# US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent Application Publication Kind Code Publication Date Inventor(s) 20250258729 A1 August 14, 2025 Paul; Aditi et al.

# ARTIFICIAL-INTELLIGENCE-ASSISTED ERROR PREDICTION IN INTEGRATION PROCESSES

#### Abstract

Conventional error detection for integration processes in an integration platform are inefficient and require significant expertise. Accordingly, an error prediction model is disclosed. The error prediction model may be operated to produce error predictions, based on the current design (e.g., lineage) of an integration process, during construction of that integration process (e.g., on a virtual canvas). A generative language model may also be used to provide the error predictions in natural language. This enables the efficient troubleshooting and resolution of errors in an integration process, prior to that integration process being deployed and executed, and without requiring significant expertise.

Inventors: Paul; Aditi (Jersey City, NJ), Tu; Ching-Han (San Diego, CA), Detlefsen; Bradley

(West Chester, PA)

**Applicant: Boomi, LP** (Conshohocken, PA)

Family ID: 96660849

Assignee: Boomi, LP (Conshohocken, PA)

Appl. No.: 18/438244

Filed: February 09, 2024

# **Publication Classification**

Int. Cl.: G06F11/00 (20060101); G06F40/40 (20200101); G06N3/0455 (20230101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **G06F11/004** (20130101); **G06F40/40** (20200101); **G06N3/0455** (20230101);

G06F2201/81 (20130101)

### **Background/Summary**

#### **BACKGROUND**

Field of the Invention

[0001] The embodiments described herein are generally directed to artificial intelligence (AI), and, more particularly, to the use of artificial intelligence to assist in the construction of integration processes by predicting errors during construction of the integration processes.

Description of the Related Art

[0002] Integration platform as a service (iPaaS) enables the integration of applications and data. The iPaaS platform provided by Boomi® of Chesterbrook, Pennsylvania, enables user to construct integration processes from pre-built steps, represented by "shapes," which each has a set of configuration properties. Each step dictates how an integration process retrieves data, manipulates data, routes data, sends data, and/or the like. These steps can be connected together in endless combinations to build simple to very complex integration processes.

[0003] Recently, there has been a major push to simplify business processes. In particular, it is advantageous when workers can perform daily tasks without requiring special skills or training. This simplifies onboarding and empowers workers to be as efficient and productive as possible. [0004] However, the technical knowledge required to construct integration processes is a barrier to automating the construction process. When constructing an integration process, novice users often have to go through multiple iterations of trial and error before achieving a successful, error-free implementation. In many cases, an error may not be discovered until after the integration process has been deployed, which can cause significant disruption to operations. In addition, a developer's confidence in a constructed integration process generally decreases right before deployment. [0005] There are error detection tools available for code-heavy integration development environments. However, such tools require expertise in coding, and therefore, are not suitable for novice users. In addition, with the exception of real-time syntax checking, such tools require the user to compile and run the code, and then retroactively solve the error. In other words, the user must first write the code, and then go back and fix the errors in the written code. Currently, there is no tool for preemptively detecting errors before they become engrained in the code, let alone, in a low-code or no-code integration development environment.

#### **SUMMARY**

[0006] Accordingly, systems, methods, and non-transitory computer-readable media are disclosed to for AI-assisted construction of integration processes, to make it easier for users, including novice users, to proactively build effective, error-free integration processes. This can eliminate or otherwise mitigate operational disruptions of an organization.

[0007] In an embodiment, a method comprises using at least one hardware processor to: during a building phase, collect historical integration data from a plurality of integration platforms managed through an integration platform as a service (iPaaS) platform, wherein the historical integration data comprise representations of a plurality of integration processes, and wherein each of the plurality of integration processes comprises at least one lineage including a sequence of steps, generate a dataset comprising representations of the lineages in the plurality of integration processes, wherein each of the representations of the lineages is associated with error information, and based on the dataset, build an error prediction model that receives a representation of a lineage as an input and produces an error prediction as an output; and during an operation phase, generate a graphical user interface comprising one or more inputs for constructing an integration process, receive a lineage including a sequence of steps from a user via the graphical user interface, and in response to a trigger, apply the error prediction model to the received lineage to produce the error prediction.

[0008] The method may further comprise using the at least one hardware processor to, in response to the trigger, further: generate a prompt using the error prediction; input the prompt to a generative language model to produce a natural-language output; and display the natural-language output in the graphical user interface. Generating the prompt may comprise inserting the error prediction into a predefined template that comprises one or both of a pre-conversation or a post-conversation. [0009] Generating the dataset may comprise flattening each of the plurality of integration processes, comprising multiple paths through the integration process, in the historical integration data, into a plurality of lineages that each consists of a single path through the integration process. Generating the dataset may further comprise, for each of the plurality of lineages that consists of a single path through the integration process in the dataset. Generating the dataset may further comprise, for each of at least a subset of the plurality of integration processes, including a representation of each of one or more lineages that consist of a sub-path through the integration process in the dataset.

[0010] Each of the representations of the lineages may comprise a feature vector that includes an entry for each step in the lineage and is annotated with the error information.

[0011] The error information may comprise an execution result of a corresponding one of the plurality of integration processes. The execution result may comprise one or more errors output during execution of the corresponding integration process.

[0012] The trigger may be a user operation.

[0013] The graphical user interface may comprise a virtual canvas on which shapes, representing steps, are dragged and dropped to construct the integration process. Receiving the lineage may comprise: receiving a selection of one or more shapes on the virtual canvas; and receiving a selection of an analyze input as the trigger. Receiving the selection of one or more shapes on the virtual canvas may comprise: receiving a selection of a review input; displaying a selection box on the virtual canvas; and receiving a manipulation of the selection box by the user.

[0014] The method may further comprise using the at least one hardware processor to display at least one visual representation of the error prediction within the graphical user interface. The at least one visual representation of the error prediction may comprise a dialog that includes a description of the error prediction. The at least one visual representation of the error prediction may comprise a severity meter that indicates a severity of the error prediction on a bar having a first end that represents least severe and a second end that represents most severe.

[0015] Each of the plurality of integration platforms may be managed by a different organizational account than one or more other ones of the plurality of integration platforms.

[0016] The method may further comprise using the at least one hardware processor to, after the building phase and prior to the operation phase, deploy the error prediction model as a microservice within the iPaaS platform.

[0017] It should be understood that any of the features in the methods above may be implemented individually or with any subset of the other features in any combination. Thus, to the extent that the appended claims would suggest particular dependencies between features, disclosed embodiments are not limited to these particular dependencies. Rather, any of the features described herein may be combined with any other feature described herein, or implemented without any one or more other features described herein, in any combination of features whatsoever. In addition, any of the methods, described above and elsewhere herein, may be embodied, individually or in any combination, in executable software modules of a processor-based system, such as a server, and/or in executable instructions stored in a non-transitory computer-readable medium.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- [0018] The details of the present invention, both as to its structure and operation, may be gleaned in part by study of the accompanying drawings, in which like reference numerals refer to like parts, and in which:
- [0019] FIG. **1** illustrates an example infrastructure in which one or more of the processes described herein, may be implemented, according to an embodiment;
- [0020] FIG. **2** illustrates an example processing system, by which one or more of the processes described herein may be executed, according to an embodiment;
- [0021] FIG. **3** illustrates an example data flow for AI-based error prediction during construction of an integration process, according to an embodiment;
- [0022] FIG. **4** illustrates a process for building an error prediction model in a building phase, according to an embodiment;
- [0023] FIG. **5** illustrates a process for operating an error prediction model in an operation phase, according to an embodiment;
- [0024] FIG. **6** illustrates a simple integration process **160**, according to an example;
- [0025] FIG. 7 illustrates a process for feature processing, according to an embodiment; and
- [0026] FIGS. **8**A-**8**F illustrate a graphical user interface, according to an embodiment.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0027] In an embodiment, systems, methods, and non-transitory computer-readable media are disclosed for AI-assisted error prediction during construction of an integration process.

Embodiments are intended to increase developer confidence in the construction process and reduce the learning curve in the management of an integration platform in multiple implementation scenarios, by offering contextual assistance through the preemptive detection of errors during the construction process in a low-code integration environment. In addition, embodiments may remove the requirement of executing integration processes in order to detect errors, by preemptively alerting users about potential issues during construction of the integration processes. Embodiments may also minimize users' reliance on subject-matter experts for business applications, via recommendations provided by artificial intelligence that has been trained on data from other users and implementations of integration processes on an iPaaS platform.

[0028] After reading this description, it will become apparent to one skilled in the art how to implement the invention in various alternative embodiments and alternative applications. However, although various embodiments of the present invention will be described herein, it is understood that these embodiments are presented by way of example and illustration only, and not limitation. As such, this detailed description of various embodiments should not be construed to limit the scope or breadth of the present invention as set forth in the appended claims.

