

# Qirby Optimisation

## Extra Indexes

For the creation of extra indexes on the Z environment, multiple criteria were used to decide whether to create an index over that column, criteria such as:

- Usage of the column to merge tables (**JOIN** statements);
- Usage of the column to filter tables (**WHERE** statements);
- Usage of the column to aggregate tables (**GROUP BY** statements);

Furthermore, other criteria were used to decide the type of index, such as:

- Cardinality of the column;

A list of candidate columns for indexes was created that consisted on:

- **ANO\_LETIVO**, **PERIODO**, **CODIGO** - used to join tables on all queries that require to calculate number of hours and other information involving table **XOCORRENCIAS** and **XTIPOSAULA**;
- **TIPO** - used extensively for aggregating data based on the type of occurrence and to filter data;
- **ANO\_LETIVO** - used vastly for filtering tables;
- **CURSO** - used for filtering and aggregation on half the queries;
- **CODIGO** - used for joining tables;
- **ID** - used for joining tables;

To decide on the type of index to be created, we studied the statistics of the columns to help with the decision:

- **CODIGO**, **ANO\_LETIVO**, **PERIODO** - This composite is almost completely unique, and for that reason the **BITMAP** index wasn't even considered. This index will be created as a **B-Tree** index.
- **TIPO** - Low cardinality column, only having 5 distinct values out of over 20k rows, and furthermore, the column is stable. For these reasons, it was opted to create a **BITMAP** index.
- **ANO\_LETIVO** - Based on the low cardinality of this column, the slow growth of unique values (only once a year) and the types of queries we have, it was decided to create a **BITMAP** index on this column, on both **TIPOSAULA** and **OCORRENCIAS** tables. However, when comparing execution plans, a **BITMAP** index on this column wouldn't be used as opposed to a **B-Tree** index, due to the need of performing range scans. **B-tree** index comes at the cost of using approximately 10x more space (0.5625MB vs 0.0625MB). Therefore, it was opted to use a **B-tree** index even with criteria pointing to a **BITMAP** index, as the former affected more queries than the latter.
- **CURSO** - This column has a low cardinality (2:100), which is higher than the threshold considered (1:100). However, due to the stability of this table, as the table is never changed unless a restructure of the UCs is made, we opted to use a **BITMAP** index.
- **CODIGO** - This column is a leading column on another index (primary key of **OCORRENCIAS**), therefore the creation of index in this column is redundant and wasn't performed;
- **ID** - Column possesses a huge number of distinct values, therefore the only index that was considered was the **B-Tree** index.

Thus, the indexes created were:

- **cap\_idx** - B-tree index on columns **CODIGO**, **ANO\_LETIVO**, **PERIODO** of table **ZTIPOSAULA**

```
CREATE INDEX cap_idx ON ZTIPOSAULA(CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO);
```

- **tipo\_idx** - Bitmap index on column TIPO of table ZTIPOSAULA

```
CREATE BITMAP INDEX tipo_idx ON ZTIPOSAULA(TIPO);
```

- **ano\_tp\_idx** & **ano\_oc\_idx** - B-tree index on column ANO\_LETIVO of table ZTIPOSAULA and on column ANO\_LETIVO of table ZOCORRENCIAS

```
CREATE INDEX ano_tp_idx ON ZTIPOSAULA(ANO_LETIVO);
```

```
CREATE INDEX ano_oc_idx ON ZOCORRENCIAS(ANO_LETIVO);
```

- **curso\_idx** - Bitmap index on column CURSO of table ZUCS

```
CREATE BITMAP INDEX curso_idx ON ZUCS(CURSO);
```

- **id\_idx** - B-tree index on column ID of table ZDSD

```
CREATE INDEX id_idx ON ZDSD(ID);
```

## Query 1 - Select and Join

Show the codigo, designacao, ano\_letivo, inscritos, tipo, and turnos for the course 'Bases de Dados' of the program 275.

