

US012394296B2

## (12) United States Patent

#### Kusens et al.

### (10) Patent No.: US 12,394,296 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:** Aug. 19, 2025

#### (54) UTILIZING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO DETECT OBJECTS OR PATIENT SAFETY EVENTS IN A PATIENT ROOM

(71) Applicant: CERNER INNOVATION, INC.,

Kansas City, MO (US)

(72) Inventors: Bruce Howard Kusens, North Miami

Beach, FL (US); Michael Kusens,

Cooper City, FL (US)

(73) Assignee: CERNER INNOVATION, INC.,

Kansas City, MO (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 18/657,078

(22) Filed: May 7, 2024

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2024/0290191 A1 Aug. 29, 2024

#### Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 18/314,502, filed on May 9, 2023, now Pat. No. 12,008,880, which is a (Continued)
- (51) Int. Cl. G08B 29/18 (2006.01) G06V 20/52 (2022.01) (Continued)
- (52) U.S. Cl. CPC .......... *G08B 21/0476* (2013.01); *G06V 20/52* (2022.01); *G08B 29/185* (2013.01); *H04N 13/204* (2018.05)
- (58) Field of Classification Search

None

See application file for complete search history.

#### (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,669,263 A 4,857,716 A 6/1987 Sugiyama 8/1989 Gombrich et al. (Continued)

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU 2014308590 A1 3/2016 DE 19844918 A1 4/2000 (Continued)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS


US 9,948,899 B1, 04/2018, Kusens (withdrawn) (Continued)

Primary Examiner — Eileen M Adams (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

#### (57) ABSTRACT

Methods and systems are provided for detecting objects or patient safety events in a patient room. Artificial intelligence is utilized to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities by the methods and systems. Sensors collect a series of images and depth data in a room of a patient. Data (corresponding to images and depth data of an object or patent safety event) is received from the sensors and compared to stored data to identify the object or patient safety event. The images are communicated to a central video monitoring system and a user may be prompted to confirm if the object requires learning or a patient safety event occurred (or identify the object or patient safety event) or to provide additional parameters or actions. A patient safety learning system analyzes the data and incorporates the user response to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduce false alerts.

#### 20 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



#### 7/2014 Dziubinski Related U.S. Application Data 8,769,153 B2 8,890,937 B2 11/2014 Skubic et al. continuation of application No. 17/646,942, filed on 8,902,068 B2 12/2014 Bechtel et al. 8,917,186 B1 12/2014 Jan. 4, 2022, now Pat. No. 11,721,190, which is a Grant 8,953,886 B2 2/2015 King et al. continuation of application No. 17/117,414, filed on 9,072,929 B1 7/2015 Rush et al. Dec. 10, 2020, now Pat. No. 11,276,291, which is a 9,129,506 B1 9/2015 Kusens continuation of application No. 16/832,790, filed on 9,147,334 B2 9/2015 Long et al. Mar. 27, 2020, now Pat. No. 10,922,946, which is a 9,159,215 B1 10/2015 Kusens 9,269,012 B2 continuation of application No. 15/856,419, filed on 2/2016 Fotland 9,292,089 B1 3/2016 Sadek Dec. 28, 2017, now Pat. No. 10,643,446. 9,305,191 B2 4/2016 Long et al. 9,367,270 B1 6/2016 Robertson (51) Int. Cl. 9,408,561 B2 8/2016 Stone et al. G08B 21/04 (2006.01)9,424,699 B2 8/2016 Kusens et al. 9,466,163 B2 10/2016 H04N 13/204 (2018.01)Kusens et al. 9,489,820 B1 11/2016 Kusens 9,519,969 B1 12/2016 Kusens (56)References Cited 9,524,443 B1 12/2016 Kusens 9,536,310 B1 1/2017 Kusens U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS 9,538,158 B1 1/2017 Rush et al. 9,563,955 B1 2/2017 Kamarshi et al. 5,031,228 A 7/1991 Lu 9,597,016 B2 3/2017 Stone et al. 5,276,432 A 1/1994 Travis 9.691.206 B2 6/2017 Kusens et al. 5,448,221 A 9/1995 Weller 9,729,833 B1 8/2017 Kusens 5,482,050 A 1/1996 Smokoff et al. 9,741,227 B1 8/2017 Kusens 5,592,153 A 1/1997 Welling et al. 9,774,991 B2 9/2017 Kusens 5,798,798 A 8/1998 Rector et al. 9,838,849 B2 12/2017 Kusens 5,838,223 A 11/1998 Gallant et al. 9,858,741 B2 1/2018 Kusens et al. 5,915,379 A 6/1999 Wallace et al. 9,892,310 B2 2/2018 Kusens et al. 5,942,986 A 8/1999 Shabot et al. 9,892,311 B2 2/2018 Kusens et al. 6,050,940 A 4/2000 Braun et al. 9,892,611 B1 2/2018 Kusens 6,095,984 A 8/2000 Amano et al. 9.905.113 B2 2/2018 Kusens 6,160,478 A 6,174,283 B1 6,188,407 B1 12/2000 Jacobsen et al. 9,934,427 B2 4/2018 Derenne et al. 1/2001 Nevo et al. 9,984,521 B1 5/2018 Kusens et al. 2/2001 Smith et al. 9,997,001 B2 6/2018 Kusens et al. 6,269,812 B1 8/2001 Wallace et al. 9,998,857 B2 6/2018 Kusens 6,287,452 B1 9/2001 Allen et al. 10,013,831 B1 7/2018 Kusens et al. 6,322,502 B1 11/2001 Schoenberg et al. 10,055,961 B1 8/2018 Johnson et al. 6,369,838 B1 4/2002 Wallace et al. 10.068.116 B2 9/2018 Good et al. 6,429,869 B1 8/2002 Kamakura et al. 10,078,956 B1 9/2018 Kusens 6,614,349 B1 9/2003 Proctor et al. 10.090.068 B2 10/2018 Kusens et al. 6,727,818 B1 4/2004 Wildman et al. 10,091,463 B1 10/2018 Kusens 6,804,656 B1 10/2004 Rosenfeld et al. 10,096,223 B1 10/2018 Kusens 7,015,816 B2 3/2006 Wildman et al. 10,109,179 B2 10/2018 Kusens 7,122,005 B2 7,154,397 B2 10/2006 Shusterman 10,115,253 B2 10/2018 Kusens et al. 12/2006 Zerhusen et al. 10,115,254 B1 10/2018 Kusens et al. 7,237,287 B2 7/2007 Weismiller et al. 10.121.299 B2 11/2018 Kusens et al. 7,323,991 B1 1/2008 Eckert et al. 10,210,378 B2 2/2019 Kusens et al. 7,408,470 B2 8/2008 Wildman et al. 10,225,522 B1 3/2019 Kusens 7,420,472 B2 9/2008 Tran 10,276,019 B2 10,303,924 B2 4/2019 Johnson et al. 7,430,608 B2 9/2008 Noonan et al. 5/2019 Kusens et al. 7,502,498 B2 3/2009 Wen et al. 10,342,478 B2 7/2019 Kusens 7,612,679 B1 7,669,263 B2 11/2009 Fackler et al. 10,410,042 B2 9/2019 Kusens et al. 3/2010 Menkedick et al. 10,524,722 B2 1/2020 Kusens et al. 7,715,387 B2 5/2010 Schuman 10,614,288 B2 4/2020 Kusens et al. 7,724,147 B2 5/2010 Brown 10,643,061 B2 5/2020 Kusens et al. 7,756,723 B2 7/2010 Rosow et al. 10,643,446 B2 5/2020 Kusens et al. 7,890,349 B2 2/2011 Cole et al. 10,878,220 B2 10,922,936 B2 12/2020 Kusens 7,893,842 B2 2/2011 Deutsch 2/2021 Kusens et al. 7,895,055 B2 2/2011 Schneider et al. 10,922,946 B2 2/2021 Kusens et al. 7,908,153 B2 3/2011 Scherpbier et al. 11,241,169 B2 2/2022 Kusens et al. 7,945,457 B2 5/2011 Zaleski 11,276,291 B2 3/2022 Kusens et al. 7,962,544 B2 6/2011 Torok et al. 11,721,190 B2 8/2023 Kusens et al. 7,972,140 B2 7/2011 Renaud 12,008,880 B2\* 6/2024 Kusens ...... G08B 21/0476 8,108,036 B2 1/2012 Tran Malmborg 2002/0015034 A1 2/2002 8,123,685 B2 2/2012 Brauers et al. 2002/0038073 A1 3/2002 August 8.128.596 B2 3/2012 Carter 2002/0077863 A1 6/2002Rutledge et al. 8,190,447 B2 5/2012 Hungerford et al. 2002/0101349 A1 8/2002 Rojas, Jr. 8,224,108 B2 7/2012 Steinberg et al. 2002/0115905 A1 8/2002 August 8,237,558 B2 8/2012 Seyed Momen et al. 2002/0183976 A1 12/2002 Pearce 8,273,018 B1 9/2012 Fackler et al. 2003/0037786 A1 2/2003 Biondi et al. 8,432,263 B2 4/2013 Kunz 2003/0070177 A1 4/2003 Kondo et al. 8,451,314 B1 5/2013 Cline et al. 2003/0092974 A1 5/2003 Santoso et al. 8,529,448 B2 9/2013 Menair 2003/0095147 A1 5/2003 Daw 8.565.500 B2 10/2013 Neff 2003/0108223 A1 6/2003 Prokoski 8,620,682 B2 Bechtel et al. 12/2013 8,655,680 B2 2003/0135390 A1 7/2003 O'brien et al. 2/2014 Bechtel et al. 2003/0140928 A1 7/2003 Bui et al. 8,700,423 B2 4/2014 Eaton, Jr. et al.

