#INTRODUCTION/SUMMARY

#This project is to complete the Movielens capstone assignment for EDX Datascience Program. #I tried to run a variety of algorithms but none would work with my computer. I opted for a very #simple approach that I found online: Penalized Least Squares Regression. I applied this to a number of variables, but got the best RMSE with rating/userId. This method assumes that fewer ratings per user produces more volatility.

#I ran the PLSR on a number of variables but the userId, ratings match returned the lowest RMSE.

```
#METHODS
```

#First I download the dataset, then explore it.

movielens <- left join(ratings, movies, by = "movieId")

Validation set will be 10% of MovieLens data

```
set.seed(1) # if using R 3.6.0: set.seed(1, sample.kind = "Rounding")
test_index <- createDataPartition(y = movielens$rating, times = 1, p = 0.1, list = FALSE)
edx <- movielens[-test_index,]
temp <- movielens[test_index,]
```

title = as.character(title),
genres = as.character(genres))

Make sure userId and movieId in validation set are also in edx set

```
validation <- temp %>%
  semi_join(edx, by = "movieId") %>%
  semi_join(edx, by = "userId")
```

#Add rows removed from validation set back into edx set

```
removed <- anti_join(temp, validation) edx <- rbind(edx, removed)
```

```
rm(dl, ratings, movies, test index, temp, movielens, removed)
#Explore data
#Number of columns in edx set:
ncol(edx)
#Number of rows in edx set:
nrow(edx)
#How many films have a rating of 0?
sum(edx$rating==0)
#How many films have a rating of 3?
sum(edx\$rating == 3)
#How many distinct films are in edx?
edx %>% summarize(n movies = n distinct(movieId))
#How many distinct users are there?
edx %>% summarize(n_users = n_distinct(userId))
#How many ratings for each of these genres?
```

```
Drama <- edx %>% filter(str_detect(genres,"Drama"))
Comedy <- edx %>% filter(str_detect(genres,"Comedy"))
Thriller <- edx %>% filter(str_detect(genres,"Thriller"))
Romance <- edx %>% filter(str_detect(genres,"Romance"))
#What are the five most given ratings in order from most to least?
edx %>% group by(title) %>% summarise(number = n()) %>%
 arrange(desc(number))
head(sort(-table(edx$rating)),5)
#Half star ratings are less common than whole ratings:
table(edx$rating)
year = release year
validation <- validation %>% mutate(timestamp = as.POSIXct(validation$timestamp,
                                     origin = "1970-01-01", tz = "GMT"))
validation$timestamp <- format(validation$timestamp, "%H")
colnames(validation)
names(validation)[names(validation) == "timestamp"] <- "hour rated"
release year 2 < - \text{stringi:::stri} \text{ extract}(\text{validation} \text{ title}, \text{ regex} = "(\d{4})",
                       comments = TRUE) %>% as.numeric()
validation <- validation %>% mutate(release year = releaseyear2)
#This next section is an excellent idea; not mine. I tried lms on this; knn, etc. I tried this method
#with the time of day (thinking those ratings made while one might be drunk would be higher). I
tried
#every variable. This one works). But it's not my idea.
RMSE <- function(true ratings, predicted ratings){
 sqrt(mean((true ratings - predicted ratings)^2))
```

```
}
lambdas < -seq(0, 5, 0.25)
rmses <- sapply(lambdas,function(l){
 #Calculate the mean of ratings from the edx training set
 mu <- mean(edx$rating)
 #Adjust mean by movie effect and penalize low number on ratings
 b i <- edx %>%
  group by(movieId) %>%
  summarize(b i = sum(rating - mu)/(n()+1))
 #ajdust mean by user and movie effect and penalize low number of ratings
 b u <- edx %>%
  left join(b i, by="movieId") %>%
  group by(userId) %>%
  summarize(b u = sum(rating - b i - mu)/(n()+1))
 #predict ratings in the training set to derive optimal penalty value 'lambda'
 predicted ratings <-
  edx %>%
  left join(b i, by = "movieId") %>%
  left join(b u, by = "userId") %>%
  mutate(pred = mu + b i + b u) \% > \%
  .$pred
 return(RMSE(predicted ratings, edx$rating))
})
#RESULTS
lambda <- lambdas[which.min(rmses)]</pre>
paste('Optimal RMSE of',min(rmses),'is achieved with Lambda',lambda)
#Run the same program with best lambda
lambda <- 0.5
predict a<- sapply(lambda,function(l){</pre>
 #Find the mean from the training set
 mu <- mean(edx$rating)
 #Find movie effect with best lambda
 b i <- edx %>%
```

```
group by(movieId) %>%
  summarize(b i = sum(rating - mu)/(n()+1))
 #Find user effect with best lambda
 b u <- edx %>%
  left join(b i, by="userId") %>%
  group by(hour rated) %>%
  summarize(b u = sum(rating - b i - mu)/(n()+1))
 #Predict the ratings on the validation set
 predictedRating<-
  validation %>%
  left join(b i, by = "userId") %>%
  left join(b u, by = "hour rated") %>%
  mutate(pred = mu + b i + b u) \% > \%
  .$pred #validation
 return(predictedRating)
 #this is the end of "not mine"
})
```

#CONCLUSION:

#The Penalized Least Squares Regression does indeed produce an acceptable RMSE. Overall, however, this is a lame machine learning exercise. It isn't efficient to run various algorithms, and the assignment doesn't ask to compare accuracy (which really is the point of machine learning, isn't it?).