US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent Application Publication Kind Code Publication Date Inventor(s) 20250258808 A1 August 14, 2025 ALUC; Gunes et al.

LOG ENTRY REPRESENTATION OF DATABASE CATALOG

Abstract

A system includes reception of a first transaction including updates to a database catalog comprising transactions stored on a log and a timestamp of a snapshot of the database catalog, determination of a signature of a transaction stored on the log after the timestamp of the snapshot, determination, based on the signature, that a conflict exists between the updates to the database catalog and updates of the stored transaction, and, in response to the determination that a conflict exists, reject the first transaction.

Inventors: ALUC; Gunes (Waterloo, CA), ELGHANDOUR; Iman (Waterloo, CA)

Applicant: SAP SE (Walldorf, DE)

Family ID: 93335258

Appl. No.: 18/436521

Filed: February 08, 2024

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: G06F16/23 (20190101); G06F11/14 (20060101); G06F16/21 (20190101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **G06F16/2322** (20190101); **G06F11/1402** (20130101); **G06F16/219** (20190101);

G06F16/2358 (20190101);

Background/Summary

BACKGROUND

[0001] A database system typically stores large amounts of data in the form of database tables.

Cloud-based object stores are increasingly popular as shared storage for database systems which are implemented as a database-as-a-service. Cloud-based object stores offer valuable properties such as elastic scale-out, high availability and fault-tolerance, in addition to enabling database systems to separate the scalability of compute resources from that of storage resources. Users also benefit from a pay-as-you-go pricing model and a user experience which may be preferable to local or on-premise deployments.

[0002] Open Table Formats (OTFs) such as Delta Lake and Iceberg allow developers to define tables over columnar files stored in an object store (e.g., Parquet files) and perform CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations on those tables. By clearly separating the table metadata from the table data and versioning the table metadata, OTFs enable multiple tenants to concurrently read and make modifications to the same table in an efficient manner. OTF drivers are responsible for managing table-level metadata stored in the columnar files of the object store, but often rely on other representations and storage formats to manage their database catalogs (i.e., metadata defining the schema of a database as a whole).

[0003] In particular, a database system using an OTF may represent its database catalog as a relational database which is managed by a separate relational database management system. This catalog implementation does not scale well in environments including thousands of tables and/or thousands of clients querying the catalog, such as in a multitenancy environment. As a result, the relational database management system that manages the catalog becomes a performance bottleneck, thereby compromising the very concurrency benefits for which the OTF has been selected for use.

[0004] Systems are desired to efficiently support a high degree of concurrency in a multitenant OTF environment in which the database catalog may be frequently read and updated.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0005] FIG. **1** is a block diagram of an OTF database architecture using a log-structured database catalog according to some embodiments.

[0006] FIG. **2** comprises a flow diagram of a process to manage transactions on a log-structured database catalog according to some embodiments.

[0007] FIGS. **3-15** illustrate management of transactions on a log-structured database catalog according to some embodiments.

[0008] FIGS. **16-23** illustrate representation of database artifacts using RDF triples according to some embodiments.

[0009] FIGS. **24-26** illustrate operations on OTF database tables using RDF triples according to some embodiments.

[0010] FIGS. **27-29** illustrate catalog updates of a catalog transaction according to some embodiments.

[0011] FIG. **30** comprises a flow diagram of a process to identify conflicts between catalog transactions according to some embodiments.

[0012] FIGS. **31-34** illustrate the use of transaction signatures to identify conflicts between catalog transactions according to some embodiments.

[0013] FIG. **35** is a view of a cloud-based database architecture according to some embodiments. DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0014] The following description is provided to enable any person in the art to make and use the described embodiments and sets forth the best mode contemplated for carrying out some embodiments. Various modifications, however, will be readily apparent to those in the art. [0015] Briefly, embodiments represent a database catalog as a set of log entries, where each entry,

or transaction, describes one or more incremental updates to a snapshot of the catalog. A transaction may delete any number of existing facts from the snapshot and/or insert any number of facts into the snapshot. Storing the catalog using a log-based data structure may advantageously enable fast, append-only updates and fast scans in some embodiments.

[0016] As will be described in detail below, a transaction is committed to the log only if no updates which conflict with the updates of the transaction have been committed to the log since the generation of the snapshot on which the transaction is based. This protocol enables multiple tenants to, concurrently and independently, request and receive snapshots of the catalog, compute updates to their received snapshots, and then commit those updates to the log, even if the updates of different tenants are computed based on different catalog snapshots. If a conflict is identified between a transaction and updates committed to the log since the generation of the snapshot on which the transaction is based, the tenant may be permitted to retry computing its updates in view of a fresher snapshot, up to a user-configurable maximum number of retries.

[0017] By delegating computationally-expensive operations to the tenants, embodiments may minimize the duration over which the log needs to be locked and support concurrent catalog updates at much larger scales than were previously achievable. Embodiments may thereby particularly benefit multi-tenant environments in which an OTF catalog is frequently read and updated, but are not limited thereto.

