

(12) **United States Patent**
Mellinger et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,392,623 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Aug. 19, 2025**

(54) **VEHICLE CONSUMPTION MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHOD**

(71) Applicant: **Transportation IP Holdings, LLC,**
Norwalk, CT (US)

(72) Inventors: **Aaron Craig Mellinger**, San Diego, CA (US); **Henry Todd Young**, Erie, PA (US); **Jason Daniel Kuttenkuler**, Erie, PA (US); **Jeffrey John Wolff**, Lawrence Park, PA (US); **Lindsay Short**, Erie, PA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Transportation IP Holdings, LLC,**
Norwalk, CT (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 300 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/725,645**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 21, 2022**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2022/0244061 A1 Aug. 4, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 14/860,782, filed on Sep. 22, 2015, now Pat. No. 11,318,951.

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G01C 21/34 (2006.01)

B60W 20/12 (2016.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G01C 21/3469** (2013.01); **B60W 20/12** (2016.01); **B60W 30/188** (2013.01);

(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

None

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,203,501 A 8/1965 Carter
8,577,530 B2 11/2013 Ruth et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP 200429000 1/2004
JP 2005132225 A 5/2005

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Decision of Rejection mailed Oct. 10, 2021 for corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. 2019-215718 (8 pages).

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Peter D Nolan


Assistant Examiner — Michael F Whalen

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — K&L Gates LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A monitoring system and method determine a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during travel over a route. The consumption metric is independent of one or more of vehicle load or elevation change over the route. The system and method optionally can determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of a route traveled upon by a vehicle. The route condition metric is based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

28 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data			
(60)	Provisional application No. 62/067,238, filed on Oct. 22, 2014.	2013/0069803 A1	3/2013 McCormick et al.
		2013/0126251 A1	5/2013 Ruth
		2013/0151046 A1	6/2013 Choi et al.
		2013/0151142 A1	6/2013 Choi et al.
		2013/0164712 A1	6/2013 Hunt et al.
(51)	Int. Cl.	2013/0164714 A1*	6/2013 Hunt G09B 19/14
	<i>B60W 30/188</i> (2012.01)		434/65
	<i>B60W 40/076</i> (2012.01)	2013/0261846 A1	10/2013 McQuade et al.
	<i>B60W 40/10</i> (2012.01)	2013/0261874 A1	10/2013 McQuade et al.
	<i>B60W 40/13</i> (2012.01)	2013/0261907 A1	10/2013 McQuade et al.
	<i>B60W 50/12</i> (2012.01)	2014/0277971 A1	9/2014 Oshiro
	<i>B60W 50/14</i> (2020.01)	2015/0046132 A1	2/2015 Papajewski et al.
		2015/0314793 A1	11/2015 Papajewski et al.
(52)	U.S. Cl.	2015/0329102 A1*	11/2015 Yoshikawa B60W 10/06
	CPC <i>B60W 40/076</i> (2013.01); <i>B60W 40/1005</i>	2016/0025508 A1	1/2016 Meyer et al.
	(2013.01); <i>B60W 40/13</i> (2013.01); <i>B60W</i>	2016/0082905 A1	3/2016 Hsu et al.
	<i>50/12</i> (2013.01); <i>B60W 50/14</i> (2013.01);	2016/0244067 A1	8/2016 Hunt et al.
	<i>B60W 2510/242</i> (2013.01); <i>B60W 2530/10</i>	2016/0281621 A1	9/2016 Nakade et al.
	(2013.01); <i>B60W 2530/16</i> (2013.01); <i>B60W</i>	2017/0177002 A1	6/2017 Ogura et al.
	<i>2530/18</i> (2013.01); <i>B60W 2552/15</i> (2020.02)	2018/0001788 A1	1/2018 Geub et al.
		2018/0111503 A1	4/2018 Araki et al.
(56)	References Cited	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS	
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	JP	2010052722 A 3/2010
	8,700,283 B2 4/2014 Lammers	JP	2010208636 A 9/2010
	8,857,542 B2 10/2014 Hendrickson et al.	WO	2013087259 A1 6/2013
	8,868,302 B2 10/2014 Everett et al.		
	8,893,830 B2 11/2014 Ruth		
	9,650,233 B2 5/2017 Medwin et al.		
	11,318,951 B2 5/2022 Mellinger et al.		
	2007/0118502 A1 5/2007 Aragones et al.		
	2008/0297335 A1 12/2008 Yeh et al.		
	2009/0177335 A1 7/2009 Young et al.		
	2009/0265059 A1* 10/2009 Medwin B66F 9/24		
			701/50
	2010/0006377 A1 1/2010 McCabe		
	2010/0256848 A1 10/2010 Sasaki et al.		
	2011/0032093 A1* 2/2011 Miller G08G 1/0962		
			340/441
	2011/0112710 A1 5/2011 Meyer-Ebeling et al.		
	2011/0148614 A1 6/2011 Wagner		
	2012/0215379 A1 8/2012 Spröck et al.		
	2012/0221216 A1 8/2012 Chauncey et al.		
	2013/0018583 A1 1/2013 Miura et al.		
		OTHER PUBLICATIONS	
		English Translation of Decision of Rejection mailed Oct. 10, 2021 for corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. 2019-215718 (7 pages).	
		First Office Action mailed Mar. 10, 2021 for corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. 2019-215718 (9 pages).	
		English translated version of First Office Action mailed Mar. 10, 2021 for corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. 2019-215718 (11 pages).	
		Brazilian Search Report and Written Opinion published in the Official Gazette #2581 of Jun. 23, 2020 for corresponding application No. BR102015026697.9 (4 pages).	
		Examiner's Requisition for Canadian Application No. 2,907,387, dated Dec. 11, 2019.	
		* cited by examiner	




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

1

VEHICLE CONSUMPTION MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHOD

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/860,782, filed 22 Sep. 2015, which, in turn, claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 62/067,238, filed 22 Oct. 2014, each of which is incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD

The subject matter described herein relates to vehicles that consume fuels and/or energy to propel the vehicles.

BACKGROUND

Various types of vehicles consume fuels and/or energy to power the vehicles. For example, fuel gas (e.g., diesel and non-diesel fuels), electric current, oil, coal, natural gas, wind power, solar power, or the like, may be used to power the vehicles. The vehicles may power themselves to propel the vehicles using these fuels and/or energy.

The consumption of the fuels and/or energy may not be equivalent across different vehicles and/or operators of the vehicles. For example, due to differences in the way operators control throttles and/or brakes of the vehicles, different vehicles of the same type of vehicle (e.g., different ones of the same make and/or model of a vehicle) that are operated by different drivers may consume different amounts of fuel and/or energy to propel the vehicles over the same or substantially similar routes.

Simply measuring how much fuel and/or energy is consumed by different operators controlling the vehicles may not provide insight into how the operators can control the vehicles more efficiently. Merely comparing how much fuel is consumed by one operator versus another operator may not accurately reflect if the driving habits of one operator are more or less efficient in terms of the fuel and/or energy consumed than another operator.

The amount of fuel and/or energy consumed may be based on a variety of other factors that are not readily apparent. For example, calculating a distance traveled by a vehicle per unit of fuel and/or energy (e.g., miles per gallon, kilometers per liter, or the like) may not accurately reflect how efficiently different operators control the vehicles because the amount of fuel and/or energy that is consumed can significantly increase during travel over inclined segments of a route, even for more efficient operators.

Being able to directly compare how efficiently different operators control vehicles may be useful in examining the operators to find more efficient ways to control the vehicles, in identifying which vehicles operate more efficiently than other vehicles, or the like.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION

In one embodiment, a monitoring system includes a control system configured to determine a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during travel over a route. The consumption metric is independent of one or more of vehicle load or elevation change over the route.

2

In another embodiment, another monitoring system includes a control system configured to determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of a route traveled upon by a vehicle. The route condition metric is based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

In another embodiment, a method (e.g., for monitoring a vehicle) includes determining a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during travel over a route. The consumption metric is independent of one or more of vehicle load or elevation change over the route.

