

Kareemah Ashiru

Exercise 3.9

Step 1: Answer the business questions from steps 1 and 2 of task 3.8 using CTEs

A. Step 1 from task 3.8: Subquery version

Dashboard × Processes × Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16* ×

Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16

Query Query History

```
1 SELECT AVG(total_amount_paid) AS average_paid
2 FROM
3 (
4 SELECT
5 cu.customer_id,
6 co.country,
7 ci.city,
8 SUM(pay.amount) AS total_amount_paid
9 FROM payment AS pay
10 INNER JOIN customer AS cu ON pay.customer_id = cu.customer_id
11 INNER JOIN address AS ad ON cu.address_id = ad.address_id
12 INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ad.city_id = ci.city_id
13 INNER JOIN country AS co ON ci.country_id = co.country_id
14 WHERE ci.city IN (
15 SELECT
16 ci.city
17 FROM customer AS cu
18 INNER JOIN address AS ad ON cu.address_id = ad.address_id
19 INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ad.city_id = ci.city_id
20 INNER JOIN country AS co ON ci.country_id = co.country_id
21 WHERE co.country IN (
22 SELECT
23 co.country
24 FROM customer AS cu
25 INNER JOIN address AS ad ON cu.address_id = ad.address_id
26 INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ad.city_id = ci.city_id
27 INNER JOIN country AS co ON ci.country_id = co.country_id
28 GROUP BY co.country
29 ORDER BY COUNT(cu.customer_id) DESC, co.country
30 LIMIT 10
31 )
32 GROUP BY ci.city
33 ORDER BY COUNT(cu.customer_id) DESC, ci.city
34 LIMIT 10
35 )
36 GROUP BY cu.customer_id, cu.first_name, cu.last_name, co.country, ci.city
37 ORDER BY total_amount_paid DESC
38 LIMIT 5
39 )
40 ;
```

Data Output Messages Notifications

	average_paid	
	numeric	
1	108.54200000000000000	

a. Step 1 from task 3.8: CTE version

Dashboard × Processes × Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16* ×

Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16

Query Query History

```
1 WITH top_country AS (  
2 SELECT  
3   co.country  
4 FROM customer AS cu  
5 INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
6 INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
7 INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
8 GROUP BY co.country  
9 ORDER BY COUNT(cu.customer_id) DESC, co.country  
10 LIMIT 10  
11 )  
12  
13 , top_city AS (  
14 SELECT  
15   ci.city  
16 FROM customer AS cu  
17 INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
18 INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
19 INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
20 WHERE co.country IN (  
21   SELECT  
22     country  
23   FROM top_country  
24 )  
25 GROUP BY ci.city  
26 ORDER BY COUNT(cu.customer_id) DESC, ci.city  
27 LIMIT 10  
28 )  
29 , top_customer AS (  
30 SELECT  
31   cu.customer_id,  
32   cu.first_name,  
33   cu.last_name,  
34   SUM(pay.amount) AS total_amount_paid  
35 FROM customer AS cu  
36 INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
37 INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
38 INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
39 INNER JOIN payment AS pay ON pay.customer_id = cu.customer_id  
40 WHERE ci.city IN (  
41   SELECT city  
42   FROM top_city  
43 )  
44 GROUP BY  
45   cu.customer_id,  
46   cu.first_name,  
47   cu.last_name  
48 ORDER BY total_amount_paid DESC  
49 LIMIT 5  
50 )  
51 SELECT AVG(total_amount_paid) AS average_paid  
52 FROM top_customer  
53 ;
```

Data Output Messages Notifications

	average_paid numeric	
1	108.5420000000000000	

B. Step 2 from task 3.8

Dashboard X Processes X Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16 X

Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16

Query Query History

```
1 WITH top_country AS (  
2     SELECT co.country  
3         FROM customer cu  
4         INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
5         INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
6         INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
7         GROUP BY co.country  
8         ORDER BY COUNT(cu.customer_id) DESC  
9         LIMIT 10),  
10 top_city AS (  
11     SELECT ci.city  
12         FROM customer cu  
13         INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
14         INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
15         INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
16         WHERE co.country IN (SELECT country FROM top_country)  
17         GROUP BY co.country, ci.city  
18         ORDER BY COUNT (cu.customer_id) DESC  
19         LIMIT 10),  
20 total_amount_paid AS (  
21     SELECT  
22         cu.customer_id,  
23         SUM(pay.amount) AS total_amount_paid  
24     FROM payment AS pay  
25     INNER JOIN customer AS cu ON cu.customer_id = pay.customer_id  
26     INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
27     INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
28     INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
29     WHERE ci.city IN (SELECT city FROM top_city)  
30     GROUP BY cu.customer_id  
31     ORDER BY total_amount_paid DESC  
32     LIMIT 5);  
33  
34 SELECT  
35     co.country,  
36     COUNT(DISTINCT cu.customer_id) AS all_customer_count,  
37     COUNT(DISTINCT top_5.customer_id) AS top_customer_count  
38 FROM customer cu  
39     INNER JOIN address AS ad ON ad.address_id = cu.address_id  
40     INNER JOIN city AS ci ON ci.city_id = ad.city_id  
41     INNER JOIN country AS co ON co.country_id = ci.country_id  
42     LEFT JOIN total_amount_paid AS top_5 ON cu.customer_id = top_5.customer_id  
43     GROUP BY co.country  
44     ORDER BY all_customer_count DESC  
45     LIMIT 10;
```

Data Output Messages Notifications

	country	all_customer_count	top_customer_count
	character varying (50)	bigint	bigint
1	India	60	1
2	China	53	1
3	United States	36	1
4	Japan	31	1
5	Mexico	30	1
6	Brazil	28	0
7	Russian Federation	28	0
8	Philippines	20	0
9	Turkey	15	0
10	Indonesia	14	0

C. Explanation

- First I added With top_country AS (: to help the query understand that whenever top_country is written, it consists of the queries from #2 - #11.
- I did the same for top_city and top_customer.
- I wrapped the whole query input with SELECT AVG(total_amount_paid) AS average_paid FROM top_customer at the end instead of at the beginning like I did for the query.

Step 2: Compare the performance of your CTEs and subqueries.

- On one hand I like that the subquery version is shorter on the other hand the CTE version is an easier reference for anyone to understand. This is because what the query layouts like *top_country*, *top_city*, and *top_customer* consist of are all stated in the beginning. It

reduces repetition when using the FROM command. Overall, I believe that the CTE version will perform better.

B.

a.

Query 1	CTE	Subquery
Estimated Cost	164.60	165.82
Time	131	57

b.

Query 2	CTE	Subquery
Estimated Cost	266.84	266.84
Time	70	67

C. I'm surprised by the fact that the subquery for both Query 1 and 2 cost about the same but with less time. I guess this concludes that both approaches can be efficient depending on the company's needs.

Step 3: Challenges faced when replacing subqueries with CTEs.

- A. It's almost like writing the query in the reverse when replacing subqueries with CTEs which feels weird. However, I find it easier to follow than the subqueries.
- B. Based on task 3.8 and 3.9, I find that CTE queries might actually be longer to write than subqueries and take more time.