system **9300** can assign a uniqueness score based on a rarity of the value (e.g., some first names may be more rare than other first names); the data processing system **9300** can assign the uniqueness score of each value based on how many node profiles include the same value for the given field relative to the total number of node profiles.

[0816] The method **2400** can include determining a subset of the plurality of node profiles with which to link the record object responsive to determining that the match score of each node profile of the subset satisfies a threshold (BLOCK **2412**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare each match score of each record object (which may be a weighted average) to the threshold, and select the subset of the plurality of node profiles for which the comparison satisfies the threshold.

[0817] The method **2400** can include updating a first value data structure of the first node field value by adding an entry identifying the record object (BLOCK **2414**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can generate a data structure that includes a link indicating a connection between the record object and the node profiles of the subset of the plurality of node profiles. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** adds entries to the node profiles of the subset of the plurality of node profiles to identify the record object responsive to determining the record object to match the node profiles. For example, the data processing system **9300** can add an entry to a value data structure of the value of the field of the node profile that is used to match the record object to the node profile. For example, the data processing system **9300** can determine that the data used to match the record object to the node profile is of a first name field, such as a string having the value "John," and in response can add an entry identifying the record object to a value data structure that includes the value John assigned to the first name field of the node profile. The data processing system **9300** can determine that a second string used to match the record object is

of a second field type, such as a last name field type corresponding to the string having the value "Smith," and in response can add a second entry identifying the record object to a value data structure that includes the value Smith assigned to the last name field of the node profile. In some implementations, the node profile may not have an existing value data structure corresponding to each value retrieved from the record object. For example, the data processing system **9300** can determine that the node profile has a value data structure that matches the value John for the first name field, but does not have a value data structure that matches the value Smith (retrieved from the same record object as the value John) for the last name field, and the data processing system **9300** can generate a value data structure that includes the value Smith for the last name field. [0818] The method **2400** can include updating a confidence score of the first node field value based on the entry identifying the record object (BLOCK **2416**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can increase the confidence score responsive to adding the entry, as the entry will further support an expectation that the value is a true, accurate value for that field. The data processing system **9300** can update the confidence score based on a contribution score of the entry. The contribution score can indicate a trustworthiness of the source of the entry, and can be updated over time by periodically comparing values of record objects retrieved from particular data sources (e.g., systems of record) to known values (or values having high confidence). For example, the data processing system 9300 can generate the contribution score based on a trust score assigned to the system of record that is associated with the record object. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** determines the confidence score for the first node field value based on the contribution scores of the entries used to provide the values to the first node field value. For example, the data processing system **9300** can determine the confidence score based on an average of the contribution scores. The contribution score can be based on a time at which the record object was last updated or modified relative to a time at which the contribution score is calculated, such as to decrease the contribution score based on a difference between the two times.

[0819] In some implementations, the record object includes multiple object field-value pairs. The data processing system **9300** can match a first object field value to a first node field value, and a second object field value to a second node field value. The data processing system **9300** can generate a first confidence score for the first node field value based on the entry that identifies the record object, and can generate a second confidence score for the second node field value based on the entry that identifies the record object.

[0820] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** maintains a shadow record object corresponding to the record object. Responsive to matching the record object to the subset of node profiles, the data processing system **9300** can add values from the node profile(s) of the subset to the shadow record object, which can facilitate completing or updating the shadow record object. For example, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve one or more values from the node profile(s) of the subset and add the one or more values to one or more shadow object fields of the shadow record object. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** provides a notification to a device to update a value of the object field of the record object based on the one or more values added to the one or more shadow object fields of the shadow record object. As such, the data processing system **9300** can increase a completeness of the record object by matching the record object to the subset of node profiles, and then using values from the subset of node profiles to complete the record object. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** identifies the values from the subset of node profiles to add to the shadow record object and/or the record object responsive to the confidence scores of the values satisfying a confidence score threshold, which can increase the accuracy of the completion of the shadow record object and/or the record object.

[0821] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** uses values of the subset of node profiles to update the record object responsive to matching the record object to the subset of node profiles, which can increase the accuracy of the record object, and thus enable features such as

more accurate determination of stages associated with the record object. For example, the data processing system **9300** can determine that a particular object field value of a field of the record object is different than a node field value of a corresponding field of the node profile. In response, the data processing system **9300** can retrieve the confidence score of the node field value, and compare the confidence score to a predetermined threshold. Responsive to the confidence score satisfying the predetermined threshold, such as being greater than the predetermined threshold, the data processing system **9300** can generate a request to update the object field value of the record object. The data processing system **9300** can generate the request to include the node field value that has the confidence score that satisfied the predetermined threshold and/or cause the system of record to update the object field value to be the node field value.

19. Generating Confidence Scores of Values of Fields Based on Data Points [0822] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for generating and updating confidence scores of values of one or more field of node profiles. By generating and updating confidence scores of values, a system can determine, at any point in time, a current state of the node profile while providing a level of confidence for each value. In existing systems that may maintain some form of a node profile, the node profile can include values that are static and only get updated responsive to a change made by a user. In the present disclosure, because the node profiles include value data structures that are continually updated by adding entries identifying new data points that support the value, the system is able to dynamically update the node profile without any user intervention, while at the same time, compute a confidence score of one or more values of the node profile. This allows a user querying the system to determine, at any given point in time, a state of the node profile, including the state of the node profile at any point in the past. [0823] Moreover, the present disclosure can generate and update confidence scores of values of one or more fields of multiple node profiles. In some embodiments, the present disclosure can update node profiles, or more particularly, value data structures of node profiles as the system ingests and processes one or more electronic activities or record objects of systems of record. A single electronic activity or record object can serve as a data point for multiple value data structures of either a single node profile or multiple node profiles. In this way, a single electronic activity can update multiple node profiles simultaneously, accelerating the speed at which the system can generate and update node profiles and construct the node graph based on the node profiles. Based on the present disclosure, confidence scores of values can be generated and updated as more data points are processed, and as a result of dynamically and automatically updating confidence scores by assigning data points to values of fields of node profiles, the system can maintain and update a node graph of node profiles that is updated without human intervention and is more accurate than existing systems as it is dynamically updated, the source of data used to update the node profiles is not centralized and not reported by any one individual or user. Moreover, because electronic activities are constantly being generated, ingested and processed, the node profiles do not remain static. Referring now to FIG. 25, FIG. 25 illustrates a series of electronic activities between two nodes. As described herein, and also referring to FIGS. 6B and 8, a first node N1 and a second node N2 may exchange a series of electronic activities. FIG. 25 also shows a representation of two electronic activities and representations of two node profiles of two nodes at two different states according to embodiments of the present disclosure.

[0824] As shown in FIG. **25**, a first electronic activity sent at a first time, T=T**1**, and a second electronic activity sent at a second time, T=T.sub.2, are shown. The first electronic activity **652***a* includes or is associated with a first electronic activity identifier **654***a* ("EA-001"). The second electronic activity **652***b* includes or is associated with a second electronic activity identifier **654***b* ("EA-002"). The system **200** can assign the first electronic activity identifier **654***b* to the second electronic activity **652***b*. In some embodiments, the system **200** can assign the first and second electronic activities' unique electronic activity identifiers to allow the system to uniquely identify each

electronic activity processed by the system **200**. Collectively, the first and second electronic activities can be referred to herein as electronic activities 652 or individually as electronic activity **652**. Each electronic activity can include corresponding metadata, as described above, a body, and a respective signature **660***a* and **660***b* included in the body of the respective electronic activity **652**. [0825] The second electronic activity can be sent as a response to the first electronic activity. The system **200** can determine that the second electronic activity is a response to the first electronic activity using one or more response detection techniques based on signals included in the electronic activity including the metadata of the electronic activity, the subject line of the electronic activity, the participants of the electronic activity, and the body of the electronic activity. For instance, the system can determine that the second electronic activity has a timestamp after the first electronic activity. The system **200** can determine that the second electronic activity identifies the sender of the first electronic activity **652***a* as a recipient of the second electronic activity **652***b*. The system can determine that the second electronic activity includes a subject line that matches one or more words of the subject line of the first electronic activity. In some embodiments, the system can determine that the second electronic activity includes a subject line that includes the entire string of characters of the subject line of the first electronic activity and the string of characters is preceded by "RE:" or some other predetermined set of characters indicating that the second electronic activity is a reply. In some embodiments, the system can determine that the body of the second electronic activity includes the body of the first electronic activity. The system **200** can also determine that the second electronic activity is a response to the first electronic activity based on the participants included in both the electronic activities. Furthermore, in some embodiments, the system **200** can determine if the second electronic activity is a forward of the first electronic activity or a reply all of the first electronic activity.

[0826] FIG. **25** also includes two representations of two node profiles associated with the first node N**1** and the second node N**2** at two different times, T=T.sub.1 and T=T.sub.2. The node profile NPID-1 corresponds to a first node profile of the first node N**1**, who is the sender of the electronic activities **652***a*. The first representation **662***a***1** of the first node profile was updated after the first electronic activity **652***a* was ingested by the node graph generation system **200** but before the second electronic activity **652***b* was ingested by the system **200**. The second representation **662***b***1** of the first node profile was updated after the first and second electronic activities **652***a* and **652***b* were ingested by the node graph generation system **200**.

[0827] The node profile NPID-2 corresponds to a second node profile of one of the recipients of the electronic activity **652***a* and the sender of the second electronic activity **652***b*. The first representation **662***a***2** of the second node profile was updated after the first electronic activity **652***a* was ingested by the node graph generation system **200** but before the second electronic activity **652***b* was ingested by the system **200**. The second representation **662***b***2** of the second node profile was updated after the first and second electronic activities **652***a* and **652***b* were ingested by the node graph generation system **200**.

[0828] In some embodiments, as described herein, the node profile manager 220 of the system 200 can maintain, for each value of each field of each node profile, a value data structure that can be stored as a multidimensional array. The multidimensional array can include a list of entries identifying data points that identify electronic activities or system of records that contribute to the value of the field. Each data point can be associated with a source. For emails or other electronic activities, the source can be a mail server of a data source provider. For record objects, the source of the record object can be a system of record of the data source provider. Each source of a respective data point can have an associated trust score that can be used to determine how much weight to assign to the data point from that source. Each data point can also identify a time at which the data point was generated (for instance, in the case of a data point derived from an electronic activity such as an email, the time the data point was generated can be the time the electronic activity was sent or received). In the case of a data point being derived from a system of

record, the time the data point was generated can be the time the data point can be entered into the system of record or the time the data point was last accessed, modified, confirmed, or otherwise validated in or by the system of record. The source of the data point and the time the data point was generated, last accessed, updated or modified, can be used to determine a contribution score of the data point, which can be used to determine the confidence score of the value. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can generate, compute or assign a contribution score to each data point. The contribution score can be indicative of the data point's contribution towards the confidence score of the value. The contribution scores of a data point can decay over time as the data point becomes staler. The contribution scores of each of the data points derived from electronic activities and systems of record can be used to compute the confidence score of the value of a field of the node profile.

[0829] Each of the representations **662** of the first and second node profiles can include fields and corresponding values. For example, in the first representation **662***a***1**, the field "First Name" is associated with the value John. The first representation **662***a***1** of the first node profile also includes the field "Title" which is associated with the value "Director." The values of the last name and cell phone number remain the same in both the representations **662***a***1** and **662***b***1** of the first node profile. In another example, in the first representation **662***a***2** of the second node profile, the field "First Name" is associated with the value Abigail. The first representation **662***a***2** of the second node profile does not include the field "Title" as that information may not have been available to the system **200**. It should be appreciated that in the event the value was already associated with the field, the system **200** can update the value data structure of the value by adding an entry identifying the electronic activity. In this way, the electronic activity serves as a data point that supports the value and can increase the confidence score of the value, which can further improve the accuracy of the information included in the node profile.

[0830] In the representation **662***b***2** of the second node profile NPID-2, the second node profile was updated after the first and second electronic activities **652***a* and **652***b* were ingested. The field "Title" is now associated with the value "Manager." The values of the "Work Phone No" and "Cell Phone No" fields have new values associated with them. In the representation **662***b***1** of the first node profile NPID-1, the first node profile was updated after the first and second electronic activities **652***a* and **652***b* were ingested. The field "First Name" is now associated with 2 different values, John and Johnathan. In the representative node profiles of NPID-1 and NPID-2, the same electronic activity can update different node profiles.

[0831] It should be appreciated that the value data structure of the value J@acme.com corresponding to the email field of the first node profile can be updated to include an entry identifying the second electronic activity **652***b*. It should further be appreciated that the system **200** is configured to updated the field-value pair of the first node profile corresponding to email: J@acme.com, even though J@acme.com is a value previously associated with the email field of the first node profile. The system can use the second electronic activity to update the node profile by not only adding new values, such as the name "Johnathan" but also by updating the value data structures of existing values of the first node profile to include entries identifying the second electronic activity **654***b*. By doing so, the system **200** can continuously maintain the accuracy of the data included in the node profiles and identify which values are still current and which values are now stale based on the last time a data point supported the particular value. As described herein, the system **200** can be configured to generate respective contribution scores to each entry included in the value data structure of a value and use the respective contribution scores of each entry of the value data structure to determine a confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile. The system can further be configured to dynamically update the contribution scores and the confidence score based on a current time as the contribution scores of data points can change with time. In some embodiments, the contribution scores of data points can decrease with time as the data point becomes older.

[0832] Referring now to FIG. **26**, FIG. **26** illustrates a representation of a node profile **2600** of a node. As described herein, and also referring to FIG. **6**A, the node profile can include one or more fields associated with one or more values. Each value can include a corresponding value data structure. The value data structure can include one or more entries. Each entry of the value data structure can identify a data point **2602** representing an electronic activity or a record object. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can generate and assign a contribution score **2610** to each data point **2602** for the value to which the data point serves as evidence. The contribution score **2610** can be indicative of the data point's contribution towards the confidence score **2614** of the value. The contribution score **2610** of a data point **2602** can decay over time as the data point **2602** becomes staler. The contribution scores **2610** of each of the data points **2602** derived from electronic activities and systems of record can be used to compute the confidence score **2614** of the value of a field of the node profile.

[0833] As described herein, each of the values included in the node profile can be supported by one or more data points **2602**. Data points **2602** can be pieces of information or evidence that can be used to support the existence of values of fields of node profiles. A data point **2602** can be an electronic activity, a record object of a system of record or other information that is accessible and processable by the system **200**. In some embodiments, a data point **2602** can identify an electronic activity, a record object of a system of record, or other information that is accessible and processable by the system **200** that serves as a basis for supporting a value in a node profile. Each data point **2602** can be assigned its own unique identifier. Each data point **2602** can be associated with a source of the data point **2602** identifying an origin of the data point **2602**. The source of the data point **2602** can be a mail server, a system of record, among others. Each of these data points **2602** can also include a timestamp. The timestamp of a data point **2602** can identify when the data point 2602 was either generated (in the case of an electronic activity such as an email) or the record object that serves as a source of the data point **2602** was last updated (in the case when the data point **2602** is extracted from a system of record). Each data point **2602** can further be associated with a trust score of the source of the data point **2602**. The trust score of the source can be used to indicate how trustworthy or reliable the data point **2602** is. The data point **2602** can also be associated with a contribution score that can indicate how much the data point **2602** contributes towards a confidence score **2614** of the value associated with the data point **2602**. The contribution score **2610** can be based on the trust score of the source (which is based in part on a health score of the source) and a time at which the data point **2602** was generated or last updated. [0834] In some embodiments, a confidence score **2614** of the value can indicate a level of certainty that the value of the field is a current value of the field. The higher the confidence score **2614**, the

more certain the value of the field is the current value. The confidence score **2614** can be based on the contribution scores **2610** of individual data points **2602** associated with the value. The confidence score **2614** of the value can also depend on the corresponding contribution scores **2610** of other values of the field, or the contribution scores of data points **2602** associated with other values of the field.

[0835] Below is a reproduced portion of Table 1. The table illustrates various values for various

[0835] Below is a reproduced portion of Table 1. The table illustrates various values for various fields and includes an array of data points that contribute to the respective value. As shown in the table, the same electronic activity can serve as different data points for different values. Further, the table illustrates a simplified form for the same of convenience and understanding.

TABLE-US-00003 Trust Contribution Data Point # DP ID TimeStamp Activity ID Source Score Score Field: First Name Value: John [Confidence score] = 0.8 Date Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 4: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 5: DP ID576 Sep. 12, 2015 3 pm ET SOR-145 Talend 20 0.2 Field: First Name Value: Johnathan [Confidence score]: 0.78 Date Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 13, 2017 2 pm

ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 4: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 5: DP ID576 Sep. 12, 2015 3 pm ET SOR-145 Talend 20 0.2 Field: Title Value: Director [Confidence score] = 0.5 Data Point 1: DP ID101 Feb. 1, 2016 4 pm ET EA-003 Email 100 0.6 Data Point 2: DP ID225 Feb. 18, 2017 2 pm ET SOR-012 CRM 70 0.4 Data Point 3: DP ID243 Mar. 2, 2017 1 pm ET EA-117 Email 100 0.65 Data Point 4: DP ID243 Mar. 1, 2018 2 pm ET SOR-087 CRM 5 0.05 Field: Title Value: CFO [Confidence score] = 0.9 Data Point 1: DP ID343 Mar. 1, 2018 1 pm ET EA-017 Email 100 0.7 Data Point 2: DP ID458 Jul. 1, 2018 3 pm ET EA-098 Email 100 0.8 Data Point 3: DP ID225 Mar. 18, 2018 2 pm ET SOR-015 CRM 65 0.54

[0836] As a result of populating values of fields of node profiles using electronic activities, the node profile manager **220** can generate a node profile that is unobtrusively generated from electronic activities that traverse networks. In some embodiments, the node profile manager **220** can generate a node profile that is unobtrusively generated from electronic activities and systems of record.

[0837] As described herein, the present disclosure relates to methods and systems for assigning contribution scores to each data point (for example, electronic activity) that contributes to a value of a field such that the same electronic activity can assign different contribution scores to different values of a single node profile and of multiple node profiles. The contribution score can be based on a number of different electronic activities contributing to a given value of a field of a node profile, a recency of the electronic activity, among others. In some embodiments, a system of record of an enterprise accessible to the node graph generation system **200** can include data that can also contribute to a value of a field of a node profile. The contribution score can be based on a trust score or health score of the system of record. In some embodiments, the contribution score can be based on a number of different electronic activities or systems of record contributing to the value of the field of the node profile. In some embodiments, the contribution score can be based on a number of different electronic activities or systems of record contributing to other values of the field of the node profile. In some embodiments, the contribution score can be based on when the value was last updated or modified within the system of record, among others.

[0838] Referring back to Table 1, various factors can affect the contribution score of a given data point **2602**. For example, a high trust score of a source of the data point can promote a higher contribution score. Data points corresponding to electronic activities generally have a higher contribution score while data points corresponding to systems of record with lower trust scores can have a lower contribution score. This may be because systems of record generally include data that is manually input by a user and remains static until it is modified. In contrast, the data in electronic activities, such as emails, are generated by multiple senders and include signatures that are updated by the creator of the signature i.e., the sender of the email. Although it is possible that an individual user may include incorrect information in their signature, they have more opportunities to correct such information and it can be confirmed or refuted based on other signals or electronic activities processed by the node graph generation system **200**. Furthermore, the contribution score of a data point decreases as the data point gets older or the date associated with the last update of the data points gets older as can be seen by the contribution scores of the data points shown in the table above.

[0839] The system **200** can be configured to compute confidence scores using the contribution scores of individual data points identified by entries in the value data structure of the value for which the confidence score is being generated. The system **200** can compute confidence scores periodically. In some embodiments, the system **200** can update the confidence score of a value when additional data points are added. In some embodiments, the system **200** can compute the confidence score of a value based on a predetermined time schedule. The confidence score of a value can be a function of the contribution scores of various data points supporting the value (i.e. included in the value data structure of the value). The confidence score of the value can also

decrease over time if no additional data points support the value. This is because the contribution scores of the data points that support the value will get older and since the contribution score of a data point is based on recency, the contribution scores will decrease resulting in a decrease in the confidence score. As such, to maintain a high confidence score of a value, newer entries need to be added to the value data structure. Via this mechanism of maintaining a dynamically updated value data structure that continually adds entries corresponding to data points that support the value, the node graph generation system **200** can continually compute and update a confidence score of a value based on the data points included in the corresponding value data structure.

[0840] By maintaining and periodically updating confidence scores of values, the system 200 can be configured to determine if electronic activities are correctly linked or matched to the right node profiles. For instance, if a given data point contributes to multiple field-value pairs of the node profile and a predetermined number of values of the field-value pairs have a confidence score below a threshold, the system can identify those data points that contribute to those values that have a confidence score below the threshold. The system can determine, for each of those data points, how many values of fields of the node profile does that data point provide support. The system can then identify those data points as candidate data points that were correctly linked or matched to the node profile. The system can then determine that the data point is improperly linked based on the number of values of the fields of the node profiles and the type of fields to which the data point provides support. The system can then unmatch or delink the data point from the node profile by adjusting or recalculating the match score of the data point and the node profile. In this way, using the confidence scores of values, the system 200 can identify data points that were incorrectly linked to the node profile thereby further improving the accuracy of the node profile by removing data points that were previously incorrectly matched.

[0841] The systems described herein can also use confidence scores of values to determine a status of a node profile at a given point in time, for instance, 1:55 pm on Jun. 5, 2014. To do so, the node graph generation system **200** can discard all of the data points having a timestamp after 1:55 pm on Jun. 5, 2014 and only use data points before 1:55 pm on Jun. 5, 2014 that are included in the node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can then compute confidence scores of the fieldvalue pairs of the node profile using the remaining or undiscarded data points to determine a state of the node profile on 1:55 pm on Jun. 5, 2014. The node graph generation system **200** can use the confidence score of each field-value pair to determine the state of the node profile at the given time. In this way, confidence state of a node profile can be determined for any point in time. As described herein, the node graph generation system **200** can make a request to determine a status of a node profile at any given point in time. For instance, the node graph generation system **200** can determine the state of a node profile for John Smith on Dec. 20, 2016. Similarly, the node graph generation system **200** can make a query for a particular value of a field of a node profile can be made for any point in time. Entries occurring after the particular time corresponding to the query can be filtered out so a value and its associated confidence score can be calculated using only those data points that have a timestamp before the particular time.

[0842] By determining confidence scores of field-value pairs of node profiles, the node graph generation system **200** can be configured to execute various types of requests based on the node profiles maintained by the node graph generation system **200**. For instance, the system can be configured to determine a list of node profiles that have a title of Director. The system can be configured to determine a list of node profiles that have a title of Director on Jun. 1, 2015. The system can further be configured to determine a list of node profiles that work in San Francisco and have a title of CEO. Moreover, the system can be configured to determine only those node profiles that have a Company name of "ExampleCompany, Inc." but with a confidence score for that field-value pair of above 90%. Using confidence scores as a threshold for selecting node profiles to be included in lists responsive to queries and adjusting the confidence score threshold to see changes in the lists can be a useful tool to identify node profiles with different levels of certainty.

[0843] In some embodiments, the present disclosure describes systems and methods of updating confidence scores of values of fields based on electronic activity includes associating the electronic activity to a first value of a first field, assigning a first contribution score to the electronic activity indicating a contribution level of the electronic activity to a confidence score of the first value, associating the same electronic activity to a second value of a second field, assigning a second contribution score to the same electronic activity indicating a contribution level of the electronic activity to a confidence score of the second value, and updating the confidence scores of the first value and the second value based on the first contribution score of the electronic activity for the first value and the second contribution score of the electronic activity for the second value. [0844] FIG. **27** illustrates a method **2700** to generate confidence scores of values of fields based on data points. The method **2700** can include accessing a plurality of electronic activities or record objects (BLOCK **2702**). The method can identify, from a plurality of node profiles maintained by the data processing system, a first node profile that includes a plurality of fields, each having corresponding one or more values (BLOCK 2704). The method can identify, for a given node profile, a field of the plurality of fields (BLOCK **2706**). The method can then identify, for a given field of the plurality of fields of the node profile, a given value of one or more values associated with the field (BLOCK **2708**). The method can then identify, for the given value, a data point of one or more data points identified in the value data structure of the value (BLOCK **2710**). The method can determine a contribution score of the data point (BLOCK **2712**). The method can then determine, if the value data structure of the value includes any other data points that support the value. If additional data points exist in the value data structure, the method can determine the contribution score of the additional data points until the contribution score of each of the data points of the value data structure are determined. If no other data points exist, the method can determine a confidence score of the value based on the contribution scores of one or more of the data points supporting the value (BLOCK **2714**). The method can optionally determine if the field of the node profile includes additional values for which a confidence score is to be determined. The method can then repeat the steps from blocks **2708-2714**. The method can similarly determine the confidence scores of values of other fields by repeating Blocks **2706-2014**. The method can similarly determine the confidence scores of values of other node profiles by repeating Blocks 2704-3014.

[0845] In further detail, the data processing system can access a plurality of electronic activities or record objects (BLOCK **2702**). The data processing system can access the electronic activities can access a plurality of electronic activities via one or more servers hosting or storing the electronic activities. The servers can store electronic activities transmitted from or received by accounts corresponding to an enterprise. For instance, the servers can be mail servers, phone log servers, calendar servers or any other entity that can store emails, calendar events, phone logs, or other electronic activities of accounts associated with an enterprise, such as a company. The data processing system 9300 can be provided authorization to access the emails stored on one or more email servers through an API or an HTTP method (e.g., a GET method). Similarly, the data processing system can access record objects of one or more systems of record. Each system of record can be managed, owned, maintained or otherwise accessed by an enterprise. The enterprise can provide the data processing system access, permission or other information that enables the data processing system to access data included in the system of record. The data processing system can access the electronic activities and the record objects of one or more data source providers. [0846] The data processing system **9300** accessing the plurality of electronic activities and/or the record objects of the system of record can further maintain a plurality of node profiles. The node profiles can be representations of nodes and includes fields that have values that are generated by data included in the plurality of electronic activities and/or record objects accessible by the system. The system can update the plurality of node profiles using at least one of the plurality of electronic activities or the plurality of record objects. Details regarding node profiles are described herein.

[0847] The method **2700** can include identifying a node profile from the plurality of node profiles maintained by the data processing system (BLOCK **2704**). The data processing system can identify a node profile of the plurality of node profiles for which the system is to compute one or more confidence scores for one or more values of the node profile. The system can identify a particular node profile or can identify multiple node profiles for which the confidence scores of values of the node profile are to be determined. In some embodiments, the system can be configured to periodically compute confidence scores of node profiles. The system can identify a first node profile responsive to an update to the first node profile or responsive to linking an electronic activity or record object to the first node profile or responsive to adding an entry identifying an electronic activity or record object to a value data structure of a value of a field of the first node profile.

[0848] The method **2700** can include identifying a field of the node profile (BLOCK **2706**). The system can identify a field of the node profile for which to compute the one or more confidence scores for the one or more values of the field. In some embodiments, the system can identify a first field of a plurality of fields of the identified node profile responsive to an update to the field of the node profile or responsive to adding an entry identifying an electronic activity or record object to a value data structure of a value of the field.

[0849] The method **2700** can include identifying a value of the field for which to determine a confidence score for which to compute the confidence score (BLOCK **2708**). In some embodiments, the system can identify a value of one or more values associated with the field identified in BLOCK **2706**. The system can identify the value responsive to an update to the value of the field or responsive to adding an entry identifying an electronic activity or record object to a value data structure of the identified value.

[0850] In some implementations, the value of the field of the node profile includes a first value of a first field of the node profile. The contribution score of the data point can be a first contribution score of a first data point. The confidence score can be a first confidence score of a first value. The data processing system **9300** can identify a second value data structure of a second field of the node profile. The second value data structure corresponds to a second value of the second field and further includes one or more second entries corresponding to respective one or more second data points that support the second value of the second value data structure. For example, and referring to Table 1, the value data structure corresponding to field-value pair First Name: Johnathan can include the value Johnathan and a first data point DP ID101 and a second data point DP ID225. The data processing system **9300** can determine, for at least one second data point of the one or more second data points of the second value of the second field of the node profile, a second contribution score of the second data point based on a time corresponding to when the second data point was generated or updated. For example, and referring to Table 1, a contribution score of 0.4 can be determined for DP ID225 based on the time corresponding to when the data was generated or updated (Feb. 18, 2017, 2 PM ET). The data processing system 9300 can generate a second confidence score of the second value of the second field of the node profile based on the second contribution score of the at least one second data point. For example, and referring to Table 1, the confidence score of field-value pair First Name: Johnathan can be updated based on the DP ID225. [0851] To determine a confidence score of the value identified in BLOCK **2708**, the method **2700** can include identifying a data point that supports the existence of the value (BLOCK **2710**). The data processing system can identify a data point of the value by identifying an entry of a value data structure of the value. The entry can identify the data point, a source of the data point, a trust score of a source of the data point and a timestamp associated with the data point. As described herein, for any given value of a field of a node profile, the value is associated with a value data structure that includes one or more entries. Each entry of the one or more entries can correspond to one or more data points that include a string that matches the value of the value data structure. For

example, and referring to Table 1, for the field-value pair First Name: John, the entry corresponding to Data Point 1 can include a string in the electronic activity EA-003 that matches the value John. Each data point of the one or more data points can identify a respective electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities or a respective record object of the plurality of record objects. For example, and referring to Table 1, for the field-value pair Field: Director, Data Point 1 (DP ID101) can be associated with electronic activity EA-003, Data Point 2 (DP ID2265) can be associated with system of record SOR-012, and Data Point 3 (DP ID243) can be associated with electronic activity EA-117. The data point identifies an electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities or a record object of a system of record previously linked by the data processing system to the node profile associated with the value

[0852] The method **2700** can include determining a contribution score of the data point (BLOCK **2712**). The data processing system can determine for at least one data point of the one or more data points included in a respective value data structure of the value (determined in BLOCK **2708**) of the field (determined in BLOCK **2706**) of the node profile (determined in BLOCK **2704**), a contribution score of the data point based on a time corresponding to when the data point was most recently generated or updated. For example, and as illustrated in FIG. **26**, the contribution score CS.sub.a1 can be based on the time Tal when the data point DATA\_PT.sub.a1 was generated or updated.

[0853] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can determine for the at least one data point of the one or more data points, the contribution score of the data point includes determining, for the at least one data point, a contribution score of the data point based on a trust score assigned to a source of the data point, the trust score determined based on a type of source of the data point. For example, and with reference to Table 1, the contribution score of DP ID**101** corresponding to field-value pair First Name: John is 0.6 and is based on the trust score of 100. In some implementations in which the data point is a record object, the trust score assigned to the data point is based on a health of the system of record from which the record object was accessed. In some implementations, the health of the system of record from which the record object was accessed is determined based on comparing field values of object fields included in record objects of the system of record to node profile field values of fields of one or more node profiles having respective confidence scores above a predetermined threshold.

[0854] The method **2700** can include generating a confidence score based on the contribution scores of the data points (BLOCK **2714**). The data processing system **9300** can generate a confidence score of the value of the field of the node profile based on the contribution score of the at least one data point. In some embodiments, the data processing system can generate the confidence score of the value based on the contribution score of each of the data points identified in entries of the value data structure of the value. For example, and as illustrated in FIG. **26**, the confidence score C.sub.1 is a function of the contribution scores CS.sub.a1, CS.sub.a2, . . . , CS.sub.aN. The confidence score C.sub.2 can be based on the contribution scores CS.sub.b1, CS.sub.b2, . . . , CS.sub.bN. The confidence score C.sub.3 can be based on the contribution scores CS.sub.a1, CSa2, . . . , CS.sub.aN.

[0855] In some implementations, a data point identifies an electronic activity is an automatically generated bounce back electronic activity. Examples of bounce back electronic activity can include emails indicating that the destination email address is invalid or incorrect, the person is no longer with company, among others. In some implementations, the node profile includes a first field having a first value data structure identifying a first value. The first value can be assigned to the first field by linking a first electronic activity to the node profile

[0856] In some implementations the data processing system can receive a second electronic activity. The data processing system can determine that the second electronic activity includes or supports the value of the field of the node profile. For example, and with reference to Table 1, an electronic activity EA-098 can include a value John of the field First Name. The data processing

system can match the electronic activity to the node profile and add an entry in one or more value data structures corresponding to field-value pairs of the node profile that are supported by the electronic activity. The system can determine which field-value pairs of the node profile are supported by the electronic activity as this information is used for matching electronic activities to node profiles, the system can then determine a contribution score of the second electronic activity for each field-value pair of the node profile that the second electronic activity supports. The data processing system can generate a second contribution score of the second electronic activity for the value of the field of the node profile as described herein. For example, and with reference to Table 1, a contribution score for DP ID458 associated with electronic activity EA-098 and field-value pair First Name: John can be generated. The data processing system can update the confidence score of the value based on the contribution score of the second electronic activity. The confidence score for field-value pair First Name: John can be updated based on the contribution score of DP ID458 that identifies the electronic activity EA-098.

[0857] In some implementations, the data processing system can identify the first electronic activity. The first electronic activity can be linked to the first node profile by identifying from data included in the first electronic activity, a plurality of strings. For example, and as illustrated in FIG. **25**, data included in electronic activity **652***a* can be identified. The strings that can be identified from the data can include "John Smith", "Director", "ACME", "555-5439", "617.555.2000", "j@acme", "a@acme", and "Abigail." In some implementations, the electronic activity includes a signature block in the electronic activity and linking the electronic activity to the node profile includes the data processing system **9300** extracting a plurality of strings from the signature block of the electronic activity.

[0858] The data processing system can identify a plurality of candidate node profiles to which to link the electronic activity by comparing one or more strings of the plurality of strings to values of fields of respective candidate node profiles. For example, the data processing system can identify that node profile NPID-1 and node profile NPID-2 are candidate node profiles because they contain field-value pairs associated with the strings identified from the data included in electronic activity **652***a*. The data processing system can generate, for each candidate node profile, a match score indicating a likelihood that the electronic activity is transmitted or received by an account corresponding to the candidate node profile based on comparing the plurality of strings included in the electronic activity to values of fields included in the candidate node profile. The match score can be based on a number of fields of the node profile including a value that matches a value or string in the electronic activity. The match score can also be based on different weights applied to different fields. The weights may be based on the uniqueness of values of the field, as mentioned above. The data processing system can be configured to match the electronic activity to the node with the greatest match score. In some embodiments, the data processing system can match the electronic activity to each candidate node that has a match score that exceeds a predetermined threshold. Further, the data processing system can maintain a match score for each electronic activity to that particular node profile, or to each value of the node profile to which the electronic activity matched. By doing so, the data processing system can use the match score to determine how much weight to assign to that particular electronic activity. The data processing system 9300 can link the first electronic activity to the first node profile based on the match score of the first node profile. For example, the strings "John Smith", "Director", "ACME", "555-5439", "617.555.2000", and "j@acme" can have a high match score to node profile NPID-1 and be linked to node profile NPID-1. The strings "a@acme" and "Abigail" can have a high match score to node profile NPID-2 and can be linked to node profile NPID-2.

[0859] In some implementations the data processing system **9300** can identify a record object of a system of record previously not matched to the value of the field of the node profile. The data processing system **9300** can determine that the record object includes the value of the field of the node profile. The data processing system can then add an entry identifying the record object to a

value data structure of the value. The data processing system **9300** can generate a contribution score of the record object. The contribution score of the record object indicates a level of contribution of the record object to the value of the field. For instance, if the value is the name "John" for the field "First Name" of a particular node profile, the record object can be identified in an entry of the value data structure of the field-value pair "First Name: John" for the particular node profile if the record object includes a corresponding name-value pair that supports the fieldvalue pair "First Name: John" for the particular node profile. To do so, the data processing system will first have to match the record object to the particular node profile and then add an entry to a value data structure of the field-value pair "First Name: John." The data processing system can compute a new confidence score for the value based on the contribution score of the record object. [0860] In some implementations the data processing system **9300** can receive a subsequent electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can link the electronic activity to the node profile by including one or more entries identifying the electronic activity to one or more value data structures corresponding to one or more values of one or more fields. The data processing system can generate for each entry identifying the electronic activity, a contribution score of the electronic activity, the entry corresponding to a respective value data structure of a respective value of a respective field. The data processing system can generate respective confidence scores for the values based on the respective contribution scores of the electronic activity. [0861] It should be appreciated that as described herein, electronic activities can include electronic electronic activities. The data may be derived from the electronic activities by parsing the

activities that are transmitted or received via electronic accounts, or data derived from such electronic activities to extract information that can be used to generate tags from the electronic activities, identify one or more field-value pairs of node profiles, generate one or more activity field-value pairs that can correspond to one or more participants of the electronic activity, among others. The data can include tags, words, strings, field-value pairs, features or any other information that can be extracted or otherwise derived from an electronic activity. The electronic activities can be parsed by entities other than the data processing system **9300**.

20. Computer System

[0862] Various operations described herein can be implemented on computer systems, which can be of generally conventional design. FIG. 28 shows a simplified block diagram of a representative server system 2800 and client computer system 2814 usable to implement certain embodiments of the present disclosure. In various embodiments, server system **2800** or similar systems can implement services or servers described herein or portions thereof. Client computer system **2814** or similar systems can implement clients described herein. Each of the systems **9300**, **200** and others described herein can be similar to the server system **2800**.

[0863] Server system **2800** can have a modular design that incorporates a number of modules **2802** (e.g., blades in a blade server embodiment); while two modules 2802 are shown, any number can be provided. Each module **2802** can include processing unit(s) **2804** and local storage **2806**. [0864] Processing unit(s) **2804** can include a single processor, which can have one or more cores, or multiple processors. In some embodiments, processing unit(s) 2804 can include a generalpurpose primary processor as well as one or more special-purpose co-processors such as graphics processors, digital signal processors, or the like. In some embodiments, some or all processing units **2804** can be implemented using customized circuits, such as application specific integrated circuits (ASICs) or field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs). In some embodiments, such integrated circuits execute instructions that are stored on the circuit itself. In other embodiments, processing unit(s) **2804** can execute instructions stored in local storage **2806**. Any type of processors in any combination can be included in processing unit(s) **2804**.

[0865] Local storage **2806** can include volatile storage media (e.g., conventional DRAM, SRAM, SDRAM, or the like) and/or non-volatile storage media (e.g., magnetic or optical disk, flash memory, or the like). Storage media incorporated in local storage 2806 can be fixed, removable or upgradeable as desired. Local storage **2806** can be physically or logically divided into various subunits such as a system memory, a read-only memory (ROM), and a permanent storage device. The system memory can be a read-and-write memory device or a volatile read-and-write memory, such as dynamic random-access memory. The system memory can store some or all of the instructions and data that processing unit(s) **2804** need at runtime. The ROM can store static data and instructions that are needed by processing unit(s) **2804**. The permanent storage device can be a non-volatile read-and-write memory device that can store instructions and data even when module **2802** is powered down. The term "storage medium" as used herein includes any medium in which data can be stored indefinitely (subject to overwriting, electrical disturbance, power loss, or the like) and does not include carrier waves and transitory electronic signals propagating wirelessly or over wired connections.

[0866] In some embodiments, local storage **2806** can store one or more software programs to be executed by processing unit(s) **2804**, such as an operating system and/or programs implementing various server functions such as functions of the data processing system **9300** of FIG. **2**, the node graph generation system **300**, or any other system described herein, or any other server(s) associated with data processing system **9300** of FIG. **2** or the node graph generation system **300** or any other system described herein.

[0867] "Software" refers generally to sequences of instructions that, when executed by processing unit(s) **2804** cause server system **2800** (or portions thereof) to perform various operations, thus defining one or more specific machine embodiments that execute and perform the operations of the software programs. The instructions can be stored as firmware residing in read-only memory and/or program code stored in non-volatile storage media that can be read into volatile working memory for execution by processing unit(s) **2804**. Software can be implemented as a single program or a collection of separate programs or program modules that interact as desired. From local storage **2806** (or non-local storage described below), processing unit(s) **2804** can retrieve program instructions to execute and data to process in order to execute various operations described above. [0868] In some server systems **2800**, multiple modules **2802** can be interconnected via a bus or other interconnect **2808**, forming a local area network that supports communication between modules **2802** and other components of server system **2800**. Interconnect **2808** can be implemented using various technologies including server racks, hubs, routers, etc.

[0869] A wide area network (WAN) interface **2810** can provide data communication capability between the local area network (interconnect **2808**) and a larger network, such as the Internet. Conventional or other activities technologies can be used, including wired (e.g., Ethernet, IEEE 802.3 standards) and/or wireless technologies (e.g., Wi-Fi, IEEE 802.11 standards). [0870] In some embodiments, local storage **2806** is intended to provide working memory for processing unit(s) **2804**, providing fast access to programs and/or data to be processed while reducing traffic on interconnect **2808**. Storage for larger quantities of data can be provided on the local area network by one or more mass storage subsystems **2812** that can be connected to interconnect **2808**. Mass storage subsystem **2812** can be based on magnetic, optical, semiconductor, or other data storage media. Direct attached storage, storage area networks, network-attached storage, and the like can be used. Any data stores or other collections of data described herein as being produced, consumed, or maintained by a service or server can be stored in mass storage subsystem **2812**. In some embodiments, additional data storage resources may be accessible via WAN interface **2810** (potentially with increased latency).

[0871] Server system **2800** can operate in response to requests received via WAN interface **2810**. For example, one of modules **2802** can implement a supervisory function and assign discrete tasks to other modules **2802** in response to received requests. Conventional work allocation techniques can be used. As requests are processed, results can be returned to the requester via WAN interface **2810**. Such operation can generally be automated. Further, in some embodiments, WAN interface **2810** can connect multiple server systems **2800** to each other, providing scalable systems capable

of managing high volumes of activity. Conventional or other techniques for managing server systems and server farms (collections of server systems that cooperate) can be used, including dynamic resource allocation and reallocation.

[0872] Server system **2800** can interact with various user-owned or user-operated devices via a wide-area network such as the Internet. An example of a user-operated device is shown in FIG. **28** as client computing system **2814**. Client computing system **2814** can be implemented, for example, as a consumer device such as a smartphone, other mobile phone, tablet computer, wearable computing device (e.g., smart watch, eyeglasses), desktop computer, laptop computer, and so on. [0873] For example, client computing system **2814** can communicate via WAN interface **2810**. Client computing system **2814** can include conventional computer components such as processing unit(s) **2816**, storage device **2818**, network interface **2820**, user input device **2822**, and user output device **2824**. Client computing system **2814** can be a computing device implemented in a variety of form factors, such as a desktop computer, laptop computer, tablet computer, smartphone, other mobile computing device, wearable computing device, or the like.

[0874] Processor **2816** and storage device **2818** can be similar to processing unit(s) **2804** and local storage **2806** described above. Suitable devices can be selected based on the demands to be placed on client computing system **2814**; for example, client computing system **2814** can be implemented as a "thin" client with limited processing capability or as a high-powered computing device. Client computing system **2814** can be provisioned with program code executable by processing unit(s) **2816** to enable various interactions with server system **2800** of a message management service such as accessing messages, performing actions on messages, and other interactions described above. Some client computing systems **2814** can also interact with a messaging service independently of the message management service.

[0875] Network interface **2820** can provide a connection to a wide area network (e.g., the Internet) to which WAN interface **2810** of server system **2800** is also connected. In various embodiments, network interface **2820** can include a wired interface (e.g., Ethernet) and/or a wireless interface implementing various RF data communication standards such as Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, or cellular data network standards (e.g., 3G, 4G, LTE, etc.).

[0876] User input device **2822** can include any device (or devices) via which a user can provide signals to client computing system **2814**; client computing system **2814** can interpret the signals as indicative of particular user requests or information. In various embodiments, user input device **2822** can include any or all of a keyboard, touch pad, touch screen, mouse or other pointing device, scroll wheel, click wheel, dial, button, switch, keypad, microphone, and so on.

[0877] User output device **2824** can include any device via which client computing system **2814** can provide information to a user. For example, user output device **2824** can include a display to display images generated by or delivered to client computing system **2814**. The display can incorporate various image generation technologies, e.g., a liquid crystal display (LCD), lightemitting diode (LED) including organic light-emitting diodes (OLED), projection system, cathode ray tube (CRT), or the like, together with supporting electronics (e.g., digital-to-analog or analog-to-digital converters, signal processors, or the like). Some embodiments can include a device such as a touchscreen that function as both input and output device. In some embodiments, other user output devices **2824** can be provided in addition to or instead of a display. Examples include indicator lights, speakers, tactile "display" devices, printers, and so on.

[0878] Some embodiments include electronic components, such as microprocessors, storage and memory that store computer program instructions in a computer readable storage medium. Many of the features described in this specification can be implemented as processes that are specified as a set of program instructions encoded on a computer readable storage medium. When these program instructions are executed by one or more processing units, they cause the processing unit(s) to perform various operation indicated in the program instructions. Examples of program instructions or computer code include machine code, such as is produced by a compiler, and files including

higher-level code that are executed by a computer, an electronic component, or a microprocessor using an interpreter. Through suitable programming, processing unit(s) **2804** and **2816** can provide various functionality for server system **2800** and client computing system **2814**, including any of the functionality described herein as being performed by a server or client, or other functionality associated with message management services.

