

### nanoHUB Account

- These tutorials use cloud-hosted PhysiCell models on nanoHUB.org.
- nanoHUB is free, but it requires a onetime registration.

#### • Steps:

- Visit <a href="https://nanohub.org/register">https://nanohub.org/register</a>
- Choose "Sign in with Google"
- 3. Choose a Google account
- Click "No" (so it doesn't try to associate with some other nanoHIB account)
- 5. Finish filling in details, and you're done!
- Use your google account to sign in in the future.







## Agent-based modeling of multicellular systems and cancer in PhysiCell

**Part 1: Introduction** 

Get lectures and materials here!



Paul Macklin, Ph.D.

Intelligent Systems Engineering Indiana University

August 13, 2020

<u>github.com/physicell-training/CAMBAM\_2020</u>

### A big thank you

- This work is supported by:
  - National Cancer Institute & Breast Cancer Research Foundation:
    - ♦ Simulation methods were originally developed for cancer.
  - National Science Foundation:
    - ♦ Helped us automatically share complex simulation models on the cloud.
  - Jayne Koskinas Ted Giovanis Foundation for Health and Policy
    - ♦ A large emergency grant to jumpt-start a COVID-19 modeling coalition.
    - ♦ Funding for breast cancer research (jointly with Johns Hopkins and others).
  - Generous computing resources at Indiana University









### Cancer is a systems problem

#### Interconnected systems and processes:

- Single-cell behaviors
- Cell-cell communication
- Physics-imposed constraints (e.g., diffusion)
- Systems of systems (e.g., immune system)


In cancer, these systems become dysregulated.

Treatments target *parts* of these systems.

Cancer is a **complex systems**: changing one part can have **surprising effects**!

Modeling can help **understand** this system. This is **multicellular systems biology**.

If we can **control** these systems, we've arrived at **multicellular systems engineering**.



Source: Hanahan & Weinberg (2011)

DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

# Scientists use [models\*] to detangle complex systems.

\* animal, in vitro, engineered, mathematical, ...


### Key parts of a multicellular virtual laboratory

- Model multiple diffusing chemical factors
  - Growth substrates and metabolites
  - Signaling factors
  - Drugs
- Model many cells in these chemical environments
  - Environment-dependent behavior (including molecular-scale "logic")
  - Mechanical interactions
  - Heterogeneity:
    - ♦ individual states
    - ♦ individual parameter values
    - ♦ individual model rules
- Run many copies of the model in high throughput
  - Discover the rules that best match observations.
  - Identify and exploit weaknesses that can restore control




### What is an agent-based model?

- Each cell is modeled as a separate software object (an **agent**) with:
  - member data: internal state variables
    - ♦ Position, Size, Cycle State, molecular variables, ....
  - methods: cellular processes
    - ◆ Cycling, Death, Motility, Growth, Adhesion, ...
- Virtual cells move a virtual (micro)environment
  - Usually liquid (e.g., water or interstitial fluid)
  - Chemical movement (oxygen, glucose, signaling factors)
    - ◆ Typically diffusion: solve partial differential equations (PDEs)
    - ♦ May also require advection for environments with flow
  - May include mechanical components like extracellular matrix (ECM)
    - ◆ Finite element methods or related methods



### What's the connection to biology and physics?

- The cell agents encode our biological knowledge and hypotheses:
  - Cell variables (member data) are selected to record important biological quantities
    - ♦ Volume, cell cycle state, energy, ...
  - Cell rules (methods) encode biological hypotheses
    - ♦ Increase motility in low oxygen, down-regulate cycling under compression, ...
  - Cell rules are often written at mathematical models.
    - ♦ Potential functions for mechanics, systems of ODEs for metabolism, ...
- The microenvironment encodes physical constraints:
  - Chemical transport: diffusion and advection equations (PDEs)
  - *Tissue mechanics:* viscoelastic, plastoelastic or other solid mechanics



 Most agent-based models combine discrete cell agents and continuum microenvironment processes. This is a hybrid continuum-discrete approach.

### Key elements for an agent-based multicellular model

- Stage (microenvironment):
  - What the diffusing chemical species?
  - Do we need to model extracellular matrix (ECM) mechanics?
  - Do we need to model blood vessels?
- Players (cell types):
  - One or more cell types?
  - Fibroblasts?
  - One or more immune cell type?
  - Others?


- Script (cell behaviors & parameters):
  - Cycling, death, motility, uptake ...
  - Interactions (e.g., mechanics, hunting)
  - Conversions among types (e.g., differentiation, mutation)
  - Custom data and functions?
  - Molecular-scale models?

