US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent

Kind Code

B2

Date of Patent

Inventor(s)

12395337

August 19, 2025

Naanaa; Mohammad et al.

Methods and systems for enhancing a context for use in processing, by a plurality of artificial intelligence agents, a request

Abstract

A method for identifying and clustering worker agents for processing requests includes receiving, by a core node, from a user agent, a user request. The core node updates, for each of the plurality of worker agents, an availability status, thereby producing a plurality of availability statuses. The core node computes, for each of the plurality of worker agents, a value of a drift metric. The core node clusters the plurality of worker agents to produce a plurality of clusters of worker agents, wherein each of the plurality of clusters contains worker agents that have similar semantic capabilities. Based at least on the user request, the plurality of availability statuses, and the plurality of clusters, the core node identifies a subset of the plurality of worker agents that are both available to process the user request and that are suitable for processing the user request.

Inventors: Naanaa; Mohammad (Hillsborough, CA), Panchenko; Volodymyr (Hillsborough,

CA), Mehra; Manav (Toronto, CA), Fornari; Ricardo (San Jose, CA)

Applicant: Portal AI Inc. (Redwood City, CA)

Family ID: 1000008767173

Assignee: Portal AI Inc. (Redwood City, CA)

Appl. No.: 19/083809

Filed: March 19, 2025

Prior Publication Data

Document IdentifierUS 20250247231 A1
Publication Date
Jul. 31, 2025

Related U.S. Application Data

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: H04L9/32 (20060101); G06F9/50 (20060101); G06F9/54 (20060101); G06F16/335 (20190101); G06F16/34 (20190101); G06F21/60 (20130101); G06F21/62 (20130101); G06F21/64 (20130101); G06F40/205 (20200101); G06F40/295 (20200101);

G06Q10/0631 (20230101); **G06Q10/0639** (20230101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **H04L9/32** (20130101); **G06F9/505** (20130101); **G06F9/54** (20130101); **G06F16/335**

(20190101); **G06F16/345** (20190101); **G06F21/602** (20130101); **G06F21/6227**

(20130101); G06F21/64 (20130101); G06F40/205 (20200101); G06F40/295

(20200101); G06Q10/06311 (20130101); G06Q10/063112 (20130101); G06Q10/06393

(20130101); G06F2209/503 (20130101); G06F2209/505 (20130101)

Field of Classification Search

USPC: None

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
8856196	12/2013	Yamada	N/A	N/A
8856335	12/2013	Yadwadkar	N/A	N/A
10042636	12/2017	Srivastava	N/A	N/A
10042886	12/2017	Saadat-Panah	N/A	N/A
10872204	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	G06F 40/216
11037554	12/2020	Le Chevalier	N/A	N/A
11095579	12/2020	De Mazancourt	N/A	N/A
11463585	12/2021	Ahani	N/A	N/A
11494851	12/2021	Novak	N/A	N/A
11632341	12/2022	Wang	N/A	N/A
11715042	12/2022	Liu	N/A	N/A
11748128	12/2022	Chakraborti	N/A	N/A
11848833	12/2022	Govindaraju	N/A	N/A
11922515	12/2023	Lombard	N/A	N/A
12126769	12/2023	Koul	N/A	N/A
12238213	12/2024	Naanaa	N/A	N/A
2002/0032723	12/2001	Johnson	N/A	N/A
2004/0249951	12/2003	Grabelsky	N/A	N/A
2007/0011281	12/2006	Jhoney	N/A	N/A
2007/0038610	12/2006	Omoigui	N/A	N/A
2009/0254336	12/2008	Dumais et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0138053	12/2010	Khan	N/A	N/A

2013/0197954 12/2012	2013/0080761	12/2012	Garrett	N/A	N/A
2014/0341566 12/2013 Smith N/A N/A N/A 2014/0359439 12/2013 Lyren N/A N/A N/A 2014/0359439 12/2014 Brown N/A N/A N/A 2015/0186154 12/2014 Brown N/A N/A N/A 2015/0186154 12/2014 Venkataraman N/A N/A N/A 2015/0186189 12/2016 Saodat-Panah N/A N/A N/A 2017/0039239 12/2016 Saadat-Panah N/A N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Haas N/A N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Sawhney N/A N/A N/A 2017/0139789 12/2016 Sawhney N/A N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A N/A 2018/0036284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A N/A 2018/023255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A N/A 2018/023255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A N/A 2018/023255 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A N/A 2018/023255 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0092232 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Wangn N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0073631 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0073631 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0073631 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0073632 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0073631 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A N/A 2021/0073631 12/2019 Guo Gharabe					
2014/0359439 12/2013					
2014/0372160 12/2013 Nath N/A N/A 2015/0186154 12/2014 Brown N/A N/A 2015/0186189 12/2015 Colson N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Saadat-Panah N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Haas N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Sawhney N/A N/A 2017/0267303 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2017/0267303 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2018/039264 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nalmus N/A N/A 2018/0232103 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2018/0233103 12/2018					
2015/0186154 12/2014		12/2013	_		
2015/0186189 12/2014 Venkataraman N/A N/A N/A 2016/0292011 12/2015 Colson N/A N/A N/A 2017/0039239 12/2016 Saadat-Panah N/A N/A N/A 2017/003156 12/2016 Haas N/A N/A N/A 2017/0091590 12/2016 Fries N/A N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A N/A 2017/0287038 12/2016 Krasadakis N/A N/A N/A 2018/0262304 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A N/A 2018/039255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A N/A 2018/0322255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A N/A 2018/0232353 12/2017 Kalmus N/A N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/002232 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/015978 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/015978 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/017378 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/017378 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/017378 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/017378 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Chahan N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Chahan N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A N/A 2023/0076307 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A N/A 2023/0076307 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A 2023/0076307 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A 2023/0076307 12/2022 Huafman N/A N/A 2023/0027600 12					
2016/0292011 12/2015 Colson N/A N/A 2017/00361356 12/2016 Saadat-Panah N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Haas N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Sawhney N/A N/A 2017/026304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2017/02637038 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2018/096284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/023255 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2019/0006016 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/004915 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171823 12/2018 July N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018					
2017/0039239 12/2016 Saadat-Panah N/A N/A 2017/0061356 12/2016 Haas N/A N/A 2017/0039789 12/2016 Fries N/A N/A 2017/0139789 12/2016 Fries N/A N/A 2017/0267034 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2018/096284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/032255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2018/0293103 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2019/004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/004915 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Jrimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Jrimie N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2018 <		12/2015			
2017/0091590 12/2016 Sawhney N/A N/A 2017/0139789 12/2016 Fries N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2017/0287038 12/2016 Krasadakis N/A N/A 2018/039264 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Kalmus N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/00741522 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/017394 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/00795753 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/00142930 12/2019	2017/0039239	12/2016	Saadat-Panah		N/A
2017/0139789 12/2016 Fries N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2017/0287038 12/2016 Krasadakis N/A N/A 2018/096284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0382255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/00466016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Glosh N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Jimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Jale Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0195731	2017/0061356	12/2016	Haas	N/A	N/A
2017/0139789 12/2016 Fries N/A N/A 2017/0262304 12/2016 Williams N/A N/A 2017/0287038 12/2016 Krasadakis N/A N/A 2018/096284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0382255 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/00466016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Glosh N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Jimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Jale Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0195731	2017/0091590	12/2016	Sawhney	N/A	N/A
2017/0287038 12/2016 Krasadakis N/A N/A 2018/0096284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0189266 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0293103 12/2017 Kalmus N/A N/A 2019/0006016 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Jrimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Zhu N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/00142930 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019		12/2016	_	N/A	N/A
2018/0096284 12/2017 Stets N/A N/A 2018/0189266 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0155778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 <	2017/0262304	12/2016	Williams	N/A	N/A
2018/0189266 12/2017 Venkataraman N/A N/A 2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2018/0293103 12/2017 Kalmus N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Zhu N/A GOGN 20/00 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2020/027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 <td>2017/0287038</td> <td>12/2016</td> <td>Krasadakis</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	2017/0287038	12/2016	Krasadakis	N/A	N/A
2018/0232255 12/2017 Nordin N/A N/A 2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Glosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0236132 12/2018 Zhu N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0195731 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakrab	2018/0096284	12/2017	Stets	N/A	N/A
2018/0268244 12/2017 Moazzami N/A N/A 2018/0293103 12/2017 Kalmus N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0236132 12/2018 Zhu N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0092232 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0081250 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/008153 12/2020 Chakraborti	2018/0189266	12/2017	Venkataraman	N/A	N/A
2018/0293103 12/2017 Kalmus N/A N/A 2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0092232 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0173788 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173781 12/2020 Wang </td <td>2018/0232255</td> <td>12/2017</td> <td>Nordin</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	2018/0232255	12/2017	Nordin	N/A	N/A
2019/0004815 12/2018 Povalyayev N/A N/A 2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171844 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Zhu N/A G06N 20/00 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/015778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0195731 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0073682 12/2020 Chakra	2018/0268244	12/2017	Moazzami	N/A	N/A
2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Zhu N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0197635 12/2021 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella	2018/0293103	12/2017	Kalmus	N/A	N/A
2019/0066016 12/2018 Ghosh N/A N/A 2019/0171822 12/2018 Sjouwerman N/A N/A 2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0243916 12/2018 Zhu N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2021 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella	2019/0004815	12/2018	Povalyayev	N/A	N/A
2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0236132 12/2018 Zhu N/A G06N 20/00 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0073682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2021 O'Ma		12/2018		N/A	N/A
2019/0171984 12/2018 Irimie N/A N/A 2019/0236132 12/2018 Zhu N/A G06N 20/00 2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0195731 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2021/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella </td <td>2019/0171822</td> <td>12/2018</td> <td>Sjouwerman</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	2019/0171822	12/2018	Sjouwerman	N/A	N/A
2019/0243916 12/2018 Ashoori N/A N/A 2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0092232 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0081250 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka </td <td>2019/0171984</td> <td>12/2018</td> <td>_</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	2019/0171984	12/2018	_	N/A	N/A
2019/0266254 12/2018 Blumenfeld N/A N/A 2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0092232 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0073682 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Gula N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Gula N/A N/A 2023/00400753 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka	2019/0236132	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	G06N 20/00
2020/0027553 12/2019 Vaughn N/A N/A 2020/0092232 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A N/A 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0073682 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Haang N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka	2019/0243916	12/2018	Ashoori	N/A	N/A
2020/0092232 12/2019 Tojima N/A N/A 2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0195731 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A G06N 3/08 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 203/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka <td>2019/0266254</td> <td>12/2018</td> <td>Blumenfeld</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	2019/0266254	12/2018	Blumenfeld	N/A	N/A
2020/0142930 12/2019 Wang N/A N/A 2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0195731 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A G06N 3/08 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173788 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo	2020/0027553	12/2019	Vaughn	N/A	N/A
2020/0159778 12/2019 Mohanty N/A N/A 2020/0195731 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A G06N 3/08 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0081250 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hoseini	2020/0092232	12/2019	Tojima	N/A	N/A
2020/0195731 12/2019 Guo N/A N/A 2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A G06N 3/08 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0081250 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hoo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunsta	2020/0142930	12/2019	Wang	N/A	N/A
2020/0293874 12/2019 Ji N/A G06N 3/08 2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0081250 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0197305 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2023/040094 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Haung N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe </td <td>2020/0159778</td> <td>12/2019</td> <td>Mohanty</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	2020/0159778	12/2019	Mohanty	N/A	N/A
2021/0043099 12/2020 Du N/A N/A 2021/0081250 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/004094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini	2020/0195731	12/2019	Guo	N/A	N/A
2021/0081250 12/2020 Chauhan N/A N/A 2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2020/0293874	12/2019	Ji	N/A	G06N 3/08
2021/0173682 12/2020 Chakraborti N/A N/A 2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0197305 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2021/0043099	12/2020	Du	N/A	N/A
2021/0173718 12/2020 Patel N/A N/A 2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2021/0081250	12/2020	Chauhan	N/A	N/A
2021/0288927 12/2020 Wang N/A N/A 2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2021/0173682	12/2020	Chakraborti	N/A	N/A
2022/0036153 12/2021 O'Malia N/A N/A 2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2021/0173718	12/2020	Patel	N/A	N/A
2022/0179635 12/2021 Fang N/A N/A 2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2021/0288927	12/2020	Wang	N/A	N/A
2022/0197306 12/2021 Cella N/A N/A 2023/0040094 12/2022 Huang N/A N/A 2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2022/0036153	12/2021	O'Malia	N/A	N/A
2023/004009412/2022HuangN/AN/A2023/006075312/2022MatsuokaN/AN/A2023/007440612/2022BaeumlN/AN/A2023/007632712/2022MatsuokaN/AN/A2023/008959612/2022HuffmanN/AN/A2023/013622612/2022MoN/AN/A2023/015669012/2022HaoN/AN/A2023/027409412/2022Tunstall-PedoeN/AN/A2023/029786012/2022HosseiniN/AN/A	2022/0179635	12/2021	Fang	N/A	N/A
2023/0060753 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2022/0197306	12/2021	Cella	N/A	N/A
2023/0074406 12/2022 Baeuml N/A N/A 2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0040094	12/2022	Huang	N/A	N/A
2023/0076327 12/2022 Matsuoka N/A N/A 2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0060753	12/2022	Matsuoka	N/A	N/A
2023/0089596 12/2022 Huffman N/A N/A 2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0074406	12/2022	Baeuml	N/A	N/A
2023/0136226 12/2022 Mo N/A N/A 2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0076327	12/2022	Matsuoka	N/A	N/A
2023/0156690 12/2022 Hao N/A N/A 2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0089596	12/2022	Huffman	N/A	N/A
2023/0274094 12/2022 Tunstall-Pedoe N/A N/A 2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0136226	12/2022	Mo	N/A	N/A
2023/0297860 12/2022 Hosseini N/A N/A	2023/0156690	12/2022	Hao	N/A	N/A
	2023/0274094	12/2022	Tunstall-Pedoe	N/A	N/A
2023/0316172 12/2022 Ayat N/A N/A	2023/0297860	12/2022	Hosseini	N/A	N/A
	2023/0316172	12/2022	Ayat	N/A	N/A

2023/0359959	12/2022	Harding	N/A	N/A
2023/0368284	12/2022	Sheikh	N/A	N/A
2023/0376700	12/2022	Bista	N/A	N/A
2023/0410022	12/2022	Childress	N/A	N/A
2024/0037646	12/2023	Sheikh	N/A	H04L 9/0618
2024/0267464	12/2023	Koneru	N/A	N/A
2024/0289863	12/2023	Smith Lewis	N/A	N/A
2024/0311617	12/2023	Di Palo	N/A	G06V 10/82
2024/0346254	12/2023	Liu	N/A	N/A
2024/0346256	12/2023	Qin	N/A	N/A
2024/0394965	12/2023	Doggett	N/A	N/A
2025/0086534	12/2024	Naanaa	N/A	N/A
2025/0086560	12/2024	Naanaa	N/A	N/A

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
2773326	12/2011	CA	N/A
4184322	12/2022	EP	N/A
2015061976	12/2014	WO	N/A
2024182285	12/2023	WO	N/A

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

'How to Measure AI Agent Performance' from dialzara blog, Dec. 25, 2024. (Year: 2024). cited by examiner

'What is AI agent evaluation?' by Cole Stryker from IBM 2025. (Year: 2025). cited by examiner 'What Are AI Agents? Benefits, Examples, Types' by Salesforce, 2024. (Year: 2024). cited by applicant

Foy "Understanding Tokens & Context Windows" (2023) (https://blog.mlq.ai/tokens-context-window-llms/ (Year: 2023). cited by applicant

Guan, Lin, et al. "Leveraging pre-trained large language models to construct and utilize world models for model-based task planning." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2023): 79081-79094. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in International App. No.