In another embodiment, another method (e.g., for monitoring a route) includes determining a route condition metric representative of a condition of a route traveled upon by a vehicle. The route condition metric can be based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Reference is made to the accompanying drawings in which particular embodiments and further benefits of the invention are illustrated as described in more detail in the description below, in which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration of a vehicle having a vehicle consumption monitoring system according to one embodiment;

FIG. 2 illustrates a grade profile for a trip of the vehicle shown in FIG. 1 according to one example;

FIG. 3 illustrates a different grade profile for a trip of the vehicle shown in FIG. 1 according to one example;

FIG. 4 illustrates a flowchart of one embodiment of a method for monitoring consumption of fuel and/or energy by a vehicle; and

FIG. 5 illustrates a flowchart of one embodiment of a method for monitoring conditions of a route being traveled by one or more vehicles.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

One or more embodiments of the subject matter described herein provide systems and methods that determine consumption metrics representative of how much fuel and/or energy is consumed by vehicles. The consumption metrics can represent the consumed fuel and/or energy independent of vehicle load and/or elevation change over the course of trips traveled by the vehicles. The consumption metrics may be independent of the vehicle load and/or elevation change over the trips in that the consumption metrics do not change for different vehicle loads and/or elevation changes for a vehicle traveling a trip. For example, if a vehicle is operated in the same manner (e.g., the same throttle and/or brake settings are used at the same locations) along the same route from the same origin location to the same destination location for first and second trips, but the vehicle load differs for the first trip versus the second trip, then the consumption metrics may be the same or substantially the same (e.g., within a designated range of each other, such as 1%, 3%, 5%, or the like) for the first and second trips. As another example, if the vehicle is operated in the same manner (e.g., the same throttle and/or brake settings are used at the same locations) along different routes that cause the vehicle to experience different changes in elevation between origin and destination locations for third and fourth trips, then the

3

consumption metrics may be the same or substantially the same. Determining the consumption metrics to be independent of vehicle loads and/or elevation changes can allow for the consumption metrics for different operators of the vehicles, different vehicles, different operational conditions of the vehicles, and the like, to be more easily compared to identify which vehicles, operators, and/or operational conditions are more efficient and/or to allow operators to more easily learn how to operate the vehicles more efficiently. Optionally, one or more route metrics can be determined. The route metrics can represent conditions of the routes being traveled upon by the vehicles. The consumption metrics and/or route metrics can be displayed to operators of the vehicles and/or communicated to a location that is off-board the vehicles (e.g., a dispatch center) for review by the operator and/or others at the off-board location.

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration of a vehicle **100** having a vehicle consumption monitoring system **102** according to one embodiment. The monitoring system determines consumption metrics and/or route metrics described herein to assist the operator of the vehicle **100** and/or others to analyze performance and/or operation of the vehicle **100**. The vehicle **100** may be an off-highway vehicle, such as a mining vehicle or other vehicle that is not designed and/or not legally permitted to travel on public roadways. For example, the vehicle **100** may represent a load-haul-dump (hereinafter “LHD”) type vehicle having a bucket **104** or other apparatus for carrying cargo. Alternatively, the vehicle **100** may be another type of mining vehicle. As another example, the off-highway vehicle can be an agricultural vehicle, such as a tractor, combine harvester, or the like. As another example, the off-highway vehicle can be a construction vehicle, such as a road grader, bulldozer, or the like. As another example, the off-highway vehicle can be a sport or recreational vehicle, such as an all-terrain vehicle or cycle. As another example, the off-highway vehicle can be a hovercraft, or other type of amphibious vehicle. In another embodiment, the vehicle **100** is another type of vehicle, such as a rail vehicle (e.g., a locomotive), an automobile, a marine vessel, an airplane or other vehicle capable of flight, or the like. Optionally, the vehicle **100** can represent a vehicle consist, such as a group of two or more vehicles that are mechanically and/or logically coupled with each other to travel along a route **106** as a unit. Such a vehicle consist can include several vehicles connected by couplers with one or more of the vehicles **100** propelling the vehicle consist, or several vehicles that are not mechanically coupled with each other, but that communicate with each other to coordinate movements of each other such that the vehicle consist travels as a unit along the route **104**. The components of the vehicle **100** and/or monitoring system **102** can be operably connected with each other by one or more wired and/or wireless connections. For example, the components described herein can be connected by wires, cables, busses, wireless network channels, or the like, for communication of data and/or other signals there between.

The monitoring system **102** is shown as being entirely disposed onboard the vehicle **100**. Optionally, one or more components of the monitoring system **102** may be disposed elsewhere, such as at an off-board location (e.g., a dispatch center), onboard another vehicle in the same vehicle consist as the vehicle **100**, in another vehicle that is not in the same vehicle consist as the vehicle **100**, or the like.

In at least one example, the monitoring system **102** is part of an off-board vehicle control system. For example, the monitoring system **102** can be part of a positive or negative

4

control system. In at least one example, the monitoring system **102** can be part of a positive train control (PTC).

The monitoring system **102** includes a control system **108** that represents hardware circuits or circuitry that includes and/or is connected with one or more processors (e.g., electronic logic-based devices, such as microprocessors, computers, controllers, engine control units, or the like). The processors can operate based on instructions stored on a tangible and non-transitory computer readable memory device **116**, such as a computer hard drive, optical drive, flash drive, solid state drive, or the like. These instructions can direct the processors to carry out one or more operations described herein. For example, a single processor can perform all of the operations described herein, two or more processors may perform different operations, and/or two or more processors may perform one or more of the same operations.

The control system **108** can calculate consumption metrics for operators of the vehicle **100**. The consumption metrics can be operator dependent in that different consumption metrics can be calculated for different operators operating the same vehicle **100** over the same trip carrying the same load, due to the different ways in which the operators control the vehicle **100**. In one aspect, a per-operator consumption metric can represent or be calculated as the fuel and/or energy consumed per unit of an energy required for a trip or a segment of the trip. For example, the consumption metric may be calculated as the fuel and/or energy actually consumed by the vehicle **100** from a first location to a different, second location along the route **106**, divided by an amount of energy that is calculated as being required to propel the vehicle **100** from the first location to the second location:

$$C_i = \frac{F}{E} \quad (\text{Equation No. 1})$$

where C_i represents the consumption metric for the i^{th} operator of the vehicle **100**, F represents the actual amount of fuel and/or energy consumed by the vehicle **100** in moving from a first location to a second location, and E represents the amount of energy that is calculated as being required by the vehicle **100** to move from the first location to the second location.

The actual amount of fuel and/or energy consumed by the vehicle **100** (F) can be determined from data provided by an engine controller **110** of the vehicle **100**. The engine controller **110** can represent an electronic control unit, such as an engine control unit (ECU), powertrain control module (PCM), or the like, that controls one or more engines of the vehicle **100** and/or monitors operation of the one or more engines. A powertrain **112** of the vehicle **100** represents the one or more engines of the vehicle **100**, as well as motors, shafts, gears, axles, or the like, that translate movement (e.g., rotation) by the engines into propulsion of the vehicle **100**.

The engine controller **110** also can include and/or represent a supply sensor that generates data representative of how much fuel and/or energy is supplied to the engine of the vehicle **100** from a fuel and/or energy source **114** (“Fuel/Energy Source” in FIG. 1). For example, the engine controller **110** can include a mass flow sensor that generates data representative of how much fuel is supplied to the engine, an ammeter that generates data representative of how much electric current is supplied to the motors of the vehicle and/or generated by the engine, or the like. The source **114**

5

can represent one or more tanks holding fuel and/or batteries, capacitors, or the like, storing electric energy for powering the vehicle 100.

The control system 108 can monitor this data from the engine controller 110 to determine how much fuel and/or energy is actually consumed by the vehicle 100 (F). Optionally, the control system 108 can calculate the amount of fuel and/or energy that is actually consumed (F) based on one or more efficiency estimates (for example, predictions and/or estimated values of operational efficiency) and power generated by the powertrain 112. For example, the control system 108 can estimate the amount of fuel and/or energy that is actually consumed (F) based on the amounts of power generated by the powertrain 112 and a designated efficiency rate representative of how efficiently the powertrain 112 consumes fuel and/or energy at the different power outputs of the powertrain 112.

The energy required for moving the vehicle 100 (E) can be calculated by the control system 108. In one embodiment, the required energy can be estimated based on an unloaded weight of the vehicle 100, a weight of a vehicle load, grades of segments of the route 106 between the first and second locations, a moving resistance of the vehicle 100, and a distance along the route 106 from the first location to the second location. The energy (E) can be based on these factors such that, an increase in the unloaded weight, an increase in the weight of the vehicle load, inclined grades, an increase in the moving resistance, and/or an increase in the distance traveled can cause the energy (E) to increase, while a decrease in the unloaded weight, a decrease in the weight of the vehicle load, declined grades, a decrease in the moving resistance, and/or a decrease in the distance traveled can cause the energy (E) to decrease.

The unloaded weight of the vehicle 100 can be a designated weight of the vehicle 100 without cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle 100. This weight can be programmed into the memory device 116 and/or the control system 108. Optionally, this weight can be input into the control system 108 and/or memory device 116 using an input device 118 of the vehicle 100. The input device 118 can represent one or more assemblies used to receive information from an operator, such as a keypad, electronic mouse, stylus, touchscreen, microphone, pedal, throttle lever, button, or the like. The control system 108 optionally may obtain the weight from the memory device 116.

The weight of the vehicle load can be the weight of the cargo and/or materials being carried by the vehicle 100. This weight can be in addition to the unloaded weight of the vehicle 100. For example, a total weight of the vehicle 100 can include the weight of the vehicle load and the unloaded weight of the vehicle 100. The weight of the vehicle load can be input using the input device 118 and/or can be obtained from data generated by a weight sensor 120 (e.g., a scale) that represents the weight of the cargo and/or materials being carried by the vehicle 100.

