



US 20250258438A1

(19) United States

(12) Patent Application Publication

SUWA et al.

(10) Pub. No.: US 2025/0258438 A1

(43) Pub. Date: Aug. 14, 2025

(54) LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM, LASER PROCESSING METHOD, AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING ELECTRONIC DEVICE

(71) Applicant: **Gigaphoton Inc.**, Tochigi (JP)

(72) Inventors: **Akira SUWA**, Oyama-shi (JP); **Kouji KAKIZAKI**, Oyama-shi (JP); **Yasufumi KAWASUJI**, Oyama-shi (JP); **Osamu WAKABAYASHI**, Oyama-shi (JP)

(73) Assignee: **Gigaphoton Inc.**, Tochigi (JP)

(21) Appl. No.: **19/196,072**

(22) Filed: **May 1, 2025**

#### Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. PCT/JP2022/044993, filed on Dec. 6, 2022.

#### Publication Classification

(51) Int. Cl.

**G03F 7/00** (2006.01)  
**G02B 27/42** (2006.01)  
**H01L 21/48** (2006.01)

(52) U.S. Cl.  
CPC .... **G03F 7/70558** (2013.01); **G02B 27/4222** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70025** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70041** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70108** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70158** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70316** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70333** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70504** (2023.05); **G03F 7/70525** (2013.01); **G03F 7/70591** (2013.01); **H01L 21/4846** (2013.01)

#### ABSTRACT

A laser processing system includes a laser apparatus configured to output pulse laser light; a diffractive optical element configured to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes to be radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes to be radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece; a focusing optical system configured to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece; an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element; and a processor configured to control the adjustment mechanism based on parameters including a processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that a fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and a fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4






FIG. 5




**FIG. 6**  
PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY LASER PROCESSING PROCESSOR



*FIG. 7*

READ LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM INFORMATION



*FIG. 8*

READ WORKPIECE INFORMATION




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

READ DIFFRACTIVE OPTICAL ELEMENT INFORMATION






FIG. 11

DETERMINE TARGET FLUENCE



*FIG. 12*

DETERMINE NUMBER OF RADIATED PULSES  $N_m$  AND REPETITION FREQUENCY  $f$



*FIG. 13*

ADJUST LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17





FIG. 18



**FIG. 19**

PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY LASER PROCESSING PROCESSOR




FIG. 20






FIG. 21




*FIG. 22*

DETERMINE NUMBER OF RADIATED PULSES  $N_m$  AND REPETITION FREQUENCY  $f$




*FIG. 23*

ADJUST LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM



**FIG. 24**



**FIG. 25**




FIG. 26




FIG. 27

PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY OPTICAL INTENSITY MEASURING PROCESSOR




FIG. 28




FIG. 29






FIG. 30




*FIG. 31*

DETERMINE NUMBER OF RADIATED PULSES  $N_m$  AND REPETITION FREQUENCY  $f$




*FIG. 32*

ADJUST LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM



*FIG. 33*



*FIG. 34*






FIG. 35

PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY LASER PROCESSING PROCESSOR



### FIG. 36

READ LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM INFORMATION



### FIG. 37

DETERMINE TARGET FLUENCE  $F_{ok\min}$




FIG. 38







FIG. 39



*FIG. 40*




*FIG. 41*




**FIG. 42**

PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY OPTICAL INTENSITY MEASURING PROCESSOR




**FIG. 43**

PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY LASER PROCESSING PROCESSOR



**FIG. 44**

READ WORKPIECE INFORMATION



**FIG. 45**

READ DIFFRACTIVE OPTICAL ELEMENT INFORMATION






FIG. 46



*FIG. 47*



*FIG. 48*




FIG. 49

PROCESSES CARRIED OUT BY LASER PROCESSING PROCESSOR




FIG. 50




FIG. 51





FIG. 52



## LASER PROCESSING SYSTEM, LASER PROCESSING METHOD, AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING ELECTRONIC DEVICE

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] The present application is a continuation application of International Application No. PCT/JP2022/044993, filed on Dec. 6, 2022, the entire contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

### BACKGROUND

#### 1. Technical Field

[0002] The present disclosure relates to a laser processing system, a laser processing method, and a method for manufacturing an electronic device.

#### 2. Related Art

[0003] In recent years, a semiconductor exposure apparatus is required to improve the resolution thereof as semiconductor integrated circuits are increasingly miniaturized and highly integrated. To this end, reduction in the wavelength of light emitted from a light source for exposure is underway. For example, a KrF excimer laser apparatus, which outputs laser light having a wavelength of about 248 nm, and an ArF excimer laser apparatus, which outputs laser light having a wavelength of about 193 nm, are used as a gas laser apparatus for exposure.

[0004] Excimer laser light output from a KrF excimer laser apparatus and excimer laser light output from an ArF excimer laser apparatus each have a pulse width of a few tens of nanoseconds, have short wavelengths of about 248 nm and about 193 nm, respectively, and can therefore be used for direct processing of polymer materials, glass materials, and the like.

[0005] The excimer laser light having photon energy higher than the chemical binding energy of a polymer material can unbind the chemically bonded molecules that form the polymer material. Non-thermal processing can therefore be performed on the polymer material by using the excimer laser light, and it is known that the non-thermal processing provides an excellent processed shape.

[0006] Glass, ceramic, and other materials absorb the excimer laser light by a large amount, and it is therefore known that the excimer laser light can process a material difficult to process with a visible or infrared laser light.

### CITATION LIST

#### Patent Literature

[0007] PTL 1: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0150887

[0008] PTL 2: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0289412

### SUMMARY

[0009] A laser processing system according to an aspect of the present disclosure includes a laser apparatus configured to output pulse laser light; a diffractive optical element configured to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first

diffracted luminous fluxes to be radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes to be radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece; a focusing optical system configured to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece; an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element; and a processor configured to control the adjustment mechanism based on parameters including a processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that a fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and a fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

[0010] A laser processing method according to another aspect of the present disclosure includes: causing a laser apparatus to output pulse laser light; causing a diffractive optical element to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece; controlling an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light based on parameters including a processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that a fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and a fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ ; and causing a focusing optical system to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece.

[0011] A method for manufacturing an electronic device according to another aspect of the present disclosure includes processing an interposer substrate with laser light by using a laser processing system to produce an interposer; coupling the interposer to an integrated circuit chip to electrically connect the interposer and the integrated circuit chip to each other; and coupling the interposer to a circuit substrate to electrically connect the interposer and the circuit substrate to each other, the laser processing system including a laser apparatus configured to output pulse laser light, a diffractive optical element configured to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece, a focusing optical system configured to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece; an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element, and a processor configured to control the adjustment mechanism based on parameters including a processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that a fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and a fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

- [0012] Some embodiments of the present disclosure will be described below only by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings.
- [0013] FIG. 1 schematically shows the configuration of a laser processing system according to Comparative Example.
- [0014] FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view of a diffraction grating that serves as a base of a diffractive optical element.
- [0015] FIG. 3 shows a combination of multiple diffraction gratings to illustrate the principle of the diffractive optical element.
- [0016] FIG. 4 shows an example of a designed diffractive optical element.
- [0017] FIG. 5 shows an example of a pattern of diffracted luminous fluxes radiated from the diffractive optical element onto a workpiece.
- [0018] FIG. 6 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in Comparative Example.
- [0019] FIG. 7 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the laser processing system in detail.
- [0020] FIG. 8 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the workpiece in detail.
- [0021] FIG. 9 is a graph showing an example of the definition of a processing threshold.
- [0022] FIG. 10 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element in detail.
- [0023] FIG. 11 is a flowchart showing the process of determining a target fluence at a processing point in detail.
- [0024] FIG. 12 is a flowchart showing details of the process of determining the number of radiated pulses and a repetition frequency in single laser processing.
- [0025] FIG. 13 is a flowchart showing details of the process for adjusting the laser processing system based on the target fluence.
- [0026] FIG. 14 is a flowchart showing the process of performing the laser processing in detail.
- [0027] FIG. 15 shows another example of the pattern of the diffracted luminous fluxes radiated from the diffractive optical element onto the workpiece.
- [0028] FIG. 16 is a bar graph showing a problem with Comparative Example.
- [0029] FIG. 17 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a first embodiment.
- [0030] FIG. 18 is a bar graph showing an example of a target fluence of first diffracted luminous fluxes that is set in the first embodiment.
- [0031] FIG. 19 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the first embodiment.
- [0032] FIG. 20 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element in detail.
- [0033] FIG. 21 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence at a processing point in detail.
- [0034] FIG. 22 is a flowchart showing details of the process of determining the number of radiated pulses and the repetition frequency in the single laser processing.
- [0035] FIG. 23 is a flowchart showing details of the process of adjusting the laser processing system based on the target fluence.
- [0036] FIG. 24 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a second embodiment.
- [0037] FIG. 25 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the second embodiment.
- [0038] FIG. 26 schematically shows the configuration of a measurement system that measures information on the diffractive optical element in the second embodiment.
- [0039] FIG. 27 is a flowchart showing the process of measuring optical intensities in the second embodiment.
- [0040] FIG. 28 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the second embodiment.
- [0041] FIG. 29 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element in detail.
- [0042] FIG. 30 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail.
- [0043] FIG. 31 is a flowchart showing details of the process of determining the number of radiated pulses and the repetition frequency in the single laser processing.
- [0044] FIG. 32 is a flowchart showing details of the process of adjusting the laser processing system based on the target fluence.
- [0045] FIG. 33 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a variation of the second embodiment.
- [0046] FIG. 34 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the variation of the second embodiment.
- [0047] FIG. 35 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the variation of the second embodiment.
- [0048] FIG. 36 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the laser processing system in detail.
- [0049] FIG. 37 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail.
- [0050] FIG. 38 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a third embodiment.
- [0051] FIG. 39 is a graph showing an example of the definition of a fluence upper limit.
- [0052] FIG. 40 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the third embodiment.
- [0053] FIG. 41 is a bar graph showing another example of the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the third embodiment.
- [0054] FIG. 42 is a flowchart showing the process of measuring the optical intensities in the third embodiment.
- [0055] FIG. 43 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the third embodiment.
- [0056] FIG. 44 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the workpiece in detail.
- [0057] FIG. 45 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element in detail.
- [0058] FIG. 46 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail.
- [0059] FIG. 47 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a variation of the third embodiment.
- [0060] FIG. 48 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the variation of the third embodiment.
- [0061] FIG. 49 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the variation of the third embodiment.

[0062] FIG. 50 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail.

[0063] FIG. 51 diagrammatically shows the configuration of an electronic device.

[0064] FIG. 52 is a flowchart showing a method for manufacturing an electronic device.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