[0018] Signatures may be used in some embodiments to facilitate fast identification of conflicts. For example, a signature is computed over the log updates of a committed transaction and is stored in the log entry for the transaction. A signature computed for the log updates of a candidate transaction is evaluated against the signatures to determine whether any of the updates of the candidate transaction conflict with the updates of the committed transactions.

[0019] According to some embodiments, the database catalog is represented using the Resource Description Framework (RDF). For example, each fact of the database catalog may be represented as an RDF triple and catalog operations may be mapped to a set of deletions and/or a set of insertions of RDF triples. The log may be periodically checkpointed to truncate redundant facts (i.e., RDF triples) that have been added to the log by a transaction but later deleted by a subsequent transaction.

[0020] FIG. 1 is a block diagram of OTF database architecture 100 providing a log-structured database catalog according to some embodiments. Each illustrated element of architecture 100 may be implemented using any suitable combination of computing hardware and/or software that is or becomes known. Architecture **100** may comprise components of a standalone or distributed (i.e., multi-node) database system. In some embodiments, two or more elements of architecture 100 are implemented by a single computing device. One or more elements of architecture **100** may be implemented as a cloud service (e.g., Software-as-a-Service, Platform-as-a-Service) which may elastically allocate and de-allocate compute resources (e.g., virtual machines) and storage resources (e.g., file-based, block-based, object-based) depending on demand, cost and/or other factors. [0021] Architecture **100** includes object store **110** storing files **115** in an object format as is known in the art. Object store **110** may comprise a cloud resource implemented by servers within a cloud datacenter of a cloud resource provider as is known in the art. According to some embodiments, files **115** conform to a columnar file format such as but not limited to Parquet. [0022] OTF client **120** may comprise a server application executed on a cloud platform which provides services thereto. End-users may thereby access OTF client **120** via a Web-based user portal to the cloud platform. OTF client 120 may serve many users of one or more tenants, each of

which may concurrently read and request updates to a database catalog as described below. [0023] OTF client **120** may upload files **115** to object store **110**. Each of files **115** stores data of table columns and metadata used to manage table versioning, formatted according to an OTF. OTF client **120** defines tables over files **115** using OTF driver **134** of driver **130**. OTF driver **134** is responsible for executing necessary CRUD operations on the data and metadata of files **115**.

[0024] Catalog driver **132** communicates with catalog engine **140** to update the schema of the tables defined over files **115**, i.e., the database catalog. Log **150** stores the database catalog as a set of successive committed transactions, where each transaction may consist of one or more deletions from and/or one or more insertions to the facts in an existing snapshot of the database catalog. Deletions and insertions are collectively referred to as updates, and each update is associated with a particular fact of the database catalog. Accordingly, catalog engine **140** may determine a set of facts (i.e., a snapshot of the database catalog) in effect at a given time by executing all of the updates of the successive transactions which were committed to log **150** up to the given time. [0025] To update the database catalog, OTF client **120** transmits requested changes (e.g., add/drop/rename a table/column) to catalog driver **132** of driver **130**. The changes may be requested in the form of Data Description Language (DDL) and/or Data Manipulation Language (DML) commands. In response, catalog driver **132** requests a snapshot of the database catalog from catalog engine **140**. Catalog engine **140** calculates and returns a snapshot of the catalog metadata together with a timestamp (e.g., the value of the transaction clock at the given time) represented by the snapshot. Based on the snapshot, catalog driver 132 maps the requested changes to the catalog metadata into a set of facts to be deleted from the snapshot and a set of facts to be inserted into the snapshot. As mentioned above, the facts may comprise RDF triples in some embodiments. [0026] Catalog driver **132** then submits a transaction including the sets of facts to be deleted/inserted (i.e., the updates) to catalog engine **140**. Advantageously, particularly in a multitenant environment, catalog driver **132** can process multiple requests concurrently, and catalog engine **140** can process multiple transactions concurrently.

[0027] Catalog engine **140** may determine if any of the updates of the transaction conflict with any updates of any transactions committed to log **150** after the timestamp of the snapshot. If no conflicts are identified, the transaction is appended to log **150** and catalog driver **132** receives an acknowledgement from catalog engine **140** that the transaction was committed. Catalog driver **132** communicates the acknowledgment to driver **130**, which in turn coordinates with OTF driver **134** to update files **115** to complete the requested change (e.g., to delete data of a deleted column/table from files **115**), if needed, and to return an acknowledgment to OTF client **120** if successful. [0028] If a conflict is identified, catalog engine **140** returns an error to catalog driver **132**. Catalog driver **132** may then obtain a new snapshot of the catalog metadata from catalog engine **140** and calculate new sets of updates based on the requested changes and the new snapshot. If a maximum number of retries is configured, then catalog driver **132** returns an error to OTF client **120** once the maximum number of retries is reached.