2003/0227386 A1

12/2003 Pulkkinen et al.

8,727,981 B2

5/2014 Bechtel et al.

# US 12,394,296 B2 Page 3

| (56) References Cited              |             | 2011/0068930                           |  |                              | Wildman et al. |                    |  |             |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|-------------|
| IIS                                | PATENT      | DOCUMENTS                              |  | 2011/0077965<br>2011/0087079 |                | 3/2011<br>4/2011   | Nolte et al.<br>Aarts                  |             |
| 0.2                                | , 1711L/1VI | DOCOMENTS                              |  | 2011/0087125                 |                |                    | Causevic                               |             |
| 2004/0019900 A1                    | 1/2004      | Knightbridge et al.                    |  | 2011/0102133                 |                |                    | Shaffer                                |             |
| 2004/0052418 A1                    |             | Delean                                 |  | 2011/0102181                 |                |                    | Metz et al.                            |             |
| 2004/0054760 A1                    |             | Ewing et al.                           |  | 2011/0106560<br>2011/0106561 |                |                    | Eaton, Jr. et al.<br>Eaton, Jr. et al. |             |
| 2004/0097227 A1<br>2004/0116804 A1 |             | Siegel<br>Mostafavi                    |  | 2011/0175809                 |                |                    | Markovic et al.                        |             |
| 2004/0193449 A1                    |             | Wildman et al.                         |  | 2011/0190593                 |                |                    | Menair                                 |             |
| 2005/0038326 A1                    |             | Mathur                                 |  | 2011/0227740                 |                | 9/2011             | Wohltjen                               |             |
| 2005/0182305 A1                    |             | Hendrich                               |  | 2011/0245707<br>2011/0254682 |                |                    | Castle et al.<br>Sigrist Christensen   |             |
| 2005/0231341 A1<br>2005/0249139 A1 |             | Shimizu<br>Neshit                      |  | 2011/0288811                 |                | 11/2011            |  |             |
| 2006/0004606 A1                    |             | Wendl et al.                           |  | 2011/0295621                 |                | 12/2011            | Farooq et al.                          |             |
| 2006/0047538 A1                    | 3/2006      | Condurso et al.                        |  | 2011/0301440                 |                |                    | Riley et al.                           |             |
| 2006/0049936 A1                    |             | Collins, Jr. et al.                    |  | 2011/0313325<br>2012/0016295 |                |                    | Cuddihy<br>Tsoukalis                   |             |
| 2006/0058587 A1<br>2006/0089541 A1 |             | Heimbrock et al.<br>Braun et al.       |  | 2012/0025991                 |                |                    | O'keefe et al.                         |             |
| 2006/0092043 A1                    |             | Lagassey                               |  | 2012/0026308                 | A1             |                    | Johnson et al.                         |             |
| 2006/0107295 A1                    | 5/2006      | Margis et al.                          |  | 2012/0075464                 |                |                    | Derenne et al.                         |             |
| 2006/0145874 A1                    |             | Fredriksson et al.                     |  | 2012/0092162<br>2012/0098918 |                |                    | Rosenberg<br>Murphy                    |             |
| 2006/0261974 A1<br>2007/0033072 A1 |             | Albert et al.<br>Bildirici             |  | 2012/0140068                 |                | 6/2012             | Monroe et al.                          |             |
| 2007/0083445 A1                    |             | Garcia et al.                          |  | 2012/0154582                 |                | 6/2012             | Johnson et al.                         |             |
| 2007/0085690 A1                    | 4/2007      | Tran                                   |  | 2012/0212582                 |                |                    | Deutsch                                |             |
| 2007/0118054 A1                    |             | Pinhas et al.                          |  | 2012/0259650<br>2012/0314901 |                |                    | Mallon et al.<br>Hanson et al.         |             |
| 2007/0120689 A1<br>2007/0129983 A1 |             | Zerhusen et al.<br>Scherpbier et al.   |  | 2012/0323090                 |                |                    | Bechtel et al.                         |             |
| 2007/0125583 A1<br>2007/0136102 A1 |             | Rodgers                                |  | 2012/0323591                 | A1             | 12/2012            | Bechtel et al.                         |             |
| 2007/0136218 A1                    | 6/2007      | Bauer et al.                           |  | 2012/0323592                 |                |                    | Bechtel et al.                         |             |
| 2007/0159332 A1                    |             | Koblasz                                |  | 2013/0027199<br>2013/0028570 |                |                    | Bonner<br>Suematsu et al.              |             |
| 2007/0279219 A1<br>2007/0296600 A1 |             | Warriner<br>Dixon et al.               |  | 2013/0028370                 |                |                    | Long et al.                            |             |
| 2008/0001735 A1                    |             |  |  | 2013/0122807                 |                |                    | Tenarvitz et al.                       |             |
| 2008/0001763 A1                    |             | Raja et al.                            |  | 2013/0127620                 |                |                    | Siebers et al.                         |             |
| 2008/0002860 A1                    |             | Super et al.                           |  | 2013/0184592<br>2013/0265482 |                |                    | Venetianer et al.<br>Funamoto          |             |
| 2008/0004904 A1<br>2008/0009686 A1 |             | Tran<br>Hendrich                       |  | 2013/0309128                 |                |                    | Voegeli et al.                         |             |
| 2008/0005030 A1<br>2008/0015903 A1 |             | Rodgers                                |  |                              |                |                    | Kaib                                   | A61N 1/3937 |
| 2008/0021731 A1                    |             | Rodgers                                |  |                              |                |                    |  | 600/510     |
| 2008/0071210 A1                    |             | Moubayed et al.                        |  | 2013/0332184<br>2014/0039351 |                |                    | Burnham et al.<br>Mix et al.           |             |
| 2008/0087719 A1<br>2008/0106374 A1 |             | Sahud<br>Sharbaugh                     |  | 2014/0070950                 |                |                    | Snodgrass                              |             |
| 2008/0126132 A1                    |             | Warner et al.                          |  | 2014/0081654                 |                |                    | Bechtel et al.                         |             |
| 2008/0228045 A1                    |             | Gao et al.                             |  | 2014/0085501                 |                | 3/2014             | Tran                                   |             |
| 2008/0249376 A1                    |             | Zaleski                                |  | 2014/0086450<br>2014/0108041 |                |                    | Huang et al.<br>Bechtel et al.         |             |
| 2008/0267447 A1<br>2008/0277486 A1 |             | Kelusky et al.<br>Seem et al.          |  | 2014/0155755                 |                |                    | Pinter et al.                          |             |
| 2008/0281638 A1                    |             | Weatherly et al.                       |  | 2014/0168397                 | A1             |                    | Greco et al.                           |             |
| 2009/0082829 A1                    |             | Panken et al.                          |  | 2014/0191861                 |                |                    | Scherrer                               |             |
| 2009/0091458 A1<br>2009/0099480 A1 |             | Deutsch<br>Salgo et al.                |  | 2014/0191946<br>2014/0213845 |                |                    | Cho et al.<br>Bechtel et al.           |             |
| 2009/0099480 A1<br>2009/0112630 A1 |             | Collins, Jr. et al.                    |  | 2014/0267625                 |                |                    | Clark et al.                           |             |
| 2009/0119843 A1                    |             | Rodgers et al.                         |  | 2014/0267736                 |                |                    | Delean                                 |             |
| 2009/0177327 A1                    |             | Turner et al.                          |  | 2014/0309789<br>2014/0327545 |                | 10/2014            |  |             |
| 2009/0196510 A1<br>2009/0224924 A1 |             | Gokturk et al.<br>Thorp                |  | 2014/0328512                 |                |                    | Bolling et al.<br>Gurwicz et al.       |             |
| 2009/0224924 A1<br>2009/0278934 A1 |             | Ecker et al.                           |  | 2014/0333744                 |                |                    | Baym et al.                            |             |
| 2009/0322513 A1                    |             | Hwang et al.                           |  | 2014/0333776                 |                |                    | Dedeoglu et al.                        |             |
| 2009/0326340 A1                    |             | Wang et al.                            |  | 2014/0354436<br>2014/0365242 |                | 12/2014<br>12/2014 | Nix et al.                             |             |
| 2010/0117836 A1<br>2010/0169114 A1 |             | Seyed Momen et al.<br>Henderson et al. |  | 2014/0303242                 |                |                    | Bechtel et al.                         |             |
| 2010/0169114 A1<br>2010/0169120 A1 |             | Herbst et al.                          |  | 2015/0061891                 |                |                    | Oleson et al.                          |             |
| 2010/0172567 A1                    | 7/2010      | Prokoski                               |  | 2015/0109442                 |                |                    | Derenne et al.                         |             |
| 2010/0176952 A1                    |             | Bajcsy et al.                          |  | 2015/0206415<br>2015/0227680 |                |                    | Wegelin et al.<br>Mainkar et al.       |             |
| 2010/0188228 A1<br>2010/0205771 A1 |             | Hyland<br>Pietryga et al.              |  | 2015/0269318                 |                | 9/2015             |  |             |
| 2010/02037/1 A1<br>2010/0245577 A1 |             | Yamamoto et al.                        |  | 2015/0278456                 | A1             | 10/2015            | Bermudez Rodrigue                      | ez et al.   |
| 2010/0285771 A1                    | 11/2010     | Peabody                                |  | 2015/0294143                 |                |                    | Wells et al.                           |             |
| 2010/0305466 A1                    |             |  |  | 2016/0022218                 |                |                    | Hayes et al.                           |             |
| 2011/0018709 A1<br>2011/0022981 A1 |             | Kornbluh<br>Mahajan et al.             |  | 2016/0029160<br>2016/0070869 |                |                    | Theurer et al. Portnoy                 |             |
| 2011/0022981 A1<br>2011/0025493 A1 |             | Papadopoulos et al.                    |  | 2016/0093195                 |                |                    | Ophardt                                |             |
| 2011/0025499 A1                    |             | Hoy et al.                             |  | 2016/0098676                 |                |                    | Kusens et al.                          |             |
| 2011/0035057 A1                    |             | Receveur et al.                        |  | 2016/0127641                 |                | 5/2016             |  |             |
| 2011/0035466 A1                    |             | Panigrahi                              |  | 2016/0180668                 | A1*            | 6/2016             | Kusens                                 |             |
| 2011/0050411 A1<br>2011/0054936 A1 |             | Schuman et al.<br>Cowan et al.         |  | 2016/0183864                 | Д 1            | 6/2016             | Kusens et al.                          | 340/541     |
| 2011/003 <del>4</del> 330 A1       | 5/2011      | Cowan Ct al.                           |  | 2010/0103004                 | 2 3 1          | 0/2010             | reasons et al.                         |             |