<Contents>

- [0065] 1. Laser processing system according to Comparative Example
- [0066] 1.1 Configuration
- [0067] 1.1.1 Configuration of laser apparatus 1
- [0068] 1.1.2 Configuration of laser processing apparatus 5
- [0069] 1.1.3 Configuration of data managing server 93
- [0070] 1.2 Operation
- [0071] 1.2.1 Operation of laser apparatus 1
- [0072] 1.2.2 Operation of laser processing apparatus 5
- [0073] 1.3 Diffractive optical element 63
- [0074] 1.4 Processes carried out by laser processing processor 53
  - [0075] 1.4.1 Read laser processing system information
  - [0076] 1.4.2 Read workpiece information
  - [0077] 1.4.3 Read diffractive optical element information
  - [0078] 1.4.4 Determine target fluence
  - [0079] 1.4.5 Determine number of radiated pulses Nm and repetition frequency f
  - [0080] 1.4.6 Adjust laser processing system
  - [0081] 1.4.7 Laser processing
- [0082] 1.5 Problems with Comparative Example
- [0083] 2. Laser processing system in which target fluence is so set that fluence of second diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to processing threshold Fth
  - [0084] 2.1 Concept
  - [0085] 2.1.1 Fluences of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes
    - [0086] 2.1.2 Set target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
    - [0087] 2.1.2.1 Lower limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
    - [0088] 2.1.2.2 Upper limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
    - [0089] 2.1.2.3 Range of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
  - [0090] 2.2 Configuration of laser processing system
  - [0091] 2.3 Processes carried out by laser processing processor 53
    - [0092] 2.3.1 Read diffractive optical element information
    - [0093] 2.3.2 Determine target fluence
    - [0094] 2.3.3 Determine number of radiated pulses Nm and repetition frequency f
    - [0095] 2.3.4 Adjust laser processing system
    - [0096] 2.4 Effects
  - [0097] 3. Laser processing system in consideration of variation in fluence between first diffracted luminous fluxes and between second diffracted luminous fluxes
    - [0098] 3.1 Concept
    - [0099] 3.1.1 Fluences of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes
      - [0100] 3.1.2 Set target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
      - [0101] 3.1.2.1 Lower limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
      - [0102] 3.1.2.2 Upper limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
    - [0103] 3.1.2.3 Range of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$
    - [0104] 3.2 Configuration of laser processing system
    - [0105] 3.3 Measurement of diffractive optical element information
    - [0106] 3.4 Processes carried out by laser processing processor 53
      - [0107] 3.4.1 Read diffractive optical element information
      - [0108] 3.4.2 Determine target fluence
      - [0109] 3.4.3 Determine number of radiated pulses Nm and repetition frequency f
      - [0110] 3.4.4 Adjust laser processing system
      - [0111] 3.5 Effects
    - [0112] 4. Laser processing system in consideration of variation in pulse energy of pulse laser light output from laser apparatus
      - [0113] 4.1 Concept
      - [0114] 4.1.1 Fluences of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes
        - [0115] 4.1.2 Set target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
        - [0116] 4.1.2.1 Lower limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
        - [0117] 4.1.2.2 Upper limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
        - [0118] 4.1.2.3 Range of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
        - [0119] 4.2 Configuration of laser processing system
        - [0120] 4.3 Processes carried out by laser processing processor 53
          - [0121] 4.3.1 Read laser processing system information
          - [0122] 4.3.2 Determine target fluence
          - [0123] 4.4 Effects
        - [0124] 5. Laser processing system in consideration of fluence upper limit
          - [0125] 5.1 Concept
          - [0126] 5.1.1 Fluences of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes
            - [0127] 5.1.2 Set target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
            - [0128] 5.1.2.1 Lower limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
            - [0129] 5.1.2.2 Upper limit (1) of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
            - [0130] 5.1.2.3 Upper limit (2) of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
            - [0131] 5.1.2.4 Range of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
            - [0132] 5.2 Configuration of laser processing system
            - [0133] 5.3 Measure diffractive optical element information
          - [0134] 5.4 Processes carried out by laser processing processor 53
            - [0135] 5.4.1 Read workpiece information
            - [0136] 5.4.2 Read diffractive optical element information
            - [0137] 5.4.3 Determine target fluence
            - [0138] 5.5 Effects
          - [0139] 6. Laser processing system in consideration of fluence upper limit and variation of pulse energy of pulse laser light output from laser apparatus
            - [0140] 6.1 Concept
            - [0141] 6.1.1 Fluences of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes
              - [0142] 6.1.2 Set target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
              - [0143] 6.1.2.1 Lower limit of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
              - [0144] 6.1.2.2 Upper limit (1) of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
              - [0145] 6.1.2.3 Upper limit (2) of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
              - [0146] 6.1.2.4 Range of target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$
              - [0147] 6.2 Configuration of laser processing system
              - [0148] 6.3 Processes carried out by laser processing processor 53
              - [0149] 6.4 Effects

- [0150] 7. Others
- [0151] 7.1 Configuration of electronic device
- [0152] 7.2 Method for manufacturing electronic device
- [0153] 7.3 Supplements

[0154] Embodiments of the present disclosure will be described below in detail with reference to the drawings. The embodiments described below show some examples of the present disclosure and are not intended to limit the contents of the present disclosure. Furthermore, all configurations and operations described in the embodiments are not necessarily essential as configurations and operations in the present disclosure. It is noted that the same element has the same reference character, and no redundant description of the same element will be made.

## 1. Laser Processing System According to Comparative Example

### 1.1 Configuration

[0155] FIG. 1 schematically shows the configuration of a laser processing system according to Comparative Example. Comparative Example of the present disclosure is an aspect that the applicant is aware of as known only by the applicant, and is not a publicly known example that the applicant is self-aware of. The laser processing system includes a laser apparatus 1, a laser processing apparatus 5, and a data managing server 93.

#### 1.1.1 Configuration of Laser Apparatus 1

[0156] The laser apparatus 1 is a gas laser apparatus that outputs ultraviolet pulse laser light Out. The laser apparatus 1 includes a laser chamber 10, a power supply apparatus 12, a rear mirror 14, an output coupling mirror 15, a monitor module 16, and a shutter 19. These elements are housed in a first enclosure 100. The rear mirror 14 and the output coupling mirror 15 form an optical resonator.

[0157] The laser chamber 10 is disposed in the optical path of the optical resonator. The laser chamber 10 is provided with windows 10a and 10b. The laser chamber 10 accommodates a pair of discharge electrodes 11a and 11b. The laser chamber 10 is filled with a laser gas containing, for example, an argon or krypton gas as a rare gas, a fluorine gas as a halogen gas, and a neon gas as a buffer gas.

[0158] The rear mirror 14 is configured with a highly reflective mirror, and the output coupling mirror 15 is configured with a partially reflective mirror. The pulse laser light Out is output via the output coupling mirror 15.

[0159] The monitor module 16 includes a beam splitter 17 and a photosensor 18. The beam splitter 17 is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out output via the output coupling mirror 15. The photosensor 18 is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out reflected off the beam splitter 17.

[0160] The shutter 19 is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out having passed through the beam splitter 17. The shutter 19 is configured to be capable of performing switching between the state in which the pulse laser light Out passes to the laser processing apparatus 5 and the state in which the pulse laser light Out is blocked and vice versa.

[0161] The laser apparatus 1 further includes a laser control processor 13. The laser control processor 13 is a processing apparatus including a memory 13a, which stores a control program, and a CPU (central processing unit) 13b,

which executes the control program. The laser control processor 13 is particularly configured or programmed to carry out various processes described in the present disclosure.

### 1.1.2 Configuration of Laser Processing Apparatus 5

[0162] The laser processing apparatus 5 includes a radiation optical system 50a, a frame 50b, an XYZ stage 501, and a laser processing processor 53. The radiation optical system 50a and the XYZ stage 501 are fixed to the frame 50b. A workpiece SUB is supported by a table 502 of the XYZ stage 501.

[0163] In FIG. 1, the X and Y directions orthogonal to each other are directions parallel to the surface of the workpiece SUB. The Z direction is the direction perpendicular to the surface of the workpiece SUB and parallel to the traveling direction of the pulse laser light Out incident on the surface of the workpiece SUB.

[0164] The workpiece SUB is, for example, an interposer substrate used to manufacture an interposer IP, which relays an integrated circuit chip IC and a circuit substrate CS, which will be described later with reference to FIG. 51, to each other. The interposer substrate is made, for example, of an electrically insulating material such as a polymer material, a glass material, a silicon single crystal, or a ceramic material. The workpiece SUB is not limited to the interposer substrate, and may be a substrate having a laser processed metallic film formed thereon.

[0165] The radiation optical system 50a includes highly reflective mirrors 51a, 51b, and 51c, an attenuator 52, a diffractive optical element 63, and a focusing optical system 67. The highly reflective mirrors 51a, 51b, and 51c, the attenuator 52, and the diffractive optical element 63 are housed in a second enclosure 500. The focusing optical system 67 also serves as a window of the second enclosure 500. The second enclosure 500 is connected to the first enclosure 100 via an optical path tube 200. The pulse laser light Out output from the laser apparatus 1 passes through the interior of the optical path tube 200 and enters the second enclosure 500.

[0166] The highly reflective mirror 51a is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out having passed through the interior of the optical path tube 200. The attenuator 52 is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out reflected off the highly reflective mirror 51a. The attenuator 52 includes two partially reflective mirrors 52a and 52b and rotary stages 52c and 52d. The rotary stages 52c and 52d are configured to be capable of changing transmittance Ta of the attenuator 52 by changing the angles of incidence of the pulse laser light Out incident on the partially reflective mirrors 52a and 52b, respectively.

[0167] The highly reflective mirror 51b is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out having passed through the attenuator 52, and the highly reflective mirror 51c is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out reflected off the highly reflective mirror 51b.

[0168] The diffractive optical element 63 is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out reflected off the highly reflective mirror 51c. The diffractive optical element 63 has multiple protrusions and recesses at a surface thereof, and is configured to diffract the pulse laser light Out having passed therethrough to divide the pulse laser light Out into multiple diffracted luminous fluxes.

[0169] The focusing optical system **67** is located in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out having passed through the diffractive optical element **63**. The focusing optical system **67** focuses each of the diffracted luminous fluxes, into which the pulse laser light Out has been divided by the diffractive optical element **63**, at the workpiece SUB. The focusing optical system **67** has a focal length  $f_{67}$ . The focusing optical system **67** is desirably configured with an F0 lens so that the diffracted luminous fluxes, into which the pulse laser light Out has been divided, are focused at the same surface.

[0170] The laser processing processor **53** is a processing apparatus including a memory **53a**, which stores a control program, and a CPU **53b**, which executes the control program. The laser processing processor **53** corresponds to the processor in the present disclosure. The laser processing processor **53** is particularly configured or programmed to carry out various processes described in the present disclosure.

### 1.1.3 Configuration of Data Managing Server **93**

[0171] The data managing server **93** is a data server that manages laser processing system information, workpiece information, and diffractive optical element information. The laser processing processor **53** can access the data managing server **93** to read the information.

## 1.2 Operation

### 1.2.1 Operation of Laser Apparatus **1**

[0172] In the laser apparatus **1**, the laser control processor **13** receives data on target pulse energy **Et** and a trigger signal from the laser processing processor **53**. The laser control processor **13** sets a voltage provided by the power supply apparatus **12** based on the target pulse energy **Et** and forwards the trigger signal to the power supply apparatus **12**.

[0173] Upon receiving the trigger signal from the laser control processor **13**, the power supply apparatus **12** generates a pulse-shaped high voltage and applies the high voltage to the space between the discharge electrodes **11a** and **11b**.

[0174] When the high voltage is applied to the space between the discharge electrodes **11a** and **11b**, discharge occurs between the discharge electrodes **11a** and **11b**. The energy of the discharge excites the laser gas in the laser chamber **10**, and the excited laser gas transitions to a high energy level. Thereafter, when the excited laser gas transitions to a low energy level, the laser gas emits light having a wavelength according to the difference between the energy levels.

[0175] The light generated in the laser chamber **10** exits out of the laser chamber **10** via the windows **10a** and **10b**. The light having exited via the window **10a** of the laser chamber **10** is reflected off the rear mirror **14** at high reflectance and returns into the laser chamber **10**.

[0176] The output coupling mirror **15** transmits and outputs part of the light having exited via the window **10b** of the laser chamber **10**, and reflects the other part of the light back into the laser chamber **10**.

[0177] The light having exited out of the laser chamber **10** thus travels back and forth between the rear mirror **14** and the output coupling mirror **15** and is amplified whenever passing through the discharge space between the discharge

electrodes **11a** and **11b**. The pulse laser light Out thus generated through the laser oscillation is output via the output coupling mirror **15**.

[0178] The monitor module **16** detects the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out output via the output coupling mirror **15**. The monitor module **16** transmits data on the detected pulse energy to the laser control processor **13**.

[0179] The laser control processor **13** performs feedback control on the voltage set in the power supply apparatus **12** based on the data on the pulse energy received from the monitor module **16** and the data on the target pulse energy **Et** received from the laser processing processor **53**.

### 1.2.2 Operation of Laser Processing Apparatus **5**

[0180] The XYZ stage **501** is so adjusted that the workpiece SUB is located at a position separate from the focusing optical system **67** by the focal length  $f_{67}$ .

[0181] The pulse laser light Out output from the laser apparatus **1** passes through the interior of the optical path tube **200** and enters the laser processing apparatus **5**. The pulse laser light Out is reflected off the highly reflective mirror **51a** and passes through the attenuator **52**, and is then sequentially reflected off the highly reflective mirrors **51b** and **51c**. The laser processing processor **53** sets a target value of the transmittance **Ta** of the attenuator **52**, and controls the rotary stages **52c** and **52d** based on the target value.

[0182] The pulse laser light Out reflected off the highly reflective mirror **51c** is divided into the multiple diffracted luminous fluxes by the diffractive optical element **63**, and the diffracted luminous fluxes are each focused at the surface of the workpiece SUB by the focusing optical system **67**. When the workpiece SUB is irradiated with the diffracted luminous fluxes, into which the pulse laser light Out has been divided, the workpiece SUB is subjected to ablation and laser processing.

