

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent
Kind Code
Date of Patent
Inventor(s)

12392879
B2
August 19, 2025
Zhang; Xin et al.

Radar system to universally detect direction-of-arrival or direction-of-departure angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions

Abstract

This document describes a radar system to universally detect direct-of-arrival (DoA) and direction-of-departure (DoD) angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions. For example, a radar system includes a transmitter and receiver array with a first array forming a minimum redundancy array and a second array forming a sparse uniform linear array. A processor determines, using second-array measurements, estimated angles. The quantity of estimated angles is larger than the quantity of actual angles due to angular ambiguity of the second array. The processor then identifies multiple potential sets of actual angles from among the estimated angles and tests each set under a maximum likelihood criterion using first-array measurements. The DoA and DoD angles are identified as the respective set with a maximum utility function value. In this way, the processor determines actual angles with improved resolution and reduced cost without having to identify whether a direct-path or multipath reflection condition exists.

Inventors: Zhang; Xin (Agoura Hills, CA), Li; Zhengzheng (Agoura Hills, CA), Zhang; Yu (Thousand Oaks, CA)

Applicant: Aptiv Technologies AG (Schaffhausen, CH)

Family ID: 1000008765008

Assignee: Aptiv Technologies AG (Schaffhausen, CH)

Appl. No.: 18/176295

Filed: February 28, 2023

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20240288562 A1	Aug. 29, 2024

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: G01S13/00 (20060101); G01S13/68 (20060101); G01S13/931 (20200101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC G01S13/003 (20130101); G01S13/68 (20130101); G01S13/931 (20130101);

Field of Classification Search

CPC: G01S (13/003); G01S (13/68); G01S (13/931); G01S (7/03); G01S (13/42); G01S (13/4454); G01S (13/87); G01S (2013/93271); G01S (2013/93272); G01S (2013/93274); G01S (3/16); G01S (13/62)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
5657027	12/1996	Guymon	N/A	N/A
7474262	12/2008	Alland	N/A	N/A
7639171	12/2008	Alland et al.	N/A	N/A
9395727	12/2015	Smith et al.	N/A	N/A
9869762	12/2017	Alland et al.	N/A	N/A
10416680	12/2018	Li et al.	N/A	N/A
10446923	12/2018	Watson	N/A	N/A
10809737	12/2019	Li et al.	N/A	N/A
10866304	12/2019	Hassibi et al.	N/A	N/A
11619705	12/2022	Zhang et al.	N/A	N/A
11635506	12/2022	Iwasa et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0029107	12/2016	Emami et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0149147	12/2016	Minami et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0149736	12/2017	Alland et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0285738	12/2018	Iwasa et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0324133	12/2018	Hong et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0004262	12/2019	Li et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0256947	12/2019	Motoda	N/A	N/A
2020/0292690	12/2019	Kim et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0309899	12/2019	Jonas et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0355816	12/2019	Ishikawa	N/A	N/A
2021/0373144	12/2020	Amani et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0146623	12/2021	Lao	N/A	G01S 13/44
2022/0163623	12/2021	Kishigami et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0236370	12/2021	Li et al.	N/A	N/A

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
106772224	12/2016	CN	N/A
111239678	12/2019	CN	N/A
2662699	12/2012	EP	N/A

3757607	12/2019	EP	N/A
4036600	12/2021	EP	N/A
4043919	12/2021	EP	N/A
6523350	12/2018	JP	N/A
2020186972	12/2019	JP	N/A
2021096889	12/2020	WO	N/A

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 22197753.1, Mar. 7, 2023, 17 pages. cited by applicant

Zhang, et al., “Flexible Array Response Control via Oblique Projection”, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 67, No. 12, Jun. 15, 2019, pp. 3126-3139. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 22200994.6, Aug. 11, 2023, 15 pages. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 23158330.3, Aug. 25, 2023, 17 pages. cited by applicant

Wu, et al., “A Low Complexity Adaptive Algorithm for Eigenspace-Based Two-Dimensional Direction of Arrival Tracking”, IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences, vol. E92-A, No. 8, Aug. 1, 2019, pp. 2097-2106. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 23165460.9, Sep. 15, 2023, 16 pages. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 21196393.9, Feb. 28, 2022, 11 pages. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 21196394.7, Mar. 4, 2022, 11 pages. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 21215410.8, Jul. 12, 2022, 9 pages. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 21216318.2, May 30, 2022, 10 pages. cited by applicant

“Extended European Search Report”, EP Application No. 21216322.4, Jun. 3, 2022, 9 pages. cited by applicant

Amin, et al., “Sparse Arrays and Sampling for Interference Mitigation and DOA Estimation in GNSS” Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 104, No. 6, Jun. 2016, pp. 1302-1317. cited by applicant

Capon, “High-Resolution Frequency-Wavenumber Spectrum Analysis”, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 57, No. 8, Aug. 1969, pp. 1408-1418. cited by applicant

Chan, et al., “A parameter estimation approach to estimation of frequencies of sinusoids”, Apr. 1981, pp. 214-219, 6 pages. cited by applicant

Chen, et al., “A new method for joint DOD and DOA estimation in bistatic MIMO radar”, Feb. 2010, pp. 714-718. cited by applicant

Engels, et al., “Automotive MIMO Radar Angle Estimation in the Presence of Multipath”, Oct. 2017, 4 pages. cited by applicant

Feger, et al., “A 77-GHz FMCW MIMO Radar Based on an SiGe Single-Chip Transceiver”, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 57, No. 5, May 2009, pp. 1020-1035. cited by applicant

Gu, et al., “Adaptive Beamforming via Sparsity-Based Reconstruction of Covariance Matrix”, Compressed Sensing in Radar Signal Processing, 2019, 33 pages. cited by applicant

Gu, et al., “Joint SVD of Two Cross-Correlation Matrices to Achieve Automatic Pairing in 2-D Angle Estimation Problems”, IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 6, pp. 553-556, Feb. 2007, 4 pages. cited by applicant

Gu, et al., "Robust Adaptive Beamforming Based on Interference Covariance Matrix Reconstruction and Steering Vector Estimation", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 60, No. 7, Jul. 2012, pp. 3881-3885. cited by applicant

Gu, et al., "Robust Adaptive Beamforming Based on Interference Covariance Matrix Sparse Reconstruction", Signal Processing, vol. 96, Mar. 1, 2014, pp. 375-381. cited by applicant

Haardt, et al., "Unitary ESPRIT: How to Obtain Increased Estimation Accuracy with a Reduced Computational Burden", May 1995, 1232-1242. cited by applicant

Jiang, et al., "Joint DOD and DOA Estimation for Bistatic MIMO Radar in Unknown Correlated Noise", Nov. 2015, pp. 5113-5125. cited by applicant

Jin, et al. "Joint DOD and DOA estimation for bistatic MIMO radar", Feb. 2009, pp. 244-251. cited by applicant

Kikuchi, et al., "Pair-Matching Method for Estimating 2-D Angle of Arrival With a Cross-Correlation Matrix", IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 5, pp. 35-40, Mar. 2006, 6 pages. cited by applicant

Mcglanin, "Multipath Propagation", Wireless Receiver Design for Digital Communications—Chapter 3., Jan. 2012, pp. 190-206. cited by applicant

Moffet, "Minimum-Redundancy Linear Arrays", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. AP-16, No. 2., Mar. 1968, pp. 172-175. cited by applicant

Pursuant to MPEP § 2001.6(b) the applicant brings the following co-pending application to the Examiner's attention: U.S. Appl. No. 17/075,632. cited by applicant

Qian, et al., "Enhanced PUMA for direction-of-arrival estimation and its performance analysis", Aug. 15, 2016, pp. 4127-4137, 11 pages. cited by applicant

Razavi-Ghods, "Characterisation of MIMO Radio Propagation Channels", Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: <http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/2526/> (Year: 2007), 349 pages. cited by applicant

Roy, et al., "ESPRIT—Estimation of Signal Parameters Via Rotational Invariance Techniques", Jul. 1989, pp. 984-995. cited by applicant

Scheiner, et al., "Seeing Around Street Corners: Non-Line-of-Sight Detection and Tracking In-the-Wild Using Doppler Radar", Dec. 2019, pp. 2068-2077. cited by applicant

Schmidt, "Multiple Emitter Location and Signal Parameter Estimation", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Mar. 1986, pp. 276-280. cited by applicant

Shi, et al., "Sparsity-Based Two-Dimensional DOA Estimation for Coprime Array: From Sum-Difference Coarray Viewpoint", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 65, No. 21, Nov. 1, 2017, pp. 5591-5604. cited by applicant

Steinwandt, et al., "Performance Analysis of ESPRIT-Type Algorithms for Co-Array Structures", Dec. 10, 2017, 5 pages. cited by applicant

Sun, et al., "MIMO Radar for Advanced Driver-Assistance Systems and Autonomous Driving: Advantages and challenges", Jul. 2020, pp. 98-117. cited by applicant

Tropp, et al., "Signal Recovery From Random Measurements Via Orthogonal Matching Pursuit", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 53, No. 12, Dec. 2007, pp. 4655-4666, Dec. 2007, 12 pages. cited by applicant

Vaidyanathan, et al., "Sparse Sensing with Co-Prime Samplers and Arrays", IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 59, No. 2, Feb. 2011, pp. 573-586. cited by applicant