### SQL Query

```
SELECT CODIGO, DESIGNACAO, ANO_LETIVO, INSCRITOS, TIPO, TURNOS
FROM XUCS
  INNER JOIN XOCORRENCIAS USING(CODIGO)
  INNER JOIN XTIPOSAULA USING (ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO, CODIGO)
WHERE DESIGNACAO = 'Bases de Dados' AND CURSO = 275;
```

### Result

	CODIGO	DESIGNACAO	ANO_LETIVO	INSCRITOS	TIPO	TURNOS
1	EIC3106	Bases de Dados	2003/2004	92	T	1
2	EIC3106	Bases de Dados	2003/2004	92	TP	4
3	EIC3106	Bases de Dados	2004/2005	114	T	1
4	EIC3106	Bases de Dados	2004/2005	114	TP	4
5	EIC3111	Bases de Dados	2005/2006	(null)	T	1
6	EIC3111	Bases de Dados	2005/2006	(null)	TP	6

Figure 1: Query 1 results

### Execution Plan

As can be seen in the execution plans below, the X environment presents the highest costs for the query, as expected. This is due to the non-existence of indexes as compared to Y (has indexes created from primary keys) and Z (has primary key indexes and extra indexes), which causes the query to perform full accesses to the tables. In the Y environment, this cost is optimised due to the existence of indexes created from the primary keys, reducing the cost of access on tables and also on the join of the tables (as can be seen on the join between YUCS and YOCORRENCIAS).

In the Z environment, the query is further optimised due to the creation of the index **cap\_idx** on ZTIPOSAULA which permitted a more efficient join with the ZOCORRENCIAS table, cutting a lot of the cost from the Y

environment. The existence of the `curso_idx` index also helped when filtering the table `ZUCS` for the course 275.

### Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z
0%	-91.4%	-97%

#### X-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				642
HASH JOIN				642
Access Predicates				
AND				
XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=XTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
XOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=XTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO				
XUCS.CODIGO=XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO				
MERGE JOIN		CARTESIAN	302	49
TABLE ACCESS	XUCS	FULL	1	13
Filter Predicates				
AND				
XUCS.DESIGNACAO='Bases de Dados'				
XUCS.CURSO=275				
BUFFER		SORT		36
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL	21019	36
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL	21747	593

#### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				55
HASH JOIN				55
Access Predicates				
AND				
YOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=YTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
YOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=YTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				
YOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO				
NESTED LOOPS			1	19
NESTED LOOPS			5	19
TABLE ACCESS	YUCS	FULL	1	13
Filter Predicates				
AND				
YUCS.DESIGNACAO='Bases de Dados'				
YUCS.CURSO=275				
INDEX	YOCORRENCIAS_PK	RANGE SCAN	5	1
Access Predicates				
YUCS.CODIGO=YOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	YOCORRENCIAS	BY INDEX ROWID	5	6
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL	21019	36

#### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				1
HASH JOIN				19
Access Predicates				19
AND				
ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=ZTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
ZOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=ZTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				
ZOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO				
NESTED LOOPS				1
NESTED LOOPS				19
STATISTICS COLLECTOR				1
HASH JOIN				17
Access Predicates				
ZUCS.CODIGO=ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO				
NESTED LOOPS				1
STATISTICS COLLECTOR				17
TABLE ACCESS	ZUCS	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED		11
Filter Predicates				
ZUCS.DESIGNACAO='Bases de Dados'				
BITMAP CONVER		TO ROWIDS		
BITMAP INDEX	CURSO_IDX	SINGLE VALUE		
Access Predicates				
ZUCS.CURSO=275				
TABLE ACCESS	ZOCORRENCIAS	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED		5
INDEX	ZOCORRENCIAS_PK	RANGE SCAN		1
Access Predicates				
ZUCS.CODIGO=ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	ZOCORRENCIAS	FULL		5
INDEX	CAP_IDX	RANGE SCAN		1
Access Predicates				
AND				
ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=ZTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
ZOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO				
ZOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=ZTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID		2
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	FULL		2

## Query 2 - Aggregation

How many class hours of each type did the program 233 planned in year 2004/2005?

### SQL Query

```

SELECT TIPO,
       SUM(COALESCE(N_AULAS, 1) * HORAS_TURNO * DECODE(PERIODO /*EXPR*/,
        '1S', 6, /*SEARCH, RESULT*/
        '2S', 6,
        '1T', 3,
        '2T', 3,
        '3T', 3,
        '4T', 3,
        'T', 3,
        'A', 12,
        'B', 2,
        6 /*DEFAULT*/) * 4) AS HOURS
FROM XOCORRENCIAS
     INNER JOIN XUCS USING (CODIGO)
     INNER JOIN XTIPOSAULA USING (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO)
WHERE
     ANO_LETIVO = '2004/2005'
     AND CURSO = '233'
GROUP BY TIPO;

```

### Result

### Execution Plan

As can be seen in the execution plans below, the X environment presents the highest costs for the query, as expected. This is due to the non-existence of indexes as compared to Y (has indexes created from primary keys) and Z (has primary key indexes and extra indexes), which causes the query to perform full accesses to the tables. In the Y environment, this cost is optimised due to the existence of indexes created from the

	TIPO	HOURS
1	P	2748
2	TP	7332
3	T	9000

Figure 2: Query 2 result

primary keys, reducing the cost of access on tables and also on the join of the tables (as can be seen on the hash join operation between the tables).

