US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent

Kind Code

B2

Date of Patent

Inventor(s)

12395320

August 19, 2025

Fiske; Michael Stephen

Multiparty key exchange

Abstract

This invention pertains to secure communications between multiple parties and/or secure computation or data transmission between multiple computers or multiple vehicles. This invention provides a secure method for three or more parties to establish one or more shared secrets between all parties. In some embodiments, there are less than 40 parties and in other embodiments there are more than 1 million parties that establish a shared secret. In some embodiments, establishing a shared secret among multiple parties provides a method for a secure conference call. In some embodiments, a shared secret is established with multiple computer nodes across the whole earth to help provide a secure Internet infrastructure that can reliably and securely route Internet traffic. In some embodiments, a shared secret is established so that self-driving vehicles may securely communicate and securely coordinate their motion to avoid collisions. In some embodiments, a shared secret is established with multiple computer nodes that participate as a network, performing blockchain computations.

Inventors: Fiske; Michael Stephen (San Francisco, CA)

Applicant: Fiske; Michael Stephen (San Francisco, CA)

Family ID: 1000008764119

Assignee: Fiske Software LLC (San Francisco, CA)

Appl. No.: 17/399064

Filed: August 11, 2021

Prior Publication Data

Document IdentifierUS 20210377009 A1

Publication Date
Dec. 02, 2021

Related U.S. Application Data

continuation-in-part parent-doc US 16133673 20180917 US 11140141 child-doc US 17399064 us-provisional-application US 62559641 20170918

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: H04L9/08 (20060101); H04L9/14 (20060101); H04L9/30 (20060101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **H04L9/0825** (20130101); **H04L9/085** (20130101); **H04L9/14** (20130101); **H04L9/3093** (20130101);

Field of Classification Search

CPC: H04L (9/0825); H04L (9/085); H04L (9/14); H04L (9/3066); H04L (9/0838); H04L

(2209/46); H04L (63/065)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
9698986	12/2016	Gutoski	N/A	H04L 9/3093
2004/0098440	12/2003	Koc	708/620	G06F 7/5324
2004/0139132	12/2003	Lutkenhaus	708/250	G06F 7/588
2005/0117745	12/2004	Lee	380/30	H04L 9/304
2007/0186109	12/2006	Nyberg	713/171	H04L 9/0844
2009/0154711	12/2008	Jho	380/44	H04L 9/083
2013/0094649	12/2012	Tomlinson	380/30	H04L 9/304
2014/0302774	12/2013	Burke	455/3.05	G07C 5/08
2015/0261502	12/2014	Sartor	359/107	G06F 7/588
2015/0358158	12/2014	Fadaie	713/171	H04L 9/0838
2018/0026784	12/2017	Ward	713/171	H04L 9/14

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Steiner M, Tsudik G, Waidner M. Diffie-Hellman key distribution extended to group communication. In Proceedings of the 3rd ACM conference on Computer and communications security Jan. 1, 1996 (pp. 31-37). (Year: 1996). cited by examiner

Just M, Vaudenay S. Authenticated multi-party key agreement. In International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security Nov. 3, 1996 (pp. 36-49).

Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. (Year: 1996). cited by examiner

Asokan N, Ginzboorg P. Key agreement in ad hoc networks. Computer communications. Nov. 1, 2000; 23(17):1627-37. (Year: 2000). cited by examiner

Lin CH, Lin HH, Chang JC. Multiparty key agreement for secure teleconferencing. In 2006 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics Oct. 8, 2006 (vol. 5, pp. 3702-3707). IEEE. (Year: 2006). cited by examiner

Biswas G.P. Diffie-Hellman technique: extended to multiple two-party keys and one multi-party key. IET Information Security. Mar. 1, 2008; 2(1):12-8. (Year: 2008). cited by examiner Sun Z, Huang J, Wang P. Efficient multiparty quantum key agreement protocol based on commutative encryption. Quantum Information Processing. May 1, 2016; 15(5):2101-11. (Year:

2016). cited by examiner

Becker K, Wille U. Communication complexity of group key distribution. In Proceedings of the 5th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security Nov. 1, 1998 (pp. 1-6). (Year: 1998). cited by examiner

Harn, L., & Lin, C. (2014). Efficient group Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocols. Computers & Electrical Engineering, 40(6), 1972-1980. (Year: 2014). cited by examiner

Primary Examiner: Leung; Robert B

Background/Summary

1 RELATED APPLICATIONS (1) This application claims priority benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 62/559,641, entitled "Multi Party Key Exchange", filed Sep. 18, 2017, which is incorporated herein by reference. This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Non-provisional patent application Ser. No. 16/133,673, entitled "Multiparty Key Exchange", filed Sep. 17, 2018, which is incorporated herein by reference.

2 BACKGROUND-FIELD OF INVENTION

- (1) The present invention relates broadly to protecting the privacy of information and devices and infrastructure systems such as the Internet. The processes and device are generally used to maintain the privacy and integrity of information transmitted through communication and transmission systems and Internet routing systems.
- 3 BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION
- (2) This invention describes multiparty key exchange processes and systems. In some embodiments, a multiparty key exchange process or system securely establishes a common shared secret between 3 or more parties. In some embodiments, there may be 1024 entities in the multiparty exchange; this is called a 1024-party exchange. In some embodiments, there may be as many as 1 million entities in the exchange; this is called a 1 million-party exchange. In some embodiments, the multiparty public keys, that comprise multiparty exchange, may be transmitted via IP (internet protocol). These processes and devices also may be used to compute passive multiparty public keys stored on a computer or another physical device such as a tape drive. In some embodiments, symmetric cryptographic methods and machines are also used to supplement a multiparty key exchange; for example, one-way hash functions may help compute the shared secrets between multiple parties.
- (3) Typically, the information—multiparty public key(s)—are computed by multiple sending parties, called Alice, Bob, Ella, Fred, Joanne, Kate, and so on. When there is a multiparty exchange that involves a large number of participants, the symbols P.sub.1, P.sub.2, P.sub.3 . . . , P.sub.n may be used instead of human names. Symbol P.sub.1 refers to the first party in the multiparty exchange. Symbol P.sub.2 refers to the second party. Symbol P.sub.3 refers to the third party. Symbol P.sub.n refers to the nth party.
- (4) In an embodiments, Alice broadcasts one or more multiparty public key(s) to one or more receiving parties, called Bob, Ella, Fred, Joanne, Kate and other parties. The receiving parties execute multiparty receiving machine instructions on their devices. The output of this exchange or process, executed on their devices, securely establishes a shared secret among multiple parties. Eve is the name of the agent who is attempting to obtain or capture the public keys transmitted between Alice, Bob, Ella, Fred, Joanne, Kate, and other parties. A primary goal of Alice, Bob, Ella, Fred, Joanne, Kate and other parties is to assure that Eve cannot capture the shared secret established between these multiple parties.

(5) In an embodiment, a multiparty key exchange establishes a shared secret between multiple parties, enabling the parties to make a secure encrypted voice conference call so that an eavesdropper is unable to listen to the conversation even when the eavesdropper has access to the encrypted voice data. In an embodiment, a multiparty key exchange establishes a shared secret with multiple computer nodes across the whole earth, enabling a secure Internet infrastructure that can reliably and securely route Internet traffic.

4 BACKGROUND-PRIOR ART

- (6) The subject matter discussed in this background section should not be assumed to be prior art merely as a result of its mention in the background section. Similarly, a problem mentioned in the background section or associated with the subject matter of the background section should not be assumed to have been previously recognized in the prior art. The subject matter in the "ADVANTAGES and FAVORABLE PROPERTIES" section represents different approaches, which in and of themselves may also be inventions, and various properties, which may have been first recognized by the inventor.
- (7) One of the better known prior art methods performs broadcast encryption with bilinear maps custom character. As a consequence of using bilinear maps instead of commutative groups and their implementation of bilinear maps, the public size is linear in the number of recipients of the broadcast. This means if one starts with a standard 256-bit equivalent public key for one user, then this converts to a 256 kilobit key for 1,000 users. This makes this prior art method not feasible for an Internet infrastructure when there are 10,000 or more parties.

5 ADVANTAGES AND FAVORABLE PROPERTIES

- (9) $\frac{n^2}{4} \approx (b \log_2 b)^2$ bits . As shown in FIG. **9**, the public key size for McEliece hidden-Goppacode cryptography is 4,194,304 bits to obtain a quantum complexity of 5.8×10.sup.76. For a prior art exchange (e.g., bilinear maps) that involves 1,000 computer nodes, each public key requires over 4 billion bits of memory. This invention's system design decentralizes the security to each user so that Alice, Bob, Ella, Fred and other parties (i.e., multiparty), possess their sources of randomness. Decentralization of the private and public key generation helps eliminate potential single points of failure, and backdoors in the transmission medium that may be outside the inspection and control of Alice, Bob, Ella, Fred and other parties. Our key exchange system does not use a centralized authority to create public or establish shared secrets between multiple parties. This decentralization and lack of a centralized authority helps hinder man-in-the-middle attacks and backdoors that are common in centralized authority systems. Our multiparty key exchange system works with any public key cryptography; it does not depend on any particular public key cryptography such as RSA or elliptic curve or lattice-based cryptography. Our multiparty key exchange system not only enables all the parties involved in the exchange to securely communicate but it also allows proper subsets of the parties involved in the exchange to communicate without the other parties able to eavesdrop. This is a quite useful property during a voice conference call, where two parties Alice and Bob may want to communicate to each other in real-time on the conference call so that the other parties on the call cannot listen to what they are saying. For

example, Alice might be explaining something on the call that Bob does not want to disclose to the others. This selectivity of secure communication also has useful applications in the secure routing of Internet traffic.