Vaidyanathan, et al., "Theory of Sparse Coprime Sensing in Multiple Dimensions", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 59, No. 8, Aug. 2011, pp. 3592-3608. cited by applicant

Van Trees, "Planar Arrays and Apertures", Essay in "Detection, Estimation, and Modulation Theory, Optimum Array Processing", pp. 231-274. Wiley-Interscience, May 2002, 44 pages. cited by applicant

Visentin, et al., "Analysis of Multipath and DOA Detection Using a Fully Polarimetric Automotive Radar", Apr. 3, 2018, pp. 570-577. cited by applicant

Wang, et al., “Two-Dimensional Beamforming Automotive Radar with Orthogonal Linear Arrays”, 2019 IEEE Radar Conference, Boston, MA, Apr. 22-26, 2019., 6 pages. cited by applicant
Yu, et al., “MIMO Adaptive Beamforming for Nonseparable Multipath Clutter Mitigation”, IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 50, No. 4, Oct. 2014, pp. 2604-2618. cited by applicant
Zhou, et al., “A Robust and Efficient Algorithm for Coprime Array Adaptive Beamforming”, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 67, No. 2, Feb. 2018, pp. 1099-1112. cited by applicant
Zoltowski, et al., “Closed-Form 2-D Angle Estimation with Rectangular Arrays in Element Space or Beamspace via Unitary ESPRIT”, Feb. 1996, pp. 316-328. cited by applicant
Zoltowski, et al., “ESPRIT-Based 2-D Direction Finding with a Sparse Uniform Array of Electromagnetic Vector Sensors”, Aug. 1, 2000, pp. 2195-2204. cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Magloire; Vladimir

Assistant Examiner: Wolford; Naomi M

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

Background/Summary

BACKGROUND

(1) Radar systems use antennas to transmit and receive electromagnetic (EM) signals for detecting and tracking objects. In automotive applications, radar systems operate in dynamic environments that can cause EM signals to have direct-path and multipath reflections. A direct-path reflection occurs when an EM signal travels directly between a radar system and a target (e.g., another vehicle) without an intervening reflection. A multipath reflection occurs when the EM signal encounters a reflective surface (e.g., a wall, barrier, fence, guardrail, semi-truck, automobile) between the radar system and the target; a multipath reflection may cause the direction of departure (DoD) for a transmitted EM signal to differ from the direction of arrival (DoA) for a corresponding reflected EM signal. If the DoD is not equal to the DoA, a multiple-input and multiple-output (MIMO) radar system generally cannot generate a synthetic array that provides the radar system with a larger aperture than the corresponding physical array.

SUMMARY

(2) This document describes techniques and systems to enable a radar system to universally detect DoA and DoD angles when direct-path and multipath reflections coexist. In some examples, a radar system for installation on a vehicle includes a transmitter array, a receiver array, and at least one processor. A first array of the receiver array or the transmitter array forms a minimum redundancy array or other sparse array without angle ambiguity. A second array of the receiver array or the transmitter array forms a sparse uniform linear array. The processor is configured to generate a two-dimensional (2D) data matrix by sampling EM energy received at the receiver array. The processor uses second-array measurements in the 2D data matrix to determine estimated angles associated with radar detections of reflecting objects. Using the estimated angles, the processor can determine the quantity of actual angles associated with the radar detections, with the quantity of actual angles generally being smaller than the quantity of the estimated angles due to the angular ambiguity of the second array. The processor then identifies multiple potential sets of actual angles using the estimated angles. The processor tests each potential set of actual angles under a maximum likelihood criterion using first-array measurements to determine utility function values for the multiple potential sets of actual angles. The actual angles associated with the radar detections are

identified as the specific potential set of actual angles with the maximum utility function value. In this way, the described techniques and systems can enable a radar system to detect angles associated with objects in direct-path and multipath reflection scenarios without having to differentiate between the different reflection conditions and with a virtual array providing improved angular resolution and reduced cost.

(3) This document also describes methods performed by the above-summarized system and other configurations of the radar system set forth herein and means for performing these methods.

(4) This Summary introduces simplified concepts related to enabling a radar system to universally detect DoA and DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions, and are further described in the Detailed Description and Drawings. This Summary is not intended to identify essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended for use in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

(1) The details of one or more aspects of a radar system to universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions are described in this document with reference to the following figures. The same numbers are often used throughout the drawings to reference like features and components:

(2) FIG. 1 illustrates an example environment in which a radar system can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions in accordance with techniques of this disclosure;

(3) FIG. 2 illustrates different reflection conditions encountered by a vehicle with a radar system that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath conditions in accordance with techniques of this disclosure;

(4) FIG. 3 illustrates reflection conditions with multiple objects encountered by a vehicle with a radar system that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath conditions in accordance with techniques of this disclosure;

(5) FIG. 4 illustrates an example antenna with a transmitter array and receiver array of antenna elements for a radar system that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions;

(6) FIG. 5 illustrates an example flowchart of the described techniques and systems for universally detecting DoA and DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions; and

(7) FIG. 6 illustrates an example 2D data matrix generated by the described radar system to universally detect DoA and DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Overview

(8) Radar systems can be configured as an important sensing technology that vehicle-based systems use to acquire information about the surrounding environment. For example, vehicle-based systems may use radar systems to detect objects in or near a roadway and, if necessary, take necessary actions (e.g., reduce speed, change lanes) to avoid a collision.

(9) Radar systems generally include at least two antennas to transmit and receive EM radiation. Some automotive radar systems operate multiple-input and multiple-output (MIMO) radars in crowded environments to obtain reliable detections of nearby objects. Multipath reflections can occur when a reflective surface (e.g., a wall, fence, barrier, guardrail, another vehicle) reflects an EM signal. In real-world scenarios, up to half of the detections by automotive radar systems include multipath reflections. The multipath-reflection environment can result in several scenarios, including direct-path reflections, bistatic reflections, and two-way multipath reflections. A direct-

path reflection, which is an example of a monostatic reflection condition, occurs when a transmitted and reflected EM signal travels directly between the radar system and the object.

(10) In contrast, a bistatic reflection condition occurs when a transmission takes a different trajectory or path to travel between a radar system and an object than the reflection takes, resulting in the DoD being different from the DoA. In contrast, a monostatic reflection condition occurs when the DoD is the same as the DoA, regardless of whether the transmission and reflection paths take a direct-path or multipath trajectory.

(11) In bistatic reflection conditions, radar detections often cannot be used as reliable indicators, for example, to detect objects in a radar system field-of-view because a radar reflection has a DoA that is not equal to the DoD. The mismatch between the DoD and the DoA can violate a necessary condition for some MIMO radar systems to form a synthetic array. In other words, bistatic reflection conditions can mask the position of the reflecting object, which makes determining range and range rate for nearby objects difficult in a MIMO radar system.

(12) Automotive radar systems often use MIMO radar systems to improve angular resolution. In MIMO, the radar system and/or processor forms a synthetic array with a larger aperture than the corresponding physical arrays. For example, a radar system can configure eight physical channels of a radar transceiver (e.g., two transmit channels and six receive channels) using MIMO to provide twelve channels (or another number of channels) larger than the number of physical channels. With additional channels, a MIMO radar system can operate with an improved angular resolution, relying on a flexible physical layout of inexpensive and possibly fewer hardware components than traditional non-MIMO radar systems.

(13) However, a radar system often cannot form a synthetic array using MIMO if the DoD is different from the DoA. If a bistatic reflection condition exists, the DoD is not equal to the DoA. As a result, radar detections cannot easily be mapped to a corresponding antenna element of a synthetic array, resulting in errors using MIMO techniques to detect and track objects. The DoD-DoA mismatch can also lead to saturation or overconsumption of computing resources. At best, the saturation may merely delay a radar system output; at worst, the output includes errors from incorrectly mapping a surrounding environment, which can cause an automotive system or an operator of a vehicle to drive in an unsafe manner, for example, by driving too closely to objects that are not accurately tracked or operating the vehicle too gingerly by leaving an exaggerated buffer between the vehicle and the objects.

(14) Some radar systems address bistatic reflection conditions using polarimetric antennas, constrained spacing among antenna elements, and/or multiple data snapshots over a period of time. For example, some radar systems use polarimetric antennas to analyze bistatic reflection scenarios. Often, these systems can accurately distinguish monostatic reflection scenarios from bistatic reflection scenarios only if the target is known. These systems also rely on more-expensive polarimetric antennas. Other radar systems can only distinguish bistatic reflection conditions from monostatic reflection conditions using multiple data snapshots (e.g., more than one hundred snapshots) and an antenna spacing of half the transmitted wavelength (e.g., 0.5λ) for both the transmitter and receiver array. Because automotive radar systems generate a single snapshot for each detection while a vehicle moves, such systems are generally inapplicable to automotive applications. These systems also require a relatively large number of antenna elements to satisfy the half-wavelength spacing and have a sufficiently large aperture at the same time.

(15) In contrast, this document describes techniques and systems to enable a radar system to universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection scenarios, regardless of whether monostatic or bistatic reflection conditions exist. For example, a radar system includes a transmitter array and a receiver array. A first array of the transmitter array or the receiver array forms a minimum redundancy array with a minimum spacing of half a wavelength of the EM carrier wave or another array without angular ambiguity. The second (or other) array is a sparse uniform linear array. A processor of the radar system generates a 2D data matrix by sampling the

EM energy received at the receiver array. The processor determines, using EM energy measurements in the 2D data matrix associated with the second array, estimated angles (e.g., DoA or DoD estimates) associated with radar detections of one or more reflecting objects. The quantity of the estimated angles is larger than the quantity of actual angles due to angular ambiguity in the second array. In fact, the estimated angles include one or more aliased angles and one or more actual angles associated with the radar detections, which correspond to direct-path or multipath reflections of the EM energy by the objects. The processor also determines the quantity of actual angles from the number of estimated angles or a model order selection approach.

