

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Harvey**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,388,673 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Aug. 12, 2025**

(54) **AUTOMATIC CONTROL METHOD OF GENERATING SUB-SYSTEMS AND SUB-SYSTEM ARBITRATION FROM THE DECONSTRUCTION OF A COMPLEX EQUIPMENT GRAPH**

(71) Applicant: **PassiveLogic, Inc.**, Holladay, UT (US)

(72) Inventor: **Troy Aaron Harvey**, Brighton, UT (US)

(73) Assignee: **PassiveLogic, Inc.**, Holladay, UT (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **18/350,561**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 11, 2023**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2023/0353410 A1 Nov. 2, 2023

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 17/867,657, filed on Jul. 18, 2022, now Pat. No. 11,743,069, which is a continuation of application No. 16/921,924, filed on Jul. 6, 2020, now Pat. No. 11,394,574, which is a continuation of application No. 16/007,963, filed on Jun. 13, 2018, now Pat. No. 10,708,078.

(60) Provisional application No. 62/518,745, filed on Jun. 13, 2017.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H04L 12/28** (2006.01)  
**G05B 15/02** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H04L 12/2816** (2013.01); **G05B 15/02** (2013.01); **G05B 2219/2642** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... H04L 12/2816; G05B 15/02; G05B 2219/2642

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,385,384 A \* 5/1983 Rosbury ..... H04L 1/24 714/25  
5,519,834 A \* 5/1996 Kameron ..... H04L 12/462 709/227  
7,302,361 B2 11/2007 Baleta et al.  
7,302,362 B2 \* 11/2007 Misra ..... H04Q 9/00 700/32  
7,840,913 B1 \* 11/2010 Agrawal ..... G06F 8/35 717/109


(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Ramesh B Patel

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Apparatuses, systems, methods, and computer program products are disclosed for organizing automatic control in automation systems from a system description, using deconstruction of complex equipment graphs. A system control scheme is automatically generated from a deconstruction of an equipment graph into controllable sets of prioritized sub-systems. An equipment graph comprises one or more subsystems of equipment. Prioritized sub-systems comprise a unique routing path through an equipment graph. Prioritized sub-systems comprise the ability to be actuated and are divided into groups of sub-system sets. Groups of sub-system sets comprise synchronous and asynchronous sets and are created for conjoined routing paths of parallel sub-systems.

**20 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets**



(56)		<b>References Cited</b>		2007/0055392 A1 *	3/2007	D'Amato .....	G05B 13/048 700/44
		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		2008/0082183 A1	4/2008	Judge	
				2014/0288890 A1 *	9/2014	Khainson .....	G16H 40/20 703/1
8,024,511 B2 *	9/2011	Weddle .....	G06F 13/1689 711/163	2014/0330611 A1 *	11/2014	Steven .....	G06F 17/10 705/7.24
8,352,651 B2 *	1/2013	Parfitt .....	G05B 19/054 326/38	2014/0358291 A1	12/2014	Wells	
9,020,647 B2	4/2015	Johnson et al.		2015/0025917 A1 *	1/2015	Stempora .....	G02B 27/0093 705/4
9,035,479 B1	5/2015	Gates		2015/0066229 A1	3/2015	Lacroix et al.	
9,258,201 B2	2/2016	McCoy et al.		2015/0112497 A1	4/2015	Steven et al.	
9,298,197 B2	3/2016	Matsuoka et al.		2016/0016454 A1	1/2016	Yang et al.	
9,557,750 B2	1/2017	Gust et al.		2016/0179075 A1	6/2016	Shin et al.	
9,664,400 B2	5/2017	Wroblewski et al.		2016/0201934 A1	7/2016	Hester et al.	
10,705,492 B2 *	7/2020	Harvey .....	G05B 17/02	2016/0076206 A1	3/2017	Lastras-Montano et al.	
10,708,078 B2 *	7/2020	Harvey .....	G05B 15/02	2017/0103483 A1	4/2017	Drees et al.	
10,969,133 B2 *	4/2021	Harvey .....	G05B 13/0265	2017/0171580 A1 *	6/2017	Hirsch .....	H04L 67/62
11,394,574 B2 *	7/2022	Harvey .....	G05B 15/02	2017/0289191 A1 *	10/2017	Thioux .....	H04L 63/1441
11,743,069 B2 *	8/2023	Harvey .....	H04L 12/2816 700/277	2017/0315696 A1 *	11/2017	Jacobson .....	G06F 3/048
2002/0152298 A1	10/2002	Kikta et al.		2017/0329289 A1 *	11/2017	Kohn .....	F24F 11/46
2005/0097161 A1 *	5/2005	Chiou .....	H04W 16/18 709/200	2018/0335759 A1 *	11/2018	Harvey .....	G05B 15/02
2006/0106530 A1	5/2006	Horvitz et al.		2018/0359111 A1 *	12/2018	Harvey .....	G05B 15/02
				2023/0006859 A1 *	1/2023	Harvey .....	G05B 15/02

