

Security Operations Center (SOC) Week4 –Theory

Introduction

Theoretical knowledge in Security Operations Centers (SOCs) provides the foundation for understanding and applying effective defense strategies. By mastering threat hunting, automation, adversary simulation, post-incident processes, and security metrics, analysts gain the ability to proactively identify, detect, and respond to cyber threats. This document outlines five critical areas—Threat Hunting Methodologies, Advanced SOAR Automation, Post-Incident Analysis, Adversary Emulation Techniques, and Security Metrics & Reporting. Each section introduces definitions, core concepts, and practical examples to bridge theory with real-world SOC operations.

1. Threat Hunting Methodologies

Threat hunting is the proactive search for malicious activity within an organization's environment that has evaded traditional security tools. Unlike reactive incident response, it is hypothesis-driven and relies on analyzing attacker Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures (TTPs).

• Proactive Hunting vs. Reactive Response:

Proactive hunting involves creating hypotheses (e.g., "An attacker may be abusing valid accounts"). Reactive response means investigating alerts after they occur. *Example:* Hunting for abnormal use of Event ID 4672 (privileged logins) to detect privilege escalation.

• Hunting Frameworks (SqRR, TaHiTI):

Frameworks provide structured approaches.

Example: Using SqRR (Search, Query, Retrieve, Respond) to search logs for suspicious PowerShell execution.

• Data Sources:

Effective hunting requires diverse sources such as EDR logs, network traffic, and threat intelligence feeds.

Example: Cross-referencing AlienVault OTX IOCs with Elastic Security logs to detect lateral movement.

Frameworks:

- 1. **SqRR** → Search, Query, Retrieve, Respond.
- 2. **TaHiTI** → Targeted hunting with threat intelligence.
- 3. Data Sources: EDR logs, network traffic, TI feeds.

2. Advanced SOAR Automation

SOAR (Security Orchestration, Automation, and Response) platforms streamline SOC operations by automating repetitive tasks, integrating multiple tools, and enabling faster incident response.



SOAR Components:

Orchestration integrates tools, automation executes actions, and response manages incidents.

Example: Auto-creating a ticket in TheHive when a phishing alert is detected.

• Playbook Development:

Playbooks are predefined workflows for incident response.

Example: Automatically checking IP reputation \rightarrow blocking malicious IP in CrowdSec \rightarrow documenting case in TheHive.

• Integration with SIEM/EDR:

SOAR enhances SIEM and EDR efficiency.

Example: Wazuh detects a suspicious file, SOAR triggers VirusTotal check, then isolates the endpoint.

Components:

- Orchestration: Integrates tools/workflows.
- Automation: Repetitive task execution (e.g., auto-ticketing).
- Response: Containment actions.

Playbook Development: Predefined workflows (e.g., phishing \rightarrow auto IP check \rightarrow block \rightarrow case creation).

Integration: Connect with SIEM/EDR for real-time response.

3. Post-Incident Analysis and Continuous Improvement

Post-incident analysis ensures that after an incident is contained, organizations learn from it by identifying root causes, weaknesses, and areas for improvement.

Root Cause Analysis (RCA):

Techniques like the 5 Whys or Fishbone Diagrams help identify incident causes.

Example: RCA for a phishing breach reveals weak email filtering and lack of user awareness.

Lessons Learned Process:

Post-mortems evaluate incident response and recommend improvements. *Example:* After a malware outbreak, the SOC recommends stronger endpoint policies.

Metrics and KPIs:

Key SOC performance indicators include MTTD (Mean Time to Detect) and MTTR (Mean Time to Respond).

Example: A phishing incident was detected in 2 hours (MTTD) and resolved in 4 hours (MTTR).

4. Adversary Emulation Techniques

Adversary emulation is the simulation of real attacker behaviors to test defenses, validate SOC readiness, and improve detection rules.



Simulating TTPs:

Mapping to MITRE ATT&CK techniques helps mimic attacker behavior. *Example:* Emulating T1566 (Phishing) to test email filtering effectiveness.

Emulation Frameworks:

Tools like MITRE Caldera automate adversary simulations. *Example:* Using Caldera to simulate credential theft and privilege escalation.

• Red-Blue Team Collaboration:

Red teams simulate attacks, while Blue teams detect and defend. *Example:* A spearphishing test highlights the need for better SOC detection rules.

5. Security Metrics and Executive Reporting

Security metrics measure SOC performance, while executive reporting communicates findings to leadership in a clear, non-technical manner.

Advanced SOC Metrics:

Metrics like dwell time, false positive rate, and incident resolution rate help measure effectiveness.

Example: SOC reduced dwell time from 10 days to 3 days after adopting proactive hunting.

• Executive Reporting:

Summarizing technical findings in business terms with visuals ensures leadership understands risks.

Example: A dashboard showing incident trends and response times presented to executives.

• Continuous Improvement:

Metrics highlight weaknesses and guide improvements.

Example: High false positive rate prompts refinement of alerting rules.

Conclusion

Theoretical knowledge forms the blueprint for practical SOC operations. By studying structured threat hunting methodologies, mastering SOAR automation, conducting post-incident analysis, applying adversary emulation, and leveraging metrics for executive reporting, analysts can strengthen security posture and response effectiveness. This knowledge ensures that SOCs evolve from reactive defenders to proactive, intelligence-driven operations capable of anticipating, detecting, and mitigating sophisticated cyber threats.