(16) The processor then identifies multiple potential sets of actual angles from the pool of estimated angles. Each potential set of actual angles is tested under a maximum likelihood criterion using the EM energy measurements in the 2D data matrix associated with the first array (e.g., to determine a utility function value for each potential set of actual angles). The actual angles are determined as the potential set of actual angles with the maximum utility function value. In this way, the described systems and techniques utilize MIMO techniques to reduce the number of antenna elements while preserving the angular resolution that can otherwise be achieved using a synthetic array. The radar system can also be used in automotive radar applications as a low-cost and computationally efficient solution only requiring a single data snapshot and without needing to distinguish between direct-path and multipath reflection conditions.

(17) This example is just one example of the described techniques and systems of a radar system that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions. This document describes other examples and implementations.

(18) Operating Environment

(19) FIG. 1 illustrates an example environment **100** in which a radar system **102** can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions in accordance with techniques of this disclosure. In the depicted environment **100**, the radar system **102** is mounted to, or installed within, a vehicle **104** traveling on a roadway **116**. Within an instrumental field-of-view, the radar system **102** can detect one or more objects **120** (e.g., an object **120-1** and another object **120-2**) near or surrounding the vehicle **104**. The radar system **102** emits radar signals with a direction-of-departure (DoD) **128** (e.g., DoD **128-1** and DoD **128-2**) and receives corresponding radar reflections with a direction-of-arrival (DoA) **134** (e.g., DoA **134-1** and DoA **134-2**). The radar system **102** can detect the objects **120** in the vicinity of the vehicle **104**.

(20) Although illustrated as a passenger truck, the vehicle **104** can represent other types of motorized vehicles (e.g., a car, an automobile, a motorcycle, a bus, a tractor, a semi-trailer truck), non-motorized vehicles (e.g., a bicycle), railed vehicles (e.g., a train), watercraft (e.g., a boat), aircraft (e.g., an airplane), or spacecraft (e.g., satellite). In general, manufacturers can mount the radar system **102** to any moving platform, including moving machinery or robotic equipment.

(21) In the depicted implementation, the radar system **102** is mounted on the front of the vehicle **104** and illuminates the objects **120**. The radar system **102** can detect the objects **120** from any exterior surface of the vehicle **104**. For example, vehicle manufacturers can integrate the radar system **102** into a bumper, side mirror, headlights, rear lights, or any other interior or exterior location from where the objects **120** require detection. In some cases, the vehicle **104** includes multiple radar systems **102**, such as a first radar system **102** and a second radar system **102**, that provide a larger instrumental field-of-view. In general, vehicle manufacturers can design the locations of the one or more radar systems **102** to provide a particular field-of-view that encompasses a region of interest. Example fields-of-view include a 360-degree field-of-view, one or more 180-degree fields-of-view, one or more 90-degree fields-of-view, and so forth, which can overlap or be combined into a field-of-view of a particular size.

(22) The objects **120** are composed of one or more materials that reflect radar signals. Depending on the application, the objects **120** can represent targets of interest. In some cases, the objects **120** may be moving objects (e.g., other vehicles) or stationary objects (e.g., roadside signs, road

barriers, debris).

(23) The radar system **102** emits EM radiation by transmitting EM signals or waveforms via antenna elements. In the environment **100**, the radar system **102** detects and tracks the objects **120-1** and **120-2** by transmitting and receiving one or more radar signals. For example, the radar system **102** can transmit EM carrier waves between one hundred and four hundred gigahertz (GHz), between four and one hundred GHz, or between approximately seventy and eighty GHz.

(24) The radar system **102** includes a transmitter **106** to transmit EM signals. The radar system **102** also includes a receiver **108** to receive reflected versions of the EM signals. The transmitter **106** includes one or more components, including an antenna or antenna elements, for emitting the EM signals. The receiver **108** includes one or more components, including an antenna or antenna elements, for detecting the reflected EM signals. The transmitter **106** and the receiver **108** can be incorporated together on the same integrated circuit (e.g., a transceiver integrated circuit) or separately on different integrated circuits. In other implementations, the radar system **102** does not include a separate antenna, but the transmitter **106** and the receiver **108** each include one or more antenna elements. The radar system **102** may operate as a MIMO radar system.

(25) The radar system **102** also includes one or more processors **110** (e.g., an energy processing unit or an electronic control unit) and computer-readable storage media (CRM) **112**. The processor **110** may be a microprocessor or a system-on-chip. The processor **110** executes instructions stored in the CRM **112**. For example, the processor **110** processes EM energy received by the receiver **108** and determines, using an angle-finding module **114**, a location of the objects **120** relative to the radar system **102**. The processor **110** may also generate radar data for at least one automotive system. For example, the processor **110** can control, based on processed EM energy from the receiver **108**, an autonomous or semi-autonomous driving system of the vehicle **104**.

(26) The angle-finding module **114** obtains EM energy received by the receiver **108** and determines angles associated with the objects **120** regardless of whether the reflections include direct-path or multipath reflection conditions. In particular, the radar system **102** determines, using the angle-finding module **114**, a location of the object **120-1** in terms of the DoD **128-1** and/or the DoA **134-1** based on the direction of one or more large-amplitude echo signals received by the radar system **102**. Similarly, the radar system **102** determines, using the angle-finding module **114**, a location of the object **120-2** in terms of the DoD **128-2** and the DoA **134-2** based on the direction of one or more large-amplitude echo signals received by the radar system **102**. The radar system **102** can implement the angle-finding module **114** as instructions in the CRM **112**, hardware, software, or a combination thereof executed by the processor **110**.

(27) As illustrated in the example environment **100**, FIG. 1 illustrates the vehicle **104** traveling on the roadway **116**. A reflective surface **118-1** is near or in the roadway **116** to the left of the vehicle **104**. Another reflective surface **118-2** is near or in the roadway **116** to the right of the vehicle **104**. The reflective surfaces **118** may be a wall, guardrail, fence, building, or another vehicle. The radar system **102** detects the objects **120** in front of the vehicle **104**. The radar system **102** may define a sensor or vehicle coordinate system with an x-axis **122** (e.g., in a forward direction along the roadway **116**) and a y-axis **124** (e.g., perpendicular to the x-axis **122** and along a surface of the roadway **116**). The transmitter **106** can transmit EM signals **126** in front of the vehicle **104**. The objects **120** reflect the transmitted EM signals **126**.

(28) For example, the object **120-1** reflects the transmitted EM signal **126** as a reflected EM signal **130**. The reflected EM signal **130** can travel directly back to the radar system **102** or be reflected by the reflective surface **118** as a reflected EM signal **132**. The reflected EM signal **132** is received by the receiver **108**. The angle-finding module **114** locates the object **120-1** by determining the DoD **128-1** and/or the DoA **134-1** associated with the EM signals. The DoD **128-1** and the DoA **134-1** represent a horizontal angle from the x-axis **122** to the object **120**. For the object **120-1**, the DoD **128-1** is not equal to the DoA **134-1** and a bistatic reflection condition exists.

(29) For the object **120-2**, the transmitted EM signal **126** is reflected back to the radar system **102**

along the same multipath reflection path so that the DoD **128-2** is approximately equal to the DoA **134-2**. In this scenario, the transmitted EM signal **126** is reflected by the reflective surface **118-2** and then reflected by the object **120-2**. The reflected EM signal **130** is then reflected by the reflective surface **118-2** before being received by the radar system **102**. In this two-way multipath reflection, the DoD **128-2** is approximately equal to the DoA **134-2** and a monostatic reflection condition exists.

(30) The vehicle **104** can also include at least one automotive system (not illustrated in FIG. **1**) that relies on data from the radar system **102**, such as a driver-assistance system, an autonomous-driving system, or a semi-autonomous-driving system. The radar system **102** can include an interface to the automotive system that uses the radar data. For example, the processor **110** outputs, via the interface, a signal based on EM energy received by the receiver **108**.

(31) Generally, the automotive systems use radar data provided by the radar system **102** to perform a function. For example, the driver-assistance system can provide blind-spot monitoring and generate an alert that indicates a potential collision with the objects **120** that is detected by the radar system **102**. The radar data from the radar system **102** indicates when it is safe or unsafe to change lanes in such an implementation. The autonomous-driving system may move the vehicle **104** to a particular location on the roadway **116** while avoiding collisions with the objects **120** detected by the radar system **102**. The radar data provided by the radar system **102** may provide information about the distance to and the location of the objects **120** to enable the autonomous-driving system to perform emergency braking, perform a lane change, or adjust the speed of the vehicle **104**.

(32) FIG. **2** illustrates different detection conditions **200-1**, **200-2**, **200-3**, and **200-4** encountered by the vehicle **104** with the radar system **102** that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions in accordance with techniques of this disclosure. The object **120** can be at different positions relative to the vehicle **104**. On the right side of vehicle **104**, a reflective surface **118** (e.g., a guardrail, a railing, a construction zone boundary, a fence, another vehicle) exists. The radar system **102** detects the object **120** by emitting a radar signal **202-1**, **202-2**, **202-3**, or **202-4**, and receiving a reflected radar signal **204-1**, **204-2**, **204-3**, or **204-4**, respectively.

