Maik Thanh Nguyen

TITLE

CONFERENCE, DATE

Content

- Modal logic and semantics
  - Kripke frames
  - Topological space
  - Neighbourhood frames
- Multimodal logic and product of frames/spaces and logics
  - Notation, Fusion of logics
  - Horizontal and Vertical topology/functions
  - Product of logics and the logic T
- Main result and ideas

Modal logic and Kripke frames and models

- Modal logic extends classical propositional logic. Formally:

$$\phi ::= p \mid \bot \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi \vee \phi \mid \Box\phi$$

where $\Box$ is a modal operator and Prop is a set of variable with $p \in \mathrm{Prop}$.

Modal logic and Kripke frames and models

- Modal logic extends classical propositional logic. Formally:

  $$\phi ::= p \mid \bot \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi \vee \phi \mid \Box\phi$$

  where $\Box$ is a modal operator and Prop is a set of variable with $p \in$ Prop.
- A frame $F = (W, R)$ is a pair where
  - W is a non-empty set of worlds
  - $R \subseteq W \times W$ is a binary relation
- A model is a pair $M = (F, R)$ (M is based on F) where
  - F is a frame
  - V is a valuation and is of the form $V : Prop \to 2^W$

Kripke semantics

- Let $M = (F, V)$ be a model and $w \in W$ a state in M. A formula being true at w is inductively defined as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M, w \Vdash p & \text{iff } w \in V(p) \\
M, w \Vdash \bot & \text{never} \\
M, w \Vdash \neg\phi & \text{iff not } M, w \Vdash \phi \\
M, w \Vdash \phi \vee \psi & \text{iff } M, w \Vdash \phi \vee M, w \Vdash \psi \\
M, w \Vdash \Box\phi & \text{iff } \forall v \in W : wRv \rightarrow M, v \Vdash \phi \\
M, w \Vdash \Diamond\phi & \text{iff } \exists v \in W : wRv \wedge M, v \Vdash \phi
\end{array}
$$

Example

- Let $\phi = \Box p$ and $M = (W, R, V)$ with $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}$, $V(p) = \{w_3, w_4, w_5\}$ and $R =$



ϕ is true at w_2

Example

- Let $\phi = \Box p$ and $M = (W, R, V)$ with $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}$, $V(p) = \{w_3, w_4, w_5\}$ and $R =$



ϕ is true at w_2

- Kripke semantics has many applications for example epistemic logic, temporal logic,...

Motivation topological space

- We want to reason not just about "what is true" but "where is true"

Motivation topological space

- We want to reason not just about "what is true" but "where is true"
- Topological space deals with open sets, it can describes which points are "nearby"
- applications: spatial reasoning

Topological space

- A topological space is a pair (X, τ), where τ (called topology) is a collection of subsets of X (open sets) such that:
  - $\emptyset$ and X are open
  - the union of arbitrary collection of open sets is open
  - the intersection of finite collection of open sets is open
- A topological model is a structure $M = (X, \tau, \upsilon)$ where (X, τ) is a topological space and υ a valuation of the form $\upsilon : Prop \to 2^X$

Topological semantics

Let $M = (X, \tau, v)$ be a topological model and $x \in X$ a point in M. A formula being true at x is inductively defined as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M, x \vDash p & \text{iff } x \in v(p) \\
M, x \vDash \bot & \text{never} \\
M, x \vDash \neg\phi & \text{iff } M, x \nvDash \phi \\
M, x \vDash \phi \vee \psi & \text{iff } M, x \vDash \phi \text{ or } M, x \vDash \psi \\
M, x \vDash \Box\phi & \text{iff } \exists U \in \tau \text{ such that } x \in U \text{ and } \forall u \in U,\ M, u \vDash \phi \\
M, x \vDash \Diamond\phi & \text{iff } \forall U \in \tau : \text{if } x \in U \to \exists u \in U,\ M, u \vDash \phi
\end{array}
$$

Example

- Let (X, τ) be a topological space with $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, W\}$ and $V(p) = \{1, 2\}$. Furthermore, let $\phi = \Box p$.

Example

- Let (X, τ) be a topological space with $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$,
  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, W\}$ and $V(p) = \{1, 2\}$. Furthermore, let $\phi = \Box p$.