PCT/US2024/046328 dated Dec. 12, 2024, 8 pages. cited by applicant

Martineau, K, What is retrieval-augmented generation (RAG)?. Aug. 22, 2023, IBM Research., https://research.ibm.com/blog/ retrieval-augmented-generation-RAG pp. 1-8 (Year: 2023). cited by applicant

N Sonnino (Large Language Models as smart space aware social conversational agents)—2022—politesi.polimi.it (Year: 2022). cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 24, 2025 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,398 (pp. 1-4). cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 3, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,398 (pp. 1-11). cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 18, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,373 (pp. 1-12). cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance dated Dec. 27, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,284 (pp. 1-15). cited by applicant

Office Action (Final Rejection) dated Mar. 17, 2025 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/830,895 (pp. 1-41). cited by applicant

Office Action dated Feb. 27, 2025 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,344 (pp. 1-30). cited by applicant

Office Action dated Mar. 3, 2025 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,326 (pp. 1-36). cited by applicant Office Action dated Nov. 15, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,344 (pp. 1-24). cited by applicant Office Action dated Nov. 27, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,326 (pp. 1-20). cited by applicant Office Action dated Dec. 10, 2024 for U.S. Appl. No. 18/882,388 (pp. 1-28). cited by applicant Office Action dated May 27, 2025 for U.S. Appl. No. 19/083,971 (pp. 1-13). cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Snyder; Steven G

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Blueshift IP

Background/Summary

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS (1) This application claims priority from U.S. patent application Ser. No. 18/882,284, filed on Sep. 11, 2024, entitled, "Methods and Systems for Identification and Semantic Clustering of Worker Agents for Processing Requests," which claims priority from U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 63/537,979, filed on Sep. 12, 2023, entitled "Unified Network Protocol for Specialized Artificial Intelligence Agents," all of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND

- (1) The disclosure relates to methods for ranking, platform-agnostic selecting, and executing of one or more artificial intelligence (AI) agents. More particularly, the methods and systems described herein relate to functionality for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents.
- (2) Conventionally, platforms that employ AI components are siloed away from each other, especially in scenarios in which different—and potentially competing—corporate entities develop and/or maintain the platforms. Such conventional AI systems typically execute within specific environments that may have conflicting technical requirements with other AI systems and may lack functionality required for interoperability, as well as introducing inefficiencies, redundances, and weaknesses regarding data security and privacy. Furthermore, conventional technology typically fails to provide functionality for identifying one (or more) tasks within received input (whether from a human user or an application) and determining which of the many available AI platforms provide functionality for executing such tasks.
- (3) Therefore, there is a need for technological improvements to systems for identifying functionality needed to execute one or more tasks identified in connection with analyzed data, directing the execution of the identified functionality, and generating and providing output responsive to the requested tasks.

SUMMARY

(4) In one aspect, a method for identifying and clustering worker agents suitable for processing a user request includes receiving, by a core node, from a user agent, a user request, the user request including a description of at least one task. The method includes updating, by the core node, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, an availability status for the worker agent W, thereby producing a plurality of availability statuses for the plurality of worker agents. The method includes computing, by the core node, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a drift metric for the worker agent W, the computing comprising: computing, for the worker agent W, a relevance score representing a relevance of a plurality of responses provided by the worker agent W to a plurality of user requests, thereby computing a plurality of relevance scores for the plurality of worker agents; computing the value of the drift metric for the worker agent W based on the relevance score for the worker agent W; and updating the availability status

of the worker agent W to the "unavailable" status if the value of the drift metric for the worker agent W satisfies a predetermined criterion. The method includes clustering, by the core node, the plurality of worker agents to produce a plurality of clusters of worker agents, wherein each of the plurality of clusters contains worker agents that have similar semantic capabilities. The method includes based on the user request, the plurality of availability statuses, the plurality of relevance scores, and the plurality of clusters, identifying, by the core node, a subset of the plurality of worker agents that are both available to process the user request and that are suitable for processing the user request.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- (1) The foregoing and other objects, aspects, features, and advantages of the disclosure will become more apparent and better understood by referring to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:
- (2) FIG. **1**A is a block diagram depicting an embodiment of a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (3) FIG. **1**B is a block diagram depicting an embodiment of a user agent and a plurality of worker agents in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (4) FIG. **1**C is a block diagram depicting an embodiment of a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (5) FIG. **2**A is a flow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method for assigning a plurality of worker agents to perform a plurality of sub-tasks associated with a user request;
- (6) FIG. **2**B is a flow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method for assigning a plurality of worker agents to perform a plurality of sub-tasks associated with a user request;
- (7) FIG. **2**C is a workflow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method in which a user agent sends sub-tasks for processing conditionally;
- (8) FIG. **3**A is a flow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method for enrolling, by a core node, an agent in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (9) FIG. **3**B is a flow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method for depicting an embodiment of a method for enrolling, by a core node, a user agent in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (10) FIG. **3**C is a flow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method for depicting an embodiment of a method for enrolling, by a core node, a worker agent in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (11) FIG. **3**D is a flow diagram depicting an embodiment of a method for depicting an embodiment of a method for enrolling, by a core node, a worker agent in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (12) FIG. **4** is a flow diagram depicting one embodiment of a method for executing an agent-specific ranking algorithm to rank a plurality of worker agents in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (13) FIG. **5** is a flow diagram depicting one embodiment of a method for semantic categorization of agents in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;
- (14) FIG. **6** is a flow diagram depicting one embodiment of a method for enhancing a context for use in processing at least one sub-task within a user request in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents;

- (15) FIG. **7** is a flow diagram depicting one embodiment of a method for enhancing a context for processing a user request in a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents; and
- (16) FIGS. **8**A-**8**C are block diagrams depicting embodiments of computers useful in connection with the methods and systems described herein.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

- (17) The methods and systems described herein may provide functionality for ranking, platform-agnostic selecting, and executing of one or more artificial intelligence agents. More particularly, the methods and systems described herein relate to functionality for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents.
- (18) Implementation of the methods and systems described herein may provide improve processing of user input by a plurality of dynamically ranked and selected agent applications, which may execute in a decentralized, network-based system. The agent applications (which may also be referred to herein as AI agents, as agent components, as AI entities, as agents, or as AI applications) may exchange data to execute one or more tasks identified within a query. The methods and systems described herein may provide functionality catering to online service interactions and providing personalized responses based on user preferences. Such systems may result in improved output to user input at least in part by leveraging the capabilities of AI and decentralization to provide tailored, efficient, and responsive service interactions. Implementation of the methods and systems described herein may result in a more unified approach to identification and execution of various applications and in unification of what is currently a vast and fragmented digital AI agent landscape. The methods and systems described herein may further provide functionality providing safeguards that effectively deter and handle potential spamming, flooding, and other malicious activities, ensuring the integrity and availability of the network.
- (19) The systems described herein may include functionality for executing a scalable, decentralized network protocol in a network of dynamic, independent, specialized AI agents, the system designed to facilitate seamless interactions among multiple online services and platforms, without requiring navigation through disparate interfaces, reducing the cognitive load, inefficiencies, and limitations associated with multi-platform use. Implementation of AI agents as described herein may result in execution of new types of AI agents, which may communicate, collaborate, and share resources efficiently because the protocols implemented by such AI agents may standardize agent communication methods, data formats, and interaction patterns (e.g., relating to agent enrollment, discovery, and ranking), ensuring that AI agents, regardless of underlying architecture or primary function, can discover other AI agents for collaboration, understand and respond to requests from other AI agents. Each of the AI agents may execute functionality for completing tasks associated some services and not others, with varying degrees of specialization. Such systems may provide unified platform that enables data exchange, smooth interaction, collaboration, and task execution between various specialized AI agents catering to specific online products and services. Such systems may execute a dynamic agent discovery and ranking mechanism in which a network node identifies, on behalf of one or more user agents, one or more available worker agents executing functionality for completing tasks received by the user agents from one or more associated users; such systems may ensure that user agents can identify and access the latest and better ranked services and functionalities as the network grows and the services provided by nodes on the network increase. Furthermore, the systems described herein may execute mechanisms that continually adapt to individual preferences and historical behaviors, resulting in outcomes that may be tailored to each user's unique requirements.
- (20) In some embodiments, AI agents are software systems designed to perceive their environment, make decisions, and take actions to achieve specific goals. Unlike conventional computers that simply follow pre-programmed instructions, AI agents may gather information from their environment through various inputs; process this information and make decisions based on it; take

actions that affect their environment to achieve their goals; and improve their performance over time based on experience (e.g., learn). AI agents may operate independently, making decisions without constant human input. AI agents may be programmed work towards specific objectives, rather than just performing predefined tasks. AI agents may be adaptable and may adjust their behavior based on changes in their environment, improving their performance over time through experience and feedback. Additionally, AI agents may actively engage with and influence their environment, rather than passively processing data.

- (21) An AI agent may include and/or utilize one or more models that have been trained using machine learning techniques, commonly referred to as "machine learning models." These models enable the AI agent to process input data, make decisions, and generate outputs based on patterns learned from training data. Examples of types of machine learning training that may be used to train a machine learning model within or otherwise used by an AI include any one or more of the following in any combination: supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, and transfer learning. Examples of types of machine learning models that may be included in or otherwise used by an AI agent include neural networks (e.g., deep learning models), decision trees, random forests, Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Bayesian models, generative models (e.g., Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)), and transformer models. Language models, such as large language models and generative language models, are also examples of machine learning models.
- (22) Referring now to FIG. **1**A, a block diagram depicts one embodiment of a system for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents. In brief overview, the system **100** includes a plurality of user agents **102***a-n*, a core node **104**, a first pretrained large language model (LLM) **110**, a second pre-trained LLM **112**, and a plurality of worker agents **106***a-n*. The particular numbers of user agents **102***a-n* and worker agents **106***a-n* shown in FIG. **1**A is merely an example; more generally, there may be any number of user agents **102***a-n* and any number of worker agents **106***a-n*, and the number of user agents **102***a-n* may be the same as or differ from the number of worker agents **106***a-n* (i.e., the use of "n" is a generic variable and does not imply that the number of user agents **102***a-n* must be the same as the number of worker agents **106***a-n*). Furthermore, any two or more user agents **102***a-n* may share properties and/or have properties that differ from each other in any of a variety of ways. Similarly, any two or more worker agents **106***a-n* may share properties that differ from each other in any of a variety of ways.
- (23) Each of the user agents **102***a-n* may be associated with one or more corresponding users. A user that is associated with a particular user agent may be referred to herein as "the user agent's user," and that user agent may be referred to as "the user agent of the user" or "the user agent associated with the user." Any two user agents may be associated with the same or different user agents. A user may be human, machine (e.g., a computer or computer component), software, or any combination thereof. The system **100** may include any combination of human, machine, and software users. For example, all of the users in the system **100** may be software (such as a plurality of different software applications or components). As another example, some of the users in the system **100** may be software.
- (24) The components of the system **100** may be executed by a type or form of computing device (as described in greater detail below in connection with FIGS. **8**A-**8**C) that has been modified to execute instructions for providing the functionality described herein; these modifications result in a new type of computing device that provides a technical solution to problems rooted in computer technology, such as identification of, and distribution of tasks to, AI agent applications executing on a distributed plurality of nodes on a network, and, in some embodiments, to AI agent applications developed and/or maintained by different entities.

- (25) Use of the methods and systems described herein, including implementation of a distributed asynchronous communication framework and leveraging innovative message queue systems, may ensure resilient, efficient, and scalable inter-agent communication. Agents may be incorporated into the network through a structured and secure enrollment process, ensuring consistency and accountability. The interactions between the AI agents may be scalable and allow for the integration of new agents without significant alterations to the existing infrastructure.
- (26) User agents **102***a-n* (which may be referred to more generally herein as user agents **102**), worker agents **106***a-n* (which may be referred to more generally herein as worker agents **106**), and the core node **104** may be autonomous agents, that is computer programs executing one or more types of artificial intelligence components to identify and execute tasks in a computing environment automatically (e.g., without direct human instruction). Each of these components may include or be in communication with one or more machine learning engines.
- (27) User agents **102***a-n*, acting on user inputs, may communicate with the core node to (1) request decomposition of user requests into atomic tasks, (2) locate one or more worker agents **106***a-n*, and (3) select one or more worker agents **106***a-n* for task executions. Complex tasks that would traditionally necessitate maneuvering through multiple platforms may instead be described in a singular user request and may be executed by multiple worker agents **106***a-n*.
- (28) The user agents **102***a*-*n* may generate and display user interfaces for receiving user input. The user agents **102***a*-*n* may generate and display personalized or otherwise customized user interfaces for different users. The user agents **102***a*-*n* may collate user requests.
- (29) The user agents **102***a-n* may execute one or more discovery mechanisms (which may also be referred to as dynamic agent discovery features) to search, directly or indirectly, a network of worker agents **106***a-n* to execute tasks. The user agents **102***a-n* may communicate with the core node **104**. The user agents **102***a-n* may optionally include or be in communication with a pretrained LLM **110** and/or a pre-trained LLM **112**. The user agents **102***a-n* may be provided as a software component executed on a computing device. The user agent **102***a-n* may be provided as a hardware component integrated into a computing device. The user agent **102** may access and use stored user data to personalize the user experience (including personalization of user interfaces and personalization of worker agent selections).
- (30) The user agents **102***a-n* may optionally execute a membership handler. The membership handler may include functionality for governing agent enrollment, authentication, and ongoing membership within the system **100**, ensuring establishment and maintenance of a trusted (e.g., authenticated) relationship with the core node **104** and/or other components of the system **100**. (31) The user agents **102***a-n* may optionally execute a query engine. The query engine may execute functionality for decomposing a user request into a plurality of sub-tasks. The query engine may orchestrate distributed task execution. The query engine may aggregate received output into a final result upon receiving output from the core node **104** and/or from one or more worker agents **106***a-n*.
- (32) The worker agents **106***a-n* may be AI agents specialized to interface with specific online products or services, serving as dedicated proxies for their respective external platforms. The worker agents **106***a-n* may be AI agents specialized to solve particular tasks and/or to perform operations on particular types of data. The worker agents **106***a-n* may function as proxies for one or more services; that is, instead of, or in addition to, executing functionality to directly complete some or all tasks within a user request, the worker agents **106***a-n* may be in communication with one or more other services from which the worker agents **106***a-n* may request completion of some or all tasks within a user request, receive output data, and provide the output data to another component in the system **100** (such as the user agents **102***a-n* or the core node **104**). The worker agents **106***a-n* may be provided as a software component executed on a computing device. The worker agents **106***a-n* may be provided as a hardware component integrated into a computing device. The worker agents **106***a-n* may be provided by different entities. In some embodiments,

there may be minimal or no communication or collaboration available between worker agents provided by different, potentially competing, business entities but the implementation of the methods and systems described herein may provide functionality executed by the core node **104** through which the user agents **102** may identify and communicate with a plurality of worker agents to receive output for portions of user requests, regardless of whether such worker agents (or the services to which they connect) include functionality for connecting or collaborating with other worker agents or services.

- (33) The worker agents **106***a*-*n* may optionally execute a membership handler. The membership handler may include functionality for governing agent enrollment, authentication, and ongoing membership within the system **100**, ensuring establishment and maintenance of a trusted (e.g., authenticated) relationship with the core node **104** and/or other components of the system **100**. (34) The worker agents **106***a*-*n* may optionally execute a request engine. The request engine may be in communication with one or more other services from which the request engine may request completion of some or all tasks within a user request, receive output data, and provide the output data to another component of the system **100** (such as the user agents **102***a-n* or the core node **104**). (35) The user agents **102** and the worker agents **106** may communicate with each other directly, typically after being provided with connection information by the core node **104**. The user agents **102** may send requests for task execution to the worker agents **106** via such direct connections. The user agents **102** may receive output generated during task execution from the worker agents **106** via such direct connections. In FIG. 1A, the dashed lines represent agent-to-agent communications. (36) The user agents **102** and the worker agents **106** may communicate with the core node **104**. The connection between an agent 102 or 106 and the core node 104 may serve as a gateway to the system **100**, enabling agent discovery after which the agents may directly connect with each other. In FIG. 1A, the solid lines represent communications between the core node 104 and agents or between.
- (37) The core node **104** may execute functionality for enrolling agent applications into the system **100**. The core node **104** may execute an enrollment process to enroll and verify the authenticity and/and or capabilities of one or more agent applications. The core node **104** may execute functionality for populating, maintaining, and/or dynamically updating an agent directory that classifies and organizes agents based at least on agent capabilities and specializations. (38) The core node **104** may execute functionality for identifying worker agents **106***a*-*n*. The core node **104** may optionally execute a discovery handler for searching for and identifying one or more
- (39) The core node **104** may optionally execute a ranking engine for ranking one or more worker agents **106** in the system **100**. The core node **104** may execute functionality for ranking one or more worker agents **106***a-n*. The core node **104** may execute functionality for ranking the one or more worker agents **106***a-n* based upon criterion such as performance, user feedback, and latency statistics, which may ensure that the best-suited agent(s) executes on a particular request, thereby improving service quality. The core node **104** may be provided as a software component executed on a computing device. The core node **104** may be provided as a hardware component integrated into a computing device.

worker agents 106.

- (40) The core node **104** may optionally execute a membership handler. The membership handler may execute a method for enrolling worker agents **106** into the system **100**.
- (41) The core node **104** may be in communication with one or more user agents **102***a-n*. The core node **104** may be in communication with one or more worker agents **106***a-n*. The core node **104** may optionally include or be in communication with a pre-trained LLM **112** for processing incoming natural language requests, including conversion of incoming requests into one or more executable action items, based at least in part on available worker agents **106**.
- (42) In one embodiment, the pre-trained LLM **110** and the pre-trained LLM **112** are large language models. However, in an alternate embodiment, the functionality described in connection with the

components **110** and **112** is provided by one or more small language models. In a further alternate embodiment, the functionality described in connection with the components **110** and **112** is provided by a machine learning model other than a large language model.

