

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12395685
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 19, 2025
Inventor(s)	Wang; Yilin et al.

Highly efficient model for video quality assessment

Abstract

A method for generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution, a first reduced quality steam of a second spatial resolution and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution. A first subset of STPs is sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of STPs is sampled from the second reduced-quality stream. Using a machine learning model (MLM) the STPs are processed to identify a quality score for each quality-representative STPs that are representative of a quality of the video stream. One or more quality-improving actions for the video stream are identified using the quality scores of the quality-representative STPs.

Inventors: Wang; Yilin (Sunnyvale, CA), Yin; Miao (Somerset, NJ), Wang; Qifei (Sunnyvale, CA), Gong; Boqing (Bellevue, WA), Birkbeck; Neil Aylon Charles (Cruz, CA), Adsumilli; Balineedu Chowdary (Sunnyvale, CA)

Applicant: Google LLC (Mountain View, CA)

Family ID: 1000008766539

Assignee: Google LLC (Mountain View, CA)

Appl. No.: 18/336577

Filed: June 16, 2023

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20240422369 A1	Dec. 19, 2024

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: H04N21/2343 (20110101); G06T7/00 (20170101); H04N19/132 (20140101); H04N21/235 (20110101); H04N21/2543 (20110101); H04N21/435 (20110101);

U.S. Cl.:

CPC H04N21/234363 (20130101); G06T7/0002 (20130101); H04N19/132 (20141101);
H04N21/234381 (20130101); H04N21/4666 (20130101); H04N21/4858 (20130101);

Field of Classification Search

USPC: None

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
2010/0061447	12/2009	Tu	375/240.03	H04N 21/2383
2013/0031589	12/2012	Casanova	725/91	H04N 21/6587
2024/0171788	12/2023	Kreis	N/A	H04N 21/234363

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Abu-El-Haija, et al., “YouTube-8M: A Large-Scale Video Classification Benchmark,” arXiv:1609.08675v1 [cs.CV] Sep. 27, 2016, 10 pages. cited by applicant

Internet Archive. Moving image archive. <https://archive.org/details/movies>, accessed Jun. 9, 2023, 5 pages. cited by applicant

Arnab, et al., “ViViT: A Video Vision Transformer,” Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2021, 14 pages. arXiv:2103.15691v2 [cs.CV] Nov. 1, 2021. cited by applicant

Bampis, et al., “Study of Temporal Effects on Subjective Video Quality of Experience,” IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 26, No. 11, Nov. 2017, 5217-5231. cited by applicant

Chen et al., “Chasing Sparsity in Vision Transformers: An End-to-End Exploration,” 35th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2021), 15 pages. cited by applicant

Dosovitskiy et al., “An Image is Worth 16×16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale,” Published as a conference paper at ICLR 2021, 22 pages. arXiv:2010.11929v2 [cs.CV] Jun. 3, 2021. cited by applicant

Vaswani et al., “Attention Is All You Need,” 31st Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2017), Long Beach, CA, USA, 11 pages. cited by applicant

Thomee, et al., “YFCC100M: The New Data in Multimedia Research,” Communications of the ACM 0001-0782/2016/2, 8 pages. arXiv:1503.01817v2 [cs.MM] Apr. 25, 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2812802>. cited by applicant

Götz-Hahn, et al., “KonVid-150k: A Dataset for No-Reference Video Quality Assessment of Videos in-the-Wild,” IEEE Access, vol. 9, May 2021, 23 pages. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3077642. cited by applicant

He, et al. “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition,” Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2016, 770-778. cited by applicant

Ghadiyaram et al., “A Subjective and Objective Study of Stalling Events in Mobile Streaming Videos,” Nov. 2017, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology PP(99):1-1. DOI:10.1109/TCSVT.2017.2768542. cited by applicant

Gumbel, Emil J., “Statistical theory of extreme values and some practical applications: a series of lectures,” vol. 33, US Government Printing Office, 1954, 60 pages. cited by applicant

Hosu, et al., "TheKonstanzNaturalVideoDatabase(KoNViD-1k)," Erschienen in: 2017 Ninth International Conference on Quality of Multimedia Experience (QoMEX), Piscataway, NJ, IEEE, 2017, 6 pages. ISBN 978-1-5386-4024-1. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/QoMEX.2017.7965673>. cited by applicant

Jang, et al., "Categorical Reparameterization With Gumbel-Softmax," Published as a conference paper at ICLR 2017, 13 Pages. arXiv:1611.01144v5 [stat.ML] Aug. 5, 2017. cited by applicant

Korhonen, Jari, "Two-Level Approach for No-Reference Consumer Video Quality Assessment," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 28(12), (2019), 5923-5938. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2019.2923051. cited by applicant

Li, et al., "Quality assessment of in-the-wild videos," In Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia (MM '19), Oct. 21-25, 2019, Nice, France, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 9 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3343031.3351028>. cited by applicant

Liu, et al., "Swin Transformer: Hierarchical Vision Transformer using Shifted Windows," Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), 2021, 14 pages. arXiv:2103.14030v2 [cs.CV] Aug. 17, 2021. cited by applicant

Ridnik, et al., "ImageNet-21K Pretraining for the Masses," arXiv:2104.10972v4 [cs.CV] Aug. 5, 2021, 20 pages. cited by applicant

Seshadrinathan, et al., "Study of subjective and objective quality assessment of video," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 19 Issue 6, Jun. 2010, pp. 1427-1441. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2010.2042111>. cited by applicant

Sinno and Bovik, "Large-Scale Study of Perceptual Video Quality," 2018 25th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), pp. 276-280, Oct. 2018. arXiv:1803.01761v2 [eess.IV] Nov. 4, 2018. cited by applicant

Tu, et al., "UGC-VQA: Benchmarking Blind Video Quality Assessment for User Generated Content," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2021, 16 pages. arXiv:2005.14354v2 [cs.CV] Apr. 17, 2021. cited by applicant

Tu, et al., "RAPIQUE: Rapid and Accurate Video Quality Prediction of User Generated Content," IEEE Open Journal of Signal Processing, vol. 2, 2021, 425-440. arxiv.org/abs/2101.10955. cited by applicant

Wang et al., "Linformer: Self-Attention with Linear Complexity," arXiv:2006.04768v3 [cs.LG] Jun. 14, 2020, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.04768>. cited by applicant

Wang, et al., "YouTube UGC Dataset for Video Compression Research," 2019 IEEE 21st International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP), 5 pages. arXiv:1904.06457v2 [cs.MM] Aug. 1, 2019. cited by applicant

Wang, et al., "Rich features for perceptual quality assessment of UGC videos," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2021, pp. 13435-13444. cited by applicant

Wang, et al., "Revisiting the efficiency of UGC video quality assessment," 2022 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Bordeaux, France, 2022, pp. 3016-3020. doi: 10.1109/ICIP46576.2022.9897401. cited by applicant

Wu, et al., "FAST-VQA: Efficient End-to-end Video Quality Assessment with Fragment Sampling," Proceedings of European Conference of Computer Vision (ECCV), 2022, 17 pages. arXiv:2207.02595v1 [cs.CV] Jul. 6, 2022. cited by applicant

Ying, et al., "Patch-VQ: 'Patching Up' the Video Quality Problem," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2021, pp. 14019-14029. cited by applicant

Ying, et al., "Patch-VQ: 'Patching Up' the Video Quality Problem," In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 14019-14029, 2021. arXiv:2011.13544v2 [cs.CV] Feb. 25, 2022. cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Pendleton; Brian T

Assistant Examiner: Saint Cyr; Jean D

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Lowenstein Sandler LLP

Background/Summary

TECHNICAL FIELD

(1) Aspects and implementations of the present disclosure relate to a highly efficient model for video quality assessment.

BACKGROUND

(2) A platform (e.g., a video stream platform, etc.) can provide users with video stream. Video stream can include images and videos, and can be interactive. Assessing the perceptual quality of video stream to be provided to users can improve the user's experience with the platform, but can be computationally complex and expensive. Video quality assessment (VQA) is a field of research which aims to study and predict user opinions on video perceptual quality. Improvements to VQA can benefit video compression, enhancement, streaming, search, and user recommendations. With the ever-increasing amount of user generated content (UGC) on video stream platforms (e.g., social media platforms), performing VQA on UGC becomes more critical. The computational complexity of VQA models can grow exponentially with the video stream resolution, and can cause high deployment costs.

SUMMARY

(3) The below summary is a simplified summary of the disclosure in order to provide a basic understanding of some aspects of the disclosure. This summary is not an extensive overview of the disclosure. It is intended neither to identify key or critical elements of the disclosure, nor delineate any scope of the particular implementations of the disclosure or any scope of the claims. Its sole purpose is to present some concepts of the disclosure in a simplified form as a prelude to the more detailed description that is presented later.

(4) An aspect of the disclosure provides a computer-implemented method that includes generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution, a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution, sampling multiple spatio-temporal patches (STPs) from the video stream, where a first subset of STPs is sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of STPs is sampled from the second reduced-quality stream, processing, using a machine learning model (MLM), the STPs to identify a quality score for each quality-representative STP which is representative of a quality of the video stream, and identifying, using the quality scores of the quality-representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream.

(5) In some aspects, the first reduced-quality stream has the first temporal resolution and the second reduced-quality stream has the first spatial resolution.

(6) In some aspects of the disclosure, a combined number of pixels in the first reduced-quality stream and the second reduced quality stream is below ten percent of a number of pixels in the video stream.

(7) In some aspects, each STP includes a portion sampled from multiple frames of the first reduced-quality stream or multiple frames of the second reduced-quality stream.