The grades of segments of the route 106 between the first and second locations represent the amount of incline and/or decline of different segments of the route 106. The grades can be determined based on data generated by a grade sensor 122, such as an inclinometer, accelerometer, etc., may be input using the input device 118, and/or may be obtained from a database stored in the memory device 116. For example, the layout of the route 106 (e.g., grades, distances, curvatures, or the like) may be stored in the memory device 116.

The moving resistance of the vehicle 100 can represent forces that resist movement of the vehicle 100 along the

6

route 106. This resistance can represent forces resisting motion of the vehicle 100 when the vehicle 100 moves along the route 106, such as rolling resistance, drag, air and/or water currents, or the like. The moving resistance can be measured or estimated by the control system 108 based on how much power is generated by the powertrain 112 and how fast the vehicle 100 moves. The moving speed of the vehicle 100 can be determined from data generated by a speed sensor 124, such as a tachometer, global positioning system receiver, cellular triangulation system, or other device. Optionally, the moving resistance can have a designated value that is stored in the memory device 116 and/or is input via the input device 118.

The distance traveled by the vehicle 100 can be determined by the control system 108, such as by monitoring how fast the vehicle 100 travels and for how long; by examining data generated by a global positioning system receiver, cellular triangulation system, or the like; and/or by receiving the distance from the memory device 116 and/or the input device 118.

The consumption metric can be calculated using one or more of these factors to represent how efficiently the operator controls the vehicle 100 from the first location to the second location. Basing the consumption metric on the fuel and/or energy consumed per energy required to travel (F) instead of the fuel and/or energy consumed per just the distance traveled can allow for the consumption metrics for different trips of the vehicle 100 or vehicles 100 to be compared. For example, different trips may have different grade profiles, which can significantly impact the rate of fuel and/or energy consumption, even though the distances traveled by the vehicle 100 or vehicles 100 in the different trips may be the same or substantially the same. Additionally, the different grade profiles can significantly impact the rate of fuel and/or energy consumption for trips having very different distances.

FIGS. 2 and 3 illustrate different grade profiles 200, 300 for trips of the vehicle 100 according to one example. The grade profiles 200, 300 are shown alongside horizontal axes 202 representative of distance along a route 106 (shown in FIG. 1) and vertical axes 204 representative of elevation. The grade profiles 200, 300 represent the grades of the route 106 encountered by vehicles 100 traveling from origin locations 206, 306 to corresponding final locations 208, 308 of trips or hauls of the vehicles 100. Distances 210 along the route 106 from the origin locations 206, 306 to the final locations 208, 308 may be the same or substantially the same.

During travel along the route 106 according to the different grade profiles 200, 300, the vehicle 100 traveling along the grade profile 200 may consume more fuel and/or energy than the vehicle 100 traveling along the grade profile 300. For example, generating sufficient torque or tractive effort to propel the vehicle 100 up segments 212 of inclined grades in the grade profile 200 may require more fuel and/or energy to be consumed by the powertrain 112 relative to the vehicle 100 traveling along the grade profile 300. Differences in the consumption metrics for the vehicles 100 traveling along the grade profiles 200, 300 can be due to differences in the ways in which operators of the vehicles 100 control the vehicles 100. For example, a first operator may drive a first vehicle 100 over the grade profile 200 and a second operator may drive a second vehicle 100 over the grade profile 300. The first and second vehicles 100 may have different vehicle loads. The second operator may change throttle positions more frequently and/or use larger changes in throttle positions, thereby causing the second

vehicle **100** to accelerate and/or decelerate along the grade profile **300** more rapidly and/or by greater amounts relative to the first operator.

As a result, the consumption metric for the second operator may be larger than the consumption metric for the first operator due to the second operator driving less efficiently than the first operator, even though the first operator controls the first vehicle **100** over larger inclines in the route **106** and/or the first vehicle **100** is carrying a heavier load. The consumption metric of the first operator may be smaller than the consumption metric of the second operator due to the larger and/or more frequent changes in throttle positions by the second operator. For example, the amount of energy that is estimated as being required for the first vehicle **100** to travel over the grade profile **200** (e.g., *E*) may be larger than the amount of energy that is estimated as being required for the second vehicle **100** to travel over the grade profile **300** (e.g., *E*) due to the grades of the segments **212** in the grade profile **200** being larger than the grades of the grade profile **300**. Optionally, the unloaded weight of the first vehicle **100**, the vehicle load carried by the first vehicle **100**, the moving resistance of the first vehicle **100**, and/or the distance over the grade profile **200** may be larger or longer than that for the second vehicle **100**.

The first operator may cause the first vehicle **100** to consume more fuel and/or energy than the second operator causes the second vehicle **100** to consume during travel over the respective grade profiles **200**, **300**, but, because the needed energy (*E*) that is estimated for the first vehicle **100** is larger than the needed energy (*E*) estimated for the second vehicle **100**, the consumption metric of the second operator may be larger than the consumption metric of the first operator. This may be due to the more inefficient manner in which the second operator controls the second vehicle **100** relative to the first operator and the first vehicle **100**.

Returning to the description of the vehicle **100** and the monitoring system **102** shown in FIG. 1, the vehicle **100** and/or monitoring system **102** may include an output device **126**, such as an electronic display device (e.g., computer monitor, touchscreen, etc.), a speaker, or the like. The control system **108** may communicate the consumption metric calculated for an operator of the vehicle **100** to the output device **126**, and the output device **126** may present (e.g., display or otherwise communicate to the operator) the consumption metric to the operator. In one aspect, the consumption metric is calculated and/or presented to the operator following completion of a trip of the vehicle **100** (e.g., traveling from an origin location to a destination location). Optionally, the consumption metric may additionally or alternatively be calculated and/or presented to the operator at designated time intervals, such as the end of a working shift of the operator, every hour, or the like. Additionally or alternatively, the consumption metric may be calculated and/or presented as the operator is driving the vehicle **100**. The output device **126** can present the consumption metric along with the actual amount of fuel and/or energy consumed by the operator.

The vehicle **100** and/or monitoring system **102** may include a communication device **128**. The communication device **128** includes or represents hardware and/or software that are used to communicate with off-board locations, such as other vehicles **100**, a dispatch center, or the like. The communication device **128** may include an antenna, a transceiver, and/or associated circuitry for wirelessly communicating (e.g., communicating and/or receiving) information described herein, such as consumption metrics, the actual amount of fuel and/or energy consumed by a vehicle **100**,

efficiency estimates, power generated by a powertrain **112**, an unloaded weight of a vehicle **100**, a weight of a vehicle load, grades of segments of a route, a grade profile, a moving resistance of a vehicle, a distance to be traveled or that has been traveled by a vehicle **100**, or the like. Optionally, the communication device **128** can include and/or represent a location determining device, such as a global positioning system receiver, a cellular triangulation system, or the like.

In one aspect, the control system **108** may determine one or more comparison metrics to be presented to the operator via the output device **126** and/or communicated to one or more off-board locations. The comparison metrics can include an average, median, or other statistical analysis of other consumption metrics. For example, several consumption metrics calculated for an operator over several trips, several working shifts, several days, several weeks, several months, or the like, may be stored in the memory device **116** and/or in a memory device disposed off-board the vehicle **100**. An average or median of these consumption metrics can be calculated as an operator-specific consumption metric. This operator-specific consumption metric can be saved to monitor the operator over time and/or presented on the output device **126** so that the operator can compare a current consumption metric with the average or median consumption metric of the operator. The operator can determine based on a comparison of these metrics of the operator is controlling the vehicle **100** more or less efficiently than prior trips of the operator.

As another example, the consumption metrics calculated for several different operators controlling the same vehicle **100** at different times (e.g., during different trips) may be used to calculate a vehicle-specific consumption metric. The vehicle-specific consumption metric may be an average or median of the consumption metrics calculated for different trips of the same vehicle **100**, regardless of which operators are driving the vehicle **100** during the different trips. The vehicle-specific consumption metric may be monitored by the control system **108** and/or an off-board location (e.g., a dispatch center) to identify trends in the metric that may be indicative of an impending mechanical fault or failure of the vehicle **100**. For example, if the vehicle-specific consumption metric is increasing over time and/or increasing over several different operators driving the vehicle **100**, then the increasing metric may indicate that the vehicle **100** is consuming more and more fuel and/or energy, and therefore may have an impending mechanical fault or failure. The control system **108** and/or off-board location may then automatically (e.g., without operator intervention) generate warning signals presented on the output device **126** and/or communicated to a repair facility to warn the operator and/or schedule inspection, repair, and/or maintenance of the vehicle **100**.

As another example, the consumption metrics calculated for several vehicles **100** operating in a same location may be used to calculate a location-specific consumption metric. For example, consumption metrics may be calculated for mining vehicles operating in the same mine. As another example, consumption metrics for vehicles **100** operating during a designated time period (e.g., a day, a week, a month, a year, or the like) in a designated location or area (e.g., the same city, county, state, country, or the like). An average or median of these consumption metrics may be calculated as a location-specific consumption metric. The location-specific consumption metric may be displayed to an operator and/or compared to the consumption metric of the operator by the control system **108** to determine how efficiently the

operator is controlling the vehicle 100 relative to other operators in the same location.