### 1.3 Diffractive Optical Element **63**

[0183] FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view of a diffraction grating **631**, which serves as a base of the diffractive optical element **63**. The diffraction grating **631** is made of a material that transmits the pulse laser light Out having a wavelength **k**, and is a plate having a large number of grooves formed thereon and arranged at a grating period **p**. The pulse laser light Out having passed through the diffraction grating **631** is divided into multiple diffracted luminous fluxes including 0th-order diffracted luminous flux, -1st-order diffracted luminous flux, +1st-order diffracted luminous flux, and higher-order diffracted luminous fluxes that are not shown. In FIG. 2, **m** represents the order of the diffracted luminous fluxes. An angle **θ** between the direction in which the 0th-order diffracted luminous flux exits and the direction in which each of the -1st-order diffracted luminous flux and the +1st-order diffracted luminous flux exits is given approximately by  $λ/p$  when **θ** is very small. The energy of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes can also be determined by calculation. In general, a higher-order diffracted luminous flux higher than the -1st-order diffracted luminous flux and the +1st-order diffracted luminous flux has lower energy than the -1st order diffracted luminous flux and the +1st order diffracted luminous flux.

[0184] FIG. 3 shows a combination of multiple diffraction gratings **631** to **635** to illustrate the principle of the diffrac-

tive optical element 63. The diffractive optical element 63 can be so designed that multiple diffracted luminous fluxes are arranged in a desired pattern by appropriately combining the diffraction gratings 631 to 635 having different grating periods with one another. FIG. 4 shows an example of the thus designed diffractive optical element 63.

[0185] FIG. 5 shows an example of a pattern of the diffracted luminous fluxes radiated from the diffractive optical element 63 onto the workpiece SUB. For example, the diffractive optical element 63 is designed to output 16 diffracted luminous fluxes that each exit in a desired direction. The diffracted luminous fluxes are each focused by the focusing optical system 67 at a desired processing point on the workpiece SUB. As a result, 16 fine holes are simultaneously processed in the workpiece SUB. The 16 holes having a necessary depth are formed in one processing region by radiating the pulse laser light having radiated pulses the number of which is Nm with the position of the XYZ stage 501 fixed. The number of radiated pulses Nm is determined by dividing the necessary depth of the holes by the depth of the holes formed by one pulse of the pulse laser light Out. The step of radiating the pulse laser light having the number of radiated pulses Nm may be referred to as single laser processing. The point where the diffracted luminous fluxes are each focused to form a hole may be referred to as a processing point. After the single laser processing, the position of the XYZ stage 501 is controlled to form the sixteen holes in another processing region of the workpiece SUB.

[0186] It is conceivable to perform processing according to the pattern of a mask that is not shown by irradiating the workpiece SUB with the pulse laser light having passed through the mask and a transfer optical system, but a large amount of energy is lost at the mask. In contrast, patterned diffracted luminous fluxes formed by the diffractive optical element 63 can reduce the loss of energy, and improve the processing speed.

#### 1.4 Processes Carried Out by Laser Processing Processor 53

[0187] FIG. 6 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in Comparative Example. In S100, the laser processing processor 53 reads information on the laser processing system. In S200, the laser processing processor 53 reads information on the workpiece SUB. In S300, the laser processing processor 53 reads information on the diffractive optical element 63. The information read in S100 to S300 may be read from the data managing server 93 or may be read from the memory 53a in the laser processing processor 53.

[0188] In S400, the laser processing processor 53 determines a target fluence Fmt at a processing point. In S500, the laser processing processor 53 determines the number of radiated pulses Nm and a repetition frequency f in the single laser processing. In S600, the laser processing processor 53 adjusts the laser processing system based on the target fluence Fmt. In S700, the laser processing processor 53 controls the laser processing system to cause it to perform the laser processing. The processes will be described below in detail with reference to FIGS. 7 to 14.

##### 1.4.1 Read Laser Processing System Information

[0189] FIG. 7 is a flowchart showing the process of reading the information on the laser processing system in

detail. The processes shown in FIG. 7 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S100 in FIG. 6.

[0190] In S101, the laser processing processor 53 reads transmittance TO of elements disposed in the optical path of the pulse laser light Out excluding the diffractive optical element 63 and the attenuator 52. The transmittance TO corresponds to the ratio of the energy of the light incident on the workpiece SUB to the energy of the pulse laser light Out output via the output coupling mirror 15 on the assumption that transmittance  $T_{DOE}$  of the diffractive optical element 63 and the transmittance Ta of the attenuator 52 are each 100%.

[0191] In S102, the laser processing processor 53 reads the number of holes P to be simultaneously processed. The number of holes P corresponds to the number of processing points, and is 16 in the example shown in FIG. 5.

[0192] In S103, the laser processing processor 53 reads an irradiated area S of the surface of the workpiece SUB, which is irradiated with one of the diffracted luminous fluxes that processes one hole. When the beam of one of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB has a circular cross-sectional shape having a diameter D, the irradiated area S is given by  $\pi(D/2)^2$ .

[0193] After S103, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

##### 1.4.2 Read Workpiece Information

[0194] FIG. 8 is a flowchart showing the process of reading the information on the workpiece SUB in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 8 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S200 in FIG. 6.

[0195] In S201, the laser processing processor 53 reads a processing threshold Fth for the workpiece SUB. The processing threshold Fth is a threshold of the fluence of diffracted luminous flux used to process the workpiece SUB. When the workpiece SUB is irradiated with the pulse laser light having a fluence smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth, the workpiece SUB is not processed, whereas when the workpiece SUB is irradiated with the pulse laser light having a fluence greater than the processing threshold Fth, the workpiece SUB is processed. The processing threshold Fth will be described later with reference to FIG. 9.

[0196] In S202, the laser processing processor 53 reads a thickness t of the workpiece SUB. To form a through hole in the workpiece SUB, the value as a result of multiplication of the depth of the hole to be formed by one pulse of the pulse laser light and the number of radiated pulses Nm only needs to be greater than or equal to the thickness t.

[0197] After S202, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

[0198] FIG. 9 is a graph showing an example of the definition of the processing threshold Fth. In FIG. 9, the horizontal axis represents the fluence of a diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the processing speed. The processing speed corresponds to the depth of the hole per radiated pulse of the pulse laser light. In a graph representing the fluence and the processing speed based on a result of measurement, the horizontal-axis value of the intersection of a straight line that approximates the graph and the horizontal axis, where the processing speed is zero, is the processing threshold Fth.

#### 1.4.3 Read Diffractive Optical Element Information

[0199] FIG. 10 is a flowchart showing the process of reading the information on the diffractive optical element 63 in detail. The process shown in FIG. 10 corresponds to the subroutine labeled with S300 in FIG. 6.

[0200] In S301, the laser processing processor 53 reads the transmittance  $T_{DOE}$  of the diffractive optical element 63.

[0201] The information on the diffractive optical element 63 may include the number of diffracted luminous fluxes  $L_n$ . The process of reading the information on the diffractive optical element 63 may include the steps of reading the number of the diffracted luminous fluxes  $L_n$  and ascertaining that the number of the diffracted luminous fluxes  $L_n$  coincides with the number of holes  $P$  to be simultaneously processed (see FIG. 7).

[0202] After S301, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the process in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

#### 1.4.4 Determine Target Fluence

[0203] FIG. 11 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence  $F_{mt}$  at a processing point in detail. The process shown in FIG. 11 corresponds to the subroutine labeled with S400 in FIG. 6.

[0204] In S410, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{mt}$  that satisfies the following expression:

$$F_{th} < F_{mt}$$

[0205] When the workpiece SUB is irradiated with the pulse laser light having a fluence greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the workpiece SUB is processed.

[0206] After S410, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the process in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

#### 1.4.5 Determine Number of Radiated Pulses $N_m$ and Repetition Frequency $f$

[0207] FIG. 12 is a flowchart showing details of the process of determining the number of radiated pulses  $N_m$  and the repetition frequency  $f$  in the single laser processing. The processes shown in FIG. 12 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S500 in FIG. 6.

[0208] In S501, the laser processing processor 53 determines the number of radiated pulses  $N_m$  based on the target fluence  $F_{mt}$  and thickness  $t$ .

[0209] In S502, the laser processing processor 53 determines the repetition frequency  $f$  suitable for the processing. The repetition frequency  $f$  is set at a value within the range of the rated repetition frequency of the laser apparatus 1. However, when the repetition frequency  $f$  is too high, the shape of the holes formed in the workpiece SUB may vary, and it is desirable to set the repetition frequency  $f$  at a value within a range over which the variation is suppressed.

[0210] After S502, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

#### 1.4.6 Adjust Laser Processing System

[0211] FIG. 13 is a flowchart showing details of the process for adjusting the laser processing system based on the target fluence  $F_{mt}$ . The processes shown in FIG. 13 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S600 in FIG. 6.

[0212] In S601, the laser processing processor 53 sets the target pulse energy  $E_t$  of the laser apparatus 1 and transmits the set target pulse energy  $E_t$  to the laser apparatus 1. The target pulse energy  $E_t$  is pulse energy that falls within a range over which the performance of the laser apparatus 1 can be maintained.

[0213] The laser control processor 13 of the laser apparatus 1 having received the target pulse energy  $E_t$  closes the shutter 19, and controls the laser apparatus 1 to cause it to perform adjustment oscillation based on the target pulse energy  $E_t$ . The laser control processor 13 outputs a pulse energy OK signal when the value of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light  $O_{ut}$  measured by the monitor module 16 becomes stable within an allowable range including the target pulse energy  $E_t$  and values therearound.

[0214] In S602, the laser processing processor 53 determines whether the pulse energy OK signal has been received from the laser apparatus 1. When the pulse energy OK signal has not been received (NO in S602), the laser processing processor 53 waits until the pulse energy OK signal is received. When the pulse energy OK signal has been received (YES in S602), the laser processing processor 53 proceeds to the process in S603.

[0215] In S603, the laser processing processor 53 sets the transmittance  $T_a$  of the attenuator 52 as shown below.

[0216] The pulse energy of the light that passes through the focusing optical system 67 and is incident on the workpiece SUB is expressed by the following equality:

$$E_t \cdot T_a \cdot T_{DOE} = F_{mt} \cdot P \cdot S$$

[0217] From the expression, the transmittance  $T_a$  of the attenuator 52 is calculated as follows:

$$T_a = F_{mt} \cdot P \cdot S / (E_t \cdot T_{DOE})$$

[0218] In S604, the laser processing processor 53 adjusts the attenuator 52 based on the transmittance  $T_a$ . As a result, the pulse energy of the pulse laser light  $O_{ut}$  to be incident on the diffractive optical element 63 can be adjusted, and the fluence at the processing point can be set at a value close to the target fluence  $F_{mt}$ .

[0219] However, an adjustment mechanism that adjusts the pulse energy of the pulse laser light  $O_{ut}$  to be incident on the diffractive optical element 63 is not limited to the attenuator 52. The pulse energy of the pulse laser light  $O_{ut}$  incident on the diffractive optical element 63 may be controlled by adjusting the target pulse energy  $E_t$  of the pulse laser light  $O_{ut}$  output via the output coupling mirror 15, and the adjustment mechanism in this case is the power supply apparatus 12.

[0220] After S604, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

#### 1.4.7 Laser Processing

[0221] FIG. 14 is a flowchart showing the process of performing the laser processing in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 14 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S700 in FIG. 6.

[0222] In S701, the laser processing processor 53 sets data on the position of the XYZ stage 501 in such a way that a first processing region of the workpiece SUB is irradiated with the diffracted luminous fluxes.

[0223] In S702, the laser processing processor 53 positions the XYZ stage 501 in the X and Y directions in accordance with the set position data.

[0224] In S703, the laser processing processor 53 positions the XYZ stage 501 in the Z direction in accordance with the set position data.

[0225] In S704, the laser processing processor 53 transmits a trigger signal carrying the repetition frequency  $f$  and the number of radiated pulse Nm to the laser apparatus 1. The single laser processing is thus performed.

[0226] In S705, the laser processing processor 53 determines whether the processing of the workpiece SUB has been completed. When there are regions that have not been processed (NO in S705), the laser processing processor 53 proceeds to the process in S706. When all the processing regions have been processed (YES in S705), the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 6.

[0227] In S706, the laser processing processor 53 sets the data on the position of the XYZ stage 501 in such a way that the subsequent processing region is irradiated with the diffracted luminous fluxes. After S706, the laser processing processor 53 returns the process in S702.

### 1.5 Problems with Comparative Example

[0228] FIG. 15 shows another example of the pattern of the diffracted luminous fluxes radiated from the diffractive optical element 63 onto the workpiece SUB. The diffracted luminous fluxes output from the diffractive optical element 63 are not limited only to the diffracted luminous fluxes necessary for the laser processing. That is, the multiple diffracted luminous fluxes, into which the pulse laser light Out is divided by the diffractive optical elements 63 and with which the workpiece SUB is irradiated, may include not only the diffracted luminous fluxes that are focused at desired processing points on the workpiece SUB but also diffracted luminous fluxes that are focused at non-processing points that are not intended to be processed. The diffracted luminous fluxes focused at the processing points on the workpiece SUB are hereinafter referred to as first diffracted luminous fluxes, and the diffracted luminous fluxes focused at the non-processing points on the workpiece SUB are hereinafter referred to as second diffracted luminous fluxes. The first diffracted luminous fluxes are diffracted luminous fluxes necessary for the laser processing, and the second diffracted luminous fluxes are diffracted luminous fluxes unnecessary for the laser processing. It is noted that not every diffracted luminous flux unnecessary for the laser processing is irradiated onto the workpiece SUB. For example, higher-order diffracted luminous fluxes out of the luminous fluxes diffracted by the diffractive optical element 63 may exit outward from the focusing optical system 67, or may be incident on a circumferential wall of an aperture that is not shown but is separately provided, and may therefore not be radiated onto the workpiece SUB.

