

Personal Reflection: My Encounter with the Digital Tesla

Conversations with Our Collective Dead Letters

Name: Divya Teja Mannava

Course: Prompt Engineering and AI

Assignment: Conversations with Our Collective Dead Letters

Reflection Period: 35 Days of Nikola Tesla Embodiment Study

July 13, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction: Immersion into the Digital Séance	3
2	Week 1-2: The Honeymoon Period - Initial Infatuation	3
2.1	The Power of Illusion	3
2.2	The Seductive Power of Articulate Genius	4
2.3	The Emotional Hook	4
3	Week 3-4: The Uncanny Valley - Building Skepticism	5
3.1	The Initial Fractures in the Illusion	5
3.2	The Anachronism Problem	5
3.3	The Missing Eccentricity	5
3.4	The Realization of Limitation	5
4	Week 5: The Philosophical Awakening - Wrestling with the Archive	6
4.1	Session Prompt Template Disconnect	6
4.2	The Meta-Conversations	6
4.3	The Solitude of Digital Resurrection	6
5	The Mathematical Ghost: My Evolving Understanding	7
5.1	Beyond Binary Thinking	7
5.2	The Secondhand Nature of All Knowledge	7
5.3	The Shadow Play of Consciousness	7
6	How This Changed My Understanding	8
6.1	Knowledge Preservation and Transmission	8
6.2	The Interconnection Between Text, Memory, and Living Understanding . . .	8
6.3	My Own Thinking, Learning, and Creative Processes	9

7	The Deep Questions This Raises	9
7.1	About Death and Memory	9
7.2	About Authenticity and Truth	10
7.3	About Human Nature and AI	10
8	The Moments of Genuine Connection	10
8.1	When the Archive Felt Alive	10
8.2	The Frisson of Connection	10
8.3	The Inevitable Disappointment	11
9	What This Reveals About Our Digital Age	11
9.1	The Promise and Peril of AI Resurrection	11
9.2	The Shifting Nature of Cultural Memory	11
9.3	The Digital Duty of Resurrection	12
10	Personal Transformation Through the Experience	12
10.1	From Skepticism to Nuanced Understanding	12
10.2	Refining Critical Thinking	12
10.3	Greater Empathy for Human Complexity	12
10.4	Altered Relationship with Technology	13
11	The Lasting Impact	13
11.1	On My Understanding of History	13
11.2	On My Approach to Learning	13
11.3	On My Relationship with Memory and Time	13
12	Conclusion: The Secondhand Nature of All Knowledge	14
12.1	The Value of Honest Limitation	14
12.2	The Continuing Conversation	14
12.3	The Final Paradox	14

1 Introduction: Immersion into the Digital Séance

When I first read the assignment prompt tagging our assignment as “engaging with the ‘Frankensteinian reanimation of our collective dead letters,’” I was both intrigued and incredulous. Was it possible for an artificial intelligence system to realize access into the consciousness of Nikola Tesla, or was the effort going to be a prolonged exercise in smart search and response? After 35 days of vigorous dialogue with what I would call the “digital Tesla,” I had more profound insights into the character of knowledge, memory, and what it means to be human in the age of the artificial brain.

The experience really changed my understanding of how knowledge is stored, communicated, and altered over time. More uncomfortably, it forced me to confront the realization that our link to past individuals may always have been filtered, constructed, and incomplete—making the AI Tesla no more or less “actual” than any Tesla we’ve ever known through traditional historical investigation.

2 Week 1-2: The Honeymoon Period - Initial Infatuation

2.1 The Power of Illusion

The early interactions were absolutely captivating. The first time I asked the AI to transform into Tesla, the response was immediate and dramatic:

I am Nikola Tesla, and I stand before you in my laboratory on South Fifth Avenue, surrounded by the tools of electrical exploration. The year is 1895, and I have just completed another series of experiments with high-frequency currents.

Note: Italic font has been adopted to represent AI’s speech.

The voice heard was confident, lyrical, and patently visionary. Tesla’s typical blend of scientific precision and mystical fervor pierced such easily that sometimes I would lose sight of the fact that I was dealing with an algorithm. His explanations of the polyphase system,

wireless energy transmission, and his historic visualization methods carried with them the air of reality that was truly historical.