Description

6 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

- (1) In the following drawings, although they may depict various examples of the invention, the invention is not limited to the examples depicted in the drawings.
- (2) FIG. **1**A shows an embodiment of an information system for sending, receiving multiparty public keys and computing a multiparty key exchange.
- (3) FIG. **1**B shows an embodiment of a process for computing a multiparty key exchange that can be used in the embodiment of FIG. **1**A.
- (4) FIG. **2**A shows an embodiment of a computer network transmitting multiparty public keys. In some embodiments, the transmission may be over the Internet or a part of a network that supports an infrastructure such as the electrical grid, a financial exchange, or a power plant, which can be used with the embodiment of FIG. **1**A.
- (5) FIG. **2**B shows an embodiment of a secure computing area for computing, sending and receiving multiparty keys, which includes a processor, memory and input/output system, which may be the sending and/or receiving machines of FIG. **1**A.
- (6) FIG. **3**A shows an embodiment of a USB drive that can act as a sending machine and receiving machine to store and protect a user's information.
- (7) FIG. **3**B shows an embodiment of an authentication token, which may include the sending and/or receiving machines of FIG. **1**A, that contains a computer processor that can compute multiparty keys.
- (8) FIG. **4** shows a mobile phone embodiment **400** that executes a multiparty key exchange for the purpose of a private voice conference call. Mobile phone embodiment **400** transmits the multiparty public keys wirelessly. Mobile phone embodiment **400** may include the sending and/or receiving machines of FIG. **1**A.
- (9) FIG. **5***a* shows step **4** of a 4-party key exchange between Alice, Bob, Fred and Haley.
- (10) FIG. **5***b* shows step **9** of a 4-party key exchange where Bob sends his multiparty public key to Haley; Haley sends her multiparty public key to Alice; Alice sends her multiparty public key to Fred; and Fred sends his multiparty public key to Bob.
- (11) FIG. **6**A shows an embodiment of a non-deterministic generator, based on quantum randomness. Non-deterministic generator **642** is based on the behavior of photons to help generate one or more private keys. Non-deterministic generator **642** contains a light emitting diode **646** that emits photons and a phototransistor **644** that absorbs photons.
- (12) FIG. **6**B shows an embodiment of a non-deterministic generator, based on quantum randomness. Non-deterministic generator **652** is based on the behavior of photons to help generate one or more private keys. Non-deterministic generator **652** contains a light emitting diode **656** that emits photons and a phototdiode **654** that absorbs photons.
- (13) FIG. **7** shows a light emitting diode **702**, which emits photons. In some embodiments, LED **702** is part of the random number generator, which is used to generate private keys.
- (14) FIG. **8** shows n self-driving vehicles that have used a multiparty key exchange to establish shared secrets between the self-driving vehicles. The shared secrets help prevent a rogue vehicle or rogue communication from an unauthorized vehicle or unauthorized computer from subverting the communication or driving directions of the self-driving vehicles.
- (15) FIG. **9** shows a complexity table for McEliece hidden-Goppa-code public key cryptography—a post-quantum public key cryptography. For b=128, row 1 shows the classical complexity (column

3) and quantum complexity (column 4) for McEliece hidden-Goppa-code cryptography. For b=256, row 2 shows the classical complexity (column 3) and quantum complexity (column 4). For b=512, row 3 shows the classical complexity (column 3) and quantum complexity (column 4). 7 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

- (16) 7.1 Information Terms and Definitions
- (17) In this specification, "party" refers to any number of objects or entities that participate in a multiparty key exchange. A "party" may be a device such as a USB device or smartcard. A "party" may be any number of possible devices or computing environments, where private keys and public keys are generated, sent, received and computed. A "party" may be a computer node in an Internet infrastructure, a computer processor chip in a mobile phone, a computer acting as domain name server, a computer acting as a proxy, a computer chip in an embedded environment, a computer running a Linux operating system, a computer running Apple OS, a router. A "party" may refer to a computing environment operating inside a drone. A "party" may refer to a single quantum computer.
- (18) In this specification, "multiparty" refers to two or more parties. In some embodiments, "multiparty" may refer to four parties called Alice, Bob, Fred and Haley. In some embodiments, "multiparty" may refer to one thousand (i.e., 1,000) parties. In some embodiments, "multiparty" may refer to one million (i.e., 1,000,000) parties. In some embodiments, "multiparty" may refer to one billion (i.e., 1,000,000,000) parties. When there are a small number of participants in a multiparty key exchange, the human names Alice, Bob, Ella, Fred, Joanne and Kate are used even though each name may refer to one of the aforementioned devices or computing environments. When there is a multiparty exchange that involves a large number of participants, the symbols P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n may be used instead of human names. Thus, P.sub.1 is a symbol that refers to the first party involved in a multiparty key exchange. P.sub.2 is a symbol that refers to the second party involved in a multiparty key exchange. P.sub.3 is a symbol that refers to the third party involved in a multiparty key exchange. P.sub.n is a symbol that refers to the nth party involved in a multiparty key exchange. In an embodiment, the number of distinct parties may be greater than one thousand so that n>1,000. In another embodiment, the number of distinct parties may be greater than one million so that n>1,000,000. In embodiments that have a smaller number of parties, the human names are often used. For example, in a 4-party exchange, the names Alice, Bob, Ella and Fred are used.
- (20) In other embodiments, a public key may be a sequence of values that are not represented as bits. Consider the set {A, B, C, D, E}. For example, the string that starts after this colon is a 40-symbol key selected from the set {A, B, C, D, E}: ACDEB AADBC EAEBB AAECB ADDCB BDCCE ACECB EACAE. In an embodiment, a key could be a string of length n selected from {A, B, C, D, E}.sup.n. In an embodiment, n=700 so that the key is a string of 700 symbols where each symbol is selected from {A, B, C, D, E}.
- (21) In this specification, the term "public key" refers to any kind of public key used in public key cryptography. In an embodiment, "public key" refers to an RSA public key. (For RSA, see reference custom character with Rivest as an author.) In an embodiment, "public key" refers to an elliptic curve public key. (See references custom character with authors Silverman, Edwards

- and Montgomery.) In an embodiment, "public key" refers to a McEliece public key. (See reference custom character with McEliece as an author.) In an embodiment, "public key" refers to a lattice-based public key.
- (22) In this specification, the term "size" of the key refers to the number of bits that represent the key. If a public key is represented in hexadecimal, then each symbol in {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, A, B, C, D, E, F} has a size of 8 (eight) bits, and, for example, the size of F3 is sixteen (16 decimal) bits. The size of the hexadecimal public key F3 A2 34 79 03 BC C0 1E 16 39 7D 99 47 DF 28 54 is two hundred and fifty six (256 decimal) bits.
- (23) If n is the number of participants in a multiparty key exchange, then the function f(n)=3n is a linear function of the number of participants (number of parties) in a multiparty key exchange. If k.sub.1 and k.sub.2 are constants then function f.sub.k.sub.1.sub.,k.sub.2(n)=k.sub.1n+k.sub.2 is a linear function of n. The function $g(n)=n\log(n)$ increases faster than any linear function of n. The quadratic function q(n)=n.sup.2 increases faster than any linear function. The function $h(n)=\log(n)$ increases slower than any linear function of n. The constant function c(n)=k, where k is a constant, does not increase at all. In this patent specification we will say that a constant function increases slower than any linear function. This is true of constant functions because they do not increase at all. In this patent specification, we are interested in the size of a multiparty public key as a function of the number of participants (number of parties) in the multiparty key exchange. In the prior art, the size of the public keys increases too much to support a multiparty key exchange between 1 billion different parties.
- (24) For example, in an embodiment, there are 1 billion sensors on our satellites circling the earth, and the sensors want to establish a shared secret. This is not possible with the prior art because the public key sizes become too big to support a multiparty key exchange with 1 billion participants. In an embodiment, a sensor is an antenna or contains an antenna. In an embodiment, a sensor is a microphone or contains a microphone. In an embodiment, a sensor is a speaker or contains a speaker. In an embodiment, a sensor is a camera or contains a camera. In an embodiment, a sensor is a video camera or contains a video camera. In an embodiment, a sensor is a light emitter or contains a light emitter. In an embodiment, a sensor is a Geiger counter or contains a Geiger counter. In an embodiment, a sensor is a gravity meter or contains a gravity meter. In an embodiment, a sensor can detect and measure pressure. In an embodiment, a sensor contains one or more chlorophyll molecules. In an embodiment, a sensor contains one or more mirrors. In an embodiment, a sensor contains one or more photodetectors.
- (25) In this specification, the term "multiparty" public key refers to a public key derived from two or more parties. In this specification, the term "multiparty" private key refers to a private key derived from two or more parties. In this specification, the term "multiparty" shared secret refers to a shared secret derived from two or more parties.
- (26) In some embodiments, multiparty public key(s) **109** in FIG. **1**A may be read as input by processor system **258** in FIG. **2**B, that executes instructions which perform multiparty receiving instructions **116** in FIG. **1**A. In some embodiments, multiparty public key(s) **132** in FIG. **1**B, may be read as input by processor system **258**, that executes instructions which perform multiparty receiving instructions **116**.
- (27) In some embodiments, public key(s) **104** are RSA public key(s), which is a well-known public key cryptography custom character. RSA is described from the perspective of Alice. Alice chooses two huge primes p.sub.A and q.sub.A. Alice computes n.sub.A=p.sub.Aq.sub.A and a random number r.sub.A which has no common factor with (p.sub.A=1)(q.sub.A=1). In other words, 1 is the greatest common divisor of r.sub.A and (p.sub.A=1)(q.sub.A=1). he Euler-phi function is defined as follows. If k=1, then $\phi(k)$ =1; if k>1, then $\phi(k)$ is the number positive integers i such that i<k and i and k are relatively prime. Relatively prime means the greatest common divisor of i and k is 1. The positive integer e.sub.A is randomly selected such that e.sub.A is relatively prime to

- ϕ (n.sub.A).
- (28) Alice computes $\phi(n.sub.A)=n.sub.A+1-p.sub.A-q.sub.A$. Alice computes the multiplicative inverse of r.sub.A modulo $\phi(n.sub.A)$; the multiplicative inverse is d.sub.A=e.sub.A.sup.-1 modulo $\phi(n.sub.A)$. Alice makes public her public key (n.sub.A, r.sub.A): that is, the two positive integers (n.sub.A, r.sub.A) are Alice's public key.
- (29) In an embodiment, random generator **128** generates r.sub.1 . . . r.sub.p which is input to private key instructions **124**. In an embodiment that hides RSA public keys, private key instruction **124** use r.sub.1 . . . r.sub.p to find two huge primes p.sub.A and q.sub.A and a random number r.sub.A relatively prime to (p.sub.A-1)(q.sub.A-1).
- (30) In an embodiment, random generator **128** and private key instructions **124** generate two huge primes p.sub.A and q.sub.A; compute n.sub.A=p.sub.Aq.sub.A; and randomly choose e.sub.A that is relatively prime to ϕ (n.sub.A). In an embodiment, private key instructions **124** compute d.sub.A=e.sub.A.sup.-1 modulo ϕ (n.sub.A). In an embodiment, an RSA private key is (n.sub.A, d.sub.A). In an embodiment that hides RSA public keys, public key instructions **126** compute RSA public key (n.sub.A, r.sub.A). In an embodiment, positive integer n.sub.A is a string of 4096 bits and r.sub.A is a string of 4096 bits.
- (31) In this specification, the term "location" may refer to geographic locations and/or storage locations. A particular storage location may be a collection of contiguous and/or noncontiguous locations on one or more machine readable media. Two different storage locations may refer to two different sets of locations on one or more machine-readable media in which the locations of one set may be intermingled with the locations of the other set.
- (32) In this specification, the term "machine-readable medium" refers to any non-transitory medium capable of carrying or conveying information that is readable by a machine. One example of a machine-readable medium is a computer-readable medium. Another example of a machine-readable medium is paper having holes that are detected that trigger different mechanical, electrical, and/or logic responses. The term machine-readable medium also includes media that carry information while the information is in transit from one location to another, such as copper wire and/or optical fiber and/or the atmosphere and/or outer space.
- (33) In this specification, the term "process" refers to a series of one or more operations. In an embodiment, "process" may also include operations or effects that are best described as non-deterministic. In an embodiment, "process" may include some operations that can be executed by a digital computer program and some physical effects that are non-deterministic, which cannot be executed by a digital computer program and cannot be performed by a finite sequence of processor instructions.
- (34) In this specification, the machine-implemented processes implement algorithms and nondeterministic processes on a machine. The formal notion of "algorithm" was introduced in Turing's work custom character and refers to a finite machine that executes a finite number of instructions with finite memory. In other words, an algorithm can be executed with a finite number of machine instructions on a processor. "Algorithm" is a deterministic process in the following sense: if the finite machine is completely known and the input to the machine is known, then the future behavior of the machine can be determined. In contrast, there is hardware that can measure quantum effects from photons (or other physically non-deterministic processes), whose physical process is nondeterministic. The recognition of non-determinism produced by quantum randomness and other quantum embodiments is based on decades of experimental evidence and statistical testing. Furthermore, the quantum theory—derived from the Kochen-Specker theorem and its extensions custom character—predicts that the outcome of a quantum measurement cannot be known in advance and cannot be generated by a Turing machine (digital computer program). As a consequence, a physically non-deterministic process cannot be generated by an algorithm: namely, a sequence of operations executed by a digital computer program. FIG. **6**A shows an embodiment of a non-deterministic process arising from quantum events; that is, the emission and absorption of

photons.

