

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12390478
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 19, 2025
Inventor(s)	Taziaux; Melanie et al.

Compounds and their uses for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms

Abstract

The present invention relates to a hormone replacement therapy, to the associated compounds and to the associated packaging units, for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms which is based on the administration to a female mammal of an estetrol component at specified daily doses, optionally in combination with a progestogenic component. The therapy enjoys a statistically significant efficacy combined with a favourable profile for side effects compared to currently available methods for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms.

Inventors: Taziaux; Melanie (Liege, BE), Rausin; Glwadys (Trooz, BE), Jost; Maud (Liege, BE), Mawet; Marie (Angleur, BE)

Applicant: ESTETRA SRL (Liege, BE)

Family ID: 1000008767903

Assignee: ESTETRA SRL (Liège, BE)

Appl. No.: 17/893999

Filed: August 23, 2022

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20230031329 A1	Feb. 02, 2023

Foreign Application Priority Data

EP	18168336	Apr. 19, 2018
EP	18174982	May. 30, 2018
EP	19150423	Jan. 04, 2019

Related U.S. Application Data

continuation parent-doc US 17048538 US 11452733 WO PCT/EP2019/060220 20190419 child-doc US 17893999

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: **A61K31/585** (20060101); **A61K9/00** (20060101); **A61K31/55** (20060101); **A61K31/565** (20060101); **A61K31/57** (20060101); **A61K31/573** (20060101); **A61P15/12** (20060101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **A61K31/585** (20130101); **A61K9/0053** (20130101); **A61K31/55** (20130101); **A61K31/565** (20130101); **A61K31/57** (20130101); **A61K31/573** (20130101); **A61P15/12** (20180101);

Field of Classification Search

CPC: A61K (31/585); A61K (9/0053); A61K (31/55); A61K (31/565); A61K (31/573)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
3138588	12/1963	Smith	N/A	N/A
3433785	12/1968	Phillips et al.	N/A	N/A
5073374	12/1990	McCarty	N/A	N/A
5164405	12/1991	McFarlane et al.	N/A	N/A
5340586	12/1993	Pike et al.	N/A	N/A
6117446	12/1999	Place	N/A	N/A
6475510	12/2001	Venkatesh et al.	N/A	N/A
6723348	12/2003	Faham et al.	N/A	N/A
6992218	12/2005	Dietlin et al.	N/A	N/A
7723320	12/2009	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
7732430	12/2009	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
7871995	12/2010	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
7923440	12/2010	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
7943604	12/2010	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
8026228	12/2010	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
8048869	12/2010	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
8236785	12/2011	Coelingh Bennink	N/A	N/A
8303868	12/2011	Maruyama	N/A	N/A
8367647	12/2012	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
8518923	12/2012	Visser et al.	N/A	N/A
8808735	12/2013	Bertelsen et al.	N/A	N/A

8987240	12/2014	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
8987484	12/2014	Pascal	N/A	N/A
9034854	12/2014	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
9040509	12/2014	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
9238035	12/2015	Foidart et al.	N/A	N/A
9561238	12/2016	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
9579329	12/2016	Wouters et al.	N/A	N/A
9603860	12/2016	Perrin et al.	N/A	N/A
9884064	12/2017	Platteeuw et al.	N/A	N/A
9987287	12/2017	Platteeuw et al.	N/A	N/A
9988417	12/2017	Ferreiro Gil et al.	N/A	N/A
10000524	12/2017	Verhaar et al.	N/A	N/A
10179140	12/2018	Perrin et al.	N/A	N/A
10201611	12/2018	Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
10660903	12/2019	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
10888518	12/2020	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
10894014	12/2020	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
11147771	12/2020	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
11452733	12/2021	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
11484539	12/2021	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
11666585	12/2022	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
11793760	12/2022	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
11896602	12/2023	Jost et al.	N/A	N/A
11957694	12/2023	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
11964055	12/2023	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
2002/0132801	12/2001	Heil et al.	N/A	N/A
2002/0193356	12/2001	Van Beek et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0009960	12/2003	Heil et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0192620	12/2003	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
2004/0198671	12/2003	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0032755	12/2004	Van Look et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0106240	12/2004	Tanaka et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0113350	12/2004	Duesterberg et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0147670	12/2004	Hsu et al.	N/A	N/A
2005/0261209	12/2004	Bunschoten et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0211669	12/2005	Verhaar et al.	N/A	N/A
2006/0276414	12/2005	Coelingh Bennink et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0048369	12/2006	Foreman et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0286819	12/2006	Devries et al.	N/A	N/A
2007/0286829	12/2006	Batista	N/A	N/A
2008/0113953	12/2007	De Vries et al.	N/A	N/A
2008/0166406	12/2007	Kristjansson	N/A	N/A
2008/0234240	12/2007	Dusterberg et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0035987	12/2009	Mang et al.	N/A	N/A
2010/0093679	12/2009	Heil et al.	N/A	N/A

2011/0021504	12/2010	Andreela et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0250272	12/2010	Besse et al.	N/A	N/A
2011/0250274	12/2010	Shaked et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0077888	12/2011	Ramtoola et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0128733	12/2011	Perrin et al.	N/A	N/A
2012/0220556	12/2011	Heil et al.	N/A	N/A
2013/0079400	12/2012	Riedl et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0083639	12/2013	Bonini et al.	N/A	N/A
2014/0107091	12/2013	Pascal	N/A	N/A
2014/0107358	12/2013	Pascal	N/A	N/A
2014/0235882	12/2013	Platteeuw et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0045300	12/2014	Ahuja et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0098978	12/2014	Gao et al.	N/A	N/A
2015/0182540	12/2014	Heil et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0101116	12/2015	Foidart et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0310506	12/2015	Platteeuw et al.	N/A	N/A
2016/0367567	12/2015	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0196886	12/2016	Wouters et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0216318	12/2016	Perrin et al.	N/A	N/A
2017/0369521	12/2016	Platteeuw et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0265540	12/2017	Verhaar et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0167700	12/2018	Jost et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0004672	12/2019	Scott et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0046729	12/2019	Jost et al.	N/A	N/A
2020/0352959	12/2019	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0154212	12/2020	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0096385	12/2021	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0211722	12/2021	Foidart	N/A	N/A
2023/0025785	12/2022	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
2023/0031329	12/2022	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
2023/0050253	12/2022	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
2023/0073911	12/2022	Taziaux et al.	N/A	N/A
2024/0238209	12/2023	Jaspart et al.	N/A	N/A

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
2819663	12/2011	CA	N/A
200501207	12/2004	CL	N/A
201400802	12/2013	CL	N/A
2013003435	12/2013	CL	N/A
1197387	12/1997	CN	N/A
1482921	12/2003	CN	N/A
1780634	12/2005	CN	N/A
101378762	12/2008	CN	N/A
101443015	12/2008	CN	N/A
101541326	12/2008	CN	N/A
101631536	12/2009	CN	N/A
101780073	12/2009	CN	N/A
104379148	12/2014	CN	N/A
107787224	12/2017	CN	N/A

102058604	12/2017	CN	N/A
20 2009 018 024	12/2009	DE	N/A
0 136 011	12/1984	EP	N/A
0 286 581	12/1987	EP	N/A
0 371 466	12/1989	EP	N/A
0 646 592	12/1994	EP	N/A
0 686 037	12/1994	EP	N/A
2 001 0201.7	12/2001	EP	N/A
0 748 190	12/2002	EP	N/A
1 700 602	12/2005	EP	N/A
3 046 928	12/2015	EP	N/A
3 106 148	12/2015	EP	N/A
H03-237557	12/1990	JP	N/A
2002-508330	12/2001	JP	N/A
2005-523283	12/2004	JP	N/A
2009-256344	12/2008	JP	N/A
2010-513514	12/2009	JP	N/A
2012-240917	12/2011	JP	N/A
2014-224079	12/2013	JP	N/A
2015-514731	12/2014	JP	N/A
2018-165263	12/2017	JP	N/A
WO-95/02408	12/1994	WO	N/A
WO-95/17895	12/1994	WO	N/A
WO-96/03929	12/1995	WO	N/A
WO-99/30728	12/1998	WO	N/A
WO-00/42942	12/1999	WO	N/A
WO-00/42955	12/1999	WO	N/A
WO-01/05806	12/2000	WO	N/A
WO-01/40255	12/2000	WO	N/A
WO-01/52857	12/2000	WO	N/A
WO-02/49675	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094275	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094276	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094277	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094278	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094279	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094280	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-02/094281	12/2001	WO	N/A
WO-03/018026	12/2002	WO	N/A
WO-03/041718	12/2002	WO	N/A
WO-2004/000197	12/2002	WO	N/A
WO-2004/006936	12/2003	WO	N/A
WO-2004/019954	12/2003	WO	N/A
WO-2004/041289	12/2003	WO	N/A
WO-2004/096259	12/2003	WO	N/A
WO-2004/103377	12/2003	WO	N/A
WO-2005/030175	12/2004	WO	N/A
WO-2005/030176	12/2004	WO	N/A
WO-2005/051400	12/2004	WO	N/A
WO-2005/105103	12/2004	WO	N/A

WO-2005/115349	12/2004	WO	N/A
WO-2005/115351	12/2004	WO	N/A
WO-2006/002937	12/2005	WO	N/A
WO-2006/027347	12/2005	WO	N/A
WO-2006/120035	12/2005	WO	N/A
WO-2006/125800	12/2005	WO	N/A
WO-2007/002823	12/2006	WO	N/A
WO-2007/081206	12/2006	WO	N/A
WO-2007/106264	12/2006	WO	N/A
WO-2007/146805	12/2006	WO	N/A
WO-2008/003363	12/2007	WO	N/A
WO-2008/003432	12/2007	WO	N/A
WO-2008/156365	12/2007	WO	N/A
WO-2010/012490	12/2009	WO	N/A
WO-2010/033832	12/2009	WO	N/A
WO-2010/089078	12/2009	WO	N/A
WO-2010/149273	12/2009	WO	N/A
WO-2011/128336	12/2010	WO	N/A
WO-2012/000981	12/2011	WO	N/A
WO-2012/055840	12/2011	WO	N/A
WO-2013/012326	12/2012	WO	N/A
WO-2013/021025	12/2012	WO	N/A
WO-2013/037708	12/2012	WO	N/A
WO-2013/090117	12/2012	WO	N/A
WO-2013/156329	12/2012	WO	N/A
WO-2014/159377	12/2013	WO	N/A
WO-2014/189836	12/2013	WO	N/A
WO-2015/040051	12/2014	WO	N/A
WO-2015/086643	12/2014	WO	N/A
WO-2016/053946	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/187269	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/023009	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/203006	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/203009	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/203011	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/203044	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2016/207298	12/2015	WO	N/A
WO-2018/015503	12/2017	WO	N/A
WO-2018/024912	12/2017	WO	N/A
WO-2018/065076	12/2017	WO	N/A
WO-2019/154899	12/2018	WO	N/A
WO-2019/202141	12/2018	WO	N/A
WO-2019/202142	12/2018	WO	N/A
WO-2021/209591	12/2020	WO	N/A

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 17/893,999, filed Aug. 23, 2022, ESTETRA SRL. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/900,576, filed Aug. 31, 2022, ESTETRA SRL. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/963,712, filed Oct. 11, 2022, ESTETRA SRL. cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/972,403, filed Oct. 24, 2022, ESTETRA SRL. cited by applicant

Duavive (bazedoxifene), Eur. Med. Agency, Dec. 2014. cited by applicant

E4 Relief, www.e4relief.com/cz, Wayback Machine snapshot of webpage from Jan. 2, 2017. cited by applicant

NCT02834312, "E4Relief (Response to Estetrol in Life Improvement for Menopausal-associated Hot Flushes)," ClinicalTrials.Gov (Jan. 25, 2018). cited by applicant

Kluft et al., "Oral contraceptive formulations with estetrol as an estrogen, in combination with levonorgestrel or drospirenone, show minor effects on haemostasis." *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, Jul. 4, 2013, vol. 11, No. s2, pp. 737. cited by applicant

NCT02834312, "E4Relief (Response to Estetrol in Life Improvement for Menopausal-associated Hot Flushes)," ClinicalTrials.Gov (Jul. 12, 2016). cited by applicant

NCT02834312, "E4Relief (Response to Estetrol in Life Improvement for Menopausal-associated Hot Flushes)," ClinicalTrials.Gov (Jul. 28, 2016). cited by applicant

Thurston et al., *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* Sep. 2011 ; 38(3): 489-501 (Year: 2011). cited by applicant

U.S. Appl. No. 17/701,588, filed Mar. 22, 2022, Jean-Michel Foidart. cited by applicant

"Illustrated Glossary of Organic Chemistry", retrieved from <http://www.chem.ucla.edu/~harding/IGOC/H/hydrate.html> printed Apr. 19, 2022. cited by applicant

"Pharmaceutics of Biological Drugs," Editor in chief: Zhao Yingzheng, pp. 17 and 18, Zhejiang University Press (published on Jun. 30, 2011). cited by applicant

"Pharmaceutics," Editor in Chief: Liu Shubao, p. 153, Henan Science and Technology Press (published on Jul. 31, 2007). cited by applicant

Abot et al., The uterine and vascular actions of estetrol delineate a distinctive profile of estrogen receptor alpha modulation, uncoupling nuclear and membrane activation, *EMBO Molecular Medicine*, vol. 6, No. 10, 2014 (19 pages). cited by applicant

Al-Jefout et al., "Continuous Norethisterone Acetate versus Cyclical Drospirenone 3 mg/Ethinyl Estradiol 20 ug for the Management of Primary Dysmenorrhea in Young Adult Women," *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 143-147, XP029421056 (Sep. 2015). cited by applicant

Alam et al., "Solid dispersions: a strategy for poorly aqueous soluble drugs and technology updates," *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* vol. 9, No. 11, pp. 1420-1440 (2012). cited by applicant

Alexander et al., "Why consider vaginal drug administration?" *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 82, No. 1 (Jul. 2004). cited by applicant

Andersch and Milsom, "An epidemiologic study of young women with dysmenorrhea", *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 144(6), p. 655-660 (1982). cited by applicant

Anderson and Spencer, "Risk factors for venous thromboembolism", *Circulation*, vol. No. 107 2003, pp. I-9-I-16. cited by applicant

Anderson et al., "Effects of conjugated equine estrogen in postmenopausal women with hysterectomy: the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial", *JAMA* (2004), vol. 291(14), pp. 1701-1712. cited by applicant

Apter et al., "Bleeding pattern and cycle control with estetrol-containing combined oral contraceptives: results from a phase II, randomized, dose-finding study (FIESTA)," *Contraception* 94 (2016) pp. 366-373. cited by applicant

Apter, D. et al., "Estetrol combined with drospirenone: an oral contraceptive with high acceptability, user satisfaction, well-being and favourable body weight control", *The European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care*, vol. No. 22, Issue No. 4, 2017, pp. 260-267. cited by applicant

Archer et al., "A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the lowest effective dose of drospirenone with 17 β -estradiol for moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms in postmenopausal women," (2014) *Menopause*, vol. 21(3), pp. 227-235. cited by applicant

Arnal et al., "Tissue specificity of the membrane vs nuclear actions of estrogen receptor alpha:

insights from targeted mutations in mouse models,” Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases Supplements, (Apr. 2016) vol. 8, 99-217, Abstract 0333. cited by applicant

Bagot et al., “The effect of estrone on thrombin generation may explain the different thrombotic risk between oral and transdermal hormone replacement therapy”, J Thromb Haemost., 8(8):1736-1744 (2010). cited by applicant

Bennink et al., “Estetrol (E4), the forgotten fetal steroid”, 9th European Congress of Endocrinology Meeting Abstract No. S16,2, Endocrine Abstracts, vol. No. 14 (2007). cited by applicant

Bennink et al., “Estetrol review: profile and potential clinical applications,” Climacteric (2008) vol. 11, Suppl. 1, pp. 47-58. cited by applicant

Bennink et al., “Pharmacodynamic effects of the fetal estrogen estetrol in postmenopausal women: results from a multiple-rising-dose study,” (2017) Menopause 24(6), pp. 677-685. cited by applicant

Bennink et al., “Pharmacokinetics of the fetal estrogen estetrol in a multiple-rising-dose study in postmenopausal women,” (2017) Climacteric.20(3), pp. 285-289. cited by applicant

Bianchi, “Estetrol: Desde Un Estrogeno Fetal Hasta El Tratamiento De La Menopausia,” Rev. Chil. Obstet. Ginecol., vol. 74, No. 2, pp. 123-126 (2009). cited by applicant

Bird et al., “Drospirenone and non-fatal venous thromboembolism: is there a risk difference by dosage of ethinyl-estradiol?” Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis, vol. 11, pp. 1059-1068 (2013). cited by applicant

Bjarnason et al., “Acute and long-term estradiol kinetics in smoking postmenopausal women,” (2012) Climacteric, vol. 15(5), pp. 449-454. cited by applicant

Blanco-Molina, M.A. et al., “Progestin-only contraception and venous thromboembolism”, Thrombosis Research, vol. No. 129, 2012, pp. e257-e262. cited by applicant

Bosworth et al., “Depressive symptoms, menopausal status, and climacteric symptoms in women at midlife,” (2001) Psychosom Med., 63(4):603-8. cited by applicant