[0879] It will be appreciated that server system **2800** and client computing system **2814** are illustrative and that variations and modifications are possible. Computer systems used in connection with embodiments of the present disclosure can have other capabilities not specifically described here. Further, while server system **2800** and client computing system **2814** are described with reference to particular blocks, it is to be understood that these blocks are defined for convenience of description and are not intended to imply a particular physical arrangement of component parts. For instance, different blocks can be but need not be located in the same facility, in the same server rack, or on the same motherboard. Further, the blocks need not correspond to physically distinct components. Blocks can be configured to perform various operations, e.g., by programming a processor or providing appropriate control circuitry, and various blocks might or might not be reconfigurable depending on how the initial configuration is obtained. Embodiments of the present disclosure can be realized in a variety of apparatus including electronic devices implemented using any combination of circuitry and software.

[0880] While the disclosure has been described with respect to specific embodiments, one skilled in the art will recognize that numerous modifications are possible. For instance, although specific examples of rules (including triggering conditions and/or resulting actions) and processes for generating suggested rules are described, other rules and processes can be implemented. Embodiments of the disclosure can be realized using a variety of computer systems and communication technologies including but not limited to specific examples described herein. [0881] Embodiments of the present disclosure can be realized using any combination of dedicated components and/or programmable processors and/or other programmable devices. The various processes described herein can be implemented on the same processor or different processors in any combination. Where components are described as being configured to perform certain operations, such configuration can be accomplished, e.g., by designing electronic circuits to perform the operation, by programming programmable electronic circuits (such as microprocessors) to perform the operation, or any combination thereof. Further, while the embodiments described above may make reference to specific hardware and software components, those skilled in the art will appreciate that different combinations of hardware and/or software components may also be used and that particular operations described as being implemented in hardware might also be implemented in software or vice versa.

[0882] Computer programs incorporating various features of the present disclosure may be encoded and stored on various computer readable storage media; suitable media include magnetic disk or tape, optical storage media such as compact disk (CD) or DVD (digital versatile disk), flash memory, and other non-transitory media. Computer readable media encoded with the program code may be packaged with a compatible electronic device, or the program code may be provided separately from electronic devices (e.g., via Internet download or as a separately packaged computer-readable storage medium).

[0883] Thus, although the disclosure has been described with respect to specific embodiments, it will be appreciated that the disclosure is intended to cover all modifications and equivalents within the scope of the following claims.

21. Measuring Goals Based on Matching Electronic Activities to Record Objects [0884] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for measuring goals based on matching electronic activities to record objects. Management of the systems of record described above can include maintaining a node profile that includes data structures relates to managing, tracking, and measuring a goal and progress of the goal. It can be challenging to track progress of a

goal-such tracking may involve identifying which goals, of a plurality of goals, pertain to which individuals, monitoring the individuals progress towards the goals, and determining whether criteria for achieving the goals have been satisfied. Self-reporting of goal progress can be resource intensive and time consuming, and can be prone to error. Comparative data structures and systems for tracking goals are complex, difficult to maintain, and often rely on such self-reporting. Disclosed herein are systems and method for improved management, measurement, and tracking of goals. The goal can be for one or more specific entities and can include specific criteria for reaching the goal, and the systems and methods disclosed herein can parse electronic activities, link them to the specific entities, and reference the goal criteria, and determine whether the goal has been achieved.

[0885] The systems and methods disclosed herein can establish and measure progress of entities in achieving certain endpoints. In particular, the system and methods disclosed herein can access electronic activities and record objects of one or more systems of record and using the information included within the electronic activities and record objects, identify certain actions, if performed, can facilitate achieving certain endpoints. For example, the data processing system can identify one or more opportunity record objects in which an employee of a company is involved. The data processing system can identify electronic activities linked to the opportunity record objects. The data processing system can identify, from the electronic activities linked to each opportunity record object, one or more actions the employee can perform to increase a likelihood that the opportunity record object will progress from a current stage to a subsequent stage or towards a final completion status (e.g., deal won). The data processing system can determine, for each opportunity record object of the employee, one or more actions to be performed and can aggregate those actions to generate a set of goals. The data processing system can then monitor the electronic activities linked to the record object and the employee to determine if the employee is performing those actions. In some embodiments, the actions can identify a number of electronic activities to send and/or receive by the employee for each opportunity. Additional details relating to the present disclosure are provided below in Sections 21, 22 and 23.

[0886] FIG. 29 illustrates a flow for measuring goals (e.g. measuring progress towards goals) based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The flow can include using an event manager 2902 of the data processing system 9300 to manage an event data object 2904 of the data processing system 9300, and to update a status of the event data object 2904. The term "event," as used herein, can refer to a goal, a completion of a goal, meeting one or more benchmarks, or satisfying one or more conditions. The event data object **2904** can be associated with a member node profile **652** (e.g., a record object for an employee), such as a member node profile, and can include a member node profile ID. The member node profile **652** can correspondingly store an event data object ID of the event data object 2904. The event data object 2904 can include information, or references to information, regarding one or more goals for an employee or other party corresponding to the associated member node profile ID, and can track progress towards the goal (e.g. can track and/or update a status of the goal). Thus one or more goals for an employee can be monitored, updated, or otherwise managed. The event data object can be stored in a memory element. In some embodiments, the event data object can include a table identifying a number of electronic activities for each type of electronic activity. The number of electronic activities can be determined by the event manager for the node profile to which the event data object corresponds. The number of electronic activities can be based on the number of opportunity record objects the node profile is linked with, the current status of the opportunity record objects, the seniority and department of the employee, the industry of the company at which the employee is involved, among others.

[0887] The event data object **2904** can include one or more event policies **2906**, a counter **2908**, and an event status **2910**. The event policies **2906** can include policies that specify conditions for advancing to a next stage of the event data object **2904**, and that provide for determining a stage of

the event data object **2904** or determining benchmarks for one or more stages of the event data object **2904**. The event policies **2906** can specify conditions for updating a status of the event (e.g. a stage of the event), and can include information used for determining whether the conditions are met. The event policies **2906** can specify that the event status **2910** of the event data object **2904** is to be updated responsive to determining that (i) at least one electronic activity **9305** of a set of electronic activities **9305** is used to generate an activity field-value pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity **9305**, or ii) the set of electronic activities **9305** includes a specified number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy one or more conditions. This can provide for, among other things, (i) updating the event status **2910** based on determining that a specified person or a person of a specified type has been brought in to a process of an opportunity, or (ii) updating the event status **2910** based on determining that a specified number of electronic activities **9305** that satisfy particular conditions (e.g., are sent to particular recipients and/or are sent during particular time periods) have been sent.

[0888] By way of example, the goal may be related to involving or "looping in" a participant in a process of an opportunity. For example, the goal may be to introduce a particular party (e.g., a head of a sales team) to a lead. The goal may be achieved by preliminary communications with the lead, and once the lead is comfortable with being introduced to the particular party (or when otherwise appropriate), the introduction can be made. Introduction of the particular party may indicate progress to a new stage of the goal (e.g., may indicate completion of a sub-goal). By way of further example, the goal may be related to sending a predetermined number of qualifying emails or other electronic communications. For example, such communications can be communications to a specific party, or to members of an organization or company or industry (e.g., send five emails to potential customers in a specific industry). The goal (or sub-goal) may be achieved when the predetermined number of electronic communications are sent. The systems and methods disclosed herein can provide for managing, measuring, and tracking progress of such goals.

[0889] The event policies **2906** can specify a qualifying entity type. The qualifying entity type can

include an entity associated with a record object (e.g., a contact record object) having one or more object field-values for one or more object fields. For example, the object fields can include one or more of an object or entity identifier field, a name field, a job title field, a level of seniority field, a department field, or a company field. Thus the event policies **2906** can specify that a certain entity having a specified position within a company be contacted or otherwise brought in to a process of an opportunity.

[0890] The event policies **2906** can specify a number of electronic activities **9305** that satisfy one or more specified conditions. The number of electronic activities **9305** can be a predetermined number of electronic activities **9305** that are to be sent (e.g., to a target of an opportunity), or that are to be received (e.g., from the target of the opportunity). The one or more specified conditions can relate to a recipient, a sender, a time period, or other conditions for the electronic activity 9305, and the event policy **2906** can specify that electronic activities **9305** count towards the specified number of electronic activities 9305 if (e.g. only if) the specified conditions are met. The conditions can include, for example, one or more specified recipients (e.g. specified by name, job title, address, entity identifier, or other identifier), such that the event policies **2906** can provide for tracking a goal of sending a predetermined number of emails to a particular person. The conditions can include, for example, one or more specified time periods (e.g., a specified day, week, month, or time of day), such that the event policies **2906** can provide for tracking a goal of sending a predetermined number of emails that are sent within the specified time periods. The event data object **2904** can include a counter **2908** that can track a current number of electronic activities **9305** that have met the specified conditions. The counter **2908** can be updated (e.g. by the event manager **2902**) when it is determined that an electronic activity **9305** being processed meets the specified conditions. In some embodiments, the event policy can specify to count only certain types of

electronic activities based on tags. For instance, if the electronic activity is tagged as personal, such an electronic activity may not be counted towards the goal.

[0891] The event data object **2904** can include the event status **2910**, which provide for tracking a status of a goal. For example, the event status **2910** can indicate a current stage of a goal. In some embodiments, the goal can have a single stage, and the status can indicate whether the stage is "incomplete" or "complete". In some embodiments, the goal can have two or more stages (e.g., an ordered set of two or more stages). The event policies **2906** can specify certain criteria for progressing from one stage to another stage of the goal. The policies **2906** can specify, for example, that a goal is at, or is to progress to, a certain stage based on a count of the counter **2908** being at or higher than a specified threshold, or based on a determination that a qualifying entity is a participant in an electronic activity **9305**.

[0892] The event manager 2902 can process an electronic activity 9305 accessed or transmitted from the data source 9355. The processing can include the processing described below in reference to FIG. 30. The event manager 2902 can access the electronic activity 9305 and can parse the electronic activity 9305 to determine a plurality of activity field-value pairs for the electronic activity 9305, including identifying one or more participants of the electronic activity 9305. The event manager 2902 can access the event policies 2906 of the event data object 2904, and can use the event policies to determine to update the event status 2910 of the event data object 2904. For example, the event policies 2906 can specify that a goal is at, or is to progress to, a certain stage based on a count of the counter 2908 of the event data object 2904 being at or higher than a specified threshold, or based on a determination that a qualifying entity is a participant in the electronic activity 9305.

[0893] The event manager **2902** can reference specifications of the event policies **2906** for a qualifying entity type, and can reference the plurality of activity value-pairs for the electronic activity **9305** to determine whether one of the set of participants of the electronic activity **9305** is of the qualifying entity type. If one of the set of participants of the electronic activity **9305** is of the qualifying entity type, the event manager **2902** can update the event status **2910** (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage).

[0894] The event manager 2902 can reference specifications of the event policies 2906 that specify one or more conditions for electronic activities that should be met in order for an electronic activity to count towards a number of electronic activities specified by the event policies 2906. The event manager 2902 can reference the plurality of activity value-pairs for the electronic activity 9305 to determine whether the electronic activity 9305 meets the one or more conditions specified by the event policies 2906. If the electronic activity 9305 meets the one or more conditions, the event manager 2902 can update the counter 2908 (e.g., can increment a count of the counter 2908 by one). The event manager 2902 can reference the updated count of the counter 2902, and can compare the updated count to the threshold specified by the event policies 2906 to determine whether to update the event status 2910. If the updated count meets or surpasses the specified threshold, the event manager 2902 can update the event status 2910 (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage). Thus the data processing system 9300 can measure goals (e.g. measuring progress towards goals) based on matching electronic activities to record objects.

[0895] Referring now to FIG. **30**, FIG. **30** illustrates a method **3000** for measuring goals (e.g. measuring progress towards goals) based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The operations of method **3000** presented below are intended to be illustrative. In some implementations, method **3000** can be accomplished with one or more additional operations not described, and/or without one or more of the operations discussed. Additionally, the order in which the operations of method **3000** are illustrated in FIG. **30** and described below is not intended to be limiting.

[0896] In some implementations, method 3000 can be implemented by one or more processing

devices (e.g., a digital processor, an analog processor, a digital circuit designed to process information, an analog circuit designed to process information, a state machine, and/or other mechanisms for electronically processing information). The one or more processing devices can include one or more devices executing some or all of the operations of method **3000** in response to instructions stored electronically on an electronic storage medium. The one or more processing devices can include one or more devices configured through hardware, firmware, and/or software to be specifically designed for execution of one or more of the operations of method **3000**. [0897] The method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** accessing a plurality of electronic activities 9305 transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers **9350** (BLOCK **3002**). Each data source provider **9350** can include one or more data sources **9355***a*-*n* and/or one or more system of record instances **9360**. Examples of data sources can include electronic mail servers, telephone log servers, contact servers, other types of servers (e.g., instant message or communication servers) and end-user applications that can receive or maintain electronic activity data. In some embodiments, the data sources **9355** provide (e.g., transmit or allow access to) the electronic activities **9305** to an aggregator system (e.g. an aggregator server), and the aggregator provides at least a portion of the electronic activities 9305 to the data processing system **9300**. The aggregator system can detect duplicate electronic activities **9305** (e.g., from a first data source **9355***a* with access to a sender's electronic activity **9305**, and from a second data source 9355b with access to a receiver' electronic activity 9305), and can process the duplicates appropriately (e.g., by flagging the duplicates as such, or by deleting one of the duplicates, or by refraining from providing one of the duplicates to the data processing system 9300). In some embodiments, the data sources 9355 provide the electronic activities 9305 without such aggregation of electronic activities 9305 from various data sources 9355.

[0898] Accessing the electronic activities **9305** can be performed as part of ingesting the electronic activities **9305** in any manner described herein. The electronic activities **9305** as part of process of measuring goals (e.g. measuring progress towards goals) based on matching electronic activities to record objects. The plurality of electronic activities **9305** can be stored in a buffer or in a queue (e.g., in each individual data source **9355**, or in the aggregator system), or can be accessed according to some ordering policy. In some implementations, the plurality of electronic activities **9305** are accessed in chronological order (e.g., based on a time stamp of the electronic activity, or based on a time the electronic activity was transmitted to or retrieved by the data processing system **9300**). In some implementations, the plurality of electronic activities **9305** are accessed in an order based on a priority of the electronic activities **9305** (e.g., from highest priority to lowest priority). The priority can be determined by the data processing system **9300** based on a priority flag or tag for the electronic activities **9305**, or based on another priority policy implemented by the data processing system **9300**.

[0899] The method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** accessing a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record (BLOCK **3004**). Each record object of the plurality of record objects can have a record object type and can include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. The systems of record can correspond to the one or more data source providers. The record objects of the plurality of record objects can be lead record objects **1000**, account record objects **1002**, opportunity record objects **1004**, contact record objects **1006**, member node profiles **652** (e.g. member node profiles), or other types of record objects. The record objects can have object field values. For example, the record objects can be data structures and the object field values can be values of object fields of the data structure. The data structure can include fields such as, but not limited to, name, company, position or title, address, email, and phone number, which can be filled with respective field values, which can include data or links to other record objects. The record objects can be stored in, associated with, or included in one or more systems of record, and the one or more systems of record can correspond to the one or more data sources **9355** that provide the electronic activities **9305** in BLOCK **3002**. The systems of

record can include system of record instances **9330(1)-9330(**N) corresponding to system of record instances of the data source providers **9350**. In some implementations, the system of record can be a shadow system of record.

[0900] The method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** identifying, for a first entity identified by at least one field-value pair of a first set of record objects of the plurality of record objects, an event generated based on data included in the first set of record objects, the event configured to change from a first status to a second status based on an event policy specifying i) a qualifying entity type or ii) a number of electronic activities that satisfy one or more conditions of the event policy (BLOCK **3006**). The first entity can include, for example, an entity associated with a member node profile **652** (e.g., an employee). The event can be a goal, a completion of a goal, meeting one or more benchmarks, or satisfying one or more conditions. The event can be associated with, or can include, an event data object **2904**, and the event data object **2904** can be associated with the member node profile **652**. The event data object **2904** can include information, or references to information, regarding one or more goals for an employee or other party corresponding to the associated member node profile ID, and can track progress towards the goal (e.g. can track and/or update a status of the goal). The event data object 2904 can include one or more event policies **2906**, a counter **2908**, and an event status **2910**, as described above in reference to FIG. **29**. The event status **2910** can change from a first status to a second status specified by the event policies **2906**. The event policies **2906** can specify certain criteria for progressing from one stage to another stage of the goal. The policies **2906** can specify, for example, that a goal is at, or is to progress to, a certain stage based on a count of the counter **2908** being at or higher than a specified threshold, or based on a determination that a qualifying entity is a participant in an electronic activity **9305**, as described above in reference to FIG. **29**.

[0901] The event data object **2904** can be generated by the data processing system **9300** based on based on data included in the first set of record objects. For example, the event data object **2904**, or part of the event data object **2904**, can be generated by a recommendation engine **275**, described in detail above. The recommendation engine **275** can provide a recommendation or set a target goal for a member node profile **652**. The recommendation or target goal can include the event policies **2906**. The recommendation engine **275** can, for example, provide these recommendations or target goals for a member node profile **652** based on matching historical electronic activities to desired performance outcomes. The recommendation engine **275** can match electronic activities to desired performance outcomes stored or indicated in one or more systems of record.

[0902] To generate a recommendation, the recommendation engine **275** can identify a member node profile **652** performance as compared to the member object **652**'s past performance or as compared to the performance of other member node profiles **652** that have a similar role or otherwise share similar characteristics. The recommendation engine **275** can correlate electronic activities with opportunity record objects associated with the member node profiles 652 as well as the stages of the opportunity record objects. The recommendation engine **275** can determine metrics based on electronic activities that are associated with an opportunity advancing stages or not advancing stages. For example, the recommendation engine **275** can correlate that, on average: 5 emails and 1 in-person meeting occurred in a time interval for an opportunity before it moved from a first stage to a second stage; 10 emails and 2 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a second stage to a third stage; 15 emails and 3 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a third stage to a fourth stage; and 20 emails and 4 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a third stage to a fourth or final stage. By determining metrics that are correlated with advancing an opportunity from one stage to another based on electronic activities correlated with stages in opportunity record objects stored in a system of record, the recommendation engine 275 can predict or forecast metrics that, when met, are likely to result in the desired performance outcome. The recommendation engine **275** can determine which metrics of electronic activities

have the highest correlation to successful outcomes in order to generate goals.

[0903] The data processing system **9300** can determine event policies **2906** based on such goals. For example, the data processing system **9300** can generate event policies **2906** that specify a threshold number of electronic activities that meet certain conditions, or can specify a qualifying entity type that should be a participant in an electronic activity, based on the metrics determined by the recommendation engine **275**.

[0904] The method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** identifying, from the

plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities to be linked to a record object of the first set of record objects (BLOCK **3008**). The record object may be a member node profile **652** for which a goal is set (e.g., a member node profile **652** associated with the event data object **2904**). The data processing system **9300** can determine the set of electronic activities should be linked to the member node profile 652 based on a plurality of activity field-value pairs for the electronic activity **9305** generated by parsing the electronic activity **9305**. The data processing system **9300** can perform a matching process to match the activity field-value pairs for the electronic activity 9305 to object field-value pairs of the member node profile 652 to determine that the electronic activity 9305 should be linked to the member node profile 652. The matching process may include matching a sender or recipient activity field-value pair for the electronic activity 9305 to a corresponding object field-value pair (e.g., a name object field-value pair, an address object fieldvalue pair, a job title object field-value pair, or another object field-value pair). [0905] The method **3000** may include the data processing system **9300** determining, for each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities, a plurality of activity field-value pairs identifying participants of the electronic activity (BLOCK **3010**). The data system **9300** may parse an electronic activity **9305** of the set of electronic activities **9305**. Each activity field-value pair can include a data structure that associates a particular field to a value for the field that the data processing system **9300** extracts from the electronic activity. The activity field-value pair can include an activity value associated with an activity field. For example, the data processing system **9300** can generate a field-value pair associating a value of "John" to the First Name field, a value of "Smith" to the Last Name field, a value of "CEO" to the Title Field, and a value of "Acme" to the Company Name based on the electronic activity. The data processing system **9300** can generate multiple activity-field value pairs from each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities. The data processing system **9300** can generate a plurality of activity-field value pairs identifying participants of the electronic activity **9305**. The plurality of activity-field value pairs identifying participants of the electronic activity **9305** can be generated based on, for example, a name, a job title, an address, or another identifier determined to be included in the electronic activity **9305**. [0906] The method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** determining, using the set of electronic activities, by applying the event policy, that the event is to be changed from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that: i) at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate an activity field-value pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity, or ii) the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions (BLOCK **3012**). This may include using an event manager **2902** to manage an event data object **2904**. [0907] The event manager **2902** can access the electronic activity **9305** and can parse the electronic

activity **9305** to determine a plurality of activity field-value pairs for the electronic activity **9305**, including identifying one or more participants of the electronic activity **9305**. The event manager **2902** can access the event policies **2906** of the event data object **2904**, and can use the event policies **2906** to determine to update the event status **2910** of the event data object **2904**. For example, the event policies **2906** can specify that a goal is at, or is to progress to, a certain stage based on a count of the counter **2908** of the event data object **2904** being at or higher than a specified threshold, or based on a determination that a qualifying entity is a participant in the

electronic activity 9305.

[0908] The event manager **2902** can reference specifications of the event policies **2906** for a qualifying entity type, and can reference the plurality of activity value-pairs for the electronic activity **9305** to determine whether one of the set of participants of the electronic activity **9305** is of the qualifying entity type. If one of the set of participants of the electronic activity **9305** is of the qualifying entity type, the event manager 2902 can determine to update the event status 2910 (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage). In some embodiments, the event policies **2906** specify that a plurality of participants should be included in or associated with the electronic activity, and the event manager 2902 can determine that each of the plurality of participants is included in or associated with the electronic activity, and can update the event status **2910** (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage) responsively. [0909] The event manager **2902** can reference specifications of the event policies **2906** that specify one or more conditions for electronic activities that should be met in order for an electronic activity to count towards a number of electronic activities specified by the event policies **2906**. The event manager **2902** can reference the plurality of activity value-pairs for the electronic activity **9305** to determine whether the electronic activity **9305** meets the one or more conditions specified by the event policies **2906**. If the electronic activity **9305** meets the one or more conditions, the event manager **2902** can update the counter **2908** (e.g., can increment a count of the counter **2908** by one). The event manager **2902** can reference the updated count of the counter **2902**, and can compare the updated count to the threshold specified by the event policies **2906** to determine whether to update the event status **2910**. If the updated count meets or surpasses the specified threshold, the event manager 2902 can determine to update the event status 2910 (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage).

[0910] In some embodiments, the event policies **2906** specify criteria for advancing the goal (and updating the event status) to another stage that include a combination of any criteria discussed herein For example, the criteria may include i) at least one electronic activity of the set is used to generate an activity field-value pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity, AND ii) the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions.

[0911] The method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** updating the status of the event from the first status to the second status responsive to applying the event policy (BLOCK **3014**). This can include the event manager **2902** updating the event status **2910** responsive to determining that the criteria for advancing the goal (and updating the event status) to another stage have been satisfied. Responsive to updating the event status **2910**, the data processing system **9300** can generate and distribute a report (e.g. to a party specified in the event policies **2906**). Responsive to updating the event status **2910**, the event manager **2902** can implement event policies of the event policies **2906** appropriate to (e.g., associated with) the updated event status. This can include event policies **2906** that specify criteria for advancing to a next stage of the goal. Thus, the data processing system **9300** can measure goals (e.g. measure progress towards goals) based on matching electronic activities to record objects.

[0912] In some embodiments, the one or more conditions of the event policy include being a specified type of electronic activity, and the method **3000** may include the data processing system **9300** determining that an electronic activity of the set of electronic activities satisfies the one or more conditions by: determining, for the electronic activity, a type of the electronic activity; and matching the type of the electronic activity to the specified type of electronic activity. For example, the event policies **2906** can specify the type of electronic activity to be one or more of meetings, electronic messages, and phone calls. The electronic activity **9305** can be tagged as being of a particular type by the tagging engine **265**, and/or an activity field-value pair for the electronic activity **9305** can indicate a type of the electronic activity. The event manager **2902** can match the

tag or the electronic activity **9305** to the type specified by the event policies **2906** to determine whether the electronic activity **9305** satisfies the one or more conditions.

[0913] In some embodiments, the method **3000** may include the data processing system **9300** determining that the at least one electronic activity of the set of electronic activities is used to generate the activity field-value pair that identifies the entity by parsing the at least one electronic activity to identify a participant of the at least one electronic activity; and determining that the identified participant is an entity of the qualifying entity type. This may be accomplished by matching activity field-value pairs for the electronic activity **9305** to qualifying field-values pairs specified by the event policies **2906**. For example, the event policies **2906** can specify that a qualifying entity type has a value of "CEO" in a Title Field. The data system **9300** can parse the electronic activity **9305** of the set of electronic activities **9305**, and generate a field-value pair associating a value of "John" to the First Name field, a value of "Smith" to the Last Name field, a value of "CEO" to the Title Field, and a value of "Acme" to the Company Name based on the electronic activity. The data system **9300** can match the value of CEO in the Title field to the specified qualifying entity type specified by the event policies **2906**. Thus, the data processing system **9300** can determine that the electronic activity is used to generate the activity field-value pair that identifies the entity.

[0914] In some embodiments, the method **3000** may include the data processing system **9300** determining that the identified participant is the entity of the qualifying entity type by: matching the identified participant to a node profile; identifying a field-value pair of the node profile specified by the event policy as having a field corresponding to the qualifying entity type; and matching a value of the field-value pair to a qualifying value specified by the event policy. For example, a participant may be matched to an existing node profile having a plurality of field-value pairs (e.g., it may be determined that a record object for the participant is already in the system of record). The data processing system **9300** can match at least one of the field-value pairs of the node profile to the specified field-value pair of the event policies **2906** to determine that the participant is an entity of the qualifying type.

[0915] In some embodiments, the field of the field-value pair of the node profile of the participant is one of a plurality of predetermined fields. For example, the field of the field-value pair can include: a job title field, a seniority field, a department field, or a specific entity identifier field, as specified by the event policies **2906**. This can provide for configuring a specific goal of communicating with a specific entity.

[0916] In some embodiments, the method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** parsing the at least one electronic activity to identify a participant of the at least one electronic activity by determining a value of a header field for the at least one electronic activity, the header field corresponding to at least one of a contact identifier or a name. For example, the electronic activity **9305** can be an email, and a header of the email can include a greeting (e.g., a string of "Hi John,"). The data processing system **9300** can parse the electronic activity **9305** to determine whether the header includes a name or a contact identifier, and can extract the name or contact identifier to identify a participant of the electronic activity **9305**.

[0917] In some embodiments, the method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** determining that the set of electronic activities includes the number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy the one or more conditions by: for one or more electronic activities of the set of electronic activities, determining whether the electronic activity satisfies the one or more conditions by applying the event policy, and if the electronic activity satisfies the one or more conditions, incrementing a counter; and comparing the counter to a reference threshold that is based on the number specified by the event policy; and updating the status of the event responsive to applying the event policy comprises updating the status of the event responsive to determining that the counter meets or exceeds the reference threshold.

[0918] For example, the event manager 2902 can reference specifications of the event policies

**2906** that specify one or more conditions for electronic activities that should be met in order for an electronic activity to count towards a number of electronic activities specified by the event policies **2906**. The event manager **2902** can reference the plurality of activity value-pairs for the electronic activity **9305** to determine whether the electronic activity **9305** meets the one or more conditions specified by the event policies **2906**. If the electronic activity **9305** meets the one or more conditions, the event manager **2902** can update the counter **2908** (e.g., can increment a count of the counter **2908** by one). The event manager **2902** can reference the updated count of the counter **2902**, and can compare the updated count to the threshold specified by the event policies **2906** to determine whether to update the event status **2910**. If the updated count meets or surpasses the specified threshold, the event manager **2902** can determine to update the event status **2910** (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage).

[0919] In some embodiments, the one or more conditions comprise the electronic activity being time-stamped within a predetermined period of time specified by the event policy. The predetermined period of time can include a day, a week, a month, or a year, and can be used to set a time limit or other time-related condition for satisfying the criteria of the goal (e.g., a goal of sending a predetermined number of emails in a given time period). The predetermined period of time can include a time of day (e.g., a period of the day corresponding to the morning, or to the afternoon, or excluding the evening). Thus communications that count towards satisfying the criteria of the goal can be specified to be sent at particular times, or specified to not be sent at particular times.

[0920] In some embodiments, the method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** the one or more conditions comprise the electronic activity being classified by a classifier trained via a machine learning process as falling within a class specified by the event policy. For example, the data processing system 9300 can parse the electronic activity 9305 to determine activity field-value pairs, and the activity field-value pairs can be input to the classifier to determine a classification of the electronic activity. The classifier can also accept, as input, strings included in the electronic activity **9305**. The classifications can include, for example, classifying the electronic activity **9305** as an initial greeting, as a follow up communication, or as a sales offer. The classifier can be trained via one or more machine learning methods (e.g. a gradient descent method) using annotated training data that includes annotated electronic activities. The event policies **2906** can specify that the one or more conditions include the electronic communication falling within a specified class, and the event manager **2902** can use the classifier to determine whether that condition is satisfied. [0921] In some embodiments, the method **3000** can include the data processing system **9300** storing, in one or more data structures, an association between the event, the event status and an identifier of the node profile of the entity. For example, the data processing system **9300** can store the event as an event data object 2904, which can include information related to an event status **2910**. The node profile **2904** can be associated with (can include a reference to) an identifier of a node profile of the entity. The node profile of the entity can be associated with (can include a reference to) an identifier of the event data object **2904**. Thus, the event data object **2904** can be associated with a specific entity, thereby linking the entity to a specific goal defined by the event data object **2904** and the event policies **2906** thereof.

## 22. Managing Electronic Activity Driven Targets

[0922] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for managing electronic activity related targets. Management of the systems of record described above can include maintaining a node profile that includes data structures relates to generating, assigning, managing, tracking, and measuring an electronic activity driven target and progress of the electronic activity driven target. It can be challenging to assign electronic activity driven targets to node profiles, which may involve parsing a plurality of electronic activities to generate a plurality of electronic activity driven targets, and identifying which electronic activity driven targets, of the plurality of electronic activity driven targets, pertain to and should be assigned to the specific node profiles. Disclosed herein are systems

and method for improved management, measurement, and tracking of electronic activity driven targets. The systems and methods disclosed herein can assign sets of electronic activity driven targets to specific node profiles by matching the specific node profiles to other node profiles used to generate the sets of electronic activity driven targets.

[0923] FIG. **31** illustrates an example data processing system **9300** for managing electronic activity driven targets, according to embodiments of the present disclosure. The data processing system may include or maintain at least one first node profile **3102**, a plurality of endpoint profiles **3104**, and a plurality of second node profiles **3106**. The data processing system **9300** may select or assign one or more endpoint profiles to the first node profile, the endpoint profile including a set of electronic activity driven targets. The data processing system **9300** may parse electronic activities **9305** received from, or accessed via, a data source **9355** to update the electronic activity driven targets of the first node profile **3102**.

[0924] The first node profile **3102** may include a reference to or otherwise be associated with one or more endpoint profiles **3104**. In the depicted example, the first node profile **3102** includes an endpoint profile identifier **3108** referencing an endpoint profile **3104**A of the endpoint profiles **3104**A includes a set of one or more electronic activity driven targets **3112**A. The electronic activity driven targets **3112**A are assigned to the first node profile **3102**, and the first node profile **3102** may include one or more target statuses **3110** that track the progress of the electronic activity driven targets **3112**A. Progress of the electronic activity driven targets **3112**A may be tracked or updated by the data processing system **9300** (e.g., using any of the systems or methods described in reference to FIG. **29** or FIG. **30**) based on parsing the electronic activity **9305**. The first node profile may further comprise a plurality of field-value pairs, including a first field-value pair (for a field 1, having a value A) and a second field value pair (for a field 2, having a value B).

[0925] In some embodiments, the endpoint profiles **3104** may correspond to the event policies **2906** shown in FIG. **29**. In some embodiments, the first node profile **3102** may include, or may reference (e.g. via an event data object identifier), the event data object **2904** shown in FIG. **29** that includes the event policies **2906**. For example, the targets **3112**A of the endpoint profile **3104**A may be associated with, or may include, a set of event policies **2906** that define one or more targets or goals, and that specify how a status of each target or goal is to be changed (e.g., changed from "in progress" to "completed"), tracked, or updated. The target status **3110** of the first node profile may include the event status **2910**, and the endpoint profile identifier **3108** may include the event policies identifier **2907**.

[0926] Thus, for example, the targets **3112** may include event policies **2906** that specify that the target status **3110** is to be updated responsive to determining that (i) at least one electronic activity **9305** of a set of electronic activities **9305** is used to generate an activity field-value pair that identifies an entity of the qualifying entity type specified in the event policy, based on an identified participant of the at least one electronic activity **9305**, or ii) the set of electronic activities **9305** includes a specified number of electronic activities of the set of electronic activities that satisfy one or more conditions. This can provide for, among other things, (i) updating the event status **2910** based on determining that a specified person or a person of a specified type has been brought in to a process of an opportunity, or (ii) updating the event status **2910** based on determining that a specified number of electronic activities **9305** that satisfy particular conditions (e.g., are sent to particular recipients (e.g. having specified job titles and/or a specified level of seniority) and/or are sent during particular time periods) have been sent. In some embodiments, the specified number of electronic activities **9305** that satisfy particular conditions is one electronic activity.

**3104**B. Each endpoint profile may include a set of electronic activity driven targets **3112**, and may include one or more field value pairs. For example, the endpoint profile **3104**A may include a set of electronic activity driven targets **3112**A, and a plurality of field-value pairs including a first field-

value pair (for the field 1, having a value A) and a second field value pair (for the field 2, having a value B). The endpoint profile **3104**B may include a set of electronic activity driven targets **3112**B, and a plurality of field-value pairs including a first field-value pair (for the field 1, having a value A) and a second field value pair (for a field 3, having a value D).

[0928] The data processing system **9300** may select an endpoint profile **3104** for the first node profile 3102 based on matching at least some of the field-value pairs of the first node profile 3102 to at least some of the field-value pairs of one of the endpoint profiles **3104**. In the depicted example, the data processing system **9300** selects the endpoint profile **3104**A and assigns it to the first node profile **3102** based on matching the first and second field-value pairs of the endpoint profile **3104**A (field 1, value A; and field 2, value B) to the first and second field-value pairs of the first node profile **3102** (field 1, value A; and field 2, value B). The field value pairs of the first node profile and the selected endpoint profile need not be exact matches. In some embodiments, the data processing system can use any matching method described herein, including calculating a match score between the endpoint profile **3104**A and the first node profile **3102** based on their respective field-value pairs, and assigning the endpoint profile **3104**A to the first node profile **3102** responsive to determining that the match score is above a threshold, or responsive to determining that the match score is a best match score (or is in a top predetermined number of match scores) of a plurality of match scores respectively calculated for a plurality of the endpoint profiles **3104**. [0929] The second node profiles **3106** include a plurality of node profiles that can be used to generate the endpoint profiles **3104**. In the depicted example, the second node profiles **3106** include a node profile **3106**A, a node profile **3106**B, and a node profile **3106**C. Each node profile includes a plurality of field-value pairs, and a metric 3114 (which may also be a field-value pair). The node profile **3106**A includes a metric **3114**A, a first field-value pair (field 1; value A), a second fieldvalue pair (field 2; value B), and a third field-value pair (field 3; value C). The node profile **3106**B includes a metric **3114**B, a first field-value pair (field 1; value A), a second field-value pair (field 2; value B), and a third field-value pair (field 3; value D). The node profile **3106**C includes a metric **3114**C, a first field-value pair (field 1; value A), a second field-value pair (field 2; value B), and a third field-value pair (field 3; value D).

[0930] The second node profiles **3106** may be node profiles associated with, or representing, one or more entities. The entities may be model or exemplary entities deemed to be entities that should be emulated or identified as entities whose performance can be used for modelling. The targets 3112 of the endpoint profiles **3104** may be determined based on historical electronic activities associated with the second node profiles **3106**. Thus, historical electronic activity patterns of the model entities may be used to set goals for other entities (e.g., for an entity corresponding to the first node profile **3102**). For example, a model entity may be associated with an electronic activity pattern that includes sending or receiving one or more electronic activities from a party satisfying certain conditions (e.g., having a certain job title, or being part of a certain company). Such an electronic activity pattern may be used to set goals for other entities. The electronic activity pattern can include any activities or patterns discussed herein (e.g., in reference to goals or targets), and can include one or more metrics **3114** being at or above respective predetermined thresholds. For example, a metric **3114** can track a number of record objects associated with the second node profile and having a stage status indicating that a last stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed. Thus, for example, the metric **3114** can track a number of deals or leads that have closed and that are associated with the second node profile.

[0931] In some embodiments, one or more of the targets **3112** may be set manually (e.g. via human input). For example, a manager of an entity corresponding to the first node profile **3102** may manually set or select a target **3112** for the first node profile **3102** (e.g., by adding the target to the targets **3112**A of the endpoint profile **3104** assigned to the first node profile **3102**, or by otherwise assigning the target to the first node profile **3102** (e.g. by setting the target as a second endpoint profile assigned to the first node profile **3102**)). Thus, the targets assigned to the first node profile

**3102** may include a combination of manually set targets and automatically assigned targets. In some embodiments, the targets may be only manually-set targets, or only automatically assigned targets.

[0932] The data processing system **9300** may generate an endpoint profile **3104** based on the second node profiles **3106**. For example, the data processing system **9300** may dynamically generate an endpoint profile **3104** that matches the node profile **3102** (e.g., that has one or more same or similar values for a predetermined set of fields), and the data processing system **9300** may select matching second node profiles **3106** to be used to generate targets **3112** for the endpoint profile **3104**. In some embodiments, endpoint profile need not be generated specifically to match the first node profile **3102**. For example, the data processing system **9300** may generate an endpoint profile **3104** to match a specified set of field-value pairs, and the data processing system **9300** may select matching second node profiles **3106** to be used to generate targets **3112** for the endpoint profile **3104**. In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** may cluster or classify similar second node profiles **3106** into groups (e.g. using a machine-learning trained classifier), and may generate an endpoint profile **3104** for each group (e.g., an endpoint profile having field-value pairs that match field-value pairs of the group, and having targets **3112**A based on the second node profiles **3106** of the group).

[0933] The data processing system **9300** may generate an endpoint profile **3104** to include targets **3112** that may include one or more metrics determined based on metrics **3114** of the second node profiles **3106** used to generate the endpoint profile **3104**. For example, the targets **3112** may include a metric and an associated target value corresponding to an average of the metrics **3114** of the second node profiles **3106**. The average may be a weighted average (e.g., weighted based on a match score or degree of matching between field-value pairs of the endpoint profile **3104** and corresponding field-value pairs of the node profiles **3106**). Thus, for example, metrics of second node profiles **3106** that closely match the endpoint profile **3104** being generated may be more heavily weighted than metrics of second node profiles that less closely match the endpoint profile **3104** being generated.

[0934] The data processing system 9300 may update the target status 3110 of the first node profile 3102 based on the electronic activity 9305. For example, the data processing system 9305 may parse the electronic activity 9305 and can access the electronic activity 9305 and can parse the electronic activity 9305 to determine a plurality of activity field-value pairs for the electronic activity 9305, including identifying one or more participants of the electronic activity 9305. The event manager 2902 can access the endpoint profile 3104A using the endpoint profile identifier 3108 of the first node profile 3102, and can use the targets 3112A of the endpoint profile 3104A to determine to update the target status 3110 of the first node profile 3102. For example, the targets 3112A can specify that a goal is at, or is to progress to, a certain stage based on a determination that a qualifying entity is a participant in the electronic activity 9305.

[0935] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can reference specifications of the targets **3112**A for a qualifying entity type, and can reference the plurality of activity value-pairs for the electronic activity **9305** to determine whether one of the set of participants of the electronic activity **9305** is of the qualifying entity type. If one of the set of participants of the electronic activity **9305** is of the qualifying entity type, the data processing system **9300** can determine to increase a count of electronic activities satisfying a predetermined criteria, and can update the event status **2910** (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage) accordingly (e.g. if the count reaches a threshold). In some embodiments, the targets **3112**A specify that a plurality of participants should be included in or associated with the electronic activity, and the data processing system **9300** can determine that each of the plurality of participants is included in or associated with the electronic activity **9305**, and can update the target status **3110** (e.g., update the status to "complete", or update the status to a next stage) responsively.

[0936] The data processing system **9300** can include the node graph generation system **200**. The

node graph generation system **200**, or one or more components thereof, can analyze electronic activities associated with member nodes to generate a member node profile for a member node in a member node graph. The node graph generation system **200** can identify metrics for each member node profile based on the electronic activities. The node graph generation system can correlate the metrics with desired performance outcomes or results, including but not limited to closed sales, recruited candidates, or renewed contracts to identify which metrics are correlated with desired performance outcomes. Based on identifying the desired metrics that result in desired outcomes, the node graph generation system **200** can set one or more goals for member nodes, as well as help track those goals to increase the likelihood that the member node achieves the desired performance outcome, thereby improving the likelihood that the member node achieves the desired performance outcome.

[0937] In some embodiments, the node graph system **200** can include a recommendation engine **275**. The node graph system **200** (via recommendation engine **275**) can provide a recommendation or set a target goal for a member node (e.g., can assign an endpoint profile **3104** including targets **3112** to a first node profile **3102**). The node graph generation system **200** can, for example, provide these recommendations or target goals to one or more member nodes or one or more group nodes based on historical matching electronic activities to desired performance outcomes. The node graph generation system **200** (or one or more component thereof) can match electronic activities to desired performance outcomes stored or indicated in one or more systems of record. [0938] The node graph generation system **200** can include a performance module designed and constructed to determine a performance metric or performance level of a member node based on electronic activities. To generate a recommendation, the node graph generation system 200 (via a performance module 280 and recommendation engine 275) can identify member node performance as compared to a member node's past performance or as compared to the performance of other member nodes (e.g., second node profiles **3106**) that have a similar role or otherwise share similar characteristics (e.g., have field-value pairs that match field-value pairs of the first node profile **3102**). The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via a member node performance module) can determine a performance of a member node. For example, the node graph generation system 200 can identify electronic activities associated with multiple member nodes that are linked to a group node in a group node graph. The node graph generation system 200 can then identify a system of record associated with the group node. The system of record can include account record objects, lead record objects, opportunity record objects, deal record objects or other types of record objects. The system of record can include stages for any business process, such as opportunities with stages, recruiting of candidate with interview stages, renewing contract with renewal stages, etc. In an illustrative example, an opportunity record object can include multiple sequential stages for the opportunity, such as a first stage, second stage, third stage, and a fourth stage, where the first stage indicates an initial stage and the fourth stage indicates a final or completion stage for the opportunity. The node graph generation system 200 can correlate electronic activities with the opportunity record objects as well as the stages of the opportunity record objects. The node graph generation system **200** can determine metrics based on electronic activities that are associated with an opportunity advancing stages or not advancing stages. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can correlate that, on average: 5 emails and 1 in-person meeting occurred in a time interval for an opportunity before it moved from a first stage to a second stage; 10 emails and 2 inperson meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a second stage to a third stage; 15 emails and 3 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a third stage to a fourth stage; and 20 emails and 4 in-person meetings occurred during a time interval for an opportunity to move from a third stage to a fourth or final stage. By determining metrics that are correlated with advancing an opportunity from one stage to another based on electronic activities correlated with stages in opportunity record objects stored in a system of record, the node graph generation system 200 (or component or module thereof) can predict or

forecast metrics that, when met, are likely to result in the desired performance outcome. The node graph generation system **200** can determine which metrics of electronic activities have the highest correlation to successful outcomes in order to generate goals. Such metrics may include metrics **3114** of the second node profiles **3106**, and target values for such metrics may be included in the targets **3112** of the endpoint profiles **3104**.

[0939] For example, when a member node enters a first stage of a process described in a system of record (e.g., a first stage of an opportunity, recruiting process, contract renewal, etc.), the node graph generation system **200** can identify, for a similar opportunity and a similar member node, the metrics that, on average, likely resulted in a desired performance outcome of advancing from the first stage to a second stage. The system can identify a similar opportunity by comparing object field-value pairs of the opportunity to candidate opportunity record objects. In addition, the system can identify the participants of the electronic activities linked with the opportunity record objects and identify similar opportunity record objects by determining the similar opportunity record objects include one or more of the same participants associated with the opportunity record object. In some embodiments, even if the participants are different, the system can compare the seniority and department of the participants with the seniority and departments of participants included in the candidate opportunity record objects to identify similar opportunity record objects. Similar member nodes can be determined by comparing the seniority and department of the member nodes, or the types of opportunities the member nodes are linked with (by comparing opportunity size, company size, industry, etc.).