The Z-environment is further optimised because of the creation of indexes `cap_idx` and `ano_tp_idx` which enable a more efficient join and filter of tables, respectively.

### Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z
0%	-92.2%	-92.7%

#### X-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				643
HASH		GROUP BY		5
HASH JOIN				643
Access Predicates				6
AND				
XOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=XTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO				
XUCS.CODIGO=XTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
HASH JOIN				606
Access Predicates				49
XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=XUCS.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	XUCS	FULL		47
Filter Predicates				13
XUCS.CURSO=233				
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL		483
Filter Predicates				593
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL		1106
Filter Predicates				36
XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				

#### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				50
HASH		GROUP BY		5
NESTED LOOPS				50
HASH JOIN				49
Access Predicates				53
ITEM_1=YTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
VIEW	SYS.VW_GBF_13			47
TABLE ACCESS	YUCS	FULL		47
Filter Predicates				13
YUCS.CURSO=233				
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL		1106
Filter Predicates				36
YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				
INDEX	YOCORRENCIAS_PK	UNIQUE SCAN		1
Access Predicates				0
AND				
YOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=ITEM_1				
YOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				
YOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=YTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				

#### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				
HASH			5	47
HASH JOIN		GROUP BY	5	47
Access Predicates			232	46
ZUCS.CODIGO=ZTIPOSAULA.CODIGO			611	46
NESTED LOOPS			611	46
STATISTICS COLLECTOR				
TABLE ACCESS	ZUCS	FULL	504	13
Filter Predicates				
ZUCS.CURSO=233				
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED	1	33
INDEX	CAP_IDX	RANGE SCAN	1671	5
Access Predicates				
AND				
ZUCS.CODIGO=ZTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED	1671	33
INDEX	ANO_TP_IDX	RANGE SCAN	1671	5
Access Predicates				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				
INDEX	ZOCORRENCIAS_PK	UNIQUE SCAN	1	0
Access Predicates				
AND				
ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=ZUCS.CODIGO				
ZOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2004/2005'				
ZOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=ZTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				

### Query 3 - Negation

Which courses (show the code) did have occurrences planned but did not get service assigned in year 2003/2004?

#### Case A - Using NOT IN

#### SQL Query

```
SELECT CODIGO
FROM XUCS
  INNER JOIN XOCORRENCIAS USING (CODIGO)
WHERE ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
  AND CODIGO NOT IN (
    SELECT CODIGO
    FROM XTIPOSAULA
      INNER JOIN XDSD USING (ID)
    WHERE ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
  );
```

#### Result

138 unique values

CODIGO	CODIGO	CODIGO	CODIGO	CODIGO
1 MEA412	26 MEAM1312	51 MEM179	76 MDI1106	101 MPFCA203
2 MTM115	27 MEAM1310	52 MEM180	77 MDI1107	102 MPFCA204
3 MTM114	28 MEMT135	53 MEM181	78 MDI1205	103 MPFCA205
4 MEB205	29 MEMT100	54 MEM182	79 MDI1206	104 MPFCA206
5 EMM528	30 MPPAU2220	55 MEM183	80 MDI1207	105 EC5200
6 MEM1205	31 MPPAU2218	56 MEM184	81 MDI1208	106 EEC5022
7 GEI512	32 MPPAU2216	57 MEM187	82 MDI1209	107 EEC2207
8 MEMT107	33 MPPAU2215	58 MEM188	83 MDI1108	108 EIC4220
9 MEMT105	34 MPPAU1114	59 MEM189	84 MEB204	109 EIC4221
10 MEMT102	35 MPPAU1113	60 MEM191	85 MEMT120	110 EIC4222
11 MEB105	36 MPPAU1112	61 MVC1211	86 MPPAU1115	111 EIC4223
12 MTM111	37 EC5280	62 MEA112	87 MPPAU2217	112 EIC4224
13 MTM110	38 EEC5272	63 MEA219	88 MPPAU2219	113 EIC5122
14 MTM104	39 MEM5000	64 MEA215	89 MMCCE1220	114 EIC5123
15 EQ407	40 EQ418	65 MEA216	90 MPFCA100	115 EIC5124
16 EQ308	41 EQ411	66 MEA217	91 MPFCA101	116 EIC5125
17 MEAM5000	42 MTM108	67 MEA319	92 MPFCA102	117 EIC5126
18 MDI1204	43 MEMT131	68 MEA320	93 MPFCA103	118 EIC5127
19 MDI1105	44 EIC3209	69 MEA414	94 MPFCA104	119 EIC5129
20 MDI1103	45 MEEC1053	70 MEA415	95 MPFCA105	120 EIC4225
21 MDI1100	46 MEM157	71 MEST210	96 MPFCA106	121 CI014
22 MFAMF1108	47 MEM158	72 MEMT110	97 MPFCA107	122 CI018
23 MEMT2000	48 MEM163	73 MEMT106	98 MPFCA200	123 CI019
24 MEMT1000	49 MEM175	74 EI1107	99 MPFCA201	124 CI023
25 MEAM1314	50 MEM175	75 EC5287	100 MPFCA202	125 CI003

