
Chapter 3: Recursion

Call Traces and Call Trees

- Recursion definitions are circular
- When reach the end of the list, MUST roll back to find the answer
 - o Go forward and backward, therefore it is efficient memory wise
- Rules for recursion
 - o Contains recursive case
 - o Contains base case
 - o Make progress towards base case

Divide-and-Conquer Decompositions

- Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm
 - o Solve an N size problem by dividing it into small/similar type problems
 - Continue to divide until the problem is small enough to conquer
 - Solve N size problem by combining the conquered smaller problems
- Down-by-1 D&C
 - One smaller problem is size 1
 - Other one is size n-1
 - o Going-Up Recursion
 - D&C by reducing problem size by i ($i \geq 1$) each time such that argument to recursive function goes up each time
 - o Going-Down Recursion
 - D&C by reducing problem size by i ($i \geq 1$) each time such that argument to recursive function goes down each time
- Division-in-halves D&C
 - D&C works better if smaller problems if each size is $\sim n/2$
 - o Edges & Center Recursion

Tail Recursion

- A function call is said to be tail recursive if there is nothing to do after the function returns except return its value
- Can be optimized by some compilers
- When last executed operation (recursive case) in a function is a recursive call to the function
 - o Note: "last executed" may not be last line in code
- A tail recursion is a recursive function where the function calls itself at the end ("tail") of the function in which **no computation is done** after the return of recursive call. Many compilers optimize to change a recursive call to a tail recursive or an iterative call.
- Mutual vs Self Tail Recursion
 - o Self-Tail Recursive – It calls itself
 - o Mutual-Tail Recursive happens when A calls B, B calls A

(Any number of functions may be involved)

Infinite Regress

- Recursion never ends
- Could be programmer or user's fault
- There are two reasons that a recursive program can call itself endlessly:

1. There is no base case to stop the recursion/ Forget base case/Incomplete base cases
2. A base case never gets called
3. Never hits base case
 - a. Programmer's error
 - b. User doesn't pay attention to API (factorial example)
 - c. Not enough resources(memory, computational power)

Simple Analysis

- Compilers and Recursion
 - o When a function called, a STACK FRAME is pushed on run-time stack
 - o Run-time stack keeps info such as:
 - Address to return to
 - Memory for permissions and function variables
 - Memory for function return value
 - o The deeper the recursion, the bigger the Run-time stack
 - Stack size -> Space
 - Stack overhead -> Long time to run
- Optimize recursion if possible
 - o GCC, some JVMs, Scala optimize self-tail recursion but can't do mutual-tail recursion
 - o All functional languages (Lisp, Scheme, Haskell) optimize both S.T.R and M.T.R
 - o How?
 - Compilers can clobber (mostly) previous stack frame with new one – so stack doesn't grow
 - Some do not optimize at all (R, Python)
- How can we remove recursion?
 - o Iterations:
 - At each step, update a partial solution (typically by iteration over a variable (i). The partial solution gets close and closer to the final solution at each step.
 - ALL recursion can be redefine as iteration
 - Tail recursion is “easy”
- Common uses for Recursion
 - o Defining things – e.g. Context Free Grammar
 - o Generating things – e.g. Recursive algorithm to generate Context Free Language
 - o Recognizing things – e.g. Recursive descent parser to tell whether a string belongs to the language
 - o Proving things – e.g. Recursion Induction

Dynamic Programming

- Dynamic Programming to the rescue
 - o Solve problem by breaking into smaller sub-problems
 - o Solve each sub-problem only once
 - o Store sub-problem solution in some DS(memorization)
 - There are a lot of redundant calculation
 - o Next time sub-problem occurs, use stored results
- Top Down Approach
- Bottom Up Approach

Chapter 6: Complexity

Complexity Classes

- Analysis of Algorithms
 - o Two Algorithms, same task: which is better?
 - Testing the algorithms by running them do not give you the completed picture: Depends on the hardware, compiler which are might be changeable
 - Example: 2 way to Waterloo: fast by SUV, or slower with your own car
 - Trading speed vs cost(ie. scenic)
 - o Compiler Algorithms trade-off:
 - Time, space(memory used at once)
 - Disk space, maintainability
 - Can't just time them both
 - o Consider algorithms $A(n)$
 - Implement $A(n)$ in 3 different environments (compilers, Osa, langs)
 - Time each of them on the same hardware

Big-O Notation

- Formal definition
 - o $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if there exist 2 positive integers, K and n_0 , such that $|f(n)| \leq K|g(n)|$ for all $n \geq n_0$
- Generally, measure officially, independent of programming languages, hardware, compiler, etc.
- Express algorithm as a function of problem size ("n")
 - o Size of the problem is the size of the input of the problem
- Varies algorithm will have varies time complicity
 - $4n^2 + 3n + 7$
 - o Big-O ignores everything except the highest order term
 - $4n^2 + 3y + x \Rightarrow O(n^2)$
 - o Complexity classes (Page.214)
 - $O(1)$ - constant
 - $O(n)$ - linear
 - $O(n^2)$ - quadratic
 - $O(n^3)$ - cubic
 - $O(\log n)$ - logarithmic
 - $O(n \log n)$ - logarithmic
 - $O(2^n)$ - exponential
 - $O(c^n)$ - exponential
 - o The scale of increasing complexity class
 - $O(1) < O(\log n) < O(n) < O(n \log n) < O(n^2) < O(n^3) < O(2^n) < O(10^n)$

Analyze algorithms

- Iterative algorithms
 - o Summing up the contribution of the individual stages of the computation
 - o Usually count number of:
 - Operations

- Comparisons
- Loop overhead
- Point/Array references
- Function calls
- Recursive algorithms
 - o Setting up and solving recurrence relations

Time Complexity

- When we analyze the running time of an algorithm, we will try to come up with the general shape of the curve that characterizes its running time as a function of the problem size.
 - o Running times for different algorithms fall into different complexity classes. Each complexity class is characterized by a different family of curves.
- Recursive Version
 - o Base case: the terminating scenario in recursion that does not use recursion to produce an answer
 - $T(1) = 1$
 - o Recurrence relation: $T(n) = 1 + T(n-1)$
 - o Lower Bound
 - o Upper Bound
- For finding the item in the n-item array
 - o Best case: $A[i]$ -there is only one comparison
 - o Worst case: $A[n]$ -number of comparison is the size of the problem
 - o Average case: Average of finding item at $A[i]$, $A[2]$.. $A[n]$

Space Complexity

- Measure the amount of memory used AT ONCE by algorithm
 - o While the algorithm running, at the one given time, used the maximum amount of memory
 - o What takes up memory
 - Instruction space(memory to hold compiled version of program, constant for any n)
 - Data space (variables, data structures(hashtrees,hashcode)/allocated memory)
 - Environment space (constant for each function call)
- Three methods for searching:
 - o Sequential search: $O(n)$
 - o Binary Search: $O(\log n)$
 - o Interpolation search: $O(\log \log n)$

Complexity Trade-offs