[0940] The node graph generation system 200 can further provide an indication of these metrics to the member node as a goal or target metrics to improve the likelihood that the member node advances from the first stage to the second stage. The node graph generation system 200 can further provide metrics estimated to advance from each stage to the final stage. The node graph generation system 200 can generate the estimate by benchmarking across member nodes in similar roles working on similar processes in order to identify the desired performance outcomes and metrics associated with such desired performance outcome. For example, the benchmarking process can include identifying member nodes that conduct interviews in a recruiting process to identify metrics associated with candidates that accepted an offer to join a company in order to provide an estimate of a metric that might result in a desired outcome. An example metric for this example can include a response time or response quality associated with emails between the interviewer and the candidate before or after the interview. Other example metrics can include the duration of the interview, whether the interview was face-to-face or telephonic, or whether the interviewer or candidate was late to the scheduled interview based on natural language processing of the correspondence between the candidate and the interviewer.

[0941] In another example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify member nodes linked to a group node that perform well or have desired performance outcomes. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via recommendation engine **275**) can identify a temporal aspect to the metrics associated with the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can determine when member node first joined the group node or was first linked to the group node (e.g., a job start date or beginning date), and how the member node's performance and behavior metrics evolved over time. This initial time interval can be referred to as a ramp-up period (e.g., when an employee first joins a company and then gets up to speed or ramps up). The node graph generation system **200** can identify metrics associated with a successful ramp-up period based on identifying member nodes that are associated with desired performance outcomes based on reaching desired stages in an opportunity record object (i.e. by analyzing how successful employees had ramped in the past). Thus, by analyzing electronic activities and a corresponding system of record to determine data driven metrics associated with desired performance outcomes determined by linking activities with record objects describing process stages (e.g., an opportunity record) in the system of record, the node graph generation system **200** can generate or identify goals to set for member

nodes that are in a ramp-up period or other time interval, such as during a performance improvement plan (a plan, set up by employee's manager to bring the employee to optimal performance after a period of poor performance). The node graph generation system **200** can further reevaluate the member node's metrics to update the goals or set new goals by comparing current metrics (e.g., actual actions or performance) associated with the member node's current electronic activities with the desired metrics (e.g., planned actions or performance) for electronic activities correlated with the desired performance outcome or result.

[0942] In some embodiments, the system **200** can be configured to compare performances of employees of a company by monitoring the employee's contribution to opportunity record objects and the progression of the stages the opportunity record object goes through. For instance, a high performing employee may be involved in electronic activities that are linked to opportunity record objects that advance from one of the stages to another stage much quicker than another employee with the same role. Similarly, a high performing employee may be involved in electronic activities that are linked to a greater number of opportunity record objects that advance from one of the stages to another stage than another employee with the same role. As such, by tracking the opportunity record objects with which an employee is linked, a performance of the employee can be determined and the employee's metrics can be used to set certain benchmarks that can then be used to determine a performance of another employee with a similar role or generate a ramp up schedule based on the employee's metrics. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that when a member node completes 25 calls in a week, reaches out to 10 companies in a week, has 5 in-person meetings in a week, and then writes 100 emails in the same week, then the member node should be able to complete a number of deals or advance a desired number of stages in one or more deals or otherwise achieve an expected performance outcome after a certain time (e.g., a time delay between input activities and outcome results). The metric can refer to or include an attribute of an activity, such as an amount of the activity. The metric can be a binary value that indicates a yes or no, such as "did you have a meeting with 10 people", with a value of 1 or 0 indicated yes or no, respectively. In some cases, the metric can be a count, a ratio, a time value, or a percentage value, based on any combination/formula, calculated from any number of data points in the member node graph or system of records. The metrics can vary in granularity based on the data the node graph generation system **200** can analyze via electronic activities or one or more systems of record. Based on previous or historical activity, the node graph generation system **200** can predict, forecast or estimate what activity should occur to achieve a desired outcome, and propose or set goals for a member node or group node accordingly. The node graph generation system 200 (e.g., via the electronic activity linking engine) can correlate the electronic activities with the stages or desired outcomes as stored or determined in the system of record or an opportunity record object thereof. The electronic activity linking engine can match, correlate, link or otherwise associate electronic activities with outcomes (e.g., advancing stages, won, lost, etc.) stored in the system of record.

[0943] The node graph generation system **200** can generate an automated employee ramp-up schedule based on the previously identified high performing member nodes based on internal user data. The node graph generation system **200** identifies high performing member nodes based on electronic activities associated with the member nodes matching desired outcomes as indicated in opportunity record objects stored in a system of record (e.g., system of record **9360**) or stored in a shadow or temporary system of record associated with the node graph generation system **200**, or otherwise stored in a master system of record. With this automatically generated ramp-up schedule containing metrics for electronic activities that is correlated with high performing member nodes, the node graph generation system **200** can provide goals or recommendations to new member nodes that are beginning a new job or new role at a company. Such recommendations can be especially relevant for employees in sales, customer success, recruiting, or other functions. [0944] To generate the ramp-up schedule for a new member node (e.g., a new hire), the node graph

generation system **200** can identify a high performing member node that has a node profile that is similar to the member node profile of the new member node. The node graph generation system **200** can compare member node profiles based on values of fields of the member node profiles, such as geographic area, type of industry, experience, or any other field of the member node profile. The node graph generation system **200** can then identify metrics associated with the similar member node profile of the high performing member node and generate a ramp-up schedule using the metrics.

[0945] To identify the metrics, the node graph generation system **200** can normalize the metrics for a time interval. The node graph generation system **200** can identify metrics for the high performing member node that occurred during a time interval that is similar or relevant to the new member node profile. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify the first two weeks of employment by determining when the first email was actually sent by the employee, and then identifying the metrics for electronic activities that correspond to the first two weeks of the high performing member node's employing at the company. These first two weeks may not indicate a high performance. For example, the high performing member node may not have been high performing with reference to desired outcomes in matching opportunity record objects in a system of record for another 6 months; however, the metrics associated with electronic activities that occurred in the first two weeks or other time interval prior to the desired performance outcomes may nonetheless be indicative or relevant to the high performance level of the high performing member node. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** can select the metrics of electronic activities that occurred in the first two weeks and provide those metrics as goals or target goals or target metrics for the new member node without setting a goal or expectation that the member node achieve a desired opportunity stage in the initial time interval, but, instead, with the goal that the new member node may achieve the desired performance with references to opportunity stages during a later or subsequent time interval. The node graph generation system **200** can correlate metrics to outcomes (e.g., all metrics of electronic activities that correlate with positive outcome), and then compare new employee to a previously successful employee.

[0946] The node graph generation system **200** can normalize the time interval or otherwise account for environmental factors or external factors associated with the time interval that can affect the metrics associated with electronic activities or performance outcomes. For example, the node graph generation system can take into account a seasonal component by detecting a reduction in electronic activities during a vacation time interval. The node graph generation system 200 can determine or detect the vacation based on identifying an automatic out of office reply in outbound electronic activities corresponding to the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can determine or detect the vacation based on identifying a vacation calendar entry electronic activity corresponding to the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can identify the vacation responsive to determining that a volume of electronic activity or responsiveness to electronic activities during a predetermined time interval is below a threshold for the email account of the node profile, or the hours during which emails are sent vary from a traditional time range or time zone for the member node (e.g., whether electronic activities or communications are clustered around business hours). By determining that the new member node may be on vacation—or that a high performing member node's metrics were associated with a vacation—the node graph generation system **200** can remove or filter out metrics or data during the vacation period so as not to set improper or erroneous goals that might be faulty due to a vacation time interval, or so as not to determine that the new member node is underperforming or not meeting goals due to the new member node being on vacation.

[0947] The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via recommendation engine **275**) can provide or assign the target goal or recommendation to the member node, or a manager member node that may then propagate the target goal to employee member nodes. A manager member node can refer or correspond to a person whose role is a manager of employees or a team of people. The member

node profile can include a field that denominates a role of the member, such as manager or employee. The member node profile can further include a field that denominates who the manager is, such as a "managed by" field. In some embodiments, the recommendation engine **275** can include or interface with a machine learning engine that obtains feedback from a manager member node and adjusts the recommendations or target goals accordingly. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can identify manager member nodes that are linked to employee member nodes that are performing with a desired outcome based on a system of record. The node graph generation system **200** can further identify that when new employee member nodes are linked or join the network of the manager member node, the new employee member node ramps up in a desired time interval and to a desired performance level. The node graph generation system **200** can receive human input from a manager corresponding to a manager member node. Based on the human input, the node graph generation system **200** can determine that the manager member node sets goals that are effective or successful in improving the performance of the employee member nodes. The node graph generation system **200** can receive, via the manager member node or one or more employee member nodes, the target goals and input these target goals into a machine learning engine or otherwise compare the input target goals with automatically generated target goals to tune or update the generation of target goals. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** (or recommendation engine **275**) can receive human input from high performing managers in order to update the recommendation engine **275** and improve the generation of recommendations or goals for member nodes.

[0948] The node graph generation system **200** can include a performance module **280** designed and configured to determine a performance of a member node. The performance module **280** can identify when metrics of a member node do not meet or exceed the target goal metrics set for the member node. The node graph generation system **200** can recommend to the manager to establish, responsive to detecting that the metrics for electronic activities for a member node do not satisfy the target goals, a performance improvement plan for the member node. The performance improvement plan can be based on a difference between the member nodes' current metrics and the target metrics. The performance improvement plan can be further based on identifying a similar member node to the underperforming member node that also previously underwent a performance improvement plan but is high performing now. The performance improvement plan can be based on human input received from a manager member node. Thus, the node graph generation system 200 (e.g., via recommendation engine 275) can generate a customized or tailored performance improvement plan that is based on a similar member node whose activity levels and goal attainment indicates that the similar member node successfully completed a performance improvement plan and is now a high performing member node. The node graph generation system **200** can generate this customized or tailored performance improvement plan using human input from a manager that is deemed, by the recommendation engine 275, to be a high performing manager.

[0949] The node graph generation system **200** can set performance benchmarks for a member node, a plurality of member nodes (for example, a team of member nodes), group nodes, industry nodes representing a plurality of group nodes belonging to the same industry, nodes within a geographic territory, or any other collection or group of nodes. The node graph generation system **200** can establish benchmarks for performance based on analyzing the performance of one or more groups of nodes having similar characteristics. The node graph generation system **200** can identify similar groups of nodes based on a group size (e.g., number of member nodes in the group node), revenue of the group node, industry associated with the group node, geographic region of the group node, or other characteristic. These characteristics can be set or stored or inferred from a group node profile associated with the group node of a group node graph.

[0950] The node graph generation system **200** can generate income estimates for member nodes based on performance outcomes derived from electronic activities associated with the member

node. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can determine how performance outcomes map to income, for example in sales, and then estimate income based on metrics of electronic activities that match the performance outcome stored in an opportunity record object in a system of record. The node graph generation system **200** can perform a deal-by-deal benchmarking to determine an income estimate. The system 200 can identify successful historical deals that are similar to a target deal. The system **200** can determine whether the types or quantities of electronic activities (or other metrics associated with electronic activities) associated with the successful historical deals are similar to the electronic activities metrics that are occurring in the target deal. If the system **200** determines that the target deal is on track to be a successful deal based on the electronic activities metrics for historical similar deals that were successful, then the system 200 can determine that the target deal will be successful, or more likely to close, so the representative member node for the deal is likely to keep a commission. The node graph generation system 200 can provide an indication to the member node on a periodic or other time interval with current metrics of electronic activities and target metrics for electronic activities in order to achieve the desired income. Based on deal-by-deal benchmarking, the system **200** can determine how many deals of what type the member node needs to close in a year to make the desired income. Based on the number and type of deals, the system **200** can set the goal electronic activities metrics for the member node that are likely to result in closing the deals. For example, a member node may want to make \$50,000 per year, then the node graph generation system **200** can notify the member node that they need to have 10 in-person external meetings per week, write 100 emails to external contacts, and make 25 phone calls to external contacts (e.g., metrics for electronic activities that are were associated with similar deals that were successful).

[0951] The node graph generation system **200** can detect, based on analyzing electronic activities, whether the member node is satisfying the target goals. If the member node is not satisfying the target goals to achieve the desired income, the node graph generation system **200** can predict the reduction in income relative to the desired income and notify the member node of the reduction in income that may result from missing the target goals. The system **200** can tie current performance level to future projected wins (e.g., successful deals), and hence to future projected income. [0952] In some embodiments, an employee's compensation may be based on the performance of the team that the employee is managing. For such an employee, such as a team manager, the node graph generation system **200** can help establish a compensation structure for the team manager member node that is based on the performance of his team, which is based on the individual performance outcomes of the employee member nodes the team manager manages. In some embodiments, the system **200** can analyze electronic activities (and corresponding record objects to which the electronic activities are matched) relating to the team managed by the team manager to determine or predict the performance of the team. The system **200** can then generate specific actions that the team manager or his team can or should take to improve the performance of the team or to achieve previously established goals. More generally, the node graph generation system **200** can establish goal outcomes and recommend actions based on analyzing electronic activities or accessing or analyzing systems of record. The node graph generation system **200** (e.g., via performance module **280**) can compare electronic activity metrics or aggregated activity metadata for similar processes (e.g., sales deals, recruitment process, etc.) to determine a performance outcome for the member node participating in the process. The node graph generation system 200 can generate such goal outcomes or recommend actions (e.g., electronic activities) with varying granularity, for instance, hourly, daily, weekly, bi-weekly or monthly, among others. The node graph generation system 200 can establish a sales compensation system based on analyzing electronic activities or accessing or analyzing systems of record. Thus, the node graph generation system **200** can automate the process of goal setting for team management, or setting team management on autopilot, based analyzing electronic activities or accessing or analyzing systems of record.

[0953] The node graph generation system **200** can set a manager member node goal of having every employee member node perform a certain number and type of electronic activities in a certain time interval. In some cases, the manager member node goal can include aggregate activity metadata associated with electronic activities, such as response rates from C-level executives, meeting attendance rates, or meeting reschedule rates. The node graph generation system **200** can detect that the goal was not met by a first employee member node, and then perform an early warning prediction that the first employee member node may not be ramping up on time. The node graph generation system **200** can tie this missed goal detection with an indication that the first employee member node may not be ramping up on time. For example, out of 50 member nodes that succeeded at a company, their metrics trended in accordance with curve X, whereas the first employee member node's metrics trend in accordance with curve Y, which may not intersect with curve X, therefore the first employee member node may not be ramping up in a satisfactory manner. Metrics can indicate a cadence, response time to emails, number of calls, etc. [0954] The node graph generation system **200** can identify different patterns for different industries or different types of processes (e.g., sales, recruiting, etc.). The node graph generation system 200 can establish goals for each type of deal or opportunity or industry based on the patterns. The node graph generation system **200** can, for example, establish patterns to advance stage with a specific OCR or champion. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can establish metrics for electronic activities that are tailored or customized for the specific OCR with which the seller is interacting. For example, the node graph generation system **200** can estimate for a specific deal to advance to a next stage, there should be a certain number of electronic activities with the OCR during a time interval; so, the node graph generation system **200** can set that as the goal for the time interval. The number of electronic activities can be based on or include a number of people in a meeting, average seniority of people in a meeting, or other granular indicators. [0955] The node graph generation system **200** can generate an effort estimation model for each member node based on electronic activities or metrics thereof. The metrics can indicate low responsiveness, empty times on calendar during key business hours, or other predictors that someone is not putting in a threshold level effort. The node graph generation system **200** can detect a drop off in metrics as a drop off in effort. The node graph generation system **200** can detect a drop off or lack of participation in certain types of activities as an indication of low effort and thus predict a person being disengaged and preparing to leave the company. [0956] FIG. **32** illustrates an example method **3200** for managing electronic activity driven targets, according to embodiments of the present disclosure. The operations of method **3200** presented below are intended to be illustrative. In some implementations, method **3200** can be accomplished with one or more additional operations not described, and/or without one or more of the operations discussed. Additionally, the order in which the operations of method **3200** are illustrated in FIG. **32** and described below is not intended to be limiting.

[0957] The method **3200** can be implemented by one or more processing devices (e.g., a digital processor, an analog processor, a digital circuit designed to process information, an analog circuit designed to process information, a state machine, and/or other mechanisms for electronically processing information). The one or more processing devices can include one or more devices executing some or all of the operations of method **3200** in response to instructions stored electronically on an electronic storage medium. The one or more processing devices can include one or more devices configured through hardware, firmware, and/or software to be specifically designed for execution of one or more of the operations of method **3200**.

[0958] The method **3200** can include maintaining, by one or more processors, a plurality of node profiles respectively corresponding to a plurality of unique entities, each node profile including a plurality of field-value pairs (BLOCK **3202**). The node profiles may include first node profiles **3102**. Each first node profile may correspond to a unique entity (e.g., to particular employee). Maintaining the plurality of node profiles may include updating the node profiles based on

electronic activities **9305** or data derived therefrom, such as in any manner described herein (e.g., storing the node profiles and filling out fields of the node profiles).

[0959] The method **3200** can include accessing, by the one or more processors, data from a first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with one or more data source providers, the one or more processors configured to update the plurality of node profiles using the first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **3204**). The first plurality of electronic activities can include electronic activities **9305** to be processed following ingestion. The first plurality of electronic activities can be used in the method **9300** to update target statuses **3110** for the first node profiles **3102**.

[0960] The method **3200** can include selecting, by the one or more processors, for a first node profile of the plurality of node profiles, using one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, an endpoint profile generated using electronic activities of second node profiles including one or more field-value pairs that match the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile, the endpoint profile specifying electronic activity driven targets that can be tracked by parsing electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile (BLOCK **3206**).

[0961] The first node profile may be, for example, the first node profile **3102** shown in FIG. **31**. The first node profile **3102** may have one or more field-value pairs (e.g., the pairs: field 1: A; and field 2: B). Examples of field-value pairs can include a title field and a company field. The title field can include a first value corresponding to a seniority of the entity and a second value corresponding to a department. Examples of values corresponding to the seniority can be a manager, director, vice president, CEO, CTO, etc. Examples of values corresponding to the department can be finance, legal, sales, marketing, human resources, IT, among others. Other fields, such as Company Name, Location or Territory, Industry, or other fields that are used to compare employees can be used. The data processing system 9300 may use the one or more fieldvalue pairs to select an endpoint profile **3104** that matches the first node profile **3102**. The endpoint profile can include one or more arrays or field-value pairs identifying a number of electronic activities to perform to cause the entity to improve their performance. Selecting the endpoint profile **3104** may include selecting an endpoint profile **3104** that has already been generated (e.g. that was not specifically generated to match the first node profile **3102**). For example, the data processing system 9300 may cluster or classify similar second node profiles 3106 into groups (e.g. using a machine-learning trained classifier), and may generate an endpoint profile **3104** for each group (e.g., an endpoint profile having field-value pairs that match field-value pairs of the group, and having targets **3112**A based on the second node profiles **3106** of the group). For instance, each group can be specific to a particular seniority and department pair. For example, each second node profile can have the same title or same seniority and department. In some embodiments, each second node profile can also have the same or similar industry or territory. The data processing system **3102** may select one of these predetermined endpoint profiles that match the first node profile **3102**. The data processing system **9300** may select the endpoint profile **3104**A and assign it to the first node profile **3102** based on matching the first and second field-value pairs of the endpoint profile **3104**A (field 1, value A; and field 2, value B) to the first and second field-value pairs of the first node profile **3102** (field 1, value A; and field 2, value B). The field value pairs of the first node profile and the selected endpoint profile need not be exact matches. In some embodiments, the data processing system can use any matching method described herein, including calculating a match score between the endpoint profile **3104**A and the first node profile **3102** based on their respective field-value pairs, and assigning the endpoint profile **3104**A to the first node profile **3102** responsive to determining that the match score is above a threshold, or responsive to determining that the match score is a best match score (or is in a top predetermined number of match scores) of a plurality of match scores respectively calculated for a plurality of the endpoint profiles **3104**.

[0962] In some embodiments, selecting the endpoint profile **3104** may include dynamically

generating an endpoint profile that matches the first node profile **3102**. For example, the data processing system **9300** may dynamically generate an endpoint profile **3104**, and the data processing system **9300** may use second node profiles **3106** that match the first node profile **3102** to generate the endpoint profile **3104**. The matching may include any of the matching processes described herein.

[0963] The method **3200** can include storing, by the one or more processors, in one or more data structures, an association between the first node profile and the endpoint profile specifying the electronic activity driven targets (BLOCK **3208**). For example, the data processing system **9300** can store the endpoint profile identifier **3108** in the first node profile **3102** to link or associate the first node profile **3102** with the selected endpoint profile **3104**A. The data processing system **9300** can also store the target status **3110** in the first node profile to track and/or update a status of the targets **3112**A specified by the endpoint profile **3104**A.

[0964] The method **3200** can include parsing, by the one or more processors, a second plurality of electronic activities corresponding to the first node profile (BLOCK **3210**), and updating, by the one or more processors, a metric relating to the electronic activity driven targets responsive to parsing the second plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **3212**). The second plurality of electronic activities **9305** to be processed for tracking a status of the targets **3112**A, and may be used to update the target status **3110** of the first node profile **3102**. Parsing the second plurality of electronic activities and updating the metric relating to the electronic activity driven targets responsive to parsing the second plurality of electronic activities may include implementing at least a portion of the method **3000** shown in FIG. **30** and described above.

[0965] In some embodiments, generating the endpoint profile includes: selecting the plurality of second node profiles based on matching a first set of field-value pairs of the second node profiles to the one or more field-value pairs of the first node profile; determining for each second node profile of the selected second node profiles, a respective electronic activity pattern based on a third plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of the second node profile; and setting one or more target values for the endpoint profile based on each respective electronic activity pattern.

[0966] This may include dynamically generating the endpoint profile **3104**A based on the field-value pairs of the first node profile **3102**. For example, the first set of field-value pairs of the second node profiles may include the fields 1, 2, and 3 and their corresponding values of the second node profiles **3106** shown in FIG. **31**. These field-value pairs may be matched to the field-value pairs of the first node profile **3102** (e.g., the field value pairs [1;A], and [2;B]). A matching process may be implemented to determine which of the second node profiles **3106** match the first node profiles **3102** (e.g., a matching process that generates a match score for each of the second node profiles **3106**, and selects any second node profiles **3106** that have a match score above a predetermined threshold, or selects a predetermined highest number of second node profiles **3106**). The first set of field-value pairs may include, for example, field-value pairs specifying attributes of the first node profile **3102**, including any of a performance level, an activity level, a geographic territory, a job title, a seniority, or any other appropriate attribute.

[0967] Determining for each second node profile of the selected second node profiles, a respective electronic activity pattern based on a third plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of the second node profile may include determining an electronic activity pattern based on historical electronic activities associated with the second node profile **3106**. For example, the data processing system **9300** may identify, for a plurality of metrics, an electronic activity pattern that includes sending or receiving one or more electronic activities from a party satisfying certain conditions (e.g., having a certain job title, or being part of a certain company). The electronic activity pattern can include any activities or patterns discussed herein (e.g., in reference to goals or targets), and can include one or more metrics **3114** being at or above

respective predetermined thresholds. For example, a metric **3114** can track a number of record objects associated with the second node profile and having a stage status indicating that a last stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed. Thus, for example, the metric **3114** can track a number of deals or leads that have closed and that are associated with the second node profile, and the electronic activity pattern may include the metric **3114** being at or above a predetermined threshold.

[0968] Setting one or more target values for the endpoint profile based on each respective electronic activity pattern may include setting a metric value for the targets **3112**A as a metric value to be attained for a goal or target to progress to a next stage or to change status (e.g. from "in progress" to "completed"). For example, the targets **3112** may include a metric and an associated target value corresponding to an average of the metrics **3114** of the second node profiles **3106**. The average may be a weighted average (e.g., weighted based on a match score or degree of matching between field-value pairs of the endpoint profile **3104** and corresponding field-value pairs of the node profiles **3106**). Thus, for example, metrics of second node profiles **3106** that closely match the endpoint profile **3104** being generated may be more heavily weighted than metrics of second node profiles that less closely match the endpoint profile **3104** being generated.

[0969] In some embodiments, determining the respective electronic activity pattern for the second node profile comprises: parsing, by the one or more processors, each electronic activity of the third plurality of electronic activities; generating, by the one or more processors, for the electronic activity, one or more activity field-value pairs corresponding to respective node field-value pairs of node profiles of participants of the electronic activity; and using, by the one or more processors, the one or more activity field-value pairs to generate the respective electronic activity pattern. This may provide for identifying one or more participants of electronic activities associated with the second node profile, and determining an electronic activity pattern that includes sending or receiving an electronic activity from the one or more participants, or sending or receiving an electronic activity from a participant that matches, or that is similar to, the participant of the electronic activity of the third plurality of electronic activities. For example this may include determining field-value pairs of the participant, and setting one or more of the field-value pairs as field-value pairs of a qualifying participant type, or qualifying entity type (e.g., defining a qualifying entity type as having a value of "CEO" in a Title Field). The electronic activity pattern may include sending or receiving an electronic activities to an entity of the qualifying entity type. [0970] In some embodiments, using the one or more activity-field value pairs to generate the respective electronic activity pattern comprises using a volume of electronic activities that identify participants corresponding to node profiles including node field value pairs that specify a predetermined value of a predetermined field. For example, the volume may be a specified number of electronic activities. Thus the method **3200** may provide for determining an electronic activity pattern that includes sending or receiving a predetermined number of electronic activities to an entity of the qualifying entity type.

[0971] In some embodiments, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the method **3200** further comprises determining that the updated metric is below a threshold value; and transmitting, responsive to determining that the updated metric is below the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier specified by the first node profile, the notification referencing at least one of the electronic activity driven targets. The contact identifier may be, for example, a contact identifier of an entity corresponding to the first node profile (e.g., an employee for whom the targets or goals are set). The notification may be a notification or a reminder that the electronic activity driven target has yet to be met, and may include a reference to a deadline for completing the electronic activity driven target.

[0972] In some embodiments, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the method **3200** further comprises determining that the updated metric has reached or has surpassed a threshold value; and transmitting, responsive to determining that the updated metric has reached or

has surpassed the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier specified by the first node profile, the notification referencing at least one of the electronic activity driven targets. The notification may be, for example, congratulatory, and may indicate that the electronic activity driven target has been reached.

[0973] In some embodiments, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the method **3200** further comprises determining that the updated metric is below a threshold value; and transmitting, responsive to determining that the updated metric is below the threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier corresponding to a third node profile having one or more field-value pairs that match corresponding field-value pairs of the first node profile, the notification referencing the at least one of the electronic activity driven targets. For example, the first node profile may correspond to an employee for whom the targets or goals are set, and may have a "managed by" field, and the value of that field may identify the third node profile. The third node profile may be associated with a manager of the employee. Thus, the method **3200** may provide for sending a notification to the manager regarding the progress of the electronic activity driven target (e.g., that the electronic activity driven target has not yet been reached).

[0974] In some embodiments, updating the metric comprises generating an updated metric, and the method **3200** further comprises determining that the updated metric has reached or has surpassed a threshold value; and transmitting, responsive to determining that the updated metric has reached or has surpassed a threshold value, a notification to a contact identifier corresponding to a third node profile having one or more field-value pairs that match corresponding field-value pairs of the first node profile, the notification referencing the at least one of the electronic activity driven targets. The notification may be, for example, congratulatory, and may indicate that the electronic activity driven target has been reached.

[0975] In some embodiments, the metric is a count of a number of record objects associated with the first node profile and having a stage status indicating that a last stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed, and one of the electronic activity driven targets requires meeting at least a threshold value for the metric. For example, the record objects may be record objects of a particular type (e.g., lead record objects, or record objects associated with a deal). The record objects may have an ordered set of stages, and the record objects may be finalized or completed in the sense that a last stage of the ordered set of stages has been reached. For example, the record objects may have a status indicated that the lead has been converted to a deal or contract, or a status indicating that the deal has been closed. Thus, the metric may track projects finalized or completed for the entity associated with the first node profile, and one of the targets **3112**A assigned to the first node profile **3102** may require attaining a predetermined number of such finalized or completed projects. [0976] In some embodiments, each second node profile of the second node profiles is associated with at least a threshold number of record objects having a stage status indicating that a specified stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed. For example, one of the metrics 3114 of the second node profiles 3106 may indicate the number of record objects having a stage status indicating that a specified stage of an ordered set of stages has been completed. For example, the record objects may be record objects of a particular type (e.g., lead record objects, or record objects associated with a deal). The record objects may have an ordered set of stages, and the record objects may be finalized or completed in the sense that a last stage of the ordered set of stages has been reached. For example, the record objects may have a status indicated that the lead has been converted to a deal or contract, or a status indicating that the deal has been closed. Thus, the metric **3114** may track projects finalized or completed for the entity associated with the second node profile, and one of the targets **3112**A of the endpoint profile **3104**A may be to attain a threshold value for the metric **3114**. The threshold value for the metric **3114** may be based on an average value, or a weighted average value, of the metric values **3114** of the second node profiles **3106** based on which the endpoint profile **3104** is generated (e.g. as described above). [0977] In some embodiments, the electronic activities corresponding to one of the second node

profiles used to generate the endpoint profile correspond to a predetermined time period from a trigger time of the second node profile, and a current time is within the predetermined time period of a trigger time of the first node profile. The trigger time may correspond to, for example, a starting time (e.g. a start date for a particular job or position, or a date of assignment to a project, task, or deal) and the electronic activities corresponding to one of the second node profiles may be selected using a filtering technique to ensure that the electronic activities correspond to (e.g. are time stamped as being within) a predetermined period from the start time. Thus, the selected electronic activities corresponding to one of the second node profiles (e.g., the electronic activities which are parsed and analyzed to determine the metric **3114**) can be electronic activities from a particular period of time (e.g., a ramp-up period for the entity corresponding to the one of the second node profiles **3106**). The first node profile may also have a trigger time (e.g. a start date for a particular job or position, or a date of assignment to a project, task, or deal), and a current time may be within the predetermined time period from the trigger time for the first node profile. Thus, for example, the electronic activities used to generate the endpoint profile can correspond to electronic activities form a ramp-up period, and the endpoint profile can accordingly be assigned to the first node profile during a corresponding ramp-up period for the entity corresponding to the first node profile.

23. Systems and Methods for Generating Performance Scores Based on Node Performance Profiles [0978] Node profiles representing entities can include a plurality of field-value pairs that may uniquely represent an entity, such as a person or individual. Node profiles can include fields that can be used to sort, arrange, or otherwise use the node profiles to match to record objects, electronic activities, among others.

[0979] Each node profile may correspond to an individual, such as an employee (e.g., a member node profile) or a group of individuals associated with one another, such as a company or organizational entity (e.g., a group node profile). Various companies may want to determine an employee's performance as compared to other similarly-situated employees (e.g., employees of the same seniority level and working in the same department) at the company or at different companies. However, it can be difficult to benchmark employees within the same company, much less benchmarking employees across different companies in the same industry. What is needed is a way of providing a system for objectively benchmarking employees based on their role within the organization, or in some embodiments, based on their seniority and department. [0980] To address the technical challenges in benchmarking nodes, a profile generator may generate a performance profile corresponding to a first member node profile using electronic activities linked to the first member node profile and predetermined field-value pairs of the first member node profile. The profile generator may identify performance profiles corresponding to other member node profiles. A profile comparator may compare the respective performance profiles for generating a performance score for the first performance profile. The profile comparator may store an association between the first member node profile and the performance score.

[0981] Referring now to FIG. **33**, depicted is a use case diagram of a system **3300** for generating a performance profile corresponding to an entity. A performance profile engine **3302** can be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to enable a computing device on which the performance profile engine **3302** is executed to perform one or more functions of the performance profile engine **3302** described herein. The performance profile engine **3302** can be configured to generate performance profiles for various employees, compare the performance profiles with performance profiles of other employees at different companies, generate performance scores for the respective employees, and store associations between a given employee and their respective performance score. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may be a component of the node profile manager **220**. [0982] The node profile manager **220** can maintain one or more node profiles. Each node profile

corresponds to a respective node of one or more nodes. The node profile manager **220** can maintain one or more node field-value pairs for each node profile. Each node field-value pair can include a field and one or more values. Examples of fields include first name, last name, company, phone number, email, job title, among others.

[0983] Node profiles include member node profiles corresponding to member nodes and group node profiles corresponding to group nodes. A member node may refer to a node representative of a person that is part of a company or other organizational entity. A group node may refer to a node that is representative of the company or other organizational entity and is linked to multiple member nodes. Member node profiles and group node profiles may have some common fields, but may also include different fields. As described in greater detail below, a performance profile for one employee may be compared to a performance profile of another employee (e.g., at the same company or at different companies or organization entities).

[0984] The performance profile engine **3302** is shown to include a profile generator **3304** and a profile comparator **3306**. The profile generator **3304** may be any device, component, processor, script or application designed or implemented to generate a performance profile 3308 for a member node. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles **3308** of member nodes to generate a performance score. The performance score may be used for generating reports of employees' performance at a company, as described in greater detail below. [0985] As shown in the specific use case diagram **3300** shown in FIG. **33**, each company or organization (e.g., Company A, Company B, and Company C) may include a plurality of employees. Each employee may have or otherwise be associated with a respective performance profile **3308** (along with a respective member node profile). The performance profile engine **3302** may be configured to generate a performance profile **3308** for each member node of a respective group node (e.g., company). The performance profile engine **3302** may be configured to compare performance profiles of employees (within the same company or at different companies). For instance, the performance profile engine **3302** may be configured to compare a performance profile **3308** (1) for John Smith at Company A with with a performance profile **3308**(3) for Abagail Xu at Company B. The performance profile engine **3302** may be configured to compare the performance profiles **3308** for generating a performance score, which may be used for benchmarking employees, as described in greater detail below.

[0986] The performance profile engine 3302 may be configured to identify electronic activities linked to a member node profile. The performance profile engine 3302 may identify the electronic activities linked to a member node profile for each member node of a group node. The electronic activities may be linked to the node profiles 3302 as described in greater detail above in, at least, Section 17. In some embodiments, the electronic activity linking engine 250 of the system 200 is configured to link electronic activities to each member node profile associated with member nodes of group nodes. The electronic activity linking engine 250 may be configured to identify the electronic activities linked to the corresponding member node profiles based on, for instance, a node field-value pair corresponding to an electronic account for the member node matching an electronic account identified in the metadata and/or header for the electronic activities. The electronic activity linking engine 250 may be configured to cross-reference a field-value pair corresponding to an electronic account of the member node profile with metadata from the electronic activities. The electronic activity linking engine 250 may cross-reference the electronic account with the metadata from the electronic activities 9305 corresponding to the sender and/or recipient.

[0987] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine a context of electronic activities linked to a member node profile for generating the participant profile **3308**. In some embodiments, the profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine, parse, or otherwise identify tags for each of the electronic activities associated with the member node profile. As described in greater detail above in Section 1(g), the tagging engine **265** may be configured to apply one or more tags to

electronic activities based on parsed content of the electronic activities. For instance, the tagging engine **265** may apply tags indicating the type of electronic activity. Additionally, each electronic activity may include timestamps indicating a time at which the electronic activity was sent or received. The tagging engine **265** may be configured to apply one or more tags which identify the type of electronic activity (e.g., an email, a phone call, a virtual meeting or an in-person meeting). The profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify the context of each electronic activity based on the tags applied to the electronic activity by the tagging engine **265**.

[0988] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to generate electronic activity patterns of the member node profile based on the content of each electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine communication preference or metrics (e.g., short versus long electronic activities), response rates or times (e.g., how quickly the entity responds to an electronic activity with a corresponding electronic activity, the time of day in which the entity responds to an electronic activity), communication mode preferences (e.g., the entity requests or initiates phone calls rather than in-person meetings or emails), among others. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine the electronic activity pattern based on the electronic activities generated, transmitted, received, or otherwise engaged in by the participant.

[0989] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to generate electronic activity patterns of the member node profile based on job titles of participants of the electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to extract the participants for each electronic activity linked to the member node profile. Each electronic activity may include metadata indicating an electronic account associated with a sender and one or more recipients. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to parse each electronic activity to identify the electronic accounts included in the metadata for the electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify the node profiles associated with each electronic account (e.g., by cross-referencing the electronic account with the field-value pair of the node profiles corresponding to electronic accounts). The profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify the job title corresponding to each participant of the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify a normalized job title corresponding to each participant. The normalized job title may be a job title which is generated by the system **9300** to include, at least, a seniority and a department. The system **9300** may parse electronic activities and/or record objects linked to a member node profile for identifying a seniority and a department for the employee. The system **9300** may generate the normalized job title by combining the seniority and department for the employee according to a title formatting policy. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine a distribution of job titles (e.g., both department, seniority, etc.) in which a given employee communicates with based on the electronic activities engaged in by the employee. [0990] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to generate the electronic activity patterns of the member node profile based on a predicted amount of time for generating each electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine a predicted amount of time based on timestamps of the electronic activity. Each electronic activity may indicate a timestamp corresponding to the time at which the electronic activity was generated. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to compute a difference in time between a first electronic activity and a response to the first electronic activity (e.g., based on similar context of the electronic activities) using the respective timestamps of the electronic activities.

[0991] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to compute the predicted amount of time based on a language complexity score for each electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may parse the electronic activity **3302** to identify an average number of syllables per word, a number of words present in each sentence, etc. The profile generator **3304** may use the average number of syllables per word, word choices, grammar complexity, and/or number of words present in each sentence to generate the language complexity score. The language complexity score may be a score on any number of scales. For instance, the language complexity score may be on the Flesch

Reading Ease scale, which calculates the readability of content on a scale ranging between 0-100 (with lower numbers indicating content is more difficult to comprehend). As another example, the language complexity score may be on the Flesch-Kincaid Grade scale, which indicates how many years of education needed to comprehend the content. The profile generator **3304** may determine the predicted amount of time using the language complexity score of each electronic activity for a respective member node profile. For instance, the predicted amount of time may increase as the complexity of the electronic activity increases (as reflected by the language complexity score). [0992] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to compute the predicted amount of time based on a character or word count of each electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to determine a word count for a body of an electronic activity. The profile generator **3304** may count each character in the body (e.g., letters, numbers, punctuation, spaces, etc.). The profile generator **3304** may group character(s) together which are separated by a space or spaces, and count each group as one word. The profile generator **3304** may determine the predicted amount of time using the word/character count of each electronic activity for a respective member node profile. For instance, the predicted amount of time may increase as the word or character count of the electronic activity increases.

[0993] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to compute an aggregated predicted amount of time for each electronic activity type. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to group or sort each electronic activity by electronic activity type. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to compile each predicted amount of time for each electronic activity having a respective electronic activity type. The profile generator **3304** may aggregate each of the predicted amount of times for a respective electronic activity type. The profile generator **3304** may generate a distribution of aggregated predicted amount of time for each electronic activity type. As an example, where a participant typically engages in more emails than phone calls, the distribution may reflect that the participant spends more time engaging in emails than phone calls. In some embodiments, the distribution may reflect job titles for participants in the electronic activity. For instance, the employee may spend more time generating, participating, or otherwise engaging in electronic activities with participants having job titles indicating or otherwise corresponding to higher positions within a given company.

[0994] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to generate a participant profile **3308** based on the electronic activity pattern for the member node profile. The participant profile **3308** may indicate, correspond to, or otherwise reflect the performance of the employee in their job. The participant profile **3308** may indicate a style of electronic activity conducted by or engaged in by the employee, the job titles for people the employee communicates with, the types of record objects associated with the employees, and so forth. The profile generator 3304 may generate the performance profile 3308 corresponding to the member node profile based on the electronic activities linked to the member node profile and field-value pairs of the member node profile. The profile generator **3304** may use predetermined field-value pairs of the member node profiles. For instance, the profile generator 3304 may use field-value pairs corresponding to job title (e.g., a department and a seniority) for the employee, an industry of a company for the employee, etc. As described above, the profile generator **3304** may generate a participant profile **3308** for a plurality of employees at different companies. The profile generator **3304** may use the same field-value pairs for generating the participant profiles **3308** for each member node profile. For instance, the profile generator **3304** may use the job titles of each member node profile, the company industry, etc. for generating participant profiles **3308**.

[0995] In some embodiments, the profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify record objects associated with the member node profiles. As described above in Section 18, the record object may be linked to a node profile. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify the record objects linked to the member node profile. Each of the record objects may include corresponding object field-value pairs corresponding to, for instance, opportunity size, opportunity

industry, duration of opportunity from lead to close. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to identify, determine, or otherwise extract the object field-value pairs corresponding to record objects linked to the member node profiles. In some embodiments, the profile generator **3304** may extract the object field-value pairs corresponding to record objects having a particular record object status (e.g., opportunity won, closed, etc.). As such, the profile generator 3304 may identify record objects associated with opportunities in which the employee was successful in closing. The profile generator 3304 may be configured to determine a classification of a record object based on the object field-value pairs corresponding to each record object. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to generate a distribution of classifications of record objects to determine which types of record object the employee typically is associated with. [0996] The profile generator **3304** may be configured to generate performance profiles for each of the employees of companies enrolled, ingested, or otherwise associated with the system **200**. The profile generator **3304** may be configured to update the performance profiles **3308** over time (e.g., based on subsequent electronic activities engaged in by the employee, based on subsequent record objects associated with the employee, and so forth). As such, the performance profiles 3308 may be updated over time to reflect the employee's performance at the company over time. [0997] The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles **3308** associated with member node profiles for generating a performance score of the respective

performance profiles **3308**. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured generate the performance score for use as a metric for determining the employee's performance at the company (e.g., relative to other employees at the same company, relative to other similarly-situated employees at different companies, and so forth). Hence, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to generate the performance score to represent a metric for determining the performance of the employee. In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles **3308** associated with member node profiles to provide additional information to a supervisor or other entity within an organization about the performance of an employee. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to identify member node profiles for comparison. [0998] In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to generate a performance score of the performance profile **3308**. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to generate the performance score for a performance profile **3308** based on a comparison of the performance profile to a plurality of other performance profiles. [0999] The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to identify the performance profiles **3308** for comparison to a respective performance profile **3308**. In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to identify member node profiles linked to a different group node profile (e.g., a different company). The profile comparator 3306 may be configured to identify a job title of each of the member node profiles (e.g., by identifying a node field-value pair corresponding to a job title for the member node profiles). The job title may be or include a normalized (or standardized job title) which includes a department and a seniority. In some embodiments, the system **9300** may be configured to parse electronic activities linked to the node profile for identifying, generating, or otherwise determining the department and seniority value corresponding to an employee (e.g., as described briefly above). The system **9300** may parse electronic activities and/or record objects linked to a node profile to extract, identify, or otherwise determine a department value and a seniority value corresponding to the employee. In some embodiments, the system **9300** may be configured to provide (e.g., as an input) data points to a machine learning model. The data points may include electronic activities, extracted job titles (which may not satisfy a title formatting policy), record objects, or other data points corresponding to a member node profile. In some embodiments, the system 9300 may maintain a plurality of machine learning models which may be department-specific, seniority-specific, company-specific, industry specific, and/or various combinations thereof. Each machine learning model may be trained using training sets of input arrays to generate an output corresponding to a probability of an

input corresponding to a particular department/seniority. The system **9300** may be configured to detect a department and seniority value corresponding to an employee using the machine learning model. The system **9300** may be configured to generate the normalized job title for the entity by combining the department value and seniority value in accordance with a title formatting policy which specifies a format of a job title.

[1000] The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compute a match score between the respective member node profiles (e.g., using the node field-value pairs of the respective member node profiles). In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may compute the match score based on the respective group node profiles (e.g., using group node field-value pairs of the respective group node profiles, such as a field-value pair corresponding to company size, company industry, etc.). The match score may increase as the node field-value pairs are more similar (e.g., indicating that the entities have similar positions at their respective companies, that the companies are in a similar industry, that the companies are a similar size, etc.). The profile comparator **3306** may compute the match score by identifying the number of values of one respective member and/or group node profile that match values of another respective member and/or group node profile. The match score may increase where, for instance, the entities have a similar seniority level, work in similar departments, and/or work in the same industry. The profile comparator 3306 may maintain, include, or otherwise access a match (or similarity) threshold corresponding a threshold level of similarity between the respective node profiles. The profile comparator 3306 may identify the member node profiles having a match score which satisfies the match threshold. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to identify the performance profiles for the member node profiles which have a match score that satisfies the match threshold.

[1001] In some implementations, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to identify member node profiles based on employees working on similar opportunities. The profile comparator **3306** may use the classification assigned to record objects (e.g., by the profile generator **3304**) for identifying similar record objects. The profile comparator **3306** may identify a plurality of record objects corresponding to another group node profile (e.g., associated with a different company) based on the object field-value pairs linked to the group node profile. The profile comparator **3306** may identify record object(s) having a classification similar to (or the same as) the record object(s) linked to the member node profile for an employee (e.g., at the same company or at a different company). For instance, the profile comparator **3306** may identify a second system of record including a predetermined number of record objects similar to the record objects associated with the member node profile for a given employee. The profile comparator **3306** may identify a group node profile (e.g., associated with a different company) corresponding to the second system of record. The profile comparator 3306 may identify the member node profiles (e.g., employees) linked to the second group node profile (e.g., different company). The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to identify the member node profiles linked to the record objects which are similar to the record objects linked to the member node profile of the given employee. The profile comparator **3306** may select a member node profile for comparison based on the number of record objects associated with the member node profile (e.g., the member node profile linked to the greatest number of record objects in comparison with other member node profiles of the subset).