As another example, the consumption metrics calculated for several vehicles 100 in a fleet of the vehicles 100 may be used to calculate a fleet-wide consumption metric. The vehicles 100 that are included in a fleet may be those vehicles 100 that are operating under the direction of a manager or other single director of operations, that are moving together (e.g., at the same time), that are engaged in the same activity (e.g., mining the same mine), and/or that are under the same ownership. The fleet-wide consumption metric may be displayed to an operator and/or compared to the consumption metric of the operator by the control system 108 to determine how efficiently the operator is controlling the vehicle 100 relative to other operators in the same fleet.

The control system 108 optionally may calculate different consumption metrics for different operational settings of the vehicle 100. These consumption metrics can be referred to as operational mode-specific consumption metrics. As one example, different consumption metrics may be calculated for different throttle positions, power outputs, speeds (for example of the vehicle and/or an engine thereof), or the like, of the vehicle 100. During time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 at a first throttle setting (e.g., a first pedal position, first throttle lever position, etc.), a first power output (e.g., horsepower), a first speed, or the like, the control system 108 may calculate a first consumption metric. During different time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 at a different, second throttle setting, a different, second power output, a different, second speed, or the like, the control system 108 may calculate a second consumption metric, and so on. As another example, different consumption metrics may be calculated for different operational modes of the vehicle 100. For example, during time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 to accelerate, the control system 108 may calculate a first consumption metric. During other, different time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 to decelerate, the control system 108 may calculate a second consumption metric. During other, different time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 to maintain a speed (e.g., coast, such as by not changing speed by more than a designated threshold of 1%, 3%, 5%, or the like), the control system 108 may calculate a third consumption metric. The different consumption metrics can be displayed to the operator on the output device 126, such as by displaying the operational mode-specific consumption metrics corresponding to a current operational mode of the vehicle 100 (e.g., throttle positions, accelerating, decelerating, coasting, or the like) can be displayed during the corresponding current operational mode.

Additionally or alternatively, different consumption metrics can be determined for different grades of the route 106. These consumption metrics can be referred to as grade-specific consumption metrics. For example, during travel over different segments of the route 106 having the same or similar grade (e.g., the angles of inclination or declination are within a designated range of each other, such as 1%, 3%, 5%, 10%, or another value), an average, median, or the like, of the consumption metrics may be calculated as the grade-specific consumption metric for those segments. During travel over other segments of the route 106 having the same or similar grade, an average, median, or the like, of the consumption metrics may be calculated as the grade-specific consumption metric for those segments, and so on.

Additionally or alternatively, different consumption metrics can be determined for time periods when the vehicle 100 is loaded or unloaded with cargo, and/or for different

weights of the cargo. These consumption metrics can be referred to as vehicle loading-specific consumption metrics. For example, during travel when the vehicle 100 has a loaded weight within a first range of weight (e.g., less than five hundred kilograms), an average, median, or the like, of the consumption metrics may be calculated as the vehicle loading-specific consumption metric for that amount of load. During travel when the vehicle 100 has a loaded weight within a second range of weight (e.g., at least five hundred kilograms but less than one thousand kilograms), an average, median, or the like, of the consumption metrics may be calculated as the vehicle loading-specific consumption metric for that amount of load, and so on. A consumption metric also may be calculated for when the vehicle 100 is not carrying any load.

As one example, different consumption metrics may be calculated for different throttle positions of the vehicle 100. During time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 at a first throttle setting (e.g., a first pedal position, first throttle lever position, etc.), the control system 108 may calculate a first consumption metric, during different time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 at a different, second throttle setting, the control system 108 may calculate a second consumption metric, and so on. As another example, different consumption metrics may be calculated for different operational modes of the vehicle 100. For example, during time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 to accelerate, the control system 108 may calculate a first consumption metric. During other, different time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 to decelerate, the control system 108 may calculate a second consumption metric. During other, different time periods that the operator controls the vehicle 100 to maintain a speed (e.g., coast, such as by not changing speed by more than a designated threshold of 1%, 3%, 5%, or the like), the control system 108 may calculate a third consumption metric. The different consumption metrics can be displayed to the operator on the output device 126, such as by displaying the operational mode-specific consumption metrics corresponding to a current operational mode of the vehicle 100 (e.g., throttle positions, accelerating, decelerating, coasting, or the like) can be displayed during the corresponding current operational mode.

The consumption metrics may be monitored by one or more off-board locations (e.g., a dispatch center or facility) to monitor historical trends in the consumption metrics for different operators, different vehicles, and the like. Based on this data, poor performing operators, vehicles 100, or the like, can be identified and responded to (for example, remedied) by instruction, repair, or the like. For example, poor performing operators may be identified and the manner in which the operators control vehicles 100 examined in order to instruct the operators how to more efficiently operate the vehicles 100. As another example, vehicles 100 with poor consumption metrics may be scheduled for inspection, repair, and/or maintenance. As another example, fleet-wide consumption metrics may be examined to determine how to improve efficiency across the fleet. Consumption metrics also may be examined to determine future areas for improved efficiencies.

The condition of the route 106 being traveled upon by the vehicle 100 also can impact the efficiency at which the vehicle 100 consumes fuel and/or energy. For example, poor road conditions can cause increased fuel and/or energy consumption due to increased torque being needed to propel the vehicle 100 over the route 106. In addition or as an alternate to determining the consumption metrics, the con-

11

control system **108** can determine a route condition metric. The route condition metric can represent the condition of the route **106**, such as a quantifiable value representative of how close or far the actual condition of the route **106** is to an ideal condition of the route **106**. In one embodiment, the route condition metric may be based on a comparison between an estimated grade of the route **106** and an actual grade of the route **106**. For example, the route condition metric may be calculated as:

$$R = \frac{G_e}{G_m} \quad (\text{Equation No. } 2)$$

where R represents the route condition metric for the route **106** at one or more locations, G_e represents an estimated grade of the route **106** at the one or more locations, and G_m represents the actual or measured grade of the route **106** at the one or more locations.

In at least one example, the consumption metric is independent of elevation changes along a route of a vehicle. For example, a change in the consumption metric may not be due to a change in elevation. As another example, a change in elevation may not result in a proportional, linear, or non-linear change of the consumption metric.

In at least one example, the consumption metric can be calculated without consideration of elevation, as described herein. That is, an elevation of the vehicle may not factor into the calculation of the consumption metric. Further, the load of the vehicle, and the slope or grade of a route on which the vehicle travels may not be compensated for or accounted for when calculating the consumption metric.

In at least one example, the consumption metric can be analyzed in relation to fuel used to create energy. For example, the consumption metric can be determined and analyzed in relation to fuel used—not just fuel consumed to propel a vehicle, but fuel consumed to create energy that is then used to propel, such as hydrogen fuel provided to fuel cells.

In at least one example, the consumption metric can be calculated in relation to cargo weight and/or vehicle weight. For example, the consumption metric can be calculated in relation to the weight of cargo aboard a vehicle.

As described herein, several factors are used in calculating consumption metric. The factors used in such calculation impact each other. Each of such factors cannot be used by itself to calculate the consumption metric.

The estimated grade of the route **106** (G_e) may be obtained by the control system **108**. The control system **108** can calculate the estimated grade based on the vehicle load, the unloaded vehicle weight, the power generated by the powertrain **112** (e.g., torque), and/or the speed of the vehicle **100**. For example, the estimated grade may be larger for heavier vehicle loads, heavier unloaded vehicle weights, increased torque generated by the powertrain **112**, and/or decreased speeds of the vehicle **100**, and the estimated grade may be smaller for lighter vehicle loads, lighter unloaded vehicle weights, decreased torque generated by the powertrain **112**, and/or increased speeds of the vehicle **100**. The actual or measured grade of the route **106** (G_m) may be obtained from the data generated by the grade sensor **122** and/or from a database of grades recorded in the memory device **116**.

In one aspect, the control system **108** may apply a filter to one or more of the route condition metric, the estimated grade of the route **106** (G_e), and/or the actual or measured

12

grade of the route **106** (G_m) to remove the impact of noise on the calculation of the route condition metric. Poor conditions of the route **106** can cause the power generated by the powertrain **112** (e.g., torque), the speed of the vehicle **100**, and/or the measured grade of the route **106** to temporarily increase or decrease during relatively short time periods, such as when wheels of the vehicle **100** slip relative to the route **106**. In order to eliminate or reduce the impact of these transient effects on the estimated grade of the route **106** (G_e) and/or the actual or measured grade of the route **106** (G_m), a low pass filter may be applied to the estimated grade of the route **106** (G_e) and/or the actual or measured grade of the route **106** (G_m). Such a filter may remove changes in the estimated grade of the route **106** (G_e) and/or the actual or measured grade of the route **106** (G_m) that occur (e.g., increase and then decrease, or decrease and then increase) within a designated time period, such as within one second, three seconds, five seconds, or the like. As a result, noise in the estimated grade of the route **106** (G_e) and/or the actual or measured grade of the route **106** (G_m) is eliminated from the calculation of the route condition metric.

