User	Method	Rank	Task 1 metric					Line				Paragraph						
User			H-PQ	PQ	F	P	R	Т	PQ	F	P	R	T	PQ	F	P	R	Т
YunSu Kim	Upstage KR	1	76.85	79.80	91.88	94.73	89.20	86.85	76.40	88.34	91.32	85.56	86.48	74.54	86.15	87.40	84.94	86.52
DeepSE x Upstage	DeepSE hierarchical detection model	2	70.96	75.30	88.49	93.50	83.99	85.10	69.43	82.43	82.65	82.21	84.23	68.51	81.39	81.69	81.10	84.17
zhm	hiertext_submit_0401 curve_199_v2	3								83.32								
Mike Ranzinger	NVTextSpotter	4	68.82	73.69	87.07	95.10	80.29	84.63	67.76	80.42	93.87	70.35	84.25	65.51	78.04	81.82	74.60	83.94
ssm	Ensemble of three task-specific Clova DEER detection	5	68.72	71.54	92.03	93.82	90.31	77.74	69.64	89.04	91.75	86.49	78.21	65.29	83.70	84.17	83.23	78.01
xswl	Global and local instance segmentations for hierarchical text detection	6	68.62	76.16	90.72	93.45	88.16	83.95	68.50	82.22	80.24	84.31	83.31	62.55	75.11	74.00	76.25	83.28
Asaf Gendler	Hierarchical Transformers for Text Detection	7	67.59	70.44	86.09	88.47	83.83	81.82	69.30	85.23	87.83	82.78	81.31	63.46	78.40	77.84	78.97	80.94
JiangQing	SCUT-HUAWEI	8	62.68	70.08	89.58	89.79	89.37	78.23	67.70	86.20	90.46	82.33	78.53	53.14	69.06	74.03	64.72	76.96
Jiawei Wang	DQ-DETR	9	27.81	61.01	77.27	80.64	74.17	78.96	26.96	35.91	26.81	54.39	75.07	18.38	24.72	15.99	54.41	74.36
ZiqianShao	test	10								39.04								
Yichuan Cheng	a	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	53.62	0.01	0.01	0.25	0.01	51.29	0.01	0.02	0.21	0.01	50.89

**Table 1.** Results for Task 1. F/P/R/T/PQ stand for F1-score, Precision, Recall, Tightness, and Panoptic Quality respectively. The submissions are ranked by the H-PQ score. H-PQ can be interpreted as Hierarchical-PQ or Harmonic-PQ. H-PQ is calculated as the harmonic means of the PQ scores of the 3 hierarchies: word, line, and paragraph. It represents the comprehensive ability of a method to detect the text hierarchy in image. We omit the % for all these numbers for simplicity.

User	Method	Bank	Word PQ F P R T					
Osei	Wiethod					R	T	
YunSu Kim	Upstage KR			79.58				
DeepSE x Upstage	DeepSE End-to-End Text Detection and Recognition Model	2	67.46	77.93	88.05	69.89	86.57	
ssm	Ensemble of three task-specific Clova DEER			76.15				
Mike Ranzinger	NVTextSpotter			74.10				
JiangQing	SCUT-HUAWEI	5	58.12	73.41	74.38	72.46	79.17	
kuli.cyd	DBNet++ and SATRN			71.64				
Dang Quang Vinh	keba	7	44.87	54.30	68.37	45.03	82.64	

**Table 2.** Results for Task 2. F/P/R/T/PQ stand for F1-score, Precision, Recall, Tightness, and Panoptic Quality respectively. The submissions are ranked by the F1 score. We omit the % for all these numbers for simplicity.