| (56) Referen                         | nces Cited                         | U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,877, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Feb.   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS                |                                    | 23, 2017, 24 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,877, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Mar.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Mineo                              | 14, 2018, 13 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/134,189, Final Office Action mailed on Jul. 12,                                      |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Venetianer et al.<br>Franz et al.  | 2018, 23 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Tiwari et al.<br>Kusens            | U.S. Appl. No. 15/134,189, Final Office Action mailed on May 6, 2020, 31 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2016/0324460 A1 11/2016              | Kusens<br>Tzvieli et al.           | U.S. Appl. No. 15/134,189, First Action Interview Office Action   |  |  |  |  |
| 2017/0055917 A1 3/2017               | Stone et al.                       | mailed on Feb. 22, 2018, 3 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/134,189, First Action Interview Pre-Interview                          |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens<br>Kusens                   | Communication mailed on Nov. 22, 2017, 5 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens<br>Sashida et al.           | U.S. Appl. No. 15/134,189, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 9, 2019, 30 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2017/0143240 A1 5/2017               | Stone et al.<br>Suzuki             | U.S. Appl. No. 15/148,151, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 8, 2018, 5 pages.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017/0193177 A1 7/2017               | Kusens                             | U.S. Appl. No. 15/279,054, Corrected Notice of Allowability mailed  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017/0193772 A1 7/2017               | Kusens et al.<br>Kusens et al.     | on Jan. 18, 2018, 2 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/279,054, Notice of Allowance mailed on Nov. 27,                               |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens et al.<br>Braune            | 2017, 2 pages.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017/0289503 A1 10/2017              | Kusens<br>Liao et al.              | U.S. Appl. No. 15/279,054, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 20, 2017, 13 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2018/0018864 A1 1/2018               | Baker                              | U.S. Appl. No. 15/285,416, Final Office Action mailed on Aug. 23,   |  |  |  |  |
| 2018/0068545 A1 3/2018               | Chen G06N 20/10<br>Kusens          | 2017, 16 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/285,416, Final Office Action mailed on Jul. 5,   |  |  |  |  |
| 2018/0114053 A1 4/2018               | Bechtel et al.<br>Kusens et al.    | 2018, 8 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/285,416, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Apr.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Tzvieli et al.<br>Kusens et al.    | 11, 2017, 13 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/285,416, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Mar.                                      |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens<br>Gordon G06V 20/52        | 12, 2018, 20 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2018/0189946 A1 7/2018               | Kusens et al.<br>Kusens            | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,243, Final Office Action mailed on Jun. 11, 2019, 18 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2018/0357875 A1 12/2018              | Kusens                             | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,243, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Feb. 14, 2019, 14 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2019/0029528 A1 1/2019               | Kusens et al.<br>Tzvieli et al.    | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,250, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019/0057592 A1 2/2019               | Kusens et al.<br>Kusens            | 8, 2017, 19 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,250, Notice of Allowance mailed on Sep. 26,                                       |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens et al.<br>Kusens            | 2017, 12 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,526, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Apr.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019/0206218 A1 7/2019               | Kusens et al.<br>Sobol et al.      | 27, 2017, 16 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2019/0228866 A1 7/2019               | Weffers-albu et al.                | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,526, Notice of Allowance mailed on Sep. 21, 2017, 12 pages.   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens<br>Kusens                   | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,716, First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication mailed on Feb. 24, 2017, 5 pages. |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Terry et al.<br>Kusens et al.      | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,716, Notice of Allowance mailed on Apr. 19,   |  |  |  |  |
| 2019/0318478 A1 10/2019              | Kusens et al.                      | 2017, 5 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,716, Notice of Allowance mailed on Dec. 6,  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020/0210679 A1 7/2020               | Kusens<br>Kusens et al.            | 2017, 5 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,716, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 24,   |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens et al.<br>Talmor G16H 40/60 | 2017, 5 pages.  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Kusens et al.<br>Bechtel et al.    | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,716, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 19, 2018, 2 pages.  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      |                                    | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,716, Notice of Allowance mailed on May 9, 2018, 5 pages.  |  |  |  |  |
| FOREIGN PATE                         | ENT DOCUMENTS                      | U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,762, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May  |  |  |  |  |
| WO 2007081629 A2<br>WO 2009018422 A1 | 7/2007<br>2/2009                   | 1, 2019, 27 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/395,762, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May  |  |  |  |  |
| WO 2012122002 A1<br>WO 2016126845 A1 | 9/2012<br>8/2016                   | 31, 2018, 24 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/396,263, Final Office Action mailed on Oct. 18,                                      |  |  |  |  |
| WO 2017058991 A1<br>WO 2017124056 A1 | 4/2017<br>7/2017                   | 2017, 20 pages.<br>U.S. Appl. No. 15/396,263, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Apr.  |  |  |  |  |
| WO 2017124036 A1<br>WO 2018218286 A1 | 12/2018                            | 14, 2017, 18 pages.   |  |  |  |  |

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Raheja, et al., Human Facial Expression Detection From Detected in Captured Image Using Back Propagation Neural Network, International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology, vol. 2, No. 1, Feb. 2010, pp. 116-123.

U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,877, Final Office Action mailed on Sep. 29, 2017, 22 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/396,263, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Feb.

7, 2018, 19 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 15/396,263, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 13,

2018, 9 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/628,318, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Jun. 8, 2018, 9 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 15/728,110, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May

2, 2018, 8 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/728,110, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 23, 2018, 15 pages.