#### 1. Example Infrastructure

[0029] FIG. 1 illustrates an example infrastructure 100, in which one or more of the processes described herein may be implemented, according to an embodiment. Infrastructure 100 may comprise a platform 110 which hosts and/or executes one or more of the disclosed processes, which may be implemented in software and/or hardware. Platform 110 may comprise dedicated servers, or may instead be implemented in a computing cloud, in which the resources of one or more servers are dynamically and elastically allocated to multiple tenants based on demand. In either case, the servers may be collocated and/or geographically distributed.

[0030] Platform **110** may be communicatively connected to one or more networks **120**. Network(s) **120** enable communication between platform **110** and user system(s) **130**. Network(s) **120** may comprise the Internet, and communication through network(s) **120** may utilize standard transmission protocols, such as HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP), HTTP Secure (HTTPS), File Transfer Protocol (FTP), FTP Secure (FTPS), Secure Shell FTP (SFTP), and the like, as well as proprietary protocols. While platform **110** is illustrated as being connected to a plurality of user

systems **130** through a single set of network(s) **120**, it should be understood that platform **110** may be connected to different user systems **130** via different sets of one or more networks. For example, platform **110** may be connected to a subset of user systems **130** via the Internet, but may be connected to another subset of user systems **130** via an intranet.

[0031] While only a few user systems **130** are illustrated, it should be understood that platform **110** may be communicatively connected to any number of user system(s) **130** via network(s) **120**. User system(s) **130** may comprise any type or types of computing devices capable of wired and/or wireless communication, including without limitation, desktop computers, laptop computers, tablet computers, smart phones or other mobile phones, servers, game consoles, televisions, set-top boxes, electronic kiosks, point-of-sale terminals, and/or the like. However, it is generally contemplated that a user system **130** would be the personal or professional workstation of an integration developer that has a user account for accessing server application **112** on platform **110**. When platform **110** is an iPaaS platform, each user account may be associated with an overarching organizational account for managing an integration platform on the iPaaS platform. [0032] Server application **112** may manage an integration environment **140**. In particular, server application **112** may provide a user interface **150** and backend functionality, including one or more of the processes disclosed herein, to enable users, via user systems **130**, to construct, develop, modify, save, delete, test, deploy, un-deploy, and/or otherwise manage integration processes **160** 

within integration environment **140**.

[0033] The user of a user system 130 may authenticate with platform 110 using standard authentication means, to access server application 112 in accordance with permissions or roles of the associated user account. The user may then interact with server application 112 to manage one or more integration processes 160, for example, within a larger integration platform within integration environment 140. It should be understood that multiple users, on multiple user systems 130, may manage the same integration process(es) 160 and/or different integration processes 160 in this manner, according to the permissions or roles of their associated user accounts. [0034] Although only a single integration process 160 is illustrated, it should be understood that, in reality, integration environment 140 may comprise any number of integration processes 160. In an embodiment, integration environment 140 supports integration platform as a service (iPaaS). In this case, integration environment 140 may comprise one or a plurality of integration platforms that each comprises one or more integration processes 160. Each integration platform may be associated with an organization, which may be associated with one or more user accounts by which respective user(s) manage the organization's integration platform, including the various integration process(es) 160.

[0035] An integration process **160** may represent a transaction involving the integration of data between two or more systems, and may comprise a series of elements that specify logic and transformation requirements for the data to be integrated. Each element, which may also be referred to herein as a "step" or "shape," may transform, route, and/or otherwise manipulate data to attain an end result from input data. For example, a basic integration process **160** may receive data from one or more data sources (e.g., via an application programming interface 162 of the integration process **160**), manipulate the received data in a specified manner (e.g., including analyzing, normalizing, altering, updated, enhancing, and/or augmenting the received data), and send the manipulated data to one or more specified destinations. An integration process **160** may represent a business workflow or a portion of a business workflow or a transaction-level interface between two systems, and comprise, as one or more elements, software modules that process data to implement the business workflow or interface. A business workflow may comprise any myriad of workflows of which an organization may repetitively have need. For example, a business workflow may comprise, without limitation, procurement of parts or materials, manufacturing a product, selling a product, shipping a product, ordering a product, billing, managing inventory or assets, providing customer service, ensuring information security, marketing, onboarding or offboarding an

employee, assessing risk, obtaining regulatory approval, reconciling data, auditing data, providing information technology services, and/or any other workflow that an organization may implement in software.

[0036] Of particular relevance to the present disclosure, the backend functionality of server application 112 may include a process for constructing an integration process 160 within one or more screens of a graphical user interface **150**. Embodiments of functionality, in server application **112**, that enables the construction of integration processes **160**, are disclosed, for example, in U.S. Pat. No. 8,533,661, issued on Sep. 10, 2013, and in U.S. Pat. No. 11,886,965, issued on Jan. 30, 2024, which are both hereby incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full. In an embodiment, an AI model **114** is used to preemptively detect errors during the construction of integration process **160**. The user may construct, configure, and/or finalize integration process **160** within graphical user interface **150**, as facilitated by the error detection of AI model **114**, and then deploy the final integration process **160** to integration environment **140**. Platform **110** may also manage a database **116**, which may store data used by server application **112** and/or AI model **114**. [0037] Each integration process **160**, when deployed, may be communicatively coupled to network(s) **120**. For example, each integration process **160** may comprise an application programming interface (API) **162** that enables clients to access integration process **160** via network(s) **120**. A client may push data to integration process **160** through application programming interface **162**, and/or pull data from integration process **160** through application programming interface **162**.

[0038] One or more third-party systems **170** may be communicatively connected to network(s) **120**, such that each third-party system **170** may communicate with an integration process **160** in integration environment **150** via application programming interface **162**. Third-party system **170** may host and/or execute a software application that pushes data to integration process **160** and/or pulls data from integration process **160**, via application programming interface **162**. Additionally or alternatively, an integration process **160** may push data to a software application on third-party system **170**, via an application programming interface of the third-party system **170**. Thus, third-party system **170** may be a client or consumer of one or more integration processes **160**, and/or the like. As examples, the software application on third-party system **170** may comprise, without limitation, enterprise resource planning (ERP) software, customer relationship management (CRM) software, accounting software, and/or the like.

2. Example Processing System

[0039] FIG. 2 illustrates an example processing system, by which one or more of the processes described herein may be executed, according to an embodiment. For example, system 200 may be used to store and/or execute server application 112, and/or may represent components of platform 110, user system(s) 130, third-party system 170, and/or other processing devices described herein. System 200 can be any processor-enabled device (e.g., server, personal computer, etc.) that is capable of wired or wireless data communication. Other processing systems and/or architectures may also be used, as will be clear to those skilled in the art.

[0040] System **200** may comprise one or more processors **210**. Processor(s) **210** may comprise a central processing unit (CPU). Additional processors may be provided, such as a graphics processing unit (GPU), an auxiliary processor to manage input/output, an auxiliary processor to perform floating-point mathematical operations, a special-purpose microprocessor having an architecture suitable for fast execution of signal-processing algorithms (e.g., digital-signal processor), a subordinate processor (e.g., back-end processor), an additional microprocessor or controller for dual or multiple processor systems, and/or a coprocessor. Such auxiliary processors may be discrete processors or may be integrated with a main processor **210**. Examples of processors which may be used with system **200** include, without limitation, any of the processors (e.g., Pentium<sup>TM</sup>, Core i7<sup>TM</sup>, Core i9<sup>TM</sup>, Xeon<sup>TM</sup>, etc.) available from Intel Corporation of Santa

Clara, California, any of the processors available from Advanced Micro Devices, Incorporated (AMD) of Santa Clara, California, any of the processors (e.g., A series, M series, etc.) available from Apple Inc. of Cupertino, any of the processors (e.g., Exynos<sup>TM</sup>) available from Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., of Seoul, South Korea, any of the processors available from NXP Semiconductors N.V. of Eindhoven, Netherlands, and/or the like.

[0041] Processor(s) **210** may be connected to a communication bus **205**. Communication bus **205** may include a data channel for facilitating information transfer between storage and other peripheral components of system **200**. Furthermore, communication bus **205** may provide a set of signals used for communication with processor **210**, including a data bus, address bus, and/or control bus (not shown). Communication bus **205** may comprise any standard or non-standard bus architecture such as, for example, bus architectures compliant with industry standard architecture (ISA), extended industry standard architecture (EISA), Micro Channel Architecture (MCA), peripheral component interconnect (PCI) local bus, standards promulgated by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) including IEEE 488 general-purpose interface bus (GPIB), IEEE 696/S-100, and/or the like.

[0042] System **200** may comprise main memory **215**. Main memory **215** provides storage of instructions and data for programs executing on processor **210**, such as any of the software discussed herein. It should be understood that programs stored in the memory and executed by processor **210** may be written and/or compiled according to any suitable language, including without limitation C/C++, Java, JavaScript, Perl, Python, Visual Basic, .NET, and the like. Main memory **215** is typically semiconductor-based memory such as dynamic random access memory (DRAM) and/or static random access memory (SRAM). Other semiconductor-based memory types include, for example, synchronous dynamic random access memory (SDRAM), Rambus dynamic random access memory (RDRAM), ferroelectric random access memory (FRAM), and the like, including read only memory (ROM).