Brenková et al., CHISA 2006—17th International Congress of Chemical and Process Engineering. cited by applicant

Bull et al., “Synthesis and structure-activity studies of 8a- and 9beta-analogues of 14, 17-ethanoestradiol”, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1, 2000, pp. 1003-1013. cited by applicant

Callejo et al., “Effect of a low-dose oral contraceptive containing 20 microg ethinylestradiol and 150 microg desogestrel on dysmenorrhea”, Contraception, 68(3), p. 183-188 (2003). cited by applicant

Chilukuri, D. et al., “Pharmaceutical Product Development: In Vitro-In Vivo Correlation”, Informa Healthcare, Drugs and the Pharmaceutical Sciences, vol. No. 165, 2007, 216 pages. cited by applicant

Coelingh Bennink et al., “Ovulation inhibition by estetrol in an in vivo model” Contraception, 2008, vol. 77, pp. 186-190. cited by applicant

Coelingh Bennink Herjan J T et al., “Clinical effects of the fetal estrogen estetrol in a multiple-rising-dose study in postmenopausal women,” (2016) Maturitas, Elsevier, Amsterdam, NL vol. 91, pp. 93-100, XP029649879. cited by applicant

Dahlback et al., “Familial thrombophilia due to a previously unrecognized mechanism characterized by poor anticoagulant response to activated protein C: prediction of a cofactor to activated protein C”, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A., 90(3), p. 1004-1008 (1993). cited by applicant

Davis et al., “Oral contraceptives for dysmenorrhea in adolescent girls: a randomized trial”, Obstet Gynaecol, 106(1): 97-104 (2005). cited by applicant

De Bastos et al., “Combined oral contraceptives: venous thrombosis”, Cochrane Database Syst Rev, (3):CD010813 (2014). cited by applicant

Dey et al., “Orodispersible tablets: A new trend in drug delivery,” Journal of Natural Science, Biology, and Medicine, vol. 1, No. 1, p. 2-5, (Jul. 2010). cited by applicant

Dinger et al., “Effectiveness of Oral Contraceptive Pills in a Large U.S. Cohort Comparing

Progestogen and Regimen”, *Obstet. & Gynecol.*, 117(1):33-40 (2011). cited by applicant

Dinger et al., “Oral Contraceptive Effectiveness According to Body Mass Index, Weight, Age, and Other Factors”, *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, 201:263e1-9 (2009). cited by applicant

Dinger et al., “Risk of venous thromboembolism and the use of dienogest- and drospirenone-containing oral contraceptives: results from a German case-control study”, *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care*, 36(3), 2010, pp. 123-129. cited by applicant

Duijkers et al., “A randomized study comparing the effect on Ovarian activity of a progestogen-only pill (POP) containing desogestrel and a new POP containing drospirenone in a 24/4 regimen”, *Euro. J. Contracept. & Reprod. Health Care*, 20(6):419-27 (2015). cited by applicant

Duijkers et al., “Inhibition of ovulation by administration of estetrol in combination with drospirenone or levonorgestrel: Results of a phase II dose-finding pilot study,” *The European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care* (2015) vol. 20, pp. 476-489. cited by applicant

Elger et al., “Conception and pharmacodynamics profile of drospirenone”, *Steroids*, 68(10):891-905 (2003). cited by applicant

Endrikat et al., “A twelve-month comparative clinical investigation of two low-dose oral contraceptives containing 20 micrograms ethinylestradiol/75 micrograms gestodene and 20 micrograms ethinylestradiol/150 micrograms desogestrel, with respect to efficacy, cycle control and tolerance”, *Contraception*, 52(4), p. 229-235 (1995). cited by applicant

Erkkola et al., “Role of progestins in contraception”, *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.*, 84(3), pp. 207-216 (2005). cited by applicant

Fine, P., Update on Emergency Contraception, *Advances in Therapy*, vol. No. 28, Issue No. 2, 2010, pp. 87-90. cited by applicant

Foidart, “Estelle?, Estetrol and drospirenone in oral contraception: E4 Freedom TM Phase 3 clinical study design,” Presented at Eur. Soc. Contraception & Reprod. Health, 14th Cong, 2nd Global Conf. (May 5, 2016). cited by applicant

Foidart, “Estetrol, the first human, physiological Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulator,” Presented at Eur. Soc. Contraception & Reprod. Health, 14th Cong, 2nd Global Conf. (May 5, 2016). cited by applicant

French, “Dysmenorrhea”, *Am Fam Physician*, 71(2): 285-291 (2005). cited by applicant

Gardouh et al., “Preparation and Characterization of Mucoadhesive Buccal Film for Delivery of Meloxicam,” *British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 743-766 (Jun. 2013). cited by applicant

Ghandi et al., “BCS class IV drugs: Highly notorious candidates for formulation development,” *Journal of Controlled Release*, vol. 248, pp. 71-95 (2017) (Available online Jan. 11, 2017). cited by applicant

Haque et al., “Development of polymer-bound fast-dissolving metformin buccal film with disintegrants,” *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, vol. 10 (Suppl. I: Challenges in biomaterials research) pp. 199-205 (Oct. 2015). cited by applicant

Harada, T., “Dysmenorrhea and Endometriosis in Young Women,” *Yonago Acta medica*, vol. 56, pp. 81-84 (2013). cited by applicant

Harel et al., “Dysmenorrhea in adolescents and young adults: an update on pharmacological treatments and management strategies,” *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 13 No. 15, (Sep. 2012) pp. 2157-2170, XP055389783. cited by applicant

Harlow et al., “Executive summary of the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop 10: addressing the unfinished agenda of staging reproductive aging”, *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. No. 97, Issue No. 4, 2012, pp. 1159-1168. cited by applicant

Harrington et al., “Cross-sectional association of endogenous steroid hormone, sex hormone-binding globulin, and precursor steroid levels with hemostatic factor levels in postmenopausal women”, *J Thromb Haemost.*, 15(1), p. 80-90 (2017). cited by applicant

Heinemann et al., "International versions of the Menopause Rating Scale (MRS)", 2003, Health Qual Life Outcomes, pp. 1:28. cited by applicant

Heinemann et al., "The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) as outcome measure for hormone treatment? A validation study," (2004) Health Qual Life Outcomes, pp. 2:67. cited by applicant

Heinemann et al., "The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) scale: A methodological review", 2004, Health Qual Life Outcomes, pp. 2:45. cited by applicant

Hendrix and Alexander, "Primary dysmenorrhea treatment with a desogestrel-containing low-dose oral contraceptive", 66(6), p. 393-399 (2002). cited by applicant

Hilditch et al., "A menopause specific quality of life questionnaire: development and psychometric properties," (1996) Maturitas, vol. 24(3), pp. 161-175. cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion on PCT Appl. Ser. No. PCT/EP2019/060220 dated Jul. 11, 2019 (10 pages) (WO2019/202141). cited by applicant

International Search Report and Written Opinion on PCT Appl. Ser. No. PCT/EP2019/060221 dated Jul. 11, 2019 (WO2019/202142). cited by applicant

International Search Report dated Jul. 21, 2017 in International Application No. PCT/EP2016/076104 (WO 2018/065076). cited by applicant

International Search Report dated May 2, 2019 in International Application No. PCT/EP2019/052980 (WO 2019/154899). cited by applicant

International Search Report dated Oct. 20, 2017 in International Application No. PCT/EP2017/069908 (WO 2018/024912). cited by applicant

International Search Report issued in International Patent Application No. PCT/EP2014/077127, mailed Feb. 3, 2015. cited by applicant

Jezerska, L. et al., "Particles segregation in pharmaceutical mixtures for direct tablets compression", VSB-Technical University of Ostrava, Jan. 2006, 8 pages. cited by applicant

Jick et al., "Risk of idiopathic cardiovascular death and nonfatal venous thromboembolism in women using oral contraceptives with differing progestagen components", Lancet, 346(8990), 1995, p. 1589-1593. cited by applicant

Kluft, "Effects on estrogenic and haemostatic variables of estetrol in combination with drospirenone," Presented at Eur. Soc. Contraception & Reprod. Health, 14th Cong, 2nd Global Conf. (May 5, 2016). cited by applicant

Kluft, C. et al., "Reduced hemostatic effects with drospirenone-based oral contraceptives containing estetrol vs. ethinyl estradiol", Contraception, vol. No. 95, Issue No. 2, 2016, pp. 140-147. cited by applicant

Lan, Y., "A New Excipient for Fast Disintegrating Oral Dosage Forms," (BASF) 2008. cited by applicant

Lianmei, L et al., "Major research advances in estetrol," (2009) J Reprod Med, vol. 18(3), pp. 305-308. cited by applicant

Lidegaard et al., "Hormonal contraception and risk of venous thromboembolism: national follow-up study," BMJ, 339:b2890, 2009, pp. 1-8. cited by applicant

Lidegaard et al., "Risk of venous thromboembolism from use of oral contraceptives containing different progestogens and oestrogen doses: Danish cohort study, Sep. 2001", BMJ, 2011, 343:d6423, 15 pages. cited by applicant

Mawet et al., "Unique effects on hepatic function, lipid metabolism, bone and growth endocrine parameters of estetrol in combined oral contraceptives," The European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care, (2015) vol. 20, pp. 463-475. cited by applicant

Meeting of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) in Mar. 2021, <https://www.aemps.gob.es/informa/boletines-aemps/boletin-chmp/2021-boletin-chmp/reunion-del-comite-de-medicamentos-de-uso-humano-chmp-de-marzo-2021/>. cited by applicant

Meulenbroeks et al: "21+7 day versus 24+4 day monophasic regimens of combined oral contraceptives for contraception (Protocol)", Cochrane database of systematic reviews, Issue 7, art.

No. CD011781, 2015. cited by applicant

Nath and Sitruk-Ware, "Pharmacology and clinical applications of selective estrogen receptor modulators", *Climacteric*, vol. No. 12, Issue No. 3, Jun. 2009, pp. 188-205. cited by applicant

Nillius et al., "Plasma Levels of Progesterone After Vaginal, Rectal, or Intramuscular Administration of Progesterone," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 110, No. 4 (1971). cited by applicant

Non-Final Office Action on U.S. Appl. No. 17/048,538 dated Mar. 25, 2022 (9 pages). cited by applicant

Notelovitz et al., "Initial 17 β -Estradiol Dose for Treating Vasomotor Symptoms," (2000) *Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, vol. 95(5), pp. 726-731. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance on U.S. Appl. No. 17/048,538 DTD Aug. 10, 2022. cited by applicant

Notice of Allowance on U.S. Appl. No. 17/048,540 DTD Aug. 8, 2022. cited by applicant

Odlind et al., "Can changes in sex hormone binding globulin predict the risk of venous thromboembolism with combined oral contraceptive pills?", *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.*, 81(6), p. 482-490. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Dec. 15, 2021, in Dominican patent application No. P2019-0108. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Dec. 23, 2019, in Israeli Application No. 256283. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Feb. 11, 2020 in Colombian Application No. NC2017/0012766. cited by applicant

Office Action Issued on Feb. 18, 2020 in Indian Application No. 201817001353. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Feb. 6, 2020 in Chinese Application No. 201680035627.8. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Jan. 15, 2020, in Israeli Application No. 256282. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Mar. 19, 2019, in Colombian application No. NC2017/0012766. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on Mar. 19, 2020, in Chilean Application No. 201901152. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on May 19, 2020 in Israeli Application No. 256282. cited by applicant

Office Action issued on May 19, 2020 in Israeli Application No. 256283. cited by applicant

Osayande, A. et al., "Diagnosis and Initial Management of Dysmenorrhea", *American Family Physician*, vol. No. 89, Issue No. 5, Mar. 1, 2014, pp. 341-346. cited by applicant

Perie et al., "Controlling Drug Delivery," *Pharmaceutics: Drug Delivery and Targeting* pp. 8-9 (Pharm. Press, 2d ed., 2012). cited by applicant

Pinkerton, JoAnn V., <https://www.msdmanuals.com/es-do/professional/ginecolog%C3%ADa-yobstetricia/anomal%C3%ADas-menstruales/dismenorrea> (Dec. 2020). cited by applicant

Poort et al., "A common genetic variation in the 3'-untranslated region of the prothrombin gene is associated with elevated plasma prothrombin levels and an increase in venous thrombosis", *Blood*, 88(10), p. 3698-3703 (1996). cited by applicant

Portman et al., "Genitourinary syndrome of menopause: new terminology for vulvovaginal atrophy from the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health and the North American Menopause Society," (2014) *Menopause*, vol. 21(10), pp. 1063-1068. cited by applicant

Prandoni, P. et al., "An Association between Atherosclerosis and Venous Thrombosis", *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. No. 348, Issue No. 15, Apr. 10, 2003, pp. 1435-1441. cited by applicant

Prince et al., "Phase II Clinical Study of BC-3781, a Pleuromutilin Antibiotic, in Treatment of Patients with Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections", *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, US, (May 2013), vol. 57, No. 5, doi:10.1128/AAC.02106-12, ISSN 0066-4804, pp. 2087-2094, XP055287601. cited by applicant

Proctor and Farquhar, "Dysmenorrhoea", *Clin Evid*, 9, p. 1994-2013 (2003). cited by applicant

Radtke, J. et al., "The Menopause-Specific Quality of Life (MENQOL) Questionnaire:

Psychometric Evaluation among Breast Cancer Survivors”, *Menopause*, vol. No 18, Issue No. 3, Mar. 2011, pp. 289-295. cited by applicant

Rodstrom et al., “A longitudinal study of the treatment of 25 hot flushes: the population study of women in Gothenburg during a quarter of a century,” (2002) *Menopause*, vol. 9(3), pp. 156-161. cited by applicant

Rosenbaum et al., “Inhibition of ovulation by a novel progestogen (drospirenone) alone or in combination with ethinylestradiol”, *Euro. J. Contracept. & Repro. Health Care*, 5(1):14-24 (2000). cited by applicant

Rosing et al., “Oral contraceptives and venous thrombosis: different sensitivities to activated protein C in women using second- and third-generation oral contraceptives”, *Br J Haematol.*, 97(1), p. 233-238 (Apr. 1, 1997). cited by applicant

Santoro, “Symptoms of menopause: hot flushes,” (2008) *Clin Obstet Gynecol*, vol. 51(3), pp. 539-548. cited by applicant

Sarfaraz, *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Formulations Compressed Solid Products*, Second edition, vol. 1, 2009. cited by applicant

Savjani et al., “Drug solubility: importance and enhancement techniques”, *ISRN Pharm.*, 2012: 195727. cited by applicant

Shulman, “Estelle, Estetrol: changing hormones in advancing oral contraception,” Presented at Eur. Soc. Contraception & Reprod. Health, 14th Cong, 2nd Global Conf. (May 5, 2016). cited by applicant

Sidney et al., “Recent combined hormonal contraceptives (CHCs) and the risk of thromboembolism and other cardiovascular events in new users”, *Contraception*, 87(1), p. 93-100 (2013). cited by applicant

Simon et al., “Menopausal hormone therapy for vasomotor symptoms: balancing the risks and benefits with ultra-low doses of estrogen,” (2007) *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*, vol. 16(12), pp. 2005-2020. cited by applicant

Simoni et al., “The Discovery of Estrone, Estriol, and Estradiol and the Biochemical Study of Reproduction. The Work of Edward Adelbert Doisy”, *J. Biol. Chem*, vol. 277, No. 28, e17, 2002, 2 pages. cited by applicant

Spitzer et al., “Third generation oral contraceptives and risk of venous thromboembolic disorders: an international case-control study. Transnational Research Group on Oral Contraceptives and the Health of Young Women”, *BMJ*, 312(7023), p. 83-88 (1996). cited by applicant

Stanczyk, F. et al., “Progestogens used in postmenopausal hormone therapy: differences in their pharmacological properties, intracellular actions, and clinical effects”, *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. No. 34, Issue No. 2, Apr. 2013, pp. 171-208. cited by applicant

Strickley et al., “Solubilizing Excipients in Oral and Injectable Formulations,” *Pharmaceutical Research*, Springer New York LLC, US, vol. 21, No. 2, (Feb. 1, 2004), doi:10.1023/B:PHAM.0000016235.32639.23, ISSN 0724-8741, pp. 201-230, XP009035738. cited by applicant

Strowitzki et al., “Efficacy of ethinylestradiol 20 ug/drospirenone 3 mg in a flexible extended regimen in women with moderate-to-severe primary dysmenorrhea: an open-label, multicenter, randomized, controlled study,” *J. Fam. Plann. Reprod. Health Care* (2012) vol. 38, pp. 94-101. cited by applicant

Sundell et al., “Factors influencing the prevalence and severity of dysmenorrhoea in young women.”, *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*, 97(7), p. 588-594 (Jul. 1, 1990). cited by applicant

Tchaicovski and Rosing, “Mechanisms of estrogen-induced venous thromboembolism”, *Thromb Res.*, 126(1):5-11 (Feb. 16, 2010). cited by applicant

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, “Committee Opinion No. 540: Risk of Venous Thromboembolism Among Users of Drospirenone-Containing Oral Contraceptive Pills”, Nov. 2012, 4 pages. cited by applicant