[1002] In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may selectively filter group node profiles based on the group node profiles not matching conditions corresponding to respective member node profiles. For instance, the profile comparator **3306** may include or otherwise access a plurality of matching conditions. The matching conditions may include or correspond to regional filters (e.g., within the same region of a state, within the same state, etc.), industry filters (e.g., same or similar types of companies based on field-value pairs for group node profile), etc. In some embodiments, the matching conditions may be predetermined (e.g., preset matching conditions). The profile comparator **3306** may apply the matching conditions to identified group node profiles.

The profile comparator **3306** may select group node profiles for identifying member node profiles for comparison responsive to the group node profiles satisfying the matching condition(s) (e.g., the group node profile having a node field-value pair indicating the company is in the same region as the member node profile, is in the same industry as the member node profile, etc.). As such, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles for employees that work in the same or similar industry, work in the same region, etc.

[1003] The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles of respective member node profiles. In some embodiments, the profile comparator 3306 may be configured to compare performance profiles for employees at the same company (e.g., within the same department and having similar levels of seniorit, as identified using normalized job titles for the respective member node profile of the group node profile). In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles for employees at different companies within the same or similar department and having the same or similar levels of seniority. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compute a performance score. The performance score may be based on the comparison of the performance profiles. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compute the performance score based on the respective performance profiles of the employees. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare an electronic activity pattern, distribution of job titles of participants, distribution of classifications of record objects, etc. of one performance profile associated with a first member node profile of a first group node profile with an electronic activity pattern, distribution of job titles of participants of electronic activities, distribution of classifications of record objects of another performance profile associated with a second member node profile of a second group node profile.

[1004] In some embodiments, the profile comparator **3306** may be configured to generate a composite performance profile (e.g., based on averaging each or a subset of the performance profiles for member node profiles of similarly-situated employees within the same industry, company, for instance). The composite performance profile may represent a benchmark against which respective employees' performance profiles are measured/compared/etc. The composite performance profile may correspond to each of the performance profiles for similarly-situated employees. Similarly-situated employees includes employees working in the same (or similar) industry, employees working in the same (or similar) department, employees having the same (or similar) seniority, etc. The profile comparator **3306** may identify performance profiles for generating the composite performance profile in a manner similar to identifying performance profiles for comparing to a respective performance profile, as described above.

[1005] The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compare performance profiles to the composite performance profile. The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to compute the performance score based on the comparison. The performance score may change based on deviations of the performance profile (e.g., relative to the other performance profiles/composite performance profile/etc.). The performance score may increase for an employee where the performance profile for the employee shows the employee engages in more electronic activity overall, engages in more electronic activity with higher level employees, and so forth, in comparison to other similarly-situated employees (e.g., employees having a job title indicating the same or a similar seniority value, working in the same or a similar department, working in the same or similar industry, etc.). The profile comparator **3306** may be configured to store an association between the performance score and the node profile (e.g., in one or more data structures). The performance score may be used (e.g., with the performance profile) for evaluating the employee corresponding thereto, for evaluating performance of a company as compared to similar companies, for evaluating the performance of an entity relative to entities within the same organization or across multiple organizations, and so forth.

[1006] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may be configured to generate a content item identifying a plurality of performance scores. The content item may take the form of

a report, for instance. The content item may include performance scores for a plurality of member node profiles linked to a respective group node profile (e.g., performance scores for a plurality of employees at the same company). The performance profile engine 3302 may be configured to compile each of the performance profiles corresponding to member node profiles linked to the same group node profile. The performance profile engine 3302 may be configured to generate the content item to include each of the performance profiles associated with a company. In some embodiments, the content item may be generated on a department-by-department, seniority-by-seniority, etc. basis for the company. In such embodiments, the performance profile engine 3302 may be configured to identify performance profiles for member node profiles of employees in the same department, same seniority, etc. using the respective normalized job titles for the employees included in their respective member node profiles. The performance profile engine 3302 may generate the content item at regular intervals (e.g., once a week, once a month, once a quarter, etc.). The performance profile engine 3302 may transmit the content item to a computing device associated with a member node profile (such as a manager, HR, or other administer) corresponding to the group node profile (e.g., the company).

[1007] Referring now to FIG. **34**, depicted is a flow diagram of a method **3400** of generating performance scores based on node performance profiles. Operations of the method **3400** presented below are intended to be illustrative. In some embodiments, the method **3400** may be accomplished with one or more additional operations not described, and/or without one or more of the operations discussed. Additionally, the order in which the operations of the method **3400** as illustrated in FIG. **34** and described below is not intended to be limiting.

[1008] In some embodiments, the method **3400** may be implemented in one or more processing devices (e.g., a digital processor, an analog processor, a digital circuit designed to process information, an analog circuit designed to process information, a state machine, and/or other mechanisms for electronically processing information). The one or more processing devices may include one or more devices executing some or all of the operations of the method **3400** in response to instructions stored electronically on an electronic storage medium. The one or more processing devices may include one or more devices configured through hardware, firmware, and/or software to be specifically designed for execution of one or more of the operations of the method **3400**. [1009] In brief overview, the method **3400** includes maintaining a plurality of member node profiles (BLOCK 3402). The method 3400 may include maintaining a plurality of group node profiles (BLOCK **3404**). The method **3400** may include identifying a first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **3406**). The method **3400** may include determining a first performance profile (BLOCK **3408**). The method **3400** may include identifying second performance profile(s) (BLOCK **3410**). The method **3400** may include generating a performance score (BLOCK **3412**). The method **3400** may include storing an association between the node profile and the performance score (BLOCK 3414).

[1010] In further detail, the method **3400** includes maintaining a plurality of member node profiles (BLOCK **3402**). Each member node profile of the plurality of member node profiles can include one or more field-value pairs. Each member node profile may be associated with a corresponding entity, such as an employee. The member node profiles may be generated based on electronic activities conducted, participated in, or otherwise engaged in by the entity. The electronic activities may be parsed to extract information for compiling and including in as values for fields of the member node profile.

[1011] The method **3400** may include maintaining a plurality of group node profiles (BLOCK **3404**). Each member node profile may be linked to a corresponding group node profile. The group node profiles may include respective field-value pairs. Some field-value pairs of the member node profiles may be similar to field-value pairs of the group node profile, while other field-value pairs may be different. The group node profiles may be associated with companies, businesses, or other organizational entities at which employees may work. The group node profiles may be linked to

member node profiles based on the corresponding entities (e.g., associated with respective member node profiles) working at the same company (e.g., associated with the group node profile). [1012] The method **3400** may include identifying a first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **3406**). In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may identify, for a first member node profile corresponding to a first group node profile, a first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile by cross-referencing one or more values corresponding to a field of an electronic account with metadata of the electronic activities. For instance, the performance profile engine **3302** may identify the emails sent by the employee by cross-referencing an email address associated with the employee with metadata from emails indicating an email address. As another example, each member node profile may be linked with corresponding electronic activities (e.g., as described above in Section 17, for instance). The performance profile engine **3302** may identify the electronic activities linked to the first member node profile.

[1013] The method **3400** may include determining a first performance profile (BLOCK **3408**). In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may determine the first performance profile corresponding to the first member node profile based on the first plurality of electronic activities linked to the first member node profile and one or more predetermined field-value pairs of the first member node profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may determining the first performance profile based on tags applied to the electronic activities, parsed content or identified context of the electronic activities, recipients, senders, or other participants of the electronic activities, and so forth. The performance profiles described herein may generally reflect, correspond to, or otherwise indicate the employee's performance at the company. The performance profile engine **3302** may update the performance profiles over time (e.g., at various intervals, upon triggering conditions, such as promotions or job changes, and so forth).

[1014] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may determine the performance profile(s) by identifying one or more participants of each of the first plurality of electronic activities. The performance profile engine **3302** may identify the participants by parsing the metadata for the electronic activities to identify electronic accounts included therein. The performance profile engine 3302 may determine one or more node field-value pairs corresponding to each participant of the first plurality of electronic activities. The performance profile engine **3302** may identify a member node profile for each of the participants by performing a look-up function of the electronic account for the electronic activity in the maintained member node profiles. The performance profile engine may extract the one or more field-value pairs from the corresponding member node profiles (including, for instance, a normalized job title for each of the participants). The performance profile engine **3302** may determine a type of electronic activity for each of the electronic activities. The type of electronic activity may indicate one of an email, a phone call, a virtual meeting or an in-person meeting. The performance profile engine 3302 may identify the type of electronic activity based on tag(s) applied to each respective electronic activity, based on the metadata for the electronic activity, etc. The performance profile engine **3302** may generate the performance profile to indicate what types of people (e.g., based on normalized job titles) the employee typically engages in electronic activity with and which types of electronic activity the employee typically engages in.

[1015] In some embodiments, for each of the plurality of electronic activities, the performance profile engine **3302** may determine a predicted amount of time consumed by a first entity corresponding to the first node profile for generating the electronic activity. The performance profile engine **3302** may determine the predicted amount of time based on, at least, the determined type of electronic activity. The performance profile engine **3302** may determine the predicted amount of time based on the content of the electronic activity (e.g., the complexity of the electronic activity as reflected in a language complexity score, the word or character count of the electronic

activity). The performance profile engine **3302** may determine the predicted amount of time based on timestamps corresponding to the electronic activity (e.g., where the electronic activity is a response, a difference between first timestamp of the initial electronic activity and a second timestamp of the response electronic activity, for instance). The performance profile engine **3302** may generate an aggregated amount of time consumed by the first entity for each type of electronic activity based on the first plurality of electronic activities. The performance profile engine **3302** may generate the performance profile based on which types of electronic activities the corresponding employee spends the most time engages in (or how much respective time the employee engages in the various types of electronic activity).

[1016] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may determine the performance profiles by identifying a plurality of record objects including object field-value pairs linked to the first member node profile. The record objects may be linked to the member node profiles as described above in Section 18). The performance profile may identify the plurality of record objects based on those record objects which are linked to the first member node profile. The performance profile engine may identify a subset of record objects having a first completion status (such as, for instance, deal won or opportunity closed) from the plurality of record objects. The performance profile engine may extract one or more object field-value pairs from each record object of the subset. For instance, the performance profile engine 3302 may extract object fieldvalue pairs corresponding to opportunity size, company industry or location corresponding to opportunity, and so forth. The performance profile engine may generate the first performance profile based on the object field-value pairs from each record object of the subset. The performance profile engine **3302** may generate the performance profile based on the object field-value pairs to reflect the types of opportunities the employee typically works on or is otherwise associated with. [1017] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may determine a classification of each record object of the subset based on the one or more object field-value pairs. The performance profile engine may determine a classification of opportunity size, company size, company industry, etc., based on the object field-value pairs corresponding to the record objects. The performance profile engine **3302** may use the classifications to determine similar record objects at different companies. The performance profile engine may identify (e.g., using the classifications of the subset of record objects) a second plurality of record objects accessed in other systems of record corresponding to other group node profiles (e.g., associated with other companies) based on object field-value pairs of the second plurality of record objects. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine may identify the second plurality of second record objects by determining that each of the second record objects are similar to at least one first record object in accordance with a record object similarity policy. The similarity policy may include a set of rules which correlate respective object field-value pairs to a similarity score. Where object fieldvalue pairs are more similar (or match), the similarity score may increase, which indicates the record objects are similar. The performance profile engine may compare object field-value pairs of the second record object with corresponding object field-value pairs of the at least one first record object. The performance profile engine may use the second plurality of record objects for identifying a second member node profile for which to compare a corresponding performance profile with the performance profile for the first member node profile, as described in greater detail

[1018] The method **3400** may include identifying second performance profile(s) (BLOCK **3410**). In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may generate performance profiles for member node profiles linked to a second group node profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may generate the second performance profile from a second plurality of electronic activities linked to the second member node profile and one or more predetermined field-value pairs of the second member node profile. BLOCK **3410** may be similar in some aspects to BLOCK **3408**. Hence, the performance profile engine **3302** may similarly use electronic activities linked to the second

member node profile and the predetermined field-value pairs of second member node profile for generating the second performance profile. In some embodiments, the predetermined node field-value pairs of the first member node profile used for generating the first performance profile may match the predetermined node field-value pairs of the second member node profile used for generating the second performance profile.

[1019] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may identify the performance profiles for comparing to the performance profile generated at BLOCK **3408**. The performance profile engine 3302 may compare performance profiles associated with member node profiles for generating a performance score of the respective performance profiles. The performance profile engine **3302** may be configured to identify member node profiles for comparison. [1020] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may identify member node profiles linked to a group node profile (e.g., a company). The performance profile engine **3302** may identify a job title of each of the member node profiles (e.g., by identifying a node field-value pair corresponding to a job title for the member node profiles). The job title may be or include a normalized (or standardized) job title which includes or otherwise indicates a department and a seniority. The performance profile engine **3302** may compute a match score between the respective member node profiles (e.g., using the node field-value pairs of the respective member node profiles). In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may compute the match score based on the respective group node profiles (e.g., using group node field-value pairs of the respective group node profiles, such as a field-value pair corresponding to company size, company industry, etc.). The match score may increase as the node field-value pairs for the member and/or group node profiles are more similar (e.g., indicating that the entities have similar positions at their respective companies, that the companies are in a similar industry, a similar size, etc.). The performance profile engine 3302 may compute the match score by identifying the number of values of one respective node profile that match values of another respective node profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may identify the member node profiles having a match score which satisfies a similarity threshold. The performance profile engine **3302** may identify the

performance profiles for the member node profiles which have a match score that satisfies the

similarity threshold.

[1021] The performance profile engine **3302** may select the second member node profiles from the plurality of member node profiles maintained (e.g., by the performance profile engine 3302 at BLOCK **3402**). The performance profile engine **3302** may select the second member node profile based on the group node profile in which the second member node profile is linked. In some embodiments, each of the identified/selected member node profiles may be linked to the same group node profile. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may select the second member node profile (or group node profile followed by selection of a corresponding member node profile) responsive to the group node profile satisfying a matching condition. The matching condition may be or include one or more rules corresponding to one or more group node field-value pairs of the respective group node profiles. For instance, the performance profile engine **3302** may select performance profiles corresponding to group node profiles that that have a node field-value pair that the second group node profile includes a node field-value pair which satisfies a matching condition corresponding to a node field-value pair for the first group node profile. The matching condition may specify that the industries are the same (or similar), that the location corresponding to the second group node profile is within a predetermined geographical distance from a location associated with the first member node profile, and so forth. The performance profile engine **3302** may identify one or more field-value pairs of the second group node profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may apply the matching conditions to the field-value pairs of the second group node profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may determine that the one or more field-value pairs of the second group node profile satisfy a matching condition responsive to comparing the one or more field-value pairs of the second group node profile with corresponding

field-value pairs of the first member node profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may select the second group node profile responsive to the second group node profile satisfying the matching condition and, in turn, identify similarly-situated employees corresponding to the second group node profile. Hence, in some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may compare performance profiles for employees within the same industry, same geographic region, etc. [1022] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may identify the second group node profile based on the second group node profile being linked to a particular system of record. For instance, the performance profile engine may determine or otherwise identify a second system of record including a predetermined number of record objects of the second plurality of record objects (e.g., identified based on classifications of the subset of record objects associated with the first member node profile). For instance, the performance profile may identify the second system of record having the greatest number of record objects of the second plurality of record objects. The performance profile engine may determine that the second group node profile corresponds to a group entity providing access to the second system of record. Hence, the performance profile engine may identify the company associated with the second system of record. The performance profile engine may select the second member node profile from the second group node profile. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine may identify a plurality of member node profiles linked to the second group node profile. The performance profile engine may identify a subset of plurality of member node profiles linked to the second plurality of record objects. The performance profile engine may select the second member node profile based on a number of the second plurality of record objects linked to the second member node profile in comparison to a number of the second plurality of record objects linked to other member node profiles in the subset of member node profiles. Hence, the performance profile engine 3302 may be configured to compare performance profiles for employees who have worked on the greatest number of similar record objects.

[1023] In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may generate a composite performance profile (e.g., by averaging each of the performance profiles for member node profiles within the same industry, company, for instance). The performance profile engine **3302** may generate the composite performance profile using the identified performance profiles (e.g., from BLOCK **3410**). The composite performance profile may represent a benchmark against which other performance profiles for similarly-situated employees are compared, as described in greater detail below.

[1024] The method **3400** may include generating a performance score (BLOCK **3412**). The performance profile engine **3302** may compare the performance profile determined at BLOCK 3408 with the performance profiles identified at BLOCK 3410. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine 3302 may measure employees at different companies against each other using respective performance profiles. The performance profile engine **3302** may compute a performance score. The performance score may be based on the comparison of the performance profiles. The performance profile engine **3302** may compute the performance score based on the respective performance profiles of the entities. The performance profile engine **3302** may compare an electronic activity pattern, distribution of job titles of participants, distribution of classifications of record objects, etc. of one performance profile associated with a first member node profile of a first group node profile with an electronic activity pattern, distribution of job titles of participants of electronic activities, distribution of classifications of record objects of another performance profile associated with a second member node profile of a second group node profile. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine 3302 may compare performance profiles to the composite performance profile. The performance profile engine **3302** may compute the performance score based on the comparison. The performance score may change based on deviations of the performance profile (e.g., relative to the other performance profiles/composite performance profile/etc.)

performance score (BLOCK **3414**). In some embodiments, the performance profile engine **3302** may store an association between the performance score and the node profile (e.g., in one or more data structures). The performance score may be used (e.g., with the performance profile) for evaluating the employee corresponding thereto, for evaluating performance of a company as compared to similar companies, and so forth. In some embodiments, the performance profile engine 3302 may be configured to generate a content item identifying a plurality of performance scores. The content item may take the form of a report, for instance. The content item may include performance scores for a plurality of member node profiles linked to a respective group node profile (e.g., performance scores for a plurality of employees at the same company). The performance profile engine 3302 may generate the content item at regular intervals (e.g., once a week, once a month, once a quarter, etc.). The performance profile engine 3302 may transmit the content item to a computing device associated with a member node profile (such as a manager, HR, or other administer) corresponding to the group node profile (e.g., the company). 24. Systems and Methods for Generating Node Performance Profiles of Member Nodes [1026] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for generating performance profiles of member nodes. A node graph generation system can maintain node profiles each corresponding to different entities and generated using electronic activities among different entities. Each node profile can include one or more fields. Each field of the node profile can be attributed with one or more values. A subset of the electronic activities may be associated with a node profile of the node profiles and an entity of the node profile. Concurrently, a plurality of data source providers can maintain record objects each corresponding to different entities and record types and generated using data provided by a data source provider. Each record object can include one or more fields. Each field of the record object can be attributed with one or more values. The record objects may be opportunity record objects.

[1025] The method **3400** may include storing an association between the node profile and the

[1027] To ascertain the performance of the entity, the node graph generation system can determine scores for different metrics of a performance profile of the node profiles. The metrics of the performance profile can include metrics related to opportunity record objects to which the node profile is linked, metrics related to electronic activities that electronic accounts associated with the node profile transmitted or received, meetings that the entity attended or participated in, among others. In some embodiments, the metrics can be based on the types of participants with which the node engaged, for instance, the seniority and title of such participants. Other examples of different metrics include response rate, response time, time to create electronic activity, characteristics of associated opportunities (e.g., size, won, closed), and communication accuracy during an opportunity, among others. A performance module can determine scores for each of these metrics based on data that the performance module can identify from the subset of electronic activities and record objects associated with the entity. The performance module can combine the metrics and the associated scores to the performance profile of the entity. The performance module can determine a performance score for the entity based on these scores, for instance, based on a weighted or unweighted average of these scores. The performance score for the entity can be used as a standardized metric by which the entity's performance can be assessed. In some embodiments, the performance score can be similar to a credit rating score of a person indicating a credit rating of the

[1028] The performance module can compare the performance profile of the entity with performance profiles of entities with similar seniority, industry, and department positions. The performance module can determine an average for each metric in the performance profiles of the similar entities. The performance module can compare the metrics of the performance module of the entity with the average metrics of the similar entities to determine metrics in which the entity is exceeding the average and metrics in which the entity is below average. The performance module can use the comparison to determine a performance score indicating whether, and to what degree,

the entity is performing at a level above or below the industry average.

[1029] Referring now to FIG. **35**, illustrated is a use case diagram of a sequence **3500** for generating performance profiles of member nodes, according to embodiments of the present disclosure. In the sequence **3500**, the node profile manager **220** can store and maintain a set of node profiles **600***a-d* (hereinafter generally referred to as node profiles **600**). The set of node profiles **600** can be maintained in the node graph **9305**. Each node profile **600***a-d* can correspond to a unique entity. For example, one node profile **600***a* can correspond to a person named "John Smith," another node profile **600***b* can correspond to a person named "Jane Doe," another node profile **600***d* can correspond to a person named "Fred Bloggs," and another node profile **600***c* can correspond to a company with the name "Tommy Atkins." In some embodiments, at least one node profile **600** can be generated using an electronic activity **2204**(1)-(3) (hereinafter generally referred to as electronic activity **2204**). The electronic activity **2204** can be provided by at least one of the data source providers **9355**(1)-(N) (hereinafter generally referred to as data source providers **9355**) that provided access to at least one of the systems of record **9350**(1)-(N) (hereinafter generally referred to as systems of record **9350**).

[1030] Each node profile **600***a-n* (hereinafter generally referred to as node profile **600**) can have a set of fields **610**(1)-(N) (hereafter generally referred to as fields **610**) associated with one or more values **620**. The set of fields **610** can vary based on the type of entity for the node profile **600**. For example, the set of fields **610** of each node profile **600** for a person can include, among others: a field for a first name of the entity; a field for a last name of the entity; a field for a company entity associated with the entity; a field for a phone number of the entity; and a field for an e-mail address of the entity. Each field of the node profile **600** can be assigned or associated with one or more values. For example, the field) for the first name of an entity in a node profile **600** can be associated with the value of "John." Each field and the associated one or more values can form a node field-value pair.

[1031] The node profile manager **220** can access electronic activities **2204(1)**-(**3**) to maintain the node profiles **600**. The node graph generation system **200** can generate activity field-value pairs from the electronic activities **2204**. For example, the node profile manager **220** can generate a FirstName-value pair associating a value of "John" to the first name field, and a LastName-value pair associating a value of "Smith" to the last name field based on the electronic activity **2204(1)** illustrated in FIG. **35**. The node profile manager **220** can generate node field-value pairs of each of node profiles **600***a*-**600***d* based on electronic activities **2208(1)**-(**3**) and any other electronic activity that node profile manager **220** accesses from data source providers.

[1032] The node profile manager **220** can identify, for a first node profile (e.g., **600***a*), a subset of electronic activities (e.g., 2204(1) and 2204(3)) from the plurality of electronic activities 2204(1)-(3) identifying an entity corresponding to the first node profile as a sender or a recipient. As described herein, the subset of electronic activities 2204(1) and 2208(3) can be described as the subset of electronic activities. The plurality of electronic activities 2204 can be described as the plurality of electronic activities and the electronic activities. The node profile manager **220** can identify the subset of electronic activities **2204(1)** and **(3)** from the plurality of electronic activities **2204** by comparing activity field-value pairs that the node profile manager **220** extracted from the plurality of electronic activities with node field-value pairs of the first node profile. The node profile manager **220** can identify activity field-value pairs with matching values to corresponding node field-value pairs (e.g., activity field-value pairs associated with the same field type as node field-value pairs) of the first node profile. The node profile manager 220 can determine that electronic activities with activity field-value pairs that match node field-value pairs of the first node profile are associated with the same entity. The entity can be a sender or a recipient of the electronic activities. The node profile manager 220 can identify each electronic activity of the plurality of electronic activities in which the entity associated with the first node profile is the sender or the recipient as part of the subset of electronic activities associated with the entity. The

electronic activity **2204(2)** may be excluded from the subset because John Smith did not send or receive the electronic activity **2204(2)**.

[1033] For example, the node graph generation system **200** can process a plurality of emails that the node graph generation system **200** received from one of the data source providers **9355**. A portion of the emails may have been sent or received by the same person. The node profile manager **220** can identify the emails that were sent or received by the same person as electronic activities to be in a subset of electronic activities associated with the person.

[1034] In some embodiments, the node profile manager 220 can identify the subset of electronic activities (e.g., 2240(1) and (3)) from the plurality of electronic activities 2204 based on one or more tags assigned to the plurality of electronic activities. The electronic activities of the plurality of electronic activities may be tagged with tags indicating the content of the email. The node profile manager 220 can analyze the electronic activities to identify any tags associated with the electronic activities. Different types of tags can include: tags indicating the importance of electronic activities, tags indicating whether an opportunity (e.g., a deal) associated with the electronic activity is closed, tags indicating the electronic activity is a bounce back electronic activity, tags indicating the electronic activity, tags indicating whether the electronic activity is of a personal classification type or a business classification type, etc. In some cases, the node profile manager 220 can discard or exclude any electronic activities that include a personal classification type tag. The node profile manager 220 can discard or exclude electronic activities from the subset of electronic activities based on any tag.

[1035] For example, the node profile manager 220 can process a plurality of electronic activities 2204 that the node graph generation system 200 received from a data source provider 9350. The node profile manager 220 can identify a subset of electronic activities associated with a first node profile based on a person associated with the first node profile being a sender or a recipient of the electronic activities. The node profile manager 220 can process the electronic activities to identify any tags associated with each electronic activity. The node profile manager 220 can discard any electronic activities that are associated with personal classification tags, bounce back electronic activity tags, or mass electronic activity tags from the subset of electronic activities.

[1036] The node profile manager 220 can parse each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more participants with which the entity corresponding to the first node profile is communicating. The node profile manager 220 can parse each electronic activity using natural language processing techniques to identify the one or more participants that the entity is communicating with. The node profile manager 220 can identify the one or more participants from the To:, From:, or CC: lines of the electronic activities. The node profile manager 220 can identify the participants based on the names or identifiers associated with each line. The node profile manager 220 can also identify the one or more participants from the body of the electronic activities. For instance, the body may include an introductory phrase such as "Dear" or "Hi." The node profile manager 220 can determine that the name that follows the introductory phrase may be the name of one of the one or more recipients of the electronic activities. For example, the node profile manager 220 may process an email sent from an employee to a person associated with a first node profile of a company. The node profile manager 220 can use natural language processing techniques to identify the employee as the sender based on the identifier in the From: field of the email.

[1037] The node profile manager **220** can access, for each participant of the one or more participants, a second node profile (e.g., node profile **600***b*, **600***c*, or **600***d*) corresponding to the participant from the plurality of node profiles. The node profile manager **220** can use natural language processing (e.g., named entity recognition) to extract content associated with the one or more participants of the electronic activities to generate field-value pairs. The node profile merger **220** extract the field-value pairs and match the field-value pairs with node field-value pairs of second node profiles of the participants of the electronic activities that are communicating with the

entity associated with the first node profile. The node profile manager 220 can access the second profiles with node field-value pairs that match the field-value pairs of the electronic activities. [1038] In some instances, the node profile manager **220** can generate the field-value pairs from a signature embedded in at least one of the plurality of electronic activities. The node profile manager **220** can use natural language processing techniques (e.g., named entity recognition) to extract field-value pairs from the signatures of the one or more electronic activities. The node profile manager 220 can extract first and last name field-value pairs from the signatures and identify node profiles with matching first and last name field-value pairs. In some instances, the node profile manager **220** can identify the participants identified from the signature of the one or more electronic activities as senders of the electronic activities. For example, the node profile manager **220** can process an email sent by an employee to a person associated with a first node profile. The node profile manager **220** can use natural language processing techniques to parse the signature block of the email. The node profile manager **220** can extract first and last name fieldvalue pairs from the signature of the email to match the electronic activity with a second node profile of employee A. The node profile manager 220 can determine the employee is a sender of the email based on the first and last name of the employee appearing in the signature of the email [1039] The node profile manager **220** can identify, from each second node profile (e.g., **600***b*, **600***c*, and **600***d*) corresponding to a respective participant of the one or more participants, from a plurality of participant types, a participant type for the participant based on the one or more field-value pairs of the second node profile. Participant types can identify a position (e.g., seniority) of a participant within a company, a department the participant works in, and an industry of the company. The participant type can include a first value corresponding to a seniority of the participant (e.g., associate, VP, CEO, CTO, CFO, manager, supervisor, secretary, engineer I, engineer II, etc.), and a second value corresponding to a department (e.g., sales, accounting, manufacturing, etc.). For example, Tommy Atkins of the node profile **600***d* may have a participant type including a first value of associate, as indicated by the title field-value pair of the node profile 600d, and a second value of sales, as indicated by a department field-value pair (not shown) of the node profile **600***d*. The node profile manager **220** can identify the participant type of Tommy Atkins and other participants by extracting field-value pairs of the second node profiles of the participants that identify positions of the participants within the company the participants work for and departments the participants work in.

[1040] The node profile manager **220** can determine a distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants. The node profile manager **220** can process each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify participant types of the participants of each electronic activity of the subset based on field-value pairs identifying a company the participants work for, the department of the company the participants are in, the title of the job of the participants, and the industry of the company. The node profile manager **220** can increment and maintain a counter associated with each participant type for each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities with a participant of the respective type. The node profile manager **220** can determine a distribution **3502** based on the subset of electronic activities including the electronic activities **2204**(1) and **2204**(3). The distribution **3502** may include participant types 3504(1-n) based on the participant types of the participants of the subset of electronic activities. The distribution **802** may be a histogram, a bar chart, or any other chart displaying a number of electronic activities for different participant types. For example, the node profile manager 220 may determine a distribution including the number of participants of each participant type that are senders or recipients of electronic activities that are associated with John Smith, an associate of a company. The node profile manager **220** can identify the participant types of the participants based on the title and department field-value pairs of the node profiles of the participants. The node profile manager **220** can increment and maintain counters associated with each participant type for each electronic activity that is associated with participants of the

respective participant type. The node profile manager **220** can increment and maintain counters for CEOs, vice presidents, and associates. The node profile manger **220** can create a distribution including the count for each of the counters. The distribution can show how often John Smith communicated with different types of employees.

[1041] The node graph generation system **200** can generate a performance profile **3506** for the first node profile (e.g., the node profile **600***a*) based on the distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants. The node graph generation system **200** can include a performance module **280** that can generate the performance profile **3506** for a company. In some embodiments, the performance profile **3506** can include a performance profile of an employee of the company. In some embodiments, the performance profile can include a performance profile of a department of the company, a group within a department, or individual employees of the company. The performance module **280** can generate the performance profiles using data accessible by the node graph generation system **200**. In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can generate the performance profiles using all data including electronic activities and systems of record accessible by the node graph generation system **200** from multiple group entities corresponding to multiple companies. In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can generate the performance profiles for a company only using data provided by the company to the node graph generation system **200**. In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can generate certain types of performance profiles for employees, groups, departments of a company that has provided access to the system 200 while generating other types of reports or insights for other node profiles of the system **200** that are not employees of the company.

[1042] The performance module **280** can estimate employee success at a company or in a job role. The performance module **280** can, based on an analysis of electronic activities as well as information stored in one or more systems of record, predict the success of the member node. For example, the performance module **280** can generate a performance profile for the member node. The performance profile can be a statistics driven performance profile. The performance profile can be based on electronic activities and information stored in one or more systems of record. For example, the performance profile can be based on a number or amount of electronic activities associated with the member node during a time interval, a type of the electronic activities, the amount of time the member node spends generating or preparing the electronic activities (e.g., amount of time spent writing an email), the recipients of the email, natural language processing of the email, etc.

[1043] The performance profile **3506** may include different metrics that the performance module

**280** can determine and use to generate a performance score for the first node profile. Examples of metrics include, but are not limited to, response time, response rate, electronic activity goal completion rate, opportunity characteristics (e.g., size, won, closed), etc. As described in greater detail below, the performance module **280** can determine and aggregate or average each of these metrics to determine the performance score of the entity of the first node profile. [1044] The performance module **280** can generate the performance profile **3506** using the distribution **3502**. Using the distribution **3502**, the performance module **280** can determine a metric based on the participant types that the entity of the first node profile communicates with. The performance module **280** can assign weights to different participant types of the distribution **3502**. The weights may be based on seniority. The performance module **280** can determine the weights to be the weights higher as the seniority of the participant types increases. Examples of seniority can include associate, manager, director, vice president, CXO, Board etc.). The weights may act as multipliers when the performance module **280** determines the metric associated with the distribution **3502**. For instance, the performance module **280** can associate a low weight with an associate of a company, a medium weight for a vice president of a company, and a high weight with the CEO of the company. The performance module 280 may associate the weights with counts of

the distribution **3502**, multiply the weights with their associated counts to obtain weighted counts, and aggregate the weighted counts to determine the metric associated with the distribution **3502**. [1045] The performance module **280** can compare the number of electronic activities that were sent to different participant types to determine the seniority of the employees the performance profile most often communicates with. In some instances, the performance module **280** can determine an employee is performing well if the employee is communicating most often with senior employees and performing poorly if the employee is communicating with lower level employees the most often. The performance module **280** may also determine a score for a metric based on the total number of electronic activities represented in the distribution. The performance module **280** can determine metrics for the performance profile based on any characteristic of the subset of electronic activities that was used to generate the distribution **3502**.

[1046] In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can determine a score for a metric based on the types of electronic activities of the subset of electronic activities. The performance module 280 can identify, for each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities for the first node profile, an electronic activity type of the electronic activity. The performance module **280** can identify the electronic activity type for each electronic activity based on characteristics of the electronic activity and information about the electronic activity that a data source provider provided. As described herein, examples of electronic activity can include electronic mail messages, telephone calls, calendar invitations, social media messages, mobile application messages, instant messages, cellular messages such as SMS, MMS, among others, as well as electronic records of any other activity, such as digital content, such as files, photographs, screenshots, browser history, internet activity, shared documents, among others. [1047] The performance module **280** can identify performance goals associated with each electronic activity type. The performance module 280 can determine a second distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the electronic activity types and generate a score for a metric for the performance profile based on the second distribution. The performance module **280** can determine the second distribution by incrementing and maintaining a counter associated with each electronic activity type for each electronic activity of the subset that is of the respective electronic activity type. The performance module **280** can generate a second histogram, bar graph, or any other graph that can display group electronic activity types that displays the distributions of the electronic activity types across electronic activities.

[1048] The performance module **280** can generate the score for the metric for the performance profile based on a measure indicating how close the entity of the first node profile is to meeting goals associated with electronic activity types set by a company that the entity is associated with. The company may have performance goals for the entity associated with the number of electronic activities that the entity sends or receives. For instance, the company may set goals that the entity send out 60 emails, 20 phone calls, and hold 10 meetings in a month. The performance module 280 can identify time stamps of electronic activities of the subset of electronic activities to identify electronic activities that were sent within the month and identify the electronic activity type of each of the electronic activities sent within the month. The performance module **280** can determine how close the entity is to meeting each goal by comparing the number of electronic activities of each type that the entity sent or received to the goals set by the company. The performance module **280** can determine the metric score indicating a proficiency of the entity at meeting the goals by the company. The performance module **280** can determine the metric to be proportional to how close the entity is to reaching the goals associated with each electronic activity type. Continuing with the example above, the performance module **280** may determine that the entity has a metric score of 100 if the entity meets each of the email, phone call, and meeting goals. The performance module **280** can determine the entity has a lower or higher metric score based on whether the entity does not meet or exceeds one or more of the goals and the degree to which the entity does so, respectively.

[1049] The performance module **280** can also generate a metric for the performance profile **3506** based on weights of the identified electronic activity types of the subset of electronic activities. The performance module **280** can associate the weights with the electronic activities based on the electronic activity type of the electronic activities. For instance, an email may have a low weight, a phone call may have a higher weight than the email, and a scheduled meeting may have a highest weight. The performance module **280** can multiply or aggregate the weights of the participant types and the weights of the electronic activity type with the number of electronic activities associated with the respective participant types and electronic activity types to determine the metric. [1050] For example, the performance module **280** may determine the distribution **3502** for John Smith based on electronic activities that John Smith has sent or received. The performance module **280** can identify the electronic activity types of each electronic activity. The performance module **280** can weight each electronic activity based on the type of the electronic activity and the weight of the respective participant type and aggregate the weights of the electronic activities to determine a score for a metric of the performance profile **3506**.

[1051] In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can determine a score for an average response time to respond to electronic activities metric and/or an average response rate metric. The performance module **280** can determine the score for the average response time to respond to electronic activities metric by determining the average time for the entity to respond to electronic activities after the entity has received the electronic activities. The performance module **280** can identify time stamps associated with the electronic activities that the entity receives and time stamps of the electronic activities that the entity sends in response. The performance module **280** can identify responses based on strings on the subject line including "Re:" or through any other method. The performance module **280** can subtract one time stamp from the other to determine a length of time for the entity to respond to the electronic activity. The performance module **280** can determine lengths of time for responses for each electronic activity of the subset. The performance module **280** can take the average of the lengths of time for the responses to determine a score for the average response time to respond to electronic activities metric. The score can be inversely proportional to average response time. For instance, the larger the average response time, the lower the score and the lower the average response time, the higher the score of the metric. [1052] For example, the performance module **280** can determine an average response time to

respond to electronic activities metric for an employee of a company. The performance module **280** can identify time stamps associated with each email the employee receives and time stamps associated with emails that the employee sends in response. The performance module **280** can determine an average of the length of time for the employee to respond to be two days. The performance module **280** can determine two days to be a long time and consequently determine a low confidence score for the average response time metric.

[1053] The performance module **280** can similarly determine a score for the average response rate metric. The performance module **280** can determine the score for the average response rate metric based on the number of people that respond to electronic activities that the entity sends. The performance module **280** can determine the number of people that respond to electronic activities that the entity sends by incrementing and maintaining a counter for each electronic activity that the entity sends and incrementing and maintaining a second counter for each electronic activity that the entity receives in response to electronic activities that the entity sent. As described above, the performance module **280** can identify electronic activities that the entity received in response to an electronic activity that the sent based on the subject line of the electronic activity including the "Re:" string. The performance module **280** can determine a score for the average response rate based on a ratio of the number of electronic activities responses the entity received over the total number of electronic activities that the entity sent. The performance module **280** can determine a high response rate to be associated with a high score and a low response rate to be associated with a low score for the average response rate metric.

[1054] For example, an employee of a company may send out 600 emails to potential buyers of a product of the company. The employee may only receive two responses to the emails. The performance module **280** can identify the number of emails the employee sent out to be 600 and the number of responses to be two. The performance module **280** can associated a low score for the average response rate metric based on the ratio of two responses to 600 emails sent out. [1055] In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can determine a time to create electronic activities metric. The time to create electronic activities metric can indicate a length of how long the entity spent creating electronic activities that the entity sent and how close the length is to expected ranges of time set by and administrator. The administrator can determine expected ranges of time for electronic activities based a seniority or position of the recipient of the electronic activity. For instance, the administrator may determine that emails sent to a CEO may take longer to write than emails sent to an associate. Consequently, the administrator may determine a higher range of time for electronic activities sent to the CEO than for electronic activities sent to associates. The performance module **280** can identify a time to create an electronic activity based on time stamps indicating times the entity started creating the electronic activity and timestamps indicating times that the entity sent the electronic activities. The performance module 280 can subtract the time of the time stamp indicating the time the entity started generating the electronic activity from the time of time stamp indicating the time the entity sent the electronic activity to determine the length of time for the entity to generate the electronic activity. [1056] The performance module **280** can compare the lengths of time for the entity to create the electronic activities to the ranges associated with the recipient and/or content of the electronic activity to determine an average time outside of the ranges. The performance module **280** can generate a score for the time to create electronic activities metric that is proportional to the average time above or below the ranges it takes the entity to create the electronic activities. If performance module 280 determines the average time to be zero or lower (e.g., if the average time it take the entity to create the electronic activities is within the ranges set by the administrator) the performance module **280** can determine the time to create electronic activities metric to have a high score. The performance module **280** can determine a distance from the range and can determine the average time above or below the range increases or decreases, respectively. The performance module **280** can determine a lower time to create electronic activities metric score. [1057] For example, the performance module **280** can process a subset of emails that an employee of a company sent to various other employees of the same company. The performance module **280** can identify the participant types associated with each recipient of the electronic activities and corresponding administrator created ranges associated with each participant type. The performance module **280** can determine lengths of time for the employee to write the emails of the subset to each of the other employees and compare the determined lengths with the ranges associated with the participant types of the other employees. The performance module **280** can determine that, on average, the employee wrote emails within the ranges set by the administrator. Consequently, the performance module **280** can determine that the performance profile of the employee includes a high score for the time to create electronic activities metric. [1058] In some embodiment, the performance module **280** can determine a score for a content metric based on the content of each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities. The node profile manager **220** can parse each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more keywords embedded in the electronic activity. The node profile manager **220** 

metric based on the content of each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities. The node profile manager 220 can parse each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to identify one or more keywords embedded in the electronic activity. The node profile manager 220 can parse the electronic activity using natural language processing techniques to identify if the entity is talking about important matters (e.g., a large deal or opportunity) or if the entity is talking about content that is related to the company of the entity (e.g., if the employee is talking about work or about non-work related items). The node profile manager 220 can determine whether the employee is talking about important matters by identifying words in the electronic activities that can be associated with a large deal (e.g., a million dollars, names of CEOs of other companies,

etc.). The node profile manager **220** can compare the words with words in a database identifying words associated with important matters to determine the electronic activities the entity sends or receives is important or not. If the node profile manager **220** identifies a large number of words or phrases associated with important matters, the node performance module **280** may determine the score of the content metric associated important content to be high. Otherwise, the node performance module **280** can scale the score based on the number of words or phrases in the electronic activities that are associated with important matters. The performance module **280** can store the score for the content metric in the performance profile **3506**.

[1059] The node profile manager **220** can also use natural language processing techniques (e.g., semantic analysis algorithms such as lexical semantics, relationship analysis, or topic segmentation) to identify if the electronic activities of the subset are related to work or non-work related items. The node profile manager **220** can identify key words that have been tagged as work related or non-work related in a database within the node graph generation system **200**. The node profile manager **220** can determine a number of words associated with each category. The performance module **280** can identify the determined number of words and determine a score for a metric identifying whether the entity stays on task or not. If a large portion of the keywords that the node profile manager 220 identified are work related, the performance module 280 can determine a high score for the metric. If a large portion of the keywords identified are related to non-work items, the performance module **280** can determine a low score for the metric. The performance module **280** can store the determined score for the metric in the performance profile **3506**. [1060] In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can determine scores for metrics associated with opportunity record objects that the entity is associated with. The performance module 280 can access a plurality of record objects of one or more systems of record corresponding to the plurality of data source providers. Each record object can have one or more field-value pair. The record objects can be opportunity record objects with field-value pairs pertaining to a deal associated with a group entity (e.g., a company). The opportunity record objects can include fields such as AccountId, Amount, CampaignId, CloseDate, Description, ExpectedRevenue, Fiscal, HasOpenActivity, IsClosed, IsWon, LastActivityDate, Name, OwnerId, StageName, Territory2Id, and Type, among others.

[1061] The opportunity record objects can be linked to contact record objects associated with an account record object of a system of record. The performance module **280** can identify opportunity record objects associated with the first node profile by identifying a contact record object that matches the first node profile based on matching field value pairs and identifying opportunity record objects that are linked to the contact record object. As described below, the performance module **280** can identify object field-value pairs of opportunity record objects that are linked to the contact record object matched with the first node profile to determine a score of a metric of the performance profile **3506** that are associated with successful and/or large deals. For instance, the performance module **280** can identify the values of the object field-value pairs associated with the amount, IsClosed, and IsWon. Each object field-value pair can be associated with a different metric of the performance profile **3506**.

[1062] The performance module **280** can take the average of the values of all of the amount object field-value pairs to determine a score for the metric associated with the amount object field-value pair. The performance module **280** can determine a score for the amount object field-value pairs to be proportional to the value of the average value of the amount object field-value pairs that the entity is associated with. For instance, the performance module **280** can determine a high average value to be associated with a high score and a low average value to be associated with a low score for the amount metric.

[1063] The performance module **280** can determine scores for metrics of the IsClosed object field-value pair and the IsWon object field-value metrics by incrementing and maintaining counters for every opportunity record object that includes a "true" value in each respective field. The

performance module **280** can determine that scores associated with each metric are proportional to the number of opportunity record objects with true values for the object field-value pairs. For instance, the performance module **280** can determine a high score for the metric associated with the IsWon field-value pair if there are a large number of opportunity record objects associated with the first node profile with true values of the IsWon field-value pairs. Similarly, the performance module **280** can determine a high score for the metric associated with the IsClosed object field-value pair there are a large number opportunity record objects associated with the first node profile with true values of the IsClosed field-value pairs.