- (35) Some examples of physically non-deterministic processes are as follows. In some embodiments that utilize non-determinism, photons strike a semitransparent mirror and can take two or more paths in space. In one embodiment, if the photon is reflected by the semitransparent mirror, then it takes on one bit value $b \in \{0,1\}$; if the photon passes through by the semitransparent mirror, then the non-deterministic process produces another bit value 1-b. In another embodiment, the spin of an electron may be sampled to generate the next non-deterministic bit. In still another embodiment, a protein, composed of amino acids, spanning a cell membrane or artificial membrane, that has two or more conformations can be used to detect non-determinism: the protein conformation sampled may be used to generate a non-deterministic value in $\{0, \ldots n-1\}$ where the protein has n distinct conformations. In an alternative embodiment, one or more rhodopsin proteins could be used to detect the arrival times of photons and the differences of arrival times could generate non-deterministic bits. In some embodiments, a Geiger counter may be used to sample non-determinism
- (36) In this specification, the term "photodetector" refers to any type of device or physical object that detects or absorbs photons. A photodiode is an embodiment of a photodetector. A phototransistor is an embodiment of a photodetector. A rhodopsin protein is an embodiment of a photodetector.
- (37) 7.2 Information System
- (38) FIG. 1A shows an information system 100 for executing a multiparty key exchange in a manner that is expected to be secure. Information system 100 includes one or more private keys 103 and one or more corresponding multiparty public keys 104, and multiparty sending instructions 106, a sending machine 102, multiparty public key(s) 109 and a transmission path 110, a receiving machine 112, multiparty receiving instructions 116, multiparty public key(s) 114. In other embodiments, information system 100 may not have all of the components listed above or may have other components instead of and/or in addition to those listed above.
- (39) Information system **100** may be a system for transmitting multiparty public key(s). Multiparty public key(s) **104** refers to information that is intended for a multiparty key exchange. In some embodiments, multiparty public key(s) **104** are intended to be delivered to another location, software unit, machine, person, or other entity.

(40) In some embodiments, multiparty public key(s) **104** may serve as part of a multiparty key

- exchange. In an embodiment, multiparty public key(s) **104** may be transmitted wirelessly between satellites. Multiparty public key(s) **104** may be represented in analog form in some embodiments and may be represented in digital form. In an embodiment, the public key(s) may be one or more RSA public keys based on huge prime numbers. In an another embodiment, the public key(s) may be one or more elliptic curve public keys, computed from an elliptic curve over a finite field. (41) In information system **100**, private keys **103** are used to help compute multiparty public key(s) **104**. Multiparty public key(s) **104** may be a collection of multiple, blocks of information, an entire sequence of public keys, a segment of public keys, or any other portion of one or more public keys. When there is more than one public key, multiparty public keys **104** may be computed from distinct commutative groups, as described in section custom character For example, one commutative group may be based on an elliptic curve over a finite field; another commutative group may be based on multiplication modulo, as used in RSA; another commutative group may be based on
- (42) Multiparty sending instructions **106** may be a series of steps that are performed on multiparty public keys **104**. In one embodiment, the term "process" refers to one or more instructions for sending machine **102** to execute the series of operations that may be stored on a machine-readable medium. Alternatively, the process may be carried out by and therefore refer to hardware (e.g., logic circuits) or may be a combination of instructions stored on a machine-readable medium and hardware that cause the operations to be executed by sending machine **102** or receiving machine

Goppa codes; another commutative group may be based on lattices.

- **112**. Public key(s) **104** may be input for multiparty sending instructions **106**. The steps that are included in multiparty sending instructions **106** may include one or more mathematical operations and/or one or more other operations.
- (43) As a post-processing step, one-way hash function **648** may be applied to a sequence of random events such as quantum events (non-deterministic) generated by non-deterministic generator **642** in FIG. **6**A. As a post-processing step, one-way hash function **648** may be applied to a sequence of random events such as quantum events (non-deterministic) generated by non-deterministic generator **652** in FIG. **6**B.
- generator **652** in FIG. **6**B.

 (44) In FIG. **1**B receiving/sending machine **122** may implement multiparty sending instructions **106** in FIG. **1**A. In some embodiments, random number generator **128** help generate randomness that is used by private key instructions **130**. In some embodiments, receiving/sending machine **122** requests random number generator **128** and private key instructions **124** to help generate one or more private keys **103** that are used to compute public keys **104**. In an embodiment, non-deterministic generator **642** (FIG. **6**A) may be part of random generator **128**. In an embodiment, non-deterministic generator **652** (FIG. **6**B) may be part of random generator **128**.

 (45) Sending machine **102** may be an information machine that handles information at or is associated with a first location, software unit, machine, person, sender, or other entity. Sending machine **102** may be a computer, a phone, a mobile phone, a telegraph, a satellite, or another type of electronic device, a mechanical device, or other kind of machine that sends information. Sending machine **102** may include one or more processors and/or may include specialized circuitry for handling information. Sending machine **102** may receive public key(s) **104** from another source
- machine **102** may include one or more processors and/or may include specialized circuitry for handling information. Sending machine **102** may receive public key(s) **104** from another source (e.g., a transducer such as a microphone which is inside mobile phone **402** or **502** of FIG. **4**), may produce all or part of public key(s) **104**, may implement multiparty sending instructions **106**, and/or may transmit the output to another entity. In another embodiment, sending machine **102** receives public key(s) **104** from another source, while multiparty sending instructions **106** and the delivery of the output of multiparty sending instructions **106** are implemented manually. In another embodiment, sending machine **102** implements multiparty sending instructions **106**, having multiparty public key(s) **104** entered, via a keyboard (for example) or via a mobile phone microphone, into sending machine **102**. In another embodiments, sending machine **102** receives output from multiparty sending instructions **106** and sends the output to another entity. (46) Sending machine **102** may implement any of the multiparty key exchange methods described
- in this specification. In some embodiments, receiving/sending machine **122**, shown in FIG. **1**B, generates one or more private keys **103** from private key instructions **124** and random generator **128**; computes one or more multiparty public keys **104** with multiparty public key instructions **126**. (47) Transmission path **110** is the path taken by multiparty public key(s) **109** to reach the
- destination to which multiparty public key(s) **109** were sent. Transmission path **110** may include one or more networks, as shown in FIG. **2**A. In FIG. **2**A, network **212** may help support transmission path **110**. For example, transmission path **110** may be the Internet, which is implemented by network **212**; for example, transmission path **110** may be wireless using voice over Internet protocol, which is implemented by network **212**. Transmission path **110** may include any combination of any of a direct connection, hand delivery, vocal delivery, one or more Local Area Networks (LANs), one or more Wide Area Networks (WANs), one or more phone networks, including paths under the ground via fiber optics cables and/or one or more wireless networks, and/or wireless inside and/or outside the earth's atmosphere.
- (48) Receiving machine **112** may be an information machine that handles information at the destination of an multiparty public key(s) **109**. Receiving machine **112** may be a computer, a phone, a telegraph, a router, a satellite, or another type of electronic device, a mechanical device, or other kind of machine that receives information. Receiving machine **112** may include one or more processors and/or specialized circuitry conFlG.d for handling information, such as multiparty public key(s) **109**. Receiving machine **112** may receive multiparty public key(s) **109** from another

source and/or reconstitute all or part of multiparty public key(s) **109**. Receiving machine **112** may implement any of the multiparty key exchange methods described in this specification and is capable of receiving any multiparty public keys from sending machine **102** and multiparty sending instructions **106**.

- (49) In one embodiment, receiving machine 112 only receives public keys 109 from transmission path 110, while multiparty sending instructions 106 is implemented manually and/or by another information machine. In another embodiment, receiving machine 112 implements multiparty receiving instructions 116 that reproduces all or part of public key(s) 104, referred to as multiparty public key(s) 114 in FIG. 1A. In another embodiment, receiving machine 112 receives multiparty public key(s) 109 from transmission path 110, and reconstitutes all or part of multiparty public key(s) 114 using multiparty receiving instructions 116. Multiparty receiving instructions 116 may store or process any of the multiparty public keys described in this specification.

 (50) Receiving machine 112 may be identical to sending machine 102. For example, receiving machine 112 may receive 104 from another source, produce all or part of multiparty public key(s) 104, and/or implement multiparty sending instructions 106. Similar to sending machine 102, receiving machine 112 may create random private keys and compute unpredictable multiparty public key(s). Receiving machine 112 may transmit the output of multiparty receiving instructions 116, via transmission path 110 to another entity and/or receive multiparty public key(s) 109 (via
- for use as input to multiparty receiving instructions **116**. (51) 7.3 Processor, Memory and Input/Output Hardware
- (52) Information system **200** illustrates some of the variations of the manners of implementing information system **100**. Sending machine **202** is one embodiment of sending machine **101**. Sending machine **202** may be a secure USB memory storage device as shown in **3**A. Sending machine **202** may be an authentication token as shown in FIG. **3**B. A mobile phone embodiment of sending machine **202** is shown in FIG. **4**.

transmission path **110**) from another entity. Receiving machine **112** may present public key(s) **109**

- (53) Sending machine **202** or sending machine **400** may communicate wirelessly with computer **204**. In an embodiment, computer **204** may be a call station for receiving multiparty public key **109** from sending machine **400**. A user may use input system **254** and output system **252** of sending machine (mobile phone) **400** to transmit multiparty public key to a receiving machine that is a mobile phone. In an embodiment, input system **254** in FIG. **2B** includes a microphone that is integrated with sending machine (mobile phone) **400**. In an embodiment, output system **252** in FIG. **2B** includes a speaker that is integrated with sending machine (mobile phone) **400**. In another embodiment, sending machine **202** is capable of being plugged into and communicating with computer **204** or with other systems via computer **204**.
- (54) Computer **204** is connected to system **210**, and is connected, via network **212**, to system **214**, system **216**, and system **218**, which is connected to system **220**. Network **212** may be any one or any combination of one or more Local Area Networks (LANs), Wide Area Networks (WANs), wireless networks, telephones networks, and/or other networks. System **218** may be directly connected to system **220** or connected via a LAN to system **220**. Network **212** and system **214**, **216**, **218**, and **220** may represent Internet servers or nodes that route multiparty public key(s) **109** received from sending machine **400** shown in FIG. **4**. In FIG. **2A**, system **214**, **216**, **218**, and system **220** and network **212** may together serve as a transmission path **110** for multiparty public key(s) **109**. In an embodiment, system **214**, **216**, **218**, and system **220** and network **212** may execute the Internet protocol stack in order to serve as transmission path **110** for multiparty public key **109**. In an embodiment, multiparty public key(s) **109** may be one or more public keys computed from elliptic curve computations over a finite field. In an embodiment, multiparty public key(s) **109** may be one or more public keys computed using algebraic coding theory such as McEliece public key cryptography. In an embodiment, multiparty public key **109** may sent via TCP/IP or UDP. In an embodiment, multiparty public key **109** may be sent by email. In an

- embodiment, multiparty public key **109** may be represented as ASCII text sent from sending machine **400**.
- (55) In FIG. 1B, receiving/sending machine 122 may be implemented by any of, a part of any of, or any combination of any of system 210, network 212, system 214, system 216, system 218, and/or system 220. As an example, routing information of transmission path 110 may be sent with receiving/sending machine 122 that executes in system computer 210, network computers 212, system computer 214, system computer 216, system computer 218, and/or system computer 220. multiparty sending instructions 106 may be executed inside sending machine 400 and multiparty receiving instructions 116 may be executed inside receiving machine 400 in FIG. 4.