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8






FIG. 9




FIG. 10

1

# **AUTOMATIC CONTROL METHOD OF GENERATING SUB-SYSTEMS AND SUB-SYSTEM ARBITRATION FROM THE DECONSTRUCTION OF A COMPLEX EQUIPMENT GRAPH**

## **CROSS REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17,867,657, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,743,069, entitled "AN AUTOMATIC CONTROL METHOD OF GENERATING SUB-SYSTEMS AND SUB-SYSTEM ARBITRATION FROM THE DECONSTRUCTION OF A COMPLEX EQUIPMENT GRAPH" and filed on Jul. 18, 2022 for Troy Aaron Harvey, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16,921,924, now U.S. Pat. No. 11,394,574 entitled "AN AUTOMATIC CONTROL METHOD OF GENERATING SUB-SYSTEMS AND SUB-SYSTEM ARBITRATION FROM THE DECONSTRUCTION OF A COMPLEX EQUIPMENT GRAPH" and filed on Jul. 6, 2020 for Troy Aaron Harvey, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16,007,963, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,708,078 entitled "AN AUTOMATIC CONTROL METHOD OF GENERATING SUB-SYSTEMS AND SUB-SYSTEM ARBITRATION FROM THE DECONSTRUCTION OF A COMPLEX EQUIPMENT GRAPH" and filed on Jun. 13, 2018 for Troy Aaron Harvey, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62,518,745 entitled "AN AUTOMATIC CONTROL METHOD OF GENERATING SUB-SYSTEMS AND SUB-SYSTEM ARBITRATION FROM THE DECONSTRUCTION OF A COMPLEX EQUIPMENT GRAPH" and filed on Jun. 13, 2017 for Troy Aaron Harvey, each of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety for all purposes.

## **FIELD**

The present disclosure relates to control of building systems using automated means. More specifically, the present disclosure relates to an automated method of deconstructing a graph representing building systems equipment and connections into sub-systems. The present disclosure particularly addresses the control and automation of HVAC, energy, lighting, irrigation systems, and the like.

## **BACKGROUND**

Modern buildings contain a varied and complex set of systems for managing and maintaining the building environment. Building automation systems are used to automate the control of many separate systems, such as those used for lighting, climate, security, entertainment, etc. Building automation systems can perform a number of functions, such as automation of equipment scheduling, monitoring of various building parameters, optimization of resource consumption, event or alarm reporting and handling, and many others.

Building automation system implementation requires programmatic understanding of what equipment is available to the building automation system and how that equipment may be utilized. For example, the building automation system needs to account for information such as what equipment can be run simultaneously, what groups of equipment work together to achieve a particular objective, etc. Automatic discovery of this information is challenging with current methodologies.

2

## **SUMMARY**

The present disclosure provides a method of automatically decomposing a complex graph of connected equipment into equipment sub-systems for the purpose of automatic labeling of automatable systems, sub-system, and the equipment therein for machine-driven control. Further the present disclosure relates to user interfaces that allow a user to draw a graph of equipment having n-complexity and n-number of routing paths, and decompose that drawing into a controllable system of atomic sub-systems automatically.