[0043] System **200** may comprise secondary memory **220**. Secondary memory **220** is a non-transitory computer-readable medium having computer-executable code and/or other data (e.g., any of the software disclosed herein) stored thereon. In this description, the term "computer-readable medium" is used to refer to any non-transitory computer-readable storage media used to provide computer-executable code and/or other data to or within system **200**. The computer software stored on secondary memory **220** is read into main memory **215** for execution by processor **210**. Secondary memory **220** may include, for example, semiconductor-based memory, such as programmable read-only memory (PROM), erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM), electrically erasable read-only memory (EEPROM), and flash memory (block-oriented memory similar to EEPROM).

[0044] Secondary memory **220** may include an internal medium **225** and/or a removable medium **230**. Internal medium **225** and removable medium **230** are read from and/or written to in any well-known manner. Internal medium **225** may comprise one or more hard disk drives, solid state drives, and/or the like. Removable storage medium **230** may be, for example, a magnetic tape drive, a compact disc (CD) drive, a digital versatile disc (DVD) drive, other optical drive, a flash memory drive, and/or the like.

[0045] System **200** may comprise an input/output (I/O) interface **235**. I/O interface **235** provides an interface between one or more components of system **200** and one or more input and/or output devices. Examples of input devices include, without limitation, sensors, keyboards, touch screens or other touch-sensitive devices, cameras, biometric sensing devices, computer mice, trackballs, pen-based pointing devices, and/or the like. Examples of output devices include, without limitation, other processing systems, cathode ray tubes (CRTs), plasma displays, light-emitting diode (LED) displays, liquid crystal displays (LCDs), printers, vacuum fluorescent displays (VFDs), surface-conduction electron-emitter displays (SEDs), field emission displays (FEDs), and/or the like. In some cases, an input and output device may be combined, such as in the case of a touch-panel

display (e.g., in a smartphone, tablet computer, or other mobile device).

[0046] System **200** may comprise a communication interface **240**. Communication interface **240** allows software to be transferred between system **200** and external devices, networks, or other information sources. For example, computer-executable code and/or data may be transferred to system **200** from a network server via communication interface **240**. Examples of communication interface **240** include a built-in network adapter, network interface card (NIC), Personal Computer Memory Card International Association (PCMCIA) network card, card bus network adapter, wireless network adapter, Universal Serial Bus (USB) network adapter, modem, a wireless data card, a communications port, an infrared interface, an IEEE 1394 fire-wire, and any other device capable of interfacing system **200** with a network (e.g., network(s) **120**) or another computing device. Communication interface **240** preferably implements industry-promulgated protocol standards, such as Ethernet IEEE 802 standards, Fiber Channel, digital subscriber line (DSL), asynchronous digital subscriber line (ADSL), frame relay, asynchronous transfer mode (ATM), integrated digital services network (ISDN), personal communications services (PCS), transmission control protocol/Internet protocol (TCP/IP), serial line Internet protocol/point to point protocol (SLIP/PPP), and so on, but may also implement customized or non-standard interface protocols as well.

[0047] Software transferred via communication interface **240** is generally in the form of electrical communication signals **255**. These signals **255** may be provided to communication interface **240** via a communication channel **250** between communication interface **240** and an external system **245**. In an embodiment, communication channel **250** may be a wired or wireless network (e.g., network(s) **120**), or any variety of other communication links. Communication channel **250** carries signals **255** and can be implemented using a variety of wired or wireless communication means including wire or cable, fiber optics, conventional phone line, cellular phone link, wireless data communication link, radio frequency ("RF") link, or infrared link, just to name a few. [0048] Computer-executable code is stored in main memory **215** and/or secondary memory **220**. Computer-executable code can also be received from an external system **245** via communication interface **240** and stored in main memory **215** and/or secondary memory **220**. Such computer-executable code, when executed, enables system **200** to perform the various processes or functions of the disclosed embodiments.

[0049] In an embodiment that is implemented using software, the software may be stored on a computer-readable medium and initially loaded into system **200** by way of removable medium **230**, I/O interface **235**, or communication interface **240**. In such an embodiment, the software is loaded into system **200** in the form of electrical communication signals **255**. The software, when executed by processor **210**, may cause processor **210** to perform one or more of the processes or functions of the disclosed embodiments.

[0050] System **200** may optionally comprise wireless communication components that facilitate wireless communication over a voice network and/or a data network (e.g., in the case of user system **130**). The wireless communication components comprise an antenna system **270**, a radio system **265**, and a baseband system **260**. In system **200**, radio frequency (RF) signals are transmitted and received over the air by antenna system **270** under the management of radio system **265**.

[0051] In an embodiment, antenna system **270** may comprise one or more antennae and one or more multiplexors (not shown) that perform a switching function to provide antenna system **270** with transmit and receive signal paths. In the receive path, received RF signals can be coupled from a multiplexor to a low noise amplifier (not shown) that amplifies the received RF signal and sends the amplified signal to radio system **265**.

[0052] In an alternative embodiment, radio system **265** may comprise one or more radios that are configured to communicate over various frequencies. In an embodiment, radio system **265** may combine a demodulator (not shown) and modulator (not shown) in one integrated circuit (IC). The

demodulator and modulator can also be separate components. In the incoming path, the demodulator strips away the RF carrier signal leaving a baseband receive audio signal, which is sent from radio system **265** to baseband system **260**.

[0053] If the received signal contains audio information, then baseband system **260** decodes the signal and converts it to an analog signal. Then, the signal is amplified and sent to a speaker. Baseband system **260** also receives analog audio signals from a microphone. These analog audio signals are converted to digital signals and encoded by baseband system **260**. Baseband system **260** also encodes the digital signals for transmission and generates a baseband transmit audio signal that is routed to the modulator portion of radio system **265**. The modulator mixes the baseband transmit audio signal with an RF carrier signal, generating an RF transmit signal that is routed to antenna system **270** and may pass through a power amplifier (not shown). The power amplifier amplifies the RF transmit signal and routes it to antenna system **270**, where the signal is switched to the antenna port for transmission.

[0054] Baseband system **260** is communicatively coupled with processor(s) **210**, which have access to memory **215** and **220**. Thus, software can be received from baseband processor **260** and stored in main memory **210** or in secondary memory **220**, or executed upon receipt. Such software, when executed, can enable system **200** to perform the various processes or functions of the disclosed embodiments.

#### 3. Introduction

[0055] The value of an integration platform hinges on its ability to flawlessly transfer and transform data between complex systems. However, as with any complex system, occasional errors are inevitable. These errors can result from a myriad issues, including, without limitation, coding issues, data transformation or mapping issues, network and connectivity issues, API issues, user errors, and/or the like.

[0056] Conventional error detection for integration processes **160** happens in three steps. First, the user must construct an integration process **160**. Second, the user must run the constructed integration process **160** to detect any errors. Third, the user must manually resolve the error(s) via trial and error. This three-step process relies heavily on human skills, including insight, research, creativity, and connecting diverse information to identify and resolve the underlying issues. [0057] This conventional three-step process is inefficient for at least two reasons. Firstly, errors are only detected after deploying and operating integration process **160**. This delay in detecting errors results in significant delays in resolving the errors and incurs substantial costs in time and resource allocations. Secondly, the trial-and-error phase for resolving the errors depends heavily on the user's understanding of integration, platform **110**, and the available data. Without significant knowledge, experience, and/or data, it will be difficult and potentially infeasible for the user to resolve the errors.

[0058] Accordingly, disclosed embodiments of server application 112 analyze the design of an integration process 160, using AI model 114, during the construction of integration process 160 via user interface 150. The design of integration process 160 may be analyzed by AI model 114 in response to a user operation, in real time in the background as integration process 160 is constructed, and/or in response to another trigger. AI model 114 may comprise both an error prediction model, trained on data from historical integration data, which may comprise a massive repository of previously executed integration processes 160, and a generative language model to summarize errors, detected by the error prediction model, in natural language. Thus, when AI model 114 detects a potential error, the user may be notified about the potential error in natural language via user interface 150. As used herein, the term "natural language" refers to the language that would be expected from a conversation between humans. As an example, the natural-language output may indicate error-prone characteristics of integration process 160, summarize any predicted errors, including the percentage of integration processes 160 in which each error occurred and/or the likelihood of an error occurring, provide the reasons why such errors might occur, and/or the

like. This provides the user with the ability to preemptively understand potential errors, so that the user can preemptively and efficiently troubleshoot and resolve any errors in integration process **160** before compilation and execution of integration process **160**.

[0059] As used herein, the term "error" should be understood to include any execution result that may impact integration process **160**. In a preferred embodiment, an execution result comprises any errors and/or warnings that are produced at compile-time and/or runtime of integration process **160**. Thus, it should be understood that the term "error," as used herein, may include both an error event that prevents integration process **160** from continuing to function and a warning event that indicates a problem from which integration process **160** is able to at least partially recover.