 (56) In an embodiment, multiparty sending instructions 106 and multiparty receiving instructions 116 execute in a secure area of processor system 258 of FIG. 2B. In an embodiment, specialized
- 116 execute in a secure area of processor system 258 of FIG. 2B. In an embodiment, specialized hardware in processor system 258 may be implemented to speed up the computation of shared secret instructions 130 in FIG. 1B. In an embodiment, this specialized hardware in processor system 258 may be embodied as an ASIC (application specific integrated circuit) that computes SHA-1 and/or SHA-512 and/or Keccak and/or BLAKE and/or JH and/or Skein that help execute one-way hash function 648 in non-deterministic generator 642 or one-way hash function 658 in non-deterministic generator 652.
- (57) In an embodiment, specialized hardware in processor system **258** may be embodied as an ASIC (application specific integrated circuit) that computes SHA-1 and/or SHA-512 and/or Keccak and/or BLAKE and/or JH and/or Skein that help execute the HMAC function in processes custom character and custom character An ASIC chip can increase the execution speed and protect the privacy of multiparty sending instructions **106** and multiparty receiving instructions **116**.
- (58) In an embodiment, input system **254** of FIG. **2**B receives public key(s) **104** and processor system **258** computes them with receiving/sending machine **122**. Output system **252** sends the multiparty public key(s) **109** to a telecommunication network **212**. In an embodiment, memory system **256** stores private key instructions **124**, public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130**.
- (59) In an embodiment, memory system **256** of FIG. **2**B stores shared secret key(s) **132**. In an embodiment, memory system **256** stores multiparty public key(s) **109** that is waiting to be sent to output system **252** and sent out along transmission path **110**, routed and served by system computers **210**, **214**, **216**, **218** and **220** and network **212**.
- (60) 7.4 Non-Deterministic Generators
- (61) FIG. **6**A shows an embodiment of a non-deterministic generator **642** arising from quantum events: that is, random number generator **128** uses the emission and absorption of photons for its non-determinism. In FIG. **6**A, phototransistor **644** absorbs photons emitted from light emitting diode **654**. In an embodiment, the photons are produced by a light emitting diode **646**. In FIG. **6**B, non-deterministic generator **652** has a photodiode **654** that absorbs photons emitted from light emitting diode **656**. In an embodiment, the photons are produced by a light emitting diode **656**. (62) FIG. **7** shows a light emitting diode (LED) **702**. In an embodiment, LED **702** emits photons and is part of the non-deterministic generator **642** (FIG. **6**A). In an embodiment, LED **702** emits photons and is part of the non-deterministic generator **652** (FIG. **6**B). LED **702** contains a cathode, a diode, an anode, one terminal pin connected to the cathode and one terminal pin connected to the anode, a p-layer of semiconductor, an active region, an n-layer of semiconductor, a substrate and a transparent plastic case. The plastic case is transparent so that a photodetector outside the LED case can detect the arrival times of photons emitted by the LED. In an embodiment, photodiode **644** absorbs photons emitted by LED **702**. In an embodiment, phototransistor **654** absorbs photons emitted by LED **702**.
- (63) The emission times of the photons emitted by the LED experimentally obey the energy-time form of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. The energy-time form of the Heisenberg uncertainty

- principle contributes to the non-determinism of random number generator **128** because the photon emission times are unpredictable due to the uncertainty principle. In FIG. **6**A and FIG. **6**B, the arrival of photons are indicated by a squiggly curve with an arrow and hv next to the curve. The detection of arrival times of photons is a non-deterministic process. Due to the uncertainty of photon emission, the arrival times of photons are quantum events.
- (64) In FIG. **6**A and FIG. **6**B, hv refers to the energy of a photon that arrives at photodiode **644**, respectively, where h is Planck's constant and v is the frequency of the photon. In FIG. **6**A, the p and n semiconductor layers are a part of a phototransistor **644**, which generates and amplifies electrical current, when the light that is absorbed by the phototransistor. In FIG. **6**B, the p and n semiconductor layers are a part of a photodiode **654**, which absorbs photons that strike the photodiode.
- (65) A photodiode is a semiconductor device that converts light (photons) into electrical current, which is called a photocurrent. The photocurrent is generated when photons are absorbed in the photodiode. Photodiodes are similar to standard semiconductor diodes except that they may be either exposed or packaged with a window or optical fiber connection to allow light (photons) to reach the sensitive part of the device. A photodiode may use a PIN junction or a p-n junction to generate electrical current from the absorption of photons. In some embodiments, the photodiode may be a phototransistor.
- (66) A phototransistor is a semiconductor device comprised of three electrodes that are part of a bipolar junction transistor. Light or ultraviolet light activates this bipolar junction transistor. Illumination of the base generates carriers which supply the base signal while the base electrode is left floating. The emitter junction constitutes a diode, and transistor action amplifies the incident light inducing a signal current.
- (67) When one or more photons with high enough energy strikes the photodiode, it creates an electron-hole pair. This phenomena is a type of photoelectric effect. If the absorption occurs in the junction's depletion region, or one diffusion length away from the depletion region, these carriers (electron-hole pair) are attracted from the PIN or p-n junction by the built-in electric field of the depletion region. The electric field causes holes to move toward the anode, and electrons to move toward the cathode; the movement of the holes and electrons creates a photocurrent. In some embodiments, the amount of photocurrent is an analog value, which can be digitized by a analog-to-digital converter. In some embodiments, the analog value is amplified before being digitized. The digitized value is what becomes the random number. In some embodiments, a one-way hash function **648** or **658** may also be applied to post-process the raw random bits to produce private keys used by processes custom character and custom character In some embodiments, a one-way hash function may be applied using one-way hash instructions **664** to the random digitized output of non-deterministic generator **642** before executing private key(s) instructions **124**, used by processes custom character and custom character
- (68) In an embodiment, the sampling of the digitized photocurrent values may converted to threshold times as follows. A photocurrent threshold θ is selected as a sampling parameter. If a digitized photocurrent value i.sub.1 is above θ at time t.sub.1, then t.sub.1 is recorded as a threshold time. If the next digitized photocurrent value i.sub.2 above θ occurs at time t.sub.2, then t.sub.2 is recorded as the next threshold time. If the next digitized value i.sub.3 above θ occurs at time t.sub.3, then t.sub.3 is recorded as the next threshold time.
- (69) After three consecutive threshold times are recorded, these three times can determine a bit value as follows. If t.sub.2–t.sub.1>t.sub.3–t.sub.2, then non-deterministic generator **642** or **652** produces a 1 bit. If t.sub.2–t.sub.1<t.sub.3–t.sub.2, then non-deterministic generator **642** or **652** produces a 0 bit. If t.sub.2–t.sub.1=t.sub.3–t.sub.2, then no random bits are produced. To generate the next bit, non-deterministic generator **642** or **652** continues the same sampling steps as before and three new threshold times are produced and compared.
- (70) In an alternative sampling method, a sample mean μ is established for the photocurrent, when

it is illuminated with photons. In some embodiments, the sampling method is implemented as follows. Let i.sub.1 be the photocurrent value sampled at the first sampling time. i.sub.1 is compared to μ . ε is selected as a parameter in the sampling method that is much smaller number than μ . If i.sub.1 is greater than $\mu+\varepsilon$, then a 1 bit is produced by the non-deterministic generator **642** or **652**. If i.sub.1 is less than $\mu-\varepsilon$, then a 0 bit is produced by non-deterministic generator **642** or **652**. If i.sub.1 is in the interval $[\mu-\varepsilon, \mu+\varepsilon]$, then NO bit is produced by non-deterministic generator **642** or **652**.

- (71) Let i.sub.2 be the photocurrent value sampled at the next sampling time. i.sub.2 is compared to μ . If i.sub.2 is greater than $\mu+\varepsilon$, then a 1 bit is produced by the non-deterministic generator **642** or **652**. If i.sub.2 is less than $\mu-\varepsilon$, then a 0 bit is produced by the non-deterministic generator **642** or **652**. If i.sub.2 is in the interval $[\mu-\varepsilon, \mu+\varepsilon]$, then NO bit is produced by the non-deterministic generator **642** or **652**. This alternative sampling method continues in the same way with photocurrent values i.sub.3, i.sub.4, and so on. In some embodiments, the parameter ε is selected as zero instead of a small positive number relative to μ .
- (72) Some alternative hardware embodiments of random number generator **128** (FIG. **1B**) are described below. In some embodiments that utilize non-determinism to produce randomness, a semitransparent mirror may be used. In some embodiments, the mirror contains quartz (glass). The photons that hit the mirror may take two or more paths in space. In one embodiment, if the photon is reflected, then the random number generator creates the bit value $b \in \{0, 1\}$; if the photon is transmitted, then the random number generator creates the other bit value 1-b. In another embodiment, the spin of an electron may be sampled to generate the next non-deterministic bit. In still another embodiment of a random number generator, a protein, composed of amino acids, spanning a cell membrane or artificial membrane, that has two or more conformations can be used to detect non-determinism: the protein conformation sampled may be used to generate a random number value in $\{0, \dots n-1\}$ where the protein has n distinct conformations. In an alternative embodiment, one or more rhodopsin proteins could be used to detect the arrival times t.sub.1 <t.sub.2 <t.sub.3 of photons and the differences of arrival times (t.sub.2-t.sub.1>t.sub.3-t.sub.2 versus t.sub.2-t.sub.1<t.sub.3-t.sub.2) could generate non-deterministic bits that produce a random number.
- (73) In some embodiments, the seek time of a hard drive can be used as non-deterministic generator as the air turbulence in the hard drive affects the seek time in a non-deterministic manner. In some embodiments, local atmospheric noise can be used as a source of randomness. For example, the air pressure, the humidity or the wind direction could be used. In other embodiments, the local sampling of smells based on particular molecules could also be used as a source of randomness.
- (74) In some embodiments, a Geiger counter may be used to sample non-determinism and generate randomness. In these embodiments, the unpredictability is due to radioactive decay rather than photon emission, arrivals and detection.
- (75) 7.5 One-Way Hash Functions
- (76) In FIG. **6**A, one-way hash function **648** may include one or more one-way functions. A one-way hash function Φ , has the property that given an output value z, it is computationally intractable to find an information element m.sub.z such that $\Phi(m.sub.z)=z$. In other words, a one-way function Φ is a function that can be easily computed, but that its inverse $\Phi.sup.-1$ is computationally intractable to compute custom character. A computation that takes 10.sup.101 computational steps is considered to have computational intractability of 10.sup.101.
- (77) More details are provided on computationally intractable. In an embodiment, there is an amount of time T that encrypted information must stay secret. If encrypted information has no economic value or strategic value after time T, then computationally intractable means that the number of computational steps required by all the world's computing power will take more time to compute than time T. Let C(t) denote all the world's computing power at the time t in years.

