

pset 2 real

tfmollano

February 2022

**1 0.4.7 let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . For each of the following in  $X \times Y$ , determine whether they are functions or not, and determine whether they are surjective, injective, or bijections.**

(a):  $R_1$  is a function. It is injective, verified by checking. (b):  $R_2$  is not a function. Two elements map from  $b \in X$  to two unique elements  $\in$ . (c):  $R_3$  is a function. It is surjective, since for every  $y \in Y$  there exists a  $x \in X$ , such that  $y = f(x)$  (d):  $R_4$  is a function. It is not injective since two  $(a, 1), (c, 1) \in X \times Y$  and  $a \neq c$  and not surjective since there does not exist  $f(x)x \in X, f(x) = 3$

**2 0.4.8: Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a function, and let  $A \subseteq X$ . Prove that  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$  if and only if  $f(A) \subseteq A$**

*Proof.* Let us first prove the first direction. Recall that the inverse image of A for  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is  $f^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in A\}$ . From our hypothesis, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $f(A) \subseteq A$ , we note that by definition of the inverse image, the set A must be contained in  $f^{-1}(A)$  hence  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$ . Now let us consider the other direction. We note that  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$  implies that all elements of A are elements of the inverse image  $f^{-1}(A)$ . In other words for all  $a \in A \subseteq X, f(a) \in A$ . Therefore  $f(A) \subseteq A$

□

**3 0.4.10: Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. a: Prove  $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$  for every  $B \subseteq Y$ . b: Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(B)) = B$  when f is surjective.**

*Proof.* Recall  $f^{-1}(B) : \{x \in X : f(x) \in B\}$  for  $B \subseteq Y$ . By definition, then for all  $q \in f^{-1}(B)$ ,  $f(q) \in B$ . Thus  $f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$  as necessary. For any element of  $b \in B$ ,  $f$  surjective implies there exists  $f(x), x \in X$ , such that  $f(x) = b$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(B)$  is the set of  $x \in P \subseteq X$ , such that  $f(P) = B$ . Thus,  $f(f^{-1}(B)) = B$  precisely.

□

**4 0.4.13: Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be two functions. Prove that if f and g are surjective, then  $g \circ f$  is surjective. Prove that if f and g are injective, then  $g \circ f$  is injective**

*Proof.* Let us assume the image of f is a subset of the domain of g. Otherwise  $g \circ f$  is not defined. To prove  $g \circ f$  surjective, we must show for all  $z \in Z$ , there exists  $y \in f(X)$ , such that  $g(y) = z$ . Since  $g$  is surjective, there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $g(y) = z$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, there must exist  $x \in X$ , such that  $f(x) = y$ . Therefore, for all  $z \in Z$ , there exists  $y \in f(X)$ , such that  $g(y) = z$

To prove that  $g \circ f$  injective if  $f$  and  $g$  are, we must show for all  $a, b \in Z, p, q \in X, g(f(p)) = a \neq b = g(f(q))$  when  $p \neq q$ . Since  $g$  is injective, for all  $a, b \in Z, t, r \in Y, g(t) \neq g(r)$  when  $t \neq r$ . By assumption, consider  $t, r$  in the image of  $f(X)$ . Then for some  $p, q \in X, f(p) = t, f(r) = q$ . Since  $f$  is injective,  $t = f(p) \neq r = f(q)$  when  $q \neq p$ . Therefore,  $a, b \in Z, t, r \in Y, g(t) \neq g(r)$  when  $t \neq r$  is equivalent to  $p, q \in X, g(f(p)) \neq g(f(q))$  when  $p \neq q$  as necessary. Thus  $f \circ g$  is injective.

□

## 5 0.5.3: Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that if there exists a bijection $f : J_n \rightarrow J_m$ , then $n = m$

*Proof.* We proceed by strong induction on  $n$ . Consider  $n = 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $J_1 = 1$ . Then there exists a bijection from  $J_1 \rightarrow J_m$ . If  $J_m$  is such that  $m > 1$ , then without loss of generality  $1 \in J_1 \rightarrow 1 \in J_m$ . However, there does not exist another element in  $J_1$  so that  $x \in J_1 \rightarrow p \in J_m$ . Therefore, a bijection between  $J_1$  and  $J_{m>1}$  cannot exist, since this bijection would not be surjective. If  $m = 1$ , then the bijection  $1 \in J_{n=1} \rightarrow 1 \in J_{m=1}$  does exist, and is bijective trivially. Therefore, the base case is proven. We now may consider strong induction up to  $n$ .