The European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products, “CPMP Public Assessment Report—Combined oral contraceptives and venous thromboembolism”, Sep. 2001, 7 pages. cited by applicant

Tulchinsky D et al. “Plasma Estetrol as an Index of Fetal Well-being,” Clin Endocrinol Metab, vol. 40, pp. 560-567 (1975). cited by applicant

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services—National Institutes of Health—National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, “Estetrol Monohydrate”, retrieved from

<https://drugs.ncats.io/substance/KC3GI9UM9V> (First Approved in 2001). cited by applicant

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services—Food and Drug Administration—Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), “SUPAC: Manufacturing Equipment Addendum: Guidance for Industry”, Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC, Dec. 2014, 33 pages. cited by applicant

Utian et al., “Comparative controlled trial of a novel oral estrogen therapy, estradiol acetate, for relief of menopause symptoms,” (2005) Menopause, vol. 12(6), pp. 708-715. cited by applicant

Visser et al., “Clinical applications for estetrol,” Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 114 (2009) 85-89. cited by applicant

Vlieg et al., “The venous thrombotic risk of oral contraceptives, effects of oestrogen dose and progestogen type: results of the MEGA case-control study”, BMJ, 2009, 339:b2921, 8 pages. cited by applicant

Williams et al., “Strategies to address low drug solubility in discovery and development,” (2013) Pharmacological Reviews, vol. 65(1), pp. 416-445. cited by applicant

Winkler et al., “Cycle control, quality of life and acne with two low-dose oral contraceptives containing 20 microg ethinylestradiol”, Contraception, 96(6), 2004, pp. 469-476. cited by applicant

Wong et al., “Oral contraceptive pill as treatment for primary dysmenorrhoea”, Cochrane Database Syst Rev., CD002120, 2009. cited by applicant

WTO, “Venous thromboembolic disease and combined oral contraceptives: results of international multicentre case-control study”, Lancet, 346(8990), p. 1575-1582 (1995). cited by applicant

Ylikorkala and Dawood, “New concepts in dysmenorrhea”, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 130(7), 1978, p. 833-847. cited by applicant

Zhang and Wan Po, “Efficacy of minor analgesics in primary dysmenorrhoea: a systematic review”, Br J Obstet Gynaecol, vol. 130, Issue No. 7, Jul. 1998, pp. 780-789. cited by applicant

Declaration from Dr. Melanie Taziaux, one of the inventors of the D11 opposed Patent, regarding the use of estetrol monohydrate in the examples of the Patent, accompanied by two Annexes (extract from the Investigational Product Dossier (IPD) and details regarding the structure of estetrol monohydrate) (May 16, 2023). cited by applicant

Duijkers et al. “Inhibition of ovulation by administration of estetrol in combination with drospirenone or levonorgestrel: Results of a phase II dose-finding pilot study”; European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care: The Official Journal of the European Society of Contraception, Jan. 1, 2015, 20(6):476-489, by Ingrid J.M. (Year: 2015). cited by applicant

EPAR “Duavive EPAR, Conjugated Oestrogens / Bazedoxifene”, European Medicines Agency, 3P., Retrieved from Internet, Dec. 2014. cited by applicant

Expert declaration from Prof. Rogerio A. Lobo (May 10, 2023). cited by applicant

Gaspard et al, “A multicenter, randomized study to select the minimum effective dose of estetrol (E4) in postmenopausal women (E4Relief): part 1. Vasomotor symptoms and overall safety” Menopause, vol. 27, No. 8, Aug. 27, 2020. cited by applicant

Hauck BA, Brown V. “A primer on the hormone-free interval for combined oral contraceptives”, Current Medical Research and Opinion. Oct. 3, 2015;31(10):1941-8. cited by applicant

Klipping et al. “Suppression of ovarian activity with a drospirenone-containing oral contraceptive in a 24/4 regimen” Elsevier; Contraception 78 (2008) 16-25. cited by applicant

Momoeda, M, et al. “Does the presence of coexisting diseases modulate the effectiveness of a low-dose estrogen/progestin, ethinylestradiol/drospirenone combination tablet in dysmenorrhea?

Reanalysis of two randomized studies in Japanese women.” International Journal of Women's Health (Feb. 12, 2014): 989-998. (Year: 2014). cited by applicant

Non-Final Office Action received in U.S. Appl. No. 16/573,611 dated Sep. 15, 2023. cited by applicant

Office Action dated Apr. 20, 2024 received in Chinese Patent Application No. 202211280507.8. cited by applicant

Office Action dated May 1, 2024 received in Chinese Patent Application No. 202211257175.1. cited by applicant

Padilla et al., “A Neural Circuit Underlying the Generation of Hot Flushes” Published in final edited form as: Cell Rep. Jul. 10, 2018; 24(2): 271-277. cited by applicant

Summons to attend oral proceedings pursuant to Rule 115(1) EPC dated Feb. 29, 2024, received in European Patent Application No. 19722529.5. cited by applicant

Sun et al., Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B vol. 12, Issue 7, Jul. 2022, pp. 3049-3062. cited by applicant

T Ghosh et al. “A review on new generation orodispersible tablets and its future prospective” International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences; vol. 3, Issue 1, 2011; pp. 1-7; ISSN-0975-1491. cited by applicant

Westhoff C L, Eisenberger A, Tang R, et al. “Clotting factor changes during the first cycle of oral contraceptive use, Contraception” vol. 93, 31/01/2016. cited by applicant

Wu Yucheng et al Clinical Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases, p. 233; Jilin Science and Technology Publishing House; Sep. 30, 2017. cited by applicant

Yang Xi, et al. Osteoporosis Medication Tips, p. 139; People's Military Medical Publishing House; Sep. 30, 2014. cited by applicant

Yasmin: Risk of venous thromboembolism higher than levonorgestrel-containing pills ‘Drug Safety Update’ Jun. 2011, vol. 4 issue 11: A2). (Year: 2011). cited by applicant

Zhang et al., “The Effects of Estrogens on Neural Circuits That Control Temperature” Endocrinology, (May 3, 2021) vol. 162, No. 8, 1-12. cited by applicant

Letter from FDA to Mayne Pharma LLC dated Apr. 28, 2025, regarding NDA 214154 and “presentation for NEXTSTELLIS promotional programs with speaker notes” (PM-US-NEX-0360) (speaker deck) for NEXTSTELLIS (drospirenone and estetrol tablets), for oral use (also provided). cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Hui; San Ming R

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Foley & Lardner LLP

Background/Summary

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS (1) The present application is a continuation of the U.S. application Ser. No. 17/048,538, filed on Oct. 16, 2020, which is the U.S. National Stage of International Application No. PCT/EP2019/060220, filed Apr. 19, 2019, and claims priority to European Patent Application No. 18168336.8, filed Apr. 19, 2018, European Patent Application No. 18174982.1, filed May 30, 2018, and European Patent Application No. 19150423.2, filed Jan. 4, 2019.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

(1) The present invention relates to hormone replacement therapy, to the associated compounds and to the associated packaging units, for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms which is based on the administration to a female mammal of an estetrol component at specified daily doses,

optionally in combination with a progestogenic component.

(2) As further detailed herein, the therapy displays statistically significant efficacy combined with a favourable profile for side effects compared to currently available methods for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms.

BACKGROUND ART

(3) Hormone replacement therapy (HRT) is used to describe either unopposed oestrogen use (for women who have undergone hysterectomy) or combined oestrogen-progestin therapy (for women still having a uterus). The goal of HRT is to relieve menopausal symptoms, most importantly vasomotor symptoms (VMS), such as hot flushes. Other diseases and symptoms associated with perimenopause and menopause that respond to oestrogen therapy include osteoporosis, vaginal atrophy, and sleep disturbances (when related to hot flushes).

(4) VMS occur most often in the late menopausal transition and early post-menopause. VMS are the most significant menopausal complaints. Estimates suggest that about 75% of women who are more than 50 years old will suffer from hot flushes (Utian et al., 2005, “*Comparative controlled trial of a novel oral estrogen therapy, estradiol acetate, for relief of menopause symptoms*” Menopause 12(6): 708-715). Most experience hot flushes for about two years, although around 10% suffer for more than 10 years (Rodstrom et al., 2002, “*A longitudinal study of the treatment of hot flushes: the population study of women in Gothenburg during a quarter of a century*” Menopause 9(3): 156-161). VMS can contribute towards physical and psycho-social impairment, with a consequent reduction in quality of life, and are one of the main reasons why women may seek medical care for the menopause (Santoro, 2008, “*Symptoms of menopause: hot flushes*” Clin Obstet Gynecol 51(3): 539-548).

(5) The epithelial linings of the vagina and urethra are very sensitive to oestrogen, and oestrogen deficiency leads to thinning both epithelia. This results in vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA) and urinary complaints, causing symptoms of vaginal dryness, itching, dyspareunia, dysuria, urinary frequency and an increased risk of recurrent urinary infections. In early 2014, the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health (ISSWSH) and the North American Menopause Society (NAMS) endorsed the new terminology “genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM)” to replace the VVA terminology. The rationale for using this new terminology was that WA term was too restrictive whereas GSM was a more comprehensive term that includes symptomatic WA as well as lower urinary tract symptoms related to low oestrogen levels (Portman et al., 2014, “*Genitourinary syndrome of menopause: new terminology for vulvovaginal atrophy from the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health and the North American Menopause Society*” Menopause 21(10): 1063-1068). Note that the GSM terminology has not yet been adopted in guidance for industry issued by the FDA and EMA, therefore the VVA terminology will be used herein.

(6) Oestrogen therapy remains the gold standard for relief of menopausal symptoms, in particular VMS. All routes of administration appear to be equally effective for symptom relief, but their metabolic effects differ. Oestrogens should be administered continuously; past regimens where oestrogen was administered day 1 to day 25 of the calendar month are considered to be obsolete. Women will often get VMS during the days off, and there is no known advantage to stopping for several days each month.

(7) With current HRT, all women with an intact uterus need a progestin in addition to oestrogen to prevent endometrial hyperplasia—and subsequent carcinoma—which occurs after as little as 6 months of oestrogen therapy. The progestin may be administered continuously or sequentially (e.g., 10 to 14 days each month or for 14 days every 3 months).

(8) Oestrogen therapy is the most consistently effective treatment used in the US and Europe for menopausal VMS. Following the safety issues reported in the primary Women's Health Initiative publications (Anderson et al., 2004, “*Effects of conjugated equine estrogen in postmenopausal women with hysterectomy: the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial*” JAMA 291(14): 1701-1712) and with continued subject requests for treatment, a challenge to clinicians

has been to identify the lowest effective dose of oestrogen for alleviating menopausal symptoms (Simon et al., 2007, “*Menopausal hormone therapy for vasomotor symptoms: balancing the risks and benefits with ultra-low doses of estrogen*” Expert Opin Investig Drugs 16(12): 2005-2020). In addition, it is a challenge to develop a safer oestrogen than those currently used.

(9) In a 2016 publication, Coelingh Bennink et al; (“*Clinical effects of the fetal estrogen estetrol in a multiple-rising-dose study in postmenopausal women*”, Maturitas, 2016 September; 91:93-100) report a partly randomized, open-label, multiple-rising-dose study in 49 postmenopausal women who received either 2 mg estetrol or 2 mg estradiol-valerate per day for 28 days (randomized part of the study) followed by dose-escalation at 10, 20 and 40 mg estetrol per day (non-randomized part of the study). The authors compared the efficacy of estradiol-valerate (2 mg) and only two doses of estetrol (2 and 10 mg) on the relief of hot flushes on subjects with >35 hot flushes per week at screening (note a. to Table 1). The authors report a decrease in the mean number of hot flushes and sweating already with the 2 mg estetrol per day dose, while at the same time endometrial thickness was found to be stable in the 2 mg estetrol group but increasing in the 10 mg estetrol group (abstract).

(10) From this study, since the authors did not measure VMS in the 20 mg and 40 mg estetrol groups, it is apparent to the skilled person that the authors considered only the lower doses (2 mg and 10 mg) to be suitable for the management of VMS. Further, the skilled person learns that a daily dose of 2 mg estetrol is as effective as a daily dose of 10 mg estetrol at decreasing the number of hot flushes per day (see for example FIG. 3 in Coelingh Bennink et al., Maturitas, 2016). In addition, the publication teaches the skilled person that the 2 mg daily dose does not alter endometrial thickness while the 10 mg daily dose has an important effect on endometrial thickness (see for example FIG. 2 in Coelingh Bennink et al., 2016). The skilled person would thus conclude that the Minimal Effective Dose of estetrol for the treatment of VMS is 2 mg per day.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

(11) Against this background, the present inventors have now surprisingly found that the Minimal Effective Dose of estetrol for the alleviation of VMS in perimenopausal and postmenopausal women is of about 15 mg daily.

(12) The determination of the Minimal Effective Dose indeed revolves around a number of parameters which take into account not only the mean number of VMS per day (as was done by Coelingh Bennink et al in Maturitas, 2016), but also the severity of VMS, and also a weekly weighted score, as further defined herein.

(13) Thus, in terms of efficacy, it was surprisingly found that a daily dose of 15 mg was required to obtain a definite effect on VMS.

(14) Furthermore, it was observed by the present inventors that several side effects of the treatment, as reflected for example in the number of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), or in the number of patients leaving the study in each group, or in the number of biopsies which had to be performed in each group, tend to reach a plateau at around 10 mg per day, and do not worsen when the dose is further increased. Surprisingly, some of these parameters in fact regress from 10 mg to 15 mg daily, thus indicating that the adverse events could be more bearable when the dose is higher than 10 mg per day.

(15) Based on this surprising finding, and on measurements performed when a 20 mg and a 30 mg daily doses are administered, the present inventors have thus come to the unexpected conclusion that an optimal daily dose of estetrol for alleviation of VMS in postmenopausal women is found in the range of 15 mg to 25 mg. This range of doses indeed allows statistically significant effects on VMS to be observed, as is shown in the examples, while maintaining the treatment side effects within an acceptable window.

(16) In the following numbered paragraphs 1 to 14, embodiments of the invention are described. 1. A composition for use in alleviating menopause-associated symptoms, wherein said composition comprises an estetrol component and wherein said composition is administered at a daily amount

equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 2. A composition for use in alleviating vasomotor symptoms selected from hot flashes, sweating attacks, night sweats, chills, increased perspiration, palpitations and combinations thereof, wherein said composition comprises an estetrol component and wherein said composition is administered at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 3. A composition for use in alleviating emotional aspects of the menopausal transition selected from depression, irritability, mood changes, insomnia, sleep disturbance, anxiety, nervous tension and combinations thereof, wherein said composition comprises an estetrol component and wherein said composition is administered at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 4. A composition for use in alleviating physiological aspects of the menopausal transition selected from joint pain, loss of bone density, urinary tract infections, urinary incontinence, dryness of the vagina, uterine prolapse, changes in skin texture, weight gain, dyspareunia, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and combinations thereof, wherein said composition comprises an estetrol component and wherein said composition is administered at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 5. A composition for use in reducing VMS frequency, VMS severity, hot flush weekly weighted score, dryness of the vagina, dyspareunia and combinations thereof, or for use in improving Quality of Life according to the MRS and/or the MENQOL questionnaires, wherein said composition comprises an estetrol component and wherein said composition is administered at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 6. The composition for use according to any one of paragraphs 1-5 above wherein the composition is administered daily for at least 1, at least 2, at least 4, at least 6, at least 12 weeks. 7. The composition for use of any one of paragraphs 1-6 wherein a second composition comprising a progestogenic component is additionally used. 8. The composition for use of paragraph 7 wherein said progestogenic component is selected from drospirenone, progesterone and dydrogesterone. 9. The composition for use of paragraph 7 wherein progesterone is administered at a daily dose of from 50 mg to 200 mg. 10. The composition for use of any one of paragraphs 1-6 wherein a second composition comprising bazedoxifene is additionally used. 11. A composition for use according to any one of paragraphs 7-10 wherein said second composition comprising a progestogenic component or bazedoxifene is the same as the composition comprising the estetrol component. 12. A composition for use according to any one of paragraphs 1-11 wherein the estetrol component is estetrol, preferably estetrol monohydrate. 13. A composition for use according to any of the preceding paragraphs wherein the composition is formulated as an oral dosage unit. 14. The composition for use according to paragraph 13 wherein the oral dosage unit is formulated to correspond to a daily dosage unit.