[1064] In some embodiments, the node performance module **280** can determine a score for a metric associated with how effective the entity is at sending electronic activities to the correct entities at different stages of an opportunity or deal. The node performance module **280** can identify participant types that should be associated with each stage of an opportunity and determine the score for the metric by determining whether the entity sent a correct number of electronic activities to the correct participants based on participant types of the participants. To do so, the node performance module **280** can identify opportunity record objects that the entity is associated with as described above. The node performance module **280** can identify stages of the opportunities based on stage object field-value pairs of the opportunity record objects. The node performance module can determine, for each stage of the plurality of stages, a subset of electronic activities corresponding to the stage. The node performance module 280 can determine the subset of electronic activities by using natural language processing techniques to identify language in the electronic activities that is identified as corresponding to a stage of an opportunity in a database within the node graph generation system **200**. The performance module **280** can compare the language to language in the database to identify keywords and determine electronic activities that correspond to each stage based on keywords of the electronic activity being associated with the stage exceeding an administrator set threshold.

[1065] For example, the node performance module **280** can process a subset of electronic activities associated with an employee of a company. The node performance module **280** may identify opportunity record objects that a node profile of the employee is associated with. The node performance module **280** can determine a second subset of electronic activities of the subset of electronic activities that are associated with stages of opportunities that the employee is associated with. The node performance module **280** can use natural language processing techniques to identify keywords in the electronic activities that indicate the electronic activities are associated with a stage of an opportunity represented by one of the opportunity record objects. The node performance module **280** can identify electronic activities that are associated with each stage of one or more opportunity record objects.

[1066] The performance module **280** can determine the score for the effectiveness metric by generating distributions of electronic activities across participant types for each stage in the opportunity record objects. The performance module **280** can identify the participants associated with the electronic activities of each stage. The performance module **280** can identify, or determine, the participants using natural language processing techniques to identify the names of the participants and match the names to node profiles of the participants. The performance module **280** can identify the participant types of each participant associated with the electronic activities based on field-value pairs of the node profiles of the participants. The performance module **280** can increment and maintain counters associated with each participant type across each stage of the opportunity record objects for each electronic activity with a participant of the participant of the respective participant type and associated with the stage. The performance module **280** can determine an average number of electronic activities associated with each participant type at each stage of each record object that the entity is associated with. The performance module **280** can generate a distribution of electronic activities across participant types for each stage using the average of electronic activities of each participant type at each stage rom the counters.

[1067] Continuing with the example above, the performance module **280** can identify the participants and participant types of the participants for the electronic activities associated with each stage. The performance module can increment counters for every electronic activity that is associated with a participant type and a stage. The performance module **280** can increment and maintain counters for each opportunity record object that the node profile of the employee is associated with. The performance module **280** can take the average of the counters across corresponding stages and participant types to determine the average number of participants of each participant type that the employee sends and receives emails from at each stage of opportunities that the employee is associated with.

[1068] The performance module **280** can compare the average number of electronic activities associated with each participant type at each stage to an expected number of electronic activities that the employee should send to participants of the participant types at each stage. For instance, at the review stage, an administrator may set an expected number of emails to be sent to and from a CEO to be four emails, an expected number of emails to be sent to and from the vice president to be six emails, and the expected number of emails to be sent to and from associates to be 12 emails. The performance module **280** can determine an average number of emails associated with the review stage and each participant type that the employee sends and receives emails from across opportunity record objects. The performance module **280** can compare the averages with the expected values of four, six, and 12, respectively. The performance module **280** can determine a difference between the average number of emails and the expected number of emails for each participant type and aggregate the determined differences to obtain an aggregated difference. The performance module **280** can determine the score associated of the metric to be inversely proportional to the aggregated determined differences. For instance, the larger the aggregated difference, the lower the effectiveness metric score. The performance module **280** can store the score for the metric in the performance profile **3506** 

[1069] In some embodiments, the performance profile for the first node profile is a first performance profile. The performance module **280** can identify second performance profiles of third node profiles based on the third node profiles satisfying a similarity score with the first node profile. The performance module **280** can determine that the third node profile satisfies the similarity threshold based on one or more node field-value pairs of the first node profile matching one or more node field-value pairs of the third node profiles. In some instances, the performance module **280** can determine that the third node satisfies the similarity threshold if the title, department and/or industry node field-value pairs of the first node profile match the third node profile. For example, the performance module **280** can identify a third node profile with a value of associate in a title field-value pair and a value of agriculture in the industry field-value pair. Consequently, the performance module **280** can determine the third node profile satisfies the similarity threshold with the first node profile.

[1070] The performance module **280** can compare the first performance profile of the first node profile with the second performance profile of the third node profile. In some instances, the performance module **280** can compare the first performance profile of the first node profile with multiple second performance profiles of third node profiles. In these instances, the performance module **280** can determine average scores of the metrics of the second performance profiles to determine an average indicating how similar node profiles perform for each metric. The performance module **280** can compare the scores of corresponding metrics between the first performance profile and the average of the second performance profiles to identify metrics that the first performance profile exceeds the average and metrics that the first performance profile is lower than the average. The performance module **280** can determine and aggregate the differences between the scores of the first performance profile and the average performance profile to obtain the performance score of the first node profile.

[1071] For example, the performance module **280** can compare a performance profile of a first

salesman in the beauty products industry with performance profiles of other salesmen in the beauty products industry. The performance module **280** can determine the node profiles of the other salesmen satisfy the similarity threshold based on the salesmen having the same title and being in the same industry as the first salesman (and accordingly having matching node field-value pairs in the title and industry fields). The performance module **280** can compare metrics of the performance profile of the first salesman with metrics of the performance profiles of the other salesmen and identify that the first salesman is generally involved in bigger deals, meets more electronic activity goals, and is more responsive to electronic activities than the average salesman in the industry. Consequently, the performance module **280** can generate a high performance score for the first salesman.

[1072] In some embodiments, the performance module **280** can update the performance profile for the first node profile based on a second distribution of a second subset of electronic activities. After the performance module **280** generate the performance profile **3506**, the node profile manager **220** can access a second plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via the electronic accounts associated with the plurality of data source providers. The node profile manager **220** can access the second plurality of electronic activities in a similar manner to how the performance module **280** can update the performance profile for the first node profile based on a distribution of the second subset of electronic activities from the second plurality of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants. The performance module **280** can update the performance profile by incorporating the electronic activities into the first subset of electronic activities and repeating the processes described above to determine new scores for metrics of the performance profile. The performance module **280** can update the performance profile with the new scores.

[1073] Referring now to FIG. **36**, illustrated is a flow diagram of a method **3600** of generating field-specific health scores. The method **3600** can be implemented or performed using any of the components described above in conjunction with FIGS. 1-27 (e.g., the node graph generation system **200**) or the server system **2800** detailed in conjunction with FIG. **28**. In brief overview, a data processing system can access electronic activities (3605). The data processing system can identify subset of electronic activities for first node profile (3610). The data processing system can parse electronic activity to identify participants (3615). The data processing system can access second node profile for each participant (3620). The data processing system can identify participant type across participants (**3625**). The data processing system can determine distribution of subset. The data processing system can generate performance profile for first node profile (3635). [1074] In further detail, a data processing system can access electronic activities (**3605**). The electronic activities can be transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with a plurality of data source providers. The electronic activities can be used to maintain a plurality of node profiles. Each node profile of the plurality of node profiles can include one or more fieldvalue pairs. Each field-value pair can include values generated from at least one of the electronic activities.

[1075] The data processing system can identify subset of electronic activities for first node profile (**3610**). The data processing system can identify the subset of electronic activities from the electronic activities based on an entity associated with the first node profile being a sender or a recipient of the subset of electronic activities.

[1076] The data processing system can use natural language processing techniques to identify activity field-value pairs of the electronic activities. The data processing system can match the subset of electronic activities from the electronic activities based on field-value pairs of the electronic activities matching corresponding field-value pairs of the first node profile. [1077] The data processing system can parse electronic activity to identify participants (3615). The data processing system can parse each electronic activity of the subset of electronic activities to

identify one or more participants with which the entity corresponding to the first node profile is communicating. The data processing system can identify the participants using natural language processing techniques to identify names of the participants embedded in the electronic activities. The data processing system can identify the participants based on the identified names. [1078] The data processing system can access second node profile for each participant (3620). The data processing system can access, for each participant of the one or more participants, second node profiles corresponding to the participants of the plurality of node profiles. The data processing system can identify the second node profiles of the participants by processing the node profiles of the data processing system and comparing node field-value pairs of the node profiles to activity field-value pairs of the electronic activities of the subset of electronic activities. The data processing system can identify the second node profiles as the node profiles with node field-value

[1079] The data processing system can identify participant type across participants (**3625**). The data processing system can identify, from each second node profile corresponding to a respective participant of the one or more participants, from a plurality of participant types, a participant type for the participant based on the one or more field-value pairs of the second node profile. The data processing system can identify the participant type based on the seniority, industry, and department of the participant. The data processing system can process the node profiles of each participant to identify each of the seniority, industry, and department.

pairs that match the activity field-value pairs of the electronic activities.

[1080] The data processing system can determine distribution of subset (**3630**). The data processing system determine the distribution of the subset across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants. The data processing system can determine the distribution by incrementing and maintaining counters associated with each participant type for each electronic activity with a participant of the respective participant type. The data processing system can determine a total number of electronic activities with participants of each participant type based on the counters. [1081] The data processing system can generate performance profile for first node profile (**3635**). The data processing system can generate a performance profile for the first node profile based on the distribution of the subset of electronic activities across the plurality of participant types for the one or more participants. The data processing system can determine the type of participants that the entity of the first node profile communicates with most often. The data processing system can determine scores for metrics identifying how the entity is performing. The data processing system can generate a performance score based on the scores of the metrics.

25. Estimating Time to Perform Electronic Activities

[1082] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for estimating a time to perform electronic activities. In a given day, a person may send a number of emails or other electronic activity as a part of their employment. The person may rush through generation of the electronic activity, may copy material from other electronic activities, or may generate a brief electronic activity. Such types of electronic activity may be appropriate in some instances. However, in other instances, such types of electronic activity may be perceived as having a low quality. The systems and methods described herein can be configured to parse electronic activities to objectively determine a Quality of Electronic activity (QoE) score. In some implementations, the systems and methods described herein may determine the QoE score based on a determined language complexity of the electronic activity, based on a word/character count for the electronic activity, etc. As one example, a sender may generate and send an email to a recipient. The email may generally have a quality based on various objective characteristics of the email, such as the language complexity, the character/word count, an amount of content which was copy/passed from previous emails, whether the email contains typographical errors, whether the email was generated via a template, and so forth. The system may parse the email to identify the objective characteristics of the email. The system may generate a QoE score for the email based on such objective characteristics.

[1083] According to the embodiments of the systems and methods described herein, the system can parse electronic activity to objectively determine a quality of the parsed electronic activity. The system can assign the QoE score to electronic activity. The system can leverage various information included in the electronic activity to generate the QoE score of the electronic activity. By objectively determining and assigning QoE scores to electronic activities, users within a company can be objectively evaluated based on their electronic activity. Further, the system can assign a tag or score to a node profile associated with users based on a set of QoE scores. The tag may indicate, e.g., a level of engagement, responsiveness, carefulness, etc. of the user. The company can evaluate the user based on such tags assigned to corresponding node profiles for the user. Various other benefits and advantages of the present technical solution are apparent based on the description that follows.

[1084] Referring now to FIG. **37**, illustrated is a use case diagram of a plurality of electronic activities exchanged between two nodes **3700***a*, **3700***b* corresponding to respective users. Each user may include a corresponding node profile **600***a*, **600***b*. The node profiles **600** may correspond to a respective person or user. For instance, the node profile **600***a* may correspond to "John Smith", node profile **600***b* may correspond to "John Doe", and node profile **600***b* may correspond to "Sally". As described in greater detail above, the system **200** may be configured to generate the node profiles **600***a*-**600***b* based on electronic activity. In the use case diagram depicted in FIG. **37**, a first user (e.g., "John Smith") may generate and send one of a number of electronic activities to a second user (e.g., "Sally"). The use case diagram shows a first example electronic activity **3702***a* and a second electronic activity **3702***b*, though a given user may generate any number of alternative electronic activities. As described in greater detail below, the system **200** may be configured to parse the electronic activity **3702**, and the system **200** may generate a QoE score for the electronic activity.

[1085] The system **200** may be configured to detect, receive, intercept, or otherwise identify electronic activities (such as electronic activity **3702**) between two nodes **3700** corresponding to two persons. As described in greater detail above, the system **200** may include an electronic activity ingestor **205** which is configured to ingest electronic activities from the plurality of data source providers. The electronic activities may be received or ingested in real-time or asynchronously as electronic activities are generated, transmitted or stored by the one or more data source providers. The data source providers may be or include a server which hosts a domain corresponding one or more of the participants of the electronic activity (e.g., the sender or the recipient). The system 200 may identify electronic activities which identify a sender, one or more recipient(s), and a body including content. As described in greater detail below, the electronic activity parser **210** of the system **200** may be configured to parse the identified electronic activities. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the electronic activities to identify objective characteristics corresponding to a quality of the electronic activity. The system 200 may be configured to generate a Quality of Electronic activity (QoE) score for each of the electronic activities transmitted by a given sender. [1086] The system **200** may parse the electronic activity **3702** between the two nodes **3700**. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the header or metadata for the electronic activity **3702**. As described in greater detail below, the electronic activity parser **210** may parse the header or metadata of the electronic activity **3702** to identify a sender and recipient(s) for the electronic activity **3702**. Each electronic activity **3702** may include metadata or a header field which indicates or specifies an electronic account for a sender and an electronic account for each of the recipients. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the electronic activity **3702** to identify the electronic accounts for the sender and recipient(s).

[1087] The node profile manager **220** may identify, from electronic activity, the values corresponding to a respective field for a node profile **600**. As one example, where a node profile **600***a* corresponding to a node has not yet been generated and a person corresponding to the node generates and sends an email signed "John Smith", the node profile manager **220** may first

determine whether any node profiles **600** include field-value pairs including first name (John) and last name (Smith). When a matching node profile **600** is not identified, the node profile generator **220** may generate a node profile for "John Smith". The node profile **600** may include field-value pairs for first name and last name based on the electronic activity. As another example, the node profile generator 220 may parse systems of record to identify matching node profiles. [1088] By parsing the electronic activity, the electronic activity parser **210** may identify an electronic account associated with an electronic activity (e.g., the electronic activity 3702). The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the email address, phone number, etc. associated with a sender and recipient(s), for instance. The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the electronic account within a header field for the electronic activity. The electronic activity may specify a sender and recipient(s) in the header field. For instance, the electronic activity **3702** shown in FIG. 37 may include a header field specifying an electronic account corresponding to the recipient (e.g., "Sally"). The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the electronic account associated with the sender for purposes of assigning a tag to a corresponding node profile, as described in greater detail below. The node profile manager 220 may use the electronic account identified by or via the electronic activity parser **210** for identifying the node profile corresponding to the sender of the electronic activity. The node profile manager **220** may identify the node profile by performing a look-up function of the electronic account in several node profiles to locate a node profile having a matching electronic account.

[1089] In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** may parse the metadata for the electronic activity **3702** to identify a timestamp for the electronic activity **3702**. Each electronic activity **3702** may include metadata which includes a timestamp when the electronic activity **3702** is generated, sent, etc. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the metadata to locate the field of the metadata corresponding to the timestamp. The electronic activity parser **210** may extract the timestamp corresponding to the electronic activity. In some implementations, the timestamp may be used for identifying, determining, or otherwise estimating the time taken to generate a corresponding electronic activity **3702**, as described in greater detail below.

[1090] The electronic activity parser 210 may parse the body (e.g., content of the body) of the electronic activity 3702. The electronic activity parser 210 may parse the content of the electronic activity 3702 to identify various objective characteristics of the electronic activity 3702 indicative of a quality of the electronic activity 3702. Objective characteristics may include, for instance, a language complexity score for the electronic activity 3702, a word or character count for the electronic activity 3702, whether any typographical errors are present in the electronic activity 3702, how much of the electronic activity 3702 is reproduced from other electronic activities, whether the electronic activity 3702 is a blast electronic activity, and so forth.

[1091] The system **200** may be configured to generate a language complexity score indicating a level of language complexity of the electronic activity **3702**. In some embodiments, the system **200** may include a language complexity determination engine. The language complexity determination engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to identify, determine, or otherwise generate a language complexity score. In some implementations, the language complexity determination engine may be embodied on the electronic activity parser **210**. In some embodiments, the language complexity determination engine may parse the electronic activity **3702** to identify an average number of syllables per word, a number of words present in each sentence, etc. The language complexity determine engine may use the average number of syllables per word, word choices, grammar complexity, and/or number of words present in each sentence to generate the language complexity score. The language complexity score may be a score on any number of scales. For instance, the language complexity score may be on the Flesch Reading Ease scale, which calculates the readability of content on a scale ranging between 0-100 (with lower numbers indicating content is more difficult to comprehend). As another example, the language complexity score may be on the Flesch-Kincaid

Grade scale, which indicates how many years of education needed to comprehend the content. [1092] Specifically referring to the example of FIG. **37**, the sender may generate either the first or second electronic activity **3700***a*, **3700***b*. The first electronic activity **3700***a* has longer sentences, words with a greater number of syllables, and has increased grammar complexity. The second electronic activity **3700***b* has short sentences constructed of words with fewer syllables and basic grammar. The language complexity score of the first electronic activity **3700***a* may therefore be greater than the language complexity score of the second electronic activity **3700***b*, as the first electronic activity **3700***a* is more difficult to read than the second electronic activity **3700***b*. [1093] The system **200** may be configured to determine a character or word count of the electronic activity **3702**. In some embodiments, the system **200** may include a word counting engine and/or character counting engine. The word counting engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to determine a word count for a body of an electronic activity **3702**. Similarly, the character counting engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to determine a character count for a body of an electronic activity **3702**. In some implementations, the word counting engine and/or character counting engine may be embodied on the electronic activity parser **210**. The character counting engine may count each character in the body (e.g., letters, numbers, punctuation, spaces, etc.). The word counting engine may group character(s) together which are separated by a space or spaces, and count each group as one word. [1094] Continuing the example in FIG. **37**, the first electronic activity **3700***a* and second electronic activity **3700***b* include a different number of words and characters. The word (or character) counting engine may count the number of words in the first electronic activity **3700***a* and second electronic activity **3700***b*. The word (or character) counting engine may determine that the first electronic activity **3700***a* has a greater number of words and characters than the second electronic activity **3700***b*.

[1095] The system **200** may be configured to determine an estimated amount of time taken to generate the electronic activity **3702** using the language complexity score, the character count, and/or the word count. In some embodiments, the system **200** may include a time estimation engine. The time estimation engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to determine an estimated amount of time to generate the electronic activity. In some implementations, the time estimation engine may be embodied on the electronic activity parser **210**. The time estimation engine may be configured to store, access, or otherwise use a formula for computing the estimated amount of time to generate the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may use the language complexity score and/or character/word count as inputs to the formula. The time estimation engine may retrieve the language complexity score from the language complexity determination engine and the word/character count from the word counting engine/character counting engine. The time estimation engine may apply the formula to the language complexity score and character/word count to compute the estimated time. In some embodiments, the time estimation engine may multiply the character/word count by a constant (e.g., a fixed number of seconds per word or character) and apply a multiplier based on the language complexity score. The multiplier may increase the value corresponding to the estimated time in proportion to the language complexity score. In this regard, the estimated time may increase as the language complexity score indicates that the readability decreases to reflect that more difficult content to read takes a longer time to generate.

[1096] In some embodiments, the system **200** may be configured to determine the estimated amount of time taken to generate the electronic activity **3702** using a timestamp for the electronic activity **3702**. In some embodiments, the electronic activity **3702** may be a reply to a previous electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may be configured to parse the electronic activity to determine that the electronic activity **3702** is a response. In some embodiments, the

electronic activity parser **210** may determine the electronic activity **3702** is a response to a previous electronic activity based on the subject line of the electronic activity **3702** beginning with "RE:." As another example, the electronic activity parser **210** may determine the electronic activity **3702** is a response based on the electronic activity **3702** including a line break (e.g., and content beneath the line break). As yet another example, the electronic activity parser **210** may be configured to determine a context of the electronic activity **3702** and a context of a previous electronic activity by parsing the electronic activities using natural language understanding. The electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activity **3702** is a response based on the electronic activity **3702** sharing a context with a previous electronic activity.

[1097] As described above, the electronic activity parser 210 may identify a timestamp for the electronic activity 3702 and the timestamp for the previous electronic activity. The time estimation engine may determine the estimated time to generate the electronic activity based on the respective timestamps. In some implementations, the time estimation engine may determine the estimated time based on the timestamp corresponding to the response (e.g., electronic activity 3702) with respect to the timestamp of the previous (e.g., most recent) electronic activity. In some embodiments, the time estimation engine may select the computed estimated time based on the language complexity score and word/character count when the computed estimated time based on the timestamps. In some embodiments, the time estimation engine may select the computed estimated time based on the language complexity score and word/character count when the computed estimated time based on the timestamp is less than the computed estimated time based on the language complexity score and word/character count. In this regard, the time estimation engine may select the lowest computed estimated time.

[1098] The time estimation engine may be configured to estimate the amount of time for generating an electronic activity based on other information from the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may include a model which uses various inputs for computing the estimated time. For instance, the model may use time of day, device, language, context, etc. as inputs for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. Various examples of such inputs are described in greater detail below.

[1099] The time estimation engine may use the time of day for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may identify the time of day in which the electronic activity was generated based on the timestamp for the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may use the time of day as an input to the model. The model may correlate various times of day with corresponding weights for computing the estimated time to generate the electronic activity. For instance, the weights for later in the day may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect a user's efficiency decreases over the course of a day. The time estimation engine may use the time of day as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model.

[1100] The time estimation engine may use the device upon which the electronic activity was generated for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may identify the device upon which the electronic activity was generated based on the metadata for the electronic activity, a signature, etc. As one example, the electronic activity parser 210 may parse the metadata for the electronic activity to identify an Internet Protocol (IP) address for the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser 210 may identify the device upon which hthe electronic activity was generated based on the IP address for the device. As another example, the electronic activity parser 210 may parse the body of the electronic activity to identify the device upon which the electronic activity was generated. The body of the electronic activity may state, for instance, "SENT FROM MY MOBILE DEVICE," "SENT FROM MY TABLET," etc. The electronic activity parser 210 may parse the body of the electronic activity to identify phrases within the electronic activity indicative of the device upon which the electronic activity

was generated. The time estimation engine may use a device type corresponding to the device as an input to the model. The model may correlate various types of devices with corresponding weights for computing the estimated time to generate the electronic activity. For instance, the weight corresponding to a mobile device may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect that electronic activities typically take longer to generate on a mobile device. As another example, the weight corresponding to a tablet may cause the computed estimated time to decrease with respect to similar electronic activities generated on a mobile device to reflect that electronic activities may take less time to generate on a tablet than on a mobile device. The time estimation engine may use the device type as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model. [1101] The time estimation engine may use the language of the electronic activity for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the electronic activity to identify a language of the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the language based on scripts used in the text (e.g., Latin, Cryllic, Greek, Arabic, etc.). The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the language based on the scripts and word choices/spellings, grammars, or other semantic information obtained from the content of the electronic activity. The node profile corresponding to the sender may include a field-value corresponding to native language. The time estimation engine may use the native language and identified language for the electronic activity as an input to the model for computing the estimated time. The model may apply a first weight to electronic activity generated in the sender's native language, and a second weight to electronic activity generated in in a language different from the sender's native language. The second weight may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect that electronic activities typically take longer to generate in a different language. The time estimation engine may use the identified language of the electronic activity as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model.

[1102] The time estimation engine may use the context of the electronic activity for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser 210 may parse the electronic activity using natural language processing to determine a context of the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser 210 may determine whether the context is related to business activities or personal activities. The time estimation engine may use the context of the electronic activity as an input to the model. The model may correlate various types contexts with corresponding weights for computing the estimated time to generate the electronic activity. For instance, the weight corresponding to personal contexts (e.g., a meeting for after work drinks or dinner) may cause the computed estimated time to decrease to reflect that personal electronic activities typically take less time to generate. As another example, the weight corresponding to business contexts may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect that work-related electronic activities may take more time to generate. The time estimation engine may use the context (or tags corresponding to determined contexts) as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model.

[1103] The system **200** may be configured to generate a Quality of Electronic activity (QoE) score corresponding to an estimated quality of the electronic activity **3702**. The system **200** may include a QoE scoring engine. The QoE scoring engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to determine, calculate, compute, or otherwise generate a QoE score which corresponds to an estimated quality of the electronic activity. The QoE scoring engine may be embodied on the electronic activity parser **210**. The QoE scoring engine may be configured to generate the QoE score in accordance with a quality estimation model. The quality estimation model may use various inputs for generating a QoE score. The QoE scoring engine may be configured to store, access, or otherwise use quality estimation model for computing the QoE score. The quality estimation model may use various inputs corresponding to objective information extracted from the electronic activity **3702** for computing the QoE score. The QoE scoring engine may apply weights to such inputs to compute the QoE

score. For instance, the QoE scoring engine may apply a weight to the language complexity score to increase the QoE score as the language complexity score indicates in increase in complexity (or decrease in readability). As another example, the QoE scoring engine may apply a weight to the word or character count to increase the QoE score as the word/character count increases. Various other examples of how the QoE score may change based on objective information identified in the electronic activity **3702** are described in greater detail below.

[1104] In some embodiments, the system 200 may be configured to identify a job title corresponding to the sender and/or a job title corresponding to recipient of the electronic activity 3702. The node profile manager 220 may use the electronic account identified by the electronic activity parser 210 for the sender/recipient(s) to identify a node profile for the sender/recipient(s). The node profile manager 220 may perform a look-up function to cross-reference the identified electronic account with values from a field corresponding to "Electronic Account." As described above, each node profile 600 may include a plurality of field-value pairs which correspond to information obtained from electronic activities. One field-value pair may correspond to electronic account. The node profile manager 220 may identify the node profile 600 for the sender and recipient(s) based on their corresponding electronic accounts having a matching value in a field-value pair of a node profile 600.

[1105] The node profiles **600** may include a field-value pair corresponding to job titles. The node profile manager **220** may identify the job title value for the recipient of the electronic activity **3702**. The QoE scoring engine may be configured to identify compute an importance score based on the job title value of the recipient. In some implementations and as described in greater detail above, the system **200** may maintain a hierarchy of a company including corresponding job titles. The QoE scoring engine may determine the importance score based on a position of the job title value of the recipient within the hierarchy. The importance score may be a weight or value which changes based on job title. For instance, as a job title indicates a higher-level position in a company or organization, the importance score may correspondingly increase. The QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on the importance score. The quality estimation model may use the importance score as an input for generation of the QoE score. The QoE scoring engine may determine the QoE score using the quality estimation model, which factors the importance score into computation of the QoE score. As such, the QoE score may increase as the importance score increases to reflect a user likely taking more time to generate an electronic activity to higher level persons.

[1106] In some implementations, the node profile manager **220** may identify the job title value for the sender of the electronic activity **3702**. In some implementations, the node profile manager **220** may identify the job title value of the sender of the electronic activity in relation to the recipient of the electronic activity. The node profile manager 220 may determine a distance between the sender and recipient of the electronic activity within the hierarchy. The node profile manager 220 may determine the distance based on the number of job titles between the job title of the sender and the job title of the recipient. Hence, the distance may be a relative job title or position. The QoE scoring engine may be configured to identify or compute an importance score based on the relative position of the sender and recipient(s). For instance, as a job title of the recipient indicates a higherlevel position in a company and a job title of the sender indicates a lower-level position in the company, the importance score may correspondingly increase. The QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on the importance score. The QoE scoring engine may determine the QoE score by using the importance score as an input to the quality estimation model for computing the QoE score. The QoE score may increase as the importance score increases to reflect a user having a lower job title likely taking more time to generate an electronic activity to higher level persons within the same company.

[1107] In some embodiments, the system **200** may be configured to determine whether the electronic activity **3702** includes any typographical errors. The system **200** may include a spell-

checking engine. The spell-checking engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to perform a spell and grammar check function on the content of the electronic activity **3702**. The spell-checking engine may include or access a dictionary which is used to check spelling of various words within the content of the electronic activity. Particularly of relevance to FIG. **37**, the first electronic activity **3700***a* does not include any spelling errors. However, the second electronic activity **3700***b* includes two spelling errors (e.g., "WORKIN" and "MATTTER"). The spell-checking engine may be configured to determine whether any typographical errors are present in the content of the electronic activity **3702**. The quality estimation model may use the presence (and/or number) of typographical errors as an input for generation of the QoE score. The quality estimation model may use to the presence (and/or number) of typographical errors for proportionally changing the QOE score of the electronic activity. The quality estimation model may apply a weight which negatively impacts the QoE score of an electronic activity as the number of typographical errors increase. In this regard, the QoE score decreases as the number of typographical errors increases to reflect a lower quality electronic activity based on the presence of typographical errors.

[1108] In some implementations, the system **200** may be configured to compare electronic activity **3702** with other electronic activity. The node profile manager **220** may be configured to store electronic activity associated with a particular node profile **600**. The node profile manager **220** may store electronic activity which is sent or received by an electronic account of a corresponding node profile **600**. The node profile manager **220** may store the electronic activity corresponding to the node profile 600 in a data structure, such as a ledger or database. The node profile manager 220 may store, in the data structure, each of the electronic activity which is sent from the electronic account and electronic activity which is received by the electronic account. The node profile manager **220** may identify the node profile **600** by cross-referencing the electronic account of the electronic activity **3702** with a value of a field-value pair corresponding to electronic accounts in the node profiles **600**. The node profile manager **220** may be configured to identify the data structure corresponding to the node profile **600** associated with the electronic account of the sender. The electronic activity parser **210** may compare the content of the electronic activity (e.g., electronic activity **3702**) with previous electronic activity from the data structure. As described in greater detail below, the QoE scoring engine may generate a QoE score based on how much of the electronic activity **3702** is duplicated from a previous electronic activity.

[1109] In some implementations, the QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on whether the sender copied content from a previous electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may determine whether content from the electronic activity **3702** is copied from a previous electronic activity (e.g., generated by or received by the sender via their electronic account). The electronic activity parser 210 may determine whether the content is copied from a previous electronic activity based on the comparison of the content to content of previous electronic activities in the ledger/database. The electronic activity parser **210** may compute the number of instances in which the content is the same. The electronic activity parser 210 may assign a copying score to the electronic activity which correlates the copying score to the number of instances in which the content is the same. As one example, an electronic activity which has a sentence that is the same as a previous electronic activity may have a first copying score, and an electronic activity which has a paragraph which is the same as a previous electronic activity may have a second copying score which is higher than the first. The copying score may increase as the number of instances increases. The Quality estimation model may use the copying score as an input for computing the QoE score. Hence, the QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on the copying score for the electronic activity. The Quality estimation model may apply a weight to the copying score which cause the QoE score to decrease as the copying score increases. In other words, the QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on the difference in content between the electronic activity **3702** and previous electronic activities.

[1110] In some instances, most of the content of the electronic activity **3702** may be the same as a previous electronic activity. For instance, the sender may generate the electronic activity using a template. The content may be the same as the content of the previous electronic activity except for a few terms (e.g., recipient(s) name, date, and/or other fillable form fields). The electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activity **3702** was generated via a template in a number of different ways. In some embodiments, the electronic activity 3702 may include metadata which indicates that the electronic activity **3702** was generated via a template. The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the metadata for the electronic activity **3702** to determine whether the metadata indicates the electronic activity **3702** was generated via the template. In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activity **3702** is generated based on the copying score. The electronic activity parser **210** may compare the copying score to a predetermined value corresponding to a template. The predetermined value may be a value which corresponds to a number of matching content that indicates the electronic activity was generated via a template. For instance, where two electronic activities are generated via a template, the content of such electronic activity may be the same in many portions. The copying score for such electronic activities may be greater than or equal to the predetermined value. As another example, the predetermined value may correspond to a number of differences between the electronic activities. The electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activity **3702** was generated via a template based on the number of differences between the electronic activities being less than the predetermined value. The electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activity **3702** was generated via a template based on the copying score in comparison to the predetermined value.

[1111] The quality estimation model may use, as an input, the determination that the electronic activity **3702** was generated via a template for computing the QoE score. The electronic activity **3702** being generated via a template may negatively impact the QoE score such that the QoE score of the electronic activity **3702** decreases where the electronic activity **3702** decreases. The QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on whether the electronic activity **3702** was generated via a template.

[1112] In some embodiments, the QoE scoring engine may determine whether the electronic activity **3702** is one of a plurality of electronic activities sent as a part of a "blast" email. The electronic activity parser **210** may identify whether the sender sent a plurality of electronic activities (e.g., including the electronic activity **3702**) within a predetermined time (e.g., a number of minutes, for instances). The electronic activity parser **210** may compare the content of the electronic activities to determine a difference in content for the electronic activities (e.g., in a manner similar to the comparison described above). Where the electronic activities are determined to have few differences (e.g., similar content), the electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activities are all related. The electronic activity parser **210** may determine that the electronic activities are a part of a "blast" email to a plurality of recipients. In some embodiments, the tagging engine **265** may be configured to apply a blast email tag to the electronic activity **3702** (e.g., as described above in section 1(g)). The QoE scoring engine may determine the QoE score based on the blast email tag applied to the electronic activity **3702**. The quality estimation model may use tags (such as the blast email tag) assigned to the electronic activity **3702** as inputs for modifying/changing the QoE score. For instance, the blast email tag may negatively impact the QoE score. The QoE scoring engine may compute the QoE score based on the tag assigned to the electronic activity.

[1113] The system **200** may be configured to store the QoE score for the electronic activity **3702** in a data structure (such as the database or ledger) in association with the QoE score. Hence, each computed QoE score may be stored with the corresponding electronic activity. The QoE score may be used for generating reports, assigning tags or scores to corresponding node profiles **600**, and so forth.

[1114] In some implementations, the tagging engine **265** may use the QoE scores for generating and assigning a tag to the node profile **600** associated with the sender. The tagging engine **265** may generate a tag which correlates the QoE scores for the electronic activities generated by the sender with a level of engagedness for the sender. The level of engagedness may be a value which indicates how engaged an employee is in their occupation. The level of engagedness may increase as the QoE scores increase, meaning that the sender is sending higher quality electronic activities and, as such, is more engaged in their occupation. The tagging engine **265** may compute a level of engagedness for the sender based on the QoE scores. The tagging engine **265** may compute the level of engagedness by averaging the QoE scores, applying a weight to more recent electronic activities, etc. The tagging engine may apply a time decay function to the QoE scores such that QoE scores for more recent electronic activity is favored in computing the level of engagedness. The tagging engine **265** may generate and apply a tag corresponding to the computed level of engagedness to the node profile **600** associated with the sender.

[1115] In some implementations, the system **200** may generate a report based on the QoE score for electronic activities. The system **200** may include a report generation engine. The report generation engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to generate and transmit a report corresponding to the sender. The report may correspond to a determined or predicted schedule for the sender. The report generation engine may identify a predetermined time window for generation of a report. The predetermined time window may be a day, a week, a month, etc. The report generation engine may generate a report for the predetermined window. The report generation engine may identify the electronic activity within the predetermined time window. The report generation engine may apply a time filter to the electronic activity stored in the data structure to remove those electronic activities outside the predetermined time window. The report generation engine may generate the report based on the QoE score for each of the electronic activities within the predetermined time window. The report generation engine may use the estimated time for generating the electronic activities for generation of the report. The report generation engine may use the estimated time for generating the electronic activity and the timestamp for the electronic activity for generating the report corresponding to the schedule of the sender. For instance, the report generation engine may use the timestamp of the electronic activity as the end of an entry on the report, and the estimated time for generating the report as the duration of the entry. Thus, the report generation engine may generate a report including entries which correspond to the estimated amount of time taken to generate various electronic activity.

[1116] In some implementations, the report generation engine may use other electronic activities (e.g., corresponding to calendar entries) for generating the report. The report generation engine may identify metadata, tags, etc. for other electronic activities (e.g., stored in the data structure or other locations accessible by the report generation engine) to identify those which correspond to a calendar or schedule for the user (such as meeting invitations, meeting notices, calendar entries, etc.). The report generation engine may incorporate such electronic activities into the report to accurately reflect the sender's schedule for the predetermined time window.

[1117] Referring now to FIG. **38**, illustrated is a flow diagram of a method **3800** of estimating time to perform electronic activities. The method **3800** can be implemented or performed using any of the components described above in conjunction with FIGS. **1-27** (e.g., the node graph generation system **200**) or the server system **2800** detailed below in conjunction with FIG. **28**. The method **3800** may include identifying an electronic activity (**3802**). The method **3800** may include determining a Quality of Electronic activity (QoE) score (**3804**). Determining the QoE score may include generating a language complexity score (**3808**). Determining the QoE score may include determining a character count or word count (**3810**). Determining the QoE score may include determining an estimated amount of time (**3812**). Determining the QoE score may include

generating the QoE score (3814). The method 3800 may include storing an association between the electronic activity and the QoE score (3816).

[1118] The method **3800** may include identifying an electronic activity (**3802**). In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify an electronic activity which identifies a sender and one or more recipient. The electronic activity may include a body having content. In some implementations, the electronic activity may be an email generated and sent by the sender to the recipient. Different electronic activity may have different quality. For instance, some electronic activity may be brief, contain typographical errors, be copied from other materials or sources, and so forth. Such electronic activity may have objectively lower quality than electronic activity which is original, developed, free of typographical errors, and so forth. The system **200** may ingest electronic activity from various sources, such as servers which host a domain associated with an electronic account for the sender and/or recipient(s). The system **200** may identify the electronic activity ingested from such sources that identifies a sender and recipient(s)

[1119] The method **3800** may include determining a Quality of Electronic activity (QoE) score (**3804**). In some embodiments, the system **200** may determine the QoE score using a quality estimation model. The QoE score may correspond to an estimated quality of the electronic activity. The quality estimation model may use various inputs for identifying the estimated quality of the electronic activity. For instance, the quality estimation model may use, as inputs, the length of the electronic activity (e.g., based on word or character count), a language complexity score, the presence of typographical errors, how much of the content is duplicated or copied from other electronic activities, whether the electronic activity is generated via a template, and so forth. [1120] The method **3800** may include determining the QoE score by parsing the content of the electronic activity (**3806**). The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the content of the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the electronic activity to identify various objective characteristics of the electronic activity. The system **200** may use the objective characteristics as inputs for the quality estimation model for computing the QoE score, as described in greater detail below.

[1121] The method **3800** may include determining the QoE score by generating a language complexity score (3808). The system 200 may use, maintain, or otherwise access a language complexity determination engine. The language complexity determination engine may compute the language complexity score for the electronic activity. The language complexity score may indicate a level of language complexity. The language complexity determination engine may parse the electronic activity to identify an average number of syllables per word, a number of words present in each sentence, etc. The language complexity determine engine may include a policy which uses the average number of syllables per word, word choices, grammar complexity, and/or number of words present in each sentence to generate the language complexity score. The language complexity score may be a score on any number of scales. For instance, the language complexity score may be on the Flesch Reading Ease scale, which calculates the readability of content on a scale ranging between 0-100 (with lower numbers indicating content is more difficult to comprehend). As another example, the language complexity score may be on the Flesch-Kincaid Grade scale, which indicates how many years of education needed to comprehend the content. The system **200** may use the language complexity score as an input for the quality estimation model in computing the QoE score.

[1122] The method **3800** may include determining the QoE score by determining a character count or word count (**3810**). The system **200** may include a word or character counting engine which count each character in the body (e.g., letters, numbers, punctuation, spaces, etc.). The word counting engine may group character(s) together which are separated by a space or spaces, and count each group as one word. The system **200** may count the words/characters within the electronic activity. The system **200** may use the word/character count as an input for the quality

estimation model in computing the QoE score.

[1123] The method **3800** may include determining the QoE score by determining an estimated amount of time (**3812**). The system **200** may determine the estimated amount of time using the language complexity score and the character/word count. In some embodiments, the time estimation engine may compute the estimated amount of time using a formula which uses the character/word count and the language complexity score for computing the estimated amount of time. The time estimation engine may retrieve the language complexity score from the language complexity determination engine and the word/character count from the word counting engine/character counting engine. The time estimation engine may apply the formula to the language complexity score and character/word count to compute the estimated time. In some embodiments, the time estimation engine may multiply the character/word count by a constant (e.g., a fixed number of seconds per word or character) and apply a multiplier based on the language complexity score. The multiplier may increase the value corresponding to the estimated time in proportion to the language complexity score.

[1124] In some embodiments, the system **200** may determine the estimated amount of time based on timestamps for the electronic activity. For instance, the electronic activity may be a response to a first electronic activity. The time estimation engine may determine a timestamp for each of the first electronic activity and the response (e.g., second electronic activity). The time estimation engine may calculate a difference between the timestamp for the second electronic activity and the timestamp for the first electronic activity. The time estimation engine may determine the estimated amount of time by computing the difference between the first and second timestamp associated with the first electronic activity and the response.

[1125] The time estimation engine may be configured to estimate the amount of time for generating an electronic activity based on other information from the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may include a model which uses various inputs for computing the estimated time. For instance, the model may use time of day, device, language, context, etc. as inputs for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. Various examples of such inputs are described in greater detail below.

[1126] The time estimation engine may use the time of day for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may identify the time of day in which the electronic activity was generated based on the timestamp for the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may use the time of day as an input to the model. The model may correlate various times of day with corresponding weights for computing the estimated time to generate the electronic activity. For instance, the weights for later in the day may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect a user's efficiency decreases over the course of a day. The time estimation engine may use the time of day as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model.

[1127] The time estimation engine may use the device upon which the electronic activity was generated for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The time estimation engine may identify the device upon which the electronic activity was generated based on the metadata for the electronic activity, a signature, etc. As one example, the electronic activity parser 210 may parse the metadata for the electronic activity to identify an Internet Protocol (IP) address for the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser 210 may identify the device upon which the electronic activity was generated based on the IP address for the device. As another example, the electronic activity parser 210 may parse the body of the electronic activity to identify the device upon which the electronic activity was generated. The body of the electronic activity may state, for instance, "SENT FROM MY MOBILE DEVICE," "SENT FROM MY TABLET," etc. The electronic activity parser 210 may parse the body of the electronic activity to identify phrases within the electronic activity indicative of the device upon which the electronic activity was generated. The time estimation engine may use a device type corresponding to the device as an

input to the model. The model may correlate various types of devices with corresponding weights for computing the estimated time to generate the electronic activity. For instance, the weight corresponding to a mobile device may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect that electronic activities typically take longer to generate on a mobile device. As another example, the weight corresponding to a tablet may cause the computed estimated time to decrease with respect to similar electronic activities generated on a mobile device to reflect that electronic activities may take less time to generate on a tablet than on a mobile device. The time estimation engine may use the device type as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model. [1128] The time estimation engine may use the language of the electronic activity for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may parse the electronic activity to identify a language of the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the language based on scripts used in the text (e.g., Latin, Cryllic, Greek, Arabic, etc.). The electronic activity parser **210** may identify the language based on the scripts and word choices/spellings, grammars, or other semantic information obtained from the content of the electronic activity. The node profile corresponding to the sender may include a field-value corresponding to native language. The time estimation engine may use the native language and identified language for the electronic activity as an input to the model for computing the estimated time. The model may apply a first weight to electronic activity generated in the sender's native language, and a second weight to electronic activity generated in in a language different from the sender's native language. The second weight may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect that electronic activities typically take longer to generate in a different language. The time estimation engine may use the identified language of the electronic activity as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model.

[1129] The time estimation engine may use the context of the electronic activity for computing the estimated time for generating the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser 210 may parse the electronic activity using natural language processing to determine a context of the electronic activity. The electronic activity parser 210 may determine whether the context is related to business activities or personal activities. The time estimation engine may use the context of the electronic activity as an input to the model. The model may correlate various types contexts with corresponding weights for computing the estimated time to generate the electronic activity. For instance, the weight corresponding to personal contexts (e.g., a meeting for after work drinks or dinner) may cause the computed estimated time to decrease to reflect that personal electronic activities typically take less time to generate. As another example, the weight corresponding to business contexts may cause the computed estimated time to increase to reflect that work-related electronic activities may take more time to generate. The time estimation engine may use the context (or tags corresponding to determined contexts) as an input for computing the estimated time in accordance with the model.

[1130] The method **3800** may include generating the QoE score (**3814**). The system **200** may generate the QoE score corresponding to the estimated quality based on the estimated amount of time. The system **200** may use the estimated amount of time as an input to the quality estimation model for computing the QoE score. The QoE score may reflect an objective quality of the electronic activity. The QoE score may increase as the objective characteristics of the electronic activity indicate that the electronic activity has a greater quality. Where the language complexity score and word/character count is increased, the objective quality of the electronic activity correspondingly increases. Other inputs to the quality estimation model may be used for computing the QoE score of the electronic activity.

[1131] In some embodiments, the quality estimation model may use an importance score for the recipient for generation of the QoE score. The system **200** may determine a node profile corresponding to the recipient. The system **200** may determine the node profile for the recipient by performing a look-up function on node profiles using the electronic account for the recipient

specified in the electronic activity. The system **200** may identify a job title value associated with a field-value pair for the node profile for the recipient. The system **200** may generate an importance score based on the job title of the recipient. The system **200** may maintain a hierarchy for the company or enterprise in which the recipient is employed. The system **200** may include or use a policy which correlates various job title values within the hierarchy with corresponding importance scores. The system **200** may use the policy for identifying the importance score for the job title value of the recipient. The quality estimation model may use the importance score as an input for computing the QoE score.