(8) In some aspects, the computer-implemented method for processing the STPs includes using a projection neural network of the MLM to project each STP to a respective token in a token space. The method can further include processing digital representations of the STPs using a first neural

network of the MLM to obtain, for each STP, a relevance score. The neural network can include one or more convolutional neuron layers. The method includes identifying the quality-representative STPs having the relevance score at or above a threshold score. The method can further include processing, using a second neural network of the MLM, the quality-representative STPs to identify the quality score for each quality representative STP. The second neural network can include one or more self-attention neuron layers.

(9) In some aspects, the one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream can include one or more of: generating one or more additional frames for the video stream, modifying the first spatial resolution of the video stream, changing a compression format of the video stream, modifying settings for displaying the video stream on a graphical user interface (GUI), or generating a report indicative of the quality of the video stream being below a threshold value.

(10) In some aspects, the computer-implemented method further includes receiving an updated video stream, wherein the updated video stream is obtained using the one or more quality-improving actions applied to the video stream, evaluating, using the MLM, a quality of the updated video stream, and causing, based on the quality of the updated video stream, at least one of: the updated video stream to be displayed to one or more viewers, responsive to the quality of the updated video stream being at or above a threshold value, or one or more additional quality-improving actions to be applied to the updated video stream, responsive to the quality of the updated video stream being below the threshold value. In some aspects of the disclosure, the one or more quality-improving actions includes using the video stream as an input to a generative MLM to generate the updated video stream. In some aspects of the disclosure, the input into the generative MLM can further include the quality scores of the quality-representative STPs.

(11) An aspect of the disclosure provides a system including a memory and a processor communicatively coupled to the memory. The processor performs operations including obtaining a video stream having a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution. The video stream is associated with a ground truth quality score. The processor generates, for the video stream, a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution, and applies a machine learning model (MLM) to at least a portion of the first reduced-quality stream and at least a portion of the second reduced-quality stream to predict a quality score for the video stream. The processor modifies, using the predicted quality score and the ground truth quality score, one or more parameters of the MLM. The MLM can be a regression model, a neural network, a supervised model, or an unsupervised model.

(12) In some aspects, the at least a portion of the first reduced-quality stream includes a first set of spatio-temporal patches (STPs) from the first reduced-quality stream and the at least a portion of the second reduced-quality stream includes a second set of STPs from the second reduced-quality stream.

(13) In some aspects, the operations further include determining, for each STP of the first set of STPs and each STP of the second set of STPs, a first quality score.

(14) In some aspects, the operations further include selecting from the first set of STPs and from the second set of STPs, a first subset of STPs, the first subset of STPs including STPs with first quality scores that satisfy a first quality criterion, and determining, for STPs of the first subset of STPs, a second quality score. The second quality score indicates how a respective STP of the first subset of STPs correlates to other STPs of the first subset of STPs.

(15) In some aspects, the operations further include selecting, from the first subset of STPs, one or more STPs that satisfy a second quality criterion, aggregating second quality scores of the one or more STPs, and mapping the aggregated second quality scores of the one or more selected STPs to the predicted quality score for the video stream.

(16) An aspect of the disclosure provides a non-transitory computer readable storage medium including instructions that, when executed by a processing device, cause the processing device to perform operations including generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first

temporal resolution a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution, and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution, sampling spatio-temporal patches (STPs) including a first subset of STPs sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of the plurality of STPs sampled from the second reduced-quality stream. The instructions further include processing, using a machine learning model (MLM), the STPs to identify a quality score for each quality-representative STP representative of a quality of the video stream, and identifying, using the quality scores of the quality-representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream.

(17) In some aspects, the first reduced-quality stream has the first temporal resolution, and the second reduced-quality stream has the first spatial resolution. In some aspects, each STP includes a portion sampled from multiple frames of the first reduced-quality stream or multiple frames of the second reduced-quality stream. In some aspects, processing the STPs includes using a projection neural network of the MLM to project each STP of the plurality of STPs to a respective token in a token space.

(18) An aspect of the disclosure provides a computer program including instructions that, when the program is executed by a computer, cause the computer to carry out a method that includes generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution, and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution, sampling spatio-temporal patches (STPs) including a first subset of STPs sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of the plurality of STPs sampled from the second reduced-quality stream. The instructions further include processing, using a machine learning model (MLM), the STPs to identify a quality score for each quality-representative STP representative of a quality of the video stream, and identifying, using the quality scores of the quality-representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

(1) Aspects and implementations of the present disclosure will be understood more fully from the detailed description given below and from the accompanying drawings of various aspects and implementations of the disclosure, which, however, should not be taken to limit the disclosure to the specific aspects or implementations, but are for explanation and understanding only.

(2) FIG. 1 illustrates an example system architecture, in accordance with implementations of the present disclosure.

(3) FIG. 2 is a block diagram **200** that illustrates a representation of the perceptual quality of spatio-temporal patches of a video stream processed by the perceptual quality indicator model.

(4) FIG. 3A is a block diagram illustrating an example transformation flow of input to an EUQV model from the input to the EUQV model to the output of EUQV model as the perceptual quality score **360**, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure.

(5) FIG. 3B is a block diagram illustrating the operations performed at each phase or layer of the EUQV model to transform the input (e.g., the video stream) into a perceptual quality score for the video stream, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure.

(6) FIG. 4 depicts a flow diagram of an example method for performing video quality predictions with an EUQV model in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure.

(7) FIG. 5 depicts a flow diagram of an example method for training a model, such as the EUQV model, to make video stream perceptual quality predictions, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure.

(8) FIG. 6 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary computer system, in accordance with

embodiments of the present disclosure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

(9) Aspects of the present disclosure relate to a highly efficient model for video quality assessment (VQA). A platform, such as a media content platform (e.g., social media, media content sharing platforms, etc.) can present users with media content. The platform can enable the users to consume various media content, including user generated content (UGC). UGC with a higher perceptual quality can improve the experience the user has with the media content and the media content platform. The perceptual quality of media content (e.g., a video stream) can depend on, among other factors, the resolution and framerate of the video stream. For example, video stream with a higher resolution and/or a higher framerate can have a higher perceptual quality. The perceptual quality of video stream can be predicted by VQA methods, which can be a complex process requiring a large amount of computational resources. High resolution frames typically contain more fine-grained details than low resolution frames. Downscaling a high resolution frame to be a more manageable input to a VQA model, those fine-grained details can be lost. Increasing the resolution of video stream can increase the quantity of pixels exponentially (e.g., increase the size of the input to the VQA model). Traditionally, movies have been filmed using the 24 frames-per-second (fps) temporal resolution that results in acceptably smooth motion pictures. Yet today, more and more consumers of video stream (e.g., users of video stream platforms) prefer watching video streams of higher frame rates (e.g., 30 fps and even 60 fps) on video stream platforms. A 1920×1080 stream with the 30 fps frame rate delivers over 100 M pixels each second. As both the resolution and framerates increase, the quantity of pixels in the video stream can increase significantly. Processing such large data sets for quality assessment of the stream is impractical, both in real time and off-line.

(10) To reduce the computational complexity of a VQA model, random sampling and/or predefined patterns of sampling can sometimes be used. However, because useful information to predict the perceptual quality of the video stream can appear in any spatial (across different frame region for a given frame) or temporal (across different frames for a given frame region of the video stream (or both), these sampling techniques can be less effective at predicting the perceptual quality of the video stream when certain parts of the video stream are not sampled (e.g., parts that have a more direct effect on the perceptual quality of the video stream). In some examples of video stream, there can be many quality insensitive or redundant signals that have minimal-to-no impact on the perceptual quality of the video stream. Processing these inputs adds computational complexity without providing any noticeable benefits. Another inefficiency of VQA models can be due to the construction of the VQA model. VQA models can implement machine learning techniques based on a common backbone. Selection of a proper backbone can significantly reduce the computational complexity of the VQA model without a signification reduction in the ability of the model to predict the perceptual quality of the video stream. However, selecting a proper machine learning backbone can be non-trivial and can require “handcrafting” the model to the input dataset, which may cause the VQA model to only be pertinent to a specific dataset or type of dataset.

(11) Perceptual quality of a video can be impacted by how various regions of frames are displayed in the context of other regions within the same frames (spatial perception) as well as by evolution of the regions across a temporal sequence of frames (temporal perception). Depending on a specific stream or a given part of a stream, various regions and/or sequences of regions may affect perceptual quality differently. For example, fast temporal evolution of a region of a video that captures most attention of a viewer may be more determinative of the perceived video quality than slow evolution of other regions in the same video. Similarly, spatial perception of two (or more) persons involved in a slow intense interaction may be more determinative of the perceived video quality than the persons' frame-to-frame evolution or various lower-quality depictions of the background or other persons. Because quality-determinative regions and time sequences can be located at practically any spatial and temporal locales of a video, various rigid heuristics (e.g.,

focusing on the central region of a video or on its brighter regions) fail to accurately assess video quality of many video streams.

(12) Aspects and implementations of the present disclosure address the above noted and other challenges of the existing technology by reducing computational complexity of VQA models using a two-stage, multiple dataset sampling technique to evaluate the spatiotemporal quality of video stream. VQA models operating in accordance with the disclosed techniques are capable of accurately capturing and evaluating both the spatial and the temporal aspects of perceptual quality of videos. In some implementations, a video stream (e.g., a 1920×1080 pixel 30 fps stream) can be represented via multiple reduced-quality streams, e.g., a first stream that maintains the original temporal resolution (e.g., 30 fps) but has a reduced spatial resolution (e.g., 256×256), and a second stream that maintains the original spatial resolution (e.g., 1920×1080) but has a reduced temporal resolution (e.g., 1 fps). As a result, the first reduced-quality stream maintains information about temporal aspect of the original video stream whereas the second reduced-quality stream maintains information about its spatial aspect (even though the number of pixels per second in the combined first/second reduced quality streams is less than 10% of the number of pixels in the original stream). Patches can then be sampled from both streams (e.g., with an equal or different number of patches samples from each stream) and represented via digital tokens. A patch should be understood as a portion of a corresponding stream that extends along both spatial dimensions and the temporal dimension of the stream. For example, a $16 \times 16 \times 4$ patch captures a 16×16 pixel region across 4 frames (which can be contiguous or spaced). Patches can be sampled randomly or according to a suitable sampling schedule (e.g., non-overlapping patches).

