



openHPI – Confidential Communication in the Internet

# Certificate Standards

**Prof. Dr. Christoph Meinel**

Hasso Plattner Institute  
University of Potsdam, Germany

# Digital Certificates – Introduction

---

- In a **PKI** (Public Key Infrastructure), verifiable bindings between the PKI users and their public keys are established with the help of **digital certificates**
- To be able to work with digital certificates on the various systems, they must be standardized
- **Standards for certificates** are therefore an essential part of PKI standards
  - The **X.509** certificate format has become widely used because of its application in various PKI standards (X.509, PKIX, ISIS-MTT)
  - Other certificate formats are also in use, e.g. with **OpenPGP**

**1988:** First version of the X.509 certificates

■ X.509v1 certificate has seven fields:

- **Version number**
- Certificate **serial number** - unique among all certificates of a CA
- OID (e.g. RSA, DSA, ...) of the **signature procedure** with which the certificate is signed
- **Name of the CA** that signed the certificate
- **Name of the certificate holder** following the X.500 naming convention
- **Public key** of the certificate holder
- **Validity** period of the certificate (start and end date)

**1993:** Second version of the X.509 certificates

■ **Innovation** in this version:

- Additional fields:
  - **unique identification** of the certificate holder (important when names coincide)
  - unique identification of the CA
- In practice without meaning:
  - Most X.509 implementations do not use these fields
  - PKIX and ISIS-MTT recommend to leave fields empty – coincidence of name is otherwise avoided

### Shortcomings with X.509v1 and X.509v2:

- X.500 **naming convention** is too restrictive, e.g. email addresses cannot be used as names
- No conclusions can be drawn to the **intended use** of a public key, e.g. no possibility of distinguishing between keys used for encryption and used for verification of signatures
- No statement about the **Certificate Policy** of the CA possible
- ...

### 1996: X.509v3

X509.v3 specifies a syntax for defining new fields, so-called "**Extensions**":

- An **Extension** contains a text field indicating whether the extension is critical or non-critical
  - software that cannot deal with a **critical** extension of a certificate considers it invalid
  - unknown **non-critical** extensions are simply skipped
- Extension mechanism is very flexible and future-proof, but makes it difficult to read
- ...

To support compatible implementations in 1997 a **standard extension** was defined with additional fields:

- Identification of the **key of the CA**
  - CA may use multiple keys
- Identification of the **certificate holder key**
  - possibly it is certified several times
- Intended use of the key, e.g. encryption or signature
- ...



# X.509 Certificates

## X.509 Certificate Standard

---

- **X.509** is one of the most **important** crypto standards ever
- X.509 certificates have found **widespread use**
- Development of the standard at a very early stage explains the immature nature and the initial problems
- The loosely defined standard leaves scope for interpretation, which is the reason that X.509 implementations are often incompatible



# Certificate Management

---

To manage the use of certificates at **Certificate Management Protocols – CMP** – are available in PKIX standard, using the usual Internet protocols: HTTP, FTP, TCP, or email

## Certificate Management Tasks:

- CA initialization
  - generation and protection of the private key of the trust centers
- Generation of certificates, e.g.
  - for new PKI users
- Publication of certificates and revocation lists:
  - regulates information exchange between CA and certificate server

For several reason there it would be **desired to recovery encryption keys**

- Recovery of private keys, e.g. to decrypt messages
- Key recovery is useful/necessary, e.g. for the authorized subsequent decryption of company data

But key recovery is **very problematic** as misuse is possible

### **Requirement**

- Storage of keys in a highly secure environment
- Precise definition necessary, who/when/how/under which circumstances a key may be restored

**But:** German signature law prohibits trust centers to store keys used for signing...

**Revocation of keys is urgently necessary** if keys are compromised. In hierarchical PKIs this can be easily implemented

**Reasons** for key revocation according to **X.509 standard**:

- Key Compromise
- CA Compromise
- Modification of the content of the certificate
- Exchange against new certificate
- Retirement of the PKI user
- Suspension (or: temporary suspension)