

Assignment 1

Weihaio Li

2020/8/29

1

(a)

$X_T = \bar{Y}_T - \mu_0$ is of order $T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in probability. $T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a deterministic sequence of T that converges to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof:

By definition of $O_p(\cdot)$,

if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant M_ε , such that:

$$\sup_T Pr(|\frac{X_T}{R_T}| > M_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

then we can write:

$$X_T = O_p(R_T)$$

We know

$$\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0) = O_p(1)$$

\Rightarrow

$$\sup_T Pr(|\frac{\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{1}| > M_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

$$\sup_T Pr(|\frac{(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}}| > M_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

$$\sup_T Pr(|\frac{(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{T^{-\frac{1}{2}}}| > M_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

$$(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0) = X_T = O_p(T^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

(b)

$X_T = \bar{Y}_T - \mu_0$ is of smaller order in probability than $T^{-\frac{1}{4}}$

Proof:

By definition of $o_p(\cdot)$,

if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Pr(|\frac{X_T}{R_T} - 0| > \varepsilon) = 0$$

then we can write:

$$X_T = o_p(R_T)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0}{T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} &= T^{\frac{1}{4}}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0) \\ &= T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0) \end{aligned}$$

Given $\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0) = O_p(1)$ and $T^{-\frac{1}{4}} = o_p(1)$. Then using the rules of engagement $O_p(1)o_p(1) = o_p(1)$, $T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0) = o_p(1)$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Pr(|\frac{T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{1} - 0| > \varepsilon) &= 0 \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Pr(|\frac{(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} - 0| > \varepsilon) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$X_T = \bar{Y}_T - \mu_0 = o_p(T^{-\frac{1}{4}})$$

$X_T = \bar{Y}_T - \mu_0$ is of smaller order in probability than $T^{-\frac{1}{4}}$

(c)

$X_T = \bar{Y}_T - \mu_0$ is of smaller order in probability than $T^{-\frac{1}{4}}$

informal explanation:

Using $\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0 \overset{approx}{\sim} N(0, \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T})$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0}{T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} &\approx T^{\frac{1}{4}} \times N(0, \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T}) \\ &= N(0, T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_0^2) \end{aligned}$$

since $T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, $N(0, T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_0^2)$ will become a degenerate distribution concentrate onto 0. In other words, $\frac{\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0}{T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{X_T}{T^{-\frac{1}{4}}} = o_p(1)$ and X_T is of smaller order in probability than $T^{-\frac{1}{4}}$.

(d)

Given the conditions provided in the question 1 and by the central limit theorem,

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{\sigma_0} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Now $\hat{\sigma}$ is any consistent estimator of $\hat{\sigma}_0$, which means

$$\hat{\sigma}_0 \xrightarrow{P} \hat{\sigma}$$

By the continuous mapping theorem, if $X_T \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $C_T \xrightarrow{P} C$, then $X_T/C_T \xrightarrow{d} X/C$. Thus,

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_0)}{\hat{\sigma}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

2

(a)

$$\ln L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{T} \ln f(\mathbf{Y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

independence

$$= \frac{1}{T} \ln \prod_{t=1}^T f(y_t|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

identically distributed

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{T} \ln \prod_{t=1}^T (2\pi)^{-1/2} (\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_t - \mu)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \ln[(2\pi)^{-T/2} (\sigma^2)^{-T/2} \prod_{t=1}^T \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_t - \mu)^2\right\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \ln[(2\pi)^{-T/2} (\sigma^2)^{-T/2} \exp\left\{\sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_t - \mu)^2\right\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \ln[(2\pi)^{-T/2} (\sigma^2)^{-T/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2\right\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} [\ln((2\pi)^{-T/2}) + \ln((\sigma^2)^{-T/2}) + \ln(\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2\right\})] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} [\ln((2\pi)^{-T/2}) + \ln((\sigma^2)^{-T/2}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{-T}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{-T}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} G_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \ln f(y_t | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

identically distributed

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}(\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)^2\})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}) + \ln((\sigma^2)^{-1/2}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{\frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}) + \ln((\sigma^2)^{-1/2}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)^2}{\partial \mu}}{\frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}) + \ln((\sigma^2)^{-1/2}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)^2}{\partial \sigma}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} + (y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-3} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} H_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y_t | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y_t | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mu^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y_t | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y_t | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \sigma \partial \mu} & \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(y_t | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \sigma^2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

using the result from part b

$$= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} \\ -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} & \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 3(y_t - \mu)^2\sigma^{-4} \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)

