

Optimal policy

If

$$v_{\pi_1}(s) \geq v_{\pi_2}(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathcal{S}$$

then π_1 is "better" than π_2 .

Definition:

A policy π^* is optimal if $v_{\pi^*}(s) \geq v_{\pi}(s)$ for all s and for any other policy π .

Bellman optimality equation (BOE)

Bellman optimality equation (elementwise form):

$$\begin{aligned} v(s) &= \max_{\pi} \sum_a \pi(a|s) \left[\sum_r p(r|s, a) r + \gamma \sum_{s'} v(s') p(s'|s, a) \right] \\ &= \max_{\pi} \sum_a \pi(a|s) q(s, a) \end{aligned}$$

Bellman optimality equation (matrix-vector form):

$$v = \max_{\pi} (r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi} v)$$

The form of v consists of elements of the maximum function, represented as, i.e. $[\max, \dots, \max]$

Maximization on the right-hand side of BOE

Consider:

$$v(s) = \max_{\pi} \sum_a \pi(a|s) q(s, a)$$

Fix $q(s, a)$ first, because of $\sum_a \pi(a|s) = 1$, we have:

$$\max_{\pi} \sum_a \pi(a|s) q(s, a) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} q(s, a)$$

where the optimality is achieved when:

$$\pi(a|s) = \begin{cases} 1 & a = a^* \\ 0 & a \neq a^* \end{cases}$$

IMO: The process is just about finding the best action (i.e. maximize $q(s, a)$, a simple greedy policy) to take.

Solve the Bellman optimality equation

Consider BOE of matrix-vector form. Let:

$$f(v) := \max_{\pi} (r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi} v)$$

Then BOE becomes:

$$v = f(v)$$

Preliminaries: Contraction mapping theorem

Consider a function $f(x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. A point x^* is called a **fixed point** if

$$f(x^*) = x^*$$

f is called **Contraction mapping** (or contractive function) if there exists $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| \leq \gamma \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Contraction mapping theorem:

Consider a contraction mapping f , then

- There exists a unique vector x^* satisfying $x^* = f(x^*)$.
- x^* can be obtained by the method of successive approximation, starting from any arbitrary initial vector in \mathbb{R}^d .
i.e. Consider the process: $x_{k+1} = f(x_k)$. Then, $x_k \rightarrow x^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for any initial x_0 .

Proof:

- Prove that sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is convergent.

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| &\leq \gamma \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \gamma^k \|x_1 - x_0\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\forall m > n$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m - x_n\| &= \|x_m - x_{m-1} + \dots + x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_m - x_{m-1}\| + \dots + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &= \gamma^n (\gamma^{m-1-n} + \dots + 1) \|x_1 - x_0\| \\ &\leq \gamma^n (1 + \dots + \gamma^{m-1-n} + \gamma^{m-n} + \dots) \|x_1 - x_0\| \\ &= \frac{\gamma^n}{\gamma - 1} \|x_1 - x_0\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sequence is Cauchy. Hence converges to a limit point $x^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$.

- Now show that $x^* = f(x^*)$.

Since $\|f(x_k) - x_k\| \leq \gamma^k \|x_1 - x_0\|$, we have $x^* = f(x^*)$ at the limit.

- Show that fixed point is unique.

Suppose that x^*, y^* are fixed points. Then,

$$\|x^* - y^*\| = \|f(x^*) - f(y^*)\| \leq \rho \|x^* - y^*\|$$

Since $\rho < 1$, $x^* = y^*$ holds.

Contraction property of the right-hand side of the BOE

Theorem:

$f(v) = \max_{\pi}(r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi}v)$ is a **contraction mapping**. In particular, $\forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$, it holds that

$$\|f(v_1) - f(v_2)\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\infty}$$

$\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is the maximum norm, which is the maximum absolute value of the elements of a vector.

Proof:

The following vector operations are all elementwise. Like $\leq, |\cdot|$.