(17) In the following numbered paragraphs 15 to 28, additional embodiments of the invention are described. 15. A method of alleviating menopause-associated symptoms which comprises administration of a composition comprising an estetrol component at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 16. A method of alleviating vasomotor symptoms selected from hot flashes, sweating attacks, night sweats, chills, increased perspiration, palpitations and combinations thereof, which comprises administration of a composition comprising an estetrol component at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 17. A method of alleviating emotional aspects of the menopausal transition selected from depression, irritability, mood changes, insomnia, sleep disturbance, anxiety, nervous tension and combinations thereof, which comprises administration of a composition comprising an estetrol component at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 18. A method of alleviating physiological aspects of the menopausal transition selected from joint pain, loss of bone density, urinary tract infections, urinary incontinence, dryness of the vagina, uterine prolapse, changes in skin texture, weight gain, dyspareunia, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and combinations thereof, which comprises administration of a composition comprising an estetrol component at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 19. A method of reducing VMS frequency, VMS severity, hot flush weekly weighted score, dryness of

the vagina, dyspareunia and combinations thereof, or of improving Quality of Life according to the MRS and/or the MENQOL questionnaires, which comprises administration of a composition comprising an estetrol component at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 20. The method according to any one of paragraphs 15-19 above wherein the composition is administered daily for at least 1, at least 2, at least 4, at least 6, at least 12 weeks. 21. The method according to any one of paragraphs 15-20 wherein a second composition comprising a progestogenic component is additionally administered. 22. The method according to paragraph 21 wherein said progestogenic component is selected from drospirenone, progesterone and dydrogesterone. 23. The method according to paragraph 22 wherein progesterone is administered at a daily dose of from 50 mg to 200 mg. 24. The method according to any one of paragraphs 15-20 wherein a second composition comprising bazedoxifene is additionally administered. 25. The method according to any one of paragraphs 21-24 wherein said second composition comprising a progestogenic component or bazedoxifene is the same as the composition comprising the estetrol component. 26. The method according to any one of paragraphs 15-25 wherein the estetrol component is estetrol, preferably estetrol monohydrate. 27. The method according to any of the preceding paragraphs wherein the composition is formulated as an oral dosage unit. 28. The method according to paragraph 27 wherein the oral dosage unit is formulated to correspond to a daily dosage unit.

(18) In the following numbered paragraphs 29 to 42, additional embodiments of the invention are described. 29. Use of an effective amount of an estetrol component in the manufacture of a composition for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms, wherein the estetrol component is used at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 30. Use of an effective amount of an estetrol component in the manufacture of a composition for alleviating vasomotor symptoms selected from hot flashes, sweating attacks, night sweats, chills, increased perspiration, palpitations and combinations thereof, wherein the estetrol component is used at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 31. Use of an effective amount of an estetrol component in the manufacture of a composition for alleviating emotional aspects of the menopausal transition selected from depression, irritability, mood changes, insomnia, sleep disturbance, anxiety, nervous tension and combinations thereof, wherein the estetrol component is used at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 32. Use of an effective amount of an estetrol component in the manufacture of a composition for alleviating physiological aspects of the menopausal transition selected from joint pain, loss of bone density, urinary tract infections, urinary incontinence, dryness of the vagina, uterine prolapse, changes in skin texture, weight gain, dyspareunia, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and combinations thereof, wherein the estetrol component is used at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 33. Use of an effective amount of an estetrol component in the manufacture of a composition for reducing VMS frequency, VMS severity, hot flush weekly weighted score, dryness of the vagina, dyspareunia and combinations thereof, or for improving Quality of Life according to the MRS and/or the MENQOL questionnaires, wherein the estetrol component is used at a daily amount equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 34. The use according to any one of paragraphs 29-33 above wherein the composition is administered daily for at least 1, at least 2, at least 4, at least 6, at least 12 weeks. 35. The use according to any one of paragraphs 29-34 wherein a second composition comprising a progestogenic component is additionally administered. 36. The use according to paragraph 35 wherein said progestogenic component is selected from drospirenone, progesterone and dydrogesterone. 37. The use according to paragraph 35 wherein progesterone is administered at a daily dose of from 50 mg to 200 mg. 38. The use according to any one of paragraphs 29-34 wherein a second composition comprising bazedoxifene is additionally administered. 39. The use according to any one of paragraphs 35-38 wherein said second composition comprising a progestogenic component or bazedoxifene is the same as the composition comprising the estetrol component. 40. The use according to any one of

paragraphs 29-39 wherein the estetrol component is estetrol, preferably estetrol monohydrate. 41. The use according to any of the preceding paragraphs wherein the composition is formulated as an oral dosage unit. 42. The use according to paragraph 41 wherein the oral dosage unit is formulated to correspond to a daily dosage unit.

(19) In the following numbered paragraphs 43 to 50, additional embodiments of the invention are described. 43. A packaging unit comprising at least 14, preferably at least 21, even more preferably at least 28, containers for holding separately packaged and individually removable daily solid oral dosage forms, wherein each container comprises at least one daily solid oral dosage form comprising from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol. 44. The packaging unit according to paragraph 43, wherein the packaging unit additionally comprises at least 10, preferably 12, more preferably 14, additional containers for holding separately packaged and individually removable daily, preferably solid, oral dosage forms, wherein each additional container comprises at least one daily, preferably solid, oral dosage form comprising a progestogenic component. 45. The packaging unit according to paragraph 44, wherein each of the additional containers for holding the dosage forms comprising the progestogenic component are individually visually arranged next to a container holding a solid dosage form comprising estetrol when these two solid dosage forms have to be administered on the same day. 46. The packaging unit according to paragraphs 44 or 45, wherein said progestogenic component is selected from drospirenone, progesterone and dydrogesterone. 47. The packaging unit according to paragraph 46, wherein said progestogenic component is progesterone and wherein each said additional containers comprises at least one daily oral dosage form comprising about 200 mg of progesterone. 48. The packaging unit according to paragraph 43, wherein the packaging unit additionally comprises the same number of additional containers for holding separately packaged and individually removable daily, preferably solid, oral dosage forms, wherein each additional container comprises at least one daily, preferably solid, oral dosage form comprising a progestogenic component, preferably wherein said progestogenic component is selected from drospirenone, progesterone and dydrogesterone, even more preferably wherein said progestogenic component is progesterone and wherein said additional container comprises at least one daily oral dosage form comprising about 100 mg of progesterone. 49. The packaging unit according to paragraph 43, wherein at least 10, preferably 12, more preferably 14, of said daily solid oral dosage forms additionally comprises a progestogenic component. 50. The packaging unit according to paragraph 43, wherein each said daily solid oral dosage form additionally comprises a progestogenic component.

(20) The skilled person will understand that the embodiments described in numbered paragraphs 44 to 50 may equivalently be presented as a kit-of-parts containing a first packaging unit, e.g. a blister pack, containing the daily oral dosage units comprising the estetrol component, and a second, distinct, packaging unit, e.g. a second, distinct, blister pack, containing the daily oral dosage units comprising the progestogenic component.

(21) The skilled person will additionally know that, within the scope of the present invention, each packaging unit, e.g. blister pack, may be numbered or otherwise marked.

(22) Within the scope of the invention, each packaging unit may be a sealed blister pack with a cardboard, paperboard, foil plastic backing and enclosed in a suitable cover.

(23) In a particular embodiment of the invention the packaging unit comprises 28 containers or a multiple of 28 containers, such as 2 to 12 multiple of 28 containers.

Description

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Definitions

(1) The term “estetrol component”, as used throughout this document, encompasses substances

selected from the group consisting of estetrol, esters of estetrol wherein the hydrogen atom of at least one of the hydroxyl groups has been substituted by an acyl radical of a hydrocarbon carboxylic, sulfonic acid or sulfamic acid of 1-25 carbon atoms; and combinations thereof. Even more preferably, the estetrol component is estetrol (including estetrol hydrates). Most preferably, the estetrol component contained in the dosage unit is estetrol monohydrate.

(2) The term “estetrol” as used herein refers to 1,3,5 (10)-estratrien-3,15alpha,16alpha,17beta-tetrol or 15alpha-hydroxyestriol as well as hydrates of estetrol, e.g. estetrol monohydrate.

(3) The term “progestogenic component” is defined as a substance that is capable of triggering a progestogenic response in vivo or a precursor which is capable of liberating such a substance in vivo. Usually progestogenic components are capable of binding to a progestogen receptor.

(4) In the context of the present invention, other compounds may be used in conjunction with the estetrol component for administering to women who have a uterus. Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators (SERMs) defines a category of such compounds, which are contemplated as useful complements to the estetrol component in the methods of the invention. A preferred SERM for use in the context of the present invention is bazedoxifene.

(5) In the methods and compositions further described herein, it has to be understood that when reference is made to a “progestogenic component”, such reference includes SERMs and in particular bazedoxifene.

(6) “About” as used herein referring to a measurable value such as a parameter, an amount, a temporal duration, and the like, is meant to encompass variations of $\pm 10\%$ or less, more preferably $\pm 5\%$ or less, even more preferably $\pm 1\%$ or less of and from the specified value, in so far such variations are appropriate to perform in the disclosed invention. However, it is to be understood that the value to which the modifier “about” refers is itself also specifically disclosed.

(7) The term “an effective amount” refers to an amount necessary to obtain a physiological effect. The physiological effect may be achieved by one dose or by repeated doses.

(8) The term “perimenopause” as used herein (also in the context of “perimenopausal women” and “perimenopausal subject” and the like) refers to a period of life which begins approximately three to four years prior to menopause and ends one year after the final menstrual period. Perimenopause is characterized by persistent irregular menstrual cycles, extreme fluctuations in hormonal levels, frequent anovulation and the appearance of VMS (for reference: Harlow et al., Menopause, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2012, “*Executive summary of the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop+10: addressing the unfinished agenda of staging reproductive aging*”—see in particular FIG. 2 therein). During this transition period, the emphasis of clinical care changes. Although women still need effective contraception during perimenopause, issues including loss of bone mineral density, menstrual cycle changes, and vasomotor instability also need to be addressed. A significant number of women also experience depressive symptoms, such as mood swings, irritability, and poor concentration (Bosworth et al., *Psychosom Med.*, 2001, July-August; 63(4):603-8, “*Depressive symptoms, menopausal status, and climacteric symptoms in women at midlife*”).

(9) The term “postmenopause” as used herein (also in the context of “postmenopausal women” and “postmenopausal subject” and the like) covers firstly spontaneous post-menopausal women, i.e. women who have encountered natural menopause defined as the permanent cessation of menstrual periods, determined retrospectively after a woman has experienced 12 months of amenorrhea without any other obvious pathological or physiological cause. It occurs at a median age of 51.4 years in normal women and is a reflection of complete, or near complete, ovarian follicular depletion, with resulting hypoestrogenemia (with estradiol levels often below 20 pg/mL) and high follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH level >40 IU/L) concentrations. The term “postmenopause” also includes menopause as the consequence of premature ovarian failure, surgery (ovariectomy for example), chemotherapy or radiotherapy for cancer, and certain diseases (for example, infections or hypothyroidism).

(10) The term “VMS” as used herein (alternatively in its non-abbreviated form, “vasomotor

symptoms”) corresponds to thermo-regulatory disturbances characteristic of menopause. VMS includes hot flashes (also sometimes spelled “hot flushes”), sweating attacks such as night sweats, chills and increased perspiration, and palpitations. VMS are episodes of profuse heat accompanied by sweating and flushing, experienced predominantly around the head, neck, chest, and upper back. As further defined below, VMS are classified into mild, moderate and severe categories.

(11) The terms “Menopause-associated symptoms”, as used herein, is used to describe VMS as defined above, but also includes the emotional aspects of the menopausal transition (including, but not limited to, depression, irritability, mood changes, insomnia, sleep disturbance, anxiety and nervous tension) and the physiological aspects of the menopausal transition (including, but not limited to joint pain, loss of bone density, urinary tract infections, urinary incontinence, dryness of the vagina, uterine prolapse, changes in skin texture, weight gain and dyspareunia, as well as cardiovascular diseases and diabetes).

(12) As used herein, the terms “Quality of Life” (and the abbreviation “QoL”) refers to a parameter which can be assessed, for example, by using questionnaires, such as, by way of example and not limitation, the “Menopause Rating Scale” questionnaire (Heinemann et al., 2003, “*International versions of the Menopause Rating Scale (MRS)*” Health Qual Life Outcomes 1: 28; Heinemann et al., 2004, “*The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) scale: A methodological review*”. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2: 45; Heinemann et al., 2004, “*The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) as outcome measure for hormone treatment? A validation study*”. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2:67; as further detailed below in Example 1 Section C.) or the MENQOL questionnaire (The Menopause-specific Quality of Life (MENQOL) questionnaire, Hilditch et al.; Maturitas 1996; *A menopause-specific quality of life questionnaire: development and psychometric properties*; 24(3); p. 161-175).

(13) As used herein, “BMI” (or “Body Mass Index” in its non-abbreviated form refers to an index relating to weight and height of a subject, which is calculated by dividing the subject's weight in kilograms by the subject's height in meters squared. A BMI of 27.3 or more classifies the female subject as “overweight” while a BMI of 30 or more classifies the subject as “obese”.

(14) Determination of the Minimum Effective Dose

(15) To determine the Minimum Effective Dose (MED), firstly the selection criteria required that patients presented at least 7 moderate to severe VMS/day or at least 50 moderate to severe VMS/week in the week preceding randomization. Secondly, a placebo group was included in a double-blind fashion. Thirdly, the total patient number was such that statistical significance could be obtained between separate arms of the study. Fourthly, the absolute change from baseline in weekly frequency of moderate to severe VMS was taken into account. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed based on the untransformed change in weekly frequency of moderate to severe VMS from baseline. Finally, the change in severity from baseline was evaluated. For this, the Severity Scoring System of VMS was documented by the subjects as follows: a score of Mild (1) for a sensation of heat without sweating; a score of Moderate (2) for a sensation of heat with sweating/the subject is able to continue activity; and a score of Severe (3) for a sensation of heat with sweating/which causes cessation of activity.

(16) In addition, a severity score of zero was attributed to the patients who have experienced a 100% VMS relief during a given week.

(17) From these score records, the severity at baseline was calculated by taking into account only moderate and severe VMS, such that the total number of moderate VMS during the 7 days of the baseline week was multiplied by 2 and added to the total number of severe VMS during the 7 days of the baseline week multiplied by 3. This total was then divided by the total number of moderate and severe VMS during the baseline week.

(18) The severity at weeks 4 and 12 was calculated using the following formula for each of these weeks: the number of mild VMS during 7 days multiplied by 1; the number of moderate VMS during 7 days multiplied by 2; the number of severe VMS during 7 days multiplied by 3. and adding the 3 resulting numbers together before dividing this total by the total number of mild,

moderate and severe VMS during the 7 days of the week.

(19) This method was used to generate the data included in Example 1 further below, under section A. b) “VMS Severity”.

(20) In an alternative embodiment, the VMS Severity is assessed as follows: the severity at baseline is also calculated by taking into account only moderate and severe VMS, such that the total number of moderate VMS during the 7 days of the baseline week is multiplied by 2 and added to the total number of severe VMS during the 7 days of the baseline week multiplied by 3. This total is then divided by the total number of moderate and severe VMS during the baseline week.

(21) The severity at weeks 4 and 12, however, is calculated using the following formula for each of these weeks: the number of moderate VMS during 7 days multiplied by 2; the number of severe VMS during 7 days multiplied by 3.

and adding the 2 resulting numbers together before dividing this total by the total number of moderate and severe VMS during the 7 days of the week.

(22) In another embodiment, the severity score can be calculated according to the method described in Archer et al, 2014 (*A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the lowest effective dose of drospirenone with 17A-estradiol for moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms in postmenopausal women*; Menopause, 2014; 21(3); p. 227-235). According to this method, a daily severity score is calculated using the following formula for each day during 7 days the number of moderate VMS during 1 day is multiplied by 2; the number of severe VMS during 1 day is multiplied by 3; the 2 resulting numbers are added together; the addition result is in turn divided by the total number of VMS during the same day (moderate and severe).

(23) The same formula is applied during 7 consecutive days, the 7 resulting numbers are summed together and divided by 7 to calculate the “weekly mean daily severity” score of moderate to severe VMS.

(24) Further, the terms “Hot Flush Weekly Weighted Score”, as used herein, corresponds to a score taking into account frequency and severity which is calculated by using the severity score (as calculated above): $[(1 \times \text{No. of mild VMS}) + (2 \times \text{No. of moderate VMS}) + (3 \times \text{No. of severe VMS})]$ during a 7 day period.

(25) Such a weighted score was for example used by Notelovitz et al. (“*Initial 17 β -Estradiol Dose for Treating Vasomotor Symptoms*”; Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Vol. 95(5), May 2000, p. 726-731). This score was used to generate the data included in Example 1 further below, under section A. c) “Hot Flush Weekly Weighted Score”.

(26) In alternative embodiments, any combination of the above described methods maybe employed to evaluate the weekly severity and/or frequency of VMS.

(27) Hormone Replacement Therapy

(28) The present therapy usually employs continuous administration of the estetrol component during a period of at least 10 days, preferably of at least 20 days.

(29) The estetrol component is administered at a daily dose of from about 15 mg to about 25 mg.

(30) In a specific embodiment, the estetrol component is administered at a daily dose of from about 15 mg to less than 20 mg.

(31) In another specific embodiment, the estetrol component is administered at a daily dose of from more than 20 mg to about 25 mg.

(32) In one embodiment, the present therapy is administered to non-hysterectomized patients. In a particular embodiment, the present therapy involves daily administration of about 20 mg of the estetrol component, preferably to non-hysterectomized patients.

(33) In the cases when the present therapy is administered to a patient who has undergone hysterectomy, the estetrol component is preferably administered as sole active ingredient.

(34) When the present therapy is administered to non-hysterectomized patients, the estetrol component may be administered as sole active ingredient or may be administered together with an optional progestogenic component. Said optional progestogenic component may be administered

continuously (i.e. every day in addition to the estetrol component) or sequentially (wherein sequentially means an administration of the progestogenic component during, for example, 10 to 14 days each month or during 14 days every 3 months).