To derive the MLE, we set the first order derivative of $\ln L_T(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ to $\mathbf{0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)}{(y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-3}} \right] = \mathbf{0} \\
&\quad \left[\frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{\sigma} + (y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-3}} \right] = \mathbf{0} \\
\hat{\mu}_{MLE} &= \bar{y} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t \\
\hat{\sigma}_{MLE} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE} &= (\hat{\mu}_{MLE}, \hat{\sigma}_{MLE})'
\end{aligned}$$

We define a non-zero column vector \mathbf{z} with real entries a and b

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}' H_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{z} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} \\ -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} & \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 3(y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + b \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} & a \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} + b \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 3(y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \\
&= a^2 \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + ab \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} + ab \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} + b^2 \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 3(y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-4} \\
&= a^2 \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + 2ab \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} + b^2 \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 3(y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-4} \\
&= -\frac{a^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{b^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{b^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T 3(y_t - \mu)^2 \sigma^{-4} - \frac{2ab}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T 2(y_t - \mu)\sigma^{-3} \\
&= \frac{b^2 - a^2}{\sigma^2} - 3 \frac{b^2}{T} \sigma^{-4} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)^2 - \frac{4ab}{T} \sigma^{-3} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}' H_T(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE}) \mathbf{z} &= \frac{b^2 - a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2} - 3 \frac{b^2}{T} \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^{-4} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\mu}_{MLE})^2 - \frac{4ab}{T} \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^{-3} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\mu}_{MLE}) \\
&= \frac{b^2 - a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2} - 3 \frac{b^2}{T} \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^{-4} T \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 - 0 \\
&= \frac{-2b^2 - a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-2b^2 - a^2 < 0$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 > 0$,

$$\frac{-2b^2 - a^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2} < 0$$

$H_T(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE})$ is a negative definite matrix. The second-order condition for a maximum holds.

(e)

Using the equation in part a, and replace σ^2 with $\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}$

$$\ln L_T(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = -\frac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}\right) - \frac{1}{2\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}}\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T(y_t - \mu)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_T(\boldsymbol{\eta}) &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \ln f(y_t|\boldsymbol{\eta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

identically distributed

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}(\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}})^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}}(y_t - \mu)^2\})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}) + \ln((\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}})^{-1/2}) - \frac{1}{2\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}}(y_t - \mu)^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}) + \ln((\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}})^{-1/2}) - \frac{1}{2\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}}(y_t - \mu)^2}{\frac{\partial \ln((2\pi)^{-1/2}) + \ln((\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}})^{-1/2}) - \frac{1}{2\frac{1}{\sigma^{-2}}}(y_t - \mu)^2}{\partial \sigma^{-2}}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2}(y_t - \mu)}{\frac{1}{2\sigma^{-2}} - \frac{1}{2}(y_t - \mu)^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$H_T(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & y_t - \mu \\ y_t - \mu & -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{-2})^{-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Set $G_T(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \mathbf{0}$,

$$\hat{\mu}_{MLE} = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T y_t$$

$$\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE} = \left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2 \right]^{-1}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{MLE} = (\hat{\mu}_{MLE}, \widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE})'$$

We define a non-zero column vector \mathbf{z} with real entries a and b

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}' H_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{z} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & y_t - \mu \\ y_t - \mu & -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{-2})^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{a^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + 2 \frac{ab}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu) + \frac{b^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{-2})^2 \\
&= -\frac{a^2}{\sigma^2} + 2 \frac{ab}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \mu) - \frac{b^2}{2}(\sigma^{-2})^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z}' H_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{MLE}) \mathbf{z} &= -a^2 \widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE} + 2 \frac{ab}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\mu}_{MLE}) - \frac{b^2}{2} (\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE})^2 \\
&= -a^2 \widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE} - \frac{b^2}{2} (\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE})^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE} > 0$, $(\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE})^2 > 0$, $a^2 > 0$ and $\frac{b^2}{2} > 0$, so

$$-a^2 \widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE} - \frac{b^2}{2} (\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE})^2 < 0$$

$H_T(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{MLE})$ is a negative definite matrix. The second-order condition for a maximum holds. The second-order condition for a maximum holds.

Meanwhile,

$$\widehat{\sigma^{-2}}_{MLE} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2} \right)^{-2} = (\hat{\sigma}_{MLE})^{-2} = C_\sigma(\hat{\sigma}_{MLE})$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{MLE} = \hat{\mu}_{MLE} = C_\mu(\hat{\mu}_{MLE})$$

.

We also know

$$C_\sigma(\sigma_0) = \sigma_0^{-2} \text{ and } C_\mu(\mu_0) = \mu_0$$

\implies The functions apply to the true parameters are the same as the functions we obtain from the MLE. Thus,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{MLE} = \mathbf{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE})$$

if $\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 = \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE}$ is the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ and $\mathbf{C}((x_0, x_1)') = (x_0, x_1^{-2})'$.