Consider any two vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$, and $\pi_1^* = \arg \max_{\pi}(r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi}v_1)$, $\pi_2^* = \arg \max_{\pi}(r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi}v_2)$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_1) - f(v_2) &= (r_{\pi_1^*} + \gamma P_{\pi_1^*}v_1) - (r_{\pi_2^*} + \gamma P_{\pi_1^*}v_2) \\ &\leq (r_{\pi_1^*} + \gamma P_{\pi_1^*}v_1) - (r_{\pi_2^*} + \gamma P_{\pi_1^*}v_2) \\ &= \gamma P_{\pi_1^*}(v_1 - v_2) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$f(v_2) - f(v_1) \leq \gamma P_{\pi_2^*}(v_2 - v_1)$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma P_{\pi_2^*}(v_1 - v_2) \leq f(v_1) - f(v_2) \leq \gamma P_{\pi_1^*}(v_1 - v_2)$$

Define

$$z = \max\{\gamma |P_{\pi_2^*}(v_1 - v_2)|, \gamma |P_{\pi_1^*}(v_1 - v_2)|\}$$

implies,

$$|f(v_1) - f(v_2)| \leq z$$

then follows that,

$$\|f(v_1) - f(v_2)\|_{\infty} \leq \|z\|_{\infty}$$

And suppose z_i is the i th entry of z , and p_i^T, q_i^T are i th row of $P_{\pi_1^*}, P_{\pi_2^*}$, then

$$z_i = \max\{\gamma |p_i^T(v_1 - v_2)|, \gamma |q_i^T(v_1 - v_2)|\}$$

Since $|p_i^T(v_1 - v_2)| \leq \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\infty}$, also $|q_i^T(v_1 - v_2)| \leq \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\infty}$. Thus,

$$\|f(v_1) - f(v_2)\|_{\infty} \leq \|z\|_{\infty} = \max_i |z_i| \leq \gamma \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\infty}$$

Policy optimality

Suppose v^* is the solution of Bellman optimality equation. Thus

$$v^* = \max_{\pi} (r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi} v^*)$$

Holds.

Suppose

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} (r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi} v^*)$$

Then

$$v^* = r_{\pi^*} + \gamma P_{\pi^*} v^*$$

Theorem (Optimality of v^* and π^*):

$\forall \pi$, it holds that

$$v^* = v_{\pi^*} \geq v_{\pi}$$

\geq is elementwise comparison.

Proof:

We have

$$v^* - v_{\pi} \geq (r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi^*} v^*) - (r_{\pi} + \gamma P_{\pi} v) = \gamma P_{\pi} (v^* - v_{\pi})$$

And

$$\gamma P_{\pi} (v^* - v_{\pi}) \geq \dots \geq (\gamma P_{\pi})^n (v^* - v_{\pi})$$

Therefore,

$$v^* - v_{\pi} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\gamma P_{\pi})^n (v^* - v_{\pi}) = 0$$

Factors that influence optimal policies

According to BOE, optimal state value and optimal policy are determined by:

- immediate reward r
- discount rate: γ
- system model: $p(s'|s, a), p(r|s, a)$

Impact of the discount rate

- Small γ may cause short-sighted, Large γ may cause long-sightedness.
- the states close to the target have greater state values, whereas those far away have lower values.
- ...

Impact of the reward values

- increase the punishment to strictly prohibit the agent from entering any forbidden area
- scale all the rewards or add the same value to all the rewards, the optimal policy remains the same.
- ...

Theorem (Optimal policy invariance):

Consider a Markov decision process with v^* as the optimal state value. If every reward r is changed to $\alpha r + \beta$ (affine transformation, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$), then corresponding optimal state value v' satisfies:

$$v' = \alpha v^* + \frac{\beta}{1 - \gamma} \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{1} = [1, \dots, 1]^T$$

Proof:

I believe the proof in the original book is overly complex, so I have devised an alternative proof. If there are any flaws in my proof, please feel free to point them out.

Consider one element $v(s)$ of vector v^* , since $v(s)$ is the expectation of discounted returns. And we consider the influence of one return

$$G = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i r_i$$

After reward r is changed to $\alpha r + \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i (\alpha r_i + \beta) \\ &= \alpha G + \frac{\beta}{1 - \gamma} \end{aligned}$$

According to property of expectation, the corresponding $v'(s)$ is

$$v'(s) = \alpha v(s) + \frac{\beta}{1 - \gamma}$$