(35) The terms “continuous”/“continuously” as used herein, means that the components are administered at relatively regular intervals, with no (therapeutically) significant interruptions. Naturally, minor interruptions may occur that do not affect the overall effectiveness of the present method, and indeed such aberrations are encompassed by the present invention. In a preferred embodiment, and more arithmetically, the administration regimen is deemed to be continuous if the longest interval between 2 subsequent administrations is not more than 3.5 times as long as the average interval. Even more preferably said longest interval is not more than 2.5 times, most preferably not more than 1.5 times as long as the average interval.

(36) In one embodiment, the optional progestogenic component is administered via a non-oral route, for example using an Intra Uterine Device (IUD). In one embodiment said IUD delivers the progestogenic component levonorgestrel. In one such embodiment, the IUD is the Mirena® IUD or the Levosert® IUD.

(37) In one embodiment, the present therapy employs oral, sublingual, buccal, or sublabial administration of the estetrol component. These latter 3 modes of administration offer the advantages that the estetrol component does not have to pass through the digestive system and avoids first-pass liver exposure. Furthermore, these modes of administration provide a rapid onset of action.

(38) The term “sublingual” as used herein refers to the pharmacological route of administration by which the estetrol component diffuses into the blood through tissues under the tongue.

(39) The term “buccal” as used herein refers to the pharmacological route of administration by which the estetrol component diffuses into the blood through tissues of the buccal vestibule, the area inside the mouth between the lining of cheek (the buccal mucosa) and the teeth/gums.

(40) The term “sublabial” as used herein refers to the pharmacological route of administration by which the estetrol component is placed between the lip and the gingiva.

(41) In the present method, the estetrol and progestogenic components may be administered in separate dosage units. However, it is also possible and indeed very convenient to combine these two components into a single dosage unit.

(42) In the method according to the present invention the combination of the progestogenic and estetrol component is suitably administered continuously during a period of at least 10 days.

(43) The invention may suitably be reduced to practice in the form of a variety of administration methods that are known to the person skilled in the art. Amongst these methods are the methods making use of monophasic preparations, which contain dosage units with a constant amount of the estetrol component and of the optional progestogenic component.

(44) In the embodiment of the invention where sequential administration of the progestogenic component is chosen, it is also possible and convenient to combine the components into a single dosage unit for the days when the two components are administered.

(45) In one embodiment of the invention, the hormone replacement therapy is administered to a perimenopausal subject. In this embodiment, the subject will benefit from the alleviation of VMS through the administration of the composition of the invention, while simultaneously benefiting from a contraceptive effect. In the specific perimenopausal population, contraception is indeed often required, and since VMS appear during this time of life, the treatment of the invention will uniquely address these two needs in a single and simple treatment.

(46) In another embodiment of the invention, the hormone replacement therapy is administered to a postmenopausal subject.

(47) In a particular embodiment of the invention, the hormone replacement therapy is beneficially administered to take advantage of the absence of effect of the smoking status of the subject on the therapy of the invention. It has indeed long been known that smoking significantly reduces serum

estrogen concentrations, such as reported for example in the clinical study analysis of Bjarnason et al. (Bjarnason et al.; Climacteric 2012; *Acute and long-term estradiol kinetics in smoking postmenopausal women*; 15:5; p. 449-454) who found that in the estrogen group, smoking leads to significantly lower levels of both serum estrone and serum estradiol at all post-randomization time points, while no differences between smokers and non-smokers were seen on placebo. Bjarnason et al. conclude that smoking reduces serum estrogens at both trough and after 2 h in postmenopausal women on estrogen treatment, that the effect of smoking on estrogen concentrations is fully expressed in women smoking ten or less cigarettes daily, and that the influence of smoking upon the metabolism of estrogen therapy is constant and without dose-response for standard smoking intensities.

(48) In this particular embodiment, based on the surprising finding that the therapy of the invention is not affected by the smoking status of the subject, the hormone replacement therapy is preferably administered to a patient population smoking 5 or more cigarettes daily, to a patient population smoking 10 or more cigarettes daily, or to a patient population smoking 15 or more cigarettes daily.

(49) In yet another particular embodiment of the invention, the hormone replacement therapy is beneficially administered to take advantage of the absence of effect of the BMI of the subject on the therapy of the invention. It has indeed been surprisingly found that contrary to the prior art hormone replacement therapies, the efficacy of the therapy of the invention is not affected by the BMI value of the subject. In this particular embodiment, the hormone therapy of the invention is preferably administered to a subject whose BMI is 25 or more, 28 or more, 30 or more, 33 or more, 35 or more, 37 or more, or 40 or more, In this particular embodiment, the hormone therapy of the invention is preferably administered to an overweight subject, or to an obese subject.

(50) The hormone replacement therapy of the invention was found to be particularly beneficial for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms while having an extremely limited impact on a large number of hepatic, haemostatic, endocrine and metabolic parameters. It is indeed the case that the HRT treatments of the prior art have a negative impact on these parameters. Surprisingly and very beneficially, the treatment of the present invention was found by contrast to not modify, or to only minimally modify, most parameters.

(51) Compositions

(52) The estetrol component of the present invention encompasses substances selected from the group consisting of estetrol, esters of estetrol wherein the hydrogen atom of at least one of the hydroxyl groups has been substituted by an acyl radical of a hydrocarbon carboxylic, sulfonic acid or sulfamic acid of 1-25 carbon atoms; and combinations thereof. More preferably, the estetrol component is estetrol (including estetrol hydrates). Most preferably, the estetrol component contained in the dosage unit is estetrol monohydrate.

(53) The estetrol component of the invention is used at a daily dose equivalent to from about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol monohydrate. In other words, when the estetrol component is not estetrol monohydrate itself, the daily dose of the estetrol component is adjusted to yield a therapeutic effect equivalent to that of a daily dose of about 15 mg to about 25 mg of estetrol monohydrate.

(54) In a particularly preferred embodiment of the invention the pharmaceutical composition according to invention is designed for daily administration, i.e. it represents a daily dosage unit.

(55) In the case of oral administration, the oral dosage unit according to the invention is preferably a solid or semi-solid dosage form such as tablets, capsules, cachets, pellets, pills, powders and granules. The term "solid or semi-solid dosage form" also encompasses capsules that contain a liquid, e.g. an oil, in which the present estetrol component and/or the optional progestogenic component is dissolved or dispersed. Tablets and equivalent solid and semi-solid dosage forms can suitably contain materials such as binders (e.g. hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, other cellulosic materials and starch), diluents (e.g. lactose and other sugars, starch, dicalcium phosphate and cellulosic materials), disintegrating agents (e.g. starch polymers and cellulosic materials) and lubricating agents (e.g., stearates and talc). These tablets and equivalent

solid dosage forms may be prepared by wet granulation, e.g. using an aqueous solution or an organic solution, as well as by direct compression.

(56) In the case of sublingual, buccal or sublabial administration, the pharmaceutical composition according to the invention is preferably an orodispersible dosage unit.

(57) The term “orodispersible dosage unit” as used herein refers to a dosage unit that is designed to rapidly disintegrate in the oral cavity when it comes into contact with saliva and to disperse the estetrol component into the saliva so it may be absorbed through the mucosal lining of the oral cavity.

(58) When the dosage unit is an orodispersible dosage unit, the rate of release of the estetrol component from the dosage unit can suitably be determined using the disintegration test according to Ph. Eur. 2.9.1 (“Disintegration of tablets and capsules”) and USP <701> (“Disintegration”), for example using water as the disintegration medium. An orodispersible solid dosage unit of the present invention, when subjected to the aforementioned disintegration test, typically disintegrates within less than 5 minutes, more preferably within less than 2 minutes, still more preferably within less than 1.5 minutes, still more preferably within less than 1 minute, still more preferably within less than 45 seconds, and most preferably within less than 30 seconds.

(59) When the patient still has a uterus, an optional progestogenic component may be administered in addition to the estetrol component.

(60) Examples of progestogenic components which may suitably be used in accordance with the present invention include: levonorgestrel, norgestimate, norethisterone, dydrogesterone, drospirenone, 3-beta-hydroxydesogestrel, 3-ketodesogestrel, 17-deacetylnorgestimate, 19-norprogesterone, acetoxypregnenolone, allylestrenol, amgestone, chlormadinone, cyproterone, demegestone, desogestrel, dienogest, dihydrogesterone, dimethisterone, ethisterone, ethynodiol diacetate, fluorogestone acetate, gastrinone, gestodene, gestrinone, hydroxymethylprogesterone, hydroxyprogesterone, lynestrenol, mecirogestone, medroxyprogesterone, megestrol, mele, gestrol, nomegestrol, norethindrone, norethynodrel, norgestrel (including d-norgestrel, and dl-norgestrel), norgestrienone, normethisterone, progesterone, quingestanol, (17 alpha)-17-hydroxy-11-methylene-19-norpregna-4, 15-dien-20-yn-3-one, tibolone, trimegestone, algestone-acetophenide, nestorone, promegestone, 17-hydroxyprogesterone esters, 19-nor-17hydroxyprogesterone, 17alpha-ethynyltestosterone, 17alpha-ethynil-19-nortestosterone, d-17beta-acetoxy-13beta-ethyl-17alpha-ethynylgon-4-en-3-one oxime, 6beta, 7beta; 15beta, 16beta-dimethylene-3-oxo-17-pregna-4,9(11)-diene-21, 17beta-carbolactone or tanaproget and precursors of these compounds that are capable of liberating these progestogens in vivo when used in the present method.

(61) Preferably the progestogenic component used in the present method is selected from the group consisting of progesterone, drospirenone, dydrogesterone, precursors of these progestogens and mixtures thereof.

(62) In one embodiment, the invention provides a combination composition comprising an estetrol component together with progesterone.

(63) In one embodiment, the invention provides a combination composition comprising an estetrol component together with drospirenone.

(64) In one embodiment, the invention provides a combination composition comprising an estetrol component together with dydrogesterone.

(65) When the progestogenic component of the invention is drospirenone, it is preferably used at a daily dose of from 0.5 mg to 10 mg, even more preferably of from 1 mg to 4 mg.

(66) When the progestogenic component of the invention is dydrogesterone, it is preferably used at a daily dose of about 5 mg to about 10 mg, more preferably at a daily dose of about 5 mg.

(67) When the progestogenic component of the invention is progesterone, it is preferably used at a daily dose of from 50 mg to 200 mg. In one embodiment, progesterone is used at a daily dose of 50 mg to 100 mg when it is used continuously. In another embodiment, progesterone is used at a daily dose of 100 mg to 200 mg when it is used sequentially, for example when it is administered during

about 14 days every month.

(68) When a different progestogenic component is used, the daily dose is adjusted such as to give the same pharmacological effect as a dose of 50 mg to 200 mg of progesterone.

(69) In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the composition combines the estetrol component and the optional progestogenic component into a single dosage unit, preferably a daily dosage unit. In a more preferred embodiment of the invention, said combined daily dosage unit is an oral combined daily dosage unit.

(70) In one embodiment, the invention provides an oral combined daily dosage unit comprising an estetrol component and progesterone.

(71) In one embodiment, the invention provides an oral combined daily dosage unit comprising an estetrol component and drospirenone.

(72) In one embodiment, the invention provides an oral combined daily dosage unit comprising an estetrol component and dydrogesterone.

(73) In a preferred embodiment of the invention, an oral combined daily dosage unit combining estetrol at a daily dose of about 20 mg with progesterone at a daily dose of about 100 mg is provided.

(74) In another embodiment of the invention, the estetrol component is administered to a patient who still has a uterus in conjunction with a Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulator (SERM), in particular in conjunction with bazedoxifene. Preferably bazedoxifene is administered at a daily dose of about 10 mg to 50 mg. More preferably, bazedoxifene is administered at a daily dose of about 20 mg.

(75) In one embodiment, the invention provides a combination therapy comprising an estetrol component together with bazedoxifene.

(76) In one embodiment, the invention provides an oral combined daily dosage unit comprising an estetrol component and bazedoxifene.

(77) The present invention has been described above with reference to a number of exemplary embodiments. Modifications and alternative implementations of some parts or elements are possible, and are included in the scope of protection as defined in the appended claims.

EXAMPLES

Example 1—A Dose-Finding Study to Select the Daily Oral Dose of Estetrol (E4) for the Treatment of Vasomotor Symptoms in Post-Menopausal Women

(78) Study Enrolment and Duration:

(79) Enrolment was approximately 18 months. Individual subject participation was up to 27 weeks: up to 6 weeks pre-screening and washout, up to 4 weeks screening and run-in period, up to 91 days (13 weeks) of E4/placebo treatment followed by 2 weeks (14 days) of progestin therapy and a Follow up visit 1 week after completion of progestin therapy in non-hysterectomised subjects only.

(80) Primary Efficacy Objective:

(81) To define the minimum effective dose (MED) of the oral dose of E4 by evaluating changes in frequency and in severity of moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms (VMS).

(82) Methodology:

(83) This was a prospective, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, dose-finding study.

(84) Subject Population:

(85) Eligible subjects were hysterectomised and non-hysterectomised post-menopausal women aged 40 to 65 years, inclusive, presenting at least 7 moderate to severe hot flushes/day or at least 50 moderate to severe hot flushes/week.

(86) Diagnosis and Inclusion Criteria:

(87) The subjects have met all of the following inclusion criteria at the randomization visit. These criteria were assessed during the screening period: 1. Women aged 40 to 65 years, inclusive, presenting at least 7 moderate to severe hot flushes/day or at least 50 moderate to severe hot

flushes/week in the week preceding randomization. 2. Body Mass Index (BMI) between 18.0 and 35.0 kg/m², inclusive. 3. Post-menopausal status defined as levels of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) >40 IU/L and: amenorrhoea for at least 12 consecutive months or, amenorrhoea for at least 6 months with estradiol (E2) <20 pg/mL or, at least 6 weeks post-surgical bilateral oophorectomy with or without hysterectomy with a copy of the pathology report or a statement on letterhead from the subject's physician documenting both ovaries were removed is required. 4. For non-hysterectomised women: intact uterus with bi-layer endometrial thickness ≤5 mm on TVUS. 5. Negative pregnancy test. 6. Good physical and mental health, in the judgement of the Principal Investigator (PI), on the basis of medical, surgical and gynaecological history, physical examination, gynaecological examination, clinical laboratory, and vital signs. 7. Subject has provided signed and dated written informed consent before admission to the study. 8. Subject is able to understand and comply with the protocol requirements, instructions, and protocol-stated restrictions.

Exclusion Criteria:

(88) Potential study subjects were excluded if one of the following exclusion criteria was present at the randomization visit. These criteria were assessed during the screening period: 1. For non-hysterectomised women: uterine disease or medical condition including: a. Bi-layer endometrial thickness >5 mm as determined by TVUS; b. Presence of fibroid(s) that obscure(s) evaluation of endometrium by TVUS; c. History or presence of uterine cancer; d. Presence of endometrial hyperplasia; e. Presence of an endometrial polyp with hyperplastic or malignant epithelium. 2. Undiagnosed vaginal bleeding in the last 12 months. 3. Any history of malignancy with the exception of basal cell (excluded if within the prior 2 years) or squamous cell (excluded if within the prior one year) carcinoma of the skin. Any clinically significant findings at the breast examination and/or on mammography suspicious of breast malignancy that would require additional clinical testing to rule out breast cancer (however, simple cysts confirmed by ultrasound were allowed). Note: A screening mammogram was required unless the subject had a written documentation of a mammogram performed within the last 9 months. 4. Abnormal cervical Pap smear in non-hysterectomised subjects (written documentation of prior test within 18 months or test at screening exam) with evidence of cervical dysplasia greater than low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL). Women with a diagnosis of atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS) were enrolled. 5. Systolic blood pressure (BP) outside the range 90 to 140 mmHg, diastolic BP outside the range 60 to 90 mmHg, and/or heart rate outside the range 40 to 100 bpm. Subjects with mild to moderate hypertension who were controlled on a stable antihypertension regimen were enrolled if they met the inclusion/exclusion criteria. 6. Any clinically significant abnormality identified on the screening 12-lead ECG. 7. History of venous or arterial thromboembolic disease (e.g., deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, stroke, myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, etc.), history of known coagulopathy or abnormal coagulation factors. 8. Diabetes mellitus with poor glycaemic control in the last 6 months assessed by laboratory values of glucose outside the normal ranges and glycated haemoglobin above 7%. 9. Dyslipoproteinaemia predisposes the subject to atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). If a subject had a 10 years ASCVD score ≥5% as calculated using the ASCVD risk estimator (ACC/AHA Cardiovascular risk assessment guideline, 2013), she was not be included in the trial. In all cases, LDL cholesterol level ≥190 mg/dL or triglycerides plasma level >400 mg/dL were exclusionary. If a subject was receiving a lipid-lowering therapy, her treatment had to be on a stable dose for at least 1 month before screening and the same eligibility criteria had to be used. 10. Smoking >10 cigarettes/day or use of >1 ml/day of nicotine containing liquid for electronic cigarette. 11. Presence or history of gallbladder disease, unless cholecystectomy had been performed. 12. Systemic lupus erythematosus. 13. Multiple sclerosis. 14. Acute or chronic liver disease. 15. Acute or chronic renal impairment, including severe renal impairment. 16. Uncontrolled thyroid disorders. 17. Subject had a history of major depression or post-traumatic

stress disorder (PTSD) within 2 years, OR a history of other major psychiatric disorder at any time (e.g., schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, etc.). 18. Use of oestrogen or progestin containing drug(s). A washout period is required before the Run-in Period in case of use of: a. Vaginal hormonal products (rings, creams, gels): washout of at least 4 weeks; b. Transdermal oestrogen or oestrogen/progestin: washout of at least 4 weeks; c. Oral oestrogen and/or progestin: washout of at least 4 weeks; d. Intrauterine progestin therapy: washout of at least 4 weeks; Current users of progestin implants or oestrogen alone injectable drug therapy were not allowed to participate unless the treatment was stopped more than 3 months ago. Current users of oestrogen pellet therapy or progestin injectable drug therapy were not allowed to participate unless the treatment was stopped more than 6 months ago. 19. Use of non-hormonal treatments to reduce hot flushes. A washout period of 1 week was required before the Run-in Period in the case of use of non-hormonal prescription and over-the-counter (OTC) treatments for hot flushes (such as anti-depressants paroxetine, escitalopram, venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, and clonidine; or phytoestrogens, black cohosh, etc.). If one of these treatments was concomitantly taken with an oestrogen or progestin-containing drug, washout periods could be combined and did not have to be sequential. 20. Use of medication that may affect the outcome of the VMS endpoints within 28 days before the Run-in Period. This included (but was not limited to): SSRIs [selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors], SNRIs [serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors], dopaminergic or antidopaminergic drugs, or gabapentin. 21. History or presence of allergy to the investigational product or drugs of this class, or history of drug or other allergy that, in the opinion of the Investigator contraindicated subject participation. 22. History or presence of allergy or intolerance to any component of the investigational product. 23. History of alcohol or substance abuse or dependence in the 12 months as determined by the Investigator, i.e. subject consumed excessive alcohol, abused drugs, or had a condition that could compromise the subject's ability to comply with study requirements in the Investigator's opinion. 24. Sponsor or Contract Research Organization (CRO) employees, or personnel in the department of the Investigator and relatives affiliated with this study. 25. Subjects with *porphyria* and subjects with known or suspected history of a clinically significant systemic disease, unstable medical disorders, life-threatening disease or current malignancies that would pose a risk to the subject in the opinion of the Investigator. 26. Participation in another investigational drug clinical study within 1 month (30 days) or had received an investigational drug within the last 3 months (90 days). 27. Was judged by the Investigator to be unsuitable for any reason.

Number of Subjects:

Intention-to-Treat Principle

(89) This principle asserts that the effect of a treatment policy can be best assessed by evaluating on the basis of the intention to treat a subject (i.e. the planned treatment regimen) rather than the actual treatment given. It has the consequence that subjects allocated to a treatment group should be followed up, assessed and analysed as members of that group irrespective of their compliance to the planned course of treatment.

(90) Further, the intention-to-treat principle implies that the primary analysis should include all randomised subjects.

(91) Preservation of the initial randomisation in analysis is important in preventing bias and in providing a secure foundation for statistical tests. In many clinical trials the use of the full analysis set provides a conservative strategy. Under many circumstances it may also provide estimates of treatment effects which are more likely to mirror those observed in subsequent practice.

(92) In the present study, the Intention-To-Treat group included a total of 257 patients.

(93) Subjects were randomly allocated to one of the 5 treatment arms in a 1:1:1:1:1 ratio. The randomisation was stratified by centre.

(94) Study Visits:

(95) TABLE-US-00001 Notation as used throughout all tables, Week Visit listings and figures
Study part Week -7 Visit 1 (Pre- V1 Screening to -4 Screening) Week -4 Visit 1a V1a Screening

to -3 (Screening) Week -1 Visit 2 (Baseline) V2 Randomisation Week 5 Visit 3 V3 Treatment period Week 13 Visit 4 (EOT) V4 End of treatment Week 16 Visit 5 (EOS) V5 End of study Test Product and Reference Therapy, Dose, and Mode of Administration

(96) All treatments (Estetrol, hereinafter E4, [2.5 mg, 5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg] capsule) were administered once daily (QD) per os for at least 12 consecutive weeks until the last biological assessments (Day 91 maximum) had been performed.

(97) Placebo, 1 capsule administered QD per os for at least 12 consecutive weeks until the last biological assessments (Day 90 maximum) have been performed.

(98) If during the course of the trial, a double layer endometrial thickness ≥ 15 mm was detected on TVUS and/or abnormal uterine bleeding (in the judgement of the gynaecologist in light of the oestrogen therapy) was reported by a non-hysterectomised woman, she underwent an endometrial biopsy and was treated with progestin (10 mg dydrogesterone) QD until end of Week 11 in a sequential way (i.e., a 14 day progestin treatment period followed by a 14 day progestin treatment pause) in addition to the E4/placebo treatment. If the endometrial biopsy showed endometrial hyperplasia, the subject participation was immediately stopped and the treatment of hyperplasia was performed as per local guidelines. If an abnormal uterine bleeding occurred again after a first normal endometrial biopsy, a thorough gynaecological examination and a TVUS were performed. If necessary in the judgment of the gynaecologist, a second endometrial biopsy was performed. After the E4/Placebo treatment period, all non-hysterectomised subjects (including those having received the progestin previously) received progestin therapy for 14 days with 10 mg dydrogesterone QD.

(99) Results

(100) A. Vasomotors Parameters for Each of the 5 Treatment Groups

(101) a. VMS Frequency

(102) i. Absolute Change (Mean Change from Baseline) in Weekly Frequency of Moderate to Severe VMS

(103) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(104) TABLE-US-00002

	2.5 mg	5 mg	10 mg	15 mg	Placebo	Week	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Mean	SD	Mean	SD	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1	-17.28	19.71	-15.04	17.36	-13.37	19.59	-14.86	16.45	-16.65	16.24	2	-25.10	
23.91	-21.68	19.26	-23.47	24.74	-29.19	20.25	-27.38	23.16	3	-32.46	26.15	-24.38	
21.36	-31.34	25.21	-36.30	23.23	-30.16	23.09	4	-35.89	31.57	-27.57	22.47	-36.38	
22.62	-41.43	21.60	-32.94	23.14	5	-37.16	33.27	-30.70	23.05	-39.07	21.55	-44.34	
20.95	-34.70	23.01	6	-39.98	35.44	-38.02	22.13	-42.56	21.18	-46.58	19.83	-37.18	
21.25	7	-42.44	36.96	-38.23	21.73	-43.30	22.18	-48.51	19.34	-38.55	21.85	8	-43.62
38.43	-38.55	23.54	-44.46	23.30	-48.35	19.75	-38.13	21.69	9	-45.19	36.91	-39.34	
23.51	-45.19	24.22	-49.62	18.97	-39.25	22.74	10	-45.54	37.93	-39.83	23.79	-45.93	
23.58	-48.88	20.04	-41.12	21.82	11	-44.74	39.30	-41.46	23.59	-45.90	23.57	-49.92	
19.42	-42.50	22.68	12	-45.04	38.91	-40.60	24.37	-47.21	22.87	-50.94	18.38	-42.97	
22.31													

(105) In order to analyse the data recorded in this study, treatment groups have been compared using an ANCOVA (analysis of covariance) with respect to the change in weekly frequency of moderate to severe VMS from baseline to weeks 4 and 12. The ANCOVA model includes treatment ("trt1") and study centre ("SITEPOOL") as a fixed effect and baseline ("base") as a covariate.

(106) b) Covariate Significance

(107) The Table below presents a comparison over all treatment groups.

(108) TABLE-US-00003

Analysis	Timepoint (N)	Covariate	p_value
4	trt1	0.0164	4
base	<.0001	4	
SITEPOOL	0.2214	12	trt1
0.0384	12	base	<.0001
12	SITEPOOL	0.2706	

(109) Upon review, it was considered that the effect due to the study centre was not very important, and a second ANCOVA was performed without accounting for study centres.

(110) Without Site Effect

(111) TABLE-US-00004

Analysis	Timepoint (N)	Covariate	p_value
4	trt1	0.0130	4
base	<.0001	12	

trt1 0.0254 12 base <.0001

c) The Table Below Presents Mean Change from Baseline by Week and Treatment:

(112) TABLE-US-00005 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -33.7959277 -40.006133
-27.585722 4 2.5 -32.2601479 -38.742792 -25.777504 4 5 -27.4372730 -34.135693
-20.738853 4 10 -35.3672857 -41.716169 -29.018402 4 15 -43.5996056 -50.256441
-36.942770 12 0 -43.7344395 -50.150527 -37.318352 12 2.5 -40.1310220 -46.828579
-33.433465 12 5 -40.6802008 -47.600688 -33.759714 12 10 -45.8376026 -52.396965
-39.278240 12 15 -53.8273074 -60.704831 -46.949784

Without Site Effect

(113) TABLE-US-00006 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -33.6519764 -39.821576
-27.482377 4 2.5 -32.6798943 -39.056255 -26.303533 4 5 -27.8705668 -34.540176
-21.200958 4 10 -35.8741722 -42.156516 -29.591828 4 15 -44.3569892 -50.965323
-37.748656 12 0 -43.9304437 -50.292513 -37.568374 12 2.5 -40.6840423 -47.259323
-34.108761 12 5 -41.0122585 -47.889936 -34.134581 12 10 -46.5333871 -53.011718
-40.055056 12 15 -54.9073270 -61.721817 -48.092837

(114) All statistical tests are supported by presenting Least Square adjusted mean (LS adjusted mean: the group means after having controlled for a covariate; also referred to as marginal means or estimated marginal means) and 95% confidence intervals for the respective treatment effects.

(115) These LS adjusted means and Confidence Intervals are based on the statistical models used for the analysis.

(116) The Confidence Intervals implies that if the same population is sampled on numerous occasions and interval estimates are made on each occasion, the resulting intervals would bracket the true population parameter in approximately 95% of the cases.

(117) d) The Table Below Presents Differences with Placebo by Week and Treatment

(118) TABLE-US-00007 Analysis Higher Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint dose dose
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 1.535780
-9.602669 12.674228 0.99183 4 5.0 0 6.358655 -4.958071 17.675380 0.44910 4 10.0 0
-1.571358 -12.573355 9.430639 0.99067 4 15.0 0 -9.803678 -21.033229 1.425873 0.10653 12
2.5 0 3.603418 -7.904295 15.111130 0.86103 12 5.0 0 3.054239 -8.637660 14.746138 0.92091 12
10.0 0 -2.103163 -13.469900 9.263574 0.97581 12 15.0 0 -10.092868 -21.694703 1.508967
0.10838

Without Site Effect

(119) TABLE-US-00008 Analysis Higher Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint dose dose
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 0.972082
-10.164048 12.108212 0.99860 4 5.0 0 5.781410 -5.579628 17.142447 0.53888 4 10.0 0
-2.222196 -13.242056 8.797664 0.96728 4 15.0 0 -10.705013 -21.979289 0.569264 0.06834 12
2.5 0 3.246401 -8.237137 14.729940 0.89864 12 5.0 0 2.918185 -8.797276 14.633647 0.93259 12
10.0 0 -2.602943 -13.966584 8.760697 0.94911 12 15.0 0 -10.976883 -22.602878 0.649111
0.07057

(120) From these Tables, it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose generates a near statistically significant difference with placebo at 4 weeks (p-value of 0.10653 in the first statistical analysis, and of 0.06834 in the analysis without pooled site) and at 12 weeks (p-value of 0.10838 in the first statistical analysis, and of 0.07057 in the analysis without pooled site).

(121) ii. Relative Change (% from Baseline) in Weekly Frequency of Moderate to Severe VMS

(122) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(123) TABLE-US-00009 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Placebo Week Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD
Mean SD Mean SD 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 -23% 23% -23%
28% -21% 29% -22% 33% -26% 25% 2 -34% 27% -33% 29% -37% 37% -46% 34% -41%

35% 3 -44% 29% -38% 33% -48% 39% -57% 34% -45% 33% -49% 33% -43% 34% -55%
35% -67% 30% -49% 32% 5 -50% 33% -47% 34% -60% 33% -72% 28% -52% 32% 6 -54%
36% -57% 31% -65% 33% -76% 26% -56% 30% 7 -57% 36% -57% 30% -66% 34% -79%
25% -58% 30% 8 -58% 38% -57% 32% -68% 35% -79% 26% -58% 31% 9 -61% 36% -59%
32% -69% 36% -81% 24% -60% 31% 10 -61% 37% -60% 32% -70% 35% -80% 27% -63%
30% 11 -60% 39% -63% 31% -70% 34% -82% 25% -65% 31% 12 -61% 38% -62% 32%
-72% 33% -84% 23% -65% 30%

(124) From this table, it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose resulted in a reduction of over 80% in the frequency of moderate to severe VMS when compared to baseline.

(125) b) Covariate Significance

(126) The Table below presents a comparison over all treatment groups.

(127) TABLE-US-00010 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0147 4 base 0.3684 4 SITEPOOL 0.3236 12 trt1 0.0100 12 base 0.1490 12 SITEPOOL 0.0958

Without Site Effect

(128) TABLE-US-00011 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0107 4 base 0.2264 12 trt1 0.0065 12 base 0.0774

c) The Table Below Presents Mean Relative Change (%) from Baseline by Week and Treatment

(129) TABLE-US-00012 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -0.49080693 -0.578953 -0.402661
4 2.5 -0.48482309 -0.576836 -0.392811 4 5 -0.42247695 -0.517552 -0.327402 4 10
-0.54456428 -0.634678 -0.454450 4 15 -0.64721795 -0.741703 -0.552733 12 0 -0.64237949
-0.726371 -0.558388 12 2.5 -0.60565210 -0.693328 -0.517976 12 5 -0.60259514 -0.693189
-0.512001 12 10 -0.70041629 -0.786283 -0.614549 12 15 -0.80089486 -0.890927 -0.710863

Without Site Effect

(130) TABLE-US-00013 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -0.48986685 -0.577115 -0.402619
4 2.5 -0.49532213 -0.585494 -0.405151 4 5 -0.43132298 -0.525642 -0.337004 4 10
-0.55503454 -0.643877 -0.466192 4 15 -0.66014529 -0.753597 -0.566693 12 0 -0.65107969
-0.735113 -0.567046 12 2.5 -0.62210640 -0.708956 -0.535256 12 5 -0.61436957 -0.705214
-0.523525 12 10 -0.71732171 -0.802891 -0.631752 12 15 -0.82338275 -0.913392 -0.733373

d) The Table Below Presents Differences with Placebo by Week and Treatment

(131) TABLE-US-00014 Analysis Higher Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint dose dose
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 0.005984
-0.152112 0.164079 0.99995 4 5.0 0 0.068330 -0.092296 0.228956 0.68478 4 10.0 0 -0.053757
-0.209916 0.102401 0.81738 4 15.0 0 -0.156411 -0.315800 0.002977 0.05622 12 2.5 0 0.036727
-0.113917 0.187372 0.93696 12 5.0 0 0.039784 -0.113271 0.192840 0.92218 12 10.0 0 -0.058037
-0.206836 0.090762 0.74506 12 15.0 0 -0.158515 -0.310392 -0.006639 0.03771

(132) From this Table it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose generates a statistically significant difference with placebo at 12 weeks (p-value of 0.03771) and an almost statistically significant difference with placebo at 4 weeks (p-value of 0.05622).

(133) It is particularly striking to observe the low p-values obtained for the 15 mg dose by comparison to the elevated p-values obtained for the 10 mg dose.

(134) Without Site Effect

(135) TABLE-US-00015 Analysis Higher Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint dose dose
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 -0.005455
-0.162937 0.152027 0.99996 4 5.0 0 0.058544 -0.102119 0.219206 0.78717 4 10.0 0 -0.065168
-0.221006 0.090670 0.69797 4 15.0 0 -0.170278 -0.329714 -0.010843 0.03206 12 2.5 0
0.028973 -0.122708 0.180654 0.97301 12 5.0 0 0.036710 -0.118034 0.191454 0.94271 12 10.0 0
-0.066242 -0.216339 0.083855 0.65761 12 15.0 0 -0.172303 -0.325866 -0.018741 0.02210

(136) From this Table it can be seen that in the statistical analysis not accounting for site effects,

the 15 mg daily dose generates a statistically significant difference with placebo at 4 weeks (p=0.03206) and at 12 weeks (p=0.02210).

(137) It is particularly striking to observe the low p-values obtained for the 15 mg dose by comparison to the elevated p-values obtained for the 10 mg dose.

(138) iii. Frequency Changes in Groups of Responders in Weekly Frequency of Moderate to Severe VMS

(139) VMS frequency was also studied by grouping patients according to their degree of response.

(140) A first grouping of patients showing a response of 50% or more (relative change from baseline) was prepared. According to this analysis, at week 12, the 15 mg daily dose group contains 91.8% of responders, while the placebo group contains 65.5% of responders. The difference between these two groups has a p-value below 0.01, whereas the difference between the 10 mg daily dose group and the placebo group is not statistically significant (p value>0.1).

