Automatic Mobile Video Director

Alexander Egurnov University of Mannheim

Thilo Weigold University of Mannheim aegurnov@mail.uni-mannheim.de tweigold@mail.uni-mannheim.de jonup@student.matnat.uio.no

Jon Pettersen University of Oslo

Alf-André Walla University of Oslo alfandrw@ifi.uio.no

Abstract—The abstract goes here.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years the Internet has changed a lot. It is not about retrieving simple html pages from websites anymore, but rather sharing news, videos and pictures from all over the world. With the advent of the "Web 2.0" user-generated content has become a crucial part of the Internet we know nowadays. Being social is another rather important need of an average internet user. Well-known platforms like YouTube, Twitch, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Flickr and many other less famous make it easy for regular people to share their thoughts in a vast variety of multimedia formats.

A considerable contribution to changing the web is done by changing how people typically access it. In the past the main role of mobile phones was one-to-one communication. But as the technology evolved, such devices gradually assumed functions of a fully capable handheld computers. As all the necessary operations to shoot, process and publish a complex multimedia file moved to a single device, the amount of usergenerated content experienced an explosive growth. Due to more precise sensors, integrated high-resolution cameras and, of course, faster mobile networking technologies, it has never been as simple to share content from any place and at any time. The ubiquitous use of mobile phones leads to completely new challenges and opportunities for web services that use user data in order to create dynamic content.

There are so many different events happening at the same time, that it is easy imagine an interesting public event like a political speech, a concert or a sports event which is not covered by professional journalists, photo and video operators. Fortunately, it has become quite common for spectators to capture parts of ongoing events on their portable gadgets' cameras. Such videos are rarely organized in any reasonable manner, except for user-provided descriptions and hashtags, which are often abused and give little idea of the content's

The potential being wasted here is tremendous. One obvious application for these videos is providing a near real-time media coverage of the event for internet viewers. However, if every video is uploaded right from the venue, an excessively high bandwidth will be consumed. But due to a vast variety of portable devices and dispersion of filming abilities of their owners, to create of a high quality mash up of an event some elaborate selection mechanism is needed.

In this paper we attempt to build a system, called Automatic Mobile Video Director, capable of dealing with this kind of situations. We henceforth focus on implementing interactions between multiple clients and one central server, the automatic video director, keeping in mind bandwidth and computational complexity problems, which were mentioned above. As a mean of limiting the amount of data transmitted by each mobile device during the event we only require that some textual metadata, which is based on sensor data as well as general video parameters, is sent to the server. The server then makes a decisions whether each particular video is worth uploading directly from the venue and dispatches commands to clients to start uploading. We give only a brief overview and a very basic implementation of sensor data processing as this area of research is being studied by another group. The same applies to the selection criteria of the director algorithm.

II. RELATED WORK

As mentioned above the interest of the public to capture and share videos from public events has increased with the introduction of mobile devices with high resolution cameras and wireless internet connection. As such there has also been a huge increase in the number of research projects trying to make this task easier and to reduce the strain represented by the uploading of such videos. [1] proposes a manual way of making these videos where five people are able to set up a connection between their mobile devices, assign roles to each device, one is assigned the role of director and the others are cameramen, and capture an event taking place where they are. Others, like [2] and [3], uses an algorithm to make the director automatic. With this solution you have to find a way of deciding which of the videos received, is the one covering the event in the best way and with the best picture quality. They propose using metadata from the devices sensors to evaluate the quality of the videos instead of uploading all videos from an event to the server and going through the video frame by frame. This also enables us to just send the best videos to the server, discarding the rest which saves a lot of bandwidth. This is pointed out by [2] as a serious concern at big events like the SuperBowl.

Systems like this can be used to generate a summary of an event by fusing parts of different video clips together. However, to discover exactly when a video is shot represents a challenge. Failing to find the exact time a video is shot may lead to videos overlapping or failing to cover the entire event by leaving gaps in the video summarization [3]. [4] uses GPS

to extract their timestamps. [3] addresses this issue by using the audio in the video files to generate a unified time-line of the event.

Another area that has been investigated is the task of identifying what is called region of interest or point of interest. These terms describe areas of the event that are more interesting than others. We probably will find a video of a concert more interesting if the camera is pointing towards the stage than one pointing somewhere else. Several solutions have been proposed for solving this problem. You can go through frames of the video to see if they resemble the main attraction of the event, like a stage on a concert, or the singer on that stage. However the reliance on the sensors in the mobile devices for discovering such regions seems to be a much more preferred method. [5]uses the built-in compass found on mobile devices to make sure the camera is pointing in the right direction. This system also uses the compass to detect if the camera changes direction. They make the assumption that if the camera is suddenly turned to face a different way, the user has probable spotted something interesting. Detecting this change in direction allows for a more interesting viewing experience. The detection of something interesting also goes as far as detecting which activity is being filmed. This is used to relive the managers of such systems from the trouble of marking each video with appropriate tags. [6]has created a system that is able to detect which of several sports is being filmed while [7] is able to detect when a social happen is taking place in from of it and start recording whenever it detects one. [7] analyses sound to detect, among other things, laughter.