#### (56) References Cited

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- U.S. Appl. No. 15/848,621, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 31, 2018, 23 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 15/856,419, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 2, 2019, 8 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 15/856,419, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jan. 3, 2020, 6 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 15/857,696, First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication mailed on May 23, 2019, 14 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 15/857,696, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 16, 2019, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 15/910,632, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Aug. 15, 2018, 7 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 15/910,645, First Action Interview Pre-Interview Communication mailed on May 21, 2018, 14 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 16/107,567, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Mar. 29, 2019, 8 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 16/181,897, First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication mailed on May 11, 2020, 5 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 16/181,897, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 14,
- 2020, 9 pages.U.S. Appl. No. 16/216,210, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Feb.13, 2019, 29 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 16/380,013, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 10, 2019, 9 pages.
- Camera System is Part of an Automated Hand Hygiene Monitoring System, Infection Control Today, Jul. 15, 2011, pp. 1-4.
- Virtual Patient Observation: Centralize Monitoring of High-Risk Patients with Video-Cisco Video Surveillance Manager, Cisco, Available online at: https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/collateral/physical-security/video-surveillance-manager/white paper\_C11-715263.pdf, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/543,816, Final Office Action mailed on Jun. 17, 2014, 15 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/543,816, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Dec. 1, 2014, 18 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/543,816, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Dec. 30, 2013, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/543,816, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 5, 2015, 5 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/084,588, Final Office Action mailed on Dec. 19, 2014, 24 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/084,588, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Jul. 16, 2014, 12 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/339,397, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Oct. 7, 2015, 16 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/575,850, Final Office Action mailed on Dec. 12, 2017, 10 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/575,850, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Mar. 11, 2016, 10 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/575,850, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 13, 2018, 5 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/575,850, Titled: Method and Process for Determining Whether an Individual Suffers a Fall Requiring Assistance filed Dec. 18, 2014, 37 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/599,498, Final Office Action mailed on Oct. 12, 2017, 28 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/599,498, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Feb. 22, 2018, 24 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/599,498, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 31, 2017, 24 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/599,498, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 18, 2018, 6 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/599,498, Titled: Method and System for Determining Whether an Individual Takes Appropriate Measures To Prevent the Spread of Healthcare-Associated Infections, filed Jan. 17, 2015, 37 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/611,363, Final Office Action mailed on Apr. 28, 2017, 20 pages.

- U.S. Appl. No. 14/611,363, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Jan. 11, 2017, 19 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/611,363, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 7, 2018, 6 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/611,363, Notice of Allowance mailed on Dec. 29, 2017, 11 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/611,363, Titled: Method and System for Determining Whether an Individual Takes Appropriate Measures To Prevent the Spread of Healthcare-Associated Infections filed Feb. 2, 2015, 40 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/613,866, Notice of Allowance mailed on Mar. 20, 2017, 11 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/623,349, Final Office Action mailed on Oct. 4, 2017, 29 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/623,349, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Apr. 5, 2017, 15 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/623,349, Notice of Allowance mailed on Feb. 12, 2018, 11 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/623,349, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 18, 2018, 11 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/724,969, Final Office Action mailed on Jul. 28, 2016, 26 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/724,969, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Feb. 11, 2016, 14 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/724,969, Notice of Allowance mailed on Apr. 21, 2017, 8 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/724,969, Notice of Allowance mailed on Dec. 23, 2016, 5 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/727,434, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Sep. 23, 2016, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/727,434, Notice of Allowance mailed on Apr. 25, 2017, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/727,434, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 5, 2017, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/727,434, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 10, 2017, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/728,762, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 27, 2016, 13 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,264, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jul. 18, 2016, 15 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,264, Notice of Allowance mailed on Nov. 9, 2016, 14 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,264, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 14, 2016. 14 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,447, Notice of Allowability mailed on Jun. 22, 2016, 4 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,447, Notice of Allowance mailed on Aug. 26, 2016, 5 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,447, Notice of Allowance mailed on May 31, 2016, 8 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,447, Notice of Allowance mailed on Nov. 14, 2016, 5 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,499, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 23, 2016, 6 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/743,499, Notice of Allowance mailed on Sep. 19, 2016, 5 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,593, Final Office Action mailed on Feb. 16, 2018, 8 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,593, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Apr. 21, 2017, 9 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,593, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Aug. 16, 2017, 8 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 14/757,593, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 4, 2018, 5 pages.
- Zarka, et al., Real-Time Human Motion Detection and Tracking, 3rd International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies: From Theory to Applications, Apr. 7-11, 2008, pp. 1-6. U.S. Appl. No. 16/410,745, Non-Final Office Action mailed on May 21, 2021, 21 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 16/410,745, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jan. 4, 2022, 10 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 16/654,502, Notice of Allowance mailed on Feb. 17, 2021, 9 pages.

#### (56) References Cited

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 16/731,274, First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication mailed on Sep. 1, 2021, 12 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 16/816,626, First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication mailed on Dec. 22, 2020, 4 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 16/816,626, Notice of Allowance mailed on Sep. 30, 2021, 9 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 16/830,498, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Sep. 22, 2021, 29 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 16/832,790, First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication mailed on Aug. 25, 2020, 5 pages. U.S. Appl. No. 16/832,790, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jan. 6, 2021, 2 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 16/832,790, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 27, 2020, 2 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 16/832,790, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 9, 2020, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/101,639, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Aug. 2, 2022, 13 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/101,639, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Sep. 13, 2021, 13 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/117,414, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Jul. 27, 2021, 12 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/117,414, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jan. 31, 2022, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/117,414, Notice of Allowance mailed on Oct. 14, 2021, 2 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/117,414, Notice of Allowance mailed on Sep. 27, 2021, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/152,403, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Mar. 15, 2022, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/318,521, Notice of Allowance mailed on Aug. 31, 2022, 9 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/646,942, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Nov. 17, 2022, 11 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/646,942, Notice of Allowance mailed on Jun. 7, 2023, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 17/646,942, Notice of Allowance mailed on Mar. 2, 2023, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 18/314,502, Final Office Action mailed on Mar. 1, 2024, 19 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 18/314,502, Non-Final Office Action mailed on Dec. 13, 2023, 17 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 18/314,502, Notice of Allowance mailed on Apr. 11, 2024, 10 pages.

Alotaibi, et al., The Impact of Health Information Technology on Patient Safety, Saudi Medical Journal, vol. 38, No. 12, Available online at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5787626/pdf/SaudiMedJ-38-1173.pdf, Dec. 2017, pp. 1173-1180.

Conaire, et al., Fusion of Infrared and Visible Spectrum Video for Indoor Surveillance, Available Online at https://doras.dcu.je/382/1/wiamis\_2005.pdf, Apr. 2005, 4 pages.

Hong, [WOHIT] Hand Hygiene Being Taught in Hospitals via Social Gaming, L'Atelier BNP Paribas, Apr. 2014, 6 pages.


Long, et al., Using Simulation to Teach Resuscitation: An Important Patient Safety Tool, Critical Care Nursing Clinics of North America, vol. 17, No. 1, Mar. 2005, pp. 1-8.

Mooney, Rhode Island ER First to Test Google Glass on Medical Conditions, EMS Technology, Mar. 10, 2014, 4 pages.


Otanasap, et al., Pre-Impact Fall Detection System Using Dynamic Threshold and 3D Bounding Box, Eighth International Conference on Graphic and Image Processing, Feb. 8, 2017, 5 pages.


Quan, et al., Facial Asymmetry Analysis Based on 3-D Dynamic Scans, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Oct. 14-17, 2012, pp. 2676-2681.


\* cited by examiner



















#### UTILIZING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TO DETECT OBJECTS OR PATIENT SAFETY EVENTS IN A PATIENT ROOM

## CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 18/314,502, filed May 9, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/646,942, <sup>10</sup> filed Jan. 4, 2022, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,721,190, issued Aug. 8, 2023, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/117,414, filed Dec. 10, 2020, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,276,291, issued Mar. 15, 2022, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/832,790, filed Mar. 27, <sup>15</sup> 2020, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,922,946, issued Feb. 16, 2021, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/856,419, filed Dec. 28, 2017, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,643, 446, issued May 5, 2020, the entire disclosures of which are incorporated by reference, for all purposes, as if fully set <sup>20</sup> forth herein.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Medical facilities, such as hospitals, face many challenges 25 in addition to simply caring for patients. For example, securing patients and preventing safety events (e.g., stroke, seizure, getting out of bed, etc.) from occurring consumes many resources and current methods lack effectiveness. Although some medical facilities utilize cameras and/or 30 sensors to monitor patients, current systems require subjective decision-making and are prone to error by the personnel monitoring the data received from the cameras and/or sensors. Additionally, in some situations, a patient may obtain an object the particular patient is not allowed (e.g., a gift 35 from a visitor that might present health or safety risks to the patient) that the current methods are unable to automatically detect. Accordingly, overall security for patients and equipment suffers and the many resources currently being utilized are wasted.

#### **BRIEF SUMMARY**

This brief summary is provided as a general overview of the more detailed disclosure which follows. It is not intended 45 to identify key or essential elements of the disclosure, or to define the claim terms in isolation from the remainder of the disclosure, including the drawings.

This disclosure generally relates to methods and systems for detecting objects or patient safety events in a patient 50 room. More particularly, artificial intelligence or machine learning is utilized to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities by the methods and systems. Generally, and without limitation, the sensors collect a series of images and depth data in a room of a patient. Data (corresponding to 55 images and depth data of an object or patent safety event) is received from the sensors and compared to stored data to identify an object or patient safety event. The images of the object or patent safety event are communicated to a central video monitoring system and a user may be prompted to 60 confirm if the object requires learning or a patient safety event occurred (or to identify the object or patient safety event so a patient safety learning system learns continuously). In some embodiments, the user may change the identification that the system originally produced if it is 65 incorrect to further train the patient safety learning system. The patient safety learning system analyzes the data and

2

incorporates the user response to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduce false alerts.