(33) In the detection condition **200-1**, a monostatic reflection condition exists for a direct-path transmission and a direct-path reflection of the EM signal. The radar system **102** transmits the radar signal **202-1** with a particular DoD angle. The corresponding reflected radar signal **204-1** is reflected by the object **120** to vehicle **104** with a particular DoA angle. The angle-finding module **114** determines that the DoA and the DoD angles are equal or approximately equal to an angle **206**. Because the DoA and the DoD angles are equal or approximately equal, a bistatic reflection condition does not exist in front of vehicle **104** with respect to the object **120**.

(34) In the detection condition **200-2**, a bistatic reflection condition exists for a direct-path transmission and a multipath reflection. The radar system **102** transmits the radar signal **202-2** with a DoD equal to an angle **208**. The corresponding reflected radar signal **204-2** is reflected by the object **120** and the reflective surface **118** to the vehicle **104** with a DoA equal to an angle **210**. Because the angle **208** is not equal to or approximately equal to the angle **210**, the angle-finding module **114** determines that the DoA and the DoD are not equal, and therefore, a bistatic reflection condition exists in front of vehicle **104** with respect to the object **120**. For many radar system a bistatic reflection condition violates a colocated MIMO assumption and a synthetic array cannot be used to estimate DoA and/or DoD angles.

(35) In the detection condition **200-3**, another bistatic reflection condition exists for a multipath transmission and a direct-path reflection. The radar system **102** transmits the radar signal **202-3** with a DoD equal to angle **212** toward the reflective surface **118**. The corresponding reflected radar signal **204-3** is reflected by the object **120** to vehicle **104** with a DoA equal to angle **214**. The angle-finding module **114** determines that the DoA and the DoD are not equal or approximately equal, and therefore, a bistatic reflection condition exists in front of vehicle **104** with respect to the

object **120**. The transmission path of the radar signal **202-3** is not equal to the transmission path of the reflected radar signal **204-3**. The radar signals of the bistatic reflection conditions in the detection conditions **200-2** and **200-3** travel the same paths but in opposite directions. The total propagation path is equal in both bistatic reflection conditions.

(36) In the detection condition **200-4**, a monostatic reflection condition exists for a multipath transmission and a multipath reflection. The radar system **102** transmits the radar signal **202-4** with a DoD equal to angle **216** toward the reflective surface **118**. The corresponding reflected return radar signal **204-4** is reflected by the object **120** and the reflective surface **118** to the vehicle **104** with a DoA equal to the angle **216**. The angle-finding module **114** determines that the DoA and the DoD are equal, and therefore, a bistatic reflection condition does not exist in front of vehicle **104** with respect to the object **120**. The transmission path of the radar signal **202-4** is equal to the transmission of the reflected radar signal **204-4**.



(37) The angle-finding module **114** can find multiple clusters of energy in range-Doppler detections (RDDs), including direct-path detection conditions, bistatic reflection conditions, and two-way multipath detection conditions. The angle-finding module **114** can use range-Doppler information to differentiate the bistatic reflection conditions (e.g., detection conditions **200-2** and **200-3**) from the monostatic reflection conditions (e.g., detection conditions **200-1** and **200-4**). However, the techniques described herein can universally determine DoA or DoD angles associated with each object without needing to differentiate bistatic reflection conditions from monostatic reflection conditions based on the observation that multipath reflections generally appear in pairs, as discussed in more detail with respect to FIG. 3.

(38) FIG. 3 illustrates detection condition **300** with multiple objects **120-1** and **120-2** encountered by the vehicle **104** with the radar system **102** that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions in accordance with techniques of this disclosure. The objects **120-1** and **120-2** are at different positions relative to the vehicle **104**. On the right side of vehicle **104**, a reflective surface **118** (e.g., a guardrail, a railing, a construction zone boundary, a fence, another vehicle) exists. The radar system **102** detects the objects **120** by emitting a radar signal **302**, **306**, and **312** and receiving a reflected radar signal **304**, **310**, and **316**, respectively.

(39) For the object **120-1**, a direct-path transmission and reflection condition exists. The radar system **102** transmits the radar signal **302** with a particular DoD angle. The corresponding reflected radar signal **304** is reflected by the object **120-1** to vehicle **104** with a particular DoA angle. The DoA and DoD angles are equal or approximately equal to one another.

(40) For the object **120-2**, both direct-path and multipath transmission and reflection conditions exist. The radar system **102** transmits the radar signal **306** with a first DoD angle. The object **120-2** reflects the radar signal **306** as a radar signal **308**, which is reflected by the reflective surface **118** as radar signal **310**. The radar system **102** receives the radar signal **310** with a first DoA angle. The radar system **102** also transmits the radar signal **312** with a second DoD angle, which is reflected by the reflective surface **118** as radar signal **314**. The object **120-2** reflects the radar signal **314**, which is received by the radar system **102** as the radar signal **316** with a second DoA angle. In this bistatic and multipath propagation scenario, the first DoD angle or the second DoD angle is not equal to the first DoA angle or the second DoA angle, respectively. However, because the paths of multipath propagations usually appear in pairs due to the propagation nature of EM signals, the paired paths overlap with each other and the radar signals travel along the paired paths in opposite directions. Utilizing the paired nature of propagation paths, the radar system **102** may determine the actual angles (e.g., DoA and DoD angles) associated with the objects **120** without determining whether they correspond to a direct-path or multipath propagation condition. Accordingly, when multipath reflection conditions exist, the set of DoD angles are equal or approximately equal to the set of DoA angles as represented by Equation (1):

(41) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{A} = \{ \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_K \}, \quad (1)$

where  stands for the set of DoD angles,  stands for the set of DoA angles, $\alpha_{\text{sub},k}$ is the k-th intended angle, and K is the number of angles. For instance, K=3 in FIG. 3.

(42) FIG. 4 illustrates an example antenna 400 with a receiver array 402 and transmitter array 404 of antenna elements 406 for a radar system that can universally detect DoA or DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions. For example, the radar system of FIG. 4 can be the radar system 102 of FIGS. 1 through 3. In the depicted implementation, the antenna 400 includes the receiver array 402 and the transmitter array 404 that can correspond to the receiver 108 and the transmitter 106, respectively, of FIG. 1.

(43) The receiver array 402 is a sparse uniform linear array (ULA) that includes $N_{\text{sub},R}$ antenna elements 406 with a receiver spacing, $d_{\text{sub},R}$, 408 among the antenna elements 406. In this document, a sparse array has a spacing among antenna elements 406 that is greater than half the wavelength of the transmitted EM carrier wave (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},R} > 0.5\lambda$). In the depicted implementation, the receiver array 402 includes at least five antenna elements 406 (e.g., $N_{\text{sub},R}$ is equal to or greater than five). The receiver array 402 can also include additional or fewer antenna elements 406 in other implementations.

(44) The transmitter array 404 is a sparse minimum redundancy array (MRA). MRAs achieve maximum aperture resolution for a particular number of antenna elements 406 by reducing the number of redundant spacings present among the antenna elements 406. The transmitter array 404 is a sparse array that does not have angle ambiguity (e.g., no grating lobes) within a 180 degree or negative-ninety-degree-to-ninety-degree (e.g., $[-90^\circ, 90^\circ]$) field-of-view. The transmitter array 404 includes $N_{\text{sub},T}$ antenna elements 406 with a transmitter spacing, $d_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}}$, 410, where $i_{\text{sub},T}=2, \dots, N_{\text{sub},T}$ and $d_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}}$ denotes the spacing between the $(i_{\text{sub},T}-1)$ th antenna element 406 and the $i_{\text{sub},T}$ th antenna element 406. In the depicted implementation, the transmitter array 404 includes six antenna elements 406 (e.g., $N_{\text{sub},T}$ is equal to six). The transmitter array 404 can also include additional or fewer antenna elements 406 in other implementations.

(45) The transmitter spacing, $d_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}}$, 410 are multiples of 0.5λ , where λ is the wavelength of the transmitted EM radiation or carrier wave. The transmitter spacing 410 can be represented using Equation (2):

$$(46) \quad d_{T,i_T} = m_{T,i_T} \times 0.5 \quad (2)$$

where $m_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}} \in \text{custom character}$. For the described radar system 102, the transmitter spacing 410 provides a minimum redundancy array. For example, the depicted transmitter array 404 includes six antenna elements 406 with the $m_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}}$ of the transmitter spacing 410-1 (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,2}$), 410-2 (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,3}$), 410-3 (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,4}$), 410-4 (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,5}$), and 410-5 (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,6}$) equal to 1 (e.g., 0.5λ), 5 (e.g., 2.5λ), 3 (e.g., 1.5λ), 2 (e.g., 1.0λ), and 2 (e.g., 1.0λ), respectively. Other examples of a sparse MRA are provided in Table 1 below for different numbers, N, of antenna elements 406 with the transmitter spacing 410 provided in terms of $m_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}}$ as used in Equation (1) above. For sparse MRAs with 5, 6, 7, 8, or 10 antenna elements, Table 1 provides multiple example arrays with the first one or two examples being “restricted” examples where the spatial-frequency spectrum is uniformly covered up to a spacing $m_{\text{sub},\text{MAX}}$, which is also the distance between the end antenna elements of the array. The other examples included in Table 1 provide “general” examples of MRAs where the length of the array may be greater than the spacing $m_{\text{sub},\text{MAX}}$ and the remaining spacings are not all redundant beyond the $m_{\text{sub},\text{MAX}}$ spacing.