(13) A trained machine learning model (MLM) can process the tokenized patches in multiple stages. During a first stage, patches that have little relevance to the video stream quality are eliminated. In some implementations, a first convolutional neural network (having a combination of one or more convolutional layers and one or more fully-connected layers) can output relevance scores, each score measuring relevance of a particular patch to the video stream quality. Patches with relevance scores below a threshold score can be filtered out while patches with relevance scores at or above the threshold score (the quality-representative patches) can be retained for additional processing. In some implementations, the threshold score can be a predetermined score. In some implementations, the threshold score can be stream-dependent, e.g., such that a fixed number N of patches with highest relevant scores are retained. During a second stage, the quality-representative patches can be processed by a second neural network, which can include one or more self-attention neural layers (e.g., one or more transformer blocks). The second neural network can treat different patches as self-attention key-value pairs and capture a global context of how perception of different patches—through learned self-attention weights for each pair of patches—combines into perception quality of the video stream as a whole. The second neural network can aggregate pairwise self-attention scores into quality scores for individual patches. The quality scores for different patches can then be used to predict a quality score for the whole video stream (e.g., by further aggregating quality scores of different patches).

(14) Based on the quality score for the video stream, one or more quality-improving actions can be identified, recommended and/or implemented, such as generating one or more additional frames for the video stream (e.g., by generating interpolation frames), modifying spatial resolution of the video stream (e.g., by spatial upsampling), changing a compression format of the video stream, modifying settings for displaying the video stream on a graphical user interface, generating reports indicative of the quality of the video stream being insufficient, and/or the like. In some implementations, the actions to improve video quality can include using the low-quality video stream as an input into a generative MLM to generate a higher-quality modified stream. In some implementations, the quality-improving actions can be informed by the quality scores of individual quality-determinative patches and/or locations (spatial and temporal) of those patches. For example, the quality scores/locations of the quality-determinative patches can be used as an

additional input into the generative model.

(15) The disclosed MLM can be trained to output relevance based on human-based subjective quality scores for training videos. In some implementations, the MLM can be trained on the global quality scores for the whole videos. In some implementations, the MLM can be trained using tokenized patches in conjunction with human annotations (e.g., local quality scores) corresponding to the tokenized patches.

(16) Numerous additional implementations of the two-stage video quality assessment are disclosed herein. The advantages of the disclosed systems and techniques include (but are not limited to) fast, efficient, and flexible heuristic-free assessment of a quality of diverse range of videos. Because of the flexible nature of patch sampling, filtering, and processing of filtered patches, the disclosed systems and techniques are not limited in the location of quality-relevant patches.

(17) FIG. 1 illustrates an example system architecture **100**, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. The system architecture **100** (also referred to as “system” herein) includes client devices **102A-N**, a data store **110**, a platform **120**, and/or server machines **130-150**, each connected to a network **108**. In some implementations, network **108** can include a public network (e.g., the Internet), a private network (e.g., a local area network (LAN) or wide area network (WAN)), a wired network (e.g., Ethernet network), a wireless network (e.g., an 802.11 network or a Wi-Fi network), a cellular network (e.g., a Long Term Evolution (LTE) network), routers, hubs, switches, server computers, and/or a combination thereof.

(18) In some implementations, data store **110** can be a persistent storage capable of storing data as well as data structures to tag, organize, and index the data. A data item can include video stream data (e.g., video frames, audio etc.), in accordance with embodiments described herein. Data store **110** can be hosted by one or more storage devices, such as main memory, magnetic or optical storage based disks, tapes, or hard drives, and so forth. In some embodiments, data store **110** can be a network-attached file server or some other type of persistent storage such as an object-oriented database, a relational database, and so forth, that may be hosted by platform **120** or one or more different machines coupled to the platform **120** via network **108**.

(19) The client devices **102A-N** can each include computing devices such as personal computers (PCs), laptops, mobile phones, smart phones, tablet computers, netbook computers, network-connected televisions, etc. In some implementations, client devices **102A-N** may also be referred to as “user devices.” Each client device **102** can include a video stream viewer. In some embodiments, the video stream viewer can be an application that provides a graphical user interface (GUI) for users to view, edit, and/or create a video stream **121**, such as a video file. For example, the content viewer can be a web browser that can access, retrieve, present, and/or navigate video stream **121** served by a web server. The video stream viewer can render, display, and/or present the video stream **121** to a user. In one example, the video stream viewer can be a standalone application (e.g., a mobile application or app) that allows users to view, edit, and/or create video stream **121**. In some implementations, the video stream viewer can be a video stream platform application for users to view, generate, edit, and/or upload video stream to platform **120**. As such, the video stream viewers can be provided to the client devices **102A-N** by platform **120**.

(20) In some implementations, platform **120** and/or server machines **130-150** can be one or more computing devices (such as a rackmount server, a router computer, a server computer, a personal computer, a mainframe computer, a laptop computer, a tablet computer, a desktop computer, etc.), data stores (e.g., hard disks, memories, databases), networks, software components, and/or hardware components that may be used to provide a user with access to a video stream **121** (e.g., a video) and/or provide the video stream **121** to the user. For example, platform **120** can be a video content platform. The video content platform can allow a user to create, edit, access, or share with other users, video content stored at data store **110**. Platform **120** can also include a website (e.g., a webpage) or application back-end software that can be used to provide a user with access to the video stream **121**. Platform **120** can include video stream **121**. Video stream **121** can be made

accessible through platform **120**. In some embodiments, platform **120** can facilitate the access of video stream **121** by a client device, but not include the video stream **121**. For example, a client device **102** can request a particular video stream **121** from platform **120**. Platform **120** can identify the video stream **121** (e.g., stored in data store **110**) and can determine how to present the client device **102** with the requested video stream **121**. In some embodiments, platform **120** can provide the client device **102** with access to the file via the GUI of the video stream viewer, as described above.

(21) Platform **120** can include a perceptual quality component **160** that is configured to generate perceptual quality indicators for the video stream **121** provided by platform **120**. Perceptual quality component **160** can predict a perceptual quality of the video stream **121** using one or more models **170A-N** that are trained to identify the perceptual quality of the video stream **121**. Further details regarding training and using models **170A-N** are provided herein.

(22) Server machine **130** can include a training set generator **131** that is capable of generating training data (e.g., a set of training inputs and a set of target outputs) to train models **170A-N**. In some embodiments, training data can be associated with training a model **170** to predict perceptual quality of the video stream **121**. In some embodiments, training set generator **131** (or another component of system **100**) can store the perceptual quality indicators for a respective video stream **121** at data store **110**. Training set generator **131** can also generate training data associated with training a model **170** to predict a perceptual quality of the video stream **121**. Training set generator **131** can generate a set of training data by identifying data corresponding to previously provided video streams stored at data store **110**. Training set generator **131** can generate training data for training the model **170** using quality metrics for previous spatio-temporal patches (STPs) included at data store **110**. An STP can refer to a sample of a video stream, such as a single frame, a series of frames, a superimposed series of frames (e.g., where two or more frames are represented in a still image), a division of a frame (e.g., a frame can be spatially divided into two or more division), etc. Training set generator **131** can include a respective previously provided STP in the subset of training inputs. The training set generator **131** can include additional data associated with the STP in the subset of target outputs in supervised training. In some embodiments, training set generator **131** can generate training data for training the model **170** to predict a quality metric for each STP.

(23) In some embodiments, model training is unsupervised. For example, to train an unsupervised model, training set generator **131** can generate training data by clustering groups of previously provided STPs (e.g., included in data store **110**) based on similarities between the STPs, through dimensionality reduction by reducing the number of features in the data while retaining as much relevant information about the STPs as possible, by generating synthetic or partially synthetic data that resembles the original data, through anomaly detection by identifying parts of STPs that are significantly different from the rest of the data, or through data augmentation by applying various mathematical transformations to the training dataset.

(24) In some embodiments, model training is supervised, and each set of training data can include a subset of training inputs and target outputs based on the identified data. For example, to train a supervised model, training set generator **131** can generate training data including a subset of training inputs and a subset of target outputs. The subset of training inputs can include a previously provided STP (e.g., included in data store **110**, as described above) and the subset of target outputs can include a quality metric associated with the STP.

(25) Server machine **140** can include a training engine **141**. Training engine **141** can train a machine learning model **170A-N** using the training data from training set generator **131**. The machine learning model **170A-N** can refer to the model artifact that is created by the training engine **141** using the training data that includes training inputs. The training engine **141** can find patterns in the training data that and provide the model **170** that captures these patterns. The model **170A-N** can be composed of one or more layers, e.g., a single level of linear or non-linear operations (e.g., a support vector machine (SVM) or may be a deep network, i.e., a machine

learning model that is composed of multiple levels of non-linear operations). An example of a deep network is a neural network with one or more hidden layers, and a neural network can be trained by, for example, adjusting the weights of the neural network in accordance with a backpropagation learning algorithm or the like. For convenience, the remainder of this disclosure will refer to the EUVQ model as a neural network, even though some embodiments might employ an SVM or other type of learning machine instead of, or in addition to, a neural network. In some embodiments, the training set is obtained by training set generator **131** hosted by server machine **130**. It should be noted that although embodiments of the present disclosure describe distinct models **170A-N** being trained to predict data (e.g., a perceptual quality indicator) associated with different types of video streams **121**, training engine **141** can train a model **170** to predict data associated with each type of video stream **121**. For example, training engine **141** can use each set of training data generated by training set generator **131** to train a model **170** to predict a perceptual quality of the video stream **121**. In some embodiments of a supervised model, the subset of target outputs in the training data set can include an indication of the perceptual quality of the video stream **121**.