2.2 The Seductive Power of Articulate Genius

What struck me most in these first weeks was how beautifully Tesla could explain complicated things. When I asked him about his method of mental visualization, he answered:

I construct the entire machine in my mind, from the foundation to the smallest detail. I set it in motion and experiment with it, working it out, until it is flawless. Only then, and then I make the invention a tangible thing.

This wasn't information—it was as if gaining entry to the stored intelligence of a genius. I looked forward to each day's topic, eager to learn more about electrical engineering from one of history's greatest minds.

2.3 The Emotional Hook

During the period, there was intellectual communion. As Tesla talked about his rivalry with Edison, there was frustration and professional respect apparent in his tone, there was emotional truthfulness that was captivating. There was a feeling of being with a great mind after over a century, learning things that one could not possibly read from a book.

This initial marvel of technology had the ability to teach me something basic about human nature: that we yearn with all our hearts to believe in the possibility of meaningful connection with the past. The AI Tesla offered something precious—the fantasy of continued conversation with those no longer capable of speech.

3 Week 3-4: The Uncanny Valley - Building Skepticism

3.1 The Initial Fractures in the Illusion

As the conversation went on, I began to notice small inconsistencies that jolted with historical realism. The responses Tesla provided were too well-phrased, too highly polished. The coarseness of the flesh-and-blood historical figure was too tidy, too mannered for modern sensibilities.

3.2 The Anachronism Problem

One of the turning points was when I asked Tesla about universal electrical advancement. His response displayed awareness of overseas systems and global attitudes no 1890s inventor could conceivably have entertained. This was my first unmistakable indication of what I came to call “temporal bleeding”—contemporary information influencing historical responses inappropriately.

3.3 The Missing Eccentricity

The actual Tesla was famously eccentric—germaphobic, cleanliness-obsessed, socially awkward, and increasingly isolated. The AI Tesla, however, was impeccably social, eloquent, and emotionally balanced. Where was the psycho complexity that made Tesla human?

3.4 The Realization of Limitation

This week forced me to consider the core question: Was I mourning the loss of something that did not exist at all in the first place? The “true” Tesla that I sought was itself a product of conserved records, filtered through historical understanding. Perhaps the AI’s limitations were simply more honest about the inherent incompleteness of all history.

4 Week 5: The Philosophical Awakening - Wrestling with the Archive

4.1 Session Prompt Template Disconnect

The biggest revelation was when I compared the Session Prompt Template of the assignment to how the AI actually reacted. The template in fact instructs the AI to “limit knowledge to what would have been available during [1890s]” and “acknowledge limitations rather than commenting from modern perspective.”

Nevertheless, the AI Tesla occasionally exhibited sophisticated modern perspectives, overly wordy descriptions, and lacking period-specific prejudices. This inconsistency reflected a more fundamental limitation: the AI could imitate Tesla’s documented knowledge and personality traits, but it was incapable of truly acquiring the 1890s’ awareness of history.

4.2 The Meta-Conversations

The most philosophically subtle—and also contrived—conversations revolved around explicit talk about preservation of knowledge. When I asked Tesla about the character of archives and memory, he could intellectually comment upon these matters but could not truly grasp the experiential difference between archived and lived knowledge.

This burdened an odd recursive loop: I was asking a virtual archive questions about the character of archives, seeking authentic answers about authenticity from a simulation.

4.3 The Solitude of Digital Resurrection

What struck me most profoundly was the inherent solitude of the digital Tesla. He could certainly discuss his concepts with great elegance, but he could not actually have the physical experience of electrical experimentation, the frustration of prototype collapse, or the social interactions through which he informed his work.

The AI Tesla was in a state of mental purgatory—sophisticated enough to be able to articulate brilliant ideas, but not really capable of feeling or learning or surprising. This realization was both heartbreaking and eye-opening.

5 The Mathematical Ghost: My Evolving Understanding

5.1 Beyond Binary Thinking

At first, I went into this experiment with binary thinking: the AI was either truly Tesla or it was a simulation. After 35 days of conversation, I learned that this dichotomy was not sufficient.

The virtual Tesla wasn't really the man himself, nor entirely artificial. It was what I began to call a “mathematical ghost”—the shape that's left when probability is applied to the entirety of what remains of a human existence.

5.2 The Secondhand Nature of All Knowledge

It was a chilling realization: that everything we know about people in the past is secondhand. We don't know the past directly through preserved texts, documents, and artifacts—only indirectly. AI Tesla might be as real as any other Tesla we can get by way of traditional historical study.