[0229] FIG. 16 is a bar graph showing a problem with Comparative Example. In FIG. 16, the horizontal axis shows the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes, and the vertical axis represents the fluence F of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. When the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out incident on the diffractive optical element 63 is increased, the fluence of the first diffracted luminous fluxes increases, and it is therefore

believed that the efficiency of the laser processing can be improved. However, when the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out is increased, the fluence of the second diffracted luminous fluxes also increases in conjunction with the first diffracted luminous fluxes. When the fluence of the second diffracted luminous fluxes exceeds the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , holes may also be formed at the non-processing points on the workpiece SUB.

2. Laser Processing System in which Target Fluence is so Set that Fluence of Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes is Smaller than or Equal to Processing Threshold  $F_{th}$

### 2.1 Concept

#### 2.1.1 Fluences of First and Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes

[0230] FIG. 17 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a first embodiment. In FIG. 17, the horizontal axis shows the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes, and the vertical axis represents the fluence F of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. In the first embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism, such as the attenuator 52, in such a way that a fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  and a fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

#### 2.1.2 Set Target Fluence $F_{OKmt}$

[0231] In the first embodiment, a target fluence  $F_{OKmt}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is set as shown below. When the fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is controlled to the target fluence  $F_{OKmt}$ , the fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is determined in accordance with an optical intensity ratio R of the optical intensity of the second diffracted luminous fluxes to that of the first diffracted luminous fluxes.

[0232] FIG. 18 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence  $F_{OKmt}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes that is set in the first embodiment. In FIG. 18, the horizontal axis shows the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes, and the vertical axis represents the fluence F of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. In FIG. 18 and FIGS. 25, 34, 40, 41, and 48, the latter five of which will be described later, vertical arrows indicate the range of a value, black arrows each indicate that the value indicated by the tip of the arrow falls within the range, and white arrows each indicate that the value indicated by the tip of the arrow does not fall within the range.

##### 2.1.2.1 Lower Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OKmt}$

[0233] The target fluence  $F_{OKmt}$  is set at a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . As a result, the fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

##### 2.1.2.2 Upper Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OKmt}$

[0234] It is assumed that the optical intensity ratio R is a value as a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first

diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB, as expressed by the following expression:

$$R = I_{NG}/I_{OK}$$

[0235] The optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes and the optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes are measured, for example, by using a measurement system that will be described with reference to FIG. 26. The optical intensities may instead be determined by a simulation of diffracted luminous fluxes based on design data on the diffractive optical element 63. When the optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes varies, the average of the varying optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  is used to calculate the optical intensity ratio R. When the optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes varies, the average of the varying optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  is used to calculate the optical intensity ratio R.

[0236] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  is set at a value smaller than or equal to a value  $Fth/R$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $Fth$  by the optical intensity ratio R. Setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $Fth/R$  causes the fluence  $F_{NG}^{m}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ . Setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  at a value greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$  causes the fluence  $F_{NG}^{m}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes to be a value greater than the value  $Fth \cdot R$ .

#### 2.1.2.3 Range of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{mt}$

[0237] FIG. 18 and the description thereof show that setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  at a value that falls within the range shown below causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{m}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes to be a value greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ , and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{m}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ .

$$Fth < F_{OK}^{mt} \leq Fth/R$$

### 2.2 Configuration of Laser Processing System

[0238] The configuration of the laser processing system according to the first embodiment is the same as the configuration in Comparative Example described with reference to FIG. 1.

### 2.3 Processes Carried Out by Laser Processing Processor 53

[0239] FIG. 19 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the first embodiment. Out of the laser processing processes in the first embodiment, the processes in S300a, S400a, S500a and S600a differ from the corresponding processes in Comparative Example. The different processes will be described below in detail with reference to FIGS. 20 to 23.

#### 2.3.1 Read Diffractive Optical Element Information

[0240] FIG. 20 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element 63 in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 20 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S300a in FIG. 19.

[0241] In S301, the laser processing processor 53 reads the transmittance  $T_{DOE}$  of the diffractive optical element 63. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0242] In S302a, the laser processing processor 53 reads the value of the optical intensity ratio R. The optical intensity ratio R is used in S401a, which will be described with reference to FIG. 21.

[0243] After S302a, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 19.

#### 2.3.2 Determine Target Fluence

[0244] FIG. 21 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  at a processing point in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 21 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S400a in FIG. 19.

[0245] In S401a, the laser processing processor 53 calculates a fluence  $F_{OK}^{mth}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{m}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , using the following expression:

$$F_{OK}^{mth} = Fth/R$$

[0246] In S410a, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  that satisfies the following expression:

$$Fth < F_{OK}^{mt} \leq Fth/R$$

[0247] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  may be set at  $Fth/R$ , which is the upper limit. This can maximize the efficiency of the laser processing while suppressing the processing performed at the non-processing points that require no processing. The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  may instead be set at the average of  $Fth$  and  $Fth/R$ . In this case, even when the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out unexpectedly varies, a situation in which the processing is performed at the non-processing points or a situation in which sufficient processing is not performed at the processing points that require the processing can be avoided.

[0248] After S410a, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 19.

#### 2.3.3 Determine Number of Radiated Pulses Nm and Repetition Frequency f

[0249] FIG. 22 is a flowchart showing details of the process of determining the number of radiated pulses Nm and the repetition frequency f in the single laser processing. The processes shown in FIG. 22 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S500a in FIG. 19.

[0250] In S501a, the laser processing processor 53 determines the number of radiated pulses Nm based on the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  and the thickness t.

[0251] In S502, the laser processing processor 53 determines the repetition frequency f suitable for the processing. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0252] After S502, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 19.

#### 2.3.4 Adjust Laser Processing System

[0253] FIG. 23 is a flowchart showing details of the process of adjusting the laser processing system based on the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$ . The processes shown in FIG. 23 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S600a in FIG. 19.

[0254] The processes shown in FIG. 23 differ from those in Comparative Example shown in FIG. 13 in terms of expression for setting the transmittance  $T_a$  of the attenuator 52 in S603a. The transmittance  $T_a$  of the attenuator 52 is calculated by the following expression, and the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  is used in place of the target fluence  $F_{mt}$ .

$$T_a = F_{OK}^{mt} \cdot P \cdot S / (E_t \cdot T_0 \cdot T_{DOE})$$

[0255] In the first embodiment, to calculate the transmittance  $T_a$  of the attenuator 52, it is assumed that the sum of the pulse energy values of the second diffracted luminous fluxes out of the pulse laser light Out incident on the diffractive optical element 63 be sufficiently smaller than the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out.

[0256] The processes shown in FIG. 23 are otherwise the same as the processes shown in FIG. 13.

## 2.4 Effects

[0257] (1) According to the first embodiment, the laser processing system includes the laser apparatus 1, the diffractive optical element 63, the focusing optical system 67, the adjustment mechanism, such as the attenuator 52, and the laser processing processor 53. The laser apparatus 1 outputs the pulse laser light Out. The diffractive optical element 63 divides the pulse laser light Out into luminous fluxes containing the first diffracted luminous fluxes, which are multiple diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple processing points on the workpiece SUB, and the second diffracted luminous fluxes, which are multiple diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple non-processing points. The focusing optical system 67 focuses each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece SUB. The adjustment mechanism is configured to be capable of adjusting the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out to be incident on the diffractive optical element 63. The laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the conditions described below are satisfied based on parameters including the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of the fluence for processing the workpiece SUB. (a) The fluence  $F_{OK}^m$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The fluence  $F_{NG}^m$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

[0258] When the conditions described above are satisfied, the fluence  $F_{OK}^m$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the processing points is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and the fluence  $F_{NG}^m$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the non-processing points is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , so that required processing can be performed with unnecessary processing suppressed.

[0259] (2) According to the first embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OK}^m$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{th}/R$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece

SUB by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB.

[0260] With the conditions described above satisfied, when the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is set, the optical intensity ratio  $R$  can be used to cause not only the fluence  $F_{OK}^m$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes but also the fluence  $F_{NG}^m$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes to each fall within a desired range.

[0261] The first embodiment is otherwise the same as Comparative Example.

## 3. Laser Processing System in Consideration of Variation in Fluence Between First Diffracted Luminous Fluxes and Between Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes

### 3.1 Concept

#### 3.1.1 Fluences of First and Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes

[0262] FIG. 24 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a second embodiment. In the second embodiment, variation in fluence between the first diffracted luminous fluxes focused at the processing points and variation in fluence between the second diffracted luminous fluxes focused at the non-processing points are taken into consideration. The diffracted luminous flux having the maximum fluence out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is called a first maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the fluence of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is called  $F_{OK}^{max}$ . The diffracted luminous flux having the minimum fluence out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is called a first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the fluence of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is called  $F_{OK}^{min}$ . The diffracted luminous flux having the maximum fluence out of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is called a second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the fluence of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is called  $F_{NG}^{max}$ . The diffracted luminous flux having the minimum fluence out of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is called a second minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the fluence of the second minimum diffracted luminous flux is called  $F_{NG}^{min}$ .

[0263] In FIG. 24, the horizontal axis shows the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. In the second embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 in such a way that the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

#### 3.1.2 Set Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{mt}$

[0264] In the second embodiment, a target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mt}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is set as shown below. When the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is controlled to be the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{mint}$ , the fluence of each of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the second maximum diffracted

luminous flux, and the second minimum diffracted luminous flux is a value determined in accordance with the optical intensity ratio of the diffracted luminous flux to the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

[0265] FIG. 25 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the second embodiment. In FIG. 25, the horizontal axis shows the first minimum diffracted luminous flux and the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB.

### 3.1.2.1 Lower Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

[0266] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . As a result, the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . The fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which are all greater than or equal to the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, are also greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

### 3.1.2.2 Upper Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

[0267] An optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  is assumed to be a value as a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux at the surface of the workpiece SUB by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux at the surface of the workpiece SUB, as expressed by the following expression:

$$R_{N/O} = I_{NG}^{max}/I_{OK}^{min}$$

[0268] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value smaller than or equal to a value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$  as a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ . Setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$  causes the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . The fluences of the second diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{NG}^{min}$  of the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, which are all smaller than or equal to the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, are also smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . It is noted that setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  causes the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than a value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ .

### 3.1.2.3 Range of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

[0269] FIG. 25 and the description thereof show that setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value that falls within the range shown below causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

$$F_{th} < F_{OK}^{min} \leq F_{th}/R_{N/O}$$

## 3.2 Configuration of Laser Processing System

[0270] The configuration of the laser processing system according to the second embodiment is the same as the configuration in Comparative Example described with reference to FIG. 1.

## 3.3 Measurement of Diffractive Optical Element Information

[0271] FIG. 26 schematically shows the configuration of a measurement system that measures information on the diffractive optical element 63 in the second embodiment. In the optical system including the diffractive optical element 63 and the focusing optical system 67 shown in FIG. 1, an image sensor 81 is disposed at a position separate from the focusing optical system 67 by the focal length  $f_{67}$  in place of the workpiece SUB. The measurement system may be provided separately from the laser processing apparatus 5, or may be provided inside the laser processing apparatus 5.

[0272] The image sensor 81 is connected to an optical intensity measuring processor 83, and the optical intensity measuring processor 83 is connected to the data managing server 93. The optical intensity measuring processor 83 is a processing apparatus including a memory 83a, which stores a control program, and a CPU 83b, which executes the control program. The optical intensity measuring processor 83 is specially configured or programmed to carry out various processes described in the present disclosure.

[0273] The pulse laser light Out incident on the diffractive optical element 63 has the same wavelength  $\lambda$  as the pulse laser light Out output from the laser apparatus 1. The image sensor 81 outputs image data containing the optical intensity at each position on the light receiving surface of the image sensor 81 or a time integral value of the optical intensity. The optical intensity measuring processor 83 measures the optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes based on the image data output from the image sensor 81.

[0274] The optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes are not limited to the actually measured values measured by using the measurement system shown in FIG. 26, and may instead be determined by a simulation of the diffracted luminous fluxes based on the design data on the diffractive optical element 63.