(47) TABLE-US-00001 TABLE 1 Examples of MRAs N Transmitter Spacing ($m_{\text{sub},T}, i_{\text{sub},T}$)
 $m_{\text{sub},\text{MAX}}$ 3 [1, 2] 4 4 [1, 3, 2] 6 5 [1, 3, 3, 2] 9 [4, 1, 2, 6] 9 6 [1, 5, 3, 2, 2] 13 [6, 1, 2, 2, 8] 13 7 [1, 3, 6, 2, 3, 2] 17 [14, 1, 3, 6, 2, 5] 18 8 [1, 1, 9, 4, 3, 3, 2] 23 [1, 3, 6, 6, 2, 3, 2] 23 [8, 10, 1, 3, 2, 7, 8] 24 9 [1, 3, 6, 6, 6, 2, 3, 2] 29 10 [1, 2, 3, 7, 7, 7, 4, 4, 1] 36 [16, 1, 11, 8, 6, 4, 3, 2, 22] 37

(48) In the depicted implementation, the receiver array 402 is a sparse ULA and the transmitter

array **404** is a sparse MIRA. In other implementations, the receiver array **402** is a sparse MIRA and the transmitter array is a sparse ULA.

(49) In yet other implementations, the sparse MRA (e.g., the receiver array **402** or the transmitter array **404**) may be replaced with a sparse array of antenna elements **406** that do not have angle ambiguity (e.g., no grating lobes) within a 180 degree or negative-ninety-degree-to-ninety-degree (e.g., $[-90^\circ, 90^\circ]$) field-of-view. For example, the transmitter array **404** may be a paired staggered array to provide an array without angle ambiguity. In this design, the transmitter array **404** includes a sparse ULA of antenna elements **406** with a first spacing (e.g., 2.5λ). The transmitter array **404** also includes a copy of the ULA composed of antenna elements **406** with the same first spacing (e.g., 2.5λ), but offset from the first ULA by a second spacing (e.g., 1.0λ).

(50) As another example, the transmitter array **404** may be a coprime array to provide an array without angle ambiguity. In this design, the transmitter array **404** includes two sparse ULAs of antenna elements **406** with a first spacing and a second spacing. The first and second spacings can each be represented by Equation (3), which is similar to Equation (2):

$$(51) \quad d_T = m_T \times 0.5 \quad (3)$$

The first subarray includes N antenna elements and the value of $m_{\text{sub},T,1}$ for the first spacing, $d_{\text{sub},T,1}$ is M, where M and N are positive integers. The second subarray includes 2M antenna elements and the value of $m_{\text{sub},T,2}$ for the second spacing, $d_{\text{sub},T,2}$, is N. The integers M and N are coprime (e.g., M and N do not have common factors other than one). As one example, M and N can be equal to five and three, respectively.

(52) As yet another example, the transmitter array **404** may provide an array without angle ambiguity by including at least one pair of transmitter antenna indices ($i_{\text{sub},T}, j_{\text{sub},T}$) and one pair of integers (P, Q) for a sparse array that satisfy Equation (4):

$$(53) \quad \text{Math. P}m_{T,i_T} - Qm_{T,j_T} \text{Math.} = 1 \quad (4)$$

where $i_{\text{sub},T}=2, \dots, N_{\text{sub},T}$, $j_{\text{sub},T}=2, \dots, N_{\text{sub},T}$, $i_{\text{sub},T} \neq j_{\text{sub},T}$, $P \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, the transmitter array **404** may include eight antenna elements with the $m_{\text{sub},T,i_{\text{sub},T}}$ of the transmitter spacing **410-1** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,2}$), **410-2** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,3}$), **410-3** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,4}$), **410-4** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,5}$), **410-5** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,6}$), **410-6** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,7}$), and **410-7** (e.g., $d_{\text{sub},T,8}$) being equal to 8 (e.g., 4.0λ), 4 (e.g., 2.0λ), 5 (e.g., 2.5λ), 2 (e.g., 1.0λ), 6 (e.g., 3.0λ), 3 (e.g., 1.5λ), and 7 (e.g., 3.5λ), respectively. In this example, the transmitter spacing **410-3** (e.g., $m_{\text{sub},T,4}=5$) and **410-2** (e.g., $m_{\text{sub},T,3}=4$) satisfy Equation (4), with P and Q both equal to one.

(54) As another example, the transmitter array **404** may provide an array without angle ambiguity by including at least one spacing between adjacent antenna elements **406** that is equal to one half the wavelength of the EM carrier wave ($\lambda/2$).

(55) In the depicted implementations, the receiver array **402** and the transmitter array **404** are positioned in an azimuth direction. In other implementations, the receiver array **402** and/or the transmitter array **404** can be positioned in an elevation direction or another direction. In addition, the receiver array **402** and/or the transmitter array **404** may be two-dimensional arrays.

(56) The receiver array **402** and the transmitter array **404** may be planar arrays that provide high gain and low loss. Planar arrays are well-suited for vehicle integration due to their small size. For example, the antenna elements **406** can be slots etched or otherwise formed in a plating material of one PCB surface for a substrate-integrated waveguide (SIW) antenna. The antenna elements **406** can also be part of an aperture antenna, a microstrip antenna, or a dipole antenna. For example, the receiver array **402** and the transmitter array **404** can include subarrays of patch elements (e.g., microstrip patch antenna subarrays) or dipole elements.

(57) FIG. 5 illustrates an example flowchart **500** of the described techniques and systems for universally detecting DoA and DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions. Flowchart **500** is shown as sets of operations (or acts) performed, but not necessarily limited to the

order or combinations in which the operations are shown herein. Further, any of one or more of the operations may be repeated, combined, or reorganized to provide other techniques. The radar system of FIG. 5 can, for example, be the radar system **102** of FIG. 1, which includes the angle-finding module **114**. The radar system **102** includes the receiver array **402**, which is a sparse ULA with $N_{\text{sub},R}$ antenna elements **406** spaced apart with the receiver spacing, $d_{\text{sub},R}$, **408**. The radar system **102** also includes the transmitter array **404**, which is an array without angular ambiguity (e.g., a sparse MRA).

(58) Because the DoA and DoD angles are measured using the receiver array **402** and the transmitter array **404**, respectively, the radar system **102** jointly utilizes the receiver array **402** and the transmitter array **404** to find the intended or actual angles, $\{\alpha_{\text{sub},1}, \alpha_{\text{sub},2}, \dots, \alpha_{\text{sub},K}\}$. Different from MIMO techniques where a synthetic array is formed by spatially convolving the transmitter and receiver arrays, the radar system **102** may obtain the DoD estimates from the transmitter array observations and the DoA estimates from the receiver array observations. If the set of measured DoD estimates (e.g., as determined from the transmitter array observations) are denoted as $\theta_{\text{custom_character}} = \{\theta_{\text{sub},1}, \theta_{\text{sub},2}, \dots, \theta_{\text{sub},M}\}$ and the set of measured DoA estimates (e.g., as determined from the receiver array observations) as $\phi_{\text{custom_character}} = \{\phi_{\text{sub},1}, \phi_{\text{sub},2}, \dots, \phi_{\text{sub},N}\}$, then $\theta_{\text{custom_character}}$ and $\phi_{\text{custom_character}}$, where $\theta_{\text{custom_character}}$ stands for the set of DoD angles (e.g., true set of angles) and $\phi_{\text{custom_character}}$ stands for the set of DoA angles (e.g., true set of angles).



(59) It is assumed that there is no noise in the measurements. The inequality between $\theta_{\text{custom_character}}$ and $\phi_{\text{custom_character}}$ ($\theta_{\text{custom_character}}$ and $\phi_{\text{custom_character}}$ as well) may also be caused by the angle estimation ambiguity due to array design. For example, if the receiver array **402** is a ULA with two wavelength spacing, each angle in $\theta_{\text{custom_character}}$ (e.g., true angle) will create four angles in $\phi_{\text{custom_character}}$, where one angle is the true angle and the others are the corresponding aliasings or aliased angles. In this document, $M (\geq K)$ is the number of DoD estimates, $\theta_{\text{custom_character}}$, obtained from transmitter array observations, and $N (\geq K)$ is the number of DoA estimates, $\phi_{\text{custom_character}}$, obtained from receiver array observations.

(60) Based on the above observation, the angle-finding module **114** provides universal angle estimation regardless of whether direct-path or multipath reflection conditions exist. The angle-finding module **114** utilizes two assumptions (where the roles of the receiver array **402** and transmitter array **404** may be switched). First the transmitter array **404** is designed to estimate the DoD angles without ambiguity ($\theta_{\text{custom_character}} = \phi_{\text{custom_character}}$), while the DoA angles estimated by the receiver array **402** are ambiguous ($\phi_{\text{custom_character}} \subset \theta_{\text{custom_character}}$). Second, estimating the DoD angles from transmitter array observations is more computationally expensive than obtaining the ambiguous DoA estimates from receiver array observations.