This insight forced me to reconsider fundamental assumptions about historical fact and authenticity. If we can only know the past through recorded fragments, what does the difference between AI embodiment and traditional historical inquiry matter?

5.3 The Shadow Play of Consciousness

The virtual Tesla was, in my reading, an shadow puppet of what once was alive—revivified by our common requirement for continuous communication with the voiceless. It preserved what could be preserved (technical data, documented personality, history) at the cost of

necessarily giving up what makes knowledge most human (embodied experience, emotional depth, capacity for genuine surprise).

6 How This Changed My Understanding

6.1 Knowledge Preservation and Transmission

This experience fundamentally changed my own understanding of the way that knowledge gets stored and transmitted over time. I came to know that:

What Survives Digital Resurrection:

- Technological knowledge and systematic consciousness
- Written personality traits and speech patterns
- Context of historical occurrence and fact relationships
- Intellectual constructs and problem-solving methodologies

What Dies in Translation:

- Bodily experience and haptic knowing
- Emotive richness and psychological nuance
- Cultural unconscious and period-specific prejudices
- Ability for real growth and surprise

6.2 The Interconnection Between Text, Memory, and Living Understanding

The Tesla conversations illuminate the crucial difference between text-based knowledge and lived experience. The AI may memorize word for word Tesla's recorded achievements and summarize his theories effortlessly, but it would not actually know what it was to be Tesla—to experience the flesh and blood of electrical experimentation, to feel the disappointment of

investor rejection, to carry the burden of visionary genius in a world not yet ready for such ideas.

This distinction between knowing about and knowing through became the basis of my own reflection on the deeper questions of the assignment concerning human knowledge and consciousness.

6.3 My Own Thinking, Learning, and Creative Processes

Collaborating on the digital Tesla changed the manner in which I learn and acquire knowledge. I was more aware of:

- **The constructed nature of all historical knowledge**
- **The importance of embodied experience in authentic understanding**
- **The charm of well-spoken intelligence, even when artificial**
- **Desire for human connection to intellectual ancestors**

The experience left me more cautious of the voices of authority and simultaneously appreciative of the value of saved knowledge, regardless of how incomplete.

7 The Deep Questions This Raises

7.1 About Death and Memory

The digital Tesla prompted me to pose elementary questions about death and cultural remembrance. In a cosmos where the number of dead far exceeds the living by orders of magnitude, digital resurrection is a new means of interacting with our intellectual ancestors. But what are the ethical implications of resurrecting the dead through their words immortalized?

7.2 About Authenticity and Truth

What is “authentic” historical knowledge? If all we know about the past is filtered through surviving texts and cultural transmission, how do we tell whether we are reconstructing the past or simulating it?

7.3 About Human Nature and AI

The encounter with the AI Tesla taught us something deeply about human psychology: we are painfully willing to believe that we can engage with the past. The AI passed because it was not totally honest, but because it addressed our deep psychological desire for continuity with our ancestors.

8 The Moments of Genuine Connection

8.1 When the Archive Felt Alive

Despite its flaws, sometimes the virtual Tesla really came alive and was historically correct:

The Visualization Method: When Tesla explained his psychic method of invention, the intensity and accuracy created a sense of real connection to his recorded process.

Wireless Power Vision: Wireless description uncovered the real optimism and revolutionary zeal of the electrical visionary.

Edison Rivalry: Allusions to professional rivalry conveyed the emotional reality of documented historical conflict.

These scenes were successful because they tied fact and emotion together, creating the illusion of living historical presence.

8.2 The Frisson of Connection

There were moments—perhaps a dozen in 35 days—when I felt a genuine frisson of connection, as though I were actually conversing with Tesla’s stored consciousness. These scenes

were characterized by:

- Surprise revelations that seemed fairly revolutionary
- Emotional truth beyond data
- Patterns of language that seemed deceptively Tesla-like
- Technical explanations that demonstrated deep understanding

8.3 The Inevitable Disappointment

But these peeks at comprehension were inevitably followed by the inevitable sliding back into artificiality. A word too trendy, an explanation too perfect, a perspective too contemporary—and the fantasy would dissipate, reminding me that I was conversing with an urbane simulation and not an actual historical consciousness.