In some aspects, this disclosure relates to a system for utilizing artificial intelligence to detect objects or patient safety events in a patient room. The system comprises: one or more motion sensors located to collect a series of images of a room of a patient; a computerized patient safety monitoring system communicatively coupled to the one or more motion sensors, the computerized monitoring system receiving data from the one or more motion sensors and comparing the data to stored data in a database to identify an object or patient safety event; and a central video monitoring system that receives images of the object or patient safety event from the computerized patient safety monitoring system and prompts a user to confirm the object requires learning or the patient safety event occurred; and a patient safety learning system that analyzes the data and incorporates whether the object requires learning or the patient safety event occurred to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduce false alerts.

In some aspects this disclosure relates to computer-readable storage media having embodied thereon computerexecutable instructions. When executed by one or more computer processors, the instructions may cause the processors to: utilize one or more motion sensors to collect a series of images of a room of a patient; receive data from the one or more motion sensors at a computerized patient safety monitoring system, the computerized patient safety monitoring system communicatively coupled to the one or more motion sensors; compare the data to stored data in a database to identify an object or patient safety event; communicate, to a central video monitoring system, images of the object or patient safety event from the computerized patient safety monitoring system; and analyze, by a patient safety learning system, the data to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduce false alerts.

In some aspects, this disclosure relates to a method for utilizing artificial intelligence to detect objects or patient safety events in a patient room. The method comprises: utilizing one or more motion sensors to collect a series of images of a room of a patient; receiving data from the one or more motion sensors at a computerized patient safety monitoring system, the computerized patient safety monitoring system communicatively coupled to the one or more motion sensors; comparing the data to stored data in a database to identify an object or patient safety event; receiving, by a central video monitoring system, images of the object or patient safety event from the computerized patient safety monitoring system; prompting, at the central video monitoring system, a user to identify the object that requires learning or identify the patient safety event that occurred and to add additional parameters to the object or patient safety event; and analyzing, by a patient safety learning system, the data and incorporating the identification of the object that requires learning or the identification of the patient safety event that occurred to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduce false alerts.

Additional objects, advantages, and novel features of the disclosure will be set forth in part in the description which follows, and in part will become apparent to those skilled in the art upon examination of the following, or may be learned by practice of the disclosure.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING

The description references the attached drawing figures, wherein:

FIG. 1 is an exemplary flowchart for an automated learning process to detect objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure;

FIG. 2 is an exemplary flowchart for a semi-automated 5 learning process to detect objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure;

FIG. 3 is an exemplary display for object or patient safety event detection in a patent room, in accordance with 10 embodiments of the present disclosure;

FIG. 4 is an exemplary display for object or patient safety event confirmation in a patent room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure;

FIG. 5 is an exemplary flowchart for a semi-automated 15 learning process to detect objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure; and

FIG. 6 is an exemplary flowchart for a manually initiated learning process to detect objects or patient safety events in 20 a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

As noted in the Background, medical facilities, such as hospitals, face many challenges in addition to simply caring for patients. For example, securing patients and preventing safety events (e.g., stroke, seizure, getting out of bed, etc.) from occurring consumes many resources and current meth- 30 ods lack effectiveness. Although some medical facilities utilize cameras and/or sensors to monitor patients, current systems require subjective decision-making and are prone to error by the personnel monitoring the data received from the cameras and/or sensors. Additionally, in some situations, a 35 patient may obtain an object the particular patient is not allowed (e.g., a gift from a visitor that might present health or safety risks to the patient) that the current methods are unable to automatically detect. Accordingly, overall security for patients and equipment suffers and the many resources 40 out of bed), activity, condition, symptom, or inferred diagcurrently being utilized are wasted.

The subject matter of the present invention is described with specificity herein to meet statutory requirements. However, the description itself is not intended to limit the scope of this patent. Rather, the inventor has contemplated that the 45 claimed subject matter might also be embodied in other ways, to include different steps or combinations of steps similar to the ones described in this document, in conjunction with other present or future technologies. Moreover, although the terms "step" and/or "block" may be used herein 50 to connote different elements of methods employed, the terms should not be interpreted as implying any particular order among or between various steps herein disclosed unless and except when the order of individual steps is explicitly described.

Referring initially to FIG. 1, an automated learning process detects objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. As shown in FIG. 1, a system 100 for utilizing events in a patient room may include one or more 3D motion sensors 104. Although described as 3D motion sensors, it is contemplated that aspects of the present invention may be accomplished using 2D motion sensors rather than 3D motion sensors. A 3D motion sensor is an electronic device 65 that contains one or more cameras capable of identifying individual objects, people and motion. The 3D motion

sensor may further contain one or more microphones to detect audio. The cameras can utilize technologies including but not limited to color RGB, CMOS sensors, lasers, infrared projectors and RF-modulated light. The 3D motion sensor may have one or more integrated microprocessors and/or image sensors to detect and process information both transmitted from and received by the various cameras. Exemplary 3D motion sensors include the Microsoft® Kinect® Camera, the Sony® PlayStation® Camera, the Intel® RealSense™ Camera, the Orbbec® Persee®, the Orbbec® Astra®, and the Asus® Ztion, each of which happens to include microphones, although sound capture is not essential to the practice of the disclosure. A user may be able to configure alerts based on data that is received from the 3D motion sensor 204 and interpreted by the computerized patient monitoring system 106. For example, a user can configure the computerized patient monitoring system 106 to provide alerts based on data the computerized patient monitoring system 106 has interpreted to detect objects or patient safety events.

As used herein, "a sensor" and "sensors" are used interchangeably in the singular and plural unless expressly described as a singular sensor or an array of sensors. A singular sensor may be used, or a sensor may comprise two 25 or more cameras integrated into a single physical unit. Alternately, two or more physically distinct sensors may be used, or two or more physically distinct arrays of sensors may be used.

An "object" may be any object in the room of a patient being monitored that presents safety or medical issues to the patient. For example, the object may be a gift the patient received that heightens the risk for aggravating a condition that patient is being treated for. The "object" may also be medical equipment or devices in the room of the patient being monitored. For example, the object may be an infusion pump or a pole that supports the infusion pump and bag. The object may also include contraband, such as weapons or drugs.

A "patient safety event" may be any action (e.g., getting nosis (e.g., stroke or seizure) that presents safety or medical issues to the patient.

As shown in FIG. 1, the system 100 may be utilized to collect a series of images in the room of a patient 102. For example, 3D motion sensor 104 may detect an object (e.g., medication, food, beverage, drug paraphernalia, tobacco products, and the like) in the room of the patient. Additionally, or alternatively, 3D motion sensor 104 may detect a patient safety event (e.g., the patient getting out of bed). Computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 is communicatively coupled to the 3D motion sensor 104 and receives data (i.e., the series of images relevant to the object or patient safety issue) from the 3D motion sensor 104. Features of the object or patient safety event may be 55 analyzed by computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 and compared to stored data in a database 112 to identify known issues, patterns, and the like that can be used to detect an object or patient safety event.

If the object requires learning or the patient safety event artificial intelligence to detect objects or patient safety 60 occurred, as shown at 108, the data is communicated to a patient safety learning system 110. The patient safety learning system 110 analyzes the data (i.e., the video and depth data captured by the sensor 104) and stores new or modified issues, patterns, and the like that can be used to detect an object or patient safety event in database 112. This automated learning process enhances the safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduces false alerts. If, on the

other hand, no object or patient safety event is detected, no further action is taken and the computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 continues to wait for data received by the 3D sensor 104.

In embodiments, the 3D motion sensor 104 may be 5 co-located with a patient 102 to be monitored. The patient 102 to be monitored may be monitored in a variety of environments, including, without limitation, a hospital, a home, a hospice care facility, a nursing home, an assisted living facility, an outpatient medical care facility, and the 10 like. The 3D motion sensor 104 may be positioned where it is likely to capture images of the face of the patient 102 to be monitored. For example, a 3D motion sensor 104 may be oriented to take images of a bed, chair, or other location where the patient 102 to be monitored may spend a signifi- 15 cant amount of time. In some embodiments, the 3D motion sensor 104 may be oriented to take images of persons and/or objects entering and exiting the room of the patient 102 to be monitored. In some embodiments, the 3D mention sensor 104 may be oriented to take images of items or equipment 20 (e.g., medical devices) that may be located in the room of the patient 102 to be monitored. The 3D motion sensor 104 may be permanently installed, or may be temporarily set up in a room as needed. The patient 102 to be monitored may be under immediate medical care, e.g., in a medical facility 25 under the supervision of a medical professional, or may not be under immediate care, e.g., in a home or other environment, possibly with a caregiver. A caregiver may be a medical professional or paraprofessional, such as an orderly, nurse's aide, nurse, or the like. A caregiver may also be a 30 friend, relative, individual, company, or facility that provides assistance with daily living activities and/or medical care for individuals, such as individuals who are disabled, ill, injured, elderly, or otherwise in need of temporary or monitored may be self-sufficient and not under the immediate care of any other person or service provider.