$M, 1 \Vdash \phi$

$M, 3 \nVdash \phi$

Neighbourhood frames

- generalize Kripke semantics
- captures non-normal modal logics
- application in Epistemic logic

Neighbourhood frames

- generalize Kripke semantics
- captures non-normal modal logics
- application in Epistemic logic
- A neighbourhood frame is a pair (X, τ) where τ is a function $\tau : X \to 2^{2^X}$.
- A neighbourhood model is a structure $M = (X, \tau, \upsilon)$, where υ is a valuation of the form $\upsilon : \mathit{Prop} \to 2^X$

Neighbourhood semantics

Let $M = (X, \tau, \upsilon)$ be a neighbourhood model and $x \in X$ a point in M. A formula being true at x is inductively defined as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M, x \Vdash p & \text{iff } x \in V(p) \\
M, x \Vdash \bot & \text{never} \\
M, x \Vdash \neg\phi & \text{iff } M, x \nVdash \phi \\
M, x \Vdash \phi \vee \psi & \text{iff } M, x \vDash \phi \vee M, x \vDash \psi \\
M, x \Vdash \Box\phi & \text{iff } \exists V \in \tau(x) \forall y \in V : M, y \models \phi
\end{array}
$$

Example

Assume $\phi = \Box p$. Let $W = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $V(p) = \{1, 2\}$ and

$$\tau(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \to \{\{1\}, \{3\}, W\} \\ 2 \to \{\{2\}, \{1, 2\}, W\} \\ 3 \to \{\{3\}, \{1, 3\}\} \end{cases}$$



True at all points

Multimodal logic and product of frames

- Mutlimodal logic allows us to reason about knowledge,time etc. simultaneously
- for example we can combine temporal and epistemic logic to reason about "when does the agent knows something" (product of frames)

Multimodal logic and product of frames

- Mutlimodal logic allows us to reason about knowledge,time etc. simultaneously
- for example we can combine temporal and epistemic logic to reason about "when does the agent knows something" (product of frames)
- horizontal and vertical relation allows us to reason in one dimension
- Let $F = (W, R_1)$ and $G = (V, R_2)$. We define the Kripke product on $W \times V$ as :

$$(w, v)R_1'(w', v') \text{ iff } wR_1 w' \text{ and } v = v' \text{ (horizontal)}$$

$$(w, v)R_2'(w', v') \text{ iff } w = w' \text{ and } vR_2 v' \text{(vertical)}$$

Fusion

- we can also combine logics with fusion
- Let L_1 and L_2 be modal logics with one modality $\Box$. Then the fusion is defined as:

$$L_1 \otimes L_2 = K_2 + L_{1(\Box \to \Box_1)} L_{2(\Box \to \Box_2)}$$

Horizontal and Vertical topology

- in topological space, horizontal and vertical can be also defined

Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, \chi)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \upsilon)$ be topological spaces and $N \subseteq X \times Y$

Horizontally open: N is horizontally open iff

$$\forall (x, y) \in N \; \exists U \in \chi \text{ such that } x \in U \text{ and } U \times \{y\} \subseteq N.$$

Vertically open: N is vertically open iff

$$\forall (x, y) \in N \; \exists V \in \upsilon \text{ such that } y \in V \text{ and } \{x\} \times V \subseteq N.$$

τ_1 (horizontal topology) is the set of all horizontally open sets and τ_2 (vertical topology) the set of all vertically open sets.

Illustration, standard product



horizontally



vertically

- we can also reason in both directions at once with the standard topology
- we denote the standard toplogy as τ where

$$\tau = \{N \subseteq X \times Y \mid \exists U \in \chi \exists V \in \upsilon : N = U \times V\}$$

horizontal, vertical and standard functions

Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, \tau_1)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \tau_2)$ be two n-frames. We define the full product as

$$\mathcal{X} \times_n^+ \mathcal{Y} = (X \times Y, \tau_1', \tau_2', \tau) \text{ where}$$

$$\tau_1'(x, y) = \{U \subseteq \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y} \mid \exists V \in \tau_1(x) : V \times \{y\} \subseteq U\}$$

$$\tau_2'(x, y) = \{U \subseteq \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y} \mid \exists V \in \tau_2(y) : \{x\} \times V \subseteq U\}$$

$$\tau(x, y) = \{U \subseteq \mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{Y} \mid \exists W \in \tau_1(x) \exists V \in \tau_2(y) : W \times V \subseteq U\}$$

Product of logics and the logic T

Let L_1 and L_2 be two unimodal logic. We define the full n-product of them as:

$$L_1 \times_n^+ L_2 = Log(\{\mathcal{X} \times_n^+ \mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X} \Vdash L_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} \Vdash L_2\})$$

Product of logics and the logic T

Let L_1 and L_2 be two unimodal logic. We define the full n-product of them as:

$$L_1 \times_n^+ L_2 = Log(\{\mathcal{X} \times_n^+ \mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X} \Vdash L_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{Y} \Vdash L_2\})$$

- this thesis deals with the logic T
- $T = K + \Box p \rightarrow p$

Main Research Question

- Does the following equality holds?

$$T \otimes T \otimes T + \Box p \to \Box_1 p \wedge \Box_2 p = T \times_n^+ T$$

  where $T \otimes T \otimes T = K_3 + T_\Box + T_{(\Box \to \Box_1)} + T_{(\Box \to \Box_2)}$ is the logic with three modalities and $\Box p \to \Box_1 p \wedge \Box_2 p$ the interaction axiom
- main motivation comes from epistemic logic

Sketch of the main ideas

We will only show completeness w.r.t. to the class of frames

Sketch of the main ideas

We will only show completeness w.r.t. to the class of frames