The route condition metric can be presented to the operator via the output device **126** and/or communicated to an off-board location via the communication device **128**. The route condition metric can represent the condition of the route **106**. For example, larger route condition metrics may indicate that the powertrain **112** of the vehicle **100** is using more power (and therefore fuel and/or energy) to propel the vehicle **100** than should be necessary over the actual grade of the route **106**, potentially due to poorer conditions of the route **106** (relative to smaller route condition metrics). Responsive to the route condition metric exceeding a designated threshold (e.g., a value of one, 1.25, 1.5, two, or another value), a warning signal may be automatically communicated to the operator and/or an off-board location. This warning signal may cause other vehicles **100** to change operation (e.g., use lower torques by automatically restricting the range of throttle settings that the vehicles can use), to cause a dispatch center to change schedules and/or routes of the vehicles **100** to avoid the routes **106** with poorer conditions (e.g., by automatically changing schedules of the vehicles to avoid these routes), to automatically change signals that direct which routes **106** the vehicles **100** are to take to avoid the routes **106** with poorer conditions, or the like. In one aspect, the route condition metric can be used to identify when to perform inspection, maintenance, and/or repair of a route **106**, such as when the route condition metric exceeds the designated threshold and/or when the route condition metric continues to increase over a designated period of time (e.g., one or more days, weeks, months, or the like, such as a time period that is longer than an adverse weather condition or season associated with adverse weather conditions, like winter or spring). Responsive to the route condition metric exceeding the designated threshold, a dispatch facility may automatically communicate an instruction to a repair vehicle to travel to the location of the route associated with the route condition metric to inspect and/or repair the vehicle.

FIG. 4 illustrates a flowchart of one embodiment of a method **400** for monitoring consumption of fuel and/or energy by a vehicle. The method **400** may be performed by the monitoring system **122** to monitor the efficiency in which a vehicle **100** is operated. At **402**, an amount of energy required for moving the vehicle **100** over an upcoming segment of a route **106** (e.g., from a first location to a second location) is determined. As described above, this energy can be calculated based on an unloaded weight of the vehicle

13

100, a weight of a vehicle load, grades of segments of the route 106 between the first and second locations, a moving resistance of the vehicle 100, and a distance along the route 106 from the first location to the second location.

At 404, an amount of fuel and/or energy that is actually consumed by the vehicle 100 for movement over the upcoming segment of the route 106 is determined. For example, the volume of fuel and/or amount of electric energy that is used to power the vehicle 100 during travel over the upcoming segment is measured or estimated. At 406, a consumption metric is determined based on the needed amount of energy and the amount of fuel and/or energy that is actually consumed. The consumption metric may be expressed in terms of gallons, liters, amps, watts, or the like, per Joule or other unit of energy. Optionally, the consumption metric may be determined based on one or more other consumption metrics, operational settings or modes, grades, operators, or the like, as described herein.

At 408, the consumption metric is presented to an operator of the vehicle 100 and/or to an off-board location. For example, the consumption metric may be shown on the output device 126 to the operator and/or communicated via wireless transmission and/or broadcast to a dispatch facility. At 410, a determination is made as to whether the consumption metric indicates that one or more responsive actions need to be taken. Examples of responsive actions include control actions (such as controlling a switch to direct a vehicle around locations location that would increase one or more consumption metrics, switching which propulsion-generating vehicles in a vehicle system are working to propel the vehicle system based on the consumption metric, and/or changing a source of energy based on current and/or upcoming or expected consumption metric(s)), use and/or cooperation with offboard vehicles or sources (for example, utility grid for charging when stationary, catenary, electrified rail, or other vehicle move nearby to supply fuel or current to charge, etc.), or the like. Other examples of responsive actions include remedial actions (such as scheduling or otherwise causing the vehicle to carry one or more cargos or load weights associated with the lower consumption metric and/or preventing the vehicle from carrying the cargo and/or load weight associated with the larger consumption metric).

As an example, consumption metrics that exceed one or more thresholds (e.g., one, 1.5, two, 2.5, or the like), may indicate that the vehicle 100 is consuming more fuel and/or energy than is needed and that action needs to be taken to reduce the amount of fuel and/or energy being wasted. If the consumption metric indicates that responsive action needs to be taken, then flow of the method 400 can proceed to 412. Otherwise, flow of the method 400 can return to 402.

At 412, one or more responsive actions may be taken. For example, the control system 108 may automatically implement restrictions on how frequently and/or how much throttle settings, speeds, and/or power outputs of the vehicle 100 can change in order to prevent the operator from accelerating at too great of a rate responsive to the consumption metric exceeding a designated threshold. As another example, the control system 108 and/or off-board location may then automatically generate warning signals presented on the output device 126 and/or communicated to a repair facility to warn the operator and/or schedule inspection, repair, and/or maintenance of the vehicle 100 responsive to the consumption metric exceeding a designated threshold. As another example, the consumption metric may indicate that travel over a certain grade causes an increase in the consumption metric. The control system 108 and/or dispatch center may prevent the vehicle 100 from traveling

14

over that grade and/or limiting operations of the vehicle 100 to reduce the consumption metric over the grade. As another example, the consumption metric may indicate that certain cargo and/or load weights causes the vehicle 100 to have an increased consumption metric relative to other cargos and/or load weights. For example, the responsive action can be a remedial action that may involve scheduling or otherwise causing the vehicle 100 to carry one or more cargos or load weights associated with the lower consumption metric and/or preventing the vehicle 100 from carrying the cargo and/or load weight associated with the larger consumption metric. Flow of the method 400 optionally may then return to 402.

The control system 108 can be configured to prevent an operator from taking actions in a variety of ways. For example, the control system 108 can prevent the operator from accelerating by not allowing any acceleration. As a further example, the control system 108 can limit operator action to only deceleration or to maintain a current velocity. As another example, the control system 108 can prevent acceleration above a determined upper acceleration limit. The limit can be dependent on one or more other metrics, such as a prior or current position, a prior or current velocity, landmarks or obstacles along a route, weather conditions, grade of a portion of the route (such as the grade of the route wherein the vehicle is currently located), and/or the like. As another example, the control system can prevent the operator from accelerating based on the type and/or location of cargo within the vehicle (for example, heavy or liquid cargo in certain locations can require more fuel to be used by the vehicle).

FIG. 5 illustrates a flowchart of one embodiment of a method 500 for monitoring conditions of a route being traveled by one or more vehicles. The method 500 may be performed by the monitoring system 122 to monitor the conditions of the route 106. At 502, an estimated grade of the route 106 at one or more locations is determined. The estimated grade of the route 106 may be calculated based on the vehicle load, the unloaded vehicle weight, the power generated by the powertrain 112 (e.g., torque), and/or the speed of the vehicle 100, as described above. At 504, the actual grade of the route 106 is determined at the one or more locations. For example, the actual grade may be measured from the data generated by the grade sensor 122 and/or from a database of grades recorded in the memory device 116. For example, the current location of the vehicle 100 can be compared with locations along the route 106 that are stored in the memory device 116 with associated grades in order to determine the actual grade of the route 106 at the one or more locations.

At 506, the route condition metric is determined based on the estimated grade and the actual grade. For example, the route condition metric can represent the amount or degree to which the estimated grade is larger than the actual grade, as described herein. In one aspect, a filter can be applied to one or more of the route condition metric, the estimated grade of the route 106 (G_e), and/or the actual or measured grade of the route 106 (G_m) to remove the impact of noise on the calculation of the route condition metric. At 508, the route condition metric can be presented to the operator and/or communicated to an off-board location.

At 510, a determination is made as to whether the route condition metric indicates that one or more responsive actions need to be taken. For example, route condition metrics that exceed one or more thresholds (e.g., one, 1.5, two, 2.5, or the like), may indicate that the condition of the route 106 at the one or more locations is poor (e.g., slippery or otherwise adverse to travel). If the route condition metric

15

indicates that responsive action needs to be taken, then flow of the method 500 can proceed to 512. Otherwise, flow of the method 500 can return to 502.

At 512, one or more responsive actions may be taken. For example, the control system 108 may automatically implement restrictions on how frequently and/or how much throttle settings, speeds, and/or power outputs of the vehicle 100 can change in order to prevent the operator from accelerating at too great of a rate. As another example, the control system 108 and/or off-board location may then automatically generate warning signals presented on the output device 126 and/or communicated to a repair facility to warn the operator and/or schedule inspection, repair, and/or maintenance of the route 106. As another example, the control system 108 and/or dispatch center may prevent the vehicle 100 and/or other vehicles 100 from traveling over the portion of the route 106 having the route condition metric that is indicative of a poor condition route. Flow of the method 500 optionally may then return to 502.