The 3D motion sensor 104 may communicate data (i.e., the video and depth data captured by the sensor 104), such as images of the patient 102 being monitored or an object 40 detected in the room, to a computerized patient safety monitoring system 106. The computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 is a computer programmed to monitor transmissions of data from the 3D motion sensor 104. The computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 may 45 be integral to the 3D motion sensor 104 or a distinctly separate apparatus from the 3D motion sensor 104, possibly in a remote location from 3D motion sensor 104 provided that the computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 can receive data from the 3D motion sensor 104. The 50 computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 may be located in the monitored person's room, such as a hospital room, bedroom, or living room. The computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 may be connected to a central video monitoring system (e.g., central video monitoring 55 system 210 described below with respect to FIG. 2). The computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 and central video monitoring system may be remotely located at any physical locations so long as a data connection exists (USB, TCP/IP or comparable) between the computerized 60 patient safety monitoring system 106, the central video monitoring system, and the 3D motion sensor(s) 104.

The computerized patient safety monitoring system 106 may receive data from 3D motion sensor 104 for a monitoring zone (i.e., the patient's room or area to be monitored). 65 Computerized patient monitoring and object recognition system 106 may assign reference points to distinctive fea-

tures of a person or object. It should be understood that the selection of the reference points may vary with the individual and/or the configuration of the monitoring system 100. Reference points may be configured automatically by the monitoring system 100, may be configured automatically by the monitoring system 100 subject to confirmation and/or modification by a system user, or may be configured manually by a system user. The reference points corresponding to the object may be compared to a database comprising reference points of known or acceptable objects or patient safety events. As described below, various machine learning and/or object recognition techniques may additionally be utilized by patient safety learning system 110 to recognize the object or patient safety event.

As shown in FIG. 2, a semi-automated learning process detects objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. The system 200 may be utilized to collect a series of images and depth data in the room of a patient 202. For example, 3D motion sensor 204 may detect an object (e.g., medication, food, beverage, drug paraphernalia, tobacco products, and the like) in the room of the patient. Additionally, or alternatively, 3D motion sensor 204 may detect a patient safety event (e.g., the patient getting out of bed). Computerized patient safety monitoring system 206 is communicatively coupled to the 3D motion sensor 204 and receives data (i.e., the series of images relevant to the object or patient safety issue) from the 3D motion sensor 204. Features of the object or patient safety event may be analyzed by computerized patient safety monitoring system 206 and compared to stored data in a database 216 to identify known issues, patterns, and the like that can be used to detect an object or patient safety event.

When an object or patient safety event is detected, images long-term assistance. In some instances, the person to be 35 of the object or patient safety event are communicated, as shown at 208, by the computerized patient safety monitoring system 206 to the central video monitoring system 210. At the central video monitoring system 210, a user is prompted, as shown at step 212, to confirm the object requires learning (if not already known by the system 200) or to confirm the patient safety event occurred. This process can occur in real-time or any time in the future. For example, the detected object or patient safety event's video and depth data may be recorded and displayed for a user at the central video monitoring system to be played back so the user can provide additional information or confirmation at any time. If the object requires learning or the patient safety event occurred, the data is communicated to a patient safety learning system 214. The patient safety learning system 214 incorporates the response by the user so the object or patient safety event can be learned and stored in database 216. This semi-automated learning process enhances the safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduces false alerts. If, on the other hand, the object does not require learning or the patient safety event did not occur, no further action is taken and the computerized patient safety monitoring system 206 continues to wait for data received by the 3D sensor 204.

> The 3D motion sensor 204 may communicate data, such as images of the patient 202 being monitored (e.g., via skeletal tracking or blob recognition) or an object detected in the room, to a computerized patient monitoring system 206. The computerized patient monitoring system 206 is a computer programmed to monitor transmissions of data from the 3D motion sensor 204. The computerized patient monitoring system 206 may be integral to the 3D motion sensor 204 or a distinctly separate apparatus from the 3D motion sensor 204, possibly in a remote location from 3D

motion sensor 204 provided that the computerized patient monitoring system 206 can receive data from the 3D motion sensor 204. The computerized patient monitoring system 206 may be located in the monitored person's room, such as a hospital room, bedroom, or living room. The computerized 5 patient monitoring system 206 may be connected to a central video monitoring system 210. The computerized patient monitoring system 206 and central video monitoring system 210 may be remotely located at any physical locations so long as a data connection exists (USB, TCP/IP or comparable) between the computerized patient monitoring system 206, the central video monitoring system 210, and the 3D motion sensor(s) 204.

Computerized patient monitoring system 206 may assign reference points to identify the boundaries of an area to be 15 monitored. For example, reference points may be assigned to a perimeter around the patient. It should be understood that the selection of the reference points may vary with the individual and/or the configuration of the monitoring system 200. Reference points may be configured automatically by 20 the system 200, may be configured automatically by the system 200 subject to confirmation and/or modification by a system user, or may be configured manually by a system user.

Data associated with objects or patient safety events may 25 be logged by computerized patient monitoring system 206 and/or central video monitoring system 210 in a database 216. Data associated with the objects or patient safety events may include, without limitation, the telemetry data from 3D motion sensor 204 that triggered the object or patient safety 30 event; buffered data preceding the telemetry data that triggered the object or patient safety event; telemetry data subsequent to the object or patient safety event; the number and substantive content of object or patient safety event; the individual(s) and/or groups to whom the object or patient 35 safety event was addressed; the response, if any, received or observed following the object or patient safety event; and combinations thereof. In some embodiments, data associated with the object or patient safety event may include the video and/or pictures of the object or patient safety event. 40

FIG. 3 shows an exemplary view for central video monitoring system 300, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. More particularly, the central video monitoring system 300 displays patient safety event detection in a patent room. The view includes skeletal figures, 45 which may be identified by central video monitoring system, and used to track or "lock on to" a patient. Although skeletal figures are shown in FIG. 3, alternate image analysis could be used, including, without limitation, blob recognition, bounding boxes, person masks, and facial recognition or 50 object recognition. As illustrated, a user may be watching live or recorded video 310, 320, 330. If the user sees an object or event that the user wants the system to learn, the user can select the appropriate button (e.g., Tag 2D Object/ Event or Tag 3D Object/Event) to indicate to the system that 55 the user wants to store the video immediately before and after the time the user hit the button to send to the patient safety learning system. The patient safety learning system is capable of buffering video and depth data for the user to enable this process. The user is additionally provided an 60 opportunity to include additional information parameters about the object or safety event, as shown in FIG. 4, described below.

FIG. 4 shows an exemplary view for central video monitoring system 400, in accordance with embodiments of the 65 present disclosure. More particularly, the central video monitoring system 400 displays object detection in a patent

8

room (although illustrated in FIG. 4 and described with respect to object detection, the same process is used for patient safety events). As illustrated, an object 410 has been detected or identified by a user. Upon selecting the object 410, a user can add additional parameters 420 corresponding to the object 410. The objects and additional parameters are communicated to a patient safety learning system to enhance safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduce false alerts.

In FIG. 5, a semi-automated learning process detects objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. The system 500 may be utilized to collect a series of images and depth data in the room of a patient 502. For example, 3D motion sensor 504 may detect an object (e.g., medication, food, beverage, drug paraphernalia, tobacco products, and the like) in the room of the patient. Additionally, or alternatively, 3D motion sensor 504 may detect a patient safety event (e.g., the patient getting out of bed). Computerized patient safety monitoring system 506 is communicatively coupled to the 3D motion sensor 504 and receives data (i.e., the series of images relevant to the object or patient safety issue) from the 3D motion sensor 504. Features of the object or patient safety event may be analyzed by computerized patient safety monitoring system 506 and compared to stored data in a database 520 to identify known issues, patterns, and the like that can be used to detect an object or patient safety event.

As shown at step 508, images from the computerized patient safety monitoring system 506 are communicated for display on the central video monitoring system 510 regardless of whether an object or patient safety event is detected. However, when an object or patient safety event is detected, a user is prompted, as shown at step 512, to confirm the object requires learning (if not already known by the system 500) or to confirm the patient safety event occurred. Either way, the data is communicated to a patient safety learning system 518. The patient safety learning system 518 incorporates the response by the user so the object or patient safety event can be learned and stored in database 520. This semi-automated learning process enhances the safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduces false alerts. If, on the other hand, the object does not require learning or the patient safety event did not occur, no further action is taken and the computerized patient safety monitoring system 506 continues to wait for data received by the 3D sensor 504.