Protecting privacy is also an important part of the automated video director systems. However, of the papers we looked at for writing this paper, only one mentions this topic. [7] says this on the matter: "User privacy is certainly a concern in a system like MoVi. For this paper, we have assumed that attendants in a social party may share mutual trust, and hence, may agree to collaborative video-recording. This may not scale to other social occasions. Certain other applications, such as travel blogging or distributed surveillance may be amenable to MoVi. Even then, the privacy concerns need to be carefully considered."

III. METHODOLOGY

A. System Overview

In this section we briefly want to give an overview of our system throughout. Detailed discussions of our implementation will follow in the subsections afterwards. To implement our idea of an Automatic Mobile Video Director it was necessary to find the right structural organization for our system. Restricted requirements and the logical distribution of tasks leads us to the decision to realize our service in an conventional client-server architecture. Figure 1 shows our general system architecture, consisting of multiple clients and one central server part. This architectural set up entails some important advantages. Client-Server gives us the possibility to strictly separated tasks and work between clients and server.

Compared to other possible architectures, like peer to peer, we are able to centralize all resources and important computation in one place now. Resources, like battery and computation power can be saved on client side, while the hard work has to be taken on server side. In peer to peer networks clients would have to communicate with each others, which would produce big communication overhead to weight of important resources. However these resources are reserved and needed for recording videos and sending them, if necessary to our central Video Director. Therefore we think an client-server architecture fits the requirements of the Automatic Mobile Video Director in the best way.

B. Client application

In a nutshell, the client application consists of four main logical components: user interface, http client connection, camera and sensor retrieving and the persistent database.

Great effort of development was raised on the client and server communication part. As an communication protocol between client and server we decided to use exclusively Http. Since Google is not supporting Apache Http Clients anymore, we decided to use the HttpURLConnection Client, which is lightweight, simple to handle as well as applicable for all purposes we are aiming to do. "Reference to androiddevelopers.blogspot". In order to ensure a smooth work flow of the application, we have to make use of specific thread techniques. First it was necessary to prevent network operations blocking the application's user interface. Bad usability would be the result, if the interface crashes, with every failed or delayed network operation. Therefore all network operations need to be run as an instance of the specified HttpAsnycTask class, a heredity of the AsyncTask class. Doing so we make sure that all HTTP requests run asynchronous in the background of the application's main thread. Secondly the application requires an automatic checkup and upload of selected videos, even when users leave the application and multitasking is done virtually. Such an feature can be realized in Android by implementing a service class, which handles background computations in the main thread. A second thread within this service class is used to request potential selected video ids via Http-GET, in order to upload video files via Http-PUT. By doing so we make sure, that all network operations run independently and separated of our main thread, while fulfilling their tasks.

Further core function is the recording of videos. Using Android's MediaRecorder class we are able to handle access to the phone's build-in camera as well as the recording and storage of video files. Simultaneously sensor data is tracked as well. Thereby we use the observer pattern. Sensor detection classes (ShakeDetection.class & TiltDetection.class) are implemented as Observables, while the CameraActivity class registers as a single observer. All in all we have decided not to focus on sensor detection and retrieving. A lot of research has been already done in those parts. Due to the shortage of available time we only retrieve some basic accelerometer data, in order to determine the amount of shaking and weight of tilt,

represented by simple integer values. The sensor data is part of the meta data which is sent to the server for directing the video upload process. Hereby the amount of shaking is a counter which is increased by one, when ever shaking is detected. We assume to be in a shaking motion, when at least two of three accelerometer values have changed to their previous values by a specific threshold. The threshold value is initializes as a float literal with the value 0.7f; This value is exclusively based on experimenting.

In order to keep track and coupling of stored video files and obtained meta data, we make use of a persistent database, implemented with the lightweight SQLite library, which has support from Android side as well. The local database set up would not have been entirely necessary. But due to simplicity and considerations for future extensions, we decided to have all data in persistence. Each meta data entry has its unique id and each stored video file has its unique filename. Therefore we use the database column filename as a unambiguous reference to a specific video file. When the client gets notified by the server to upload a video, it is necessary to check the filename in the within the table entries, selecting the correct file to be uploaded.

C. Server application

Server general description goes here. Video storage, database connection, server framework description. RESTful Web Service

D. Client-server interaction

BRAINSTROMING: HTTP protocol,

1) Protocols: Our Automatic Mobile Video Director server implementation provides a general interface to applications which wish to interact with it. It is implemented through HTTP requests to certain server locations result.