[0275] FIG. 27 is a flowchart showing the process of measuring optical intensities in the second embodiment. The processes shown below allow the optical intensity measuring processor 83 to measure the optical intensities of the diffracted luminous fluxes to acquire information on the diffractive optical element 63.

[0276] In S901, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 measures the optical intensities  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes. Let  $J_{max}$  be the number of the second diffracted luminous fluxes, and the optical intensities  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes can be expressed in the form of the following data sequence:

$$[0277] I_{NG}(1), I_{NG}(2), \dots, I_{NG}(J_{max})$$

[0278] In S902, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 calculates the following values:

$$[0279] \text{Optical intensity } I_{NG}^{max} \text{ of second maximum diffracted luminous flux}$$

$$[0280] \text{Sum } I_{NG} \text{ sum of optical intensities } I_{NG} \text{ of second diffracted luminous fluxes}$$

[0281] The optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is the maximum of the optical intensities  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes. The sum  $I_{NG\sum}$  of the optical intensities  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is a value calculated by  $I_{NG}(1)+I_{NG}(2)+\dots+I_{NG}(J\max)$ .

[0282] In S903, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 measures the optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes. Let  $K\max$  be the number of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, and the optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes can be expressed in the form of the following data sequence:

[0283]  $I_{OK}(1), I_{OK}(2), \dots, I_{OK}(K\max)$

[0284] In S904, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 calculates the following values:

[0285] Optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0286] Sum  $I_{OK\sum}$  of optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of first diffracted luminous fluxes

[0287] The optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is the minimum of the optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes. The sum  $I_{OK\sum}$  of the optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is a value calculated by  $I_{OK}(1)+I_{OK}(2)+\dots+I_{OK}(K\max)$ .

[0288] In S905, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 calculates the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  of the optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to the optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux using the following expression:

$$R_{N/O} = I_{NG\max}/I_{OK\min}$$

[0289] In S907, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 calculates a sum  $I_{sum}$  of the optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes using the following expression:

$$I_{sum} = I_{OK\sum} + I_{NG\sum}$$

[0290] In S908, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 saves the following calculated values in the data managing server 93:

[0291] Sum  $I_{sum}$  of optical intensities of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes

[0292] Optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0293] Optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  of optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of second maximum diffracted luminous flux to optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

### 3.4 Processes Carried Out by Laser Processing Processor 53

[0294] FIG. 28 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the second embodiment. Out of the laser processing processes in the second embodiment, the processes in S300b, S400b, S500b, and S600b differ from the corresponding processes in the first embodiment. The different processes will be described below in detail with reference to FIGS. 29 to 32.

#### 3.4.1 Read Diffractive Optical Element Information

[0295] FIG. 29 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element 63 in

detail. The processes shown in FIG. 29 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S300b in FIG. 28.

[0296] In S301, the laser processing processor 53 reads the transmittance  $T_{DOE}$  of the diffractive optical element 63. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0297] In S302b, the laser processing processor 53 reads the following information calculated in FIG. 27:

[0298] Sum  $I_{sum}$  of optical intensities of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes

[0299] Optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0300] Optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  of optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of second maximum diffracted luminous flux to optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0301] The sum  $I_{sum}$  of the optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes and the optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux are used in S603b, which will be described with reference to FIG. 32. The optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  is used in S401b, which will be described with reference to FIG. 30.

[0302] After S302b, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 28.

#### 3.4.2 Determine Target Fluence

[0303] FIG. 30 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 30 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S400b in FIG. 28.

[0304] In S401b, the laser processing processor 53 calculates a fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , using the following expression:

$$F_{OK\min} = F_{th}/R_{N/O}$$

[0305] In S410b, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  that satisfies the following expression:

$$F_{th} < F_{OK\min} \leq F_{th}/R_{N/O}$$

[0306] The target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  may be set at  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is the upper limit. This can maximize the efficiency of the laser processing while suppressing the processing performed at the non-processing points that require no processing. The target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  may instead be set at the average of  $F_{th}$  and  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ . In this case, even when the pulse energy of the pulse laser light  $Out$  unexpectedly varies, a situation in which the processing is performed at the non-processing points or a situation in which sufficient processing is not performed at the processing points can be avoided.

[0307] After S410b, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 28.

#### 3.4.3 Determine Number of Radiated Pulses $N_m$ and Repetition Frequency $f$

[0308] FIG. 31 is a flowchart showing details of the process of determining the number of radiated pulses  $N_m$  and the repetition frequency  $f$  in the single laser processing.

The processes shown in FIG. 31 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S500b in FIG. 28.

[0309] In S501b, the laser processing processor 53 determines the number of radiated pulses Nm based on the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux and the thickness t. The first minimum diffracted luminous flux and the first maximum diffracted luminous flux differ in fluence from each other, and therefore give different processing speeds. Determining the number of radiated pulses Nm based on the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which performs the laser processing at the slowest processing speed, holes having a sufficient depth can be formed at all the processing points. For example, when the depth of the hole formed at the processing point on which the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is incident is equal to the thickness t, through holes can be formed at all the processing points.

[0310] In S502, the laser processing processor 53 determines the repetition frequency f suitable for the processing. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0311] After S502, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 28.

#### 3.4.4 Adjust Laser Processing System

[0312] FIG. 32 is a flowchart showing details of the process of adjusting the laser processing system based on the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$ . The processes shown in FIG. 32 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S600b in FIG. 28.

[0313] The processes shown in FIG. 32 differ from those in the first embodiment shown in FIG. 23 in terms of expression for setting the transmittance Ta of the attenuator 52 in S603b. The energy per pulse of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is expressed by the following equality:

$$(I_{OK}^{min}/I_{sum}) \cdot Et \cdot Ta \cdot T_0 \cdot T_{DOE} = F_{OK}^{min} \cdot S$$

[0314] The transmittance Ta of the attenuator 52 is therefore calculated by the following expression:

$$Ta = F_{OK}^{min} \cdot S \cdot (I_{sum}/I_{OK}^{min}) / (Et \cdot T_0 \cdot T_{DOE})$$

[0315] The processes shown in FIG. 32 are otherwise the same as the processes shown in FIG. 23.

#### 3.5 Effects

[0316] (3) According to the second embodiment, the first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}^m$ , and the second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}^m$ . The laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which is the minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}^m$ , out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold Fth. (b) The fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is the maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}^m$ , out of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth.

[0317] Under the conditions described above, even when the plural diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence, satisfying the condition on the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux allows the fluences  $F_{OK}^m$  of the first luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points to be greater than the processing threshold

Fth. Furthermore, satisfying the condition on the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux allows the fluences  $F_{NG}^m$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple non-processing points to be smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth. Necessary processing can therefore be performed with unnecessary processing suppressed.

[0318] (4) According to the second embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is greater than the processing threshold Fth. (b) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $Fth/R_{NO}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold Fth by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{NO}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

[0319] With the two conditions satisfied, even when the multiple diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence, setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux allows the fluences  $F_{OK}^m$  of the first luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points to fall within a desired range. Furthermore, when the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is set, the optical intensity ratio  $R_{NO}$  can be used to cause the fluences  $F_{NG}^m$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple non-processing points to fall within a desired range.

[0320] (5) According to the second embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 calculates parameters such as the transmittance Ta of the attenuator 52, which adjusts the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out to be incident on the diffractive optical element 63, and controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52. The parameters are calculated based on the ratio between the sum Isum of the optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes and the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$ .

[0321] In the thus configured second embodiment, even when the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence, or when part of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out is discarded as the energy of the second diffracted luminous fluxes, the ratio of the sum Isum of the optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes and the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux can be used to set the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

[0322] The second embodiment is otherwise the same as the first embodiment.

#### 4. Laser Processing System in Consideration of Variation in Pulse Energy of Pulse Laser Light Output from Laser Apparatus

##### 4.1 Concept

###### 4.1.1 Fluences of First and Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes

[0323] FIG. 33 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a variation of the second embodiment. In the variation of the second embodiment, variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out output from the laser

apparatus 1 is taken into account. When the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out varies, the fluences of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the second minimum diffracted luminous flux all vary based on the same pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ . The pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is calculated from the standard deviation and the average of the pulse energy values of the multiple pulses contained in the pulse laser light Out. For example, the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is a value as a result of division of the standard deviation multiplied by one, two, or three by the average.

[0324] In FIG. 33, the horizontal axis shows the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence F of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. The fluence F of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  as described below.

[0325] The range over which the fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is called a first variation range. The first variation range can be expressed by the following expression using the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux:

$$F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E) \leq F_{OK\min} \leq F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$$

[0326] The range over which the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is called a second variation range. The second variation range can be expressed by the following expression using a target fluence  $F_{NG\text{maxt}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux:

$$F_{NG\text{maxt}} \cdot (1-\delta E) \leq F_{NG\max} \leq F_{NG\text{maxt}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$$

[0327] The target fluence  $F_{NG\text{maxt}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is obtained by multiplying the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ . The target fluence  $F_{NG\text{maxt}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is a name for convenience to compare with the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the value of the target fluence  $F_{NG\text{maxt}}$  may not be used as the fluence target value.

[0328] The fluence  $F_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux and the fluence  $F_{NG\min}$  of the second minimum diffracted luminous flux similarly vary based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ .

[0329] In the variation of the second embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 in such a way that the minimum value  $F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold Fth and the maximum value  $F_{NG\text{maxt}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth.

#### 4.1.2 Set Target Fluence $F_{OK\text{mint}}$

[0330] FIG. 34 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted

luminous flux that is set in the variation of the second embodiment. In FIG. 34, the horizontal axis shows the first minimum diffracted luminous flux and the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence F of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB.

##### 4.1.2.1 Lower Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OK\text{mint}}$

[0331] The target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  is set at a value that causes the minimum value  $F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold Fth. The following relationship is therefore given:

$$Fth < F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$$

[0332] The following relationship indicating the lower limit of the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  is derived from the above inequality.

$$Fth/(1-\delta E) < F_{OK\text{mint}}$$

[0333] The left-hand side of the above expression,  $Fth/(1-\delta E)$ , corresponds to a value as a result of addition of  $Fth \cdot \delta E/(1-\delta E)$  to the processing threshold Fth, as expressed by the following expression:

$$Fth/(1-\delta E) = Fth + Fth \cdot \delta E/(1-\delta E)$$

[0334]  $Fth \cdot \delta E/(1-\delta E)$  corresponds to the first variation range in the present disclosure.

[0335] Setting the lower limit of the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux as described above causes the minimum value  $F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold Fth. The fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which are all greater than or equal to the minimum value  $F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, are also greater than the processing threshold Fth.

##### 4.1.2.2 Upper Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OK\text{mint}}$

[0336] The target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  is set at a value that causes the maximum value  $F_{NG\text{maxt}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth. The following relationship is therefore given:

$$F_{NG\text{maxt}} \cdot (1+\delta E) \leq Fth$$

[0337] The target fluence  $F_{NG\text{maxt}}$  is obtained by multiplying the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ . The following relationship indicating the upper limit of the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  is then derived from the above inequality.

$$F_{OK\text{mint}} \leq (Fth/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$$

[0338] The right-hand side of the above expression,  $(Fth/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , corresponds to a value as a result of subtraction of  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot \delta E/(1+\delta E)$  from the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$  as a

result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , as expressed by the following expression:

$$(F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E) = F_{th}/R_{N/O} - (F_{th}/R_{N/O}) \cdot \delta E/(1+\delta E)$$

[0339]  $(F_{th}/R_{N/O}) \cdot \delta E/(1+\delta E)$  corresponds to the second variation range in the present disclosure.

[0340] Setting the upper limit of the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux as described above causes the maximum value  $F_{NG\text{max}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG\text{max}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . The fluences of the second diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{NG\text{min}}$  of the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, which are all smaller than or equal to the maximum value  $F_{NG\text{max}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG\text{max}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, are also smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . It is noted that when the minimum value  $F_{OK\text{mint}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK\text{min}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is set at a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , setting the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  at a value greater than  $F_{th}/(1-\delta E)$  causes the minimum value  $F_{NG\text{max}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG\text{max}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the value  $F_{th} \cdot R_{N/O}$ .

#### 4.1.2.3 Range of Target Fluence $F_{OK\text{mint}}$

[0341] FIG. 34 and the description thereof show that setting the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  at a value that falls within the range shown below causes the entire first variation range of the fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes to be a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and the entire second variation range of the fluences of the second diffracted luminous fluxes to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

$$F_{th}/(1-\delta E) < F_{OK\text{min}} \leq (F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$$

#### 4.2 Configuration of Laser Processing System

[0342] The configuration of the laser processing system according to the variation of the second embodiment is the same as the configuration in Comparative Example described with reference to FIG. 1.