	CODIGO
115	EIC5124
116	EIC5125
117	EIC5126
118	EIC5127
119	EIC5129
120	EIC4225
121	CI014
122	CI018
123	CI019
124	CI023
125	CI003
126	CI038
127	CI002
128	CI004
129	CI007
130	CI020
131	CI016
132	CI013
133	CI017
134	CI008
135	CI009
136	CI011
137	CI025
138	CI027
139	CI037

## Execution Plan

As can be seen in the execution plans below, the X environment presents the highest costs for the query, as expected. This is due to the non-existence of indexes as compared to Y (has indexes created from primary keys) and Z (has primary key indexes and extra indexes), which causes the query to perform full accesses to the tables. In the Y environment, this cost is optimised due to the existence of indexes created from the primary keys, reducing the cost of access to tables and also on the join of the tables (mainly seen on the fast full scan performed on the YOCORRENCIAS instead of a full scan).

On Z-environment, the query is further optimised due to the creation of the index `ano_tp_idx` that improved the cost-effectiveness of the filtering of ZTIPOSAULA table. Another minor optimisation was due to the creation of the index `id_idx` which reduced the cost of the fast full scan on YDSD table, however this reduction was minor as the previous environment already had used the index created by the primary key to optimise this scan.

## Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z
0%	-87.3%	-88.6%

*X-Environment*



OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				669
HASH JOIN				5
Access Predicates	XUCS.CODIGO=XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO			669
HASH JOIN		ANTI		5
Access Predicates	XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=CODIGO			656
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL		483
Filter Predicates	XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			593
VIEW	SYS.VW_NSQ_1			63
HASH JOIN		SEMI		1106
Access Predicates	XTIPOSAULA.ID=XDSD.ID			1106
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL		36
Filter Predicates	XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			36
TABLE ACCESS	XDSD	FULL		27385
TABLE ACCESS	XUCS	FULL		5396

### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				85
HASH JOIN		ANTI		5
Access Predicates	YOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=CODIGO			85
INDEX	YOCORRENCIAS_PK	FAST FULL SCAN		483
Filter Predicates	YOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			27
VIEW	SYS.VW_NSQ_1			58
HASH JOIN		SEMI		1106
Access Predicates	YTIPOSAULA.ID=YDSD.ID			1106
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL		36
Filter Predicates	YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			36
INDEX	YDSD_PK	FAST FULL SCAN		27385

### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				76
HASH JOIN		ANTI		10
Access Predicates	ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=CODIGO			76
INDEX	ZOCORRENCIAS_PK	FAST FULL SCAN		1028
Filter Predicates	ZOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			27
VIEW	SYS.VW_NSQ_1			49
HASH JOIN		SEMI		1588
Access Predicates	ZTIPOSAULA.ID=ZDSD.ID			1588
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED		31
INDEX	ANO_TP_IDX	RANGE SCAN		5
Access Predicates	ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			5
INDEX	ID_IDX	FAST FULL SCAN		27385

## Case B - Using OUTER JOIN and IS NULL

### SQL Query

```

SELECT XUCS.CODIGO AS CODIGO
  FROM XUCS
     INNER JOIN XOCORRENCIAS ON XUCS.CODIGO = XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO
  LEFT OUTER JOIN (
    SELECT CODIGO
      FROM XTIPOSAULA
         INNER JOIN XDSD USING (ID)
        WHERE ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
    ) temp ON XUCS.CODIGO = temp.CODIGO
 WHERE ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004' AND temp.CODIGO IS NULL;

```

### Result

Same result as case A.

