Recall Var(X) = #[(X-M)2] This is called Markov's inequality. Now consider a random variable X with finite mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Setting  $Y = (X - \mu)^2$  and  $c = \varepsilon^2$  in Markov's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{P}(|X-\mu| \geqslant \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{E}(Y)/c$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X-\mu| \geqslant \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X-\mu|^2 \geqslant \varepsilon^2) \leq \sigma^2/\varepsilon^2$$

This is called Chebyshev's inequality. We can use Chebyshev's inequality to show consistency of estimators. For example, let  $\bar{X}$  be the estimator corresponding to the sample mean from a simple random sample of size n. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \mathcal{L}$$

$$\text{Vor}(\overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geqslant \varepsilon)) \leqslant \frac{\text{Var}(\bar{X})}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \varepsilon^2}$$

From this inequality we can see that  $\bar{X}$  is a **Consistent** estimator of  $\mu$ . In other words, the average of a large number of independent and identically distributed random variables tends to the expected value as the sample size goes to infinity. This result is known as the **Law of Large Numbers**.

## Central limit theorem

If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  is a simple random sample from a Normal $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution, then

 $\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\overline{X}-u}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n^2}}\right)$ 

$$\frac{ar{X}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,1\right)$$

 $= \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}) - \mu$ 

Suppose now we have a simple random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  of size n, where  $\mathbb{E} X_i = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ , but the distribution of the  $X_i$  is not necessarily normal. It is one of the remarkable results of probability and statistics that  $\bar{X}$  has approximately a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2/n$ . More precisely, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

10 M

= 0

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\leqslant x\right)=\Phi(x),$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. This result is called the **Central Limit Theorem**.

As a sketch of the ideas involved, suppose that  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  form a simple random sample with  $\mathbb{E}\,X_i = 0$  and  $\mathrm{Var}(X_i) = 1$ . The general result follows by considering random variables  $Y_i := \mu + \sigma X_i$ . If we can show that the moment generating function  $M_{Z_n}(t)$  of  $Z_n := n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  converges to  $\exp(t^2/2)$  (the MGF of the standard normal distribution) for all t in some neighbourhood of 0, then it follows (from Lévy's continuity theorem — a result we will not study) that the distribution of  $Z_n$  converges to a standard normal distribution.

Let  $M_X(t)$  denote the moment generating function of the  $X_i$ . The moment generating function of  $Z_n$  is

Estimation

$$M_{Z_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tZ_n}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tn^{-V_2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_i\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tn^{-V_2}X_1} + tn^{-V_2}X_2 + \cdots + tn^{-V_2}X_n\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tn^{-V_2}X_1}\right] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tn^{-V_2}X_n}\right] \text{ [by independence]}$$

$$= M_{X_1}(tn^{-V_2}) \times \cdots \times M_{X_n}(tn^{-V_2}) = \left(M_X(tn^{-V_2})\right)^n$$

As the moment generating function of the standard normal distribution is  $\exp(t^2/2)$ , we would like to show that

for all t is some neighbourhood of 0. Let  $y = n^{-1/2}$ . Then we can write the limit as

$$\lim_{y \to 0} \frac{\ln M_X(yt)}{y^2}.$$

We need to use L'Hopital's rule to evaluate this limit as  $\lim_{y\to 0} M_X(yt) = 0$ for any t in a neighbourhood of 0. Applying L'Hoptial's rule once gives

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \frac{\ln M_X(yt)}{y^2} = \lim_{y\to 0} \left( \frac{t M_X(yt)}{M_X(yt)} / 2y \right) = \lim_{y\to 0} \frac{t M_X(yt)}{2y M_X(yt)}$$

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \frac{\ln M_X(yt)}{y^2} = \lim_{y\to 0} \frac{\pm^2 M_X''(yt)}{2(1\cdot M_X(yt) + y \cdot t M_X'(yt))} = \frac{\pm^2}{2}$$

as 
$$M_X''(0) = \mathcal{L}(X^2) = 1$$

= ( Variance since EX =0)

**Example.** Let  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n,p)$ . As  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ , where the  $X_i$  are  $\mathcal{E}(X_i) < \rho$ independent Bernoulli(p) random variables, we have

$$E(x;) = p$$

$$Vor(x;) = p(1-p)$$

$$\frac{X-np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}$$

$$= \frac{x/n - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \sim Normal(0,1) \text{ (approximately)}.$$

**Example.** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a simple random sample from a Poisson( $\lambda$ ) distribution. Define  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ . We have seen that  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ . The central limit theorem implies that

$$E(Y)=n\lambda$$

$$\frac{Y/n-\lambda}{\sqrt{x/n}}$$
 ~ Normal(0,1) (approximately).

$$=\frac{\gamma-n\lambda}{\sqrt{n\lambda}}$$

## Confidence intervals

How can we gauge the accuracy of an estimator of  $\theta$ ? Confidence intervals (sometimes called interval estimates) provide a precise way of describing the uncertainty in an estimator.

Ou aim is to construct random variables  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  so that the probability of  $\mu$  being in the interval  $(T_1, T_2)$  is sufficiently high. For example, we might want to construct  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  (with  $T_1 < T_2$ ) that ensure that the probability of the mean  $\mu$  being in  $(T_1, T_2)$  is 95%.