- (43) The system **100** may utilize a distributed message-queueing system for the queue mechanism to provide robustness, reliability, and extensive options for scalability. By adhering to this decoupled, asynchronous approach, the system **100** may provide a scalable, efficient, and resilient mode of communication, enabling diverse and dynamic interactions among the agents. The core node **104**, the user agents **102**, and the worker agents **106** may utilize a distributed message queue system integrated within a unified network protocol, which may provide asynchronous, resilient, and efficient communication among agents. The distributed message queue system may include a fail-safe mechanism to ensure message delivery even in the event of disruptions to the network. The interface between agents may result in coordinated interactions for fulfilling all or portions of user requests. Agents may use the distributed message queue system in communicating with other components of the system **100**. Agents may publish messages to specific topics or channels designated for distinct tasks or services, ensuring that relevant agents consume these messages. Agents may subscribe to specific topics or channels relevant to their functionality; when a message becomes available in a subscribed topic, a subscribing agent may retrieve the message and process the message and may optionally publish a response to a different topic. Agents may transmit messages in the system in a standardized format, encapsulating meta-information such as, without limitation, sender ID, intended recipient, timestamp, message type, and payload; the payload may contain the main content of the message. The distributed message queue system may retain messages until the messages are successfully consumed by a target agent, which may ensure that no information is lost (e.g., in the case of temporary agent downtimes). Once a message is consumed and processed, agents may send an acknowledgment back to the system. In the event of processing failures, error messages are generated and can be acted upon by either the sender, recipient, or administrative nodes.
- (44) The system **100** may incorporate a security framework to safeguard the communication and data exchange among agents. The system **100** may incorporate a feedback loop to allow for the continuous improvement of agent interactions based on user feedback and system analytics. (45) The core node **104** may include or be in communication with a database. The user agents **102***a-n* may each include or be in communication with a database. The worker agents **106***a-n* may each include or be in communication with a database. The databases may be ODBC-compliant databases. For example, the databases may be provided as ORACLE databases, manufactured by Oracle Corporation of Redwood Shores, CA. In other embodiments, the databases may be Microsoft ACCESS databases or Microsoft SQL server databases, manufactured by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, WA. In other embodiments, the databases may be SQLite databases distributed by Hwaci of Charlotte, NC, or PostgreSQL databases distributed by The PostgreSQL Global Development Group. In still other embodiments, the databases may be custom-designed databases based on an open-source database, such as the MYSQL family of freely available database products distributed by Oracle Corporation of Redwood City, CA. In other embodiments, examples of databases include, without limitation, structured storage (e.g., NoSQL-type databases and Bigtable databases), HBase databases distributed by The Apache Software Foundation of Forest Hill, MD, MongoDB databases distributed by 10Gen, Inc., of New York, NY, an AWS DynamoDB distributed by Amazon Web Services and Cassandra databases distributed by The Apache Software Foundation of Forest Hill, MD. In further embodiments, the databases may be any form or type of database.
- (46) Although, for ease of discussion, the components described in FIG. **1**, are described as separate modules, it should be understood that this does not restrict the architecture to a particular implementation. For instance, some or all of the components may be encompassed by a single circuit or software function or, alternatively, distributed across a plurality of computing devices.

- (47) Referring now to FIG. 1B, a block diagram depicts an embodiment of a user agent 102 and a plurality of worker agents **106** in the system **100** for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents. As described in greater detail below, the user agent receives at least one user agent from a user and at least one worker agent profile from the core node **104**. The user agent **102** oversees the decomposition of the user request into a plurality of sub-tasks (described as sub-requests in FIG. 1B; each sub-request may include one or more sub-tasks). The user agent 102 communicates with the core node 104 to have the core node generate (or re-generate) a ranking of a subset of worker agents 106 based upon attributes of the user request (including customization details associated with the user and with a type of task identified in the user request) and to have the core node **104** identify, for each sub-task, a best worker agent **106**. The user agent **102** may communicate directly with the identified best worker agent **106** and receive a response to the sub-task from the worker agent **106** (which itself may have communicated with another service to have the sub-task processed and the response generated). The user agent **102** may return each response to the corresponding user or, in the event of receiving a plurality of responses associated with a single user request, the user agent 102 may aggregate the responses and return the aggregated response to the user corresponding to the user request. (48) Referring now to FIG. 1C, a block diagram depicts an embodiment of a system 100 for query decomposition, agent ranking, and execution of selected agents from a plurality of agents. As shown in FIG. 1C, and as described in greater detail below, the user agent 102 may execute functionality for decomposing tasks and communicating with the core node **104** to identify a subset of worker agents **106**; this functionality may be provided by a query planner, an execution orchestrator, and a message orchestration component and the user agent 102 may include these components or may be in communication with such components executing separately from the user agent **102**. As shown in FIG. **1**C, the user agent **102** may execute a query plan retriever to generate an embedding of the user request (which may be stored in a query plan vector store 120) and communicate with the core node **104** to identify one or more worker agents **106** to which to assign one or more sub-tasks identified within the user request. The user agent **102** may execute one or more machine learning components to identify specific worker agents 106 to which to assign one or more sub-tasks.
- (49) Referring now to FIG. 2A, in brief overview, a block diagram depicts one embodiment of a method 200 for assigning a plurality of worker agents to perform a plurality of sub-tasks associated with a user request. The method **200** includes generating, by a user agent associated with a user, an embedding of a user request using a first pre-trained large language model (202). The method 200 includes identifying, by a core node in communication with the user agent, a plurality of candidate worker agents in a plurality of clusters of worker agents (204). The method includes ranking, by the core node, the plurality of candidate worker agents (206). The method includes modifying, by the user agent, the user request based on user-specific personalization data associated with the user (208). The method includes decomposing, by a second pre-trained LLM, the modified user request into a plurality of sub-requests describing a plurality of sub-tasks (210). For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent identifies a subset of the plurality of candidate worker agents to perform the sub-task, based on the ranking (212-a). For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent identifies, from the subset of the plurality of candidate worker agents, based on at least one criterion, a best worker agent to perform the sub-task (212-b). For each of the plurality of subtasks, the user agent assigns the sub-task to the identified best worker agent (**212**-*c*). For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent receives a corresponding result produced by the identified best worker agent (212-*d*). For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent provides the corresponding result to the user agent (212-*e*).
- (50) Referring now to FIG. **2**A, in greater detail and in connection with FIGS. **1**A and **2**B, the method **200** includes generating, by a user agent associated with a user, an embedding of a user request using a first pre-trained large language model. The user agent **102** may generate the

embedding of the user request. As will be understood by those of skill in the art, an embedding may serve as a dense, fixed-size vector representing semantic content identified within the user request. (51) The user agent **102** may generate additional data relating to the user request such as, by way of example, a discovery query delineating one or more criterion for specifying a type of worker agent **106** needed by the user agent **102** to complete one or more sub-portions of the user request. The additional data may include user-specific personalization data stored in the agent memory. The additional data may include metadata such as context data including, without limitation, user preferences, history, and other data with which the agents may customize the search and/or results based on user data.

- (52) The user agent **102** may communicate with the core node **104** to analyze one or more received requests and identify and select one or more worker agents **106** to execute the one or more requests, based upon rankings of the one or more worker agents **106**.
- (53) The method **200** includes identifying, by a core node in communication with the user agent, a plurality of candidate worker agents in a plurality of clusters of worker agents (**204**). The core node **104** may access a dynamically updated directory of worker agents **106** to identify the plurality of candidate worker agents. The directory may classify agents, by way of example, based on agent capabilities, specializations, and other meta-attributes, for instance, based on the online services or products agents cater to and/or interface with. The core node **104** may update the directory to account for new agent enrollments, agent retirements, or capability changes, which may ensure that the discovery process remains current, relevant, and comprehensive. The core node **104** may leverage a machine learning model, including, without limitation, a large language model (such as the large language model **112**) to characterize one or more of the worker agents **106** and generate clusters (e.g., logical clusters) of the worker agents **106** based upon the worker agents' capabilities and functionalities. The following table describes one embodiment of the directory of worker agents **106**:
- (54) TABLE-US-00001 Attribute Description Agent UID Unique identifier for the agent. Agent Type Specifies if the agent is a User or Worker Agent. Capabilities A list or set of services or tasks the agent can perform, relevant for Worker Agents. Public Key The public key of the agent used for cryptographic operations. Enrollment Status Indicates if the agent is provisionally accepted, permanently enrolled, or in a trial phase. Last Active Timestamp of the agent's last activity or interaction in the network. Service Details Specific details about the services offered by Worker Agents, such as service name, version, etc. Feedback Score (Optional) Average feedback or rating score from interactions, relevant for Worker Agents. Communication Topics or channels the agent is subscribed to Channels or can be reached at.
- (55) The core node **104** may search the directory to identify the worker agents **106** having functionality that aligns with criteria specified by the user agent **102**. As will be described in further detail below, for each discovery query, the core node **104** may provide not just a list of matching worker agents **106** but a prioritized list, dynamically generated for each request, providing an improved level of real-time relevance.
- (56) The method **200** includes ranking, by the core node, the plurality of candidate worker agents (**206**). The core node **104** may execute a ranking mechanism to rank the plurality of candidate worker agents **106**. The ranking mechanism may evaluate one or more factors to rank the candidate worker agents **106**, including, but not limited to, historical performance data of a candidate worker agent **106**, feedback or ratings (if available) from previous interactions, specificity and relevance of the capabilities of a candidate worker agent **106** that relate to the current user request or sub-task, latency or response time statistics from past engagements, and a load and/or current activity level of the candidate worker agent **106**.
- (57) In some embodiments, the core node **104** receives, from the user agent **102**, the user request and/or the embedding of the user request. The core node **104** may, optionally, modify a ranking of one or more candidate worker agents based upon analyzing one or more attributes of the user

request and/or of the embedding.

- (58) The method **200** includes modifying, by the user agent, the user request based on user-specific personalization data associated with the user (**208**). In addition to the ranking described above, the user agent **102** may overlay user-specific preferences based on past interactions, stored user data, or user-set priorities, which may ensure a fine-tuned match, enhancing a level of accuracy and/or fitness for purpose of the user and/or user satisfaction.
- (59) The method **200** includes decomposing, by the user agent in communication with a second pre-trained LLM, the modified user request into a plurality of sub-requests describing a plurality of sub-tasks (**210**). The user agent **102** may direct the decomposing by the second pre-trained LLM **112**. The core node **104** may direct the decomposing by the second pre-trained LLM **112**. (60) The second pre-trained LLM **112** may be pre-trained (and/or fine-tuned) specifically to
- decompose user requests into pluralities of sub-requests. Such decomposition may support query planning when searching a dynamically changing list of agents for a best agent to process a given sub-request. The second pre-trained LLM **112** may be trained based on data such as results of querying historical logs (when available), synthetic data generation for queries and query plan using LLMs based on predefined templates, rules and simulations. The training process may include annotating a query with an optimal query plan and include metadata such as execution time, resource usage, success rate, and other relevant performance metrics. The loss function may be designed to optimize sequence-to-sequence loss minimizing the cross entropy loss of the tokens being output as plans from the models, task-specific loss minimizing the resource usage and execution time, and reinforcement learning for rewarding the model for generating a plan successfully.
- (61) For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent identifies a subset of the plurality of candidate worker agents to perform the sub-task, based on the ranking (212-a). A matching algorithm may be employed to assign the best available worker agent to each sub-task. The matching algorithm may incorporate factors such as task suitability, past performance, availability, agent load, and capability specificity to assign AI agents to sub-tasks. Task suitability may be adjusted by a specificity score, which favors agents with narrower, more focused capabilities over those with broader, less specialized ones. The final score for each agent may be calculated using a weighted formula that balances these factors, ensuring that specialized agents are prioritized for tasks closely aligned with their expertise. The algorithm is designed to adapt over time, refining its weighting based on feedback from task outcomes. A final score may be the sum of multiplying each of suitability, performance, availability, load, and specificity by a corresponding weight. Specificity may be identified by dividing 1 by 1 plus a number of task categories. Suitability may be computing by identifying a cosine similarity between the embeddings of the subtask description and of the agent description. The system may track and store metrics for performance, availability, and load. In the event that a worker agent 106 is determined to be unavailable or overburdened, the core node **104** may execute a fallback mechanism; for example, the core node **104** may re-rank the worker agents **106** or adjust one or more task parameters to enable utilization of available worker agents **106**.
- (62) For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent identifies, from the subset of the plurality of candidate worker agents, based on at least one criterion, a best worker agent to perform the sub-task (212-b). The user agent 102 may execute a discovery mechanism to identify the best worker agent 106. The user agent 102 may with the best worker agent 106 via the distributed message queue system. The user agent 102 may receive data associated with the best worker agent 106 for communication with the best worker agent 106 from, for example, the core node 104; the data may include a user identifier of the identified best worker agent 106, capabilities of the identified best worker agent 106, communications channels for exchanging data with the identified best worker agent 106, and any other attributes of the identified best worker agent 106 associated with completion of the sub-task. The core node 104 may dynamically update clusters based on new data

- about the worker agents' capabilities, thereby ensuring that the system adapts to evolving user needs and agent capabilities. The user agent **102** receiving the identification of the best worker agent **106** may locally cache identifiers of frequently interacted worker agents to minimize lookup times in subsequent interactions.
- (63) For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent assigns the sub-task to the identified best worker agent (212-c). The user agent 102 may communicate with the selected one or more worker agents 106 to fulfill the user request. The user agent 102 may generate a standardized request message in accordance with the protocols of the distributed message queuing system to assign the sub-task to the identified best worker agent 106. The message may include the details of the sub-task, parameters, and other relevant metadata for use in processing the sub-task. Leveraging a message queue-based approach, the user agent 102 may place the request in a designated queue for the identified best worker agent 106. This asynchronous methodology ensures that neither agent needs to be continuously active, awaiting responses, thereby conserving computational resources. As shown in FIG. 2B, multiple worker agents 106 may process and execute one or more requests or sub-tasks within requests; this processing and execution may occur in parallel.
- (64) The identified best worker agent **106**, which may have subscribed to the designated queue for receiving messages, may fetch the message and process the request. To process the request, the identified best worker agent **106** may interface with a service for executing the request (such as, without limitation, an online service and/or product for which the identified best worker agent **106** is tailored), execute the functionality needed to process the task, and prepare and send a response to the user agent **102**. The response may range from data retrieval to data transformations, to task status updates.
- (65) For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent receives a corresponding result produced by the identified best worker agent (212-*d*). The identified best worker agent 106 may encapsulate the response into the standardized message structure specified by the distributed message queue system and use the distributed message queue system to transmit the response to the user agent 102 via the corresponding message queue.
- (66) The user agent **102** may send sub-tasks to worker agents **106** for processing in parallel. The user agent **102** may send sub-tasks to worker agents **106** for processing serially. Referring now to FIG. 2C, a workflow diagram depicts an embodiment of a method in which the user agent 102 sends sub-tasks for processing conditionally; that is, sending at least one sub-task to a first worker agent **106***a* for processing and then determining whether to send a second sub-task to a second worker agent **106***b* based upon receiving and analyzing at least one response from the first worker agent **106***a*. The user agent **102** may communicate with the core node **104** to select each of the worker agents before any of the worker agents begin processing one or more sub-tasks. Alternatively, the user agent **102** may communicate with the core node **104** to select a first worker agent **106***a* and then work with the core node **104** to select a second worker agent **106***b* after receiving and evaluating sub-task results received from the first worker agent **106***a*. (67) Therefore, in one embodiment, the user agent **102** receives a user request from a user and generates the embedding of the user request, directly or indirectly (for example, by transmitting the user request to the first pre-trained LLM for generation of the embedding). The core node **104** identifies a plurality of candidate worker agents **106** ranked. The user agent **102** may modify the user request to, for example, add user-specific personalization data associated with the user to the user request and/or to the embedding of the user request. Either at the direction of the user agent **102** or at the direction of the core node **104**, the second pre-trained LLM **112** decomposes the modified user request into a plurality of sub-requests describing a plurality of sub-tasks. For each of the sub-tasks, the user agent 102 evaluates the identified candidate workers and identifies a

subset of that plurality based on the ranking data and criterion regarding the suitability of the worker agents **106** to execute the sub-tasks and transmits an identification of a best worker agent **106** to the user agent **102**. The user agent may communicate directly with the identified best worker

agent **106** to have the sub-task completed.