[1132] In some embodiments, the quality estimation model may use the importance score for the recipient and an importance score for the sender for generating the QoE score. Similar to generation of the importance score for the recipient described above, the system **200** may determine a node profile for the sender by performing a look-up function on node profiles using the electronic account for the sender specified in the electronic activity. The system **200** may identify a job title value associated with a field-value pair for the node profile for the sender. The system **200** may generate an importance score based on the job title for the sender by identifying the importance score correlated with the job title value within the hierarchy for the sender's company or enterprise. The system **200** may compare the importance score for the sender and the importance score of the recipient. The relative importance scores may be inputs to the quality estimation model for generation of the QoE score. For instance, where the sender has a higher importance score than the recipient, the relative importance score may negatively impact the QoE score, as the sender likely took less time generating the electronic activity. As another example, where the sender has a lower importance score than the recipient, the relative importance score may positively impact the QoE score, as the sender was likely more careful in generating the electronic activity. [1133] In some embodiments, the quality estimation model may use a presence of typographical errors in the content of the electronic activity as an input for generating the QoE score. The system **200** may include or maintain a spell-checking engine. The spell-checking engine may include or access a dictionary which is used to check spelling of various words within the content of the electronic activity. The spell-checking engine may determine whether any typographical errors are present in the content of the electronic activity. The quality estimation model may use the presence (and/or number) of typographical errors as an input for generation of the QoE score. The quality estimation model may use to the presence (and/or number) of typographical errors for proportionally changing the QoE score of the electronic activity. The Quality estimation model may apply a weight which negatively impacts the QoE score of an electronic activity as the number of typographical errors increase. In this regard, the QoE score decreases as the number of typographical errors increases to reflect a lower quality electronic activity based on the presence of typographical errors.

[1134] In some embodiments, the quality estimation model may use an amount or size of content of the electronic activity which is was previously transmitted or received by the electronic account of the sender of the electronic activity for computing the QoE score. As described in greater detail below, the system 200 may maintain a data structure including electronic activity (or activities) associated with the sender. The system 200 may determine that a portion of the content included in the electronic activity is included in a plurality of electronic activities previously transmitted or received by an electronic account of the sender of the electronic activity. The system 200 may compare the content of the electronic activity with the content from previous electronic activities. The system 200 may determine the size of the portion of the electronic activity which is included in the previous electronic activity. The quality estimation model may use the size of the portion as an input for generating the QoE score. For instance, where the size of the portion of the electronic activity that is included in a previous electronic activity increases, the QoE score may correspondingly decrease to reflect that the sender copied a larger portion of the content from a previous electronic activity.

[1135] In some embodiments, the quality estimation model may use the difference in content between the electronic activity and at least one other electronic activity for computing the QoE score. The system **200** may compare the electronic activity with at least one other electronic activity transmitted or received by the electronic account of the sender of the electronic activity to determine a difference in the content between the electronic activity and the at least one other electronic activity. The system **200** may determine a copying score based on the difference in content. The copying score may increase as the difference in content decreases (indicating few differences). The quality estimation model may use the copying score as an input for computing the QoE score. As such, the QoE score may be based on the difference in the content between the electronic activity and at least one other electronic activity.

[1136] In some embodiments, the quality estimation model may use a tag assigned to the electronic activity as an input for computing the QoE score. The system **200** may identify a plurality of other electronic activities transmitted by the sender within a predetermined time window of the electronic activity. The predetermined time window may be a number of minutes, hours, etc., which corresponds to sending a "blast" email. The system **200** may compare the electronic activity with the other electronic activities to determine a difference between the respective electronic activities. The system **200** may determine that the difference between the electronic activities satisfies a predetermined threshold indicating that the electronic activities are very similar. The system **200** may assign a tag to each of the electronic activities indicating that the electronic activities are blast emails. The system **200** may assign the tag responsive to determining that the difference in content satisfies the predetermined threshold. The quality estimation model may use the presence of the tag for generation of the QoE score such that, where the tag is present, the QoE score decreases. [1137] The method **3800** may include storing an association between the electronic activity and the QoE score (3816). In some embodiments, the system 200 may store the association between the electronic activity and the QoE score in a data structure, such as a database, a ledger, and so forth. The system **200** may store the association between the electronic activity and QoE score for a predetermined duration (e.g., a month, a year, a number of years, indefinitely, etc.). The system 200 may use the QoE score and electronic activity for performing various functions using the QoE scores.

[1138] In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify a plurality of QoE scores corresponding to the sender of the electronic activity. The data structure may include a correlation with the node profile for the sender. The system 200 may identify the QoE scores for the sender by crossreferencing the electronic account for the sender with the data structure to identify the QoE scores for the sender. The system **200** may generate and assign a tag to the node profile for the sender based on the QoE scores. The system **200** may generate the tag by computing an average of the QoE scores. The system **200** may apply a time decay function which decreases the QoE scores over time to favor the QoE scores for more recent electronic activities. The system 200 may assign the tag to the node profile associated with the sender based on the plurality of QoE scores. [1139] In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify a plurality of electronic activities transmitted by the sender within a predetermined time window (e.g., within the same day, within the same week, within the same month, etc.). The system **200** may generate a QoE score for each of the plurality of electronic activities within the predetermined time window. The system **200** may generate a report corresponding to a schedule of the sender based on the QoE score of each of the electronic activities within the predetermined time window. The system **200** may use the QoE scores for identifying entries in the schedule of the sender. For instance, the system **200** may identify the timestamp for the corresponding electronic activities, and the estimated amount of time for generating an entry. The system **200** may compile the report using the timestamp and estimated amount of time. In some embodiments, the system 200 may use other electronic activities for incorporating further entries into the report. The system **200** may identify electronic activities corresponding to calendar entries (e.g., meeting notices, meeting invitations, etc.) within the

predetermined time window. The system **200** may generate the report corresponding to the schedule of the sender based on the other electronic activities corresponding to calendar entries, the QoE score of the electronic activity and the QoE scores of electronic activities within the predetermined time window.

26. Generating Performance Profiles of Node Profiles Using Electronic Activities [1140] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for generating performance profiles of node profiles using electronic activities. As described herein, electronic activities can be related to various productivity or performance metrics of node profiles. For example, a node profile can correspond to an employee of a company. The performance of the employee in his or her role at the company can be related to electronic activities associated with the node profile of the employee. Electronic activities sent to or from the node profile can contain information that may be relevant for assessing the employee's performance. For example, information such as the identities of parties with which the node profile communicates, job titles of the parties with which the node profile communicates, the tone used by the node profile in electronic communications, complexity of the electronic activities sent by the node profile, time stamps of the electronic activities sent and received by the node profile, and other contextual information about the electronic activities sent and received by the node profile may be relevant to the performance level of the node profile. [1141] In some embodiments, a node profile may be linked or associated with a large volume of electronic communications. In addition, the ways in which a set of electronic activities relate to a performance profile of the node profile may not be readily apparent. For example, many aspects of a single electronic may be relevant to the performance of the node profile in different ways, and the interplay between various aspects may be complex. In addition, at least some relevant information contained within electronic activities that is relevant to node profile performance may be contextual or qualitative in nature, thereby making it challenging to extract in an automated fashion. This disclosure provides techniques for addressing these technical challenges.

[1142] By way of the technical solutions described herein, a system can maintain a plurality of node profiles for individuals that communicate with one another, and can store, retrieve, or otherwise access electronic activities sent and received by the node profiles. The system can process the electronic activities to extract features that may be relevant for assessing the performance of a node profile. Based on those features, the system can determine a performance profile of the node profile. In some embodiments, a performance profile can be any type or form of assessment of the performance of the node profile. For example, a performance profile can be a performance score (e.g., a numerical score), a scaled value, or a probability distribution across one or more predetermined performance levels. The system can determine the performance profile of a node profile using artificial intelligence techniques, such as applying the extracted features to one or more machine learning models. In some embodiments, the one or more models can be trained using features extracted from other node profiles whose performance levels are known. The systems and methods described in this disclosure can also compare the determined performance profile of a node profile to one or more benchmark performance profiles to identify a relative performance of the node profile.

[1143] For the purposes of describing systems and methods for generating performance profiles of node profiles, this disclosure refers in part to FIG. 2, which is described above, as well as FIGS. 39 and 40, which are described further below. Referring now more specifically to FIG. 39, depicted is a system 3900 for generating performance profiles of node profiles. The system 3900 includes a features extractor 3905 and a model 3910. Generally, the feature extractor 3905 can receive a set of electronic activities 39151-3915n (generally referred to as electronic activities 3915). The feature extractor 3905 can extract features from each of the electronic activities 3915 to generate a corresponding set of feature arrays 39201-3920n (generally referred to as feature arrays 3920). The model 3910 can be trained based on a set of training data 3925, and after the model 3910 has been trained, the feature arrays 3920 can be introduced into the model 3910 to generate a performance

profile 3930.

[1144] FIG. **32** depicts a flow chart of a method **4000** for generating performance profiles of node profiles. The operations of method **4000** presented below are intended to be illustrative only. In some embodiments, method **4000** may be accomplished with one or more additional operations not described, and/or without one or more of the operations shown and discussed. Additionally, the order in which the operations of method **4000** are illustrated in FIG. **40** and described below is not intended to be limiting, and may vary from that shown in FIG. 40 in some embodiments. In some embodiments, method 4000 may be implemented in one or more processing devices (e.g., a digital processor, an analog processor, a digital circuit designed to process information, an analog circuit designed to process information, a state machine, and/or other mechanisms for electronically processing information). The one or more processing devices may include one or more devices executing some or all of the operations of method 4000 in response to instructions stored electronically on an electronic storage medium. The one or more processing devices may include one or more devices configured through hardware, firmware, and/or software to be specifically designed for execution of one or more of the operations of method **4000**. For example, in some embodiments at least some of the acts of the method **4000** can be performed by or in connection with the node graph generation system 200 of FIG. 2 or the system 3900 of FIG. 39. FIGS. 2, 39, and **40** are therefore described together below.

[1145] Referring now to FIG. 40, the method 4000 can include accessing a plurality of first electronic activities linked to a first node profile (BLOCK 4002). In some embodiments, the first node profile can be one of a plurality of node profiles maintained by the system. The first electronic activities accessed can include electronic activities having an associated timestamp within a given time period. For example, the time period can be a time period for which the performance of the first node profile is to be assessed. In some embodiments, the first electronic activities can be transmitted to or from an electronic account provided by a data provider. The electronic account can each be associated with a respective entity represented by the first node profile, such as a person (e.g., an employee of a business) or a group of people (e.g., a group within a business). For example, the node graph generation system 200 can maintain a plurality of node profiles corresponding to the plurality of entities. Each node profile can include a plurality of fields. Each field of the plurality of fields includes one or more value data structures. Each value data structure of the one or more value data structures includes a value and one or more entries corresponding to respective one or more data points that include the value of the value data structure. [1146] As described above, the node profiles can be generated from electronic activities and the information included in such electronic activities. In some embodiments, the node profiles can be generated from one or more record objects of one or more systems of record accessible to the system via one or more data source providers. In some embodiments, the node profiles can include values that are determined from one or more systems of record accessible to the node graph generation system **200**. At least one of the node profiles can be the first node profile for which the performance profile is to be generated. In some embodiments, accessing the plurality of first electronic activities can be performed by the electronic activity ingestor **205**. For example, the electronic activity ingestor **205** can access the first electronic activities via one or more servers hosting or storing the electronic activities. The servers can store first electronic activities transmitted from or received by the account corresponding to the first node profile. For instance, the servers can be mail servers, phone log servers, calendar servers or any other entity that can store emails, calendar events, phone logs, or other electronic activities of accounts associated with an enterprise, such as a company that may employ a user associated with the first node profile. [1147] The method **4000** can include, for each first electronic activity of the plurality of first electronic activities, determining a type of the first electronic activity (BLOCK **4004**). In some embodiments, this can be performed by the electronic activity parser **210** of FIG. **2**. In some embodiments, the type of each of the first electronic activities can be determined based on a format

of the first electronic activities. For example, the electronic activity parser **210** can determine that a first electronic activity is formatted as an audio-based data file, such as a .mp3 file or a. way file. In response, the electronic activity parser **210** can determine that the first electronic activity type is a phone call. Similarly, the electronic activity parser **210** can determine other types, such as emails, text messages, instant messages, calendar appointments, etc., based on matching the format or file type of the first electronic activities to corresponding known types of electronic activities. [1148] For each electronic activity whose type is determined, the method **4000** can include selecting a feature extraction policy to generate a first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity (BLOCK 4006). In some embodiments, various feature extraction policies can be maintained by or accessed by the electronic activity parser 210 in order to determine how each first electronic activity should be processed to extract features from the first electronic activity. For example, an audio electronic activity may be parsed differently than an email electronic activity due to the different types of information contained therein. In some embodiments, the electronic activity parser **210** can match the type of each first electronic activity determined in BLOCK **4004** to a corresponding feature extraction policy to determine the feature extraction policy to be used for each first electronic activity.

[1149] The method can include generating the first feature array for the first electronic activity based on the type of the first electronic activity (BLOCK **4008**). In some embodiments, the feature array for each first electronic activity can be generated by the feature extractor **3905** shown in FIG. **39**. For example, the feature extractor **3905** can be configured to receive each of the first electronic activities **3915**, along with information corresponding to their respective types. For each of the first electronic activities **3915**, the feature extractor **3905** can apply the corresponding feature extraction policy determined in BLOCK **4006** to extract one or more features from the first electronic activity **3915**. Extracting features from each of the first electronic activities **3915** can include parsing the first electronic activity **3915** to identify and store one or more features that may include values corresponding to values of the field-value pairs of the first node profile. In some embodiments, the features can also include other information that may not correspond to field-value pairs of the first profile. For example, at least some of the features may include contextual information relating to the first electronic activity **3915** from which they were extracted, or information to be used to link the first electronic activity **3915** to one or more record objects included in one or more systems of record.

[1150] In some embodiments, the feature extractor **3905** can be a component of the electronic activity parser **210**, or can otherwise be configured to interface with the electronic activity parser **210** to parse the first electronic activities **3915** and extract features from them. For example, the electronic activity parser **210** can parse ingested electronic activities, such as, emails, calendar meetings, and phone calls. After the features are extracted from a given electronic activity **3915**, the feature extractor **3905** can be configured to generate the first feature array for that electronic activity **3915**. The feature array can be any collection of feature values that is associated with a given first electronic activity **3915**. For example, the feature array for an email can include the sending email address, the receiving email address, and data parsed from the email signature. Each feature value in the array can correspond to a feature or include a feature-value pair. For example, a feature corresponding to the text string "John Smith" can be stored in the feature array as "John Smith" or "name: John Smith" or "first name: Smith."

[1151] In some embodiments, the feature extractor **3905** can determine at least some of the features for each electronic activity **3915** based on the metadata or content of the electronic activity **3915**. For example, the sender's email address of an email can be parsed from a header value of the email. In some embodiments, some features for a first electronic activity **3915** may not be explicitly contained within the electronic activity **3915**, but may instead be derived by the feature extractor **3905** based on information contained within the electronic activity **3915**. The derived features can be determined or implied based on explicit features of the electronic activity **3915** or determined

from node profiles of the node graph described above. For example, a first electronic activity **3915** may not include a name of the company to which the sender belongs. In such a case, the feature extractor **3905** can extract the name of the company to which the sender belongs from a node profile of the sender, which can include the name of the company. The name of the company can be retrieved from the node profile of the sender and saved as a value in the feature array for the corresponding electronic activity **3915** once retrieved from the node profile associated with the sender.

[1152] The features included in the feature array for each first electronic activity **3915** can include features associated with the generator (or sender) of the electronic activity and features associated with the recipient (or receiver) of the electronic activity **3915**. For example, sender's email address and the recipient's email address can both be used as features of the electronic activity **3915**. The features for an electronic activity **3915** can include, but are not limited to, a contact role, contact name, sender email address, recipient email address, domain, list of recipient email addresses, features extracted from email signatures, and timestamps, among others.

[1153] In some implementations, the features for an electronic activity **3915** can also include an estimated time or an estimated effort. Such features can be extracted or generated by the feature extractor **3905**. For example, the feature extractor **3905** may implement or may include a time estimation engine. As described above in connection with FIGS. **28** and **29**, a time estimation engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to determine an estimated amount of time to generate the electronic activity **3915**. In some implementations, the time estimation engine may be embodied on the electronic activity parser **210** of FIG. **2**. The time estimation engine may be configured to store, access, or otherwise use a formula for computing the estimated amount of time to generate the electronic activity **3915**.

[1154] In some implementations, the features for an electronic activity **3915** can also include a Quality of Electronic activity (QoE) score. Such a feature can be extracted or generated by the feature extractor **3905**. For example, the feature extractor **3905** may implement or may include a QoE scoring engine. As described above in connection with FIGS. 28 and 29, a QoE scoring engine may be any script, file, program, application, set of instructions, or computer-executable code that is configured to determine, calculate, compute, or otherwise generate a QoE score which corresponds to an estimated quality of the electronic activity **3915**. The QoE scoring engine may be embodied on the electronic activity parser 210 of FIG. 2, for example. The QoE scoring engine may be configured to generate the QoE score in accordance with a quality estimation model. The quality estimation model may use various inputs for generating a QoE score. The QoE scoring engine may be configured to store, access, or otherwise use quality estimation model for computing the QoE score. The quality estimation model may use various inputs corresponding to objective information extracted from the electronic activity 3915 for computing the QoE score. The QoE scoring engine may apply weights to such inputs to compute the QoE score. For instance, the QoE scoring engine may apply a weight to the language complexity score to increase the QoE score as the language complexity score indicates in increase in complexity (or decrease in readability). As another example, the QoE scoring engine may apply a weight to the word or character count to increase the QoE score as the word/character count increases.

[1155] In some embodiments, for at least one electronic activity **3915** of the first plurality of electronic activities **3915**, the method **4000** can include using a language complexity determination engine to determine a language complexity score indicating a level of language complexity of the electronic activity **3915**. For example, functionality corresponding to the natural language complexity engine can be implemented by or included within the feature extractor **3905**. In some embodiments, the feature extractor **3905** can also determine a character count or word count of the electronic activity electronic activity **3915** and can determine an estimated amount of time to generate the electronic activity **3915** based on using the language complexity score and the

character count or the word count. For example, a language complexity score indicating a higher level of complexity, as well as a higher word count or character count, may result in the feature extractor **3905** determining a relatively larger amount of time to generate the electronic activity **3915**. The feature extractor **3905** can then generate the first feature array for the at least one electronic activity to include the estimated amount of time to generate the electronic activity **3915**. [1156] In some embodiments, for at least one electronic activity **3915**, the method **4000** can include generating the first feature array by extracting one or more activity field-values from the electronic activity **3915** to match the electronic activity **3915** to another node profile of at least one participant of the electronic activity **3915**. For example, the other node profile can correspond to a sender of the electronic activity **3915** (e.g., if the recipient is the first node profile whose performance is being assessed) or a recipient of the electronic activity **3915** (e.g., if the sender is the first node profile whose performance is being assessed). The feature extractor **3905** can determine from the other node profile an importance metric of the other participant of the electronic activity **3915**. For example, the feature extractor **3905** may determine the importance metric by referencing an importance determination policy, which may be based on a name of the participant, a company of the participant, or a job title of the participant (e.g., CxO).

[1157] In some embodiments, for at least one electronic activity **3915**, the method **4000** can include generating the first feature array by comparing the electronic activity **3915** with at least a second electronic activity **3915** to determine a difference in the content between the two. For example, the feature extractor **3905** can match corresponding portions of the first electronic activity **3915** and the second electronic activity **3915** to determine how similar or different they are to one another. In some embodiments, the feature extractor **3905** can also determine that the difference in content is below a threshold. For example, the threshold may indicate that a significant portion (e.g., 50%, 60%, 670%, 80%, 90%, or more) of the content across the two electronic activities **3915** is identical or similar. This may indicate that the node profile sent the same or similar email to multiple recipients, and therefore did not expend substantial effort to generate both of the electronic activities **3915**, as much of the content across them was simply copied. The feature extractor **3905** can therefore identify an electronic activity **3915** as a "blast" electronic activity **3915** sent to multiple recipients, and may store an indication of the "blast" status of the electronic activity **3915** as one of the features of the electronic activity **3915**.

[1158] In some embodiments, for at least one electronic activity **3915**, the method **4000** can include identifying a recipient of the electronic activity **3915**. For example, as described above the feature extractor **3905** can determine the recipient based on information included in a header of the electronic activity **3915**. In some embodiments, the feature extractor **3905** can also determine that none of the other electronic activities **3915** were sent from the identified recipient. Thus, the feature extractor **3905** can infer that electronic activity **3915** is a "cold" electronic activity **3915**. Stated differently, the feature extractor **3905** can determine that the node profile sending the electronic activity **3915** does not have a prior relationship with the recipient based on the lack of electronic activities **3915** originating at the recipient. In some embodiments, the feature extractor **3905** may store an indication of the "cold" status of the electronic activity **3915** as one of the features of the electronic activity **3915**.

[1159] After the feature extractor **3905** has extracted all of the features from a given electronic activity **3915**, the feature extractor **3905** can generate the feature array for the electronic activity **3915**. In FIG. **39**, the feature arrays are labeled **3920**. Each feature array **3920** corresponds to a respective one of the electronic activities **3915**. It should be understood that, while the term "feature array" is used in this disclosure, data structures other than arrays may also be used to store the features for each electronic activity **3915**. For example, the feature extractor **3905** can be configured to generate a collection, a linked list, a vector, a tensor, or any other data structure to store the features extracted from each electronic activity **3915**.

[1160] The method **4000** can include generating a first performance profile of the first node profile

for the time period based on the feature arrays **3920** (BLOCK **4010**). In some embodiments, the performance profile for the node profile may be generated by providing the first feature array **3920** for each first electronic activity **3915** to one or more models, such as the model **3910** shown in FIG. **39**. In some embodiments, the model **3910** can be a machine learning model or other artificial intelligence model configured to process the feature arrays **3920** to determine the performance profile for the first node profile, which is labeled in FIG. **39** as the performance profile **3930**. To allow the model **3910** to accurately determine the performance of the first node profile based on the feature arrays **3920** of the electronic activities **3915** linked to the first node profile, the model **3910** can be trained using a selected set of training data **3925**.

[1161] In some embodiments, the training data **3925** can be or can include one or more second feature arrays corresponding to second electronic activities of second node profiles. For example, each of the second node profiles can have a respective second performance profile that is known when the training data **3925** is introduced into the model **3910** to train the model **3910**. In some embodiments, the method **4000** can also include selecting at least one of the second node profiles to be used for training the model **3910** based on a field-value pair of the second node profile matching a corresponding field-value pair of the first node profile. For example, a field-value pair representing a job title of the first node profile can be determined, and a second node profile can be identified as having the same job title. In this example, it may be beneficial to train the model **3910** based on other employees who work in similar roles or capacities as the first node profile in order to accurately assess the performance of the first node profile. Thus, the second node profile whose job title corresponds to that of the first node profile may be a good candidate for providing training data to the model **3910**. Other node profiles whose job title does not match may not be good candidates for providing training data to the model 3910. In some embodiments, the training data can correspond to employees working in the same industry as the individual whose performance profile is to be determined.

[1162] In some embodiments, the model **3910** can be an artificial neural network including a plurality of nodes arranged into a plurality of sequential layers. The layers can include an input layer, one or more "hidden" layers, and an output layer. In some embodiments, each set of feature arrays for each node profile of the training data **3925** can be introduced into the input layer of the model **3910**. along with the known performance profile information for each of those node profiles. The training data **3925** can be propagated through the layers of the model **3910**. The nodes of each layer can process the received input according to a function to produce an output, which can be provided to the nodes of the next sequential layer of the model **3910**. In some embodiments, the functions implemented by each node can be trained to minimize or reduce a loss function based on the known performance profiles of the nodes from which the training data **3925** was gathered. As a result, the training process can allow the model **3910** to "learn" patterns in the set of training data **3925** that are most relevant to the performance of a node profile. It should be understood that the artificial neural network described here is only one example of a model that could be used to implement the model **3910**. In other embodiments, the model **3910** may be or may include different types of models capable of being trained based on the training data **3925**.

[1163] In some embodiments, the training data can include representations of electronic activities corresponding to second node profiles. The representations of the electronic activities can be generated from the feature extractor **3905** and can generate features based on the participants of the electronic activities, effort estimation of the electronic activities, word counts, language complexity, context, timestamps, among others.

[1164] After the model **3910** has been trained, the feature arrays **3920** can be introduced into the model **3910** (e.g., at an input layer of the model **3910**) in a manner similar to that in which the training data **3925** was introduced for training purposes. The output of the model **3910** can be the performance profile **3930** for the first node profile from which the electronic activities **3915** were gathered. In some embodiments, the performance profile **3930** can be a numerical value or score,

such as an integer between zero and 100, or a decimal value between zero and one. In some embodiments, the performance profile **3930** can instead be a classification of the performance of the node profile into two or more performance classes. For example, for each possible performance class, the model **3910** can be configured to determine a probability that the performance of the first node profile corresponds to each performance class, based on the feature arrays **3920**. The model **3910** can then generate the performance profile **3930** based on the performance class having the highest probability. In one example, there may be three performance classes (e.g., "low performance," "medium performance," and "high performance"). However, in other embodiments, any number of performance classes may be used.

[1165] In some embodiments, certain electronic activities **3915** may not be particularly relevant to the determination of the performance profile **3930** for the first node profile. For example, if the performance profile **3930** represents the performance of the first node profile as an employee of a business, electronic activities **3915** that correspond to personal emails, phone calls, etc. may not be relevant to generating the performance profile **3930**. Thus, in some embodiments, at least some of the electronic activities **3915** can be discarded from the set before the performance profile **3930** is generated, so that they do not impact the generation of the performance profile **3930**. For example, in some embodiments, the system **3900** can identifying a third node profile corresponding to a recipient of at least one of the electronic activities **3915**. A connection type of a connection between the first node profile and the third node profile can be determined. In some embodiments, the electronic activity **3915** can be discarded prior to generating the first performance profile, based on the connection type of the connection between the first node profile and the third node profile. For example, if the connection type indicates that the connection is personal (e.g., the first node profile and the third node profile represent people who are family members or close friends, rather than business colleagues), the electronic activity **3915** may be discarded.

[1166] In some embodiments, the performance profile **3930** may be adjusted based on characteristics of the time period for which the performance of the first node profile is to be assessed. For example, the time period may correspond to a time period during which the performance of the node profile is expected to be lower than usual for a variety of reasons, such as the time period corresponding to a vacation period or ramp-up period for the first node profile. Thus, in some embodiments the method **4000** can include determining a vacation period for the first node profile. For example, the system **3900** can determine the vacation period based on the electronic activity **3915** using a vacation determination policy. In some embodiments, the electronic activities **3915** may include a vacation autoresponder messages indicating dates corresponding to a vacation of the first node profile. In other embodiments, the electronic activities **3915** may include one or more calendar entries indicating a vacation period of the first node profile. The system **3900** can also be configured to determine that the time period for which the first node profile's performance is of interest overlaps at least partially with the determined vacation period. The system **3900** can then adjust the performance profile **3930** of the first node profile responsive to determining that the time period overlaps with at least the portion of the vacation period. For example, the magnitude of the adjustment may be based on the degree of overlap of the time period and the vacation period. Thus, a small overlap could result in a small adjustment, while a larger overlap could result in a larger adjustment.

[1167] In some embodiments, the system **3900** can determine a ramp-up period of the first node profile whose performance is to be assessed. A ramp-up period can be any time period during which the first node profile is transitioning into a new role (e.g., either at a previous employer or a new employer) and may therefore not be expected to achieve a performance level equal to that of a more experienced employee. In some embodiments, the system **3900** can identify a ramp-up period responsive to a time at which an activity-field value pair of the first node profile changes. For example, a ramp-up period can begin when a job title value changes, or when a company name changes, within the first node profile. The system **3900** may determine that the ramp-up period

overlaps at least partially with the determined ramp-up period. The system **3900** can then adjust the performance profile **3930** of the first node profile responsive to determining that the time period overlaps with at least the portion of the ramp-up period. For example, the magnitude of the adjustment may be based on the degree of overlap of the time period and the ramp-up period. Thus, a small overlap could result in a small adjustment, while a larger overlap could result in a larger adjustment.

[1168] The method **4000** can also include storing an association between the first node profile and the first performance profile **3930** (BLOCK **4012**). The performance profile **3930** can be stored within the first node profile. For example, the performance profile **3930** can be added as a value to a field-value pair corresponding to performance of the first node profile. In some embodiments, the association between the first node profile and the performance profile **3930** can be stored outside of the first node profile. For example, the performance profile **3930** can be stored along with a pointer that identifies that first node profile.

27. Determining the Engagement Profile of a Participant from Electronic Activities [1169] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for generating engagement profiles for one or more entities based on electronic activities. The present disclosure relates to systems and methods of generating an engagement profile of a participant that can be associated with one or more record objects. For example, the system can identify for each of a plurality of record objects, one or more participants that are associated with the record objects. The system can automatically determine the participants' engagement with the different engagement scores. The engagement scores can be based on the volume of electronic activities matched to a respective record object for the participant. The system can determine the effort involved in the generation of the electronic activities and determine the engagement score based on the effort and timing of the engagement profiles. In some embodiments, the data processing system may identify a record object and may access electronic activities linked to the record object. The system can select an engagement profile generation policy to generate an engagement profile for the participant. The system may generate the engagement profile based on a first number of electronic activities sent by the participant, a second number of electronic activities received by the participant. By determining the respective engagement scores of certain participants, the system can predict a likelihood of a process associated with the opportunity record object completing based on the respective engagement scores of certain participants relative to expected engagement scores of such participants. [1170] FIG. **41** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow **4100** for constructing an engagement profile **4170** of a participant. The data processing system **9300** may identify the record object **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may identify all the participants associated with a record object **4102**. For each participant, the data processing system **9300** may identify all sent and received electronic activities **2204**. The data system **9300** may generate a first set **4120(1)** of electronic activities 2204 and a second set 4120(2) of electronic activities 2204 for each of the participants associated with the record object **4102**. For each set of electronic activities **2204**, the data processing system **9300** may generate a temporal distribution **4130**. The data processing system **9300** can generate a temporal distribution **4130** for the first set **4120(1)** and a temporal distribution **4130** for the second set **4120**(2). The data processing system **9300** may analyze the temporal distributions **4130** based on the volume of electronic activity **2204** over time. [1171] The data processing system **9300** can use an engagement profile generation policy **4150** to generate an engagement profile **4170** using the temporal distributions **4130**. The engagement profile generation policy 4150 may contain a set of rules identifying the characteristics for engagement profile **4170** generation. The data processing system **9300** can include a plurality of engagement profile generation policies **4150**. The data processing system **9300** can select an engagement profile generation policy **4150** based on the role of the participant. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may utilize other features of the participant such as job title or length of time on the job.

[1172] The data processing system **9300** can generate, for each participant, an engagement profile **4170** based on the selected engagement profile generation policy **4150**. The engagement profile **4170** may contain an engagement score associated with a particular record object **4102** measuring the participant's engagement with a record object **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may store the engagement profile **4170** in a data structure. For example, the data processing system **9300** can generate a different engagement profile **4170** for each record object **4102** with which the participant is associated and the data processing system **9300** may further generate a production profile **4172** that includes each of the engagement profiles (e.g., engagement profiles **4170(1)-4170(N))**.

[1173] Referring more specifically to FIG. 41, the data processing system 9300 may identify a record object 4102. The record object 4102 can be from a system of record. The record object 4102 can include one or more fields 4104(1)-4104(N) and one or more values 4106(1)-4106(N) corresponding to the fields 4104(1)-4104(N). Each record object 4102 can be linked, matched, or associated with one or more electronic activities 2204(1)-2204(N). The process for matching the electronic activities 2204 with the record object 4104 is described above. Each electronic activity 2204 can include one more participants. The participants can be senders 2212 or recipients 2208. The electronic activity 2204 may include a sender field from which the sender's information (e.g., name, email address, or other identifier) can be parsed. The electronic activity 2204 may include a recipient field 2208 from which the recipients' information can be parsed. The electronic activity 2204 can include a body 2216. The body 2216 can include content such as text and a signature block. The electronic activity 2204 can include metadata.

[1174] The record object **4102** may be a data structure such as a class, list, array, database or other structure. The record object **4102** may have a set of field value pairs associated with an opportunity. The record object **4102** may be associated with an event. The event can be an opportunity, a process, or other flow that can be divided into a plurality of stages. Each stage of the plurality of stages may be defined temporally or based on characteristics of the activities performed during the stage. The record object **4102** may be linked to a set of electronic activities **2204**.

[1175] The electronic activities 2204 linked with the record object 4102 may be in the form of email, calendar, IM, or other content. Electronic activities 2204 may be identified as emails. The electronic activities 2204 may be identified as an email based on the structure of the correspondence, or the channel through which the electronic activity 2204 reached the data processing system 9300. The emails may each be identified as an email that is sent or received. The electronic activities 2204 may be calendar events based on the structure of the correspondence or the data processing system 9300 that generated the electronic activity 2204. The electronic activities 2204 may also be identified as messages, documents, spreadsheets, or other forms of electronic activities 2204 generated relating to an event in the record object 4102.

[1176] The data processing system 9300 may parse the record object 4102 or electronic activities

2204 associated with the record object 4102 to identify participants associated with the record object 4102. Electronic activities 2204 may be parsed to determine if the electronic activity 2204 originated at or was associated with the identified participant. One example of electronic activity 2204 may be electronic activity 2204 sent by the participant. A second example of electronic activity 2204 may be electronic activity 2204 received by the participant. The data processing system 9300 may parse electronic activity 2204 to determine that the electronic activity 2204 was sent by the sending participant. The data processing system 9300 may ingest the electronic activity 2204 and parse the electronic activity 2204 to determine the identity of the receiving participants of the electronic activity 2204. The engagement profile 4170 of the electronic activity 2204 may be updated based on the analysis of the electronic activity 2204. The electronic activity 2204 may be further parsed to determine the identity and role of the sending participant or the receiving participant. The information gathered from the electronic activity 2204 of the participant may also be used to update the participant profile. Information that may be updated includes participant

contact information, job role, company position, company, and title.

[1177] The data processing system **9300** can identify each participant included in one or more of the electronic activities **2204**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can parse through the senders and recipients of each of the electronic activities and generate an array that contains a list of unique participants (associated with the electronic activities. For example, as illustrated in FIG. **41**, the array of participants can include {"John Smith", "Jane Doe", "Sam Lee"}. The array an also include a listing of the unique participants from the electronic activities 2204(2)-2204(N). In some implementations, the participants can be identified by an email or other unique identifier. [1178] For each participant in the array, the data processing system **9300** can generate a first set **4120**(1) of electronic activities and a second set **4120**(2) of electronic activities. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can generate additional sets of electronic activities for each of the participants. In some implementations, the first set **4120**(1) of electronic activities can be, for example, electronic activities sent by the respective participant and the second set **4120**(2) of electronic activities can be, for example, electronic activities received by the respective participant. The sets of electronic activities can be arrays, lists, or data structures that can include identifiers to the electronic activities that were sent by each respective participant (e.g., for the first set **4120(1)**) and received by each respective participant (e.g., for the second set **4120(2)**). For example, for a plurality of electronic activities that identify 20 unique participants, the data processing system **9300** can generate 40 sets (e.g., a first set **4120**(1) and a second set **4120**(2) for each of the 20 participants). A given electronic activity **2204** can be in multiple sets. For example, an electronic activity sent from participant A to participant B can be in the first set **4120(1)** for participant A and in the second set **4120**(2) for participant B.

[1179] The data processing system **9300** may analyze the electronic activity **2204** to generate activity temporal distributions **4130**. The data processing system **9300** can generate activity temporal distributions **4130** for each of the sets **4120** of electronic activities. For example, the data processing system **9300** can generate a first activity temporal distribution **4130**(1) for the first set **4120**(1) and a second activity temporal distribution **4130**(2) for the second set **4120**(2). To generate the temporal distribution **4130** for a given set **4120**, the data processing system **9300** can analyze the electronic activities **2204** within the set **4120**. For example, the data processing system **9300** may create time buckets or time windows (e.g., 1 hr, 6 hr, 1 day, etc time windows) and count the number of electronic activities 2204 that belong to each time bucket based on the timestamp indicating when the participant sent the electronic activities (e.g., for set 4120(1)) or when the participant received the electronic activities (e.g., for set 4120(2)). In some implementations, the time windows or buckets can be time-based windows (e.g., 1 hr, 6 hr, 1 day, etc time windows) or event-based windows (e.g., each window can correspond to a different stage). The temporal distributions 4130 can be histograms indicating the number of electronic activities 2204 within each time bucket. The temporal distributions **4130** can indicate the cumulative number of electronic activities **2204**, within a set **4120**, at any given point in time.

[1180] The data processing system 9300 may generate temporal distributions 4130 that indicate the volume of electronic activities 2204 over time within each of the sets 4120. The data processing system 9300 may generate temporal distributions 4130 on the basis of the volume of electronic activity 2204 at each stage of the record object 4102. The data processing system 9300 may define the stage by a time frame or by a set of actions. The data processing system 9300 may create stage buckets or windows and count the number of electronic activities 2204 that belong to each bucket. For example, the data processing system 9300 may generate the temporal analysis of the electronic activities 2204 based on a volume occurring during each of the stages indicating a different proximity to a completion of an event. The data processing system 9300 may determine the volume by the number of electronic activities 2204 received over time as shown in 4130(2). The data processing system 9300 may determine the volume by the number of total exchanges completed including an electronic activity 2204 sent and an electronic activity 2204 received. The data

processing system **9300** may determine the volume may be determined by the total number of electronic activities **2204** sent or received.

[1181] The data processing system **9300** can include a plurality of different engagement profile generation policies **4150**. The data processing system **9300** can select an engagement profile generation policy **4150** to generate the engagement profiles **4170**. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may contain a set of rules or policies for generating an engagement profile **4170**. Selecting an engagement profile generation policy **4150** may include selecting the engagement profile generation policy **4150** based on the role, title, department, or other characteristic of the participant. The data processing system **9300** can select the engagement profile generation policy **4150** based on one or more characteristics of the record object **4120**. For example, the data processing system **9300** can select the engagement profile generation policy **4150** based on the current stage or account of the record object **4102**.

[1182] For example, the data processing system **9300** may determine the role of the participant from one or more field-value pairs of the node profile **662** associated with the participant. For example, the data processing system 9300 may determine the role of the participant from a fieldvalue pair that includes the word "engineer." The data processing system **9300** may determine the role of the participant based on a field in the record object **4102**. For example, the record object **4102** can include one or more fields that indicate roles (e.g., OCR) of the opportunity associated with the record object **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may determine that the value of one of the one or more fields indicating roles in the record object 4102 includes an identifier of the participant. The data processing system **9300** may determine the role of the participant from a field value in the participant's node profile **662**. For examples, the participant's node profile **662** may have a field labeled "role" with a value labeled "VP engineering." The data processing system 9300 may determine the role of the participant based on the title of the participant. For example, the participant may have the title of "Senior Engineer." The system may determine the role of the participant from one or more of the electronic activities **2204** associated with the participant. For example, the data processing system **9300** can parse the signature within the body of an electronic activity transmitted by the participant to identify the participants' title from the signature block of the electronic activity.

[1183] The data processing system **9300** may select and engagement profile generation policy **4150** based on other features or characteristics of the participant such as, but not limited to, job title, length of time on the job, and geographic location, among others. For example, the data processing system **9300** may select a different engagement profile generation policy **4150** for a level **1** engineer and a level **5** engineer or a manager and a director. In addition, the data processing system 9300 may select a different engagement profile generation policy 4150 for each seniority and department pair. For instance, vice president of sales corresponds to a pair of vice president (seniority) and sales (department) or director of marketing corresponds to a pair of director (seniority) and marketing (department). For example, the data processing system **9300** may select a different engagement profile generation policy **4150** for an employee who has been at the corporation less than one year and compared to another employee, with the same job, that has been with the corporation for 5 years. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may take into account the stage of the record object **4102**, as defined by the stage value. For example, the engagement profile generation policy **4150** may weigh factors differently based on historical differences in behaviors between stage **1** of an event and stage **4** of an event. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may take into account features of the event or record object **4102**. For example, the data processing system **9300** may select a different engagement profile generation policy **4150** if the event is a sales event or an engineering event based on historical data. The data processing system **9300** may also utilize other observed data clusters to define event characteristics leading to different behavior and warranting selection of a different engagement profile generation policy **4150**. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may be used to generate the

engagement profile **4170** of the participant.

[1184] The data processing system **9300** can generate an engagement profile **4170** using the engagement profile generation policy **4150**. The data processing system **9300** can generate the engagement profile **4170** based on the engagement profile generation policy **4150** and the temporal distributions **4130**. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can generate a production profile **4172**, which can include a plurality of different production profiles **4170** that can each correspond to a different record object **4102**. For example, the production profile **4172** for a participant can include production profiles **4170**(1)-**4170**(N) that correspond to record objects **4102**(1)-**4102**(N). The engagement profile **4170** may contain a respective engagement score for one or more record objects **4102** with which the participant is associated. The data processing system **9300** may determine the engagement score based on the sets **4120** and the temporal distributions **4130** for a given participant.

[1185] The data processing system **9300** may determine the engagement score, for a participant, by applying the engagement profile generation policy 4150 to the set of electronic activities 2204 associated with the record object 4102 (from the sets 4120) for the participant. For example, the engagement score of the engagement profile **4170** can be based on a weighted sum of the distributions **4130**. The weighting of the different distributions **4130** (or electronic activities that contribute to the distribution) can be based on the time (average or specific) the participant spent preparing the electronic activity (e.g., the amount of time taken for the participant to daft an email, other participants associated with the electronic activity (e.g., do other participants of the electronic activity have relatively higher titles or roles), or a combination thereof. In some implementations, as illustrated in FIG. 41, the engagement profile 4170 can be a data structure and the engagement score can include a plurality of different values stored in the engagement profile **4170**. For example, the engagement profile 4170 can include a record object identifier field, which can store (or be paired with) a value of a record object **4102** for which the engagement profile **4170** corresponds. The engagement profile **4170** can include a time field, which can store (or be paired with) a value of that corresponds to an effort estimation or time spent on the opportunity associated with the record object **4102**. For example, as illustrated in FIG. **41**, the person "John Smith," for the record object identified by record object identifier 323 has spent 5.3 hours working on the opportunity associated with the record object. The engagement profile **4170** can include a count field, which can store (or be paired with) a value or array that can include a count of the number of electronic activities matched with the record object **4102** that include the participant as a participant. The value stored in the count of the electronic activities can be a total count, a count of sent electronic activities and a count of received electronic activities, a count of each type of electronic activities, or any combination thereof. For example, as illustrated in FIG. 41, the person "John Smith," for the record object identified by record object identifier **323** was a participant of 3 electronic activities of a first type, 8 electronic activities of a second type, and 2 electronic activities of a third type.

[1186] A system **9300** may generate a production profile for the participant based on the analysis of a set of engagement profiles **4170** of the participant. The data processing system **9300** may generate a plurality of engagement profiles **4170** for a participant based on the participant's engagement with a plurality of record objects **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may link the production profile to the participant's node profile **662**. The production profile may be a measure of the participant's productivity across multiple record objects **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may utilize the participant's production profile to determine training opportunities or assist in the assignment of further data objects to the participant. The data processing system **9300** may analyze a set of production profiles and node profiles **662** by supervised or unsupervised machine learning to determine features leading to high productivity and low productivity production profiles. Statistically significant variables may be used to improve participant productivity. The system may classify production profiles based on department. The system may analyze the production profiles

of all members of a department to determine a production profile for a department.

[1187] FIG. 42 illustrates a block diagram of an example method 4200 for constructing an engagement profile 4170 of a participant. At step 4202, the data processing system 9300 may access a first record object 4102. The data processing system 9300 may access the first record object 4102 from a system of record of a data source provider. The data processing system 9300 may determine an event associated with the first record object 4102. The data processing system 9300 may determine what defines completion of the event. In some implementations, the data processing system 9300 can process through each of the record objects of the system of record at

processing system **9300** can process a record object to generate engagement scores for one or more participants associated with the record object when the record object is updated. [1188] In some implementations, the first record object may be of a first record object type. For example, the record object can have a type of an account record object, lead record object, contact record object, or opportunity record object. The first record object type can include an object field-value pair storing a stage value indicating a proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object. In some implementations, the first record object may include fields that include links to or indications of contact record objects. The entities (e.g., people or companies) that are associated with the contact record objects can be participants associated with the record

predetermined intervals (e.g., nightly, weekly, monthly, etc). In some implementations, the data

[1189] In some implementations, the first record object **4102** may include stages for the event associated with the record object. The stage value may indicate the proximity to a completion of an event associated with the record object. For instance, stage one may indicate the beginning stage of an event. The stage value may be a range of numeric values indicating the proximity to completion. The stage value may be of a range particular to the record object **4102**. For instance, some record objects **4102** may track an event with more granularity, for instance a ten stage event, while other record objects **4102** track an event over five stages. The data processing system **9300** may normalize the stage value to a range correlating to a set of stage value data used for comparative analysis. For instance, if a ten stage event is compared to a five stage event, it may be normalized to a five stage event by grouping two stages together. The stage value may be normalized to a comparative stage value for further analysis. The normalized stage value may be linked to the first record object **4102**.