[0029] Driver **130** ensures that the database catalog of log **150** and the data stored in object store **110** are synchronized. Accordingly, if catalog driver **132** returns an error due to identification of a conflict with respect to a submitted transaction as mentioned above, driver **130** coordinates with OTF driver **134** to undo any partial operations which may have been already performed on files **115** in anticipation of the updates of the transaction. Similarly, if OTF driver **134** returns an error due to failure of a requested update to files **115**, driver **130** coordinates with catalog driver **132** to abort/rollback the corresponding transaction committed to log **150**.

[0030] FIG. **2** comprises a flow diagram of process **200** to manage transactions on a log-structured database catalog according to some embodiments. In some embodiments, various hardware elements of architecture **100** execute program code to perform process **200**. Process **200** and all other processes mentioned herein may be embodied in processor-executable program code read from one or more non-transitory computer-readable media, such as a hard disk drive, a volatile or non-volatile random-access memory, a DVD-ROM, a Flash drive, and a magnetic tape, and may be executed by one or more processing units, including but not limited to hardware processors, processor cores, and processor threads. In some embodiments, hard-wired circuitry may be used in place of, or in combination with, program code for implementation of processes according to some embodiments. Embodiments are therefore not limited to any specific combination of hardware and

software.

[0031] Process **200** will be described as if performed by catalog engine **140** of architecture **100** but embodiments are not limited thereto. Prior to process **200**, it will be assumed that a snapshot of a database catalog comprising zero (e.g., an empty database catalog) or more facts has been provided to a client, along with a timestamp of the snapshot. The timestamp indicates that the snapshot was calculated based on, and therefore incorporates, the updates of all transactions which were committed prior to the timestamp. The snapshot is not necessarily the most-recent snapshot (i.e., a snapshot incorporating all transactions which have been committed to the present time), but it may be desirable to provide a fairly recent snapshot to reduce the chance of conflicts.

[0032] A new catalog transaction is received at S210. The received transaction includes updates to the database catalog, and the updates may include deletions of particular facts of the previously-provided database snapshot and/or insertions of particular facts to the database snapshot. The new catalog transaction also includes the timestamp associated with the database snapshot. According to some embodiments, the transaction consists of a triple <D, I, t> where D is a set of facts to be deleted from the snapshot, I is a set of facts to be inserted to the snapshot, and t is the snapshot timestamp.

[0033] A log of database catalog transactions is locked at S220. Locking the log allows the steps of process 200 to proceed atomically until the log is unlocked. Next, signatures of each catalog transaction committed to the log since the timestamp are determined at S230. S230 therefore includes identification of each transaction committed to the log since the received timestamp, and determination of a respective signature for each identified transaction. Determination of a signature of a transaction according to some embodiments will be described in detail below.

[0034] At S240, it is determined whether a conflict exists between any of the updates of the new catalog transaction and any of the signatures determined at S230. According to some embodiments of S240, a hash function is used to generate a representation of each update of the new catalog transaction and each representation is evaluated with respect to each signature. For example, each signature may comprise a Bloom filter, and each Bloom filter may be used to determine whether a representation is a member of the set represented by the Bloom filter. A detailed example of S240 according to some embodiments is provided below.

[0035] If no conflict is determined at S240, the transaction is appended to the log and is committed at S250. Appending the transaction to the log may comprise determining a signature h based on the updates of the transaction and appending the quadruple <D, I, t, h> to the log. Including the already-computed signature h in the appended transaction facilitates the determination at S230 of the signatures of previously-appended transactions.

[0036] The transaction is rejected at S270 if a conflict is identified at S240. In some embodiments, a catalog driver receives the rejection and a new snapshot of the database catalog in response, and computes an updated triple <D', I', t'> of a new transaction based on the new snapshot and the previously-requested changes to the catalog. A request to commit the new transaction is transmitted and received at S210, and process 200 repeats as described above. As mentioned above, embodiments may allow up to a threshold number of such retries.

[0037] FIGS. **3-15** illustrate management of transactions on a log-structured database catalog according to some embodiments. In some embodiments, a catalog engine executes process **200** to implement the transaction management example of FIGS. **3-15**.

[0038] Initially, it is assumed that the log includes no transactions (i.e., the database catalog is empty. Two different clients (possibly associated with different tenants) have requested a snapshot of the database catalog. In response, and as shown in FIG. 3, a same snapshot of the database catalog is provided to each of the two clients, along with a timestamp of the snapshot (i.e., 0). [0039] One of the clients then calculates updates to the snapshot based on desired changes as described above. A transaction is generated based on the updates and includes a timestamp (i.e., t.sub.1=0) of the snapshot on which the updates are based. As shown in FIG. 4, the transaction

including tuple <D.sub.1, I.sub.1, t.sub.1> is transmitted (e.g., to a catalog engine) with a request to commit the transaction to the log. Since no transactions were appended to the log since t.sub.1, the updates of the transaction do not conflict with any updates on the log. Accordingly, a signature h.sub.1 is calculated based on the updates of the transaction and the tuple <D.sub.1, I.sub.1, h.sub.1> is appended to the log and committed as shown in FIG. 5.