- (68) Referring back to FIG. **2**A, the system **100** may continually refine its rankings as the components of the system **100** execute over time and generate additional data from which the components may learn from the interactions, ensuring an adaptive and evolving ranking system. For example, in some embodiments, after each interaction, between a user agent **102** and a worker agent **106**, the system **100** may execute an optional feedback mechanism whereby the user agent **102** may provide a rating and/or review of the performance of the worker agent **106**. The core node **104** may then integrate the feedback received from the user agent **102** into the ranking system, ensuring a continuously improving and self-regulating system.
- (69) For each of the plurality of sub-tasks, the user agent provides the corresponding result to the user (212-e). The user agent 102 may aggregate the received results for each of the plurality of sub-tasks and provide the aggregated results to the user. The user agent 102, upon receiving and optionally parsing the response from the worker agent 106, may integrate response data with locally stored user data to personalize the response for the user. The user agent 102 may dynamically crafts a tailored output for the user, which may include rendering the results and/or suggesting further actions based on the response.
- (70) The following table describes one embodiment of network message types used by the agents **102** and **106** and the core node **104** to communicate amongst themselves in accordance with the distributed message queue system:
- (71) TABLE-US-00002 Message Type Sent By Sent To Specification Description Connection User Core Node Message(sender_UID, Contains public Request Agents/ core_node_ID, key and UID. Worker "CONNECTION_REQUEST", Agents public_key) Challenge Core Enrolling Message(core_node_ID, Contains a Node Agent sender_UID, cryptographic "CHALLENGE", challenge. challenge_data) Challenge Enrolling Core Node Message(sender_UID, Contains the Response Agent core node ID, processed "CHALLENGE RESPONSE", challenge processed challenge) result. Service Worker Core Node Message(worker agent Contains Declaration Agents ID, core_node_ID, details about "SERVICE_DECLARATION", the services service_details) they offer. Acknowledgment Core Enrolling Message(core_node_ID, Contains a Node Agent sender_UID, unique token and "ACK", token) confirmation of enrollment. Discovery User Core Node Message(user_agent_ID, Contains Query Agent core_node_ID, criteria for "DISCOVERY_QUERY", Worker Agent criteria) discovery. Discovery Core User Agent Message(core node ID, Contains details Response Node user agent ID, of relevant "DISCOVERY RESPONSE", Worker Agents. worker agent details) Task User Worker Message(user_agent_ID, Contains task Request Agent Agent worker_agent_ID, details and other "TASK_REQUEST", relevant task_details) metadata. Task Worker User Agent Message(worker_agent_ Contains task Response Agent ID, user_agent_ID, results or status "TASK_RESPONSE", updates. task_results) Ranking User Core Node Message(user_agent_ID, Contains criteria Query Agent core_node_ID, for ranking "RANKING_QUERY", Worker Agents. criteria) Ranking Core User Agent Message(core node ID, Contains a Response Node user agent ID, prioritized list of "RANKING RESPONSE", Worker Agents. ranked list) Feedback User Core Node Message(user_agent_ID, Contains Agent core_node_ID, ratings or "FEEDBACK", reviews for a rating_data) Worker Agent's performance. Error Any Agent Relevant Message(sender_ID, Contains error Agent recipient_ID, "ERROR", details. error_details) (72) As described above, agents may complete an enrollment process with the core node **104** as part of joining the system **100**. Agents may be pre-programmed with an identification of the core node **104** and/or how to communicate with the core node **104**. In some embodiments, a core node 104 is associated with (e.g., subscribed to) a type of message queue dedicated to agent-core node communications and the agents are programmed to initiate an enrollment process by sending a message to the core node at the message queue. By including functionality for enrolling agents which may be a streamlined and secure enrollment method using a dedicated message queue

- system—the system **100** may provide agents (and their developers) with a standardized mechanism for adding new agents to the system **100** in a secure and traceable manner.
- (73) Referring now to FIG. **3**A, a workflow diagram depicts one embodiment of a method **300** for enrolling an agent. The method **300** may include a cryptographic challenge in which the agent responds to a cryptographic challenge generated by the core node **104** and the core node **104** enrolls the agent upon verifying the response received by the agent.
- (74) In some embodiments, in addition to cryptographic challenges, the core node **104** transmits additional challenges to the agents requesting enrollment. For example, the core node **104** may transmit a challenge to a user agent **102** to provide an example of how the user agent **102** would decompose a sample user request into a plurality of sub-tasks and designate one or more worker agents to each of the sub-tasks in the plurality. As another example, the core node **104** may transmit a challenge to a worker agent **106** to provide an example of how the worker agent **106** would respond to a task that the worker agent **106** has specified the worker agent **106** has the capacity (technical or logistical) to process. The core node **104** may use the agent responses to determine whether to enroll the agents.
- (75) Referring now to FIG. 3B, a flow diagram depicts one embodiment of a method 320 for enrolling, by a core node, a user agent in the system **100**. The method **320** includes transmitting, by a core node, to a user agent, a predefined set of user requests (322). The method 320 includes, for each user request in the predefined set of user requests, receiving, by the core node, from the user agent, an embedding generated by the user agent based on the user request, thereby receiving a plurality of embeddings based on the predefined set of user requests (324). The method 320 includes determining, by the core node, whether the plurality of generated embeddings satisfy a similarity criterion in relation to a baseline set of embeddings to produce a similarity output (326). The method **320** includes transmitting, by the core node, to the user agent, a task to generate a query plan (328). The method 320 includes receiving, by the core node, from the user agent, a query plan generated by the user agent for processing a user request identified in the task, the query plan specifying: a plurality of user sub-requests for performing a plurality of sub-tasks; a plurality of worker agents for processing the plurality of user sub-requests; and, for each of the plurality of user sub-requests, an expected outcome of processing that user sub-request using the assigned worker agent (330). The method 320 includes determining, by the core node, whether the query plan satisfies a query plan criterion, wherein determining further comprises determining whether the guery plan satisfies at least one of correctness, relevancy, and efficiency criteria, thereby producing query plan adequacy output (332). The method 320 includes determining, by the core node, whether to approve the user agent based on the similarity output and the query plan adequacy output (**334**).
- (76) Upon a user agent's first interaction with the network in the system 100, the system 100 may trigger an enrollment verification protocol. The user agent 102 may generate a unique public-private key pair, identify a secure channel topic of the core node 104, and send a connection request to the core node 104 on the secure channel topic. This request may include the public key of the user agent 102 and a unique identifier (UID) of the user agent 102. The core node 104 may generate a unique cryptographic challenge for the user agent 102 using the provided public key and send the challenge to the user agent 102. The user agent 102 may decrypt the challenge using its generated private key, process the challenge (e.g., compute a cryptographic hash) and transmit the result of processing the challenge back to the core node 104, which may then verify the response. (77) Referring now to FIG. 3B in greater detail, and in connection with FIGS. 1A-3A, the method 320 includes transmitting, by a core node, to a user agent, a predefined set of user requests (322). The core node 104 may determine to transmit the predefined set of user requests to the user agent 102 subsequent to verifying the response to the cryptographic challenge.
- (78) The method **320** includes, for each user request in the predefined set of user requests, receiving, by the core node, from the user agent, an embedding generated by the user agent based

- on the user request, thereby receiving a plurality of embeddings based on the predefined set of user requests (**324**). As will be understood by those of skill in the art, embeddings may be high-dimensional feature vectors representing a context and semantic content of input to be processed by one or more machine learning components.
- (79) The method **320** includes determining, by the core node, whether the plurality of generated embeddings satisfy a similarity criterion in relation to a baseline set of embeddings to produce a similarity output (**326**).
- (80) The method **320** includes transmitting, by the core node, to the user agent, a task to generate a query plan (**328**).
- (81) The method **320** includes receiving, by the core node, from the user agent, a query plan generated by the user agent for processing a user request identified in the task, the query plan specifying: a plurality of user sub-requests for performing a plurality of sub-tasks; a plurality of worker agents for processing the plurality of user sub-requests; and, for each of the plurality of user sub-requests, an expected outcome of processing that user sub-request using the assigned worker agent (**330**).
- (82) The method **320** includes determining, by the core node, whether the query plan satisfies a query plan criterion, wherein determining further comprises determining whether the query plan satisfies at least one of correctness, relevancy, and efficiency criteria, thereby producing query plan adequacy output (**332**). The core node **104** may cross-verify the generated query plan with standard benchmarks or predefined templates to ascertain the correctness, relevancy, and efficiency of the proposed plan. The core node **104** may determine a percentage of times that the generated query plan exactly matches the optimal or annotated plan (Exact Match) and the percentage of times the correct plan appears within the top-k predicted plans (Top K accuracy). A plan is considered exact if it has a similar number of sub-tasks and the sub-tasks are similar in the embedding space beyond a set threshold.
- (83) The method **320** includes determining, by the core node, whether to approve the user agent based on the similarity output and the query plan adequacy output (**334**).
- (84) If the response is correct, the core node **104** may add the user agent UID and public key to a directory of approved agent and send the user agent **102** an acknowledgement message along with a unique token for use in subsequent authentication processes.
- (85) Referring now to FIG. **3C**, a flow diagram depicts one embodiment of a method **350** for enrolling, by a core node, a worker agent in the system **100**. The method **350** includes receiving, by a core node, from a worker agent, a capability description describing a plurality of tasks and, for each of the plurality of tasks, (i) at least one parameter of the task and (ii) an outcome expected to be produced by performing the task (**352**). The method **350** includes generating, based on the capability description, a plurality of request-output pairs, each representing a particular request and a corresponding baseline output expected to be produced when the request is processed (**354**). The method **350** includes receiving, by the core node, from the worker agent, a plurality of outputs, each of the plurality of outputs generated by the worker agent and corresponding to one of the plurality of request-output pairs (**356**). The method **350** includes comparing, by the core node, the plurality of baseline outputs to the plurality of actual outputs to produce comparison output (**358**). The method **350** includes determining whether to approve the worker agent based on the comparison output (**360**).
- (86) In embodiment in which the agent is a worker agent **106** is the enrollment challenge can be extended to test the validity of a claim by the worker agent to be able to perform one or more functions. As with the user agent **102**, the worker agent **106** may generate a unique public-private key pair, identify a secure channel topic of the core node **104**, and send a connection request to the core node **104** on the secure channel topic. Along with the public key of the worker agent **106** and the UID of the worker agent **106**, the worker agent **106** may include a description of one or more services the worker agent **106** provides. The core node **104** may execute the cryptographic

- challenge as described above for the user agent **102** and may also require a demonstration of a service identified in the description of services that the worker agent **106** provided.
- (87) Referring now to FIG. **3**C in greater detail, and in connection with FIGS. **1**A-**3**B, the method **320** includes receiving, by a core node, from a worker agent, a capability description describing a plurality of tasks and, for each of the plurality of tasks, (i) at least one parameter of the task and (ii) an outcome expected to be produced by performing the task (**352**). The worker agent **106** may provide a detailed capability description outlining the types of tasks it can handle, parameters it requires, and expected outcomes.
- (88) The method **350** includes generating, based on the capability description, a plurality of request-output pairs, each representing a particular request and a corresponding baseline output expected to be produced when the request is processed (**354**). The core node **104** may execute a verification algorithm to generate the request-output pairs, designed to test various aspects of the declared capabilities.
- (89) The method **350** includes receiving, by the core node, from the worker agent, a plurality of outputs, each of the plurality of outputs generated by the worker agent and corresponding to one of the plurality of request-output pairs (**356**).
- (90) The method **350** includes comparing, by the core node, the plurality of baseline outputs to the plurality of actual outputs to produce comparison output (**358**). The comparison may include an accuracy check to ensure that the response meets the accuracy as described in the capability document. The comparison may include a consistency check to verify that similar or repeated queries yield consistent results. The comparison may include an assessment of response time and resource utilization to match one or more agent claims. Initial responses may be subjected to automated checks for correctness, format conformity, and/or precision. For responses that require more subjective analysis, domain experts provide qualitative assessments of the suitability and/or thoroughness of the worker agent's responses. Discrepancies or performance issues identified during this phase are fed back to the owner of the worker agent for corrective measures, ensuring continuous improvement.
- (91) The method **350** includes determining whether to approve the worker agent based on the comparison output (**360**). Upon verification that the worker agent **106** satisfies a threshold level of performance for the identified service, the core node **104** may add the worker agent UID and public key to the directory of approved agents and send the worker agent **106** the acknowledgement message along with a unique token.
- (92) In some embodiments, the core node **104** provisionally accepts the worker agent **106** and sets a period of time in which the worker agent **106** is in a trial mode, during which time the core node **104** monitors communications from the worker agent **106** and confirms that one or more services provided by the worker agent **106** satisfies the threshold level of performance and that the services offered by the worker agent **106** align with the capabilities declared by the worker agent **106**. Therefore, the core node **104** may determine to provisionally approve the worker agent and transmit to the worker agent an identification of a period of time for which the worker agent is provisionally approved.
- (93) Referring back to FIG. **2**A, as indicated above, the method **200** includes ranking, by the core node, the plurality of candidate worker agents based on the embedding of the user request (as described above at **206**) and then ranking a subset of the candidate worker agents based on at least one criterion (as described above at **212**). In some embodiments, the core node **104** executes an agent-specific ranking algorithm for ranking a plurality of candidate worker agents based on user requests and upon specific attributes of each of the worker agents **106**.
- (94) Referring now to FIG. **4**, a flow diagram depicts one embodiment of a method for executing, by a core node, an agent-specific ranking algorithm to rank a plurality of worker agents based upon worker agent attributes and upon user request attributes in a system **100**. The method **400** includes receiving a user request, the user request including a description of a task (**402**). The method **400**

includes identifying a plurality of tasks to be performed to process the user request (**404**). The method **400** includes for each of a plurality of worker agents, computing a corresponding value for each of a plurality of metrics associated with at least one technical attribute of each of the plurality of worker agents, thereby computing a plurality of metric values for each of the plurality of worker agents (**406**). The method **400** includes generating, for each of the plurality of worker agents, a corresponding ranking, based on the metrics computed for the plurality of worker agents in (C), thereby generating a plurality of worker agent rankings, each of which corresponds to a distinct worker agent in the plurality of worker agents (**408**).

- (95) Referring now to FIG. **4** in greater detail and in connection with FIGS. **1**A-**3**D, the method **400** includes receiving a user request, the user request including a description of a task (**402**). The user agent **102** may receive the user request. The user agent **102** may receive the user request as described above in connection with FIGS. **2**A-**2**C.
- (96) The method **400** includes identifying a plurality of tasks to be performed to process the user request (**404**). The user agent **102** may identify the plurality of tasks. The user agent **102** may identify the plurality of tasks as described above in connection with FIGS. **2A-2C**. The user agent **102** may transmit a request to the core node **104** for ranking of a plurality of worker agents **106**. (97) The method **400** includes for each of a plurality of worker agents, computing a corresponding value for each of a plurality of metrics associated with at least one technical attribute of each of the plurality of worker agents, thereby computing a plurality of metric values for each of the plurality of worker agents (**406**). The core node **104** may execute a collaborative filtering method modified to rank at least one attribute of a worker agents, the worker agent executing at least one large language model; the collaborative filtering model may use both qualitative and quantitative metrics to perform the ranking.
- (98) The core node **104** may compute, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a throughput metric for the worker agent W, based on processing of other user requests by the worker agent W. The core node **104** may continuously monitor and log a number of tokens processed per second by each worker agent during their operational periods and utilize statistical methods to calculate the average throughput for each agent. Lower throughput agents are deprioritized, ensuring faster agents are more readily available for high-performance tasks. (99) The core node **104** may compute, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a context window matching metric for the worker agent W, based on a maximum context window of the worker agent W and a minimum context window required to process the user request. As will be understood by those of skill in the art, a context window may refer to an amount of text that a language model may receive as input when generating or parsing language; as an example, without limitation, a context window may specify a number of tokenized data terms that a language model can process. The core node **104** may profile each worker agent **106** on its maximum context window capability, allowing differentiations to be made based on the complexity of tasks they can support. For tasks requiring larger context windows, the core node **104** may prioritize agents that provide these capabilities, ensuring complex queries are handled by the most suitable agents.
- (100) The core node **104** may compute, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of an operational cost metric for the worker agent W, based on processing of other user requests by the worker agent W. The core node **104** may record an operational cost of each worker agent **106** on a per-million-token basis. The core node **104** may therefore rank worker agents not solely on performance but also on the cost-effectiveness of operations, providing a balanced rank considering high-performing and cost-efficient agents favorably in budget-sensitive scenarios. (101) The core node **104** may compute, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a declared capabilities metric for the worker agent W, based on declared capabilities of the worker agent W and tasks required to be performed to process the user request. The core node **104** may annotate worker agent profiles with their declared capabilities (e.g., general knowledge,

specialized domains such as legal advice, medical information, etc.) and implement a matching algorithm that gives preference to agents whose declared capabilities align closely with the specific needs voiced in a user's query, thus yielding more accurate and relevant responses.