In at least one embodiment, the control system (such as one or more controllers described herein) may have a local data collection system deployed that may use machine learning to enable derivation-based learning outcomes. The controller may learn from and make decisions on a set of data (including data provided by the various sensors), by making data-driven predictions and adapting according to the set of data. In embodiments, machine learning may involve performing a plurality of machine learning tasks by machine learning systems, such as supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and reinforcement learning. Supervised learning may include presenting a set of example inputs and desired outputs to the machine learning systems. Unsupervised learning may include the learning algorithm structuring its input by methods such as pattern detection and/or feature learning. Reinforcement learning may include the machine learning systems performing in a dynamic environment and then providing feedback about correct and incorrect decisions. In examples, machine learning may include a plurality of other tasks based on an output of the machine learning system. In examples, the tasks may be machine learning problems such as classification, regression, clustering, density estimation, dimensionality reduction, anomaly detection, and the like. In examples, machine learning may include a plurality of mathematical and statistical techniques. In examples, the many types of machine learning algorithms may include decision tree based learning, association rule learning, deep learning, artificial neural networks, genetic learning algorithms, inductive logic programming, support vector machines (SVMs), Bayesian network, reinforcement learning, representation learning, rule-based machine learning, sparse dictionary learning, similarity and metric learning, learning classifier systems (LCS), logistic regression, random forest, K-Means, gradient boost, K-nearest neighbors (KNN), a priori algorithms, and the like. In embodiments, certain machine learning algorithms may be used (e.g., for solving both constrained and unconstrained optimization problems that may be based on natural selection). In an example, the algorithm may be used to address problems of mixed integer programming, where some components restricted to being integer-valued. Algorithms and machine learning techniques and systems may be used in computational intelligence systems, computer vision, Natural Language Processing (NLP), recommender systems, reinforcement learning, building graphical models, and the like. In an example, machine learning may be used for vehicle performance and behavior analytics, and the like.

16

In at least one example, the consumption metric can be a model. The control system can use machine learning to enable derivation-based learning outcomes from the consumption metric. The control system can analyze the consumption metric, determine operation therefrom, and its impact on consumption. The control system can then use this impact to provide training data, which it can use for training. The control system can learn from and modify and/or update the model based on the training data, then use such modified or updated model in an iterative process.

Various examples of control systems and methods are described herein. Each of the examples of the control systems and methods can be used independently of another. As another example, each of the examples of the control systems and methods can be used with any of the other examples of the control systems and methods. For example, a plurality of embodiments described with respect to FIGS. 1-5 can be used in conjunction with one another. As a further example, all of the embodiments described with respect to FIGS. 1-5 can be used in conjunction with one another.

In one embodiment, a monitoring system includes a control system configured to determine a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during travel over a route. The consumption metric is independent of one or more of vehicle load or elevation change over the route.

In one aspect, the control system can include one or more processors that obtain data from one or more sensors and/or a memory device in order to determine the consumption metric. The one or more sensors can include a supply sensor (e.g., a mass flow sensor, ammeter, etc.) that generates data representative of how much fuel is supplied to an engine of the vehicle and/or how much electric current is supplied to one or more motors of the vehicle, a speed sensor (e.g., a tachometer) that generates data representative of vehicle speed, a global positioning system receiver that generates data representative of how far the vehicle has traveled, etc. The memory device can include data such as weights of the vehicle and/or vehicle load, route grades, moving resistances of the vehicle, etc. The one or more processors can obtain this data from the sensors and/or memory device in order to determine the consumption metric.

In one aspect, the vehicle is a mining vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to be disposed onboard the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine an amount of energy needed for travel of the vehicle over the route. The consumption metric can represent the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed per unit of the energy needed for the vehicle to travel over the route.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine the energy needed for the vehicle to travel over the route based on one or more of an unloaded weight of the vehicle, a weight of a vehicle load carried by the vehicle, one or more grades of the route, a moving resistance of the vehicle, or a distance along the route that the vehicle is to travel.

In one aspect, the unloaded weight of the vehicle is a designated weight of the vehicle without cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the weight of the vehicle load is a weight of the cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the grade of the route represents an amount of one or more of an incline or decline of the route.

17

In one aspect, the moving resistance of the vehicle represents one or more forces that resist movement of the vehicle along the route.

In one aspect, the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed is one or more of an actual amount of fuel that is actually consumed by the vehicle or an actual amount of electric energy that is actually consumed by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to communicate with an engine controller of the vehicle to determine the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the monitoring system also includes a supply sensor configured to generate data representative of the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed by the vehicle from a fuel and/or energy source of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to calculate the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed by the vehicle based on one or more efficiency estimates of the vehicle and power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the consumption metric represents how efficiently an operator controls the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to present the consumption metric to an operator of the vehicle on an output device of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to present the consumption metric along with one or more of an actual amount of the fuel consumed or an actual amount of energy consumed by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to communicate the consumption parameter to one or more off-board locations off of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine one or more comparison metrics based on the consumption metric. The one or more consumption metrics include one or more of an operator-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle by the same operator, a vehicle-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle during multiple different trips of the vehicle and/or by multiple different operators, a location-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle and one or more other vehicles at a common location, a fleet-wide consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with the vehicle and one or more other vehicles in the same fleet of vehicles, an operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different operational modes or settings of the vehicle, a grade-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different grades of the route, and/or a vehicle loading-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with one or more of different cargos, different weights of the cargos, and/or an absence of the cargos in the vehicle.

In one aspect, the different operational modes of the vehicle include one or more different throttle settings of the vehicle, different speeds of the vehicle, and/or different powers generated by a powertrain of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to generate a warning signal based on the one or more comparison metrics, the warning signal directing one or more of an inspection, repair, and/or maintenance of the vehicle.

18

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the vehicle. The route condition metric can be based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations. In another embodiment, another monitoring system includes a control system configured to determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of a route traveled upon by a vehicle. The route condition metric is based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations. The control system is configured to determine the estimated grade of the route based on one or more of a vehicle load, an unloaded vehicle weight, power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle, or a speed of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to filter changes in the power generated by the powertrain from the route condition metric that are determined by removing the changes in the power that occur during less than a designated time period.

In one aspect, the control system can include one or more processors that obtain data from one or more sensors and/or a memory device in order to determine the route condition metric. The one or more sensors can include a grade sensor (e.g., an inclinometer, accelerometer, etc.) that generates data representative of grades of the route, a speed sensor (e.g., a tachometer) that generates data representative of speeds of the vehicle, a global positioning system receiver that generates data representative of a distance traveled by the vehicle, etc. The memory device can store data representative of grades of the route. The one or more processors can obtain this data from the sensors and/or memory device in order to determine the route condition metric.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine the estimated grade of the route based on one or more of a vehicle load, an unloaded vehicle weight, power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle, and/or a speed of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine the actual grade of the route from one or more of data generated by a grade sensor of the vehicle or from grades recorded in a memory device and associated with the one or more locations along the route.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to apply a low pass filter to one or more of the route condition metric, the estimated grade of the route, and/or the actual grade of the route.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to present the route condition metric to an operator onboard the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to generate a warning signal based on the route condition metric. The warning signal directs one or more of one or more other vehicles to reduce power outputs during travel over the route, an off-board location to change one or more of a schedule or a route being traveled by one or more other vehicles, the off-board location to change a signals that directs where the one or more other vehicles travel, and/or an inspection, maintenance, and/or repair of the route.

In another embodiment, a method (e.g., for monitoring a vehicle) includes determining a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during travel over a route. The consumption metric is independent of one or more of vehicle load or elevation change over the route.

In one aspect, the vehicle is a mining vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also includes determining an amount of energy needed for travel of the vehicle over the route. The consumption metric can represent the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed per unit of the energy needed for the vehicle to travel over the route.

In one aspect, the energy needed for the vehicle to travel over the route is calculated based on one or more of an unloaded weight of the vehicle, a weight of a vehicle load carried by the vehicle, one or more grades of the route, a moving resistance of the vehicle, and/or a distance along the route that the vehicle is to travel.

In one aspect, the unloaded weight of the vehicle is a designated weight of the vehicle without cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the weight of the vehicle load is a weight of the cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the grade of the route represents an amount of one or more of an incline or decline of the route.

In one aspect, the moving resistance of the vehicle represents one or more forces that resist movement of the vehicle along the route.