#### 4. Example Data Flow

[0060] FIG. 3 illustrates an example data flow 300 for AI-based error prediction during construction of an integration process 160, according to an embodiment. In data flow 300, user interface 150 may implement modules 320 and 350, server application 112 may implement modules 315, 325, 335, and 345, AI model 114 may comprise error prediction model 330 and generative language model 340, and database 116 may store historical integration data 310. Modules 315, 320, 325, 335, 345, and 350 are preferably implemented as software modules, but could also be implemented as hardware modules or as modules comprising a combination of hardware and software.

[0061] Historical integration data **310** may comprise representations of previously constructed and executed integration processes **160**, as well as any errors associated with those integration processes **160**. Historical integration data **310** may be collected from a plurality of integration platforms managed and executed by an iPaaS platform, such as the Boomi® iPaaS platform. The iPaaS platform may support a plurality of integration platforms, each managed by a different organizational account that is associated with one or more user accounts. In this case, historical integration data 310 may represent a massive repository of previously executed integration processes **160** that is very diverse in terms of structures, configurations, applications, inputs and outputs, and the like, and potentially crowd-sourced from a diverse group of organizations. [0062] Module **315**, which will be described in greater detail elsewhere herein, may utilize historical integration data **310** to build (e.g., train) an error prediction model **330** of AI model **114**. Error prediction model **330** is trained to accept integration data for an integration process **160** as input, and output a prediction of one or more errors, if any, associated with that integration process **160**. It should be understood that the integration data that are input to error prediction model **330** may utilize the same input schema as the integration data that are used to build error prediction model **330**. Notably, the massive and diverse set of previously executed integration processes **160**, in historical integration data **310**, enables error prediction model **330** to provide more intelligent and accurate predictions than would be possible using conventional tools.

[0063] At some subsequent time, using module **320**, a user may begin constructing an integration process **160** within user interface **150**. For example, user interface **150** may comprise or consist of a graphical user interface that comprises a virtual canvas on which a user may drag and drop and connect shapes, representing steps that perform specific functions within an integration process **160**. Thus, the user may intuitively construct an integration process **160** by simply placing shapes on the virtual canvas and connecting those shapes together, to define data flows between the functions represented by those shapes.

[0064] At one or more points in time, during construction of integration process **160** in module **320**, an error prediction in module **325** may be executed in response to a trigger. In an embodiment, the trigger is a user operation. For instance, the user may select an analyze input within user interface **150** that triggers module **325**. Alternatively or additionally, module **325** may be triggered in the background (i.e., without user involvement), in real time, in response to an event, such as any change or one or more types of changes to integration process **160**, a periodic expiration of a time interval, and/or the like. For example, the trigger may be the user selecting a sequence of two or

more shapes for analysis, the user adding a new shape to or removing an existing shape from integration process 160, the user reconfiguring a shape within integration process 160, the periodic expiration of each of a plurality of fixed time intervals, and/or the like. It should be understood that, as used herein, the terms "real time" and "real-time" refer to events that occur simultaneously, as well as events that are separated in time due to ordinary latencies in processing, communications, memory access, and/or the like. In any case, module 325 may be triggered, automatically (i.e., without user intervention), semi-automatically (e.g., with user confirmation), and/or manually (e.g., in response to a user operation) according to any of the above examples or in any other suitable manner.

[0065] When triggered (e.g., by user interface **150**), module **325**, which will be described in greater detail elsewhere herein, processes integration data, of the integration process **160** being constructed in module **320**, according to an input schema for error prediction model **330**, and inputs the processed integration data into error prediction model **330**. Module **325** may load error prediction model **330** may execute as a service that is always available to module **325** (e.g., in a microservices architecture), in which case module **325** may provide the input to error prediction model **330** via an application programming interface (API) of error prediction model **330**.

[0066] The output of error prediction model **330** may be an error prediction that comprises an indication of any error that is predicted for the integration process **160** being constructed in module **320**. Each indication of an error in the error prediction may be associated with a particular component (e.g., step, connection, configurable attribute, etc.) of integration process **160** or with integration process **160** as a whole. The indication may comprise an identifier of the error, a description of the error, and/or the like. The description of the error may comprise or consist of a name of the error, a summary of the error, a raw compile-time or runtime error or warning output that was associated with a historical integration process **160** in historical integration data **310**, and/or the like. It should be understood that, in the event that no error is predicted by error prediction model **330**, the error prediction, output by error prediction model **330**, may be empty or comprise an indication that no error was predicted.

[0067] Module **335** may receive the error prediction, output by error prediction model **330**, and generate a prompt for generative language model **340** using the error prediction. Module **335** may generate the prompt by inserting the error prediction, output by error prediction model 330, into a predefined template. The raw error prediction may be inserted, or the error prediction may be preprocessed before insertion. The predefined template may comprise a pre-conversation and/or postconversation, which provide context and/or instructions for generative language model **340**, and a placeholder into which the error prediction is inserted. The pre-conversation and/or postconversation may define the role of generative language model **340** (e.g., to summarize the output of error prediction model 330), define an output format for generative language model 340 (e.g., a list structure, a hierarchical structure, a markup-language structure, etc.), and/or the like. [0068] Module **335** may input the generated prompt to generative language model **340** of AI model **114** to produce a natural-language output. Generative language model **340** may comprise or consist of a large language model, such as the Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT). GPT-4 is the fourth-generation language prediction model in the GPT-n series, created by OpenAI<sup>TM</sup> of San Francisco, California. GPT-4 is an autoregressive language model that uses deep learning to produce human-like text. GPT-4 has been pre-trained on a vast amount of text from the open Internet. While GPT-4 is provided as an example, it should be understood that generative language model **340** may be any natural-language model, including past and future generations of GPT, as well as other large language models. In an embodiment, a pre-trained generative language model, such as GPT-4, is used as a base model that is fine tuned for error description and summarization to produce generative language model **340**.

[0069] Module 345 may receive the natural-language output of generative language model 340 in

response to the prompt. The natural-language output may comprise or consist of a summary of the error prediction, output by error prediction model **330**, expressed in natural language. In the event that error prediction model **330** did not predict any errors, the natural-language output may be empty or null, or may comprise or consist of an indication (e.g., in natural language) that no errors were detected. Alternatively, in the event that error prediction model **330** did not predict any errors, execution of module **335** may be omitted, such that an indication that no errors were predicted is provided directly to module **345** without utilizing generative language model **340**. When receiving a natural-language output, module 345 may process the natural-language output by formatting the natural-language output into a visual representation that is output to user interface **150**. As an example, the visual representation may comprise one or more dialogs that include the naturallanguage output and potentially one or more inputs for interacting with the dialog. As will be discussed elsewhere herein, the visual representation may additionally or alternatively comprise a severity meter that graphically indicates a severity of the error prediction. [0070] In module **350**, user interface **150** may display the visual representation within the graphical user interface. For example, user interface 150 may be updated to display the dialog(s) and/or severity meter output by module **345**. In an embodiment, each dialog and/or the severity meter is displayed as a frame overlaid on the virtual canvas that was being used to construct integration process **160** in module **320**. Thus, the user is able to see a visual representation of the predicted errors in the same screen as the constructed representation of integration process **160**. Consequently, the user may easily edit integration process **160**, in view of the visually represented potential error(s), to potentially resolve those error(s), for example, by removing a shape, adding a shape, replacing a shape, reconfiguring a shape (e.g., modifying an attribute of the shape), removing a connection between shapes, adding a connection between shapes, replacing a connection between shapes, and/or updating any other aspect of any component of integration

process 160 in module 320. Thus, the user is able to see a visual representation of the predicted errors in the same screen as the constructed representation of integration process 160.

Consequently, the user may easily edit integration process 160, in view of the visually represented potential error(s), to potentially resolve those error(s), for example, by removing a shape, adding a shape, replacing a shape, reconfiguring a shape (e.g., modifying an attribute of the shape), removing a connection between shapes, adding a connection between shapes, replacing a connection between shapes, and/or updating any other aspect of any component of integration process 160. If no errors were predicted by error prediction model 330, the visual representation may comprise a single dialog and/or severity meter that indicate that no errors are predicted. [0071] In an embodiment in which user interface 150 comprises a virtual canvas and each predicted error is associated with a particular component, a visual indication of each predicted error may be displayed in association with the component with which the predicted error is associated within the virtual canvas. For example, the visual indication for a predicted error may be displayed on the virtual canvas near or in the vicinity of the component with which the predicted error is associated. The visual indication may be selectable. When a visual indication is selected, user interface 150 may responsively display the dialog for that predicted error (e.g., as a pop-up frame, expandable/collapsible frame, etc.), comprising additional information about the predicted error to which the visual indication pertains. This information may identify the predicted error, describe the predicted error, and/or the like. Alternatively, the visual indication may be a color by which the associated components are highlighted, with each predicted error being associated with a different color.