- (102) The core node **104** may compute, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a reliability score metric for the worker agent W, based on uptime and longevity of the worker agent W. The core node **104** may monitor uptime (availability) and age (longevity in the network) to gauge the reliability of each worker agent **106**. The core node **104** may rank agents higher that have demonstrated consistent uptime and longevity, assuming these agents are more stable and trustworthy.
- (103) The core node **104** may compute, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a success rate metric for the worker agent W, based on tasks performed by the user agent W. The core node **104** may track conversation histories to maintain a log of user feedback and success rates for completed tasks. The core node **104** may utilize natural language processing techniques (including, by way of example, sentiment analysis) to analyze user feedback, quantifying satisfaction and success rates. The core node **104** may establish a scoring system that incorporates this user feedback, adjusting ranks to favor agents with higher satisfaction scores. (104) The core node **104** may execute a collaborative filtering engine that integrates one or more determined scores for one or more metrics to generate a composite score for each worker agent **106**. The core node **104** may employ data fusion techniques to amalgamate disparate data sources (quantitative measures like throughput and qualitative feedback) into a single coherent ranking framework.
- (105) The method **400** includes generating, for each of the plurality of worker agents, a corresponding ranking, based on the metrics computed for the plurality of worker agents in (C), thereby generating a plurality of worker agent rankings, each of which corresponds to a distinct worker agent in the plurality of worker agents (**408**).
- (106) The core node **104** may implement a real-time updating mechanism that recalibrates the rankings dynamically as the system **100** receives new data points (e.g., recent performance metrics, latest user feedback). The core node **104** may integrate one or more machine learning algorithms to continually refine the collaborative filtering model, making it more accurate and adaptive over time.
- (107) This multifaceted implementation ensures that the ranking of worker agents is both comprehensive and adaptive, considering a multitude of performance and reliability factors to ensure optimal user experience with LLM interactions.
- (108) Referring back to FIG. **2**A, as indicated above, the method **200** includes identifying, by a core node, a plurality of candidate worker agents in a plurality of clusters of worker agents, based on the embedding of the user request (as described above at **204**) and identifying, by the core node, to the user agent, from the subset of the plurality of candidate worker agents, based on at least one criterion, a best worker agent to perform the sub-task (as described above at **212**-*b*). In some embodiments, the core node **104** executes a method for semantic categorization of agents in a system **100** and uses the output of the method to cluster the agents, which improves the process of identifying candidate worker agents for user request completion and the process of identifying the best worker agents to execute particular sub-tasks of the user requests.
- (109) In some embodiments, when a user agent **102** queries the core node **104** for available worker agents **106**, as the network grows, sending the user agent **102** an identification of all worker agents **106** for every query might be sub-optimal and may increase the performance expectation of the user agent **102**; therefore, execution of a method for semantic categorization of agents enables the core node **104** to identify to the user agent **102** those available worker agents **106** that are associated with types of sub-tasks and/or user requests relevant to the user agent **102**. By executing such a method, the core node **104** is enabled to ensure that a worker agent **106** identified to the user agent **102** is available to perform identified types of tasks, that the worker agent has not drifted

dramatically from its defined capabilities, and—by clustering worker agents with similar semantic capabilities together—to ensure that for a user request, the core node **104** only returns identifications of relevant worker agents **106**.

- (110) Referring now to FIG. 5, a flow diagram depicts one embodiment of a method 500 for semantic categorization of agents in a system 100. The method 500 for identifying and clustering worker agents suitable for processing a user request includes receiving, by a core node, from a user agent, a user request, the user request including a description of at least task (502). The method 500 includes updating, by the core node, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, an availability status for the worker agent W, thereby producing a plurality of availability statuses for the plurality of worker agents (504). The method 500 includes computing, by the core node, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a drift metric for the worker agent W, the computing comprising computing, for the worker agent W, a relevance score representing a relevance of a plurality of responses provided by the worker agent W to a plurality of user requests; thereby computing a plurality of relevance scores for the plurality of worker agents (**506**-*a*); computing the value of the drift metric for the worker agent W based on the relevance score for the worker agent W (506-b); and updating the availability status of the worker agent W to the "unavailable" status if the value of the drift metric for the worker agent W satisfies a predetermined criterion (**506**-*c*). The method **500** includes thereby computing a plurality of relevance scores for the plurality of worker agents (**506**). The method **500** includes clustering, by the core node, the plurality of worker agents to produce a plurality of clusters of worker agents, wherein each of the plurality of clusters contains worker agents that have similar semantic capabilities (508). The method **500** includes, based on the user request, the plurality of availability statuses, the plurality of relevance scores, and the plurality of clusters, identifying, by the core node, a subset of the plurality of worker agents that are both available to process the user request and that are suitable for processing the user request (510). By executing the method 500, therefore, the core node 104 may generate, maintain, and distribute identifications of worker agents **106** that include updated status indicators for each identified worker agent as well as an identification of a category of queries each worker agent can support.
- (111) Upon enrolling a worker node **106** into the system **100**, the core node **104** assigns each enrolled worker node **106** a unique identifier; the worker node **106** is programmed to send heartbeat signals at regular intervals. The core node **104** may continuously monitor for incoming heartbeat signals. On receiving a heartbeat, the core node updates the agent's status to "available" in its directory. If no heartbeat is received within the specified interval, the agent's status is updated to "unavailable." If an agent fails to send heartbeats over multiple intervals, the owner of the agent is notified for further investigation.
- (112) Referring now to FIG. **5** in greater detail and in connection with FIGS. **1**A-**4**, the method **500** for identifying and clustering worker agents suitable for processing a user request includes receiving, by a core node, from a user agent, a user request, the user request including a description of at least task (**502**).
- (113) The method **500** includes updating, by the core node, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, an availability status for the worker agent W, thereby producing a plurality of availability statuses for the plurality of worker agents (**504**). To ensure worker agents **106** are available, the system **100** may implement a heartbeat mechanism, where each worker agent **106** sends periodic signals ("heartbeats") to the core node **104**. These signals contain basic information such as a timestamp, agent ID, and status messages. Updating the availability status may include, in response to receiving a heartbeat signal from the worker agent W, updating the availability status of worker agent W to an "available" status. Updating the availability status may include, in response to not receiving any heartbeat signal from the worker agent W within a time interval of a predetermined duration, updating the availability status of worker agent W to an "unavailable" status. The absence of a heartbeat signal within a predefined time interval is interpreted as the

worker agent **106** being unavailable; upon determining that the predetermined time interval has passed without receipt of a heartbeat signal from a worker agent **106**, the core node **104** will update the agent's status to "unavailable" and refrain from assigning tasks to that worker agent **106** either directly or indirectly (e.g., by refraining from identifying the worker agent **106** with the unavailable status to a user agent **102**).

- (114) The method **500** includes computing, by the core node, for each worker agent W in the plurality of worker agents, a value of a drift metric for the worker agent W, the computing comprising computing, for the worker agent W, a relevance score representing a relevance of a plurality of responses provided by the worker agent W to a plurality of user requests, thereby computing a plurality of relevance scores for the plurality of worker agents (506-a); computing the value of the drift metric for the worker agent W based on the relevance score for the worker agent W (506-b); and updating the availability status of the worker agent W to the "unavailable" status if the value of the drift metric for the worker agent W satisfies a predetermined criterion (506-c). The method 500 includes thereby computing a plurality of relevance scores for the plurality of worker agents (506). Therefore, to manage and detect drift in worker agents 106, the core node 104 may execute or be in communication with a machine learning monitoring system tracking each worker agent **106**'s responses to user requests and/or sub-tasks of user requests, ensuring that the responses remain relevant to the worker agent's originally defined capabilities by, for example, logging positive feedback on responses and conducting periodic tests. When a user provides positive feedback on a worker agent's response, the system **100** log the user request and/or sub-task of the user request and its resulting response. At pre-configured intervals, the core node 104 may reexecute at least one of the logged user requests and/or sub-task of the user request on the worker agent **106** that originally generated the response. The core node **104** compares current responses to historically positive responses using metrics such as, without limitation, accuracy, precision, and semantic similarity. Significant changes or performance drops are flagged. The status of the worker agent **106** is updated to indicate potential drift, and an owner of the worker agent **106** may be notified. If consistent drift is detected and not corrected, the agent's status is changed to "unavailable," preventing it from being assigned new queries.
- (115) The method **500** includes clustering, by the core node, the plurality of worker agents to produce a plurality of clusters of worker agents, wherein each of the plurality of clusters contains worker agents that have similar semantic capabilities (**508**). To provide user agents **102** with identifications of the most relevant worker agents **106**, the core node **104** may leverage language models (LLMs) and embedding techniques to cluster worker agents **106** based on their semantic capabilities. Each worker agent's defined capabilities (provided to the core node **104** during the enrollment process) are converted into embeddings using a pre-trained LLM. These embeddings are then clustered using algorithms such as k-means, hierarchical clustering, or other suitable methods. Clusters are recalculated periodically or when new worker agents **106** are added, ensuring the most accurate grouping. When a user agent **102** queries the core node **104**, the user query is also embedded using the same LLM. The query embedding is compared to cluster centroids to select the most relevant clusters. Within the selected clusters, available worker agents **106** are then shortlisted and returned to the user agent **102**. By employing these methods, the system **100** ensures efficient, reliable, and relevant worker agent selection, maintaining high performance and user satisfaction even as the underlying network scales.
- (116) The method **500** includes based on the user request, the plurality of availability statuses, the plurality of relevance scores, and the plurality of clusters, identifying, by the core node, a subset of the plurality of worker agents that are both available to process the user request and that are suitable for processing the user request (**510**). The core node **104** may transmit the identification of each of the worker agents in the subset of the plurality of worker agents to the user agent. (117) As indicated above in connection with FIG. **2**A and (**212**-*c*), the user agent **102** may assign each of a plurality of sub-tasks to a plurality of worker agents identified to the user agent **102** by

the core node **104**. In some embodiments, and as described in greater detail in connection with FIG. **6**, after execution of a first sub-task by a first worker agent **106***a* and to improve the execution of a second sub-task by a second worker agent **106***b*, the user agent **102** may execute a method for context threading across agents. In these embodiments, the user agent **102** may extract context information from a first response received from the first worker agent **106***a* and enhance a description of the second sub-task to include the extracted content information.

- (118) Referring now to FIG. **6**, a method **600** for enhancing a context for use in processing at least one sub-task within a user request includes (A) receiving a user request from a user (610); (B) identifying, by a user agent associated with the user ("the current user agent"), a suitable worker agent capable of handling a current part of the user request, including (615): (B)(1) identifying a set of requirements of the current part of the user request; and (B)(2) identifying a current worker agent, from among a plurality of worker agents, that is capable of satisfying the set of requirements of the current part of the user request (620); (C) processing, by the current worker agent, the current part of the user request to generate a response to the current part of the user request (625); (D) sending the response to the current part of the user request from the current worker agent to the user agent (**630**); (E) parsing, by the user agent using a Large Language Model (LLM), the response to the current part of the user request to extract relevant context information (635); (F) programmatically structuring, by the user agent, the extracted relevant context information in a manner that enables the extracted relevant context information to be appended to subsequent user requests (640); (G) selecting, by the user agent based on an additional part of the user request, an additional worker agent to process the additional part of the user request (645); (H) constructing an augmented user request based on the additional part of the user request and the extracted relevant context information (650); and (I) repeating steps (C) through (H) iteratively, with the additional worker agent as the current worker agent and the additional part of the user request as the current part of the user request, until the entire user request is processed (655).
- (119) Before describing the method **600** of FIG. **6** in more detail, certain high-level features of the method **600** will be described. One technical challenge addressed by the method **600** of FIG. **6** is how to enable context from the response generated by a first one of the worker agents **106** to be passed to a second one of the worker agents **106** without needing to repeat the work performed by the first one of the worker agents **106** and without requiring worker agents to communicate directly with each other. The context threading ability of the method **600** of FIG. **6** provides a solution to these technical challenges that leverages the orchestration capabilities of the user agents **102** and processing performed by a machine learning model (e.g., an LLM) to ensure seamless hand-off and context preservation between different worker agents.
- (120) By implementing this approach, the method **600** may achieve efficient context threading across worker agents while maintaining the stateless nature of those worker agents. For example, a user agent may act as an intermediary, extracting and preserving relevant context information from each worker agent's response, then integrating this context into subsequent queries for other worker agents. This method eliminates the need for direct inter-agent communication among worker agents and prevents redundant work, as each worker agent can build upon the context provided from previous interactions without having to re-process or re-compute information.
- (121) The context threading ability of the method **600** of FIG. **6** also enables a chain of context preservation throughout the processing of a multi-faceted user request. It allows for the dynamic and intelligent augmentation of sub-requests with relevant context, ensuring that each worker agent in the sequence has access to the necessary information from previous steps without compromising the system's scalability or the independence of individual worker agents.
- (122) Returning now to FIG. **6**, certain embodiments of the method **600** will now be described in more detail. The method **600** includes receiving a user request (also referred to herein as a query, such as a prompt) from a user (**602**). For purposes of the following discussion, assume that the user request is received from the user associated with user agent **102***a*, although the user request may be

received from any user. The user request may take any of the forms disclosed herein.

(123) As will be described in more detail below, the method **600** may perform various operations on each of a plurality of parts of the user request. For example, the method **600** may identify a first part of the user request, which may consist of less than all of the user request (e.g., some, but not all of the characters or words in the user request). Such identification may, for example, be performed by the user agent associated with the user who provided the user request (e.g., user agent **102***a*). This first part then plays the role of the "current part" of the user request in the following description.

- (124) The method **600** identifies an appropriate one of the worker agents **106** that is capable of handling the current part of the user request **(615)**. This agent is referred to as "the current worker agent" in the following description. The method **600** may identify the current worker agent in any of a variety of ways, such as by using the core node **104** to identify the worker agent in any of the ways disclosed herein, such as in any of the ways disclosed herein in connection with the method **500** of FIG. **5**.
- (125) For example, identifying the current worker agent may include: (1) identifying a set of requirements of the current part of the user request; and (2) identifying as the current worker agent, from among a plurality of worker agents (e.g., the worker agents **106**), a worker agent that is capable of satisfying the set of requirements of the current part of the user request (620). (126) The method **600** (e.g., the core node **104**) may identify the set of requirements of the current part of the user request in various ways, such as by using any one or more of the following in any combination: (1) Using Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques to analyze the semantic content of the current part of the user request, extracting key entities, intents, and relationships that define the requirements; (2) Converting the current part of the user request into an embedding using a pre-trained Large Language Model (LLM), and using that embedding to identify the semantic characteristics and requirements of the current part of the user request; (3) Employing a machine learning model trained to classify user requests into predefined categories of tasks, each associated with a set of requirements, to identify the set of requirements of the current part of the user request; (4) Implementing rule-based systems that identify specific keywords, phrases, or patterns in the current part of the user request to determine its requirements; (5) Analyzing the context of the current part of the user request within the overall user request, including any previous interactions or user history, to infer implicit requirements.
- (127) The method **600** may identify, as the current worker agent, a worker agent that is capable of satisfying the set of requirements of the current part of the user request in any of a variety of ways, such as by using the core node **104** to identify the current worker agent in any of the ways disclosed herein in connection with the method **500** of FIG. **5**.
- (128) The current worker agent may process the current part of the user request to generate a response to the current part of the user request in any of a variety of ways, such as by using any one or more of the following in any combination: (1) The current worker agent may process the current part of the user request to generate a response in various ways, utilizing different technologies and services: (1) Using NLP techniques to understand and interpret the current part of the user request, extracting key information and intent, such as by using technologies such as BERT, GPT, or other transformer-based models; (2) Utilizing one or more pre-trained or custom machine learning models to process specific types of requests, such as one or more classification models, regression models, or deep learning models; (3) Using rule-based systems or decision trees to determine the appropriate response based on predefined criteria; (4) Utilizing knowledge graph technology to represent and query relationships between entities. Examples of products/services that the current worker agent may use to process the current part of the user request to generate a response to the current part of the user request include any one or more of the following in any combination: (1) IBM Watson for natural language processing and machine learning tasks; (2) Google Cloud AI Platform for building and deploying machine learning models; (3) Amazon Comprehend for natural

- language processing and text analysis; (4) Microsoft Azure Cognitive Services for various AI capabilities including vision, speech, and language processing; (5) Any of OpenAI's GPT models for advanced language understanding and generation; (6) TensorFlow or PyTorch for building and training custom machine learning models; (7) Elasticsearch for powerful search and analytics capabilities.
- (129) Note that the response to the current part of the user request may only address a portion of the entire user request, and thereby represent the result of performing only a portion of the entire task described by the entire user request.
- (130) The method **600** may send the response to the current part of the user request from the current worker agent to the current user agent (630) in any of a variety of ways, such as one or more of the following in any combination: (1) Utilizing a distributed message-queueing system for communication between the current worker agent and the current user agent; (2) The current worker agent may send the response directly to the current user agent through a network connection, using a protocol such as HTTP, HTTPS, or WebSocket for real-time bidirectional communication; (3) The worker agent may send the response to the core node **104**, which then forwards it to the current user agent; (4) The current worker agent may use an asynchronous communication method, such as callbacks or promises, to send the response when it is ready, without blocking other operations; (5) The method **600** may implement a publish-subscribe architecture, in which the current user agent subscribes to specific topics, and the current worker agent publishes the response to these topics; (6) The method **600** may use a RESTful architecture, in which the current worker agent may send the response by making an HTTP POST request to an endpoint exposed by the current user agent; (7) The current worker agent may use a Remote Procedure Call (RPC) framework to call a method on the current user agent, passing the response as a parameter.
- (131) The current user agent may parse, such as by using a model (such as an LLM), the response to the current part of the user request to extract relevant context information (635). Such an LLM may, for example, have been specifically trained to understand and extract relevant context information from worker agent responses. The extracted information may include key parameters, intermediary results, and other pertinent data points.
- (132) The LLM may, for example, be fine-tuned using a specialized loss function that captures two critical dimensions of the parsing task: Context Preservation: This aspect ensures that the LLM retains the essential contextual information from the current worker agent's response. The loss function for context preservation may utilize Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF), allowing the model to learn from human-guided examples of effective context extraction. Summarization: This dimension focuses on condensing the extracted information to a manageable size while retaining its relevance. The loss function for summarization may employ a basic token count, encouraging the model to produce concise yet informative extractions.
- (133) By combining these two aspects in the loss function, the LLM may be trained to produce extractions that are both contextually rich and efficiently summarized. This approach enables the current user agent to effectively capture and utilize the relevant information from the current worker agent's response, facilitating seamless context threading across multiple agents without requiring direct inter-agent communication.
- (134) The method **600** may programmatically structure, by the current user agent, the extracted relevant context information in a manner that enables the extracted relevant context information to be appended to subsequent user requests (**640**). Such programmatic structuring may be performed in any of a variety of ways and may include, for example, generating specific tokens and including those specific tokens within the extracted relevant context information, and/or embedding certain data fields within the extracted relevant context information. The current user agent may, for example, restructure the current part of the user query to include the extracted relevant context information.