## Execution Plan

Judging from the execution plan, the Oracle SQL environment optimised the query performed that resulted on the exact same execution plan as case A, and therefore all the conclusions explained above apply the same for this case.

## Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z
0%	-87.3%	-88.6%

### X-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				669
HASH JOIN		ANTI	483	669
Access Predicates				
XUCS.CODIGO=TEMP.CODIGO				
HASH JOIN			483	606
Access Predicates				
XUCS.CODIGO=XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL	483	593
Filter Predicates				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
TABLE ACCESS	XUCS	FULL	5396	13
VIEW			1106	63
HASH JOIN		SEMI	1106	63
Access Predicates				
XTIPOSAULA.ID=XDSD.ID				
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL	1106	36
Filter Predicates				
XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
TABLE ACCESS	XDSD	FULL	27385	27

### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				85
HASH JOIN		ANTI	5	85
Access Predicates				
YOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=TEMP.CODIGO				
INDEX	YOCORRENCIAS_PK	FAST FULL SCAN	483	27
Filter Predicates				
YOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
VIEW			1106	58
HASH JOIN		SEMI	1106	58
Access Predicates				
YTIPOSAULA.ID=YDSD.ID				
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL	1106	36
Filter Predicates				
YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
INDEX	YDSD_PK	FAST FULL SCAN	27385	22

### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				76
HASH JOIN		ANTI	10	76
Access Predicates				
ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=TEMP.CODIGO				
INDEX	ZOCORRENCIAS_PK	FAST FULL SCAN	1028	27
Filter Predicates				
ZOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
VIEW			1588	49
HASH JOIN		SEMI	1588	49
Access Predicates				
ZTIPOSAULA.ID=ZDSD.ID				
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED	1588	31
INDEX	ANO_TP_IDX	RANGE SCAN	1588	5
Access Predicates				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
INDEX	ID_IDX	FAST FULL SCAN	27385	18

## Query 4

Who is the professor with more class hours for each type of class, in the academic year 2003/2004? Show the number and name of the professor, the type of class and the total of class hours times the factor.

## SQL Query

```

WITH AUX (NR, NOME, TIPO, HOURS) AS (
    SELECT NR, NOME, TIPO,
        SUM(HORAS * DECODE(PERODO /*EXPR*/,
            '1S', 6, /*SEARCH, RESULT*/
            '2S', 6,
            '1T', 3,
            '2T', 3,
            '3T', 3,
            '4T', 3,
            'T', 3,
            'A', 12,
            'B', 2,
            6 /*DEFAULT*/) * 4) AS HOURS
    FROM XDOCENTES
        INNER JOIN XDSD USING(NR)
        INNER JOIN XTIPOSAULA USING(ID)
        INNER JOIN XOCORRENCIAS USING(CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERODO)
    WHERE ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
    GROUP BY (TIPO, NR, NOME)
)
SELECT NR, NOME, TIPO, HOURS
FROM AUX
    INNER JOIN (
        SELECT TIPO, MAX(HOURS) AS HOURS
        FROM AUX
        GROUP BY TIPO
    ) USING (TIPO, HOURS);

```

## Result

	NR	NOME	TIPO	HOURS
1	249564	Cecília do Carmo Ferreira da Silva	TP	624
2	210006	João Carlos Pascoal de Faria	OT	84
3	207638	Fernando Francisco Machado Veloso Gomes	T	500.04
4	208187	António Almerindo Pinheiro Vieira	P	720

Figure 3: Query 4 result

## Execution Plan

As can be seen in the execution plans below, the X environment presents the highest costs for the query, as expected. This is due to the nonexistence of indexes as compared to Y (has indexes created from primary keys) and Z (has primary key indexes and extra indexes), which causes the query to perform full accesses to the tables. In the Y environment, this cost is optimised due to the existence of indexes created from the primary keys, reducing the cost of access on tables and also on the join of the tables (as can be seen on the hash join operation between the tables).

The Z-environment is slightly optimised thanks to the `ano_tp_idx` index, which allowed for a range scan instead of a full scan.

## Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z
0%	-87.1%	-87.5%

### X-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
HASH		GROUP BY		782 662
HASH JOIN				782 661
Access Predicates				
XDOCENTES.NR=XDSD.NR				
TABLE ACCESS	XDOCENTES	FULL		939 5
HASH JOIN				782 656
Access Predicates				
XDSD.ID=XTIPOSAULA.ID				
HASH JOIN				483 629
Access Predicates				
AND				
XTIPOSAULA.PERIODO=XOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO				
XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO=XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO				
XTIPOSAULA.CODIGO=XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL		483 593
Filter Predicates				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL		1106 36
Filter Predicates				
XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
TABLE ACCESS	XDSD	FULL		27385 27
HASH JOIN				806 11
Access Predicates				
AND				
AUX.HOURS=from\$_subquery\$_009.HOURS				
AUX.TIPO=from\$_subquery\$_009.TIPO				
VIEW				5 6
HASH		GROUP BY		5 6
VIEW				806 5
TABLE ACCESS	SYS.SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E37_4E0CF02E	FULL		806 5
VIEW				806 5
TABLE ACCESS	SYS.SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E37_4E0CF02E	FULL		806 5

### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				1790 85
TEMP TABLE TRANSFORMATION				
LOAD AS SELECT	SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E38_4E0CF02E	(CURSOR DURATION MEMORY)		
HASH		GROUP BY		1790 69
HASH JOIN				1790 68
Access Predicates				
YDOCENTES.NR=YDSD.NR				
TABLE ACCESS	YDOCENTES	FULL		939 5
HASH JOIN				1790 63
Access Predicates				
YDSD.ID=YTIPOSAULA.ID				
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL		1106 36
Filter Predicates				
YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
TABLE ACCESS	YDSD	FULL		27385 27
HASH JOIN				1790 15
Access Predicates				
AND				
AUX.HOURS=from\$_subquery\$_009.HOURS				
AUX.TIPO=from\$_subquery\$_009.TIPO				
VIEW				5 8
HASH		GROUP BY		5 8
VIEW				1790 7
TABLE ACCESS	SYS.SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E38_4E0CF02E	FULL		1790 7
VIEW				1790 7
TABLE ACCESS	SYS.SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E38_4E0CF02E	FULL		1790 7

### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				2570 83
TEMP TABLE TRANSFORMATION				
LOAD AS SELECT	SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E46_4E0CF02E	(CURSOR DURATION MEMORY)		
HASH		GROUP BY	2570	64
HASH JOIN			2570	63
Access Predicates	ZDOCENTES.NR=ZDSD.NR			
TABLE ACCESS	ZDOCENTES	FULL	939	5
HASH JOIN			2570	58
Access Predicates	ZDSD.ID=ZTIPOSAULA.ID			
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED	1588	31
INDEX	ANO_TP_IDX	RANGE SCAN	1588	5
Access Predicates	ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'			
TABLE ACCESS	ZDSD	FULL	27385	27
HASH JOIN			2570	19
Access Predicates				
AND				
	AUX.HOURS=from\$_subquery\$_009.HOURS			
	AUX.TIPO=from\$_subquery\$_009.TIPO			
VIEW		GROUP BY	5	10
HASH			5	10
VIEW			2570	9
TABLE ACCESS	SYS.SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E46_4E0CF02E	FULL	2570	9
VIEW			2570	9
TABLE ACCESS	SYS.SYS_TEMP_0FD9D7E46_4E0CF02E	FULL	2570	9

## Query 5

Compare the execution plans (just the environment Z) and the index sizes for the query giving the course code, the academic year, the period, and number of hours of the type 'OT' in the academic years of 2002/2003 and 2003/2004.

### SQL Query

```

SELECT CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO, SUM(COALESCE(N_AULAS, 1) * HORAS_TURNO * DECODE(PERIODO /*EXPR*/,
                                                                                      '1S', 6, /*SEARCH, RESULT*/,
                                                                                      '2S', 6,
                                                                                      '1T', 3,
                                                                                      '2T', 3,
                                                                                      '3T', 3,
                                                                                      '4T', 3,
                                                                                      'T', 3,
                                                                                      'A', 12,
                                                                                      'B', 2,
                                                                                      6 /*DEFAULT*/) * 4) AS HOURS
FROM XOCORRENCIAS
  INNER JOIN XTIPOSAULA USING (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO)
WHERE TIPO = 'OT' AND (
  ANO_LETIVO = '2002/2003' OR ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
)
GROUP BY (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO);