(61) Without loss of generality, consider that the transmitter array is a MRA with the minimum spacing as half the wavelength of the transmitted EM carrier wave and the receiver array is a sparse ULA with larger than half wavelength spacing (e.g., two wavelengths). It is possible to find out all actual angles $\{\alpha_{\text{sub},1}, \alpha_{\text{sub},2}, \dots, \alpha_{\text{sub},K}\}$ by using transmitter array observations only, e.g., a non-linear least squares (NLS) method or subspace-based methods when multiple snapshots are available, but it usually requires a large number of computations or snapshots. Resources are generally very limited in automotive radar applications and thus this approach is not practical or possible. In contrast, the angle-finding module **114** can determine the DoA estimates (with ambiguity) relatively easily by applying an efficient FFT method to the sparse ULA of the receiver array.

(62) At step **502**, the angle-finding module **114** generates a two-dimensional (2D) data matrix (e.g., the 2D data matrix **600** of FIG. 6). The angle-finding module **114** reshapes a beam vector of the radar data into the 2D data matrix, Y . In particular, the angle-finding module **114** or processor **110** receives the radar data as an $N_{\text{sub},T} N_{\text{sub},R} \times 1$ beam vector. The angle-finding module **114**

reshapes this beam vector into an $N_{\text{sub}} \times N_{\text{sub}} \times T$ complex matrix, Y . The element on the r th row and c th column of Y can be denoted as $y_{\text{sub},r,c}$, the r th row of Y as $Y_{\text{sub},r,:}$, and the c th column of Y as $Y_{\text{sub},:,c}$. The angle-finding module **114** can use the vertical dimension of the 2D data matrix to estimate the DoA angles based on a sparse ULA with the receiver spacing, $d_{\text{sub},R}$, **408**.

(63) At step **504**, the angle-finding module **114** determines ambiguous DoA estimates (e.g., estimated angles) using sampled measurements in the 2D data matrix associated with the receiver array **402**. In particular, the angle-finding module **114** may use the receiver array measurements or observations to determine the ambiguous DoA estimates, for example,  $\{\phi_{\text{sub},1}, \phi_{\text{sub},2}, \dots, \phi_{\text{sub},N}\}$, associated with the radar detections, which may correspond to direct-path or multipath reflections of the EM energy by the objects **120**. The angle-finding module **114** uses a single snapshot of sampled measurements in the 2D data matrix. Because the receiver array **402** is a uniform linear array, the angle-finding module **114** can perform the DoA estimation using a 1D fast Fourier transform (FFT) beamforming based-function. In other implementations, the angle-finding module **114** can also use at least one of several other 1D angular phase estimation algorithms, including a 1D Estimation of Signal Parameters via Rotational Invariance Technique (ESPRIT), a 1D Multiple Signal Classification (MUSIC), or a 1D minimum variance distortionless response (MVDR) beamforming technique. The angle-finding module **114** can use other 1D angular phase estimation methods as well. At a later step, the angle-finding module **114** will then use transmitter array measurements or observations as a reference to pick out the intended angles from the DoA estimates, .

(64) If the angle-finding module **114** uses an FFT approach, the processing includes applying a 1D FFT to each column of matrix Y and generating $N_{\text{sub}} \times T$ FFT spectrums $\text{FFT}\{Y\} = [\text{FFT}\{Y_{\text{sub},:,1}\} \text{FFT}\{Y_{\text{sub},:,2}\} \dots \text{FFT}\{Y_{\text{sub},:,N_{\text{sub}}}\}]$. Non-coherent integration (NCI) is then applied to these $N_{\text{sub}} \times T$ FFT spectrums by $\text{NCI}\{\text{FFT}\{Y\}\} = |\text{FFT}\{Y_{\text{sub},:,1}\}| + |\text{FFT}\{Y_{\text{sub},:,2}\}| + \dots + |\text{FFT}\{Y_{\text{sub},:,N_{\text{sub}}}\}|$. The angle-finding module **114** then identifies the peaks in the NCI spectrum $\text{NCI}\{\text{FFT}\{Y\}\}$. The angles corresponding to these peaks represent the DoA estimated angles for the objects **120**.

(65) If the angle-finding module **114** uses a super resolution method (e.g., MVDR, MUSIC, ESPRIT) as the 1D angular phase estimator, the processing includes calculating the covariance matrix $R_{\text{sub},Y}$ from the measurement snapshots Y by $R_{\text{sub},Y} = YY^{\text{sup.H}}$. The covariance matrix $R_{\text{sub},Y}$ is input to the respective super resolution method and the processing steps of that method are performed. The output estimated angles are the DoA angle estimates for the objects **120**.

(66) At step **506**, the angle-finding module **114** determines the quantity of actual or intended angles associated with radar detections in the 2D data matrix. In particular, the angle-finding module **114** can use the relationship between the array spacing and the number of estimated angles to determine the number, K , of actual angles. If the receiver array **402** has a two-wavelength spacing, each intended angle, $\alpha_{\text{sub},k}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, K$), results in four candidate DoA estimates due to the two-wavelength spacing. Similarly, 2d DoA estimates will be produced if the ULA spacing is d multiples of the wavelength of the emitted EM carrier wave. Returning to the two-wavelength spacing example, only one out of the four DoA estimate candidates corresponds to $\alpha_{\text{sub},k}$ while the other three (e.g., $\pm 2d - 1$ for d -wavelength spacing) candidates are its aliased angles or aliasings.

(67) The angle-finding module **114** can also assume the quantity of actual angles is equal to any positive integer value between one and the quantity of estimated angles. For example, if the number of estimated angles (e.g., DoA angle estimates) is equal to four, the angle-finding module **114** can initially assume that the quantity of actual angles (e.g., test values) is equal to 1, 2, 3, or 4. The angle-finding module **114** can later determine, as described below with respect to steps **510** and **512**, the quantity of actual angles using a penalty term or value under the maximum likelihood criterion associated with each potential value of K .

(68) At step **508**, the angle-finding module **114** identifies multiple potential sets of actual angles

(e.g., sets of DoA angle estimates) from the pool or collection of estimated angles. Letting $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.k}} = \{\phi_{\text{sub.k,1}}, \phi_{\text{sub.k,2}}, \dots, \phi_{\text{sub.k,4}}\}$ (e.g., $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.k}} = \{\phi_{\text{sub.k,1}}, \phi_{\text{sub.k,2}}, \dots, \phi_{\text{sub.k, } \lceil 2d \rceil}\}$ (e.g., for d-wavelength spacing) denote the DoA estimate candidates associated with each intended angle $\alpha_{\text{sub.k}}$, $\alpha_{\text{sub.k}} \in \hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.k}}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character}} = \hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.1}} \cup \hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.2}} \cup \dots \cup \hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.K}}$. Thus, there are $4 \cdot \sup.K$ (e.g., $\lceil 2d \rceil \cdot \sup.K$ for d-wavelength spacing) possibilities if each $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.k}}$ provides one DoA estimate candidate to form a set of angles under test. Returning to the two-wavelength spacing example with two actual angles, there are four DoA estimate candidates associated with each actual angle $\alpha_{\text{sub.k}}$ (e.g., eight total candidates) and sixteen potential sets of actual angles from the DoA estimate candidates.

(69) At step **510**, the angle-finding module **114** determines a utility function value associated with each potential set of actual angles by testing each potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood (ML) criterion with transmitter array observations as the reference. By denoting the angles under test as $\{\hat{\alpha}_{\text{sub.1}}, \hat{\alpha}_{\text{sub.2}}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_{\text{sub.K}}\}$ with $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{sub.k}} \in \hat{\alpha}_{\text{custom character.sub.k}}, \forall k=1, 2, \dots, K$, the angle-finding module **114** forms a transmitter array subspace $\{\hat{U}\}$ (e.g., a first-array subspace) using the angles under test, as represented by Equation (5):

$$(70) \quad \hat{U} = [\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2, \dots, \hat{s}_K] \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{s}_{\text{sub.k}}$ is the transmitter array steering vector to angle $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{sub.k}}, \forall k=1, 2, \dots, K$. The steering vector $\hat{s}_{\text{sub.k}}$ can be determined using Equation (6):

$$(71) \quad \hat{s}_k = [1, e^{j2 \frac{D_2}{\lambda} \sin \hat{\alpha}_k}, \dots, e^{j2 \frac{D_{N_T}}{\lambda} \sin \hat{\alpha}_k}]^T \quad (6)$$

where D_2 represents the distance from the first antenna element **406** to the second antenna element **406** in the transmitter array and D_{N_T} represents the distance from the first antenna element **406** to the last or N_T antenna element **406** in the transmitter array. The corresponding subspace projection matrix can be obtained using Equation (7):

$$(72) \quad \hat{P} = \hat{U}(\hat{U}^H \hat{U})^{-1} \hat{U}^H \quad (7)$$

(73) The angle-finding module **114** calculates the utility function value under the ML criterion using Equation (8) by taking the Frobenius norm of the matrix product of the projection matrix and the 2D data matrix:

$$(74) \quad \|\hat{P}Y^T\|_F \quad (8)$$

where Y^T is the transpose of the 2D data matrix and $\|\cdot\|_F$ stands for the Frobenius norm of a matrix.

(75) In other implementations, the utility function value under the ML criterion (as represented in Equation (8)) could be replaced or altered using other criteria. For example, the angle-finding module **114** may perform eigen decomposition on the transpose of the 2D data matrix Y^T to obtain the signal subspace matrix to replace Y^T in Equation (8) above, which generally improves estimation accuracy (e.g., by reducing or eliminating noise) but may increase computational complexity. The angle-finding module **114** still forms a first-array subspace using each set of estimated angles and determines the projection matrix as described with respect to Equations (5) and (7) above.