The present disclosure describes a method for the decomposition of sub-systems to automatically infer controllability, ranking, prioritization, and analyzing the sub-systems to identify those that are unique and complete, categorizing sub-systems into synchronous groups (in which only a single sub-system can operate at a time), and asynchronous groups (in which more than one sub-system can operate simultaneously).

The present disclosure details how building automation system would automatically provide semantic labeling for the sub-system and its equipment for retrieval during an analytic stage.

The present disclosure also relates to the automatic reduction of state space in a n-complexity graph of equipment. By using the semantic labeling together with the deconstructed set of meaningful sub-systems, the meaningful control state space of the system can be derived.

There has thus been outlined, rather broadly, the features of the disclosure in order that the detailed description thereof that follows may be better understood and in order that the present contribution to the art may be better appreciated.

Numerous objects, features and advantages of the present disclosure will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art upon a reading of the following detailed description of presently preferred, but nonetheless illustrative, embodiments of the present disclosure when taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings. The disclosure is capable of other embodiments and of being practiced and carried out in various ways. Also, it is to be understood that the phraseology and terminology employed herein are for the purpose of descriptions and should not be regarded as limiting.

Although specific embodiments have been illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that any arrangement, which is calculated to achieve the same purpose, may be substituted for the specific embodiment shown. This application is intended to cover any adaptations or variations of the present disclosure.

This section summarizes some aspects of the present disclosure and briefly introduces some preferred embodiments. Simplifications or omissions in this section as well as in the abstract or the title of this description may be made to avoid obscuring the purpose of this section, the abstract, and the title. Such simplifications or omissions are not intended to limit the scope of the present disclosure nor imply any limitations.

Several advantages of one or more aspects of the present disclosure include but are not limited to: to generate a system control scheme automatically from a complex equipment graph; to decompose automatically the equipment graph into sub-system sets, where the decomposition enables the generation of a system control scheme; to enable automatic semantic reasoning about the generation of said system control scheme from the decomposition, thereby enabling more efficient generation of the control scheme as well as increasing human reasoning of the control scheme

generation process; to automatically select valid and unique equipment sub-systems from said decomposition, thereby reducing the control scheme search space so as to increase control path search efficiency; to enable automatic prioritization of sub-systems, thereby enabling the generation of a system control scheme that responds to system preferences and priorities; to classify automatically sub-systems as either asynchronous or synchronous, thereby enabling the generation of a control scheme that responds to precedence and sequential operation limitations of particular equipment and sets of equipment. Other advantages of one or more aspects of the disclosed method will be apparent from consideration of the following drawings and description.

## DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

To further clarify various aspects of some example embodiments of the present disclosure, a more particular description of the disclosure will be rendered by reference to specific embodiments thereof that are illustrated in the appended drawings. It is appreciated that the drawings depict only illustrated embodiments of the disclosure and are therefore not to be considered limiting of its scope. The disclosure will be described and explained with additional specificity and detail through the use of the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1 depicts one embodiment of a base sub-system structure in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 2 depicts one embodiment of transport class detail in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 3 depicts one embodiment of an example graphical system model in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 4 depicts one embodiment of permutations of the example graphical system model in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 5 depicts one embodiment of an example system model with sub-system overlay in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 6 depicts one embodiment of decomposition of an example system model classification into groups in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 7 depicts one embodiment of decomposition of an arbitrary system into groups with priorities in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 8 depicts one embodiment of a graphical user interface and deconstructed sub-system graph in accordance with the subject matter described herein;

FIG. 9 depicts an embodiment of a graphical user interface drawing device in accordance with the subject matter described herein; and

FIG. 10 depicts an embodiment of semantic analytics in accordance with the subject matter described herein.

## REFERENCE NUMERALS

The following conventions are used for reference numerals: the first digit indicates the figure in which the numbered part first appears (the first two digits are used for the figure number when required). The remaining digits are used to identify the part in the drawing.