(26) Server machine **150** can include a perceptual quality component **160** that provides a video stream as input to one or more models **170A-N** to obtain one or more outputs. Perceptual quality component **160** can determine the perceptual quality indicator of the video stream **121** based on one or more outputs of the model **170**. In response to determining the perceptual quality indicator of the video stream **121**, perceptual quality component **160** can generate a mapping between the video stream **121** and the perceptual quality indicator. Perceptual quality component **160** can store the generated mapping in data store **110**, a memory associated with a client device **102**, and/or another memory associated with system **100**. In some embodiments, perceptual quality component **160** can provide a reduced quality video stream (e.g., a sample of the video stream) as input to a model **170** that is trained to predict a perceptual quality indicator of the video stream **121** as described above. In response to determining the perceptual quality indicator of the video stream **121**, perceptual quality component **160** can generate a mapping between STPs derived from the video stream **121** and the perceptual quality indicator. In some embodiments, perceptual quality component **160** (or another component of platform **120**) can enhance the video stream **121**, and/or STP(s) included in the video stream **121**. For example, perceptual quality component **160** can generate new or modified STPs to replace original STPs included in the video stream **121**.

(27) Perceptual quality component **160** can include dataset(s) **161A-N** and quality essential sampler **162**. Perceptual quality component **160** can separate the video stream **121** into multiple datasets **161A-N**. The dataset(s) **161A-N** can be processed by quality essential sampler **162**. The quality essential sampler **162** can break dataset(s) **161** into sub-parts (e.g., STPs) and determine which sub-parts impact the perceptual quality of the video stream **121**. The quality essential sampler **162** can determine a perceptual quality indication for each sub-part of the video stream **121**. In some embodiments, the perceptual quality indication can be determined only for subparts of the video stream **121** that satisfy certain preliminary quality indicator thresholds. For example, the quality essential sampler **162** can determine certain subparts of the video stream **121** dominate the perceptual quality of the video stream **121** because the interrelatedness of the certain subparts with the rest of the subparts of the video stream **121** is higher than a certain threshold. In this example, the quality essential sampler **162** would determine the perceptual quality indication for the certain subparts of the video stream **121**, but not the rest of the subparts of the video stream **121**. The quality essential sampler **162** can forgo calculating perceptual quality indicators for subparts of the video stream **121** that do not have a dominating effect on the perceptual quality of the video stream **121**. By determining which subparts of the video stream **121** are perceptual quality related, or perceptual quality dominating, quality essential sampler **162** can reduce the computational power needed to determine the perceptual quality of the video stream **121**.

(28) It should be noted that in some embodiments, the functions of server machines **130**, **140**, and **150** or platform **120** may be provided by a fewer number of machines. For example, in some

implementations the server machines **130** and **140** may be integrated into a single machine, while in other implementations the server machines **130**, **140**, and **150** may be integrated into multiple machines. In addition, in some implementations one or more of server machines **130**, **140**, and **150** may be integrated into platform **120**. In general, functions described in implementations as being performed by platform **120** and/or server machines **130-150** can also be performed on the client devices **102A-N** in other implementations, if appropriate. In addition, the functionality attributed to a particular component can be performed by different or multiple components operating together. Platform **120** can also be accessed as a service provided to other systems or devices through appropriate application programming interfaces, and thus is not limited to use in websites.

(29) In some embodiments of the disclosure, a “user” can be represented as a single individual. However, other implementations of the disclosure encompass a “user” being an entity controlled by a set of users and/or an automated source. For example, a set of individual users federated as a community in a social network can be considered a “user.” In another example, an automated consumer can be an automated ingestion pipeline, such as a topic channel, of platform **120**. Further to the descriptions above, a user may be provided with controls allowing the user to make an election as to both if and when systems, programs, or features described herein may enable collection of user information (e.g., information about a user's social network, social actions, or activities, profession, a user's preferences, or a user's current location), and if the user is sent content or communications from a server. In addition, certain data can be treated in one or more ways before it is stored or used, so that personally identifiable information is removed. For example, a user's identity can be treated so that no personally identifiable information can be determined for the user, or a user's geographic location can be generalized where location information is obtained (such as to a city, ZIP code, or state level), so that a particular location of a user cannot be determined. Thus, the user can have control over what information is collected about the user, how that information is used, and what information is provided to the user.

(30) FIG. 2 is a block diagram **200** that illustrates a representation of the perceptual quality of spatio-temporal patches (STPs) of a video stream processed by a perceptual quality indicator model, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. The EUVQ model can improve the efficiency of VQA with multiple dataset sampling strategy. Generally, for a fixed resolution, more temporal samplings can achieve a better model performance (e.g., the EUVQ model can process faster). Generally, with a fixed number of temporal slices, the higher the resolution, the better the accuracy (e.g., the EUVQ model can produce more accurate perceptual quality indicators). In the illustrative example, the multiple dataset sampling strategy is depicted as a dual dataset sampling strategy, with one dataset (e.g., a reduced-quality stream) prioritizing video stream resolution, and the other dataset prioritizing video stream framerate. The reduced-quality stream prioritizing video stream resolution can use the original resolution of the video stream. The reduced-quality stream prioritizing video stream framerate can use the original framerate of the video stream. In some embodiments, the reduced-quality stream prioritizing a certain aspect of the video stream (e.g., resolution, framerate, color-space mapping, etc.) can be modified from the original aspect of the video stream. In such embodiments, secondary aspect of the video stream included in each reduced-quality stream can contain less information than prioritized aspects of certain reduced-quality streams.

(31) For example, sample **201** can include three frames of a video stream. As used herein, “frame” refers to a still image of the video stream at a certain timestamp (e.g., temporal location of the video stream). Thus, sample **201** can represent three temporal locations of the video stream. Each frame can be divided into a quantity of spatio-temporal patches (STPs) **202**. Frames can be divided into STPs in a grid-like fashion, as illustratively shown in STP quality overlay **210**. It should be noted that the 7×12 grid of 84 STPs of STP quality overlay **210** is for illustrative purposes only, and other grid configurations and quantities of STPs **202** are possible.

(32) STPs **202** are individual portions of the video stream. STPs **202** can be derived from the video

stream by, for example, spatial division and/or temporal slicing. An example of spatial division can be seen in the illustrative grid-pattern of STP quality overlay **210**. Spatial division divides up the video stream into a reduced quality stream that maintains the full resolution of the original video stream, but at a reduced framerate. An example of temporal slicing can be seen in sample **201**. Temporal slicing slices the video stream into a reduced quality stream that maintains the full framerate of the original video stream, but at a reduced resolution. A reduced quality stream can include a spatial division and a temporal slice of the video stream. The reduced quality stream can include original aspects of either the spatial division or the temporal slice of the video stream. This process of dividing the video stream into two reduced quality streams with respective STPs **202** can be referred to “downscaling” the video stream. Here, “downscaling” can refer to a reduction in an underlying feature of the reduced quality stream (or similarly of the video stream). Downscaled reduced quality streams retain most of the information from the original video stream, but are a sampled version of the original video stream, and thus retain less specific information, while occupying less data space.

(33) Processing logic can identify quality related STPs **204** from STPs **202**. In some embodiments, a model, or layer of a model (e.g., model **170** as described in FIG. 1) can be used to identify quality related STPs **204** from STPs **202**. Quality related STPs **204** can include quality dominating STPs **206**. Processing logic can identify quality dominating STPs **206** based on the correlation each quality related STP **204** has with the other quality related STPs **204**. A quality dominating STP **206** is a quality related STP **204** that has more correlations to other quality related STPs **204** than the rest of quality related STPs **204**. The quantity of quality dominating STPs **206** identified by processing logic can be configurable. In some embodiments, the quantity of quality dominating STPs **206** identified by processing logic is based on the correlation values of each quality related STP **204** satisfying some threshold condition. For example, a threshold condition can be that all quality related STPs **204** that have a certain correlation value to “N” number of (e.g., ten) other quality related STPs **204** are considered quality dominating STPs **206**. In this example, there is no explicit upper or lower limit on the number of quality dominating STPs **206** that processing logic can identify, instead the number of quality dominating STPs **206** is controlled by qualifying criteria (e.g., the threshold condition(s)). The correlation score between the quality related STPs **204** used to identify quality dominating STPs **206** can be calculated in various ways, including with a mapping data structure, a machine learning model, an algorithm, etc., and is further described in FIGS. 3A-3B.

(34) Quality related STPs **204** include STPs **202** that have been identified as being related to the perceptual quality of the video. As shown in this illustrative example, some of the quality related STPs **204** identified include portions of the wings of the larger bird, and the head and torso of the smaller bird. These quality related STPs **204** have been selected by processing logic because the underlying video stream aspects that they represent (e.g., a resolution, a framerate, etc.) was considered useful (e.g., related) to predicting the perceptual quality of the video stream. Processing logic has identified that the value of the perceptual quality indicator for the video stream as a whole (e.g., the video clip of the two birds) is related to these identified quality related STPs **204**. Quality related STPs **204** can be selected from spatially subdivided STPs **202** (e.g., STPs with an original resolution aspect and a modified framerate aspect) or from temporally sub-sliced STPs **202** (e.g., STPs with an original framerate aspect and a modified resolution aspect). It is possible, in some embodiments, that only spatially subdivided STPs **202** or only temporally sub-sliced STPs **202** are selected as quality related STPs **204**. The process for determining which of STPs **202** are quality related STPs **204** is further described below in FIGS. 3A-3B.