#### 5. Building Phase

[0072] FIG. **4** illustrates a process for building an error prediction model **330**, implemented by module **315**, in a building phase, according to an embodiment. Module **315** may be implemented in server application **112**. While module **315** is illustrated with a certain arrangement and ordering of subprocesses, module **315** may be implemented with fewer, more, or different subprocesses and a different arrangement and/or ordering of subprocesses. Furthermore, any subprocess, which does not depend on the completion of another subprocess, may be executed before, after, or in parallel with that other independent subprocess, even if the subprocesses are described or illustrated in a particular order.

[0073] The input to module **315** may be historical integration data **310**. Historical integration data **310** may comprise representations of previously constructed and executed integration processes **160**, as well as any execution results associated with those integration processes **160**. For example,

historical integration data **310** may comprise, for each of a plurality of integration processes **160**, the components of that integration process **160**, including the steps in that integration process **160** and the configuration of each step in that integration process **160**, as well as any errors that have been generated by that integration process **160** during compilation and/or execution. In particular, historical integration data **310** may comprise, for each represented integration process **160**, information about the software routine implementing that integration process **160**, configurations and settings of that integration process **160**, and execution results of that integration process **160**. The execution results may include, without limitation, execution data, execution logs, error information (e.g., for any errors and warnings), timing information, and/or the like. It should be understood that there may be many integration process **160**, represented in historical integration data **310**, that are not associated with any error.

[0074] In subprocess **410**, a dataset **415** is generated or otherwise acquired from historical integration data **310**. Dataset **415** may comprise representations of the plurality of integration processes **160** represented in historical integration data **310**. In particular, dataset **415** may comprise an annotated plurality of feature vectors, as the representations of integration processes **160**. Each feature vector may represent a single integration process **160** from historical integration data **310**, and contain the value of one or more features, derived from historical integration data **310**. In addition, each feature vector in dataset **415** may be annotated with at least the error information that is associated with the represented integration process **160** in historical integration data **310**. Additionally or alternatively, each feature vector in dataset **415** may be annotated with the timing information that is associated with the represented integration process **160** in historical integration data **310**.

[0075] Each integration process **160** will comprise at least one lineage. As used herein, the term "lineage" refers to a sequence of steps that represents a path or sub-path through the integration process **160**. An integration process **160** may contain a plurality of paths. In particular, an integration process **160** may comprise a decision step or other type of step that results in the integration process **160** branching into a plurality of paths. It should be understood that an integration process 160 may comprise multiple branches, such that there may be numerous possible paths through the integration process **160**. In an embodiment, each lineage consists of the sequence of steps in a single path or single sub-path through the integration process **160**. [0076] In an embodiment, each of the plurality of feature vectors in dataset **415** comprises or otherwise represents a single lineage of the represented integration process **160**. If an integration process **160** comprises a plurality of lineages (i.e., paths or sub-paths), a separate feature vector may be generated for each lineage in that integration process **160**. Thus, for example, if an integration process **160** comprises three lineages, three feature vectors may be generated, annotated, and incorporated into dataset **415**. The process of generating feature vectors for each lineage in an integration process **160** may be referred to as "flattening," which will be discussed in greater detail elsewhere herein. The integration data may also be "cleaned" when being converted into feature vectors, which will also be discussed in greater detail elsewhere herein. [0077] In an embodiment, each of the plurality of feature vectors in dataset **415** may be annotated with error information that comprises an indication of each of one or more errors or an indication of no error. For example, if a lineage, represented by a feature vector, is associated with error information in historical integration data **310**, the feature vector may be annotated with the raw error information in historical integration data **310** or processed error information that is derived from the raw error information in historical integration data **310**. Each indication of an error may comprise a description of the error and/or an error identifier that can be used to retrieve a description of the error. The description of the error may comprise a raw or processed compile-time or runtime error that was output by an error handler. It should be understood that, if the lineage, represented by a feature vector, is associated with a plurality of errors in historical integration data **310**, the feature vector may be annotated with error information that represents each of the plurality of errors. If the lineage, represented by a feature vector, is not associated with any errors in historical integration data **310**, the feature vector may be annotated with error information that indicates that there are no errors (e.g., a statement that no errors exist, or an error identifier representing the absence of any error).

[0078] In summary, dataset **415** may comprise representations of the lineages in the plurality of integration processes **160** in historical integration data **310**. In addition, each of these representations (e.g., feature vectors) of the lineages may be associated (e.g., annotated) with error information. Once generated, dataset **415** may be split into one or more subsets, including a building subset **416** and an evaluation subset **418**. Evaluation subset **418** could be further split into a validation subset and a testing subset. Each subset comprises or consists of a portion of dataset **415**. The division of dataset **415** into the various subsets may be performed sequentially or according to any other suitable sampling technique. Generally, building subset **416** will be significantly larger than evaluation subset **418**.

[0079] In subprocess **420**, error prediction model **330**, which receives a representation of a lineage as an input and produces an error prediction as an output, may be built, based on building subset **416**. Error prediction model **330** may be a machine-learning model or a logic-based AI model. Error prediction model **330** may comprise any suitable model, including, without limitation, an artificial neural network, such as a deep-learning neural network (DNN), recurrent neural network (RNN), graph neural network (GNN), or the like, a random forest algorithm, a linear regression algorithm, a logistic regression algorithm, a decision tree, a support vector machine (SVM), a naïve Bayes algorithm, a k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN) algorithm, a K-means algorithm, a dimensionality reduction algorithm, a gradient-boosting algorithm, a Markov chain, a compact prediction tree (CPT), or the like.

[0080] Regardless of the particular model that is used, conceptually, error prediction model **330** may match an input lineage to lineages in historical integration data **310** to determine lineages in historical integration data **310** that are identical or similar to the input lineage (e.g., via direct matching or learned inference), and output error information associated with those matching lineages in historical integration data **310**. For example, an input lineage of A-B-C may essentially be matched to lineages of A-B-C in historical integration data **310**, and error prediction model **330** may return the execution result(s) (e.g., error and warning events) for the matched lineages in historical integration data **310**.

[0081] In an embodiment in which error prediction model **330** is a machine-learning model, subprocess **420** may comprise training error prediction model **330**, using supervised learning, to predict error information, given a feature vector that represents a lineage as input. In particular, error prediction model **330** may be trained by minimizing a loss function over a plurality of training iterations. In each training iteration, one feature vector from building subset **416** may be input to error prediction model **330** to output predicted error information, the loss function may calculate an error between the predicted error information and the error information with which the feature vector is annotated, and one or more weights in error prediction model **330** may be adjusted, according to a suitable technique (e.g., gradient descent), to reduce the error. A training iteration may be performed for each of the annotated plurality of feature vectors in building subset **416**.

[0082] In an alternative embodiment in which error prediction model **330** is a machine-learning model, error prediction model **330** may be trained using unsupervised learning. In this case, lineages, represented as feature vectors in building subset **416**, may be clustered using any suitable clustering technique. Each feature vector may still be annotated with error information, such that the error information may be retrieved for any given feature vector or cluster of feature vectors during operation of error prediction model **330**.

[0083] In subprocess **430**, error prediction model **330**, built in subprocess **420**, may be evaluated. The evaluation may comprise validating and/or testing error prediction model **330** using evaluation

subset **418** of dataset **415**. The result of subprocess **430** may be a performance measure for error prediction model **330**, such as an accuracy of error prediction model **330**. Any suitable evaluation method and performance measure may be used.

[0084] In subprocess **440**, it is determined whether or not error prediction model **330**, built in subprocess **420**, is acceptable based on the evaluation performed in subprocess **430**. For example, the performance measure from subprocess **430** may be compared to a threshold or one or more other criteria. If the performance measure satisfies the criteria (e.g., is greater than or equal to the threshold), error prediction model **330** may be determined to be acceptable (i.e., "Yes" in subprocess **440**). Conversely, if the performance measure does not satisfy the criteria (e.g., is less than the threshold), error prediction model **330** may be determined to be unacceptable (i.e., "No" in subprocess **440**). When error prediction model **330** is determined to be acceptable (i.e., "Yes" in subprocess **440**), module **315** may proceed to subprocess **450**. Otherwise, when error prediction model **330** is determined to be unacceptable (i.e., "No" in subprocess **440**), module **315** may return to subprocess **410** to rebuild or modify error prediction model **330** (e.g., using a new or modified dataset **415**).

[0085] In subprocess **450**, error prediction model **330** may be deployed. In particular, after the building phase and prior to the operation phase, error prediction model **330** may be deployed by moving error prediction model **330** from a development environment to a production environment of platform **110**. For example, error prediction model **330** may be deployed as a microservice that is available at an address on platform **110** (e.g., an iPaaS platform) that is accessible to server application **112**. Alternatively, error prediction model **330** may be comprised in server application **112**.

