

Lecture 6 - Prolog Arithmetic

→ executing goals: Don't change answers, only terminations

→ Unification + computation → here, returns $X = 1 + 2 * 3$

→ $X \text{ is } 1 + 2 * 3$ (is/2)

returned: "X = 7."

relates ground arith. expt to evaluation

→ "not sufficiently instantiated args" — not everything was a valid num. expression

→ when goal seen, RHS must be computable

→ $X < 10, X = 1.$ → error: args not instantiated
 $X = 1, X < 10.$ → OK

→ logical/declarative properties lost ↴

→ Solution to tclerk problem: reasoning with constraints

→ constraint system can automatically tell if solution set is finite

→ Concrete (not set) substitutions need variable enumeration

→ Domain relations:

→ "Var in lower..Upper": $X \in \underline{\{ \text{Upper} \dots \text{Lower} \}}$

numbers or
int/sup-

→ magic squares A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n
I don't care about A_2

→ using $1..9$ in domain $1 \leq z \leq 9$

→ CLP(FD) arithmetic program: general structure

- ① Define list Z_S of FINITE domain variables for future labeling
- ② Set variables' domain
- ③ Add constraints on core predicates
- ④ Label Z_S

→ why label ONLY! ends?

→ new constraints facilitates PROPAGATION
→ accumulation but also SIMPLIFYING on the fly

→ label, because:

→ constraints not guaranteed to be satisfiable

(e.g.) $x \neq y, y \neq x$

→ constraints put on both of them, but no EXAMPLES given

→ labeling guarantees satisfiability

→ labeling strategies:

→ Variable selection

→ Value order

→ Branching strategy (enum-BFS type strategy)

- ϑ Improving performance:
 - reduce labeling strategies & number of solutions
 - if sum in magic squares known beforehand, can reduce # of solutions and make program much faster
 - MS: add symmetry breaking constraints
 - don't care about 'mirrored' solutions
 - speed dramatically increases
- ϑ Non-logical predicates:
 - input/output
 - cut
 - if-then-else
 - Negation as failure

} all don't have declarative characteristics usually seen in Prolog