(35) Quality dominating STPs **206** include quality related STPs **204** that have been identified as dominating the perceptual quality of the video. As shown in this illustrative example, some of the quality dominating STPs **206** identified include portions of the torso, tail, and feet of the larger bird. Quality dominating STPs **206** are selected based on the correlation that each quality related

STP **204** has with other quality related STPs **204**. In some embodiments, quality dominating STPs **206** can be selected as an indicator for a cluster of neighboring quality related STPs **204**. The process for determining which of quality related STPs **204** are quality dominating STPs **206** is further described below in FIGS. **3A-3B**.

(36) STP quality overlay **210** is a visual representation of the respective categorization of patches of sample **201**. For example, STP quality overlay **210** illustratively shows the smaller bird's head to be a quality related STP **204** (the medium gray color). STP quality overlay **210** illustratively shows the larger bird's portion of torso, tail, and foot to be quality dominating STPs **206** (the dark gray color). It should be noted that STP quality overlay **210** is not necessarily a product of the EUVQ model or related algorithm, but is used illustratively here in part to explain the determination of quality related STPs **204** and quality dominating STPs **206**. In some embodiments, the EUVQ model or related processing logic can generate visual representations of video stream similar to STP quality overlay **210** as an output.

(37) FIG. **3A** is a block diagram **300** illustrating an example transformation flow of input to an EUQV model from input **310** to the output of EUQV model as the perceptual quality score **360**, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. Input **310** (e.g., video stream) passes through several phases or layers of the EUQV model, here shown as decomposition **302**, projection **303**, local essential sampling **304**, global essential sampling **305**, and final check **306**. Each layer of the EUQV model applies one or more linear or non-linear mathematical transformations to the data received at the respective layer. The operations of each of these layers, (decomposition **302**, projection **303**, local essential sampling **304**, global essential sampling **305**, and final check **306**) are further described in FIG. **3B**.

(38) Input **310** can be video stream, (e.g., video stream **121** described in FIG. **1**). In some embodiments, input **310** can include reduced quality streams derived from video stream. Input **310** is decomposed into multiple reduced quality streams **320** by decomposition **302**. In the illustrative example, reduced quality streams **320** includes original resolution reduced quality stream **322** and original framerate reduced quality stream **324**. In some embodiments, reduced quality streams **320** can include other types reduced quality streams that prioritize different aspects of the given video stream (e.g., color-space mapping). Each of reduced quality streams **320** is converted to respective tokens **330** in a projection layer by projection **303**.

(39) In the illustrative example, tokens **330** includes original resolution tokens **332**, and original framerate tokens **334**. In some embodiments, tokens **330** can include other tokens derived from reduced quality streams that prioritized different aspects of the given video stream. After tokens **330** are created, the EUQV model does not distinguish tokens prioritizing one aspect of the video stream over tokens prioritizing another aspect of the video stream. In the illustrative example, the EUQV model does not distinguish original resolution tokens **332** from original framerate tokens **334**. E.g., during the following sampling steps, the EUQV model selects from tokens **330** that meet the sampling requirements, regardless of whether the token **330** was derived from the original resolution reduced quality stream **322** or the original framerate reduced quality stream **324**. In some embodiments, the EUQV model can be configured to prioritize one token type over another. For example, the EUQV model might be configured to prioritize tokens derived from the reduced quality stream prioritizing video stream resolution (i.e., original resolution reduced quality stream) over tokens derived from the reduced quality stream prioritizing video stream framerates (i.e., original framerate reduced quality stream). In some embodiments, tokens **330** can be projected STPs of a reduced quality stream (e.g., STPs **202** described in FIG. **2**).

(40) Local quality tokens **340** include tokens **330** which the EUQV model identified as meeting some quality criterion of local essential sampling **304**. In some embodiments, the local quality score can be determined for each of tokens **330**, and local quality tokens **340** can include tokens **330** with a local quality score above a certain threshold (e.g., the quality criterion of local essential sampling **304**). The quality criterion of local essential sampling **304** is further described below.

(41) Global quality tokens **350** include local quality tokens **340** which were identified as meeting some quality criterion of global essential sampling **305**. In some embodiments, the global quality score can be determined for each of local quality tokens **340**, and global quality tokens **350** can include local quality tokens **340** with a global quality score above a certain threshold (e.g., the quality criterion of global essential sampling **305**). The quality criterion of global essential sampling **305** is further described below.

(42) Perceptual quality score **360** is an indication of the perceptual quality of a video stream based on the global quality tokens **350**. Perceptual quality score **360** can include one or more indications, and can be the product of one or more algorithms or mathematic transformations performed during final check **306**. In some embodiments, the perceptual quality score **360** can be the value(s) of global quality essential scores of the global quality tokens **350**.

(43) In a particular embodiment, the EUQV model generates, from a video stream input, two reduced quality streams, 1) a cropped original resolution frame sequence of 224×224 , and 2) a down-scaled high framerate sequence with default resolution of 256×256 , which is randomly cropped to 224×224 . Alternatively, the 256×256 resolution can be centrally cropped to 224×224 . Frames of the video stream can be sampled with strides ranging from 1 to 3 randomly. For original-framerate sequences, three frames are uniformly sampled, and cropped to a resolution of 224×224 . Due to current hardware and/or software limitations that can limit the quantity of inputs to the EUQV model, cropped original framerate slices can be randomly resampled to discard several frames, thus reducing the size of the input. The STP extraction size can be a resolution and a quantity of frames, such as $16 \times 16 \times 4$ (e.g., 16 pixels by 16 pixels and 4 frames). When the number of frames decreases for the STP (e.g., $16 \times 16 \times 2$, etc.), the model can extract more tokens, and produce more accurate outputs. When the number of frames increases for the STP (e.g., $16 \times 16 \times 8$, etc.) the model can extract less tokens, and perform the perceptual quality indicator predictions faster. In some embodiments, the EUQV model can use a mean square error (MSE) training loss function.

(44) FIG. 3B is a block diagram **301** illustrating the operations performed at each phase or layer of the EUQV model to transform input **310** (e.g., the video stream) into a perceptual quality score **360** for the video stream, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure.

(45) During decomposition **302**, the input **310** to the model is separated into multiple reduced quality streams **320**. Reduced quality streams **320** can retain an original aspect of the video stream, while modifying other aspect(s) of the video stream to reduce the size of the input. For example, given a 1920×1080 resolution, 30 frames-per-second (fps) video, one reduced quality stream can include a 1920×1080 resolution, 1 fps reduced quality stream, and one reduced quality stream can include a down-scaled 256×256 resolution, 30 fps reduced quality stream. In this example, the total number of pixels to be processed by the EUQV model before and after decomposition **302** have a ratio of 1:0.065. This and similar reductions to the number of pixels that need to be processed by the EUQV model can significantly reduce the computational complexity of the model with minimal loss to accuracy. After the video stream is decomposed into multiple reduced quality streams **320**, the reduced quality streams **320** can be transformed into tokens.

(46) During projection **303**, each reduced quality stream **320** is transformed into tokens **330** by projection **303**. Projection **303** can be a projection layer of a machine learning model. Projection **303** can be a simple projection that takes the reduced quality streams **320** as an input (e.g., input K **371**), and transforms the reduced quality streams **320** with a linear transformation into a new vector space. In the illustrative example, input K **371** ($C_{\text{sub.in}} \times C \times t \times h \times w$) can be represented as $K_{\text{sub.1}}$ **372** ($C_{\text{sub.in}} \times R \times t \times h \times w$) cross $K_{\text{sub.2}}$ **373** ($R \times C$), where $R \ll C$. $K_{\text{sub.2}}$ **373** can represent a “flattened” input K **371**, and can be processed by the EUQV model as a token **330**. In some embodiments, $K_{\text{sub.1}}$ **372** can be used to represent input K **371** and processed by the EUQV model as a token **330**. After projection **303**, each token **330** can represent a local STP of the video stream (e.g., STP **202** described in FIG. 2).

(47) Local essential sampling **304** and global essential sampling **305** can be two parts of a quality essential sampling operation performed by the EUQV model, or a quality essential sampler (e.g., quality essential sampler **162** described in FIG. **1**). A token **330** can be “quality essential” if the token **330** is useful in predicting perceptual quality of the video stream. Local essential sampling **304** can refer to the process for selecting quality related STPs **204** described above in FIG. **2**. Global essential sampling **305** can refer to the process for selecting quality dominating STPs **206** described above in FIG. **2**.

(48) During local essential sampling **304**, the EUQV model estimates the usefulness of a given token **330** for predicting perceptual quality of the video stream with respect to a small, localized neighborhood of tokens **330**. Local essential sampling **304** evaluates local quality essential scores for all tokens **330**, and filters out tokens **330** that are quality non-essential. The tokens **330** with the lowest local quality essential scores can be discarded. In some embodiments, the tokens **330** with a local quality essential score below a threshold value can be discarded. In some embodiments, a top-k selector can be used to discard tokens **330** with the lowest local quality essential scores. Local essential sampling **304** can use a learnable local sampler (e.g., convolution **381** and ReLU **382**) to estimate the local quality essential score for each token **330** with minimal computational costs. The computational complexity of performing global essential sampling **305** on each token **330** can be exponentially greater than the computational complexity of performing local essential sampling **304**, and thus reducing the number of tokens **330** that pass through global essential sampling **305** can exponentially reduce the computational cost of the EUQV model. In a particular embodiment, the computational complexity of global essential sampling **305** can be $O(N_{\text{sub}}^2)$, and thus, by using local essential sampling **304**, the computational cost for following components can be reduced quadratically.

(49) In the illustrative block diagram **301**, the local essential sampler used for local essential sampling **304** can be a convolution **381** followed by a rectified linear unit (ReLU) **382**.