Additionally, or alternatively, if the system does not detect an object or patient safety event, the user may indicate, as shown at step 514, that an object requires learning (if not already known by the system 500) or that a patient safety event occurred. If the user indicates that an object requires learning or that a patient safety event occurred, the user may be prompted, as shown at step 516, to indicate parameters of the object or the type of patient safety event. This information, along with the data (which may include a recording prior (using data buffering), during, and after the object or patient safety event was detected), is communicated to a patient safety learning system 518. The patient safety learning system 518 incorporates the parameters or type of event indicated by the user with the data so the object or patient safety event can be learned and stored in database 520. This semi-automated learning process enhances the safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduces false alerts. If, on the other hand, the user indicates that the object does not require learning or the patient safety event did not occur, no further action is taken and the computerized

patient safety monitoring system 506 continues to wait for data received by the 3D sensor 504.

Referring now to FIG. 6, a manually initiated learning process detects objects or patient safety events in a patient room, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. In FIG. 6, the system 600 may be utilized to collect a series of images and depth data in the room of a patient 602. For example, 3D motion sensor 604 may collect images of an object (e.g., medication, food, beverage, drug paraphernalia, tobacco products, and the like) in the room of the 10 patient. Additionally, or alternatively, 3D motion sensor 604 may collect images of a patient safety event (e.g., the patient getting out of bed). Computerized patient safety monitoring system 606 is communicatively coupled to the 3D motion sensor 604 and receives data (i.e., the series of images 15 relevant to the object or patient safety issue) from the 3D motion sensor 604. A constant stream of images is communicated by computerized patient safety monitoring system 606 for display on the central video monitoring system 610.

As shown at step 608, images from the computerized 20 patient safety monitoring system 606 are communicated for display on the central video monitoring system 610. As shown at step 610, to indicate an object requires learning or to indicate a patient safety event occurred. If the user indicates that an object requires learning or that a patient 25 safety event occurred, the user may be prompted, as shown at step 612, to indicate parameters of the object or the type of patient safety event. This information, along with the data (which may include a recording prior (using data buffering), during, and after the object or patient safety event was 30 detected), is communicated to a patient safety learning system 614. The patient safety learning system 614 incorporates the parameters or type of event indicated by the user with the data so the object or patient safety event can be learned and stored in database 616. This semi-automated 35 learning process enhances the safety issue recognition capabilities of the system and reduces false alerts. If, on the other hand, the user does not indicate that an object requires learning or that a patient safety event occurred, no further action is taken and the central video monitoring system 608 40 continues to display data received by the computerized patient safety monitoring system 606.

As noted, the patient safety learning system may utilize one or more machine learning algorithms to determine if an object or patient safety event is detected. For example, an 45 ensemble of alternating decision trees can be used to determine if an object or patient safety event is detected. Each decision tree may be trained on a random subset of objects and patient safety events. In some embodiments, the number of decision trees used is based on the type of healthcare data 50 received or specific information pertaining to the patient.

A generic decision tree is a decision support tool which arrives at a decision after following steps or rules along a tree-like path. While most decision trees are only concerned about the final destination along the decision path, alternating decision trees take into account every decision made along the path and may assign a score for every decision encountered. Once the decision path ends, the algorithm sum all of the incurred scores to determine a final classification (i.e., information that should be grouped and displayed together). In some embodiments, the alternating decision tree algorithm may be further customized. For example, the alternating decision tree algorithms are modified by wrapping it in other algorithms.

A machine learning algorithm may use a generic cost 65 matrix. The intuition behind the cost matrix is as follows. If the model predicts a member to be classified in group A, and

10

the member really should be in group A, no penalty is assigned. However, if this same member is predicted to be in group B, C, or D, a 1-point penalty will be assigned to the model for this misclassification, regardless of which group the member was predicted to be in. Thus, all misclassifications are penalized equally. However, by adjusting the cost matrix, penalties for specific misclassifications can be assigned. For example, where someone who was truly in group D was classified in group A, the model could increase the penalty in that section of the cost matrix. A cost matrix such as this may be adjusted as needed to help fine tune the model for different iterations, and may be based on the specific patient in some embodiments.

With regards to a multi-class classifier, some machine learning algorithms, such as alternating decision trees, generally only allow for the classification into two categories (e.g. a binary classification). In cases where it is desired to classify three or more categories, a multi-class classifier is used

In order to assist the alternating decision tree in selecting best features for predictive modeling, an ensemble method called rotation forest may be used. The rotation forest algorithm randomly splits the dataset into a specified number of subsets and uses a clustering method called Principal Component Analysis to group features deemed useful. Each tree is then gathered (i.e., "bundled into a forest") and evaluated to determine the features to be used by the base classifier.

Various alternative classifiers may be used to provide the medical and preventive healthcare personal assistant services. Indeed, there are thousands of machine learning algorithms, which could be used in place of, or in conjunction with, the alternating decision tree algorithm. For example, one set of alternative classifiers comprise ensemble methods.

Ensemble methods use multiple, and usually random, variations of learning algorithms to strengthen classification performance. Two of the most common ensemble methods are bagging and boosting. Bagging methods, short for "bootstrap aggregating" methods, develop multiple models from random subsets of features from the data ("bootstrapping"), assigns equal weight to each feature, and selects the best-performing attributes for the base classifier using the aggregated results. Boosting, on the other hand, learns from the data by incrementally building a model, thereby attempting to correct misclassifications from previous boosting iterations.

Regression models are frequently used to evaluate the relationship between different features in supervised learning, especially when trying to predict a value rather than a classification. However, regression methods are also used with other methods to develop regression trees. Some algorithms combine both classification and regression methods; algorithms that used both methods are often referred to as CART (Classification and Regression Trees) algorithms.

Bayesian statistical methods are used when the probability of some events happening are, in part, conditional to other circumstances occurring. When the exact probability of such events is not known, maximum likelihood methods are used to estimate the probability distributions. A textbook example of Bayesian learning is using weather conditions, and whether a sprinkler system has recently gone off, to determine whether a lawn will be wet. However, whether a homeowner will turn on their sprinkler system is influenced, in part, to the weather. Bayesian learning methods, then, build predictive models based on calculated prior probability distributions.

Another type of classifiers comprise artificial neural networks. While typical machine learning algorithms have a pre-determined starting node and organized decision paths, the structure of artificial neural networks are less structured. These algorithms of interconnected nodes are inspired by the neural paths of the brain. In particular, neural network methods are very effective in solving difficult machine learning tasks. Much of the computation occurs in "hidden" layers.

By way of example and not limitation, other classifiers 10 and methods that may be utilized include (1) decision tree classifiers, such as: C4.5-a decision tree that first selects features by evaluating how relevant each attribute is, then using these attributes in the decision path development; Decision Stump-a decision tree that classifies two categories based on a single feature (think of a single swing of an axe); by itself, the decision stump is not very useful, but becomes more so paired with ensemble methods; LADTree—a multiclass alternating decision tree using a LogitBoost ensemble method: Logistic Model Tree (LMT)—a decision tree with 20 logistic regression functions at the leaves; Naive Bayes Tree (NBTree)—a decision tree with naive Bayes classifiers at the leaves; Random Tree-a decision tree that considers a pre-determined number of randomly chosen attributes at each node of the decision tree; Random Forest—an 25 ensemble of Random Trees; and Reduced-Error Pruning Tree (REPTree)—a fast decision tree learning that builds trees based on information gain, then prunes the tree using reduce-error pruning methods; (2) ensemble methods such as: AdaBoostM1—an adaptive boosting method; Bagging— 30 develops models using bootstrapped random samples, then aggregates the results and votes for the most meaningful features to use in the base classifier; LogitBoost—a boosting method that uses additive logistic regression to develop the ensemble; MultiBoostAB—an advancement of the Ada- 35 Boost method; and Stacking—a method similar to boosting for evaluating several models at the same time; (3) regression methods, such as Logistic Regression—regression method for predicting classification; (4) Bayesian networks, such as BayesNet-Bayesian classification; and Naive- 40 Bayes—Bayesian classification with strong independence assumptions; and (4) artificial neural networks such as MultiLayerPerception—a forward-based artificial neural

The various computerized systems and processors as 45 described herein may include, individually or collectively, and without limitation, a processing unit, internal system memory, and a suitable system bus for coupling various system components, including database 118, with a control server. Computerized patient monitoring system 106 and/or 50 central video monitoring system 116 may provide control server structure and/or function. The system bus may be any of several types of bus structures, including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus, using any of a variety of bus architectures. By way of 55 example, and not limitation, such architectures include Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus, Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, Video Electronic Standards Association (VESA) local bus, and Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus.

The computerized systems typically include therein, or have access to, a variety of computer-readable media, for instance, database 118. Computer-readable media can be any available media that may be accessed by the computerized system, and includes volatile and nonvolatile media, as well 65 as removable and non-removable media. By way of example, and not limitation, computer-readable media may

12

include computer-storage media and communication media. Computer-readable storage media may include, without limitation, volatile and nonvolatile media, as well as removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of information, such as computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data. In this regard, computer-storage media may include, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVDs) or other optical disk storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage, or other magnetic storage device, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which may be accessed by the control server. Computer-readable storage media excludes signals per se.