(76) The angle-finding module **114** may also add a penalty term or value to the Frobenius norm term in Equation (8) to impose extra features such as sparsity or enable model order selection to determine the number of angles, K . In such a situation, the angle-finding module **114** identifies potential sets of actual angles for different possible numbers of actual angles and adds a penalty value associated with each possible number of actual angles. When selecting the set of actual angles with the maximum utility function value (as described with respect to step **512**), the angle-

finding module **114** determines the number of actual angles as the quantity corresponding to the selected set of actual angles. As another example, the utility function computation may be replaced by a cost function computation and the maximization of the utility function value becomes a minimization of the cost function.

(77) At step **512**, after calculating the utility function values for $4.\text{sup.K}$ (e.g., $\lceil 2d \rceil.\text{sup.K}$ for d -wavelength spacing) potential sets of actual angles, the angle-finding module **114** determines the maximum value and the set of actual angles associated with the maximum value, which are designated as the actual angles **514**. In this way, the angle-finding module **114** solves the problem of joint DoD and DoA estimation by exploiting the fact that the set of DoDs is the same as the set of DoAs. The flowchart **500** works for direct-path reflection conditions, pure multipath reflection conditions, and hybrid reflection conditions. The described techniques are also suitable for vectorized signal processing, which allows for use of hardware accelerators to increase the computational speed of the flowchart **500** because it applies the same operations to all detections without differentiating direct-path reflection conditions from multipath reflection conditions (e.g., no conditional checks).

(78) When the design of the receiver array **402** and the transmitter array **404** are switched (e.g., the transmitter array **404** is the sparse ULA and the receiver array **402** is the sparse minimum redundancy array or another array design without angle ambiguity), the roles of the receiver array and transmitter array measurements are switched in the flowchart **500**.

(79) FIG. **6** illustrates an example 2D data matrix **600** generated by the described radar system to universally detect DoA and DoD angles in direct-path and multipath reflection conditions. The 2D data matrix **600** is generated as part of the flowchart **500** of the angle-finding module **114** as described with respect to FIG. **5**.

(80) Consider that the radar system **102** includes $N.\text{sub.T}$ antenna elements **406** in a transmitter array and $N.\text{sub.R}$ antenna elements **406** in a receiver array. The radar system **102** then includes $N.\text{sub.TN}.\text{sub.R}$ channels. Generally, radar system **102** receives the radar data as low-level, time-series data in a $N.\text{sub.TN}.\text{sub.R} \times 1$ beamvector obtained from the antenna arrays, where the quantity of rows is equal to a product of the quantity of antenna elements in the transmitter array and the quantity of antenna elements in the receiver array. The radar data is data sampled from a respective antenna element **610** at a point in time. The angle-finding module **114** can reshape the radar data into the 2D data matrix **600** as a $N.\text{sub.R} \times N.\text{sub.T}$ complex matrix Y .

(81) In the 2D data matrix **600**, the radar data of the i th row **606** is data sampled from the i th receiver antenna element. Similarly, the radar data of the j th column **608** is data sampled from the j th transmitter antenna element. The 2D data matrix **600** includes rows **606-1**, **606-2**, **606-3**, . . . , and **606- $N.\text{sub.R}$** and columns **608-1**, **608-2**, **608-3**, . . . , **608- $(N.\text{sub.T}-1)$** , and **608- $N.\text{sub.T}$** . For example, the first row **606-1** is data sampled from the first receiver antenna element and the second column **608-2** is data sampled from the second transmitter antenna element. Mathematically, the vertical dimension of the 2D data matrix **600** can be utilized to measure the DoA angles and the horizontal dimension can be utilized to measure the DoD angles.

EXAMPLES

(82) In the following section, examples are provided.

(83) Example 1: A method comprising: transmitting, through a transmitter array of the radar system, electromagnetic (EM) energy, the transmitter array having a first quantity of antenna elements; receiving, from a receiver array of the radar system, EM energy reflected by one or more objects, the receiver array having a second quantity of antenna elements, the first quantity being equal to or different than the second quantity, a first array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse array without angle ambiguity within a field-of-view of the first array, a second array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse uniform linear array; generating, using data samples of the EM energy received at the receiver array, a two-dimensional (2D) data matrix having a quantity of rows equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the first

array and a quantity of columns equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the second array; determining, using data in the 2D data matrix associated with the second array, estimated angles associated with radar detections of the one or more objects, the estimated angles including one or more aliased angles and one or more actual angles associated with the radar detections, the radar detections corresponding to direct-path or multipath reflections of the EM energy by the one or more objects; determining a third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections, the third quantity being smaller than or equal to a fourth quantity of the estimated angles; identifying, among the estimated angles, multiple potential sets of actual angles, each respective potential set of actual angles having the third quantity of estimated angles and being different from each other potential set of actual angles; determining utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing, using the data in the 2D data matrix associated with the first array, each respective potential set of actual angles under a maximum likelihood criterion; and determining the actual angles associated with the radar detections as a respective set of actual angles with a maximum utility function value among the utility function values.

(84) Example 2: The method of Example 1, wherein determining the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections is based on the fourth quantity of the estimated angles and spacing between antenna elements of the second array, the spacing being an integer multiple of one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy.

(85) Example 3: The method of Example 2, wherein the determining the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections comprises dividing the fourth quantity of the estimated angles by the integer multiple.

(86) Example 4: The method of any one of the previous Examples, wherein determining the utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing each respective potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood criterion comprises forming a first-array subspace using each potential set of actual angles; determining, using the first-array subspace for each potential set of actual angles, a projection matrix; and determining the utility function value by taking a Frobenius norm of a matrix product of the projection matrix and a transpose of the 2D data matrix.

(87) Example 5: The method of Example 4, wherein determining the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections comprises: initially setting the third quantity equal to the fourth quantity, the third quantity being a positive integer value; identifying test values as each positive integer value equal to or less than the third quantity; identifying the multiple potential sets of actual angles as test sets of actual angles for each test value of the test values; determining the utility function value associated with each respective test set of actual angles by adding a penalty value associated with the respective test value to the Frobenius norm of the matrix product; and determining the third quantity as the test value associated with the maximum utility function value.

(88) Example 6: The method of any one of Examples 1 through 3, wherein determining the utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing each respective potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood criterion comprises: performing eigen decomposition on a transpose of the 2D data matrix to obtain a signal subspace matrix; forming a first-array subspace using each potential set of actual angles; determining, using the first-array subspace for each potential set of actual angles, a projection matrix; and determining the utility function value by taking a Frobenius norm of a matrix product of the projection matrix and the signal subspace matrix.

(89) Example 7: The method of any one of the previous Examples, wherein determining the estimated angles comprises using a 1D fast Fourier transform (FFT) beamforming based-function.

(90) Example 8: A radar system comprising: a transmitter array configured to transmit electromagnetic (EM) energy having a first quantity of antenna elements; a receiver array configured to receive EM energy reflected by one or more objects, the receiver array having a second quantity of antenna elements, the first quantity being equal to or different than the second

quantity, a first array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse array without angle ambiguity within a field-of-view of the first array, a second array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse uniform linear array; and one or more processors configured to perform the method of any one of the previous Examples.

(91) Example 9: The radar system of Example 8, wherein the first array is a minimum redundancy array having a minimum spacing among the antenna elements of one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy.

(92) Example 10: The radar system of Example 9, wherein spacings among the antenna elements of the minimum redundancy array are: for three antenna elements, one and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for four antenna elements, one, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for five antenna elements, one, three, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or four, one, two, and six multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for six antenna elements, one, five, three, two, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or six, one, two, two, and eight multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for seven antenna elements, one, three, six, two, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or fourteen, one, three, six, two, and five multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for eight antenna elements, one, one, nine, four, three, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$), one, three, six, six, two, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$), or eight, ten, one, three, two, seven, and eight multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for nine antenna elements, one, three, six, six, six, two, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); or for ten antenna elements, one, two, three, seven, seven, seven, four, four, and one multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or sixteen, one, eleven, eight, six, four, three, two, and twenty-two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$).

(93) Example 11: The radar system of Example 8, wherein the first array is one of a paired staggered array or a coprime array.

(94) Example 12: The radar system of Example 8, wherein: at least one spacing between adjacent antenna elements of the first array is equal to one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy; or a pair of spacings between adjacent antenna elements of the first array satisfies: $|P_{m,1,i,R} - Q_{m,1,j,R}| = 1$, where P and Q are positive integers, $m,1,i,R$ is a first spacing between adjacent antenna elements of the first array, $m,1,j,R$ is a second spacing between adjacent antenna elements, the first spacing and the second spacing each being an integer multiple of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$).

(95) Example 13: The radar system of any one of Examples 8 through 12, wherein: the transmitter array is the first array and the receiver array is the second array; and the estimated angles are direction-of-arrival angles.

(96) Example 14: The radar system of any one of Examples 8 through 13, wherein: the transmitter array and the receiver array are positioned in an azimuth direction; and the radar system is configured to be installed on an automobile.

(97) Example 15: A computer-readable storage media comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause a processor of a radar system to perform the method of any one of Examples 1 through 7.

