

# D&P Lemma V.1.07

Peter Mao

April 5, 2021

## Abstract

This note is an attempt to fill in the expository gaps in the proof of D&P Lemma V.1.07, the “natural” isomorphism

$$(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n)^* \cong X_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n^*.$$

**Remark:** When I first read this section, I focused too much on what the mappings  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  “look like.” The general strategy of this proof is to recognize that the two objects in question,  $X_1^* \otimes X_2^* \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n^*$  and  $(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n)^*$ , are both dual vector spaces, so mappings between the two spaces exist. The task, then, is to find a mapping that is bijective, hence isomorphic.

*Proof.* We start by defining a map and its direction between the two spaces:

$$\Phi: (X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n)^* \rightarrow X_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n^*. \quad (1)$$

The objects on the left side are dual vectors, i.e., linear forms (see Chapter III), and the objects on the right side are multilinear forms in  $L(X_1, \dots, X_n; \mathbb{R})$  (see V.1.03 p. 101).

In keeping with the notation of property Tii, we denote a linear form on the left side as

$$\hat{f}: X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (2)$$

and a multilinear map on the right side as

$$f = \hat{f}' \circ \bigotimes: X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (3)$$

for some unique linear form  $\hat{f}$ . The linear forms  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{f}'$  are not necessarily the same, but we are free to define  $\Phi$  such that  $\hat{f} = \hat{f}'$ , in which case

$$\Phi: \hat{f} \mapsto \hat{f} \circ \bigotimes \quad (4)$$

and the inverse map  $\Psi$  has the rule

$$\Psi: \hat{f} \circ \bigotimes \mapsto \hat{f}. \quad (5)$$

Now, Tii says that if  $f$  is multilinear (which it is), then  $\hat{f}$  is a *unique* linear map (and, in this case, a unique linear form). This means that  $\Psi$  is one-to-one (injective) by definition. I’m a bit more paranoid than Joe with regards to  $\Phi$  also being one-to-one,<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Specifically, my (unfounded) fear is that  $\Phi$  has a nontrivial kernel.

but I think this establishes it as an injective map as well: Let  $\Phi(\hat{f}) = \Phi(\hat{g})$ , so we can define the multilinear 0 map by:

$$\mathbf{0} = \Phi(\hat{f}) - \Phi(\hat{g}) \quad (6)$$

$$= \hat{f} \circ \bigotimes - \hat{g} \circ \bigotimes \quad (7)$$

$$= (\hat{f} - \hat{g}) \circ \bigotimes \implies \hat{f} = \hat{g}. \quad (8)$$

Yet another way to establish that  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  are bijections is recognize that the dimensions of the two dual vector spaces are equal, so  $\Psi$  must be both injective and surjective.

D&P write that, "Evidently  $\Phi$  is linear..." We can see this by considering  $\Phi(a\hat{f} + b\hat{g})$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f, g \in (X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n)^*$ :

$$\Phi(a\hat{f} + b\hat{g}) = (a\hat{f} + b\hat{g}) \circ \bigotimes \quad (9)$$

$$= a\hat{f} \circ \bigotimes + b\hat{g} \circ \bigotimes \quad (10)$$

$$= a\Phi(\hat{f}) + b\Phi(\hat{g}). \quad (11)$$

We have thus shown that  $\Phi$  is a linear bijection, i.e., an isomorphism.  $\square$