Kempe Compiler & Language Manual

Vanessa McHale

Contents

Installing kc					
Editor Integration			 	 	
Kempe Language					
Types			 	 	
Polymorphism			 	 	
Literals					
Builtins			 	 	
If Blocks					
Sum Types					
Pattern Matching					
Recursion					
Non-Features					
Programming in Kempe					
Invoking the Compiler			 	 	
Internals					
C Calls					
Kempe ABI					
Examples					
Splitmix Pseudorandom Numbe	er Gen	erator	 	 	
GCD					

Introduction

Kempe is a stack-based language, and kc is a toy compiler for x86_64.

Installing kc

```
First, install cabal and GHC. Then:
```

```
cabal install kempe
```

This provides kc, the Kempe compiler.

Editor Integration

```
A vim plugin is available.
```

To install with vim-plug:

```
Plug 'vmchale/kempe' , { 'rtp' : 'vim' }
```

Kempe Language

Types

Kempe has a stack-based type system. So if you see a type signature:

```
next : Word -- Word Word
```

that means that the stack must have a Word on it for next to be invoked, and that it will have two Words on the stack after it is invoked.

Polymorphism

Kempe allows polymorphic functions. So we can define:

```
id : a -- a =: []
```

The Kempe typechecker basically works though unification is slow.

Literals

Integer literals have type -- Int.

Positive literals followed by a u have type -- Word, e.g. 1u.

Negative integer literals are indicated by an underscore, $_$, i.e. $_1$ has type -- Int.

Builtins

The Kempe compiler has a few builtin functions that you can use for arithmetic and for shuffling data around. Many of them are familiar to stack-based programmers:

```
dup: a -- a a
swap: a b -- b a
drop: a --
```

For arithmetic:

```
• + : Int Int -- Int
• * : Int Int -- Int
• - : Int Int -- Int
• / : Int Int -- Int
• % : Int Int -- Int
• >> : Int Int8 -- Int
• << : Int Int8 -- Int
• xori : Int Int -- Int
• +~ : Word Word -- Word
• *~ : Word Word -- Word
• /~ : Word Word -- Word
• %~ : Word Word -- Word
• >>~ : Word Int8 -- Word
• <<~ : Word Int8 -- Word
• = : Int Int -- Bool
• > : Int Int -- Bool
• < : Int Int -- Bool
• != : Int Int -- Bool
• <= : Int Int -- Bool
• >= : Int Int -- Bool
• & : Bool Bool -- Bool
• || : Bool Bool -- Bool
• xor : Bool Bool -- Bool
• ~ : Int -- Int
```

There is one higher-order construct, dip, which we illustrate by example:

```
nip : a b -- b
=: [ dip(drop) ]
```

If Blocks

If-blocks are atoms which contain two blocks of atoms on each arm. If the next item on the stack is True, the first will be executed, otherwise the second.

```
loop : Int Int -- Int
```

Sum Types

Kempe supports sum types, for instance:

```
type Either a b { Left a | Right b }
```

Pattern Matching

Sum types are taken apart with pattern matching, viz.

Recursion

kc optimizes tail recursion.

Non-Features

Kempe is missing a good many features, such as:

- Modules/imports
- Floats
- Dynamically sized data types
- Strings
- Recursive data types
- Pointers
- Operator overloading

Programming in Kempe

Invoking the Compiler

kc cannot be used to produce executables. Rather, the Kempe compiler will produce .o files which contain functions.

Kempe functions can be exported with a C ABI:

%foreign cabi fac

This would be called with a C wrapper like so:

```
#include <stdio.h>
extern int fac(int);
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    printf("%d", fac(3));
}
```

Unlike the frontend and type checker, the backend is dodgy.

Internals

Kempe maintains its own stack and stores the pointer in rbp.

Kempe procedures do not require any registers to be preserved across function calls.

C Calls

When exporting to C, kc generates code that initializes the Kempe data pointer (rbx). Thus, one should avoid calling into Kempe code too often!

Note that the Kempe data pointer is static, so calling different Kempe functions in different threads will fail unpredictably.

Kempe ABI

Examples

Splitmix Pseudorandom Number Generator

The generator in question comes from a recent paper.

Implementation turns out to be quite nice thanks to Kempe's multiple return values:

%foreign kabi next

Note that 30i8 is an Int8 literal; shifts take an Int8 as the exponent.

Compare the C implementation:

```
#include <stdint.h>

// modified to have ""multiple return"" since C doesn't really have that
uint64_t next(uint64_t x, uint64_t* y) {
    uint64_t z = (x += 0x9e3779b97f4a7c15);
    z = (z ^ (z >> 30)) * 0xbf58476d1ce4e5b9;
    z = (z ^ (z >> 27)) * 0x94d049bb133111eb;
    *y = x;
    return z ^ (z >> 31);
}
GCD
```