

Code Review and Quality: Using AI to Improve Code Quality and Readability

2303A51944

Lab-10.3

Problem Statement 1: AI-Assisted Bug Detection Given

Code

```
def factorial(n):
    result = 1
    for i in range(1, n):
        result = result * i
    return result
```

Output:

24

Issue Identified

The function contains an **off-by-one error**.

The loop range(1, n) stops at n-1, so it does not multiply by n.

Corrected Code

```
def factorial(n):
    if n < 0: raise ValueError("Factorial is not defined for negative
numbers")
    if n == 0:
```

```
return 1
```

```
result = 1  
for i in range(1, n + 1):  
    result *= i return  
result
```

Correct Output:

120

Comparison

Manual Fix

Fixed range to n+1 Fixed range and added validation

No edge case handling Handles negative & zero cases

AI improved robustness by handling edge cases.

Problem Statement 2: Improving Readability & Documentation

Original Code

```
def calc(a, b, c):  
    if c == "add":  
        return a + b  
    elif c == "sub":  
        return a - b  
    elif c == "mul":  
        return a * b  
    else:  
        raise ValueError("Unknown operation")
```

```
    return a * b elif  
c == "div":  
    return a / b
```

Issues

- Poor function name (calc)
- No documentation
- No exception handling
- No input validation

Improved Code

```
def calculate(number1,  
number2,      operation):      if      not  
isinstance(operation, str):  
    raise TypeError("Operation must be a string")
```

```
if operation == "add": return  
number1 + number2 elif  
operation == "sub":  
    return number1 - number2 elif  
operation == "mul":  
    return number1 * number2 elif  
operation == "div":  
    if number2 == 0:  
        raise ZeroDivisionError("Cannot divide by zero") return  
number1 / number2  
else:
```

```
raise ValueError("Invalid operation")
```

Problem Statement 3: Enforcing PEP8 Standards

Original Code def Checkprime(n):

```
for i in range(2, n):  
    if n % i == 0:  
        return False  
    return True
```

PEP8 Violations

- Function name not in snake_case
- No input validation
- No docstring

Refactored Code

```
def check_prime(n):  
  
    if n <= 1:  
        return False  
  
    for i in range(2, n):  
        if n % i == 0:  
            return False  
    return True
```

True **Problem**

Statement 4: AI as a

Code Reviewer

Original Code def

```
processData(d):  
    return [x * 2 for x in d if x % 2 == 0]
```

Issues

- Poor naming
- No validation
- No type hints
- No documentation

Improved Code

```
from typing import List, Union
```

```
def double_even_numbers(numbers: List[Union[int, float]]) -> List[Union[int, float]]:
```

```
    if not isinstance(numbers, list):  
        raise TypeError("Input must be a list")
```

```
    return [num * 2 for num in numbers if  
        isinstance(num, (int, float)) and num % 2 == 0  
    ]
```

Reflection

AI should act as an **assistant**, not a replacement for human reviewers.
It speeds up reviews but human judgment is still essential.

Problem Statement 5: AI-Assisted Performance Optimization

Original	Code	def
sum_of_squares(numbers):		
total = 0	for num in	
numbers:		
total += num ** 2		
	return total	

Time Complexity

$O(n)$

Optimized	Code	def
sum_of_squares_optimized(numbers):		
return sum(x * x for x in numbers)		

Comparison

Original	Optimized
Uses manual loop	Uses generator expression
Slightly longer	More concise
Same time complexity	Cleaner implementation

Trade-off Discussion

- Optimized version improves readability.
- For very large datasets, NumPy can provide further speed improvements.

- Built-in functions are generally faster and more Pythonic.