

# TechAudit

*SECURITY AUDIT OF*

## Louie Duck



**Public Report**

*December 8, 2021*

# TechAudit

<https://www.techaudit.online>

*Driving Technology > Forward*

## ABBREVIATIONS

Name	Description
<b>BSC</b>	An open source platform based on blockchain technology to create and distribute smart contracts and decentralized applications.
<b>Binance Smart Chain (BSC)</b>	A cryptocurrency whose blockchain is generated by the BSC platform. BSC is used for payment of transactions and computing services in the BSC network.
<b>Smart contract</b>	A computer protocol intended to digitally facilitate, verify or enforce the negotiation or performance of a contract.
<b>Solidity</b>	A contract-oriented, high-level language for implementing smart contracts for the Ethereum platform.
<b>Sole</b>	A compiler for Solidity.
<b>BEP20</b>	BEP20 (BEP20 in Binance Smart Chain or xRP20 in other chains) tokens are blockchain-based assets that have value and can be sent and received. The primary difference with the primary coin is that instead of running on their own blockchain, BEP20 tokens are issued on a network that supports smart contracts such as Binance Smart Chain.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Security Audit Report prepared by TechAudit Lab on DEC 08, 2021. We would like to thank the Louie Duck or trusting TechAudit Lab in auditing smart contracts. Delivering highquality audits is always our top priority.

This audit focused on identifying security flaws in code and the design of the Louie Duck Contracts. The scope of the audit is limited to the source code files provided to TechAudit . TechAudit Lab completed the assessment using manual, static, and dynamic analysis techniques.

During the audit process, the audit team had identified one vulnerable issue in the application, along with one recommendation.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.1. About Crypterio Contracts.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.2. Audit scope.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.3. Audit methodology .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.4. Disclaimer.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2. AUDIT RESULT .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.1. Overview.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.2. Findings .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.2.1. Incorrect calculation of _vestedAmount function MEDIUM.....	8
<b>2.3. Additional notes and recommendations .....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.3.1. Unnecessary check owner in pause and unpause functions INFORMATIVE .....	10
<b>3. VERSION HISTORY .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## **1. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY**

### **1.1. About Louie Duck Contracts**

Louie's mission is for everyone, no matter the financial resources, to have a fair chance at building wealth.

Louie Duck is a frictionless token with a self-generating income mechanism, which means the more you hold the more you will get rewarded. Everyone dreams of making money while sleeping (i.e. passive income), well Louie Protocol team came up with an ecosystem of rewards which does exactly that, with the bonus advantage to get early access.

We dream to involve everyone to join the crypto world, giving the chance to build their future wealth with us.

The idea is to make Bank Savings Accounts a thing of the past. By joining Louie Duck, you get to cut out the greedy middle man and keep all the rewards. In addition, we also want to offer a complete service to our community and users, offering them a platform with some of the most useful and valuable features in the crypto ecosystem.

### **1.2. Audit scope**

This audit focused on identifying security flaws in code and the design of the smart contracts of Louie Duck.

### **1.3. Audit methodology**

Our security audit process for smart contract includes two steps:

- Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using public and RK87, our in-house smart contract security analysis tool.
- Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

- Integer Overflow and Underflow
- Timestamp Dependence
- Race Conditions
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- DoS with (Unexpected) revert
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Gas Usage, Gas Limit and Loops

- Redundant fallback function
- Unsafe type Inference
- Reentrancy
- Explicit visibility of functions state variables (external, internal, private and public) • Logic Flaws

For vulnerabilities, we categorize the findings into categories as listed in table

<b>CRITICAL</b>	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning; creates a critical risk to the contract; required to be fixed immediately.
<b>HIGH</b>	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract with high impact; needs to be fixed with high priority.
<b>MEDIUM</b>	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract with medium impact in a specific scenario; needs to be fixed.
<b>LOW</b>	An issue that does not have a significant impact, can be considered as less important.

*Table 1. Severity levels*

## 1.4. Disclaimer

Please note that security auditing cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities, and even an audit in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee for a 100% secure smart contract. However, auditing allows discovering vulnerabilities that were unobserved, overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary.

## 2. AUDIT RESULT

### 2.1. Overview

The initial review was conducted on Oct 20, 2021 and a total effort of 5 working days was dedicated to identifying and documenting security issues in the code base of the Louie Duck Contracts.

The audited contracts are the Louie Duck Contracts that deployed on Binance Smart Chain Mainnet.

