
6.004 Tutorial Problems L3 – Procedures and Stacks

Symbolic name	Registers	Description	Saver
a0 to a7	x10 to x17	Function arguments	Caller
a0 and a1	x10 and x11	Function return values	Caller
ra	x1	Return address	Caller
t0 to t6	x5-7, x28-31	Temporaries	Caller
s0 to s11	x8-9, x18-27	Saved registers	Callee
sp	x2	Stack pointer	Callee
gp	x3	Global pointer	
tp	x4	Thread pointer	

RISC-V Calling Conventions:

- Caller places arguments in registers a0-a7
- Caller transfers control to callee using jal (jump-and-link) to capture the return address in register ra
 - o jal ra, label: $R[ra] \le pc + 4$; $pc \le label$
 - o jal label
- Callee runs, and places results in registers a0 and a1
- Callee transfers control to caller using jr (jump-register) instruction
 - \circ ret: pc \leq R[ra]
 - o ir ra
 - o jalr x0, 0(ra)



Push register xi onto stack addi sp, sp, -4 sw xi, 0(sp)

Pop value at top of stack into register xi lw xi, 0(sp) addi sp, sp, 4

Assume 0(sp) holds valid data.

Stack discipline: can put anything on the stack, but leave stack the way you found it

- Always save **s** registers before using them
- Save **a** and **t** registers if you will need their value after procedure call returns.
- Always save **ra** if making nested procedure calls.

Problem 1.

For the following C functions, does the corresponding RISC-V assembly obey the RISC-V calling conventions? If not, rewrite the function so that it does obey the calling conventions.

```
(A)
        int function A(int a, int b) {
          some other function();
          return a + b;
        }
        function A:
          addi sp, sp, -8
          sw a0, 8(sp)
          sw a1, 4(sp)
          sw ra, 0(sp)
          jal some other function
          lw a0, 8(sp)
          lw a1, 4(sp)
           add a0, a0, a1
          lw ra, 0(sp)
           addi sp, sp, 8
          ret
```

yes ... no

```
(B)
         int function B(int a, int b) {
           int i = foo((a + b) \land (a - b));
           ret (i + 1) ^ i;
         }
         function B:
           addi sp, sp, -4
           sw ra, 0(sp)
           add t0, a0, a1
           sub a0, a0, a1
           xor a0, t0, a0
           jal foo
           addi t0, a0, 1
           xor a0, t0, a0
           lw ra, 0(sp)
           addi sp, sp, 4
            ret
```

yes ... no

```
(C)
        int function_C(int x) {
           foo(1, x);
           bar(2, x);
           baz(3, x);
           return 0;
        function C:
           addi sp, sp, -4
           sw ra, 0(sp)
           mv a1, a0
           li a0, 1
           jal foo
           li a0, 2
           jal bar
           li a0, 3
           jal baz
           li a0, 0
           lw ra, 0(sp)
           addi sp, sp, 4
           ret
                                                                                              yes ... no
(D)
        int function_D(int x, int y) {
           int i = foo(1, 2);
           return i + x + y;
        }
        function D:
           addi sp, sp, -4
           sw ra, 0(sp)
           mv s0, a0
           mv s1, a1
           li a0, 1
           li a1, 2
           jal foo
           add a0, a0, s0
           add a0, a0, s1
           lw ra, 0(sp)
           addi sp, sp, 4
           ret
```

yes ... no

Problem 2.

Write assembly program that computes square of the sum of two numbers (i.e. sumSquare(x,y) = $(x + y)^2$) and follows RISC-V calling convention. Note that in your assembly code you have to call assembly procedures for **mult** and **sum**. They are not provided to you, but they are fully functional and obey the calling convention.

```
C code for square of the sum of two numbers
unsigned int squareSum(unsigned int x, unsigned int y) {
    return mult(sum(x,y), sum(x,y));
}
```

Problem 3.

Our RISC-V processor does not have a multiply instruction, so we have to do multiplications in software. The C code below shows a recursive implementation of multiplication by repeated addition of unsigned integers (in C, unsigned int denotes an unsigned integer). Ben Bitdiddle has written and hand-compiled this function into the assembly code given below, but the code is not behaving as expected. Find the bugs in Ben's assembly code and write a correct version.

C code for unsigned multiplication **Buggy** assembly code unsigned int mul(unsigned int x, mul: unsigned int y) { addi sp, sp, -8 **if** (x == 0) { sw s0, 0(sp) sw ra, 4(sp)return 0; } else { begz a0, mul done andi s0, a0, 1 // lowbit in s0 unsigned int lowbit = x & 1; unsigned int p = lowbit? y : 0; mv t0, zero // p in t0 return $p + (mul(x \gg 1, y) \ll 1);$ beqz s0, lowbit_zero } mv t0, a0 lowbit zero: slli a0, a0, 1 jal mul srli a0, a0, 1 add a0, t0, a0 lw s0, 4(sp) $lw ra, \theta(sp)$ addi sp, sp, 8 mul done: ret