TECHINNOVA SOLUTIONS

Product Backlog

ON TRACK

AT RISK

TO DO

Stage	Commence Date	Work stream (Use Cases)	Number of days	Current Status
Sprint Review Meeting 1	01/08	Requirement Document	1	ON TRACK
	03/08	Architecture Description	2	ON TRACK
	06/08	Database Setup	2	ON TRACK
	08/08	Register User	1	ON TRACK
	11/08	Login User	2	ON TRACK
	13/08	Update Mentor Verification	5	ON TRACK
Sprint Review	15/08	Create Preferences List	3	ON TRACK
Meeting 2	21/08	Search Mentor	9	ON TRACK
	06/09	View Mentor Profile	1	ON TRACK
Sprint Review Meeting 3	08/09	Request Mentor	3	ON TRACK
	12/09	View Mentee Profile	1	ON TRACK
	17/09	View Request	2	ON TRACK
	17/10	Accept Request	2	ON TRACK
Sprint Review Meeting 4	25/10	Rate Mentor	3	ON TRACK
	27/09	Update Preferences List	2	ON TRACK
	27/09	Update Profile	1	ON TRACK
	28/09	Archive User	2	ON TRACK
	27/10	View Mentor Rating	2	ON TRACK
	29/10	Testing	1	ON TRACK

TECHINNOVA SOLUTIONS

SPRINT 3: SCRUM REVIEW

- 1. Architecture document is subpar. Edit and finalize Architecture document.
- 2. Source code is missing one requirement; Rate mentor and mentee; and front end needs to be fixed and finalized.
- 3. Finalize Requirements document
- 4. Test the source code.

SPRINT RETROSPECTIVE

What went well

- Regular updates on how far everyone is, in terms of delegated work
- Taking notes from the previous sprint review and structuring this sprint accordingly

What to do next sprint

- Start most of the documentation before the code
- Each member should fully research required material to ensure that are able to contribute
- Actively participating in all aspects of the project
- Better use of github

What not to do in the next sprint

- Not specifically and equally delegate the work
- Not knowing each member's capabilities

PROOF OF DAILY SCRUM

Sprint 4: WhatsApp Chat with SD Project.txt

TECHINNOVA SOLUTIONS

STATEMENT OF EFFORT

PROJECT

	Lloyd Nkomo	Daniel Tunda	Zilindile Nkambule
Proof of Scrum Meetings	0%	10%	90%
Software Code	50%	0%	50%
Continuous Integration	40%	20%	40%
Test Driven Development	40%	40%	20%

Requirement Analysis Document

	Lloyd Nkomo	Daniel Tunda	Zilindile Nkambule
Traceable	34%	33%	33%
Measurable	33%	34%	33%
Testable	33%	33%	34%
Introduction	0%	100%	0%
Functional Requirements	25%	0%	75%
Non-functional	100%	0%	0%
Requirements			
Systems Models	10%	0%	90%

Architecture Description

	Lloyd Nkomo	Daniel Tunda	Zilindile Nkambule
Finishing	33%	34%	33%
Traceability	33%	34%	33%
View Points	33%	33%	34%
Logical View	0%	100%	0%
Development View	0%	100%	0%
Process View	0%	100%	0%
Physical View	0%	50%	50%