#### **Essentially:**

- Where do they live?
- Who's there?
- What do they do?

### Example: biological cargo delivery system

- The stage:
  - two diffusing chemical signals
- The players and rules:
  - directors (green):
    - ♦ secrete director signal to attract workers
  - cargo (blue):
    - ◆ undocked: secrete cargo signal to attract workers
    - ♦ docked: turn off signal
  - workers (red):
    - ◆ undocked: seek cargo via chemotaxis
    - ◆ docked: seek directors via chemotaxis, release cargo in high signal areas





Try this model yourself!

https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4biorobots

### pc4biorobots exercises for later

#### 1. Cargo and workers only

- Set # of directors to zero.
- Set max time to 120 minutes.
- Click run. What happens?
- Plot the cargo signal. How does this explain the behavior?

#### 2. Full model

- Set # of directors to 15
- Set max time to 1000 minutes.
- Click run. What happens?
- Plot the director signal. How does this explain the behavior?

#### 3. Modify workers (1)

- Set drop threshold to 0.1
- Click run. What happens?
- Plot the director signal. How does this explain the behavior?

#### 4. Modify workers (2)

- Set attached migration bias to 0.3.
- Click run. What happens?



### Introducing PhysiCell

### **BioFVM: Simulating 3-D biotransport**

**<u>Design goal:</u>** Simulate multiple diffusing substrates in 3D with desktops or single HTC/HPC nodes

Typical use: pO<sub>2</sub>, glucose, metabolic waste, signaling factors, and a drug, on 10 mm<sup>3</sup> at 20 µm resolution

#### **Features:**


- Off-lattice cell secretion and uptake
- 2<sup>nd</sup>-order accurate (space), 1<sup>st</sup>-order accurate (time), numerically stable


#### **Method:**

- Operator splitting, LOD, customized Thomas solvers, etc.
- Standard C++11, cross-platform
- OpenMP parallelization
- O(n) cost scaling in # substrates, # voxels
- Easy to simulate 5-10 substrates on 10<sup>6</sup> voxels

Reference: Ghaffarizadeh et al., Bioinformatics (2016)

DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv730





### PhysiCell: A multicellular framework

**2019 PLoS** 

Computational Biology

Research Prize for

**Public Impact** 

**Design goal**: Simulate 10<sup>6</sup> or more cells in 2D or 3D

on desktops or single HPC nodes

#### Features:

- Off-lattice cell positions
- Mechanics-based cell movement
- Cell processes (cycling, motility, ...)
- Signal-dependent phenotype
- Can dynamically attach custom data functions on a cell-by-cell basis
- Deployed from Raspberry Pi to Crays

#### Method:

- Standard C++11, cross-platform
- OpenMP parallelization
- O(n) cost scaling in # cells

Reference: Ghaffarizadeh et al.,

PLoS Comput. Biol. (2018)


DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005991



Try this model yourself!

nanohub.org/tools/pc4heterogen

Current time: 0 days, 0 hours, and 0.00 minutes 18317 cells



Competition in a 3-D tumor

[View on YouTube (8K)]



Macklin Lab

MathCancer

MathCancer.org

### Key parts of a PhysiCell model (1)

#### Microenvironment (stage):

- diffusing substrates
  - ♦ diffusion coefficient
  - ♦ decay rate
  - boundary conditions
  - Defined in XML configuration file

#### Cell Definitions (types of players):


- name
- default phenotype (more on next page)
- defined in XML configuration file

### Key parts of a PhysiCell model (2)

- Cell agents (individual players):
  - Which cell type? (the cell agent is initialized based on a cell definition)
  - State variables:
    - ♦ position
    - ♦ mechanical pressure
    - ♦ interaction list (optional)
  - Phenotype (the script)
    - ♦ Cell cycle
    - ♦ Volume
    - ♦ Death
    - ♦ Motility
    - ♦ Mechanics
    - ♦ Substrate uptake & release
  - Custom variables
  - Custom functions that act upon the phenotype, variables, and state (script)


### Some examples

### Tumor-parenchyma mechanical feedbacks




Y. Wang (IU), with Mumemthaler (USC), Sparks (Miami U), Frieboes (U Louisville)

#### Cancer immunotherapy



with G. An (U. Vermont), Ozik, Wozniak, and Collier (Argonne National Lab)

### Phenotypic persistence in breast cancer




H. Rocha (IU), with D. Gilkes (Johns Hopkins U.)



### PhysiCell ecosystem

- xml2jupyter: automatically build Jupyter notebook GUI for any PhysiCell model, then share them on the cloud via nanoHUB
- PhysiBoSS: Combine PhysiCell agents with Boolean signal networks (with Institut Curie & Barcelona Supercomputing center)
- **EMEWS**: large-scale model exploration on high performance computing (with Argonne Nat'l Lab)
- <u>Python loader</u>: load PhysiCell data into Python for analysis, visualization
- More: convert data for 3D raytracing, 3D model exploration with game engines, machine learning on PhysiCell data, SBML, ...