[0040] The other client which received the snapshot at time 0 then calculates updates to the snapshot based on its desired changes. A transaction <D.sub.2, I.sub.2, t.sub.2> is generated based on these updates, where t.sub.2=0 due to the timestamp of the snapshot on which updates D.sub.2, I.sub.2 are based. FIG. **6** illustrates transmission of a request to commit the transaction to the log. [0041] The transaction including tuple <D.sub.1, I.sub.1, t.sub.1, h.sub.1> was committed to the log since t.sub.2 of transaction <D.sub.2, I.sub.2, t.sub.2>. Accordingly, updates D.sub.2, I.sub.2 are evaluated against signature h.sub.1 of the committed transaction. It is assumed that updates D.sub.2, I.sub.2 conflict with the updates represented by signature h.sub.1 and the transaction is therefore rejected as shown in FIG. **7**.

[0042] It is now assumed that two clients (either one of which may have received the t=0 snapshot) request a snapshot of the log after the transaction including tuple <D.sub.1, I.sub.1, t.sub.1, h.sub.1> is committed to the log and before any other transactions are committed. FIG. 8 illustrates providing the same snapshot of the database catalog, now including updates D.sub.1, I.sub.1, to each of the requesting clients. The snapshots are provided along with the timestamp of the snapshot (i.e., 1).

[0043] As shown in FIG. **9**, one of the clients calculates updates D.sub.3, I.sub.3 and generates transaction <D.sub.3, I.sub.3, t.sub.3> based on these updates. Timestamp t.sub.3=1 to reflect the timestamp of the snapshot on which updates D.sub.3, I.sub.3 are based. A request to commit the transaction to the log is then transmitted.

[0044] No transactions were appended to the log since t.sub.3=1. Accordingly, no conflicts are identified and a signature h.sub.3 is calculated based on updates D.sub.3, I.sub.3. The tuple <D.sub.3, I.sub.3, t.sub.3, h.sub.3> is appended to the log and committed as shown in FIG. 10. [0045] A next client (which may be any of the previously mentioned clients or another client) then requests a snapshot of the log after the transaction including tuple <D.sub.3, I.sub.3, t.sub.3, h.sub.3> is committed to the log and before any other transactions are committed. FIG. 11 illustrates providing a snapshot of the database catalog which now includes updates D.sub.1, I.sub.1, D.sub.3, I.sub.3, and a timestamp of snapshot (i.e., 2).

[0046] FIG. **12** illustrates updating of the snapshot associated with timestamp **1** by the other client which received the snapshot at FIG. **8**. Updates D.sub.5, I.sub.5 are calculated based on the snapshot and transaction <D.sub.5, I.sub.5, t.sub.5> is generated, where t.sub.5=1. A request to commit the transaction to the log is then transmitted.

[0047] The transaction including tuple <D.sub.3, I.sub.3, t.sub.3, h.sub.3> was committed to the log since t.sub.5=1. Updates D.sub.5, I.sub.5 are therefore evaluated against signature h.sub.3 of the committed transaction. It is assumed that updates D.sub.5, I.sub.5 do not conflict with the updates represented by signature h.sub.3. A signature h.sub.5 is therefore calculated based on updates D.sub.5, I.sub.5 and the tuple <D.sub.5, I.sub.5, t.sub.5> is appended to the log and committed as illustrated in FIG. **13**.

[0048] FIG. **14** illustrates transaction <D.sub.n, I.sub.n, t.sub.n,> generated by the client which received the snapshot associated with a timestamp of 2. It will be assumed that a request to commit this transaction is transmitted. In response, updates D.sub.n, I.sub.n are evaluated against signature h.sub.5 of committed transaction <D.sub.5, I.sub.5, t.sub.5, h.sub.5> to determine whether any conflicts exist between updates D.sub.n, I.sub.n and updates D.sub.5, I.sub.5.

[0049] It is assumed that other transactions were committed to the log between t.sub.n=2 and the time at which the request to commit transaction <D.sub.n, I.sub.n, t.sub.n,> was received. Accordingly, updates D.sub.n, I.sub.n are also evaluated against the signatures of each of these

other transactions to determine whether any conflicts exist between updates D.sub.n, I.sub.n the updates of the other transactions. FIG. **15** illustrates a scenario in which no such conflicts exist, resulting in calculation of a signature h.sub.n based on updates D.sub.n, I.sub.n and appending of the tuple <D.sub.n, I.sub.n, t.sub.n, h.sub.n> to the log.

[0050] FIGS. **16-26** illustrate representation of database artifacts using RDF triples according to some embodiments. Each triple represents a "fact" using a subject, predicate, and object.

Embodiments use RDF triples to describe the main artifacts of a database, including but not limited to the database, the database schema, database tables, and table columns.

[0051] Triple definition **1610** of FIG. **16** defines the assignment of an ID to each database artifact. Various database artifacts can be associated with properties, and each property is assigned an ID according to triple definition **1620**. Triple definitions **1630**, in turn, illustrate assignment of a name and a value to a property of a database artifact.