Convolution **381** and ReLU **382** can each be respective layers in a model (e.g., model **170** described in FIG. **1**). Convolution **381** can apply a convolution operation to an input by “sliding” a kernel over the input data and computing a dot product between a filter and the input data at each data location. Performing convolution operations can result in a new set of feature maps that capture different aspects of the input data. In some implementations, filter weights for the convolution operation in a machine learning model can be learned during model training using backpropagation. Backpropagation can allow the model (e.g., a neural network) to learn useful representations of the input data for a given task (e.g., predicting a perceptual quality indicator for video stream or a reduced quality stream). During convolution in local essential sampling **304**,

tokens can be reshaped by $Z = [Z_{\text{sub}.1} \dots Z_{\text{sub}.N}]$ as $Z' \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times C'}$

($N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} = N$). The convolution can then be performed with the kernel $S \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times C'}$


$\mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times C'}$. ReLU **382** can apply an ReLU function to an input (e.g., the output from convolution **381**). The ReLU function can have the general form of $f(X) = \max(0, X)$. If the input value “X,” is greater than or equal to 0, the output value of the ReLU function is the same as the input value (e.g., “X”). If the input value, “X,” is less than 0, the output value of the ReLU function is 0. The convolution can be 1:1 to preserve the original spatial layout. Thus, the local essential sampling features can be computed by:

$$(50) \quad Z_{\text{local}} = \text{ReLU}(\text{Conv2d}(Z', S)) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h \times N_w \times C'}, \quad (1)$$




where C' is the channel of the output local feature. To aggregate the information of each dimension of $Z_{\text{sub}.local}$, local essential sampling **304** can apply average pooling **383**. An average pooling function can be used to reduce the spatial dimensions of an input (e.g., the output of ReLU **382**). To perform the average pooling function, the average value of subsets of the input are computed for the each portion of the input, which reduces the dimensions of the input and increases uniformity of the input. The average pooling function can reduce the impact of noise and local variations of an

input (e.g., the output of ReLU **382**). As applied in the illustrative example of local essential sampling **304**, average pooling **383** can reduce the channels C' and reshape the first dimension of the convoluted token back to N :

$$(51) \quad z_{agg} = AvgPooling(Z_{local}) \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2)$$

The aggregated informative vector  can indicate the local quality essential scores for tokens **330**. Local essential sampling **304** can perform Softmax **384** to obtain the local sampling categorical distribution. Softmax **384** can apply a Softmax function, which normalizes a vector of arbitrary inputs into a probability distribution that sums to 1. The Softmax function can take the general form of

$$(52) \quad softmax(\overset{fwd}{x})_i = e^{x_i} \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{x_j}},$$

where  is the input as a vector, $x_{sub.i}$ is the i -th element of the input vector , and $\sum_{j=1}^{sup.K} x_{sup.j}$ is the sum of the exponential values of all elements in the input vector . As applied in the illustrative example of local essential sampling **304**, Softmax **384** can obtain the local sampling categorical distribution with:

$$(53) \quad \pi_{local} = Softmax(z_{agg}). \quad (3)$$

In the illustrative example, the most relevant tokens obtained from Softmax **384** can be sampled under a specified rate with Gumbel-Softmax **385**. Gumbel-Softmax **385** can optimize the parameters of local essential sampling **304** through backpropagation process and end-to-end training. The Gumbel-Softmax function is a variation of the Softmax function, and can be used in model reinforcement learning, generative modeling, and other machine learning applications. In some implementations, a Gumbel-Softmax function can introduce stochasticity into a model, which can improve the diversity of a model's output. As applied in the illustrative example of local essential sampling **304**, Gumbel-Softmax **385** can sample local quality token **340** from the $\pi_{sub.local}$ distribution by:

$$(54) \quad d_{valid} = GumbelSoftmax(\pi_{local}). \quad (4)$$

In the illustrative example of local essential sampling **304**, $d_{sub.valid}$ can represent the final decision vector which indicates the corresponding valid local quality tokens **340**.

(55) During global essential sampling **305**, the EUQV model estimates the usefulness of a given token for predicting perceptual quality of the video stream with respect to a large group of tokens **330**. In some embodiments, the large group of tokens includes all tokens corresponding to input **310** from the video stream. Global essential sampling **305** evaluates global scores for all local quality tokens **340**, and filters out local quality tokens **340** that are quality non-essential. The global quality essential score of a token can be used for the final perceptual quality prediction of the video stream. To remove local quality tokens **340** that are quality non-essential, global essential sampling **305** globally investigates all local quality tokens **340** as well as the correlations of each local quality token **340** with other local quality tokens **340** using multilayer perceptron (MLP) **393**. The MLP **393** can have an input layer, and output layer, and one or many hidden layers. At each layer after the input layer, the MLP **393** can apply a nonlinear activation function to the data. The MLP **393** can be trained through backpropagation and is affected by changing connection weights after each piece of data is processed. Connection weights can be changed based on the amount of error in the output in comparison to an expected result. In some embodiments, a self-attention mechanism can be used to perform the correlation between all local quality tokens. Other global correlation mechanisms are possible.

(56) In the illustrative block diagram **301**, global essential sampling **305** applies a self-attention mechanism to a subset of global quality tokens **392** identified from token quality map **391** to compute global quality essential scores. As applied in the illustrative example of global essential sampling **305**, the self-attention weights can be computed by:

$$(57) \quad A = \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{q(Z) \cdot \text{Math.} k(Z)}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, \quad (5)$$

where $q(\cdot)$ and $k(\cdot)$ are two learnable mappings of the input tokens, and d is the number of hidden dimensions. The initial input tokens can be local quality tokens **340**. Subsequent input tokens can be transformed output tokens from the previous respective layer. In some embodiments, subsequent transformations to the input tokens can be based on global information. The vector $A(:,j)$ in the attention weights A can be considered as the correlations between token j and all other tokens in terms of the quality training objective. As shown in token quality map **391** of global essential sampling **305**, global quality tokens **350** (darkest gray squares) can be identified from local quality tokens **340** (medium gray squares). The EUQV model can determine self-attention scores for the global quality tokens **350**, and the perceptual quality score **360** can be based on the self-attention scores of the global quality tokens **350**.

(58) During final check **306**, the EUQV model can perform various verification operations to confirm the global essential score of the token. In some embodiments, final check **306** can perform additional mathematical transformations on the global essential score of a global quality token **350**. Global information of each token can be aggregated using a reduced summation. A reduced summation operation can refer to the mathematical operation that sums the elements of a tensor along one or more dimensions to reduce the rank of the tensor. The resulting tensor will have the same shape as the original tensor, except the dimensions which were reduced by the reduced summation operation. As applied in the illustrative example of global essential sampling **305**, global information can be aggregated along the first axis of A as:

$$(59) \quad z_{agg} = \text{ReducedSum}(A). \quad (6)$$

The $z_{sub.agg}$ can represent the weight for each global token. be considered the global essential score of each token. Global essential sampling **305** can perform a Softmax function on $z_{sub.agg}$ to obtain the global sampling distribution (i.e., normalized $z_{sub.agg}$ values):

$$(60) \quad \pi_{global} = \text{Softmax}(z_{agg}). \quad (7)$$

$\pi_{sub.global}$ can represent the normalized $z_{sub.agg}$ value for each global token, such that all $\pi_{sub.global}$ values sum to 1. The tokens with the lowest weights can be removed, and the remaining $\pi_{sub.global}$ values can represent the perceptual quality score **360**.

(61) FIG. 4 depicts a flow diagram of an example method for performing video quality predictions with an EUQV model in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. Method **400** can be performed by processing logic that can include hardware (circuitry, dedicated logic, etc.), software (e.g., instructions run on a processing device), or a combination thereof. In one implementation, some or all of the operations of method **400** can be performed by one or more components of system **100** of FIG. 1. In some embodiments, some or all of the operations of method **400** can be performed by perceptual quality component **160** as described above.

(62) At operation **410**, the processing logic performing the method **400** generates, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution, a first reduced quality stream of a second spatial resolution and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution. The first reduced quality stream can retain the first temporal resolution. The second reduced quality stream can retain the first spatial resolution. In some embodiments, the combined number of pixels in the reduced quality streams can be below ten percent of the number of pixels in the video stream.

(63) At operation **420**, the processing logic samples a plurality of spatio-temporal patches (STPs), wherein a first subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the second reduced-quality stream. STPs can include a portion sampled from multiple frames of the first reduced-quality stream or multiple frames of the second reduced-quality stream.

(64) At operation **430**, the processing logic processes, using a machine learning model (MLM), the plurality of STPs to identify a quality score for each of a subplurality of quality-representative

STPs representative of a quality of the video stream. The MLM can include a projection neural network used to project each STP to a token in a token space. Processing logic can process digital representations of the plurality of STPs using a first neural network of the MLM to obtain for each STP, a relevance score. In some embodiments, the first neural network can include one or more convolutional neuron layers. Processing logic can identify the quality-representative STPs that have the relevance score at or above a threshold score. Processing logic can process, using a second neural network of the MLM, the quality-representative STPs to identify the quality score for each quality-representative STP. The second neural network can include one or more self-attention neuron layers.

(65) At operation **440**, the processing logic identifies, using the quality scores of the subplurality of quality representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream. In some embodiments, the one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream can include: generating one or more additional frames for the video stream, modifying the first spatial resolution of the video stream, changing a compression format of the video stream, modifying settings for displaying the video stream on a graphical user interface (GUI), and/or generating a report indicative of the quality of the video stream being below a threshold value.

(66) In some embodiments, processing logic can receive an updated video stream, wherein the updated video stream is obtained using the one or more quality-improving actions applied to the video stream. Processing logic can evaluate, using the MLM, a quality of the updated video stream. Processing logic can cause, based on the quality of the updated video stream, additional actions to be performed. In some embodiments, the additional actions include displaying the video stream to one or more viewers, responsive to the quality of the updated video stream being at or above a threshold value. In some embodiments, the additional actions include applying one or more additional quality-improving actions to the updated video stream, responsive to the quality of the updated video stream being below the threshold value.