(141) A second grouping of patients showing a response of 75% or more was prepared. According to this analysis, at week 12, the 15 mg daily dose group contains 77.6% of responders, while the placebo group contains 43.6% of responders. The difference between these two group has a p-value below 0.001, whereas the difference between the 10 mg daily dose group and the placebo group is not statistically significant (p value>0.05).

(142) b. VMS Severity

(143) i. Absolute Change (Mean Change from Baseline) in Weekly Severity of Moderate to Severe VMS

(144) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(145) TABLE-US-00016

	2.5 mg	5 mg	10 mg	15 mg	Placebo	Week	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
0	0	0	0	0	1	-0.1542	-0.098	-0.2028	-0.072	-0.1638	2
						-0.2112	-0.1199	-0.3007	-0.2853		
						-0.2746	3	-0.3246	-0.1574	-0.3983	-0.4842
						-0.2676	4	-0.3373	-0.2341	-0.4842	-0.5897
						-0.3327	5	-0.3984	-0.1683	-0.5107	-0.7432
						-0.4146	6	-0.5014	-0.3212	-0.6086	-0.7035
						-0.4562	-0.2787	-0.643	-0.8112	-0.4645	8
						-0.5359	-0.3239	-0.6644	-0.8854	-0.52	9
						-0.3249	-0.6864	-0.9092	-0.5522	10	-0.6052
						-0.3667	-0.709	-0.9628	-0.5514	11	-0.5564
						-0.4161	-0.666	-1.0123	-0.6551	12	-0.6279
						-0.4007	-0.6941	-1.0425	-0.6604		

(146) In order to better analyse the data recorded in this study, treatment groups have been compared using an ANCOVA (analysis of covariance) with respect to the change in severity of moderate to severe VMS from baseline to mild, moderate and severe VMS at week 4 and week 12 for each active treatment versus placebo. For women who experienced 100% VMS relief at week 4 and/or week 12, a value of zero was attributed. The ANCOVA model includes treatment (“trt1”) as a fixed effect and baseline (“base”) as a covariate.

(147) b) Covariate Significance

(148) The Table below presents a comparison over all treatment groups.

(149) TABLE-US-00017

Analysis	Timepoint (N)	Covariate	p_value	4 trt1	0.0119	4 base	0.3781	12 trt1	0.0032	12 base	0.7990
----------	---------------	-----------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

c) The Table Below Presents Mean Change from Baseline by Week and Treatment:

(150) TABLE-US-00018

Analysis	Planned	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Timepoint	treatment	LS
adjusted	confidence	confidence	(N)	(mg)	mean	limit
						limit
				4	0	-0.327801
				5	-0.238552	-0.393041
				10	-0.084063	4
				15	-0.588755	-0.739764
				12	0	-0.658280
				12	2.5	-0.629592
				12	5	-0.402567
				12	10	-0.693404
				12	15	-1.042087
				12	15	-1.264369
				12	15	-0.819805

d) The Table Below Presents Differences with Placebo by Week and Treatment

(151) TABLE-US-00019

Analysis	Higher	Lower	LS	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Timepoint	dose	dose
adjusted	confidence	confidence	(N)	(mg)	(mg)	mean	limit	limit
							p_value	4
				2.5	0	-0.013291		
				4	5.0	0	0.089249	-0.174507
				4	10.0	0	0.353004	0.8253
				4	10.0	0	-0.154735	
				4	15.0	0	-0.260954	-0.520749
				12	2.5	0	-0.001159	0.0486
				12	2.5	0	0.028687	

-0.347368 0.404743 0.9992 12 5.0 0 0.255713 -0.132530 0.643955 0.3062 12 10.0 0 -0.035124
-0.409723 0.339475 0.9981 12 15.0 0 -0.383807 -0.766221 -0.001394 0.0489

(152) From this Table it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose generates a statistically significant difference with placebo at 4 weeks (p-value of 0.0486) and at 12 weeks (p-value of 0.0489). The 15 mg dose thus significantly improves the severity of VMS at weeks 4 and 12 compared with placebo.

(153) For the severity parameter also, the difference between the 10 mg and 15 mg doses is impressive: this is reflected firstly in the mean changes from baseline presented in the Table of section c) above, where for example at 12 weeks the LS adjusted mean for 10 mg is -0.69 (to be compared with -0.66 found for the placebo group), while it is -1.04 for the 15 mg daily dose group. This clear difference is mirrored by a near 8-fold improvement in the p-value at 4 weeks when switching from 10 mg to 15 mg per day, and an over 20-fold improvement in the p-value at 12 weeks when switching from 10 mg to 15 mg per day.

(154) ii. Relative Change (% from Baseline) in Weekly Severity of Moderate to Severe VMS

(155) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(156) TABLE-US-00020 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Placebo Week Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean
0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 -7% -4% -8% -3% -7% 2 -9% -5% -13% -12%
-12% 3 -14% -7% -17% -20% -11% 4 -15% -10% -20% -24% -14% 5 -17% -7% -21%
-31% -18% 6 -22% -14% -26% -30% -19% 7 -20% -12% -27% -34% -20% 8 -24% -14%
-28% -37% -22% 9 -24% -14% -28% -38% -23% 10 -26% -15% -29% -40% -23% 11
-25% -18% -25% -42% -28% 12 -28% -17% -28% -44% -27%

(157) From this table, it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose resulted in a reduction of over 40% in the severity of moderate to severe VMS when compared to baseline.

(158) b) Covariate Significance

(159) The Table below presents a comparison over all treatment groups.

(160) TABLE-US-00021 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0126 4 base 0.6594 12
trt1 0.0031 12 base 0.1651

c) The Table Below Presents Mean Relative Change from Baseline by Week and Treatment

(161) TABLE-US-00022 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -0.140987 -0.200215 -0.081759 4
2.5 -0.145345 -0.205600 -0.085090 4 5 -0.095556 -0.159566 -0.031546 4 10 -0.201564
-0.261739 -0.141388 4 15 -0.242707 -0.305276 -0.180139 12 0 -0.279744 -0.368327
-0.191161 12 2.5 -0.275038 -0.365158 -0.184919 12 5 -0.164881 -0.260616 -0.069145 12 10
-0.286159 -0.376158 -0.196159 12 15 -0.437476 -0.531055 -0.343897

d) The Table Below Presents Differences with Placebo by Week and Treatment

(162) TABLE-US-00023 Analysis High- Time- er Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% point dose
dose adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 -0.004358
-0.110211 0.101495 0.9999 4 5.0 0 0.045431 -0.063853 0.154714 0.7006 4 10.0 0 -0.060577
-0.166020 0.044866 0.4281 4 15.0 0 -0.101720 -0.209363 0.005922 0.0702 12 2.5 0 0.004705
-0.153612 0.163022 1.0000 12 5.0 0 0.114863 -0.048585 0.278310 0.2522 12 10.0 0 -0.006415
-0.164119 0.151289 0.9999 12 15.0 0 -0.157732 -0.318726 0.003261 0.0568

(163) From this Table it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose generates a near statistically significant difference with placebo at 12 weeks (p-value of 0.0568). The 15 mg daily dose improves the severity of VMS at weeks 4 and 12 compared with placebo, whereas the 10 mg daily dose here again is hardly distinguishable from placebo, especially so at 12 weeks.

(164) c. Hot Flush Weekly Weighted Score

(165) i. Absolute Change (Mean Change from Baseline) in Weekly Weighted Score

(166) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(167) TABLE-US-00024 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Placebo Week Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean
0 2.4151 1.8297 2.3773 2.219 2.0545 1 -40.805 -33.7092 -31.4591 -33.1745 -38.203 2 -58.6163

-49.1319 -54.0849 -67.6989 -64.1272 3 -74.8798 -56.9697 -73.5189 -85.1915 -70.45 4 -82.75
-64.8344 -87.2673 -98.8329 -77.936 5 -84.6062 -71.6181 -93.4648 -105.223 -83.073 6
-89.9842 -88.2337 -101.646 -110.268 -89.6742 7 -94.2468 -88.2989 -103.024 -115.263
-92.9248 8 -97.1084 -89.2039 -104.835 -115.656 -91.6603 9 -101.429 -90.923 -107.578
-118.578 -94.9918 10 -100.18 -91.4798 -109.514 -116.853 -99.4484 11 -97.6016 -95.8365
-109.532 -119.365 -102.771 12 -98.5424 -93.5117 -111.764 -121.777 -104.292

b) Covariate Significance

(168) The Table below presents a comparison over all treatment groups.

(169) TABLE-US-00025 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0128 4 base <.0001 12
trt1 0.0107 12 base <.0001

c) The Table Below Presents Mean Change from Baseline by Week and Treatment:

(170) TABLE-US-00026 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -78.267781 -93.531339 -63.004223
4 2.5 -75.370737 -91.064629 -59.676845 4 5 -66.878234 -83.400001 -50.356467 4 10
-85.563378 -101.119727 -70.007030 4 15 -106.330686 -122.645517 -90.015855 12 0
-104.682568 -120.535991 -88.829145 12 2.5 -89.857250 -106.157637 -73.556864 12 5
-95.915504 -113.075759 -78.755249 12 10 -109.760136 -125.917663 -93.602609 12 15
-130.594733 -147.540056 -113.649411

d) The Table Below Presents Differences with Placebo by Week and Treatment

(171) TABLE-US-00027 Analysis Higher Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint dose dose
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 2.897044
-24.495634 30.289722 0.9970 4 5.0 0 11.389547 -16.739876 39.518971 0.7205 4 10.0 0
-7.295597 -34.556270 19.965075 0.9147 4 15.0 0 -28.062905 -55.994318 -0.131492 0.0485 12
2.5 0 14.825318 -13.625957 43.276593 0.5176 12 5.0 0 8.767064 -20.449428 37.983557 0.8780
12 10.0 0 -5.077568 -33.391737 23.236601 0.9785 12 15.0 0 -25.912165 -54.922995 3.098664
0.0951

ii. Relative Change (% from Baseline) in Weekly Weighted Score

a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(172) TABLE-US-00028 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Placebo Week Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean
0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 -22% -22% -20% -19% -24% 2 -32% -32% -35% -43%
-40% 3 -43% -37% -47% -56% -43% 4 -47% -43% -54% -66% -47% 5 -48% -46% -58%
-70% -51% 6 -51% -56% -64% -74% -55% 7 -53% -56% -64% -78% -57% 8 -55% -56%
-66% -78% -57% 9 -57% -58% -67% -80% -58% 10 -57% -59% -68% -79% -62% 11
-56% -61% -69% -80% -64% 12 -57% -60% -70% -82% -65%

b) Covariate Significance

(173) The Table below presents a comparison over all treatment groups.

(174) TABLE-US-00029 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0108 4 base 0.4181 12
trt1 0.0024 12 base 0.0593

c) The Table Below Presents Mean Relative Change from Baseline by Week and Treatment

(175) TABLE-US-00030 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -0.474107 -0.562044 -0.386170 4
2.5 -0.473966 -0.564382 -0.383549 4 5 -0.426177 -0.521362 -0.330991 4 10 -0.541886
-0.631510 -0.452262 4 15 -0.650317 -0.744310 -0.556323 12 0 -0.644566 -0.728624
-0.560509 12 2.5 -0.579457 -0.665884 -0.493029 12 5 -0.599251 -0.690237 -0.508264 12 10
-0.699351 -0.785021 -0.613681 12 15 -0.812214 -0.902061 -0.722368

d) The Table Below Presents Differences with Placebo by Week and Treatment

(176) TABLE-US-00031 Analysis High- Time- er Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% point dose
dose adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 2.5 0 -0.473966
-0.564382 -0.383549 1.0000 4 5.0 0 -0.426177 -0.521362 -0.330991 0.8833 4 10.0 0
-0.541886 -0.631510 -0.452262 0.6745 4 15.0 0 -0.650317 -0.744310 -0.556323 0.0267 12 2.5

0 -0.579457 -0.665884 -0.493029 0.6744 12 5.0 0 -0.599251 -0.690237 -0.508264 0.8871 12
10.0 0 -0.699351 -0.785021 -0.613681 0.7861 12 15.0 0 -0.812214 -0.902061 -0.722368 0.0276
(177) From this Table it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose generates a statistically significant
difference with placebo at 4 weeks (p-value of 0.0267) and at 12 weeks (p-value of 0.0276). It is
particularly striking to observe the low p-values obtained for the 15 mg dose by comparison to the
elevated p-values obtained for the 10 mg dose.

(178) B. Vasomotors Parameters for the 10 mg and 15 mg Groups by Comparison to the Placebo
and Inefficient Doses (2.5 mg and 5 mg) Grouped Together

(179) Based on the results observed in section A above, it became apparent that the two lowest
doses tested (2.5 mg per day and 5 mg per day) did not show efficacy. A further analysis of the
results was thus prepared, where data from these two doses were grouped with the placebo dose
and compared to the 10 mg and 15 mg doses.

(180) 1. Relative Change (% from Baseline) in Weekly Frequency of Moderate to Severe VMS

(181) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(182) TABLE-US-00032 Placebo with 10 mg 15 mg 2.5 and 5 mg Week Mean SD Mean SD Mean
SD 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 -21% 29% -22% 33% -24% 25% 2 -37% 37% -46%
34% -35% 31% 3 -48% 39% -57% 34% -42% 32% 4 -55% 35% -67% 30% -47% 33% 5 -60%
33% -72% 28% -50% 33% 6 -65% 33% -76% 26% -56% 32% 7 -66% 34% -79% 25% -58%
32% 8 -68% 35% -79% 26% -58% 34% 9 -69% 36% -81% 24% -60% 33% 10 -70% 35%
-80% 27% -61% 33% 11 -70% 34% -82% 25% -63% 34% 12 -72% 33% -84% 23% -63%
33%

b) Covariate Significance

(183) TABLE-US-00033 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0039 4 base 0.3935 4
SITEPOOL 0.3308 12 trt1 0.0017 12 base 0.1244 12 SITEPOOL 0.0957

c) Pairwise Comparisons with Placebo (Including Ineffective Doses 2.5 mg and 5 mg)

(184) TABLE-US-00034 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -0.46779276 -0.521579 -0.414007
4 10 -0.54424142 -0.634204 -0.454279 4 15 -0.64752092 -0.741851 -0.553191 12 0
-0.61799510 -0.669162 -0.566828 12 10 -0.70075417 -0.786337 -0.615172 12 15 -0.80058459
-0.890322 -0.710847

d) Differences with Placebo (Including Ineffective Doses 2.5 mg and 5 mg)

(185) TABLE-US-00035 Analysis High- Time- er Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% point dose
dose adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit p_value 4 10.0 0 -0.076449
-0.193421 0.040523 0.26148 4 15.0 0 -0.179728 -0.301777 -0.057679 0.00218 12 10.0 0
-0.082759 -0.194036 0.028518 0.17979 12 15.0 0 -0.182589 -0.298696 -0.066483 0.00099

(186) As already mentioned under Section A) above it is particularly striking to observe the low p-
values obtained for the 15 mg daily dose by comparison to the elevated p-values obtained for the
10 mg daily dose, demonstrating the unique relief obtained with the 15 mg daily dose.

(187) 2. Relative Change (% from Baseline) in Hot Flush Weekly Weighted Score:

(188) a) Week-by-Week for Each Group

(189) TABLE-US-00036 Placebo with 10 mg 15 mg 2.5 and 5 mg Week Mean SD Mean SD Mean
SD 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 -20% 28% -22% 32% -24% 25% 2 -34% 36% -45%
32% -36% 30% 3 -46% 39% -56% 32% -42% 31% 4 -53% 34% -66% 29% -46% 32% 5 -57%
32% -70% 27% -49% 33% 6 -62% 32% -72% 26% -54% 32% 7 -64% 32% -75% 25% -55%
31% 8 -66% 34% -76% 26% -56% 32% 9 -66% 34% -78% 25% -57% 31% 10 -68% 34%
-77% 27% -59% 32% 11 -68% 33% -79% 26% -60% 33% 12 -69% 32% -81% 24% -60%
32%

b) Covariate Significance

(190) TABLE-US-00037 Analysis Timepoint (N) Covariate p_value 4 trt1 0.0037 4 base 0.5294 4
SITEPOOL 0.3783 12 trt1 0.0015 12 base 0.0770 12 SITEPOOL 0.0487

c) Pairwise Comparisons with Placebo (Including Ineffective Doses 2.5 mg and 5 mg)
 (191) TABLE-US-00038 Analysis Planned Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint treatment LS
 adjusted confidence confidence (N) (mg) mean limit limit 4 0 -0.45362100 -0.506219 -0.401023
 4 10 -0.51368261 -0.601866 -0.425499 4 15 -0.63242707 -0.724866 -0.539989 12 0
 -0.59132448 -0.640861 -0.541788 12 10 -0.67757463 -0.760625 -0.594524 12 15 -0.76832743
 -0.855386 -0.681269

d) Differences with Placebo (Including Ineffective Doses 2.5 mg and 5 mg)
 (192) TABLE-US-00039 Analysis Higher Lower LS Lower 95% Upper 95% Timepoint dose dose
 adjusted confidence confidence p_ (N) (mg) (mg) mean limit limit value 4 10.0 0 -0.060062
 -0.174760 0.054636 0.41666 4 15.0 0 -0.178806 -0.298114 -0.059498 0.00178 12 10.0 0
 -0.086250 -0.194272 0.021772 0.14044 12 15.0 0 -0.177003 -0.289367 -0.064639 0.00097

(193) As already mentioned under Section A) above, it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose generates a statistically significant difference with placebo at 4 weeks (p-value of 0.00178) and at 12 weeks (p-value of 0.00097).