#### 6. Operation Phase

[0086] FIG. **5** illustrates a process for operating error prediction model **330**, implemented by module **325**, in an operation phase, according to an embodiment. Module **325** may be implemented in server application **112**. While module **325** is illustrated with a certain arrangement and ordering of subprocesses, module **325** may be implemented with fewer, more, or different subprocesses and a different arrangement and/or ordering of subprocesses. Furthermore, any subprocess, which does not depend on the completion of another subprocess, may be executed before, after, or in parallel with that other independent subprocess, even if the subprocesses are described or illustrated in a particular order.

[0087] Initially, in subprocess **510**, integration data are received. The integration data may represent an integration process **160** under construction in module **320**. In particular, when module **325** is triggered, the integration data, representing the integration process **160** under construction, may be provided to module **325**. This integration data may comprise the components of integration process **160**, including the steps in integration process **160** and the configuration of each step in integration process **160** under construction, information about the software routine implementing the integration process **160**, and configurations and settings of the integration process **160**. [0088] In subprocess **520**, features may be extracted from the integration data received in subprocess **510**. In particular, at least one feature vector may be generated from the integration data in the same or similar manner as feature vectors were extracted from historical integration data **310** in subprocess **410** of module **315**, and according to the same input schema of error prediction

model **330**. Consequently, the feature vector may represent a lineage of the integration process **160** under construction. In an embodiment, a separate feature vector may be generated for each lineage (e.g., path and/or sub-path) in the integration process **160** under construction. When processing the integration data into their corresponding feature vectors, subprocess **520** may utilize the same flattening and cleaning as in subprocess **410**. However, it should be understood that the resulting feature vector will not be annotated, in contrast to the annotated feature vectors in dataset **415**. [0089] In subprocess **530**, error prediction model **330**, which was trained in subprocess **420** of

module **315** and deployed by subprocess **450** of module **315**, may be applied to the features, extracted in subprocess **520**. In particular, each feature vector, generated in subprocess **520**, may be input to error prediction model **330**. If there are multiple feature vectors (i.e., representing multiple lineages), each feature vector may be input to error prediction model **330**, individually in serial or in parallel, or collectively as a batch. When applied to a feature vector, error prediction model **330** may predict zero, one, or more errors as an error prediction for the lineage of integration process **160** represented by the feature vector.

[0090] The error prediction may comprise an indication of each error. As mentioned elsewhere herein, the term "error" may refer to any execution result, including both errors and warnings. Each indication of an error may comprise a description of the error and/or an error identifier that can be used to retrieve a description of the error. In an embodiment in which error prediction model **330** is built using timing information, the error prediction may also comprise predicted timing information.

[0091] As discussed above, each feature vector may represent a lineage, including a sequence of steps, specified or otherwise received by a user during construction of an integration process **160** in module **320**. In this case, error prediction model **330** is applied to this lineage to produce the error prediction. When an integration process **160** is flattened into a plurality of lineages in subprocess **520**, error prediction model **330** may be applied to each individual one of the feature vectors, representing the plurality of lineages, serially or in parallel. Then, the individual error predictions, output by error prediction model **330** for each of the feature vectors, may be combined into a single error prediction.

[0092] In subprocess **540**, the error prediction, output by error prediction model **330** and comprising or consisting of the predicted error(s), if any, for the integration process **160** under construction, may be input to one or more downstream functions. For example, the error prediction may be provided as input to module **335**, which may generate a prompt for generative language model **340** based on the predicted error(s).

#### 7. Feature Processing

[0093] FIG. **6** illustrates a simple integration process **160**, according to an example. As illustrated, this exemplary integration process **160** comprises steps A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H, with step A representing a starting step, step F representing an ending step, and steps C and D representing branching (e.g., decision) steps.

[0094] FIG. 7 illustrates a process **700** for feature processing, according to an embodiment. Process **700** will be described with reference to the exemplary integration process **160** illustrated in FIG. **6**. Process **700** may be implemented in subprocess **410** of module **315** of server application **112** and/or subprocess **520** of module **325** of server application **112** to generate the described feature vectors for the lineages. While process **700** is illustrated with a certain arrangement and ordering of subprocesses, process **700** may be implemented with fewer, more, or different subprocesses and a different arrangement and/or ordering of subprocesses. Furthermore, any subprocess, which does not depend on the completion of another subprocess, may be executed before, after, or in parallel with that other independent subprocess, even if the subprocesses are described or illustrated in a particular order.

[0095] In subprocess **710**, integration data, representing an integration process **160**, are acquired. It should be understood that in the case of feature processing in subprocess **410**, the integration data will be acquired from historical integration data **310**, whereas, in the case of feature processing in subprocess **520**, the integration data will be acquired from module **320** for an integration process **160** under construction. In either case, the integration data may comprise the components of integration process **160**, including the steps in integration process **160** and the configuration of each step in integration process **160**. For simplicity, it will be assumed that process **700** acts on integration data for a single integration process **160**. However, it should be understood that, in practice, process **700** may act on batches of integration data for a plurality of integration processes

#### **160**.

[0096] The integration data may comprise a representation of the sequence of steps in the represented integration process **160**, for example, as a sequence of step identifiers. In addition, integration process **160** and/or each step in integration process **160** may be associated in the integration data with metadata. The metadata may comprise the configuration properties of integration process **160** and/or each step in integration process **160**. For example, the configuration properties of a step may include, without limitation, an identifier of the prior step, a type of the prior step, an identifier of the next step, a type of the next step, a type of connector represented by the step, a type of action configured for the step (e.g., get, send, execute, upsert, etc.), an end point of the connector represented by the step, an object of the connector represented by the step, the input(s) to the step, the type of input to the step, the output(s) from the step, the type of output from the step, and/or the like. Notably, not all steps in an integration process **160** will necessarily have values for every metadata field. Additionally or alternatively, the metadata may comprise statistical properties of integration process **160** and/or each step in integration process **160**.

[0097] In subprocess **720**, the integration data, acquired in subprocess **710**, are flattened. During this flattening, an integration process **160** that comprises a plurality of paths may be flattened into a plurality of lineages, in which each lineage consists of a single one of the plurality of paths. For example, an integration process **160** that comprises multiple branching paths may be divided into a plurality of lineages that each consists of a single path from a starting step to an ending step of the integration process **160**. It should be understood that an integration process **160** that consists of a single path would not need to be flattened in this manner.

[0098] The exemplary integration process **160** would be flattened into at least three lineages in subprocess **720**: [0099] (1) A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.E-.fwdarw.F; [0100] (2) A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.F; and [0101] (3)

A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.G-.fwdarw.H-.fwdarw.F.

[0102] In an embodiment, each path may comprise both a starting step (e.g., A in this example) and an ending step (e.g., F in this example) of the integration process **160** from which it is derived. In other words, subprocess **720** may flatten each integration process **160**, comprising multiple paths through integration process **160**, whether in historical integration data **310** or in the integration data of an integration process **160** under construction, into a plurality of lineages that each consists of a single path through integration process **160**. It should be understood that, in the case of subprocess **410**, a representation of each of this plurality of lineages may be included in dataset **415**. In the case of subprocess **520**, a representation of each of this plurality of lineages may be input to error prediction model **330**.

[0103] In an embodiment, in addition to lineages with starting and ending steps, an integration process **160** may be flattened into lineages that each consists of a sub-path between a starting and ending step. For example, in addition to lineages (1)-(3) above, the exemplary integration process **160** could also produce sub-lineages, including, for example, A-.fwdarw.B,

A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C, A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D,

A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.E, A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.E,

A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.G,

A-.fwdarw.B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.H, B-.fwdarw.C, B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D,

B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.E, B-.fwdarw.C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.E-.fwdarw.F, C-.fwdarw.E,

C-.fwdarw.E-.fwdarw.F, C-.fwdarw.D, C-.fwdarw.D-.fwdarw.G, and so on and so forth. More generally, subprocess **720** could flatten an integration process **160**, whether consisting of a single path or comprising multiple paths, into any set of paths (i.e., lineages) or sub-paths (i.e., sub-lineages) that comprise two or more steps. In other words, subprocess **720** may flatten an integration process **160** into one or more lineages that each consists of a sub-path through integration process **160**. In the case of subprocess **410**, a representation of each of these lineage(s) may be included in dataset **415**. In the case of subprocess **520**, a representation of each of these

lineage(s) may be input to error prediction model **330**. For the sake of simplicity, the term "lineage" should be understood to refer to both the complete lineage (i.e., consisting of a full path from the start step to an end step) of an integration process **160** and a sub-lineage (i.e., consisting of a sub-path) of an integration process **160**.

[0104] In subprocess **730**, an exploratory data analysis may be performed on the flattened integration data, comprising representations of the lineages and their associated metadata (e.g., configuration properties, statistical properties, etc.). Exploratory data analysis may comprise analyzing a distribution of the integration data (e.g., as histograms, bar plots, etc.), identifying patterns, checking for missing values, identifying imbalances, identifying outliers, identifying duplicate data, identifying essential variables, identifying non-essential variables, checking assumptions, and/or the like. In general, exploratory data analysis may be used to determine how to clean a large set of data, such as historical integration data **310**. Such exploratory data analysis may not be relevant to module **325**, which will generally process only a single integration process **160**. Thus, subprocess **730** may be omitted from subprocess **520** of module **325**.