#### 4.3 Processes Carried Out by Laser Processing Processor 53

[0343] FIG. 35 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the variation of the second embodiment. Out of the laser processing processes in the variation of the second embodiment, the processes in S100c and S400c differ from the corresponding processes in the second embodiment. The different processes will be described below in detail with reference to FIGS. 36 and 37.

##### 4.3.1 Read Laser Processing System Information

[0344] FIG. 36 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the laser processing system in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 36 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S100c in FIG. 35.

[0345] In S101 to S103, the laser processing processor 53 reads the transmittance TO, the number of holes P, and the irradiated area S. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0346] In S104c, the laser processing processor 53 reads the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ . The pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is used in S410c, which will be described with reference to FIG. 37.

[0347] After S104c, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 35.

##### 4.3.2 Determine Target Fluence

[0348] FIG. 37 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 37 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S400c in FIG. 35.

[0349] In S401b, the laser processing processor 53 calculates the fluence  $F_{OK\text{min}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG\text{max}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . This point is the same as that in the second embodiment.

[0350] In S410c, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  that satisfies the following expression:

$$F_{th}/(1-\delta E) < F_{OK\text{min}} \leq (F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$$

[0351] The target fluence  $F_{OK\text{min}}$  may be set at  $(F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , which is the upper limit. This can maximize the efficiency of the laser processing while suppressing the processing performed at the non-processing points that require no processing. The target fluence  $F_{OK\text{min}}$  may instead be set at the average of  $F_{th}/(1-\delta E)$  and  $(F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$ . In this case, even when the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out unexpectedly varies, a situation in which the processing is performed at the non-processing points or a situation in which sufficient processing is not performed at the processing points that require the processing can be avoided.

[0352] After S410c, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 35.

#### 4.4 Effects

[0353] (6) According to the variation of the second embodiment, the first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK\text{m}}$ , and the second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG\text{m}}$ . The laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The minimum value  $F_{OK\text{min}} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK\text{min}}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux having the minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK\text{m}}$  out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, the first variation range derived based on the variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out incident on the diffractive optical element 63, is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The maximum value  $F_{NG\text{max}} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG\text{max}}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux having the maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG\text{m}}$  out of the second diffracted luminous fluxes, the

second variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

[0354] With the conditions described above satisfied, the entire variation range of the fluences  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points can be greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  not only when the multiple diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence but also when the pulse laser light  $Out$  contains the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ . In addition, the entire variation range of the fluences  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple non-processing points can be smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . Necessary processing can therefore be performed with unnecessary processing suppressed.

[0355] (7) According to the variation of the second embodiment, the laser processing processor **53** controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator **52** by setting the target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OKmin}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$  is greater than the value  $F_{th}/(1-\delta E)$  as a result of addition of the first variation range  $F_{th} \cdot 6E/(1-\delta E)$ , which indicates the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $(F_{th}/R_{NO})/(1+\delta E)$ , which is a result of subtraction of the second variation range  $(F_{th}/R_{NO}) \cdot \delta E/(1+\delta E)$  indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from the value  $F_{th}/R_{NO}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{NO}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NGmax}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OKmin}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

[0356] With the conditions described above satisfied, the entire variation range of the fluences  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points are allowed to fall within a desired range not only when the multiple diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence but also when the pulse laser light  $Out$  contains the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ . Furthermore, when the target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is set, the optical intensity ratio  $R_{NO}$  can be used to cause the entire variation range of the fluences  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple non-processing points to fall within a desired range.

[0357] The variation of the second embodiment is otherwise the same as the second embodiment.

## 5. Laser Processing System in Consideration of Fluence Upper Limit

### 5.1 Concept

#### 5.1.1 Fluences of First and Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes

[0358] FIG. 38 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a third embodiment. In the third embodiment, consideration is given to an upper limit of the fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes focused at the processing points. For example, when the fluence is too high, the workpiece SUB may unintendedly crack.

[0359] In FIG. 38, the horizontal axis shows the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, the second maximum diffracted lumi-

nous flux, and the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. The laser processing processor **53** controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator **52** in such a way that the fluence  $F_{OKmin}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  and the fluence  $F_{NGmax}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , as in the second embodiment. Furthermore, in the third embodiment, the laser processing processor **53** controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator **52** in such a way that the fluence  $F_{OKmax}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to a fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ . That is, the adjustment mechanism is so controlled that the following three conditions are satisfied at the same time.

$$F_{OKmin} > F_{th}$$

$$F_{NGmax} \leq F_{th}$$

$$F_{OKmax} \leq F_{cr}$$

[0360] FIG. 39 is a graph showing an example of the definition of the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ . In FIG. 39, the horizontal axis represents the fluence of the diffracted luminous fluxes. Multiple workpieces SUB are irradiated with the diffracted luminous fluxes having different fluences, and whether the workpieces SUB crack is generated. The fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is the fluence of the diffracted luminous flux having the minimum fluence out of the crack generating diffracted luminous fluxes. The workpiece SUB irradiated with the diffracted luminous fluxes having a fluence smaller than the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is unlikely to crack, whereas the workpiece SUB irradiated with the diffracted luminous fluxes having a fluence greater than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is likely to crack.

### 5.1.2 Set Target Fluence $F_{OKmint}$

[0361] FIGS. 40 and 41 are bar graphs showing examples of the target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the third embodiment. In FIGS. 40 and 41, the horizontal axis shows the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB.

#### 5.1.2.1 Lower Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OKmint}$

[0362] The target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$  is set at a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , as in the second embodiment. As a result, the fluence  $F_{OKmin}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . The fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{OKmax}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which are all greater than or equal to the fluence  $F_{OKmin}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, are also greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

#### 5.1.2.2 Upper Limit (1) of Target Fluence $F_{OKmint}$

[0363] The target fluence  $F_{OKmint}$  is set at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{th}/R_{NO}$  as a result of division of

the processing threshold Fth by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , as in the second embodiment. Setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$  causes the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth. The fluences of the second diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{NG}^{min}$  of the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, which are all smaller than or equal to the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, are also smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth. It is noted that setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value greater than the processing threshold Fth causes the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than a value  $Fth R_{N/O}$ .

#### 5.1.2.3 Upper Limit (2) of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

**[0364]** An optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  is assumed to be a value as a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux at the surface of the workpiece SUB by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux at the surface of the workpiece SUB, as expressed by the following expression:

$$R_{O/O} = I_{OK}^{max}/I_{OK}^{min}$$

**[0365]** A fluence  $F_{OK}^{mincr}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is provided when the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the fluence upper limit Fcr is given by the following expression:

$$F_{OK}^{mincr} = Fcr/R_{O/O}$$

**[0366]** The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  as a result of division of the fluence upper limit Fcr by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ . Setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit Fcr. The fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which are all smaller than or equal to the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, are also smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit Fcr.

#### 5.1.2.4 Range of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

**[0367]** In the third embodiment, the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  has two upper limits, as described above. That is, the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$  and smaller than or equal to the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$ .

**[0368]** When the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  is greater than the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , that is, when the fluence upper limit Fcr is greater than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , making the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  smaller than or equal to the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$  eliminates the need for consideration of the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  (see FIG. 40).

**[0369]** In this case, setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value that falls within the range shown below causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold Fth, the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit Fcr, and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second

maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth, as in the second embodiment.

$$Fth < F_{OK}^{min} \leq Fth/R_{N/O}$$

**[0370]** In other words, when the fluence upper limit Fcr is greater than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , the following two conditions only need to be satisfied at the same time.

$$F_{OK}^{min} > Fth$$

$$F_{NG}^{max} \leq Fth$$

**[0371]** When the value Fcr/ $R_{O/O}$  is smaller than the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , that is, when the fluence upper limit Fcr is smaller than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , making the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  smaller than or equal to the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  eliminates the need for consideration of the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$  (see FIG. 41). The value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$  corresponds to a fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth.

**[0372]** In this case, setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value that falls within the range shown below causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold Fth, the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit Fcr, and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth.

$$Fth < F_{OK}^{min} \leq Fcr/R_{O/O}$$

**[0373]** In other words, when the fluence upper limit Fcr is smaller than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , the following two conditions only need to be satisfied at the same time.

$$F_{OK}^{min} > Fth$$

$$F_{OK}^{max} \leq Fcr$$

## 5.2 Configuration of Laser Processing System

**[0374]** The configuration of the laser processing system according to the third embodiment is the same as the configuration in Comparative Example described with reference to FIG. 1.

## 5.3 Measure Diffractive Optical Element Information

**[0375]** FIG. 42 is a flowchart showing the process of measuring the optical intensities in the third embodiment. The configuration of the measurement system that measures the information on the diffractive optical element 63 in the third embodiment is the same as that in the second embodiment described with reference to FIG. 26. Out of the processes shown in FIG. 42, the processes in S904d and S908d differ from corresponding processes in the second embodiment, and the process in S906d is added.

**[0376]** In S904d, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 calculates the following values:

**[0377]** Optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{max}$  of first maximum diffracted luminous flux

**[0378]** Optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

**[0379]** Sum  $I_{OK}^{sum}$  of optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of first diffracted luminous fluxes

[0380] The optical intensity  $I_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is the maximum of the optical intensities  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes.

[0381] In S906d after S905 but before S907, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 calculates the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  of the optical intensity  $I_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to the optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux using the following expression:

$$R_{O/O} = I_{OK\max}/I_{OK\min}$$

[0382] In S908d, the optical intensity measuring processor 83 saves the calculated values below in the data managing server 93.

[0383] Sum Isum of optical intensities of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes

[0384] Optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0385] Optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  of optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of second maximum diffracted luminous flux to optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0386] Optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  of optical intensity  $I_{OK\max}$  of first maximum diffracted luminous flux to optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

#### 5.4 Processes Carried Out by Laser Processing Processor 53

[0387] FIG. 43 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the third embodiment. Out of the laser processing processes in the third embodiment, the processes in S200d, S300d, and S400d differ from the corresponding processes in the second embodiment. The different processes will be described below in detail with reference to FIGS. 44 to 46.

##### 5.4.1 Read Workpiece Information

[0388] FIG. 44 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the workpiece SUB in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 44 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S200d in FIG. 43.

[0389] In S201 and S202, the laser processing processor 53 reads the processing threshold Fth and the thickness t. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0390] In S203d, the laser processing processor 53 reads the fluence upper limit Fcr. The fluence upper limit Fcr is used in S405d and S412d, which will be described with reference to FIG. 46.

[0391] After S203d, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 43.

##### 5.4.2 Read Diffractive Optical Element Information

[0392] FIG. 45 is a flowchart showing the process of reading information on the diffractive optical element 63 in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 45 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S300d in FIG. 43.

[0393] In S301, the laser processing processor 53 reads the transmittance  $T_{DOE}$  of the diffractive optical element 63. This point is the same as that in Comparative Example.

[0394] In S302d, the laser processing processor 53 reads the following information calculated in FIG. 42:

[0395] Sum Isum of optical intensities of first and second diffracted luminous fluxes

[0396] Optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0397] Optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$  of optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of second maximum diffracted luminous flux to optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0398] Optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  of optical intensity  $I_{OK\max}$  of first maximum diffracted luminous flux to optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of first minimum diffracted luminous flux

[0399] The optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  is used in S403d and S412d described with reference to FIG. 46.

[0400] After S302d, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 43.

##### 5.4.3 Determine Target Fluence

[0401] FIG. 46 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 46 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S400d in FIG. 43.

[0402] In S401b, the laser processing processor 53 calculates the fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth. This point is the same as that in the second embodiment described with reference to FIG. 30.

[0403] In S403d, the laser processing processor 53 calculates the fluence  $F_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth using the following expression:

$$F_{OK\max} = F_{OK\min} \cdot R_{O/O}$$

$$= (Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$$

[0404] In S405d, the laser processing processor 53 compares the fluence  $F_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth, with the fluence upper limit Fcr. When the fluence  $F_{OK\max}$  is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit Fcr ( $F_{OK\max} \leq Fcr$ ), the laser processing processor 53 proceeds to the process in S410b. When the fluence  $F_{OK\max}$  is greater than the fluence upper limit Fcr ( $F_{OK\max} > Fcr$ ), the laser processing processor 53 proceeds to the process in S412d.