XML+Jupyter architecture

### A detailed cancer example

### cancer-immune contact interactions

#### Heterogeneous tumor cells (blue to yellow):

- Cycle entry rate scales with O<sub>2</sub>
- Cells necrose in very low O<sub>2</sub>
- · Yellow cells are most proliferative;
  - blue are least proliferative
- · Yellow cells are most immunogenic
  - simplified model of MHC

#### Immune cells (red):

- Biased random walk towards tumor
- · Test for contact with cells
- Form adhesion
- Attempt to induce apoptosis
- (e.g., FAS receptor)
- success depends on immunogenicity
- Eventually detach from cell, continue search

Movie: [ View on YouTube (4K) ]


#### **References:**

- Ghaffarizadeh et al. (2018)
- Ozik et al. (2018)
- Ozik et al. (2019)




Try this model yourself! (2D)

nanohub.org/tools/pc4cancerimmune



### High-throughput investigations on HPC

### 3-D tumor-immune model




#### **Explore 3 parameters:**

- migration bias
- attachment rate
- attachment lifetime
- 27 parameter sets
- 10 replicates per set
- ~2 days per run
- ~1.5 years of computing

HTC is the only feasible path

**ANL:** Do all 270 runs over a weekend



Reference:

Ozik et al. (2018)




### Exploring high-dimensional design spaces

- We missed a lot of parameters. Let's increase to a 6-D design space.
  - 1. Immune cell apoptosis rate (related to total killing capacity)
  - 2. Oncoprotein threshold  $p_T$  (cancer cells are invisible if  $p < p_T$ )
  - 3. Immune kill rate (rate attached immune cells can induce apoptosis)
  - 4. Immune cell attachment rate
  - 5. Immune cell attachment lifetime
  - 6. Immune cell migration bias

original parameters

- Design space is a constrained hypercube:
  - Biological constraints
    - ♦ Cells can only move so fast
    - ♦ Limits of receptor dynamics ...
  - Clinical constraints
    - ♦ Can't use infinitely many immune cells
    - ♦ Sensitivity limits (otherwise overactive immune system, cytokine storms, etc.) ...



### Scenarios to explore

#### **Cancer control**

1) Number of tumor cells at end  $(N_{\text{final}})$  doesn't exceed initial count  $(N_{\text{initial}})$ 

#### **Cancer remission**

- 2) Can we reduce cancer cells by 90% ( $N_{\text{final}} \le 0.1 N_{\text{initial}}$ )?
- 3) Can we reduce cancer cells by 99% ( $N_{\text{final}} \leq 0.01 N_{\text{initial}}$ )?

#### **Treatment optimization:**

4) Can we minimize  $N_{\text{final}}$ ?

#### Approach:

Problem 4 is fairly traditional:

Use genetic algorithm (\*)

Problems 1-3 are harder:

Can't densely sample 6-D design space! (Even on HTC!) 531,441 discrete points in design space

Use active learning to find the shape of the "good design" subspace.

### **Using active learning**

- For each design scenario (e.g., 10% scenario), build a binary DT classifier:
  - **True**: points that meet the design goal (e.g.,  $N_{\text{final}} \leq 0.1 N_{\text{start}}$ )
  - False: points that don't meet the design goal (e.g., N<sub>final</sub> > 0.1 N<sub>start</sub>)
- Run 1000 simulations at a time to build the classifier:
  - 50 points in the 6-parameter space
  - 20 replicates per sample
  - Classify samples as true/false
- Active learning helps us choose samples that refine the decision boundary


Bonus: Use the Gini coefficients to rank the parameters

Reference: Ozik et al. (2019)



### How did HPC+ML enable new science?

- HPC gives the topology of the design space:
  - Each design scenario is an isosurface.
  - Finding multiple surfaces gives the topology.
  - More aggressive treatment goals drastically shrink the viable design space
- HPC+ML makes it feasible to find several design surfaces to see the topology
  - ~ 30,000 to 40,000 simulations per contour
    - Active learning: Reduced from 10<sup>7</sup> to 10<sup>4</sup> simulations
  - ~ 48,000 core hours for each surface
    - ~ 250 days (nonstop) on high-end workstation
    - ~ 2 weeks (nonstop) on a smallish cluster
    - ~ 12 hours on a Cray at ANL