In one aspect, the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed is one or more of an actual amount of fuel that is actually consumed by the vehicle or an actual amount of electric energy that is actually consumed by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also includes calculating the one or more of the amount of fuel consumed or the amount of energy consumed by the vehicle based on one or more efficiency estimates of the vehicle and power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the consumption metric represents how efficiently an operator controls the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also can include presenting the consumption metric to an operator of the vehicle on an output device of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also can include presenting the consumption metric along with one or more of an actual amount of the fuel consumed or an actual amount of energy consumed by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also can include communicating the consumption parameter to one or more off-board locations off of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also can include determining one or more comparison metrics based on the consumption metric. The one or more consumption metrics can include one or more of an operator-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle by the same operator, a vehicle-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle during multiple different trips of the vehicle and/or by multiple different operators, a location-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with the vehicle and one or more other vehicles at a common location, a fleet-wide consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with the vehicle and one or more other vehicles in the same fleet of vehicles, an operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different operational modes or settings of the vehicle, a grade-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different grades of the route, and/or a vehicle loading-specific consumption metric representative of several con-

sumption metrics associated with one or more of different cargos, different weights of the cargos, and/or an absence of the cargos in the vehicle.

In one aspect, the different operational modes of the vehicle include one or more different throttle settings of the vehicle, different speeds of the vehicle, and/or different powers generated by a powertrain of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also includes generating a warning signal based on the one or more comparison metrics. The warning signal can direct one or more of an inspection, repair, and/or maintenance of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also can include determining a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the vehicle. The route condition metric can be based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

In another embodiment, another method (e.g., for monitoring a route) includes determining a route condition metric representative of a condition of a route traveled upon by a vehicle. The route condition metric can be based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

In one aspect, the estimated grade of the route is determined based on one or more of a vehicle load, an unloaded vehicle weight, power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle, and/or a speed of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the actual grade of the route is determined from one or more of data generated by a grade sensor of the vehicle or from grades recorded in a memory device and associated with the one or more locations along the route.

In one aspect, the method also can include applying a low pass filter to one or more of the route condition metric, the estimated grade of the route, and/or the actual grade of the route.

In one aspect, the method also can include presenting the route condition metric to an operator onboard the vehicle.

In one aspect, the method also can include generating a warning signal based on the route condition metric. The warning signal can direct one or more of other vehicles to reduce power outputs during travel over the route, an off-board location to change one or more of a schedule or a route being traveled by one or more other vehicles, the off-board location to change a signals that directs where the one or more other vehicles travel, and/or an inspection, maintenance, and/or repair of the route.

In at least one embodiment, a control method includes comparing a measured energy consumption amount of a vehicle for travel from a first location to a second location along a route segment to a predetermined energy consumption amount associated with the route segment; monitoring input from an operator of the vehicle for one or more operating parameters selected from a throttle setting, a vehicle speed, and an engine power output; and preventing the operator from changing a setting of the one or more operating parameters at a rate that exceeds a threshold limit rate that is based at least in part on the predetermined energy consumption amount.

In one aspect, the control method also includes determining the predetermined energy consumption amount based on one or more of an unloaded weight of the vehicle, a weight of a vehicle load carried by the vehicle, a moving resistance of the vehicle, or a distance that the vehicle is to travel.

In one aspect, the unloaded weight of the vehicle is a designated weight of the vehicle without cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control method also includes determining the predetermined energy consumption amount needed for the vehicle to travel over the route based on the moving resistance of the vehicle, wherein the moving resistance of the vehicle represents one or more forces that resist movement of the vehicle along the route.

In one aspect, the control method also includes calculating the measured energy consumption amount based on power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle and one or more efficiency estimates of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control method also includes determining a consumption metric based on the measured energy consumption amount and the predetermined energy consumption amount, wherein the consumption metric represents how efficiently the operator controls the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control method also includes determining one or more comparison metrics based on the consumption metric, the one or more comparison metrics including one or more of: an operator-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle by the same operator; a location-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle and one or more other vehicles at a common location; an operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different operational modes or settings of the vehicle; a grade-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different grades of the route; or a vehicle loading-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with one or more of different cargos, different weights of the cargos, or an absence of the cargos in the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control method also includes determining the one or more comparison metrics as including the operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of the several consumption metrics associated with the different operational modes or settings of the vehicle, wherein the different operational modes of the vehicle include one or more different throttle settings of the vehicle, different speeds of the vehicle, or different powers generated by a powertrain of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control method also includes generating a warning signal based on the one or more comparison metrics, the warning signal directing one or more of an inspection, repair, or maintenance of the vehicle.

In one aspect, the control method also includes determining a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the vehicle, the route condition metric based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

In one aspect, the measured energy consumption amount is a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by the vehicle during travel over the route segment.

In at least one embodiment, a control system is configured to: compare a measured energy consumption amount of an off-highway vehicle for travel from a first location to a second location along a route segment to a predetermined energy consumption amount associated with the route segment, monitor input from an operator of the off-highway vehicle for one or more operating parameters selected from a throttle setting, a vehicle speed, engine speed, and an

engine power output, and prevent the operator from changing a setting of the one or more operating parameters at a rate that exceeds a threshold limit rate that is based at least in part on the predetermined energy consumption amount.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine the predetermined energy consumption amount based on one or more of an unloaded weight of the off-highway vehicle, a weight of a vehicle load carried by the off-highway vehicle, a moving resistance of the off-highway vehicle, or a distance that the off-highway vehicle is to travel.

In one aspect, the unloaded weight of the off-highway vehicle is a designated weight of the off-highway vehicle without cargo or materials being carried by the off-highway vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine the predetermined energy consumption amount needed for the off-highway vehicle to travel over the route based on the moving resistance of the off-highway vehicle, wherein the moving resistance of the off-highway vehicle represents one or more forces that resist movement of the off-highway vehicle along the route.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to calculate the measured energy consumption amount based on power generated by a powertrain of the off-highway vehicle and one or more efficiency estimates of the off-highway vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine a consumption metric based on the measured energy consumption amount and the predetermined energy consumption amount, wherein the consumption metric represents how efficiently the operator controls the off-highway vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine one or more comparison metrics based on the consumption metric, the one or more comparison metrics including one or more of: an operator-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the off-highway vehicle by the same operator; a location-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the off-highway vehicle and one or more other vehicles at a common location; an operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different operational modes or settings of the off-highway vehicle; a grade-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different grades of the route; or a vehicle loading-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with one or more of different cargos, different weights of the cargos, or an absence of the cargos in the off-highway vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine the one or more comparison metrics as including the operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of the several consumption metrics associated with the different operational modes or settings of the off-highway vehicle, wherein the different operational modes of the off-highway vehicle include one or more different throttle settings of the off-highway vehicle, different speeds of the off-highway vehicle, or different powers generated by a powertrain of the off-highway vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to generate a warning signal based on the one or more comparison metrics, the warning signal directing one or more of an inspection, repair, or maintenance of the off-highway vehicle.

In one aspect, the control system is configured to determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the off-highway vehicle, the route condition metric based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

In one aspect, the measured energy consumption amount is a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by the off-highway vehicle during travel over the route segment.

In at least one embodiment, a control system is configured to: determine a consumption metric value that is representative of (i) one or both of an amount of fuel consumed and an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during travel over a route segment and (ii) a predetermined consumption level of fuel or energy associated with the route segment, wherein the consumption metric value is representative of how efficiently an operator controls the vehicle and is based at least in part on: a historic average of previous trips, a calculated value that includes cargo weight and traversed elevation of the route segment, and route segment conditions; communicate the consumption metric value to the operator or to an off-vehicle system; and control the vehicle based on the consumption metric.

In at least one aspect, the control system is further configured to prevent, based on the consumption metric, the operator from changing a setting of one or more operating parameters.

In at least one aspect, the control system is further configured to determine a health of the vehicle based on the consumption metric value.

In at least one aspect, the control system is further configured to determine an operator-specific comparison metric value by comparing the consumption metric value with one or more previously determined consumption metric values for the operator.

In at least one aspect, the control system is further configured to determine a vehicle-specific comparison metric value by comparing the consumption metric value with one or more previously determined consumption metric values for the vehicle while being controlled by one or more other operators.

In at least one aspect, the control system is further configured to determine a location-specific comparison metric value by comparing the consumption metric value with one or more previously determined consumption metric values for the vehicle and one or more other vehicles traveling over the same route segment.

It is to be understood that the above description is intended to be illustrative, and not restrictive. For example, the above-described embodiments (and/or aspects thereof) may be used in combination with each other. In addition, many modifications may be made to adapt a particular situation or material to the teachings of the inventive subject matter without departing from its scope. While the dimensions and types of materials described herein are intended to define the parameters of the inventive subject matter, they are by no means limiting and are exemplary embodiments. Many other embodiments will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art upon reviewing the above description. The scope of the inventive subject matter should, therefore, be determined with reference to the appended claims, along with the full scope of equivalents to which such claims are entitled. In the appended claims, the terms “including” and “in which” are used as the plain-English equivalents of the

respective terms “comprising” and “wherein.” Moreover, in the following claims, the terms “first,” “second,” and “third,” etc. are used merely as labels, and are not intended to impose numerical requirements on their objects. Further, the limitations of the following claims are not written in means-plus-function format and are not intended to be interpreted based on 35 U.S.C. § 112(f), unless and until such claim limitations expressly use the phrase “means for” followed by a statement of function void of further structure.

