DATA7202 : Assessment 1

Name: Peng Yu

Student ID: 46635884

## Q1:

We first start with linear regression. By fitting the linear regression, we arrive at the following result

|          | coef   | std err | t       | P> t  | [0.025 | 0.975] |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|
| radio    | 0.8734 | 0.022   | 39.950  | 0.000 | 0.831  | 0.916  |
| tv       | 4.1962 | 0.038   | 109.153 | 0.000 | 4.121  | 4.272  |
| internet | 6.1841 | 0.040   | 155.965 | 0.000 | 6.106  | 6.262  |

First, this is not clear if radio advertisement contributes to sales. In particular, note that the confidence interval is (0.831, 0.916). In other words, there is no statistically significant evidence that the radio coefficient is not zero.

Second, in addition, the tv and the internet seem to contribute directly to sales. The corresponding confidence intervals suggest that these coefficients are positive (and the result is statistically significant).

Finally, regression coefficients suggest that the most beneficial domain for advertisement is the internet, the second on is tv, and the last one is radio.

regression mean squared error: 0.10828680248608381 random forest loss: 0.10863824674241702

The MSE of Linear Regression is 0.108286 The MSE of Random Forest is 0.108638

Q2

In my opinion, this is not a good model. Getting good predictors on a subset can only mean that there is a good prediction on this set, but not on the whole data set. Therefore, the prediction error obtained by this model is only fitting for this subset, not necessarily for the whole data set. Moreover, if the prediction is too good, and the prediction model is used to predict the whole data set, it is possible to form an overfitting phenomenon. To sum up, I cannot expect to obtain the true prediction error.

Q3:

 $\theta$  is an unknown vector of parameters that take values in the parameter space  $\Theta$ . F is a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation of  $\sigma$ . Here  $\theta = \{\mu, \sigma\}$  and  $\Theta = \{\mathbb{R}, (0, +\infty)\}$ .

Q4:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{T}} Loss_{\mathcal{T}}(g) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{T}} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} 1\{g(x_i) \neq y_i\}}{m} \right]$$

$$\because \text{Training set is a sample from distribution } \mathcal{D}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} 1\{g(x) \neq g^*(x)\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}(g(x) \neq g^*(x))$$

$$\triangleq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Loss_{\mathcal{D}}(g)$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} * m * Loss_{\mathcal{D}}(g)$$

$$= Loss_{\mathcal{D}}(g)$$

Q5:

```
beta 0:
         0.0
beta 1:
         0.6
beta_0:
         1.8
         3.510833468576701e-17
beta 1:
Model1 mean squared error loss: 1.64
Model1 mean squared absolute error loss: 1.16000000000000001
Model1 mean squared L1.5 loss: 1.36348016266711
Model2 mean squared error loss:
                                 0.560000000000000002
Model2 mean squared absolute error loss:
                                           0.64000000000000001
Model2 mean squared L1.5 loss: 0.5849006163624495
```

(a)

| 3.6.1.1 | 0           | 0           |
|---------|-------------|-------------|
| Model   | $R_{\circ}$ | $R_{\star}$ |
| Mouel   | $ ho_0$     | $\rho_1$    |

| $Model_1$ | 0   | 0.6             |
|-----------|-----|-----------------|
| $Model_2$ | 1.8 | 3.510833*10^-16 |

(b)

| Model     | squared error loss | absolute error loss | $L_{1.5}loss$ |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| $Model_1$ | 1.64               | 1.16                | 1.3634480     |
| $Model_2$ | 0.56               | 0.64                | 0.584900      |

(c)

No matter through squared error calculation, absolute error calculation, or L1.5 calculation, the final error loss of Model 2 is lower than that of Model 1. To sum up, Model 2 is better than Model 1

Q6

(a)

| AtBat         | int64   |
|---------------|---------|
| Hits          | int64   |
| HmRun         | int64   |
| Runs          | int64   |
| RBI           | int64   |
| Walks         | int64   |
| Years         | int64   |
| CAtBat        | int64   |
| CHits         | int64   |
| CHmRun        | int64   |
| CRuns         | int64   |
| CRBI          | int64   |
| CWalks        | int64   |
| League        | int64   |
| Division      | int64   |
| PutOuts       | int64   |
| Assists       | int64   |
| Errors        | int64   |
| Salary        | float64 |
| NewLeague     | int64   |
| dtype: object |         |

## OneHotEncoder

Advantages: OneHotEncoder solves the problem that classifiers are not good at processing attribute data, and also plays a role in extending features to some extent. Its values are only 0 and 1, and the different types are stored in vertical space. Disadvantages: When the number of categories is large, the feature space can become very large.

Label Encoding can be useful in some situations, but the situations are very restrictive. For example, if we have [dog,cat,dog,mouse,cat], we convert it to [1,2,1,3,2]. Here a curious phenomenon arises: the average value of a dog and mouse is a cat.

However, in this data, all the classified data have only dichotomies, so here is better to use LabelEncoder.

(c)

```
In [8]: runcell(0, '/Users/T=/Desktop/computer_science/DATA7202/
assessment_1/Q6.py')
linear regression cross validation error = 116599.01367380246
```

10-Fold Cross-Validation mean squared error = 116599.01367

Q7

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^2 + 2x + 3} dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}(-\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) + \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{2}))}{2} \approx 0.240300983$$

```
mean = 0.2404 \text{ CI} = (0.23202440283834042, 0.2487755971616596)
```