- (78) Consider an online bank transaction that encrypts the transaction details of that transaction. Then in most embodiments, the number of computational steps that can be computed by all the world's computers for the next 30 years is in many embodiments likely to be computationally intractable as that particular bank account is likely to no longer exist in 30 years or have a very different authentication interface.
- (80) As just discussed, in some embodiments and applications, computationally intractable may be measured in terms of how much the encrypted information is worth in economic value and what is the current cost of the computing power needed to decrypt that encrypted information. In other embodiments, economic computational intractability may be useless. For example, suppose a family wishes to keep their child's whereabouts unknown to violent kidnappers. Suppose T=100 years because it is about twice their expected lifetimes. Then 100 years×C(2064) is a better measure of computationally intractible for this application. In other words, for critical applications that are beyond an economic value, one should strive for a good estimate of the world's computing power.
- (81) One-way functions that exhibit completeness and a good avalanche effect or the strict avalanche criterion custom character are preferable embodiments: these properties are favorable for one-way hash functions. The definition of completeness and a good avalanche effect are quoted directly from custom character If a cryptographic transformation is complete, then each ciphertext bit must depend on all of the plaintext bits. Thus, if it were possible to find the simplest Boolean expression for each ciphertext bit in terms of plaintext bits, each of those expressions would have to contain all of the plaintext bits if the function was complete. Alternatively, if there is at least one pair of n-bit plaintext vectors X and X.sub.i that differ only in bit i, and f(X) and f(X.sub.i) differ at least in bit j for all $\{(i, j): 1 \le i, j \le n\}$, the function f must be complete. For a given transformation to exhibit the avalanche effect, an average of one half of the output bits should change whenever a single input bit is complemented. In order to determine whether a m×n (m input bits and n output bits) function f satisfies this requirement, the 2.sup.m plaintext vectors must be divided into 2.sup.m-1 pairs, X and X.sub.j such that X and X.sub.j differ only in bit i. Then the 2.sup.m−1 exclusive-or sums V.sub.i= $f(X) \oplus f(X.sub.i)$ must be calculated. These exclusive-or sums will be referred to as avalanche vectors, each of which contains n bits, or avalanche variables. If this procedure is repeated for all i such that 1≤i≤m and one half of the avalanche variables are equal to 1 for each i, then the function f has a good avalanche effect. Of course this method can be pursued only if m is fairly small; otherwise, the number of plaintext vectors becomes too large. If that is the case then the best that can be done is to take a random sample of plaintext vectors X, and for each value i calculate all avalanche vectors V. If approximately one half the resulting avalanche variables are equal to 1 for values of i, then we can conclude that the function has a good avalanche
- (82) A hash function, also denoted as Φ , is a function that accepts as its input argument an arbitrarily long string of bits (or bytes) and produces a fixed-size output of information. The information in the output is typically called a message digest or digital fingerprint. In other words, a hash function maps a variable length m of input information to a fixed-sized output, Φ (m), which is the message digest or information digest. Typical output sizes range from 160 to 512 bits, but can also be larger. An ideal hash function is a function Φ , whose output is uniformly distributed in the following way: Suppose the output size of Φ is n bits. If the message m is chosen randomly, then

- for each of the 2.sup.n possible outputs z, the probability that $\Phi(m)=z$ is 2.sup.-n. In an embodiment, the hash functions that are used are one-way.
- (83) A good one-way hash function is also collision resistant. A collision occurs when two distinct information elements are mapped by the one-way hash function Φ to the same digest. Collision resistant means it is computationally intractable for an adversary to find collisions: more precisely, it is computationally intractable to find two distinct information elements m.sub.1, m.sub.2 where m.sub.1 \neq m.sub.2 and such that Φ (m.sub.1)= Φ (m.sub.2).
- (84) A number of one-way hash functions may be used to implement one-way hash function **148**. In an embodiment, SHA-512 can implement one-way hash function **148**, designed by the NSA and standardized by NIST ⊋custom character. The message digest size of SHA-512 is 512 bits. Other alternative hash functions are of the type that conform with the standard SHA-384, which produces a message digest size of 384 bits. SHA-1 has a message digest size of 160 bits. An embodiment of a one-way hash function **148** is Keccak ⊋custom character. An embodiment of a one-way hash function **148** is BLAKE ⊋custom character. An embodiment of a one-way hash function **148** is Gr⊘stl ⊋custom character An embodiment of a one-way hash function **148** is JH ⊋custom character. Another embodiment of a one-way hash function is Skein ⊋custom character. (85) 7.6 Algebraic Groups
- (86) In this section, some terms and definitions about algebraic groups are described that are relevant to various embodiments, including the mathematical operations applied and/or computed in these embodiments. It is helpful to review the mathematical definition of a group. A group G is a set with a binary operation * such that the following four properties hold: I. Binary operation * is closed on G. This means a*b lies in G for all elements a and b in G. II. Binary operation * is associative. That is, a*(b*c)=(a*b)*c for all elements a, b, and c in G. III. There is a unique identity element e in G, where a*e=e*a=a. IV. Each element a in G has a unique inverse denoted as a.sup. −1. This means a*a.sup.−1=a.sup.−1*a=e.
- (87) Group G is a commutative group when a*b=b*a for every pair of elements a and b selected from G. The product g*g is denoted as g.sup.2; g*g*g*g*g is denoted as g.sup.5. Sometimes, the binary operation * will be omitted so that a*b is expressed as ab.
- (88) The integers $\{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ with respect to the binary operation + (integer addition) are an example of an infinite commutative group. It is commutative because m+n=n+m for any two integers m and n. 0 is the identity element. For example, the inverse of 5 is -5 and the inverse of -107 is 107.
- (89) The set of permutations on n elements $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, denoted as S.sub.n, is an example of a finite group with n! elements where the binary operation is function composition. Each element of S.sub.n is a function p: $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. fwdarw. $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ that is 1 to 1 and onto. In this context, p is called a permutation. The identity permutation e is the identity element in S.sub.n, where e(k)=k for each k in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.
- (90) If H is a non-empty subset of a group G and H is a group with respect to the binary group operation * of G, then H is called a subgroup of G. H is a proper subgroup of G if H is not equal to G (i.e., H is a proper subset of G). G is a cyclic group if G has no proper subgroups.
- (91) Define A.sub.n= \square custom character.sub.n-[0]={[1], . . . , [n-1]}; in other words, A.sub.n is the integers modulo n with equivalence class [0] removed. If n=5, [4]*[4]=[16 mod 5]=[1] in (\square custom character.sub.5, *) Similarly, [3]*[4]=[12 mod 5]=[2] in (\square custom character.sub.5, *).
- Let (a, n) represent the greatest common divisor of a and n. Let U.sub.n={[a] \in A.sub.n:(a, n)=1}. Define binary operator on U.sub.n as [a]*[b]=[ab], where ab is the multiplication of positive integers a and b. Then (U.sub.n, *) is a finite, commutative group.
- (92) Suppose g lies in group (G, *). This multiplicative notation works as follows: g.sup.2=g*g. Also g.sup.3=g*g*g; g.sup.6=g*g*g*g*g*g*g and so on. In section character this multiplicative notation (superscripts) is used in the description of multiparty key exchanges. (93) There are an infinite number of finite groups and an infinite number of these groups are huge.

The notion of huge means the following: if 2.sup.1024 is considered to be a huge number based on the computing power of current computers, then there are still an infinite number of finite, commutative groups with each group containing more than 2.sup.1024 elements.

- (94) 7.7 Elliptic Curve Group Operations
- (95) For elliptic curves custom character the mathematical operations—derived from the Weierstrauss curve—are as follows: 1. Select two geometric points p.sub.1, p.sub.2 on the Weierstrauss curve. 2. Draw a line through these two points p.sub.1 and p.sub.2. 3. Compute the new intersection point of the line with the Weierstrauss curve. 4. Reflects this new intersection point about the y axis, resulting in the point p.sub.new=p.sub.1*p.sub.2 5. The new point p.sub.new is the result of the mathematical operations on points p.sub.1 and p.sub.2.
- (96) In the special case, when the two points are the same point (i.e., p.sub.1=p.sub.2), the mathematical operations consist of the following. A tangent line to the Weierstrauss curve at p.sub.1 is computed. Then a new intersection point with the tangent line and the Weierstrauss curve is computed. Then a reflection is applied to this new intersection point, resulting in p.sub.new. (97) In another embodiment, elliptic curve computations are performed on an Edwards curve over a finite field. When the field K does not have characteristic two, an Edwards curve is of the form: x.sup.2+y.sup.2=1+dx.sup.2y.sup.2, where d is an element of the field K not equal to 0 and not equal to 1. For an Edwards curve of this form, the binary operator * is defined as (98) $(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (\frac{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1}{1 + dx_1 x_2 y_1 y_2}, \frac{y_1 y_2 - x_1 x_2}{1 - dx_1 x_2 y_1 y_2}),$

$$(98) (x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (\frac{x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1}{1 + dx_1 x_2 y_1 y_2}, \frac{y_1 y_2 - x_1 x_2}{1 - dx_1 x_2 y_1 y_2})$$

where the elements of the group are the points (x.sub.1, y.sub.1) and (x.sub.2, y.sub.2). The definition of * defines elliptic curve computations that form a commutative group. For more information on Edwards curves, refer to the math journal paper custom character. (99) In an alternative embodiment, elliptic curve computations are performed on a Montgomery curve over a finite field. Let K be the finite field over which the elliptic curve is defined. A Montgomery curve is of the form By.sup.2=x.sup.3+Ax.sup.2+x, for some field elements A, B chosen from K where $B(A.sup.2-4)\neq 0$. For more information on Montgomery curves, refer to the publication character.

- (100) 7.8 Goppa Code Operations
- (101) Goppa codes are covered in Chapter 8 of reference custom character. This section summarizes how Goppa codes and the mathematical operations described below can used in a public-key cryptosystem, conceived by McEliece custom character. As of this time, this McEliece public-key cryptosystem is considered resistant to known quantum computing
- (102) Corresponding to each irreducible polynomial of degree t over GF(2.sup.m), there exists a binary irreducible Goppa code of length n=2.sup.m, such that the Goppa code's dimension $k\geq n-tm$. Furthermore, this Goppa code is capable of correcting any pattern with t or fewer errors. Moreover, there exists a fast algorithm for decoding these Goppa codes: the run time is O(nt). This fast algorithm is called Patterson's algorithm. See problem 8.18 in reference custom character. (103) Suppose that the information system chooses a large enough value of n and t, and then randomly selects an irreducible polynomial of degree t over GF(2.sup.m). The value $(104)^{\frac{1}{4}}$
- is close to the probability that a randomly selected polynomial of degree t is irreducible. There is a fast algorithm for testing irreducibility, shown in chapter 6 of reference custom character. Next, the information system (Alice) computes a $k \times n$ generator matrix G for the code which is canonical, for example, row-reduced echelon form.
- (105) After generating G, Alice's information system scrambles G by randomly selecting a dense k×k nonsingular matrix S and randomly selecting an n×n permutation matrix P. Next, Alice's information system computes G'=S*G*P, where * represents matrix multiplication. The result G'generates a linear code with the same rate and minimum distance as the code generated by G.