[0105] In subprocess **740**, the flattened data are cleaned to produce a clean feature vector that conforms to the input schema of error prediction model **330**. If subprocess **730** was executed, the cleaning may be based on the results of the exploratory data analysis in subprocess **730**. In this case, cleaning may include, without limitation, removing lineages with missing values, removing lineages with outlying values, removing duplicate data, removing non-essential variables, fixing imbalances (e.g., by discarding data and/or synthesizing data), and/or the like. In an embodiment, cleaning comprises removing duplicate lineages, removing lineages with less than a certain number of steps (e.g., less than two steps), removing lineages with multiple start steps, removing connector identifiers for connector steps and/or positional arguments for other types of steps, normalizing the remaining data, and/or the like. In an embodiment, module **325** may receive integration data that are already clean (e.g., module **320** may act as a gatekeeper against noisy data), in which case subprocess **740** may be omitted from subprocess **520** of module **325**.

[0106] In an embodiment, each lineage, resulting from the flattening in subprocess **720** and/or the cleaning in subprocess **740**, is converted into a feature vector. Each feature vector may comprise an entry for each step in the lineage. Each entry may comprise at least the step identifier for the respective step. Each entry may also comprise one or more configuration properties, statistical properties, or other metadata associated with the respective step. In this case, each entry may be a tuple of values representing one of the steps in the lineage. The entries may be ordered, within the feature vector, in the order that the respective steps appear in the represented lineage. For example, for lineage (1) from the exemplary integration process **160**, the feature vector may be represented as

*F*.sub.1=([*A*, *p*.sub.A1, *p*.sub.A2, . . . *p*.sub.AN], [*B*, *p*.sub.B1, *p*.sub.B2, . . . *p*.sub.BN], . . . [*F*, *p*.sub.F1, *p*.sub.F2, . . . *p*.sub.FN])

in which p.sub.ij represents the value of a property j in the metadata for step i. In any case, the feature vector may be output by the feature engineering of process **700** to a downstream function, such as subprocess **420** in module **315** or subprocess **530** in module **325**.

[0107] In the case of subprocess **410**, each feature vector may be annotated with error information. The error information may comprise an execution result of the corresponding integration process **160** that produced the lineage represented by the feature vector. As discussed elsewhere herein, the execution result may comprise one or more errors (e.g., including error events and/or warning events) that were output during execution of the corresponding integration process **160**. 8. Graphical User Interface

[0108] Boomi® provides an iPaaS platform that has revolutionized the integration/middleware space with a drag-and-drop graphical user interface that eliminates the need for custom code in the construction of integration processes **160**. In particular, the graphical user interface comprises a

virtual canvas over which a user may drag and drop shapes, representing steps that perform specific functions, and connect the shapes to define data flows between their respective functions. Thus, the user may intuitively construct an integration process **160** by simply adding, configuring, and connecting shapes in an intuitive manner.

[0109] However, prior to deployment, developers are often uncertain about whether or not the integration processes **160** that they construct will actually run. Accordingly, disclosed embodiments provide an easy-to-use, intuitive graphical user interface for predicting errors in integration processes **160** under construction. This graphical user interface may be used by both novice and expert developers to efficiently troubleshoot their integration processes **160** prior to deployment. An embodiment of this graphical user interface is described below.

[0110] FIG. **8**A illustrates an example graphical user interface **800** that may be used to construct an integration process **160**, according to an embodiment. Graphical user interface **800** may be provided by user interface **150** of server application **112**. In the illustrated example, graphical user interface **800** comprises a navigation bar **810** and a virtual canvas **820**. Virtual canvas **820** enables a user to drag and drop representations (i.e., "shapes") of steps at positions within an integration process **160** to be constructed, and connect these representations to form one or more lineages (i.e., paths or sub-paths).

[0111] Virtual canvas **820** may comprise a shape palette **822**, from which new shapes can be dragged and dropped on virtual canvas **820**, and a header **824** which may comprise information (e.g., name) for the integration process **160** as a whole. In addition, virtual canvas **820** may comprise a review input **832** for triggering the disclosed error prediction for integration process **160**, a test input **834** for testing integration process **160** (e.g., executing integration process **160** in a test environment), and a save input **836** for saving integration process **160** in the current configuration.

[0112] In the illustrated example, a user has constructed an integration process **160** with shapes **840**A, **840**B, **840**C, **840**D, **840**E, **840**F, and **840**G, which each represents a step in integration process **160**. Each of shapes **840** is connected to at least one adjacent shape **840** by a connection **845**. In the illustrated example, shape **840**A is connected to shape **840**B by connection **845**AB, shape **840**B is connected to shape **840**C by connection **845**BC, shape **840**C is connected to shape **840**D by connection **845**CD, shape **840**D is connected to shape **840**E by connection **845**DE, shape **840**E is connected to shape **840**F by connection **845**EF, and shape **840**F is connected to shape **840**G by connection **845**FG. Since there are no branches, integration process **160** consists of a single path, and therefore, a single start-to-end lineage. However, if sub-paths are considered, integration process **160** comprises a plurality of other lineages representing sub-paths. [0113] FIG. **8**B illustrates graphical user interface **800**, after a user has selected review input **832**, according to an embodiment. Responsively, graphical user interface 800 has been updated to display a selection box **850** with corner points **855**, and a prompt dialog **860** with an analyze input **865**, on virtual canvas **820**. Prompt dialog **860** prompts the user to choose a review area. The user may select and drag any of corner points **855** to resize and reshape selection box **850**. In this manner, the user may select one or more shapes **840** representing the precise lineage that the user wishes to analyze. For instance, in the illustrated example, the user has manipulated selection box **850** to select a lineage, represented by shapes **840**A-**840**E, and excluding shapes **840**F and **840**G. From the perspective of server application **112**, server application **112** may receive the selection of one or more shapes **840**, representing a lineage, on virtual canvas **820** by receiving a selection of review input 832, displaying selection box 850 on virtual canvas 820, and receiving a manipulation of selection box **850** by the user.

[0114] The user may then select analyze input **865** to trigger the error prediction. In particular, selection of analyze input **865** may trigger module **325**. In this case, graphical user interface **800** receives a lineage, consisting of the sequence of steps represented by the shapes **840** in selection box **850**, from the user, and passes integration data for this lineage to module **325**. As discussed

elsewhere herein, module **325** will apply error prediction model **330** to this lineage, and potentially sub-lineages, as represented by one or more feature vector(s), to produce an error prediction. Module **335** may generate a prompt using the error prediction, and input that prompt to generative language model **340** to produce a natural-language output. Module **345** may process the natural-language output into a dialog that is displayed on graphical user interface **800** by module **350**. [0115] FIGS. **8C-8F** illustrates graphical user interface **800**, after the user has selected analyze input **865**, according to an embodiment. In particular, FIG. **8**C represents graphical user interface **800** when no errors are predicted, FIG. **8**D represents graphical user interface **800** when a medium error is predicted, and FIG. **8**F represents graphical user interface **800** when a plurality of errors are predicted for distinct sub-lineages.

[0116] In each case, the set of shapes **840** and connections **845**, which were within selection box **850** when analyze input **865** was selected and are impacted by a predicted error, may be highlighted within virtual canvas **820**. The highlighting may be color-coded, with the color of the highlighting selected based on the severity of the predicted error associated with those shapes **840** and/or connections **845**. For example, the color green may be selected in the absence of a predicted error, the color yellow or orange may be selected for errors of low or medium severity, and the color red may be selected for errors of high severity.

[0117] In addition, in each case, graphical user interface **800** has been updated to include dialog **870**. It should be understood that dialog **870** corresponds to the dialog that is output by module **345**. Dialog **870** comprises a description of the error prediction. This description may comprise a natural-language expression that indicates the predicted error(s), if any. It should be understood that the natural-language expression may represent the natural-language output of generative language model **340**.

[0118] In addition, in each case, graphical user interface **800** may be updated to include a severity meter **880**. Severity meter **880** may comprise and indicate severity on a bar with a first end (e.g., lower end) representing least severe (i.e., no errors) and a second end (e.g., upper end) representing most severe. The bar may be shaded according to a color spectrum, for example, from green at the first end to red at the second end, to indicate the progression of severity. In addition, severity meter **880** may comprise a marker **882** and a severity indication **884** that are positioned at a location, with respect to the bar, that is indicative of the severity of the represented error. For example, marker **882** and severity indication **884** may be located at the first end when the severity is 0% (i.e., no errors), located at the second end when the severity is 100%, located 25% of the length between the first end and the second end when the severity is 25%, located 50% of the length between the first end and the second end when the severity is 50%, and so on and so forth. The color-coding of the highlighting of shapes **840** and/or connections **845** may correspond to the color at the particular location, in the color spectrum in the bar of severity meter **880**, at which marker **882** and severity indication **884** are positioned.