- 301 solar thermal hot water panel
- 302 heating source
- 303 transport
- 304 transport
- 305 store (virtual heat source)

- 306 mixer
- 307 transport
- 308 load/system head
- 309 router
- 310 router
- 311 cooling source
- 401 valid sub-system column
- 402 invalid sub-system column
- 403 duplicate sub-system column
- 501 sub-system 1
- 502 sub-system 2
- 503 sub-system 3
- 504 sub-system 4
- 505 sub-system 5

## DESCRIPTION

The embodiments of the present disclosure described below are not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the disclosure to the precise forms disclosed in the following detailed description. Rather, the embodiments are chosen and described so that others skilled in the art may appreciate and understand the principles and practices of the present disclosure.

The following embodiments and the accompanying drawings, which are incorporated into and form part of this disclosure, illustrate embodiments of the disclosure and together with the description, serve to explain the principles of the disclosure. To the accomplishment of the foregoing and related ends, certain illustrative aspects of the disclosure are described herein in connection with the following description and the annexed drawings. These aspects are indicative, however of, but a few of the various ways in which the principles of the disclosure can be employed and the subject disclosure is intended to include all such aspects and their equivalents. Other advantages and novel features of the disclosure will become apparent from the following detailed description of the disclosure when considered in conjunction with the drawings.

Explanation will be made below with reference to the aforementioned figures for illustrative embodiments concerning the present invention.

The present disclosure describes a method of decomposing a system of interconnected equipment into various sets of equipment comprising various sub-systems. The basic structure of such a sub-system 100 is shown in FIG. 1. A sub-system 100 comprises: an input 102, or a source of the relevant resource; a transport 104, whereby said relevant resource is transported; and an output 106, or sink of said relevant resource. For example, in one embodiment, a sub-system 100 may have as an input 102 a heating source, a water pump as a transport 104, and an output 106 of a hot water storage tank. The transport 104 moves water from the heating source to the output 106 hot water storage tank.

In various embodiments, an equipment sub-system 100 transport 104 may use various means. As shown in FIG. 2, in one embodiment, the transport 104 may be controlled 202 and either looped 206 or non-looped 208; or passive 204, and may use either convection 210 or line-pressure 212 as a means of transport 104. The transport element 104 of a sub-system 100 may consist of one or multiple transport devices 104.

FIG. 3 shows an example embodiment of a graphical representation of a system 300 of interconnected equipment. In this embodiment, the load/system head 308 connects via transport 307 into store 305. From the store 305, transport paths exist to a cooling source 311 or to load 308, via mixer

5

**306.** The illustrated system **300** also contains loops between store **305** through heating source **302** with an explicit transport **303**, as well as between store **305** and solar thermal hot-water panel **301** with an explicit transport **304**.

A sub-system is classified as synchronous when said sub-system routing paths are conjoined in a manner that only one sub-system may operate at a time; and a sub-system is classified as asynchronous when said sub-system routing paths are conjoined in a manner that two or more sub-systems may operate at the same time.

The decomposition process of a system may be accomplished by recognizing and extracting sub-systems from the system graph. Sub-system reduction to atomic sub-systems having a known equipment topology enable a machine learning engine to reason about the system and control the system in a uniform expected manner. (FIG. 4). A sub-system may be defined as starting at a source and ending at a sink. Resources are capable of supplying one or multiple sinks. Transports may split into multiple paths to other transports or multiple outputs and each path may be identified as a branch. Branches may be classified into one or multiple synchronous or asynchronous sub-systems. The process may also enforce specified design rules for sub-system and component recognition and extraction.

The decomposition process may also recognize characteristics of or relationships between sub-systems, such as deriving sub-system or branch type. The process may identify the sub-system as either synchronous or asynchronous based on the equipment and sub-system characteristics and capabilities. The process may also identify sub-systems with attributes like priority and precedence. For example, sub-systems may be organized in asynchronous and synchronous groups.

The process may also organize the whole deconstructed graph of systems, sub-systems, and equipment into structured maps, trees, or sets which can represent unions based on asynchronous and synchronous groups, or other characteristics.