- Matrix G' is called the public generator matrix and acts as Alice's public key.
- (106) Alice transmits her public key G' to Bob. Bob is able to encrypt his plaintext data using the following encryption algorithm, along with Alice's public key G'.
- (107) McEliece Encryption Algorithm.
- (108) 1. Bob divides the plaintext data into k-bit blocks. 2. Suppose u is a plaintext block that Bob wishes to securely send to Alice. Bob computes the vector x=u*G'+z, where G' is a public generator matrix, and z is a randomly generated random vector of length n and weight t. Bob keeps z local. 3. Bob transmits encrypted data x to Alice.
- (109) Alice can efficiently recover u by performing the following decryption algorithm.
- (110) McEliece Decryption Algorithm.
- (111) 1. Alice computes x'=x*P.sup.-1, where P.sup.-1 is the inverse of permutation matrix P. 2. x' is a codeword for the Goppa code previously chosen. Alice executes Patterson's algorithm to help compute u'=u*S 3. Alice recovers u by computing u=u'*S.sup.-1, where S.sup.-1 is the inverse matrix of the nonsingular matrix S, randomly selected by her information system.
- 7.9 Lattice Operations
- (112) This section describes lattices and how public keys can be computed from mathematical operations based on lattices. In some embodiments, the binary operation of vector addition on a lattice makes the lattice into a commutative group. The symbol custom character represents the real numbers. The symbol custom character represents the integers.
- (113) Let L be subset of points of the vector space custom character.sup.n that contains the origin $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then the set L is a lattice if it satisfies the following two conditions. 1. L is a group with vector addition as its binary operation. 2. There exists an r>0, such that for each point x in L, the open ball with center x and radius r contains no other points of L besides x.
- (114) It is well-known custom character that if L is a lattice in an n-space (n-dimensional vector space) then there exists k linearly independent vectors v.sub.1, v.sub.2 . . . v.sub.k with $k \le n$ such that L consists of all points of the form
- m.sub.1v.sub.1+m.sub.2v.sub.2+ . . . +m.sub.kv.sub.k
- where each m.sub.i is an integer.
- (115) Let \mathbb{R} custom character.sub.q.sup.m×n be the set of all n rows of m tuples of integers modulo q. The following math operations make up a public cryptographic system, called LWE (i.e., Learning with Errors) based on lattices. The errors are assigned according to the noise distribution χ such that
- (116) .Math. e .Math. $\leq \frac{q}{4m}$ with high probability.
- (117) LWE Key-Pair Generation. 1. n is the input parameter for Alice. 2. A in custom character sub.q.sup.m×n is randomly selected by Alice and is open to the public. A is an n×m matrix with entries in custom character.sub.q, selected according to a uniform distribution. 3. Alice selects e in custom character.sub.q.sup.m, according to a uniform distribution. 4. Alice's secret s is randomly selected in custom character.sub.q.sup.n, according to a uniform distribution. 5. Alice's key pair is (p, s), with public key p=(A, s.sup.T*A+e.sup.T). Note s.sup.T*A is vector s.sup.T multiplied by matrix A. Expression s.sup.T is the transpose of vector s. Expression e.sup.T is the transpose of vector e.
- LWE Encryption with the Public Key. 1. Bob receives as input Alice's public key (A, b.sup.T) and plaintext message m in $\{0, 1\}$. 2. Bob randomly samples m-bit vector r in $\{0, 1\}$. sup.m and computes ciphertext
- (118) $(A * r, b^T * r + m . Math._2^q . Math.)$.
- 7.10 Multiparty Key Exchange
- (119) Multiparty Process **1**. A 4-Party Key Exchange Step **1**. Alice, Bob, Haley and Fred agree on a common generator g in commutative group (G, *). Alice, Bob, Haley and Fred agree on a shared secret transformation function Γ . Shared secret transformation function Γ maps a shared secret to a

multiparty private key. Step **2**. Alice generates her private key a. Bob generates his private key b. Haley generates her private key h. Fred generates his private key f. Step 3. Haley uses commutative group operation * to compute her public key g.sup.h from her private key h and generator g. Alice computes her public key g.sup.a from her private key a. Bob computes his public key g.sup.b from his private key b. Fred computes his public key g.sup.f from his private key f. Step 4. Fred sends his public key g.sup.f to Haley. Bob sends his public key g.sup.b to Alice. Alice sends her public key g.sup.a to Bob. Haley sends her public key g.sup.h to Fred. Step 5. Bob receives Alice's public key g.sup.a. Haley receives Fred's public key g.sup.f. Fred receives Haley's public key g.sup.h. Alice receives Bob's public key g.sup.b. Step **6**. Fred computes shared secret g.sup.hf with private key f and Haley's public key. Alice computes shared secret g.sup.ba with private key a and Bob's public key. Haley computes shared secret g.sup.fh with private key h and Fred's public key. Bob computes shared secret g.sup.ab with private key b and Bob's public key. Step 7. Bob transforms his shared secret g.sup.ab to multiparty private key α .sub.b= Γ (g.sup.ab). Fred transforms his shared secret g.sup.hf to multiparty private key η .sub.f= Γ (g.sup.hf). Alice transforms her shared secret g.sup.ba to multiparty private key β .sub.a= Γ (g.sup.ba). Haley transforms her shared secret g.sup.fh to multiparty private key ϵ .sub.h= Γ (g.sup.fh). Step **8**. Bob computes his multiparty public key g.sup. α .sup.b from his multiparty private key α .sub.b. Haley computes her multiparty public key g.sup.ɛ.sup.h from her multiparty private key ɛ.sub.h. Alice computes her multiparty public key g.sup.\(\beta\).sup.a from her multiparty private key \(\beta\).sub.a. Fred computes his multiparty public key g.sup.η.sup.f from his multiparty private key η.sub.f. Step **9**. Bob sends his multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.b to Haley. Haley sends her multiparty public key g.sup.ε.sup.h to Alice. Alice sends her multiparty public key g.sup.β.sup.a to Fred. Fred sends his multiparty public key g.sup.η.sup.f to Bob. Step **10**. Fred uses his multiparty private key and multiparty public key g.sup.β.sup.a to compute 4-party shared secret g.sup.β.sup.a.sup.η.sup.f. Alice uses her multiparty private key and multiparty public key g.sup.ε.sup.h to compute 4-party shared secret g.sup.ε.sup.h.sup.β.sup.a. Haley uses her multiparty private key and multiparty public key g.sup. α .sup.b to compute 4-party shared secret g.sup.α.sup.b.sup.ε.sup.h. Bob uses his multiparty private key and and multiparty public key g.sup.η.sup.f to compute 4-party shared secret g.sup.η.sup.f.sup.α.sup.b. (120) In an embodiment, Γ is a Turing computable function. Because Γ is a function, Bob's multiparty private key α .sub.b= Γ (g.sup.ab) equals Alice's multiparty private key β.sub.a=Γ(g.sup.ba). Similarly, Haley's multiparty private key ε.sub.h=Γ(g.sup.fh) equals Fred's multiparty private key η .sub.f= Γ (g.sup.hf). Because the multiparty private keys satisfy α .sub.b= β .sub.a and ϵ .sub.h= η .sub.f and G is a commutative group, the resulting 4 shared secrets are equal:

- g.sup.β.sup.a.sup.η.sup.f=g.sup.ε.sup.h.sup.β.sup.a=g.sup.α.sup.b.sup.ε.sup.h=g.sup.η.sup.f.sup.α.sup.b.
- (121) FIG. **5**A shows step **4** of multiparty process custom character
- (122) FIG. **5**B shows step **9** of multiparty process custom character In an embodiment of step **9**, Bob sends multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.b with sending machine **102** over transmission path **110** and Haley receives multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.b with receiving machine **112**. In an embodiment, transmission path **110** uses network **212** (FIG. **2**A) that is part of the Internet to route multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.b to Haley.
- (123) In an embodiment of step **9**, Haley sends multiparty public key g.sup.ɛ.sup.h with sending machine **102** over transmission path **110** and Alice receives multiparty public key g.sup.ɛ.sup.h with receiving machine **112**. In an embodiment, transmission path **110** uses network **212** (FIG. **2**A) that is part of the Internet to route multiparty public key g.sup.ɛ.sup.h to Alice.
- (124) In an embodiment of step **9**, Alice sends multiparty public key g.sup.β.sup.a with sending machine **102** over transmission path **110** and Fred receives multiparty public key g.sup.β.sup.a with receiving machine **112**. In an embodiment, transmission path **110** uses network **212** that is part of the Internet to route multiparty public key g.sup.β.sup.a to Fred.
- (125) In an embodiment of step 9, Fred sends multiparty public key g.sup.η.sup.f with sending

- machine **102** over transmission path **110** and Bob receives multiparty public key g.sup.η.sup.f with receiving machine **112**. In an embodiment, transmission path **110** uses network **212** that is part of the Internet to route multiparty public key g.sup.η.sup.f to Bob.
- (126) In an embodiment, shared secret transformation function Γ , executed in private key instructions **124**, is implemented with a MAC (e.g., HMAC custom character or custom character) or one-way hash function and then a projection function. The projection function assures that the resulting multiparty private key has the appropriate number of bits with respect to group (G, *).
- (127) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Alice, Bob, Haley and Fred's steps are performed in receiving/sending machine **122**. In an embodiment, each of Alice, Bob, Fred and Haley's non-deterministic generators **642** (FIG. **6***a*) generate input to private key instructions **124**, which create private keys a, b, f, h, respectively. In an embodiment, deterministic generator **642** is an instance of random number generator **128** in FIG. **1**B. In some embodiments, the private keys a, b, f, h are each randomly selected from the positive integers {1, 2, 3, . . . , o(g)-1} based on generator g and the output from each party's non-deterministic generators **642**.
- (128) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Alice's shared secret instructions **130** (FIG. **1**B) compute shared secret g.sup.ba by computing g.sup.b* . . . *g.sup.b with the group operation * in G. In other words, Bob's public key g.sup.b is multiplied to itself a times. Then Alice's private key instructions **124** compute Alice's multiparty party private key β .sub.a= Γ (g.sup.ba) Afterward, Alice's multiparty public key instructions **126** compute g.sup. β .sup.a= g^* . . . *g, where g is multiplied by itself β .sub.a times.
- (129) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Bob's shared secret instructions **130** (FIG. **1**B) compute shared secret g.sup.ab by computing g.sup.a* . . . *g.sup.a with the group operation * in G. In other words, Alice's public key g.sup.a is multiplied to itself b times. Then Bob's private key instructions **124** compute Bob's multiparty party private key α .sub.b= Γ (g.sup.ab) Afterward, Bob's multiparty public key instructions **126** compute g.sup. α .sup.b=g* . . . *g, where g is multiplied by itself α .sub.b times.
- (130) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Fred's shared secret instructions **130** (FIG. **1**B) compute shared secret g.sup.hf by computing g.sup.h* . . . *g.sup.h; in other words, Haley's public key g.sup.h is multiplied to itself f times. Then Fred's private key instructions **124** compute Fred's multiparty party private key η .sub.f= Γ (g.sup.hf) Afterward, Fred's multiparty public key instructions **126** compute g.sup. η .sup.f= g^* . . . *g, where g is multiplied to itself η .sub.f times.
- (131) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Haley's shared secret instructions **130** (FIG. **1B**) compute shared secret g.sup.fh by computing g.sup.f * . . . *g.sup.f; in other words, Fred's public key g.sup.f is multiplied to itself h times. Then Haley's private key instructions **124** compute Haley's multiparty party private key ε .sub.h= Γ (g.sup.fh) Afterward, Haley's multiparty public key instructions **126** compute g.sup. ε .sup.h= ε * . . . *g, where g is multiplied to itself ε .sub.h times.
- (132) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Alice, Bob, Haley and Fred's steps are performed in receiving machine **112** by multiparty receiving instructions **116**. In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character Alice, Bob, Haley and Fred's steps are performed in sending machine **102** by multiparty sending instructions **116**.
- (133) In some embodiments of multiparty process custom character private key instructions **124**, multiparty public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130** execute elliptic curve computations over a finite field; in other words, (G, *) is an elliptic curve group. In other embodiments of process private key instructions **124**, multiparty public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130** are computed with RSA cryptography. In some embodiments, private key instructions **124**, multiparty public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130** compute McEliece cryptography custom character based on Goppa codes custom character.