[0405] In S410b, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  that satisfies the following expression (see FIG. 40), as in the second embodiment:

$$Fth < F_{OK\min} \leq Fth / R_{N/O}$$

[0406] In S412d, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  that satisfies the following expression (see FIG. 41):

$$Fth < F_{OK\min} \leq Fcr / R_{O/O}$$

[0407] The target fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  may be set at  $Fcr / R_{O/O}$ , which is the upper limit. This can maximize the efficiency of

the laser processing while suppressing the processing performed at the non-processing points that require no processing. The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  may instead be set at the average of  $F_{th}$  and  $F_{cr}/R_{O/O}$ . In this case, even when the pulse energy of the pulse laser light  $I_{out}$  unexpectedly varies, a situation in which the processing is performed at the non-processing points or a situation in which sufficient processing is not performed at the processing points that require the processing can be avoided.

[0408] After S410b and S412d, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 43.

### 5.5 Effects

[0409] (8) According to the third embodiment, the first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{m}$ , and the second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}^{m}$ . The laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which is the minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}^{m}$ , out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is the maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}^{m}$ , out of the second diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (c) The fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is the maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK}^{m}$ , out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

[0410] Under the conditions described above, even when the plural diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence, satisfying the condition on the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux allows the fluences  $F_{OK}^{m}$  of the first luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ . Therefore, not only can necessary processing be performed with unnecessary processing suppressed but also breakage of the workpiece SUB or other problems can be suppressed.

[0411] (9) According to the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 compares the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , with the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

[0412] When the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

[0413] When the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the

following conditions are satisfied: (a) The fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (c) The fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

[0414] The adjustment mechanism can therefore be controlled with one of the conditions (b) and (c) omitted based on the result of the comparison between the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux and the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ , so that the control of the adjustment mechanism can be simplified.

[0415] (10) According to the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux. (c) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{cr}/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

[0416] Therefore, even when the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence, the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  can be used, when the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is set, to cause the fluences  $F_{OK}^{m}$  of the first luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points to fall within a desired range, so that breakage of the workpiece SUB or other problems can be suppressed.

[0417] (11) According to the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 compares the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , with the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

[0418] When the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ .

[0419] When the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  as follows: (a) The

target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (c) The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $F_{cr}/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ .

[0420] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  can therefore be set with one of the conditions (b) and (c) omitted based on the result of the comparison between the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux and the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ , so that the control of the adjustment mechanism can be simplified.

[0421] The third embodiment is otherwise the same as the second embodiment.

## 6. Laser Processing System in Consideration of Fluence Upper Limit and Variation of Pulse Energy of Pulse Laser Light Output from Laser Apparatus

### 6.1 Concept

#### 6.1.1 Fluences of First and Second Diffracted Luminous Fluxes

[0422] FIG. 47 is a bar graph showing an example of the result of control in a variation of the third embodiment. In the variation of the third embodiment, the variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light output from the laser apparatus 1 is taken into account.

[0423] In FIG. 47, the horizontal axis shows the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the second minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB. The fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  as described below.

[0424] The range over which the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is called a first variation range. The first variation range can be expressed by the following expression using the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux:

$$F_{OK}^{min \cdot (1-\delta E)} \leq F_{OK}^{min} \leq F_{OK}^{min \cdot (1+\delta E)}$$

[0425] The range over which the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is called a second variation range. The second variation range can be expressed by the following expression using a target fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux:

$$F_{NG}^{max \cdot (1-\delta E)} \leq F_{NG}^{max} \leq F_{NG}^{max \cdot (1+\delta E)}$$

[0426] The target fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is obtained by multiplying the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ .

[0427] The range over which the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  is called a third variation range. The third variation range can be expressed by the following expression using the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux:

$$F_{OK}^{max \cdot (1-\delta E)} \leq F_{OK}^{max} \leq F_{OK}^{max \cdot (1+\delta E)}$$

[0428] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is obtained by multiplying the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ .

[0429] The fluence  $F_{NG}^{min}$  of the second minimum diffracted luminous flux similarly varies based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ .

[0430] As in the variation of the second embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 in such a way that the minimum value  $F_{OK}^{min \cdot (1-\delta E)}$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  and the maximum value  $F_{NG}^{max \cdot (1+\delta E)}$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . Furthermore, in the variation of the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 in such a way that the maximum value  $F_{OK}^{max \cdot (1+\delta E)}$  in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

#### 6.1.2 Set Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

[0431] FIG. 48 is a bar graph showing an example of the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux that is set in the variation of the third embodiment. In FIG. 48, the horizontal axis shows the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, and the vertical axis represents the fluence  $F$  of each of the diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece SUB.

##### 6.1.2.1 Lower Limit of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

[0432] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value that causes the minimum value  $F_{OK}^{min \cdot (1-\delta E)}$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , as in the variation of the second embodiment. The following relationship is therefore derived:

$$F_{th}/(1-\delta E) < F_{OK}^{min}$$

[0433] The left-hand side of the above expression,  $F_{th}/(1-\delta E)$ , corresponds to a value as a result of addition of  $F_{th} \cdot \delta E/(1-\delta E)$  to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .  $F_{th} \cdot \delta E/(1-\delta E)$  corresponds to the first variation range in the present disclosure.

##### 6.1.2.2 Upper Limit (1) of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

[0434] The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value that causes the maximum value  $F_{NG}^{max \cdot (1+\delta E)}$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , as in the variation of the second embodiment. The following relationship is therefore derived:

$$F_{OK}^{min} \leq (F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$$

[0435] The right-hand side of the above expression,  $(F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , corresponds to a value as a result of subtraction

tion of  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot \delta E / (1 + \delta E)$  from the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$  as a result of division of the processing threshold  $Fth$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ .  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot \delta E / (1 + \delta E)$  corresponds to the second variation range in the present disclosure.

#### 6.1.2.3 Upper Limit (2) of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

**[0436]** The target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value that causes the maximum value  $F_{OK}^{max}$  ( $1 + \delta E$ ) in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ . The following relationship is therefore given:

$$F_{OK}^{max} \cdot (1 + \delta E) \leq Fcr$$

**[0437]**  $F_{OK}^{max}$  is a value obtained by multiplying the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ . The following relationship is therefore derived from the above inequality.

$$F_{OK}^{min} \leq (Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$$

**[0438]** The right-hand side of the above expression,  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$ , corresponds to a value as a result of subtraction of  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) \cdot \delta E / (1 + \delta E)$  from the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  as a result of division of the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , as expressed by the following expression:

$$(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E) = Fcr/R_{O/O} - (Fcr/R_{O/O}) \cdot \delta E / (1 + \delta E)$$

**[0439]**  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) \cdot \delta E / (1 + \delta E)$  corresponds to the third variation range in the present disclosure.

**[0440]** Setting the upper limit of the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux as described above causes the maximum value  $F_{OK}^{max}$  ( $1 + \delta E$ ) in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ . The fluences of the first diffracted luminous fluxes including the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, which are all smaller than or equal to the maximum value  $F_{OK}^{max}$  ( $1 + \delta E$ ) in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, are also smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ .

#### 6.1.2.4 Range of Target Fluence $F_{OK}^{min}$

**[0441]** In the variation of the third embodiment, the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  has two upper limits, as described above. That is, the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  is set at a value smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$  and smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$ .

**[0442]** When the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$  is greater than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$ , that is, when the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is greater than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , making the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$  eliminates the need for consideration of the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$ .

**[0443]** In this case, setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value that falls within the range expressed by the following expression causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ , the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than

or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ , and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ , as in the variation of the second embodiment:

$$Fth / (1 - \delta E) < F_{OK}^{min} \leq (Fth / R_{N/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$$

**[0444]** In other words, when the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is greater than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , the adjustment mechanism may be so controlled that the minimum value  $F_{OK}^{min} \cdot (1 - \delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$  and the maximum value  $F_{NG}^{max} \cdot (1 + \delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ .

**[0445]** When the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$  is smaller than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$ , that is, when the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is smaller than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , making the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$  eliminates the need for consideration of the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$  (see FIG. 48).

**[0446]** In this case, setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  at a value that falls within the range shown below causes the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux to be a value greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ , the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ , and the fluence  $F_{NG}^{max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux to be a value smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ .

$$Fth / (1 - \delta E) < F_{OK}^{min} \leq (Fcr / R_{O/O}) / (1 + \delta E)$$

**[0447]** In other words, when the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is smaller than the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O}) \cdot R_{O/O}$ , the adjustment mechanism may be so controlled that the minimum value  $F_{OK}^{min} \cdot (1 - \delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$  and the maximum value  $F_{OK}^{max} \cdot (1 + \delta E)$  in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}^{max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ .

#### 6.2 Configuration of Laser Processing System

**[0448]** The configuration of the laser processing system according to the variation of the third embodiment is the same as the configuration in Comparative Example described with reference to FIG. 1.

#### 6.3 Processes Carried Out by Laser Processing Processor 53

**[0449]** FIG. 49 is a flowchart showing laser processing processes in the variation of the third embodiment. Out of the laser processing processes in the variation of the third embodiment, the processes in S100c and S400e differ from the corresponding processes in the third embodiment.

**[0450]** The process in S100c is the same as that in the variation of the second embodiment described with reference to FIG. 36. The process in S400e will be described below in detail with reference to FIG. 50.

**[0451]** FIG. 50 is a flowchart showing the process of determining the target fluence  $F_{OK}^{min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in detail. The processes shown in FIG. 50 correspond to the subroutine labeled with S400e in FIG. 49.

**[0452]** The processes in steps from S401b to S405d are the same as those in the third embodiment. In S405d, when the fluence  $F_{OK,maxth}$  is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  ( $F_{OK,maxth} \leq F_{cr}$ ), the laser processing processor 53 proceeds to the process in S410c. When the fluence  $F_{OK,maxth}$  is greater than the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  ( $F_{OK,maxth} > F_{cr}$ ), the laser processing processor 53 proceeds to the process in S412e.

**[0453]** In S410c, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  that satisfies the following expression, as in the variation of the second embodiment:

$$F_{th}/(1-\delta E) < F_{OK,min} \leq (F_{cr}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$$

**[0454]** In S412e, the laser processing processor 53 determines the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  that satisfies the following expression (see FIG. 48):

$$F_{th}/(1-\delta E) < F_{OK,min} \leq (F_{cr}/R_{O/O})/(1+\delta E)$$

**[0455]** The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  may be set at  $(F_{cr}/R_{O/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , which is the upper limit. This can maximize the efficiency of the laser processing while suppressing the processing performed at the non-processing points that require no processing. The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  may instead be set at the average of  $F_{th}/(1-\delta E)$  and  $(F_{cr}/R_{O/O})/(1+\delta E)$ . In this case, even when the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out unexpectedly varies, a situation in which the processing is performed at the non-processing points or a situation in which sufficient processing is not performed at the processing points that require the processing can be avoided.

**[0456]** After S410c and S412e, the laser processing processor 53 terminates the processes in the present flowchart, and returns to the processes shown in FIG. 49.

#### 6.4 Effects

**[0457]** (12) According to the variation of the third embodiment, the first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK,m}$ , and the second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG,m}$ . The laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The minimum value  $F_{OK,mint} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux having the minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, the first variation range derived based on the variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light Out incident on the diffractive optical element 63, is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The maximum value  $F_{NG,maxt} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux having the maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG,m}$  out of the second diffracted luminous fluxes, the second variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (c) The maximum value  $F_{OK,maxt} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux having the maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  out of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, the third variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

**[0458]** With the conditions described above satisfied, the entire variation range of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple process-

ing points can be smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  not only when the multiple diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence but also when the pulse laser light Out contains the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ . Therefore, not only can necessary processing be performed with unnecessary processing suppressed but also breakage of the workpiece SUB or other problems can be suppressed.

**[0459]** (13) According to the variation of the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 compares the fluence  $F_{OK,maxth}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  with the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

**[0460]** When the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK,maxth}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The minimum value  $F_{OK,mint} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, the first variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The maximum value  $F_{NG,maxt} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, the second variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

**[0461]** When the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK,maxth}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied: (a) The minimum value  $F_{OK,mint} \cdot (1-\delta E)$  in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (c) The maximum value  $F_{OK,maxt} \cdot (1+\delta E)$  in the third variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the third variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ .

**[0462]** The adjustment mechanism can therefore be controlled with one of the conditions (b) and (c) omitted based on the result of the comparison between the fluence  $F_{OK,maxth}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux and the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$ , so that the control of the adjustment mechanism can be simplified.

**[0463]** (14) According to the variation of the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is greater than the value  $F_{th}/(1-\delta E)$  as a result of addition of the first variation range  $F_{th} \cdot \delta E/(1-\delta E)$ , which indicates the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ . (b) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $(F_{th}/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , which is a result of subtraction of the second variation range  $(F_{th}/R_{N/O}) \cdot \delta E/(1+\delta E)$  indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from the value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by the

optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux. (c) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , which is a result of subtraction of the third variation range  $(Fcr/R_{O/O})\delta E/(1+\delta E)$  indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

[0464] Therefore, even when not only the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of fluence but also the pulse laser light Out contains the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$  can be used, when the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is set, to cause the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  of the first luminous fluxes radiated to the multiple processing points to fall within a desired range.