Let us prove if there exists a bijection  $f : J_{n+1} \rightarrow J_{m+1}$ , then  $n + 1 = m + 1$ . We may assume if there exists a bijection  $f : J_n \rightarrow J_m$ , then  $n = m$ . Denote  $a_1 = \{n + 1\} \in J_{n+1}$  and denote  $b_1 = \{m + 1\} \in J_{m+1}$ . From our inductive hypothesis, if there exists a bijection between  $J_n \setminus a_1$  and  $J_m \setminus b_1$ , then there exists the following bijection taking  $f : J_{n+1} \rightarrow J_{m+1}$ . Consider the composition of mapping of  $a_1 \rightarrow b_1$  which is bijective trivially with the bijection  $J_n \setminus a_1$  and  $J_m \setminus b_1$  from our inductive hypothesis. From problem 0.4.13, since both mappings are bijective and hence injective and surjective their composition also is both injective and surjective hence bijective.  $\square$

## 6 0.5.8: Let $\{F_n\}$ be the Fibonacci sequence. Prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , $\sum_{i=0}^n F_i = F_{n+2} - 1$

*Proof.* Let us proceed by induction on  $n > 1$ . Consider the  $n = 1$  base case. Let us note then that the sum on the left hand side is  $F_0 + F_1 = 2$  and the right hand side is  $F_2 - 1 = 2$ . Thus the base case holds. Assume the result holds for the  $n$ th case. Now let us prove the result also holds for  $n + 1$ th case. We assume  $\sum_{i=0}^n F_i = F_{n+2} - 1$ . Let us add  $F_{n+1}$  to both sides of this equation. Then we have  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} F_i = F_{n+2} + F_{n+1} - 1$ . Recall by definition of the Fibonacci sequence  $F_{n+2} + F_{n+1} = F_{n+3}$ . Thus  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} F_i = F_{n+3} - 1$  as necessary, so the  $n+1$  case holds.  $\square$

## 7 1.3.1: Write $\mathbb{N}$ as a union of infinitely many disjoint sets each of which is infinite

Let  $A_2 = \{x : x \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{x : x \in 3\mathbb{N}, x \notin A_2\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{x : x \in 5\mathbb{N}, x \notin A_2, A_3\}$ , ... for  $A_p$  with  $p > 1$  and  $p$  prime. Each set  $A_n$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  so are all countable, and disjoint, by definition. Since there are infinitely many primes, there also must be infinitely many  $A_p$ . Note:  $\mathbb{N} = A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots$ . Since for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x$  has a unique factorization into primes, then given some prime  $p$  in the factorisation,  $x$  must belong to  $A_p$ , since it is a multiple of that prime, or then belong to some other  $A_q$  if not. By definition of  $A_p$ ,  $x$  divisible by  $p$  cannot belong to  $A_p$  only if  $x$  is already in another set  $A_q$  for  $q < p$ , by definition of the  $A_p$ 's as being disjoint. Therefore, for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots$

## 8 1.3.2: Let $A, B$ be sets. Prove that if $A$ is countable and if there exists a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ that is surjective, then $B$ is countable

*Proof.* We suppose there exists  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with  $f$  surjective. Let us note that if  $A$  is countable, then there exists a surjective function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  by proposition 1.3.4 (2). Now let us consider the following composition  $g \circ f$ . Then  $g \circ f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B$ . Since  $g$  and  $f$  are both surjective,  $g \circ f$  also is by problem 0.4.13. According to proposition 1.3.4, if there exists a function  $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Q$  that is surjective, then  $Q$  must be countable. Therefore,  $B$  is countable.  $\square$

## 9 1.3.5: Let $K$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ . Prove that there does not exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > k$ for all $k \in K$

*Proof.* Suppose there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > k$  for all  $k \in K$ . Then consider when  $n = \max k$ . Then there exists a bijection (identical to the one given in Lemma 1.3.1 pg 63)  $f$  between  $J_n$  and  $K$  given by  $f(1) = \min K$ ,  $f(2) = \min(K - \{f(1)\})$ , ... This function is injective since  $f(i) \neq f(j)$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  by definition and surjective since if not, then for some  $k \in K$ ,  $f(p) \neq k$ , so there exists  $k < f(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k, f(z) \in K$ , which is impossible by definition of  $f(z)$  as a minimum. Then we deduce  $f(z) < k$  for all  $z$ , which is also impossible since we suppose there exists  $n$  such that  $n > k$ , thus  $f$  is bijective. Therefore,  $K$ , which is an infinite set, is bijective with a finite set, which contradicts the condition that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > k$  for all  $k \in K$ .  $\square$