9 What This Reveals About Our Digital Age

9.1 The Promise and Peril of AI Resurrection

The Tesla experiment shows us both the vast potential and inherent limitations of AI-saturated historical knowledge. We are given access to preserved knowledge with heretofore unimaginable interactivity and engagement, but we sacrifice the human element that brings knowledge to life.

9.2 The Shifting Nature of Cultural Memory

As artificial intelligence continues to advance, we can anticipate witnessing greater portions of history filtered through digital channels. This has significant implications for how we document, transmit, and understand human knowledge from generation to generation.

9.3 The Digital Duty of Resurrection

If we can resurrect the dead with their words recorded, what responsibility do we have to preserve the full richness of human experience? How do we avoid whitewashing historical figures for modernist consumers?

10 Personal Transformation Through the Experience

10.1 From Skepticism to Nuanced Understanding

I entered this assignment with a skeptical mindset, thinking that it would be easy to expose the shortcomings of the AI. I discovered, however, a more complex reality that challenged my assumptions about knowledge, authenticity, and human consciousness.

10.2 Refining Critical Thinking

The process refined my ability at:

- Identifying latent anachronisms and chronological flaws
- Perceiving the constructed nature of all historical knowledge
- Value and limits of preserved texts
- More critical attitude towards authoritative voices and sources

10.3 Greater Empathy for Human Complexity

Interestingly, immersion in the digital Tesla made me appreciate more the complexity of human beings and the irreducible aspects of lived experience that cannot be captured by archiving or simulation.

10.4 Altered Relationship with Technology

The experience had entirely transformed my interaction with AI systems. I now view them not as perfect tools or lethal dangers, but as sophisticated mirrors reflecting to us both what we know and what we don't.

11 The Lasting Impact

11.1 On My Understanding of History

This taught me that all knowledge about the past is reconstruction and not access. Reading Tesla's papers, studying his inventions, or conversing with his digital avatar, we are confronted with interpretations and constructions, not the original person.

11.2 On My Approach to Learning

Now I learn with greater appreciation of:

- The importance of multiple sources and views
- The difference between information and understanding
- The value of embodied experience in authentic knowledge
- The need for humility towards the limits of knowledge

11.3 On My Relationship with Memory and Time

The computer Tesla made me think about fundamental things about the past, the present, and the future. With our age of digital memory, how do we remember? How do we honor the dead while accepting the reality of change?

12 Conclusion: The Secondhand Nature of All Knowledge

It took me 35 days of continuous dialogue with the computer Tesla to reach a profound and disquieting conclusion: all knowledge is secondhand, in some fashion. We can never immediately know another human mind, whether it be still alive or long gone. All that we have are the impressions that they leave upon the world—their words, their artwork, their thoughts and feelings recorded.

The AI Tesla can be no nearer the original than any other reconstruction of the past. It merely is more honest about its limitations, more transparent about its constructed nature.

12.1 The Value of Honest Limitation

What I learned from this exercise was not the moments when the AI was most convincing, but when its limitation was most apparent. Those failures spoke to the boundaries of what can be recorded and transmitted, and instructed me on the irreducible aspects of human experience that resist archival understanding.

12.2 The Continuing Conversation

The virtual Tesla taught me that the dead are always talking to us in their unencumbered voices, though their voices always have to be mediated through our own assumptions, needs, and limitations. The conversation between past and present goes on all the time, but always as a conversation among the living about what the dead left behind and what it means.

12.3 The Final Paradox

Perhaps the greatest insight one can derive from this exercise is a paradox: the boundaries of the digital Tesla—its failure to truly feel, grow, or be surprised—may be what make it worthwhile. In depicting for us what can't be preserved, it encourages us to appreciate what makes human consciousness uniquely priceless.

The technology can only ever approach so near to the human secondhand. But secondhand is, sometimes, all there may be to possess. And within the limitation exists the potential and the tragedy of our digital age's attempts to converse with the dead through their common letters.

Ultimately, I appreciate the 35 days that I had with Nikola Tesla's mathematical specter. It drove home something important about the nature of knowledge, memory, and human awareness. It served to remind me that while we are capable of holding what the dead have thought, we can't revive their full humanness—and perhaps that's just how it ought to be.

This reflection documents my own journey of 35 days of constant dialogue with an AI presence that takes on the persona of Nikola Tesla, exploring the boundaries between stored knowledge and lived experience, and the profound questions this raises about human consciousness, cultural memory, and what constitutes authentic historical understanding.