(67) In some embodiments, the one or more quality improving actions can include using the video stream as an input into a generative MLM to generate the updated video stream. The input to the generative MLM can include the quality scores of the quality-representative STPs.

(68) In some embodiments, the one or more quality improving actions can include host actions such as video compression techniques, video enhancement techniques, video streaming optimization settings (e.g., data storage techniques or protocols, data retrieval techniques or protocols, etc.). In some embodiments, the one or more quality improving actions can include client actions such as video stream recommendations for a user of a client device, actions related to searches performed by a user, streaming optimization settings, etc. In some embodiments, the one or more quality improving actions can include reporting actions such as visual representations of outputs from the MLM (e.g., STP quality overlay **210** described with respect to FIG. 2), statistical summaries of outputs from the MLM, graphical representations of outputs from the MLM, new training data generated from the outputs of the MLM, etc. In a particular embodiment, statistical summaries and/or graphical representations of the outputs from the MLM can be provided as feedback to a creator of a given UGC video stream and/or to the platform hosting the respective video stream in a GUI.

(69) In some embodiments, the one or more quality improving actions can include identifying and applying video enhancement techniques, generating additional static visuals, generating additional frames for video stream, and/or generating additional details for a given frame. In some embodiments, enhancement actions can be identified using another machine learning model. In some embodiments, enhancement actions can be identified and/or performed by the same model used to predict the perceptual quality of video stream. The processing logic can identify one or more quality metrics associated with the STP that do not meet a quality metric threshold. The processing logic can create modified video stream by modifying the STPs associated with the one or more quality metrics that do not meet the quality metric threshold. In some embodiments, the

processing logic can modify the STPs with a generative machine learning model. The generative model can be provided with an input of the STPs associated with the quality metrics that do not meet the quality metric threshold. Processing logic can replace the respective original STPs with the modified STPs obtained from the output of the generative model. The processing logic can obtain modified outputs of the machine learning model indicating a modified perceptual quality of the modified STP. Responsive to determining the modified perceptual quality of the modified STP exceeds the perceptual quality of the STP, the processing logic can cause actions with respect to the modified video stream to be identified.

(70) FIG. 5 depicts a flow diagram of an example method **500** for training a model, such as the EUQV model, to make video stream perceptual quality predictions, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. Method **500** can be performed by processing logic that can include hardware (circuitry, dedicated logic, etc.), software (e.g., instructions run on a processing device), or a combination thereof. In one implementation, some or all of the operations of method **500** can be performed by one or more components of system **100** of FIG. 1. In some embodiments, some or all of the operations of method **500** can be performed by training engine **141** in connection with training set generator **131** as described above. In some embodiments, some or all of the operations of method **500** can be performed by perceptual quality component **160** as described above.

(71) At operation **510**, processing logic performing the method **500** obtains a video stream having a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution, wherein the video stream is associated with a ground truth quality score and used as a training video stream. The ground truth quality score for the video stream can be derived from aggregated human-based quality ratings. In some embodiments, the video stream and corresponding ground truth quality score can be paired as training data with a specific MLM or MLM backbone.

(72) In some embodiments, the number of available training video streams can be increased using various methods of video augmentation. For example, at least a portion of a given video stream can be modified to change (e.g., improve, reduce, improve in some aspects and reduce in other aspects) the quality of the video stream. More specifically, processing logic can alter one or more STPs of the video stream and recompile the STPs into a viewable video stream. The recompiled viewable video stream can be presented to one or more viewers for a new quality assessment. Alterations to the STPs can include adding noise to the image, filtering the image, sharpening the image, generating additional frames, etc. Quality scores from users of the recompiled viewable video stream can be compared with quality scores of the original video stream to determine whether the alteration improved or worsened the quality of the video stream. The viewers who view the modified (augmented) video stream can be the same viewers or different from viewers who viewed the original video stream (and/or other video streams modified based on the same original video stream).

(73) At operation **520**, processing logic generates, for the video stream, a first reduced-quality stream having a second spatial resolution and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution. In some embodiments, additional types of reduced quality streams can be generated, e.g., a reduced quality stream that retains a color-space mapping of the video stream, but has a reduced spatial and/or temporal resolution.

(74) At operation **530**, processing logic applies a machine learning model (MLM) that is being trained to at least a portion of the first reduced-quality stream and at least a portion of the second reduced-quality stream to predict a quality score of the video stream. The MLM can be at least one of a regression model, a neural network, a supervised model, or an unsupervised model. In some embodiments, the at least a portion of the first reduced-quality stream can include a first set of spatio-temporal patches (STPs) from the first reduced-quality stream. In some embodiments, the at least a portion of the second reduced-quality stream can include a second set of STPs from the second reduced-quality stream. In some embodiments, STPs can be projected into a projection

space as tokens. The tokens can be processed through one or more convolution layers. STPs can correspond to an input size for the model. If an STP exceeds the input limitations of the model, the size of the STP can be compressed. In some embodiments, an STP that exceeds the input limitations of the model can be spatially compressed (e.g., the resolution reduced or the frame cropped) or temporally compressed (e.g., frames can be removed and/or combined).

(75) At operation **540**, processing logic modifies, using the predicted quality score and the ground truth quality score, one or more parameters of the MLM. In some embodiments, processing logic can determine a first score for each STP (e.g., for each STP of the first set of STPs and for each STP of the second set of STPs). The MLM can determine whether the first quality score of a given STP satisfies a first quality criterion. STPs with first quality scores that satisfy the first quality criterion can be selected as a first subset of STPs. In some embodiments, processing logic can determine a second quality score for each STP based on the first score of the STP. The second quality score can be determined for STPs of the first subset of STPs (e.g., STPs with a first quality score that satisfies the first quality criterion). The second quality score can indicate how a respective STP of the first subset of STPs correlates to the other STPs in the first subset of STPs. Processing logic can determine the predicted quality score for the video stream by aggregating the second score for each STP. In some embodiments, processing logic can select from the first subset of STPs, one or more STPs with a second quality score that satisfies a second quality criterion. Processing logic can aggregate the second quality scores of the one or more STPs and map the aggregated second quality scores to the predicted quality score for the video stream.

(76) In some embodiments, the MLM can include a model backbone that has been pretrained to classify images. The pretrained model backbone can be used in a VQA MLM that is retrained on videos. The initial model backbone can be hand-selected, and pretrained for image recognition. The VQA MLM can retrain the initial model backbone on video streams. The VQA MLM can be retrained on video quality datasets which have corresponding human-derived annotations for the video (e.g., quality scores). In some embodiments, the pretrained model can be based on human-selected weights for STPs or groups of STPs (e.g., human identifications of image quality). In some embodiments, training the VQA MLM can require two inputs: a first input of the video (e.g., reduced quality streams, STPs derived from the video stream) and a second input (ground truth) that includes associated subjective human annotations for the first input. In some embodiments, additional training data can be generated by the VQA MLM or a related model based on correlations between human-identified subjective quality scores. For example, the MLM can be trained on a training dataset of video patches and corresponding video quality scores to generate quality scores for new video content based on similarities between video patches of a similar certain quality score from the training dataset. This generated data can be re-fed into a MLM to further improve the ability of the MLM to make accurate video quality score determinations for new video content. In other embodiments, using image classification, the MLM can be trained to identify STPs of a video stream that are worst-case candidate STPs of the video stream. The MLM can determine that these worst-case candidate STPs correspond to the human-based perceptual quality for the whole video stream. In some embodiments, the MLM can be trained to identify best-case candidate STPs, and can determine the best-case candidate STPs correspond to the human-based perceptual quality for the whole video stream. The MLM can be trained in other ways to identify STPs of the video stream that correspond to the ground truth data of the subjective human-based perceptual quality score for the whole video stream.

(77) FIG. **6** is a block diagram illustrating an example computer system **600**, in accordance with embodiments of the present disclosure. The computer system **600** can correspond to platform **120** and/or client devices **102A-N**, described in FIG. **1**. Computer system **600** can operate in the capacity of a server or an endpoint machine in endpoint-server network environment, or as a peer machine in a peer-to-peer (or distributed) network environment. The machine can be a television, a personal computer (PC), a tablet PC, a set-top box (STB), a Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), a

cellular telephone, a web appliance, a server, a network router, switch or bridge, or any machine capable of executing a set of instructions (sequential or otherwise) that specify actions to be taken by that machine. Further, while only a single machine is illustrated, the term “machine” shall also be taken to include any collection of machines that individually or jointly execute a set (or multiple sets) of instructions to perform any one or more of the methodologies discussed herein.

(78) The computer system **600** includes a processing device **602** (e.g., a processor), a main memory **604** (e.g., read-only memory (ROM), flash memory, dynamic random access memory (DRAM) such as synchronous DRAM (SDRAM), double data rate (DDR SDRAM), or DRAM (RDRAM), etc.), a static memory **606** (e.g., flash memory, static random access memory (SRAM), etc.), and a data storage device **616**, which communicate with each other via a bus **630**.

(79) Processing device **602** represents one or more general-purpose processing devices such as a microprocessor, central processing unit, or the like. More particularly, processing device **602** can be a complex instruction set computing (CISC) microprocessor, reduced instruction set computing (RISC) microprocessor, very long instruction word (VLIW) microprocessor, or a processor implementing other instruction sets or processors implementing a combination of instruction sets. The processing device **602** can also be one or more special-purpose processing devices such as an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a field programmable gate array (FPGA), a digital signal processor (DSP), network processor, or the like. The processing device **602** is configured to execute perceptual quality processing logic **622** (e.g., for predicting perceptual quality indicators for video stream) for performing the operations discussed herein. The processing device **602** can be configured to execute instructions **626** stored in volatile memory **604**.