The link of the deployed smart contracts are listed in the below table:

Contract Name	Deploy link
Louie Duck	<a href="https://www.bscscan.com/token/0xa5F94483Fd4d18e0f2Da760d2aa8f6B9f28dAC4fA553C10">https://www.bscscan.com/token/0xa5F94483Fd4d18e0f2Da760d2aa8f6B9f28dAC4fA553C10</a>
Verified Contract	<a href="https://www.bscscan.com/address/0xa5F94483Fd4d18e0f2Da760d2aa8f6B9f28dAC4f#contracts">https://www.bscscan.com/address/0xa5F94483Fd4d18e0f2Da760d2aa8f6B9f28dAC4f#contracts</a>
Website	<a href="https://louieduck.com/">https://louieduck.com/</a>
Telegram	<a href="https://t.me/Louie_duck">https://t.me/Louie_duck</a>
Twitter	<a href="https://twitter.com/Louieducktoken">https://twitter.com/Louieducktoken</a>

Table 2. The deployed smart contract links

### 2.2. Findings

During the audit process, the audit team found one vulnerability in the given version of Louie Duck Contracts.

### 2.2.1. Incorrect calculation of `vestedAmount` function **MEDIUM**

In `_vestedAmount` function, this function doesn't check `gaps > totalGaps`. Therefore, `vestedAmount` function can return with the value greater than `totalAmount` in some cases.

---

```
749 function _vestedAmount( uint256
750     totalAmount_,
751     uint256 tgeAmount_,
752     uint256 cliff_,
753     uint256 duration_,
754     uint256 basis_
755 ) private view returns (uint256) {
756     require( totalAmount_ >=
757         tgeAmount_,
758         "TokensVesting::_vestedAmount: Bad params!");
759
760     if (block.timestamp < genesisTimestamp) {
761         return 0;
762     }
763
764     uint256 timeLeftAfterStart =
765     block.timestamp -
766     genesisTimest... amp;
767
768     if (timeLeftAfterStart < cliff_) {
769         return tgeAmount_;
770     }
771
772     uint256 linearVestingAmount = totalAmount_ -
773     tgeAmount_; if
```



```
772             (timeLeftAfterStart >= cliff_ + duration_) {
773                 return linearVestingAmount + tgeAmount_;
774             }
775
776     uint256 gaps = (timeLeftAfterStart - cliff_) / basis_ + 1; uint256
777     totalGaps = duration_ / basis_;
778
779     return (linearVestingAmount / totalGaps) *gaps + tgeAmount_;
780 }
```

*Snippet 1. TokensVesting.sol incorrect calculation of \_vestedAmount function*

For instance with a testcase, `basic=3;`

`timeLeftAfterStart=9; cliff_=2; duration_=8.`

After calculating at line 776 and 777, the value of `gaps` is 3 while the value of `totalGaps` is 2.

Therefore, the return value at line 778 will be greater than `totalAmount_`.

## RECOMMENDATION

Adding a if statement to check the return value. If the return value is greater than `totalAmount`, the function will return `totalAmount`.

## UPDATES

---

\* 2021-12-08: This issue has been acknowledged and fixed by the Louie Duck team.

## 2.3. Additional notes and recommendations

### 2.3.1. Unnecessary check owner in **pause** and **unpause** functions **INFORMATIVE**

The contract inherits **BEP20Pausable** to pause and unpause contract by a specific address which has **PAUSER\_ROLE**. But in the contract, to pause or unpause the specific address must

have both **PAUSER\_ROLE** and owner role. It will be an inconvenience if the contract changes another specific address to pause or unpause.

---

```
74 function pause() public onlyOwner {
75     require( hasRole(PAUSER_ROLE,
76         _msgSender()),
77         "Token: must have pauser role to pause"
78     );
79     _pause();
80 }
```

*Snippet 2. Token.sol unnecessary check owner in pause function*

---

```
91 function unpause() public onlyOwner {
92     require(
93         hasRole(PAUSER_ROLE, msgSender()),
94         "Token: must have pauser role to unpause"
95     );
96     _unpause();
97 }
```

---

*Snippet 3. Token.sol unnecessary check owner in unpause function*

## RECOMMENDATION

We suggest removing **Only Owner** modifier in the functions which are mentioned above for gas saving.

## UPDATES

- *2021-12-08*: This recommendation has been acknowledged and fixed by the Louie Duck team.

### 3. VERSION HISTORY

Version	Date	Status/Change	Created by
<b>1.0</b>	<i>Dec 6,2021</i>	Private Report	TechAudit Lab
<b>1.1</b>	<i>Dec 6,2021</i>	Public Report	TechAudit Lab
<b>1.2</b>	<i>Dec 7,2021</i>	Public Report	TechAudit Lab
<b>1.3</b>	<i>Dec 7,2021</i>	Public Report	TechAudit Lab
<b>1.4</b>	<i>Dec 8, 2021</i>	Public Report	TechAudit Lab
<b>1.5</b>	<i>Dec 8,2021</i>	Public Report	TechAudit Lab

*Table 3. Report versions history*