Basic idea

Now, policies can be represented by parameterized functions:

$$\pi(a|s,\theta)$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a parameter vector. It can also be written as $\pi(a, s, \theta)$, $\pi_{\theta}(a|s)$, or $\pi_{\theta}(a, s)$.

- The function can be, for example, a neural network:
 - \blacksquare Input: s
 - Output: probability to take each action
 - Parameter: θ
- Advantage: high efficiency in terms of storage and generalization.

A policy π is optimal if it can **maximize certain scalar metrics**. We need to calculate value of $\pi(a|s,\theta)$ by the function structure and the parameter. π can only be updated by changing the θ .

Basic idea is:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta_t)$$

Questions:

- How to design metrics?
- How to calculate the gradient of the metrics?
- · ..

Metrics to define optimal policies

The average value

The metric is defined as

$$ar{v}_{\pi} = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d(s) v_{\pi}(s)$$

d(s) is a probability distribution. Then

$$ar{v}_\pi = \mathbb{E}[v_\pi(S)]$$

where $S \sim d$. And vector-product form is

$$ar{v}_{\pi} = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d(s) v_{\pi}(s) = d^T v_{\pi}$$

To select distribution d, there are two cases:

• d is independent of the π . In this case, we denote d as d_0 and \bar{v}_{π} as \bar{v}_{π}^0 . To select d_0 :

- One trivial way is $d_0(s) = \frac{1}{|S|}$.
- Only care about a specific state s_0 , then $d_0(s_0)=1,\ d_0(s\neq s_0)=0.$
- d depends on π . A common way is to select d as d_{π} , which is the **stationary distribution** under π .

The average reward

The second metric is average one-step reward or simply average reward.

$$ar{r}_{\pi} = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d_{\pi}(s) r_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}[r_{\pi}(S)]$$

where $S \sim d_{\pi}$. Here

$$r_{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) r(s,a)$$

And

$$r(s,a) = \mathbb{E}[R|s,a] = \sum_r rp(r|s,a)$$

Remarks

- All these metrics are functions of π .
- The metrics can be defined in either the discounted or the undiscounted case.
- The two metrics are equivalent to each other. When $\gamma < 1$, It holds that

$$ar{r}_{\pi} = (1 - \gamma) ar{v}_{\pi}$$

Gradients of the metrics

Summary of the results about the gradients:

$$abla_{ heta}J(heta) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \eta(s) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}}
abla_{ heta}\pi(a|s, heta)q_{\pi}(s,a)$$

where

- $J(\theta)$ can be \bar{v}_{π} , \bar{r}_{π} or \bar{v}_{π}^{0} .
- W=# may denote strict equality, approximation, or proportional to.
- η is a distribution or weight of the states.

A compact and useful form:

$$egin{aligned}
abla_{ heta} J(heta) &= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \eta(s) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}}
abla_{ heta} \pi(a|s, heta) q_{\pi}(s, a) \ &= \mathbb{E}ig[
abla_{ heta} \ln \pi(A|S, heta) q_{\pi}(S, A)ig] \end{aligned}$$

where $S \sim \eta$ and $A \sim \pi(A|S, \theta)$. Then we can use samples to approximate the gradient:

$$abla_{ heta}J(heta)pprox
abla_{ heta}\ln\pi(A|S, heta)q_{\pi}(S,A)$$

To prove:

$$abla_{ heta} J(heta) = \mathbb{E}ig[
abla_{ heta} \ln \pi(A|S, heta) q_{\pi}(S,A)ig]$$

We have

$$abla_{ heta} \ln \pi(a|s, heta) = rac{
abla_{ heta} \pi(a|s, heta)}{\pi(a|s, heta)}$$

So

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\theta} J &= \sum_{s} d(s) \sum_{a} \nabla_{\theta} \pi(a|s,\theta) q_{\pi}(s,a) \\ &= \sum_{s} d(s) \sum_{a} \pi(a|s,\theta) \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi(a|s,\theta) q_{\pi}(s,a) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{S \sim d} \left[\sum_{a} \pi(a|S,\theta) \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi(a|S,\theta) q_{\pi}(S,a) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{S \sim d,A \sim \pi} \big[\nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi(A|S,\theta) q_{\pi}(S,A) \big] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \big[\nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi(A|S,\theta) q_{\pi}(S,A) \big] \end{split}$$

Gradient-ascend algorithm

The algorithm is

$$egin{aligned} heta_{t+1} &= heta_t + lpha
abla_{ heta} J(heta) \ &= heta_t + lpha \mathbb{E}igg[
abla_{ heta} \ln \pi(A|S, heta_t) q_{\pi}(S,A) igg] \end{aligned}$$

Replace the gradient by a stochastic one:

$$heta_{t+1} = heta_t + lpha
abla_ heta \ln \pi(a_t|s_t, heta_t) q_\pi(s_t,a_t)$$

And use $q_t(s_t, a_t)$ to approximate $q_{\pi}(s_t, a_t)$.

And the algorithm can be rewritten as

$$egin{aligned} heta_{t+1} &= heta_t + lpha
abla_ heta \ln \pi(a_t|s_t, heta_t) q_t(s_t,a_t) \ &= heta_t + lpha \underbrace{\left(rac{q_t(s_t,a_t)}{\pi(a_t|s_t, heta_t)}
ight)}_{eta_t}
abla_ heta \pi(a_t|s_t, heta_t) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient β_t can well balance exploration and exploitation.

- Exploitation: If $q_t(s_t, a_t)$ is great, the β_t is great.
- Exploration: If $\pi_t(a_t|s_t, \theta_t)$ is great, the β_t is great.

Pseudocode:

$$\label{eq:local_problem} \begin{split} & \text{Initialization: Initial parameter } \theta; \ \gamma \in (0,1); \ \alpha > 0. \\ & \text{Goal: Learn an optimal policy for maximizing } J(\theta). \end{split}$$
 For each episode, do $\begin{aligned} & \text{Generate an episode } \{s_0, a_0, r_1, \dots, s_{T-1}, a_{T-1}, r_T\} \text{ following } \pi(\theta). \\ & \text{For } t = 0, 1, \dots, T-1: \\ & \textit{Value update: } q_t(s_t, a_t) = \sum_{k=t+1}^T \gamma^{k-t-1} r_k \\ & \textit{Policy update: } \theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi(a_t | s_t, \theta) q_t(s_t, a_t) \end{aligned}$