[0119] In FIG. **8**C, there were no errors predicted by error prediction model **330**. Thus, dialog **870** expresses the absence of errors, and severity meter **880** indicates a severity of 0%. The selected shapes **840**A-**840**E and selected connections **845**AB-**845**DE may be highlighted in the color of severity meter **880** at the location of marker **882**. In this case, the color may be green to indicate the absence of any error.

[0120] In FIG. **8**D, there was a high error rate detected. In particular, the error prediction indicated that 75% of similar lineages had at least one error. Dialog **870** expresses information about the predicted errors, including the percentage of similar lineages having each of a plurality of errors (e.g., a connectivity issue, missing values, and inaccurate data). Severity meter **880** indicates a severity of 75%, representing the percentage of similar lineages having at least one error. The selected shapes **840**A-**840**E and selected connections **845**AB-**845**DE may be highlighted in the color of severity meter **880** at the location of marker **882**.

[0121] In FIG. **8**E, there was a medium error rate detected. In particular, the error prediction indicated that 55% of similar lineages had at least one error. Dialog **870** expresses information about the predicted errors, including the percentage of similar lineages having each of a plurality of errors (e.g., a connectivity issue, and inaccurate data). Severity meter **880** indicates a severity of 55%, representing the percentage of similar lineages having at least one error. The selected shapes **840**A-**840**E and selected connections **845**AB-**845**DE may be highlighted in the color of severity meter **880** at the location of marker **882**.

[0122] In FIG. **8**F, error rates of differing severities were detected for two discrete sub-lineages. In particular, the error prediction indicated that 68% of lineages similar to a first sub-lineage, represented by shapes **840**A-**840**B and their connection **845**AB, had at least one error, and 46% of lineages similar to a second sub-lineage, represented by shapes **840**D-**840**E and their connection **845**DE, had at least one error. Dialog **870** expresses information about the predicted errors for each sub-lineage, including the percentage of similar lineages having each of one or more errors. Severity meter **880** indicates a severity of 68% for the first sub-lineage via marker **882**A and severity indication **884**B. The first sub-lineage may be highlighted in the color of severity meter **880** at the location of marker **882**A, and the second sub-lineage may be highlighted in the color of severity meter **880** at the location of marker **882**B.

[0123] The above description of the disclosed embodiments is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the invention. Various modifications to these embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the general principles described herein can be applied to other embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. Thus, it is to be understood that the description and drawings presented herein represent a presently preferred embodiment of the invention and are therefore representative of the subject matter which is broadly contemplated by the present invention. It is further understood that the scope of the present invention fully encompasses other embodiments that may become obvious to those skilled in the art and that the scope of the present invention is accordingly not limited.

[0124] As used herein, the terms "comprising," "comprise," and "comprises" are open-ended. For instance, "A comprises B" means that A may include either: (i) only B; or (ii) B in combination with one or a plurality, and potentially any number, of other components. In contrast, the terms "consisting of," "consist of," and "consists of" are closed-ended. For instance, "A consists of B" means that A only includes B with no other component in the same context.

[0125] Combinations, described herein, such as "at least one of A, B, or C," "one or more of A, B, or C," "at least one of A, B, and C," "one or more of A, B, and C," and "A, B, C, or any combination thereof" include any combination of A, B, and/or C, and may include multiples of A, multiples of B, or multiples of C. Specifically, combinations such as "at least one of A, B, or C," "one or more of A, B, or C," "at least one of A, B, and C," "one or more of A, B, and C," and "A, B, C, or any combination thereof" may be A only, B only, C only, A and B, A and C, B and C, or A and B and C, and any such combination may contain one or more members of its constituents A, B, and/or C. For example, a combination of A and B may comprise one A and multiple B's, multiple A's and one B, or multiple A's and multiple B's.

## **Claims**

1. A method comprising using at least one hardware processor to: during a building phase, collect historical integration data from a plurality of integration platforms managed through an integration platform as a service (iPaaS) platform, wherein the historical integration data comprise representations of a plurality of integration processes, and wherein each of the plurality of integration processes comprises at least one lineage including a sequence of steps, generate a dataset comprising representations of the lineages in the plurality of integration processes, wherein

each of the representations of the lineages is associated with error information, and based on the dataset, build an error prediction model that receives a representation of a lineage as an input and produces an error prediction as an output; and during an operation phase, generate a graphical user interface comprising one or more inputs for constructing an integration process, receive a lineage including a sequence of steps from a user via the graphical user interface, and in response to a trigger, apply the error prediction model to the received lineage to produce the error prediction.

- **2**. The method of claim 1, further comprising using the at least one hardware processor to, in response to the trigger, further: generate a prompt using the error prediction; input the prompt to a generative language model to produce a natural-language output; and display the natural-language output in the graphical user interface.
- **3**. The method of claim 2, wherein generating the prompt comprises inserting the error prediction into a predefined template that comprises one or both of a pre-conversation or a post-conversation.
- **4**. The method of claim 1, wherein generating the dataset comprises flattening each of the plurality of integration processes, comprising multiple paths through the integration process, in the historical integration data, into a plurality of lineages that each consists of a single path through the integration process.
- **5.** The method of claim 4, wherein generating the dataset further comprises, for each of the plurality of integration processes that comprises multiple paths, including a representation of each of the plurality of lineages that consists of a single path through the integration process in the dataset.
- **6.** The method of claim 5, wherein generating the dataset further comprises, for each of at least a subset of the plurality of integration processes, including a representation of each of one or more lineages that consist of a sub-path through the integration process in the dataset.
- 7. The method of claim 1, wherein each of the representations of the lineages comprises a feature vector that includes an entry for each step in the lineage and is annotated with the error information.
- **8.** The method of claim 1, wherein the error information comprises an execution result of a corresponding one of the plurality of integration processes.
- **9**. The method of claim 8, wherein the execution result comprises one or more errors output during execution of the corresponding integration process.
- **10**. The method of claim 1, wherein the trigger is a user operation.
- **11.** The method of claim 1, wherein the graphical user interface comprises a virtual canvas on which shapes, representing steps, are dragged and dropped to construct the integration process.
- **12.** The method of claim 11, wherein receiving the lineage comprises: receiving a selection of one or more shapes on the virtual canvas; and receiving a selection of an analyze input as the trigger.
- **13**. The method of claim 12, wherein receiving the selection of one or more shapes on the virtual canvas comprises: receiving a selection of a review input; displaying a selection box on the virtual canvas; and receiving a manipulation of the selection box by the user.
- **14.** The method of claim 1, further comprising using the at least one hardware processor to display at least one visual representation of the error prediction within the graphical user interface.
- **15**. The method of claim 14, wherein the at least one visual representation of the error prediction comprises a dialog that includes a description of the error prediction.
- **16.** The method of claim 14, wherein the at least one visual representation of the error prediction comprises a severity meter that indicates a severity of the error prediction on a bar having a first end that represents least severe and a second end that represents most severe.
- **17.** The method of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of integration platforms is managed by a different organizational account than one or more other ones of the plurality of integration platforms.
- **18**. The method of claim 1, further comprising using the at least one hardware processor to, after the building phase and prior to the operation phase, deploy the error prediction model as a

microservice within the iPaaS platform.

- 19. A system comprising: at least one hardware processor; and software that is configured to, when executed by the at least one hardware processor, during a building phase, collect historical integration data from a plurality of integration platforms managed through an integration platform as a service (iPaaS) platform, wherein the historical integration data comprise representations of a plurality of integration processes, and wherein each of the plurality of integration processes comprises at least one lineage including a sequence of steps, generate a dataset comprising representations of the lineages in the plurality of integration processes, wherein each of the representations of the lineages is associated with error information, and based on the dataset, build an error prediction model that receives a representation of a lineage as an input and produces an error prediction as an output, and during an operation phase, generate a graphical user interface comprising one or more inputs for constructing an integration process, receive a lineage including a sequence of steps from a user via the graphical user interface, and in response to a trigger, apply the error prediction model to the received lineage to produce the error prediction.
- **20.** A non-transitory computer-readable medium having instructions stored therein, wherein the instructions, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to: during a building phase, collect historical integration data from a plurality of integration platforms managed through an integration platform as a service (iPaaS) platform, wherein the historical integration data comprise representations of a plurality of integration processes, and wherein each of the plurality of integration processes comprises at least one lineage including a sequence of steps, generate a dataset comprising representations of the lineages in the plurality of integration processes, wherein each of the representations of the lineages is associated with error information, and based on the dataset, build an error prediction model that receives a representation of a lineage as an input and produces an error prediction as an output; and during an operation phase, generate a graphical user interface comprising one or more inputs for constructing an integration process, receive a lineage including a sequence of steps from a user via the graphical user interface, and in response to a trigger, apply the error prediction model to the received lineage to produce the error prediction.