

***Decoding Psychedelic Experiences: An Analysis of  
Trip Reports with LLMs***

**Yang Ong**  
*STATS418, Spring 2025*

# Background and Research Questions

## **Data Source:** Erowid Experience Vault

- Erowid is a non-profit educational organization that provides information about psychoactive plants and chemicals.
- The Experience Vault is a repository of “trip reports”, where people write about their experiences with psychoactive substances.

**Primary Question:** What factors are most correlated with positive trip outcomes?

**Secondary Question:** How do results differ between small and large parameter models when analyzing large amounts of unstructured text data?

- **Small model:** DeepSeek R1-8b (8 billion parameters)
- **Large model:** GPT4.1 (1.76 trillion parameters)

# Methodology

**Step 1:** Scrape data from Erowid into a CSV file (Selenium, BeautifulSoup)

**Step 2:** Clean data (Remove short, mixed-substance, and messy reports)

**Step 3:** Summarize report with a LLM, identifying 6 key categories (Ollama, LangChain, OpenAI APIs)

1. **Experience Level:** How experienced was the author with psychedelics?
2. **Control/Environmental Safety:** How much control or environmental safety did the author have during the experience?
3. **Mindset:** How much guiding mental, spiritual, or philosophical framework did the author have going into the experience?
4. **Intention:** How clear and meaningful was the author's purpose for taking the substance?
5. **Integration:** Did the author take steps to reflect on or apply the experience afterward?
6. **Outcome:** How positive or negative was the experience overall?

**Step 4:** Classify summarized reports with a LLM, rating each category between 1-5, Integration as a 1 or 0 for yes/no.

- 1 being low/poor to 5 being high/positive
- This is a necessary step as summarization + categorization in one prompt gives very inconsistent results

**Step 5:** Identify patterns between the **category** and **outcome** scores

# Expected Insights and Limitations

## Insights:

- Key predictors of positive experiences
- LLM scoring reliability
- Differences in output between models

## Limitations:

- Subjective, unverifiable data
- LLM hallucinations
- Some important details might be lost during the summarization step
- Limited ground truth labels in LLM prompts due to context size restrictions