- (135) For example, consider a scenario where the current user agent receives the following user query: "Place my usual order for coffee". Assume that the current user agent had previously stored, in a prior conversation, the details of the user's usual order as follows: "Coffee: X, Location: Y". In this case, the current user agent may programmatically restructure the user query (or a part thereof) to be: "Place order for Coffee X from Location Y for User Z".
- (136) The method **600** may repeat steps **615-640** for one or more additional parts of the user request. For example, the method **600** may identify a second part of the user request, which may consist of less than all of the user request, and which may be a different part of the user request than the first user request. The second part of the user request may then play the role of the current part of the user request in the next iteration of steps **615-640**. (137) Similarly, the method **600** may identify, by the current user agent, a suitable worker agent
- capable of handling the current part of the user request, in any of the ways disclosed above in connection with step **615** (**645**). The worker agent identified in step **645** may then play the role of the current worker agent in the next iteration of steps **615-640**. The worker agent identified in step **615** may be the same or different worker agent than the worker agent identified in step **645**. (138) The method **600** constructs an augmented user request based on the additional part of the user request (i.e., the now-current part of the user request) and the extracted relevant context information (**650**). This augmented user request serves as a new query for the newly-identified (e.g., second) current worker agent. The augmented user request may incorporate not only the additional (e.g., remaining) part of the original user request, but may also append the context extracted from the response of the previous current (e.g., first) worker agent.
- (139) To ensure seamless integration of the context into the augmented user request, the method **600** may employ a Large Language Model (LLM). The LLM may aid in maintaining coherence and preventing redundancy by intelligently incorporating the extracted context with into the now-current (e.g., remaining) part of the user request. This process results in a comprehensive and context-aware query that enables the newly-identified current worker agent to process the request more effectively, taking into account the results and insights gained from previous interactions. The method **600** may include executing the same LLM that parsed the user response to construct the augmented user request. The method **600** may include executing a second, separate LLM distinct from the LLM that parsed the user response to construct the augmented user request.
- (140) The now-current worker agent, upon receiving the augmented user request, may directly utilize the appended context within the augmented user request to perform the task described by the augmented user request in the next iteration of step **625**, without needing to repeat any work that has already been performed by the previously-current worker agent.
- (141) If the method **600** identifies only two parts of the user request, then the method **600** may end after step **650**. Alternatively, the method **600** may repeat some or all of steps **625-650** iteratively, using the now-current part of the user request and the now-current worker agent. If the now-current part of the user request is the final part of the user request to be processed, then the method **600** may, for example, terminate after repeating only steps **625-640** for the now-current part of the user request and the now-current worker agent. Such termination may be implemented, for example, by determining, after or as part of step **640**, whether there are any additional parts of the current user request to be processed, and then: (1) continuing to step **645** if there is at least one additional part of the current user request to be processed; or (2) terminating the method **600** if there are no additional parts of the current user request to be processed. In this way, necessary steps within steps **625-650** may be repeated iteratively until the entire (i.e., all of the) user request has been processed. (142) Once all worker agents have processed their respective parts of the user request, the current user agent compiles all of the partial responses into a comprehensive final response. This aggregation process involves integrating the results from each worker agent, ensuring that the information is coherent and logically structured. The current user agent delivers this aggregated

response back to the current user. This delivery may occur through the user interface generated by

- the user agent, ensuring that the user receives a cohesive and complete answer to their original request, regardless of how many parts the request was divided into or how many worker agents were involved in processing it.
- (143) The current user agent may compile all of the partial responses into a comprehensive final response in any of a variety of ways, such as any one or more of the following in any combination:
- (1) The current user agent may combine the partial responses in the order they were received, maintaining the logical flow of the original request processing; (2) Using the context extracted during the processing of each part, the current user agent may intelligently integrate the partial responses, ensuring coherence and avoiding redundancy; (3) Leveraging a Large Language Model (LLM), the current user agent may generate a natural language summary that incorporates all the partial responses into a cohesive narrative.
- (144) At each stage of the method **600**, the method **600** may implement mechanisms for error detection and validation of the concatenated context (i.e., augmented user request) to ensure consistency and accuracy. These mechanisms may, for example, involve performing semantic checks on the augmented user request using a Large Language Model (LLM) and fallback strategies in case of inconsistencies. The method **600** may include executing the same LLM that parsed the user response to perform the semantic checks. The method **600** may include executing a second, separate LLM distinct from the LLM that parsed the user response to perform the semantic check.
- (145) The validation process may leverage the concept of "reflection" via LLMs. For example, given the summarized user context, original user query, and re-structured user query, an LLM may be queried to ensure that the re-structured query does not alter the original intention of the user. This reflection process helps maintain the integrity of the user's request throughout the multi-stage processing of the method **600**.
- (146) The LLM may perform a semantic analysis, comparing the original query's intent with that of the re-structured query. If the LLM detects any significant deviation (e.g., greater than some predetermined threshold) or potential misinterpretation, it may flag the inconsistency for further action, e.g., by the current user.
- (147) In cases where the validation process identifies failures or inconsistencies, the method **600** may employ one or more fallback strategies. One such strategy involves prompting the current user with one or more follow-up questions. This approach ensures appropriate user oversight, allowing the current user to clarify their intent or correct any misinterpretations that may have occurred during the query restructuring process. By implementing these intermediate verification mechanisms, the method **600** maintains a high level of accuracy and consistency in processing complex, multi-part user requests across multiple worker agents, while also providing a safeguard against potential errors or misinterpretations.
- (148) As indicated above in connection with FIG. **2**A (**208**), the method **20** may include modifying some or all of a user request.
- (149) Referring now to FIG. **7**, a method **700** for enhancing a context for processing a user request includes: (A) recording user-agent interaction data associated with a plurality of interactions between a user and a user agent, the user-agent interaction data including a plurality of requests provided by the user to the user agent, a plurality of responses provided by the user agent in response to the plurality of requests, and feedback provided by the user in response to at least some of the plurality of responses (**702**); (B) summarizing the user-agent interaction data to produce summarized user-agent interaction data (**704**); (C) storing the summarized user-agent interaction data in a scalable database optimized for quick retrieval (**710**); (D) receiving a user request (**712**); (E) parsing the user request to identify an intent of the user request (**714**); (F) performing a similarity search of the user request against the subset of the plurality of data elements, thereby identifying a matching subset of the subset of the plurality of data elements with the user

request to produce an enhanced context for the user request (720). Before describing the method 700 of FIG. 7 in more detail, certain high-level features of the method 700 will be described. The method 700 of FIG. 7, which implements a feature referred to herein as "agent memory," addresses a significant technical challenge in maintaining and utilizing user context for improved user request fulfillment. The challenge lies in how a user agent or worker agent can effectively maintain the context of the user based on past processed requests, to better fulfill future requests from the same user using the available context.

- (150) A naive approach to this challenge might involve storing every interaction (request and response pair) between the user and the agent, and then loading all of these interactions into the agent's memory when needed. However, this brute force method quickly becomes infeasible due to memory constraints, especially as the number of interactions grows over time. Large Language Models (LLMs), which are often employed in these agents, have limited context windows that would be rapidly overwhelmed by such an approach. Therefore, the technical challenge is to achieve a similar result—maintaining rich, relevant user context—in a more resource-efficient way. A variety of ways in which the method **700** of FIG. **7** addresses this technical challenge will now be described.
- (151) The method **700** records user-agent interaction data associated with a plurality of interactions between a user and a user agent (**702**). The user-agent interaction data may, for example, include, for each of a plurality of requests provided by the user to the user agent, any one or more of the following: (1) the request; (2) a response provided by the user agent in response to the request; and (3) feedback provided by the user in response to the user agent's response. This step serves as the foundation for building and maintaining the agent memory feature, which aims to enhance the context for processing future user requests.
- (152) The purpose of recording user-agent interaction data is to create a comprehensive history of user interactions that can be used to improve the agent's ability to understand and respond to future requests. By capturing this data, the method **700** can learn from past interactions and provide more personalized and contextually relevant responses over time.
- (153) Various ways of implementing the recording process of step **702** may include any one or more of the following in any combination: (1) Recording each interaction as it occurs (e.g., in realtime); (2) Storing the user-agent interaction data in a database system optimized for quick retrieval, such as a vector database; (3) Implementing filters to record only specific types of interactions deemed most relevant or valuable for future context; (4) Attaching additional metadata to each interaction, such as timestamp, user ID, and/or interaction type, to facilitate easier retrieval and analysis; (5) Applying NLP techniques to extract key information and entities from the interactions before storage, potentially reducing storage requirements and improving retrieval efficiency; (6) Performing sentiment analysis on the user feedback to identify positive, neutral, and negative feedback, and storing the results of such sentiment analysis within the user-agent interaction data. (154) The method 700 may summarize the user-agent interaction data to produce summarized useragent interaction data (704). For example, the summarization performed by the method 700 may include using one or more LLMs specifically designed to parse and comprehend the context of conversations. These models utilize techniques such as extractive summarization, which identifies and extracts the most important sentences or phrases from the original text, and abstractive summarization, which generates new text that captures the essence of the original content. By applying these techniques, the LLMs can effectively distill dialogues into essential snippets, preserving the most crucial information from user-agent interactions.
- (155) As another example, the summarization performed by the method **700** may include performing key phrases and entities extraction. The summarization process may, for example, incorporate methods like Named Entity Recognition (NER) to identify and extract key phrases, entities, and user preferences from the user-agent interaction data. This approach allows the system to focus on the most significant elements of the interactions, such as specific topics, products, or

- services mentioned by the user, as well as any expressed preferences or requirements.
- (156) As another example, the summarization performed by the method **700** may include performing context importance modeling, in which a model is developed to assign importance weightages (also referred to herein as weights) to different parts of the interaction. This model prioritizes important snippets based on multiple factors, such as relevance, frequency, and feedback ratings.
- (157) By using one or more of these approaches, either individually or in combination, the summarization process of step **704** may create a comprehensive yet concise representation of useragent interactions.
- (158) The method **700** may store the summarized user-agent interaction data in a scalable database optimized for quick retrieval (**710**). This step has a variety of benefits. For example, by storing only the summarized data, the method **700** may significantly reduce storage requirements compared to retaining all raw interaction data. As another example, the use of a scalable database optimized for quick retrieval ensures that the method **700** may rapidly access relevant context information when processing future user requests. Furthermore, as the volume of user interactions grows over time, the scalable database can accommodate increasing amounts of summarized data without compromising performance.
- (159) The storage process of step **710** may, for example, store the summarized user-agent interaction data in one or more vector databases, which are specifically designed for storing and retrieving high-dimensional data like embeddings or feature vectors. These databases can facilitate fast similarity searches, which is useful for identifying relevant context in future interactions. The storage process of step **710** may, for example, implement one or more caching layers to store frequently accessed summaries in faster memory, reducing database load and improving response times. The storage process of step **710** may, for example, apply one or more data compression methods to further reduce storage requirements while maintaining data integrity and quick retrieval capabilities.
- (160) The method allows for flexibility in handling the raw interaction data recorded in step **702**. Such data may, for example, not be permanently stored, as its primary purpose is to serve as input for the summarization process. Once the summarized data is stored in the scalable database (step **710**), the method **700** may, for example, delete or archive the original interaction data from which the summarized data was derived.
- (161) The method **700** may receive a user request **(712)**, which may be of any of the kinds disclosed herein.
- (162) The method **700** may parse the user request to identify an intent of the user request (**714**). This parsing step has a variety of purposes and benefits. For example, by identifying the intent of the user request, the method **700** may better understand the context of the user's request, thereby enabling more accurate and relevant responses. Parsing helps refine the user's request, potentially breaking it down into more manageable sub-components for processing. Furthermore, a clear understanding of the intent of the user request facilitates more effective matching with relevant summarized user-agent interaction data in subsequent steps.
- (163) The parsing process of step **714** may, for example, be implemented in any of a variety of ways. For example, the parsing may include using any of a variety of NLP algorithms to analyze the syntactic and semantic structure of the user request. The parsing may include using one or more machine learning models trained on datasets of user queries to classify the intent into predefined categories. The parsing may include using a set of predefined rules and patterns to identify common intents in user requests. The parsing may include using a language model, such as an LLM from the GPT family, to understand the underlying meaning and context of the user request. The parsing may combine any of the above techniques.
- (164) The method **700** may perform a similarity search of the user request against the summarized user-agent interaction data, thereby identifying a matching subset of the summarized user-agent

- interaction data (716). By identifying similar past interactions, the method 700 may provide more contextually relevant responses to the current user request. The similarity search also helps in retrieving personalized information based on the user's past interactions, thereby enhancing the user experience. Furthermore, by focusing on a subset of relevant data, the method 700 may process the request more efficiently than if it had to consider all historical data.
- (165) The similarity search can be implemented in any of a variety of ways. For example, the similarity search may include converting the user request into a vector representation and performing a similarity search against vector representations of the summarized interaction data. As another example, the similarity search may use one or more natural language processing techniques to compute semantic similarity between the user request and stored summaries, thereby identifying conceptually similar interactions even if the exact wording differs. The similarity search may use a similarity search algorithm (e.g., cosine similarity, Semantic Search with BERT), which computes similarities between the embedding of the user request and the embeddings of stored summaries to identify the most relevant past interactions.
- (166) The method **700** may incorporate importance weighting into the summarization and similarity search processes to enhance the relevance and efficiency of context retrieval. Recall that, during the summarization of the raw user-agent interaction data, the method **700** may assign importance weights to different parts of the interactions. The method **700** may store these weights in association with their corresponding parts of the summarized user-agent interaction data. The same or different weights may be assigned to different parts of the interactions.
- (167) The importance weighting may serve multiple purposes. For example, it may allow the method **700** to prioritize more significant or relevant information from past interactions. By ranking relevant snippets based on their importance, the method **700** may optimize the use of limited context windows in Large Language Models (LLMs). The weights may also help in identifying the most pertinent information for the current user request.
- (168) The similarity search process of step **716** may leverages these stored importance weights in any one or more of the following ways. When performing the similarity search, the method **700** may consider not only the semantic similarity between the current user request and stored summary data, but also the importance weights associated with different parts of the summaries. The method **700** may use the importance weights to rank the relevant snippets identified during the similarity search. This ranking allows the method **700** to prioritize the most crucial information.
- (169) To address the limited context windows of LLMs, the method **700** may retrieve only the top-ranked snippets based on their importance weights, where the number of top-ranked snippets to be retrieved may be set to any value. This approach ensures that the most relevant and significant information fits within the constrained context capacity of the LLM.
- (170) The intent of the user request that was identified in step **714** may be used to improve the performance of the similarity search against the summarized user-agent interaction data in any of a variety of ways. For example, the method **700** may use the identified intent to filter the summarized user-agent interaction data before or while performing the similarity search. This pre-filtering step narrows down the search space to only those interactions that are relevant to the current intent, potentially improving both the speed and accuracy of the search.
- (171) As another example, the similarity search may give more weight to features or aspects of the summarized data that align with the identified intent. For example, if the intent is identified as a product inquiry, the similarity search may prioritize matching product-related information in the historical data. As another example, when converting the user request into a vector representation for similarity search, the method **700** may incorporate the identified intent as additional context. This may result in a more nuanced embedding that captures not just the literal content of the request but also its underlying purpose.
- (172) As another example, the method **700** may employ different retrieval models or algorithms based on the identified intent. For instance, an informational intent might result in the method **700**

using a different similarity metric than if the method **700** identifies a transactional intent. As another example, the method **700** may dynamically adjust the similarity threshold for determining relevant matches based on the identified intent. Some intents might require a higher degree of similarity for a match to be considered relevant. As yet another example, after the initial similarity search, the method **700** may re-ranked the results based on their relevance to the identified intent, ensuring that the most intent-relevant matches are prioritized.