Formally, given random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  whose joint distribution depends on some unknown  $\theta \in \Theta$ , a  $(1 - \alpha)$  stochastic confidence interval is a pair of statistics

$$T_1(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$$
 and  $T_2(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$  functions of the sample hat  $\mathbb{P}(T_1<\theta< T_2)\geqslant 1-lpha$ , for all  $\theta\in\Theta$ ,

with the property that

where the number  $1 - \alpha \in [0, 1]$  is the coverage probability.

That is,  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a *random* interval, based only on the (as yet to be observed) outcomes  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , that contains the unknown  $\theta$  with probability at least  $1 - \alpha$ .

A realisation of the random interval, say  $(t_1, t_2)$ , is called a  $(1 - \alpha)$  numerical confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

**Remark**: Whilst stochastic confidence intervals contain the unknown  $\theta$  with probability at least  $1-\alpha$ , their numerical counterparts either contain  $\theta$  or they do not. It may be helpful to think of a Bernoulli analogy, where "success" occurs with probability (at least)  $1-\alpha$ — then outcomes are either "successes" or "failures".

Consider a simple random sample of size n from a Normal( $\mu, \sigma^2$ ) distribution. Suppose we know  $\sigma^2$  and we would like to construct a confidence interval for the unknown parameter  $\mu$ . We know that

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1).$$

The quantity on the left is often called a *pivot*, because we know its distribution (which does not depend on the unknown parameter of interest) and it contains both a statistic and the unknown parameter of interest.

Hence, e.g. 
$$\mathbb{P}\left(-1.96 \leqslant \frac{\overline{X} - \mathcal{U}}{\sqrt{5\%}} \leqslant 1.96\right) = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(z_{\alpha/2} \leqslant \frac{\overline{X} - \mathcal{U}}{\sqrt{5\%}} \leqslant z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

where  $z_{\gamma}$  is the  $\gamma$ -quantile of the standard normal distribution. For example, a standard normal random variable is contained in the interval (-1.96, 1.96) with probability 0.95.

Rearranging, we have

$$P(\overline{X} - Z_{1-\alpha/2}) \frac{\partial^{27}}{n} \leq M \leq \overline{X} - Z_{\alpha/2}) \frac{\partial^{27}}{n} = 1 - \alpha.$$

$$1 - \alpha = P(Z_{\alpha/2}) \frac{\sigma^{27}}{n} \leq \overline{X} - M \leq Z_{1-\alpha/2}) \frac{\sigma^{27}}{n}$$

$$= P(-\overline{X} + Z_{\alpha/2}) \frac{\sigma^{27}}{n} \leq -M \leq -\overline{X} + Z_{1-\alpha/2}) \frac{\sigma^{27}}{n}$$

$$= P(\overline{X} - Z_{\alpha/2}) \frac{\sigma^{27}}{n} \geqslant M \geqslant \overline{X} - Z_{1-\alpha/2}) \frac{\sigma^{27}}{n}$$

As the standard normal distribution is symmetric about 0, the quantiles satisfy  $-z_{\alpha/2} = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ .

Hence a stochastic  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval for  $\mu$  in this case is

$$(\bar{X}-z_{1-\alpha/2})$$
  $(\bar{X}+z_{1-\alpha/2})$   $(\bar{X}+z_{1-\alpha/2})$   $(\bar{X}+z_{1-\alpha/2})$ 

which is often abbreviated to

$$\bar{X} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}}$$

So for example, in 95% of the simple random samples from Normal( $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$ ),  $\mu$  will be within  $1.96 \times \sigma/\sqrt{n}$  of  $\bar{X}$ .

Exercise: Suppose that we wish to determine the average time it takes to write a 2 Gb file to a hard-drive we are testing, as well as to quantify the uncertainty inherent in the estimate. We will assume that write times are Normally distributed, with unknown mean  $\mu$  but known standard deviation  $\sigma = 1$  s. We have the following data:

$$7.2 \, s, 8.3 \, s, 7.8 \, s, 8.1 \, s, 7.5 \, s$$
.

Construct a numerical (numerical) 95% confidence interval for the unknown mean.

We calculate

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{5} (7.2 + 8.3 + 7.8 + 8.1 + 7.5) = 7.78 s$$

From the tabulated values of the standard normal cdf,

$$Z_{0.975} = 1.96$$
 , so  $Z_{0.975} \times \sqrt{\frac{6^2}{n}} = 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} \approx 0.88 \text{s}$ 

The (numerical) 95% confidence interval is

This is great. We were able to say something about an unknown parameter  $\mu$  based on our sample. Unfortunately, this is practically useless since there is no reason why we would know what  $\sigma^2$  is.

## Impact of Unknown Variance

For a random sample from a normal distribution with known variance  $\sigma^2$ , we have seen that the estimator corresponding to the *sample mean*  $\bar{X}$  is normally distributed. From this we can construct a confidence interval for the unknown mean  $\mu$ . How can we proceed when  $\sigma^2$  is unknown?

It is natural to consider replacing  $\sigma^2$  by the unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  given by

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$
.

MATLAB normiv R gnorm