Application of the methodology may yield sets of equipment that constitute the various sub-systems in the given system. FIG. 4 illustrates some of the equipment sub-systems that may be recognized, analyzed, and derived using the method described above from the example system in FIG. 3 (note that not all possible sub-systems are shown, for ease of illustration and readability). Individual pieces of equipment are represented as circles, containing the reference numeral of the corresponding piece of equipment. An individual sub-system is represented by a column **401**, **402**, **403** of equipment pieces. Sub-systems that are not faded or crossed out, such as column **401**, are those sub-systems resulting from the decomposition process that are both unique and complete. Sub-systems that are faded and crossed out with a solid line, such as column **402**, are those sub-systems that were identified in the decomposition process as being incomplete, for example, not having the required equipment as required in FIG. 3. Sub-systems that are faded and crossed out with a dashed line, such as column **403**, are those sub-systems that were identified in the decomposition process as a duplicate system.

Having executed the decomposition process, the sub-systems comprising a particular system may be classified. FIG. 5 shows the example system from FIG. 3 with all complete and unique sub-systems **501**, **502**, **503**, **504**, **505** overlaid on the system diagram.

As part of the decomposition process, sub-systems may be classified as either asynchronous or synchronous. FIG. 6

6

**502**, **503**, **504**, **505** derived from the whole system illustrated in FIG. 3 and FIG. 5 are classified. As shown, sub-systems **501** and **502** are asynchronous, and may be run simultaneously. Sub-systems **503**, **504**, and **505** are synchronous and must be run one at a time.

A controlled system **702** may have any number of groups of sub-systems **706** representing any number and variety of characteristics. An illustration of one embodiment of how equipment sub-systems **706** may be grouped **704** and classified is shown in FIG. 7. A Sub-system **706** may belong to one or multiple groups **704a-n**. For example, in the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 7 sub-system **2** belongs to both an asynchronous group **704a** and a synchronous group **704b**.

A controlled system **500** having multiple sub-systems **501**, **502**, **503**, **504**, **505** can further be deconstructed in such a way that the equipment or system states required to initialize the sub-system **501**, **502**, **503**, **504**, **505** are pre-computed. An embodiment is shown in FIG. 5, where the path routing devices **310**, **306**, **309** (in this case valves) are pre-computed for each of the **5** sub-systems **501**, **502**, **503**, **504**, **505** shown, reducing the managed state space. A controller thus knows the necessary starting state before performing a control action heuristic on the remaining and smaller state space.

Another embodiment of the present disclosure is for the purpose of semantic extraction. By decomposing systems into atomic sub-systems comprising the necessary components of source, sink, and transport, a control system may automatically control and manage these system components in a rule-based way. The controller may also apply meaning to a sub-system by means of classification or rule tables. These classifications and/or rules may be used to generate semantics for the system, the sub-systems, and the constituent parts. An embodiment can be seen in FIG. 5, wherein sub-system **501** may be labeled as a “solar sub-system” based on the resource of its source component. In another embodiment, the same sub-system **501** may be labeled as a “heat-to-storage” system classification, based on its producer consumer purpose. In another embodiment, it may be labeled as a “heating system”, based on the classification of its sub-system **501**. Many embodiments of semantic labeling are possible given a rule-based deconstruction of atomic sub-systems from a graph.

A graphical user interface **802** may be used to input or drive the creation of an equipment graph **804**, such that an electronic device having a screen may be used to automatically deconstruct a controllable system from the graphical representation **802** of the controllable system, the equipment objects, sets, priority, and their relationships. An embodiment of such a device can be seen in FIG. 8. Other methods may be used, such as importing a HVAC, mechanical, architectural, and/or engineering drawings or files.

In some graphical user interface **802** embodiments having an electronic display, a user may drag and drop or instantiate equipment objects from an equipment object library **902** into a system drawing **904** on a drawing screen **906**, either on a touchscreen, cursor driven input device, or other means. An embodiment can be seen in FIG. 9. Or the user can also create new equipment objects through drawing from fundamentals.

These drawings **904**, made in situ or a-priori, can be disaggregated using the above methods into a graph **804** of sub-systems, priority, sub-system synchronicity, labeling, and the underlying control knowledge required to control the system in an unsupervised manner. An embodiment can be seen in FIG. 8, showing a hierarchal graph **804** of sub-systems.

