

Assignment

SPIKE

1. Library Level Solution

- a. need to consider the precision and how big a money amount can be
 - i. **ignoring it for simplification**
- b. trust the caller to pass the user identifier, Authentication and Authorisation should be an other module work.
- c. focus on behavioural test first, as the library is still in an early stage.
 - i. target to have high code coverage
 - ii. avoid focus too much on unit test, as early stage library may have structural change quite often. (and also due to time limit)
- d. There should be a **Transaction Request** Record
 - i. to store the action / event to be handled by the system
 - ii. should store a **reference** to indicate the intension / action trigger by the user
 - 1. the transaction need to be trace-able
 - iii. possible record structure

```
class TransactionRequest {
  sourceType: TRANSACTION_REQUEST_SOURCE_TYPE, // ['USER', 'WALLET']
  sourceId: string,
  type: TRANSACTION_REQUEST_TYPE, // ['DEPOSIT', 'WITHDRAW', 'TRANSFER']
  destinationType: TRANSACTION_REQUEST_SOURCE_TYPE, // ['USER', 'WALLET']
  destinationId: string,
  typeMeta: Object, // if using postgresql, should use JSONB, keep it as small as possible
  ref: string, //defined by use case trigger, generate by client side
}
```

- e. Should care about **Transaction** Record and **State** Record
 - i. Transaction Record
 - 1. only focus on one wallet transaction
 - 2. to store all history
 - 3. to recalculate the state if there is request for double checking the State Record
 - 4. should store the change instead of a new sum up number
 - v. possible record structure

```
class WalletTransaction {
  walletId: ID,
  transactionRequestId: ID,
```

```
amountChange: number,
}
```

b. State Record

- a. to provide a summary of a user
- b. to avoid calculation during read
 - a. since it will not be scalable when the number of Transaction Record of one user increase
- c. to provide an easy way if there is a need to do comparison among users
- d. possible record structure

```
class WalletState {
  userId: ID
  amount: number,
  lastTransactionRequestId: ID,
}
```

f. 4 main use cases

- Assuming some basic error handling will be considered, like
 - record not found
- **User can deposit money into his wallet**
 - Assume user deposit \$50
 - Failure Use Cases: NONE ?
 - related state change
 - one new TransactionRequest record

```
transactionRequest = {
  sourceType: 'USER',
  sourceId: _userId_,
  type: 'DEPOSIT',
  destinationType: 'WALLET',
  destinationId: _userWalletId_
  typeMeta: {
    amount: 50.0
  },
  ref: `app:deposit:${userId}:${walletId}:${uuid}`
}
```

- one WalletTransaction record

```
walletTransaction = {
  walletId: _userWalletId_,
  transactionRequestId: transactionRequest.id,
  amountChange: 50.0,
}
```

- update WalletState record

```
walletState = {  
  userId: _userId_,  
  amount: _originalAmount_ + 50,  
  lastTransactionRequestId: _transactionRequest_,  
  lastWalletTransactionId: _walletTransactionId_,  
}
```

- **User can withdraw money from her wallet**

- Assume user withdraw \$50
- Failure use case:
 - current amount not enough
- related State Change
 - one new TransactionRequest record

```
transactionRequest = {  
  sourceType: 'USER',  
  sourceId: userId,  
  type: 'WITHDRAW',  
  destinationType: 'WALLET',  
  destinationId: _userWalletId_  
  typeMeta: {  
    amount: 50.0  
  },  
  ref: `app:deposite:${userId}:${walletId}:${uuid}`  
}
```

- one WalletTransaction record

```
walletTransaction = {  
  walletId: _userWalletId_,  
  transactionRequestId: transactionRequest.id,  
  amountChange: -50.0,  
}
```

- update WalletState record

```
walletState = {  
  userId: _userId_,  
  amount: _originalAmount_ - 50,  
  lastTransactionRequestId: _transactionRequest_,  
  lastWalletTransactionId: _walletTransactionId_,  
}
```

- **User can send money to another user**

- Assume User A transfer \$50 to User B

- Failure cases
 - User A doesn't have enough money
- related State Change
 - one new TransactionRequest record

```
transactionRequest = {
  sourceType: 'WALLET',
  sourceId: _userAWalletId_,
  type: 'WITHDRAW',
  destinationType: 'WALLET',
  destinationId: _userBWalletId_
  typeMeta: {
    amount: 50.0
  },
  ref: `app:deposit:${_userAWalletId_}:${_userBWalletId_}:${_uuid}`
}
```

- one WalletTransaction record to UserA

```
walletTransaction = {
  walletId: _userAWalletId_,
  transactionRequestId: transactionRequest.id,
  amountChange: -50.0,
}
```

- one WalletTransaction record to UserB

```
walletTransaction = {
  walletId: _userBWalletId_,
  transactionRequestId: transactionRequest.id,
  amountChange: 50.0,
}
```

- update WalletState record to UserA

```
walletState = {
  userId: _userId_,
  amount: _originalAmount_ - 50,
  lastTransactionRequestId: _transactionRequest_,
  lastWalletTransactionId: _walletTransactionId_,
}
```

- update WalletState record to UserB

```
walletState = {
  userId: _userId_,
  amount: _originalAmount_ + 50,
  lastTransactionRequestId: _transactionRequest_,
  lastWalletTransactionId: _walletTransactionId_,
}
```

- **User can check her wallet balance**

- just read the WalletState with the wallet Id

2. System Level (just brain storming, not included in the assignment)

- a. What DB should be used
- b. for the field storing money related amount, a proper number-related type should be used
- c. consider using RPC API standard
 - i. assuming in the application will run in a micro-service architecture
- d. need to consider IdempotentId in API call
- e. assuming when record are stored in DB, there will be createdAt and modifiedAt and also related mechanism
- f. the data structure now will need to be created in sequence to DB. is it good?
- g. surely need to do Authentication and Authorisation