This written description uses examples to disclose several embodiments of the inventive subject matter and also to enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to practice the embodiments of the inventive subject matter, including making and using any devices or systems and performing any incorporated methods. The patentable scope of the inventive subject matter may include other examples that occur to those of ordinary skill in the art. Such other examples are intended to be within the scope of the claims if they have structural elements that do not differ from the literal language of the claims, or if they include equivalent structural elements with insubstantial differences from the literal languages of the claims.

The foregoing description of certain embodiments of the inventive subject matter will be better understood when read in conjunction with the appended drawings. To the extent that the figures illustrate diagrams of the functional blocks of various embodiments, the functional blocks are not necessarily indicative of the division between hardware circuitry. Thus, for example, one or more of the functional blocks (for example, processors or memories) may be implemented in a single piece of hardware (for example, a general purpose signal processor, microcontroller, random access memory, hard disk, and the like). Similarly, the programs may be stand-alone programs, may be incorporated as subroutines in an operating system, may be functions in an installed software package, and the like. The various embodiments are not limited to the arrangements and instrumentality shown in the drawings.

As used herein, an element or step recited in the singular and proceeded with the word “a” or “an” should be understood as not excluding plural of said elements or steps, unless such exclusion is explicitly stated. Furthermore, references to “an embodiment” or “one embodiment” of the inventive subject matter are not intended to be interpreted as excluding the existence of additional embodiments that also incorporate the recited features. Moreover, unless explicitly stated to the contrary, embodiments “comprising,” “including,” or “having” an element or a plurality of elements having a particular property may include additional such elements not having that property.

Since certain changes may be made in the above-described systems and methods without departing from the spirit and scope of the inventive subject matter herein involved, it is intended that all of the subject matter of the above description or shown in the accompanying drawings shall be interpreted merely as examples illustrating the inventive concept herein and shall not be construed as limiting the inventive subject matter.

What is claimed is:

1. A control method comprising:

comparing a measured energy consumption amount of a vehicle for travel from a first location to a second location along a route segment to a predetermined energy consumption amount associated with the route segment;

25

monitoring input from an operator of the vehicle for one or more operating parameters selected from a throttle setting, a vehicle speed, and an engine power output; and

preventing the operator from changing a setting of the one or more operating parameters at a rate that exceeds a threshold limit rate that is based at least in part on the predetermined energy consumption amount.

2. The control method of claim 1, further comprising determining the predetermined energy consumption amount based on one or more of an unloaded weight of the vehicle, a weight of a vehicle load carried by the vehicle, a moving resistance of the vehicle, or a distance that the vehicle is to travel.

3. The control method of claim 2, wherein the unloaded weight of the vehicle is a designated weight of the vehicle without cargo or materials being carried by the vehicle.

4. The control method of claim 2, further comprising determining the predetermined energy consumption amount needed for the vehicle to travel over the route based on the moving resistance of the vehicle, wherein the moving resistance of the vehicle represents one or more forces that resist movement of the vehicle along the route.

5. The control method of claim 1, further comprising calculating the measured energy consumption amount based on power generated by a powertrain of the vehicle and one or more efficiency estimates of the vehicle.

6. The control method of claim 1, further comprising determining a consumption metric based on the measured energy consumption amount and the predetermined energy consumption amount, wherein the consumption metric represents how efficiently the operator controls the vehicle.

7. The control method of claim 6, further comprising determining one or more comparison metrics based on the consumption metric, the one or more comparison metrics including one or more of:

an operator-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle by the same operator;

a location-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the vehicle and one or more other vehicles at a common location;

an operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different operational modes or settings of the vehicle;

a grade-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different grades of the route; or

a vehicle loading-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with one or more of different cargos, different weights of the cargos, or an absence of the cargos in the vehicle.

8. The control method of claim 7, further comprising determining the one or more comparison metrics as including the operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of the several consumption metrics associated with the different operational modes or settings of the vehicle, wherein the different operational modes of the vehicle include one or more different throttle settings of the vehicle, different speeds of the vehicle, or different powers generated by a powertrain of the vehicle.

9. The control method of claim 7, further comprising generating a warning signal based on the one or more comparison metrics, the warning signal directing one or more of an inspection, repair, or maintenance of the vehicle.

26

10. The control method of claim 1, further comprising determining a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the vehicle, the route condition metric based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

11. The control method of claim 1, wherein the measured energy consumption amount is a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by the vehicle during travel over the route segment.

12. A control system, configured to:

compare a measured energy consumption amount of an off-highway vehicle for travel from a first location to a second location along a route segment to a predetermined energy consumption amount associated with the route segment,

monitor input from an operator of the off-highway vehicle for one or more operating parameters selected from a throttle setting, a vehicle speed, and an engine power output, and

prevent the operator from changing a setting of the one or more operating parameters at a rate that exceeds a threshold limit rate that is based at least in part on the predetermined energy consumption amount.

13. The control system of claim 12, wherein the control system is configured to determine the predetermined energy consumption amount based on one or more of an unloaded weight of the off-highway vehicle, a weight of a vehicle load carried by the off-highway vehicle, a moving resistance of the off-highway vehicle, or a distance that the off-highway vehicle is to travel.

14. The control system of claim 13, wherein the unloaded weight of the off-highway vehicle is a designated weight of the off-highway vehicle without cargo or materials being carried by the off-highway vehicle.

15. The control system of claim 13, wherein the control system is configured to determine the predetermined energy consumption amount needed for the off-highway vehicle to travel over the route based on the moving resistance of the off-highway vehicle, wherein the moving resistance of the off-highway vehicle represents one or more forces that resist movement of the off-highway vehicle along the route.

16. The control system of claim 12, wherein the control system is configured to calculate the measured energy consumption amount based on power generated by a powertrain of the off-highway vehicle and one or more efficiency estimates of the off-highway vehicle.

17. The control system of claim 12, wherein the control system is configured to determine a consumption metric based on the measured energy consumption amount and the predetermined energy consumption amount, wherein the consumption metric represents how efficiently the operator controls the off-highway vehicle.

18. The control system of claim 17, wherein the control system is configured to determine one or more comparison metrics based on the consumption metric, the one or more comparison metrics including one or more of:

an operator-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the off-highway vehicle by the same operator;

a location-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with operation of the off-highway vehicle and one or more other vehicles at a common location;

27

an operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different operational modes or settings of the off-highway vehicle;

- a grade-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with different grades of the route; or
- a vehicle loading-specific consumption metric representative of several consumption metrics associated with one or more of different cargos, different weights of the cargos, or an absence of the cargos in the off-highway vehicle.

19. The control system of claim 18, wherein the control system is configured to determine the one or more comparison metrics as including the operational mode-specific consumption metric representative of the several consumption metrics associated with the different operational modes or settings of the off-highway vehicle, wherein the different operational modes of the off-highway vehicle include one or more different throttle settings of the off-highway vehicle, different speeds of the off-highway vehicle, or different powers generated by a powertrain of the off-highway vehicle.

20. The control system of claim 18, wherein the control system is configured to generate a warning signal based on the one or more comparison metrics, the warning signal directing one or more of an inspection, repair, or maintenance of the off-highway vehicle.

21. The control system of claim 12, wherein the control system is configured to determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the off-highway vehicle, the route condition metric based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations.

22. The control system of claim 12, wherein the measured energy consumption amount is a consumption metric representative of one or more of an amount of fuel consumed or an amount of energy consumed by the off-highway vehicle during travel over the route segment.

23. A control system, configured to:

- determine a consumption metric value that is representative of (i) one or both of an amount of fuel consumed and an amount of energy consumed by a vehicle during

28

travel over a route segment and (ii) a predetermined consumption level of fuel or energy associated with the route segment, wherein the consumption metric value is representative of how efficiently an operator controls the vehicle and is based at least in part on:

- a historic average of previous trips,
- a calculated value that includes cargo weight and traversed elevation of the route segment, and route segment conditions;

determine a route condition metric representative of a condition of the route traveled upon by the vehicle, the route condition metric based on a comparison between an actual grade of the route at one or more locations along the route and an estimated grade of the route at the one or more locations;

communicate the consumption metric value and the route condition metric to the operator or to an off-vehicle system; and

control the vehicle based on at least one of the consumption metric or the route condition metric.

24. The control system of claim 23, further configured to prevent, based on the consumption metric, the operator from changing a setting of one or more operating parameters.

25. The control system of claim 23, further configured to determine a health of the vehicle based on the consumption metric value.

26. The control system of claim 23, further configured to determine an operator-specific comparison metric value by comparing the consumption metric value with one or more previously determined consumption metric values for the operator.

27. The control system of claim 23, further configured to determine a vehicle-specific comparison metric value by comparing the consumption metric value with one or more previously determined consumption metric values for the vehicle while being controlled by one or more other operators.

28. The control system of claim 23, further configured to determine a location-specific comparison metric value by comparing the consumption metric value with one or more previously determined consumption metric values for the vehicle and one or more other vehicles traveling over the same route segment.

* * * * *