Communication media typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data in a modulated data signal, such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism, and may include any information delivery media. As used herein, the term "modulated data signal" refers to a signal that has one or more of its attributes set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared, and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above also may be included within the scope of computer-readable media. The computer-readable storage media discussed above, including database 118, provide storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, and other data for the computerized systems. Computer readable instructions embodied on computer-readable storage media may be accessible by prohibited object system 100 and/or component(s) thereof, and, when executed by a computer processor and/or server, may cause the system to function and/or perform the methods described herein.

The computerized systems may operate in a computer network using logical connections to one or more remote computers. Remote computers may be located at a variety of locations, for example, but not limited to, hospitals and other inpatient settings, veterinary environments, ambulatory settings, medical billing and financial offices, hospital administration settings, home health care environments, payer offices (e.g., insurance companies), home health care agencies, clinicians' offices and the clinician's home or the patient's own home or over the Internet. Clinicians may include, but are not limited to, a treating physician or physicians, specialists such as surgeons, radiologists, cardiologists, and oncologists, emergency medical technicians, physicians' assistants, nurse practitioners, nurses, nurses' aides, pharmacists, dieticians, microbiologists, laboratory experts, laboratory technologists, genetic counselors, researchers, veterinarians, students, and the like. The remote computers may also be physically located in non-traditional medical care environments so that the entire health care community may be capable of integration on the network. The remote computers may be personal computers, servers, routers, network PCs, peer devices, other common network nodes, or the like, and may include some or all of the elements described above in relation to the control server. The devices can be personal digital assistants or other like devices.

Exemplary computer networks may include, without limitation, local area networks (LANs) and/or wide area networks (WANs). Such networking environments are commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks,

intranets, and the Internet. When utilized in a WAN networking environment, the control server may include a modem or other means for establishing communications over the WAN, such as the Internet. In a networked environment, program modules or portions thereof may be 5 stored in the control server, in the database 118, or on any of the remote computers. For example, and not by way of limitation, various application programs may reside on the memory associated with any one or more of the remote computers. It will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill 10 in the art that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a communications link between the computers may be utilized.

In operation, a user may enter commands and information into the computerized system(s) using input devices, such as a keyboard, a pointing device (commonly referred to as a mouse), a trackball, a touch pad, a 3D Gesture recognition camera or motion sensor. Other input devices may include, without limitation, microphones, satellite dishes, scanners, or the like. In addition to or in lieu of a monitor, the computerized systems may include other peripheral output devices, such as speakers and a printer.

Many other internal components of the computerized system hardware are not shown because such components and their interconnection are well known. Accordingly, 25 additional details concerning the internal construction of the computers that make up the computerized systems are not further disclosed herein.

Methods and systems of embodiments of the present disclosure may be implemented in a WINDOWS or LINUX 30 operating system, operating in conjunction with an Internet-based delivery system, however, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the described methods and systems can be implemented in any operating system suitable for supporting the disclosed processing and communications. 35 As contemplated by the language above, the methods and systems of embodiments of the present invention may also be implemented on a stand-alone desktop, personal computer, cellular phone, smart phone, tablet computer, PDA, or any other computing device used in a healthcare environment or any of a number of other locations.

From the foregoing, it will be seen that this disclosure is well adapted to attain all the ends and objects hereinabove set forth together with other advantages which are obvious and which are inherent to the structure.

It will be understood that certain features and subcombinations are of utility and may be employed without reference to other features and subcombinations. This is contemplated by and is within the scope of the claims.

Since many possible embodiments may be made of the 50 invention without departing from the scope thereof, it is to be understood that all matter herein set forth or shown in the accompanying drawings is to be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method comprising:

collecting images of a scene including an object;

detecting, based on the images, that an event involving the object has occurred in the scene, wherein the event is 60 detected in-part by comparing one or more features of the object to one or more reference features;

comparing the object to a database object to determine if the object is associated with a known object in a database, wherein, if the object is not determined to be 65 associated with the known object, the database is updated with reference data associated with the object; 14

in response to detecting that the event has occurred within the scene, presenting at least one of the object and information associated with the event in a graphical user interface;

receiving an indication that the object or the event has been confirmed; and

- in response to receiving the indication that the object or event has been confirmed, updating a machine learning model with i) the event, ii) the object, iii) the reference data associated with the object, or iv) combinations of i)-iii), wherein the machine learning model is configured to recognize objects and events occurring in the scene
- In operation, a user may enter commands and information

  2. The method of claim 1, wherein the images are colinto the computerized system(s) using input devices, such as 15 lected by an image capturing device located in the scene.
  - 3. The method of claim 1, wherein the scene includes a medical patient and the event involves a motion of the medical patient.
- without limitation, microphones, satellite dishes, scanners, or the like. In addition to or in lieu of a monitor, the 20 device and the event involves a medical patient manipulation to or include other peripheral output ing the medical device.
  - 5. The method of claim 1, wherein comparing the one or more features of the at least one object to one or more reference features comprises assigning one or more reference points to the one or more features of the at least one object.
  - **6.** The method of claim **1**, wherein the images are first images and the event is a first event, the method further comprising:

collecting second images of the scene; and predicting, using the machine learning model, that a second event involving the object has occurred in scene.

7. The method of claim 6, further comprising:

in response to predicting that the second event has occurred in the scene, providing an alert.

8. A system comprising:

a processing system; and

55

one or more computer readable storage media storing instructions which, when executed by the processing system, cause the processing system to perform operations comprising:

collecting images of a scene including an object;

detecting, based on the images, that an event involving the object has occurred in the scene, wherein the event is detected in-part by comparing one or more features of the object to one or more reference features:

comparing the object to a database object to determine if the object is associated with a known object in a database, wherein, if the object is not determined to be associated with the known object, the database is updated with reference data associated with the object:

in response to detecting that the event has occurred within the scene, presenting at least one of the object and information associated with the event in a graphical user interface;

receiving an indication that the object or the event has been confirmed; and

in response to receiving the indication that the object or event has been confirmed, updating a machine learning model with i) the event, ii) the object, iii) the reference data associated with the object, or iv) combinations of i)-iii), wherein the machine learning model is configured to recognize objects and events occurring in the scene.

- 9. The system of claim 8, wherein the images are collected by an image capturing device located in the scene.
- 10. The system of claim 8, wherein the scene includes a medical patient and the event involves a motion of the medical patient.
- 11. The system of claim 8, wherein the object is a medical device and the event involves a medical patient manipulating the medical device.
- 12. The system of claim 8, wherein comparing the one or more features of the at least one object to one or more 10 reference features comprises assigning one or more reference points to the one or more features of the at least one object.
- 13. The system of claim 8, wherein the images are first images and the event is a first event, the operations further 15 comprising:

collecting second images of the scene; and predicting, using the machine learning model, that a second event involving the object has occurred in scene

- 14. The system of claim 13, the operations further comprising:
  - in response to predicting that the second event has occurred in the scene, providing an alert.
- **15.** One or more non-transitory computer-readable media 25 storing computer-readable instructions that, when executed by a processing system, cause a system to perform operations comprising:

collecting images of a scene including an object;

detecting, based on the images, that an event involving the 30 object has occurred in the scene, wherein the event is detected in-part by comparing one or more features of the object to one or more reference features;

comparing the object to a database object to determine if the object is associated with a known object in a 35 database, wherein, if the object is not determined to be associated with the known object, the database is updated with reference data associated with the object; 16

in response to detecting that the event has occurred within the scene, presenting at least one of the object and information associated with the event in a graphical user interface:

receiving an indication that the object or the event has been confirmed; and

- in response to receiving the indication that the object or event has been confirmed, updating a machine learning model with i) the event, ii) the object, iii) the reference data associated with the object, or iv) combinations of i)-iii), wherein the machine learning model is configured to recognize objects and events occurring in the
- **16**. The one or more non-transitory computer-readable media of claim **15**, wherein the images are collected by an image capturing device located in the scene.
- 17. The one or more non-transitory computer-readable media of claim 15, wherein the scene includes a medical patient and the event involves a motion of the medical patient.
  - 18. The one or more non-transitory computer-readable media of claim 15, wherein the object is a medical device and the event involves a medical patient manipulating the medical device.
  - 19. The one or more non-transitory computer-readable media of claim 15, wherein comparing the one or more features of the at least one object to one or more reference features comprises assigning one or more reference points to the one or more features of the at least one object.
  - 20. The one or more non-transitory computer-readable media of claim 15, wherein the images are first images and the event is a first event, the operations further comprising: collecting second images of the scene; and

predicting, using the machine learning model, that a second event involving the object has occurred in scene.

\* \* \* \* \*