

Multilevel Urban Tree Allometric equations

erker

November 17, 2018

Introduction

Multilevel models have been used for decades in tree growth equations (Lappi and Bailey 1988). Multilevel modeling is an attractive approach because it provides a coherent framework to account for the many levels of observation or of groupings in data and to pool information across groups. This paper has two main contributions. First, we demonstrate the use of Stan via the "brms" package in R to fit bayesian nonlinear multilevel models to predict tree diameter growth from age ???. Second, we apply the method to the Urban Tree Database ???. This dataset is the result an over a decade long effort to collect age and size data on thousands of trees in 17 cities across the US. Multilevel modeling has the potential to extract more information from the data and improve predictions compared to the existing approach. Improving predictions of tree size from tree age will improve our ability to predict the important ecosystem services these trees provide urban dwellers.

Stan is a probabilistic programming language for bayesian inference ?. It uses No-U-Turn sampler, an adaptive form of Hamiltonian Monte Carlo sampling, to effectively draw samples from the specified log posterior density. Here, we access Stan via the R package brms ?. brms allows the user to specify the likelihood and priors in syntax similar to the R package lme4 commonly used for frequentist? multilevel (mixed effects) models. This makes harnessing the power of Stan much simpler and concise because it doesn't require the user

to know how to write efficient Stan code and can convert a few lines of R code into many lines of Stan. `brms` is not as flexible as `stan`, but still can be used to fit many types of models including nonlinear multilevel regression models, such as ours here. Some of the key advantages of fitting a model in Stan via `brms` include relatively simple syntax and efficient posterior sampling for multilevel non-linear models. The bayesian approach gives better estimates of parameter uncertainty and provides a formal way to include prior information.

The existing approach to modeling the diameter growth of trees in the urban tree database (UTD) was to fit a separate model for each tree species in each city and test several model forms with different weights and then select the model with the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). This approach has several limitations, many highlighted in the report. First, while the model form selected provided the lowest AIC, many of the estimates are not biologically realistic (for example they begin to increase sharply at old ages, cubic fits, or decrease at old ages, quadratic fits). Therefore, the researchers discouraged applying the models beyond the range of the data, or sometimes even within the range of data if the estimates were unrealistic. These unrealistic estimates and the inability to extrapolate severely limits managers' ability to predict growth over meaningful time scales (a century rather than a few decades). A second limitations is that some models predict negative diameters, an impossibility. Third, models are only provided for the cities and the species sampled. If a manager wants to predict the diameter growth of a tree species in an unsampled city, the researchers recommend using the model from the reference city in the same climate region. However many of the reference cities are on the border of climate regions and there is known large variability in growth within regions (see figure XX in utd report which is from McPherson... comparing Cheyenne to Ft Collins). Furthermore, if a manager wants to predict growth for an unsampled species or a species that was sampled in a different city it is not obvious which equation/model to use and the additional uncertainty that this introduces is not quantified.

Our approach addresses the above limitations. First, we use a weibull curve, commonly

used in forestry growth equations and biologically realistic, which makes extrapolation to ages outside the data range less fraught. Second, using this sigmoidal curve and modeling diameter with a gamma distribution ensures our estimates of diameter are positive. Third, by modeling the weibull curve parameters as functions of species, city, and climate, we are able to borrow information across cities and across species to provide predictions an associated uncertainty of diameter growth even in even in cities or species with very little or no data.

Sigmoidal curves very similar to the weibull we use here have been used before in modeling urban tree diameter growth as a function of age. ? use the Chapman-Richards growth curve of form $y = B_0(1 - \exp(-B_1x))^{B_2}$ to predict DBH from age for healthy trees (12 species, 221 trees total) in Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minnesota. This equation form worked very well (8 out of 12 species had an R^2 over .9), but the trees used were only healthy open grown trees, which is not representative of urban trees generally. Following ?, in an early version of the urban tree database, ? fit the same curve to a small number of observations and adjusted parameters for different locations based on the number of frost free days based on expert opinion. ? and ? compared this modified weibull curve to logarithm regression and selected the logarithm regression based on a higher R^2 . Subsequent urban tree growth equations did not use sigmoidal curves ?.

Multilevel modeling was first introduced to forestry by ? and has since been widely used to account for multiple levels of variability (observations correlated within groups) in allometric and growth equations. ? give a general overview of the approach and an example of loblolly pine height growth in the Southeastern US. Levels in their model are plot and tree nested within plot, and they use tree density as a plot level covariate. ? provide another example of modeling tree height growth using norway spruce in Germany. They use the Sloboda function and levels in the model are plot and trees nested in plot with elevation as a plot level covariate. ? provide a bayesian example modeling balsam fir height growth in Maine using the Chapman-Richards equation, also with tree nested within plot. They found the bayesian approach has similar parameter point estimates to the frequentist approach. In

an urban tree context, ? model DBH growth with repeat measures data on individual trees and test a varying coefficients model because they have repeat measures on individual trees.

Indeed the test dataset, "orange", in the statistical programming language, R, is used to demonstrate the fitting of nonlinear multilevel (mixed effects) models ? orange.

Compared to past work, our approach is new from a modeling standpoint in the use of a bayesian approach with both nested and non-nested groupings and group level predictors. The Bayesian multilevel/hierarchical modeling framework has many strengths as discussed in ? (and others) and includes the ability to sample parameter values from the entire posterior, rather than maximum likelihood estimates. Nonlinear multilevel models in the frequentist approach depend on linear approximations such as first-order taylor expansion, which is not required in the bayesian framework. Summary statistics of parameters (mean, median, quantiles) can be easily calculated from posterior samples and the common assumption in the frequentist paradigm that parameters are normally distributed can be relaxed. The ability to incorporate prior information from experts or past studies on parameters is another strength of the bayesian approach.

A paragraph on the impact of these equations for managing forests to predict/forecast ecosystem services.