3

(a)

In the derivation of the limiting distribution of MLE, we first using the Taylor's theorem to expand the average of derivatives $G_T(\theta)$.

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta_0) + (\theta - \theta_0) \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0) \right] + \frac{1}{2!} (\theta - \theta_0)^2 \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*) \right]$$

The reason we use this tool is because we want the term $\theta - \theta_0$, which can then be evaluate at $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$. Then we rearrange the terms in the equation

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0) = \sqrt{T}[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta_0)] / \{ -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0) - \frac{1}{2!}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0)[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*)] \}$$

From this equation, we can see that if we can prove that $\frac{1}{2!}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0)[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*)]$ is negligible, then we will have a nice distribution form for $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0)$, given $\sqrt{T}[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta_0)] / \{ -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0) \}$ will become a normal distribution asymptotically.

To prove $\frac{1}{2!}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0)[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*)]$ is negligible we need to use the **preliminary result of consistency**.

By the result of consistency,

$$(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0) = o_p(1)$$

By the regularity condition 3, $q_t(\theta^*) < |q_t(\theta^*)|$ will be bounded by some values B_t . And by the WLLN, the average of B_t converges to $E[B]$, which means the average of $q_t(\theta^*)$ will be always smaller than a value $E[B]$. Therefore, by the definition of $O_p(\cdot)$, $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*) = O_p(1)$.

Finally, by the rules of engagement, $kO_p(1)o_p(1) = o_p(1)$

$$\frac{1}{2!}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0)[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*)] = o_p(1)$$

Now,

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0) = \sqrt{T}[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta_0)] / \{ -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0) - o_p(1) \}$$

Given in the i.i.d case, the information equality holds, which means

$$I(\theta_0) = -H(\theta_0) = J(\theta_0)$$

By the WLLN, $-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0)$ is a consistent estimator of $-H(\theta_0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0) &= \sqrt{T}[\frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{I(\theta_0)}}] / \{ \frac{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0) - o_p(1)}{\sqrt{I(\theta_0)}} \} \\ \{ \frac{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(\theta_0) - o_p(1)}{\sqrt{I(\theta_0)}} \} &\xrightarrow{p} \sqrt{I(\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

By the CLT and Lemma 2 that the expectation of the gradient at the true value is equal to 0

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{I(\theta_0)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}$$

By the CMT,

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0))$$

(b)

There are three regularity conditions that are not required in proof of consistency.

R1: The true θ_0 is at some interior point (i.e. not on the boundary) of Θ

This one is required because if the θ_0 is on the boundary of the parameter space, it is possible that the $E[g(\theta_0)]$ is not equal to 0. In this situation, our derivation and proof will become much more complicated.

R3:

- (a) $L(\theta|\mathbf{y})$ is a thrice-differentiable continuous function of θ
- (b) all derivatives are bounded, when evaluated at any of θ close to θ_0

We use (a) to define the first, second and third-order derivative of the log likelihood function. The third-order derivative is only used in the Taylor's theorem application in the proof of limiting normality.

We use (b) to bound the value of the third-order derivative to prove $\frac{1}{2!}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta_0)[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t(\theta^*)]$ is negligible which is a step of the proof of limiting normality.

R5: The support of Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_T is independent of θ .

We use this condition to put the differentiation inside the integral. More particular, we use it in the proof of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.

4

(a)

With reference to Q2, which is a i.i.d case, where

$$I(\theta_0) = -H(\theta_0) = J(\theta_0)$$

holds

$$\begin{aligned} I^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) &= (-H(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0))^{-1} \\ &= (-E[h(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)])^{-1} \\ &= \left(-E \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} & -2(y - \mu_0)\sigma_0^{-3} \\ -2(y - \mu_0)\sigma_0^{-3} & \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - 3(y - \mu_0)^2\sigma_0^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

With reference to Q2e, we know $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = (\mu_0, \sigma_0)'$

We define $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = (\mu_0, \sigma_0^{-2})'$, where $\mathbf{C}((x_0, x_1)') = (x_0, x_1^{-2})'$

$\mathbf{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE})$ is the MLE of $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, in other words

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ln f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\mathbf{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE}) = \widehat{\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{MLE} = \underset{\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\Theta})}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ln f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

We have already shown in Q2e that the MLE of $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ is $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{MLE}$, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE})$.

(c)

Theorem 9a is the Delta method

$$\sqrt{T}(\mathbf{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE}) - \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, D(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)I^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})D(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)')$$

where

$$D(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'}$$

We know

$$D(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\sigma^{-3} \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$\sqrt{T}(\mathbf{C}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{MLE}) - \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma_0^{-4} \end{bmatrix})$$