[1190] At step **4204**, the data processing system **9300** may access a plurality of electronic activities. The data processing system **9300** can access a plurality of electronic activities that are linked or matched with the record object. The electronic activities **2204** may be, for example, emails, instant messenger messages, calendar items, documents, file uploads, or any other form of electronic activity **2204**. Each of the electronic activities **2204** can include one or more participants. [1191] The data processing system **9300** may parse the record object **4102** or electronic activities **2204** associated with the record object **4102** to identify participants associated with the electronic activity **2204**. In some implementations, the first record object **4102** may be linked to node profiles **662** of participants, which can include data structures. The node profiles **662** of the participants may include the name, position, and other characteristics of the participants. In some instances, the first record object **4102** may be associated with a plurality of electronic activities **2204** that relate to the first record object **4102**. For example, the electronic activities **2204** can be matched to the record object **4102** as described above in relation to FIGS. **21-25**.

[1192] The data processing system **9300** can identify electronic activities **2204** including a participant. The electronic activities **2204** may be parsed to determine if the electronic activity **2204** originated at or was associated with the identified participant. For example, the data processing system **9300** can analyze the electronic activity to determine if the electronic activity was transmitted or received by the participants. One example of electronic activity **2204** may be electronic activity **2204** sent by the participant. A second example of electronic activity **2204** may

be electronic activity 2204 received by the participant. The data processing system 9300 may parse the electronic activity 2204 to determine that the electronic activity 2204 was sent by the sending participant. The data processing system 9300 may ingest the electronic activity 2204 and parse the electronic activity 2204 to determine the identity of the receiving participants of the electronic activity 2204. The data processing system 9300 may update the engagement profile 4170 of the electronic activity 2204 senders and receivers based on the analysis of the electronic activity 2204. The data processing system 9300 may further parse the electronic activity 2204 to determine the identity and role of the sending participant or the receiving participant. The data processing system 9300 may use the information gathered from the electronic activity 2204 of the participant to update the participant profile. Information that may be updated includes participant contact information, job role, company position, company, and title (including seniority and/or department).

[1193] At step **4206**, the data processing system **9300** can determine an engagement profile. The data processing system **9300** can determine the engagement profile for one of the participants of the electronic activities matched to the record object. The data processing system **9300** may parse the electronic activities **2204** to generate a plurality of sets. The data processing system **9300** can parse the electronic activities to generate a first set of electronic activities that include or identify electronic activities that were sent by the participant. The data processing system **9300** can parse the electronic activities to generate a second set of electronic activities that include or identify electronic activities that included the participant as a recipient.

[1194] The data processing system **9300** may base the engagement profile generation policy **4150** on the one or more field-value pairs of the node profile **662**. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may be used to generate the engagement profile **4170** of the participant. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may utilize the stage the event is at, as defined by the stage value. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may utilize other features of the event or record object **4102**. The engagement profile generation policy **4150** may utilize features of the participant such as job role, title, length of time on the job, among others. The data processing system **9300** may determine statistically significant features using statistical analysis or supervised or unsupervised machine learning such as linear or logistic regression, neural networks, and Bayesian analysis, among others.

[1195] The data processing system **9300** can determine the engagement profile based on the electronic activities and an engagement profile generation policy. The system can select the engagement profile generation policy based on the participant. For example, the system can select the engagement profile generation policy based on one or more characteristics of the participant. The characteristics of the participant can include, but is not limited to the role, OCR, department, title, or seniority of the participant. The data processing system **9300** can determine the characteristics of the participant by identifying a node profile of a plurality of node profiles that is associated with the participant. The characteristics can be stored in the node profile as field-value pairs. The engagement profile generation policy can include policies, rules, heuristics, or machine learning models (e.g., bias and weights of a trained machine learning model) for determining the engagement profile based on the electronic activities.

[1196] The data processing system **9300** can determine the engagement profile using an engagement profile generation policy. The data processing system **9300** can select from the different engagement profile generation policies base on one or more characteristics of the entity for which the data processing system **9300** is generating the engagement profile. For example, the data processing system **9300** can include different engagement profiles for different departments, titles, roles, or other characteristics of the entity. In one example, the data processing system **9300** can include engagement profile policies for CxO level entities. If the data processing system **9300** determines the entity for which the engagement profile is being generated is of the CxO level (e.g., by identifying the entity's node profile and referencing the node value-pair indicating the entity's

title), the data processing system **9300** can select the engagement profile policy for CxO level entities to generate the engagement profile. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can select the engagement profile policy based on the record object for which the engagement profile is being generated. For example, a low value opportunity may generally include electronic activities with CxO level participants. In this example, the data processing system **9300** may not generate, for a CxO level entity, a low engagement score based on the engagement generation policy because the engagement generation policy can include rules that indicate that the CxO level entity does not typically need to be involved in the opportunity of the record objet. [1197] Selecting an engagement profile generation policy **4150** may include selecting the engagement profile generation policy **4150** based on the role of the participant. The role of the participant may be inferred from one or more or field-value pairs of the node profile **662** of the participant. The role of the participant may be determined based on a field in the record object **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may determine the role of the participant from a field in the participant profile. The data processing system **9300** may determine the role of the participant based on the title of the participant. The data processing system 9300 may infer the role of the participant from one or more of the electronic activities **2204** associated with the participant. [1198] The data processing system **9300** may process the electronic activities **2204** using natural language processing techniques to determine the role of the participant. Techniques may include regex searching for a term. Techniques may include sentiment analysis of tone between participants. Techniques may include machine learning including supervised or unsupervised techniques. Techniques may compare a corpus of electronic activity **2204** associated with participants of known roles to the corpus of electronic activities **2204** being analyzed. Techniques may include inferring a participant's role based on a second participant's known role when viewed in light of common electronic activity **2204** role pairings. Statistically significant comparisons may be performed using statistical analysis or supervised or unsupervised machine learning such as linear or logistic regression, neural networks, and Bayesian analysis, among others. [1199] In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can determine the engagement profile based on distributions of the electronic activities. The distributions can be temporal distributions. For example, the data processing system **9300** may analyze counts of electronic activity **2204** sent by or to a participant. The data processing system **9300** may create time buckets or windows and count the number of electronic activities 2204 that belong to each bucket. In some implementations, as illustrated in FIG. 41, the data processing system 9300 can generate a distribution for the electronic activities sent by the participant and a second distribution of the electronic activities received by the participant. In some implementations, the distributions can be stage-based. For example, each of the windows used to count the electronic activities can be a stage of the record object.

[1200] The data processing system **9300** may determine an engagement profile **4170** of the participant. The engagement profile **4170** may be based on a first number of electronic activities **2204** sent by the participant. The engagement profile **4170** may be based on a second number of the set of electronic activities **2204** received by the participant. The engagement profile **4170** may be based on analysis of the electronic activities **2204** using the engagement profile generation policy **4150**. Determining the engagement profile **4170** may include determining a distribution of a set of electronic activities **2204** within a timeframe defined by a stage indicated by the stage value. The engagement profile **4170** may be determined based on the volume of the electronic activities **2204** at each stage, with each stage indicating a different proximity to a completion of an event associated with the first record object **4102**.

[1201] In the process of determining the engagement profile **4170**, the data processing system **9300** may determine a distribution of the set of electronic activities **2204** associated with the participant within a temporal window. The data processing system **9300** may define the temporal window by a stage indicated by the stage value. The stage value may indicate the proximity to the completion of

the event. The system may associate the activities with the individual stages based on the timeframe in which they occurred.

[1202] The system may compare the electronic activities **2204** to historical electronic activities **2204**. The system may ingest the electronic activities **2204** using natural language processing. The system may classify the electronic activities **2204** based on comparison to a corpus of analyzed electronic activities **2204**. The data processing system **9300** may determine statistically significant features using statistical analysis or supervised or unsupervised machine learning such as linear or logistic regression, neural networks, and Bayesian analysis, among others.

[1203] Determining the engagement profile **4170** may include determining a volume of electronic activities **2204** associated with the participant. The volume may be the volume occurring during each of the stages of the event. The data processing system **9300** may determine the volume by the number of electronic activities **2204** sent during a temporal window. The data processing system **9300** may determine the volume based on the number of electronic activities **2204** received during a temporal window. The system may determine the volume based on the number of total exchanges completed including an electronic activity **2204** sent and an electronic activity **2204** received. The system may determine the volume based on the total number of electronic activities **2204** sent or receive.

[1204] At step **4208**, the data processing system **9300** may store an association between a participant identifier and participant engagement profile **4170** in one or more data structures. The data structure may be a data structure such as a class, list, array, database or other structure. The data processing system **9300** may store the association between a participant identifier and participant engagement profile **4170** in a system of record of a data source provider. [1205] The data processing system **9300** may generate a production profile for the participant. The production profile can be data structure that includes a plurality of engagement profiles for a participant or other entity. For example, the data processing system **9300** may identify a plurality of record objects **4102** associated with the participant and can generate an engagement profile for the participant with each of the plurality of record objects. The data processing system **9300** may determine a set of historical electronic activities **2204** of the participant linked with each of the plurality of record objects **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may use the engagement profile generation policy **4150** to generate an engagement profile **4170** for each of the record objects **4102** based on the respective set of historical electronic activities 2204. The data processing system 9300 may generate a production profile of the participant based on the respective engagement profile **4170** for each of the plurality of record objects **4102**.

[1206] The production profile may be generated based on the analysis of a set of engagement profiles **4170** of the participant, each corresponding to each of the plurality of record objects **4102**. The production profile may be analyzed temporally to determine the production profile for the participant over time. The production profile may be analyzed by stages to determine the relative production of the participant at each stage of an event.

[1207] The data processing system **9300** may compare a production profile to the production profile of other participants to establish trends and deviations of participants. The data processing system **9300** may compare the production profile of the participant to the production profiles of similar participants. The data processing system **9300** may define similar participants on the basis of job role, time in the job role, geographic location, office, similar life series of events, or other similarities. The data processing system **9300** may find matches or fuzzy matches between the values of matching fields in similar participants' node profiles **662**. The data processing system **9300** may determine statistically significant features using statistical analysis or supervised or unsupervised machine learning such as linear or logistic regression, neural networks, and Bayesian analysis, among others. Comparisons may be used to identify training opportunities for the participant or identify best known methods to perpetuate across participants.

[1208] When a new record object **4102** is generated or analyzed, the data processing system **9300** 

may determine a completion score for the participant. The completion score may indicate a likelihood of completing an event associated with the new record object **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may generate a recommendation to assign the new record object **4102** to the participant responsive to determining that the completion score satisfies a record object assignment policy. The data processing system **9300** may determine a completion score for multiple participants with respect to the new record object **4102**. The data processing system **9300** may determine which participant to assign the new record object **4102** based on a set of characteristics including the completion score. The system may determine statistically significant assignment factors using statistical analysis or supervised or unsupervised machine learning such as linear or logistic regression, neural networks, and Bayesian analysis, among others [1209] The data processing system **9300** may determine a second engagement profile generation policy **4150** for each of the participants. The data processing system **9300** may determine to use a second engagement profile generation policy **4150** on one or more participants. The data processing system **9300** may use the second engagement profile generation policy **4150** to generate a second engagement profile **4170** for each of the participants. The data processing system **9300** may determine a completion score indicating a likelihood of completing an event associated with a record object **4102** for each participant. The data processing system **9300** may generate the completion score based on a similarity analysis between the event and completed events. The system may associate the completed events with the same record object **4102** or a different record object **4102**. The system may associate the completed events with the same participant or a different participant. The system may determine the completion score for each participant based on the second engagement profile **4170** for each participant.

[1210] The method may include determining a second engagement profile generation policy **4150** for a second participant. For instance, the first participant may be a sales person while the second participant may be a sales manager. The method may include generating a second engagement profile **4170** for the second participant. For instance, the method may include generating an engagement profile **4170** for the sales manager. The method may include determining a stage value indicating a proximity of completion of an event based on the second engagement profile **4170** for the second participant. For instance, the method may include determining the stage value based on the engagement profile **4170** of the sales manager. For instance, an uptick in activity by the sales manager may indicate a later stage value.

28. Determining Node Metrics Based on Field-Value Pairs and Electronic Activities [1211] Enterprises and other companies spend significant amount of resources to maintain and update one or more systems of records. Examples of systems of records can include customer relationship management (CRM) systems, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, document management systems, applicant tracking systems, among others. Typically, these systems of records are manually updated, which can result in multiple issues. For example, the information updated into the systems of records can be incorrect, the information may not be updated in a timely manner, employees may not be motivated enough to even update the systems of records, resulting in systems of records that include outdated, incorrect, or incomplete information. To the extent that enterprises rely on the data included in their systems of records to make projections or predictions on success/performance of an employee in a task may be inaccurate. This may be because the data relied upon is inaccurate. For example, the data used to predict whether the employee can close a deal for a particular financial year may be irrelevant to a type of the deal, irrelevant to a role of the employee, and/or out-of-date.

[1212] To address the challenges that companies face with their existing systems of records, the present disclosure describes systems and methods for determining metrics of nodes that correspond to field-value pairs of node profiles of the node using electronic activities linked to the node profiles. The field-value pairs can correspond to a seniority and department of the node. The data processing system **9300** (or the node graph generation system **200**), as described herein, can be

configured to ingest and process large amounts of electronic activity that are provided by one or more electronic communications servers storing electronic activities belonging to or associated with one or more enterprises or companies. The system can process the electronic activities of systems of record to construct a node graph. The node graph can include respective node profiles of a plurality of nodes, each of which may correspond to a member of the enterprise. Using the node graph, the system can identify one or more node profiles (or nodes) associated with already successful events and/or unsuccessful events. The system can further select one or more node profiles from the identified node profiles that are similar to a node profile of the member to be reviewed. The system can extract one or more characteristics of the selected node profiles to be training data for one or more machine learning models. As such, different from the existing systems of records that cannot provide relevant and updated information of a reviewee, the system can use information relevant to the reviewee and up-to-date to accurately predict how likely the reviewee can succeed in an event.

[1213] FIG. **43** illustrates a block diagram of an example process flow for predicting the success or performance of a member node. Also with reference to FIGS. **3-8** and **25-26**, among others, the data processing system **9300** can be configured to select one or more node profiles from a plurality of node profiles. Upon selecting the one or more node profiles, the data processing system **9300** can extract one or more characteristics from the node profile and electronic activities associated with the node profile and provide the characteristics as an input array to a machine learning model. The data processing system **9300** can train the machine learning model using respective characteristics of one or more similar node profiles and associated electronic activities. The data processing system **9300** can also train the machine learning model using characteristics of one or more node profiles (and associated electronic activities) that are not similar to the selected node profile. Based on the trained machine learning model, the data processing system **9300** can determine a probability score indicating a likelihood that the subject associated with the node profile can succeed in a certain event. The block diagram of FIG. **43** shall be described in conjunction with FIGS. **3-8** and **25-26**, among others.

[1214] As shown, a plurality of nodes N1, N2, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N10, N11, N12, N13, N14, N15, and N16, maintained by the data processing system 9300, are shown. The number of nodes of the plurality of nodes is for illustrative purposes only and the data processing system 9300 can maintain 100s, 1000s, 1000os, or more node profiles. Each of the nodes can be representative of a subject (e.g., a person) or a company. In some embodiments, nodes N1-N16 can be member nodes or group nodes. A member node may refer to a node representative of a person that is part of a company or other organizational entity. A group node may refer to a node that is representative of the company or other organizational entity and is linked to multiple member nodes. Each node can correspond to or be represented by a node profile. Accordingly, the denotations of N1-N16 may herein be referred to respective node profiles. The node profile can include one or more field-value pairs. The users associated with each of the node profiles can be participants of one or more electronic activities.

[1215] For example in FIG. 43, the node profile N1 can be associated with electronic activities 4302(1) to 4302(N). Each of the electronic activities 4302(1) to 4302(N) can be an email that includes one or more recipients, one or more senders, a body, and other data (e.g., metadata). The data processing system 9300 can parse or otherwise process each of the electronic activities 4302(1) to 4302(N) to identify a plurality of strings having data from a TO: field (to identify one or more recipients of the email), a FROM: field (to identify one or more senders of the email), and an email body (to identify one or more recipients of the email). For example, the data processing system 9300 can process the electronic activity 4302(1) to identify the sender of the electronic activity 4302(1) to be "JOHN SMITH" from a FROM: field 4304, the recipients of the electronic activity 4302(1) to be "JANE DOE" and "SAM LEE" from a TO: field 4306, and other information (e.g., a timestamp of the electronic activity 4302(1), other participants of the electronic activity

**4302**(1), job titles of the senders and/or recipients of the electronic activity **4302**(1), etc.) from a body **4308**. Each of the electronic activities **4302**(1)-**4302**(N) can be associated with or linked with the node profile N1. For example, the user identified by the node profile N1 can be a participant in each of the electronic activities **4302**(1)-**4302**(N). For each node profile, the data processing system **9300** can maintain a list including identifiers of each of the electronic activities for which the user indicated by the node profile is a participant.

[1216] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can identify a first group of node profiles from N1-N16 based on respective performance profiles. Based on the performance profiles, the first group of node profiles can correspond to a predetermined event, and each of the first group of node profiles may have been grouped, classified, or otherwise deemed in a first category. For example, the first group of node profiles may correspond to a plurality of participants involved in an opportunity record object or an opportunity, and the opportunity record object may have been deemed successful (for instance, by determining a stage of the opportunity record object or a completion status of the opportunity record object). In the context of FIG. **43**, the data processing system **9300** can identify the node profiles N4-N12 as a first group of node profiles **4301** by identifying one or more performance profiles **4350** associated with each of the node profiles N4-N12.

[1217] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can select a second group of node profiles from the first group of node profiles based on one or more node field-value pairs of each of the second group of node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can select the second group of node profiles based on determining that each of the second group of node profiles has at least one node field-value pair that matches or is similar (e.g., similar, identical) to one or more node fieldvalue pairs of the node profile of a reviewee. As shown in FIG. 43, in response to identifying the node profile of a reviewee (e.g., the node profile N1), the data processing system 9300 can identify the node profiles N7-N12 as a second group of node profiles 4303 by determining that at least one node field-value pair of each of the node profiles N7-N12 is similar or identical to one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile N1. In some embodiments, the at least one node fieldvalue pair can include a title of the node profile, a company name of the node profile, a seniority value and department value corresponding to the title of the node profile, among others. In some embodiments, upon identifying the second group of node profiles N7-N12, the data processing system 9300 can identify one or more electronic activities, e.g., 4322(1) to 4322(N), associated with the second group of node profiles N7-N12. Similar to the electronic activity **4302**(1), each of the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N) can include respective data in a TO: field, a FROM: field, and an email body. The data processing system **9300** can process the electronic activity **4302(1)**, for instance, to identify the sender of the electronic activity 4322(1) to be "ABIGAIL XU" from a FROM: field 4324, the recipients of the electronic activity 4322(1) to be "JANE DOE" and "SAM LEE" from a TO: field 4326, and other information (e.g., a timestamp of the electronic activity **4322(1)**, other participants of the electronic activity **4322(1)**, job titles of the senders and/or recipients of the electronic activity 4322(1), etc.) from a body 4328.

[1218] The data processing system **9300** can parse each of the electronic activities associated with the node profile N1, e.g., electronic activities **4302(1)**-(N), to identify a participant characteristic and a creation timestamp of the electronic activity, as described above. Based on such data, the data processing system **9300** can generate one or more input arrays **4312**. Upon identifying the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N) associated with the second group of node profiles N7-N12, the data processing system can similarly generate respective arrays **4332** based on participant characteristics and creation timestamps of the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N). According to some embodiments, the data processing system **9300**, or a performance engine **4360**, can use the arrays **4332** as training data for one or more machine learning models. The performance engine **4360** can estimate a probability score for the node profile N1 by providing the input arrays **4312** as inputs to the one or more machine learning models that are trained by the arrays **4332**. The probability score

can indicate a likelihood of how likely the node profile N1 belongs to the first group 4301, e.g., a successful employee.

[1219] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can generate the respective arrays **4332** by parsing each electronic activity to identify a plurality of features. The features can include field-value pairs of participants of the electronic activity, including a title of each participant. The title can identify a seniority of the participant and a department to which the participant belongs, for instance, Director of Marketing, or Vice President of Sales. Other features relating to the participants can include the purchasing power of the participant, which can separately be derived for the participant based on parsing electronic activities and record objects to which the participant is linked. In addition, the features can identify an industry of the company at which the participant is employed, the name of the company, among others. The features can also include object fieldvalue pairs of a record object to which the electronic activity may be linked. For instance, a stage of the record object at the time the electronic activity was transmitted or received. By generating an array including a plurality of features for each electronic activity, the data processing system can be able to determine whether the electronic activity included the right participants at the right stage of the opportunity. In some embodiments, a successful employee can be identified based on certain performance metrics, such as number of deals closed within a predetermined time period, or other metrics defined by the company or the data processing system. The features of the arrays **4332** can be generated to identify which features make the employee successful.

[1220] In some embodiments, the data processing system can be configured to determine that an employee was successful by determining that the employee corresponded with the appropriate participants at the right stage of the opportunity and mentioned or included the right phrases, among others. The data processing system can parse each electronic activity to determine a context of the electronic activity, an intent of the sender of the electronic activity, an event corresponding to the electronic activity (for instance, the electronic activity relates to introducing a new person, shipping a product, negotiating a price, asking for a contract, among others).

[1221] Alternatively or additionally, the data processing system **9300** can identify a third group of node profiles from N1-N16 based on respective performance profiles. Based on the performance profiles, the third group of node profiles can correspond to the predetermined event, but each of the third group of node profiles may have been grouped, classified, or otherwise deemed in a second category. For example, the third group of node profiles may correspond to a plurality of participants involved in a business deal, and the business deal may have been deemed unsuccessful. In the context of FIG. 43, the data processing system 9300 can identify the node profiles N13-N16 as a third group of node profiles **4305** by identifying one or more performance profiles **4350** associated with each of the node profiles N13-N16. Further, in response to identifying the node profile of the reviewee (e.g., the node profile N1), the data processing system 9300 can identify the node profile N16 as a fourth group of node profiles 4307 by determining that at least one node field-value pair of the node profile N**16** is similar or identical to one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile N1. In some embodiments, upon identifying the fourth group of node profile N16, the data processing system 9300 can identify one or more electronic activities, e.g., 4342(1) to **4342**(N), associated with the fourth group of node profile N**16**. Similarly, each of the electronic activities **4342**(1)-(N) can include respective data in a TO: field, a FROM: field, and an email body. The data processing system **9300** can process the electronic activity **4342(1)**, for instance, to identify the sender of the electronic activity 4342(1) to be "DAVID HOLT" from a FROM: field **4344**, the recipients of the electronic activity **4322(1)** to be "JANE DOE" and "SAM LEE" from a TO: field 4346, and other information (e.g., a timestamp of the electronic activity 4342(1), other participants of the electronic activity **4342(1)**, job titles of the senders and/or recipients of the electronic activity **4342**(1), etc.) from a body **4348**.

[1222] Referring now to FIG. **44**, FIG. **44** illustrates a method **4400** for determining node metrics based on electronic activities linked to the node, in accordance with one or more embodiments.

Operations of the method **4400** presented below are intended to be illustrative. In some embodiments, the method **4400** may be accomplished with one or more additional operations not described, and/or without one or more of the operations discussed. Additionally, the order in which the operations of the method **4400** as illustrated in FIG. **4429** and described below is not intended to be limiting.

[1223] In some embodiments, the method **4400** may be implemented in one or more processing devices (e.g., a digital processor, an analog processor, a digital circuit designed to process information, an analog circuit designed to process information, a state machine, and/or other mechanisms for electronically processing information). The one or more processing devices may include one or more devices executing some or all of the operations of the method **4400** in response to instructions stored electronically on an electronic storage medium. The one or more processing devices may include one or more devices configured through hardware, firmware, and/or software to be specifically designed for execution of one or more of the operations of the method **4400**. [1224] In brief overview, the method **4400** may include identifying a first node profile (BLOCK **4402**). The method **4400** may include identifying a first plurality of electronic activities associated with the first node profile (BLOCK **4404**). The method **4400** may include identifying a first group of node profiles (BLOCK **4406**). The method **4400** may include selecting a second group of node profiles from the first group of node profiles (BLOCK 4408). The method 4400 may include parsing each of the first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **4410**). The method **4400** may include generating an input array based on the first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK 4412). The method **4400** may include generating respective arrays based on electronic activities associated with the second group of node profiles (BLOCK **4414**). The method **4400** can include determining a probability score indicating a likelihood that the node profile is similar to the second group of node profiles using the input array (BLOCK **4416**). The method **4400** can include storing an association between the first node profile and the probability score (BLOCK **4418**). In some embodiments, the method **4400** can include classifying the first node profile in a group identifying the second group of node profiles responsive to determining that the probability score satisfies a threshold.

[1225] In further detail, the method **4400** may include identifying a first node profile (BLOCK **4402**). As described herein, the data processing system **9300**, or the node graph generation system **200**, can construct a node graph based on electronic activities. The node graph can include a plurality of nodes (e.g., people, groups, or companies) and a plurality of edges between the nodes indicating activity or relationships that are derived from a plurality of data sources that can include one or more types of electronic activities. In some embodiments, each of the nodes, corresponding to an unique entity (e.g., a person, a group, a company, etc.), can be associated with a node profile. The node profile can include information regarding the entity such as, for example, a first name, a last name, a company, and a job title. The data processing system 9300 can ingest, store, or otherwise mange such information as one or more node field-value pairs in each of the node profile. As such, each of the node profiles can include one or more node field-value pairs, where each node field-value pair of the node profile has a corresponding field and a node field value. It is appreciated that the node profiles can include different types of fields for different types of nodes. Member nodes and group nodes may have some common fields but may also include different fields. Further, member nodes may include fields that get updated more frequently than group nodes. Examples of some fields of member nodes can include i) First name; ii) Last name; iii) Email; iv) job title; v) Phone; vi) Social media handle; vii) LinkedIn URL; viii) website; among others. Examples of some fields of group nodes can include i) Company or Organization name; ii) Address of Company; iii) Phone; iv) Website; v) Social media handle; vi) LinkedIn handle; among others.

[1226] With specific reference to FIG. **43**, among the plurality of node profiles in the node graph (e.g., N1-N16), the data processing system **9300** can identify a first node profile that corresponds to

a person for whom success/performance score is going to be generated (hereinafter a "reviewee"). The data processing system **9300** can identify the node profile N**1** by a node profile identifier of the node profile N**1** and/or one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile N**1** (e.g., a first name, a last name, an employee identification, etc.).

[1227] The method **4400** may include identifying a first plurality of electronic activities associated with the first node profile (BLOCK **4404**). The data processing system **9300** can access electronic activities by accessing or interfacing with one or more data source providers hosting or storing the electronic activities. The data source providers can store electronic activities transmitted from or received by accounts corresponding to an enterprise. For instance, the data source providers can be mail servers, phone log servers, calendar servers or any other entity that can store emails, calendar events, phone logs, or other electronic activities of accounts associated with an enterprise, such as a company. In response to accessing the electronic activities, the data processing system **9300** can identify the first plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts associated with the one or more data source providers and associated with the identified node profile (N1 in the above example of FIG. 43). For example, each of the first plurality of electronic activities may include at least one of a recipient or sender that corresponds to a node field-value pair of the node profile N1. In the context of FIG. 43, the node profile N1 can be associated with the reviewee named, "JOHN SMITH." As such, the value of a first name field of the node profile N1 can be "JOHN," and the value of a last name field of the node profile N1 can be "SMITH." The data processing system **9300** can identify the first plurality of electronic activities to be electronic activities **4302**(1)-(N) by determining that each of the electronic activities **4302**(1)-(N) includes, "JOHN SMITH," as either a sender or a recipient.

[1228] The method **4400** may include identifying a first group of node profiles (BLOCK **4406**). In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can identify the first group of node profiles by first determining that each of the first group of node profiles is associated with a predetermined event, and then that the first group of node profiles belong to a first category. The event can be successfully opportunities associated with record objects, record objects obtaining specific stages, or classifications or achievements associated with the node profile or record objects. As such, the first group of node profiles can correspond to participants involved in the event. For example, the first group of node profiles can correspond to participants that successfully closed one or more opportunities of one or more record objects. In another example, the first group of node profiles can correspond to participants that are classified as successful by their respective companies. The data processing system **9300** can identify the first group of node profiles by determining that the corresponding nodes of the first group of node profiles have been involved in the event. The data processing system **9300** can make such a determination by parsing one or more electronic activities associated with each of the corresponding nodes. Further, in some embodiments, the data processing system 9300 can identify the first group of node profiles by determining that each of the first group of node profiles belongs to the first category, which may be referred to the event being determined as successful (e.g., a deal being closed).

[1229] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can determine whether the node profiles belong to a certain category based on one or more performance profiles. The performance profile can be a performance profile of an employee of the company. The performance profile can be a performance profile of a department of the company, a group within a department, or individual employees of the company. In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can generate one or more performance profiles for a node profile using electronic activities associated with the node profile. For example, the performance profile can be based on a number or amount of electronic activities associated with the node profile (or the node) during a time interval, a type of the electronic activities, the amount of time the node profile (or the node) spends generating or preparing the electronic activities (e.g., amount of time spent writing an email), the recipients of the email, natural language processing of the email, etc. According to some embodiments, the data

processing system **9300** can receive, manage, or interface with one or more performance profiles for each of the plurality of node profiles. The performance profile can indicate at least one of an electronic activity transmission frequency or an electronic activity transmission count associated with the corresponding node profile. Based on the performance profiles, the data processing system **9300** can identify the first group of the plurality of node profiles belonging to the first category. For example, the data processing system **9300** can compare the electronic activity transmission frequency and electronic activity transmission count with respective thresholds. In response to determining that at least one of the electronic activity transmission frequency or electronic activity transmission count satisfies the respective threshold, the data processing system **9300** can select, filter, or otherwise identify the first group of node profiles form the plurality of node profiles. In the context of FIG. **43**, the data processing system **9300** can identify the first group of node profiles N**4**-N**12** based on determining that the respective performance profiles (e.g., **4350**) satisfy a certain threshold.

[1230] Alternatively or additionally, in some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can receive, manage, or otherwise identify a list containing an identification of each of the first group of node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can receive such a list from an entity (e.g., the data source provider providing the electronic activities for the construction of the node graph). The entity may dynamically track, update, or otherwise manage the list to include any of identified node profiles (or nodes) that have been succeeded in a certain task or event. For example, the company can provide the data processing system **9300** a list of employees that the company considers successful. The data processing system **9300** can identify the node profiles for each of the employees in the list and the data processing system **9300** can generate an array for training the performance engine **4360**.

[1231] The method **4400** may include selecting a second group of node profiles from the first group of node profiles (BLOCK **4408**). In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can select, filter, or otherwise identify the second group of node profiles by determining that at least one node field-value pair of each of the second group of node profiles is similar or identical to one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile of the reviewee. In the context of FIG. 43, the data processing system **9300** can identify the node profiles N7-N12 to be the second group of node profiles by determining that each of the node profiles N7-N12 includes at least one node field-value pair similar or identical to one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile of N1. The one or more field-value pairs of the node profile of N1 that the data processing system 9300 relies upon to select the second group of node profiles may include a role field-value pair, a title field-value pair, an opportunity role field-value pair, a department field-value pair, a practice group field-value pair, etc. Continuing with the above example where the node profile N1 corresponding to "JOHN SMITH," the data processing system 9300 can store, manage, or identify the value of a title filedvalue pair of the node profile N1 to be "sales representative." Accordingly, the data processing system **9300** can select the node profiles N7-N12 by determining that each of the node profiles N7-N12 has the value of a title field-value that matches the value of the title field value for N1. The title field-value can indicate the title, department, seniority, or other classification of the node profiles. For example, the node profile N1 and node profiles N7-N12 can each include a title fieldvalue pair of "sales representative," or any of a variety of sales-related job titles, for example, "salesperson," "brand ambassador," "sales associate," "sales assistant," etc. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can look for exact matches between node profiles, fuzzy matches, of other types of matches. For example, the values of the field-value pairs can be used as features to project the node profiles into an embedding or other multi-dimensional space node profiles within a predetermined distance of the node profile N1 (e.g., cluster) can be selected as a match with the node profile N1. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can identify matching or similar node profiles across companies, industries, geographic locations, or other boundaries.

[1232] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can select, filter, or otherwise identify the second group of node profiles by determining that a plurality of node field-value pairs of each of the second group of node profiles are similar or identical to the node field-value pairs of the node profile of the reviewee. The data processing system **9300** can identify the second group of node profiles based on matches, fuzzy matches, of other types of matches. For example, the values of the field-value pairs can be used as features to project the node profiles into an embedding or other multi-dimensional space node profiles within a predetermined distance of the node profile N1 (e.g., cluster) can be selected as a match with the node profile N1. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can identify matching or similar node profiles across companies, industries, geographic locations, or other boundaries. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** node profiles of the second group may not be associated with the same event with which the first group of node profiles are associated. For example, the first group of node profiles can each be associated with successful closing of record objects and the second group of node profiles can each be associated with record objects that did not successfully close. [1233] In the context of FIG. **43**, the data processing system **9300** can identify the value of a title filed-value pair of the node profile N1 to be "sales representative" and the value of a department field-value pair of the node profile N1 to be "insurance department." Accordingly, the data processing system **9300** can select the node profiles N7-N12 by determining that each of the node profiles N7-N12 has both the value of a title filed-value pair being "sales representative," or any of a variety of sales-related job titles, and the value of a department field-value pair being "insurance

[1234] The method **4400** may include parsing each of the first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **4410**). In some embodiments, concurrently with or subsequently to identifying the first plurality of electronic activities associated with the first node profile (the node profile of the reviewee), the data processing system **9300** can parse each of the first plurality of electronic activities to identify a creation timestamp of the electronic activity. The creation timestamp may correspond to a timestamp when the electronic activity is sent or received. The date processing system **9300** also can parse each of the first plurality of electronic activities to identify at least one participant characteristic of participants of the electronic activity. The participant may correspond to a sender, a recipient, an invitee, or an organizer of the electronic activity. In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can store, manage, or otherwise identify a participant characteristic of the participant to be a role value, a title value, an opportunity role value, a department value, a practice group value, among others.

department," or the like.

[1235] With specific reference to FIG. **43**, the data processing system **9300** can parse each of the electronic activities **4302(1)**-(N). For example, in response to parsing the electronic activity **4302(1)**, the data processing system **9300** can identify the participants (e.g., the sender and recipients) of the electronic activity **4302(1)** to be "JOHN SMITH," "JANE DOE," and "SAM LEE." Further, the data processing system **9300** can determine a timestamp, which can correspond to when "JOHN SMITH" sent the electronic activity **4302(1)** or when "JANE DOE" and/or "SAM LEE" received the electronic activity **4302(1)**. By parsing the body **4308**, the data processing system **9300** can determine a title of the sender, "JOHN SMITH," and/or a title of each of the recipients "JANE DOE" and "SAM LEE."

[1236] The method **4400** may include generating an input array based on the first plurality of electronic activities (BLOCK **4412**). Based on the timestamp and the at least one participant characteristic identified from each of the first plurality of electronic activities, the data processing system **9300** can generate an input array. In some embodiments, the input array (e.g., **4312** of FIG. **43**) may include one or more multi-dimensional vectors, each of which can correspond to a data structure that represents one of the timestamp or participant characteristics of each of the first plurality of electronic activities. The data processing system **9300** can take the input array **4312** as input(s) to the performance engine **4360** using one or more machine learning models to predict a

probability score that the reviewee belongs to a first category. The first category can be a successful category or a category where an event was achieved. For example, and also referring to FIG. 43, among others, the data processing system **9300** can identify a plurality of electronic activities **4302(1)-4302(N)** that were transmitted by the entity identified by the node profile N1. The data processing system **9300** can parse the electronic activities to identify features for each of the electronic activities 4302(1)-4302(N). The features can include times the electronic activity were sent; recipients or other participants of the electronic activities; characteristics of the participants (e.g., title, department, etc); stage of the opportunity when the electronic activity was sent; tags; or other information. In some implementations, the tags can include an indication of whether a participant of the electronic activity was on vacation or out of the office. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can enrich the parsed data with the node graph. For example, a participant's title or department may be identified via the node graph. The data processing system **9300** can generate a feature array for each of electronic activities. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** can combine the feature arrays into a multi-dimensional array. [1237] In some embodiments, in response to parsing the first plurality of electronic activities, the data processing system **9300** can determine, detect, or otherwise identify a type of each of the first plurality of electronic activities. The type of the electronic activities can include at least one of an email, a calendar invite, a conference call, among others. Upon determining the type of each of the first plurality of electronic activities, the data processing system **9300** can generate the input array based on the type. In some implementations, the electronic activity type can be feature in the feature array for the electronic activity. The input array can be provided as an input to the machine learning model that is trained using other input arrays and configured to output a probability score indicating a likelihood that the node profile belongs to a particular category, for example, that they are successful.

[1238] The method **4400** may include generating respective arrays based on electronic activities associated with the second group of node profiles (BLOCK **4414**). In some embodiments, in response to selecting the second group of node profiles (e.g., node profiles N7-N12 of FIG. **43**), the data processing system **9300** can identify one or more electronic activities (e.g., the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N)) associated with the second group of node profiles. The data processing system **9300** can identify the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N) by determining that each of the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N) includes an entity (e.g., a sender or a recipient) corresponding to one of the second group of node profiles N7-N12. Upon identifying the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N), the data processing system **9300** can parse the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N) to identify a timestamp and a participant characteristic of participants of each of the electronic activities **4322(1)**-(N). The data processing system **9300** can use the timestamps and participant characteristics to generate respective arrays (e.g., **4332** of FIG. **43**). As shall also be discussed below, the performance engine **4360** can take the arrays as training data to train the one or more machine learning models.

[1239] The method **4400** may include determining a probability score (BLOCK **4416**). The probability score (e.g., **4370**) can indicate a likelihood that the node profile of the reviewee belongs to the first category (e.g., successful nodes). In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can predict, estimate, or otherwise determine the probability score **4370** using a machine learning model or an artificial intelligence model. The machine learning model includes one or more of a convolutional neural network or a bayesian algorithm. The data processing system **9300** can train the machine learning model for generation of the probability score using the respective arrays generated based on the electronic activities associated with the second group of node profiles (e.g., arrays **4332**). Using the trained machine learning model, the data processing system **9300** can determine the probability score for the node profile N1 based on the input array **4312**. [1240] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can identify a third group of node profiles (e.g., N13-N16) based on respective performance profiles. The third group of node profiles

N13-N16 can correspond to the predetermined event, but each of the third group of node profiles may have been grouped, classified, or otherwise deemed in a second category (e.g., unsuccessful nodes). Further, based on the node profile of the reviewee (e.g., the node profile N1), the data processing system **9300** can identify a fourth group of node profiles N**16** (from the third group of node profiles) by determining that at least one node field-value pair of the node profile N16 is similar or identical to one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile N1. Upon identifying the fourth group of node profile N16, the data processing system 9300 can identify one or more electronic activities, e.g., 4342(1) to 4342(N), associated with the fourth group of node profile N16. The data processing system **9300** can parse the electronic activities **4342(1)**-(N) to identify timestamp and participant characteristic of each of the electronic activities **4342(1)**-(N). Based on the timestamps and participant characteristics, the data processing system **9300** can generate a respective array for each of the electronic activities **4342(1)-(**N**)**. Alternatively or additionally to using the second group of node profiles, the data processing system 9300 can train the machine learning model for generation of the probability score using the respective arrays generated based on the electronic activities associated with the fourth group of node profiles (e.g., arrays 4352). [1241] For example, the data processing system **9300**, or the performance engine **4360**, can include a neural network or other machine learning algorithm. The data processing system **9300** can train the neural network using timestamps and participant characteristics of the electronic activities **4322(1)-(**N) that are deemed as being associated with successful nodes and/or timestamps and participant characteristics of the electronic activities **4342(1)-(N)** that are deemed as being associated with unsuccessful nodes. Data from the electronic activities associated with the successful nodes can be parsed into a first set of feature vectors or arrays (e.g., 4332), and data from the electronic activities associated with the unsuccessful nodes can be parsed into a second set of feature vectors or arrays (e.g., 4352). The data processing system 9300 can train the neural network to generate the weights and biases of the neural network using the arrays 4332 and/or the arrays **4352** as training data. The timestamps and participant characteristics of the electronic activities **4302**(1)-(N) that are associated with the reviewee's node profile N1 can then be parsed into a feature vector or an array (e.g., **4312**) that is input into the trained neural network. The neural network can determine a probability score indicating which of the categories the node profile N1 belongs to.

[1242] The method **4400** may include storing an association between the first node profile and the probability score (BLOCK **4418**). The data processing system **9300** can store the association in one or more data structures. In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can detect changes in the stored associations between the probability score and the node profile to update the probability score. In some embodiments, the data processing system 9300 can integrate the probability score into a performance profile corresponding to the node profile. In some implementations, the data processing system 9300 can generate a notification responsive to determining that the probability score falls below a threshold. For example, if the probability score indicating a likelihood that the node profile belongs to a particular category, for example, that they are successful falls below a threshold (e.g., suggesting that the entity associated with the node profile will not be successful) the data processing system **9300** can generate a notification to a manager of the entity informing the manager that the entity may require additional training to be successful in the entity's current role. In some implementations, an entity can use the probability score to identify other entities with similar probability scores. For example, a manager can use the data processing system **9300** to identify entities associated with a first set of node profiles that are likely to be successful at their current role or job and entities associated with a second set of node profiles that are unlikely to be successful at their current role. For example, a manager can determine if a reviewee has a probability score that identifies based on the reviewee's electronic activity patterns whether the reviewee is likely to be successful or unsuccessful. The data processing system 9300 can quickly identify whether the reviewee is unlikely to be successful or

requires additional training. For example, a predetermined length of time after a training regimen is completed (e.g., 3 months), the data processing system **9300** can determine a probability score to determine whether the reviewee needs additional training because the reviewee's probability score is below a threshold.

29. Generating Performance Profiles Using Electronic Activities Matched with Record Objects of One or More Systems of Record

[1243] Database systems, such as systems of record, can include record objects that can include a plurality of object field-values. The systems and methods described herein can update and accurately maintain the record objects in the systems of record. The systems and methods described herein can generate an entity engagement profile for an entity linked to a record object. The entity engagement profile of the entity can provide information relating to how engaged an entity is in the opportunity or process related to the record object. The entity engagement profile can provide a qualitative and/or quantitative measure of the entity's engagement throughout the life of the process or opportunity associated with the record object. By monitoring the engagement of the entity throughout the process or opportunity, the system can provide notifications to increase the entity's engagement with the opportunity. In some embodiments, the system can predict a likelihood of completion of the process or opportunity based on the engagement profile of the entity as well as the engagement profiles of one or more other entities linked with the record object. The systems and methods described herein automatically generate the entity engagement profile for the entity based on electronic activities corresponding to the record object and associated with the entity. In some embodiments, the system and methods described herein may compile each of the entity engagement profiles for each record object associated with the entity for generating a composite entity engagement profile. By monitoring the composite entity engagement profile of an entity, the system can monitor an overall performance of the employee and use the composite entity engagement profile of the entity to provide notifications, recommendations, or other insights to the entity or other entities to which the entity reports to increase the entity's performance. [1244] Enterprises and other companies spend significant amount of resources to maintain and update one or more systems of records. Examples of systems of records can include customer relationship management (CRM) systems, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, document management systems, applicant tracking systems, among others. Typically, these systems of records are manually updated, which can result in multiple issues. As new records are added, the new record objects may be assigned at random to employees (e.g., based on availability or capacity of the employees). By generating the composite entity engagement profile for an entity, the systems and methods described herein may automatically assign or otherwise match new record objects with entities (or employees) of the company based on the composite entity engagement profile. The systems and methods described herein may leverage trends, habits, preferences, etc. of employees (e.g., as indicated in the entity engagement profile) for matching new record objects with specific employees. Such embodiments may increase the likelihood of success in completing opportunities or deals. The present disclosure aims to address these challenges that enterprises face in assigning record objects with systems and methods for determining a performance profile of an employee based on historical electronic activities corresponding to record objects. In particular, the present disclosure describes how to generate an entity engagement profile based on historical electronic activities. The present disclosure may leverage the entity engagement profile(s) for assigning, associating, or otherwise matching record objects to entity engagement profiles. In addition, the present disclosure can identify record objects in which the entity is not as engaged and using the entity engagement profile across multiple record objects, identify one or more actions that if taken, can improve a performance of the record object or a projected outcome of the record object. The present disclosure can generate entity performance profiles for each participant of electronic activities matched with one or more record objects and use the entity performance profiles of each entity to identify one or more actions that if taken, can improve a performance of the record object

or a projected outcome of the record object.