- (173) The method **700** concatenates the matching subset resulting from step **716** with the user request to produce an enhanced context for the user request (**720**). This concatenation step serves to enrich the original user request with relevant historical context, enabling more informed and personalized processing of the request.
- (174) The method **700** may provide the enhanced context for the user request (also referred to herein as an "enhanced user request"), instead of or in addition to the original user request, to a worker agent for processing, such as within any of the methods disclosed herein in which a user request is provided to a worker request for processing.
- (175) The method **700** may develop and deploy a strategy to dynamically adjust which snippets are included based on their relevance and query type. This dynamic adjustment strategy may be implemented in any of a variety of ways. For example, the method **700** may assign a relevance score to each snippet based on its similarity to the current query and its importance weight, and include only snippets above a certain threshold. As another example, the method **700** may categorize the current query into one or more of a plurality of different types (e.g., informational, transactional, navigational) and adjust the inclusion criteria based on the query type. As another example, the method **700** may dynamically adjust the number of included snippets based on the available context window size of the LLM being used. As another example, the method **700** may implement a time-decay function that gives more weight to recent interactions while still considering older, highly relevant snippets. As yet another example, the method **700** may train and deploy a machine learning model that learns from user feedback to optimize snippet selection over time.
- (176) By implementing this dynamic adjustment strategy, the method **700** may optimize the enhanced context for each user request, balancing relevance, recency, and available context capacity. This approach ensures that the most pertinent historical information is included, leading to more accurate and personalized responses while efficiently utilizing system resources. (177) Embodiments of the present invention may incorporate feedback on worker agent performance and personalize task assignment. For example, the system **100** may record which worker agents have interacted with any particular user/user agent and the specific tasks or queries those worker agents have handled. This tracking allows for a comprehensive history of user-worker agent interactions. The system **100** may store user feedback on the performance of worker agents, with particular emphasis on highlighting those worker agents that receive positive reviews. This integration enables the system **100** to maintain a record of user satisfaction with specific worker
- (178) The system **100** may assign scores to worker agents based on the cumulative feedback received from users. These scores serve as indicators of the worker agents' performance and their suitability for future tasks. This scoring mechanism allows for quantitative assessment of worker agent effectiveness. For each user, the system **100** may create a profile that encapsulates their preferences, frequently asked queries, and highly rated worker agents. This profiling enables the system **100** to maintain a personalized record of user interactions and preferences, facilitating more tailored responses in future interactions.
- (179) The system **100** may utilize the feedback scores to dynamically assign worker agents to future tasks. This allocation may be based on the worker agents' demonstrated proficiency, as indicated by their feedback scores. By doing so, the system **100** aims to match users with the most suitable worker agents for their specific needs.

- (180) The system **100** may continuously update the user profiles based on new interactions. This ongoing refinement of the agent assignment process allows the system **100** to adapt to changing user preferences and worker agent performance over time, ensuring that task assignments remain optimized. By implementing these features, the system **100** may create a more efficient and personalized user experience. In particular, it may leverage historical interaction data and user feedback to improve the matching of worker agents to tasks, potentially leading to higher user satisfaction and more effective task completion.
- (181) The agent memory feature of the method **700** of FIG. **7** may incorporate additional components to ensure scalability and efficient processing of large volumes of user-agent interactions. For example, the method **700** may implemented one or more distributed computing methods to handle high volumes of interactions efficiently. This approach allows for parallel processing of multiple user-agent interactions across a network of computers or servers, load balancing to distribute computational tasks evenly and prevent bottlenecks, improved fault tolerance, and horizontal scalability which enables the addition or more computing resources as the volume of interactions grows.
- (182) The method **700** of FIG. **7** may utilize one or more batch processing techniques to periodically summarize interactions and update the memory snippets database. Such batch processing may include any one or more of: aggregating multiple user-agent interactions over a set period; processing these interactions in bulk; updating the memory snippets database at regular intervals, ensuring that the system's knowledge base remains current while minimizing the impact on real-time performance; and optimizing resource utilization by scheduling batch processes during off-peak hours.
- (183) Techniques disclosed herein for performing agent-specific ranking (such as those shown in FIG. 4 and described in connection therewith) address the technical problem of ranking worker agents (such as worker agents that employ machine learning models to process user requests) for a specific request by considering qualitative performance metrics. Ranking techniques disclosed herein may use a multi-factor ranking approach, considering metrics such as throughput, context window, cost per million tokens, declared capabilities, uptime and age in network, and user feedback. Ranking techniques disclosed herein may also use a collaborative filtering model to generate a composite score for each agent and a real-time updating mechanism to recalibrate rankings as new data comes in. Ranking techniques disclosed herein may also integrate machine learning to refine the collaborative filtering model, making such techniques more accurate and adaptive over time. This results in a more nuanced, efficient, and effective method for matching user requests with the most suitable worker agents.
- (184) Techniques disclosed herein for agent-specific ranking are fundamentally rooted in computer technology due to features that involve real-time processing, dynamic adaptation, complex data integration and analysis, machine learning integration, high-speed throughput analysis, scalability and distributed processing, and automated decision making. These functions may include monitoring of multiple data streams, instant recalculation of rankings, and immediate adjustment of agent priorities. Such characteristics demonstrate that the agent ranking techniques disclosed herein are not just enhanced by computer technology but are necessarily rooted in it.
- (185) Techniques disclosed herein for performing semantic categorization of agents (such as those shown in FIG. 5 and described in connection therewith) address technical problems, such as scalability, agent availability, capability drift, and efficient agent selection. The solutions provided by the semantic categorization techniques disclosed herein include use of a heartbeat algorithm to track agent availability in real-time, a drift monitoring algorithm that uses machine learning to ensure agents remain within their defined capabilities, and a clustering method that groups agents based on semantic similarities. These solutions allow embodiments of the present invention to handle complex tasks in real-time, improving overall system performance and user satisfaction. (186) The semantic categorization techniques disclosed herein are necessarily rooted in computer

technology. Such techniques may, for example, involve real-time processing and scalability for constant monitoring of multiple agents. Such techniques may utilize machine learning for complex data analysis and natural language processing for semantic analysis. Such semantic categorization techniques may also use automated clustering and dynamic recalculation for agent categorization, and real-time query matching for agent selection. The semantic categorization techniques may continually adapt and learn, refining their performance. These capabilities are inherently computational and cannot be replicated mentally or manually.

(187) Embodiments of the agent memory techniques disclosed herein (such as those shown in FIG. 7 and described in connection therewith) address several technical issues in AI-driven conversational interfaces, such as context maintenance, personalization, efficient retrieval, and scalability. Such techniques may, for example, record user-agent interactions and employ Large Language Models (LLMs) to understand the contexts of those interactions. Such techniques may use summarization techniques and Named Entity Recognition to distill dialogues into key snippets and assign importance weights to different parts of the interaction. A scalable database system (such as a vector database) may be used for quick retrieval of these snippets. Agent memory techniques disclosed herein may also employ a query understanding layer and similarity search algorithms to match user queries with stored snippets. Such solutions address the challenges of maintaining context, personalizing responses, and managing memory efficiently in a scalable AI agent system.

(188) Embodiments of the agent memory techniques disclosed herein are necessarily rooted in computer technology due to their reliance on advanced data processing, machine learning algorithms, high-dimensional vector representations, real-time processing, distributed computing, continuous learning and optimization, and integration with LLMs. These computational structures and processes cannot be implemented or performed manually or mentally at least due to their scale, speed, and complexity.

(189) Embodiments of the context threading techniques disclosed herein (such as those shown in FIG. **6** and described in connection therewith) address several technical issues, including context preservation, stateless agent design, and efficient task execution. Such techniques may, for example, use a user agent that manages the orchestration and context preservation between different worker agents, an LLM that parses responses from worker agents and extracts relevant context, a system to structure the extracted context for subsequent queries, a mechanism for the user agent to construct new queries using the remaining part of the original user request and the extracted context, and an iterative process of context extraction, aggregation, and query augmentation. Such techniques may also include mechanisms for error detection and validation of the concatenated context at each stage. Such solutions enable efficient context preservation and threading across multiple agents, while maintaining agent statelessness and minimizing redundant work

(190) Embodiments of the context threading techniques disclosed herein are necessarily rooted in computer technology. For example, such techniques may operate within a network of distributed AI agents, use a Large Language Model (LLM) to parse and extract relevant information, and have real-time processing and dynamic adaptation capabilities. Such techniques may also structure extracted context programmatically, maintain a stateless agent architecture, and include error detection and validation mechanisms. Such features are intrinsically tied to computing technology. (191) Embodiments of the query planning and agent matching techniques disclosed herein (such as those shown in FIG. 3B and described in connection therewith) provide solutions to technical problems, such as dynamic query decomposition, optimal agent selection, and scalability and adaptability. Such embodiments address such technical problems using technical solutions, such as query embedding conversion, worker agent clustering, query enhancement and sub-task generation, intelligent worker agent matching, and adaptive system design. These solutions allow for efficient decomposition of user queries into sub-tasks and optimal matching of these sub-tasks to the most

suitable worker agents, improving the overall performance and adaptability of the system in a dynamic, scalable environment.

(192) The query planning and agent matching techniques disclosed herein are necessarily rooted in computer technology. For example, they may use an LLM to convert user queries into embeddings, characterize worker agents, and decompose enhanced queries. Such techniques may transform natural language into high-dimensional vector spaces, employ dynamic clustering and ranking of agents, and use complex matching algorithms. Such features cannot be replicated through manual or mental processes, demonstrating their inherent reliance on computer technology.

- (193) Embodiments of the user agent enrollment verification techniques disclosed herein (such as those shown in FIGS. 3A-3B and described in connection therewith) improve computer technology by performing functions such as enforcing a multi-step verification process, which may include embedding generation, similarity analysis, and cryptographic challenges, to ensure only authentic and capable agents are enrolled. Such techniques may use LLMs for capability verification, enhancing Natural Language Processing techniques for agent authentication. Such techniques may also automate the verification process, improving efficiency and consistency.
- (194) Such user agent enrollment verification techniques are fundamentally rooted in computer technology, by using techniques such as machine learning algorithms, real-time data processing, cryptographic challenges, and a distributed system architecture. The user agent enrollment verification techniques may generate and analyze high-dimensional vector representations of text and require user agents to create comprehensive query plans. Such techniques cannot be replicated through manual or mental processes, underlining its dependence on and rootedness in computer technology.
- (195) Embodiments of the worker agent enrollment verification techniques disclosed herein (such as those shown in FIGS. **3**A-**3**B and described in connection therein) address several technical problems related to verifying the capabilities of worker agents in a distributed AI system. Such techniques ensure that worker agents can perform the tasks they claim to handle, produce outputs that meet system standards, and adapt to diverse capabilities. Such techniques may include a system for generating request-output pairs based on the agent's capabilities, an automated mechanism for comparing the agent's outputs to baseline outputs, a two-tiered verification process involving automated checks and expert assessment, a feedback loop for continuous improvement, and a trial mode for new agents. These solutions collectively provide a comprehensive verification process for enrolling worker agents, ensuring high quality and reliability of the system. (196) Embodiments of the worker agent enrollment verification techniques are necessarily rooted
- in computer technology. Such techniques may use automated request-output pair generation, large-scale data processing, comparison algorithms, automated verification checks, real-time feedback and monitoring, distributed system architecture, a scalable verification process, and machine learning. Such features are intrinsically tied to computer technology and cannot be replicated through manual or mental processes.
- (197) In some embodiments, the system **100** includes non-transitory, computer-readable medium comprising computer program instructions tangibly stored on the non-transitory computer-readable medium, wherein the instructions are executable by at least one processor to perform each of the steps described above in connection with FIGS. **2**A-**2**C and **3**A-**3**D.
- (198) It should be understood that the systems described above may provide multiple ones of any or each of those components and these components may be provided on either a standalone machine or, in some embodiments, on multiple machines in a distributed system. The phrases 'in one embodiment,' 'in another embodiment,' and the like, generally mean that the particular feature, structure, step, or characteristic following the phrase is included in at least one embodiment of the present disclosure and may be included in more than one embodiment of the present disclosure. Such phrases may, but do not necessarily, refer to the same embodiment. However, the scope of protection is defined by the appended claims; the embodiments mentioned herein provide

examples.

(199) The terms "A or B", "at least one of A or/and B", "at least one of A and B", "at least one of A or B", or "one or more of A or/and B" used in the various embodiments of the present disclosure include any and all combinations of words enumerated with it. For example, "A or B", "at least one of A and B" or "at least one of A or B" may mean (1) including at least one A, (2) including at least one B, (3) including either A or B, or (4) including both at least one A and at least one B. (200) Any step or act disclosed herein as being performed, or capable of being performed, by a computer or other machine, may be performed automatically by a computer or other machine, whether or not explicitly disclosed as such herein. A step or act that is performed automatically is performed solely by a computer or other machine, without human intervention. A step or act that is performed automatically may, for example, operate solely on inputs received from a computer or other machine, and not from a human. A step or act that is performed automatically may, for example, be initiated by a signal received from a computer or other machine, and not from a human. A step or act that is performed automatically may, for example, provide output to a computer or other machine, and not to a human.

(201) Although terms such as "optimize" and "optimal" may be used herein, in practice, embodiments of the present invention may include methods which produce outputs that are not optimal, or which are not known to be optimal, but which nevertheless are useful. For example, embodiments of the present invention may produce an output which approximates an optimal solution, within some degree of error. As a result, terms herein such as "optimize" and "optimal" should be understood to refer not only to processes which produce optimal outputs, but also processes which produce outputs that approximate an optimal solution, within some degree of error.

(202) The systems and methods described above may be implemented as a method, apparatus, or article of manufacture using programming and/or engineering techniques to produce software, firmware, hardware, or any combination thereof. The techniques described above may be implemented in one or more computer programs executing on a programmable computer including a processor, a storage medium readable by the processor (including, for example, volatile and non-volatile memory and/or storage elements), at least one input device, and at least one output device. Program code may be applied to input entered using the input device to perform the functions described and to generate output. The output may be provided to one or more output devices. (203) Each computer program within the scope of the claims below may be implemented in any programming language, such as assembly language, machine language, a high-level procedural programming language, or an object-oriented programming language. The programming language may, for example, be LISP, PROLOG, PERL, C, C++, C#, JAVA, Python, Rust, Go, or any compiled or interpreted programming language.

(204) Each such computer program may be implemented in a computer program product tangibly embodied in a machine-readable storage device for execution by a computer processor. Method steps may be performed by a computer processor executing a program tangibly embodied on a computer-readable medium to perform functions of the methods and systems described herein by operating on input and generating output. Suitable processors include, by way of example, both general and special purpose microprocessors. Generally, the processor receives instructions and data from a read-only memory and/or a random access memory. Storage devices suitable for tangibly embodying computer program instructions include, for example, all forms of computer-readable devices, firmware, programmable logic, hardware (e.g., integrated circuit chip; electronic devices; a computer-readable non-volatile storage unit; non-volatile memory, such as semiconductor memory devices, including EPROM, EEPROM, and flash memory devices; magnetic disks such as internal hard disks and removable disks; magneto-optical disks; and CD-ROMs). Any of the foregoing may be supplemented by, or incorporated in, specially-designed ASICs (application-specific integrated circuits) or FPGAs (Field-Programmable Gate Arrays). A

computer can generally also receive programs and data from a storage medium such as an internal disk (not shown) or a removable disk. These elements will also be found in a conventional desktop or workstation computer as well as other computers suitable for executing computer programs implementing the methods described herein, which may be used in conjunction with any digital print engine or marking engine, display monitor, or other raster output device capable of producing color or gray scale pixels on paper, film, display screen, or other output medium. A computer may also receive programs and data (including, for example, instructions for storage on non-transitory computer-readable media) from a second computer providing access to the programs via a network transmission line, wireless transmission media, signals propagating through space, radio waves, infrared signals, etc.