```

### Result

	CODIGO	ANO_LETIVO	PERIODO	HOURS
1	EIC5202	2002/2003	2S	12
2	EIC5202	2003/2004	2S	12

Figure 4: Query 5 result

### Execution Plan

*X-Environment*

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				630
HASH		GROUP BY		630
HASH JOIN				629
Access Predicates				
AND				
XOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=XTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO				
XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=XTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL		613
Filter Predicates				
AND				
XTIPOSAULA.TIPO='OT'				
OR				
XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2002/2003'				
XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL		2001
Filter Predicates				
OR				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2002/2003'				
XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				

### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				613
HASH		GROUP BY		613
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL		613
Filter Predicates				
AND				
YTIPOSAULA.TIPO='OT'				
OR				
YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2002/2003'				
YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				

### Case A - Using B-tree

#### SQL Query

```
CREATE INDEX BTREE_5 ON ZTIPOSAULA(ANO_LETIVO, TIPO);
```

```
SELECT CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO, SUM(COALESCE(N_AULAS, 1) * HORAS_TURNO * DECODE(PERIODO /*EXPR*/,
                                                                                      '1S', 6, /*SEARCH, RESULT*/
                                                                                      '2S', 6,
                                                                                      '1T', 3,
                                                                                      '2T', 3,
                                                                                      '3T', 3,
                                                                                      '4T', 3,
                                                                                      'T', 3,
                                                                                      'A', 12,
                                                                                      'B', 2,
                                                                                      6 /*DEFAULT*/) * 4) AS HOURS
FROM ZOCORRENCIAS
  INNER JOIN ZTIPOSAULA USING (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO)
WHERE TIPO = 'OT' AND (
  ANO_LETIVO = '2002/2003' OR ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
);
```

```
DROP INDEX BTREE_5;
```

#### Execution Plan

### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				5
HASH		GROUP BY	9	5
INLIST ITERATOR				
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED	28	4
INDEX	BTRFF_5	RANGE SCAN	28	2
Access Predicates				
AND				
OR				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2002/2003'				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				
ZTIPOSAULA.TIPO='OT'				

## Case B - Using Bitmap

### SQL Query

```
CREATE BITMAP INDEX BITMAP_5 ON ZTIPOSAULA(ANO_LETIVO, TIPO);
```

```
SELECT CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO, SUM(COALESCE(N_AULAS, 1) * HORAS_TURNO * DECODE(PERIODO /*EXPR*/,
                                                                                      '1S', 6, /*SEARCH, RESULT*/
                                                                                      '2S', 6,
                                                                                      '1T', 3,
                                                                                      '2T', 3,
                                                                                      '3T', 3,
                                                                                      '4T', 3,
                                                                                      'T', 3,
                                                                                      'A', 12,
                                                                                      'B', 2,
                                                                                      6 /*DEFAULT*/) * 4) AS HOURS
FROM ZOCORRENCIAS
     INNER JOIN ZTIPOSAULA USING (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO)
WHERE TIPO = 'OT' AND (
     ANO_LETIVO = '2002/2003' OR ANO_LETIVO = '2003/2004'
)
GROUP BY (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO);
```

```
DROP INDEX BITMAP_5;
```

### Execution Plan

#### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT				17
HASH		GROUP BY	9	17
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	BY INDEX ROWID BATCHED	28	16
BITMAP CONVERSION		TO ROWIDS		
BITMAP AND				
BITMAP INDEX	TIPO_IDX	SINGLE VALUE		
Access Predicates				
ZTIPOSAULA.TIPO='OT'				
BITMAP OR				
BITMAP CONVERSION		FROM ROWIDS		
INDEX	ANO_TP_IDX	RANGE SCAN		5
Access Predicates				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2002/2003'				
BITMAP CONVERSION		FROM ROWIDS		
INDEX	ANO_TP_IDX	RANGE SCAN		5
Access Predicates				
ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO='2003/2004'				

### Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z (A)	Z (B)
0%	-94.1%	-99.2%	-97.3%

The index sizes were obtained via this SQL statement:

```
select sum(bytes)/1024/1024 as "Index Size (MB)" from user_segments where segment_name='BTREE_5';

select sum(bytes)/1024/1024 as "Index Size (MB)" from user_segments where segment_name='BITMAP_5';
```

BTREE	BITMAP
0.625MB	0.0625MB

B-tree allows for a more efficient way to fetch the results via a range scan on the index. The Bitmap suffers on the cost optimisation due to having to convert the existing indexes into Bitmap index to perform the operations, this could be avoided by making the indexes `ano_tp_idx` a bitmap index, however it would make other queries less cost-efficient.