[0052] Triplet definitions **1710** of FIG. **17** are used to describe a database artifact. For describing database artifacts, the symbol R is used next to triplets that can be used multiple times to describe the same artifact. For example, FIG. **17** depicts that a database artifact can have multiple properties. [0053] Triplets **1810** of FIG. **18** describe an instance of a database artifact and thereby conform to triplet definitions **1710**. Triplets **1910** of FIG. **19** describe two properties of the database instance of triplets **1810**. According to some embodiments, a catalog driver generates triplets **1810** and **1910** as a set of insert updates of a transaction in response to a statement such as CREATE DATABASE employees COMMENT 'For software companies' LOCATION '/users/temp'WITH DBPROPERTIES (ID=001, Name='John').

[0054] Triplet definitions **2010** of FIG. **20** are used to describe a table artifact according to some embodiments. Similarly, column artifacts of a table artifact may be defined using triplet definitions **2110** of FIG. **21**. If a column is to belong to an ordered set, triplet definitions **2210** may be used to define the order and triplet definitions **2310** of FIG. **23** may be used to define the sort order. [0055] Triplets **2410** define an instance of a table artifact based on triplet definitions **2010** and columns of the table based on triplet definitions **2210**. According to some embodiments, triplets **2410** are generated as a set of insert updates of a transaction in response to a statement such as CREATE TABLE student_partition_bucket USING parquet PARTITIONED BY (age) AS SELECT*FROM student.

[0056] FIG. **25** is an example of updates generated in response to a command such as ALTER TABLE TNameOld RENAME TO TNameNew. In particular, triplet **2510** is generated as a fact to be deleted and triplet **2520** is generated as a fact to be inserted.

[0057] FIG. **26** also illustrates a set of facts to be inserted into or deleted from a database catalog. For example, the statement ALTER TABLE student_partition_bucket RENAME COLUMN age TO studentAge may result in generation of a transaction including the first three triplets and the last triplet of triplets **2610** as insertion updates and the fourth through seventh triplets of triplets **2610** as deletion updates.

[0058] If a table is dropped, the triplets of all artifacts of the table and the triplets of all its associated artifacts are deleted. For example, an instruction to drop table student_partition_bucket described by triplets **2410** results in deletion of all triples for columns C1234567, C1234568, and C1234569, and deletion of all triplets for table T12345.

[0059] FIG. **27** illustrates triplets **2710** defining a database catalog according to some embodiments. It will be assumed that triplets **2710** were appended to log **2720** via transactions as described above prior to time t.sub.x and represent the state of the database catalog at time t.sub.x. The database catalog at time t.sub.x may include many more triplets than triplets **2710**. [0060] It will be assumed that a client receives a snapshot of the database catalog at time t.sub.x

consisting of triplets **2710**. It is also assumed that the client wishes to change the name of column2 of table1. Accordingly, a transaction is generated including updates **2730** and **2740**. Update **2730** is a triplet representing a fact to be deleted from triplets **2710** and update **2740** is a triplet representing

a fact to be inserted into triplets **2710**. The transaction includes a timestamp t.sub.1=t.sub.x because the updates are based on a snapshot of the catalog at t.sub.x.

[0061] Assuming no conflicts exist, the transaction is appended to log **2720** along with signature h.sub.1 of the transaction, as shown in FIG. **28**. Triplets **2810** represent the state of the database catalog at time t.sub.x+1, in which the name of column2 has been changed to "cost". It should be noted that while triplets **2810** depict the logical state of the database catalog, log **2720** may store all prior updates, including "deleted" fact **2730**. Alternatively, a checkpoint may be established at t.sub.X+1 which deletes all previously-deleted facts from log **2720**.

[0062] It will now be assumed that a client receives a snapshot of the database catalog at time t.sub.x consisting of triplets **2710**. The client wishes to change the name of column2 of table1 to "value". Transaction **2910** is therefore generated including updates **2920** and **2930** of FIG. **29**. Update **2920** is a triplet representing a fact to be deleted from triplets **2810** and update **2930** is a triplet representing a fact to be inserted into triplets **2810**. Transaction **2910** includes a timestamp t.sub.2=t.sub.x because updates **2920** and **2930** are based on a snapshot of the database catalog at t.sub.x.

[0063] Prior to committing transaction **2910** to log **2720**, and as described above with respect to process **200**, it is determined whether the updates of transaction **2910** conflict with the updates of any transactions committed to log **2720** after the snapshot timestamp of transaction **2910**. In the present example, it is determined whether the updates of transaction **2910** conflict with signature h.sub.1.