GET /events

Lists all events (including videos) in JSON.

GET /event/id

Returns Event (including videos) in JSON.

POST /event/new

Create new event from JSON. Expects request body to be a JSON string containing attribute *name*.

POST /event/id

Upload JSON metadata about a video for Event with given *id*.

PUT /video/video_id

Upload video *video_id* from Event *id*. Expects request body to be a file stream containing a full video file.

GET /video/video_id

Retrieve video video_id from Event id.

GET /selected

Retrieve a list of selected but not yet uploaded videos in JSON.

E. Metadata format

JSON vs XML arguments here As a final result we should state that metadata is transferred in JSON format.

id

Client-side unique identification of the video.

filename

File name in client's local file system.

timestamp

Video creation time.

duration

Video duration in frames.

width

Video frame width in pixels.

height

Video frame height in pixels.

shaking

Amount of shaking detected by sensors.

status

Video status. Indicates video life cycle phase.

serverId

Server-side unique identification of the video. Needed for coordination of all clients.

IV. VIDEO DIRECTOR ALGORITHM

A. Video life cycle

B. Selection algorithm

V. EVALUATION

How good/bad it is.

A. Data Traffic

Thilo can test it. I will have a look to this. If someone has experience please let me know.

B. Battry consumptio

Who wants to test it?

C. Selection criteria

VI. FUTURE WORK

Put down all the awesome ideas we have.

A better solution to this problem would to use the sound in the video to identify unique places in the event and synchronize the video based on this sound track. This system is described in [3]. The timestamps represent a weakness in our system. They are generated based on the clock in the mobile device and people can set that clock to whatever they like. This creates uncertainty about the actual time a video was captured.

VII. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Engström, G. Zoric, O. Juhlin, and R. Toussi, "The mobile vision mixer: A mobile network based live video broadcasting system in your mobile phone," in *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Multimedia*, ser. MUM '12. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2012, pp. 18:1–18:4. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2406367.2406390
- [2] P. Seshadri, M. Chan, W. Ooi, and J. Chiam, "On demand retrieval of CrowdSourced mobile video," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. Early Access Online, 2014.
- [3] P. Shrestha, P. H. de With, H. Weda, M. Barbieri, and E. H. Aarts, "Automatic mashup generation from multiple-camera concert recordings," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Multimedia*, ser. MM '10. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 541–550. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1873951.1874023
- [4] P. Jain, J. Manweiler, A. Acharya, and K. Beaty, "FOCUS: Clustering crowdsourced videos by line-of-sight," in *Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, ser. SenSys '13. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2013, pp. 8:1–8:14. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2517351.2517356
- [5] F. Cricri, I. D. D. Curcio, S. Mate, K. Dabov, and M. Gabbouj, "Sensor-based analysis of user generated video for multi-camera video remixing," in *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference* on Advances in Multimedia Modeling, ser. MMM'12. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2012, pp. 255–265. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-27355-1_25
- [6] F. Cricri, M. Roininen, J. Leppänen, S. Mate, I. Curcio, S. Uhlmann, and M. Gabbouj, "Sport type classification of mobile videos," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 917–932, Jun. 2014.
- [7] X. Bao and R. Roy Choudhury, "MoVi: Mobile phone based video highlights via collaborative sensing," in *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, ser. MobiSys '10. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 357–370. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1814433.1814468

TABLE I TASK DISTRIBUTION

Part	Task	Subtask	Responsible
Android application	Video Capture, MediaManager		Thilo Weigold
	Sensor data collection		Thilo Weigold
	Metadata class		Thilo Weigold
	Http Client	Post Method	Thilo Weigold
		Cookies, Callbacks	Alexander Egurnov
		Get & Update methods	Alexander Egurnov
	Background upload service		Thilo Weigold
	SQLite database connection		Thilo Weigold
	Preferences		Alexander Egurnov
	GUI		Thilo Weigold, Alexander Egurnov
	Client-server data exchange		Alexander Egurnov
Server application	RESTful Server application	Client authorization	Alf-André Walla
		Request processing	Alf-André Walla
		Video and Event logic	Alf-André Walla
	MySQL database connection		Jon Pettersen
	Video Director		Alf-André Walla
	Client-server data exchange debug		Alexander Egurnov
	Video upload		Alf-André Walla, Alexander Egurnov
Web Server	MySQL Administration		Alexander Egurnov
	Nginx setup for streaming video		Alexander Egurnov
Documentation	Basic template formatting		Alexander Egurnov
	Introduction		Thilo Weigold
	Related Work		Jon Pettersen
	System Overview		Thilo Weigold
	Client application		Thilo Weigold