Machine learning notes: week 3

Lars Yencken

Logistic regression

Classification

- When the outcome we're predicting is boolean (or, an enum)
- Examples
 - Is this email spam?
 - Is this tumour malignant?
 - Has the deadly neurotoxin worked?
- Ghetto approach: apply linear regression and use some threshold, but...
 - Outlying examples can really screw up the model
 - Outputs can be way outside the range [0, 1]
- Better approach: use a different family of models which are S shaped curves instead of straight lines

Hypothesis representation

- Let $g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ where $z = \theta^T x$
- g is called the *sigmoid function* or the *logistic function*
- In practice, this function squishes any z value into something between 0 and 1
 - Large negative values of z become close to zero
 - Large postive values of z become close to one
- Now that $h_{ heta}(x)$ is between 0 and 1, call it the "probability that y=1"
 - Formally $h_{\theta}(x) = \Pr(y = 1|x; \theta)$

Decision boundary

- We want to decide if y is 0 or 1, but $h_{\theta}(x)$ is a floating point number between 0 and 1
- If we pick 0.5 as a threshold, then it means we'll pick

-
$$y = 1$$
 if $\theta^T x > 0$

-
$$y = 0$$
 if $\theta^T x < 0$

- A threshold like this cuts the space for x into two parts, where we always predict y=1 on one side and y=0 on the other
- It's called a decision boundary
- Decision boundaries can be non-linear, if we have non-linear features (e.g. polynomial features)

Cost function

- Given our training set, how can we pick θ ?
- Use a cost function to determine which is best (i.e. lowest cost), gradient descent to find that heta
- The naive translation of $J(\theta)$ is non-convex (i.e. full of local minima)

• Instead, let
$$cost(h_{\theta}(x),y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y=1 \\ -\log(1-h_{\theta}(x)) & \text{if } y=0 \end{cases}$$

- ullet This adds a generous penalty for being wrong in any individual case, and will make J(heta) convex
- Once again, you don't need to be able to come up with this math, it's enough to understand roughly what it's doing

Gradient descent

• We can add up costs for each case in the training set to get $J(\theta)$:

-
$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} cost(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}))$$

• We can simplify the cost component to one line:

-
$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right]$$

• For gradient descent, rule looks very similar to linear regression:

$$-\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

- Notice that $h_{\theta}(x)$ is the only part that's changed
- The same rules as normal apply:
 - Monitor $J(\theta)$ and check that it's decreasing with more iterations
 - Try various values for α and tune appropriately

Advanced optimisation

- Gradient descent calculates $J(\theta)$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta \theta_i} J(\theta)$ in every loop
- A bunch of advanced algorithms exist which can:
 - Avoid the need to pick lpha manually
 - Can converge much faster than gradient descent
- They're quite complex to implement don't roll your own!
- These become guite important for scaling to larger datasets

Multiclass problems

- "multiclass": predicting an enum instead of a boolean
- Examples
 - Email tagging: work, friends, family or hobby
 - Medical diagnosis: not ill, cold, flu
- One vs all
 - Train a model to detect each class, ending up with n models
 - When predicting, run it against all the models and pick the most confident

Regularization

Overfitting

- Underfitting or bias: when our model doesn't just doesn't fit the data well
- Overfitting or variance: when the model fits our training data very well but works badly on new examples (generalises poorly)
- Overfitting's especially a problem when:
 - You have a large number of features
 - You use a high-degree polynomial to fit data
- Approaches to combat it:
 - 1. Reduce the number of features
 - 2. *Regularisation*: penalise the use of too many active features in our model, thus ending up with models with less active features

Cost function

- Intiution: smaller values for our parameters means a simpler hypothesis
 - For example, if less important parameters θ_j are set to zero, our model no longer uses those terms
- Achieve this by adding an extra term to our cost function
 - $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} (h\theta(x^{(i)}) y^{(i)})^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_j^2 \right]$
 - Note that by convention there's no penalty on θ_0
 - λ is called the *regularisation parameter*
- If λ is too large, we will underfit
- If λ is too low, we may overfit

Regularized linear regression

- We have a slightly updated update rule for gradient descent:
 - For θ_0 , the rule is the same as before
 - For θ_j where j>0, we have $\theta_j:=\theta_j-\alpha[\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m(h\theta(x^{(i)})-y^{(i)})x_j^{(i)}+\frac{\lambda}{m}\theta_j]$

 - * Refactored: $\theta_j := \theta_j (1 \alpha \frac{\lambda}{m}) \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h \theta(x^{(i)}) y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$ * The term $(1 \alpha \frac{\lambda}{m})$ must be < 1, and has the effect of favouring shrinking the parameter, all else being even
- · Normal equation updated:
 - $\theta = (X^TX + \lambda D)^{-1}X^Ty$, where D is 0 at (1,1) and 1 down the rest of the diagonal
 - Advanced: if $\lambda > 0$, the above matrix being inverted will never be singular (i.e. non-invertible)

Regularized logistic regression

• Add the regularization term to our cost function:

-
$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{2}$$

• The update rule is the same as for linear regression, except that our $h\theta(x)$ uses the sigmoid function