[1245] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for determining a performance profile of an employee based on historical electronic activities corresponding to record objects. An illustrative method includes accessing a plurality of record objects. The method may further include identifying a subset of record objects associated with a node profile corresponding to an entity, and identifying electronic activities linked to each of the record objects. The method may further include determining a respective entity engagement profile of each record object for the entity based on the electronic activities linked to the record object and one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. The method may further include generating a composite entity engagement profile of the entity based on each respective entity engagement profile corresponding to each record object of the subset of record objects. The method may further include storing an association between the entity and the composite entity performance profile.

[1246] Referring now to FIG. **45**A, illustrated is a use case diagram **4500** showing a plurality of record objects **4502** within a system of record **9360**. Each record object **4502** may be associated with corresponding electronic activities **9305**. The data processing system **9300** and/or system **200** may be configured to identify record objects **4502** corresponding to a particular entity (e.g., an employee). The data processing system **9300** may be configured to identify the electronic activities **9305** associated with the record objects and corresponding to the entity. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to generate an entity engagement profile for the entity for each record object based on the identified electronic activities **9305**. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to generate a composite entity engagement profile for the entity based on each entity engagement profile for the entity. The data processing system **9300** may use the composite entity engagement profile for matching the entity to a new record object **4504**, as described in greater detail below.

[1247] Generally speaking, the system of record **9360** may include a customer relationship management (CRM) system, which a company can use as a holding system for descriptions of business processes. The system of record **9360** can include lead record objects **4502** identifying leads that the company may pursue, account record objects **4502** identifying accounts to which the company sells one or more products or services, opportunity record objects **4502** identifying deals or opportunities between the company and the account, among others. Each record object **4502** may be associated with particular entities within the company (e.g., by way of the entity working on or having previously worked on the record object **4502**). The electronic activity **9305** corresponding to the record object **4502** (e.g., sent from or received by the entity while working on the record object **4502**) may be linked to the record object **4502**. By way of example, where an employee sends a number of emails, phone calls, conducts a number of in-person meetings in pursuit of closing a deal, each of the corresponding electronic activities may be linked to the record object **4502** associated with the deal.

[1248] The data processing system **9300** may be configured to identify record objects **4502** associated with a particular employee (e.g., an entity). Each record object **4502** may be linked to at least one entity assigned to the record object. In some embodiments, the record object **4502** may include object field-value pairs. At least one object field-value pair may specify an employee assigned, matched, or otherwise corresponding to the record object **4502**. The object field-value pair may be a field-value pair corresponding to an electronic account for the employee. In some embodiments, the object field can be an opportunity owner field, which includes a value that identifies an employee that owns the opportunity associated with the record object. Other object fields can be used to identify other employees of the company. In addition, the system can identify other employees linked with the record object by parsing electronic activities linked with the record object and identifying those employees listed as participants in the electronic activities. In some embodiments, the record object **4502** may be linked to a node profile for the at least one entity. In some of these embodiments, data processing system **9300** may be configured to parse the record

objects **4502** to identify a subset of record objects corresponding to the entity.

[1249] In the use case **4500** shown in FIG. **45**A, the data processing system **9300** may identify record object **4502(1)** and record object **4502(2)** associated with, correspond to, or otherwise linked with an entity. In some embodiments, the record objects may be linked to a node profile associated with the entity (e.g., as described in greater detail above in Section 18). The other record object(s) shown in the system of record **9360** (e.g., record object **4502(3)** and new record object **4504)** may either be associated with different entities or remain unassigned. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to identify the record objects **4502(1)** and **4502(2)** associated with the entity by identifying the node profile for the entity and identifying the record objects **4502** linked to the node profile, identifying node profile field-value pairs for the entity and parse the record objects **4502** to identify record objects **4502** having a respective object field-value pair which matches the node profile field-value pair (e.g., same first and last name, same email address, etc.).

[1250] The data processing system **9300** may be designed or implemented to identify the electronic

activities **9305** linked to the corresponding record objects **4502**. The electronic activities **9305** may be linked to the record objects **4502** as described in greater detail above in Section 2. In some embodiments, the electronic activity linking engine **250** of the node graph generation system **200** is configured to link electronic activities **9304** to record objects **4502** of one or more systems of record **9360**. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to identify the electronic activities 9305 linked to the corresponding record objects 4502 and associated with the entity. For each record object **4502**, data processing system **9300** may identify a subset of the electronic activities 9305 linked to the record object 4502 based on which electronic activities 9305 were associated with the entity. The data processing system 9300 may be configured to identify the subset of electronic activities 9305 by identifying field-value pairs from the node profile for the entity. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to cross-reference a field-value pair corresponding to an electronic account of the entity with metadata from the electronic activities **9305**. The data processing system **9300** may cross-reference the electronic account with the metadata from the electronic activities **9305** corresponding to the sender and/or recipient. Hence, the subset of electronic activities 9305 may include those electronic activities 9305 in which the entity participated.

[1251] The data processing system **9300** may be configured to identify one or more of the object field-value pairs for each of the record objects corresponding to the entity. In some embodiments, the record objects may include an object field-value pair corresponding to a classification of the record object. The classification may include or correspond to, for instance, an industry corresponding to the record object, a size of an enterprise associated with the record object, and so forth. The data processing system **9300** (e.g., the record object manager **325**) may be configured to classify the record objects based on values for the object field-value pairs of the respective record object (e.g., value of the object field-value pair corresponding to industry, value of the object fieldvalue pair corresponding to company size, etc.). In some embodiments, the record objects may include an object field-value pair corresponding to a status or stage of the record object. The data processing system **9300** (e.g., the stage classification engine **325**) may be configured to provide, update, or otherwise generate the status/stage of the record objects based on electronic activities associated with the record object as described in greater detail above in Section 2 and Section 3. The data processing system **9300** may parse each record object **4502** and corresponding electronic activities **9305** to determine a respective entity engagement profile for the entity, as described in greater detail below.

[1252] The data processing system **9300** is shown to include an engagement profile engine **4506**. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be any device, component, processor, script or application designed or implemented to determine or generate an entity engagement profile. The engagement profile engine **4506** may determine or generate an entity engagement profile for each entity on, related to or associated with each record object. The engagement profile engine **4506** 

may determine the engagement profile for each entity based on the electronic activities linked to the record object. In some embodiments, the engagement profile engine **4506** may determine the engagement profile for each entity using one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. In some embodiments, the engagement profile engine **4506** may determine the engagement profile for each entity using both the electronic activities linked to the record object and one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. FIG. **45**B depicts a set of graphical representations **4514** of engagement profiles corresponding to an entity, according to an illustrative embodiment. The engagement profiles may include, among other data, a distribution of electronic activities **4516**, a distribution of electronic activity types **4518** for electronic activities, an average response rate and time **4520** for electronic activities corresponding to the record object, and various tags **4522** corresponding to object field-value pairs for the record object. While the graphical representations **4514** are shown to include this data, it should be understood that the engagement profiles may include additional (or less) data, including data extracted from the electronic activities and/or record object(s) described above as well as data generated based on the data from the electronic activities/record object(s). The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to populate the engagement profiles using data extracted from, identified in, or otherwise generated based on the electronic activities and/or record objects, as described in greater detail below. [1253] Referring now to FIG. **45**A and FIG. **45**B, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to generate an engagement profile for each entity associated with a particular record object **4502**. The engagement profile may be a profile which describes, corresponds to, or otherwise reflects an entity's performance on an opportunity corresponding to a record object over time. In some embodiments, the engagement profile may be a distribution of electronic activities across the life of the record object (e.g., from a date in which the record object was generated to the date in which the opportunity was closed, deal was won or lost, etc.). The engagement profile may show, describe, or otherwise reflect spikes in engagement at certain times (e.g., corresponding to different stages of the opportunity). The engagement profile may show types of electronic activities engaged in by the entity at different times across the life of the record object (e.g., initially, the entity engages in emails and, as the opportunity progresses, the entity engages in phone calls, inperson or virtual meetings, and so forth). The data processing system 9300 may be configured to generate the engagement profile for an entity based on electronic activities linked to a given record object **4502** and corresponding to the entity. In some implementations, the engagement profile may be modified, adapted, updated, or otherwise configured based on object field-value pairs (e.g., deal size, buyer company industry, deal closed/won/lost, etc.). For instance, the engagement profile may be tagged or labeled to identify information included as values for the record objects. In each of these embodiments, the engagement profile may show, depict, correspond to, or otherwise reflect the entity's performance on the opportunity corresponding to a record object over time. The data processing system 9300 may be configured to compile data from the record object 4502 and/or electronic activities 9305 corresponding thereto for including, constructing, assembling, building, or otherwise generating the entity engagement profile, as described in greater detail below. [1254] As described in greater detail below, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to generate a composite engagement profile for the entity using each engagement profile for the entity. The composite engagement profile may be structured similar to individual engagement profiles. The composite engagement profile may reflect an entity's performance on a plurality of opportunities corresponding to respective record objects linked to the entity. In some embodiments, the composite engagement profiles may include composite engagement field-value pairs corresponding to, for instance, various ratios of deals won to deals lost. Such ratios may be broken down by opportunity size, company size, company industry, deal close duration, and so forth. The data processing system 9300 may use the composite engagement profile for assigning new record objects to entities. The data processing system **9300** may store an association between the new record object and entity when the record object and composite engagement profile satisfy a

matching policy, for instance.

[1255] In some embodiments, the engagement profile engine **4506** is configured to generate the entity engagement profile using the electronic activities **9305** sent from, received by, or otherwise engaged in by the entity and associated with the record object **4502**. Each of the electronic activities **9305** linked to a record object **4502** may include metadata. The metadata may specify an electronic account associated with a sender and an electronic account associated with each of the recipient(s), among other data. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to parse the metadata to identify the electronic accounts associated with the sender and recipient(s). The engagement profile engine **4506** may group each of the electronic activities **9305** by entity. For a given entity, the engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate an electronic activity distribution **4516** corresponding to the record object. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the electronic activity distribution **4516** based on the electronic activities engaged in by the entity and associated with the record object. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the electronic activity distribution **4516** by determining the timestamps for each electronic activity associated with the entity and linked to the record object. The engagement profile engine **4506** may use the life of the deal as a scale for the distribution **4516** (e.g., from the date at which the record object is identified to the time at which the deal is won or lost). The engagement profile engine **4506** may map the electronic activities to the scale in accordance with the timestamp to generate the distribution of electronic activities **4516** (e.g., across the close duration). As can be best seen in FIG. **45**C, for the same record object, three different employees linked to the record object may have different electronic activity distributions **4516**. For instance, a higher level employee (represented as a dashed line) may be engaged in more electronic activity towards the end of the opportunity being closed, whereas a manager (represented as a solid line) may be engaged in more electronic activities in the beginning and end stages of the opportunity, and a lower level employee (represented as a dotted line) may be engaged in electronic activity towards the beginning of the opportunity.

[1256] The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate engagement profiles to reflect the close duration. For instance, the entity may have more success in closing opportunities or leads having a longer close duration (e.g., indicating the entity typically takes their time). The engagement profile engine **4505** may be configured to parse the record objects **4502** to identify a value corresponding to an object field-value pair for close duration. The engagement profile engine **4505** may be configured to generate the distribution of electronic activity across the close duration. Thus, the engagement profile may show, include, or otherwise reflect the close duration corresponding to the opportunity.

[1257] In some embodiments, the engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate, compute, or otherwise determine electronic activity patterns for the electronic activities engaged in by the entity. The electronic activity patterns may include communication mode preferences (e.g., the entity requests or initiates phone calls rather than in-person meetings or emails), response rates or times (e.g., how quickly the entity responds to an electronic activity with a corresponding electronic activity, the time of day in which the entity responds to an electronic activity), communication styles (e.g., short versus long electronic activities), among others. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to identify communication mode preferences based on tags applied to electronic activity (e.g., by the tagging engine **265** as described above in Section 1(g)) indicating electronic activity types. The engagement profile engine **4506** may generate a distribution of electronic activity types **4518** based on the tags applied to each electronic activity (e.g., by counting the number of each electronic activity tagged as emails, phone calls, virtual meetings, in-person meetings, etc.). The engagement profile engine **4506** may identify average response rates or times based on timestamps included in metadata for the electronic activities. The engagement profile engine **4506** may identify response rates based on a difference in a timestamp of a first electronic activity (or a timestamp of a read receipt corresponding to the first electronic

activity) and a timestamp of a second electronic activity corresponding to the response. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate average response rates and times **4520** by computing an average of each response rate, an average time of response, etc. The engagement profile engine **4506** may identify communication styles based on an average word (or character) count of emails (e.g., by parsing electronic activities tagged as emails to count characters, group characters into words, etc.), an average duration of phone calls (e.g., by parsing electronic activities tagged as phone calls to identify metadata indicating a duration of a call), and so forth. The engagement profile engine **4506** can also be configured to determine whether the right participants were engaged with at the right times within the opportunity.

[1258] In some implementations, the engagement profile may be tagged or labeled with tags **4522** based on values from object field-value pairs corresponding to the record objects. Hence, the engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the entity engagement profile using the object field-value pairs corresponding to the record object **4502**. Each record object may include object field-value pairs corresponding to, for instance, a record object status (e.g., lead, inprocess, closed, lost, won, etc.), a company size (large, midsize, small company), a company industry (e.g., an industry of the buyer company, an industry of the seller company, etc.), a record object close duration (e.g., duration spanning from lead identification date to closing date), and so forth. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to parse the record object **4502** to identify one or more values from the object field-value pairs. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to assign a tag **4522** to the engagement profile to indicate data corresponding to the value for an object field-value pair of the record object.

[1259] The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to compile each entity engagement profile associated with a particular entity. The engagement profile engine may compile each entity engagement profile for generating a composite entity engagement profile 4508. FIG. 45D depicts a graphical representation **4524** of an example composite engagement profile for the entity corresponding to the engagement profiles depicted in FIG. **45**B. The composite entity engagement profile **4508** may be a representation of each entity engagement profile for an entity. As the entity works on (e.g., is associated with) additional record objects and the engagement profile engine **4506** generates a corresponding entity engagement profile, the engagement profile engine **4506** may correspondingly adapt/revise/change/update the composite entity engagement profile **4510**. Hence, the composite entity engagement profile **4510** may adapt or change over time. The composite engagement profile may include an average electronic activity distribution 4526, an average distribution of electronic activity types **4528**, a plurality of opportunity win/loss ratios **4530**, and an average response rate and time **4532**, among other data and metrics. The engagement profile engine **4506** may generate the composite engagement profile using data from the engagement profiles associated with the entity, as described in greater detail below. [1260] The composite engagement profile is shown to include a composite electronic activity distribution **4526**. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite electronic activity distribution **4526** based on each of the electronic activity distributions **4516** corresponding to the entity. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite electronic activity distribution **4526** by computing an average, mean, etc. of each of the electronic activity distributions **4516**. In some implementations, the engagement profile engine **4506** may generate the composite electronic activity distribution **4526** following filtering one or more of the electronic activity distributions **4516** (e.g., based on the filtered electronic activity distributions **4516** being outliers). Similarly, the composite engagement profile is shown to include a composite electronic activity type **4528**. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite electronic activity type **4528** based on each of the distribution of electronic activity types **4518** corresponding to the entity. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite electronic activity type **4528** by computing an average, mean, etc. of each of the distribution of electronic activity types 4518. The composite

engagement profile is shown to include a composite response rate and time **4532**. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite response rate and time **4532** based on each of the average response rates and times **4520** corresponding to the entity. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite response rate and time **4532** by computing an average, mean, etc. of each of the average response rates and times **4520**. In these and other embodiments, the composite data (e.g., composite electronic activity distribution **4526**, composite electronic activity type **4528**, composite response rate and time **4532**) may be a trend of the engagement profiles associated with the entity.

[1261] The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate various opportunity win/loss ratios **4530**. The engagement profile engine **4506** may use the opportunity win/loss ratios to identify, highlight, or otherwise determine types or classifications of record objects **4502** in which the entity has historically been successful (versus those in which the entity was unsuccessful). In some embodiments, the composite engagement profile may include various opportunity win/loss ratios **4530** corresponding to various types of record objects. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to identify tags applied to engagement profiles. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to count the tags indicating opportunity won for each record object and tags indicating opportunity lost for each record object. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to compute a win/loss ratio based on the number of opportunity won tags to the number of opportunity lost tags (or total number of tags, total number of record objects associated with the entity, etc.).

[1262] The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate composite engagement profiles to reflect the entity's success based on company size. For instance, the entity may have more success in closing opportunities or leads with large and midsize companies. The engagement profile engine **4505** may be configured to compute a ratio of opportunities won to opportunities lost based on company size. The engagement profile engine **4505** may compute the ratio by identifying a subset of record objects having a value of a large company size (e.g., based on the tag 4522 indicating the company size). The engagement profile engine **4505** may compute the ratio of opportunities won to opportunities lost (or total number of opportunities), or vice versa by identifying the tag or label applied to the engagement profile corresponding to a given record object indicating the company size. The engagement profile engine **4505** may be configured to identify the tag/label indicating the record object status corresponding to the engagement profiles. For each size company, the engagement profile engine **4505** may count the number of record objects having a respective record object status (e.g., deal won and deal lost). The engagement profile engine **4505** may compute the ratio for a given size company based on the number of deals won to number of total deals, for instance. The engagement profile engine **4505** may include the ratios as a value for a composite engagement profile field-value pair corresponding company size deal ratio. Similarly, the engagement profile engine **4505** may be configured to generate opportunity win/loss ratios **4530** based on industry, company location, and so forth. [1263] The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite entity engagement profile **4508** by applying a machine learning model or algorithm to the compiled entity engagement profiles associated with an entity. The machine learning model may be trained with training sets of entity engagement profiles and corresponding composite entity engagement profiles **4508**. The machine learning model may be configured to determine weights to electronic activities and/or record objects based on the deal status. In some embodiments, the machine learning model may be configured to disregard electronic activities and/or record objects having a status indicating the deal was lost. In some embodiments, the machine learning model may be configured to apply different weights to engagement profiles for record objects over time. For instance, the weights may change in accordance to a time decay function such that engagement profiles corresponding to more recent record objects are weighed more favorably. The engagement profile engine **4506** may be configured to generate the composite entity engagement profile for the entity following

weighting/discarding/filtering/etc. The engagement profile engine **4506** may generate the composite entity engagement profile **4508** by, for instance, computing an average, trend, etc. for each field-value pair across the entity engagement profiles. The engagement profile engine **4506** may assign the average, trend, etc. to values for the field-value pairs of the composite entity engagement profile **4508**. Thus, the composite entity engagement profile **4508** may be structured similar to the entity engagement profiles.

[1264] In these and other embodiments, the composite entity engagement profile **4510** may generally represent electronic activity related behaviors or characteristics of the entity based on each of the entity engagement profiles associated with the entity. For instance, the composite entity engagement profile **4510** may represent activity patterns of the entity including but not limited to times within an opportunity in which the entity is more engaged in electronic activity, response rates or times (e.g., how quickly the entity responds to an electronic activity with a corresponding electronic activity, the time of day in which the entity responds to an electronic activity), communication mode preferences (e.g., the entity requests or initiates phone calls rather than inperson meetings or emails), among others and across all record objects associated with the entity. Following generation of the composite entity engagement profile, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to store an association between the entity and the composite entity engagement profile in memory, a server or database, or some other data structure(s). The data processing system **9300** may save the composite entity engagement profile indefinitely. In some implementations, the data processing system **9300** may update the composite entity engagement profile over time. As such, the composite entity engagement profile may be refined as the entity works on other record objects **4502**. Furthermore, as the electronic activity patterns of entities changes, the composite entity engagement profile may correspondingly be updated. As described in greater detail below, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to use the composite entity engagement profile for matching the entity to new record objects **4504**.

[1265] In some embodiments, the matching policy **4510** may be configured to identify a subset of entities as candidate entities. The data processing system 9300 can be configured to maintain, for each employee of the company, an availability of the entity based on a status of one or more record objects **4502** to which the entity is assigned. In some embodiments, the entity can be assigned to a first number of lead record objects, a second number of account record objects and a third number of opportunity record objects. The data processing system 9300 can further determine, for each of the opportunity record objects, a stage of the opportunity record object (e.g., by parsing each record object to identify an object field-value pair corresponding to the stage or status of the record object). Moreover, the data processing system **9300** can determine an amount of time the entity needs to spend on the opportunity based on the stage of the opportunity record object, a size of the deal associated with the opportunity, an expected or predicted time frame for closing the opportunity, and other parameters associated with the opportunity record object. The data processing system 9300 can determine, based on each record object 4502 to which the entity is assigned, an availability schedule of the entity that identifies the entity's availability during various time periods, including for example, the next week, the next two weeks, the next month, the next quarter, the next year, among others. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to identify candidate entities as those entities having availability for the new record object **4504**. [1266] Following identification of candidate entities, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to match one of the candidate entities with the new record object **4504** based on the composite entity engagement profile, values from object field-value pairs for the new record object **4504**, among other information and data. In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to apply the matching policy **4510** to each candidate entity for computing a match score. In some embodiments, an entity may otherwise be the best candidate (e.g., highest match score) but for the entity being unavailable. For instance, the entity may be associated with a previous record object 4502 corresponding to the lead (e.g., indicating the lead and entity have a

previous working relationship). The record object **4502** may identify the entity and the lead (e.g., in respective object field-value pairs for the record object). The data processing system **9300** may identify the previous relationship between the entity and the lead, and select similar entities on the same team based on a comparison of the respective composite entity engagement profile **4508**. As such, the data processing system **9300** may match an entity with new record objects **4504** based on the entity being on the same team as another entity having previously worked with a lead associated the new record object **4504**.

[1267] The data processing system **9300** may be configured to assign the new record object **4504** to an entity based on the composite entity engagement profile for the entity. The new record object **4504** may include one or more object field-value pairs. The new record object **4504** may include object field-value pairs corresponding to opportunity size, opportunity industry, opportunity close timeframe, data which identifies a lead corresponding to the record object, and so forth. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to match the new record object **4504** to an entity based on the composite entity engagement profile for the entity being suitable for the new record object **4504** (e.g., based on the data associated with the new record object **4504** including the object field-value pairs and data associated therewith).

[1268] The matching policy **4510** may be configured to leverage object field-value pairs corresponding to the new record object **4504** for matching the new record object **4504** with the entity. The object field-value pairs may include an object field-value pair corresponding to opportunity size, an object field-value pair corresponding to opportunity industry, company associated with the opportunity, etc. The matching policy **4510** may be configured to use values for the object field-value pairs of the new record object **4504** for matching the new record object **4504** with the entity. In some embodiments, the data processing system 9300 may be configured to assign the new record object **4504** to the entity based on the stage of the opportunity as indicated in the record object. The data processing system **9300** may determine, based on the composite electronic activity distribution, that the entity is typically engaged in electronic activity towards the end of the opportunity. The data processing system 9300 may determine, based on the object fieldvalue pairs of the new record object, a stage of the record object. The data processing system 9300 may determine (e.g., based on the stage of the record object and the time at which the entity is more involved in closing the opportunity) that the entity is to be matched with the record object. [1269] As another example, the data processing system **9300** may leverage the object field-value pairs of the new record object **4504** for assigning the record object **45044** to the entity based on the entity being more likely to close the record object. For instance, the composite entity engagement profile for the entity may indicate that the entity is more successful at closing opportunities in particular industries, opportunities having a particular size, etc. (as indicated in the opportunity win/loss ratio **4530** for the composite engagement profile). The data processing system **9300** may be configured to apply the matching policy to the new record object **4504** and composite entity engagement profile **4508** for computing a match score between the entity and the new record **4504**. The matching policy may apply weights to different values for object field-value pairs. For instance, the entity may be more likely to close an opportunity in an industry in which the entity is more successful, regardless of opportunity size. As another example, the entity may be more likely to work on or close an opportunity with a company in which the entity has a previous relationship, regardless of opportunity size. The matching policy may apply weights to different values accordingly. The data processing system **9300** may compute the match score based on the weights and values of the new record object **4504** in comparison to the composite entity engagement profile **4508**.

[1270] In some embodiments, the new record object **4504** may include electronic activities **9305** corresponding thereto. The electronic activities may correspond to initial meetings or discussions which lead to the identification (e.g., by the data processing system **9300** following parsing the corresponding electronic activities) of the lead and/or opportunity. The matching policy **4510** may

be configured to use the electronic activities (e.g., along with the object field-value pairs of the new record object **4504** and/or the composite entity engagement profile) for matching the entity to the new record object **4504**. For instance, the data processing system **9300** can determine if the lead has any prior connection with entity and also determine a connection strength and type of connection between the lead and the entity. The data processing system **9300** may be configured to parse the electronic activities associated with the new record object **4504** to identify the participants of the electronic activity (as described in greater detail above). The data processing system **8300** may be configured to determine any of the participants of the electronic activity (e.g., the lead) correspond to record objects **4502** associated with opportunities previously or currently worked on by the entity. As described above, the data processing system **9300** can maintain a connection strength between node profiles of the system **200**. As such, the data processing system **9300** can use the connection strength between the lead and the entity as a factor for matching the entity with the new record object **4504**.

[1271] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** may be configured to use a composite entity engagement profile **4508** for the lead associated with the new record object for matching the new record object **4504** with the entity. The matching policy **4510** may receive composite entity engagement profiles **4508** for the employee and the lead. The matching policy **4510** may be configured to determine, generate, compute, or otherwise identify a match score between the composite entity engagement profile for the employee and the composite entity engagement profile for the lead. The match score may be generated based on complementary features, traits, preferences, habits, or characteristics within the respective composite entity engagement profiles. The matching policy may include, use, or otherwise access a machine learning algorithm configured to use the composite entity engagement profiles for generating a match score. The matching policy **4510** may correlate values of particular field-value pairs within the composite entity engagement profiles as indicating a likely match between respective entities. For instance, where the lead prefers calls over in-person meetings and the employee has a tendency to initiate calls, the match score may be computed to reflect a higher match between the lead and employee.

[1272] In some embodiments, the data processing system **9300** can be configured to determine the behaviors, preferences and business practices of the candidate employee as it relates to the lead by only analyzing electronic activities **9305** exchanged between the candidate employee and other leads in the past. Similarly, the data processing system **9300** can be configured to determine the behaviors, preferences and business practices of the lead as it relates to the candidate employee by only analyzing electronic activities exchanged between the lead and other employees in the past. The system **200** can then determine the match score between the candidate employee and the lead based on a comparison of the respective behaviors, preferences, and business practices (as indicated in the respective composite entity engagement profiles). The data processing system **9300** may be configured to match the entity to the new record object **4504** (e.g., associated with the lead) based on the match score (e.g., satisfying a threshold, for instance).

[1273] FIG. **46** illustrates a method **4600** for determining a performance profile of an employee, in accordance with one or more embodiments. The operations of method **4600** presented below are intended to be illustrative. In some embodiments, method **4600** may be accomplished with one or more additional operations not described, and/or without one or more of the operations discussed. Additionally, the order in which the operations of method **4600** are illustrated in FIG. **46** and described below is not intended to be limiting. At step **4602**, the system **200** accesses a plurality of record objects of a system of record. At step **4604**, the system **200** identifies a subset of record objects. At step **4606**, the system **200** identifies electronic activities linked to each record object. At step **4608**, the system **200** determines an entity engagement profile. At step **4610**, the system **200** generates a composite entity engagement profile. At step **4614**, the system **200** stores an association between the entity and the composite entity engagement profile. At step **4614**, the system **200** 

matches a new record object with the entity.

[1274] At step **4602**, the system **200** accesses a plurality of record objects of a system of record. In some embodiments, the system **200** may access a plurality of record objects of a system of record of a data source provider. Each record object of the plurality of record objects may include one or more object fields having one or more object field values. Each of the plurality of record objects may be associated with or otherwise include one or more electronic activities. The system **200** may access the record objects associated with a particular company. The system **200** may access the record objects at various intervals (e.g., once a day, once a week, once a month, etc.) or upon occurrence of various triggers (e.g., generating new record objects, a status of a record object changing, etc.).

[1275] At step **4604**, the system **200** identifies a subset of record objects. In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify, from the plurality of record objects, a subset of record objects associated with a node profile corresponding to an entity. One of the object field-value pairs may indicate associated node profiles. For instance, the record objects accessed at step **4602** may include an object field-value pair corresponding to an electronic account for an employee (e.g., salesperson) assigned to the record object. The system **200** may perform a look-up function using the electronic account to identify a node profile for the entity. The system **200** may identify other record objects within the subset of record objects by cross-referencing the electronic account for the employee with object field-value pairs of other record objects accessed at step **4602**.

[1276] At step **4606**, the system **200** identifies electronic activities linked to each record object. In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify, for each record object of the subset of record objects, electronic activities linked to the record object. Each record object may include a plurality of electronic activities associated therewith. The electronic activities may include those electronic activities used for generating the record object corresponding to the lead and those electronic activities engaged in by the lead and employee in process of the opportunity corresponding to the record object.

[1277] At step **4608**, the system **200** determines an entity engagement profile. In some embodiments, the system **200** may determine, for each record object of the subset of record objects, a respective entity engagement profile for the entity based on the electronic activities linked to the record object and one or more object field-value pairs of the record object. In some embodiments, the entity engagement profile may be structured similar to a node profile and/or a record object (e.g., a group of field-value pairs). Thus, t the system **200** may generate the entity engagement profiles by parsing the electronic activities and/or record objects, and assigning values to corresponding fields of the entity engagement profile. As described in greater detail below, the system **200** generate a composite entity engagement profile based on each entity engagement profile corresponding to a given entity. The data processing system **9300** may use the composite entity engagement profile for matching record objects to entities (e.g., employees) as described in greater detail below.

[1278] In some embodiments, the system **200** generates the entity engagement profile using the object field-value pairs corresponding to the record objects identified at step **4604**. Each record object may include object field-value pairs corresponding to, for instance, a record object status (e.g., lead, in-process, closed, lost, won, etc.), a company size (large, midsize, small company), a company industry (e.g., an industry of the buyer company, an industry of the seller company, etc.), a record object close duration (e.g., duration spanning from lead identification date to closing date), and so forth. The system **200** may parse the record object **4502** to identify one or more values from the object field-value pairs. The system **200** may identify, highlight, or otherwise determine classifications of record objects **4502** in which the entity has historically been successful (versus those in which the entity was unsuccessful). For instance, the entity may have more success in closing opportunities or leads having a longer close duration (e.g., indicating the entity typically takes their time). As another example, the entity may have more success in closing opportunities

with large and midsize companies. As yet another example, the entity may have more success in closing opportunities with a particular company. The entity engagement profile corresponding to the record object may thus include information, data, etc. indicating the record object status and information corresponding to the record object classification. In some embodiments, the system **200** may generate the entity engagement profile using the electronic activities identified at step **4606**. Each of the electronic activities **9305** linked to a record object **4502** may include metadata. The system **200** may generate activity patterns of a node profile associated with the entity including but not limited to communication styles (e.g., short versus long electronic activities), response rates or times (e.g., how quickly the entity responds to an electronic activity with a corresponding electronic activity, the time of day in which the entity responds to an electronic activity), communication mode preferences (e.g., the entity requests or initiates phone calls rather than inperson meetings or emails), among others.

[1279] At step **4610**, the system **200** generates a composite entity engagement profile. In some embodiments, the system **200** may generate a composite entity engagement profile of the entity based on each respective entity engagement profile corresponding to each record object of the subset of record objects. The composite entity engagement profile may be structured similar to the entity engagement profiles generated at step **4608**. The composite entity engagement profile may include field-value pairs which indicate, reflect, or otherwise represent the entities performance, habits, tendencies, preferences, and so forth during an opportunity. The composite entity engagement profile may generally reflect the activity patterns for the entity on various opportunities in which the entity is associated. The system **200** may generate a composite entity engagement profile for each entity associated with a record object. Hence, the system **200** may generate a plurality of composite entity engagement profiles for various entities.

[1280] At step **4612**, the system **200** stores an association between the entity and the composite entity engagement profile. In some embodiments, the system **200** may store, in one or more data structures, an association between the entity and the entity performance profile. The composite entity engagement profile may be updated as the entity engages, works on, or is associated with further record objects. The system **200** may update the composite entity engagement profiles, replace stale composite entity engagement profiles with up-to-date composite entity engagement profiles, and so forth. The system **200** may use the composite entity engagement profiles for matching entities to record objects, as described in greater detail below.

[1281] At step **4614**, the system **200** matches a new record object (e.g., a second record object) with the entity. In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify a second record object having one or more object field-value pairs. The system **200** may match the second record object with the entity using the composite entity engagement profile and the object-field value pairs of the second record object. The system **200** may apply a matching policy to the composite entity engagement profile and object field-value pairs of the second record object to generate a match score between the entity and the second record object. The system **200** may match the entity and second record object when the match score satisfies a threshold. The system **200** may generate and transmit a prompt to an electronic account associated with the entity responsive to matching the entity with the second record object. The prompt may indicate one or more values of the object field-value pairs of the second record object.

[1282] In some embodiments, the one or more object field-value pairs of the record object correspond to a first field identifying a second entity linked to a first group entity to which the first entity is linked and a second field identifying a third member entity linked to a second group entity. For instance, where the entity is on a department or team within a company and another team member (e.g., who is otherwise unavailable) has a relationship with a lead corresponding to the record object, the system **200** may match the entity with the record object based on the relationship with the lead. The system **200** may identify the second entity (e.g., other team member) has worked on an opportunity with the lead (e.g., the third member entity). The system **200** may match the

entity with the second record object based on the relationship between the lead and the other team member.

[1283] In some embodiments, the system **200** may identify the electronic activities linked to the second record object (e.g., the new record object). The system **200** may match the second record object with the entity using the composite entity engagement profile, the object-field value pairs of the second record object and the electronic activities linked to the second record object. For instance, where the entity and the lead associated with the second record object have previously worked together, the system **200** may match the entity with the second record object based on the previous working relationship. The system **200** may identify participants of the electronic activities linked to the second record object (e.g., the electronic activities used for generating the second record object, which may identify or correspond to the lead). The system may determine that the entity has previously worked with some of the participants. For instance, the system **200** may determine that the identified one or more participants match participants of electronic activities linked to record objects identified at step **4604** (indicating that the entity has previously conducted business with the lead). The system **200** may match the second record object to the entity based on the matching participants.

[1284] In some embodiments, the system **200** may match the second record object to the entity based on a composite entity engagement profile corresponding to a second node profile of a second entity (e.g., the lead) associated with the second record object in comparison with the composite entity engagement profile generated at step **4610**. The system **200** may compare the composite entity engagement profiles to determine whether the entity and lead are compatible. The system **200** may generate a match score between the respective composite entity engagement profiles. The match score may increase as the entity and lead are more compatible. For instance, where the entity typically engages in in-person meetings and the lead shows a preference for in-person meetings, the entity and lead may be more compatible. As another example, where the lead typically prefers calls whereas the entity typically engages in emails, the entity and lead may be less compatible. The system may compute the match score based on a comparison of the respective composite entity engagement profiles. The system **200** may match the entity to the second record object (e.g., at step **4614**) responsive to the match score satisfying a threshold. In some embodiments, the system may generate the match score by applying an engagement profile matching policy to the respective composite entity engagement profiles. The engagement profile matching policy may be configured to generate the match score based on complementary characteristics within the first composite entity engagement profile and the second composite entity engagement profile.

## **Claims**

1. A method, comprising: maintaining, by a data processing system including one or more processors, a plurality of node profiles including a plurality of node field-value pairs, each node-field-value pair identifying a node field and one or more node values; accessing, by the data processing system, data of a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers; accessing, by the data processing system, from one or more servers of a customer relationship management (CRM) system, a plurality of CRM systems of record including a plurality of CRM record objects, each CRM record object of the plurality of CRM record objects corresponding to a record object type and comprising one or more object fields having one or more object field values, each respective CRM system of record of the plurality of the CRM systems of record corresponding to a respective data source provider of the plurality of data source providers; generating, by the data processing system, a plurality of local record objects corresponding to the plurality of CRM record objects, each respective local record object of the plurality of local record objects storing record object data obtained from the corresponding CRM record object, the record object data stored in the plurality of local record

objects used by the data processing system for matching electronic activities to the plurality of local record objects, each local record object used to update a corresponding CRM record object maintained on the one or more servers of the CRM system; generating, by the data processing system, for a first person identified in a first object field-value pair of a first set of local opportunity record objects of the plurality of local record objects, a goal data object corresponding to a first local opportunity record object of the first set of local opportunity record objects based on data included in one or more second object field-value pairs of the first local opportunity record object, each second object field-value pair of the one or more second object field-value pairs identifying a person and a respective role of the person in a process of the first local opportunity record object, each local opportunity record object comprising a stage field-value pair indicating a stage of a process corresponding to the local opportunity record object, the goal data object generated for the first person having a status configured to change from a first, incomplete status to a second, complete status based on an event policy specifying a qualifying entity type; identifying, by the data processing system, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object that identifies the first entity in the first object field-value pair; determining, by the data processing system, from the set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object, a second entity that is included as a participant of a first electronic activity and that has previously not been included as a participant in the set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object or that has not been identified by the one or more second object field-value pairs of the first local opportunity record object; identifying, by the data processing system, for the second entity, from the plurality of node profiles, a node profile including one or more node field-value pairs identifying at least one of a seniority or department of the second entity; determining, by the data processing system, based on the one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile, that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type based on the seniority or the department of the second entity satisfying a predetermined seniority or department value; updating, by the data processing system, the status of the goal data object generated for the first person from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type; updating, by the data processing system, a third object field-value pair of the first local opportunity record object to include a value identifying the second entity responsive to determining that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type; and transmitting, by the data processing system, to the one or more servers of the CRM system, responsive to updating the third object field-value pair of the first local opportunity record object, instructions to update a CRM record object to which the first local opportunity record object corresponds by including the value identifying the second entity to a corresponding field of the CRM record object.

- **2.** The method of claim 1, wherein one or more conditions of the event policy include being a specified electronic activity type, the method further comprising: determining, by the data processing system, that the first electronic activity of the set of electronic activities satisfies the one or more conditions by: determining, by the data processing system, for the first electronic activity, an electronic activity type; and matching, by the data processing system, the electronic activity type of the first electronic activity to the specified electronic activity type.
- **3**. The method of claim 1, wherein determining, for each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities, a plurality of activity field-value pairs identifying one or more participants of the electronic activity and wherein determining that at least one electronic activity of the set of electronic activities identifies the participant of the at least one electronic activity comprises: parsing, by the data processing system, the electronic activity to identify the participant of the electronic activity; and determining that the participant is an entity of the qualifying entity type.
- **4.** The method of claim 1, wherein a field of the node field-value pair of the node profile is one of a plurality of predetermined fields.
- 5. The method of claim 3, wherein parsing the electronic activity to identify the participant of the

- electronic activity comprises determining, by the data processing system, a value of a header field for the electronic activity, the header field corresponding to at least one of a contact identifier or a name, wherein the electronic activity is an email.
- **6**. The method of claim 1, further comprising storing, by the data processing system, in one or more data structures, an association between the goal data object, the status of the goal data object and an identifier of a second node profile of the first person.
- 7. A data processing system, comprising: one or more processors configured by machine-readable instructions to: maintain a plurality of node profiles including a plurality of node field-value pairs, each node-field-value pair identifying a node field and one or more node values; access data of a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers; access, from one or more servers of a customer relationship management (CRM) system, a plurality of CRM systems of record including a plurality of CRM record objects, each CRM record object of the plurality of CRM record objects corresponding to a record object type and comprising one or more object fields having one or more object field values, each respective CRM system of record of the plurality of the CRM systems of record corresponding to a respective data source provider of the plurality of data source providers; generate a plurality of local record objects corresponding to the plurality of CRM record objects, each respective local record object of the plurality of local record objects storing record object data obtained from the corresponding CRM record object, the record object data stored in the plurality of local record objects used by the data processing system for matching electronic activities to the plurality of local record objects, each local record object used to update a corresponding CRM record object maintained on the one or more servers of the CRM system; generate, for a first person identified in a first object fieldvalue pair of a first set of local opportunity record objects of the plurality of local record objects, a goal data object corresponding to a first local opportunity record object of the first set of local opportunity record objects based on data included in one or more second object field-value pairs of the first local opportunity record object, each second object field-value pair of the one or more second object field-value pairs identifying a person and a respective role of the person in a process of the first local opportunity record object, each local opportunity record object comprising a stage field-value pair indicating a stage of a process corresponding to the local opportunity record object, the goal data object generated for the first person having a status configured to change from a first, incomplete status to a second, complete status based on an event policy specifying a qualifying entity type; identify, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object that identifies the first entity in the first object fieldvalue pair; determine, from the set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object, a second entity that is included as a participant of a first electronic activity and that has previously not been included as a participant in the set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object or that has not been identified by the one or more second object field-value pairs of the first local opportunity record object; identify, for the second entity, from the plurality of node profiles, a node profile including one or more node field-value pairs identifying at least one of a seniority or department of the second entity; determine, based on the one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile, that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type based on the seniority or the department of the second entity satisfying a predetermined seniority or department value; update the status of the goal data object generated for the first person from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type; update a third object field-value pair of the first local opportunity record object to include a value identifying the second entity responsive to determining that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type; and transmit, to the one or more servers of the CRM system, responsive to updating the third object field-value pair of the first local opportunity record object, instructions to update a CRM record object to which the first local opportunity record object corresponds by including the value identifying the second entity to a corresponding field of the

CRM record object.

- **8.** The system of claim 7, wherein one or more conditions of the event policy include being a specified electronic activity type, and the data processing system is further configured to: determine that the first electronic activity of the set of electronic activities satisfies the one or more conditions by: determining, for the first electronic activity, an electronic activity type; and matching the electronic activity type of the first electronic activity to the specified electronic activity type.
- **9.** The system of claim 7, wherein the data processing system is further configured to determine, for each electronic activity of the set of electronic activities, a plurality of activity field-value pairs identifying one or more participants of the electronic activity and wherein determining that at least one electronic activity of the set of electronic activities identifies the participant of the at least one electronic activity comprises: parsing the electronic activity to identify the participant of the electronic activity; and determining that the participant is an entity of the qualifying entity type.
- **10**. The system of claim 7, wherein the set of electronic activities include emails.
- **11**. The system of claim 7, wherein a field of the node profile is one of a plurality of predetermined fields.
- **12**. The system of claim 7, wherein the data processing system is further configured to store, in one or more data structures, an association between the goal data object, the status of the goal data object and an identifier of a second node profile of the first person.
- **13**. A non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions embodied thereon, the instructions being executable by one or more processors of a data processing system to: maintain a plurality of node profiles including a plurality of node field-value pairs, each node-field-value pair identifying a node field and one or more node values; access data of a plurality of electronic activities transmitted or received via electronic accounts of one or more data source providers; access, from one or more servers of a customer relationship management (CRM) system, a plurality of CRM systems of record including a plurality of CRM record objects, each CRM record object of the plurality of CRM record objects corresponding to a record object type and comprising one or more object fields having one or more object field values, each respective CRM system of record of the plurality of the CRM systems of record corresponding to a respective data source provider of the plurality of data source providers; generate a plurality of local record objects corresponding to the plurality of CRM record objects, each respective local record object of the plurality of local record objects storing record object data obtained from the corresponding CRM record object, the record object data stored in the plurality of local record objects used by the data processing system for matching electronic activities to the plurality of local record objects, each local record object used to update a corresponding CRM record object maintained on the one or more servers of the CRM system; generate, for a first person identified in a first object field-value pair of a first set of local opportunity record objects of the plurality of local record objects, a goal data object corresponding to a first local opportunity record object of the first set of local opportunity record objects based on data included in one or more second object field-value pairs of the first local opportunity record object, each second object field-value pair of the one or more second object field-value pairs identifying a person and a respective role of the person in a process of the first local opportunity record object, each local opportunity record object comprising a stage field-value pair indicating a stage of a process corresponding to the local opportunity record object, the goal data object generated for the first person having a status configured to change from a first, incomplete status to a second, complete status based on an event policy specifying a qualifying entity type; identify, from the plurality of electronic activities, a set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object that identifies the first entity in the first object fieldvalue pair; determine, from the set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object, a second entity that is included as a participant of a first electronic activity and that has previously not been included as a participant in the set of electronic activities matched with the first local opportunity record object or that has not been identified by the one or more second object

field-value pairs of the first local opportunity record object; identify, for the second entity, from the plurality of node profiles, a node profile including one or more node field-value pairs identifying at least one of a seniority or department of the second entity; determine, based on the one or more node field-value pairs of the node profile, that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type based on the seniority or the department of the second entity satisfying a predetermined seniority or department value; update the status of the goal data object generated for the first person from the first status to the second status responsive to determining that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type; update a third object field-value pair of the first local opportunity record object to include a value identifying the second entity responsive to determining that the second entity satisfies the qualifying entity type; and transmit, to the one or more servers of the CRM system, responsive to updating the third object field-value pair of the first local opportunity record object, instructions to update a CRM record object to which the first local opportunity record object corresponds by including the value identifying the second entity to a corresponding field of the CRM record object.