## **DAND Tableau Final Project**

Mar 5, 2018

## **Final Review by Udacity Team**

## **Meets Specifications**

Hi Teresa

Your project simply blew me away! You have attempted such a huge project, and nailed it in such an elegant manner. I believe you have spent weeks after weeks on this project, way above the expectations set by Udacity. I am going to nominate this for Best Project.

I have not much to offer as feedback other than an issue that some of the text boxes overlapping on the chart/legends. Resizing the browser window did not help much. I think having a large text size is the reason.

Congratulations on finishing this project, and completing the DA ND. I wish very best for your next endeavour.

I try my best to review a project and give helpful feedback. If you think my suggestions were helpful please take a moment to rate and comment on my review. If you have a suggestion for me, please write that too. This will help me to become a better reviewer.

Best of luck.

# Visualization is Explanatory

The visualization centers on a specific, clear finding in the data.

You have justly stated the goal of your story: PIT is a better team than WSH (despite WSH being at #1 rank)

The selected finding is clearly communicated. Design choices foster communication between the reader and the visualization.

You have presented wealth of facts supporting your key-fact. Superb job done.

### **Design**

A reader's summary of the graphic would closely match the written summary in the writeup, or a reader would identify at least one main point or relationship that the graphic attempts to convey.

Your summary of in the text-boxes matches the facts in the chart. Well done.

The visualization includes interaction or animation. The interaction or animation may be simple, such as a hover, tooltip, or transition. Interaction or animation enhances understanding of the data.

You have ample tool-tips and legends for giving out more information to the readers.

Initial design decisions such as chart type, visual encodings, layout, legends, or hierarchy are included at the beginning of the Design section in the writeup.

You have included ton of information about the chart types, color, labels, legends, etc you are going to use. You also included justifications. I am not sure though if you are benefited by including what you did not do or include. For example, "I did not have geographic data, so I could not create a map viz" gives a negative feeling, though you have done nothing short of what is expected!

#### **Feedback**

Feedback has been collected from at least one person throughout the process of creating the data visualization. The feedback is documented in the Feedback section of the writeup.

You have collected enormous amount of feedback! You literally recorded some of them and attached with the report! This is something I have not come across until now. Awesome.

The project includes evidence that the visualization has been improved since the first sketch or the first coded version of the visualization. All of the feedback is listed in the Feedback section of the writeup. Most design choices and changes are accounted for in the Design section of the writeup. If no changes were made to the visualization after gathering feedback, this decision is explained.

You have gone through over 10 iterations, and it took quite some time for me to read the document, and check the visuals. You have made tremendous effort, and the final story is a true reflection of that.