## Question 1

(a)

Set  $\mathbf{y} = (y_{11}, ..., y_{1T}, y_{21}, ..., y_{2T}, ..., y_{N1}, ..., y_{NT})', \; \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_{11}, ..., \varepsilon_{1T}, \varepsilon_{21}, ..., \varepsilon_{2T}, ..., \varepsilon_{N1}, ..., \varepsilon_{NT})' \text{ and } \mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_{11}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{1T}, \mathbf{x}_{21}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{2T}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{N1}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{NT}]', \text{ where } \mathbf{x}_{it} = (x_{it,1}, ..., x_{it,k})'.$ 

The linear regression model is given as

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + x'_{it}\beta + \varepsilon_{it}, i = 1, ..., N; t = 1, ..., T,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_k)'$ .

Then, let  $\mathbf{g} = (\alpha_{11}, ..., \alpha_{1T}, \alpha_{21}, ..., \alpha_{2T}, ..., \alpha_{N1}, ..., \alpha_{NT})'$ , where  $\alpha_{it} = \alpha_i$  for t = 1, ..., T, the model can be expressed in matrix vector form as

$$y = g + X\beta + \varepsilon$$
.

It follows that the vector g can be further expressed as

$$g = D\alpha$$
,

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)'$  and

$$oldsymbol{D} = [oldsymbol{d}_1,..,oldsymbol{d}_N] = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{1}_T & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{1}_T & \cdots & oldsymbol{0} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ oldsymbol{0} & oldsymbol{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{1}_T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the matrix form of the model can be expressed as

$$y = D\alpha + X\beta + \varepsilon.$$

(b)

Suppose that X has full column rank. By the Frisch-Waugh-Lovell Theorem, the OLS estimate of coefficient  $\beta$  of the model in (a) is

$$\boldsymbol{b} = (\boldsymbol{X'}\boldsymbol{R_d}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X'}\boldsymbol{R_d}\boldsymbol{y},$$

where  $R_d = I_{NT} - D(D'D)^{-1}D'$ .

Since the selection matrix is

$$m{D} = egin{bmatrix} m{1}_T & m{0} & \cdots & m{0} \ m{0} & m{1}_T & \cdots & m{0} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ m{0} & m{0} & \cdots & m{1}_T \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\boldsymbol{D'D} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_T \end{bmatrix}^{\prime} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & T \end{bmatrix} = T\boldsymbol{I}_N.$$

It follows that the  $NT \times NT$  projection matrix is

$$D(D'D)^{-1}D' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_T \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}I_N \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_T \end{bmatrix}' = T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} J_T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & J_T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & J_T \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $J_T$  is a  $T \times T$  unit matrix.

Hence, by applying the residual operator  $R_d$  on X, we have

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{R_d} oldsymbol{X} = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{I}_{NT} - T^{-1} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{J}_T & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & oldsymbol{J}_T & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & oldsymbol{J}_T \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{X} = oldsymbol{X} - egin{bmatrix} ar{oldsymbol{X}}_1 \ ar{oldsymbol{X}}_2 \ dots \ ar{oldsymbol{X}}_N \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_i = [T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{x}_{it}, T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{x}_{it}, ..., T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{x}_{it}]'$  is a  $T \times K$  matrix.

Similarly, by applying the residual operator  $R_d$  on y, we have

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{R_d} oldsymbol{y} = \left(oldsymbol{I}_{NT} - T^{-1} egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{J}_T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & oldsymbol{J}_T & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & oldsymbol{J}_T \end{bmatrix} 
ight) oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{y} - egin{bmatrix} ar{oldsymbol{y}}_1 \ ar{oldsymbol{y}}_2 \ dots \ ar{oldsymbol{y}}_N \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_i = (T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}, T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}, ..., T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it})'$  is a length T vector.

Because  $R_d$  is a idempotent matrix, which means  $R_d = R_d' = R_d' R_d = R_d R_d'$ , the regression coefficient b can be expressed as

$$b = (X'R_dR_dX)^{-1}X'R_dR_dy,$$

which is the solution by regressing  $\{y_{it} - \bar{y}_i\}$  on  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_{it} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i\}$ , where  $\bar{y}_i = T^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^T y_{it}$  and  $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_i = T^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^T \boldsymbol{x}_{it}$ .

(c)

Suppose that  $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t | \boldsymbol{X}_t] = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t | \boldsymbol{X}_t] = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_N$  where  $\boldsymbol{X}_t = (\boldsymbol{x}_{1t}, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_{Nt})'$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t = (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1t}, ..., \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{Nt})'$ . If the pairs  $(\boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t)$ , t = 1, ..., T, are independently distributed, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1|\boldsymbol{X}] & \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2|\boldsymbol{X}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T|\boldsymbol{X}] \\ \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1|\boldsymbol{X}] & \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2|\boldsymbol{X}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T|\boldsymbol{X}] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1|\boldsymbol{X}] & \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2|\boldsymbol{X}] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T|\boldsymbol{X}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2\boldsymbol{I}_N & \boldsymbol{0} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \sigma^2\boldsymbol{I}_N & \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{0} & \cdots & \sigma^2\boldsymbol{I}_N \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2\boldsymbol{I}_{NT},$$

because for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  are independent given X,  $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i'\varepsilon_j|X] = 0$ .

(d)

Under the assumptions stated in (a), (b) and (c), there are N regressors in **alpha** and K regressors in X. The number of observations is NT. Therefore, using the Thereon 1.4 from Lecture note I, we have the unbiased OLS estimator of  $\sigma^2$  denoted by  $s^2$ 

$$s^2 = \frac{e'e}{NT - N - K},$$

where e = y - Da - Xb.

By adding  $\boldsymbol{D}'$  on both side of the regression equation, we have

$$D'y = D'D\alpha + D'X\beta + D'\varepsilon$$

## Question 2

(a)

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \binom{k}{r_i} p_d^{r_i} (1 - p_d)^{k - r_i}$$

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p^{\mathbb{1}(r_i=0)} (1-p)^{\mathbb{1}(r_i>0)}$$