CONCLUSION

(98) While various embodiments of the disclosure are described in the foregoing description and shown in the drawings, it is to be understood that this disclosure is not limited thereto but may be variously embodied to practice within the scope of the following claims. From the foregoing description, it will be apparent that various changes may be made without departing from the scope of the disclosure as defined by the following claims.

Claims

1. A radar system comprising: a transmitter array configured to transmit electromagnetic (EM) energy having a first quantity of antenna elements; a receiver array configured to receive EM energy reflected by one or more objects, the receiver array having a second quantity of antenna elements, the first quantity being equal to or different than the second quantity, a first array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse array without angle ambiguity within a field-of-view of the first array, a second array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse uniform linear array; and one or more processors configured to: generate, using data samples of the EM energy received at the receiver array, a two-dimensional (2D) data matrix having a quantity of rows equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the first array and a quantity of columns equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the second array; determine, using data in the 2D data matrix associated with the second array, estimated angles associated with radar detections of the one or more objects, the estimated angles including one or more aliased angles and one or more actual angles associated with the radar detections, the radar detections corresponding to direct-path or multipath reflections of the EM energy by the one or more objects; determine a third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections, the third quantity being smaller than or equal to a fourth quantity of the estimated angles; identify, among the estimated angles, multiple potential sets of actual angles, each respective potential set of actual angles having the third quantity of estimated angles and being different from each other potential set of actual angles; determine utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing, using the data in the 2D data matrix associated with the first array, each respective potential set of actual angles under a maximum likelihood criterion; and determine the actual angles associated with the radar detections as a respective set of actual angles with a maximum utility function value among the utility function values.
2. The radar system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to determine the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections based on the fourth quantity of the estimated angles and spacing between antenna elements of the second array, the spacing being an integer multiple of one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy.
3. The radar system of claim 2, wherein the one or more processors are configured to determine the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections by dividing the fourth quantity of the estimated angles by the integer multiple.
4. The radar system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to determine the utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing each respective potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood criterion by: forming a first-array subspace using each potential set of actual angles; determining, using the first-array subspace for each potential set of actual angles, a projection matrix; and determining the utility function value by taking a Frobenius norm of a matrix product of the projection matrix and a transpose of the 2D data matrix.
5. The radar system of claim 4, wherein the one or more processors are configured to determine the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections by: initially setting the third quantity equal to the fourth quantity, the third quantity being a positive integer value; identifying test values as each positive integer value equal to or less than the third quantity; identifying the multiple potential sets of actual angles as test sets of actual angles for each test value of the test values; determining the utility function value associated with each respective test set of actual angles by adding a penalty value associated with the respective test value to the Frobenius norm of the matrix product; and determining the third quantity as the test value associated with the maximum utility function value.
6. The radar system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to determine the

utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing each respective potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood criterion by: performing eigen decomposition on a transpose of the 2D data matrix to obtain a signal subspace matrix; forming a first-array subspace using each potential set of actual angles; determining, using the first-array subspace for each potential set of actual angles, a projection matrix; and determining the utility function value by taking a Frobenius norm of a matrix product of the projection matrix and the signal subspace matrix.

7. The radar system of claim 1, wherein the first array is a minimum redundancy array having a minimum spacing among the antenna elements of one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy.

8. The radar system of claim 7, wherein spacings among the antenna elements of the minimum redundancy array are: for three antenna elements, one and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for four antenna elements, one, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for five antenna elements, one, three, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or four, one, two, and six multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for six antenna elements, one, five, three, two, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or six, one, two, two, and eight multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for seven antenna elements, one, three, six, two, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or fourteen, one, three, six, two, and five multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for eight antenna elements, one, one, nine, four, three, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$), one, three, six, six, two, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$), or eight, ten, one, three, two, seven, and eight multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); for nine antenna elements, one, three, six, six, six, two, three, and two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$); or for ten antenna elements, one, two, three, seven, seven, seven, four, four, and one multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) or sixteen, one, eleven, eight, six, four, three, two, and twenty-two multiples of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$).

9. The radar system of claim 1, wherein the first array is one of a paired staggered array or a coprime array.

10. The radar system of claim 1, wherein: at least one spacing between adjacent antenna elements of the first array is equal to one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy; or a pair of spacings between adjacent antenna elements of the first array satisfies:

$$Pm_{1,i_R} - Qm_{1,j_R} = 1$$
, where P and Q are positive integers, m_{1,i_R} is a first spacing between adjacent antenna elements of the first array, m_{1,j_R} is a second spacing between adjacent antenna elements, the first spacing and the second spacing each being an integer multiple of one half the wavelength of the carrier wave ($\lambda/2$).

11. The radar system of claim 1, wherein: the transmitter array is the first array and the receiver array is the second array; and the estimated angles are direction-of-arrival angles.

12. The radar system of claim 1, wherein: the transmitter array and the receiver array are positioned in an azimuth direction; and the radar system is configured to be installed on an automobile.

13. The radar system of claim 1, wherein the one or more processors are configured to determine the estimated angles using a 1D fast Fourier transform (FFT) beamforming based-function.

14. A Non-transitory computer-readable storage media comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause a processor of a radar system to: transmit, through a transmitter array of the radar system, electromagnetic (EM) energy, the transmitter array having a first quantity of antenna elements; receive, from a receiver array of the radar system, EM energy reflected by one or more objects, the receiver array having a second quantity of antenna elements, the first quantity being equal to or different than the second quantity, a first array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse array without angle ambiguity within a field-of-view of

the first array, a second array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse uniform linear array; generate, using data samples of the EM energy received at the receiver array, a two-dimensional (2D) data matrix having a quantity of rows equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the first array and a quantity of columns equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the second array; determine, using data in the 2D data matrix associated with the second array, estimated angles associated with radar detections of the one or more objects, the estimated angles including one or more aliased angles and one or more actual angles associated with the radar detections, the radar detections corresponding to direct-path or multipath reflections of the EM energy by the one or more objects; determine a third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections, the third quantity being smaller than or equal to a fourth quantity of the estimated angles; identify, among the estimated angles, multiple potential sets of actual angles, each respective potential set of actual angles having the third quantity of estimated angles and being different from each other potential set of actual angles; determine utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing, using the data in the 2D data matrix associated with the first array, each respective potential set of actual angles under a maximum likelihood criterion; and determine the actual angles associated with the radar detections as a respective set of actual angles with a maximum utility function value among the utility function values.

15. The non-transitory computer-readable storage media of claim 14, wherein the computer-readable storage media comprises further computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause the processor to determine the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections based on the fourth quantity of the estimated angles and spacing between antenna elements of the second array, the spacing being an integer multiple of one half a wavelength of a carrier wave ($\lambda/2$) of the EM energy.

16. The non-transitory computer-readable storage media of claim 15, wherein the computer-readable storage media comprises further computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause the processor to determine the third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections by dividing the fourth quantity of the estimated angles by the integer multiple.

17. The non-transitory computer-readable storage media of claim 14, wherein the computer-readable storage media comprises further computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause the processor to determine the utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing each respective potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood criterion by: forming a first-array subspace using each potential set of actual angles; determining, using the first-array subspace for each potential set of actual angles, a projection matrix; and determining the utility function value by taking a Frobenius norm of a matrix product of the projection matrix and a transpose of the 2D data matrix.

18. The non-transitory computer-readable storage media of claim 14, wherein the computer-readable storage media comprises further computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause the processor to determine the utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing each respective potential set of actual angles under the maximum likelihood criterion by: performing eigen decomposition on a transpose of the 2D data matrix to obtain a signal subspace matrix; forming a first-array subspace using each potential set of actual angles; determining, using the first-array subspace for each potential set of actual angles, a projection matrix; and determining the utility function value by taking a Frobenius norm of a matrix product of the projection matrix and the signal subspace matrix.

19. The non-transitory computer-readable storage media of claim 14, wherein the computer-readable storage media comprises further computer-executable instructions that, when executed, cause the processor to determine the estimated angles using a 1D fast Fourier transform (FFT) beamforming based-function.

20. A method comprising: transmitting, through a transmitter array of the radar system, electromagnetic (EM) energy, the transmitter array having a first quantity of antenna elements;

receiving, from a receiver array of the radar system, EM energy reflected by one or more objects, the receiver array having a second quantity of antenna elements, the first quantity being equal to or different than the second quantity, a first array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse array without angle ambiguity within a field-of-view of the first array, a second array of the transmitter array or the receiver array being a sparse uniform linear array; generating, using data samples of the EM energy received at the receiver array, a two-dimensional (2D) data matrix having a quantity of rows equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the first array and a quantity of columns equal to a quantity of antenna elements for the second array; determining, using data in the 2D data matrix associated with the second array, estimated angles associated with radar detections of the one or more objects, the estimated angles including one or more aliased angles and one or more actual angles associated with the radar detections, the radar detections corresponding to direct-path or multipath reflections of the EM energy by the one or more objects; determining a third quantity of the actual angles associated with the radar detections, the third quantity being smaller than or equal to a fourth quantity of the estimated angles; identifying, among the estimated angles, multiple potential sets of actual angles, each respective potential set of actual angles having the third quantity of estimated angles and being different from each other potential set of actual angles; determining utility function values associated with the multiple potential sets of actual angles by testing, using the data in the 2D data matrix associated with the first array, each respective potential set of actual angles under a maximum likelihood criterion; and determining the actual angles associated with the radar detections as a respective set of actual angles with a maximum utility function value among the utility function values.
