

Project 5

Interpolation and integration methods / Cubic splines and surface interpolation

Group 2 - Team 2

Responsible : chelou001


Secretary : desparbes

Coders : lgrelet, ndehaiesdit, tagry

Abstract: This project implements a (somewhat basic) model to represent the air flow around an airfoil, i.e. the cross section of an aircraft's wing. The goal consists in obtaining a pressure map above and below the wing, so as to approximate the wing's lift, i.e. its ability to sustain the plane in the air. This is to be done in two steps: first, refine the airfoil into a sufficiently smooth curve, then compute the pressure map using integration methods.

1 Cubic spline interpolation method

To obtain an accurate model of air flow, some mathematic tools are needed. First of all, it is necessary to implement an interpolation method. It consists in computing an approximation of a function, based on a finite sample of values taken by this function in known points. In this project, the cubic spline method is chosen: here, the approximation between two consecutive points is a third degree polynomial. The continuity of two consecutive polynoms, their derivatives and their second derivate is kept to guarantee the global cohesion of the solution. An interesting choice to compute the cubic spline method is to calculate the second derivate first, and then to deduce the interpolation function itself. The algorithm used in this project is based on an already-existing code written in C we adapted to Python. Figure 1 is an example of the second derivate of cosinus on $[0, \pi]$ obtained with this algorithm:




This graph is a comparison between the curve obtained with this algorithm and the real representation of the second derivate of cosinus, which is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \cos}{dx^2} &: [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto -\cos(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The two curves are similar, but obviously, there are disturbances on each extremity of the computed one. It means that this algorithm sometimes doesn't give a satisfying result for the extreme side values of the considered interval. This fact will be important for the interpretation of the following results.

Now that a good approximation of the second derivate of the function is calculated, it is clearly possible to compute the function itself. Figure 2 is an example of an cubic spline interpolation of cosinus on $[0, \pi]$:

Figure 2: Interpolation of cosinus



Once again, the computed curve follows the real cosinus curve, but diverges at the end of the interval. This might be due to the bad accuracy of the second derivate algorithm for the last values of the interval.

2 Integration methods

In order to map the pressure around the wing, an integration algorithm is needed. Here are implemented three different methods: the classical rectangle method, the Simpson's method and the midpoint method.

2.1 Rectangle integration method

With this method, calculating the integral of a continuous function f between a and b consists in summing the surfaces of n rectangles defined by:

- the width of each rectangle is $\frac{b-a}{n}$
- the height of rectangle $i \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket$ is $f(a + i\frac{b-a}{n})$ for the left rectangle method
- the height of rectangle $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ is $f(a + i\frac{b-a}{n})$ for the right rectangle method

Left and right methods are similar: they converge to the same value at the same speed.

2.2 Simpson's integration method

Simpson's method consists in summing the integrals of n quadratic polynoms. Each polynom is a Lagrangian interpolation of the function on three consecutive points. As a consequence, for each polynom P_i with $i \in \llbracket 0, n-2 \rrbracket$, $P_i(a + i\frac{b-a}{2n}) = P_{i+1}(a + i\frac{b-a}{2n})$. Once the interpolations are made, it is easy to calculate their integral (polynom of degree 2), and then to sum them. Because there are twice as points as quadratic polynoms, it is better to use an even number of points, or the last point, which is associated with no polynom, will degrade the accuracy of the algorithm. This phenomenon is observable on the graphs below.

2.3 Midpoint integration method

This method is similar to the classical rectangle method, except that instead of aligning the rectangles to the left or to the right, each rectangle is centered on the considered point. It is predictable that it doesn't accelerate the convergence, but each iteration of this method is generally more accurate than the rectangular one.

2.4 Comparison between the different methods


A good way to compare these different algorithms is to show the value of the integral calculated with each method with the same number of iterations. For exemple, in the test file is computed the integral between 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ of cosinus, which is 1. After 100 iterations, the results are:

- 1.00783341987 for the rectangle method
- 1.00000000034 for the Simpson's method
- 1.00001028091 for the middle point method

This means that, based on this criteria, the best algorithm is Simpson's method, then comes the middle point method and finally the rectangle method.

It is also possible to display a graph representing the integral obtained in function of the number of iterations for each algorithm:

Figure 3: Integration of cosinus between 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$



On figure 3, it is clear that the rectangle method is the less efficient algorithm of the three. But as explained before, the Simpson's method alternates between a really accurate approximation for even iterations and a poorer approximation for odd iterations. Globally, Simpson's method stays the most efficient algorithm between the three, if an even number of iteration is chosen.

3 Length of a portion of curve

Once an interpolation and an integration tools are set, it is easy to calculate the length of a curve's portion of a function f , given the formula:

$$L([a, b]) = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2} dx \quad (2)$$


f is interpolated with the cubic spline method, then derivated with a algorithm based on the manipulation of the coefficients of each polynomial of the interpolation function. The function $s : u \mapsto \sqrt{1 + u^2}$ is then applicated. After the interpolation of s , it is finally possible to calculate its integral.

4 Modelization of the airflow around a wing

All the mathematic tools are now set, it is possible to use it in order to modelize the airflow around the wing of a plane. After extracting the airfoil from a file with discrete values, it is easy to get an interpolation of the intrados and of the extrados. A simple model of the airflow on the extrados can be computed using this formula:


$$\forall \lambda \in [0, 1] : f_\lambda(x) = (1 - \lambda)f(x) + 3\lambda h_{max} \quad (3)$$

with $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function which associates the ordinate of the extrados of the airfoil to each abscissa and $h_{max} = \max_{x \in D}(f(x))$. A similar formula is used for the intrados, replacing h_{max} by h_{min} and f by g , the function which associates the ordinates of the intrados. Figure 4 is an example of the airflow around the airfoil of a Boeing:



It is noticeable that the path of the airflow in contact with the extrados is longer than the path of the airflow in contact with the intrados. A particle which is in $x = 0$ at $t = 0$ will arrive at the same date t_{end} at $x = x_{end}$ independently of y , that is to say the particules on the extrados are travelling faster than those on the intrados. This can be checked on figure 5: the speed of each airflow of figure 4 is proportionnal to its length on $[0, 1]$.

Figure 5: Length of the airflows



The red parts of the graph are the aifflows which the speed is accelerated by the shape of the airfoil. The blue parts are not modified by it. Bernouilli's law says that the pressure in one point is linked with the speed of the fluid with the formula (ρ is the volumic mass of the air):

$$p = constant - \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 \quad (4)$$

It means that the higher the speed v is, the lower the pressure p is, and it is easy to conclude that, according to this model, the dynamic pressure is higher on the intrados than on the extrados. It is a possible explanation to the lift force.