[0064] FIG. **30** comprises a flow diagram of process **3000** to identify conflicts between catalog transactions according to some embodiments. Process **3000** may comprise an implementation of S**240**, S**250** and S**270** of process **200**. Accordingly, S**3010** occurs after S**230** of process **200**, at which, in response to a request to commit a new catalog transaction, signatures of each catalog transaction committed to the log since the timestamp of the new transaction are determined. [0065] At S**3010**, it is determined whether any signatures were determined at S**230**, i.e., whether any catalog transactions have been committed to the log since the timestamp of the new transaction. If so, flow proceeds to S**3020**. With respect to the example of FIG. **29**, signature h.sub.1 is determined at S**230** as having been committed to log **2720** since timestamp t.sub.2=t.sub.x of transaction **2910**.

[0066] Literals of all the updates of the new catalog transaction are replaced with a common placeholder at S3020. FIG. 31 shows the literals "price" and "value" of updates 2920 and 2930 after replacement with "?". Any common placeholder may be used in some embodiments. [0067] Each component of each update is hashed at S3030 to generate update-specific representations. Continuing the present example, FIG. 32 illustrates application of a hash function to component <column2> of update 2920 to generate hash "1100", application of a hash function to component <hashame> of update 2920 to generate hash "1000", and application of a hash function to "?" of update 2920 to generate hash "1001". The hashes are concatenated to generate resulting representation 3220 (i.e., "110010001001") of update 2920.

[0068] Similarly, a hash function is applied to components <column2>, <hasName>, and "?" of update **2930** are to generate respective hashes "1100", "1000" and "1001". As above, representation **3230** of update **2930** comprises the concatenation (i.e., "110010001001") of the hashes.

[0069] At S**3040**, a signature is acquired from the log. The signature is a signature of a catalog transaction which was committed to the log since the timestamp of the new catalog transaction. FIG. **33** shows an example of signature h.sub.1 of transaction <D.sub.1, I.sub.1, t.sub.1, h.sub.1> which may be acquired from log **2720** at S**3040**. Signature h.sub.1 may comprise a Bloom filter generated based on updates D.sub.1, I.sub.1 according to some embodiments.

[0070] At S3050, it is determined whether the generated representation of any update of the new transaction conflicts with the acquired signature. With respect to FIG. 33, S3050 comprises

determining whether either of representations **3220** or **3230** conflict with signature h.sub.1. The determination at S**3050** may include a determination of whether any of the updates belongs to a set represented by the signature.

[0071] As is known in the art, a Bloom filter may be used to add elements to a set and to determine whether an element is a member of a set. Accordingly, each of representations **3220** and **3230** is evaluated against signature h.sub.1 to determine whether either representation is a member of the set of updates (i.e., D.sub.1, I.sub.1) as represented by signature h.sub.1. Due to the use of hash functions and Bloom filters, the above-described implementation of S**3050** may result in false positives. Accordingly, in case a representation of a particular update is determined to be a member of the set represented by a Bloom filter-embodied signature, S**3050** may also include comparing the actual non-literal components of the particular update to the updates of the transaction associated with the signature to confirm whether a conflict exists.

[0072] In the present example, it is determined that representations **3220** and **3230** are members of the set of updates represented by signature h.sub.1, and that updates **2920** and **2930** actually conflict with updates D.sub.1, I.sub.1. Flow therefore proceeds to S**3060** to reject the new transaction as illustrated in FIG. **34**.

[0073] Flow proceeds from S3050 to S3070 if it is determined that none of the update representations of the new transaction conflict with the signature. At S3070, it is determined whether other catalog transactions were committed to the log since the timestamp of the new catalog transaction. If so, flow returns to S**3040** and proceeds as described above to evaluate the updates of the new catalog transaction against the signature of the other transaction. [0074] If the determination at S**3070** is negative, a signature is generated for the new catalog transaction at S3080 based on the representations of each update of the new catalog transaction. Continuing the above example, the signature may be generated by adding the representation "110010001001" of update **2920** and the representation "110010001001" of update **2930** to a Bloom filter. Flow also proceeds directly to S3080 from S3010 to generate a signature if it is determined that no catalog transactions have been committed to the log since the timestamp of the new transaction. The new transaction including the updates, the timestamp of the transaction and the signature of the transaction is then appended to the log and committed at S3090. [0075] FIG. **35** is a view of a cloud-based database architecture according to some embodiments. The illustrated components may reside in one or more public clouds providing self-service and immediate provisioning, autoscaling, security, compliance, and identity management feature. Application server **3520** and/or catalog engine **3540** may comprise cloud-based compute resources, such as a virtual machines, allocated by a public cloud provider. Cloud object store **3530** may comprise a key-value object store.

[0076] User device **3510** may interact with applications executing on application server **3520**, for example via a Web Browser executing on user device **3510**, in order to create, read, update, and delete data stored in cloud object store **3530**. For example, user device **3510** may be operated to instruct an OTF client executing on application server **3520** to upload columnar files of tabular data to cloud object store **3530**. Application server **3520** may also communicate with catalog engine **3540** to access a database catalog defining a schema over the tables of the files within cloud object store **3530**.