From these, we can take the usual trade-off of `cost` vs `space`, as the B-tree index allows for a better cost optimisation (approximately 3x less cost than Bitmap index), however it comes with the cost of taking 10x more space to store the index.

## Query 6

Select the programs (curso) that have classes with all the existing types.

### SQL Query

```
SELECT CURSO
FROM XOCORRENCIAS
    INNER JOIN XUCS USING (CODIGO)
    INNER JOIN XTIPOSAULA USING (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO)
GROUP BY CURSO
HAVING COUNT(DISTINCT TIPO) = 5;

-- without hard coded value on the number of distinct types
SELECT CURSO
FROM XOCORRENCIAS
    INNER JOIN XUCS USING (CODIGO)
    INNER JOIN XTIPOSAULA USING (CODIGO, ANO_LETIVO, PERIODO)
GROUP BY CURSO
HAVING COUNT(DISTINCT TIPO) = (
    SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT TIPO)
    FROM XTIPOSAULA);
```

### Result

	CURSO
1	9461
2	4495
3	9508
4	2021

Figure 5: Query 6 result

### Execution Plan

As can be seen in the execution plans below, the X environment presents the highest costs for the query, as expected. This is due to the non-existence of indexes as compared to Y (has indexes created from primary



keys) and Z (has primary key indexes and extra indexes), which causes the query to perform full accesses to the tables. In the Y environment, this cost is optimised due to the existence of indexes created from the primary keys, reducing the cost of access to tables and also on the join of the tables (as can be seen on the hash join operation between the tables).

However, our indexes didn't further optimise this query, staying with the same execution plan and the same cost for query.

### Cost optimisation in comparison to X environment

X	Y	Z
0%	-91.9%	-91.9%

#### X-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT			2	643
HASH		GROUP BY	2	643
Filter Predicates COUNT(\$vm_col_1)=5				
VIEW	SYS.VM_NWWW_1		404	643
HASH		GROUP BY	404	643
HASH JOIN			1580	642
Access Predicates AND XOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=XTIPOSAULA.PERIODO XOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=XTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO XUCS.CODIGO=XTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	XTIPOSAULA	FULL	21019	36
HASH JOIN			21747	606
Access Predicates XOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=XUCS.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	XUCS	FULL	5396	13
TABLE ACCESS	XOCORRENCIAS	FULL	21747	593

#### Y-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT			2	52
HASH		GROUP BY	2	52
Filter Predicates COUNT(\$vm_col_1)=5				
VIEW	SYS.VM_NWWW_1		404	52
HASH		GROUP BY	404	52
NESTED LOOPS		SEMI	17724	50
HASH JOIN			21019	49
Access Predicates YUCS.CODIGO=YTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	YUCS	FULL	5396	13
TABLE ACCESS	YTIPOSAULA	FULL	21019	36
INDEX	YOCORRENCIAS_PK	UNIQUE SCAN	18337	0
Access Predicates AND YOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=YUCS.CODIGO YOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=YTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO YOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=YTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				

#### Z-Environment

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	OPTIONS	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT			2	52
HASH		GROUP BY	2	52
Filter Predicates COUNT(\$vm_col_1)=5				
VIEW	SYS.VM_NWWW_1		404	52
HASH		GROUP BY	404	52
NESTED LOOPS		SEMI	17724	50
HASH JOIN			21019	49
Access Predicates ZUCS.CODIGO=ZTIPOSAULA.CODIGO				
TABLE ACCESS	ZUCS	FULL	5396	13
TABLE ACCESS	ZTIPOSAULA	FULL	21019	36
INDEX	ZOCORRENCIAS_PK	UNIQUE SCAN	18337	0
Access Predicates AND ZOCORRENCIAS.CODIGO=ZUCS.CODIGO ZOCORRENCIAS.ANO_LETIVO=ZTIPOSAULA.ANO_LETIVO ZOCORRENCIAS.PERIODO=ZTIPOSAULA.PERIODO				

## Overall System Cost Optimisation

X	Y	Z
0%	-90.7%	-92.8%