(134) Multiparty Process 2. A n-Party Key Exchange (135) Step **1**. n parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n agree on generator g in commutative group (G, *). Parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n agree on a shared secret transformation function Γ . Shared secret transformation function Γ maps a shared secret to a multiparty private key. Step 2. Party P.sub.1 generates her private key a.sub.1. Party P.sub.2 generates her private key a.sub.2. . . . Party P.sub.n generates her private key a.sub.n. Step 3. Party P.sub.1 uses commutative group operation * to compute her public key g.sup.a.sup.1 from her private key a.sub.1 and generator g. Party P.sub.2 computes her public key g.sup.a.sup.2 from her private key a.sub.2. . . . Party P.sub.n computes her public key g.sup.a.sup.n from her private key a.sub.n. Step 4. Party P.sub.1 sends her public key g.sup.a.sup.1 to party P.sub.2. Party P.sub.2 sends her public key g.sup.a.sup.2 to party P.sub.1. Party P.sub.3 sends her public key g.sup.a.sup.3 to party P.sub.4. Party P.sub.4 sends her public key g.sup.a.sup.4 to party P.sub.3. . . . Party P.sub.n-1 sends her public key g.sup.a.sup.n-1 to party P.sub.n. Party P.sub.n sends her public key g.sup.a.sup.n to party P.sub.n-1. Step 5. Party P.sub.1 receives P.sub.2's public key g.sup.a.sup.2. Party P.sub.2 receives P.sub.1's public key g.sup.a.sup.1. Party P.sub.3 receives P.sub.4's public key g.sup.a.sup.4. Party P.sub.4 receives P.sub.3's public key g.sup.a.sup.3. . . . Party P.sub.n-1 receives P.sub.n's public key g.sup.a.sup.n. Party P.sub.n receives P.sub.n-1's public key g.sup.a.sup.n-1. Step **6**. Party P.sub.1 private key a.sub.1 and public key g.sup.a.sup.2 compute shared secret g.sup.a.sup.2.sup.a.sup.1. Party P.sub.2 private key a.sub.2 and public key g.sup.a.sup.1 compute shared secret g.sup.a.sup.1.sup.a.sup.2. Party P.sub.3 private key a.sub.3 and public key g.sup.a.sup.4 compute shared secret g.sup.a.sup.4.sup.a.sup.3. Party P.sub.4 private key a.sub.4 and public key g.sup.a.sup.3 compute shared secret g.sup.a.sup.3.sup.a.sup.4... . Party P.sub.n-1's private key a.sub.n-1 and public key g.sup.a.sup.n compute shared secret g.sup.a.sup.n.sup.a.sup.n-1. Party P.sub.n's private key a.sub.n and public key g.sup.a.sup.n-1 compute shared secret g.sup.a.sup.n-1.sup.a.sup.n. Step 7. Party P.sub.1 maps her shared secret g.sup.a.sup.2.sup.a.sup.1 to multiparty private key α .sub.1,2= Γ (g.sup.a.sup.2.sup.a.sup.1). Party P.sub.2 maps her shared secret g.sup.a.sup.1.sup.a.sup.2 to multiparty private key α .sub.2,1= Γ (g.sup.a.sup.1.sup.a.sup.2). . . . Party P.sub.n-1 maps shared secret g.sup.a.sup.n.sup.a.sup.n.sup.-1 to multiparty private key α .sub.n,n-1= Γ (g.sup.a.sup.n.sup.a.sup.n-1). Party P.sub.n maps shared secret g.sup.a.sup.n-1.sup.a.sup.n to multiparty private key α .sub.n-1,n $=\Gamma$ (g.sup.a.sup.n-1.sup.a.sup.n). Step **8**. Party P.sub.1 computes her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.1,2 from her multiparty private key α.sub.1,2. Party P.sub.2 computes her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.2,1 from her multiparty private key α.sub.2,1. . . . Party P.sub.n-1 computes multiparty public key g.sup. α .sup.n-1,n from her multiparty private key α .sub.1,2. Party P.sub.n computes multiparty public key g.sup. α .sup.n,n-1 from her multiparty private key α .sub.2,1. Step **9**. Party P.sub.1 sends her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.1,2 to party P.sub.3. Party P.sub.2 sends her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.2,1 to party P.sub.4. Party P.sub.3 sends her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.3,4 to party P.sub.1. Party P.sub.4 sends her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.4,3 to party P.sub.2. . . . Party P.sub.n–1 sends her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.n–1,n to party P.sub.n–3. Party P.sub.n sends her multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.n,n-1 to party P.sub.n-2. Step **10**. Party P.sub.1 uses her multiparty private key and multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.3,4 to compute multiparty shared secret g.sup.α.sup.3,4.sup.α.sup.1,2. . . . Party P.sub.n uses her multiparty private key and multiparty public key g.sup.α.sup.n-3,n-2 to compute multiparty shared secret g.sup. α .sup.n-3,n-2.sup. α .sup.n,n-1. Remaining Steps Repeat [log.sub.2(n)] times the following: a sequence of steps similar steps 7 to 10. The final result establishes a shared secret α .sub.1,2,...,n between parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n. (136) The expression [x] is the smallest integer greater than x. For example, [51/10]=6. The n-party exchange description assumes the number of parties n is even. When n is odd, the multiparty exchange is similar. (137) In embodiments of multiparty processes custom character and custom character

- generator g is an element of a commutative group (G, *) with a huge order. In some embodiments, G is a cyclic group and the number of elements in G is a prime number. In an embodiment, generator g has an order o(g)>10.sup.80. In another embodiment, generator g has an order o(g) greater than 10.sup.1000.
- (138) In an embodiment of multiparty process

 custom character party P.sub.i's non-deterministic generator **642** produces input for private key instructions **124** which compute private key a.sub.i. In an embodiment, party P.sub.i's multiparty public key instructions **126** compute her public key as g.sup.a.sup.i=g* . . . *g where g is multiplied by itself a.sub.i times, using the group operations in (G, *). In some embodiments, the party P.sub.i's private key a.sub.i is randomly selected from the positive integers {1, 2, 3, . . . , o(g)−1}. In an embodiment of multiparty process

 custom character party P.sub.i's steps are performed in receiving machine **112**. In an embodiment of multiparty process

 custom character party P.sub.i's steps are performed in sending machine **102**.
- (139) In some embodiments of multiparty process custom character private key instructions **124**, multiparty public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130** execute elliptic curve computations over a finite field; in other words, (G, *) is an elliptic curve group. In other embodiments of multiparty process private key instructions **124**, multiparty public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130** are computed with RSA cryptography. In some embodiments, private key instructions **124**, multiparty public key instructions **126** and shared secret instructions **130** compute McEliece cryptography custom character, based on Goppa codes custom character.
- (140) In some embodiments of multiparty process custom character party P.sub.1 instructions are executed inside of machine **210**, as shown in FIG. **2**A; party P.sub.2's instructions are executed inside of machine **214**, as shown in FIG. **2**A; party P.sub.3's instructions are executed inside of machine **216**. In some embodiments, machines **210**, **214**, **216**, **218** execute instructions in system **250**, as shown in FIG. **2**B, that contains output system **252**, input system **254**, memory system **256**, and processor system **258**. In some embodiments of multiparty process custom character parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . P.sub.n transmit their public keys across network **212**, as shown in FIG. **2**A. (141) 7.11 Secure Conference Call
- (142) In an embodiment of multiparty process custom character or custom character three or more parties make a voice or video conference call so that any outsiders may not listen or eavesdrop on their voice conversation. Encryption/decryption instructions **134** encrypt video and voice data before it is transmitted between the conference call parties. In an embodiment, encryption/decryption instructions are executed inside of mobile phone **400** and mobile phone **500**, as shown in FIG. **4**.
- (143) In an embodiment, shared secret key(s) **132** stores the shared secret $\alpha.sub.1,2,\ldots,n$ established between parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, ..., P.sub.n. In an embodiment, as shown in FIG. **4**, mobile phone **400** acts as party P.sub.1 and mobile phone **500** acts as party P.sub.2. Transformation function Γ maps $\alpha.sub.1,2,\ldots,n$ to a shared symmetric cryptographic key and a shared authentication key among parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, ..., P.sub.n. Using encryption/decryption instructions **134**, parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, ..., P.sub.n encrypt each party's voice and video data with the symmetric cryptographic key before sending it to the other parties via transmission path **110**.
- (144) 7.12 Secure Self-Driving Vehicles
- (145) In some embodiments of multiparty process custom character a multiparty key exchange can help protect a network of autonomous self-driving automobiles, trucks or flying vehicles. As shown in FIG. **8**, each vehicle performs process custom character and transmits multiparty public keys to other self-driving vehicles and vice versa to establish one or more shared secrets between all valid self-driving vehicles. In some embodiments, the shared secret(s) established by the valid self-driving vehicles helps prevent a rogue vehicle or rogue communication from an unauthorized

vehicle or unauthorized person, or unauthorized computer from subverting the communication or driving directions of the self-driving vehicles. This can help prevent catastrophic events such as two self-driving vehicles crashing due to subverted communications and/or a self-driving vehicle hitting one or more pedestrians. In some instances, an unauthorized computer may attempt to transmit malware that is installed as a software update, such that the malware subverts the driving instructions executed by processor system 258 (FIG. 2B), located inside vehicle 510. (146) In an embodiment, shared secret key(s) **132** stores the shared secret(s) α .sub.1,2, . . . ,n established between parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n. Transformation function Γ maps α .sub.1,2, . . . ,n to a shared symmetric cryptographic key and a shared authentication key among parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, ..., P.sub.n. Using encryption/decryption instructions **134**, parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n encrypt each vehicle's data and communications with the symmetric cryptographic key and sign the data and communications with the authentication key before sending them to the other self-driving vehicles via transmission path 110. FIG. 8 shows parties P.sub.1, P.sub.2, . . . , P.sub.n, represented as vehicles $1, 2, \ldots, n-1, n$. (147) Although the invention(s) have been described with reference to specific embodiments, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes may be made and equivalents may be substituted for elements thereof without departing from the true spirit and scope of the invention. In addition, modifications may be made without departing from the essential teachings of the invention.