(194) Again, it is particularly striking to observe the low p-values obtained for the 15 mg dose by comparison to the elevated p-values obtained for the 10 mg dose.

(195) C. Menopause Rating Scale

(196) The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) is a health-related quality of life scale allowing the measure of severity of age-/menopause-related complaints by rating a profile of symptoms (Heinemann et al., 2003, “*International versions of the Menopause Rating Scale (MRS)*” Health Qual Life Outcomes 1: 28; Heinemann et al., 2004, “*The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) scale: A methodological review*”. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2: 45; Heinemann et al., 2004, “*The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) as outcome measure for hormone treatment? A validation study*”. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2:67).

(197) The score increases point by point with increasing severity of subjectively perceived complaints in each one of 11 items (severity expressed in 0 to 4 points in each item). By checking these 5 possible boxes of “severity” for each of the items in the questionnaire, the respondent provides her personal perception. The total MRS score ranges between 0 (asymptomatic) to 44 (highest degree of complaints). The minimal/maximal scores vary between three dimensions depending on the number of complaints allocated to the respective dimension of symptoms (Heinemann et al., 2003, Health Qual Life Outcomes 1: 28): 1. psychological symptoms: 0 to 16 scoring points (4 symptoms: depressed, irritable, anxious, exhausted); 2. somato-vegetative symptoms: 0 to 16 points (4 symptoms: sweating/flush, cardiac complaints, sleeping disorders, joint & muscle complaints); 3. urogenital symptoms: 0 to 12 points (3 symptoms: sexual problems, urinary complaints, vaginal dryness).

Total MRS Score

(198) TABLE-US-00040 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Placebo Week Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD
 Mean SD Mean SD Baseline 16.5 7.2 16.5 7.3 17.6 7.6 16.4 8.1 18.2 8.9 Week 4 10.3 5.6 10.5 6.7
 11.7 6.8 8.7 6.2 12.8 8.0 Week 12 9.5 6.7 11.0 7.7 9.7 6.9 8.1 5.8 11.4 7.8

(199) The Menopause Rating Scale (MRS) points to an overall improvement in quality of life, with the strongest effect for the 15 mg dose. At this dose, a statistically significant effect was observed by comparison with placebo at week 4, with a p-value of 0.0113 and a near statistically significant effect was observed at week 12, with a p-value of 0.0694.

(200) D. Genito-Urinary Symptoms (GSM)

(201) Change from baseline to week 12 in the following GSM symptoms (VVA subject self-assessment) were recorded:

(202) a) Vaginal Dryness (Sensation of Dryness or Burning in the Vagina; None=0 Mild=1, Moderate=2 or Severe=3):

(203) TABLE-US-00041 Dose E4 Baseline Week 12 p-value vs (mg) (mean \pm SD) (mean \pm SD)
 placebo 2.5 .sup. 1 \pm 0.90 0.5 \pm 0.77 0.3345 5 1.3 \pm 0.94 0.7 \pm 0.86 0.1202 10 .sup. 1 \pm 0.93 0.5

± 0.75 0.0798 15 1.1 ± 1.04 0.5 ± 0.68 0.0291* Placebo 1.3 ± 1.10 0.9 ± 1.02 *p < 0.05 vs placebo at week 12.

b) Vaginal and/or Vulvar Irritation/Itching (Sensation of Abnormal Irritation or Sensitive Condition in the Vagina; None=0, Mild=1, Moderate=2 or Severe=3):

(204) TABLE-US-00042 Dose E4 Baseline Week 12 p-value vs (mg) (mean \pm SD) (mean \pm SD)
placebo 2.5 0.7 \pm 0.96 0.3 \pm 0.60 0.1717 5 0.6 \pm 0.90 0.4 \pm 0.65 0.9618 10 0.7 \pm 0.87 0.3 \pm 0.64
0.2487 15 0.5 \pm 0.85 0.4 \pm 0.70 0.931 Placebo 0.8 \pm 0.92 0.5 \pm 0.77

c) Dysuria (Sensation of Pain or Difficulty in Urinating; None=0, Mild=1, Moderate=2 or Severe=3):

(205) TABLE-US-00043 Dose E4 Baseline Week 12 p-value vs (mg) (mean \pm SD) (mean \pm SD)
placebo 2.5 0.2 \pm 0.56 .sup. 0 \pm 0.19 0.2942 5 0.2 \pm 0.56 .sup. 0 \pm 0.20 0.3488 10 0.2 \pm 0.58 0.1
 \pm 0.23 0.3386 15 0.3 \pm 0.58 0.3 \pm 0.61 0.643 Placebo 0.2 \pm 0.60 0.3 \pm 0.55

d) Vaginal Pain Associated with Sexual Activity (Sensation of Pain with Sexual Intercourse None=0, Mild=1, Moderate=2 or Severe=3):

(206) TABLE-US-00044 Dose E4 Baseline Week 12 p-value vs (mg) (mean \pm SD) (mean \pm SD)
placebo 2.5 0.6 \pm 0.77 0.3 \pm 0.73 0.0763 5 .sup. 1 \pm 1.07 0.5 \pm 0.78 0.0246* 10 0.6 \pm 0.77 0.2 \pm
0.36 0.0004** 15 0.7 \pm 0.90 0.3 \pm 0.54 0.0006** Placebo .sup. 1 \pm 1.14 0.7 \pm 1.01 *p < 0.05 vs
placebo at week 12; **p < 0.001 vs placebo at week 12.

e) Vaginal Bleeding Associated with Sexual Activity (Loss of Blood with Sexual Intercourse; Presence=1 vs. Absence=0):

(207) TABLE-US-00045 Baseline Week 12 Dose E4 Presence Absence Presence Absence p-value
vs (mg) (%) (%) (%) (%) placebo 2.5 0 100 .sup. 0 100 0.9958 5 6.4 91.5.sup.# 0 100 0.903 10
0 100 .sup. 0 100 0.9955 15 0 97.9.sup.# 4.2 95.8 0.9308 Placebo 3.6 92.7.sup.# 3.6 96.4
.sup.#Some patients had no sexual activity

(208) The evolution of the VVA symptoms points to an overall improvement, with the strongest effect for the 15 mg daily dose. For vaginal pain associated with sexual activity, significant differences with placebo are observed with the doses of 5, 10 and 15 mg daily with p-values of 0.0246, 0.0004 and 0.0006, respectively. Vaginal dryness, however, which is generally considered as the most bothersome symptom, is only significantly improved by the 15 mg daily dose, with a p-value of 0.0291.

(209) E. Measurements Related to Treatment Side Effects

(210) 1. Number of Patients with Biopsies

(211) TABLE-US-00046 Treatment Group Placebo 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Number of patients
4 4 5 11 9 with biopsies

2. Adverse Events (AEs)

(212) TABLE-US-00047 Treatment Group Placebo 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Count of Treatment
71 61 63 95 82 Emergent AEs (TEAEs) Percentage of patients 9.1 7.7 10.6 7.4 6.1 with severe
TEAE Percentage of patients 3.6 1.9 6.4 5.6 4.1 with TEAE leading to Study Discontinuation

(213) It can be seen from the Table above that the patients in the 15 mg group present less TEAEs than patients in the 10 mg group. In the 10 mg group of patients who had AEs, the average was 3.2 AEs per patient. By comparison, in the 15 mg group, patients who had AEs had on average 2.6 AEs. Globally, those data show that the 15 mg daily dose provides a significant relief of VMS without generating additional AEs for the patients. In addition, there were less requirements for biopsies in the 15 mg per day group than in the 10 mg per day group.

(214) This is confirmed by the following statistical analysis. Using a Poisson regression model with a random effect for the patient and treatment group as a covariate to model the count of TEAEs in the different treatment groups, it can be shown that there is no statistical difference between the treatment groups (p value of 0.099). Second, a chi-square test was used to assess if the prevalence of patients reporting TEAEs in each treatment group was similar. No statistical difference was found between the treatment groups (p value of 0.575).

(215) 3. Patients Leaving the Study

(216) TABLE-US-00048 Treatment Group Placebo 2.5 mg 5 mg 10 mg 15 mg Number of patients
14 9 11 15 8 leaving the study

F. Measurements Performed at 15 mg and 20 mg Daily Doses

(217) In order to better assess the potential for increasing the daily dose beyond the minimum effective dose of 15 mg daily, a number of parameters were followed in a study where estetrol was administered at the increased dose of 20 mg per day.

(218) 1. Triglycerides Level (Mmol/L)

(219) TABLE-US-00049 End Of Treatment * Actual Change Treatment Parameter Baseline Actual
Value from Baseline E4 15 mg Mean 1.32 1.61 0.20 SD 0.64 0.94 0.82 Median 1.21 1.40 0.15 E4
20 mg Mean 1.43 1.53 0.10 SD 0.47 0.63 0.44 Median 1.40 1.40 0.10 * End Of Treatment was
after 28 days for the 20 mg dose and after 12 weeks for the 15 mg dose.

2. Glucose Level (Mmol/L)

(220) TABLE-US-00050 End Of Treatment * Actual Change Treatment Parameter Baseline Actual
Value from Baseline E4 15 mg Mean 4.77 4.79 0.02 SD 0.37 0.58 0.56 Median 4.72 4.77 0.05 E4
20 mg Mean 5.53 5.44 -0.10 SD 0.54 0.50 0.22 Median 5.60 5.60 -0.15 * End Of Treatment was
after 28 days for the 20 mg dose and after 12 weeks for the 15 mg dose.

3. Cholesterol Level (Mmol/L)

(221) TABLE-US-00051 End Of Treatment * Actual Change Treatment Parameter Baseline Actual
Value from Baseline E4 15 mg Mean 5.51 5.63 0.12 SD 0.83 0.97 0.73 Median 5.62 5.71 0.08 E4
20 mg Mean 6.27 6.09 -0.18 SD 0.90 0.96 0.65 Median 6.25 6.10 -0.20 * End Of Treatment was
after 28 days for the 20 mg dose and after 12 weeks for the 15 mg dose.

4. HDL-Cholesterol Level (Mmol/L)

(222) TABLE-US-00052 End Of Treatment * Actual Change Treatment Parameter Baseline Actual
Value from Baseline E4 15 mg Mean 1.73 1.89 0.16 SD 0.46 0.41 0.24 Median 1.66 1.81 0.17 E4
20 mg Mean 1.68 1.97 0.29 SD 0.37 0.36 0.14 Median 1.60 1.90 0.35 * End Of Treatment was
after 28 days for the 20 mg dose and after 12 weeks for the 15 mg dose.

5. LDL-Cholesterol Level (Mmol/L)

(223) TABLE-US-00053 End Of Treatment * Actual Change Treatment Parameter Baseline Actual
Value from Baseline E4 15 mg Mean 3.05 3.14 0.09 SD 0.85 0.95 0.45 Median 3.22 3.25 0.10 E4
20 mg Mean 3.94 3.43 -0.51 SD 0.98 1.05 0.56 Median 4.00 3.45 -0.65 * End Of Treatment was
after 28 days for the 20 mg dose and after 12 weeks for the 15 mg dose.

(224) From the above 5 Tables it can be observed that these lipid parameters and glucose level do not behave significantly differently when a 20 mg daily dose is used in place of a 15 mg daily dose.

(225) 6. C-Terminal Telopeptide (CTX-1) (ng/L)

(226) TABLE-US-00054 End Of Treatment * Actual Change Treatment Parameter Baseline Actual
Value from Baseline E4 15 mg Mean 416.0 320.9 -95.0 SD 286.16 359.65 442.18 Median 339.0
197.5 -142.5 E4 20 mg Mean 422.50 274.9 -147.60 SD 134.8 95.3 70.6 Median 416.5 267.50
-138.0 * End Of Treatment was after 28 days for the 20 mg dose and after 12 weeks for the 15 mg
dose.

CTX-1 is a specific marker of bone resorption.

(227) In the Table above it can be seen that the 15 mg daily dose leads to a small decrease of bone resorption and this effect is more pronounced with the 20 mg daily dose already after 28 days of treatment.

(228) G. Measurements Performed at 15 mg and 30 mg Daily Doses

(229) The following treatments were administered to healthy women (between 15-50 years inclusive) according to the randomization code. Placebo (n=16); Group 15 mg: a single oral dose of 15 mg Estetrol/3 mg Drospirenone (n=10) followed, after a washout of 14 days, by multiple oral doses of 15 mg Estetrol/3 mg Drospirenone (n=10) once daily for 14 days; Group 30 mg: a single oral dose of 30 mg Estetrol/6 mg Drospirenone (n=10), followed, after a washout of 14 days, by

multiple oral doses of 30 mg Estetrol/6 mg Drospirenone (n=10) once daily for 14 days.

(230) Adverse events were recorded from first admission until completion of the follow-up visit (between 37 to 42 days after first day of treatment).

(231) TABLE-US-00055 Treatment Emergent AEs (TEAEs) by relationship to study drug Placebo
15 mg 30 mg Total 75% 80% 70% Related 31% 50% 50% Unrelated 75% 50% 50% % = number of subjects reporting one or more AE as percentage of the total number of subjects in the corresponding treatment group

(232) Overall, single dose administration and 14-day once daily administration of oral Estetrol/Drospirenone doses in the range of 15 mg Estetrol/3 mg Drospirenone to 30 mg Estetrol/6 mg Drospirenone were safe and well-tolerated by the healthy female subjects in this study. With increasing single and multiple Estetrol/Drospirenone doses (dose was doubled), no increase in either percentage of subjects reporting TEAEs or the number of TEAEs was observed

(233) It is thus reasonable to envision a hormone replacement therapy for alleviating menopause-associated symptoms which uses a daily dose of estetrol of between 15 mg, the minimal effective dose, and 20 mg, or even 25 mg which will allow a better benefit-to-risk profile to be obtained. Increasing the estetrol dose beyond the minimal effective dose of 15 mg per day will indeed offer even better efficacy in VMS relief and in parameters such as bone resorption, while maintaining an excellent safety profile (including, but not limited to, Adverse Events, as presented under Sections E)2) and G) above, and particularly lipid parameters and glucose level presented under Section F)1) to 5) above). Increasing the estetrol dose beyond the minimal effective dose of 15 mg per day will also permit a faster onset of relief to be obtained when patients initiate hormone replacement therapy.

Claims

1. A method of hormone replacement therapy, comprising administering daily to a post-menopausal female subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising 15-25 mg of estetrol, wherein the treated subject is no more likely to experience an adverse event than an individual who is treated with daily administration of 10 mg of estetrol.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the administration of estetrol to the subject does not modify one or more haemostatic parameters of the subject.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 15 mg of estetrol.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 20 mg of estetrol.
6. The method of claim 5, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the pharmaceutical composition is a solid oral dosage form.
8. A method of hormone replacement therapy, comprising administering daily to a post-menopausal female subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising 15-25 mg of estetrol, wherein the administration of estetrol does not increase the subject's risk of a severe adverse event relative to placebo.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein the administration of estetrol to the subject does not modify one or more haemostatic parameters of the subject.
10. The method of claim 8, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 15 mg of estetrol.
11. The method of claim 10, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.
12. The method of claim 8, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 20 mg of estetrol.
13. The method of claim 12, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.
14. The method of claim 8, wherein the pharmaceutical composition is a solid oral dosage form.
15. A method of hormone replacement therapy, comprising administering daily to a post-menopausal female subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising 15-25 mg of estetrol, wherein the administration of 15-25 mg of estetrol does not increase the subject's risk of a severe adverse

event relative to daily administration of 10 mg of estetrol.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the administration of estetrol to the subject does not modify one or more haemostatic parameters of the subject.

17. The method of claim 15, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 15 mg of estetrol.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.

19. The method of claim 15, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 20 mg of estetrol.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.

21. The method of claim 15, wherein the pharmaceutical composition is a solid oral dosage form.

22. A method of providing hormone replacement therapy without increasing a subject's risk of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), comprising administering daily to a post-menopausal female subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising 15-25 mg of estetrol, wherein the treated subject's risk of developing a TEAE is not significantly higher than that of an individual being treated with a daily administration of 10 mg of estetrol.

23. The method of claim 22, wherein the administration of estetrol to the subject does not modify one or more haemostatic parameters of the subject.

24. The method of claim 22, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 15 mg of estetrol.

25. The method of claim 24, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.

26. The method of claim 22, wherein the pharmaceutical composition comprises 20 mg of estetrol.

27. The method of claim 26, wherein the estetrol is estetrol monohydrate.

28. The method of claim 22, wherein the pharmaceutical composition is a solid oral dosage form.

29. A method of hormone replacement therapy, comprising administering daily to a post-menopausal female subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising 15-25 mg of estetrol, wherein the treated subject is no more likely to experience an adverse event than an individual who is not undergoing hormone replacement therapy.