Reference: Ozik et al. (2019)

### **Human learning from ABM + ML**

#### Top two parameters

- 1. Immune cell apoptosis rate (d<sub>1</sub>)
  - ♦ Minimizing d₁ is analogous to maximizing functional lifetime of immune cells.
    - »  $1 / d_1$  is the mean lifetime of an immune cell
    - » increases the max number of cell kills for each immune cell
    - » analogous to effects of T cell exhaustion
    - » largely a biological constraint
- 2. Oncoprotein threshold (d<sub>2</sub>)
  - Decreasing d<sub>2</sub> corresponds to increasing immune cell sensitivity
  - Increasing sensitivity without selectivity would have toxicity effects
  - ♦ Both a biological and a clinical constraint

Machine learning helped us interpret the agent-based model results.





Try this model yourself!
nanohub.org/tools/pc4cancerimmune

Reference: Ozik et al. (2019)




### Let's try some examples

### pc4heterogen

#### cancer heterogeneity:

- cancer cells
  - ♦ each has an "oncoprotein" p
  - ♦ cycle entry scales with O<sub>2</sub>
  - ♦ cells with higher p cycle faster
  - ♦ O₂ depletion causes necrosis
- blue:
  - ♦ lowest value of *p*: least able to use O<sub>2</sub> to cycle
- gold:
  - ♦ highest value of p: most able to use O₂ to cycle
- orange:
  - ♦ necrotic cell





Try this model yourself!

https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4heterogen

### pc4heterogen exercises

#### 1. homogeneous cancer cells

- Set max time to 5760 minutes.
- Set cell and substrate plot intervals to 120 minutes
- Set oncoprotein standard deviation to 0.0
- Click run. What happens?

#### 2. Add heterogeneity

- Set oncoprotein standard deviation to 0.5
- Click run. What happens?
- Plot the therapeutic. How does this explain the behavior?

#### 3. Increase oncoprotein heterogeneity

- Set oncoprotein standard deviation to 3
- Set max oncoprotein value to 9
- Click run. What happens?

#### 4. Set min oncoprotein (on your own)


- Set min oncoprotein value to 0.5
- Increase max time to 14400 minutes
- Click run. What happens?

### pc4cancerbots

#### cancer biorobots:

#### green:

- ♦ cycle entry scales with O2
- ♦ O2 depletion causes necrosis
- ♦ cumulative drug exposure causes apoptosis



#### blue:

♦ drug-loaded "cargo"

#### red:

 worker cells that seek and haul cargo towards hypoxic zones



Try this model yourself!

https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4cancerbots

### pc4cancerbots exercises

#### 1. Cancer cells only

- Set # injected cells to 0
- Increase tumor radius to 400
- Set max time to 2880 minutes.
- Click run. What happens?
- Plot the oxygen. How does this explain the behavior?

#### 2. Add therapy (full model)

- Set # of injected cells to 500
- Set therapy activation time to 120
- Increase max time to 4320 minutes
- Click run. What happens?
- Plot the therapeutic. How does this explain the behavior?

#### 3. Modify treatment

- Set attached worker migration bias to 0.2
- Click run. What happens?

#### 4. Modify treatment (on your own)

- Set cargo release o2 threshold to 15
- Increase max time to 14400 minutes
- Click run. What happens?



### Some models to explore

#### On nanoHUB:

- pc4heterogen: heterogeneous cancer growth (<a href="https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4heterogen">https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4heterogen</a>)
- **pc4cancerbots:** use the "biorobots" as a cell-based cancer therapy (https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4cancerbots)
- pc4livermedium: tumor-stroma biomechanical feedbacks (<a href="https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4livermedium">https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4livermedium</a>)
- pc4cancerimmune: basic cancer immunotherapy model (<a href="https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4cancerimmune">https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4cancerimmune</a>)
- pc4covid19: COVID-19 simulation model (<a href="https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4covid19">https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4covid19</a>)
- trmotility: training on biased random cell migration (<a href="https://nanohub.org/tools/trmotility">https://nanohub.org/tools/trmotility</a>)
- pc4thanos: Avengers Endgame battle using cell rules (<a href="https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4thanos">https://nanohub.org/tools/pc4thanos</a>)

#### **Bundled in PhysiCell:**

• biorobots, cancer biorobots, heterogeneity, cancer immunotherapy (3D version), virus-macrophage sample, project templates

### What's next? Part 2

- Download PhysiCell from GitHub
- Compile a bundled / standardized C++ model
- Configure and run the model
- Read and plot data in Jupyter
- Build a cancer model via XML

### Further reading (1)

BioFVM method paper (3-D diffusion)

A. Ghaffarizadeh, S.H. Friedman, and P. Macklin. BioFVM: an efficient, parallelized diffusive transport solver for 3-D biological simulations. Bioinformatics 32(8):1256-8, 2016. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv730.

