

Lecture 4B

- interpretation ^① satisfies sentence ^② if: ② makes ① true
 - ① models ②
- Formula is satisfiable/consistent, if has model
- Formula = Valid IF if ALL interpretations satisfy it
- Inconsistent means formula has no models
- Interpretation SATISFIES formula IF universal closure satisfied

- Entailment: Formula ϕ entailed by set of formulae Γ (③)
 - every model of Γ also a model of ϕ
- " $\Gamma \rightarrow \phi$ is valid"

\downarrow
 $\Gamma \cup \{\neg \phi\} = \text{false}$

\nwarrow

$\Gamma \models \phi$

- i.e., adding negation shows NO models \therefore makes it INconsistent
- This is how ϕ is VALID

→ How do we REASON in FOL?

- Forward chaining: generate consequences
#Datalog
- Backward reduction: subgoal reduction
#Prolog

- $$\frac{A \rightarrow B \quad A}{B} : \text{Modus Ponens} \quad \# \text{Fundamental FOL}$$

$\frac{A \rightarrow B \quad C \vee D}{B \vee D} \quad (\neg A \vee C) \theta \text{ must be valid}$
 as A and C unify

literal = atom \vee \neg atom
 clause = disjunction \vee disj \vee disj $\vee \dots \vee$
 $\hookrightarrow (C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n) \rightarrow (d_1 \vee d_2 \vee \dots \vee d_n)$