7

These deconstructed graphs **804** of sub-system **1002** and their semantic labeling **1004** can be used to generate automatic analytics **1008, 1010, 1012, 1014** as in the embodiment in FIG. **10**. In some embodiments the graphical display **1000** of equipment state and sensor values may be graphed in a time series labeled from the automated semantic extraction **1004** from the sub-systems **1002**. Some embodiments of semantic labeling **1004** may take the form of a sub-system labeling **1004** by system purpose. In some embodiments, the system purpose may be extracted from its source equipment label, source-sink label, source-transport-sink label, the classified family of sub-system defined by those atomic attributes, and/or any other extracted attributes, labels, classifications, or other identifiers of its constituent equipment.

In some embodiments, the sub-system semantics **1004** may provide analytic display or graph grouping of equipment automatically, as in the embodiment in FIG. **10**. In addition, as is shown in FIG. **10** such automatic labeling **1004** can correlate equipment actions **1012** and system actions **1008** with the corresponding sub-system **1004** without requiring manual programming.

The foregoing disclosure describes some possible embodiments of this invention, with no indication of preference to the particular embodiment. A skilled practitioner of the art will find alternative embodiments readily apparent from the previous drawings and discussion and will acknowledge that various modifications can be made without departure from the scope of the invention disclosed herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A controller for controlling a controlled system, the controller comprising:

a memory; and

a processor in communication with the memory and configured to:

identify a first equipment subsystem from an equipment graph producing a first identification;

identify a second equipment subsystem from the equipment graph,

producing a second identification; and

control the first equipment subsystem and the second equipment subsystem based on the first identification and the second identification.

2. The controller of claim 1, wherein the identify a first equipment subsystem step comprises classifying the first equipment subsystem as a synchronous subsystem or an asynchronous subsystem.

3. The controller of claim 2, wherein classifying the first equipment subsystem as synchronous comprises determining that only one routing path through the first equipment subsystem may be run at a time.

4. The controller of claim 1, wherein the identify a first equipment subsystem step further comprises classifying the first equipment subsystem as a unique or duplicate.

5. The controller of claim 4, wherein the first equipment subsystem comprises an input, a transport, and an output.

6. The controller of claim 5, further comprising classifying the transport as a controlled transport or a passive transport.

8

7. The controller of claim 6, further comprising classifying the controlled transport as looped or non-looped.

8. The controller of claim 6, further comprising classifying the passive transport as convection or line pressure.

9. The controller of claim 1, wherein the identify a first equipment subsystem step comprises recognizing and extracting the first equipment subsystem from a system graph.

10. A method for controlling a controlled system, the method comprising:

identify a first equipment subsystem from an equipment graph producing a first identification;

identify a second equipment subsystem from the equipment graph, producing a second identification; and

control the first equipment subsystem and the second equipment subsystem based on the first identification and the second identification.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the first equipment subsystem is derived from a drawing file comprising a plurality of controllable devices.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein identifying a first equipment subsystem comprises recognizing and extracting the first equipment subsystem from a system graph.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein the first equipment subsystem represents at least one of the plurality of controllable devices.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein a control scheme is generated from the first equipment subsystem.

15. The method of claim 14, wherein at least one control action from the control scheme is issued to the at least one of the plurality of controllable devices.

16. The method of claim 10, wherein the first equipment subsystem and the second equipment subsystem are pre-computed.

17. The method of claim 14, where path routing devices of the first equipment subsystem and path routing devices of the second equipment subsystem are precomputed.

18. A non-transitory storage medium encoded with instructions for execution by a processor for controlling a controlled system, the non-transitory storage medium comprising:

instructions for identifying a first equipment subsystem from an equipment graph, producing a first identification;

instructions for identifying a second equipment subsystem from the equipment graph, producing a second identification; and

instructions for controlling the first equipment subsystem and the second equipment subsystem based on the first identification and the second identification.

19. The non-transitory storage medium of claim 18, wherein the first equipment subsystem and the second equipment subsystem belong to multiple groups.

20. The non-transitory storage medium of claim 19, wherein the multiple groups comprise an asynchronous group and a synchronous group.

\* \* \* \* \*