[0077] The database catalog may be stored in catalog engine **3540** and may comprise a sequence of transactions appended to a log, each of which includes sets of catalog updates, a snapshot timestamp, and a signature. Application server **3520** may generate sets of catalog updates based on a snapshot of the database catalog provided by catalog engine **3540** and transmit a request to commit a transaction including the updates to catalog engine **3540**. Catalog engine **3540** determines whether the updates conflict with any transactions which were committed to the log since a timestamp of the provided snapshot and, if not, commits the transaction to the log.

[0078] The foregoing diagrams represent logical architectures for describing processes according to

some embodiments, and actual implementations may include more or different components arranged in other manners. Other topologies may be used in conjunction with other embodiments. Moreover, each component or device described herein may be implemented by any number of devices in communication via any number of other public and/or private networks. Two or more of such computing devices may be located remote from one another and may communicate with one another via any known manner of network(s) and/or a dedicated connection. Each component or device may comprise any number of hardware and/or software elements suitable to provide the functions described herein as well as any other functions. For example, any computing device used in an implementation described herein may include a programmable processor to execute program code such that the computing device operates as described herein.

[0079] All systems and processes discussed herein may be embodied in executable program code stored on one or more non-transitory computer-readable media. Such media may include, for example, a hard disk drive, a DVD-ROM, a Flash drive, magnetic tape, and solid-state Random Access Memory or Read Only Memory storage units. Embodiments are therefore not limited to any specific combination of hardware and software.

[0080] Elements described herein as communicating with one another are directly or indirectly capable of communicating over any number of different systems for transferring data, including but not limited to shared memory communication, a local area network, a wide area network, a telephone network, a cellular network, a fiber-optic network, a satellite network, an infrared network, a radio frequency network, and any other type of network that may be used to transmit information between devices. Moreover, communication between systems may proceed over any one or more transmission protocols that are or become known, such as Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM), Internet Protocol (IP), Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and Wireless Application Protocol (WAP).

[0081] Embodiments described herein are solely for the purpose of illustration. Those in the art will recognize other embodiments may be practiced with modifications and alterations to that described above.

Claims

- 1. A system comprising: a storage system storing a log comprising a set of successive committed transactions on a database catalog, where each of the transactions comprises at least one deletion from or insertion into the database catalog, the database catalog defining a schema of database tables over columnar files stored in an object store; and at least one processing unit to: receive first changes to the database catalog; in response to the received first changes, calculate a snapshot of the database catalog from the set of successive committed transactions and determine a timestamp of the snapshot; determine, based on the snapshot and the first changes, updates to the snapshot; receive a first transaction including the updates to the snapshot and the timestamp of the snapshot; in response to the received first transaction, determine a signature of each transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; determine, based on the signatures, that a conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and updates of at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; and in response to the determination that a conflict exists, reject the first transaction.
- 2. The system according to claim 1, the at least one processing unit to: receive second changes to the database catalog; in response to the received second changes, calculate a second snapshot of the database catalog from the set of successive committed transactions and determine a second timestamp of the second snapshot; determine, based on the second snapshot and the second changes, second updates to the second snapshot; receive a second transaction including the second updates to the second snapshot and the second timestamp of the second snapshot; in response to the received second transaction, determine a second signature of each transaction committed to the log

after the second timestamp of the second snapshot; determine, based on the second signatures, that no conflict exists between the second updates to the second snapshot and the updates of at least one transaction committed to the log after the second timestamp of the second snapshot; and in response to the determination that no conflict exists, append the second transaction to the log.

- **3.** The system according to claim 2, wherein appending of the second transaction to the log comprises: determination of a third signature of the second transaction based on the second updates; and appending of the second transaction and the third signature to the log.
- **4.** The system according to claim 3, wherein the signatures are Bloom filters, wherein determination, based on the signatures, that a conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and the updates of the at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot comprises: determination of a representation of each of the updates to the snapshot using a hash function; and determination that at least one of the determined representations is a member of a set represented by the Bloom filters, wherein the third signature is a second Bloom filter, and wherein determination of the third signature of the second transaction comprises: determination of a representation of each of the second updates to the second snapshot using the hash function; and addition of each of the representations of the second updates to the second Bloom filter.
- **5.** The system according to claim 1, wherein the database catalog comprises a first one or more Resource Description Framework triples and the updates comprise a second one or more Resource Description Framework triples.
- **6.** The system according to claim 1, wherein the signatures are Bloom filters and wherein determination, based on the signatures, that a conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and updates of the at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot comprises: determination of a representation of each of the updates using a hash function; and determination that at least one of the determined representations is a member of a set represented by the Bloom filters.
- 7. The system according to claim 1, the at least one processing unit to: lock the log prior to determination of the signatures of the transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; and unlock the log after determination, based on the signatures, that the conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and updates of the at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot.
- **8.** A method comprising: storing a log comprising a set of successive committed transactions on a database catalog, where each of the transactions comprises at least one deletion from or insertion into the database catalog, the database catalog defining a schema of database tables over columnar files stored in an object store; receiving first changes to the database catalog; in response to the received first changes, calculating a snapshot of the database catalog from the set of successive committed transactions and determining a timestamp of the snapshot; determining, based on the snapshot and the first changes, updates to the snapshot; receiving a first transaction including the updates to the snapshot and the timestamp of the snapshot; in response to the received first transaction, determining each transaction stored to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; determining that a conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and updates of any of the transactions stored to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; and in response to the determination that a conflict exists, rejecting the first transaction.
- **9**. The method according to claim 8, the method comprising: receiving second changes to the database catalog; in response to the received second changes, calculating a second snapshot of the database catalog from the set of successive committed transactions and determining a second timestamp of the second snapshot; determining, based on the second snapshot and the second changes, second updates to the second snapshot; receiving a second transaction including the second updates to the second snapshot and the second timestamp of the second snapshot; in response to the received second transaction, determining each transaction committed to the log after the second timestamp of the second snapshot; determining that no conflict exists between the