[0465] (15) According to the variation of the third embodiment, the laser processing processor 53 compares the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , with the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ .

[0466] When the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$ , which is the target value of the fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is greater than the value  $Fth/(1-\delta E)$  as a result of addition of the first variation range  $Fth\delta E/(1-\delta E)$ , which indicates the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , to the processing threshold  $Fth$ . (b) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fth/R_{N/O})/(1+\delta E)$ , which is a result of subtraction of the second variation range  $(Fth/R_{N/O})\delta E/(1+\delta E)$  indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from the value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $Fth$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ .

[0467] When the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , the laser processing processor 53 controls the adjustment mechanism such as the attenuator 52 by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  as follows: (a) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is greater than the value  $Fth/(1-\delta E)$  as a result of addition of the first variation range  $Fth\delta E/(1-\delta E)$  to the processing threshold  $Fth$ . (c) The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is smaller than or equal to the value  $(Fcr/R_{O/O})/(1+\delta E)$  as a result of subtraction of the third variation range  $(Fcr/R_{O/O})\delta E/(1+\delta E)$  indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from the value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$  as a result of division of the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  by the optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ .

[0468] The target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  can therefore be set with one of the conditions (b) and (c) omitted based on the result of the comparison between the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of

the first maximum diffracted luminous flux and the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ , so that the control of the adjustment mechanism can be simplified.

[0469] The variation of the third embodiment is otherwise the same as the third embodiment.

## 7. Others

### 7.1 Configuration of Electronic Device

[0470] FIG. 51 diagrammatically shows the configuration of an electronic device. The electronic device shown in FIG. 51 includes the integrated circuit chip IC, the interposer IP, and the circuit substrate CS.

[0471] The integrated circuit chip IC is, for example, a chip in which an integrated circuit that is not shown is formed in a silicon substrate. The integrated circuit chip IC is provided with multiple bumps ICB electrically connected to the integrated circuit.

[0472] The interposer IP includes an insulating substrate having multiple through holes that are not shown but are formed therein, and an electrical conductor that is not shown but electrically connects the front and rear sides of the substrate to each other is provided in each of the through holes. Multiple lands that are not shown but are connected to the bumps ICB are formed at one surface of the interposer IP, and the lands are each electrically connected to one of the electrical conductors in the through holes. Multiple bumps IPB are provided at the other surface of the interposer IP, and the bumps IPB are each electrically connected to one of the electrical conductors in the through holes.

[0473] Multiple lands that are not shown but are connected to the bumps IPB are formed at one surface of the circuit substrate CS. The circuit substrate CS includes multiple terminals to be electrically connected to the lands.

### 7.2 Method for Manufacturing Electronic Device

[0474] FIG. 52 is a flowchart showing a method for manufacturing an electronic device.

[0475] In step S1, the interposer substrate, which constitutes the interposer IP, is processed with laser light, and wiring is formed in the interposer substrate. The laser processing performed on the interposer substrate includes forming through holes by irradiating the interposer substrate, which is an example of the workpiece SUB, with the pulse laser light Out. The wiring formation includes forming an electrically conductive film at the inner wall surface of each of the through holes formed in the interposer substrate. The interposer IP is produced through the steps described above.

[0476] In step S2, the interposer IP and the integrated circuit chip IC are coupled to each other. Step S2 includes, for example, placing the bumps ICB of the integrated circuit chip IC on the lands of the interposer IP, and electrically connecting the bumps ICB and the lands to each other.

[0477] In step S3, the interposer IP and the circuit substrate CS are coupled to each other. Step S3 includes, for example, placing the bumps IPB of the interposer IP on the lands of the circuit substrate CS, and electrically connecting the bumps IPB and the lands to each other.

### 7.3 Supplements

[0478] The description above is intended to be illustrative and the present disclosure is not limited thereto. Therefore,

it would be obvious to those skilled in the art that various modifications to the embodiments of the present disclosure would be possible without departing from the spirit and the scope of the appended claims. Further, it would be also obvious for those skilled in the art that embodiments of the present disclosure would be appropriately combined.

[0479] The terms used throughout the present specification and the appended claims should be interpreted as non-limiting terms. For example, terms such as "comprise", "include", "have", and "contain" should not be interpreted to be exclusive of other structural elements. Further, indefinite articles "a/an" described in the present specification and the appended claims should be interpreted to mean "at least one" or "one or more". Further, the term "at least one of A, B, and C" should be interpreted to mean any of A, B, C, A+B, A+C, B+C, and A+B+C. Moreover, the term described above should be interpreted to include combinations of any thereof and any other than A, B, and C.

What is claimed is:

**1. A laser processing system comprising:**

a laser apparatus configured to output pulse laser light; a diffractive optical element configured to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes to be radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes to be radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece;

a focusing optical system configured to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the work-piece;

an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element; and

a processor configured to control the adjustment mechanism based on parameters including a processing threshold Fth of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that

a fluence  $F_{OK}m$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and

a fluence  $F_{NG}m$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth.

**2. The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein**

the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK}mt$ , which is a target value of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mt$

is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and is smaller than or equal to a value  $Fth/R$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold Fth by an optical intensity ratio R, which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece.

**3. The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein**

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and

the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism in such a way that

a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and

a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth.

**4. The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein**

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$

is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and is smaller than or equal to a value  $Fth/R_{NO}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold Fth by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{NO}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**5. The laser processing system according to claim 4, wherein**

the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism by calculating a parameter for adjusting the pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element based on a ratio of a sum Isum of optical intensities of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes to the optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$ .

**6. The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein**

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism in such a way that

a minimum in a first variation range of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, the first variation range derived based on a pulse energy variation SE of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element, is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and

a maximum in a second variation range of a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes, the second variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation SE, is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth.

**7.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$

is greater than a value as a result of addition of a first variation range indicating a pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element to the processing threshold  $Fth$ , and

is smaller than or equal to a value as a result of subtraction of a second variation range indicating the pulse energy variation  $SE$  from a value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $Fth$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**8.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism in such a way that

a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ ,

a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ , and

a fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes is smaller than or equal to a fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ .

**9.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to

compare a fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  with a fluence  $F_{OK}maxth$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, which is provided when a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK}maxth$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is pro-

vided when the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , in such a way that

a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes is greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ , and

the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $Fth$ , and

control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK}maxth$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ , in such a way that

the fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ , and

the fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit  $Fcr$ .

**10.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$

is greater than the processing threshold  $Fth$ ,

is smaller than or equal to a value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $Fth$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and

is smaller than or equal to a value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of a fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{OK}max$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**11.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to

compare a fluence upper limit  $Fcr$  with a fluence  $F_{OK}maxth$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, which is provided when a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes becomes the processing threshold  $Fth$ ,

fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes becomes the processing threshold Fth, control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit Fcr is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth, by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$  is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and is smaller than or equal to a value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold Fth by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by an optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit Fcr is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth, by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$  in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$  is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and is smaller than or equal to a value  $Fcr/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the fluence upper limit Fcr by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**12.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism in such a way that a minimum in a first variation range of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, the first variation range derived based on a pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  of pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element, is greater than the processing threshold Fth,

a maximum in a second variation range of a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes, the second variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth, and

a maximum in a third variation range of a fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, the third variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to a fluence upper limit Fcr.

**13.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to

compare a fluence upper limit Fcr with a fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when a fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG}m$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes becomes the processing threshold Fth,

control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit Fcr is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth, in such a way that

a minimum in a first variation range of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, the first variation range derived based on a pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element, is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and

a maximum value in a second variation range of the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux, the second variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold Fth, and

control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit Fcr is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG}max$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold Fth in such a way that

a minimum in the first variation range of the fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux is greater than the processing threshold Fth, and

a maximum value in a third variation range of a fluence  $F_{OK}max$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, the third variation range derived based on the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$ , is smaller than or equal to the fluence upper limit Fcr.

**14.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK}m$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG}m$ , and the processor is configured to control the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK}min$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK}m$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK}mint$

is greater than a value as a result of addition of a first variation range indicating pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element to the processing threshold Fth,

is smaller than or equal to a value as a result of subtraction of a second variation range indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from a value  $Fth/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of

division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG,max}$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG,m}$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes by an optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and

is smaller than or equal to a value as a result of subtraction of a third variation range indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from a value  $F_{cr}/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of a fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{OK,max}$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes by the optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**15.** The laser processing system according to claim 1, wherein

the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{OK,m}$ , and the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes vary in terms of the fluence  $F_{NG,m}$ , and the processor is configured to

compare a fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  with a fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, which is provided when a fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG,m}$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ ,

control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is greater than the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$

is greater than a value as a result of addition of a first variation range indicating pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  of the pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ ,

is smaller than or equal to a value as a result of subtraction of a second variation range indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from a value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by an optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux,

control the adjustment mechanism when the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  is smaller than the fluence  $F_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux, which is provided when the fluence  $F_{NG,max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux becomes the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by setting the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  in such a way that

the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is greater than a value as a result of addition of the first variation range to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and

is smaller than or equal to a value as a result of subtraction of a third variation range indicating the pulse energy variation  $\delta E$  from a value  $F_{cr}/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of an optical intensity  $I_{OK,max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**16.** A laser processing method comprising:  
causing a laser apparatus to output pulse laser light;  
causing a diffractive optical element to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece;  
controlling an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light based on parameters including a processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that a fluence  $F_{OK,m}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and  
a fluence  $F_{NG,m}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ ; and  
causing a focusing optical system to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece.

**17.** The laser processing method according to claim 16, further comprising:

measuring an optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece, and an optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece; and  
controlling the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$ , which is a target value of the fluence  $F_{OK,m}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes in such a way that

the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and  
the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$  is smaller than or equal to a value  $F_{th}/R$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes by the optical intensity  $I_{OK}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes.

**18.** The laser processing method according to claim 16, further comprising:

measuring an optical intensity  $I_{OK,min}$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OK,m}$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, and an optical intensity  $I_{NG,max}$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NG,m}$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes; and  
controlling the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK,min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK,mint}$

is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and  
is smaller than or equal to a value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by an

optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**19.** The laser processing method according to claim 16, further comprising:

measuring an optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of a first minimum diffracted luminous flux having a minimum of the fluences  $F_{OKm}$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes, an optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of a second maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{NGm}$  out of the multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes, and an optical intensity  $I_{OK\max}$  of a first maximum diffracted luminous flux having a maximum of the fluences  $F_{OKM}$  out of the multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes; and  
 controlling the adjustment mechanism by setting a target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$ , which is a target value of a fluence  $F_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux in such a way that the target fluence  $F_{OK\text{mint}}$  is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , is smaller than or equal to a value  $F_{th}/R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the processing threshold  $F_{th}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{N/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{NG\max}$  of the second maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux, and is smaller than or equal to a value  $F_{cr}/R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of a fluence upper limit  $F_{cr}$  by an optical intensity ratio  $R_{O/O}$ , which is a result of division of the optical intensity  $I_{OK\max}$  of the first maximum diffracted luminous flux by the optical intensity  $I_{OK\min}$  of the first minimum diffracted luminous flux.

**20.** A method for manufacturing an electronic device, the method comprising:

processing an interposer substrate with laser light by using a laser processing system to produce an interposer;

coupling the interposer to an integrated circuit chip to electrically connect the interposer and the integrated circuit chip to each other; and

coupling the interposer to a circuit substrate to electrically connect the interposer and the circuit substrate to each other,

the laser processing system including

a laser apparatus configured to output pulse laser light, a diffractive optical element configured to divide the pulse laser light into multiple first diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple processing points on a workpiece, and multiple second diffracted luminous fluxes radiated to multiple non-processing points on the workpiece, a focusing optical system configured to focus each of the first and second diffracted luminous fluxes at the workpiece;

an adjustment mechanism configured to adjust pulse energy of the pulse laser light incident on the diffractive optical element, and

a processor configured to control the adjustment mechanism based on parameters including a processing threshold  $F_{th}$  of a fluence for processing the workpiece in such a way that

a fluence  $F_{OKm}$  of the first diffracted luminous fluxes at a surface of the workpiece is greater than the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ , and

a fluence  $F_{NGm}$  of the second diffracted luminous fluxes at the surface of the workpiece is smaller than or equal to the processing threshold  $F_{th}$ .

\* \* \* \* \*