PhysiCell method paper (agent-based model)

A. Ghaffarizadeh, R. Heiland, S.H. Friedman, S.M. Mumenthaler, and P. Macklin. PhysiCell: an open source physics-based cell simulator for 3-D multicellular systems. PLoS Comput. Biol. 14(2):e1005991, 2018. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005991.

PhysiBoSS (PhysiCell + MaBoSS for Boolean networks)

G. Letort, A. Montagud, G. Stoll, R. Heiland, E. Barillot, P. Macklin, A. Zinovyev, and L. Calzone. PhysiBoSS: a multi-scale agent based modelling framework integrating physical dimension and cell signalling. *Bioinformatics* 35(7):1188-96, 2019. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty766.

xml2jupyter paper (create GUIs for cloud-hosted models)

R. Heiland, D. Mishler, T. Zhang, E. Bower, and P. Macklin. xml2jupyter: Mapping parameters between XML and Jupyter widgets. *Journal of Open* Source Software 4(39):1408, 2019. DOI: 10.21105/joss.01408.

• PhysiCell+EMEWS (high-throughput 3D PhysiCell investigation)
J. Ozik, N. Collier, J. Wozniak, C. Macal, C. Cockrell, S.H. Friedman, A. Ghaffarizadeh, R. Heiland, G. An, and P. Macklin. High-throughput cancer hypothesis testing with an integrated PhysiCell-EMEWS workflow. BMC Bioinformatics 19:483, 2018. DOI: 10.1186/s12859-018-2510-x.

PhysiCell+EMEWS 2 (HPC accelerated by machine learning)

J. Ozik, N. Collier, R. Heiland, G. An, and P. Macklin. Learning-accelerated Discovery of Immune-Tumour Interactions. *Molec. Syst. Design Eng.* 4:747-60, 2019. DOI: 10.1039/c9me00036d.



### Further reading (2)

#### A review of cell-based modeling (in cancer):

J. Metzcar, Y. Wang, R. Heiland, and P. Macklin. A review of cell-based computational modeling in cancer biology. *JCO Clinical Cancer Informatics* 3:1-13, 2019 (invited review). DOI: 10.1200/CCI.18.00069.

#### Progress on multicellular systems biology:

P. Macklin, H.B. Frieboes, J.L. Sparks, A. Ghaffarizadeh, S.H. Friedman, E.F. Juarez, E. Jockheere, and S.M. Mumenthaler. "Progress Towards Computational 3-D Multicellular Systems Biology". In: . Rejniak (ed.), *Systems Biology of Tumor Microenvironment*, chap. 12, pp. 225-46, Springer, 2016. ISBN: 978-3-319-42021-9. (invited author: P. Macklin). DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-42023-3 12.

#### Challenges for data-driven multicellular systems biology

P. Macklin. Key challenges facing data-driven multicellular systems biology. *GigaScience* 8(10):giz127, 2019. DOI: 10.1093/gigascience/giz127

#### COVID-19 community preprint

Y. Wang et al., Rapid community-driven development of a SARS-CoV-2 tissue simulator. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.02.019075 (2020). DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.02.019075

### Some links

- PhysiCell project & downloads: <a href="http://PhysiCell.org">http://PhysiCell.org</a>
- Twitter updates: <a href="https://twitter.com/PhysiCell">https://twitter.com/PhysiCell</a>
- Tutorials: <a href="http://www.mathcancer.org/blog/physicell-tutorials/">http://www.mathcancer.org/blog/physicell-tutorials/</a>
- Tools (in progress): <a href="https://github.com/PhysiCell-Tools">https://github.com/PhysiCell-Tools</a>
- Training (in progress): <a href="https://github.com/PhysiCell-Training">https://github.com/PhysiCell-Training</a>
- Wiki (in progress): <a href="http://PhysiCell.org/wiki">http://PhysiCell.org/wiki</a>