second updates to the second snapshot and the updates of any of the transactions committed to the log after the second timestamp of the second snapshot; and in response to determining that no conflict exists, appending the second transaction to the log.

- **10**. The method according to claim 9, wherein appending of second transaction to the log comprises: determining a signature of the second transaction based on the second updates; and appending the second transaction and the second signature to the log.
- **11**. The method according to claim 10, wherein the signature is a Bloom filter, and wherein determination of the signature of the second transaction comprises: determination of a representation of each of the second updates to the second snapshot using a hash function; and adding each of the representations of the second updates to the Bloom filter.
- **12**. The method according to claim 8, wherein the plurality of facts, the facts to be deleted, and the facts to be inserted are Resource Description Framework triples.
- **13**. The method according to claim 8, wherein determining that a conflict exists comprises: determining a signature of each transaction stored to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; determining a representation of each of the updates to the snapshot using a hash function; and determining that at least one of the determined representations is a member of a set represented by the signatures.
- **14.** The method according to claim 8, the method further comprising: locking the log prior to determining the transactions committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; and unlocking the log after determining that the conflict exists between the updates to the database catalog and the updates of any of the transactions stored to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot.
- 15. A non-transitory computer-readable medium storing program code executable by at least one processing unit of a computing system to: store a log comprising a set of successive committed transactions on a database catalog, where each of the transactions comprises at least one deletion from or insertion into the database catalog, the database catalog defining a schema of database tables over columnar files stored in an object store; receive first changes to the database catalog; in response to the received first changes, calculate a snapshot of the database catalog from the set of successive committed transactions and determine a timestamp of the snapshot; determine, based on the snapshot and the first changes, updates to the snapshot; receive a first transaction including the updates to the snapshot and the timestamp of the snapshot; in response to the received first transaction, determine a signature of each transaction stored on the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; determine, based on the signatures, that a conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and updates of at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; and in response to the determination that a conflict exists, reject the first transaction.

 16. The computer-readable medium according to claim 15, the program code executable by at least
- one processing unit of a computing system to: receive second changes to the database catalog; in response to the received second changes, calculate a second snapshot of the database catalog from the set of successive committed transactions and determine a second timestamp of the second snapshot; determine, based on the second snapshot and the second updates to the second snapshot; receive a second transaction including the second updates to the second snapshot and the second timestamp of the second snapshot; in response to the received second transaction, determine a second signature of each transaction committed to the log after the second timestamp of the second updates to the second snapshot; determine, based on the second signatures, that no conflict exists between the second updates to the second snapshot and the updates of the at least one transaction committed to the log after the second timestamp of the second snapshot; and in response to the determination that no conflict exists, append the second transaction to the log.
- **17**. The computer-readable medium according to claim 16, wherein appending of the second transaction to the log comprises: determination of a third signature of the second transaction based on the second updates; and appending of the second transaction and the third signature to the log.

- **18.** The computer-readable medium according to claim 17, wherein the signatures are a Bloom filters, wherein determination, based on the signatures, that a conflict exists between the updates to the snapshot and the updates of the at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot comprises: determination of a representation of each of the updates to the snapshot using a hash function; and determination that at least one of the determined representations is a member of a set represented by the Bloom filters, wherein the third signature is a second Bloom filter, and wherein determination of the third signature of the second transaction comprises: determination of a representation of each of the second updates to the second snapshot using the hash function; and addition of each of the representations of the second updates to the second Bloom filter.
- **19**. The computer-readable medium according to claim 15, wherein the signatures are Bloom filters and wherein determination, based on the signatures, that a conflict exists between the updates to the database catalog and the updates of the at least one transaction committed to the log after the timestamp of the snapshot comprises: determination of a representation of each of the updates to the snapshot using a hash function; and determination that at least one of the determined representations is a member of a set represented by the Bloom filters.
- **20**. The computer-readable medium according to claim 15, the program code executable by at least one processing unit of a computing system to: lock the log prior to determination of the signature of the at least one transaction committed to on the log after the timestamp of the snapshot; and unlock the log after determination, based on the signature, that the conflict exists between the updates to the database catalog and the updates of the at least one transaction.