REFERENCES

(148) [1] Dan Boneh, Craig Gentry and Brent Waters. Collusion Resistant Broadcast Encryption With Short Ciphertexts and Private Keys. [2] Simon Kochen and Ernst P. Specker. The Problem of Hidden Variables in Quantum Mechanics. Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics (now Indiana Univ. Math Journal) 17 No. 1, 59-87, 1967. [3] John Conway and Simon Kochen. The Strong Free Will Theorem. Notices of the American Mathematical Society. 56(2), 226-232, February 2009. [4] Alan M. Turing. On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. Proc. London Math. Soc. Series 2 42 (Parts 3 and 4), 230-265, 1936. A correction, ibid. 43, 544-546, 1937. [5] Wikipedia. Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol. ©custom character [6] Stephen Cook. The P VS NP Problem. Custom character custom character [7] Klint Finley. Chinese Supercomputer Is Still the World's Most Powerful. Wired Magazine. Nov. 18, 2013. [8] A. F. Webster and S. E. Tavares. On the Design of S-Boxes. Advances in Cryptology. CRYPTO 85 Proceedings. LNCS 218. Springer, 523-534, 1986. [9] Mihir Bellare, Ran Canetti and Hugo Krawczyk. Keying Hash Functions for Message Authentication. Advances in Cryptology—Crypto 96 Proceedings. LNCS 1109, N. Koblitz ed., Springer, 1996. [10] Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michael Peeters, Gilles Van Assche. Keccak Reference 3.0 2011. Custom character custom character [11] Jean-Philippe Aumasson, Samuel Neves, Zooko Wilcox-O'Hearn, Christian Winnerlein. BLAKE. Ecustom character [12] Praveen Gauravaram, Lars Knudsen, Krystian Matusiewicz, Florian Mendel, Christian Rechberger, Martin Schläffer, and Soren S. Thomsen. Grostl—a SHA-3 candidate. Ecustom character [13] Hongjun Wu. The Hash Function JH. 2011. Ecustom character custom character custom character [14] Niels Ferguson, Stefan Lucks, Bruce Schneier, Doug Whiting, Mihir Bellare, Tadayoshi Kohno, Jon Callas, Jesse Walker. The Skein Hash Function Family. 2010. Custom character custom character custom character [15] NIST. FIPS-180-2: Secure Hash Standard, August 2002. Custom character [16] Mark Wegman and J. Lawrence Carter. New Hash Functions and Their Use in Authentication and Set Equality. Journal of Computer and System Sciences. 22, 265-279, 1981. [17] E. R. Berlekamp. Algebraic Coding Theory. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1968. [18] L. K. Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing. May 1996. [19] D. Bernstein. Grover vs. McEliece. Post-Quantum Cryptography. LNCS 6061, Springer, 73-80, 2010. [20] Robert J. McEliece. The Theory of Information and Coding. Reading, MA, Addison-Wesley, 1977. [21] Robert J. McEliece. A publickey cryptosystem based on algebraic coding theory, JPL DSN Progress Report 42-44, pages 114-116, 1978. Custom character custom character [22] Sherman Stein and Sandor Szabo. Algebra and Tiling. Homomorphisms in the Service of Geometry. Mathematical Association of America, 1994. [23] R. L. Rivest, A. Shamir, and L. Adleman. A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. Communications of the ACM. 21, 120-126, 1978. [24] Joseph H. Silverman and John Tate. Rational Points on Elliptic Curves. Springer-Verlag, 1992. [25] Harold Edwards. A normal form for elliptic curves. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society. 44: 393-422, April, 2007. [26] Peter Montgomery. Speeding the Pollard and Elliptic Curve Methods of Factorization. Mathematics of Computation 48 (177): 243-264, 1987. [27] A. J. Menezes, P. C. van Oorshot, and S. A. Vanstone. Handbook of Applied Cryptography. CRC Press, NY, 1997. [28] Serge Vaudenay. Secure Communications over Insecure Channels Based on Short Authenticated Strings. Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2005. 309-326, 2005.

Claims

- 1. A machine-implemented method comprising: a first machine and a second machine; each machine including at least a processor system and a memory system; the memory system storing one or more machine instructions; which when implemented cause the processor system to implement the machine-implemented method including at least, a first party generating with the first machine one or more private keys, the first party computing with the first machine one or more public keys by applying mathematical operations to the one or more private keys; the first party sending one or more of its public keys to a second party; a second party generating with the second machine one or more private keys; the second party computing with the second machine one or more public keys by applying mathematical operations to the second party's one or more private keys; the second party sending one or more of its the second party's public keys to the first party; the first party receiving the second party's one or more public keys; the first party applying with the first machine mathematical operations between the first party's one or more private keys and the second party's one or more public keys, wherein the mathematical operations resulting in a shared secret for the first party; the second party receiving the first party's one or more public keys; the second party applying with the second machine mathematical operations between the second party's one or more private keys and the first party's one or more public keys, wherein the mathematical operations resulting in a shared secret for the second party; the first party transforming the shared secret for the first party to one or more multiparty private keys that are distinct from the first party's private keys and distinct from the second party's private keys; the first party computing with the first machine one or more multiparty public keys by applying mathematical operations to information that includes at least the one or more multiparty private keys, the mathematical operations only including operations upon information that is available to the second party; the first party sending the one or more multiparty public keys to a third party that is distinct from the first party and distinct from the second party; wherein the computing of the one or more public keys by the first party is performed by the first machine; and wherein the computing of the one or more public keys by the second party is performed by the second machine, wherein said mathematical operations that are applied to the one or more multiparty private keys compute elliptic curve operations.
- 2. The machine-implemented method of claim 1 further comprising: wherein the one or more multiparty public keys sent to the third party each have a size that is the same size as each of the public keys sent from the first party to the second party; wherein the size stays constant with increases in how many parties exchange public keys.
- 3. The machine-implemented method of claim 1 further comprising: the first party sending one or more public keys to a location outside the machine used by the first party.
- 4. The machine-implemented method of claim 1 further comprising: at least one of the parties has a

sensor or at least one of the parties uses at least one sensor.

- 5. The machine-implemented method of claim 1 wherein a non-deterministic system contributes to the generation of the first party's private keys.
- 6. The machine-implemented method of claim 5 further comprising: the non-deterministic system is based at least on a behavior of photons.
- 7. The machine-implemented method of claim 6 further comprising: emitting said photons from a light emitting diode.
- 8. A machine-implemented method comprising: a first machine and a second machine, each machine including at least a processor system and a memory system; the memory system storing one or more machine instructions; which when implemented cause the processor system to implement the machine-implemented method including at least, a first party generating with the first machine one or more private keys; the first party computing with the first machine one or more public keys by applying mathematical operations to the one or more private keys; the first party sending one or more of its public keys to a second party; the second party generating with the second machine one or more private keys; the second party computing with the second machine one or more public keys by applying mathematical operations to the second party's one or more private keys; the second party sending one or more of its the second party's public keys to the first party; the first party receiving the second party's one or more public keys; the first party applying mathematical operations between the first party's one or more private keys and the second party's one or more public keys, wherein the mathematical operations resulting in a shared secret for the first party; the second party receiving the first party's one or more public keys; the second party applying mathematical operations between the second party's one or more private keys and the first party's one or more public keys, wherein the mathematical operations resulting in a shared secret for the second party; the first party transforming, by the system, the shared secret for the first party to one or more multiparty private keys that are distinct from the first party's private keys and distinct from the second party's private keys; the first party computing one or more multiparty public keys by applying mathematical operations to information that includes at least the one or more multiparty private keys, the mathematical operations only including operations upon information that is available to the second party; the first party sending the one or more multiparty public keys to a third party that is distinct from the first party and distinct from the second party; wherein the computing of the one or more public keys by the first party is performed by the first machine; and wherein the computing of the one or more public keys by the second party is performed by the second machine; wherein said mathematical operations that are applied to the one or more multiparty private keys compute lattice operations.
- 9. The machine-implemented method of claim 8 wherein a non-deterministic system contributes to the generation of the first party's private keys.
- 10. The machine-implemented method of claim 9 further comprising: the non-deterministic system is based at least on a behavior of photons.
- 11. The machine-implemented method of claim 10 further comprising: emitting said photons from a light emitting diode.
- 12. The machine-implemented method of claim 8 further comprising: the first party sending one or more public keys to a location outside the machine used by the first party.
- 13. The machine-implemented method of claim 8 further comprising: at least one of the parties has a sensor or at least one of the parties uses at least one sensor.
- 14. The machine-implemented method of claim 8 further comprising: wherein the one or more multiparty public keys sent to the third party each have a size that is the same size as each of the public keys sent from the first party to the second party; wherein the size stays constant with increases in how many parties exchange public keys.
- 15. A machine-implemented method comprising: a first machine and a second machine; each machine including at least a processor system and a memory system; the memory system storing

implement the machine-implemented method; a first party generating with the first machine one or more private keys, wherein the one or more private keys of the first party include a machinegenerated random sequence of characters of the first party that is generated automatically; the first party computing, with the first machine, one or more public keys by applying mathematical operations to the one or more private keys; the first party sending, by the machine, one or more of its public keys to a second party; the second party generating, with the second machine, one or more private keys; the second party computing, with the second machine, one or more public keys by applying mathematical operations to the second party's one or more private keys; the second party sending one or more of its the second party's public keys to the first party; the first party receiving the second party's one or more public keys; the first party applying with the first machine mathematical operations between the first party's one or more private keys and the second party's one or more public keys, wherein the mathematical operations resulting in a shared secret for the first party; the second party receiving the first party's one or more public keys; the second party applying with the second machine mathematical operations between the second party's one or more private keys and the first party's one or more public keys, wherein the mathematical operations resulting in a shared secret for the second party; the first party transforming with the first machine the shared secret for the first party to one or more multiparty private keys that are distinct from the first party's private keys and distinct from the second party's private keys; the first party computing with the first machine one or more multiparty public keys by applying mathematical operations to information that includes at least the one or more multiparty private keys, the mathematical operations only including operations upon information that is available to the second party; the first party sending the one or more multiparty public keys to a third party that is distinct from the first party and distinct from the second party; wherein said mathematical operations that are applied to the one or more multiparty private keys compute Goppa code operations.

one or more machine instructions; which when implemented cause the processor system to

- 16. The machine-implemented method of claim 15 wherein a non-deterministic system contributes to the generation of the first party's private keys.
- 17. The machine-implemented method of claim 16 further comprising: the non-deterministic system is based at least on a behavior of photons.
- 18. The machine-implemented method of claim 17 further comprising: emitting said photons from a light emitting diode.
- 19. The machine-implemented method of claim 15 further comprising: the first party sending one or more public keys to a location outside the machine used by the first party.
- 20. The machine-implemented method of claim 15 further comprising: at least one of the parties has a sensor or at least one of the parties uses at least one sensor.
- 21. The machine-implemented method of claim 15 further comprising: a non-deterministic process contributes to the generation of the first party's private keys.
- 22. The machine-implemented method of claim 15 further comprising: wherein the one or more multiparty public keys sent to the third party each have a size that is the same size as each of the public keys sent from the first party to the second party; wherein the size stays constant with increases in how many parties exchange public keys.