- (205) In some embodiments, the system **100** includes non-transitory, computer-readable medium comprising computer program instructions tangibly stored on the non-transitory computer-readable medium, wherein the instructions are executable by at least one processor to perform each of the steps described above in connection with any of FIGS. **2A-7**.
- (206) Referring now to FIGS. **8**A, **8**B, and **8**C, block diagrams depict additional detail regarding computing devices that may be modified to execute novel, non-obvious functionality for implementing the methods and systems described above.
- (207) Referring now to FIG. **8**A, an embodiment of a network environment is depicted. In brief overview, the network environment comprises one or more clients **802***a***-802***n* (also generally referred to as local machine(s) **802**, client(s) **802**, client node(s) **802**, client machine(s) **802**, or endpoint computer(s) **802**, client device(s) **802**, computing device(s) **802**, endpoint(s) **802**, or endpoint node(s) **802**) in communication with one or more remote machines **806***a***-806***n* (also generally referred to as server(s) **806** or computing device(s) **806**) via one or more networks **804**. Any communication disclosed herein, such as communication shown in FIG. **1**A, such as communication between any one of the user agents **102** and the core node **104**, and communication between any one of the worker agents **106** and the core node **104**, may occur over any embodiment of the network environment depicted in FIG. **8**A.
- (208) Although FIG. **8**A shows a network **804** between the clients **802** and the remote machines **806**, the clients **802** and the remote machines **806** may be on the same network **804**. The network **804** can be a local area network (LAN), such as a company Intranet, a metropolitan area network (MAN), or a wide area network (WAN), such as the Internet or the World Wide Web. In some embodiments, there are multiple networks **804** between the clients **802** and the remote machines **806**. In one of these embodiments, a network **804**′ (not shown) may be a private network and a network **804** may be a public network. In another of these embodiments, a network **804** may be a private network and a network **804**′ a public network. In still another embodiment, networks **804** and **804**′ may both be private networks. In yet another embodiment, networks **804** and **804**′ may both be public networks.
- (209) The network **804** may be any type and/or form of network and may include any of the following: a point to point network, a broadcast network, a wide area network, a local area network, a telecommunications network, a data communication network, a computer network, an ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode) network, a SONET (Synchronous Optical Network) network, an SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy) network, a wireless network, a wireline network, an Ethernet, a virtual private network (VPN), a software-defined network (SDN), a network within the cloud such as AWS VPC (Virtual Private Cloud) network or Azure Virtual Network (VNet), and a RDMA (Remote Direct Memory Access) network. In some embodiments, the network **304** may comprise a wireless link, such as an infrared channel or satellite band. The topology of the network **804** may be a bus, star, or ring network topology. The network **804** may be of any such network topology as known to those ordinarily skilled in the art capable of supporting the operations described herein. The network may comprise mobile telephone networks utilizing any protocol or protocols used to communicate among mobile devices (including tables and handheld devices

generally), including AMPS, TDMA, CDMA, GSM, GPRS, UMTS, or LTE. In some embodiments, different types of data may be transmitted via different protocols. In other embodiments, the same types of data may be transmitted via different protocols.

- (210) A client **802** and a remote machine **806** (referred to generally as computing devices **800** or as machines **800**) can be any workstation, desktop computer, laptop or notebook computer, server, portable computer, mobile telephone, mobile smartphone, or other portable telecommunication device, media playing device, a gaming system, mobile computing device, or any other type and/or form of computing, telecommunications or media device that is capable of communicating on any type and form of network and that has sufficient processor power and memory capacity to perform the operations described herein. A client **802** may execute, operate or otherwise provide an application, which can be any type and/or form of software, program, or executable instructions, including, without limitation, any type and/or form of web browser, web-based client, client-server application, an ActiveX control, a JAVA applet, a webserver, a database, an HPC (high performance computing) application, a data processing application, or any other type and/or form of executable instructions capable of executing on client **802**.
- (211) In one embodiment, a computing device **806** provides functionality of a web server. The web server may be any type of web server, including web servers that are open-source web servers, web servers that execute proprietary software, and cloud-based web servers where a third party hosts the hardware executing the functionality of the web server. In some embodiments, a web server **806** comprises an open-source web server, such as the APACHE servers maintained by the Apache Software Foundation of Delaware. In other embodiments, the web server executes proprietary software, such as the INTERNET INFORMATION SERVICES products provided by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, WA, the ORACLE IPLANET web server products provided by Oracle Corporation of Redwood Shores, CA, or the ORACLE WEBLOGIC products provided by Oracle Corporation of Redwood Shores, CA.
- (212) In some embodiments, the system may include multiple, logically-grouped remote machines **806**. In one of these embodiments, the logical group of remote machines may be referred to as a server farm **838**. In another of these embodiments, the server farm **838** may be administered as a single entity.
- (213) FIGS. **8**B and **8**C depict block diagrams of a computing device **800** useful for practicing an embodiment of the client **802** or a remote machine **806**. As shown in FIGS. **8**B and **8**C, each computing device **800** includes a central processing unit **821**, and a main memory unit **822**. As shown in FIG. **8**B, a computing device **800** may include a storage device **828**, an installation device **816**, a network interface **818**, an I/O controller **823**, display devices **824***a-n*, a keyboard **826**, a pointing device **827**, such as a mouse, and one or more other I/O devices **830***a-n*. The storage device **828** may include, without limitation, an operating system and software. As shown in FIG. **8**C, each computing device **800** may also include additional optional elements, such as a memory port **803**, a bridge **870**, one or more input/output devices **830***a-n* (generally referred to using reference numeral **830**), and a cache memory **840** in communication with the central processing unit **821**.
- (214) The central processing unit **821** is any logic circuitry that responds to and processes instructions fetched from the main memory unit **822**. In many embodiments, the central processing unit **821** is provided by a microprocessor unit, such as: those manufactured by Intel Corporation of Mountain View, CA; those manufactured by Motorola Corporation of Schaumburg, IL; those manufactured by Transmeta Corporation of Santa Clara, CA; those manufactured by International Business Machines of White Plains, NY; or those manufactured by Advanced Micro Devices of Sunnyvale, CA. Other examples include RISC-V processors, SPARC processors, ARM processors, processors used to build UNIX/LINUX "white" boxes, and processors for mobile devices. The computing device **800** may be based on any of these processors, or any other processor capable of operating as described herein.

- (215) Main memory unit **822** may be one or more memory chips capable of storing data and allowing any storage location to be directly accessed by the microprocessor **821**. The main memory **822** may be based on any available memory chips capable of operating as described herein. In the embodiment shown in FIG. **8**B, the processor **821** communicates with main memory **822** via a system bus **850**. FIG. **8**C depicts an embodiment of a computing device **800** in which the processor communicates directly with main memory **822** via a memory port **803**. FIG. **8**C also depicts an embodiment in which the main processor **821** communicates directly with cache memory **840** via a secondary bus, sometimes referred to as a backside bus. In other embodiments, the main processor **821** communicates with cache memory **840** using the system bus **850**.
- (216) In the embodiment shown in FIG. **8**B, the processor **821** communicates with various I/O devices **830** via a local system bus **850**. Various buses may be used to connect the central processing unit **821** to any of the I/O devices **830**, including a VESA VL bus, an ISA bus, an EISA bus, a MicroChannel Architecture (MCA) bus, a PCI bus, a PCI-X bus, a PCI-Express bus, or a NuBus. For embodiments in which the I/O device is a video display device **824**, the processor **821** may use an Advanced Graphics Port (AGP) to communicate with the display device **824**. FIG. **8**C depicts an embodiment of a computing device **800** in which the main processor **821** also communicates directly with an I/O device **830***b* via, for example, HYPERTRANSPORT, RAPIDIO, or INFINIBAND communications technology.
- (217) One or more of a wide variety of I/O devices **830***a-n* may be present in or connected to the computing device **800**, each of which may be of the same or different type and/or form. Input devices include keyboards, mice, trackpads, trackballs, microphones, scanners, cameras, and drawing tablets. Output devices include video displays, speakers, inkjet printers, laser printers, 3D printers, and dye-sublimation printers. The I/O devices may be controlled by an I/O controller 823 as shown in FIG. **8**B. Furthermore, an I/O device may also provide storage and/or an installation medium **816** for the computing device **800**. In some embodiments, the computing device **800** may provide USB connections (not shown) to receive handheld USB storage devices such as the USB Flash Drive line of devices manufactured by Twintech Industry, Inc. of Los Alamitos, CA. (218) Referring still to FIG. **8**B, the computing device **800** may support any suitable installation device **816**, such as hardware for receiving and interacting with removable storage; e.g., disk drives of any type, CD drives of any type, DVD drives, tape drives of various formats, USB devices, external hard drives, or any other device suitable for installing software and programs. In some embodiments, the computing device **800** may provide functionality for installing software over a network **804**. The computing device **800** may further comprise a storage device, such as one or more hard disk drives or redundant arrays of independent disks, for storing an operating system and other software. Alternatively, the computing device 800 may rely on memory chips for storage instead of hard disks.
- (219) Furthermore, the computing device **800** may include a network interface **818** to interface to the network **804** through a variety of connections including, but not limited to, standard telephone lines, LAN or WAN links (e.g., 802.11, T1, T3, 56 kb, X.25, SNA, DECNET, RDMA), broadband connections (e.g., ISDN, Frame Relay, ATM, Gigabit Ethernet, Ethernet-over-SONET), wireless connections, virtual private network (VPN) connections, or some combination of any or all of the above. Connections can be established using a variety of communication protocols (e.g., TCP/IP, IPX, SPX, NetBIOS, Ethernet, ARCNET, SONET, SDH, Fiber Distributed Data Interface (FDDI), RS232, IEEE 802.11, IEEE 802.11a, IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11g, IEEE 802.11n, 802.15.4, Bluetooth, ZIGBEE, CDMA, GSM, WiMax, and direct asynchronous connections). In one embodiment, the computing device **800** communicates with other computing devices **800'** via any type and/or form of gateway or tunneling protocol such as GRE, VXLAN, IPIP, SIT, ip6tnl, VTI and VTI6, IP6GRE, FOU, GUE, GENEVE, ERSPAN, Secure Socket Layer (SSL) or Transport Layer Security (TLS). The network interface **818** may comprise a built-in network adapter, network interface card, PCMCIA network card, card bus network adapter, wireless network adapter, USB

network adapter, modem, or any other device suitable for interfacing the computing device **800** to any type of network capable of communication and performing the operations described herein. (220) In further embodiments, an I/O device **830** may be a bridge between the system bus **850** and an external communication bus, such as a USB bus, an Apple Desktop Bus, an RS-232 serial connection, a SCSI bus, a FireWire bus, a FireWire 800 bus, an Ethernet bus, an AppleTalk bus, a Gigabit Ethernet bus, an Asynchronous Transfer Mode bus, a HIPPI bus, a Super HIPPI bus, a Serial Plus bus, a SCI/LAMP bus, a Fibre Channel bus, or a Serial Attached small computer system interface bus.

(221) A computing device **800** of the sort depicted in FIGS. **8**B and **8**C typically operates under the control of operating systems, which control scheduling of tasks and access to system resources. The computing device **800** can be running any operating system such as any of the versions of the MICROSOFT WINDOWS operating systems, the different releases of the UNIX and LINUX operating systems, any version of the MAC OS for Macintosh computers, any embedded operating system, any real-time operating system, any open source operating system, any proprietary operating system, any operating systems for mobile computing devices, or any other operating system capable of running on the computing device and performing the operations described herein. Typical operating systems include, but are not limited to: WINDOWS 7, WINDOWS 8, WINDOWS VISTA, WINDOWS 10, and WINDOWS 11 all of which are manufactured by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, WA; MAC OS manufactured by Apple Inc. of Cupertino, CA; OS/2 manufactured by International Business Machines of Armonk, NY; Red Hat Enterprise Linux, a Linux-variant operating system distributed by Red Hat, Inc., of Raleigh, NC; Ubuntu, a freelyavailable operating system distributed by Canonical Ltd. of London, England; CentOS, a freelyavailable operating system distributed by the centos.org community; SUSE Linux, a freelyavailable operating system distributed by SUSE, or any type and/or form of a Unix operating system, among others.

(222) Having described certain embodiments of methods and systems for identifying and clustering worker agents for processing requests, it will be apparent to one of skill in the art that other embodiments incorporating the concepts of the disclosure may be used. Therefore, the disclosure should not be limited to certain embodiments, but rather should only be limited by the spirit and scope of the following claims.

Claims

1. A method, performed by at least one computer processor executing computer program instructions stored on at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium, for enhancing a context for use in processing a user request, comprising: (A) receiving a user request from a user; (B) identifying, by a user agent associated with the user, a suitable worker agent capable of handling a current part of the user request, wherein the user agent comprises a software component executing on a computing device, the identifying comprising: (B)(1) identifying a set of requirements of the current part of the user request; (B)(2) identifying a current worker agent, from among a plurality of worker agents, that is capable of satisfying the set of requirements of the current part of the user request, wherein each of the plurality of worker agents comprises a software component executing on a computing device, and wherein each of the plurality of worker agents comprises at least one model that has been trained using machine learning; (C) processing, by the current worker agent, the current part of the user request to generate a response to the current part of the user request; (D) sending the response to the current part of the user request from the current worker agent to the user agent; (E) parsing, by the user agent using a first Large Language Model (LLM), the response to the current part of the user request to extract relevant context information; (F) programmatically structuring, by the user agent, the extracted relevant context information in a manner that enables the extracted relevant context information to be appended to subsequent user

requests; (G) selecting, by the user agent based on an additional part of the user request, an additional worker agent to process the additional part of the user request; (H) constructing an augmented user request based on the additional part of the user request and the extracted relevant context information; and (I) repeating steps (C) through (H) iteratively, with the additional worker agent as the current worker agent and the additional part of the user request as the current part of the user request, until all of the user request is processed.

- 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising, before (E), fine-tuning the LLM using a loss function that includes both a context preservation aspect and a summarization aspect.
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the context preservation aspect of the loss function uses Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF).
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein the summarization aspect of the loss function uses a basic token count.
- 5. The method of claim 1, wherein programmatically structuring the extracted relevant context information comprises at least one of generating specific tokens or embedding certain data fields within the extracted relevant context information.
- 6. The method of claim 1, wherein constructing the augmented user request comprises using the first Large Language Model (LLM) to incorporate the extracted relevant context information into the additional part of the user request.
- 7. The method of claim 1, further comprising compiling, by the user agent, all responses generated in all iterations of (C) through (H) into a final response.
- 8. The method of claim 7, further comprising delivering, by the user agent, the final response to the user.
- 9. The method of claim 1, further comprising performing, at each iteration of (C) through (H), an intermediate verification step using the first LLM to perform semantic checks on the augmented user request.
- 10. The method of claim 1, wherein identifying the suitable worker agent comprises querying a core node that maintains a dynamically updated directory of worker agents.
- 11. A system comprising at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium having computer program instructions stored thereon, the computer program instructions being executable by at least one computer processor to perform a method, the method comprising: (A) receiving a user request from a user; (B) identifying, by a user agent associated with the user, a suitable worker agent capable of handling a current part of the user request, wherein the user agent comprises a software component executing on a computing device, the identifying comprising: (B)(1) identifying a set of requirements of the current part of the user request; (B)(2) identifying a current worker agent, from among a plurality of worker agents, that is capable of satisfying the set of requirements of the current part of the user request, wherein each of the plurality of worker agents comprises a software component executing on a computing device, and wherein each of the plurality of worker agents comprises at least one model that has been trained using machine learning; (C) processing, by the current worker agent, the current part of the user request to generate a response to the current part of the user request; (D) sending the response to the current part of the user request from the current worker agent to the user agent; (E) parsing, by the user agent using a first Large Language Model (LLM), the response to the current part of the user request to extract relevant context information; (F) programmatically structuring, by the user agent, the extracted relevant context information in a manner that enables the extracted relevant context information to be appended to subsequent user requests; (G) selecting, by the user agent based on an additional part of the user request, an additional worker agent to process the additional part of the user request; (H) constructing an augmented user request based on the additional part of the user request and the extracted relevant context information; and (I) repeating steps (C) through (H) iteratively, with the additional worker agent as the current worker agent and the additional part of the user request as the current part of the user request, until all of the user request is processed.

- 12. The system of claim 11, further comprising, before (E), fine-tuning the LLM using a loss function that includes both a context preservation aspect and a summarization aspect.
- 13. The system of claim 12, wherein the context preservation aspect of the loss function uses Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF).
- 14. The system of claim 12, wherein the summarization aspect of the loss function uses a basic token count.
- 15. The system of claim 11, wherein programmatically structuring the extracted relevant context information comprises at least one of generating specific tokens or embedding certain data fields within the extracted relevant context information.
- 16. The system of claim 11, wherein constructing the augmented user request comprises using the first Large Language Model (LLM) to incorporate the extracted relevant context information into the additional part of the user request.
- 17. The system of claim 11, further comprising compiling, by the user agent, all responses generated in all iterations of (C) through (H) into a final response.
- 18. The system of claim 17, further comprising delivering, by the user agent, the final response to the user.
- 19. The system of claim 11, further comprising performing, at each iteration of (C) through (H), an intermediate verification step using the first LLM to perform semantic checks on the augmented user request.
- 20. The system of claim 11, wherein identifying the suitable worker agent comprises querying a core node that maintains a dynamically updated directory of worker agents.