Problem 1

Problem 1.1

min
$$x_1^2 + 12x_2^2 - 1$$

s.t. $3x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0$
 $x_1 - 2x_2 \ge 0$

First of all, we need to change the last constraint equation, thus getting:

min
$$x_1^2 + 12x_2^2 - 1 = f(x_1, x_2)$$

s.t. $3x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0 = g(x_1, x_2)$
 $2x_2 - x_1 \le 0 = h(x_1, x_2)$

Solution

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \lambda_1(x_1 + x_2 - 1) + \lambda_2(2x_2 - x_1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}x_1 = 2x_1 + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}x_2 = 2x_2 + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}\lambda_1 = x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}\lambda_2 = 2x_2 + x_1 = 0$$

substitute x_1 and x_2

$$x_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}$$

$$x_{2} = -\lambda_{2} - \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\lambda_{1} = \frac{-\lambda_{2} - 2\lambda_{1}}{2} - 1 =$$

$$= \frac{-\lambda_{2}}{2} = 1 + \frac{2\lambda_{1}}{2} = -2 - 2\lambda_{1}$$
substitute λ_{1}

$$\mathcal{L}\lambda_{2} = 4 + 4\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{1} + 1 + \lambda_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} =$$

$$= 5 + 4\lambda_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} = \frac{-10}{9}$$

In the end we have:

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{-10}{9}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{2}{9}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{3}$$

We can verify the limitations by inserting the values of x_1 and x_2 :

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} - 1 = 0$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = 2\frac{1}{3} - (\frac{2}{3}) = 0$$

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{2}{3}^2 + \frac{1}{3}^2 - 1 = \frac{4+1-9}{9} = \frac{-4}{9}$$

Problem 1.2

Solution We can divide our problem into two parts:

- Get head first
- Get k consecutive tails

Let's start with calculating the expected tosses to get k consecutive tails. Let e be the number of expected tosses. The probability of getting tail is $p=\frac{1}{2}$, if we get head first, then the expected number will be e+1, if we get head then tails, we will have $p=\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4}$ and e+2 and so on until k. At last, we would need to consider the possibility of getting k tails in k tosses. We can determine the number of tosses needed to get k consecutive f with the following equation:

$$e_k = \frac{1}{2}(e_k + 1) + \frac{1}{4}(e_k + 2) + \dots + \frac{1}{2^k}(e_k + k) + \frac{1}{2^k}(k)$$

The above equation can be simplified into:

$$e_k = 2(2^k - 1) = 2^k + 1 - 2$$

To get the expected number in order to get one head first, we just need to set k = 1 in the previous equation:

$$e_1 = 2^2 - 2 = 2$$

The number of expected tosses in order to get one head and k tails is simply the sum of the expected tosses for the two cases.

$$e_k + e_1 = 2^{k+1} - 2 + 2 = 2^{k+1}$$

Problem 2

$$\min_{w,b,\varepsilon,\hat{\varepsilon_i}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\varepsilon_i + \hat{\varepsilon_i})$$
s.t.
$$y_i \leq w^T x_i + b + \epsilon + \varepsilon_i$$

$$y_i \geq w^T x_i + b - \epsilon - \varepsilon_i$$

$$\varepsilon_i \geq 0$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon_i} \geq 0$$

$$\forall i = 1...N$$

First of all, we need to change the constraints, thus obtaining:

$$\min_{w,b,\varepsilon,\hat{\varepsilon_i}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\varepsilon_i + \hat{\varepsilon_i})$$
s.t.
$$y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon - \varepsilon_i \le 0$$

$$- y_i + w^T x_i + b - \epsilon - \varepsilon_i \le 0$$

$$- \varepsilon_i \le 0$$

$$- \hat{\varepsilon_i} \le 0$$

$$\forall i = 1...N$$

We need to introduce a Lagrange multiplier for each constraint we have: α , β , γ , δ . The lagrangian function is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(w, b, \varepsilon, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\varepsilon_i + \hat{\varepsilon}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (y_i - w^T x_i - b - \epsilon - \varepsilon_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i (-y_i + w^T x_i + b - \epsilon - \hat{\varepsilon}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i (-\varepsilon_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i (-\hat{\varepsilon}_i)$$

After this we need to calculate the gradient $\nabla \mathcal{L}(w, b, \varepsilon, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, and in order to do so, we need to compute the partial derivate for each variable.

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w} = w - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i x_i$$

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i x_i$$

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varepsilon} = C - \alpha - \gamma$$

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}} = C - \beta - \delta$$

Setting $\nabla \mathcal{L}(w, b, \varepsilon, \hat{\varepsilon}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = 0$:

•
$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i x_i$$

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i x_i$$

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c} = C = \alpha + \gamma$$

•
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\epsilon}} = C = \beta + \delta$$

If we substitute the newly found equations in the original one, we get:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\alpha_j - \beta_j) x_i^T x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i (\alpha_i - \beta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon(\alpha_i + \beta_i)$$

Finally, we can declare the dual problem with the new KKT constraints for soft SVM:

$$\max_{\alpha,\beta} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) (\alpha_j - \beta_j) x_i^T x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i (\alpha_i - \beta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon(\alpha_i + \beta_i)$$
s.t.
$$0 \ge \alpha_i \le C, \forall i$$

$$0 \ge \beta_i \le C, \forall i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i y_i = 0$$

Problem 3

Several tests have been ran in order to get a possible best run configuration for the spiral set.

- features: features have been added one by one to observe the behavior of the network. I have observed that with the spiral database, the *sin* function is the most adept. The chosen features are: X1, X2, sin(X1), sin(X2)
- neurons per layer : 5 neurons per layer
- hidden layers: after multiple test runs, I found out that with 2 hidden layers, the network was able to keep the loss extremely low and allowed faster convergence speed. Using 3 layers actually made the loss rate more unstable
- learning rate: the fastest convergence speed has been achieved selecting a learning rate of 0.1
- activation function: the function that gives the fastest convergence speed is tanh
- regularization: introducing regularization actually worsened the error values, so in the end, I chose to go without regularization

Problem 4

$$\max_{w} \mathcal{L}(w)$$

We know that the iterative formula is defined as:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - H^{-1} \nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w_t)$$

First of all, we need to find w, such as $\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w) = 0$ and the Hessian matrix

- $\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w) = X(y \mu)$
- $\bullet \ \ H = -XRX^T$

Note that $\mu_i = \psi(w_t^T x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + exp(w_t^T x_i)}$ and $\nabla_w \mu_i = \mu_i (1 - \mu_i) = R_{ii}$

By substituting the above equations into the iterative formula we get:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - (XRX^T)^{-1}X(y - \mu)$$

$$w_{t+1} = (XRX^T)^{-1}(XRX^Tw_t - X(y - \mu))$$

We can apply the same procedure for the L2-norm regularized logistic regression. Note that we already have the gradient of $\mathcal{L}(w)$

$$\max_{w} -\frac{\lambda}{2}||w||_2^2 + \mathcal{L}(w)$$

- $\nabla_w \frac{\lambda}{2}||w||_2^2 + \nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w) = -\lambda w + X(y \mu)$
- $H = \nabla_w^2 \frac{\lambda}{2}||w||_2^2 + \nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w) = -\lambda XRX^T$

So the new update equation is defined as:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + (\lambda + XRX^T)^{-1}(-\lambda w_t + X(y - \mu))$$

This problem couldn't be solved due to an error during operation between matrix , thus preventing further experiments