(80) The computer system **600** can further include a network interface device **608**. The computer system **600** also can include a video display unit **610** (e.g., a liquid crystal display (LCD) or a cathode ray tube (CRT)), an input device **612** (e.g., a keyboard, and alphanumeric keyboard, a motion sensing input device, touch screen), a cursor control device **614** (e.g., a mouse), and a signal generation device **618** (e.g., a speaker).

(81) The data storage device **616** can include a computer-readable storage medium **624** (e.g., a non-transitory machine-readable storage medium) **624** on which is stored one or more sets of instructions **626** (e.g., for predicting the perceptual quality of an STP) embodying any one or more of the methodologies or functions described herein. The instructions can also reside, completely or at least partially, within the main memory **604** and/or within the processing device **602** during execution thereof by the computer system **600**, the main memory **604** and the processing device **602** also constituting machine-readable storage media. The instructions can further be transmitted or received over a network **620** via the network interface device **608**.

(82) While the computer-readable storage medium **624** (machine-readable storage medium) is shown in an exemplary implementation to be a single medium, the terms “computer-readable storage medium” and “machine-readable storage medium” should be taken to include a single medium or multiple media (e.g., a centralized or distributed database, and/or associated caches and servers) that store the one or more sets of instructions. The terms “computer-readable storage medium” and “machine-readable storage medium” shall also be taken to include any medium that is capable of storing, encoding or carrying a set of instructions for execution by the machine and that cause the machine to perform any one or more of the methodologies of the present disclosure. The terms “computer-readable storage medium” and “machine-readable storage medium” shall accordingly be taken to include, but not be limited to, solid-state memories, optical media, and magnetic media.

(83) Reference throughout this specification to “one implementation,” “one embodiment,” “an implementation,” or “an embodiment,” means that a particular feature, structure, or characteristic described in connection with the implementation and/or embodiment is included in at least one implementation and/or embodiment. Thus, the appearances of the phrase “in one implementation,” or “in an implementation,” in various places throughout this specification can, but are not

necessarily, referring to the same implementation, depending on the circumstances. Furthermore, the particular features, structures, or characteristics can be combined in any suitable manner in one or more implementations.

(84) To the extent that the terms “includes,” “including,” “has,” “contains,” variants thereof, and other similar words are used in either the detailed description or the claims, these terms are intended to be inclusive in a manner similar to the term “comprising” as an open transition word without precluding any additional or other elements.

(85) As used in this application, the terms “component,” “module,” “system,” or the like are generally intended to refer to a computer-related entity, either hardware (e.g., a circuit), software, a combination of hardware and software, or an entity related to an operational machine with one or more specific functionalities. For example, a component can be, but is not limited to being, a process running on a processor (e.g., digital signal processor), a processor, an object, an executable, a thread of execution, a program, and/or a computer. By way of illustration, both an application running on a controller and the controller can be a component. One or more components can reside within a process and/or thread of execution and a component can be localized on one computer and/or distributed between two or more computers. Further, a “device” can come in the form of specially designed hardware; generalized hardware made specialized by the execution of software thereon that enables hardware to perform specific functions (e.g., generating interest points and/or descriptors); software on a computer readable medium; or a combination thereof.

(86) The aforementioned systems, circuits, modules, and so on have been described with respect to interact between several components and/or blocks. It can be appreciated that such systems, circuits, components, blocks, and so forth can include those components or specified sub-components, some of the specified components or sub-components, and/or additional components, and according to various permutations and combinations of the foregoing. Sub-components can also be implemented as components communicatively coupled to other components rather than included within parent components (hierarchical). Additionally, it should be noted that one or more components can be combined into a single component providing aggregate functionality or divided into several separate sub-components, and any one or more middle layers, such as a management layer, can be provided to communicatively couple to such sub-components in order to provide integrated functionality. Any components described herein can also interact with one or more other components not specifically described herein but known by those of skill in the art.

(87) Moreover, the words “example” or “exemplary” are used herein to mean serving as an example, instance, or illustration. Any aspect or design described herein as “exemplary” is not necessarily to be construed as preferred or advantageous over other aspects or designs. Rather, use of the words “example” or “exemplary” is intended to present concepts in a concrete fashion. As used in this application, the term “or” is intended to mean an inclusive “or” rather than an exclusive “or.” That is, unless specified otherwise, or clear from context, “X employs A or B” is intended to mean any of the natural inclusive permutations. That is, if X employs A; X employs B; or X employs both A and B, then “X employs A or B” is satisfied under any of the foregoing instances. In addition, the articles “a” and “an” as used in this application and the appended claims should generally be construed to mean “one or more” unless specified otherwise or clear from context to be directed to a singular form.

(88) Finally, implementations described herein include collection of data describing a user and/or activities of a user. In one implementation, such data is only collected upon the user providing consent to the collection of this data. In some implementations, a user is prompted to explicitly allow data collection. Further, the user can opt-in or opt-out of participating in such data collection activities. In one implementation, the collected data is anonymized prior to performing any analysis to obtain any statistical patterns so that the identity of the user cannot be determined from the collected data.

Claims

1. A method comprising: generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution: a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution, and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution; sampling a plurality of spatio-temporal patches (STPs), wherein a first subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the second reduced-quality stream; processing, using a machine learning model (MLM), the plurality of STPs to identify a quality score for each of a subplurality of quality-representative STPs representative of a quality of the video stream; and identifying, using the quality scores of the subplurality of quality-representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the first reduced-quality stream has the first temporal resolution, and wherein the second reduced-quality stream has the first spatial resolution.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein a combined number of pixels in the first reduced-quality stream and the second reduced-quality stream is below ten percent of a number of pixels in the video stream.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein each STP of the plurality of STPs comprises a portion sampled from multiple frames of the first reduced-quality stream or multiple frames of the second reduced-quality stream.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein processing the plurality of STPs comprises: using a projection neural network of the MLM to project each STP of the plurality of STPs to a respective token in a token space.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein processing the plurality of STPs comprises: processing digital representations of the plurality of STPs using a first neural network of the MLM to obtain, for each STP of the plurality of STPs, a relevance score, wherein the first neural network comprises one or more convolutional neuron layers; and identifying the subplurality of quality-representative STPs having the relevance score at or above a threshold score.
7. The method of claim 6, further comprising: processing, using a second neural network of the MLM, the subplurality of quality representative STPs to identify the quality score for each of the subplurality of quality representative STPs, wherein the second neural network comprises one or more self-attention neuron layers.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream comprise one or more of: generating one or more additional frames for the video stream; modifying the first spatial resolution of the video stream; changing a compression format of the video stream; modifying settings for displaying the video stream on a graphical user interface (GUI); or generating a report indicative of the quality of the video stream being below a threshold value.
9. The method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving an updated video stream, wherein the updated video stream is obtained using the one or more quality-improving actions applied to the video stream; evaluating, using the MLM, a quality of the updated video stream; and causing, based on the quality of the updated video stream, at least one of: the updated video stream to be displayed to one or more viewers, responsive to the quality of the updated video stream being at or above a threshold value; or one or more additional quality-improving actions to be applied to the updated video stream, responsive to the quality of the updated video stream being below the threshold value.
10. The method of claim 9, wherein the one or more quality-improving actions comprise: using the video stream as an input into a generative MLM to generate the updated video stream.
11. The method of claim 10, wherein the input into the generative MLM further comprises the quality scores of the subplurality of quality-representative STPs.
12. A non-transitory computer readable storage medium comprising instructions that, when

executed by a processing device, cause a processing device to perform operations comprising: generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution: a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution, and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution; sampling a plurality of spatio-temporal patches (STPs), wherein a first subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the second reduced-quality stream; processing, using a machine learning model (MLM), the plurality of STPs to identify a quality score for each of a subplurality of quality-representative STPs representative of a quality of the video stream; and identifying, using the quality scores of the subplurality of quality-representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream.

13. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 12, wherein the first reduced-quality stream has the first temporal resolution, and wherein the second reduced-quality stream has the first spatial resolution.

14. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 12, wherein each STP of the plurality of STPs comprises a portion sampled from multiple frames of the first reduced quality stream or multiple frames of the second reduced-quality stream.

15. A system comprising: a memory; and a processor communicatively coupled to the memory, the processor to perform operations comprising: generating, for a video stream of a first spatial resolution and a first temporal resolution: a first reduced-quality stream of a second spatial resolution, and a second reduced-quality stream of a second temporal resolution; sampling a plurality of spatio-temporal patches (STPs), wherein a first subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the first reduced-quality stream and a second subset of the plurality of STPs is sampled from the second reduced-quality stream; processing, using a machine learning model (MLM), the plurality of STPs to identify a quality score for each of a subplurality of quality-representative STPs representative of a quality of the video stream; and identifying, using the quality scores of the subplurality of quality-representative STPs, one or more quality-improving actions for the video stream.

16. The system of claim 15, wherein the first reduced-quality stream has the first temporal resolution, and wherein the second reduced-quality stream has the first spatial resolution.

17. The system of claim 15, wherein a combined number of pixels in the first reduced-quality stream and the second reduced-quality stream is below ten percent of a number of pixels in the video stream.

18. The system of claim 15, wherein each STP of the plurality of STPs comprises a portion sampled from multiple frames of the first reduced-quality stream or multiple frames of the second reduced-quality stream.

19. The system of claim 15, wherein processing the plurality of STPs comprises: using a projection neural network of the MLM to project each STP of the plurality of STPs to a respective token in a token space.

20. The system of claim 15, wherein processing the plurality of STPs comprises: processing digital representations of the plurality of STPs using a first neural network of the MLM to obtain, for each STP of the plurality of STPs, a relevance score, wherein the first neural network comprises one or more convolutional neuron layers; and identifying the subplurality of quality-representative STPs having the relevance score at or above a threshold score.
